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#### Abstract

In this paper, we prove the consistency relations of rank 2 cluster scattering diagrams of affine type by using the pentagon relation.


## 1. Introduction

The cluster algebras are commutative algebras introduced by Fomin and Zelevinsky [FZ02]. The positivity of coefficients of $F$-polynomials and the sign-coherence of $c$-vectors were important conjectures. These were proved in GHKK18 by using scattering diagram methods. Scattering diagrams for cluster algebras are characterized by the consistency relations in their structure groups $G$.

The structure group $G$ of a given cluster scattering diagram is generated by the dilogarithm elements GHKK18, Nak21]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi[n]:=\exp \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{j^{2}} X_{j n} .\right) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The precise definition of $G$ is given in $\S 2$. These elements satisfy pentagon relations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi[n]^{c} \Psi\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{c}=\Psi[n]^{c} \Psi\left[n+n^{\prime}\right]^{c} \Psi[n]^{c} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{n, n^{\prime}\right\}=c^{-1}$.
In this paper, we prove the consistency relations of rank 2 cluster scattering diagrams of affine type, namely types $A_{1}^{(1)}$ and $A_{2}^{(2)}$. More precisely, we prove the following theorem. For simplicity, let $\left[\begin{array}{l}n_{1} \\ n_{2}\end{array}\right]=\Psi\left[\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right)\right]$.

Theorem 1. The following relations holds:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{2}\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right]^{2}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right]^{2}\left[\begin{array}{l}
2 \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{2}\left[\begin{array}{l}
3 \\
2
\end{array}\right]^{2} \cdots \prod_{j=0}^{\infty}\left[\begin{array}{l}
2^{j} \\
2^{j}
\end{array}\right]^{2^{2-j}} \cdots\left[\begin{array}{l}
2 \\
3
\end{array}\right]^{2}\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
2
\end{array}\right]^{2}\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{2},}  \tag{1.3}\\
& {\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{4}\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{4}\left[\begin{array}{l}
3 \\
4
\end{array}\right]^{2}\left[\begin{array}{l}
2 \\
3
\end{array}\right]^{4}\left[\begin{array}{l}
5 \\
6
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
3 \\
5
\end{array}\right]^{4} \cdots} \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\times\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
2
\end{array}\right]^{6} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty}\left[\begin{array}{c}
2^{j} \\
2^{j+1}
\end{array}\right]^{2^{2-j}} \cdots\left[\begin{array}{c}
5 \\
12
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
2 \\
5
\end{array}\right]^{4}\left[\begin{array}{l}
3 \\
8
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
3
\end{array}\right]^{4}\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
4
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right]^{4}
$$

Moreover, these formulas can be reduced to trivial relations by iteration of the pentagon relations.

The relations (1.3) and (1.4) are the (unique) consistency relations of type $A_{1}^{(1)}$ and type $A_{2}^{(2)}$, respectively.

We say a product of dilogarthm elements is ordered, (resp. anti-ordered) if, for any adjacent pair $\left[\begin{array}{l}n_{1} \\ n_{2}\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}n_{1}^{\prime} \\ n_{2}^{\prime}\end{array}\right]$, the inequality $n_{1} / n_{2} \leq n_{1}^{\prime} / n_{2}^{\prime}$ (resp. $\left.n_{1} / n_{2} \leq n_{1}^{\prime} / n_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ holds. The consistency relations of scattering diagrams in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ have the form of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { "anti-ordered product" }=\text { "ordered product". } \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It was shown that the consistency relations are generated by the pentagon relation [Nak21], and the above theorem provides an simplest examples involving the infinite product.

We remark that the relations (1.3) first proved by Rei10] by using quiver representations. Also, the relations (1.3) and (1.4) were proved by cluster mutation technique by Rea20].

In §2, we introduce dilogarithm elements and the pentagon relations. In §3, we prove a generalization of the formula (1.3). In §4, we prove a generalization of the formula (1.4) by using a results of $\$ 3$,

## 2. DiLOGARITHM ELEMENTS AND PENTAGON RELATION

Let $N$ be a rank 2 lattice with a skew-symmetric bilinear form

$$
\{\cdot, \cdot\}: N \times N \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}
$$

Let $e_{1}, e_{2}$ be a basis of $N$, and we define

$$
N^{+}:=\left\{a_{1} e_{1}+a_{2} e_{2} \mid a_{1}, a_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, a_{1}+a_{2}>0\right\}
$$

Let $\mathbb{k}$ be a field of characteristic 0 , and we define an $N^{+}$-graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ over $\mathbb{k}$ with generators $X_{n}$ such that

$$
\mathfrak{g}=\bigoplus_{n \in N^{+}} \mathfrak{g}_{n}, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{n}=\mathbb{k} X_{n}, \quad\left[X_{n}, X_{n^{\prime}}\right]=\left\{n, n^{\prime}\right\} X_{n+n^{\prime}}
$$

Let $\mathcal{L}:=\left\{L \subset N^{+} \mid N^{+}+L \subset L, \#\left(N^{+} \backslash L\right)<\infty\right\}$. For $L \in \mathcal{L}$, we define a Lie algebra ideal $\mathfrak{g}^{L}:=\bigoplus_{n \in L} \mathfrak{g}_{n}$. and the quotient of $\mathfrak{g}$ by $\mathfrak{g}^{L}$

$$
\mathfrak{g}_{L}:=\mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{g}^{L}=\bigoplus_{n \in N^{+} \backslash L} \mathfrak{g}_{n} \quad \text { (as a vector space) }
$$

Let $G_{L}$ be a group with a set bijection

$$
\exp _{L}: \mathfrak{g}_{L} \longrightarrow G_{L}
$$

and the product is defined by a Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff ( BCH ) formula:
$\exp _{L}(X) \exp _{L}(Y)=\exp _{L}\left(X+Y+\frac{1}{2}[X, Y]+\frac{1}{12}[X,[X, Y]]-\frac{1}{12}[Y,[X, Y]]+\cdots\right)$.
This product formula is well-defined because $\mathfrak{g}_{L}$ is nilpotent.
For $L, L^{\prime} \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $L \subset L^{\prime}$, there exists the canonical Lie algebra homomorphism $\mathfrak{g}_{L} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{L}^{\prime}$, which induces the group homomorphism $G_{L} \longrightarrow$ $G_{L}^{\prime}$. Thus, by the inverse limit we obtain a Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ and a group $G$ :

$$
\hat{\mathfrak{g}}:=\lim _{\overleftarrow{L \in \mathcal{L}}} \mathfrak{g}_{L}, \quad G:={\underset{L \in \mathcal{L}}{ }}_{\lim _{L \in \mathcal{L}}} G_{L}
$$

There is a set bijection

$$
\exp : \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \longrightarrow G, \quad\left(X_{L}\right)_{L \in \mathcal{L}} \longmapsto\left(\exp _{L}\left(X_{L}\right)\right)_{L \in \mathcal{L}}
$$

We use an infinite sum to express an element of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$.
We define important elements in $G$ :
Definition 1 (Dilogarithm element). For any $n \in N^{+}$, define

$$
[n]:=\exp \left(\sum_{j>0} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{j^{2}} X_{j n}\right) \in G
$$

We call $[n]$ a dilogarithm element for $n$.
For $c \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $g=\exp (X) \in G$, we define $g^{c}:=\exp (c X)$.
Proposition 1 (Pentagon relation [GHKK18], [Nak21]). Let $n, n^{\prime} \in N^{+}$. Then, the following relations hold in $G$ :
(1) If $\left\{n^{\prime}, n\right\}=0$, then $\left[n^{\prime}\right][n]=[n]\left[n^{\prime}\right]$,
(2) If $\left\{n^{\prime}, n\right\}=c^{-1}(c \in \mathbb{Q} \backslash 0)$, then

$$
\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{c}[n]^{c}=[n]^{c}\left[n+n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{c} \quad \text { (pentagon relation). }
$$

## 3. Proof of formula (1.3)

For a subset $I=\left\{i_{1}<i_{2}<i_{3}<\cdots\right\}$ of $\mathbb{Z}$ and a sequence $\left(a_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ of elements of $G$, we write

$$
\overrightarrow{\prod_{i \in I}} a_{i}:=a_{i_{1}} a_{i_{2}} a_{i_{3}} \cdots, \quad \overleftarrow{\prod_{i \in I}} a_{i}:=\cdots a_{i_{3}} a_{i_{2}} a_{i_{1}}
$$

For example, $\prod_{i \geq 0}^{\longrightarrow} a_{i}=a_{0} a_{1} a_{2} \cdots$ and $\prod_{i \geq 0} \overleftarrow{a_{i}}=\cdots a_{2} a_{1} a_{0}$.
The following is the main theorem of this section:
Theorem 2. If $\left\{n^{\prime}, n\right\}=c^{-1}(c \in \mathbb{Q} \backslash\{0\})$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}[n]^{2 c}=\prod_{p \geq 0}^{\longrightarrow}\left[n+p\left(n+n^{\prime}\right)\right]^{2 c} \prod_{p \geq 0}\left[2^{p}\left(n+n^{\prime}\right)\right]^{4 c / 2^{p}} \prod_{p \geq 0}\left[n^{\prime}+p\left(n+n^{\prime}\right)\right]^{2 c} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The case of $c=1, n=[(1,0)], n^{\prime}=[(0,1)]$ is nothing but the formula (1.3).

To prove this theorem, we introduce some notations and lemmas.
Let $L \in \mathcal{L}$. For two elements $g_{1}, g_{2}$ of $G$, let us denote $g_{1} \equiv g_{2} \bmod L$ if their images in $G_{L}$ are identical. For example, if $n \in N^{+}$is in $L$, then $[n] \equiv \exp (0)=1_{G} \bmod L$. By the definition of $G$, two elements $g_{1}, g_{2}$ of $G$ are identical if and only if $g_{1} \equiv g_{2} \bmod L$ for all $L \in \mathcal{L}$.
Lemma 1. If $\left\{n^{\prime}, n\right\}=c^{-1}(c \in \mathbb{Q} \backslash\{0\})$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{c}[n]^{2 c}=[n]^{2 c}\left[2 n+n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left[n+n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{c},}  \tag{3.2}\\
& {\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}[n]^{c}=[n]^{c}\left[n+n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\left[n+2 n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c} .} \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The equality (3.2) can be proved by repeatedly applying the pentagon relation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}[n]^{c} } & =\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{c}[n]^{c}=\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{c}[n]^{c}\left[n+n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{c} \\
& =[n]^{c}\left[n+n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left[n+n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{c}=[n]^{c}\left[n+n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\left[n+2 n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\left\{n^{\prime}, n+n^{\prime}\right\}=c^{-1}$, thus we can use the pentagon identity in the last equality.

If $\left\{n^{\prime}, n\right\}=c^{-1}$, then $\left\{n, n^{\prime}\right\}=(-c)^{-1}$. By the equality (3.2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
[n]^{-c}\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{2(-c)}=\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{2(-c)}\left[n+2 n^{\prime}\right]^{-c}\left[n+n^{\prime}\right]^{2(-c)}[n]^{-c} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the inverse of both sides of (3.4), we obtain the equality (3.3).
The following is a key lemma:
Lemma 2. Let $l$ be a non-negative integer, and let $n, n^{\prime} \in N^{+}$. If $\left\{n^{\prime}, n\right\}=$ $c^{-1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\left(\overrightarrow{\prod_{0 \leq p \leq l}}\left[n+2 p n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\right)=[n]^{c}\left(\underset{1 \leq p \leq 2 l+1}{\longrightarrow}\left[n+p n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\right)\left[n+(2 l+2) n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We will prove it by induction on $l$.
If $l=0$, the equality (3.5) is nothing but (3.3).
Let $l>0$. Suppose that the claim is true in the case of $l-1$, then by the induction hypothesis,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\left(\prod_{0 \leq p \leq l}^{\vec{~}}\left[n+2 p n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\right)} \\
& =\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\left(\prod_{0 \leq p \leq l-1}\left[n+2 p n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\right)\left[n+2 l n^{\prime}\right]^{c} \\
& =[n]^{c}\left(\prod_{1 \leq p \leq 2 l-1}\left[n+p n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\right)\left[n+2 l n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\left[n+2 l n^{\prime}\right]^{c}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=[n]^{c}\left(\prod_{1 \leq p \leq 2 l+1}^{\overrightarrow{ }}\left[n+p n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\right)\left[n+(2 l+2) n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c} .
$$

In the last equality, we use

$$
\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\left[n+2 l n^{\prime}\right]^{c}=\left[n+2 l n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left[n+(2 l+1) n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\left[n+(2 l+2) n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}
$$

which is a specialization of (3.3).
Now we consider the limit of Lemma 2,
Lemma 3. If $\left\{n^{\prime}, n\right\}=c^{-1}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\left(\overrightarrow{\prod_{p \geq 0}}\left[n+2 p n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\right)=[n]^{c}\left(\prod_{p \geq 1}^{\longrightarrow}\left[n+p n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\right)\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}}  \tag{3.6}\\
& \left(\overleftarrow{\prod_{p \geq 0}}\left[n^{\prime}+2 p n\right]^{c}\right)[n]^{2 c}=[n]^{2 c}\left(\prod_{p \geq 1}\left[n^{\prime}+p n\right]^{2 c}\right)\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{c} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let $L \in \mathcal{L}$. Then, by the cofiniteness of $L$, there exists some positive integer $l$ such that $n+2 l n^{\prime} \in L$. Then, by Lemma 2, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\left(\overrightarrow{\prod_{p \geq 0}}\left[n+2 p n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\right) } & \equiv\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\left(\prod_{0 \leq p \leq l}^{\longrightarrow}\left[n+2 p n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\right) \quad \bmod L \\
& =[n]^{c}\left(\prod_{1 \leq p \leq 2 l+1}\left[n+p n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\right)[n+(2 l+2) n]^{c}\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c} \\
& \equiv[n]^{c}\left(\prod_{p \geq 1}^{\longrightarrow}\left[n+p n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\right)\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c} \quad \bmod L
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the equality (3.6) holds.
Since $\left\{n, n^{\prime}\right\}=(-c)^{-1}$, by (3.6), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
[n]^{-2 c}\left(\overrightarrow{\prod_{p \geq 0}}\left[n^{\prime}+2 p n\right]^{-c}\right)=\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{-c}\left(\overrightarrow{\prod_{p \geq 1}}\left[n^{\prime}+p n\right]^{-c}\right)[n]^{-2 c} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The the equality (3.7) is obtained by taking the inverse of the both sides of (3.8).

Proof of Theorem 2. For $L \in \mathcal{L}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, let $P_{L}(k)$ be the following assertion: for $n, n^{\prime} \in N^{+}$and $c \in \mathbb{Q} \backslash\{0\}$, if $\left\{n^{\prime}, n\right\}=c^{-1}$ and $k\left(n+n^{\prime}\right) \in L$, then

$$
\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}[n]^{2 c}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\equiv \prod_{p \geq 0}\left[n+p\left(n+n^{\prime}\right)\right]^{2 c} \prod_{p \geq 0}\left[2^{p}\left(n+n^{\prime}\right)\right]^{4 c / 2^{p}} \prod_{p \geq 0}\left[n^{\prime}+p\left(n+n^{\prime}\right)\right]^{2 c} \quad \bmod L \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $L \in \mathcal{L}$, there exists some positive integer $k$ such that $k\left(n+n^{\prime}\right) \in L$. Thus, if $P_{L}(k)$ is true for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $L \in \mathcal{L}$, then a relation (3.9) holds for any $L \in \mathcal{L}$, and Theorem 2 is proved. Fix $L \in \mathcal{L}$, and we prove $P_{L}(k)$ by induction on $k$.

If $k=1$, the right hand side of (3.9) is equivalent to $[n]^{2 c}\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}$ because $l n+l^{\prime} n^{\prime} \in L$ for any $l, l^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Since

$$
\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{c}[n]^{c}=[n]^{c}\left[n+n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{c} \equiv[n]^{c}\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{c} \quad \bmod L
$$

we obtain $\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c} \equiv\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c} \bmod L$.
Let $k \geq 2$, and we suppose a proposition $P_{L}(k-1)$ is true. By the equality (3.3),

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}[n]^{2 c} } & =\left(\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}[n]^{c}\right)[n]^{c} \\
& =[n]^{c}\left[n+n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\left[n+2 n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left(\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}[n]^{c}\right) \\
& =[n]^{c}\left[n+n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\left(\left[n+2 n^{\prime}\right]^{c}[n]^{c}\right)\left[n+n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\left[n+2 n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $(k-1)\left(n+\left(n+2 n^{\prime}\right)\right) \in L$ and $\left\{n+2 n^{\prime}, n\right\}=(c / 2)^{-1}$, by the induction hypothesis,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[n+2 n^{\prime}\right]^{c}[n]^{c} } \\
&= {\left[n+2 n^{\prime}\right]^{(c / 2) \cdot 2}[n]^{(c / 2) \cdot 2} } \\
& \stackrel{3.9}{\equiv} \longrightarrow \prod_{p \geq 0}\left[n+p\left(2 n+2 n^{\prime}\right)\right]^{2 \cdot(c / 2)} \prod_{p \geq 0}\left[2^{p}\left(2 n+2 n^{\prime}\right)\right]^{4 \cdot(c / 2) / 2^{p}} \\
& \times \prod_{p \geq 0}^{\leftrightarrows}\left[\left(n+2 n^{\prime}\right)+p\left(2 n+2 n^{\prime}\right)\right]^{2 \cdot(c / 2)} \quad \bmod L \\
&= \prod_{p \geq 0}^{\longrightarrow}\left[n+2 p\left(n+n^{\prime}\right)\right]^{c} \prod_{p \geq 1}\left[2^{p}\left(n+n^{\prime}\right)\right]^{4 c / 2^{p}} \overleftrightarrow{\prod_{p \geq 0}}\left[\left(n+2 n^{\prime}\right)+2 p\left(n+n^{\prime}\right)\right]^{c}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left\{n+n^{\prime}, n\right\}=c^{-1}$ and $\left\{n+2 n^{\prime}, n+n^{\prime}\right\}=c^{-1}$, by Lemma 3,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}[n]^{2 c} \equiv } & {[n]^{c}\left[n+n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c} \prod_{p \geq 0}\left[n+2 p\left(n+n^{\prime}\right)\right]^{c} \prod_{p \geq 1}\left[2^{p}\left(n+n^{\prime}\right)\right]^{4 c / 2^{p}} } \\
& \times \overleftarrow{\prod_{p \geq 0}\left[\left(n+2 n^{\prime}\right)+2 p\left(n+n^{\prime}\right)\right]^{c}} \\
& \times\left[n+n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\left[n+2 n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c} \quad \bmod L \\
= & {[n]^{c}[n]^{c}\left(\prod_{p \geq 1}\left[n+p\left(n+n^{\prime}\right)\right]^{c}\right)\left[n+n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c} \prod_{p \geq 1}\left[2^{p}\left(n+n^{\prime}\right)\right]^{4 c / 2^{p}} }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \times\left[n+n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c} \prod_{p \geq 1}\left[\left(n+2 n^{\prime}\right)+p\left(n+n^{\prime}\right)\right]^{c} \\
& \times\left[n+2 n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left[n+2 n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c} \\
= & \prod_{p \geq 0}\left[n+p\left(n+n^{\prime}\right)\right]^{2 c} \prod_{p \geq 0}\left[2^{p}\left(n+n^{\prime}\right)\right]^{4 c / 2^{p}} \prod_{p \geq 0}\left[n^{\prime}+p\left(n+n^{\prime}\right)\right]^{2 c}
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2,

## 4. Proof of formula (1.4)

The formula (1.4) is the case of $c=-1, n=[(0,1)], n^{\prime}=[(1,0]$ of the following theorem:

Theorem 3. If $\left\{n^{\prime}, n\right\}=c^{-1}(c \in \mathbb{Q})$, then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{c}[n]^{4 c}=} & \overrightarrow{\prod_{p \geq 0}}\left(\left[(2 p+1) n+p n^{\prime}\right]^{4 c}\left[(4 p+4) n+(2 p+1) n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\right) \\
& \times\left[2 n+n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c} \prod_{p \geq 0}\left[2^{p}\left(2 n+n^{\prime}\right)\right]^{4 c / 2^{p}} \\
& \times \prod_{p \geq 0}^{\overleftarrow{ }}\left(\left[(2 p+1) n+(p+1) n^{\prime}\right]^{4 c}\left[4 p n+(2 p+1) n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

To prove this theorem, we consider some lemmas.
Lemma 4. If $\left\{n^{\prime}, n\right\}=c^{-1}(c \in \mathbb{Q} \backslash\{0\})$, then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left(\prod_{0 \leq p \leq l}^{\vec{l}}\left[n+p n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\right)} \\
& =[n]^{2 c}\left(\overrightarrow{\prod_{1 \leq p \leq l}}\left(\left[2 n+(2 p-1) n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left[n+p n^{\prime}\right]^{4 c}\right)\right) \\
& \quad \times\left[2 n+(2 l+1) n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left[n+(l+1) n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{c}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We prove it by induction on $l$. The case of $l=0$ is nothing less than the equality (3.2).

Let $l>0$. Suppose that the claim is true in the case of $l-1$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left(\prod_{0 \leq p \leq l}^{\longrightarrow}\left[n+p n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\right)} \\
& =\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left(\prod_{0 \leq p \leq l-1}\left[n+p n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\right)\left[n+l n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & {[n]^{2 c}\left(\prod_{1 \leq p \leq l-1}\left(\left[2 n+(2 p-1) n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left[n+p n^{\prime}\right]^{4 c}\right)\right) } \\
& \times\left[2 n+(2 l-1) n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left[n+l n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left[n+l n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c} \\
= & {[n]^{2 c}\left(\overrightarrow{\prod_{1 \leq p \leq l}}\left(\left[2 n+(2 p-1) n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left[n+p n^{\prime}\right]^{4 c}\right)\right) } \\
& \times\left[2 n+(2 l+1) n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left[n+(l+1) n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{c}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the last equality, we use

$$
\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left[n+l n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}=\left[n+l n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\left[2 n+(2 l+1) n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left[n+(l+1) n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{2}
$$

which is a specialization of (3.2).
Now we consider the limit of Lemma 4 :
Lemma 5. If $\left\{n^{\prime}, n\right\}=c^{-1}$, then we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left(\overrightarrow{\prod_{p \geq 0}}\left[n+p n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\right)=[n]^{2 c}\left(\prod_{p \geq 1}^{\longrightarrow}\left[2 n+(2 p-1) n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left[n+p n^{\prime}\right]^{4 c}\right)\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{c}}  \tag{4.1}\\
& \left(\prod_{p \geq 0}\left[n^{\prime}+p n\right]^{2 c}\right)[n]^{c}=[n]^{c}\left(\overleftarrow{\left.\prod_{p \geq 1}\left[n^{\prime}+p n\right]^{4 c}\left[2 n^{\prime}+(2 p-1) n\right]^{c}\right)\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}}\right. \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Let $L \in \mathcal{L}$. Then, there exist some positive integer $l$ such that $n+$ $l n^{\prime} \in L$. Then, by Lemma 4, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{c} \prod_{p \geq 0}\left[n+p n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c} } \\
\equiv & {\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left(\prod_{0 \leq p \leq l}\left[n+p n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\right) \bmod L } \\
= & {[n]^{2 c}\left(\prod_{1 \leq p \leq l}^{\longrightarrow}\left(\left[2 n+(2 p-1) n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left[n+p n^{\prime}\right]^{4 c}\right)\right) } \\
& \times\left[2 n+(2 l+1) n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left[n+(l+1) n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{c} \\
\equiv & {[n]^{2 c}\left(\overrightarrow{\prod_{p \geq 1}}\left[2 n+(2 p-1) n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left[n+p n^{\prime}\right]^{4 c}\right)\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{c} \bmod L }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the equality (4.1) holds.

Since $\left\{n, n^{\prime}\right\}=(-c)^{-1}$, by (4.1), we obtain

$$
[n]^{-c}\left(\overrightarrow{\prod_{p \geq 0}}\left[n^{\prime}+p n\right]^{-2 c}\right)=\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{-2 c}\left(\prod_{p \geq 1}\left[2 n^{\prime}+(2 p-1) n\right]^{-c}\left[n^{\prime}+p n\right]^{-4 c}\right)[n]^{-c} .
$$

By taking the inverse of both sides of this equality, we have the equality (4.2).

Proof of Theorem [3. Using the pentagon relations, Theorem 2 and Lemma 5. we can calculate as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{c}[n]^{4 c}} \\
& =\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{c}[n]^{2 c}[n]^{2 c} \\
& =[n]^{2 c}\left[2 n+n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left[n+n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{c}[n]^{2 c} \\
& =[n]^{2 c}\left[2 n+n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left[n+n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}[n]^{2 c}\left[2 n+n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left[n+n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}[n]^{c} \\
& \stackrel{(3.1)}{=}[n]^{2 c}\left[2 n+n^{\prime}\right]^{c} \\
& \times \prod_{p \geq 0}\left[n+p\left(2 n+n^{\prime}\right)\right]^{2 c} \\
& \times \prod_{p \geq 0}\left[2^{p}\left(2 n+n^{\prime}\right)\right]^{4 c / 2^{p}} \\
& \times \prod_{p \geq 0}^{\overleftarrow{ }}\left[n+n^{\prime}+p\left(2 n+n^{\prime}\right)\right]^{2 c} \\
& \times\left[2 n+n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\left[n+n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{c} \\
& \stackrel{4.11,(4.2)}{=}[n]^{2 c} \times[n]^{2 c}\left(\prod_{p \geq 1}^{\vec{~}}\left(\left[2 n+(2 p-1)\left(2 n+n^{\prime}\right)\right]^{c}\left[n+p\left(2 n+n^{\prime}\right)\right]^{4 c}\right)\right)\left[2 n+n^{\prime}\right]^{c} \\
& \times \prod_{p \geq 0}\left[2^{p}\left(2 n+n^{\prime}\right)\right]^{4 c / 2^{p}} \times\left[2 n+n^{\prime}\right]^{c} \\
& \times\left(\overleftarrow{\prod_{p \geq 1}}\left(\left[\left(n+n^{\prime}\right)+p\left(2 n+n^{\prime}\right)\right]^{4 c}\left[2\left(n+n^{\prime}\right)+(2 p-1)\left(2 n+n^{\prime}\right)\right]^{c}\right)\right) \\
& \times\left[n+n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\left[n+n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c}\left[n^{\prime}\right]^{c} \\
& =\prod_{p \geq 0}\left(\left[n+p\left(2 n+n^{\prime}\right)\right]^{4 c}\left[2 n+(2 p+1)\left(2 n+n^{\prime}\right)\right]^{c}\right) \\
& \times\left[2 n+n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c} \prod_{p \geq 0}\left[2^{p}\left(2 n+n^{\prime}\right)\right]^{4 c / 2^{p}} \\
& \times \prod_{p \geq 0}^{\overleftarrow{ }}\left[\left(n+n^{\prime}\right)+p\left(2 n+n^{\prime}\right)\right]^{4 c}\left[2\left(n+n^{\prime}\right)+(2 p-1)\left(2 n+n^{\prime}\right)\right]^{c}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \overrightarrow{\prod_{p \geq 0}}\left(\left[(2 p+1) n+p n^{\prime}\right]^{4 c}\left[(4 p+4) n+(2 p+1) n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\right) \\
& \times\left[2 n+n^{\prime}\right]^{2 c} \prod_{p \geq 0}\left[2^{p}\left(2 n+n^{\prime}\right)\right]^{4 c / 2^{p}} \\
& \times \overleftarrow{\prod_{p \geq 0}}\left(\left[(2 p+1) n+(p+1) n^{\prime}\right]^{4 c}\left[4 p n+(2 p+1) n^{\prime}\right]^{c}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
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