CONSISTENCY RELATIONS OF RANK 2 CLUSTER SCATTERING DIAGRAMS OF AFFINE TYPE AND PENTAGON RELATION

KODAI MATSUSHITA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove the consistency relations of rank 2 cluster scattering diagrams of affine type by using the pentagon relation.

1. INTRODUCTION

The cluster algebras are commutative algebras introduced by Fomin and Zelevinsky [FZ02]. The positivity of coefficients of F-polynomials and the sign-coherence of c-vectors were important conjectures. These were proved in [GHKK18] by using scattering diagram methods. Scattering diagrams for cluster algebras are characterized by the consistency relations in their structure groups G.

The structure group G of a given cluster scattering diagram is generated by the dilogarithm elements [GHKK18, Nak21]

(1.1)
$$\Psi[n] := \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{j^2} X_{jn}\right)$$

The precise definition of G is given in §2. These elements satisfy pentagon relations:

(1.2)
$$\Psi[n]^c \Psi[n']^c = \Psi[n]^c \Psi[n+n']^c \Psi[n]^c$$

where $\{n, n'\} = c^{-1}$.

In this paper, we prove the consistency relations of rank 2 cluster scattering diagrams of affine type, namely types $A_1^{(1)}$ and $A_2^{(2)}$. More precisely, we prove the following theorem. For simplicity, let $\begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{bmatrix} = \Psi[(n_1, n_2)]$.

Theorem 1. The following relations holds:

(1.3)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0\\1 \end{bmatrix}^2 \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0 \end{bmatrix}^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0 \end{bmatrix}^2 \begin{bmatrix} 2\\1 \end{bmatrix}^2 \begin{bmatrix} 3\\2 \end{bmatrix}^2 \cdots \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} 2^j\\2^j \end{bmatrix}^{2^{2-j}} \cdots \begin{bmatrix} 2\\3 \end{bmatrix}^2 \begin{bmatrix} 1\\2 \end{bmatrix}^2 \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1 \end{bmatrix}^2,$$

(1.4)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^4 \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}^4 \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}^4 \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}^4 \cdots$$

$$\times \begin{bmatrix} 1\\2 \end{bmatrix}^6 \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} 2^j\\2^{j+1} \end{bmatrix}^{2^{2-j}} \cdots \begin{bmatrix} 5\\12 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2\\5 \end{bmatrix}^4 \begin{bmatrix} 3\\8 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\3 \end{bmatrix}^4 \begin{bmatrix} 1\\4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1 \end{bmatrix}^4.$$

Moreover, these formulas can be reduced to trivial relations by iteration of the pentagon relations.

The relations (1.3) and (1.4) are the (unique) consistency relations of type

 $A_1^{(1)}$ and type $A_2^{(2)}$, respectively. We say a product of dilogarthm elements is ordered, (resp. anti-ordered) if, for any adjacent pair $\begin{bmatrix} n_1\\n_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n'_1\\n'_2 \end{bmatrix}$, the inequality $n_1/n_2 \leq n'_1/n'_2$ (resp. $n_1/n_2 \leq n_1'/n_2'$ holds. The consistency relations of scattering diagrams in \mathbb{R}^2 have the form of

It was shown that the consistency relations are generated by the pentagon relation [Nak21], and the above theorem provides an simplest examples involving the *infinite* product.

We remark that the relations (1.3) first proved by [Rei10] by using quiver representations. Also, the relations (1.3) and (1.4) were proved by cluster mutation technique by [Rea20].

In §2, we introduce dilogarithm elements and the pentagon relations. In $\S3$, we prove a generalization of the formula (1.3). In $\S4$, we prove a generalization of the formula (1.4) by using a results of §3.

2. DILOGARITHM ELEMENTS AND PENTAGON RELATION

Let N be a rank 2 lattice with a skew-symmetric bilinear form

$$\{\cdot, \cdot\}: N \times N \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}.$$

Let e_1, e_2 be a basis of N, and we define

$$N^+ := \{ a_1 e_1 + a_2 e_2 \mid a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, a_1 + a_2 > 0 \}.$$

Let k be a field of characteristic 0, and we define an N^+ -graded Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} over \Bbbk with generators X_n such that

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{n \in N^+} \mathfrak{g}_n, \quad \mathfrak{g}_n = \mathbb{k}X_n, \quad [X_n, X_{n'}] = \{n, n'\}X_{n+n'}.$$

Let $\mathcal{L} := \{L \subset N^+ \mid N^+ + L \subset L, \#(N^+ \setminus L) < \infty\}$. For $L \in \mathcal{L}$, we define a Lie algebra ideal $\mathfrak{g}^L := \bigoplus_{n \in L} \mathfrak{g}_n$. and the quotient of \mathfrak{g} by \mathfrak{g}^L

$$\mathfrak{g}_L := \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^L = \bigoplus_{n \in N^+ \setminus L} \mathfrak{g}_n \quad (\text{as a vector space}).$$

Let G_L be a group with a set bijection

$$\exp_L \colon \mathfrak{g}_L \longrightarrow G_L$$

and the product is defined by a Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff (BCH) formula: (2.1)

$$\exp_L(X) \exp_L(Y) = \exp_L(X + Y + \frac{1}{2}[X, Y] + \frac{1}{12}[X, [X, Y]] - \frac{1}{12}[Y, [X, Y]] + \dots).$$

This product formula is well-defined because \mathfrak{g}_L is nilpotent.

For $L, L' \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $L \subset L'$, there exists the canonical Lie algebra homomorphism $\mathfrak{g}_L \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}'_L$, which induces the group homomorphism $G_L \longrightarrow G'_L$. Thus, by the inverse limit we obtain a Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ and a group G:

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}} := \lim_{L \in \mathcal{L}} \mathfrak{g}_L, \quad G := \lim_{L \in \mathcal{L}} G_L.$$

There is a set bijection

$$\exp: \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \longrightarrow G, \quad (X_L)_{L \in \mathcal{L}} \longmapsto (\exp_L(X_L))_{L \in \mathcal{L}}.$$

We use an infinite sum to express an element of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$.

We define important elements in G:

Definition 1 (Dilogarithm element). For any $n \in N^+$, define

$$[n] := \exp\left(\sum_{j>0} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{j^2} X_{jn}\right) \in G.$$

We call [n] a *dilogarithm element* for n.

For $c \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $g = \exp(X) \in G$, we define $g^c := \exp(cX)$.

Proposition 1 (Pentagon relation [GHKK18], [Nak21]). Let $n, n' \in N^+$. Then, the following relations hold in G:

(1) If
$$\{n', n\} = 0$$
, then $[n'][n] = [n][n']$,
(2) If $\{n', n\} = c^{-1}$ ($c \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus 0$), then
 $[n']^{c}[n]^{c} = [n]^{c}[n+n']^{c}[n']^{c}$ (pentagon relation).

3. Proof of formula (1.3)

For a subset $I = \{i_1 < i_2 < i_3 < \cdots\}$ of \mathbb{Z} and a sequence $(a_i)_{i \in I}$ of elements of G, we write

$$\prod_{i\in I} a_i := a_{i_1}a_{i_2}a_{i_3}\cdots, \quad \prod_{i\in I} a_i := \cdots a_{i_3}a_{i_2}a_{i_1}.$$

For example, $\prod_{i\geq 0} a_i = a_0 a_1 a_2 \cdots$ and $\prod_{i\geq 0} a_i = \cdots a_2 a_1 a_0$. The following is the main theorem of this section:

Theorem 2. If $\{n', n\} = c^{-1}$ $(c \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\})$, then \rightarrow

$$(3.1) \quad [n']^{2c}[n]^{2c} = \prod_{p \ge 0}^{-} [n+p(n+n')]^{2c} \prod_{p \ge 0} [2^p(n+n')]^{4c/2^p} \prod_{p \ge 0}^{-} [n'+p(n+n')]^{2c}.$$

KODAI MATSUSHITA

The case of c = 1, n = [(1,0)], n' = [(0,1)] is nothing but the formula (1.3).

To prove this theorem, we introduce some notations and lemmas.

Let $L \in \mathcal{L}$. For two elements g_1, g_2 of G, let us denote $g_1 \equiv g_2 \mod L$ if their images in G_L are identical. For example, if $n \in N^+$ is in L, then $[n] \equiv \exp(0) = 1_G \mod L$. By the definition of G, two elements g_1, g_2 of Gare identical if and only if $g_1 \equiv g_2 \mod L$ for all $L \in \mathcal{L}$.

Lemma 1. If $\{n', n\} = c^{-1}$ $(c \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\})$, we obtain

(3.2)
$$[n']^{c}[n]^{2c} = [n]^{2c}[2n+n']^{c}[n+n']^{2c}[n']^{c},$$

(3.3) $[n']^{2c}[n]^{c} = [n]^{c}[n+n']^{2c}[n+2n']^{c}[n']^{2c}.$

Proof. The equality (3.2) can be proved by repeatedly applying the pentagon relation:

$$[n']^{2c}[n]^{c} = [n']^{c}[n']^{c}[n]^{c} = [n']^{c}[n]^{c}[n+n']^{c}[n']^{c}$$
$$= [n]^{c}[n+n']^{c}[n']^{c}[n+n']^{c}[n']^{c} = [n]^{c}[n+n']^{2c}[n+2n']^{c}[n']^{2c}.$$

Note that $\{n', n + n'\} = c^{-1}$, thus we can use the pentagon identity in the last equality.

If $\{n', n\} = c^{-1}$, then $\{n, n'\} = (-c)^{-1}$. By the equality (3.2),

(3.4)
$$[n]^{-c}[n']^{2(-c)} = [n']^{2(-c)}[n+2n']^{-c}[n+n']^{2(-c)}[n]^{-c}.$$

Taking the inverse of both sides of (3.4), we obtain the equality (3.3).

The following is a key lemma:

Lemma 2. Let l be a non-negative integer, and let $n, n' \in N^+$. If $\{n', n\} = c^{-1}$, (3.5)

$$[n']^{2c} \left(\prod_{0 \le p \le l}^{\to} [n+2pn']^c\right) = [n]^c \left(\prod_{1 \le p \le 2l+1}^{\to} [n+pn']^{2c}\right) [n+(2l+2)n']^c [n']^{2c}$$

Proof. We will prove it by induction on l.

If l = 0, the equality (3.5) is nothing but (3.3).

Let l > 0. Suppose that the claim is true in the case of l - 1, then by the induction hypothesis,

$$[n']^{2c} \left(\prod_{0 \le p \le l} [n+2pn']^c \right)$$
$$= [n']^{2c} \left(\prod_{0 \le p \le l-1} [n+2pn']^c \right) [n+2ln']^c$$
$$= [n]^c \left(\prod_{1 \le p \le 2l-1} [n+pn']^{2c} \right) [n+2ln']^c [n']^{2c} [n+2ln']^c$$

$$= [n]^{c} \left(\prod_{1 \le p \le 2l+1}^{\longrightarrow} [n+pn']^{2c}\right) [n+(2l+2)n']^{c} [n']^{2c}.$$

In the last equality, we use

$$[n']^{2c}[n+2ln']^{c} = [n+2ln']^{c}[n+(2l+1)n']^{2c}[n+(2l+2)n']^{c}[n']^{2c},$$

which is a specialization of (3.3).

Now we consider the limit of Lemma 2:

Lemma 3. If $\{n', n\} = c^{-1}$, then

(3.6)
$$[n']^{2c} \left(\prod_{p\geq 0}^{\to} [n+2pn']^c \right) = [n]^c \left(\prod_{p\geq 1}^{\to} [n+pn']^{2c} \right) [n']^{2c},$$
(3.7)
$$\left(\prod_{p\geq 0}^{\leftarrow} [n'+2pn]^c \right) [n]^{2c} = [n]^{2c} \left(\prod_{p\geq 1}^{\leftarrow} [n'+pn]^{2c} \right) [n']^c.$$

Proof. Let $L \in \mathcal{L}$. Then, by the cofiniteness of L, there exists some positive integer l such that $n + 2ln' \in L$. Then, by Lemma 2, we obtain

$$[n']^{2c} \left(\prod_{p \ge 0}^{\longrightarrow} [n+2pn']^c \right) \equiv [n']^{2c} \left(\prod_{0 \le p \le l}^{\longrightarrow} [n+2pn']^c \right) \mod L$$
$$= [n]^c \left(\prod_{1 \le p \le 2l+1}^{\longrightarrow} [n+pn']^{2c} \right) [n+(2l+2)n]^c [n']^{2c}$$
$$\equiv [n]^c \left(\prod_{p \ge 1}^{\longrightarrow} [n+pn']^{2c} \right) [n']^{2c} \mod L.$$

Thus, the equality (3.6) holds.

Since $\{n, n'\} = (-c)^{-1}$, by (3.6), we obtain

(3.8)
$$[n]^{-2c} \left(\prod_{p \ge 0}^{\longrightarrow} [n' + 2pn]^{-c} \right) = [n']^{-c} \left(\prod_{p \ge 1}^{\longrightarrow} [n' + pn]^{-c} \right) [n]^{-2c}.$$

The the equality (3.7) is obtained by taking the inverse of the both sides of (3.8).

Proof of Theorem 2. For $L \in \mathcal{L}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, let $P_L(k)$ be the following assertion: for $n, n' \in N^+$ and $c \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}$, if $\{n', n\} = c^{-1}$ and $k(n+n') \in L$, then

$$[n']^{2c}[n]^{2c}$$

KODAI MATSUSHITA

(3.9)

$$\equiv \prod_{p\geq 0}^{\checkmark} [n+p(n+n')]^{2c} \prod_{p\geq 0} [2^p(n+n')]^{4c/2^p} \prod_{p\geq 0}^{\leftarrow} [n'+p(n+n')]^{2c} \mod L.$$

For any $L \in \mathcal{L}$, there exists some positive integer k such that $k(n+n') \in L$. Thus, if $P_L(k)$ is true for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $L \in \mathcal{L}$, then a relation (3.9) holds for any $L \in \mathcal{L}$, and Theorem 2 is proved. Fix $L \in \mathcal{L}$, and we prove $P_L(k)$ by induction on k.

If k = 1, the right hand side of (3.9) is equivalent to $[n]^{2c}[n']^{2c}$ because $ln + l'n' \in L$ for any $l, l' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Since

$$[n']^{c}[n]^{c} = [n]^{c}[n+n']^{c}[n']^{c} \equiv [n]^{c}[n']^{c} \mod L_{2}$$

we obtain $[n']^{2c}[n']^{2c} \equiv [n']^{2c}[n']^{2c} \mod L$.

Let $k \ge 2$, and we suppose a proposition $P_L(k-1)$ is true. By the equality (3.3),

$$[n']^{2c}[n]^{2c} = ([n']^{2c}[n]^c)[n]^c$$

= $[n]^c[n+n']^{2c}[n+2n']^c([n']^{2c}[n]^c)$
= $[n]^c[n+n']^{2c}([n+2n']^c[n]^c)[n+n']^{2c}[n+2n']^c[n']^{2c}.$

Since $(k-1)(n+(n+2n')) \in L$ and $\{n+2n',n\} = (c/2)^{-1}$, by the induction hypothesis,

$$\begin{split} & [n+2n']^{c}[n]^{c} \\ &= [n+2n']^{(c/2)\cdot 2}[n]^{(c/2)\cdot 2} \\ & \stackrel{(3.9)}{\equiv} \prod_{p\geq 0}^{\rightarrow} [n+p(2n+2n')]^{2\cdot (c/2)} \prod_{p\geq 0} [2^{p}(2n+2n')]^{4\cdot (c/2)/2^{p}} \\ & \times \stackrel{\leftarrow}{\prod}_{p\geq 0}^{\leftarrow} [(n+2n')+p(2n+2n')]^{2\cdot (c/2)} \mod L \\ &= \prod_{p\geq 0}^{\rightarrow} [n+2p(n+n')]^{c} \prod_{p\geq 1} [2^{p}(n+n')]^{4c/2^{p}} \prod_{p\geq 0}^{\leftarrow} [(n+2n')+2p(n+n')]^{c}. \end{split}$$

Since $\{n+n',n\} = c^{-1}$ and $\{n+2n',n+n'\} = c^{-1}$, by Lemma 3,
 $[n']^{2c}[n]^{2c} \equiv [n]^{c}[n+n']^{2c} \prod_{p\geq 0}^{\rightarrow} [n+2p(n+n')]^{c} \prod_{p\geq 1} [2^{p}(n+n')]^{4c/2^{p}} \\ & \times \stackrel{\leftarrow}{\prod}_{p\geq 0}^{\leftarrow} [(n+2n')+2p(n+n')]^{c} \\ & \times [n+n']^{2c}[n+2n']^{c}[n']^{2c} \mod L \\ &= [n]^{c}[n]^{c} \left(\prod_{p\geq 1}^{\rightarrow} [n+p(n+n')]^{c}\right) [n+n']^{2c} \prod_{p\geq 1} [2^{p}(n+n')]^{4c/2^{p}} \end{split}$

$$\times [n+n']^{2c} \prod_{p\geq 1}^{\leftarrow} [(n+2n')+p(n+n')]^{c}$$

$$\times [n+2n']^{c} [n+2n']^{c} [n']^{2c}$$

$$= \prod_{p\geq 0}^{\rightarrow} [n+p(n+n')]^{2c} \prod_{p\geq 0} [2^{p}(n+n')]^{4c/2^{p}} \prod_{p\geq 0}^{\leftarrow} [n'+p(n+n')]^{2c}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

4. Proof of formula (1.4)

The formula (1.4) is the case of c = -1, n = [(0, 1)], n' = [(1, 0] of the following theorem:

Theorem 3. If $\{n', n\} = c^{-1}$ $(c \in \mathbb{Q})$, then we obtain

$$[n']^{c}[n]^{4c} = \prod_{p\geq 0}^{\longrightarrow} ([(2p+1)n+pn']^{4c}[(4p+4)n+(2p+1)n']^{c}) \times [2n+n']^{2c} \prod_{p\geq 0} [2^{p}(2n+n')]^{4c/2^{p}} \times \bigoplus_{p\geq 0}^{\longleftarrow} ([(2p+1)n+(p+1)n']^{4c}[4pn+(2p+1)n']^{c}).$$

To prove this theorem, we consider some lemmas.

Lemma 4. If $\{n',n\} = c^{-1}$ $(c \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\})$, then we obtain

$$[n']^{c} \left(\prod_{0 \le p \le l} [n+pn']^{2c} \right)$$
$$= [n]^{2c} \left(\prod_{1 \le p \le l} ([2n+(2p-1)n']^{c}[n+pn']^{4c}) \right)$$
$$\times [2n+(2l+1)n']^{c}[n+(l+1)n']^{2c}[n']^{c}$$

Proof. We prove it by induction on l. The case of l = 0 is nothing less than the equality (3.2).

Let l > 0. Suppose that the claim is true in the case of l - 1, then

$$[n']^{c} \left(\prod_{0 \le p \le l}^{\longrightarrow} [n + pn']^{2c} \right)$$
$$= [n']^{c} \left(\prod_{0 \le p \le l-1}^{\longrightarrow} [n + pn']^{2c} \right) [n + ln']^{2c}$$

$$= [n]^{2c} \left(\prod_{1 \le p \le l-1}^{\rightarrow} ([2n + (2p - 1)n']^{c}[n + pn']^{4c}) \right) \\ \times [2n + (2l - 1)n']^{c}[n + ln']^{2c}[n']^{c}[n + ln']^{2c} \\ = [n]^{2c} \left(\prod_{1 \le p \le l}^{\rightarrow} ([2n + (2p - 1)n']^{c}[n + pn']^{4c}) \right) \\ \times [2n + (2l + 1)n']^{c}[n + (l + 1)n']^{2c}[n']^{c}$$

In the last equality, we use

$$[n']^{c}[n+ln']^{2c} = [n+ln']^{2c}[2n+(2l+1)n']^{c}[n+(l+1)n']^{2c}[n']^{2},$$

which is a specialization of (3.2).

Now we consider the limit of Lemma 4:

Lemma 5. If $\{n', n\} = c^{-1}$, then we obtain (4.1)

$$\begin{bmatrix} n' \end{bmatrix}^c \left(\prod_{p \ge 0}^{\longrightarrow} [n+pn']^{2c} \right) = [n]^{2c} \left(\prod_{p \ge 1}^{\longrightarrow} [2n+(2p-1)n']^c [n+pn']^{4c} \right) [n']^c,$$

$$(4.2)$$

$$\left(\prod_{p \ge 0}^{\longleftarrow} [n'+pn]^{2c} \right) [n]^c = [n]^c \left(\prod_{p \ge 1}^{\longleftarrow} [n'+pn]^{4c} [2n'+(2p-1)n]^c \right) [n']^{2c}.$$

Proof. Let $L \in \mathcal{L}$. Then, there exist some positive integer l such that $n + ln' \in L$. Then, by Lemma 4, we obtain

$$[n']^{c} \prod_{p \ge 0} \stackrel{\longrightarrow}{\inf} [n + pn']^{2c}$$

$$\equiv [n']^{c} \left(\prod_{0 \le p \le l} \stackrel{\longrightarrow}{\inf} [n + pn']^{2c} \right) \mod L$$

$$= [n]^{2c} \left(\prod_{1 \le p \le l} \stackrel{\longrightarrow}{\inf} ([2n + (2p - 1)n']^{c}[n + pn']^{4c}) \right)$$

$$\times [2n + (2l + 1)n']^{c}[n + (l + 1)n']^{2c}[n']^{c}$$

$$\equiv [n]^{2c} \left(\prod_{p \ge 1} \stackrel{\longrightarrow}{\lim} [2n + (2p - 1)n']^{c}[n + pn']^{4c} \right) [n']^{c} \mod L$$

Thus, the equality (4.1) holds.

Since
$$\{n, n'\} = (-c)^{-1}$$
, by (4.1), we obtain

$$[n]^{-c} \left(\prod_{p \ge 0}^{\longrightarrow} [n'+pn]^{-2c} \right) = [n']^{-2c} \left(\prod_{p \ge 1}^{\longrightarrow} [2n'+(2p-1)n]^{-c} [n'+pn]^{-4c} \right) [n]^{-c}.$$

By taking the inverse of both sides of this equality, we have the equality (4.2). $\hfill \Box$

 $Proof \ of \ Theorem \ 3.$ Using the pentagon relations, Theorem 2 and Lemma 5, we can calculate as follows:

$$\begin{split} & [n']^{c}[n]^{4c} \\ &= [n']^{c}[n]^{2c}[n]^{2c} \\ &= [n]^{2c}[2n+n']^{c}[n+n']^{2c}[n']^{c}[n]^{2c} \\ &= [n]^{2c}[2n+n']^{c}[n+n']^{2c}[n]^{2c}[2n+n']^{c} \\ &= [n]^{2c}[2n+n']^{c}[n+n']^{2c}[n]^{2c}[2n+n']^{c} \\ &\times \prod_{p\geq 0} [n+p(2n+n')]^{4c/2^{p}} \\ &\times \prod_{p\geq 0} [2^{p}(2n+n')]^{4c/2^{p}} \\ &\times \prod_{p\geq 0} [2^{p}(2n+n')]^{4c/2^{p}} \\ &\times [2n+n']^{c}[n+n'+p(2n+n')]^{2c} \\ &\times [2n+n']^{c}[n+n']^{2c}[n']^{c} \\ \end{split} \\ \\ & (4.1)_{=}(4.2) [n]^{2c} \times [n]^{2c} \left(\prod_{p\geq 1} ([2n+(2p-1)(2n+n')]^{c}[n+p(2n+n')]^{4c})\right) [2n+n']^{c} \\ &\times \prod_{p\geq 0} [2^{p}(2n+n')]^{4c/2^{p}} \times [2n+n']^{c} \\ &\times \prod_{p\geq 0} [2^{p}(2n+n')]^{4c/2^{p}} \times [2n+n']^{c} \\ &\times \left(\prod_{p\geq 1} [([(n+n')+p(2n+n')]^{4c}[2(n+n')+(2p-1)(2n+n')]^{c})\right) \\ &\times [n+n']^{2c}[n+n']^{2c}[n']^{c} \\ &= \prod_{p\geq 0} (([n+p(2n+n')]^{4c}[2n+(2p+1)(2n+n')]^{c}) \\ &\times [2n+n']^{2c} \prod_{p\geq 0} [2^{p}(2n+n')]^{4c/2^{p}} \\ &\times [2n+n']^{2c} [n+n']^{2c}[n']^{c} \\ &= \prod_{p\geq 0} ([(n+n')+p(2n+n')]^{4c/2^{p}} \\ &\times [2n+n']^{2c} \prod_{p\geq 0} [2^{p}(2n+n')]^{4c/2^{p}} \\ &\times \sum_{p\geq 0} [([n+n')+p(2n+n')]^{4c/2^{p}} \\ &\times \sum_{p\geq 0} [([n+n')+p(2n+n')]^{4c/2^{p}}] \\ &\times \sum_{p\geq 0} [([n+n')+p(2n+n')]^{4c/2^{p}} \\ &\times \sum_{p\geq 0} [([n+n')+p(2n+n')]^{4c/2^{p}} \\ &\times \sum_{p\geq 0} [([n+n')+p(2n+n')]^{4c/2^{p}}]] \\ &\times \sum_{p\geq 0} [([n+n')+p(2n+n')]^{4c/2^{p}}] \\ &\times \sum_{p\geq 0} [([n+n')+p(2n+n')]^{4c/2^{p}}]]]$$

$$= \prod_{p\geq 0}^{\longrightarrow} ([(2p+1)n+pn']^{4c}[(4p+4)n+(2p+1)n']^c) \times [2n+n']^{2c} \prod_{p\geq 0} [2^p(2n+n')]^{4c/2^p} \times \bigoplus_{p\geq 0}^{\leftarrow} ([(2p+1)n+(p+1)n']^{4c}[4pn+(2p+1)n']^c)$$

This completes the proof.

References

- [FZ02] Sergey Fomin and Andrei Zelevinsky. Cluster algebras. I. Foundations. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 15(2):497–529, 2002.
- [GHKK18] Mark Gross, Paul Hacking, Sean Keel, and Maxim Kontsevich. Canonical bases for cluster algebras. Journal of the American Mathematical Society, 31(2):497–608, 2018.
- [Nak21] Tomoki Nakanishi. Cluster algebras and scattering diagrams, part III. cluster scattering diagrams, 2021.
- [Rea20] Nathan Reading. A combinatorial approach to scattering diagrams. Algebr. Comb., 3(3):603–636, 2020.
- [Rei10] Markus Reineke. Poisson automorphisms and quiver moduli. Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu, 9(3):653–667, 2010.

(Kodai Matsushita) Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, 464-8602 Japan

Email address: m19043g@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp