

ACTIONS OF AUTOMORPHISMS OF PURE BRAID GROUPS ON HOMOTOPY GROUPS OF TWO-SPHERE

ILYA ALEKSEEV, VASILY IONIN, AND MIKHAIL MIKHAILOV

ABSTRACT. We examine the Moore complex of the Delta-group structure related to the pure braid groups and introduced by Berrick, Cohen, Wong, and Wu. We prove that the cycle and the boundary groups are invariant under all automorphisms of the pure braid groups, by that we extend the results of Li and Wu on the reflection automorphism. We conclude that there is an induced action of all automorphisms of the pure braid groups on the homotopy groups. Besides, we show that this action is non-trivial for the small number of strands.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the present paper, we shed more light on relations between the braid groups and the homotopy groups of the two-sphere \mathbb{S} . We focus on the one involving Brunnian braids.

A braid is said to be *Brunnian* if it becomes a trivial braid after any one of its strands is removed. Denote by \mathcal{P}_n and Brun_n the pure braid group and the group of all Brunnian braids, respectively, with n strands. In [BC⁺06], Berrick, Cohen, Wong, and Wu introduced the sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow \text{Brun}_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} \text{Brun}_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n} \text{Brun}_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\partial_3} \text{Brun}_2 \xrightarrow{\partial_2} \text{Brun}_1 \quad (1.1)$$

such that $\partial_n \circ \partial_{n+1}$ is trivial for any $n \geq 2$, and they proved that for any $n \geq 2$, one has $Z_n/\text{Bd}_n \cong \pi_n(\mathbb{S})$, where $Z_n := \text{Ker}(\partial_n)$ and $\text{Bd}_n := \text{Im}(\partial_{n+1})$. We aim to investigate the above sequence from the perspective of automorphisms of \mathcal{P}_n . Our research originated in the study of geometric transformations of Brunnian braids and links.

In [LW09], Li and Wu constructed an automorphism θ_n of \mathcal{P}_n , which maps Brunnian braids to non-Brunnian ones but preserves Z_n . At the same time, their results imply that the reflection automorphism χ_n of \mathcal{P}_n preserves both Z_n and Bd_n and induces the identity automorphism of Z_n/Bd_n . Inspired by these facts, we establish the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *For any $n \geq 3$, both Z_n and Bd_n are characteristic subgroups of \mathcal{P}_n , hence all automorphisms of \mathcal{P}_n induce automorphisms of $\pi_n(\mathbb{S})$.*

For each $n \geq 3$, we denote by $r_n: \text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_n) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\pi_n(\mathbb{S}))$ the resulting homomorphism of the automorphism groups. Recall that $\pi_3(\mathbb{S}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. We establish the following result.

Proposition 1.2. *The homomorphism r_3 is non-trivial.*

In the proof, we compute the action of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_3)$ on Z_3/Bd_3 explicitly.

The sequence (1.1) is the so-called Moore complex of a certain Delta-group (see Definition 6.1), and its ‘homology groups’ are isomorphic to the homotopy groups of \mathbb{S} . To prove this, the authors of [BC⁺06] and [LW09] refer to some structures on the pure braid groups. However, we found issues in these papers (see Remark 2.2). In particular, we disprove that these structures are almost-simplicial (in the sense of [LW09]) and even Delta-groups.

Acknowledgments. Ilya Alekseev is supported by Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation, agreement № 075–15–2019–1619. This research project was started during the Summer Research Program for Undergraduates 2021 organized by the Laboratory of Combinatorial and Geometric Structures at MIPT. This program was funded by the Russian Federation Government (Grant number 075-15-2019-1926).

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall the construction of the sequence (1.1) and the generators of the group $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_n)$. We refer to [GJP15] for standard notions of braid theory.

From now on, we fix $n \geq 3$. Denote by \mathcal{B}_n the braid group with n strands, by Σ_n the symmetric group of degree n , and by $\pi_\beta \in \Sigma_n$ the permutation that a braid $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_n$ induces on its strands. The assignment $\beta \mapsto \pi_\beta$ determines a homomorphism from \mathcal{B}_n to Σ_n , whose kernel is the pure braid group \mathcal{P}_n . Recall that

$$\mathcal{B}_n \cong \langle \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1} \mid \sigma_k \sigma_{k+1} \sigma_k = \sigma_{k+1} \sigma_k \sigma_{k+1}, 1 \leq k < n-1; \sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i, |i-j| \geq 2 \rangle$$

and the set $\{A_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$ generates \mathcal{P}_n , where

$$A_{i,j} := \sigma_{j-1} \sigma_{j-2} \dots \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i^2 \sigma_{i+1}^{-1} \dots \sigma_{j-2}^{-1} \sigma_{j-1}^{-1}.$$

We picture these braids as in Figure 1, where the gray rectangles stand for trivial braids.

Recall that the projection $\mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_n/[\mathcal{P}_n, \mathcal{P}_n]$ is called the *abelianization homomorphism*. By [GJP15, Theorem 11], the quotient group $\mathcal{P}_n/[\mathcal{P}_n, \mathcal{P}_n]$ is free abelian of rank $n(n-1)/2$, generated by the images of $A_{i,j}$. Roughly speaking, the abelianization homomorphism assigns to a pure braid, given by a word in the alphabet $\{A_{i,j}^{\pm 1} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$, the tuple of exponent sums of the letters $A_{i,j}$.




FIGURE 1. The pictures of geometric braids σ_i , $A_{i,j}$, and $A_{0,j}$.

2.1. Brunnian braid groups. Given $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, denote by $d_k: \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$ the removing of the k th strand homomorphism. Note that the following equality holds:

$$\text{Brun}_n = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Ker}(d_i).$$

It is easy to see that

$$\text{Ker}(d_k) = \langle A_{1,k}, A_{2,k}, \dots, A_{k-1,k}, A_{k,k+1}, \dots, A_{k,n} \rangle. \quad (2.1)$$

In particular, for each braid $\beta \in \text{Brun}_n$ and for each letter $A_{i,j}$, the braid β can be presented as a word in the alphabet $\{A_{s,t}^{\pm 1} \mid 1 \leq s < t \leq n\} \setminus \{A_{i,j}^{\pm 1}\}$, thus the exponent sum of $A_{i,j}$ in this presentation is zero. Therefore,

$$\text{Brun}_n \subseteq [\mathcal{P}_n, \mathcal{P}_n]. \quad (2.2)$$

Given $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, let

$$A_{0,j} := A_{j,n}^{-1} A_{j,n-1}^{-1} \dots A_{j,j+1}^{-1} A_{j-1,j}^{-1} A_{j-2,j}^{-1} \dots A_{1,j}^{-1}.$$

See Figure 1 for the geometric interpretation of these braids. Note that $A_{0,j}$ was denoted by $A_{-1,j-1}$ in [BC⁺06]. Given indices $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ such that $i < j$, let $A_{j,i} := A_{i,j}$ and let $A_{i,i} = \mathbf{1}$ be the trivial braid. We have:

$$A_{0,j} = (A_{1,j}A_{2,j} \dots A_{n,j})^{-1}.$$

Let $\theta_n: \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_n$ be the automorphism given by

$$\theta_n(A_{i,j}) := \begin{cases} A_{i,j}, & 2 \leq i < j \leq n; \\ A_{1,j}^{-1}A_{0,j}A_{1,j}, & 1 = i < j \leq n. \end{cases}$$

The geometric interpretation of θ_n is given in [LW09, p. 522].

Define $\partial_n: \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$ by $\partial_n := d_1 \circ \theta_n$. In (1.1), we denote the restriction of ∂_n to Brun_n by the same symbol. Let $Z_n := \text{Brun}_n \cap \text{Ker}(\partial_n)$ and let $\text{Bd}_n := \partial_{n+1}(\text{Brun}_{n+1})$.

The following result provides the relation between the sequence (1.1) and the homotopy groups of the two-sphere.

Lemma 2.1. *The group Bd_n is a normal subgroup of Z_n , and $Z_n/\text{Bd}_n \cong \pi_n(\mathbb{S})$.*

Remark 2.2. Lemma 2.1 was deduced in [BC⁺06] and [LW09]. However, we found several issues in these papers. After the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 1.2, we discuss these issues and their impact on other results.

2.2. Automorphisms of pure braid groups. Recall that the center of \mathcal{P}_n is infinite cyclic (see [GJP15, Theorem 15]), generated by the full twist braid

$$z_n := A_{1,2}(A_{1,3}A_{2,3}) \dots (A_{1,n}A_{2,n} \dots A_{n-1,n}).$$

An automorphism $f: \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_n$ is said to be *central* if f induces the trivial automorphism of the quotient group $\mathcal{P}_n/\langle z_n \rangle$. In this case, for any element $x \in \mathcal{P}_n$ there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $f(x) = xz_n^m$. Denote by $\text{Aut}_c(\mathcal{P}_n)$ the subgroup of all central automorphisms of \mathcal{P}_n .

Following [BNS18], we denote by $w_n: \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_n$ the automorphism given by

$$w_n(A_{i,j}) := \begin{cases} A_{i,j}, & 1 \leq i < j \leq n; \\ (A_{1,n}A_{1,2}A_{1,3} \dots A_{1,n-1})^{-1}, & 1 = i < j = n; \\ (A_{2,n}A_{1,2}A_{2,3} \dots A_{2,n-1})^{-1}, & 2 = i < j = n; \\ (A_{i,n}A_{1,i} \dots A_{i-1,i}A_{i,i+1} \dots A_{i,n-1})^{-1}, & 3 \leq i < j = n. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that

$$w_n(A_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} A_{i,j}, & 1 \leq i < j \leq n-1; \\ A_{i,n}A_{0,i}A_{i,n}^{-1}, & 1 \leq i < j = n. \end{cases}$$

The geometric interpretation of w_n in terms of the mapping class group of the punctured sphere is given in [BNS18, p. 5].

Let χ_n be the reflection automorphism of \mathcal{P}_n . We denote by $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{B}_n)$ the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_n)$ generated by χ_n and the conjugations by all elements of \mathcal{B}_n .

In [BNS18], Bardakov, Neshchadim, and Singh showed that any automorphism of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_n)$ can be presented as a product of elements of the union

$$\text{Aut}(\mathcal{B}_n) \cup \text{Aut}_c(\mathcal{P}_n) \cup \{w_n^{\pm 1}\}. \quad (2.3)$$

To show that both Z_n and Bd_n are characteristic subgroups of \mathcal{P}_n (Theorem 1.1), we prove their invariance under the above automorphisms.

3. EXPRESSIONS FOR Brun_n AND Bd_n

Given elements $x, y \in \mathcal{P}_n$, let $[x, y] := x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$. Given subgroups $A, B \subseteq \mathcal{P}_n$, let $AB := \langle xy \mid x \in A, y \in B \rangle$ and $[A, B] := \langle [x, y] \mid x \in A, y \in B \rangle$. Given normal subgroups R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m of \mathcal{P}_n , let

$$[R_1, R_2, \dots, R_m]_S := \prod_{\tau \in \Sigma_m} [[[R_{\tau(1)}, R_{\tau(2)}], R_{\tau(3)}] \dots, R_{\tau(m)}].$$

Given $\beta \in \mathcal{P}_n$, denote by $\langle\langle \beta \rangle\rangle$ the normal closure of $\{\beta\}$ in \mathcal{P}_n .

In [BM⁺12, Theorem 1.1], Bardakov, Mikhailov, Vershinin, and Wu established the following equality for all $n \geq 3$:

$$\text{Brun}_n = [\langle\langle A_{1,n} \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle A_{2,n} \rangle\rangle, \dots, \langle\langle A_{n-1,n} \rangle\rangle]_S. \quad (3.1)$$

Below, we deduce a similar expression for Bd_n . For this, we compute the action of inner automorphisms of \mathcal{B}_n on the set

$$\{\langle\langle A_{i,j} \rangle\rangle \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}.$$

Given $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_n$, let $\Psi_\beta: \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_n$ be the conjugation by β , that is, $\Psi_\beta(x) = \beta^{-1}x\beta$ for all $x \in \mathcal{P}_n$. It is easy to see that

$$\Psi_\beta(\text{Ker}(d_k)) = \text{Ker}(d_{\pi_\beta(k)}). \quad (3.2)$$

Lemma 3.1. *For any $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $i < j$, we have*

$$\langle\langle A_{i,j} \rangle\rangle = \text{Ker}(d_i) \cap \text{Ker}(d_j). \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. By [BM⁺12, Lemma 3.8], the statement holds for $j = n$. For the general case, we set $\beta = \sigma_{n-1}\sigma_{n-2}\dots\sigma_j$. Note that $\Psi_\beta(A_{i,n}) = A_{i,j}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker}(d_i) \cap \text{Ker}(d_j) &= \Psi_\beta(\text{Ker}(d_i)) \cap \Psi_\beta(\text{Ker}(d_n)) = \Psi_\beta(\text{Ker}(d_i) \cap \text{Ker}(d_n)) \\ &= \Psi_\beta(\langle\langle A_{i,n} \rangle\rangle) = \langle\langle \Psi_\beta(A_{i,n}) \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle A_{i,j} \rangle\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved. \square

Corollary 3.2. *For any $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_n$ and for any $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $i < j$, the following equality holds:*

$$\Psi_\beta(\langle\langle A_{i,j} \rangle\rangle) = \langle\langle A_{\pi_\beta(i), \pi_\beta(j)} \rangle\rangle. \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_\beta(\langle\langle A_{i,j} \rangle\rangle) &\stackrel{(3.3)}{=} \Psi_\beta(\text{Ker}(d_i) \cap \text{Ker}(d_j)) = \Psi_\beta(\text{Ker}(d_i)) \cap \Psi_\beta(\text{Ker}(d_j)) \\ &\stackrel{(3.2)}{=} \text{Ker}(d_{\pi_\beta(i)}) \cap \text{Ker}(d_{\pi_\beta(j)}) \stackrel{(3.3)}{=} \langle\langle A_{\pi_\beta(i), \pi_\beta(j)} \rangle\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The result follows. \square

Next, we provide an expression for Bd_n .

Proposition 3.3. *The following equality holds:*

$$\text{Bd}_n = [\langle\langle A_{0,1} \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle A_{0,2} \rangle\rangle, \dots, \langle\langle A_{0,n} \rangle\rangle]_S. \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. Given $m \geq 3$, let $\beta = (\sigma_{m-1}\sigma_{m-2}\dots\sigma_2)\sigma_1(\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_3^{-1}\dots\sigma_{m-1}^{-1}) \in \mathcal{B}_m$. It follows from (3.2) that Ψ_β preserves Brun_m . Note that the permutation π_β is a transposition that

swaps 1 and m . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Brun}_m &= \Psi_\beta(\text{Brun}_m) \stackrel{(3.1)}{=} \Psi_\beta([\langle\langle A_{1,m} \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle A_{2,m} \rangle\rangle, \dots, \langle\langle A_{m-1,m} \rangle\rangle]_S) \\
&= [\langle\langle \Psi_\beta(A_{1,m}) \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle \Psi_\beta(A_{2,m}) \rangle\rangle, \dots, \langle\langle \Psi_\beta(A_{m-1,m}) \rangle\rangle]_S \\
&\stackrel{(3.4)}{=} [\langle\langle A_{1,m} \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle A_{2,1} \rangle\rangle, \dots, \langle\langle A_{m-1,1} \rangle\rangle]_S \\
&= [\langle\langle A_{2,1} \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle A_{3,1} \rangle\rangle, \dots, \langle\langle A_{m,1} \rangle\rangle]_S.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Bd}_n &= \partial_{n+1}(\text{Brun}_{n+1}) = \partial_{n+1}([\langle\langle A_{2,1} \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle A_{3,1} \rangle\rangle, \dots, \langle\langle A_{n+1,1} \rangle\rangle]_S) \\
&= [\langle\langle \partial_{n+1}(A_{2,1}) \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle \partial_{n+1}(A_{3,1}) \rangle\rangle, \dots, \langle\langle \partial_{n+1}(A_{n+1,1}) \rangle\rangle]_S \\
&= [\langle\langle A_{0,1} \rangle\rangle, \langle\langle A_{0,2} \rangle\rangle, \dots, \langle\langle A_{0,n} \rangle\rangle]_S.
\end{aligned}$$

The proposition is proved. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

We examine the three classes of automorphisms described in (2.3) separately.

Firstly we prove that both Z_n and Bd_n are invariant under $\text{Aut}_c(\mathcal{P}_n)$. For this, we prove that $\text{Aut}_c(\mathcal{P}_n)$ acts trivially on these subgroups.

Lemma 4.1. *The group $\text{Aut}_c(\mathcal{P}_n)$ acts trivially on $[\mathcal{P}_n, \mathcal{P}_n]$.*

Proof. Let f be a central automorphism of \mathcal{P}_n . Then for any $x, y \in \mathcal{P}_n$ there exist $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $f(x) = xz_n^s$ and $f(y) = yz_n^t$. We have

$$f([x, y]) = [f(x), f(y)] = [xz_n^s, yz_n^t] = [x, y].$$

Therefore, central automorphisms act trivially on the generators of $[\mathcal{P}_n, \mathcal{P}_n]$. The lemma is proved. \square

Corollary 4.2. *The group $\text{Aut}_c(\mathcal{P}_n)$ preserves both Z_n and Bd_n .*

Proof. By (2.2), we have $\text{Brun}_n \subseteq [\mathcal{P}_n, \mathcal{P}_n]$. Therefore, both Z_n and Bd_n are invariant under any central automorphism of \mathcal{P}_n . The result follows. \square

Secondly, we prove that Bd_n is invariant under w_n . For this, we compute the action of w_n on $\{A_{0,j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq n\}$.

Lemma 4.3. *We have*

$$w_n(A_{0,j}) = \begin{cases} A_{j,n}, & 1 \leq j \leq n-1; \\ A_{0,n}z_n^2, & j = n. \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. For any $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
w_n(A_{0,j}) &= w_n((A_{1,j}A_{2,j} \dots A_{n-1,j} \cdot A_{n,j})^{-1}) \\
&= (w_n(A_{1,j})w_n(A_{2,j}) \dots w_n(A_{n-1,j}) \cdot w_n(A_{n,j}))^{-1} \\
&= (A_{1,j}A_{2,j} \dots A_{n-1,j} \cdot A_{j,n}A_{0,j}A_{j,n}^{-1})^{-1} = A_{j,n}.
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to compute $w_n(A_{0,n})$. For this, we calculate $w_n(z_n)$.

Since any automorphism preserves the center of the group \mathcal{P}_n , there exists $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $w_n(z_n) = z_n^s$. We claim that $s = -1$.

To prove the claim, note that the image of the braid z_n^s under the abelianization homomorphism of \mathcal{P}_n is

$$A_{1,2}^s (A_{1,3}^s A_{2,3}^s) \dots (A_{1,n-1}^s \dots A_{n-2,n-1}^s) (A_{1,n}^s \dots A_{n-1,n}^s).$$

Next, we have

$$w_n(z_n) = w_n \left(\prod_{j=2}^n \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} A_{i,j} \right) = \prod_{j=2}^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} w_n(A_{i,j}) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} w_n(A_{i,n}) = \prod_{j=2}^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} A_{i,j} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} A_{i,n} A_{0,i} A_{i,n}^{-1}.$$

Since the image of $A_{0,1} A_{0,2} \dots A_{0,n-1}$ under the abelianization homomorphism of \mathcal{P}_n is

$$A_{1,2}^{-2} (A_{1,3}^{-2} A_{2,3}^{-2}) \dots (A_{1,n-1}^{-2} \dots A_{n-2,n-1}^{-2}) (A_{1,n}^{-1} \dots A_{n-1,n}^{-1}),$$

the image of $w_n(z_n)$ is

$$\prod_{j=2}^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} A_{i,j}^{1-2} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} A_{i,n}^{-1}.$$

Therefore, the claim is proved.

Next, we claim that the following identity holds:

$$A_{0,1} A_{0,2} A_{0,3} \dots A_{0,n} = z_n^{-2}. \quad (4.2)$$

It is easy to check (via pictures) that $\beta := A_{0,1} A_{0,2} A_{0,3} \dots A_{0,n}$ commutes with all the generators σ_i of \mathcal{B}_n . Recall that the center of \mathcal{B}_n agrees with those of \mathcal{P}_n (see [GJP15, Theorem 15]). Thus, there exists $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\beta = z_n^t$. Note that the images of β and z_n^{-2} under the abelianization homomorphism are equal. Therefore, $t = -2$.

Finally, we have

$$w_n(A_{0,n}) = w_n(A_{0,n-1}^{-1} A_{0,n-2}^{-1} \dots A_{0,1}^{-1} z_n^{-2}) = A_{n-1,n}^{-1} A_{n-2,n}^{-1} \dots A_{1,n}^{-1} z_n^2 = A_{0,n} z_n^2.$$

The lemma is proved. \square

Corollary 4.4. *The automorphism w_n preserves Bd_n .*

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} w_n(\text{Bd}_n) &\stackrel{(3.5)}{=} w_n([\langle A_{0,1} \rangle, \langle A_{0,2} \rangle, \dots, \langle A_{0,n-1} \rangle, \langle A_{0,n} \rangle]_S) \\ &= [\langle w_n(A_{0,1}) \rangle, \langle w_n(A_{0,2}) \rangle, \dots, \langle w_n(A_{0,n-1}) \rangle, \langle w_n(A_{0,n}) \rangle]_S \\ &\stackrel{(4.1)}{=} [\langle A_{1,n} \rangle, \langle A_{2,n} \rangle, \dots, \langle A_{n-1,n} \rangle, \langle A_{0,n} z_n^2 \rangle]_S \\ &= [\langle A_{1,n} \rangle, \langle A_{2,n} \rangle, \dots, \langle A_{n-1,n} \rangle, \langle A_{0,n} \rangle]_S \\ &= [\langle A_{0,n} \rangle, \langle A_{1,n} \rangle, \langle A_{2,n} \rangle, \dots, \langle A_{n-1,n} \rangle]_S \\ &= \partial_{n+1}([\langle A_{1,n+1} \rangle, \langle A_{2,n+1} \rangle, \dots, \langle A_{n,n+1} \rangle]_S) \\ &\stackrel{(3.1)}{=} \partial_{n+1}(\text{Brun}_{n+1}) = \text{Bd}_n. \end{aligned}$$

The result follows. \square

Thirdly we prove that Z_n is invariant under w_n . For this, we give a generating set for the group $\text{Ker}(\partial_n)$.

Lemma 4.5. *The set $\{A_{0,j} \mid 2 \leq j \leq n\}$ generates $\text{Ker}(\partial_n)$.*

Proof. For $j \in \{2, 3, \dots, n\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_n(A_{0,j}) &= \theta_n((A_{1,j} \cdot A_{2,j} \dots A_{n,j})^{-1}) \\ &= (\theta_n(A_{1,j}) \cdot \theta_n(A_{2,j}) \dots \theta_n(A_{n,j}))^{-1} \\ &= \left(A_{1,j}^{-1} A_{0,j} A_{1,j} \cdot A_{2,j} \dots A_{n,j}\right)^{-1} = A_{1,j}.\end{aligned}$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Ker}(\partial_n) &= \text{Ker}(d_1 \circ \theta_n) = \theta_n^{-1}(\text{Ker}(d_1)) \\ &\stackrel{(2.1)}{=} \theta_n^{-1}(\langle A_{1,j} \mid 2 \leq j \leq n \rangle) = \langle \theta_n^{-1}(A_{1,j}) \mid 2 \leq j \leq n \rangle \\ &= \langle A_{0,j} \mid 2 \leq j \leq n \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved. \square

Corollary 4.6. *The automorphism w_n preserves Z_n .*

Proof. Firstly we prove that

$$w_n(Z_n) \subseteq \bigcap_{k=1}^{n-1} \text{Ker}(d_k).$$

Let $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$. For any $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$, we have $w_n(A_{k,j}) = A_{k,j} \in \text{Ker}(d_k)$. Besides, $w_n(A_{k,n}) = A_{k,n} A_{0,k} A_{k,n}^{-1} \in \text{Ker}(d_k)$. It follows that $w_n(\text{Ker}(d_k)) \subseteq \text{Ker}(d_k)$. Therefore,

$$w_n(Z_n) \subseteq w_n\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{n-1} \text{Ker}(d_k)\right) \subseteq \bigcap_{k=1}^{n-1} \text{Ker}(d_k).$$

Secondly, we prove that $w_n(Z_n) \subseteq \text{Ker}(d_n)$. Let $\beta \in Z_n$. By Lemma 4.5, there exists a word u_1 in the alphabet $\{A_{0,2}, A_{0,3}, \dots, A_{0,n-1}, A_{0,n}\}$ that represents the braid β . We claim that the exponent sum of the letter $A_{0,n}$ in u_1 is zero.

Indeed, the braid $\theta_n(\beta)$ can be presented as a word u_2 in the alphabet $\{A_{1,2}^{\pm 1}, A_{1,3}^{\pm 1}, \dots, A_{1,n-1}^{\pm 1}, A_{1,n}^{\pm 1}\}$. Recall that $Z_n \subseteq [\mathcal{P}_n, \mathcal{P}_n]$. Hence $\theta_n(\beta) \in [\mathcal{P}_n, \mathcal{P}_n]$. It follows that the exponent sum of the letter $A_{1,n}$ in the word u_2 is equal to zero. Thus the exponent sum of $A_{0,n}$ in u_1 is zero. The claim is proved.

By (4.1), the braid $w_n(\beta)$ can be presented as a word u_3 in the alphabet $\{A_{2,n}^{\pm 1}, A_{3,n}^{\pm 1}, \dots, A_{n-1,n}^{\pm 1}, L^{\pm 1}\}$, where $L := A_{0,n} z_n^2$. By the above claim, the exponent sum of L in u_3 is zero. Since the braid z_n is central, $w_n(\beta)$ can be presented as a word u_4 in the alphabet $\{A_{2,n}^{\pm 1}, A_{3,n}^{\pm 1}, \dots, A_{n-1,n}^{\pm 1}, A_{0,n}^{\pm 1}\}$. Note that for any $k \in \{2, 3, \dots, n-1, 0\}$, we have $A_{k,n} \in \text{Ker}(d_n)$. It follows that $w_n(\beta) \in \text{Ker}(d_n)$.

Thirdly we prove that $w_n(Z_n) \subseteq \text{Ker}(\partial_n)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}w_n(\text{Brun}_n) &\stackrel{(3.1)}{=} w_n([\langle A_{1,n} \rangle, \langle A_{2,n} \rangle, \dots, \langle A_{n-1,n} \rangle]_S) \\ &= [\langle w_n(A_{1,n}) \rangle, \langle w_n(A_{2,n}) \rangle, \dots, \langle w_n(A_{n-1,n}) \rangle]_S \\ &= [\langle A_{0,1} \rangle, \langle A_{0,2} \rangle, \dots, \langle A_{0,n-1} \rangle]_S.\end{aligned}$$

By [BC⁺06, Part (1) of Lemma 6.5.2], for any $j \in \{2, 3, \dots, n\}$, one has $\partial_n(A_{0,j}) = \mathbf{1}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_n(w_n(\text{Brun}_n)) &= \partial_n([\langle A_{0,1} \rangle, \langle A_{0,2} \rangle, \dots, \langle A_{0,n-1} \rangle]_S) \\ &= [\langle \partial_n(A_{0,1}) \rangle, \langle \partial_n(A_{0,2}) \rangle, \dots, \langle \partial_n(A_{0,n-1}) \rangle]_S \\ &= [\langle \partial_n(A_{0,1}) \rangle, \langle \mathbf{1} \rangle, \dots, \langle \mathbf{1} \rangle]_S = \{\mathbf{1}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $w_n(Z_n) \subseteq w_n(\text{Brun}_n) \subseteq \text{Ker}(\partial_n)$. The result follows. \square

Fourthly we prove that both Z_n and Bd_n are invariant under $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{B}_n)$.

Proposition 4.7. *The group $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{B}_n)$ preserves both Z_n and Bd_n .*

Proof. By [LW09, Lemma 4.3], the groups Z_n and Bd_n are invariant under the reflection automorphism χ_n . By [LW09, Lemma 3.10], Bd_n is a normal subgroup of \mathcal{B}_n . It remains to show that Z_n is a normal subgroup of \mathcal{B}_n .

Let $\beta \in Z_n$ and let $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}_n$. Below, we show that $\Psi_\alpha(\beta) \in Z_n$.

By (3.2), the automorphism Ψ_α permutes the set $\{\text{Ker}(d_1), \text{Ker}(d_1), \dots, \text{Ker}(d_n)\}$. Hence $\Psi_\alpha(\beta) \in \text{Brun}_n$.

We aim to prove that $\Psi_\alpha(\beta) \in \text{Ker}(\partial_n)$. Without loss of generality, $\alpha = \sigma_k^{-1}$, for some $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$.

By Lemma 4.5, there exists a word u_1 in the alphabet $\{A_{0,2}, A_{0,3}, \dots, A_{0,n-1}, A_{0,n}\}$ that represents the braid β . By [LW09, Lemma 3.1], we have

$$\Psi_{\sigma_k^{-1}}(A_{0,j}) = \begin{cases} A_{0,j}, & k \in \{1, 2, \dots, j-2, j+1, \dots, n\}; \\ A_{0,j}^{-1} A_{0,j-1} A_{0,j}, & k = j-1; \\ A_{0,j+1}, & k = j. \end{cases}$$

By [BC⁺06, Part (1) of Lemma 6.5.2], for any $j \in \{2, 3, \dots, n\}$, one has $\partial_n(A_{0,j}) = \mathbf{1}$. In particular, for any $k \in \{2, 3, \dots, n-1\}$, we have

$$\partial_n(\Psi_{\sigma_k^{-1}}(A_{0,2})) = \mathbf{1},$$

and for any $j \in \{3, 4, \dots, n\}$, we have

$$\partial_n(\Psi_{\sigma_k^{-1}}(A_{0,j})) = \mathbf{1}.$$

Therefore, it is enough to prove the statement for $k = 1$.

Let m be the exponent sum of the letter $A_{0,2}$ in u_1 . First, we have

$$\partial_n(A_{0,1}) = z_{n-1}^2. \tag{4.3}$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_n(A_{0,1}) &= (d_1 \circ \theta_n) \left(A_{1,n}^{-1} A_{1,n-1}^{-1} \dots A_{1,2}^{-1} \right) \\ &= d_1 \left(\left(A_{1,n}^{-1} A_{0,n} A_{1,n} \right)^{-1} \left(A_{1,n-1}^{-1} A_{0,n-1} A_{1,n-1} \right)^{-1} \dots \left(A_{1,2}^{-1} A_{0,2} A_{1,2} \right)^{-1} \right) \\ &= A_{0,n-1}^{-1} A_{0,n-2}^{-1} \dots A_{0,1}^{-1} \stackrel{(4.2)}{=} z_{n-1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Second, we have

$$\partial_n(\Psi_{\sigma_1^{-1}}(\beta)) = \partial_n(\Psi_{\sigma_1^{-1}}(A_{0,2}))^m = \partial_n(A_{0,1})^m = z_{n-1}^{2m}.$$

Next, we claim that $m = 0$.

To prove the claim, note that the braid $\theta_n(\beta)$ can be presented as a word u_2 in the alphabet $\{A_{1,2}^{\pm 1}, A_{1,3}^{\pm 1}, \dots, A_{1,n-1}^{\pm 1}, A_{1,n}^{\pm 1}\}$. Recall that $Z_n \subseteq [\mathcal{P}_n, \mathcal{P}_n]$. In particular, we have $\theta_n(\beta) \in [\mathcal{P}_n, \mathcal{P}_n]$. It follows that the exponent sum of the letter $A_{1,2}$ in the word u_2 is equal to zero. Thus the exponent sum of $A_{0,2}$ in u_1 is zero. The claim is proved. The result follows. \square

5. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1.2

Firstly we show that $\text{Brun}_3 = Z_3 = [\mathcal{P}_3, \mathcal{P}_3]$. It is clear that $[\mathcal{P}_3, \mathcal{P}_3] \subseteq \text{Brun}_3$, and by (2.2), we have $[\mathcal{P}_3, \mathcal{P}_3] = \text{Brun}_3$. Next, $[\mathcal{P}_3, \mathcal{P}_3] \subseteq Z_3$ because $\theta_3([\mathcal{P}_3, \mathcal{P}_3]) = [\mathcal{P}_3, \mathcal{P}_3]$ and $d_1([\mathcal{P}_3, \mathcal{P}_3]) = \{1\}$. Therefore, $Z_3 = [\mathcal{P}_3, \mathcal{P}_3]$.

Secondly, we show that the group Z_3 is free and compute its basis.

Proposition 5.1. *The set $\{[A_{1,2}^n, A_{2,3}^m] \mid n, m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}\}$ is a basis of the free group Z_3 .*

Proof. By [GJP15, Section 3.5], the subgroup $F_2 := \langle A_{1,2}, A_{2,3} \rangle$ is free. By [MKS76, p. 196, ex. 24], the set $\{[A_{1,2}^n, A_{2,3}^m] \mid n, m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}\}$ is a basis of $[F_2, F_2]$. To prove the proposition, it is enough to show that $[\mathcal{P}_3, \mathcal{P}_3] = [F_2, F_2]$.

The inclusion $[\mathcal{P}_3, \mathcal{P}_3] \supseteq [F_2, F_2]$ is obvious. Next, $[\mathcal{P}_3, \mathcal{P}_3] = \text{Brun}_3 \subseteq \text{Ker}(d_2) = F_2$. Recall that the quotient group $F_2/[F_2, F_2]$ is free abelian of rank 2 and the canonical projection $F_2 \rightarrow F_2/[F_2, F_2]$ assigns to an element of F_2 , given by a word in the alphabet $\{A_{1,2}^{\pm 1}, A_{2,3}^{\pm 1}\}$, the pair of exponent sums of the letters $A_{1,2}$ and $A_{2,3}$. By definition, for any element of $[\mathcal{P}_3, \mathcal{P}_3]$, the exponent sums of the letters $A_{1,2}$ and $A_{2,3}$ are zero. Therefore, $[\mathcal{P}_3, \mathcal{P}_3] \subseteq [F_2, F_2]$. The result follows. \square

Thirdly we find a generator of the infinite cyclic group Z_3/Bd_3 .

We set $a := A_{1,2}$, $b := A_{2,3}$, and $c := A_{1,3}$. We need the following identities:

$$c^{-1}ac = bab^{-1}, \quad (5.1)$$

$$cac^{-1} = a^{-1}b^{-1}aba, \quad (5.2)$$

$$c^{-1}bc = baba^{-1}b^{-1}, \quad (5.3)$$

$$cbc^{-1} = a^{-1}ba. \quad (5.4)$$

Denote by $\pi: Z_3 \rightarrow Z_3/\text{Bd}_3$ the canonical projection. Given $x, y \in Z_3$, we write $x \equiv y$ if $xy^{-1} \in \text{Bd}_3$.

Lemma 5.2. *The image of $[a, b]$ under π generates the group Z_3/Bd_3 .*

Proof. It is enough to show that for all non-zero $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, one has $[a^n, b^m] \equiv [a, b]^{nm}$.

Since Bd_3 is a characteristic subgroup of \mathcal{P}_3 , for any automorphism f of \mathcal{P}_3 , the equivalence $x \equiv y$ implies $f(x) \equiv f(y)$. Below, we use the following identities, which are easy to prove:

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_a([a^n, b^m]) &= [a^{n+1}, b^m][a, b^m]^{-1}, \\ \Psi_a^{-1}([a^n, b^m]) &= [a^{n-1}, b^m][a^{-1}, b^m]^{-1}, \\ \Psi_b([a^n, b^m]) &= [a^n, b]^{-1}[a^n, b^{m+1}], \\ \Psi_b^{-1}([a^n, b^m]) &= [a^n, b^{-1}]^{-1}[a^n, b^{m-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

First, we claim that for any non-zero $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $[a^n, b^2] \equiv [a^n, b]^2$. The proof is by induction on $|n|$.

The base case is $|n| = 1$. By [LW09, Proposition 3.15], one has $[[A_{1,2}, A_{2,3}], A_{0,1}] \in \text{Bd}_3$. Recall that $A_{0,1} = c^{-1}a^{-1}$. By (5.2) and (5.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [[A_{1,2}, A_{2,3}], A_{0,1}] &= [[a, b], c^{-1}a^{-1}] = [a, b]^{-1}ac[a, b]c^{-1}a^{-1} \\ &= [a, b]^{-1}a[cac^{-1}, cbc^{-1}]a^{-1} \\ &= [a, b]^{-1}a[a^{-1}b^{-1}aba, a^{-1}ba]a^{-1} \\ &= [a, b]^{-1}[b^{-1}ab, b] \\ &= [a, b]^{-1}[b, a][a, b^2] = [b, a]^2[a, b^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $[a, b^2] \equiv [a, b]^2$. It follows that

$$[a^{-1}, b^2]^{-1} = \Psi_a^{-1}([a, b^2]) \equiv \Psi_a^{-1}([a, b]^2) = [a^{-1}, b]^{-2}.$$

Therefore, the statement holds for $|n| = 1$.

Next, we prove the inductive step. Since Z_3/Bd_3 is abelian, one has $(xy)^m \equiv x^m y^m$ for any $x, y \in Z_3$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [a^{n+1}, b^2][b, a]^2 &\equiv [a^{n+1}, b^2][b^2, a] = \Psi_a([a^n, b^2]) \equiv \Psi_a([a^n, b])^2 = ([a^{n+1}, b][b, a])^2 \\ &\equiv [a^{n+1}, b]^2[b, a]^2. \end{aligned}$$

The above shows that the statement holds for all $n \geq 1$. Next, for $n \leq -1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [a^{n-1}, b^2][b, a^{-1}]^2 &\equiv [a^{n-1}, b^2][b^2, a^{-1}] = \Psi_a^{-1}([a^n, b^2]) \equiv \Psi_a^{-1}([a^n, b])^2 = ([a^{n-1}, b][b, a^{-1}])^2 \\ &\equiv [a^{n-1}, b]^2[b, a^{-1}]^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the statement holds for all $n \leq -1$. Therefore, the claim is proved.

Second, we claim that for all non-zero $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $[a^n, b^m] \equiv [a^n, b]^m$. The proof is by induction on m . For the base case $m = 1$, there is nothing to prove. Next, we prove the inductive step. For $m \geq 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [a^n, b]^{-1}[a^n, b^{m+1}] &= \Psi_b([a^n, b^m]) \equiv \Psi_b([a^n, b])^m = ([a^n, b]^{-1}[a^n, b^2])^m \\ &\equiv [a^n, b]^{-m}[a^n, b^2]^m \equiv [a^n, b]^{-m}[a^n, b]^{2m} \\ &= [a^n, b]^m. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the claim is proved.

Third, we claim that $[a^n, b^m] \equiv [a^n, b]^m$ holds for any non-zero $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. It remains to prove the statement for $m \leq -1$. The proof is by induction on $|m|$. For $k \geq 1$, we have

$$[a^n, b^{-1}]^{-1}[a^n, b^{k-1}] = \Psi_b^{-1}([a^n, b^k]) \equiv \Psi_b^{-1}([a^n, b])^k = [a^n, b^{-1}]^{-k}.$$

By setting $k = 2$, we see that the base case ($m = -1$) holds. The same line proves the inductive step. The claim follows.

Finally, we prove that for all non-zero $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $[a^n, b^m] \equiv [a, b]^{nm}$. We set $\beta := \sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_3$. It is easy to see that $\Psi_\beta(a) = b$ and $\Psi_\beta(b) = a$. We have

$$[a^n, b^m] = \Psi_\beta([b^n, a^m]) = \Psi_\beta([a^m, b^n])^{-1} \equiv \Psi_\beta([a^m, b])^{-n} = [b^m, a]^{-n} = [a, b^m]^n \equiv [a, b]^{nm}.$$

The result follows. \square

Fourthly we calculate the action of the generators of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_3)$ on the infinite cyclic group Z_3/Bd_3 .

Recall that the set

$$\text{Aut}_c(\mathcal{P}_3) \cup \{\Psi_{\sigma_1}, \Psi_{\sigma_2}, \chi_3\} \cup \{w_3\}$$

generates $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{P}_3)$. By Lemma 4.1, the image of $\text{Aut}_c(\mathcal{P}_3)$ under r_3 is the trivial subgroup. First, we have

$$\chi_3([a, b]) = [a^{-1}, b^{-1}] \equiv [a, b].$$

Hence the image of χ_3 under r_3 is the identity automorphism. Second, we calculate the action of Ψ_{σ_1} and Ψ_{σ_2} . It is easy to check that the following identities hold:

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_1^{-1}b\sigma_1 &= c, \\ \sigma_2^{-1}a\sigma_2 &= b^{-1}cb.\end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\Psi_{\sigma_1}([a, b]) = [\Psi_{\sigma_1}(a), \Psi_{\sigma_1}(b)] = [a, c] \stackrel{(5.1)}{=} a^{-1}c^{-1}ac = a^{-1}bab^{-1} = [a, b^{-1}] \equiv [a, b]^{-1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_{\sigma_2}([a, b]) &= [\Psi_{\sigma_2}(a), \Psi_{\sigma_2}(b)] = [b^{-1}cb, b] = b^{-1}[c, b]b = b^{-1}c^{-1}b^{-1}cb^2 \\ &\stackrel{(5.3)}{=} b^{-1}(baba^{-1}b^{-1})^{-1}b^2 = ab^{-1}a^{-1}b = [a^{-1}, b] \equiv [a, b]^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence the images of both Ψ_{σ_1} and Ψ_{σ_2} under r_3 are non-trivial automorphisms. Finally, we calculate the action of w_3 . We have

$$\begin{aligned}w_3([a, b]) &= [w_3(a), w_3(b)] = [a, aA_{0,2}a^{-1}] = [a, ab^{-1}a^{-2}] = a^{-1}a^2ba^{-1}aab^{-1}a^{-2} \\ &= abab^{-1}a^{-2} = [a^{-1}, b^{-1}][a^{-2}, b^{-1}]^{-1} \equiv [a, b][a, b]^{-2} = [a, b]^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence the image of w_3 under r_3 is a non-trivial automorphism.

Therefore, the image of the homomorphism r_3 is non-trivial. The proposition is proved.

6. PROOF OF LEMMA 2.1

We are in the position of proving the inclusion $\text{Bd}_n \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n$. It is enough to show that $\partial_n(\text{Bd}_n) = \{1\}$ and for any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, one has $d_i(\text{Bd}_n) = \{1\}$. These equalities follow from Proposition 3.3. Thus, the result follows.

To prove the above inclusion, the authors of [BC⁺06] referred to their Lemma 4.1.1, which is a general result on Delta-groups (see Definition 6.1 below). To apply this result, they established Lemma 6.5.2, concerning properties of the homomorphism ∂_n . However, part (3) of Lemma 6.5.2, whose proof is omitted, is false. Namely, $\partial_{n-1} \circ \partial_n \neq \partial_{n-1} \circ d_1$. Indeed,

$$(\partial_{n-1} \circ d_1)(A_{1,2}) = \partial_{n-1}(1) = 1 \neq z_{n-1}^2 \stackrel{(4.3)}{=} \partial_{n-1}(A_{0,1}) = (\partial_{n-1} \circ \partial_n)(A_{1,2}). \quad (6.1)$$

The above inaccuracy causes other issues. For instance, part (1) of Lemma 2.3 in [LW09] is false: by (4.3), we have $\partial_n(A_{0,1}) \neq 1$. As mentioned above, part (3) is false too. Next, due to these inaccuracies, part (2) of Lemma 3.2 is false:

$$(\partial_n \circ \Psi_{\sigma_1})(A_{1,2}) = \partial_n(A_{1,2}) = A_{0,1} \neq \theta_{n-1}(A_{0,1}) = (\theta_{n-1} \circ \partial_n)(A_{1,2})$$

because $d_1(A_{0,1}) = 1 \neq z_{n-2}^2 = d_1(\theta_{n-1}(A_{0,1}))$ for $n \geq 4$ and $A_{0,1} = A_{1,2}^{-1} \neq A_{1,2} = \theta_2(A_{0,1})$ for $n = 3$. Besides, the above issues might affect other results of [LW09]. However, we verified all the results of [LW09] that we refer to in the present paper (namely, Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.10, Proposition 3.15, and Lemma 4.3).

Next, we discuss the isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_n/\text{Bd}_n \cong \pi_n(\mathbb{S})$. To prove this result, which is Theorem 1.3 in [BC⁺06], the authors established an isomorphism between a certain Delta-group and the Milnor's free group construction $F[S^1]$ on the simplicial one-sphere S^1 . Therefore, they showed that the sequence (1.1) is isomorphic to the Moore complex of $F[S^1]$. However, there is an inaccuracy in their proof, which we fix below.

Definition 6.1. Let $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{G}_1, \dots)$ be a collection of groups. A *Delta-group* structure on \mathcal{G} is a sequence of group homomorphisms $d_0^{\mathcal{G}}, d_1^{\mathcal{G}}, \dots, d_n^{\mathcal{G}}: \mathcal{G}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{n-1}$ that satisfy the following property: for any $n \geq 2$ and any $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ such that $i \leq j$, one has $d_j^{\mathcal{G}} \circ d_i^{\mathcal{G}} = d_i^{\mathcal{G}} \circ d_{j+1}^{\mathcal{G}}$. In other words, the diagram shown in Figure 2 commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{G}_n & \xrightarrow{d_i^{\mathcal{G}}} & \mathcal{G}_{n-1} \\
d_{j+1}^{\mathcal{G}} \downarrow & & \downarrow d_j^{\mathcal{G}} \\
\mathcal{G}_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{d_i^{\mathcal{G}}} & \mathcal{G}_{n-2}
\end{array}$$

FIGURE 2. The diagram corresponding to the equality involved in the definition of a Delta-group.

Sometimes, Delta-groups are referred to as *semi-simplicial groups*.

For example, set $\mathbb{P}_n := \mathcal{P}_n$, $d_0^{\mathbb{P}} := \partial_n$, and $d_i^{\mathbb{P}} := d_i$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Corollary 6.5.3 in [BC⁺06] states that \mathbb{P} is a Delta-group. Unfortunately, this is false. Namely, by (6.1), we have $d_0^{\mathbb{P}} \circ d_0^{\mathbb{P}} \neq d_0^{\mathbb{P}} \circ d_1^{\mathbb{P}}$. We suggest the following question on the existence of Delta-group structure on the pure braid groups.

Question 6.2. *Do there exist homomorphisms $f_n: \mathcal{P}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{n-1}$ such that the collection given by $\mathbb{P}_n := \mathcal{P}_n$, $d_0^{\mathbb{P}} := f_n$, and $d_i^{\mathbb{P}} := d_i$ is a Delta-group?*

To settle the above issue, we proceed as follows. To prove Theorem 1.3, the authors of [BC⁺06] referred to another collection of groups $\mathcal{G}_n := \text{Ker}(d_{n+1}: \mathcal{P}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_n)$, and they set $d_i^{\mathcal{G}} := d_i^{\mathbb{P}}$. In fact, to complete the proof of Theorem 1.3, it is enough to show that this collection is a Delta-group.

Lemma 6.3. *The collection \mathcal{G} is a Delta-group.*

Proof. We are about to prove that $d_j^{\mathcal{G}} \circ d_i^{\mathcal{G}} = d_i^{\mathcal{G}} \circ d_{j+1}^{\mathcal{G}}$. For $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ such that $i \leq j$, this follows from the computations in the second line of [BC⁺06, p. 307]. For $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ and $i = 0$, the result follows from part (2) of Lemma 6.5.2. It remains to prove the identity for $(i, j) = (0, 0)$, that is, $\partial_n \circ \partial_{n+1} = \partial_n \circ d_1$.

Recall that $\mathcal{G}_n = \langle A_{k,n+1} \mid 1 \leq k \leq n \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$. For $k = 1$, we have

$$(\partial_n \circ \partial_{n+1})(A_{1,n+1}) = \partial_n(A_{0,n}) = \mathbf{1} = \partial_n(\mathbf{1}) = \partial_n(d_1(A_{1,n+1})) = (\partial_n \circ d_1)(A_{1,n+1}),$$

and for $k \in \{2, 3, \dots, n\}$, we have

$$(\partial_n \circ \partial_{n+1})(A_{k,n+1}) = \partial_n(A_{k-1,n}) = \partial_n(d_1(A_{k,n+1})) = (\partial_n \circ d_1)(A_{k,n+1}).$$

The lemma is proved. □

Therefore, the proof of Lemma 2.1 is complete.

REFERENCES

- [BC⁺06] J. A. Berrick, F. R. Cohen, Y. L. Wong, J. Wu, *Braids, configurations and homotopy groups*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (2006) 265–326.
- [BM⁺12] V. G. Bardakov, R. Mikhailov, V. V. Vershinin, J. Wu, *Brunnian braids on surfaces*, Algebraic & Geometric Topology, 12 (2012), 1607–1648.
- [BNS18] V. G. Bardakov, M. V. Neshchadim, M. Singh, *Automorphisms of pure braid groups*, Monatsh. Math. 187 (2018), no. 1, 1–19.
- [GJP15] J. Guaschi, D. Juan-Pineda, *A survey of surface braid groups and the lower algebraic K-theory of their group rings*, Handbook of Group Actions 2, 23–75. Advanced Lectures in Mathematics (ALM) 32. Somerville, MA: Int. Press, 2015. MR 3382024.
- [LW09] J. Li, J. Wu, *Artin braid groups and homotopy groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 99 (2009) 521–556.
- [MKS76] W. Magnus, A. Karrass, D. Solitar, *Combinatorial group theory*, second revised edition, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1976.

LEONHARD EULER INTERNATIONAL MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE IN SAINT PETERSBURG, 14TH LINE
29B, VASILYEVSKY ISLAND, SAINT PETERSBURG, 199178, RUSSIA

Email address: ilyaalekseev@yahoo.com

ST. PETERSBURG STATE UNIVERSITY, MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS FACULTY, 28 UNIVERSITETSKY
AVENUE, STARY PETERGOF, 198504, SAINT PETERSBURG, RUSSIA

Email address: ionin@protonmail.com

ST. PETERSBURG STATE UNIVERSITY, MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS FACULTY, 28 UNIVERSITETSKY
AVENUE, STARY PETERGOF, 198504, SAINT PETERSBURG, RUSSIA

Email address: mikhailovmikhaild@yandex.ru