

ABADIE CONDITION FOR INFINITE PROGRAMMING PROBLEMS UNDER RELAXED CONSTANT RANK CONSTRAINT QUALIFICATION PLUS

EWA M. BEDNARCZUK¹, KRZYSZTOF W. LEŚNIEWSKI²,
AND KRZYSZTOF E. RUTKOWSKI^{2,3}

ABSTRACT. We consider systems of infinite number of inequalities and equations given by continuously differentiable functions defined on Banach spaces with values in Hilbert spaces. We represent these systems with the help of coefficients, in a given Schauder basis. We prove that Abadie condition (linearized cone is contained in tangent cone) holds. The main tools are: new infinite-dimensional Relaxed Constant Rank Condition Plus (inspired by the finite-dimensional idea of Minchenko and Stakhovskii), Rank Theorem and Ljusternik Theorem.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let E be a Banach space and $f_0, g_i : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i \in I_0 \cup I_1 \subset \mathbb{N}, I_0 \cap I_1 = \emptyset$ are functions of class C^1 such that $\sum_{i \in I_0 \cup I_1} (g_i(x))^2 < \infty$ for all $x \in U \subset E, U$ - open. We consider the following *infinite programming* problem

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Minimize}_{x \in \mathcal{F}} f_0(x) \\ & \mathcal{F} = \left\{ x \in E \mid \begin{array}{l} g_i(x) = 0, \quad i \in I_0, \\ g_i(x) \leq 0, \quad i \in I_1 \end{array} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (P_0)$$

i.e., we admit both index sets I_0, I_1 to be infinite.

This problem can be cast into a general framework. Let F be a Hilbert space. Let $(b_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Schauder basis of F and let $(b_i^*)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \subset F$ be a sequence of associated linear functionals, i.e. (b_i, b_i^*) is a biorthogonal system of F . When F is finite-dimensional ($\dim F = n$) we assume that $(b_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a basis of F (see [13, Example (i), Chapter 6]). Let us note that any separable Hilbert space has a basis.

Let $K \subset F$ be a cone defined as

$$K := \{y \in F \mid y = \sum_{i \in I_0 \cup I_1} b_i^*(y)b_i, b_i^*(y) = 0, i \in I_0, b_i^*(y) \leq 0, i \in I_1\}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $I_0 \cup I_1 \subseteq \mathbb{N}, I_0 \cap I_1 = \emptyset$. Cone K is closed, convex and has no interior points (see [14]). In the sequel we refer to cone K as a basis cone (see [15]).

Let $G : E \rightarrow F$ be C^1 mappings, i.e. $G : E \rightarrow F$ are continuously Fréchet differentiable functions. Consider the minimization problem

$$\text{Minimize}_{x \in \mathcal{F}} f_0(x) \quad (P)$$

Key words and phrases. tangent cone, relaxed constant rank condition, Abadie condition, rank theorem, Ljusternik theorem, Schauder basis, Besselian and Hilbertian basis.

¹ Warsaw University of Technology, 00-662 Warszawa, Koszykowa 75,

Systems Research Institute of PAS, PAS, 01-447 Warsaw, Newelska 6,

² Systems Research Institute of the Polish Academy of Sciences,

³ Cardinal Stefan Wyszyński University, 01-815 Warsaw, Dewajtis 5, k.rutkowski@uksw.edu.pl.

where $\mathcal{F} := \{x \in E \mid G(x) \in K\}$.

By taking $F = \ell_2$, $b_i = e_i$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, where $(e_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the canonical basis of ℓ_2 , the problem (P) is of the form (P_0) . Indeed, the set \mathcal{F} takes the form

$$\mathcal{F} := \{x \in E \mid g_i(x) := e_i^*(G(x)) = 0, i \in I_0, g_i(x) := e_i^*(G(x)) \leq 0, i \in I_1\}.$$

In the case when F is finite-dimensional ($F = \mathbb{R}^\kappa$) and $b_i = e_i$, $i \in \{1, \dots, \kappa\}$ is the canonical basis of the space F , cone K has a form

$$K = \{y = (y_1, \dots, y_\kappa) \in F \mid y_i = 0, i \in I_0, y_i \leq 0, i \in I_1\}, \quad (1.2)$$

where $I_0 \cup I_1 = \{1, \dots, \kappa\}$.

The sufficient conditions for problem (P) with F - finite dimensional and cone K given by (1.2) are considered in [7]. Below we concentrate on the case when F is infinite-dimensional.

Remark 1.1. In Banach spaces X with a basis $(b_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, the cone defined as

$$K_{\{b_i\}} := \{y \in X \mid y = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} b_i^*(y)b_i, b_i^*(y) \leq 0, i \in \mathbb{N}\} \quad (1.3)$$

was considered in [14, 22]. For $I_0 = \emptyset$ and $I_1 = \mathbb{N}$, the cone $K_{\{b_i\}}$ coincides with K . In the space c cone defined as (1.3) can never coincide with the natural nonnegative cone, since the natural nonnegative cone has a nonvoid interior while the basis cone $K_{\{b_i\}}$ always has a void interior (see [15]).

Let us note that even in Hilbert spaces not all standard nonnegative cones can be represented in the form of (1.1) as can be seen from the following example¹.

Example 1.2. Let $F = L_2([0, 1])$. Suppose that $f_k \geq 0$, $k = 1, \dots$ is a basis of the nonnegative cone of $L_2([0, 1])$. Observe that, due to the fact that $L_2^+([0, 1])$ is generating, f_k , $k \in \mathbb{N}$, is also a basis of F . For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let F_k be the support of f_k and choose a subset $M_k \subset F_k$ of measure $< 10^{-k}$. Then the set $M = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} M_k$ has measure $< 1/9$, hence the set $M' = [0, 1] \setminus M$ has measure greater than 0.

Let $h(t)$ be the characteristic function of M' , i.e. $h(t) = 1$ for $t \in M'$ and $h(t) = 0$ for $t \notin M'$. Obviously, $h(t) = 0$ on M . On the other hand, $h(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} a_k f_k(t)$ with $a_k \geq 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Take any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_k > 0$. Then $h(t) > 0$ on F_k and in particular on M_k . However, $M_k \subset M$, where $h(t) = 0$, a contradiction, i.e., such a basis does not exist.

We have

$$G(x) = \sum_{i \in I_0 \cup I_1} b_i^*(G(x))b_i = \sum_{i \in I_0 \cup I_1} g_i(x)b_i, \quad \text{where } g_i(x) := b_i^*(G(x)), i \in I_0 \cup I_1.$$

By (1.1), we can rewrite set \mathcal{F} in an equivalent way as follows

$$\mathcal{F} = \{x \in E \mid g_i(x) = 0, i \in I_0, g_i(x) \leq 0, i \in I_1\}. \quad (1.4)$$

The aim of the present investigation is to provide conditions ensuring the Abadie CQ,

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{F}}(x_0) = \Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}(x_0),$$

where $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{F}}(x_0)$ is the tangent cone to \mathcal{F} at x_0 (see (2.1)) and $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}(x_0)$ is the linearized cone to \mathcal{F} at x_0 (see (2.3)). In the sequel we concentrate on the case $I_0 \cup I_1 = \mathbb{N}$.

¹Example provided by professor Sergei Konyagin, Academician of RAS, by courtesy of professor Nikolai Osmolovskii.

Our main tool is Relaxed Constant Rank Constraint Qualification Plus introduced in Section 6. Other regularity conditions were recently proposed in [4, 5, 7, 11, 23].

The novelty of our approach relies on the use of the Schauder bases and basic sequences in definitions of the main concepts, namely in the definitions of the CRC+ (Definition 3.5) and RCRCQ+ (Definition 6.1). Consequently, the assumptions of the main theorem (Theorem 7.4), of Proposition 5.3 on functional dependence and two auxiliary results (Proposition 4.1, Proposition 4.8) are expressed with the help of Schauder bases of some spaces generated by the derivative of the constraint map.

Moreover, in the proof of Proposition 5.3 is based on Rank Theorem 5.1. Up to our knowledge, the only result concerning the existence Lagrange multipliers for which the proof is based on Rank Theorem is [9, Theorem 4.1].

Theorem 1.3. ([9, Theorem 4.1]) *Let x_0 be a local solution to problem (P) with $K = \{0\}$ and F be a Banach space. Let x_0 be a local solution to (P). Assume that the following conditions are fulfilled:*

- (A) f_0 is Fréchet differentiable at x_0 and G is of class C^1 in a neighbourhood of x_0 .
- (B) $E_2 := \ker DG(x_0)$ is topologically complemented in E , i.e., $E = E_1 \oplus E_2$, where E_1 is a closed subspace of E , $F_1 := DG(x_0)(E)$ is closed and topologically complemented in F , i.e., $F = F_1 \oplus F_2$, where F_2 is a closed subspace of F .
- (C) There exists a neighbourhood of x_0 such that for all x in this neighbourhood $DG(x)(E) \cap F_2 = \{0\}$.

Then there exists $\lambda \in F^*$ such that $Df_0(x_0) = \lambda \circ DG(x_0)$.

Remark 1.4. *By Proposition 1 of [8], condition (C) is equivalent to condition*

$$DG(x)|_{E_1} : E_1 \rightarrow DG(x)(E) \text{ is an isomorphism.}$$

In Proposition 4.1 of Section 4 we provide conditions under which we ensure that (B) holds. In Proposition 4.8 of Section 4 we provide conditions under which we ensure that (C) holds.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we recall basic concepts related to Schauder bases. In Section 3 we introduce Constant Rank Condition (CRC) and Constant Rank Condition Plus (CRC+). In Section 4, Conditions 4., 5., 6. of CRC+ allows us to prove Proposition 4.1 on the split of E . Condition CRC+ allows us to prove Proposition 4.8 on isomorphisms. Section 5 is devoted to the proof of Proposition 5.3 on functional dependence. In Section 6 we introduce Relaxed Constant Rank Constraint Qualification Plus, which is the main ingredient of main results of Section 7. In Section 7 we present main result, namely sufficient conditions under which Abadie condition holds for the investigated problem. The closing Section 8 is devoted to the topic of existence of Lagrange multipliers to problem (P).

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1. *Let X be a normed linear space. A sequence $(b_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X is called a Schauder basis of X (or simply basis) if for every $x \in X$ there is a unique sequence of scalars $(a_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, called the coefficients of x , such that $x = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} a_i b_i$.*

If X is finite-dimensional, the notion of Schauder basis coincides with the linear basis (see [13, Example (i), Chapter 6]).

We say that sequence $(b_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in F$ is a *basic sequence* if $(b_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Schauder basis of $\overline{\text{span}}(b_i, i \in \mathbb{N})$, where $\overline{\text{span}}$ denotes the closure of the span and the closure is taken in the strong topology of the space.

Definition 2.2. For a given, possibly nonconvex, set $Q \subset E$ and $x \in Q$ the tangent (Bouligand) cone to Q is defined as

$$\mathcal{T}_Q(x) := \{d \in E \mid \exists \{x_k\} \subset Q \ \{t_k\} \subset \mathbb{R} \ x_k \rightarrow x, t_k \downarrow 0, (x_k - x)/t_k \rightarrow d\}. \quad (2.1)$$

Equivalently,

$$\mathcal{T}_Q(x) := \{d \in E \mid d(x + td, Q) \sim o(t)\}, \quad (2.2)$$

where, for any function $q(t) \sim o(t)$ iff $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{q(t)}{t} = 0$.

The cone

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}(x) := \{d \in E \mid DG(x)d \in \mathcal{T}_K(G(x))\} \quad (2.3)$$

is called the linearized cone to \mathcal{F} at x .

Definition 2.3. For any $x \in \mathcal{F}$, where \mathcal{F} is given by (1.4), $I(x)$ denotes the set of active (inequality) indices of \mathcal{F} at x ,

$$I(x) := \{i \in I_1 \mid g_i(x) = 0\}.$$

Example 2.4. Let $G : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $G(x) = (g_1(x), \dots, g_n(x))$ and $K = \mathbb{R}_-^n = \{y = (y_i) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid y_i \leq 0, i = 1, \dots, n\}$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{F} = \{x \in E \mid g_i(x) \leq 0, i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

where $g_i : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let us calculate $\mathcal{T}_K(G(x_0))$, $x_0 \in E$, $G(x_0) \in c/K = K$.

(1) If $G(x_0) \in \text{int } \mathbb{R}_-^n$, then $\mathcal{T}_K(G(x_0)) = \mathbb{R}^n$.

(2) If $G(x_0) \in \text{bd } \mathbb{R}_-^n$, then $I(x_0) := \{i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \mid g_i(x_0) = 0\}$ is nonempty and $\mathcal{T}_K(G(x_0)) = \mathbb{R}_-^n = \{y = (y_i) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid y_i \leq 0, i \in I(x_0)\}$.

Proposition 2.5. For any $y_0 \in K$, where K is given by (1.1), we have

$$T_K(y_0) \subset \{z \in F \mid b_i^*(z) \leq 0, i \in I(y_0), b_i^*(z) = 0, i \in I_0\}, \quad (2.4)$$

where $I(y_0) := \{i \in I_1 \mid b_i^*(y_0) = 0\}$.

Proof. Take any $z \in T_K(y_0)$. By the definition, there exist $r(t) \in F$ and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $r(t)/t \rightarrow 0^+$ and

$$y_0 + tz + r(t) \in K \quad \forall t \in [0, \varepsilon_0]. \quad (2.5)$$

By this, for all $t \in [0, \varepsilon_0)$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle b_i^* \mid tz \rangle + \langle b_i^* \mid r(t) \rangle &\leq 0 \quad \forall i \in I(y_0), \\ \langle b_i^* \mid tz \rangle + \langle b_i^* \mid r(t) \rangle &= 0 \quad \forall i \in I_0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

and consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \langle b_i^* \mid z \rangle + \langle b_i^* \mid \frac{r(t)}{t} \rangle &\leq 0 \quad \forall i \in I(y_0), \\ \langle b_i^* \mid z \rangle + \langle b_i^* \mid \frac{r(t)}{t} \rangle &= 0 \quad \forall i \in I_0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $r(t)/t \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0^+$, we obtain $T_K(y_0) \subset \{z \in F \mid \langle b_i^* \mid z \rangle \leq 0, i \in I(y_0), \langle b_i^* \mid z \rangle = 0, i \in I_0\}$. \square

Fact 2.1. Let $\bar{x} \in E$. Since G is assumed differentiable on E we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{G(\bar{x} + h) - G(\bar{x}) - DG(\bar{x})h}{\|h\|} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} b_i^*(G(\bar{x} + h) - G(\bar{x}) - DG(\bar{x})h)b_i}{\|h\|} \\ &= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{b_i^*(G(\bar{x} + h) - G(\bar{x}) - DG(\bar{x})h)b_i}{\|h\|} \\ &= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{g_i(\bar{x} + h) - g_i(\bar{x}) - Dg_i(\bar{x})h}{\|h\|} b_i. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, due to the uniqueness of the representation of elements of the space F in basis $(b_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ the coefficients $g_i(\cdot) = b_i^*(g(\cdot))$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$ are differentiable for all $x \in E$ and $b_i^*(DG(\bar{x})z) = Dg_i(\bar{x})z$ for any $z \in E$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

As a consequence we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 2.6. Let $x_0 \in \mathcal{F}$, where \mathcal{F} is given by (1.4). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}(x_0) &= \{d \in E \mid \langle DG(x_0) \mid d \rangle \in T_K(G(x_0))\} \\ &\subset \{d \in E \mid \langle Dg_i(x_0) \mid d \rangle = 0, \quad i \in I_0, \\ &\quad \langle Dg_i(x_0) \mid d \rangle \leq 0, \quad i \in I(x_0)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where $I(x_0) := \{i \in I_1 \mid g_i(x_0) = 0\}$.

Proof. Take any $d \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}(x_0)$. This means that

$$\langle DG(x_0) \mid d \rangle \in T_K(G(x_0)). \quad (2.8)$$

By Proposition 2.5,

$$T_K(G(x_0)) \subset \{z \in F \mid b_i^*(z) = 0, \quad i \in I_0, \quad b_i^*(z) \leq 0, \quad i \in I(G(x_0))\}.$$

Hence, by (2.8),

$$b_i^*(\langle DG(x_0) \mid d \rangle) = 0, \quad i \in I_0, \quad b_i^*(\langle DG(x_0) \mid d \rangle) \leq 0, \quad i \in I(G(x_0)).$$

Now (2.7) follows from Fact 2.1. \square

Proposition 2.7. ([2, Theorem 1.1.3]) Let X be a Banach space and let $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a basis of X . Then there is sequence $(v_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in X^*$ such that

$$v_i^*(v_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

and $x = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} v_n^*(x)v_n$ for each $x \in X$. Such pair (v_n, v_n^*) is called biorthogonal system.

2.1. Boundedly-complete, shrinking, Besselian and Hilbertian bases. In this subsection we recall basic definitions and facts related selected types of bases in Banach spaces. These concepts will be extensively used in the sequel.

Definition 2.8. The closed subspace E_1 of the Banach space E is said to be split, or complemented, if there is a closed subspace $E_2 \subset E$ such that $E = E_1 \oplus E_2$.

Proposition 2.9. ([1, Theorem 2.1.15]) If F is a Hilbert space and F_1 a closed subspace, then $F = F_1 \oplus F_1^\perp$. Thus every closed subspace of a Hilbert space splits (see e.g. Definition 2.1.14 of [1]).

Definition 2.10. ([2, Definition 3.2.8]) Let X be a Banach space. A sequence $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X is boundedly-complete if whenever $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of scalars such that

$$\sup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N a_n v_n \right\| < +\infty$$

then the series $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n v_n$ converges.

Remark 2.11. Let $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X be boundedly-complete. Then every subsequence $(v_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is boundedly-complete. Indeed, suppose that $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is boundedly-complete and (a_k) , $k \in \mathbb{N}$, is such that $\sup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^N a_k v_{n_k} \right\| < \infty$. Let

$$\bar{a}_k = \begin{cases} a_k, & k \in \{n_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^N a_k v_{n_k} \right\| < \infty &\iff \sup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N \bar{a}_n v_n \right\| < \infty \\ \implies \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bar{a}_n v_n \text{ converges} &\iff \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} a_k v_{n_k} \text{ converges.} \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.12 (Theorem 3.2.10 Albiac). Let (v_n, v_n^*) be a biorthogonal system in a Banach space X . The following are equivalent:

- (1) $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is boundedly-complete,
- (2) $(v_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is shrinking basis for $\overline{\text{span}}(v_n^*, n \in \mathbb{N})$ (i.e., $(v_n^*)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a basis for X^*),
- (3) the canonical map $\text{eval}_X : X \rightarrow \overline{\text{span}}(v_n^*, n \in \mathbb{N})^*$ defined by $\text{eval}_X(x)(h) = h(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and $h \in \overline{\text{span}}(v_n^*, n \in \mathbb{N})$, is an isomorphism.

Remark 2.13. (Corollary 3.2.11 of [2]) Every Schauder basis of a Hilbert space is boundedly-complete. c_0 has no boundedly-complete basis.

Let us recall James Theorem from 1951.

Theorem 2.14. (James theorem, see [2, Theorem 3.2.13])

Let X be a Banach space. If X has a basis $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ then X is reflexive if and only if $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is both boundedly-complete and shrinking.

Definition 2.15. (see Definition 11.1 of [24]) We say that basis $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ in a real Banach space is

- (1) Besselian if

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_i c_i \text{ converges} \implies \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (\alpha_i)^2 < +\infty.$$

- (2) Hilbertian if

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (\alpha_i)^2 < +\infty \implies \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_i c_i \text{ converges,}$$

i.e., for every $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, with $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (\alpha_i)^2 < +\infty$ there exists an (obviously unique) x such that

$$c_i^*(x) = \alpha_i, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Remark 2.16. *The natural basis of ℓ_2 is Besselian. Not all bases in Hilbert spaces are Besselian or Hilbertian. For $L_2[-\pi, \pi]$ see [24, Example 11.2].*

Remark 2.17. *(Corollary 4.6 of [25]) The space $L_1[0, 1]$ has a Besselian basis.*

Lemma 2.1. *([16, Lemma 3.1]) The basis $(c_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of Banach space X is Hilbertian (Besselian) if and only if the basic sequence $(c_i^*)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X^* is Besselian (Hilbertian).*

Proposition 2.18. *([24, Proposition 3.1]) Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in Banach space X , and assume that $x_n \neq 0$ for every n .*

Define $Y := \{(c_n) \mid \sum_n c_n x_n \text{ converges in } X\}$ and set

$$\|(c_n)\|_Y := \sup_N \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N c_n x_n \right\|. \quad (2.10)$$

Then the following hold:

- (1) *Y is a Banach space.*
- (2) *If $\{x_n\}$ is a basis for X , then Y is topologically isomorphic to X via the mapping $(c_n) \mapsto \sum_n c_n x_n$.*

For any infinite subset $J = \{j_1, j_2, \dots\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ let us denote

$$Y(J) = \{(c_i)_{i \in J} \mid \sum_{i \in J} c_i x_i \text{ converges in } X\}. \quad (2.11)$$

with associated norm

$$\|(c_i)_{i \in J}\|_{Y(J)} := \sup_N \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N c_{j_i} x_{j_i} \right\| \quad (2.12)$$

and $\ell_2(J) := \{(c_j)_{j \in J} \mid \sum_{i \in J} (c_j)^2 < +\infty\}$ with associated norm

$$\|(c_j)_{j \in J}\|_{\ell_2(J)} = \sqrt{\sum_{i \in J} (c_j)^2}.$$

Remark 2.19. *Observe that if X is a reflexive Banach space with basis $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, then by Proposition 1.b.2 of [21] and James Theorem (Theorem 2.14), $\overline{\text{span}}(x_i, i \in J)$ can be identified with $Y(J)$.*

Remark 2.20. *Observe that if X is a Hilbert space with the inner product $\langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle$, the norm $\|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x \mid x \rangle}$ and the orthonormal basis $(x_i)_{i \in J}$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \|(c_i)_{i \in J}\|_{Y(J)} &= \sup_N \sqrt{\langle \sum_{i=1}^N c_{j_i} x_{j_i} \mid \sum_{i=1}^N c_{j_i} x_{j_i} \rangle} \\ &= \sup_N \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^N \langle c_{j_i} x_{j_i} \mid c_{j_k} x_{j_k} \rangle} \\ &= \sup_N \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^N (c_{j_i})^2} = \sqrt{\sup_N \sum_{i=1}^N (c_{j_i})^2} \\ &= \sqrt{\sum_{i \in J} (c_i)^2} = \|(c_i)_{i \in J}\|_{\ell_2(J)}. \end{aligned}$$

By James Theorem (Theorem 2.14), $(x_i)_{i \in J}$ is boundedly-complete in X . In view of this, when $(x_i)_{i \in J}$ is an orthonormal, then $Y(J) = \ell_2(J) := \overline{\text{span}}(e_i, i \in J)$, where $e_i^j = 0$ if $j \neq i$ and 1 otherwise, for all $i, j \in J$.

3. CONSTANT RANK CONDITION

In this section we introduce the Constant Rank Condition (CRC)² for a possibly infinite family of functions defined on a Banach space via Schauder basis. For other forms of CRC which do not refer to Schauder basis see e.g. [9].

Let E be a Banach space and F a Hilbert space with basis $(b_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. Consider $f : E \rightarrow \overline{\text{span}}(b_i, i \in \mathbb{N})$, i.e. $f(x) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} f_i(x)b_i$, where $f_i = b_i^*(f(x)) : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$ are continuous functionals.

Definition 3.1. Let $(f_i)_{i \in J_1} : E \rightarrow Y(J_1)$, $J_1 \subset \mathbb{N}$ be of class C^1 . We say that the Constant Rank Condition (CRC in short) holds for $(f_i)_{i \in J_1}$ at $x_0 \in E$ if there exist a neighbourhood $V(x_0)$ and a subset $J_2 \subset J_1$ such that

1. $(Df_i(x))_{i \in J_2}$ forms a Schauder basis for $\overline{\text{span}}(Df_i(x), i \in J_1)$ for $x \in V(x_0)$,
2. for all $x \in V(x_0)$, there exists a topological isomorphism

$$z_x : \overline{\text{span}}(Df_i(x), i \in J_2) \rightarrow \overline{\text{span}}(Df_i(x_0), i \in J_2), \text{ for all } x \in V(x_0)$$

such that $z_x(Df_i(x)) = Df_i(x_0)$, $i \in J_2$.

We interpret z_x as an isomorphism of functionals, i.e. $z_x(Df_i(x)(\cdot)) = Df_i(x_0)(\cdot)$, $x \in V(x_0)$, $i \in J_2$. Hence, by 2. $(Df_i(x_0))_{i \in J_2}(E)$ and $(Df_i(x))_{i \in J_2}(E)$ are isomorphic for $x \in V(x_0)$.

Remark 3.2. Let us note that, for $(f_i)_{i \in J_1}$, $J_1 \subset \mathbb{N}$, where J_1 is finite, the condition

$$\text{rank}\{Df_i(x_0), i \in J_1\} = \text{rank}\{Df_i(x), i \in J_1\}, \quad x \in V(x_0),$$

where $V(x_0)$ is a neighbourhood of x_0 , is equivalent to the existence of isomorphism z_x given in Definition 3.1 for $(f_i)_{i \in J_1}$ at x_0 (see [7, Definition 2.1]).

In the definition of CRC we assume closedness of $((Dg_i(x_0))_{i \in J_2})(E)$. Let us recall that such sets do not have to be closed.

Example 3.3. ([10]) Let $G : \ell_2 \rightarrow \ell_2$ be defined as $G(x) = (\frac{1}{2i}x_i^2)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. Then $DG(\cdot) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} e_n \langle e_n | \cdot \rangle$ and

- (1) $DG(x) \in L(\ell_2, \ell_2)$ (with $\|DG(x)\| = 1$)
- (2) $v \in DG(x)(\ell_2)$ if and only if $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} n^2 |\langle e_n | v \rangle|^2 < +\infty$
- (3) With $v_0 := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{3}{2}}} e_n$ and $\{v_j\} := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{3}{2}+j}} e_n$ we have $v_0 \notin DG(x)(\ell_2)$ yet $v_j \in DG(x)(\ell_2)$ and $v_j \rightarrow v_0$ as $j \rightarrow +\infty$.

Definition 3.4. ([2, Definition 1.3.1]) Two bases (or basic sequences) $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(v_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in the respective real Banach spaces X and Y are said to be equivalent, if whenever we take a sequence of scalars $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, then $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n u_n$ converges if and only if $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n v_n$ converges.

Let us recall the following Fact.

Fact 3.1. ([2, Theorem 1.3.2])

Let $(u_i)_{i \in J}$, $J \subset \mathbb{N}$, be a basic sequence in a Banach space X and let $(v_i)_{i \in J}$ be a basic sequence in a Banach space Y . The following are equivalent:

- $(u_i)_{i \in J}$ is a basic sequence equivalent to $(v_i)_{i \in J}$,
- There is an isomorphism T of $\overline{\text{span}}(u_i)_{i \in J}$ onto $\overline{\text{span}}(v_i)_{i \in J}$ s.t. $T(u_i) = v_i$ for every $i \in J$.

²Let us note that the same terminology (Constant Rank Condition) in finite-dimensional case has been already used in [3] (Definition 1) and [19], and differs from that proposed in Definition 3.1.

In other words, condition 3. of CRC means that a basic sequence $(Dg_i(x))_{i \in J_2}$ is equivalent to a basic sequence $(Dg_i(x_0))_{i \in J_2}$ in E^* for all x from some neighbourhood $V(x_0)$.

In the sequel we will use CRC with some additional conditions which motivates the following definition.

Definition 3.5. Let $(f_i)_{i \in J_1} : E \rightarrow Y(J_1)$, $J_1 \subset \mathbb{N}$ be of class C^1 . We say that the Constant Rank Condition Plus (CRC+ in short) holds for $(f_i)_{i \in J_1}$ at $x_0 \in E$ if there exist a neighbourhood $V(x_0)$ and a subset $J_2 \subset J_1$ such that

1. $(Df_i(x))_{i \in J_2}$ forms a Schauder basis for $\overline{\text{span}}(Df_i(x), i \in J_1)$ for $x \in V(x_0)$,
2. for all $x \in V(x_0)$, there exists a topological isomorphism

$$z_x : \overline{\text{span}}(Df_i(x), i \in J_2) \rightarrow \overline{\text{span}}(Df_i(x_0), i \in J_2), \text{ for all } x \in V(x_0)$$

$$\text{such that } z_x(Df_i(x)) = Df_i(x_0), i \in J_2$$

and additionally

3. $(Df_i(x_0))_{i \in J_2}(E)$ is closed in $Y(J_2)$ defined in (2.11),
4. $(Df_i(x_0))_{i \in J_2}$ forms a basis which is shrinking and boundedly-complete for $\overline{\text{span}}(Df_i(x_0), i \in J_1)$,
5. $(Df_i(x_0))_{i \in J_2}$ is Besselian for $\overline{\text{span}}(Df_i(x_0), i \in J_1)$,
6. $Df_i(x_0)^* \in E, i \in J_2$.

In view of Remark 2.20, if $(b_i)_{i \in J_2}$ is orthonormal, then, in Definition 3.5, 3. we have $Y(J_2) = \ell_2(J_2)$.

Remark 3.6. Let us remark that, if J_1 is finite then 3., 4., 5., 6. are automatically satisfied.

4. COMPLEMENTED KERNELS AND ISOMORPHISMS

In this section we prove Proposition 4.1 and Proposition 4.8 which, together with CRC+ will be used in the next sections. We start with Proposition 4.1 providing conditions for a C^1 mapping f ensuring that the kernel of its derivative $Df(x_0)$ is complemented. It is next used in the proof of Lemma 4.1 which is, in turn, used in the proof of Proposition 4.8. Proposition 4.1 could be of independent interest of itself.

Proposition 4.1. Let E be a Banach space, F be Banach space with a Besselian Schauder basis $(b_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. Let $(f_i)_{i \in J} : E \rightarrow Y(J)$, $J \subset \mathbb{N}$ be of class C^1 , $f : E \rightarrow F$, $f(x) := \sum_{i \in J} f_i(x)b_i$, $x \in E$.

Let $x_0 \in E$ and $E_2 = \ker Df(x_0)$, $X_1 := \overline{\text{span}}(Df_i(x_0), i \in J)$, and assume that:

- (A) $(Df_i(x_0))_{i \in J}$ forms a shrinking and boundedly-complete basis for X_1 ,
- (B) $(Df_i(x_0))_{i \in J}$ is Besselian for X_1 ,
- (C) $Df_i(x_0)^* \in E, i \in J$.

Then $E = E_1 \oplus E_2$, where $E_1 = \overline{\text{span}}(Df_i(x_0)^*, i \in J)$. Moreover, X_1 is a reflexive space.

Proof. By (A), the fact that X_1 is a reflexive space follows immediately from James theorem (Theorem 2.14). Let $v_i := Df_i(x_0) \in E^*, i \in J$. Since $(Df_i(x_0))_{i \in J}$ forms a boundedly-complete basis for $X_1 := \overline{\text{span}}(Df_i(x_0), i \in J)$, by Proposition 2.12, there exists canonical isomorphism $\text{eval}_{X_1} : X_1 \rightarrow \overline{\text{span}}((Df_i(x_0)^*, i \in J)^*$ defined as

$$\text{eval}_{X_1}(v)(u^*) = u^*(v) \quad \text{for every } v \in X_1, u^* \in \overline{\text{span}}(v_n^*).$$

We have $v_i^*(v_j) = v_j(v_i^*) = Df_i(x_0)Df_j(x_0)^*$ for all $i \in J$. By (2.9), (C), Fact 2.1 and Proposition 2.12

$$Df(x_0)(Df_i(x_0)^*) = \sum_{j \in J} Df_j(x_0)(Df_i(x_0)^*)b_j = b_i, \quad i \in J. \quad (4.1)$$

Now we show that $E = X_1^* \oplus \ker Df(x_0)$. For any $x \in E$, $Df(x_0)(x) = \sum_{j \in J} Df_j(x_0)(x)b_j = \sum_{i \in J} \alpha_i(x)b_i$, $\alpha_i(x) = Df_i(x_0)(x) \in \mathbb{R}$.

Since $(b_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Besselian for F , $\sum_{i \in J} (\alpha_i(x))^2 < +\infty$. Let $m := \sum_{i \in J} \alpha_i(x)Df_i(x_0)^*$. By (B) and Lemma 2.1, $(Df_i(x_0)^*)_{i \in J}$ is Hilbertian for X_1^* , m is well defined, i.e., $m \in X_1^*$.

By (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Df(x_0)(x - m) &= Df(x_0)(x) - Df(x_0)(m) \\ &= \sum_{i \in J} \alpha_i(x)b_i - Df(x_0)\left(\alpha_i(x) \sum_{i \in J} Df_i(x_0)^*\right) \\ &= \sum_{i \in J} \alpha_i(x)b_i - \sum_{i \in J} \alpha_i(x)Df(x_0)(Df_i(x_0)^*) \\ &= \sum_{i \in J} \alpha_i(x)b_i - \sum_{i \in J} \alpha_i(x)b_i = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $x - m \in \ker Df(x_0)$ which proves the assertion with $E_1 := X_1^*$ and $E_2 := \ker Df(x_0)$. \square

Remark 4.2. *There exist Banach spaces Y with shrinking basis and no reflexive subspaces, such that the dual Y^* is reflexive (see [6]).*

Remark 4.3. *Let us note that space ℓ_1 contains no infinite-dimensional reflexive subspaces.*

Corollary 4.4. *Let E be a reflexive Banach space, F be Hilbert space with a Besselian Schauder basis $(b_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. Let*

$(f_i)_{i \in J} : E \rightarrow Y(J)$, $J \subset \mathbb{N}$ be of class C^1 , $f : E \rightarrow F$, $f(x) := \sum_{i \in J} f_i(x)b_i$, $x \in E$. Let $x_0 \in E$ and $E_2 = \ker Df(x_0)$, $X_1 := \overline{\text{span}}(Df_i(x_0), i \in J)$ and assume that:

- (A) $(Df_i(x_0))_{i \in J}$ is a basis for X_1 ,
- (B) $(Df_i(x_0))_{i \in J}$ is Besselian for X_1 .

Then $E = E_1 \oplus E_2$, where $E_1 = \overline{\text{span}}(Df_i(x_0)^, i \in J)$.*

Proof. The proof follows directly from James theorem applied to X_1 and Proposition 4.1. \square

Remark 4.5. *Assume that CRC holds for $(f_i)_{i \in J}$ at x_0 with $V(x_0)$ as in Definition 3.1. Then, by CRC 2.,*

$$\forall k \in J_2 \quad \forall e \in E \quad z_x \circ (b_k^*(Df(x_0)))(e) = b_k^*(Df(x))(e)$$

and

$$b_l^*(Df(x))(e) = \sum_{i \in J_2} \beta_i Df_i(x)(e), \quad l \in J \setminus J_2, \quad x \in V(x_0).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} e \in \ker Df(x) &\Leftrightarrow \forall_{k \in J} b_k^*(Df(x)(e)) = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \forall_{k \in J_2} z_x(b_k^*(Df(x_0)(e))) = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \forall_{k \in J} b_k^*(Df(x_0)(e)) = 0 \Leftrightarrow e \in \ker Df(x_0). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

For any $f : E \rightarrow F$, and $J_1 \subset \mathbb{N}$, we have $f(x) = \sum_{i \in J_1} b_i^*(f(x))b_i$, $x \in E$, where $f_i(x) = b_i^*(f(x)) = 0$ for $i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus J_1$. Consequently, for any $e \in E$,

$$Df(x)(e) = \sum_{j \in J_1} b_j^*(Df(x)e)b_j = \sum_{j \in J_1} (Df_j(x)e)b_j, \quad (4.3)$$

where, by Fact 2.1, $Df_j(x)e = b_j^*(Df(x)e)$, $j \in J_1$.

In the lemma below we investigate the coefficients $Df_j(x)e = b_j^*(Df(x)e)$, $j \in J_1$, $e \in E$, in a neighbourhood of x_0 at which CRC holds.

Lemma 4.1. *Let E be a Banach space, F be a Hilbert space and $(b_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Besselian basis of F . Let $(f_i)_{i \in J} : E \rightarrow Y(J)$, $J \subset \mathbb{N}$ be of class C^1 , $f : E \rightarrow F$, $f(x) := \sum_{i \in J} f_i(x)b_i$, $x \in E$. Assume that CRC+ holds for $(f_i)_{i \in J_1}$ at x_0 with $J_2 \subset J_1$ and neighbourhood $V(x_0) \subset U$.*

Let $x \in V(x_0)$, $e \in E$. Then there exist scalars $\beta_j = \beta_j(e)$, $j \in J_2$ depending on e but not on x such that

$$Df_i(x)(e) = \sum_{j \in J_2} \beta_j(e)w_j^i(x), \quad i \in J_1, \quad (4.4)$$

where

$$w_j^i(x) := b_i^*(Df(x)(Df_j(x_0)^*)), \quad i, j \in J_2$$

and

$Df_i(x_0)^* \in E$, $i \in J_2$, (see Proposition 2.7) are such that

$$w_j^i(x_0) = Df_i(x_0)^*(Df_j(x_0)) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases} \quad i, j \in J_2. \quad (4.5)$$

Proof. The existence of $Df_i(x_0)^* \in E^{**}$, $i \in J_2$ is ensured by Proposition 2.7. By 6. of CRC+, $Df_i(x_0)^* \in E$, $i \in J_2$. Since, by 5. of CRC+, $Df_i(x_0)$, $i \in J_2$ forms a basis of $\overline{\text{span}}(Df_i(x_0), i \in J_1)$ by Proposition 2.7, $Df_i(x_0)^*$, $i \in J_2$ forms a basis of $(\overline{\text{span}}(Df_i(x_0), i \in J_1))^*$.

By Proposition 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned} e &= e_1 + e_2, \quad e_2 \in \ker Df(x_0), \\ e_1 &= \sum_{k \in J_2} \beta_k(e)Df_k^*(x_0), \quad \beta_k(e) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad k \in J_2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

By 2. of CRC+ and (4.2), $e_2 \in \ker Df(x)$ for $x \in V(x_0)$ and for $i \in J_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} Df_i(x)(e) &= b_i^*(Df(x)(e_1 + e_2)) = b_i^*(Df(x) \sum_{k \in J_2} \beta_k(e)Df_k^*(x_0)) \\ &= \sum_{k \in J_2} \beta_k(e)b_i^*(Df(x)Df_k^*(x_0)). \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

□

Remark 4.6. *In other words, (4.4) means that for $x \in V(x_0)$ and $i \in J_2$*

$$Df_i(x)(e) = \sum_{j \in J_2} \beta_j(e)w_j^i(x) = \sum_{j \in J_2} \beta_j(e)b_i^*(Df(x)(Df_j(x_0)^*)). \quad (4.8)$$

In particular, for $x = x_0$ and $i \in J_2$,

$$Df_i(x_0)(e) = \sum_{j \in J_2} \beta_j(e) w_j^i(x_0) = \sum_{j \in J_2} \beta_j(e) b_i^*(Df(x_0)(Df_j(x_0)^*)) = \beta_i(e). \quad (4.9)$$

Remark 4.7. By Proposition 2.7, vectors $w_j(x_0)$, $j \in J_2$ are such that

$$(w_j^i(x_0))_{i \in J_2} = \begin{cases} 1, & i = j, \\ 0, & i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Observe that by (4.7), for $x = x_0$ and $j \in J_1 \setminus J_2$

$$\sum_{k \in J_2} \beta_k(e) b_j^*(Df(x_0)Df_k^*(x_0)) = 0$$

because $Df(x_0)Df_k^*(x_0) = \sum_{i \in J_1} Df_i(x_0)(Df_k^*(x_0))b_i = b_k$ for $k \in J_2$ and, by the definition of b_j^* ,

$$b_j^*(b_k) = 0 \quad \text{for } j \in J_1 \setminus J_2.$$

Hence

$$Df(x_0)(e) = \sum_{j \in J_1} Df_j(x_0)(e)b_j = \sum_{j \in J_2} \beta_j(e)b_j. \quad (4.10)$$

In the following proposition we prove that CRC+ ensure that the mapping defined by (4.11) is an isomorphism. This proposition together with the Rank Theorem (Theorem 5.1) will allow us to prove Proposition 5.3 which is crucial in the proof of the main result in Section 7.

Proposition 4.8. Let E be a Banach space, F be a Hilbert space and assume that $(b_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Besselian basis of F and $J_1 \subset \mathbb{N}$. Let $(f_i)_{i \in J_1} : U \rightarrow Y(J_1)$, $U \subset E$ open, be a C^1 mapping.

Assume that CRC+ holds for $(f_i)_{i \in J_1}$ at x_0 with a neighbourhood $V(x_0)$ with index set $J_2 \subset J_1$. Then

$$t_x := ((Df_i(x))_{i \in J_1})|_{E_1} : E_1 \rightarrow (Df_i(x))_{i \in J_1}(E), \quad \forall x \in V(x_0) \quad (4.11)$$

is an isomorphism, where $E_1 := X_1^* = \overline{\text{span}}(Df_i(x_0)^*$, $i \in J_2)$.

Proof. By 1. of CRC+, for any $x \in V(x_0)$ for any $l \in J_1 \setminus J_2$, $Df_l(x)(e_1)$ can be expressed by $Df_i(x)(e_1)$, $i \in J_2$, i.e., there exists scalars $\alpha_i^l(x)$, $i \in J_2$, $l \in J_1 \setminus J_2$ such that

$$Df_l(x)(e_1) = \sum_{i \in J_2} \alpha_i^l(x) Df_i(x)(e_1).$$

Since $e_1 \in E_1$, by Lemma 4.1 we have

$$Df_i(x)(e_1) = \sum_{j \in J_2} \beta_j(e_1) Df_i(x)Df_j(x_0)^*, \quad i \in J_2.$$

Since $(f_i)_{i \in J_1}$ is a C^1 mapping, t_x is a continuous (linear) mapping. Now we show that t_x , $x \in V(x_0)$, is a bijection.

1. Step: injectivity. Let $x \in V(x_0)$. Let us take $x_1, x_2 \in E_1$. Suppose that $(Df_i(x))_{i \in J_1}(x_1) = (Df_i(x))_{i \in J_1}(x_2)$. Then $(Df_i(x))_{i \in J_1}(x_1 - x_2) = 0$ and by Remark 4.5, $x_1 - x_2 \in \ker(Df_i(x)_{i \in J_2}) = \ker(Df_i(x_0)_{i \in J_1}) = \bigcap_{i \in J_1} Df_i(x_0)$. On the other hand $x_1 - x_2 \in E_1$, hence $x_1 = x_2$.

2. Step: surjectivity. Let $e \in E$ and $x \in V(x_0)$. By 1. of CRC+,

$$Df_l(x)(e) = \sum_{i \in J_2} \alpha_i^l(x) Df_i(x)(e), \quad l \in J_1 \setminus J_2.$$

For $i \in J_2$

$$\begin{aligned} Df_i(x)(e) &= \sum_{j \in J_2} \beta_j(e) (Df_i(x) Df_j(x_0)^*) \\ &= Df_i(x) \left(\sum_{j \in J_2} \beta_j(e) Df_j(x_0)^* \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

and for $l \in J_1 \setminus J_2$

$$\begin{aligned} Df_l(x)(e) &= \sum_{i \in J_2} \alpha_i^l(x) Df_i(x)(e) \\ &= \sum_{i \in J_2} \alpha_i^l(x) Df_i(x) \left(\sum_{j \in J_2} \beta_j(e) Df_j(x_0)^* \right) \\ &= \sum_{i \in J_2} \alpha_i^l(x) Df_i(x)(e_1) \\ &= Df_l(x)(e_1), \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

where $e_1 = \sum_{j \in J_2} \beta_j(e) Df_j(x_0)^* \in E_1$ (hence $Df_i(x)(e) = Df_i(x)(e_1)$, $i \in J_2$). Since $Df_i(x)(e) = Df_i(x)(e_1)$, $i \in J_2$ and $Df_l(x)(e) = Df_l(x)(e_1)$, $l \in J_1 \setminus J_2$ we obtain that $(Df_i(x))_{i \in J_1}(e) = (Df_i(x))_{i \in J_1}(e_1)$. \square

5. CRC+ AND FUNCTIONAL DEPENDENCE

In this section we use CRC+ to prove Proposition 5.3 which provides conditions for the functional dependence in the form of formula (5.1) and is based on Proposition 4.1, Proposition 4.8, and Rank Theorem (Theorem 5.1). Proposition 5.3 will be used in the proof of the main result, Theorem 7.4 of Section 7.

For convenience of the Reader we start by recalling the rank and the local representation theorems.

Theorem 5.1. (*Rank Theorem, see [1, Theorem 2.5.15]*)

Let E, Y be Banach spaces. Let $x_0 \in U$, where U is an open subset of E and $f : U \rightarrow Y$ be of class C^1 . Assume that $Df(x_0)$ has closed split image Y_1 with closed component Y_2 and split kernel E_2 with closed component E_1 and that for all x in some neighbourhood of x_0 , $Df(x)|_{E_1} : E_1 \rightarrow Df(x)(E)$ is an isomorphism.

Then there exist open sets $U_1 \subset Y_1 \oplus E_2$, $U_2 \subset E$, $V_1 \subset Y$, $V_2 \subset Y_1 \oplus E_2$ and diffeomorphisms of class C^1 , $\varphi : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ and $\psi : U_1 \rightarrow U_2$, $x_0 = (x_{01}, x_{02}) \in U_2 \subset U \subset E_1 \oplus E_2$, i.e. $x_{01} \in E_1$, $x_{02} \in E_2$, $f(x_0) \in V_1$ satisfying

$$(\varphi \circ f \circ \psi)(w, e) = (w, 0), \quad \text{where } w \in Y_1, e \in E_2$$

for all $(w, e) \in U_1$.

Theorem 5.2. ([1, Theorem 2.5.14] *Local Representation Theorem*) Let E, Y be Banach spaces. Let $f : U \rightarrow Y$ be of class C^r , $r \geq 1$ in a neighbourhood of $x_0 \in U$, $U \subset E$ open set. Let Y_1 be closed split image of $Df(x_0)$ with closed complement Y_2 . Suppose that $Df(x_0)$ has split kernel $E_2 = \ker Df(x_0)$ with closed complement E_1 . Then there are open sets $U_1 \subset U \subset E_1 \oplus E_2$ and $U_2 \subset Y_1 \oplus E_2$, $x_0 \in U_2$ and a C^r

diffeomorphism $\psi : U_2 \rightarrow U_1$ such that $(f \circ \psi)(u, v) = (u, \eta(u, v))$ for any $(u, v) \in U_1$, where $u \in E_1$, $v \in E_2$ and $\eta : U_2 \rightarrow E_2$ is a C^r map satisfying $D\eta(\psi^{-1}(x_0)) = 0$.

Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 5.2 allow to prove the following functional dependence result.

Proposition 5.3. *Let E be a Banach space, F be a Hilbert space with Besselian and Hilbertian basis $(b_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. Let $(f_i)_{i \in J_1} : U \rightarrow Y(J_1)$, $U \subset E$ open, be a C^1 mapping. Let $E_2 = \ker(Df_i(x_0)_{i \in J_1})$. Assume that CRC+ holds for $(f_i)_{i \in J_1}$, at x_0 with the index set $J_2 \subset J_1$ and a neighbourhood $V(x_0)$.*

Then there exist functions $h_l : Y(J_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $l \in J_1 \setminus J_2$ of class C^1 such that

$$f_l(x) = h_l((f_i(x))_{i \in J_2}) \quad \text{for all } x \text{ in some neighbourhood of } x_0. \quad (5.1)$$

Proof. By 3. of CRC+, $Y_1 := (Df_i(x_0)(E))_{i \in J_2}$ is a closed subset of $Y(J_2)$.

By Proposition 4.1, applied to $(f_i)_{i \in J_2} : E \rightarrow Y(J_2)$, $(Df_i)_{i \in J_2}(x_0)$ has a split kernel $E_2 = \ker((Df_i(x_0))_{i \in J_2}) = \ker((Df_i(x_0))_{i \in J_1})$ with closed complement $E_1 = \overline{\text{span}}(Df_i(x_0)^*, i \in J_2) = \overline{\text{span}}(Df_i(x_0)^*, i \in J_1)$, $E = E_1 \oplus E_2$. By Proposition 4.8, $(Df_i(x)_{i \in J_1})|_{E_1} : E_1 \rightarrow (Df_i(x)_{i \in J_1})(E)$, $x \in V(x_0)$ is an isomorphism. By 1. of CRC+,

$$Df_i(x_0)(e) = \sum_{j \in J_2} (\beta_j^i Df_j(x_0)(e)), \quad i \in J_1 \setminus J_2,$$

where $\beta_j^i \in \mathbb{R}$, $i \in J_1 \setminus J_2$, $j \in J_2$.

Since Y_1 is closed, by Proposition 2.9, $\overline{\text{span}}(b_i, i \in J_1)$ splits, i.e., there exists Y_2 such that $\overline{\text{span}}(b_i, i \in J_1) = Y_1 \oplus Y_2$. By Rank Theorem 5.1, there are open sets $U_2 \subset U \subset E_1 \oplus E_2$ and $U_1 \subset Y_1 \oplus E_2$, $x_0 \in U_2$, $V_1 \subset \overline{\text{span}}(b_i, i \in J_1)$, $V_2 \subset Y_1 \oplus E_2$ and C^1 diffeomorphisms $\psi : U_1 \rightarrow U_2$, $\varphi : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ such that for all $x \in U_2$ there exists $(q, e) \in U_1$ such that $x = \psi(q, e)$ and

$$(f_i)_{i \in J_1} \circ \psi(q, e) = \varphi^{-1}(q, 0). \quad (5.2)$$

By Local Representation Theorem 5.2, there exists a function $\eta : U_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ of class C^1 such that for all $(q, e) \in U_1$

$$(f_i)_{i \in J_1} \circ \psi(q, e) = (q, \eta(q, e)) \in Y(J_1) \quad (5.3)$$

Let us put $(\bar{f}_i)_{i \in J_1}(q) := (q, \eta(q, e)) = \varphi^{-1}(q, 0)$ for $(q, e) \in U_1$, where $\varphi^{-1}(q, 0) = (\varphi_i^{-1}(q, 0))_{i \in J_1}$.

Then, by (5.2) and (5.3) we have that

$$q_i := \bar{f}_i(q) = \varphi_i^{-1}(q, 0) = f_i \circ \psi(q, e) = f_i(x), \quad i \in J_2$$

and for any $l \in J_1 \setminus J_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} f_l(x) &= f_l \circ \psi(q, e) = \bar{f}_l(q) = f_l \circ \psi(q, e) \\ &= b_l^*(f \circ \psi(q, e)) = b_l^*(q, \eta(q, e)) \\ &= \eta_l(q, e) = \eta_l(q, \bar{e}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\eta_l(q, \bar{e}) =: h_l((f_i(x))_{i \in J_2}), \quad l \in J_1 \setminus J_2,$$

where $h_l : Y(J_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. □

6. RELAXED CONSTANT RANK CONSTRAINT QUALIFICATION PLUS

Definition 6.1. Let $(g_i)_{i \in I_0 \cup I_1} : E \rightarrow Y(I_0 \cup I_1)$, $I_0 \cup I_1 \subset \mathbb{N}$, $I_0 \cap I_1 = \emptyset$ be of class C^1 . We say that *Relaxed Constant Rank Constraint Qualification Plus (RCRCQ+ in short)* holds for set \mathcal{F} , given by (1.4), at x_0 if there exists a neighbourhood $V(x_0)$ such that for all J , $I_0 \subset J \subset I_0 \cup I(x_0)$, CRC+ holds for $(g_i)_{i \in J}$ at x_0 with neighbourhood $V(x_0)$, i.e., exists $J_2 \subset J$ such that

1. $(Dg_i(x))_{i \in J_2}$ forms a Schauder basis for $\overline{\text{span}}(Dg_i(x), i \in J)$ for $x \in V(x_0)$,
2. there exists a topological isomorphism

$$z_x : \overline{\text{span}}(Dg_i(x), i \in J) \rightarrow \overline{\text{span}}(Dg_i(x_0), i \in J), \text{ for all } x \in V(x_0),$$

$$\text{such that } z_x(Dg_i(x_0)) = Dg_i(x) \text{ for all } x \in V(x_0), i \in J_2.$$

and

3. $(Dg_i(x_0))_{i \in J_2}(E)$ is closed in $Y(J_2)$ defined in (2.11),
4. $(Dg_i(x_0))_{i \in J_2}$ forms a shrinking and boundedly-complete basis for $X_1 := \overline{\text{span}}(Dg_i(x_0), i \in J)$,
5. $(Dg_i(x_0))_{i \in J_2}$ is Besselian for $\overline{\text{span}}(Dg_i(x_0), i \in J)$,
6. $Dg_i(x_0)^* \in E$, $i \in J_2$.

Remark 6.2. Let us note that if there is no inequality constraints, i.e. $I_1 = \emptyset$, then the *Relaxed Constant Rank Constraint Qualification Plus* for set \mathcal{F} at x_0 is equivalent to *Constant Rank Condition Plus* for $(g_i)_{i \in I_0}$ at x_0 .

Remark 6.3. If E is a reflexive space, then *RCRCQ+* holds for set \mathcal{F} given by (1.4) takes the form: there exists a neighbourhood $V(x_0)$ such that for all J , $I_0 \subset J \subset I_0 \cup I(x_0)$, exists $J_2 \subset J$ such that

1. $(Dg_i(x))_{i \in J_2}$ forms a Schauder basis for $\overline{\text{span}}(Dg_i(x), i \in J)$ for $x \in V(x_0)$,
2. $(Dg_i(x_0))_{i \in J_2}(E)$ is closed in $Y(J_2)$ defined in (2.11),
3. $(Dg_i(x))_{i \in J_2}$ forms a Schauder basis for $\overline{\text{span}}(Dg_i(x), i \in J)$, $x \in V(x_0)$ and there exists a topological isomorphism

$$z_x : \overline{\text{span}}(Dg_i(x), i \in J) \rightarrow \overline{\text{span}}(Dg_i(x_0), i \in J), \text{ for all } x \in V(x_0),$$

$$\text{such that } z_x(Dg_i(x_0)) = Dg_i(x) \text{ for all } x \in V(x_0), i \in J_2.$$

and

4. $(Dg_i(x_0))_{i \in J_2}$ is Besselian for $\overline{\text{span}}(Dg_i(x_0), i \in J)$.

Let us note that if $J \subset \mathbb{N}$ is finite, $|J| = n$ and $g_i : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i \in J$ are of class C^1 in some neighbourhood of x_0 then $((Dg_i(x_0))_{i \in J})(E)$ is closed in \mathbb{R}^n .

7. MAIN RESULT

The following condition will be used in our main theorem.

- (H1) For all $d \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}(x_0)$ and for any vector function $r : (0, 1) \rightarrow E$ such that $\|r(t)\|t^{-1} \rightarrow 0$, as $t \downarrow 0$, there exists a number $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$g_i(x_0 + td + r(t)) \leq 0 \text{ for all } i \in (I_0 \cup I_1) \setminus I(x_0, d) \text{ and for all } t \in (0, \varepsilon_0),$$

$$\text{where } I(x_0, d) := \{i \in I(x_0) \mid \langle Dg_i(x_0), d \rangle = 0\}.$$

Note that if for some $d \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}(x_0)$, set $(I_0 \cup I_1) \setminus I(x_0, d)$ is finite, then the Condition (H1) is satisfied, cf. [7, Lemma 5.1].

The following examples illustrates condition (H1).

Example 7.1. Let $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, \mathcal{X} is sequence space ℓ_p , $p \geq 1$, $h_i(x) = a_i x^2 + b_i x + c_i$, $i = 1, \dots$ where $a_i \leq 0$, $i = 1, \dots$ and

$$c_i = \begin{cases} 0, & i - \text{even}, \\ < 0, & i - \text{odd} \end{cases}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Let $\mathcal{F} := \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid h(x) \in \mathcal{X}_-\}$, where $h(x) = (h_i(x))_{i \in I_0 \cup I_1}$ and $x_0 = 0$. Then $I(x_0) = \{2k, k \in I_0 \cup I_1\}$. Assume that $b_i > 0$, $i = 1, \dots$. Then

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}(0) = \{d \in \mathbb{R} \mid b_i \cdot d \leq 0, i \in I(x_0)\} = \mathbb{R}_-$$

$$T_{\mathcal{F}}(0) = \{d \in \mathbb{R} \mid \exists r(t), r(t)/t \rightarrow 0^+ \exists \varepsilon_0 > 0 \forall t \in [0, \varepsilon_0) \quad h(x_0 + td + r(t)) \in \mathcal{X}_-\}.$$

Let us take $d = -1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} a_i(-t + r(t))^2 + b_i(-t + r(t)) + c_i &\leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots \\ \iff t^2 a_i(-1 + \frac{r(t)}{t}) + t b_i(-1 + \frac{r(t)}{t}) + c_i &\leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Since $a_i, c_i \leq 0$ and $b_i \geq 0$, $i = 1, \dots$ we obtain that $-1 \in T_{\mathcal{F}}(0)$. Since $T_{\mathcal{F}}(0) \subset \Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}(0)$ we obtain that Abadie condition holds, i.e., $T_{\mathcal{F}}(0) = \Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}(0)$. Let us also prove that h_i also satisfy condition (H1). Indeed, if $i \in I(0) \setminus I(0; d)$, we have $b_i \cdot d < 0$. So inequality

$$h_i(td + r(t)) = a_i(td + r(t))^2 + t b_i(d + \frac{r(t)}{t}) < 0$$

is satisfied for any function $r(t)$ s.t. $r(t)/t \rightarrow 0^+$ for $t \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ for some ε_0 .

Note that condition PMFCQ from [23] is not satisfied for functions in Example 7.1. In the following example RCRCQ+ and Abadie conditions are not satisfied.

Example 7.2. Let $g_j : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$ be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(x_1, x_2) &= -x_1, \\ g_2(x_1, x_2) &= -x_2; \\ g_j(x_1, x_2) &:= j x_1 x_2, \forall (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \forall j = 3, 4, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Functions $g_j : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$ are differentiable, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F} &:= \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid g_j(x_1, x_2) \leq 0, j \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ &= \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x_1 = 0, x_2 \geq 0\} \cup \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x_1 \geq 0, x_2 = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$T_{\mathcal{F}}(0, 0) = \{(u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid u_1 \geq 0, u_2 \geq 0, u_1 \cdot u_2 = 0\}$$

and

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}(0, 0) = \mathbb{R}_+^2.$$

Hence Abadie condition does not hold. Moreover, RCRCQ+ does not hold, since

$$(Dg_i(0, 0))_{i \in \{1, 3\}} \text{ is not isomorphic to } (Dg_i(x_1, x_2))_{i \in \{1, 3\}}$$

for any (x_1, x_2) s.t. $x_2 \neq 0$. Note that for any $d \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}(0, 0)$, $I_1 \setminus I((0, 0), d)$ is a finite set, thus it is easy to check that condition (H1) is satisfied.

Theorem 7.3. (Ljusternik Theorem) Let X and Y be Banach spaces, let U be a neighborhood of a point $x_0 \in X$, and let $f : U \rightarrow Y$ be a Fréchet differentiable mapping. Assume that f is regular at x_0 , i.e., that $\text{Im } Df(x_0) = Y$, and that its

derivative is continuous at this point (in the uniform operator topology of the space $\Gamma(X, Y)$). Then the tangent space $T_M(x_0)$ to the set

$$M = \{x \in U \mid f(x) = f(x_0)\}$$

at the point x_0 coincides with the kernel of the operator $Df(x_0)$,

$$T_M(x_0) = \ker Df(x_0). \quad (7.1)$$

Moreover, if the assumptions of the theorem are satisfied, then there exist a neighborhood $U' \subset U$ of the point x_0 , a number $K > 0$, and a mapping $\xi \rightarrow x(\xi)$ of the set U' into X such that

$$\begin{aligned} f(\xi + x(\xi)) &= f(x_0), \\ \|x(\xi)\| &\leq K \|f(\xi) - f(x_0)\| \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

for all $\xi \in U'$.

Assertion (7.2) follows from (7.1), see e.g. [18]. The assertion (7.2) is sometimes called the *generalized Ljusternik Theorem*, see e.g. [12]

Now we are ready to establish our main theorem.

Theorem 7.4. *Let E be a Banach space, F be a Hilbert space and assume that $(b_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Besselian and Hilbertian basis of F . Let $\mathcal{F} \subset E$ be given as in (1.4).*

Assume that

- (i) *RCRCQ+ holds for \mathcal{F} at $x_0 \in \mathcal{F}$*
- (ii) *condition (H1) is satisfied at x_0 .*

Then Abadie condition holds, i.e., $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}(x_0) = T_{\mathcal{F}}(x_0)$.

Moreover, for each $d \in T_{\mathcal{F}}(x_0)$ there is a vector function $r : (0, 1) \rightarrow E$, $\|r(t)\|/t \rightarrow 0$ when $t \downarrow 0$, such that for all t sufficiently small

$$\begin{aligned} g_i(x_0 + td + r(t)) &= 0, \quad i \in J(d), \\ g_\ell(x_0 + td + r(t)) &\leq 0, \quad \ell \in I \setminus J(d), \end{aligned} \quad J(d) := I_0 \cup I(x_0, d). \quad (7.3)$$

Proof. The inclusion $T_{\mathcal{F}}(x_0) \subset \Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}(x_0)$ is immediate. To see the converse, take any $d \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}(x_0)$, where by Proposition 2.6,

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}(x_0) \subset \{d \in E \mid \langle Dg_i(x_0) \mid d \rangle = 0, \quad i \in I_0, \quad \langle Dg_i(x_0) \mid d \rangle \leq 0, \quad i \in I(x_0)\}.$$

Recall that $I(x) := \{i \in I_1 \mid g_i(x) = 0\}$.

We start by considering the case $J := J(d) \neq \emptyset$, $|J| = +\infty$.

By RCRCQ+ of \mathcal{F} at x_0 , there exist $V(x_0)$ and $J_2 \subset J$ such that $(Dg_i(x_0))_{i \in J_2}$ forms a boundedly-complete and shrinking basis for $\overline{\text{span}}(Dg_i(x_0), i \in J)$ and there exists a topological isomorphism z

$$z_{t,r} : \overline{\text{span}}(Dg_i(x_0 + td + r), i \in J) \rightarrow \overline{\text{span}}(Dg_i(x_0), i \in J),$$

for (t, r) in some neighbourhood of $(0, 0) \in \mathbb{R} \times E$ such that $z_{t,r}(Dg_i(x_0 + td + r)) = Dg_i(x_0)$, $i \in J_2$ for all $(t, r) \in \mathbb{R} \times E$ such that $x_0 + td + r \in V(x_0)$.

Let $f : E \rightarrow Y(J_2)$ be defined as $f(x) := (g_i(x))_{i \in J_2}$, where $Y(J_2)$ is defined by (2.11). By (4.6), the derivative $Df(x_0)$ is onto $Y(J_2)$.

Let us define

$$M := \{x \in E \mid g_i(x) = g_i(x_0) = 0, \quad i \in J_2\}. \quad (7.4)$$

By Ljusternik Theorem 7.3 applied to the set M ,

$$\ker Dg_i(x_0)_{i \in J_2} = T_M(x_0).$$

By applying Ljusternik Theorem 7.3 with f at x_0 , we obtain that $d \in T_M(x_0)$.

Case 1 If $J_2 = J$, then $g_i(x_0 + td + r(t)) = 0$, $i \in J$ for $t \in [0, \varepsilon]$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ and $r(t)$ is given by Ljusternik Theorem.

Case 2 If $J_2 \subsetneq J$ then, by Proposition 5.3, applied to g_i , $i \in J$, there exist functions h_l , $l \in J \setminus J_2$ of class C^1 , such that

$$g_l(x_0 + td + r) = h_l((g_i(x_0 + td + r))_{i \in J_2}), \quad (7.5)$$

for (t, r) in some neighbourhood of $(0, 0) \subset \mathbb{R} \times E$.

Consider the system

$$g_i(x_0 + td + r) = 0, \quad i \in J \quad (7.6)$$

with respect to variables t, r . Let us note that system (7.6) is satisfied for $(t, r) = (0, 0)$.

Obviously, by Proposition 5.3, in some neighbourhood of $(0, 0)$, system (7.6) is equivalent to

$$g_i(x_0 + td + r) = 0, \quad i \in J_2 \quad (7.7)$$

with additional condition

$$g_l(x_0 + td + r) = h_l((g_i(x_0 + td + r))_{i \in J_2}) = 0, \quad l \in J \setminus J_2. \quad (7.8)$$

Note that $h_l((g_i(x_0))_{i \in J_2}) = 0$, $l \in J \setminus J_2$ since $g_l(x_0) = 0 = h_l((g_i(x_0))_{i \in J_2}) = h_l(0)$.

In both cases there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and a function $r : [0, \varepsilon] \rightarrow E$, $\|r(t)\|t^{-1} \rightarrow 0$, $t \downarrow 0$, such that

$$g_i(x_0 + td + r(t)) = 0, \quad i \in J,$$

i.e.,

$$d \in T_{\tilde{M}}(x_0), \quad \text{where } \tilde{M} := \{x \in E \mid g_i(x) = g_i(x_0) = 0, \quad i \in J\}. \quad (7.9)$$

By condition (H1), there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that

$$g_i(x_0 + td + r(t)) \leq 0 \text{ for all } i \in (I_0 \cup I_1) \setminus I(x_0, d) \text{ and for all } t \in (0, \varepsilon_0),$$

therefore

$$x_0 + td + r(t) \in \mathcal{F} \quad t \in [0, \min\{\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon\}]. \quad (7.10)$$

Thus, $d \in T_{\mathcal{F}}(x_0)$.

Now, let us consider the case $J = \emptyset$ (i.e. the case when both $I_0 = \emptyset$ and $I(x_0, d) = \emptyset$). Then, by condition (H1), for any vector function $r : (0, 1) \rightarrow E$, $\|r(t)\|/t \rightarrow 0$ when $t \downarrow 0$ there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$x_0 + td + r(t) \in \mathcal{F} \quad t \in [0, \varepsilon], \quad (7.11)$$

i.e., $d \in T_{\mathcal{F}}(x_0)$. □

8. RCRCQ+ AND LAGRANGE MULTIPLIERS

In this section, using [11] and RCRCQ+ condition we will prove non-emptiness of the Lagrange multipliers set.

The *Lagrange function* or *Lagrangian* corresponding to problem (P) is a function $L : E \times F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$L(x, \lambda) := f(x) + \langle \lambda \mid G(x) \rangle \quad x \in E, \quad \lambda \in F.$$

Definition 8.1. Let $Q \subset F$ be a closed, convex set. The normal cone to Q at $\bar{y} \in F$ is the set

$$\mathcal{N}_Q(\bar{y}) = \{y \in F \mid \langle y \mid k - \bar{y} \rangle \leq 0 \quad \forall k \in Q\}.$$

Definition 8.2. A feasible point $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{F}$ of (P) is called a KKT point if there exists $\bar{\lambda} \in \mathcal{N}_K(G(\bar{x}))$ such that

$$D_{\bar{x}}L(\bar{x}, \bar{\lambda}) = 0,$$

where K is defined by (1.1). In this case $\bar{\lambda}$ is called Lagrange multiplier of (P) at $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{F}$

Following [11, 17, 20] let us define set corresponding to KKT points,

$$\mathcal{M}(x_0, 0) := DG(x_0)^* \mathcal{N}_K(G(x_0)).$$

By Proposition 5.6 of [11] we immediately get the following result.

Proposition 8.3. Let E be a Banach space, F be a Hilbert space and assume that $(b_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Besselian and Hilbertian basis of F . Let $\mathcal{F} \subset E$ be given as in (1.4). Let $x_0 \in \mathcal{F}$ be a local minimizer of (P). Assume RCRCQ+ holds for \mathcal{F} at $x_0 \in \mathcal{F}$ with a neighbourhood $V(x_0)$.

Assume that assumption (H1) is satisfied at x_0 . Assume that $\mathcal{M}(x_0, 0)$ is weakly* closed. Then the set of Lagrange multipliers at x_0 is nonempty.

Proof. By Theorem 7.4, Abadie condition holds for \mathcal{F} at x_0 . The rest of the proof follows the lines of the proof of Proposition 5.6 of [11]. \square

Remark 8.4. Let us underline the fact that in both papers [5, 11] in the definition of Abadie condition the set $\mathcal{M}(x_0, 0)$ is weakly* closed.

Let us consider now the case when no equality are present (i.e. $I_1 = \emptyset$), i.e.,

$$\mathcal{F} = \{ x \in E \mid g_i(x) = 0, \quad i \in I_0 \}$$

Such problems has been considered in e.g. in [9, Theorem 4.1]. In this case we are also getting the existence of Lagrange multipliers (see Proposition 8.5). By Proposition 4.1 we are getting split of E , which is included in assumption (B) of [9, Theorem 4.1] and by Proposition 4.8 we are obtaining isomorphism of $((Dg_i(x))_{i \in I_0})|_{E_1} : E_1 \rightarrow ((Dg_i(x))_{i \in I_0})(E)$, $x \in U(x_0)$, which is included in assumption (C) of [9, Theorem 4.1] (see Remark 1.4).

Proposition 8.5. Let E be a Banach space, F be a Hilbert space and assume that $(b_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Besselian and Hilbertian basis of F . Let $\mathcal{F} \subset E$ be given as in (1.4), where $I_1 = \emptyset$.

Let $x_0 \in \mathcal{F}$ be a local minimizer of (P). Assume CRC+ holds for $(g_i)_{i \in I_0}$ at $x_0 \in \mathcal{F}$ with a neighbourhood $V(x_0)$. Then the set of Lagrange multipliers at x_0 is nonempty.

Proof. Let x_0 be a local minimizer of problem (P_0) with $I_1 = \emptyset$. The first-order necessary optimality condition is $Df_0(x_0)h = 0$ for all $h \in T_{\mathcal{F}}(x_0)$. By the proof of Theorem 7.4 (see (7.9) with $J = I_0$),

$$\ker Dg(x_0) = \ker (Dg_i(x_0))_{i \in I_0} = T_{\mathcal{F}}(x_0).$$

Therefore $Df_0(x)h = 0$ for any $h \in \ker DG(x_0)$, i.e., $Df_0(x) \in (\ker DG(x_0))^\perp$. By Proposition 4.1, $(\ker DG(x_0))^\perp = \overline{\text{span}}(Dg_i(x_0)^*, i \in I_0)$. Therefore $Df_0(x_0) \in \overline{\text{span}}(Dg_i(x_0)^*, i \in I_0)$, i.e., there exists $\lambda \in F$ such that $Df_0(x_0) = \langle DG(x_0)^* \mid \lambda \rangle = \lambda(DG(x_0))$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Abraham, J. E. Marsden, and T. Ratiu. *Manifolds, tensor analysis, and applications*, volume 75 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1988.
- [2] Fernando Albiac and Nigel J. Kalton. *Topics in Banach space theory*, volume 233 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, 2006.
- [3] R. Andreani, C. E. Echagüe, and M. L. Schuverdt. Constant-rank condition and second-order constraint qualification. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.*, 146(2):255–266, 2010.
- [4] Roberto Andreani, Roger Behling, Gabriel Haeser, and Paulo J. S. Silva. On second-order optimality conditions in nonlinear optimization. *Optim. Methods Softw.*, 32(1):22–38, 2017.
- [5] Roberto Andreani and Paulo Silva. Constant rank constraint qualifications: A geometric introduction. *Pesquisa Operacional*, 34:481–494, 09 2014.
- [6] S. A. Argyros and A. Tolias. Indecomposability and unconditionality in duality. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 14(2):247–282, 2004.
- [7] E. M. Bednarczuk, K. W. Leśniewski, and K. E. Rutkowski. On tangent cone to systems of inequalities and equations in Banach spaces under relaxed constant rank condition. *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.*, 27:Paper No. 9, 22, 2021.
- [8] Joël Blot. The rank theorem in infinite dimension. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 10(10):1009–1020, 1986.
- [9] Joël Blot. Rank theorem in infinite dimension and Lagrange multipliers. *Appl. Math. Optim.*, 81(3):815–822, 2020.
- [10] B. Booss and D. D. Bleecker. *Topology and analysis*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985. Translated from the German by Bleecker and A. Mader.
- [11] Eike Börgens, Christian Kanzow, Patrick Mehltz, and Gerd Wachsmuth. New constraint qualifications for optimization problems in Banach spaces based on asymptotic KKT conditions. *SIAM J. Optim.*, 30(4):2956–2982, 2020.
- [12] A. V. Dmitruk, A. A. Milyutin, and N. P. Osmolovskii. Ljusternik's theorem and the theory of the extremum. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 35(6(216)):11–46, 215, 1980.
- [13] Marián Fabian, Petr Habala, Petr Hájek, Vicente Montesinos Santalucía, Jan Pelant, and Václav Zizler. *Functional analysis and infinite-dimensional geometry*, volume 8 of *CMS Books in Mathematics/Ouvrages de Mathématiques de la SMC*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [14] R. E. Fullerton. Geometric properties of a basis in a Banach space. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians*, volume II, Amsterdam, 1954. North Holland Publ.
- [15] R. E. Fullerton. Geometric structure of absolute basis systems in a linear topological space. *Pacific J. Math.*, 12:137–147, 1962.
- [16] J. R. Holub. Hilbertian, Besselian, and semi-shrinking bases. *Studia Math.*, 37:203–211, 1970/71.
- [17] Leonid Hurwicz. Programming in linear spaces [reprint of *studies in linear and nonlinear programming*, 38–102, Stanford Univ. Press, Stanford, CA, 1958]. In *Traces and emergence of nonlinear programming*, pages 131–195. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2014.
- [18] A. D. Ioffe and V. M. Tihomirov. *Theory of extremal problems*, volume 6 of *Studies in Mathematics and its Applications*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York, 1979. Translated from the Russian by Karol Makowski.
- [19] Robert Janin. Directional derivative of the marginal function in nonlinear programming. *Math. Programming Stud.*, (21):110–126, 1984. Sensitivity, stability and parametric analysis.
- [20] S Kurczyk. On the existence and nonexistence of lagrange multipliers in banach spaces. *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 20(1):81–110, 1976.
- [21] Joram Lindenstrauss and Lior Tzafriri. *Classical Banach spaces. I*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 92. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977. Sequence spaces.
- [22] C. W. McArthur. Developments in Schauder basis theory. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 78:877–908, 1972.
- [23] B. Mordukhovich and T. T. A. Nghia. Constraint qualifications and optimality conditions for nonconvex semi-infinite and infinite programs. *Math. Program.*, 139(1-2, Ser. B):271–300, 2013.
- [24] Ivan Singer. *Bases in Banach spaces. I*. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 154. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1970.
- [25] P. Wojtaszczyk. Existence of some special bases in Banach spaces. *Studia Math.*, 47:83–93, 1973.