

Confidence intervals of ruin probability under Lévy surplus

Yasutaka Shimizu
Department of Applied Mathematics
Waseda University
 E-mail: `shimizu@waseda.jp`

December 15, 2021

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to construct the confidence interval of the ultimate ruin probability under the insurance surplus driven by a Lévy process. Assuming a parametric family for the Lévy measures, we estimate the parameter from the surplus data, and estimate the ruin probability via the *delta method*. However the asymptotic variance includes the derivative of the ruin probability with respect to the parameter, which is not generally given explicitly, and the confidence interval is not straightforward even if the ruin probability is well estimated. This paper gives the Cramér-type approximation for the derivative, and gives an asymptotic confidence interval of ruin probability.

Key words: Lévy insurance risks; ruin probability; Cramér approximation; delta method; asymptotic confidence interval.

MSC2010: 62G20 (91B30, 62M05).

1 Introduction

1.1 Ruin probability under Lévy surplus

Consider the following insurance surplus:

$$R_t = u + ct + \sigma W_t - S_t, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where $u, c \geq 0$ are constants, W is a standard Brownian motion, and S is a Lévy subordinator with Lévy measure ν_α on $(0, \infty)$ satisfying that $\int_0^1 z \nu_\alpha(dz) < \infty$, and α is a parameter. The Laplace transform of S is given by

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{-uS_t}] = \exp\left(t \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-uz}) \nu_\alpha(dz)\right), \quad u \geq 0,$$

and the mean of S_1 exists:

$$m_\alpha := \mathbb{E}[S_1] = \int_0^\infty z \nu_\alpha(dz) < \infty.$$

As is well known, the following condition is needed to avoid the almost sure ruin.

[NPC] (*the net profit condition*)

$$c > m_\alpha.$$

We use the following notation throughout the paper:

$$D = \sigma^2/2, \quad \Pi_\alpha(z) = \nu_\alpha(z, \infty),$$

and

$$\nu_{I,\alpha}(x) = \int_0^x \Pi_\alpha(z) \, dz, \quad \bar{\nu}_{I,\alpha}(x) = \int_x^\infty \Pi_\alpha(z) \, dz.$$

Moreover let k_D be the probability density function of the exponential distribution with mean D/c :

$$k_D(x) = \frac{c}{D} e^{-\frac{c}{D}x}, \quad x \geq 0.$$

Letting $\theta = (\alpha, D)$, we consider the ultimate ruin probability give by

$$\psi_\theta(u) = \mathbb{P} \left(\inf_{t \geq 0} R_t < 0 \mid R_0 = u \right), \quad u \geq 0.$$

Hereafter the notation \star stands for the convolution (of Lebesgue integral)

$$f \star g(u) = \int_0^u f(u-x)g(x) \, dx$$

for functions $f, g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

According to Huzack *et al.* [8], Theorem 3.1, the following *defective renewal equation* for the ruin probability ψ_θ is straightforward; see also Biffis and Morales [5], for more general case dealt with the *Gerber-Shiu function*.

Theorem 1. *Under the condition [NPC], it holds that*

$$\psi_\theta(u) = \psi_\theta \star g_\theta(u) + h_\theta(u), \quad u \geq 0, \tag{1.2}$$

where

$$g_\theta(u) = \frac{1}{c} k_D \star \Pi_\alpha(u), \quad h_\theta(u) = \frac{1}{c} k_D \star \bar{\nu}_{I,\alpha}(u) + \int_u^\infty k_D(z) \, dz$$

Consider the *modified Lundberg equation*:

$$\kappa_\theta(r) := -cr + Dr^2 + \int_0^\infty (e^{rz} - 1) \nu_\alpha(dz) = 0. \tag{1.3}$$

(Note that so-called the “Lundberg equation” is “ $\log \mathbb{E}[e^{r(R_1-u)}] = \kappa_\theta(-r) = 0$ ”) Provided [NPC] and that, for a sufficiently large $r > 0$,

$$\int_1^\infty e^{rz} \nu_\alpha(dz) < \infty,$$

the positive solution to the equation exists, say $r = \gamma_\theta > 0$, and it is called *adjustment coefficient*.

Remark 1. *If*

$$\int_1^\infty e^{\frac{c}{D}z} \nu_\alpha(dz) < \infty, \tag{1.4}$$

then the adjustment coefficient γ_θ exists since it holds that

$$\kappa_\theta(0) = \kappa_\theta(\gamma_\theta) = 0; \quad \kappa'_\theta(0) < 0; \quad \kappa_\theta(c/D) = \int_0^\infty (e^{\frac{c}{D}z} - 1) \nu_\alpha(dz) > 0.$$

These facts implies that

$$0 < \gamma_\theta < \frac{c}{D}, \quad (1.5)$$

which also holds true if γ_θ exists unless (1.4) holds.

Multiplying $e^{\gamma_\theta u}$ to the both sides of (1.2),

$$\tilde{\psi}_\theta(u) = \tilde{\psi}_\theta \star \tilde{g}_\theta(u) + \tilde{h}_\theta(u), \quad (1.6)$$

where $\tilde{f}(u) = e^{\gamma_\theta u} f(u)$ for the function f . Since it is easily checked (see the proof of Theorem 2) that

$$\int_0^\infty \tilde{g}_\theta(z) dz = 1, \quad (1.7)$$

the equation (1.6) is the (proper) renewal equation. Hence the usual argument using “Key Renewal Theorem” yields that the following *Cramér-type approximation* of the ruin probability.

Theorem 2. *Suppose the condition [NPC] and the adjustment coefficient $\gamma_\theta > 0$ exists. Moreover, suppose the moment condition such as*

$$\int_1^\infty z e^{\gamma_\theta z} \nu_\alpha(dz) < \infty.$$

Then it holds that

$$\psi_\theta(u) \sim C_\theta e^{-\gamma_\theta u}, \quad u \rightarrow \infty, \quad (1.8)$$

where

$$C_\theta = \frac{c - m_\alpha}{\int_0^\infty z e^{\gamma_\theta z} \nu_\alpha(dz) - c + 2D\gamma_\theta}.$$

Proof. This result is not new, but we will describe the proof since no explicit proof can be found anywhere. Therefore we will give the detailed proof here.

We start off by the renewal-type equation (1.6):

$$\tilde{\psi}_\theta(u) = \tilde{\psi}_\theta \star \tilde{g}_\theta(u) + \tilde{h}_\theta(u),$$

where

$$\tilde{g}_\theta(u) = \frac{1}{c} e^{\gamma_\theta u} k_D \star \Pi_\alpha(u), \quad \tilde{h}_\theta(u) = \frac{1}{c} e^{\gamma_\theta u} k_D \star \bar{\nu}_{I,\alpha}(u) + e^{(\gamma_\theta - c/D)u}.$$

At the beginning, we will confirm that the function \tilde{g}_θ is the probability density. By Fubini's theorem,

$$\int_0^\infty \tilde{g}_\theta(z) dz = \frac{1}{c} \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^z e^{\gamma_\theta(z-x)} k_D(z-x) \cdot e^{\gamma_\theta x} \Pi_\alpha(x) dx \right) dz$$

$$= \frac{1}{D} \int_0^\infty e^{\gamma_\theta x} \Pi_\alpha(x) \left(\int_x^\infty e^{(\gamma_\theta - c/D)(z-x)} dz \right) dx$$

Here we note from Remark 1 that (1.5) at least holds true if γ_θ exists, that is,

$$e^{(\gamma_\theta - c/D)x} \rightarrow 0, \quad x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, using Fubini's theorem again, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \tilde{g}_\theta(z) dz &= \frac{1}{D\gamma_\theta - c} \int_0^\infty e^{\gamma_\theta x} dx \int_x^\infty \nu_\alpha(dz) \\ &= \frac{1}{D\gamma_\theta - c} \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^z e^{\gamma_\theta x} dx \right) \nu_\alpha(dz) \\ &= \frac{1}{D\gamma_\theta^2 - c\gamma_\theta} \int_0^\infty (e^{\gamma_\theta z} - 1) \nu_\alpha(dz) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

We used the Lundberg's identity $\kappa_\theta(\gamma_\theta) = 0$ for the last equality. Hence the equation (1.6) is the “proper” renewal equation. Then we can apply the “Key Renewal Theorem” (e.g., Rolski *et al.* [15], Theorem 6.1.11) to $\tilde{\psi}_\theta$ to obtain that

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\psi}_\theta(u) = \frac{\int_0^\infty \tilde{h}_\theta(x) dx}{\int_0^\infty x \tilde{g}_\theta(x) dx}.$$

We use Fubini's theorem repeatedly to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty x \tilde{g}_\theta(x) dx &= \frac{1}{\gamma_\theta(c - D\gamma_\theta)} \int_0^\infty z e^{\gamma_\theta z} \nu_\alpha(dz) + \frac{c - 2D\gamma_\theta}{\gamma_\theta^2(c - D\gamma_\theta)^2} \int_0^\infty (e^{\gamma_\theta z} - 1) \nu_\alpha(dz), \\ \int_0^\infty \tilde{h}_\theta(x) dx &= \frac{1}{\gamma_\theta(c - D\gamma_\theta)} \left[\frac{1}{\gamma_\theta} \int_0^\infty (e^{\gamma_\theta z} - 1) \nu_\alpha(dz) - m_\alpha \right] + \frac{D}{c - D\gamma_\theta} \end{aligned}$$

Using the Lundberg identity:

$$\kappa_\theta(\gamma_\theta) = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad c\gamma_\theta - D\gamma_\theta^2 = \int_0^\infty (e^{\gamma_\theta z} - 1) \nu_\alpha(dz),$$

we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\psi}_\theta(u) &= \frac{\frac{1}{\gamma_\theta(c - D\gamma_\theta)} (c - D\gamma_\theta - m_\alpha) + \frac{D}{c - D\gamma_\theta}}{\frac{1}{\gamma_\theta(c - D\gamma_\theta)} \int_0^\infty z e^{\gamma_\theta z} \nu_\alpha(dz) - \frac{c - 2D\gamma_\theta}{\gamma_\theta}} \\ &= \frac{c - m_\alpha}{\int_0^\infty z e^{\gamma_\theta z} \nu_\alpha(dz) - c + 2D\gamma_\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

1.2 Parametric inference for the estimator of ruin probability

To consider the parametric inference for the ruin probability, we prepare a parametric family for the Lévy measures

$$\mathcal{P}_\Xi = \{\nu_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Xi\},$$

where Ξ is a subset of \mathbb{R}^p , and consider the parameter space

$$\theta = (\alpha, D) \in \Xi \times \Lambda =: \Theta.$$

We assume that Θ is a bounded, compact and convex subset of \mathbb{R}^{p+1} . We further assume that the true value of the parameter θ , say θ_0 , belongs to the interior of Θ :

$$\theta_0 = (\alpha_0, D_0) \in \text{int}(\Theta), \quad D_0 := \frac{\sigma_0^2}{2}.$$

Now, suppose that the surplus process R is observed in $[0, T]$ -time interval. Although there are many possibilities for the sampling scheme of the Lévy surplus, which will be described later, we now suppose that a consistent estimator for θ_0 is obtained based on some data set in $[0, T]$, say

$$\hat{\theta}_T \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \theta_0, \quad T \rightarrow \infty.$$

Furthermore, suppose that a limit in law of $\hat{\theta}_T$, say Z , is found as follows:

$$\sqrt{T}(\hat{\theta}_T - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} Z, \quad T \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then it holds by the *delta method* that

$$\sqrt{T}(\psi_{\hat{\theta}_T} - \psi_{\theta_0}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \dot{\psi}_{\theta_0}^\top Z, \quad T \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\dot{\psi}_{\theta} = \left(\frac{\partial \psi_{\theta}}{\partial \alpha_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial \psi_{\theta}}{\partial \alpha_p}, \frac{\partial \psi_{\theta}}{\partial D} \right)^\top$. To construct the confidence interval of ψ_{θ_0} , we need to estimate the derivative $\dot{\psi}_{\theta_0}$. However it does not have the closed expression as similarly to ψ_{θ} as seen later.

In this paper, we will investigate the Cramér-type asymptotic formula for $\dot{\psi}_{\theta}(u)$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$, and obtain a non trivial limit in law of $\sqrt{T}(\psi_{\hat{\theta}_T}(u) - \psi_{\theta_0}(u))$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$ and $u \rightarrow \infty$ at the same time.

2 On the derivatives $\dot{\psi}_{\theta}$ and $\ddot{\psi}_{\theta}$

2.1 Notation and assumption

We make the notation used in this paper.

- For functions f, g , we write $f(u) \sim g(u)$, $u \rightarrow \infty$ if $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} f(u)/g(u) = 1$.
- For positive functions f, g , we write $f(u) \lesssim g(u)$ if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $f(u) \leq C \cdot g(u)$ for any u . In particular, $f(u) \lesssim 1$ implies that f is uniformly bounded with respect to u .
- For $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, we denote by $\nabla_{\theta}^l f$ the $l(p+1)$ -dimensional array of the partial derivatives of f with respect to the components of θ . In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{f}_{\theta} &= \nabla_{\theta} f_{\theta} = \left(\frac{\partial f_{\theta}}{\partial \alpha_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f_{\theta}}{\partial \alpha_p}, \frac{\partial f_{\theta}}{\partial D} \right)^\top, \\ \ddot{f}_{\theta} &= \nabla_{\theta}^2 f_{\theta} = \begin{pmatrix} \left(\frac{\partial^2 f_{\theta}}{\partial \alpha_i \partial \alpha_j} \right)_{i,j} & \left(\frac{\partial^2 f_{\theta}}{\partial \alpha_i \partial D} \right)_i \\ \left(\frac{\partial^2 f_{\theta}}{\partial D \partial \alpha_j} \right)_j & \frac{\partial^2 f_{\theta}}{\partial D^2} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

- \mathbf{O}_{ij} is the $i \times j$ zero matrix.
- $\|x\|$ is the Euclidean norm of x in whichever space it lies.
- For functions $f = (f_1, \dots, f_k)^\top : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ and $g = (g_1, \dots, g_l)^\top : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^l$,

$$f \star g(u) = (f_i \star g_j(u))_{1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq l} \in \mathbb{R}^k \otimes \mathbb{R}^l$$

- Laplace transform: for a function $g : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ with $\int_0^\infty |g(x)| dx < \infty$,

$$\mathcal{L}g(r) = \int_0^\infty e^{-rx} g(x) dx, \quad r \geq 0.$$

Note that the integral is elementwise if $k \geq 2$.

To investigate the derivatives of ψ_θ with respect to θ , we make the following assumptions.

[MO] For each $\theta \in \Theta$, there exist the adjustment coefficient $\gamma_\theta > 0$ and some $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\int_1^\infty e^{(\gamma_\theta + \epsilon)z} \nu_\alpha(dz) < \infty$.

[TD(k)] For each $x > 0$, $\Pi_\alpha(x) \in C^k(\Xi)$. Moreover, there exists a constant $b_\theta > \gamma_\theta$ for each $\theta \in \Theta$ such that, for $l = 0, 1, \dots, k$,

$$\|\nabla_\alpha^l \Pi_\alpha(x)\| \lesssim e^{-b_\theta x}, \quad b := \inf_{\theta \in \Theta} b_\theta > 0.$$

[DI(k)] For each $u > 0$,

$$\nabla_\theta^k \int_0^u \psi_\theta(u-z) g_\theta(z) dz = \int_0^u \nabla_\theta^k [\psi_\theta(u-z) g_\theta(z)] dz$$

2.2 Asymptotic formulae for the derivatives

Differentiate the renewal equation (1.2) formally in the both sides with respect to the parameter θ , we have that, for each $u \geq 0$,

$$\dot{\psi}_\theta(u) = \dot{\psi}_\theta \star g_\theta(u) + [\dot{h}_\theta(u) + \psi_\theta \star \dot{g}_\theta(u)] \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\ddot{\psi}_\theta(u) = \ddot{\psi}_\theta \star g_\theta(u) + [\ddot{h}_\theta(u) + 2\dot{\psi}_\theta \star \dot{g}_\theta(u) + \psi_\theta \star \ddot{g}_\theta(u)]. \quad (2.2)$$

Later we need the asymptotic formula for $\dot{\psi}_\theta$ and $\ddot{\psi}_\theta$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$. For that purpose, we introduce a version of *Key Renewal Theorem*.

Lemma 1 (Oshime and Shimizu [14], Theorem 4). *Suppose that a function Z meets the renewal equation*

$$Z(u) = Z \star F(u) + G(u), \quad u \geq 0,$$

where F is a probability distribution with mean μ_F , and G is a function with bounded variation and satisfies that $\sup_{u \in [0,1]} |G(u)| \lesssim 1$. If there exists

$$A := \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G(u)}{u^{k-1}} < \infty$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, it holds that

$$Z(u) \sim \frac{A}{k\mu_F} u^k, \quad u \rightarrow \infty.$$

According to (1.7), we can easily transform (2.1) and (2.2) into the proper renewal equation by multiplying the term $e^{\gamma_\theta u}$. The straightforward application of Lemma 1 with $k = 1$ or 2 immediately yields the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *Under the conditions [NPC], [MO], [TD(1)] and [DI(1)], it holds that*

$$\dot{\psi}_\theta(u) \sim C_\theta [\mu_\theta^{-1} \mathcal{L}\dot{g}_\theta(-\gamma_\theta)] u e^{-\gamma_\theta u}, \quad u \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.3)$$

where $\mu_\theta = \int_0^\infty x \tilde{g}_\theta(x) dx = -(\mathcal{L}g_\theta)'(-\gamma_\theta)$ and C_θ is the constant given in Theorem 2. In particular, $\dot{g}_\theta = c^{-1}(k_D \star \nabla_\alpha \Pi_\alpha, \nabla_D k_D \star \Pi_\alpha)^\top$.

In addition that, suppose further the conditions [TD(2)] and [DI(2)], it is true that

$$\ddot{\psi}_\theta(u) \sim C_\theta [\mu_\theta^{-1} \mathcal{L}\dot{g}_\theta(-\gamma_\theta)]^{\otimes 2} u^2 e^{-\gamma_\theta u}, \quad u \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. In the beginning, we note that the equation (2.1) is valid since we can differentiate the both sides under the integral sign by [DI(1)]. The differentiability of h_θ is also confirmed from [TD(1)]. Then, multiplying $e^{\gamma_\theta u}$ in the both sides of (2.1), we have that

$$\tilde{\psi}_\theta(u) = \tilde{\psi}_\theta \star \tilde{g}_\theta(u) + [\tilde{h}_\theta(u) + \tilde{\psi}_\theta \star \tilde{g}_\theta(u)], \quad (2.5)$$

which is the proper renewal equation due to (1.7). Applying Lemma 1 with $k = 1$ to this renewal equation, it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{h}_\theta(u) = 0; \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\psi}_\theta \star \tilde{g}_\theta(u) = C_\theta \mathcal{L}\dot{g}_\theta(-\gamma_\theta), \quad (2.6)$$

for the proof of (2.3). Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}_\theta(u) &= e^{\gamma_\theta u} \nabla_\theta \left[\frac{1}{c} \int_0^u k_D(u-x) \bar{\nu}_{I,\alpha}(x) dx + e^{-(c/D)u} \right] \\ &= e^{\gamma_\theta u} \frac{1}{c} \int_0^u \left(k_D(u-x) \nabla_\alpha \bar{\nu}_{I,\alpha}(x) \right) dx + \left(\frac{0}{\frac{c}{D^2} e^{(\gamma_\theta - c/D)u}} \right) \\ &= \int_0^u \left(\frac{1}{D} e^{(\gamma_\theta - c/D)(u-x)} e^{\gamma_\theta x} \nabla_\alpha \bar{\nu}_{I,\alpha}(x) \right) dx + \left(\frac{0}{\frac{c}{D^2} e^{(\gamma_\theta - c/D)u}} \right) \\ &\lesssim \int_0^u \left(\frac{e^{(\gamma_\theta - c/D)(u-x)} e^{(\gamma_\theta - b_\theta)x}}{e^{(\gamma_\theta - b_\theta)(x-u)} (x-1) e^{(\gamma_\theta - c/D)x}} \right) dx + \left(\frac{0}{e^{(\gamma_\theta - c/D)u}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We also note that the exchangeability of differential and the integral sign in the second equality is ensured under [TD(1)] since

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\alpha \in \Xi} |k_D(u-x) \nabla_\alpha \bar{\nu}_{I,\alpha}(x)| &\lesssim k_D(u-x) e^{-bx}, \\ \sup_{D \in \Lambda} |\nabla_D k_D(u-x) \bar{\nu}_{I,\alpha}(x)| &\lesssim (x+1) e^{-\frac{c}{D^*}(x-u)} e^{-bx}, \end{aligned}$$

where $D^* := \max \Lambda$. Since

$$\gamma_\theta - \frac{c}{D} < 0, \quad b_\theta > \gamma_\theta \quad (2.7)$$

from (1.5) and the assumption, we see the last term goes to zero by the Lebesgue convergence theorem. Moreover,

$$\tilde{\psi}_\theta \star \tilde{g}_\theta(u) = \int_0^\infty e^{\gamma_\theta(u-x)} \psi_\theta(u-x) \mathbf{1}_{\{x \leq u\}} \cdot e^{\gamma_\theta x} \dot{g}_\theta(x) dx.$$

By Theorem 2 and the fact that

$$\|e^{\gamma_\theta x} \dot{g}_\theta(x)\| \lesssim e^{(\gamma_\theta - c/D - b_\theta)x},$$

we have that

$$\left\| e^{\gamma_\theta(u-x)} \psi_\theta(u-x) \mathbf{1}_{\{x \leq u\}} \cdot e^{\gamma_\theta x} \dot{g}_\theta(x) \right\| \lesssim e^{(\gamma_\theta - c/D - b_\theta)x},$$

which is integrable by (2.7), and is independent of u . Then the Lebesgue convergence theorem yields that

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\psi}_\theta \star \tilde{g}_\theta(u) = \int_0^\infty C_\theta \tilde{g}_\theta(x) dx = C_\theta \mathcal{L} \dot{g}_\theta(-\gamma_\theta).$$

This ends the proof of (2.3).

We shall take the similar argument to show (2.4), that is, we start off the proper renewal equation (2.4):

$$\tilde{\tilde{\psi}}_\theta(u) = \tilde{\tilde{\psi}}_\theta \star \tilde{g}_\theta(u) + \left[\tilde{h}_\theta(u) + 2\tilde{\psi}_\theta \star \tilde{g}_\theta(u) + \tilde{\psi}_\theta \star \tilde{g}_\theta(u) \right],$$

which is valid under [TD(2)] and [DI(2)]. By the same argument as above, it is easy to see the following:

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} u^{-1} \left[\tilde{h}_\theta(u) + \tilde{\psi}_\theta \star \tilde{g}_\theta(u) \right] = 0.$$

Moreover, using (2.3), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} u^{-1} \tilde{\tilde{\psi}}_\theta \star \tilde{g}_\theta(u) &= \int_0^\infty \left[\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} u^{-1} e^{\gamma_\theta(u-x)} \dot{\psi}_\theta(u-x) \right] e^{\gamma_\theta x} \dot{g}_\theta(x) dx \\ &= \frac{C_\theta}{\mu_\theta} [\mathcal{L} \dot{g}_\theta(-\gamma_\theta)]^{\otimes 2}. \end{aligned}$$

As a result, Lemma 1 with $k = 2$ yields that

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} u^{-2} \tilde{\tilde{\psi}}_\theta(u) = C_\theta [\mu_\theta^{-1} \mathcal{L} \dot{g}_\theta(-\gamma_\theta)]^{\otimes 2}.$$

This completes the proof of (2.4). \square

Remark 2. Although we do not give the detailed proof, we can show by the induction that it is also true for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ that

$$\nabla_\theta^k \psi_\theta(u) \sim D_k(\theta) u^k e^{-\gamma_\theta u}, \quad u \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $D_k(\theta) := C_\theta [\mu_\theta^{-1} \mathcal{L} \dot{g}_\theta(-\gamma_\theta)]^{\otimes k}$, under [NPC], [MO], [TD(k)] and [DI(k)].

Later we need a uniform estimates of $\tilde{\tilde{\psi}}_\theta(u)$ as $u \rightarrow \infty$.

Corollary 1.

$$\limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \left| u^{-2} e^{\gamma_\theta u} \ddot{\psi}_\theta(u) \right| < \infty.$$

Proof. For this proof, we need to consider a modification of Lemma 1 so that the solution Z to the renewal equation depends on $\theta \in \Theta$:

$$Z_\theta(u) = Z_\theta * F_\theta(u) + G_\theta(u), \quad u \geq 0,$$

and assume that the distribution F_θ and the function G_θ satisfy the following conditions:

$$\inf_{\theta \in \Theta} \left| \int_0^\infty x F_\theta(dx) \right| < \infty; \quad \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} |G_\theta(u)| \lesssim 1,$$

and that, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \left| \frac{G_\theta(u)}{u^{k-1}} \right| < \infty.$$

Then it is easy to see by the same argument as the proof of Theorem 4 in Oshime and Shimizu [14] that

$$\limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \left| \frac{Z_\theta(u)}{u^k} \right| < \infty.$$

Applying this with $k = 1$ to the renewal equation (2.5) given in the proof of Theorem 3:

$$\tilde{\psi}_\theta(u) = \tilde{\psi}_\theta \star \tilde{g}_\theta(u) + \left[\tilde{h}_\theta(u) + \tilde{\psi}_\theta \star \tilde{g}_\theta(u) \right],$$

we see that

$$\inf_{\theta \in \Theta} \left| \int_0^\infty x \tilde{g}_\theta(x) dx \right| < \infty, \quad \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \left| \tilde{h}_\theta(u) + \tilde{\psi}_\theta \star \tilde{g}_\theta(u) \right| \lesssim 1,$$

according to the fact (2.6) and the compactness of Θ . Hence we have that

$$\limsup_{u \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\theta \in \Theta} \left| u^{-1} e^{\gamma_\theta u} \dot{\psi}_\theta(u) \right| < \infty.$$

□

3 Surplus model and its statistical inference

3.1 Lévy surplus and observations

In this paper, we consider the Lévy surplus R given by (1.1):

$$R_t = u + ct + \sigma_0 W_t - S_t, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where S is a Lévy process with the Lévy measure ν_{α_0} . Note that $\theta_0 = (\alpha_0, D_0 = \sigma_0^2/2)$ is the true value of the parameter.

Since the process generally has infinitely many jumps in any finite time interval, it seems unrealistic to use a Lévy process to the surplus model. However several view points are possible to consider such a Lévy model in insurance context: (I) Infinitely many “small” jumps are interpreted as an approximation to frequently occurred small claims and other extra fees in insurance business,

among others; (II) The jump process S does not necessarily imply the aggregate claims but a “macro approximation” of the whole path of surplus; see Shimizu [17]. The former (I) may be commonly recognized aspect in this context. The latter aspect (II) is similar to the one in financial context, where the stock price is modeled by the jump process with discrete observation although we do not know whether the jump really exists or does not. Anyway, the Lévy surplus model can be better approximation than the classical one for the real surplus, and such a Lévy model is becoming popular in recent academism of ruin theory.

Considering the statistical inference for such a Lévy surplus, there are several possibilities for available data set.

- The value of the surplus at discrete time points on $[0, T]$:

$$R^n := \{R_{ih} \mid i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n, h > 0\}, \quad T := nh$$

- Claims larger than a certain level $\epsilon_T \geq 0$, say $J_S(\epsilon_T, \infty)$, where

$$J_S(a, b) = \{\Delta S_t \mid a < \Delta S_t \leq b, t \in [0, T]\}.$$

If we adopt the aspect (I) then we may assume that $R^n \cup J_S(\epsilon_T, \infty)$ is available, and consider the asymptotics such that $T \rightarrow \infty$ as well as $\epsilon_T \rightarrow \epsilon \geq 0$. In particular, if the subordinator is a compound Poisson process, then it would be reasonable to assume $\epsilon_T \equiv 0$.

If we do (II) then it would be reasonable to assume that only R^n is available. In this case, estimation of θ_0 is possible under different sampling (asymptotic) schemes:

- *Low-frequency*: $T \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$; $h \equiv \text{fixed}$.
- *High-frequency*: $T \equiv \text{fixed}$; $h \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- *High-frequency with long term*: $T \rightarrow \infty$ and $h \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

See Akritas and Johnson [1] and Basawa and Brockwell [3] for case (I), and see also Shimizu [18] in the context of ruin theory. Moreover, see Woerner [23] for case (II), which discusses the inference for discretely observed Lévy processes under high-frequency setting.

Later, we consider the case where $T \rightarrow \infty$, which is usually needed to estimate ν_α .

3.2 Estimating the parameter θ_0

In this paper, we do not discuss how to estimate the parameter θ_0 in general because it strongly depends on the model of ν_α . We just suppose that some consistent estimator $\widehat{\theta}_T \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \theta_0$ is given. Although the rate of convergence of the estimator can be different in general due to the sampling scheme given in the previous section and the properties of ν_{α_0} , since we are now dealing with the case where

$$\int_0^1 z \nu_{\alpha_0}(dz) < \infty,$$

under which the variation due to jumps are finite, the optimal rate of convergence for estimation of α_0 is \sqrt{T} as $T \rightarrow \infty$ according to Woerner [23], Section 4.2.

On the other hand, the diffusion parameter D_0 is also estimable under both schemes (I) and (II). For case (I), we can find an estimator such that $\widehat{D}_T = D_0 + o_p(1/\sqrt{T})$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$ under a suitable high frequency conditions; see e.g., Jacod [9], or Shimizu [18] in the context of ruin theory. For case (II), see e.g., Masuda [11] for a quasi-likelihood approach, or Shimizu and Yoshida [20]

or Shimizu [16] for “threshold estimation”. For example, using the thresholding technique given in [20] and [16], we can find an estimator such that $\sqrt{n}(\hat{D}_n - D_0)$ is asymptotically normal under $T \rightarrow \infty$ and $h \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence this estimator also satisfies that $\hat{D}_n = D_0 + o_p(1/\sqrt{T})$. In any case, we should note that the high frequency assumption is needed to estimate the diffusion parameter D_0 separately from the parameters in the jump component.

Henceforth, we suppose that estimators such that

$$\sqrt{T} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\alpha}_T - \alpha_0 \\ \hat{D}_T - D_0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{N}_p(0, \Sigma_0) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.1)$$

as $T \rightarrow \infty$ and $h \rightarrow 0$, is available, and that the asymptotic variance Σ_0 is also estimable by $\hat{\Sigma}_T$:

$$\hat{\Sigma}_T \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \Sigma_0, \quad T \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.2)$$

Some concrete examples are discussed later.

3.3 Estimating the adjustment coefficient

Once the parameters are estimated, we can also estimate the adjustment coefficient γ_{θ_0} . Nevertheless, we can not substitute $\hat{\theta}_T$ for θ_0 directly such as $\gamma_{\hat{\theta}_T}$ because the map $\theta \mapsto \gamma_\theta$ is not an explicit function of θ , but implicit positive solution to the Lundberg equation (1.3).

Theorem 4. *Suppose the conditions [NPC], [MO], [TD(1)], and that there exists a statistic $\hat{\gamma}_T$ uniquely which satisfies the equation*

$$\kappa_{\hat{\theta}_T}(\hat{\gamma}_T) = 0, \quad (3.3)$$

where $\kappa_\theta(r)$ is the function given in (1.3). Then $\hat{\gamma}_T = \gamma_{\hat{\theta}_T}$, and it holds that

$$\sqrt{T}(\hat{\gamma}_T - \gamma_0) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}_1 \left(0, \frac{\nabla_\alpha \kappa_{\theta_0}^\top(\gamma_0) \Sigma_0 \nabla_\alpha \kappa_{\theta_0}(\gamma_0)}{[c + 2D\gamma_0 - \int_0^\infty z e^{\gamma_0 z} \nu_{\alpha_0}(dz)]^2} \right), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. In the beginning, we note that γ_0 exists uniquely under the conditions [NPC] and [MO], and that γ_0 and $\hat{\gamma}_n$ satisfies the following equalities:

$$-c\gamma_0 + D_0\gamma_0^2 + \int_0^\infty (e^{\gamma_0 z} - 1) \nu_{\alpha_0}(dz) = 0; \quad (3.4)$$

$$-c\hat{\gamma}_T + \hat{D}_T\hat{\gamma}_T^2 + \int_0^\infty (e^{\hat{\gamma}_T z} - 1) \nu_{\hat{\alpha}_T}(dz) = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

The weak consistency $\hat{\gamma}_n \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \gamma_0$ is obtained by the standard theory of Z -estimator, e.g., van der Vaart (1998), Lemma 5.1. Hence we only show the asymptotic normality. For that purpose, we may assume in the sequel that

$$\hat{\gamma}_T \rightarrow \gamma_0, \quad \hat{\alpha}_T \rightarrow \alpha_0 \quad a.s., \quad (3.6)$$

by the standard “sub-sub sequence” argument, which makes the discussion simple.

Here we note that, under [MO] and [TD(0)], it follows by Fubini’s theorem that

$$\int_0^\infty (e^{\gamma z} - 1) \nu_\alpha(dz) = \gamma \int_0^\infty e^{\gamma x} \Pi_\alpha(x) dx$$

for any (γ, α) that is around (γ_0, α_0) . Therefore the above equality holds if $\gamma = \hat{\gamma}_T$ or $\alpha = \hat{\alpha}_T$ for T large enough. We shall take the difference of (3.4) and (3.5) and the mean value theorem to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& -c(\hat{\gamma}_T - \gamma_0) + [D_0\gamma_0^2 - \hat{D}_T\hat{\gamma}_T^2] \\
& + \int_0^\infty (e^{\gamma_0 z} - 1) \nu_{\alpha_0}(dz) - \int_0^\infty (e^{\hat{\gamma}_T z} - 1) \nu_{\hat{\alpha}_T}(dz) = 0 \\
\Leftrightarrow & -c(\hat{\gamma}_T - \gamma_0) + \hat{D}_T [\hat{\gamma}_T^2 - \gamma_0^2] - \gamma_0^2 [\hat{D}_T - D_0] \\
& - \int_0^\infty (e^{\hat{\gamma}_T z} - 1) \nu_{\hat{\alpha}_T}(dz) + \int_0^\infty (e^{\hat{\gamma}_T z} - 1) \nu_{\alpha_0}(dz) \\
& - \int_0^\infty (e^{\hat{\gamma}_T z} - 1) \nu_{\alpha_0}(dz) + \int_0^\infty (e^{\gamma_0 z} - 1) \nu_{\alpha_0}(dz) = 0 \\
\Leftrightarrow & -c(\hat{\gamma}_T - \gamma_0) + \hat{D}_T [\hat{\gamma}_T^2 - \gamma_0^2] - \gamma_0^2 [\hat{D}_T - D_0] \\
& + \hat{\gamma}_T \int_0^\infty e^{\hat{\gamma}_T x} [\Pi_{\hat{\alpha}_T}(x) - \Pi_{\alpha_0}(x)] dx - \int_0^\infty (e^{\hat{\gamma}_T z} - e^{\gamma_0 z}) \nu_{\alpha_0}(dz) = 0 \\
\Leftrightarrow & \sqrt{T}(\hat{\gamma}_T - \gamma_0) \left[c - 2D_0\gamma_0 - \int_0^\infty \gamma_0 e^{\gamma_0 z} \nu_{\alpha_0}(dz) + o_p(1) \right] \\
& = \gamma_0 \int_0^\infty e^{\gamma_0 x} \nabla_\alpha \Pi_{\alpha^*}(x) dx \cdot \sqrt{T}(\hat{\alpha}_T - \alpha_0), \tag{3.7}
\end{aligned}$$

where α^* is a random number between $\hat{\alpha}_T$ and α_0 . Note that $\alpha^* \rightarrow \alpha_0$ a.s. by the assumption (3.6), and that $\sqrt{T}(\hat{\alpha}_T - \alpha_0) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}_p(0, \Sigma_0)$. Moreover, note that

$$\int_0^\infty e^{\gamma_0 x} \nabla_\alpha \Pi_{\alpha^*}(x) dx = \nabla_\alpha \int_0^\infty (e^{\gamma_0 z} - 1) \nu_{\alpha_0}(dz) = \nabla_\alpha \kappa_\theta(\gamma_0)$$

under the condition [TD(1)]. As a result, Slutsky's lemma ends the proof. \square

3.4 Main result

As described in Section 1.2, we can apply the delta method to the ruin probability $\psi_{\hat{\theta}_T}(u)$ to obtain the asymptotic distribution

Theorem 5. *Suppose [NPC], [MO], [TD(2)] and [DI(2)]. Let $\{u_T\}_{T \geq 0}$ be a real sequence such that, as $T \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$u_T \rightarrow \infty, \quad u_T/\sqrt{T} \rightarrow 0.$$

Moreover let

$$\sigma^*(\theta, u) := [\zeta(\theta)^\top \Sigma_0^* \zeta(\theta)]^{1/2} \cdot u e^{-\gamma_\theta u} \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $\Sigma_0^* := \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_0 & \mathbf{O}_{p,1} \\ \mathbf{O}_{1,p} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, which is $(p+1) \times (p+1)$ -matrix, and

$$\zeta(\theta) := C_\theta [\mu_\theta^{-1} \mathcal{L} \dot{g}_\theta(-\gamma_\theta)] \in \mathbb{R}^{p+1}$$

Then it holds that

$$\frac{\sqrt{T}(\psi_{\hat{\theta}_T}(u_T) - \psi_\theta(u_T))}{\sigma^*(\hat{\theta}_T, u_T)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(0, 1), \quad T \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. Note the following decomposition:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sqrt{T}(\psi_{\hat{\theta}_T}(u_T) - \psi_{\theta_0}(u_T))}{\sigma^*(\hat{\theta}_T, u_T)} &= \frac{\sigma^*(\theta_0, u_T)}{\sigma^*(\hat{\theta}_T, u_T)} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{T}(\psi_{\hat{\theta}_T}(u_T) - \psi_{\theta_0}(u_T))}{\left[\dot{\psi}_{\theta_0}^\top(u_T)\Sigma_0^*\dot{\psi}_{\theta_0}(u_T)\right]^{1/2}} \cdot \frac{\left[\dot{\psi}_{\theta_0}^\top(u_T)\Sigma_0^*\dot{\psi}_{\theta_0}(u_T)\right]^{1/2}}{\sigma^*(\theta_0, u_T)} \\ &=: X_T \cdot Y_T \cdot Z_T, \end{aligned}$$

and that we can check by the Lebesgue convergence theorem that $\sigma^* : \Theta \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous under the condition [TD(1)]. Therefore it follows by the continuous mapping theorem that $X_n \rightarrow 1$ a.s.. Moreover, it is clear that $Z_n \rightarrow 1$ by Theorem 3. Hence the proof ends if we show that $Y_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(0, 1)$.

As for Y_n , it follows by Taylor's formula that

$$Y_n = \frac{\dot{\psi}_{\theta_0}^\top(u_T)\sqrt{T}(\hat{\theta}_T - \theta_0)}{\left[\dot{\psi}_{\theta_0}^\top(u_T)\Sigma_0^*\dot{\psi}_{\theta_0}(u_T)\right]^{1/2}} + \sqrt{T} \frac{(\hat{\theta}_T - \theta_0)^\top \ddot{\psi}_{\theta_T^*}(u_T)(\hat{\theta}_T - \theta_0)}{\left[\dot{\psi}_{\theta_0}^\top(u_T)\Sigma_0^*\dot{\psi}_{\theta_0}(u_T)\right]^{1/2}}, \quad (3.8)$$

where θ_T^* is a random point between $\hat{\theta}_T$ and θ_0 . It is clear that the first term in the right-hand side of (3.8) converges in law to the standard normal distribution since we are assuming $\sqrt{T}(\hat{\theta}_T - \theta_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{p+1}(0, \Sigma_0^*)$. As for the second term, we apply Theorem 3, Corollary 1 and Theorem 4 to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sqrt{T} \frac{(\hat{\theta}_T - \theta_0)^\top \ddot{\psi}_{\theta_T^*}(u_T)(\hat{\theta}_T - \theta_0)}{\left[\dot{\psi}_{\theta_0}^\top(u_T)\Sigma_0^*\dot{\psi}_{\theta_0}(u_T)\right]^{1/2}} \\ &= \frac{u_T}{\sqrt{T}} \frac{\sqrt{T}(\hat{\theta}_T - \theta_0)^\top \left(u_T^{-2} e^{\gamma_{\theta_T^*} u_T} \ddot{\psi}_{\theta_T^*}(u_T)\right) \sqrt{T}(\hat{\theta}_T - \theta_0)}{\left[\left(u_T^{-1} e^{\gamma_{\theta_0} u_T} \dot{\psi}_{\theta_0}(u_T)\right)^\top \Sigma_0^* \left(u_T^{-1} e^{\gamma_{\theta_0} u_T} \dot{\psi}_{\theta_0}(u_T)\right)\right]^{1/2}} \cdot e^{-(\gamma_{\theta_T^*} - \gamma_{\theta_0})u_T} \\ &= O_p\left(\frac{u_T}{\sqrt{T}}\right) \left[\exp\left\{-\sqrt{T}(\gamma_{\hat{\theta}_T} - \gamma_{\theta_0}) \cdot \frac{u_T}{\sqrt{T}}\right\} + o_p(1) \right] \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $Y_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} N(0, 1)$, and the proof is completed. \square

From these results, we immediately obtain the following confidence intervals.

Corollary 2. *Let z_α be the upper α -percentile for $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, and suppose the same assumptions as in Theorem 5. Then the sequence of intervals*

$$I_T^\alpha := \left[\psi_{\hat{\theta}_T}(u_T) - z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma^*(\hat{\theta}_T, u_T)}{\sqrt{T}}, \psi_{\hat{\theta}_T}(u_T) + z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma^*(\hat{\theta}_T, u_T)}{\sqrt{T}} \right]$$

is an asymptotic confidence interval with the confidence level $(1 - \alpha)$ in the sense that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\psi_{\theta_0}(u_T) \in I_T^\alpha) = 1 - \alpha.$$

Remark 3. In the above I_n^α , we have to still compute $\psi_{\hat{\theta}_n}$, which is also difficult to compute. In practice, we should approximate it by Theorem 2, that is,

$$J_T^\alpha := \left[C_{\hat{\theta}_T} e^{-\gamma_{\hat{\theta}_T} u_T} - z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma^*(\hat{\theta}_T, u_T)}{\sqrt{T}}, C_{\hat{\theta}_T} e^{-\gamma_{\hat{\theta}_T} u_T} + z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma^*(\hat{\theta}_T, u_T)}{\sqrt{T}} \right]$$

This seems a practical confidence interval. Otherwise, we can use some nonparametric estimator of ψ_θ ; see e.g., Zhang and Yang [24, 25], Shimizu and Zhang [21].

4 Examples

4.1 Exponential claims in the classical model

Consider the Cramér-Lundberg model with exponential claims:

$$R_t = u + ct - \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} U_i,$$

where N is a Poisson process with the intensity $\lambda_0 > 0$, U_i 's are exponential random variables with mean μ_0 , and we put $\theta_0 = (\mu_0, \lambda_0)$.

As is well-known, the ruin probability $\psi_\theta(u)$ in this case is written explicitly as

$$\psi_\theta(u) = \frac{\lambda\mu}{c} e^{-\gamma_\theta u}, \quad u \geq 0,$$

where $\gamma_\theta = 1/\mu - \lambda/c$ is the adjustment coefficient, and this expression is consistent to the Cramér approximation, the right-hand side of (1.8), that is, $C_\theta = \lambda\mu/c$. Since

$$g_\theta(x) = \frac{\lambda}{c} e^{-\frac{x}{\mu}}; \quad \tilde{g}_\theta(x) = \frac{\lambda}{c} e^{-\frac{\lambda}{c}x},$$

we have the asymptotic formula for $\dot{\psi}_\theta$ by Theorem 3 as follows.

$$\dot{\psi}_\theta(u) \sim \left(\frac{\lambda}{c\mu}, \frac{\lambda\mu}{c^2} \right)^\top u e^{-\gamma_\theta u}, \quad u \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.1)$$

On the other hand, we can check the above expression by the explicit computation as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\psi}_\theta(u) &= \frac{\lambda\mu}{c} \left(\frac{1}{\mu} + \frac{u}{\mu^2}, \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{u}{c} \right)^\top e^{-\gamma_\theta u} \\ &= \left(\frac{\lambda}{c\mu}, \frac{\lambda\mu}{c^2} \right)^\top u e^{-\gamma_\theta u} + O(e^{-\gamma_\theta u}), \quad u \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

the first term in the last display is the same as the one given in (4.1).

Estimation of parameters is easy. Using the claims data $(U_1, U_2, \dots, U_{N_T})$ on $[0, T]$ -time interval, we can obtain the maximum likelihood estimator of θ_0 as follows:

$$\hat{\mu}_T = \frac{1}{N_T} \sum_{i=1}^{N_T} U_i, \quad \hat{\lambda}_T = \frac{N_T}{T},$$

and can easily check that the estimator $\hat{\theta}_T = (\hat{\mu}_T, \hat{\lambda}_T)$ is asymptotically normal:

$$\sqrt{T} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\mu}_T - \mu_0 \\ \hat{\lambda}_T - \lambda_0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}_2(\mathbf{O}_{2,1}, \text{diag}(\mu_0^2, \lambda_0)), \quad T \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore we can estimate the adjustment coefficient as

$$\hat{\gamma}_T = \gamma_{\hat{\theta}_T} = \frac{1}{\hat{\mu}_T} - \frac{\hat{\lambda}}{c}$$

Noticing that

$$\sigma^*(\theta, u) = \frac{\lambda}{c} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\lambda \mu^2}{c^2}},$$

we have the asymptotic α -confidence interval as follows:

$$I_T^\alpha = \left[\frac{\hat{\lambda}_T \hat{\mu}_T}{c} e^{-\hat{\gamma}_T u} \pm z_{\alpha/2} \frac{u_T e^{-\hat{\gamma}_T u_T}}{\sqrt{T}} \frac{\hat{\lambda}_T}{c} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\hat{\lambda}_T \hat{\mu}_T^2}{c^2}} \right]$$

4.2 The classical model with diffusion perturbation

Consider the classical risk model perturbed by diffusions, where the Lévy subordinator S in (1.1) is a compound Poisson process with positive jumps:

$$R_t = u + ct + \sigma_0 W_t - \sum_{i=1}^{N_t} U_i,$$

where W is a Wiener process; $\sigma_0 \geq 0$ is a parameter; U_i 's are $(0, \infty)$ -valued random variables with distribution F_{β_0} and $\beta_0 \in \mathbb{R}^q$ is a parameter. Put $\alpha_0 = (\beta_0, \lambda_0)$ and $\theta_0 = (\alpha_0, D_0)$. As usual, we assume that W , N and U_i 's are independent each other.

In this setting, it would be reasonable to assume that all the jumps $\{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_{N_T}\}$ are observed since each U_i represents i th claim amount, and the discrete observation $R^n := \{R_{ih} \mid i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n, h > 0\}$ with $T = nh$ is available. That is, we adopt the sampling scheme (I) described in Section 3.1.

We can estimate λ_0 as the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) $\hat{\lambda}_T = N_T/T$. Moreover, under certain regularities, we can also estimate β as the MLE, say $\hat{\beta}_T$. Then, as is well known, the asymptotic distribution of $\hat{\alpha}_T = (\hat{\beta}_T, \hat{\lambda}_T)$ is obtained as follows.

$$\sqrt{T}(\hat{\alpha}_T - \alpha_0) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}_{q+1}(\mathbf{O}_{q+1,1}, \Sigma_0), \quad \Sigma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} I^{-1}(\beta_0) & \mathbf{O}_{q,1} \\ \mathbf{O}_{1,q} & \lambda_0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $I(\beta_0)$ is the Fisher information matrix for β_0 while its invertibility is assumed.

According to Lemma 3.1 with Remark 3.2 in Shimizu [18], we can estimate the diffusion parameter D_0 as follows:

$$\hat{D}_T = \frac{1}{2T} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n |\Delta_i^n R|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{N_s} U_i^2 \right],$$

where $\Delta_i^n R := R_{ih} - R_{(i-1)h}$. Then it holds for any $s \in [0, T]$ that

$$\sqrt{T}(\hat{D}_T - D_0) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0$$

as $T = nh \rightarrow \infty$, $h \rightarrow 0$ with $nh^2 \rightarrow 0$. Hence we have that

$$\sqrt{T} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\alpha}_T - \alpha_0 \\ \hat{D}_T - D_0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}_{q+2}(0, \Sigma_0^*), \quad \Sigma_0^* = \begin{pmatrix} I^{-1}(\beta_0) & \mathbf{O}_{q,1} & 0 \\ \mathbf{O}_{1,q} & \lambda_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

The estimator of the adjustment coefficient γ_0 is given as a positive solution to

$$-cr + \hat{D}_T^2 r^2 + \hat{\lambda}_T \int_0^\infty (e^{rz} - 1) F_{\hat{\beta}_T}(dz) = 0.$$

As a special case, we shall consider the exponential claims:

$$F_{\beta_0}(x) = 1 - e^{x/\mu_0} \quad (\beta_0 = \mu_0)$$

Then the above equation is given by

$$-cr + \hat{D}_T^2 r^2 + \frac{\hat{\lambda}_T \hat{\mu}}{1 - \hat{\mu}_T r} = 0$$

In this model, we can compute g_θ and \dot{g}_θ in Theorem 3 as follows:

$$g_\theta(x) = \frac{\lambda\mu}{D - c\mu} \left(e^{-\frac{c}{D}x} - e^{-\frac{x}{\mu}} \right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} g_\theta(x) &= \frac{\lambda}{\mu(c\mu - D)} x e^{-\frac{x}{\mu}} + \frac{\lambda D}{(c\mu - D)^2} \left(e^{-\frac{c}{D}x} - e^{-\frac{x}{\mu}} \right); \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} g_\theta(x) &= \frac{\mu}{c\mu - D} \left(e^{-\frac{x}{\mu}} - e^{-\frac{c}{D}x} \right); \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial D} g_\theta(x) &= \frac{\lambda\mu}{(c\mu - D)^2} \left(e^{-\frac{x}{\mu}} - e^{-\frac{c}{D}x} \right) - \frac{\lambda\mu c}{D^2(c\mu - D)} x e^{-\frac{c}{D}x}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have that

$$\zeta(\theta) = C_\theta [\mu_\theta^{-1} \mathcal{L} \dot{g}_\theta(-\gamma_\theta)] := C_\theta \mu_\theta^{-1} (L_\mu, L_\lambda, L_D)^\top,$$

where, by $p_{\mu,\theta} = (1/\mu - \gamma_\theta)^{-1}$, $p_{D,\theta} = (c/D - \gamma_\theta)^{-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} L_\mu &= \frac{\lambda D}{(c\mu - D)^2} (p_{\mu,\theta} - p_{D,\theta}) + \frac{\lambda}{\mu(c\mu - D)} p_{\mu,\theta}^2, \\ L_\lambda &= \frac{\mu}{c\mu - D} (p_{\mu,\theta} - p_{D,\theta}), \\ L_D &= \frac{\lambda\mu}{(c\mu - D)^2} (p_{\mu,\theta} - p_{D,\theta}) - \frac{\lambda\mu c}{D^2(c\mu - D)^2} p_{D,\theta}^2, \\ \mu_\theta &= \frac{\lambda\mu}{c\mu - D} (p_{\mu,\theta}^2 - p_{D,\theta}^2), \\ C_\theta &= \frac{\lambda\mu}{\lambda\mu(1 - \mu\gamma)^{-2} - c + 2D\gamma_\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the estimator $\hat{\theta}_T$, we have that

$$\sigma^*(\hat{\theta}_T, u) = \zeta(\hat{\theta}_T)^\top \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\mu}_T & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{\lambda}_T & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \zeta(\hat{\theta}_T) = \hat{\mu}_T \hat{L}_\mu^2 + \hat{\lambda}_T \hat{L}_\lambda^2,$$

where \hat{L}_μ and \hat{L}_λ is estimators of L_μ and L_λ , respectively, such that the unknown parameters therein are replaced with their estimators. Therefore the asymptotic $(1 - \alpha)$ -confidence interval J_T^α is given as follows:

$$J_T^\alpha := \left[C_{\hat{\theta}_T} e^{-\gamma_{\hat{\theta}_T} u_T} \pm z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\hat{\mu}_T \hat{L}_\mu^2 + \hat{\lambda}_T \hat{L}_\lambda^2}{\sqrt{T}} u_T e^{-\gamma_{\hat{\theta}_T} u_T} \right]$$

4.3 Gamma subordinator

Let us consider a Lévy insurance risk model

$$R_t = u + ct - Z_t, \quad (4.2)$$

where Z is a gamma process:

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_t \in dx) = \frac{b^{at}}{\Gamma(at)} x^{at-1} e^{-bx}, \quad z \geq 0,$$

which has a monotonically increasing path with infinitely many jumps in any finite time interval. The Lévy measure of X is given as

$$\tilde{\nu}_\alpha(z) dz := a z^{-1} e^{-bz} dz, \quad z > 0,$$

where $\alpha = (a, b)$ is unknown parameters. To estimate the parameter α , we suppose the claims whose sizes are larger than $\epsilon_T > 0$: $J_Z(\epsilon_T, \infty) = \{\Delta Z_t \mid \Delta Z_t > \epsilon_T\}$. Since $N_T(\epsilon_T) := \#J_Z(\epsilon_T, \infty) < \infty$ for each $\epsilon_T > 0$, we put the jump size as

$$J_Z(\epsilon_T, \infty) = \{U_k(\epsilon_T) \mid k = 1, 2, \dots, N_T(\epsilon_T)\},$$

where $U_k(\epsilon_T)$ is the k th jump size that is larger than ϵ_T .

According to Basawa and Brockwell [3], the likelihood function is given by

$$L(\alpha) = \exp \left(-t \int_{\epsilon_T}^{\infty} \tilde{\nu}_\alpha(z) dz \right) \prod_{k=1}^{N_T(\epsilon_T)} \tilde{\nu}_\alpha(U_k(\epsilon_T)),$$

and the maximum likelihood estimator $\hat{\alpha}_T = (\hat{a}_T, \hat{b}_T)$ is given by solving the equation that

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\hat{b}_T e^{-\hat{b}_T u}}{u + \epsilon_T} du = \frac{N_T(\epsilon_T)}{\sum_{k=1}^{N_T(\epsilon_T)} U_k(\epsilon_T)}, \quad \hat{a}_T = \frac{\hat{b}_T}{T} e^{\hat{b}_T \epsilon_T} \sum_{k=1}^{N_T(\epsilon_T)} U_k(\epsilon_T).$$

Then, assuming that $\epsilon_T \rightarrow \epsilon > 0$, it holds that

$$\sqrt{T}(\hat{\alpha}_T - \alpha_0) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{aa} & \sigma_{ab} \\ \sigma_{ba} & \sigma_{bb} \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

where

$$\sigma_{aa} = ae^{-b\epsilon}(1+b\epsilon)(b\xi)^{-1}, \quad \sigma_{bb} = (a^2\xi)^{-1} \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \tilde{\nu}_{\alpha}(z) dz, \quad \sigma_{ab} = -e^{-b\epsilon}(\beta\xi)^{-1},$$

$$\xi = b^{-2}e^{-b\epsilon} \left[(1+b\epsilon)a^{-1} \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \tilde{\nu}_{\alpha}(z) dz - e^{-b\epsilon} \right].$$

Assuming that the adjustment coefficient γ_{θ} exists and satisfies that $\gamma_{\theta} < b$.

References

- [1] Akritas, M. G. and Johnson, R. A. (1981). Asymptotic inference in Lévy processes of the discontinuous type, *Ann. Statist.*, **9**, (3), 604–614.
- [2] Asmussen, S and Albrecher, H. (2010). *Ruin probabilities*. 2nd ed. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ.
- [3] Basawa, I. V. and Brockwell, P. J. Inference for gamma and stable processes. *Biometrika*, **65**, (1), 129–133.
- [4] Bening, V. E. and Korolev, V. Yu. (2002). Nonparametric estimation of the ruin probability for generalized risk processes, *Theory of Probability and its Applications*, **47**, (1), 1–16.
- [5] Biffis, E. and Morales, M. (2010). On a generalization of the Gerber-Shiu function to path dependent penalties, *Insurance: Math. Econom.*, **46**, 92–97.
- [6] Croux, K. and Veraverbeke, N. (1990). Nonparametric estimators for the probability of ruin. *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*, **9**, (2-3), 127–130.
- [7] Frees, E. W. (1986). Nonparametric estimation of the probability of ruin, *ASTIN Bulletin*, **16**, 81–90.
- [8] Huzak, M., Perman, M., Šikić, H. and Vondraček, Z. (2004). Ruin probabilities and decompositions for general perturbed risk processes, *Ann. Appl. Probab.*, **14**, no. 3, 1378–1397.
- [9] Jacod, J. (2007). Asymptotic properties of power variations of Lévy processes, *ESAIM Probab. Statist.* **11**, 173–196.
- [10] Lundberg, F. (1903) Approximerad Framställning av Sannolikehetsfunktionen, Aterförsäkering av Kollektivrisker, Almqvist & Wiksell, Uppsala.
- [11] Masuda, H. (2013). Convergence of Gaussian quasi-likelihood random fields for ergodic Lévy driven SDE observed at high frequency, *Ann. Statistics*, **41**, (3), 1593–1641.
- [12] Mikosch, T. (2009). *Non-life insurance mathematics*. 2nd. ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- [13] Mnatsakanov, R.; Ruymgaart, L. L. and Ruymgaart, F. H. (2008). Nonparametric estimation of ruin probabilities given a random sample of claims, *Mathematical Methods of Statistics*, **17**, (1), 35–43.
- [14] Oshime, T. and Shimizu, Y. Asymptotic theory of parametric inference for ruin probability in the classical risk model, working paper, *submitted*.

- [15] Rolski, T., Schmidli, H., Schmidt, V., Teugels, J. (1999). *Stochastic processes for insurance and finance*, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester.
- [16] Shimizu, Y. (2006). M -estimation for discretely observed ergodic diffusion processes with infinitely many jumps, *Statist. Infer. Stochastic Proc.*, **9**, no. 2, 179–225.
- [17] Shimizu, Y. (2009). A new aspect of a risk process and its statistical inference, *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*, **44**, 70–77.
- [18] Shimizu, Y. (2011). Estimation of the expected discounted penalty function for Lévy insurance risks, *Math. Method of Statist.*, 20, (2), 125–149.
- [19] Shimizu, Y. (2012). Nonparametric estimation of the Gerber-Shiu function for the Wiener-Poisson risk model, *Scand. Actuarial Journal*, (1), 56–69.
- [20] Shimizu, Y. and Yoshida, N. (2006). Estimation of parameters for diffusion processes with jumps from discrete observations, *Statist. Infer. Stochastic Proc.*, **9**, no. 3, 227–277.
- [21] Shimizu, Y. and Zhang, Z. (2017). Estimating Gerber-Shiu functions from discretely observed Lévy driven surplus, *to appear in Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*.
- [22] van der Vaart, A. W. (1998). *Asymptotic statistics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [23] Woerner, J. (2001). Statistical Analysis for Discretely Observed Lévy Processes, *Ph. D. dissertation*, Albert Ludwigs University of Freiburg .
- [24] Zhang, Z. and Yang, H. (2013). Nonparametric estimate of the ruin probability in a pure-jump Lévy risk model, *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*, **53**, 24–35.
- [25] Zhang, Z. and Yang, H. (2014). Nonparametric estimation for the ruin probability in a Lévy risk model under low-frequency observation, *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics*, **59**, 168–177.