Complete Synchronization of Coupled Oscillators Based on the Contraction Theory

Brian Y Zhang

Department of Physics, University of Colorado Denver Campus Box 157, PO Box 173364 Denver, CO 80217-3364, USA

Abstract

In this paper, the contraction theory is introduced and applied to the complete synchronization of complex networks of coupled oscillators. Based on the contraction theory, the conditions that make the virtual systems of the coupled-oscillator networks in three different constructions, including all-to-all topology, star topology, and ring topology, contracting are given, under which the coupled-oscillator networks are completely synchronized regardless of the initial conditions. As concrete examples, the conditions that the networks consisting of linearly coupled van der Pol and Duffing van der Pol oscillators are completely synchronized in any initial conditions are obtained. It is found that the virtual system of the network of linearly coupled Rayleigh van der Pol oscillators cannot be contracting for any coefficients, but its contraction region can be found. Using the trapping region method, the conditions for the networks of linearly coupled Rayleigh van der Pol oscillators with different coefficients that start in some specific regions to be completely synchronized are obtained.

Keywords: nonlinear coupled oscillators; synchronization; contraction theory; Rayleigh van der Pol oscillators

1 Introduction

The study of coupled oscillators and complex systems appears in many fields of research, such as mathematics, engineering, robotics, and biology [1-4]. A large number of complex systems that exist in nature and in the real world can be described by networks. The nodes in a network are used to represent the individual oscillators in a complex system, and the links in a network are used to represent the couplings between oscillators in a complex system. The development of network science has greatly promoted the in-depth study and development of complex systems. The synchronization phenomenon is one of the hot topics of interest in the study of coupled oscillators and network science. The synchronization is crucial to understand the physical mechanisms of many seemingly disparate or unrelated collective behaviors of complex systems [5-6]. Early synchronization phenomena were discovered and observed in a variety of different man-made devices. With the development of scientific research, there have been many researchers studying and discussing synchronization phenomena and their related problems. It has been found that synchronization is widely present in various naturally occurring collective phenomena in nature, such as Josephson junctions, nanomechanics, synchronous flickering of fireflies, collective chirping of crickets, recoil atomic lasers, etc [7-11]. Therefore, it is important to explore the emergence of these self-organized cooperative states and reveal their intrinsic dynamical mechanisms not only for understanding the group dynamics of complex systems, but also for conducting related experiments and potential applications [12-13].

The phenomenon that both phase and amplitude are synchronized is called complete synchronization. Contraction theory, which originated form fluid dynamics and differential geometry, has been established as an effective tool for analyzing the complete synchronization behaviors of nonlinear networks with given state spaces [14-15]. By constructing a virtual system of the network and obtaining its contraction region, it is possible to theoretically predict whether the network will be completely synchronized. Sometimes we cannot construct a virtual system of a coupled oscillator network, but if we can build its "virtual network" and thus construct a higher dimensional virtual system, then we can still find the conditions to synchronize the original network. A key problem in applying contraction theory is how to confirm that the trajectories of all oscillators in a network are confined to the contraction region of the corresponding virtual system. For some particular coupled-osillator networks, we can adjust their coefficients so that the contraction regions cover the entire phase plane, which means that every trajectory remains within the contraction regions no matter where it starts. However, for most networks, the contraction regions are finite in the phase plane regardless of coefficients. A feasible solution is to find the trapping region of the virtual system and make sure that the trapping region is contained in the contraction region, then as long as the trajectories of all oscillators start inside the trapping region, they will remain there and thus remain in the contraction region. Nevertheless, the virtual system of a coupled-oscillator network usually depends on the trajectory of every oscillator in the original network, so finding the trapping region requires some analysis of the properties of the original coupled-oscillator system. This will be discussed in detail in Section 4 using linearly coupled Rayleigh van der Pol oscillators.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, I introduce basic contraction theory. In Section 3, I describe the application of contraction theory to the complete synchronization of the coupled-oscillator networks in different network constructions, including all-to-all topology, star topology, and ring topology. In Section 4, I study the dynamics of linearly coupled van der Pol, Duffing van der Pol, and Rayleigh van der Pol oscillators and give the conditions for each of them to achieve complete synchronization.

2 Methods

Definition 2.1 (contracting region). Given a system equation of the form

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}} = \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}(t)), \tag{1}$$

where $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a set of state variables and $\boldsymbol{f} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a smooth nonlinear vector function. A contraction region C of this system is a region of the state space where the symmetric part of the Jacobian $\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}}\right)_s$ is uniformly negative definite, i.e., $C := \{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \lambda_{\max}(\boldsymbol{x}) < 0\}$, where $\lambda_{\max}(\boldsymbol{x})$ is the largest eigenvalue of $\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{f}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} + \left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{f}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}}\right)^T\right)$.

Theorem 2.1. If any two trajectories, $\mathbf{x}_1(t)$ and $\mathbf{x}_2(t)$, of a system of the form (1), starting from different initial conditions, remain within C, then they converge exponentially to each other.

Proof. See Appendix A.

In order to apply this theorem to synchronization of coupled oscillators, the concept of a *virtual system* needs to be introduced [16]. Such a system is expressed in the old state variables of the original network of the coupled oscillators and in a set of new *virtual state variables*. The virtual system can recover the trajectory of each node in the original network by substituting the state variables of each node for the virtual state variables. Thus, by constructing a virtual system, the multiple coupled systems are "condensed" into a single system as described in Eq. (1) to which the contraction theory can be applied.

3 Problem Statement

Consider a network of nonlinear systems

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i} = \boldsymbol{f}_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right) + \boldsymbol{u}_{i}, i = 1, 2, \cdots, N,$$

$$(2)$$

where $\boldsymbol{x}_i = (x_{i1} \ x_{i2} \ \cdots \ x_{in})^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the state variables of node $i, \boldsymbol{f}_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ are nonlinear smooth vector functions, \boldsymbol{u}_i are coupling functions which depend on the difference between the state variables of i^{th} node and those of others that are linked with it.

Definition 3.1 (complete synchronization). The network described by (2) is said to be completely synchronized if $\forall j = 1, \dots, n, \exists \boldsymbol{x}_i(0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$ such that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} x_{1j}(t) = \lim_{t \to \infty} x_{2j}(t) = \dots = \lim_{t \to \infty} x_{Nj}(t) \neq 0.$$

Theorem 3.1. Consider a network of the form (2). Assume that there exists a virtual system of this network:

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{y}} = \boldsymbol{\Phi} \left(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2, \dots, \boldsymbol{x}_N \right), \tag{3}$$

where $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the virtual state variable, such that

$$\Phi (\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2, ..., \boldsymbol{x}_N) = \boldsymbol{f}_1 (\boldsymbol{x}_1) + \boldsymbol{u}_1,$$

$$\Phi (\boldsymbol{x}_2, \boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2, ..., \boldsymbol{x}_N) = \boldsymbol{f}_2 (\boldsymbol{x}_2) + \boldsymbol{u}_2,$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\Phi (\boldsymbol{x}_N, \boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2, ..., \boldsymbol{x}_N) = \boldsymbol{f}_N (\boldsymbol{x}_N) + \boldsymbol{u}_N.$$

Then if the trajectories of the oscillators, $\boldsymbol{x}_1(t), \boldsymbol{x}_2(t), ..., \boldsymbol{x}_N(t)$, remain within the contraction region of the virtual system (3) for some initial conditions, they are completely synchronized.

Proof. Notice that all trajectories of network (2) are just particular solutions to the virtual y-system as described in Eq. (3). Since the trajectories of (2) all remain within the contraction region of (3) as the system evolves, Theorem 2.1 implies that all oscillators in (2) converge into the same synchronous state exponentially.

Theorem 3.1 shows that constructing virtual systems is the key to studying comlete synchronization problems based on contraction theory. The virtual system does not have to be unique, we can choose any one of them that is easy to obtain the contraction region. However, not all networks have corresponding virtual systems of the form (3). To apply contraction theory, we need to make some assumptions about the network (2). The two most basic assumptions are that all coupled oscillators in the network are identical, i.e., $\mathbf{f}_1 = \mathbf{f}_2 = \cdots = \mathbf{f}_N$, and that the couplings are linear, i.e., \mathbf{u}_i are linear transformations from \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R}^n for all *i* from 1 to *N*. Note that a linear transform $\mathbf{u}_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ must be left-multiplication by some $n \times n$ matrix A_i . For a two-coupled-oscillator system, these two assumptions are sufficient to guarantee the existence of its virtual system.

Below, I will use the following symbols and notations: " $M \prec 0 \ (\succ 0)$ " means that the matrix M is negative (positive) definite; " $M \preceq 0 \ (\succeq 0)$ " means that M is negative (positive) semi-definite; $J_{\mathbf{f}}$ refers to the Jacobian of \mathbf{f} , i.e., $J = \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{y}}$; and subscript s indicates the symmetric part of a matrix.

Proposition 3.2. For two identical coupled oscillators with linear couplings described by

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_1 = \boldsymbol{f} \left(\boldsymbol{x}_1 \right) + A_1 \left(\boldsymbol{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{x}_1 \right)
\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_2 = \boldsymbol{f} \left(\boldsymbol{x}_2 \right) + A_2 \left(\boldsymbol{x}_1 - \boldsymbol{x}_2 \right),$$
(4)

the complete synchronization arises if $\mathbf{x}_1(t)$ and $\mathbf{x}_2(t)$ are always inside the region where $J_{\mathbf{f}_s} - (A_1 + A_2)_s \prec 0$.

Proof. Notice that the virtual system of (12) can be constructed as follows

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{y}} = f(\boldsymbol{y}) + A_1 \boldsymbol{x}_2 + A_2 \boldsymbol{x}_1 - (A_1 + A_2) \boldsymbol{y}.$$
(5)

This is because substituting \boldsymbol{x}_1 for \boldsymbol{y} in Eq. (4) yields the first equation of Eq. (4) and, similarly, inserting \boldsymbol{x}_2 into Eq. (4) gives the second equation of Eq. (4).

Write the virtual system (4) as $\dot{\boldsymbol{y}} = \boldsymbol{\Phi}(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2)$. We have

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Phi}}{\partial \boldsymbol{y}} = J_{\boldsymbol{f}} - (A_1 + A_2).$$

By Definition 2.1, the contractoin region of (5 is the region in which $(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial y})_s = J_{\mathbf{f}_s} - (A_1 + A_2)_s \prec 0$. Therefore, by Theorem 3.1, if $\mathbf{x}_1(t)$ and $\mathbf{x}_2(t)$ remain within this region, (4) is completely synchronized.

For a non-identical two-coupled-oscillator system with linear couplings, one way to construct its virtual system is

$$\dot{y} = (y - x_2) \frac{f_1(y)}{x_1 - x_2} + (y - x_1) \frac{f_2(y)}{(x_2 - x_1)} + A_1 x_2 + A_2 x_1 - (A_1 + A_2) y.$$

However, this system forms singularities when the trajectories of the two oscillators of the original system coincide, and the right-hand-side function is not smooth in this case. As a result, the virtual system so constructed does not make sense. Therefore, we cannot apply the contraction theory to coupled non-identical oscillators. This is a drawback of applying contraction theory to synchronization problems, because in reality many coupled oscillators are not exactly identical. In fact, the coupled non-identical oscillators cannot generally completely synchronized at an exponential rate. Another fact is that in the case of nonlinear couplings, a virtual system of the two-coupled-oscillator system can be easily constructed if the coupling between oscillators is the difference of some nonlinear function of their state variables instead of a nonlinear function of the difference of their state variables [17]. We will not discuss nonlinear coupling in the following paper.

To construct the virtual system of a network containing more than two oscillators, in addition to having identical oscillators and linear couplings, the network must possess some particular structure and the coupling must have some sort of symmetry. In the following we discuss three common types of network topology - all-to-all topology, star topology, and ring topology.

Proposition 3.3. Consider N all-to-all coupled identical oscillators with symmetric linear couplings described by

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i} = \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} A_{j}(\boldsymbol{x}_{j} - \boldsymbol{x}_{i}), \forall i = 1, \cdots, N,$$
(6)

Here, the "symmetric coupling" means that each oscillator has the same effect on all other oscillators. This network is completely synchronized if $\mathbf{x}_i(t)$ are always inside the region where $J_{\mathbf{f}_s} - \sum_{j=1}^N A_{is} \prec 0$ for all *i*.

Proof. Notice that this coupled-oscillator system has a virtual system

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{y}} = \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{y}) + \sum_{j=1}^{N} A_j \boldsymbol{x}_j - (\sum_{j=1}^{N} A_j) \boldsymbol{y}.$$
(7)

The rest of the proof follows by similar arguments as in Proposition 3.2. \Box

Proposition 3.4. Consider N identical oscillators coupled by a star network with symmetric linear couplings described by

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_1 = \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_1) + \sum_{j=2}^N A_j(\boldsymbol{x}_j - \boldsymbol{x}_1), \qquad (8a)$$

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i} = \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}) + A_{1}(\boldsymbol{x}_{1} - \boldsymbol{x}_{i}), \ \forall i = 2, \cdots, N,$$
(8b)

This network is completely synchronized if $\boldsymbol{x}_1(t)$ and $\boldsymbol{x}_2(t)$ are always inside the region where $J_{\boldsymbol{f}_s} - \sum_{j=1}^N A_{j_s} \prec 0$ and $\boldsymbol{x}_i(t)$ are always inside the region where $J_{\boldsymbol{f}_s} - A_{1s} \prec 0$ for all *i* from 2 to N.

Proof. Notice that the network (8) does not have a single virtual system, but it is easy to see that the virtual system of (8b) is

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{y}} = \boldsymbol{f}\left(\boldsymbol{y}\right) + A_1(\boldsymbol{x}_1 - \boldsymbol{y}) \tag{9}$$

Since $\mathbf{x}_i(t)$ remain in the contraction region of (9) where $J_{\mathbf{f}_s} - A_{1s} \prec 0$ at all times for all *i* from 2 to *N*, by Theorem 3.1, all the oscillators except the first one converge toward the same synchronous state exponentially. Hence after a while Eq. (8a) reduces to

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_1 = \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_1) + \sum_{j=2}^N A_j(\boldsymbol{x}_2 - \boldsymbol{x}_1),$$

which describes the state of the first oscillator in the network. Then the virtual system of the subnetwork consisting of only the first two oscillators is

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{y}} = \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{y}) + A_1 \boldsymbol{x}_1 + (\sum_{j=2}^N A_j) \boldsymbol{x}_2 - (\sum_{j=1}^N A_j) \boldsymbol{y}.$$
 (10)

Therefore, the first two oscillators are completely synchronized as $\boldsymbol{x}_1(t)$ and $\boldsymbol{x}_2(t)$ are always inside the contraction region of (10) where $J_{f_s} - \sum_{j=1}^N A_{j_s} \prec 0$, and thus the whole newtork (8) is completely synchronized by transitivity. \Box

The limitation of applying the above propositions is that when the symmetric part of the Jacobian of the virtual system of a network is not uniformly negative definite on the entire state space D, it is difficult to determine whether the trajectories of the oscillators in this network are confined in the contraction region of the virtual system. Note that we cannot say that a trajectory will stay in the contraction region at all future times simply because it starts there. One way to determine whether the trajectory will remain within the contraction region by the position of its starting point is to find a *trapping region*, i.e, a compact subset N of the state space such that the flow of the system is inward everywhere on the boundary of N, that is inside the contraction region. If we can find such a trapping region N, then every trajectory that starts within Nwill remain there for all future time. These are discussed in detail in Section 4.

In general, we cannot find virtual systems of bidirectional ring networks in which each oscillator affects its two neighboring oscillators and of unidirectional ring networks in which each oscillator affects only its next oscillator. We now introduce a multivariate "virtual network" as follows. For a network (2), we try to construct

$$\dot{y}_{i} = \Phi(y_{i}, y_{i+1}, ..., y_{i+N-1}, x_{1}, x_{2}, ..., x_{N}), i = 1, \cdots, N,$$

for virtual variables $\boldsymbol{y}_1, ..., \boldsymbol{y}_N$, which satisfies

$$\Phi(x_i, x_{i+1}, ..., x_{i+N-1}, x_1, x_2, ..., x_N) = f(x_i) + u_i, \forall i = 1, \cdots, N.$$
(11)

where all subscripts are calculated modulo N. We can turn this virtual network into a single nN-dimensional virtual system of Y by concatenating all original n-dimensional virtual state vectors y_i to form an nN-dimensional virtual state variable Y, that is

$$\boldsymbol{Y} = (\boldsymbol{y}_1 \ \boldsymbol{y}_2 \ \cdots \ \boldsymbol{y}_N)^T \in \mathbb{R}^{nN}.$$

Then we have

$$\dot{Y} = \Psi(Y, x_1, x_2, ..., x_N) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \Phi(y_1, y_2, ..., y_N, x_1, x_2, ..., x_N) \\ \Phi(y_2, ..., y_N, y_1, x_1, x_2, ..., x_N) \\ \vdots \\ \Phi(y_N, y_1, ..., y_{N-1}, x_1, x_2, ..., x_N) \end{pmatrix}$$
(12)

Theorem 3.5. If network (2) has a virtual system (12) which is contracting with respect to \mathbf{Y} , then the network is completely synchronized regardless of the initial conditions.

Proof. Since the function Φ satisfies (11), the trajectory $(\mathbf{x}_1 \ \mathbf{x}_2 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{x}_N)^T$ formed by concatenating the trajectories of all the oscillators in the original network (10) and all its cyclic permutations are particular solutions of the system (12).

In particular, $(\mathbf{x}_1 \ \mathbf{x}_2 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{x}_N)^T$ and $(\mathbf{x}_2 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{x}_N \ \mathbf{x}_1)^T$ are two particular solutions of (12). Since the system is contracting, they converge exponentially to each other, which implies that $\mathbf{x}_1 \sim \mathbf{x}_2, \ \cdots, \ \mathbf{x}_{N-1} \sim \mathbf{x}_N$, and $\mathbf{x}_N \sim \mathbf{x}_1$, where $\mathbf{a} \sim \mathbf{b}$ denotes that \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} are converged. Therefore, all oscillators converge into the same synchronous state vector.

We now consider ring networks consisting of N identical coupled oscillators whose links are either unidirectional with identical linear coupling or bidirectional with different linear couplings. Such unidirectional and bidirectional ring networks can be expressed as the following two equations respectively.

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i} = \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}) + A(\boldsymbol{x}_{i-1} - \boldsymbol{x}_{i}), \ \forall i = 1, \cdots, N.$$
(13a)

 $\dot{\boldsymbol{x}}_{i} = \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}) + A_{i+1,i}(\boldsymbol{x}_{i+1} - \boldsymbol{x}_{i}) + A_{i-1,i}(\boldsymbol{x}_{i-1} - \boldsymbol{x}_{i}), \ \forall i = 1, \cdots, N,$ (13b)

where $A_{i,j}$ denotes coupling from the i^{th} to j^{th} node, and the subscripts i, j are calculated modulo N.

Wang and Slotine [16] discussed the conditions for synchronization of unidirectional ring network when A is a square symmetric matrix, i.e, $A^T = A$, and of bidirectional ring network when the couplings are *interactional*, i.e., $A_{i,j} = A_{j,i}$. In the following, I relax both of these conditions.

Theorem 3.6. The unidirectional ring network (13a) is completely synhcronized if $J_{f_s} + A_s \prec 0$ on $D, A_s \succ 0$, and $4A_s - AA_s^{-1}A^T \succeq 0$.

Proof. The virtual network of (13a) can be constructed as

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{y}}_{i} = \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{y}_{i}) + A(\boldsymbol{y}_{i-1} - \boldsymbol{y}_{i}), \ i = 1, \cdots, N.$$
(14)

It is easy to check Eq. (14) by replacing \boldsymbol{y}_j with \boldsymbol{x}_j for all j. Write (14) in the form of (12) by concatenating \boldsymbol{y}_i . Then the Jacobian of $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$ is

$$\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial Y} = \begin{pmatrix} J_{f1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & J_{f2} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & J_{fN} \end{pmatrix} \\ - \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -A \\ -A & A & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & -A & A \end{pmatrix}$$

where $J_{f_i} = \frac{\partial f(y_i)}{\partial y_i}$. The symmetric part of the Jacobian is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial \Psi \\ \partial \bar{Y} \end{pmatrix}_{s} = \begin{pmatrix} J_{f1_{s}} & & \\ & J_{f2_{s}} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & J_{fN_{s}} \end{pmatrix}^{-} \begin{pmatrix} A_{s} & -\frac{A^{T}}{2} & -\frac{A}{2} \\ -\frac{A}{2} & A_{s} & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & -\frac{A^{T}}{2} \\ -\frac{A^{T}}{2} & & -\frac{A}{2} & A_{s} \end{pmatrix}^{-} \\ = \begin{pmatrix} J_{f1_{s}} + A_{s} & & \\ & J_{f2_{s}} + A_{s} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & J_{fN_{s}} + A_{s} \end{pmatrix}^{-} \\ - \begin{pmatrix} 2A_{s} & -\frac{A^{T}}{2} & -\frac{A}{2} \\ -\frac{A}{2} & 2A_{s} & \ddots & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & -\frac{A^{T}}{2} \\ -\frac{A^{T}}{2} & -\frac{A}{2} & 2A_{s} \end{pmatrix}^{+},$$

where the empty elements are 0 blocks. By Theorem 3.5, we need to prove that $(\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial Y})_s$ is uniformly negative definite under the given conditions. For an arbitrary Y, since $J_{f_s} + A_s \prec 0$ on D, we have $J_{f_i} + A_s \prec 0$ for all i, and thus the first part in $(\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial Y})_s$ is uniformly negative definite. According to the addition rule for definite matrices, all that remains to be proved is that the second part is a positive semi-definite matrix given $A_s \succ 0$ and $4A_s - AA_s^{-1}A^T \succeq 0$.

Define block matrices:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{A}_1 &= \left(\begin{array}{cc} A_s & -\frac{A^T}{2} \\ -\frac{A}{2} & A_s \end{array} \right), \\ \tilde{A}_2 &= \left(\begin{array}{cc} A_s & -\frac{A}{2} \\ -\frac{A^T}{2} & A_s \end{array} \right). \end{split}$$

We recall the following lemma

Lemma 3.7. Let M be a 2×2 symmetric block matrix of the form

$$M = \left(\begin{array}{cc} A & B \\ B^T & D \end{array}\right).$$

Suppose D (A) is invertible. If $D \succ 0$ ($A \succ 0$), then $M \succeq 0$ iff the Schur complement of the block D (A) of the matrix M, M/D (M/A), is positive semidefinite, i.e., $M/D = A - BD^{-1}B^T \succeq 0$ ($M/A = D - B^T A^{-1}B \succeq 0$).

Proof. See [18].

Lemma 3.8. Let $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^T & D \end{pmatrix}$ be a positive semi-definite 2 × 2 block matrix. Define $M^{m,n}$ to be a block matrix of the form

$$M^{m,n} = \begin{pmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & \\ \cdots & (A)_{mm} & \cdots & (B)_{mn} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\ \cdots & (B^T)_{nm} & \cdots & (D)_{nn} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \end{pmatrix}$$

where $(H)_{ij}$ denotes that the block H is in the i^{th} row and j^{th} column of the matrix $M^{m,n}$. Here, all the blocks in $M^{m,n}$ are zero matrices, except for the four blocks written out. Then for any unequal m and n, $M \succeq 0$ iff $M^{m,n} \succeq 0$.

This lemma can be easily proved by noticing that $\boldsymbol{x}^T M \boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{y}^T M^{m,n} \boldsymbol{y}$, where \boldsymbol{x} is an arbitrary vector which has dimensions that are compatible with matrix M, and \boldsymbol{x} is exported to vector \boldsymbol{y} by arbitrarily choosing the missing elements to have appropriate dimensions according to $M^{m,n}$.

Observe that the second part in $(\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial Y})_s$ can be written as $\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \tilde{A}_1^{i,i+1} + \tilde{A}_2^{1,N}$. Since we have assumed that $A_s \succ 0$ and $4A_s - AA_s^{-1}A^T \succeq 0$, Lemma 3.7 implies that both \tilde{A}_1 and \tilde{A}_2 are positive semi-definite. Then, using Lemma 3.8, we have $\tilde{A}_1^{i,i+1} \succeq 0$ for *i* from 1 to N-1 and $\tilde{A}_2^{1,N} \succeq 0$, and thus the second part in $(\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial Y})_s$ is positive semi-definite. Therefore, $(\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial Y})_s$ is negative definite, and it follows that (13a) is completely synheronized.

Corollary 3.9. The unidirectional ring network (13a) with identical symmetric coupling matrix A is completely synhcronized if $J_{\mathbf{f}_s} + A \prec 0$ on $D, A \succ 0$.

Proof. Since A is symmetric, $A = A^T = A_s$. Since $A \succ 0$, A is invertible. Then $4A_s - AA_s^{-1}A^T = 3A \succeq 0$. By Theorem 3.6, the network is completely synheronized.

Theorem 3.10. The bidirectional ring network (13b) is completely synhcronized if $J_{\mathbf{f}_s} \prec 0$ and for each *i* from 1 to N at least one of the following two conditions is satisfied

1.
$$A_{i+1,i_s} \succ 0$$
 and $A_{i,i+1_s} - \frac{1}{4}(A_{i,i+1} + A_{i+1,i}^T)A_{i+1,i_s}^{-1}(A_{i+1,i} + A_{i,i+1}^T) \succeq 0;$
2. $A_{i,i+1_s} \succ 0$ and $A_{i+1,i_s} - \frac{1}{4}(A_{i+1,i} + A_{i,i+1}^T)A_{i,i+1_s}^{-1}(A_{i,i+1} + A_{i+1,i}^T) \succeq 0.$

Proof. The virtual network of (13b) can be constructed as

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{y}}_{i} = \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{y}_{i}) + A_{i+1,i}(\boldsymbol{y}_{i+1} - \boldsymbol{y}_{i}) + A_{i-1,i}(\boldsymbol{y}_{i-1} - \boldsymbol{y}_{i}), \ i = 1, \cdots, N.$$
(15)

Define block matrices:

$$\tilde{A}_{j,i} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{j,i_s} & -\frac{(A_{j,i} + A_{i,j}^T)}{2} \\ -\frac{(A_{i,j} + A_{j,i}^T)}{2} & A_{i,j_s} \end{pmatrix},$$

Writing the virtual network (15) in the form of virtual system (12), the symmetric part of $\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial Y}$ is $D_{J_{f_s}} - \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \tilde{A}_{i,i+1,i}^{i,i+1} - \tilde{A}_{N,1}^{1,N}$, where $D_{J_{f_s}}$ is a block diagonal matrix whoes the main-diagonal blocks are J_{f_i} . The fact that J_{f_s} is negative definite guarantees that $D_{J_{f_s}} \prec 0$. By Lemma 3.7, for each *i* from 1 to N, when A_{i+1,i_s} is invertable, $\tilde{A}_{i+1,i} \succeq 0$ and $\tilde{A}_{i,i+1} \succeq 0$ iff $A_{i+1,i_s} \succ 0$ and $A_{i,i+1_s} - \frac{1}{4}(A_{i,i+1} + A_{i+1,i}^T)A_{i+1,i_s}^{-1}(A_{i+1,i} + A_{i,i+1}^T) \succeq 0$; while when $A_{i,i+1_s}$ is invertable, $\tilde{A}_{i,i+1_s} \succeq 0$ and $\tilde{A}_{i,i+1_s} \succ 0$ and $A_{i+1,i_s} - \frac{1}{4}(A_{i+1,i_s} + A_{i,i+1_s}^T)A_{i,i+1_s}^{-1}(A_{i,i+1_s} + A_{i+1,i_s}^T) \ge 0$. Thus, we have $\tilde{A}_{i+1,i_s} \succeq 0$ for *i* from 1 to N - 1 and $\tilde{A}_{N,1} \succeq 0$. As a consequence of Lemma 3.8, $\tilde{A}_{i+1,i_s}^{i,i+1} \succeq 0$ for *i* from 1 to N - 1 and $\tilde{A}_{N,1} \succeq 0$. Then $(\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial Y})_s \prec 0$. Hence (13b) is completely synheronized.

Corollary 3.11. The bidirectional ring network (13b) with interactional couplings, $A_{i,j} = A_{j,i}$, is completely synhcronized if $J_{\mathbf{f}_s} \prec 0$ and $A_{i,i+1_s} \succ 0$ for all *i* from 1 to N.

Proof. Since $A_{i,i+1_s} \succ 0$, $A_{i,i+1_s}$ is invertible. Notice that when $A_{i,j} = A_{j,i}$, two conditions in Theorem 3.10 become the same and that we have

$$A_{i+1,i_s} - \frac{1}{4} (A_{i+1,i} + A_{i,i+1}^T) A_{i,i+1_s}^{-1} (A_{i,i+1} + A_{i+1,i}^T)$$

= $A_{i,i+1_s} - A_{i,i+1_s} A_{i,i+1_s}^{-1} A_{i,i+1_s}$
= $0 \succeq 0.$

Therefore, consistion 2 in Theorem 3.10 is satisfied, and thus the network is completely synchronized. $\hfill \Box$

4 Networks of the (Duffing/Rayleigh) van der Pol Systems and Numerical Simulations

We first consider a network of N all-to-all coupled identical van der Pol oscillators with symmetric linear couplings. In the absence of coupling a single van der Pol oscillator has following equation of motion

$$\ddot{x} + \left(\alpha x^2 - \gamma\right)\dot{x} + \omega^2 x = 0. \tag{16}$$

It is usually written in two different two-dimensional forms, one by setting $y = \dot{x}$ and the other by setting $y = \frac{1}{\omega}(\dot{x} + \frac{\alpha}{3}x^3 - \gamma x)$. I use the latter because in this case the Jacobian J_f has a globally negative semi-definite symmetric part if $\alpha \ge 0$ and $\gamma < 0$. To see this, we first note that Eq. (16) can be written as

$$\ddot{x} + \alpha x^2 \dot{x} - \gamma \dot{x} = -\omega^2 x$$
$$\frac{d}{dt} (\dot{x} + \frac{\alpha}{3} x^3 - \gamma x) = \omega(-\omega x)$$

Hence the two-dimensional form of Eq. (16) can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} = \boldsymbol{f}(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} \omega y - \frac{\alpha}{3}x^3 + \gamma x \\ -\omega x \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (17)

Then the symmetric part of the Jacobian of \boldsymbol{f} is

$$J_{\boldsymbol{f}_s} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \gamma - \alpha x^2 & 0\\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right).$$

It is easy to see that $J_{f_s} \leq 0$ for all x and y if $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\gamma \leq 0$. Consider a network of N all-to-all coupled identical van der Pol oscillators with symmetric linear couplings described by Eq. (14). Write

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} A_{j_s} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{ts}^{11} & A_{ts}^{12} \\ A_{ts}^{21} & A_{ts}^{22} \end{pmatrix}$$
(18)

with $A_{ts}^{12} = A_{ts}^{21}$. We can then establish the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. For a network of N all-to-all coupled identical van der Pol oscillators with symmetric linear couplings described by Eq. (14) in which **f** is given by Eq. (17), if $\alpha \ge 0$, $\gamma < A_{ts}^{11} - \frac{(A_{ts}^{12})^2}{A_{ts}^{22}}$, and $A_{ts}^{22} > 0$, then the network is completely synchronized.

Proof. According to Proposition 3.3, the network is completely synchronized if

$$J_{\boldsymbol{f}_s} - \sum_{j=1}^N A_{j_s} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma - \alpha x^2 - A_{ts}^{11} & -A_{ts}^{12} \\ -A_{ts}^{21} & -A_{ts}^{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

is uniformly negative definite on D, or, equivalently, $-(J_{\boldsymbol{f}_s} - \sum_{j=1}^N A_{j_s}) \succ 0$ on D. Since $\gamma < A_{ts}^{11} - \frac{(A_{ts}^{12})^2}{A_{ts}^{22}}$, $\alpha \ge 0$ and $A_{ts}^{22} > 0$, we have

$$-(\gamma - \alpha x^2 - A_{ts}^{11}) \ge -(\gamma - A_{ts}^{11}) \ge -(\gamma - A_{ts}^{11} + \frac{(A_{ts}^{12})^2}{A_{ts}^{22}}) > 0,$$

and

$$\begin{split} -(\gamma-A_{ts}^{11}) > & \frac{(A_{ts}^{12})^2}{A_{ts}^{22}} \\ -(\gamma-A_{ts}^{11})A_{ts}^{22} > (A_{ts}^{12})^2 \\ -(\gamma-A_{ts}^{11})A_{ts}^{22} - (A_{ts}^{12})^2 > 0. \end{split}$$

Consequently,

$$-(-\gamma + \alpha x^{2} + A_{ts}^{11})^{-1} \ge -(-\gamma + A_{ts}^{11})^{-1},$$

and

$$\begin{split} 0 <& A_{ts}^{22} - (-\gamma + A_{ts}^{11})^{-1} (A_{ts}^{12})^2 \\ \leqslant & A_{ts}^{22} - (-\gamma + \alpha x^2 + A_{ts}^{11})^{-1} (A_{ts}^{12})^2 \\ =& A_{ts}^{22} - A_{ts}^{21} (-\gamma + \alpha x^2 + A_{ts}^{11})^{-1} A_{ts}^{12}. \end{split}$$

Lemma 3.7 then implies $-(J_{\boldsymbol{f}_s} - \sum_{j=1}^N A_{j_s}) \succ 0.$

Next we consider N identical van der Pol oscillators coupled by a star network with symmetric linear couplings described by Eq. (8) where the sum of coupling matrices is given by Eq. (18) and A_{1s} is given by

$$A_{1s} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} A_{1s}^{11} & A_{1s}^{12} \\ A_{1s}^{21} & A_{1s}^{22} \end{array}\right)$$

with $A_{1s}^{12} = A_{1s}^{21}$. Then using Proposition 3.4 and following similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we have

Theorem 4.2. For N identical van der Pol oscillators coupled by a star network with symmetric linear couplings described by Eq. (8) in which **f** is given by Eq. (17), if $\alpha \ge 0$, $\gamma < \min\{A_{1s}^{11} - \frac{(A_{1s}^{12})^2}{A_{1s}^{22}}, A_{ts}^{11} - \frac{(A_{ts}^{12})^2}{A_{ts}^{22}}\}$, and $\min\{A_{1s}^{22}, A_{ts}^{22}\} > 0$, then the network is completely synchronized.

Example. Consider a star network of six coupled identical van der Pol oscillators described by Eqs. (8) and (17) with $\alpha = 1$, $\omega = 1$, and $\gamma = 5$, where the coupling matrices are given by

$$A_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 1 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}, A_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 3 \\ 4 & -5 \end{pmatrix}, A_{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 7 & -2 \\ -5 & -2 \end{pmatrix}, A_{4} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 4 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, A_{5} = \begin{pmatrix} 8 & -3 \\ 1 & 10 \end{pmatrix}, A_{6} = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & -4 \\ 2 & -6 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this case, $min\{A_{1s}^{22}, A_{ts}^{22}\} = min\{4, 3\} = 3 > 0$, and

$$5 = \gamma < \min\{A_{1s}^{11} - \frac{(A_{1s}^{12})^2}{A_{1s}^{22}}, A_{ts}^{11} - \frac{(A_{ts}^{12})^2}{A_{ts}^{22}}\} = \min\{7, 21\} = 7.$$

By Theorem 4.2, the network is completely synchronized.

Figure 1 shows the complete synchronization phenomenon of this network. It can be seen that all the six oscillators converge into synchronous x and y states very quickly as expected.

We now introduce a *Duffing* term, x^3 , into the van der Pol equation (16) so that the equation becomes

$$\ddot{x} + \left(\alpha x^2 - \gamma\right) \dot{x} + (\omega^2 + \varepsilon x^2) x = 0.$$
(19)



(a) The time series of x_i for *i* from 1 to 6 (b) The time series of y_i for *i* from 1 to 6

Figure 1: The time series of self-sustained six identical van der Pol oscillators coupled by a star network with symmetric linear couplings

This is the equation of motion of a Duffing van der Pol oscillator. Suppose $\alpha \ge 0$, $-(\omega^2 + 1) < \gamma < -1$, $\omega \ne 0$, and $\varepsilon = \frac{\alpha}{3}$. Let $\gamma' = \gamma + 1$. Since $-(\omega^2 + 1) < \gamma < -1$, $-\omega^2 < \gamma' < 0$. We can therefore let ${\omega'}^2 = \omega^2 + \gamma' > 0$. Equation (19) can thus be written as

$$\ddot{x} + (\alpha x^2 - \gamma' + 1) \dot{x} + ({\omega'}^2 - \gamma' + \frac{\alpha}{3}x^2)x = 0$$
$$\ddot{x} + (\alpha x^2 - \gamma') \dot{x} = -{\omega'}^2 x - \dot{x} - \frac{\alpha}{3}x^3 + \gamma' x$$
$$\frac{d}{dt}(\dot{x} + \frac{\alpha}{3}x^3 - \gamma' x) = \omega'[-\omega' x - \frac{1}{\omega'}(\dot{x} + \frac{\alpha}{3}x^3 - \gamma' x)]$$

Making a transformation $y = \frac{1}{\omega'}(\dot{x} + \frac{\alpha}{3}x^3 - \gamma'x)$, we get a two dimensional system

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} = \boldsymbol{f}(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} \omega' y - \frac{\alpha}{3} x^3 + \gamma' x \\ -\omega' x - y \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (20)

The symmetric part of the Jacobian of f is

$$J_{\boldsymbol{f}_s} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \gamma' - \alpha x^2 & 0\\ 0 & -1 \end{array} \right).$$

 $J_{{\pmb f}_s} \prec 0$ as $\alpha \geqslant 0$ and $\gamma' < 0.$ Using Corollary 3.11, we conclude the following theorem

Theorem 4.3. For a bidirectional ring network of N identical coupled Duffing van der Pol oscillators with balanced linear couplings described by Eq. (13b) in which **f** is given by Eq. (20), if $\alpha \ge 0$, $\gamma' < 0$, and $A_{i,i+1_s} \succ 0$ for all *i*, then the network is completely synchronized.

For a unidirectional ring network of identical coupled Duffing van der Pol oscillators, we have the following example

Example. Consider a unidirectional ring network of six coupled identical Duffing van der Pol oscillators described by Eqs. (13a) and (20) with $\alpha = 1$, $\omega = 1$, and $\gamma = -1$, where the identical coupling matrix is given by

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 3/4 & 1/4\\ 1/8 & 1/2 \end{array}\right),$$

so that $A_s \succ 0$, $4A_s - AA_s^{-1}A^T \succ 0$, and

$$J_{f_s} + A_s = \begin{pmatrix} -1/4 - x^2 & 3/16\\ 3/16 & -1/2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Notice that

$$-(-\frac{1}{2}) > 0$$

$$-(-\frac{1}{4} - x^2) - (\frac{1}{2})^{-1}(-\frac{3}{16})^2 = \frac{23}{128} + x^2 > 0.$$

By Lemma 3.7, $-(J_{\mathbf{f}_s} + A_s) \succ 0$, or $J_{\mathbf{f}_s} + A_s \prec 0$. Theorem 3.6 then implies the network is completely synchronized.

Figure 2 shows the time series of x and y states of this network. It can be seen that the network achieves complete synchronization before it decays to the equilibrium position.



(a) The time series of x_i for i from 1 to 6 (b) The time series of y_i for i from 1 to 6

Figure 2: The time series of overdamped six identical Duffing van der Pol oscillators coupled by a unidirectional ring network with an identical linear coupling

Next, we introduce a *Rayleigh* term, \dot{x}^3 , into the van der Pol equation (16) to get a Rayleigh van der Pol oscillator

$$\ddot{x} + \left(\alpha x^2 + \beta \dot{x}^2 - \gamma\right) \dot{x} + \omega^2 x = 0.$$
(21)

Letting $y = \dot{x}$, its two dimensional form can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} = \boldsymbol{f}(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} y \\ -(\alpha x^2 + \beta y^2 - \gamma) y - \omega^2 x \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (22)

We assume all-to-all symmetric coupling as described in Eq. (14). We also assume *full-state* linear coupling, i.e., the coupling matrices A_i are diagonal for all *i*. If x_i and y_i represent the "position" and "velocity" of *i*th node, respectively, then full-state coupling means that each node adjusts its own position and velocity according to the difference between its position and velocity with other nodes, respectively [19]. We can write

$$J_{f_s} - \sum_{j=1}^{N} A_{j_s} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{c}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j & \frac{1 - 2\alpha x y - \omega^2}{2} \\ \frac{1 - 2\alpha x y - \omega^2}{2} & -(\alpha x^2 + 3\beta y^2 - \gamma) - \frac{c}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} b_j \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (23)

where c is a constant coupling strength, and a_j and b_j are coefficients that represent the coupling effect from j^{th} node to others. In this case, $J_{\boldsymbol{f}_s}$ is neither negative definite nor negative semi-definite. Furthermore, the definiteness of $J_{\boldsymbol{f}_s} - \sum_{j=1}^N A_{j_s}$ cannot be determined globally since it depends on the position (x, y) in the virtual phase plane D. We can nevertheless find the contraction region of the virtual system of this network.

Lemma 4.4. For a network of N all-to-all coupled identical Rayleigh van der Pol oscillators with symmetric linear couplings described by Eq. (14) in which \mathbf{f} is given by Eq. (22), if $\frac{c}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j > 0$, then the network is completely synchronized if all trajectories, $(x_k(t) \ y_k(t))^T$, start within the region $C_{RvdP} := \left\{ (x \ y)^T \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \frac{c^2}{N^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} a_i b_j + \mu(x, y) \frac{c}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j - \nu^2(x, y) > 0 \right\}$ and ramain there for all k from 1 to N, where $\mu(x, y) = \alpha x^2 + 3\beta y^2 - \gamma$ and $\nu(x, y) = \frac{1-2\alpha xy - \omega^2}{2}$.

Proof. Since the coupling is all-to-all and symmetric, Proposition 3.3 implies that the network is completely synchronized if $(x_i(t) \ y_i(t))^T$ are always in the region where $J_{\boldsymbol{f}_s} - \sum_{j=1}^N A_{j_s} \prec 0$ for all *i*. Using Eq. (23) and Lemma 3.7, $J_{\boldsymbol{f}_s} - \sum_{j=1}^N A_{j_s} \prec 0$ iff $-J_{\boldsymbol{f}_s} + \sum_{j=1}^N A_{j_s} \succ 0$ iff $\frac{c}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N a_j > 0$ and $(\alpha x^2 + 3\beta y^2 - \gamma) + \frac{c}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N b_j - \frac{(1-2\alpha xy - \omega^2)^2}{4} (\frac{c}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N a_j)^{-1} > 0.$

To determine whether all trajectories will remain within C_{RvdP} , we need to find a trapping region of the virtual system of this network that is contained in C_{RvdP} . We make a further assumption that the coupling matrices are identical and symmetric, i.e., $a_i = b_i = a$ for all *i*. The dynamics of this network can be expressed as

$$\dot{x}_{i} = y_{i} + \frac{c}{N}a\sum_{j=1}^{N}(x_{j} - x_{i})$$

$$\dot{y}_{i} = -\left(\alpha x_{i}^{2} + \beta y_{i}^{2} - \gamma\right)y_{i} - \omega^{2}x_{i} + \frac{c}{N}a\sum_{j=1}^{N}(y_{j} - y_{i}).$$
(24)

Using the LaSalle's invariance principle, we can obtain the following lemma. The proof is given in Appendix B.

Lemma 4.5. For a network of N all-to-all coupled identical Rayleigh van der Pol oscillators with identical full-state coupling described by Eq. (24), the origin is globally asymptotically stable if $\alpha \ge 0$, $\beta \ge 0$, $ca \ge 0$, $\omega \ne 0$, and $\gamma < 0$.

As a result, the solution of Eq. (24) with $\alpha \ge 0$, $\beta \ge 0$, $ca \ge 0$, $\omega \ne 0$, and $\gamma < 0$ will enventually converge to the origion regardless of the initial conditions. However, as stated in Definition 3.1, usually 0 is not treated as a synchronous state, so we want to show that all overdamped coupled Rayleigh van der Pol oscillators in the network (24) can reach complete synchronization before they stop oscillating.

Using Eq. (7), the virtual system of (24) can be constructed as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y + \frac{ca}{N} (\sum_{j=1}^{N} x_j - Nx) \\ - (\alpha x^2 + \beta y^2 - \gamma) y - \omega^2 x + \frac{ca}{N} (\sum_{j=1}^{N} y_j - Ny) \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (25)

Lemma 4.6. The disk of radius r

 $\begin{aligned} R_{RvdP\omega^2=1} &:= \left\{ (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : H(x,y) = x^2 + y^2 \leqslant r^2 \right\} \\ is a trapping region of the virtual system (25) with <math>\alpha \ge 0, \ \beta \ge 0, \ ac \ge 0, \\ \omega^2 = 1, \ and \ \gamma < 0. \end{aligned}$

Proof. Recall that the gradient of H at a point on a level curve of H is perpendicular to the level curve and pointing in the direction of fastest increase. To verify that the vector field of (25) points inward on the boundary of the disk $R_{RvdP\omega^2=1}$ at all times, it suffices to show that $\nabla H \cdot \langle \dot{x}, \dot{y} \rangle = 2x\dot{x} + 2y\dot{x} \leq 0$ on the circle $x^2 + y^2 = r^2$ at all times. At any point (x, y) on $x^2 + y^2 = r^2$, we have

$$\begin{split} \nabla H \cdot \langle \dot{x}(t), \dot{y}(t) \rangle =& 2x \dot{x}(t) + 2y \dot{y}(t) \\ =& 2xy + 2 \frac{ca}{N} (\sum_{j=1}^{N} x x_j(t) - N x^2) \\ &- 2 \left(\alpha x^2 + \beta y^2 - \gamma \right) y^2 - 2xy + 2 \frac{ca}{N} (\sum_{j=1}^{N} y y_j(t) - N y^2) \\ \leqslant& 2 \frac{ca}{N} (\sum_{j=1}^{N} (x x_j(t) + y y_j(t)) - N (x^2 + y^2)) \\ &=& 2 \frac{ca}{N} (\sum_{j=1}^{N} r r_j(t) \cos \theta_i(t) - N r^2) \\ \leqslant& 2 \frac{ca}{N} (\sum_{j=1}^{N} r r_j(t) - N r^2), \end{split}$$

where $r_j(t)$ represent the distances between the origin and the point $(x_j(t), y_j(t))$ at time t in the phase plane of (25) and $\theta_i(t)$ represent the angles between the position vectors $\langle x, y \rangle$ and $\langle x_j(t), y_j(t) \rangle$. By Lemma 4.5, the origin is a globally asymptotically stable point of the original network, which means

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} r_{j}^{2}(t) \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{N} r_{j}^{2}(0),$$

for all t > 0. Suppose that the starting points (x_{j_0}, y_{j_0}) of all oscillators lie in $R_{RvdP\omega^2=1}, r_j(0) \leq r$ for all j from 1 to N. Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} r_{j}^{2}(t) \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{N} x_{j}^{2} + y_{j}^{2} \leqslant Nr^{2}.$$

Using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\frac{(\sum_{j=1}^N r_j(t))^2}{N} \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^N r_j^2(t) \leqslant Nr^2.$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} r_j(t) \leqslant Nr,$$

or,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} rr_j(t) \leqslant Nr^2,$$

Therefore,

$$\nabla H \cdot \langle \dot{x}(t), \dot{y}(t) \rangle \leqslant 2 \frac{ca}{N} (\sum_{j=1}^{N} rr_j(t) - Nr^2) \leqslant 0$$

By Lemma 4.4, when $\omega^2 = 1$, the contraction region C_{RvdP} of the virtual system (25) becomes

$$C_{RvdP\omega^{2}=1} = \left\{ (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} : c^{2}a^{2} + ca\mu(x,y) - \nu^{2}(x,y) > 0 \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} : g(x,y) > 0 \right\}.$$

where $g(x,y) = c^2 a^2 - ca\gamma + ca\alpha x^2 + 3ca\beta y^2 - \alpha^2 x^2 y^2$.

Lemma 4.7. Provided $ca > \max\{\gamma, 0\}$, $R_{RvdP\omega^2=1}$ is contained in $C_{RvdP\omega^2=1}$ in the following four cases

$$\begin{array}{l} 1. \ 3\beta r^2 > \gamma - ca \ and \ \alpha = 0;\\ 2. \ r^2 \leqslant \frac{ca\alpha - 3ca\beta}{\alpha^2} \ and \ 3\beta r^2 > \gamma - ca \ with \ 0 \neq \alpha > 3\beta;\\ 3. \ r^2 \leqslant \frac{3ca\beta - ca\alpha}{\alpha^2} \ and \ \alpha r^2 > \gamma - ca \ with \ 0 \neq \alpha < 3\beta;\\ 4. \ r^2 \geqslant \max\{\frac{ca\alpha - 3ca\beta}{\alpha^2}, \frac{3ca\beta - ca\alpha}{\alpha^2}\} \ and \ \frac{ca\alpha + 3ca\beta - 2\sqrt{3c^2a^2\alpha\beta + \alpha^2ca(ca-\gamma)}}{\alpha^2} < r^2 < \frac{ca\alpha + 3ca\beta + 2\sqrt{3c^2a^2\alpha\beta + \alpha^2ca(ca-\gamma)}}{\alpha^2} \\ r^2 < \frac{ca\alpha + 3ca\beta + 2\sqrt{3c^2a^2\alpha\beta + \alpha^2ca(ca-\gamma)}}{\alpha^2} \ with \ \alpha \ and \ \beta \ satisfying \ 3ca\alpha\beta + \alpha^2(ca-\gamma) \\ \gamma) > 0. \end{array}$$

Proof. Since ca > 0 and $ca > \gamma$, $c^2a^2 - ca\gamma = ca(ca - \gamma) > 0$. Take an arbitrary point (x^*, y^*) in $R_{RvdP\omega^2=1}$. We want to show $g(x^*, y^*) > 0$ so that $(x^*, y^*) \in C_{RvdP\omega^2 = 1}.$

Case 1: $3\beta r^2 > \gamma - ca$ and $\alpha = 0$. In this case,

$$g(x^*, y^*) = G(y^*) = ca(ca - \gamma) + 3ca\beta y^{*2} = ca(ca - \gamma + 3\beta y^{*2}),$$

where $y^* \in [-r, r]$. For $\beta \ge 0$, G(y) has a minimum at y = 0, and we have $G(0) = ca(ca - \gamma) > 0$. For $\beta < 0$ and $y \in [-r, r]$, G(y) has minima at $y = \pm r$. Since $3\beta r^2 > \gamma - ca$, $G(\pm r) = ca(ca - \gamma + 3\beta r^2) > 0$. Consequently, G(y) > 0for all $y \in [-r, r]$. Therefore, $g(x^*, y^*) = G(y^*) > 0$. Case 2: $r^2 \leq \frac{ca\alpha - 3ca\beta}{\alpha^2}$ and $3\beta r^2 > \gamma - ca$ with $0 \neq \alpha > 3\beta$.

The partial derivatives of g(x, y) are

$$g_x(x,y) = 2ca\alpha x - 2\alpha^2 y^2 x$$

$$g_y(x,y) = 6ca\beta y - 2\alpha^2 x^2 y.$$

Notice that $g_x(x,y) = 0$ when x = 0 or $y^2 = \frac{ca}{\alpha}$ (if $\alpha > 0$) and that $g_y(x,y) = 0$ when y = 0 or $x^2 = \frac{3ca\beta}{\alpha^2}$ (if $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\beta \ge 0$). Thus, for $\beta < 0$, g(x,y) only has one critical point, (0,0), at which $\underline{g(0,0)} = ca(ca - \gamma) > 0$. For $\beta \ge 0$, g(x,y)has five critical points, (0,0), $(\pm \sqrt{\frac{3ca\beta}{\alpha^2}}, \pm \sqrt{\frac{ca}{\alpha}})$, if $\alpha > 0$. However,

$$r^2 \leqslant \frac{ca\alpha - 3ca\beta}{\alpha^2} \leqslant \frac{ca\alpha + 3ca\beta}{\alpha^2}$$

which indicates that there is only one critical point, (0,0), in the interior of $R_{RvdP\omega^2=1}$ at which g(0,0) > 0.

On the boundary, $x^2 + y^2 = r^2$, of $R_{RvdP\omega^2=1}$, we have

$$g(x,y) = h(x) \equiv ca(ca - \gamma) + ca\alpha x^2 + 3ca\beta(r^2 - x^2) - \alpha^2 x^2(r^2 - x^2)$$

= $\alpha^2 x^4 + (ca\alpha - 3ca\beta - \alpha^2 r^2)x^2 + ca(ca - \gamma) + 3ca\beta r^2 > 0$

$$h'(x) = 4\alpha^2 x^3 + 2(ca\alpha - 3ca\beta - \alpha^2 r^2)x.$$

Since $r^2 \leq \frac{ca\alpha - 3ca\beta}{\alpha^2}$, $ca\alpha - 3ca\beta - \alpha^2 r^2 \geq 0$, so h'(x) = 0 only at x = 0. Furthermore, h'(x) > 0 when x > 0 and h'(x) < 0 when x < 0. It follows that h(x) achieves a minimum at x = 0.

$$h(0) = ca(ca - \gamma) + 3ca\beta r^2 = ca(ca - \gamma + 3\beta).$$

Since $3\beta r^2 > \gamma - ca$, h(0) > 0. Then h(x) > 0 for all x. Thus, $g(x^*, y^*) > 0$. Case 3: $r^2 \leq \frac{3ca\beta - ca\alpha}{\alpha^2}$ and $\alpha r^2 > \gamma - ca$ with $0 \neq \alpha < 3\beta$. For $\alpha < 0$, g(x, y)has only one critical point (0,0) at which $g(0,0) = ca(ca - \gamma) > 0$. For $\alpha > 0$,

$$r^2 \leqslant \frac{3ca\beta - ca\alpha}{\alpha^2} < \frac{ca\alpha + 3ca\beta}{\alpha^2}.$$

Only one critical point in $R_{RvdP\omega^2=1}$ is still (0,0), and g(0,0) > 0.

On the boundary of $R_{RvdP\omega^2=1}$, g(x, y) = h(x) for $x \in [-r, r]$. Since $\alpha < 3\beta$, h'(x) = 0 at x = 0 and at

$$x = x_{\pm} = \pm \frac{\sqrt{-2(ca\alpha - 3ca\beta - \alpha^2 r^2)}}{2\alpha}$$

We have

$$h''(x) = 12\alpha^{2}x^{2} + 2(ca\alpha - 3ca\beta - \alpha^{2}r^{2}),$$

so that

$$h''(0) = 2(ca\alpha - 3ca\beta - \alpha^2 r^2) < 0,$$

$$h''(x_{1\pm}) = -4(ca\alpha - 3ca\beta - \alpha^2 r^2) > 0$$

It follows that h(x) reaches its global minimum value at $x = x_+$ or at $x = x_-$. But since $r^2 \leq \frac{3ca\beta - ca\alpha}{\alpha^2}$,

$$2\alpha^2 r^2 \leqslant -2(ca\alpha - 3ca\beta)$$
$$4\alpha^2 r^2 \leqslant -2(ca\alpha - 3ca\beta - \alpha^2 r^2)$$
$$r^2 \leqslant \frac{-2(ca\alpha - 3ca\beta - \alpha^2 r^2)}{4\alpha^2} = x_{\pm}^2$$

Therefore, for $x \in [-r, r]$, h(x) achieves its minimum at x = r or at x = -r. Since $\alpha r^2 > \gamma - ca$,

$$h(\pm r) = ca\alpha r^2 + ca(ca - \gamma) = ca(\alpha r^2 + ca - \gamma) > 0.$$

So h(x) > 0 for all $x \in [-r, r]$. Therefore, $g(x^*, y^*) > 0$. Case 4: $r^2 \ge \max\{\frac{ca\alpha - 3ca\beta}{\alpha^2}, \frac{3ca\beta - ca\alpha}{\alpha^2}\}$ and $\frac{ca\alpha + 3ca\beta - 2\sqrt{3c^2a^2\alpha\beta + \alpha^2ca(ca-\gamma)}}{\alpha^2} < r^2 < \frac{ca\alpha + 3ca\beta + 2\sqrt{3c^2a^2\alpha\beta + \alpha^2ca(ca-\gamma)}}{\alpha^2}$ with $3ca\alpha\beta + \alpha^2(ca-\gamma) > 0$. Notice from $3ca\alpha\beta + \alpha^2(ca-\gamma) > 0$ that $\alpha \neq 0$. g(x, y) has one critical

Notice from $3ca\alpha\beta + \alpha^2(ca - \gamma) > 0$ that $\alpha \neq 0$. g(x, y) has one critical point, (0, 0), if $\alpha < 0$ or $\beta < 0$, otherwise g(x, y) has five critical points, (0, 0) and $(\pm \sqrt{\frac{3ca\beta}{\alpha^2}}, \pm \sqrt{\frac{ca}{\alpha}})$, that could lie in the interior of $R_{RvdP\omega^2=1}$ since

$$\frac{ca\alpha + 3ca\beta}{\alpha^2} \leqslant \frac{ca\alpha + 3ca\beta + 2\sqrt{3c^2a^2\alpha\beta + \alpha^2ca(ca-\gamma)}}{\alpha^2}$$

for $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$. g(0,0) > 0 as before. At points $(\pm \sqrt{\frac{3ca\beta}{\alpha^2}}, \pm \sqrt{\frac{ca}{\alpha}})$,

$$g(x,y) = ca(ca - \gamma) + ca\alpha \frac{3ca\beta}{\alpha^2} + 3ca\beta \frac{ca}{\alpha} - \alpha^2 \frac{3ca\beta}{\alpha^2} \frac{ca}{\alpha}$$
$$= ca(ca - \gamma) + \frac{3c^2a^2\beta}{\alpha} + \frac{3c^2a^2\beta}{\alpha} - \frac{3c^2a^2\beta}{\alpha}$$

$$=ca(ca - \gamma) + \frac{3c^2a^2\beta}{\alpha}$$
$$=\frac{ca}{\alpha^2}[\alpha^2(ca - \gamma) + 3ca\alpha\beta] > 0$$

On the boundary of $R_{RvdP\omega^2=1}$, we have seen in Case 3 that h(x) reaches its global minimum value at $x = x_+$ or at $x = x_-$ because $r^2 \ge \frac{ca\alpha - 3ca\beta}{\alpha^2}$. In this case, $x_{\pm} \in [-r, r]$ since $r^2 \ge \frac{3ca\beta - ca\alpha}{\alpha^2}$. Thus, for $x \in [-r, r]$, h(x) has a minimum at $x = x_+$ or at $x = x_-$. Note that

$$h(x_{\pm}) = l(r^2) \equiv \frac{-(ca\alpha - 3ca\beta - \alpha^2 r^2)^2}{4\alpha^2} + ca(ca - \gamma) + 3ca\beta r^2$$

= $-\frac{\alpha^2}{4}r^4 + \frac{ca\alpha + 3ca\beta}{2}r^2 - \frac{(ca\alpha - 3ca\beta)^2}{4\alpha^2} + ca(ca - \gamma).$

Since $3ca\alpha\beta + \alpha^2(ca-\gamma) > 0$, $3c^2a^2\alpha\beta + \alpha^2ca(ca-\gamma) > 0$. Observe that at $r^2 = \frac{ca\alpha + 3ca\beta \pm 2\sqrt{3c^2a^2\alpha\beta + \alpha^2ca(ca-\gamma)}}{\alpha^2}$, $l(r^2) = 0$. Hence $l(r^2) > 0$ given

$$\frac{ca\alpha + 3ca\beta - 2\sqrt{3c^2a^2\alpha\beta + \alpha^2ca(ca-\gamma)}}{\alpha^2} < r^2$$
$$< \frac{ca\alpha + 3ca\beta + 2\sqrt{3c^2a^2\alpha\beta + \alpha^2ca(ca-\gamma)}}{\alpha^2}.$$

Thus, h(x) > 0 for all $x \in [-r, r]$, and therefore $g(x^*, y^*) > 0$. Therefore, $R_{RvdP\omega^2=1} \subset C_{RvdP\omega^2=1}$ in all four cases.

Due to Lemma 4.6, any trajectory starting within $R_{RvdP\omega^2=1}$ of the network (24) with appropriate coefficients will remain there and thence in $C_{RvdP\omega^2=1}$ due to Lemma 4.7. Consequently, Lemma 4.4 implies the following theorem.

Theorem 4.8. Consider a network of N all-to-all coupled identical Rayleigh van der Pol oscillators with an identical full-state linear coupling described by Eq. (24) with $\alpha \ge 0$, $\beta \ge 0$, ca > 0, $\gamma < 0$, and $\omega^2 = 1$. In the cases stated in Lemma 4.7, the network is completely synchronized if its starting points, (x_{i0}, y_{i0}) , are taken in the region $R_{RvdP\omega^2=1}$ for all i from 1 to N.

Note that this theorem is based on the contraction theory, so the rate of synchronization is exponential due to Theorem 2.1. However, as can be seen in Lemma 4.9 below, the rate of convergence of the network to the origin is also exponential if the initial conditions are in a neighborhood of the origin. Therefore, for initial conditions in $R_{RvdP\omega^2=1}$ and close to the origin, we usually cannot determine whether the network can reach complete synchronization before it decays to the equilibrium position without numerical methods. In general, for large coupling constants c and a, the complete synchronization can be achieved first. For initial conditions in $R_{RvdP\omega^2=1}$ and far from the origin, in most cases the network will get completely synchronized before the oscillations stop.

Example. Consider a network of six all-to-all coupled identical Rayleigh van der Pol oscillators with an identical full-state linear coupling described by Eq. Eq. (24) with $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 1$, $\gamma = -0.1$, $\omega = 1$, a = 1, c = 1, and N = 6. Suppose the starting points of this system are

$$(x_1(0), y_1(0)) = (1, 1.5), (x_2(0), y_2(0)) = (0.3, -1.2), (x_3(0), y_3(0)) = (-0.5, -1.4), (x_4(0), y_4(0)) = (0.5, -0.5), (x_5(0), y_5(0)) = (1.7, 0.9), (x_6(0), y_6(0)) = (0.3, -1).$$

Choose the trapping region, $R_{RvdP\omega^2=1}$, to be a disk of radius r = 2. Then all the starting points are in $R_{RvdP\omega^2=1}$. By Lemma 4.7 (case 4 of the lemma), $R_{RvdP\omega^2=1}$ is included in the contraction region, $C_{RvdP\omega^2=1}$, of the virtual system of this network since

$$\begin{split} 4 &= r^2 \geqslant \max\{\frac{ca\alpha - 3ca\beta}{\alpha^2}, \frac{3ca\beta - ca\alpha}{\alpha^2}\} = 2, \\ -0.05 &\approx \frac{ca\alpha + 3ca\beta - 2\sqrt{3c^2a^2\alpha\beta + \alpha^2ca(ca - \gamma)}}{\alpha^2} < r^2 \\ &< \frac{ca\alpha + 3ca\beta + 2\sqrt{3c^2a^2\alpha\beta + \alpha^2ca(ca - \gamma)}}{\alpha^2} \approx 8.05, \\ &\qquad 3ca\alpha\beta + \alpha^2(ca - \gamma) = 4.1 > 0. \end{split}$$

By Theorem 4.8, the network is completely synchronized.

It can be seen from Fig. 3 that the trajectories, $(x_i(t), y_i(t))$, of all oscillators in this network converge to the origin as predicted by Lemma 4.5, but all oscillators quickly reach complete synchronization before the amplitudes of the oscillations decrease to zero.

Next we consider the case when $\gamma > 0$. In this case, the origin may not be an asymptotically stable equilibrium point and there may be a periodic behavior of the system. We want to find the conditions that make the system (24) self-sustained so that a stable limit cycle exists in its state space.

Lemma 4.9. Suppose ac > 0 and $\omega \neq 0$. When $|\gamma| \ge 2|\omega|$ and $\gamma < 0$, the origin is a locally exponentially stable stationary point for the system (24) (overdamped oscillators for $|\gamma| > 2|\omega|$). When $|\gamma| < 2|\omega|$ and $\gamma < 2ca$, the system (24) experiences a Hopf bifurcation at the origin when γ crosses 0, and the origin is locally exponentially stable for $\gamma < 0$ (underdamped oscillators) and unstable for $\gamma > 0$, and the bifurcation is supercritical if $\alpha \ge 0$ and $\beta \ge 0$.

Proof. The Jacobian of the linearized system (24) about the origin is given by

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{ca}{N}L_N & I_N \\ -\omega^2 I_N & \gamma I_N - \frac{ca}{N}L_N \end{pmatrix},$$



(a) The time series of x_i for i from 1 to 6 (b) The time series of y_i for i from 1 to 6



(c) Phase portraits

Figure 3: The time series and phase portraits of underdamped six all-to-all coupled identical Rayleigh van der Pol oscillators with an identical full-state linear coupling

where I_N is an $N \times N$ identical matrix and L_N is an $N \times N$ Laplacian matrix of complete graph whose elements are given by

$$L_{Nij} = \begin{cases} N-1 & \text{if } i=j \\ -1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Hence an eigenvalue λ of J satisfies

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{ca}{N}L_N - \lambda I_N & I_N \\ -\omega^2 I_N & (\gamma - \lambda)I_N - \frac{ca}{N}L_N \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Since I_N is an identical matrix, the lower two blocks in matrix J commute. Using a property of block matrix determinant, we have

$$0 = \det \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{ca}{N}L_N - \lambda I_N & I_{N \times N} \\ -\omega^2 I_N & (\gamma - \lambda)I_N - \frac{ca}{N}L_N \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \det \left((-\frac{ca}{N}L_N - \lambda I_N)((\gamma - \lambda)I_N - \frac{ca}{N}L_N) + \omega^2 I_N^2 \right)$$
$$= \det \left(\frac{c^2 a^2}{N^2}L_N^2 + \frac{ca}{N}(2\lambda - \gamma)L_N + [\omega^2 - \lambda(\gamma - \lambda)]I_N \right).$$

It can be calculated that

$$L_{Nij}^2 = \begin{cases} N^2 - N & \text{if } i = j \\ -N & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We therefore have

$$0 = \det \begin{pmatrix} p(\lambda) & q(\lambda) & \cdots & q(\lambda) \\ q(\lambda) & p(\lambda) & \cdots & q(\lambda) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ q(\lambda) & q(\lambda) & \cdots & p(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}_{N \times N},$$

where

$$\begin{split} p(\lambda) = &\lambda^2 + (2ca\frac{N-1}{N} - \gamma)\lambda + c^2a^2 - ca\gamma + \frac{ca\gamma - c^2a^2}{N} + \omega^2, \\ q(\lambda) = &-\frac{2ca}{N}\lambda + \frac{ca\gamma - c^2a^2}{N}. \end{split}$$

Lemma 4.10. For $N \times N$ matrices W_N and S_N whose elements are defined as

$$W_{Nij} = \begin{cases} a & if \ i = j \\ b & otherwise \end{cases}$$

and

$$S_{Nij} = \begin{cases} a & if \ i = j \neq 1 \\ b & otherwise \end{cases}$$

respectively, we have

$$det[W_N] = (a-b)^{N-1}[a+(N-1)b] det[S_N] = b(a-b)^{N-1}.$$
(26)

Proof. The case when N = 1 is trivial. We assume Eq. (26) is true for $N \ge 1$ and choose any row or column to calculate the determinants of W_{N+1} and S_{N+1} . By switching rows, one can find

$$det[W_{N+1}] = a(det[W_N]) - Nb(det[S_N])$$

= $a(a-b)^{N-1}[a + (N-1)b] - Nb^2(a-b)^{N-1}$
= $(a-b)^{N-1}[a^2 + (N-1)ab - Nb^2]$
= $(a-b)^{N-1}[(a-b)(a+Nb)]$
= $(a-b)^N(a+Nb),$

and

$$det[S_{N+1}] = b(det[W_N]) - Nb(det[S_N])$$

= $b(a - b)^{N-1}[a + (N - 1)b] - Nb^2(a - b)^{N-1}$
= $(a - b)^{N-1}[ab - b^2]$
= $b(a - b)^N$.

By mathematical induction, Lemma 4.10 has been proved.

Using Lemma 4.10, λ satisfies

$$(p-q)^{N-1}[p+(N-1)q](\lambda) = 0.$$
 (27)

One can find

$$(p-q)(\lambda) = \lambda^2 + (2ca - \gamma)\lambda + c^2a^2 - ca\gamma + \omega^2,$$
(28)

$$[p + (N-1)q](\lambda) = \lambda^2 - \gamma\lambda + \omega^2.$$
⁽²⁹⁾

It follows that two roots of the function (28) are a pair of solutions of Eq. (27) with multiplicity N-1 and two roots of the function (29) are a pair of solutions of Eq. (27) with multiplicity 1. One can find the roots of (28) and (29) are

$$\lambda_{1\pm} = \frac{1}{2} (-2ac + \gamma \pm \sqrt{\gamma^2 - 4\omega^2}),$$

$$\lambda_{2\pm} = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma \pm \sqrt{\gamma^2 - 4\omega^2})$$

respectively. Assume that $ac \ge 0$ and $\omega \ne 0$. Then for $\gamma < 0$ and $|\gamma| \ge 2|\omega|$, $\lambda_{1\pm}$ and $\lambda_{2\pm}$ are all negative reals since

$$\gamma + \sqrt{\gamma^2 - 4\omega^2} < 0.$$

Therefore, in this case, J has 2N negative real eigenvalues, which implies the origin is locally exponentially stable. For $|\gamma| > 2|\omega|$, each pair of roots is distinct from each other, so the oscillations are overdamped. For $|\gamma| < 2|\omega|$, if $\gamma < 2ca$, J has the same N - 1 pairs of complex conjugate eigenvalues with negative real parts, $\{\lambda_{1+}, \lambda_{1-}\}$, and a pair of complex conjugate eigenvalues, $\{\lambda_{2+}, \lambda_{2-}\}$, which cross the imaginary axis due to a variation of γ .

Note that

$$\frac{\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{2\pm})(\gamma)|_{\gamma=0} = 0}{\frac{d\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{2\pm})(\gamma)}{d\gamma}}\Big|_{\gamma=0} = \frac{1}{2} > 0,$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{2\pm})$ denote the real parts of $\lambda_{2\pm}$. According to the Hopf bifurcation theorem, a Hopf bifurcation arises from the origin at $\gamma = 0$, and the origin is locally exponentially stable for $\gamma < 0$ and unstable for $\gamma > 0$. For $\gamma < 0$, the oscillations are underadmped since J has 2N complex conjugate eigenvalues with negative real parts in this case. If we further have $\alpha \ge 0$ and $\beta \ge 0$, then the origin is globally asymptotically stable according to Lemma 4.5, which means that there is no limit cycle around the origin for $\gamma < 0$. Thus, the Hopf bifurcation must be supercritical.

By the Hopf bifurcation theorem for multi-dimensional systems, for sufficiently small values of $\gamma \neq 0$ a continuous family of stable limit cycles $\{\xi(\gamma)\},\$ with the parameter γ , bifurcates from the origin into the region $\gamma > 0$ in a multi-dimensional state space when the supercritical Hopf bifurcation occurs in the coupled-oscillator system (24). As can be seen in Fig. 5 in Appendix C, for fixed values of α and β and a sufficiently small variable $\gamma > 0$, the "projection" of the limit cycle $\xi(\gamma)$ on each $x_i y_i$ -plane is roughly a circle, $\xi_i(\gamma)$, surrounding the origin, and all $\xi_i(\gamma)$ have the same radius, $r(\gamma)$, that depends only on γ . We can put all $\xi_i(\gamma)$ in the phase plane of the virtual system (25) of the network (24). In fact, any two circles, $\xi_i(\gamma)$ and $\xi_j(\gamma)$, that lie inside C_{RvdP} must coincide, otherwise the projections, $(x_i(t), y_i(t))$ and $(x_j(t), y_j(t))$, of the trajectory of (24) that starts near $\xi(\gamma)$ would never converge. Therefore, coincidence of $\xi_i(\gamma)$ for all *i* is a necessary condition for the network (24) that has a stable limit cycle $\xi(\gamma)$ and starts near it to be completely synchronized. For a sufficiently small value of γ , the origin is in fact the only equilibrium point of the system (24) with $\alpha \ge 0, \beta \ge 0$, and ca > 0, and the bifurcated limit cycle $\xi(\gamma)$ is also unique. So $\xi(\gamma)$ is a globally stable limit cycle, which means that every trajectory of (24) with $\alpha \ge 0, \beta \ge 0, ca > 0$, and a sufficiently small $\gamma > 0$ that does not start at the origin will spiral into $\xi(\gamma)$ as time approaches infinity.

When a globally stable limit cycle $\xi(\gamma)$ that evolves around the origin exists in a coupled-oscillator system (24), it is plausible to assume that if the projected trajectories $(x_j(t), y_j(t))$ of (24) start in a disk of radius R that contains $\xi_j(\gamma)$ for all j from 1 to N, then we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} x_j^2(t) + y_j^2(t) \leqslant NR^2.$$
(30)

Then we can see from the proof of Lemma 4.6 that at any point, (x, y), on the boundary of the disk $R_{RvdP\omega^2=1}$ of radius r we have

$$\begin{split} \nabla H \cdot \langle \dot{x}(t), \dot{y}(t) \rangle =& 2x \dot{x}(t) + 2y \dot{y}(t) \\ &= -2 \left(\alpha x^2 + \beta y^2 - \gamma \right) y^2 \\ &+ 2 \frac{ca}{N} (\sum_{j=1}^N (x x_j(t) + y y_j(t)) - N(x^2 + y^2)) \\ &\leqslant & 2\gamma (x^2 + y^2) + 2 \frac{ca}{N} (\sum_{j=1}^N (x x_j(t) + y y_j(t)) - N(x^2 + y^2)) \\ &= & 2 \frac{ca}{N} (\sum_{j=1}^N r r_j(t) \cos \theta(t) - N(1 - \frac{\gamma}{ca}) r^2) \\ &\leqslant & 2 \frac{ca}{N} (\sum_{j=1}^N r r_j(t) - N(1 - \frac{\gamma}{ca}) r^2). \end{split}$$

Suppose that $x_{j_0}^2 + y_{j_0}^2 \leq (1 - \frac{\gamma}{ca})^2 r^2$ for all j and that $(1 - \frac{\gamma}{ca})r > r(\gamma)$. By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and Eq. (30), we have

$$\frac{(\sum_{j=1}^{N} r_j(t))^2}{N} \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{N} r_j^2(t) \leqslant N(1 - \frac{\gamma}{ca})^2 r^2.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} rr_j(t) \leqslant N(1 - \frac{\gamma}{ca})r^2.$$

Therefore, $\nabla H \cdot \langle \dot{x}(t), \dot{y}(t) \rangle \leq 0$ on the boundary of $R_{RvdP\omega^2=1}$. It follows that the disk, $R_{RvdP\omega^2=1}$, having radius $r > r(\gamma)(1 - \frac{\gamma}{ca})^{-1}$ is a "vague" trapping region of the virtual system (25) with $\alpha \ge 0$, $\beta \ge 0$, ca > 0, $\omega^2 = 1$, and with a sufficiently small value of γ satisfying $0 < \gamma < 2 \min\{ca, 1\}$ in the sence that every trajectory that starts within a smaller disk of radius $(1 - \frac{\gamma}{ca})r$ which is included in $R_{RvdP\omega^2=1}$ will remain in $R_{RvdP\omega^2=1}$ as the system (25) evolves, and that the corresponding network (24) is completely synchronized in the cases given in Lemma 4.7 if $x_{i\,0}^2 + y_{i\,0}^2 \le (1 - \frac{\gamma}{ca})^2 r^2$ for all *i* from 1 to N and $\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i\,0}^2 + y_{i\,0}^2 \ne 0$.

 $\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i0}^2 + y_{i0}^2 \neq 0.$ I do not have a proof for the inequality (30). However, this is not a crucial problem if we do not limited to exponential synchronization because any trajectory of (24) that starts everywhere except the origin would eventually get infinitely close to $\xi(\gamma)$ on which (30) holds for $R \ge r(\gamma)$.

Another problem is that the value of $r(\gamma)$ needs to be obtained numerically. By an empirical rule of thumb for supercritical Hopf bifurcations, the size of $\xi(\gamma)$ grows continuously from zero and increases proportionally to $\sqrt{\gamma}$, so $r(\gamma) \approx k\sqrt{\gamma}$. As you can see in Fig. 5, it can be found numerically that the coefficient of proportionality k depends on the nonlinear coefficients α and β in the way that k increases with decrease in α or β . It is difficult to obtain a further relation of k with α and β , but we can see from Fig. 5 (c) that $k \approx 1$ when $\alpha = \beta = 1$.

Example. Consider a network of six all-to-all coupled identical Rayleigh van der Pol oscillators with an identical full-state linear coupling described by Eq. (24) with $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 1$, $\gamma = 0.6$, $\omega = 1$, a = 1, c = 1, and N = 6. It can be seen from Fig. 6 (c) that for $\alpha = \beta = 1$, $r(\gamma) \approx \sqrt{\gamma} = \sqrt{0.6}$.

Choose the "vague" trapping region, $R_{RvdP\omega^2=1}$, to be a disk of radius $r = 2 > r(\gamma)(1 - \frac{\gamma}{ca})^{-1} \approx 1.94$. The starting points of this system are

$$(x_{10}, y_{10}) = (0.6, 0.5), (x_{20}, y_{20}) = (0.3, -0.2), (x_{30}, y_{30}) = (-0.7, -0.3), (x_{40}, y_{40}) = (0.5, -0.5), (x_{50}, y_{50}) = (0.1, 0.4), (x_{60}, y_{60}) = (0, 0).$$

We have $x_{i\,0}^2 + y_{i\,0}^2 \leq (1 - \frac{\gamma}{ca})^2 r^2 = 0.64$ for all *i* from 1 to 6, and it is obvious that $\sum_{i=1}^{6} x_{i\,0}^2 + y_{i\,0}^2 \neq 0$.

By Lemma 4.7 (case 4 of the lemma), $R_{RvdP\omega^2=1}$ is included in $C_{RvdP\omega^2=1}$ since

$$4 = r^{2} \ge \max\{\frac{ca\alpha - 3ca\beta}{\alpha^{2}}, \frac{3ca\beta - ca\alpha}{\alpha^{2}}\} = 2,$$

$$4 - 2\sqrt{3.4} = \frac{ca\alpha + 3ca\beta - 2\sqrt{3c^{2}a^{2}\alpha\beta + \alpha^{2}ca(ca - \gamma)}}{\alpha^{2}} < r^{2}$$

$$< \frac{ca\alpha + 3ca\beta + 2\sqrt{3c^{2}a^{2}\alpha\beta + \alpha^{2}ca(ca - \gamma)}}{\alpha^{2}} = 4 + 2\sqrt{3.4},$$

$$3ca\alpha\beta + \alpha^{2}(ca - \gamma) = 3.4 > 0.$$

Then by the above analysis, we can predict that this network is completely synchronized, which can be seen in Fig. 4.



(a) The time series of x_i for *i* from 1 to 6 (b) The time series of y_i for *i* from 1 to 6



(c) Phase portraits

Figure 4: The time series and phase portraits of six self-sustained all-to-all coupled identical Rayleigh van der Pol oscillators with an identical full-state linear coupling

Determining the direction of the Hopf bifurcation in the system (24) at $\gamma = 0$ is nontrivial when α or β is negative. Moreover, the large number of oscillators in the network makes it even more difficult. For simplicity, we only consider the case of two coupled oscillators, i.e., N = 2. We use the techniques described in [20].

For a system of the form (1) with the bifurcation parameter ν , let $J_{\mathbf{f}}(\nu)$ be the Jacobian at the equilibrium point $\mathbf{x}_*(\nu)$, i.e., $J_{\mathbf{f}}(\nu) = \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x};\nu)}{\partial \mathbf{x}}|_{\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{x}_*}$. Suppose $J_{\mathbf{f}}(\nu)$ has *m* pairs of complex conjugate eigenvalues, $\{\lambda_{i+}, \lambda_{i-}\}$, where λ_{i+} have positive imaginary parts and λ_{i-} have negative ones, and n-2m real eigenvalues, λ_{rj} . Let $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1+}) \ge \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{2+}) \ge \cdots \ge \operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{m+})$ and let $\lambda_{r1} \ge \lambda_{r2} \ge \cdots \ge \lambda_{rn-2m}$. The system can be rewritten as $\dot{\boldsymbol{x}} = \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x}; \nu) = J_{\boldsymbol{f}}(\nu)\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{x})$, where $\boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{x})$ is the nonlinear term.

The Hopf bifurcation theorem gives that the Hopf bifurcation occurs at ν_c where ν_c satisfies $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1+}(\nu_c)) = 0$, $\frac{d\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{1+}(\nu_c))}{d\nu} \neq 0$, $\operatorname{Im}(\lambda_{1+}(\nu_c)) \neq 0$, $\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{i+}(\nu_c)) < 0$ for *i* from 2 to *m*, and $\lambda_{rj}(\nu_c) < 0$ for *j* from 1 to n-2m. Form a matrix $P = (\operatorname{Re}(\boldsymbol{v}_{1+}) - \operatorname{Im}(\boldsymbol{v}_{1+}) \cdots \operatorname{Re}(\boldsymbol{v}_{m+}) - \operatorname{Im}(\boldsymbol{v}_{m+}) \boldsymbol{v}_{r1} \cdots \boldsymbol{v}_{rn-2m})$, where \boldsymbol{v}_{i+} and \boldsymbol{v}_{rj} are (generalized) eigenvectors of $J_{\boldsymbol{f}}(\nu_c)$ corresponding to $\lambda_{i+}(\nu_c)$ and $\lambda_{rj}(\nu_c)$ respectively. We have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.11. *P* is invertable and $P^{-1}J_{\mathbf{f}}(\nu_c)P$ is in the Jordan canonical form, *i.e.*,

$$P^{-1}J_{\mathbf{f}}(\nu_c)P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -Im(\lambda_1(\nu_c)) & 0\\ Im(\lambda_1(\nu_c)) & 0 & 0\\ \hline 0 & D \end{pmatrix},$$
(31)

where D is a block diagonal matrix of original dimension n-2, whose diagonals are Jordan blocks D_i that are either of the form

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc} B_i & I_{2\times 2} & & \\ & B_i & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & I_{2\times 2} \\ & & & B_i \end{array}\right)$$

for i from 2 to m, where the empty elements are 0 blocks, $I_{2\times 2}$ is the two dimensional identical matrix and

$$B_{i} = \begin{pmatrix} Re(\lambda_{i+}(\nu_{c})) & -Im(\lambda_{i+}(\nu_{c})) \\ Im(\lambda_{i+}(\nu_{c})) & Re(\lambda_{i+}(\nu_{c})) \end{pmatrix}$$

for complex eigenvalues $\lambda_{i+}(\nu_c)$, or of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{rj}(\nu_c) & 1 & & \\ & \lambda_{rj}(\nu_c) & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & \lambda_{rj}(\nu_c) \end{pmatrix},$$

for real eigenvalue $\lambda_{rj}(\nu_c)$ with *i* from 1 to n-2m, where the empty elements are 0.

Perform coordinate transformations from x to y frames by $\mathbf{x} = P\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{x}_*(\nu_c)$. Then the system at $\nu = \nu_c$ is converted into

$$\begin{split} \dot{\boldsymbol{y}} &= P^{-1} \dot{\boldsymbol{x}} = P^{-1} \boldsymbol{f} (P \boldsymbol{y} + \boldsymbol{x}_*(\nu_c)) \\ &= P^{-1} J_{\boldsymbol{f}}(\nu_c) P \boldsymbol{y} + P^{-1} J_{\boldsymbol{f}}(\nu_c) \boldsymbol{x}_*(\nu_c) + \boldsymbol{h} (P \boldsymbol{y} + \boldsymbol{x}_*(\nu_c)) \\ &\equiv \boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{y}), \end{split}$$

where $\mathbf{F} = \langle F_1, \dots, F_n \rangle : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a vector-valued function. Then $\frac{\partial \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{y})}{\partial \mathbf{y}}|_{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{0}} = P^{-1}J_{\mathbf{f}}(\nu_c)P$. Thus, by Lemma 4.10, the Jacobian for \mathbf{y} -system at $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{0}$ is in the Jordan canonical form. We calculate the following quantities at $\mathbf{y} = \langle y_1, \dots, y_n \rangle = \mathbf{0}$.

$$\begin{split} g_{11} &= \frac{1}{4} \Big[\frac{\partial^2 F_1}{\partial y_1{}^2} + \frac{\partial^2 F_1}{\partial y_2{}^2} + i \Big(\frac{\partial^2 F_2}{\partial y_1{}^2} + \frac{\partial^2 F_2}{\partial y_2{}^2} \Big) \Big], \\ g_{20} &= \frac{1}{4} \Big[\frac{\partial^2 F_1}{\partial y_1{}^2} - \frac{\partial^2 F_1}{\partial y_2{}^2} + 2 \frac{\partial^2 F_2}{\partial y_1 \partial y_2} + i \Big(\frac{\partial^2 F_2}{\partial y_1{}^2} - \frac{\partial^2 F_2}{\partial y_2{}^2} - 2 \frac{\partial^2 F_1}{\partial y_1 \partial y_2} \Big) \Big], \\ g_{02} &= \frac{1}{4} \Big[\frac{\partial^2 F_1}{\partial y_1{}^2} - \frac{\partial^2 F_1}{\partial y_2{}^2} - 2 \frac{\partial^2 F_2}{\partial y_1 \partial y_2} + i \Big(\frac{\partial^2 F_2}{\partial y_1{}^2} - \frac{\partial^2 F_2}{\partial y_2{}^2} + 2 \frac{\partial^2 F_1}{\partial y_1 \partial y_2} \Big) \Big], \\ G_{21} &= \frac{1}{8} \Big[\frac{\partial^3 F_1}{\partial y_1{}^3} + \frac{\partial^3 F_1}{\partial y_1 \partial y_2{}^2} + \frac{\partial^3 F_2}{\partial y_1{}^2 \partial y_2} + \frac{\partial^3 F_2}{\partial y_2{}^3} + i \Big(\frac{\partial^3 F_2}{\partial y_1{}^3} + \frac{\partial^3 F_1}{\partial y_1 \partial y_2{}^2} - \frac{\partial^3 F_1}{\partial y_2{}^3} \Big) \Big], \\ g_{21} &= G_{21} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \Big(2G_{110}^i w_{11}^i + G_{101}^i w_{20}^i \Big), \end{split}$$

where

$$G_{110}^{j-2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial^2 F_1}{\partial y_1 \partial y_j} + \frac{\partial^2 F_2}{\partial y_2 \partial y_j} + i \left(\frac{\partial^2 F_2}{\partial y_1 \partial y_j} - \frac{\partial^2 F_1}{\partial y_2 \partial y_j} \right) \right],$$

$$G_{101}^{j-2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial^2 F_1}{\partial y_1 \partial y_j} - \frac{\partial^2 F_2}{\partial y_2 \partial y_j} + i \left(\frac{\partial^2 F_2}{\partial y_1 \partial y_j} + \frac{\partial^2 F_1}{\partial y_2 \partial y_j} \right) \right]$$

for $j = 3, \dots, n$, and where $\boldsymbol{w}_{11} = \langle w_{11}^1, \dots, w_{11}^{n-2} \rangle$ and $\boldsymbol{w}_{20} = \langle w_{20}^1, \dots, w_{20}^{n-2} \rangle$ are the solutions of

$$D\boldsymbol{w}_{11} = -\boldsymbol{h}_{11}, (D - 2\text{Im}(\lambda_1(\nu_c))iI_{n-2})\boldsymbol{w}_{20} = -\boldsymbol{h}_{20},$$

respectively, with $\boldsymbol{h}_{11} = \langle h_{11}^1, \cdots, h_{11}^{n-2} \rangle$ and $\boldsymbol{h}_{20} = \langle h_{20}^1, \cdots, h_{20}^{n-2} \rangle$ whose elements are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} h_{11}^{j-2} &= \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\partial^2 F_j}{\partial y_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 F_j}{\partial y_2^2} \right), \\ h_{20}^{j-2} &= \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\partial^2 F_j}{\partial y_1^2} - \frac{\partial^2 F_j}{\partial y_2^2} - 2i \frac{\partial^2 F_j}{\partial y_1 \partial y_2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for $j = 3, \dots, n$, and with matrix D defined in Eq. (31). We then calculate

$$\beta_2 = \operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{i}{\operatorname{Im}(\lambda_1(\nu_c))}(g_{20}g_{11} - 2|g_{11}|^2 - \frac{|g_{02}|^2}{3}) + g_{21}\right].$$

The limit cycle is stable (unstable) if $\beta_2 < 0$ ($\beta_2 > 0$) on the side of $\nu = \nu_c$ where the limit cycle appears, which indicates the Hoft bifurcation is supercritical (subcritical) [20].

For system (24) with N = 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x};\gamma) &= \begin{pmatrix} x_3 + \frac{ca(x_2 - x_1)}{2} \\ x_4 + \frac{ca(x_1 - x_2)}{2} \\ -(\alpha x_1^2 + \beta x_3^2 - \gamma) x_3 - \omega^2 x_1 + \frac{ca(x_4 - x_3)}{2} \\ -(\alpha x_2^2 + \beta x_4^2 - \gamma) x_4 - \omega^2 x_2 + \frac{ca(x_3 - x_4)}{2} \end{pmatrix} \\ P &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & |\omega|^{-1} & 0 & -|\omega|^{-1} \\ 0 & |\omega|^{-1} & 0 & |\omega|^{-1} \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ g_{11} &= g_{20} = g_{02} = 0, \\ g_{11} &= g_{20} = g_{02} = 0, \\ G_{21} &= -\frac{\alpha + 3\beta\omega^2}{4\omega^2}, \\ \boldsymbol{h}_{11} &= \boldsymbol{h}_{20} = \boldsymbol{0}, \\ \boldsymbol{w}_{11} &= \boldsymbol{w}_{20} = \boldsymbol{0}, \\ g_{21} &= G_{21}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\beta_2 = -\frac{\alpha + 3\beta\omega^2}{4\omega^2}.$$

If $\omega \neq 0$ and $\alpha + 3\beta\omega^2 > 0$ (< 0), then $\beta_2 < 0$ (> 0), and thus a supercritical (subcritical) Hopf bifurcation occurs. For systems with more oscillators, we can analyze the direction of the Hopf bifurcation in the same way, which will not be discussed in this paper. Combined with Lemma 4.9, we can conclude

Theorem 4.12. For a two-oscillator system described by Eq. (24) with ca > 0and N = 2, when $|\gamma| < 2\omega$ and $\gamma < 2ca$, the origin is locally exponentially stable for $\gamma < 0$ and unstable for $\gamma > 0$, and a continuous one-parameter family of stable (unstable) limit cycles bifurcate from the origin into the region $\gamma > 0$ (< 0) if $\alpha + 3\beta\omega^2 > 0$ (< 0).

In the case of a supercritical Hoft bifurcation, the conclusion about the conditions for synchronization of the network (24) with $\alpha, \beta \ge 0$ also applies here for the case $\alpha + 3\beta\omega^2 > 0$ with negative value of α or β . However, there are two issues to be noted, one is that the shape of the limit cycle becomes distorted more rapidly from a circle with increasing γ compared to the case for $\alpha, \beta \ge 0$, and the other is that the stability of the limit cycle becomes local since the origin may not be a unique equilibrium point even for a very small value of γ when α or β is negative. Therefore, in the case where either $\alpha < 0$ or $\beta < 0$, the radius of the "vague" trapping region $R_{RvdP\omega^2=1}$ of the virtual system (25) with $ca > 0, \omega^2 = 1$, and $\alpha + 3\beta > 0$, can be taken to be only slightly greater than $r(\gamma)(1 - \frac{\gamma}{ca})^{-1}$.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, the contraction theory is introduced to analyze the complete synchronization of nonlinear coupled oscillators. It can be seen that on the assumptions of identical coupled oacillators and linear couplings, we can find the contraction regions of the virtual systems of the coupled-oscillatot networks in different constructions, including all-to-all topology, star topology, and ring topology. For linearly coupled van der Pol and Duffing van der Pol oscillators, the contraction regions cover the entire phase plane of the corresponding virtual systems when the coefficients of the networks meet certain conditions, thus allowing all oscillatos in the networks to be completely synchronized under arbitrary initial conditions. In contrast, the contraction region of the virtual system of a network of the linearly coupled Rayleigh van der Pol oscillators is always finite, but we can find a trapping region and the conditions that make it included in the contraction region so that the network is completely synchronized if the trajectories of all oscillators in the network start within such a trapping region. Contraction theory has so far been applied to the study of complete synchronization and anti-synchronization of coupled oscillators. To show the anti-synchronization of two oscillators with states \boldsymbol{x}_1 and \boldsymbol{x}_2 , respectively, we only need to prove that the oscillator with state \boldsymbol{x}_1 and an oscillator with state $-x_2$ are completely synchronized. The future research direction could be to apply the contraction theory to phase synchronization and phase-locking phenomena and to combine it with other traditional methods such as the Kuramoto model and the Hilbert transform method.

Acknowledgement

I remain indebted to my professors Randy Tagg and Masoud Asadi-Zeydabadi for giving me the golden opportunity to do this ressearch on the synchronization of coupled oscillators and for their valuable inputs in the early stages of this study.

References

- Mirollo, R.E. and Strogatz, S.H., 1990. Synchronization of pulse-coupled biological oscillators. SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics, 50(6), pp.1645-1662.
- [2] Roy, P.K., Hens, C., Grosu, I. and Dana, S.K., 2011. Engineering generalized synchronization in chaotic oscillators. *Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science*, 21(1), p.013106.
- [3] Golubitsky, M., Stewart, I., Buono, P.L. and Collins, J.J., 1998. A modular network for legged locomotion. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 115(1-2), pp.56-72.
- [4] Winfree, A.T., 1967. Biological rhythms and the behavior of populations of coupled oscillators. SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics, 50(6), pp.1645-1662.
- [5] Pikovsky, A., Kurths, J., Rosenblum, M. and Kurths, J., 2003. Synchronization: a universal concept in nonlinear sciences (No. 12). Cambridge university press.
- [6] Collins, J., 2003. Sync: The Emerging Science of Spontaneous Order. Nature, 422(6928), pp.117-118.
- [7] Mirollo, R.E. and Strogatz, S.H., 1990. Synchronization transitions in a disordered Josephson series array. *Physical review letters*, 76(3), p.404.
- [8] Cross, M.C., Zumdieck, A., Lifshitz, R. and Rogers, J.L., 2004. Synchronization by nonlinear frequency pulling. *Physical review letters*, 93(22), p.224101.
- [9] Ermentrout, B., 1991. An adaptive model for synchrony in the firefly Pteroptyx malaccae. Journal of Mathematical Biology, 29(6), pp.571-585.
- [10] Jiang, Y., 2012. Modeling Accoustic Synchronization of Crickets.
- [11] Javaloyes, J., Perrin, M. and Politi, A., 2008. Collective atomic recoil laser as a synchronization transition. *Physical Review E*, 78(1), p.011108.
- [12] Montbrió, E. and Pazó, D., 2018. Kuramoto model for excitation-inhibitionbased oscillations. *Physical review letters*, 120(24), p.244101.
- [13] Xu, C., Gao, J., Sun, Y., Huang, X. and Zheng, Z., 2015. Explosive or Continuous: Incoherent state determines the route to synchronization. *Scientific reports*, 5(1), pp.1-11.
- [14] Simpson-Porco, J.W. and Bullo, F., 2014. Contraction theory on Riemannian manifolds. Systems & Control Letters, 65, pp.74-80.

- [15] Lohmiller, W. and Slotine, J.J.E., 1998. On contraction analysis for nonlinear systems. Automatica, 34(6), pp.683-696.
- [16] Wang, W. and Slotine, J.J.E., 2005. On partial contraction analysis for coupled nonlinear oscillators. *Biological cybernetics*, 92(1), pp.38-53.
- [17] Ruzitalab, A., Farahi, M.H. and Erjaee, G., 2018. Partial contraction analysis of coupled fractional order systems. *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 2018.
- [18] Gallier, J., 2020. The Schur complement and symmetric positive semidefinite (and definite) matrices (2019). URL https://www. cis. upenn. edu/jean/schur-comp. pdf.
- [19] Alderisio, F., Bardy, B.G. and Di Bernardo, M., 2016. Entrainment and synchronization in networks of Rayleigh—van der Pol oscillators with diffusive and Haken—Kelso—Bunz couplings. *Biological cybernetics*, 110(2), pp.151-169.
- [20] Hassard, B.D., Hassard, B.D., Kazarinoff, N.D., Wan, Y.H. and Wan, Y.W., 1981. Theory and applications of Hopf bifurcation (Vol. 41). CUP Archive.

Appendix

A Proof of Theorem 2.1

Consider a nonlinear system of the form (1). Let \boldsymbol{x}_0 and \boldsymbol{x}'_0 be two different but close initial points of the system on the state space. Assume that the state space of the system is a flat space equipped with Cartesian coordinates.

Let $\delta \boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{x}(t; \boldsymbol{x}_0) - \boldsymbol{x}'(t; \boldsymbol{x}'_0)$ be an infinitesimal displacement between two different trajectories of the system at the same time. By chain rule, it can be seen from Eq. (1) that

$$\delta \dot{oldsymbol{x}} = \delta oldsymbol{f}(oldsymbol{x}(t)) = rac{\partial oldsymbol{f}(oldsymbol{x}(t))}{\partial oldsymbol{x}} \delta oldsymbol{x}$$

It implies that the rate of change of the squared "distance" between two trajectories $\delta s^2 = \delta \boldsymbol{x}^T \delta \boldsymbol{x}$ in the flat state space is:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\left(\delta \boldsymbol{x}^{T} \delta \boldsymbol{x}\right)}{dt} &= 2\delta \boldsymbol{x}^{T} \delta \dot{\boldsymbol{x}} = 2\delta \boldsymbol{x}^{T} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{f}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} \delta \boldsymbol{x} = \delta \boldsymbol{x}^{T} \left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{f}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} + \left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{f}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}}\right)^{T}\right) \delta \boldsymbol{x} \\ &= 2\delta \boldsymbol{x}^{T} \left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{f}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}}\right)_{s} \delta \boldsymbol{x} \leq 2\lambda_{\max}(\boldsymbol{x}) \delta \boldsymbol{x}^{T} \delta \boldsymbol{x}. \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda_{\max}(\boldsymbol{x})$ is the largest eigenvalue of $\left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}}\right)_s$. We therefore have

$$\frac{d\left(\delta \boldsymbol{x}^{T} \delta \boldsymbol{x}\right)}{\delta \boldsymbol{x}^{T} \delta \boldsymbol{x}} \leqslant 2\lambda_{\max}(\boldsymbol{x}(t)) dt.$$

Integrating both sides from 0 to t gives

$$\ln\left[\delta s^2\right] - \ln\left[\delta s_{t=0}^2\right] \leqslant 2 \int_0^t \lambda_{\max}(\boldsymbol{x}(\tau)) \, d\tau,$$

or,

$$\delta s^2 \leqslant \delta s_{t=0}^2 \exp\left[2\int_0^t \lambda_{\max}(\boldsymbol{x}(\tau))\right]$$
$$\delta s \leqslant \delta s_{t=0} \exp\left[\int_0^t \lambda_{\max}(\boldsymbol{x}(\tau))\right].$$

Since $\lambda_{\max}(\boldsymbol{x}(t))$ is uniformly negative in the contraction region C, the infinitesimal distance between any two trajectories that are confined in C exponentially converges to zero. Using path integration, any two trajectories of the system that remain in C converge exponentially to each other.

B Proof of Lemma 4.5

Note that the origin is an equilibrium point. Since $\omega \neq 0$, we can construct a continuously differentiable function $V(x_i, y_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{x_i^2}{2} + \frac{y_i^2}{2\omega^2}\right)$. This is a Lyapunov function for system (24). To see this, we take the derivative of Vwith respect to time along an arbitrary trajectory of Eq. (24).

$$\begin{split} \dot{V}(x_i, y_i) &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i \dot{x}_i + \frac{y_i \dot{y}_i}{\omega^2}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i y_i - \frac{ca}{N} x_i \sum_{j=1}^{N} (x_i - x_j)) \\ &- \frac{y_i}{\omega^2} \left(\alpha x_i^2 + \beta y_i^2 - \gamma \right) y_i - \frac{y_i}{\omega^2} \omega^2 x_i - \frac{ca}{N\omega^2} y_i \sum_{j=1}^{N} (y_i - y_j)) \\ &\leqslant \frac{\gamma}{\omega^2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_i^2 - \frac{ca}{N} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} x_i (x_i - x_j) - \frac{ca}{N\omega^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} y_i (y_i - y_j) \\ &= \frac{\gamma}{\omega^2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_i^2 - \frac{ca}{2N} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} (x_i^2 - 2x_i x_j + x_i^2) - \frac{ca}{2N\omega^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} (y_i^2 - 2y_i y_j + y_i^2). \end{split}$$

Notice that $\sum_{i,j=1}^N x_i^2 = \sum_{j,i=1}^N x_j^2$ and that $\sum_{i,j=1}^N y_i^2 = \sum_{j,i=1}^N y_j^2$. We therefore have

$$\begin{split} \dot{V}(x_i, y_i) \leqslant &\frac{\gamma}{\omega^2} \sum_{i=1}^N y_i^2 - \frac{ca}{2N} \sum_{i,j=1}^N (x_i^2 - 2x_i x_j + x_j^2) - \frac{ca}{2N\omega^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N (y_i^2 - 2y_i y_j + y_j^2) \\ \leqslant &\frac{\gamma}{\omega^2} \sum_{i=1}^N y_i^2 - \frac{ca}{2N} \sum_{i,j=1}^N (x_i - x_j)^2 - \frac{ca}{2N\omega^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^N (y_i - y_j)^2 \\ \leqslant &\frac{\gamma}{\omega^2} \sum_{i=1}^N y_i^2 \leqslant 0. \end{split}$$

Since $\gamma < 0$, the equality holds if and only if $\boldsymbol{x}(t) = (x_i(t) \ y_i(t))^T = \boldsymbol{0}$ for $t \ge 0$. Note that

$$V(\mathbf{0}) = 0$$

$$V(\mathbf{x}) > 0, \forall \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$$

$$V(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow \infty, \text{ as } ||\mathbf{x}|| \rightarrow \infty$$

Thus, by the LaSalle's invariance principle, the origin is globally asymptotically stable.

C The projections of limit cycles



Figure 5: The projections of stable limit cycles $\xi(\gamma)$ of six-coupled-oscillator system (24) with $c = a = \omega = 1$ and with different combinations of α , β , and γ on $x_i y_i$ -planes for all *i* from 1 to 6. It can be seen that for fixed α and β , all projections are roughly circles of the same radius $r(\gamma)$ that is directly proportional to $\sqrt{\gamma}$ for small $\gamma > 0$, and that the constant of proportionality increases with decrease in α or β .