

# Cross-Domain Federated Learning in Medical Imaging

Vishwa S Parekh<sup>1,2</sup>

VISHWAPAREKH@JHU.EDU

Shuhao Lai<sup>1</sup>

SLAI16@JHU.EDU

Vladimir Braverman<sup>1</sup>

VOVA@CS.JHU.EDU

Jeff Leal<sup>2</sup>

JLEAL1@JHMI.EDU

Steven Rowe<sup>2</sup>

SROWE8@JHMI.EDU

Jay J. Pillai<sup>2</sup>

JPILLAI1@JHMI.EDU

Michael A Jacobs<sup>2,3</sup>

MIKEJ@MRI.JHU.EDU

<sup>1</sup> Department of Computer Science, The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21218

<sup>2</sup> The Russell H. Morgan Department of Radiology and Radiological Sciences, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA

<sup>3</sup> Sidney Kimmel Comprehensive Center, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA

**Editors:** Under Review for MIDL 2022

## Abstract

Federated learning is increasingly being explored in the field of medical imaging to train deep learning models on large scale datasets distributed across different data centers while preserving privacy by avoiding the need to transfer sensitive patient information. In this manuscript, we explore federated learning in a multi-domain, multi-task setting wherein different participating nodes may contain datasets sourced from different domains and are trained to solve different tasks. We evaluated cross-domain federated learning for the tasks of object detection and segmentation across two different experimental settings: multi-modal and multi-organ. The result from our experiments on cross-domain federated learning framework were very encouraging with an overlap similarity of 0.79 for organ localization and 0.65 for lesion segmentation. Our results demonstrate the potential of federated learning in developing multi-domain, multi-task deep learning models without sharing data from different domains.

**Keywords:** deep learning, federated learning, multi-task, multi-domain, cross-domain, segmentation, radiological imaging

## 1. Introduction

Deep learning techniques are being developed and applied across various applications in the field of Radiology (Suzuki, 2017; McBee et al., 2018; Parekh and Jacobs, 2019). There are various intrinsic similarities across different deep learning models being evaluated in radiological applications. For example, segmentation models developed for multiparametric (mp) MRI would learn a similar tissue signature for segmenting different lesions irrespective of the underlying application being evaluated (e.g. brain, breast, or prostate MRI). Similarly, an object detection model built for localizing organs in a whole-body (WB) MRI would share architectural (anatomical) similarities with object detection models built for localizing organs in a different modality like WB-PET or WB-CT. As a result, deep learning models being built for different tasks across diverse domains would significantly benefit from sharing knowledge or collaborative training.

The applicability of deep learning models in a cross-domain setting for medical imaging has previously been explored across many applications (Samala et al., 2018; Hadad et al., 2017; Tomczak et al., 2020; Parekh et al., 2020). However, current applications in training cross-domain deep learning models require centralized datasets and have several challenges. For example, a medical imaging center may not be equipped with PET scanners and sourcing PET data from a different medical imaging center could be difficult owing to patient privacy concerns. Similarly, different medical imaging centers could be developing deep learning models for related tasks; suppose one center is training a breast tumor segmentation model from mpMRI while another center is training a normal tissue segmentation model in breast mpMRI for breast density estimation. Cross-domain collaborative, decentralized learning in these scenarios could have numerous benefits. First, different centers lacking specialized equipment (e.g. imaging equipment) could train models to learn PET scan signals without having to source PET scans from other institutions. Second, annotating datasets is expensive and time consuming. Different institutions could potentially benefit from each others’ annotations without explicitly sharing them. The third benefit could be observed in terms of computational and space efficiency of training and storing comparatively fewer deep learning models.

Collaborative, decentralized training could be achieved using federated learning (McMahan et al., 2017; Chang et al., 2018). Federated learning is a machine learning paradigm that aims to train a machine learning algorithm (e.g. deep neural network) on multiple datasets stored across decentralized nodes. The local datasets stored on different nodes are not shared, therefore, preserving privacy. The global machine learning model is trained by training several local machine learning models across different nodes that exchange model parameters (e.g. weights and biases of a deep neural network) at a certain frequency which are then aggregated and shared back to the local nodes. Federated learning is increasingly being explored in the field of medical imaging to train deep learning models on large-scale datasets distributed across different data centers while preserving privacy (Chang et al., 2018; Ng et al., 2021; Sarma et al., 2021; Shen et al., 2021; Qayyum et al., 2021).

In this work, we explore the potential of cross-domain federated learning across two different experimental setups. The first experiment involves learning an organ localization model across two imaging modalities, PET and CT. The second experiment involves learning a lesion segmentation model across two organs, brain and breast, using mpMRI. The experiments are detailed in Section 2, followed by results in Section 3 and Discussion in Section 4.

## 2. Experiments

### 2.1. Experiment 1: Multi-modal organ localization

#### 2.1.1. CLINICAL DATA

All studies were performed in accordance with the institutional guidelines for clinical research under a protocol approved by our Institutional Review Board (IRB) and all HIPAA agreements were followed for this retrospective study. The clinical data consisted of fifty patients. Patients were imaged on a Biograph mCT 128-slice PET/CT scanner (Siemens Healthineers). For the 18F-DCFPyL scans, patients were intravenously injected with no

more than 333 MBq (9 mCi) of radiotracer approximately 60 min before image acquisition. The field of view was vertex to mid thigh for  $^{18}\text{F}$ -DCFPyL. The WB PET-CT images were evaluated in this study for localization of kidneys.

### 2.1.2. DEEP LEARNING MODEL

The deep learning model used for localization of kidneys was a U-Net model (Ronneberger et al., 2015). The encoder, decoder, and the bridge sections of the U-Net consisted of five, one, and five convolutional blocks, respectively. Each convolutional block comprised of two convolutional layers with ReLU activation, followed by batch normalization. A max pooling layer (window size=2x2) preceded the encoder convolutional blocks, while the decoder convolutional blocks were preceded by an unpooling layer, followed by concatenation with the layer at the same level in the encoder section, as shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1: Illustration of the U-Net model used for segmentation and localization of different regions of interest across all the experiments

### 2.1.3. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The federated learning set up for this experiment consists of a central server and two client nodes as shown in Figure 2. The fifty patients were randomly split into train-test subsets with 35 patients used for training and 15 patients used for testing. The training set was divided across two nodes, with the first node comprising of CT images and the second node comprising of PET images.

The global U-Net model for localization of kidneys across PET and CT modalities was trained by the central server using the following steps:

- Step 1: The central server initializes the global U-Net model.
- Step 2: Repeat Steps 3 to 6 N times, where N corresponds to the number of communication iterations.
- Step 3: The central server communicates the global U-Net model to each node
- Step 4: Each node locally trains the model on their respective local datasets for one epoch.
- Step 5: The updated model parameters are communicated back to the central server from each node.
- Step 6: The central server updates the global U-Net model by aggregating the updated model parameters from each node using the Federated Averaging (FedAvg) algorithm.




Figure 2: Illustration of the U-Net model used for segmentation and localization of different regions of interest across all the experiments

The local U-Net models were trained with a batch size of four. The output activation function was set to Sigmoid activation. The loss function was set to binary cross-entropy and the Adam optimizer with a learning rate of 0.0002 was used for this experiment. The performance of the global U-Net model on the test dataset was evaluated using a modified overlap similarity metric. The metric was computed by dividing the intersection between the organ boundary and the bounding box by the organ boundary to determine if the bounding box was able to bound the organ entirely or not.

## 2.2. Experiment 2: Multi-organ tumor segmentation

### 2.2.1. CLINICAL DATA

**Breast mpMRI** Fifty patients (25 malignant and 25 benign lesions) were included in this experiment. Patients were scanned on a 3T MRI system (3T Achieva, Philips Medical Systems, Best, The Netherlands) using a bilateral, dedicated phased array breast coil (In-Vivo, Orlando, FL) with the patient in the prone position. The complete acquisition details have been described in (Parekh and Jacobs, 2017). The following imaging sequences were acquired for each patient.

- T1-weighted MRI
- Fat-suppressed T2-weighted MRI
- Diffusion Weighted Images (DWI)/Apparent Diffusion Coefficient (ADC) map acquired at b values of b0 and b600
- High temporal resolution dynamic contrast enhanced (DCE) MRI (One pre- and fourteen post-contrast images).
- High spatial resolution DCE-MRI (One pre- and one post-contrast image).

**Brain mpMRI** The brain mpMRI comprised of 50 patients randomly selected from the brain tumor segmentation (BRATS 2017) challenge dataset. Of the 50 patients, 25 patients had High Grade Glioma and 25 patients had Low Grade Glioma. The mpMRI consisted of pre and post 3D T1-weighted, 2D T2-weighted, and T2-weighted FLAIR images. Complete MRI acquisition parameters can be found in (Menze et al., 2014)

### 2.2.2. DEEP LEARNING MODEL

The U-Net architecture, shown in Figure 1 used for the localization of kidneys was also used for segmentation of brain and breast lesions.

### 2.2.3. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The federated learning set up for this experiment consists of a central server and two client nodes similar to the one shown in Figure 2. The fifty patients from each group were randomly split into train-test subsets with 35 patients used for training and 15 patients used for testing. The training set was divided across two nodes, with the first node comprising of breast mpMRI images and the second node comprising of brain mpMRI images.

The imaging sequences of T1-weighted pre- and post-contrast enhanced MRI, and T2-weighted images were used to train the U-Net model for tumor segmentation. The global U-Net model for segmentation of both breast and brain lesions were trained using the steps outlined in Section 2.1.3

The local U-Net models were trained with a batch size of four. The loss function was set to binary cross-entropy and the Adam optimizer with a learning rate of 0.0002 was used for this experiment. The performance of the global U-Net model on the test dataset was evaluated using the dice-similarity metric.

### 3. Results

The cross-domain federated learning demonstrated encouraging results across both the experiments as shown in figures 3 and 4. The test set for kidney localization consisted of a total of thirty cases with fifteen cases each for PET and CT scans. The average overlap similarity for kidney localization was 0.79 across both modalities. For the lesion segmentation experiment, the test set consisted of fifteen brain mpMRI and fifteen breast mpMRI dataset. The average dice similarity for lesion segmentation across brain and breast mpMRI was 0.65 and the model failed to segment the lesion on three cases (one brain and two breast cases).




Figure 3: Illustration of the performance of the U-Net model for localization of kidneys across PET and CT

### 4. Discussion

We demonstrated the feasibility of training cross-domain federated learning models with encouraging results. Our results assert the possibility of training a general AI framework that can leverage the unique domain and tasks provided by participating institutions. Federated learning has previously been successfully applied for single medical imaging segmentation tasks (Li et al., 2019; Chang et al., 2018; Ng et al., 2021; Sarma et al., 2021; Shen et al.,




Figure 4: Illustration of the performance of the U-Net model for lesion segmentation across brain and breast

2021; Qayyum et al., 2021). However, our work broadens the possibilities for application of federated learning in the field of medical imaging, especially when participating institutions lack specialized equipment (e.g. PET/CT scanners).

Deep learning techniques are increasingly being used in radiological applications. However, an increase in the number of applications where deep learning could be applied to radiology makes the maintenance and deployment of different deep learning models to radiology computationally very expensive. Our approach offers a solution by collaboratively building multi-task, multi-domain deep learning models.

Our study has certain limitations. This is a preliminary study with experiments performed on small datasets to establish a proof of concept. The use of small datasets is also observed in our results, which are encouraging but not comparable to state-of-art established in the literature. The federated learning model was able to identify the correct region of interest on most of the patients across both datasets, with an exception of three cases. However, the model failed to localize the entire region of interest in many cases resulting in low dice similarity metrics. In the future, we plan to optimize our models and expand our experiments on large-scale datasets. In conclusion, this work initiates a first step towards a cross-domain federated learning setup and explores its potential in building a collaborative multi-domain network of participants sharing heterogeneous datasets.

## Acknowledgments

National Institutes of Health (NIH) grant numbers: 5P30CA006973 (Imaging Response Assessment Team-IRAT), U01CA140204. Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency DARPA-PA-20-02-11-ShELL-FP-007.

## References

- Ken Chang, Niranjana Balachandrar, Carson Lam, Darvin Yi, James Brown, Andrew Beers, Bruce Rosen, Daniel Rubin, and Jayashree Kalpathy-Cramer. Distributed deep learning networks among institutions for medical imaging. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association : JAMIA*, 25, 03 2018. doi: 10.1093/jamia/ocy017.
- Omer Hadad, Ran Bakalo, Rami Ben-Ari, Sharbell Hashoul, and Guy Amit. Classification of breast lesions using cross-modal deep learning. In *2017 IEEE 14th International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging (ISBI 2017)*, pages 109–112. IEEE, 2017.
- Wenqi Li, Fausto Milletari, Daguang Xu, Nicola Rieke, Jonny Hancox, Wentao Zhu, Maximilian Baust, Yan Cheng, Sébastien Ourselin, M Jorge Cardoso, et al. Privacy-preserving federated brain tumour segmentation. In *International workshop on machine learning in medical imaging*, pages 133–141. Springer, 2019.
- Morgan P McBee, Omer A Awan, Andrew T Colucci, Comeron W Ghobadi, Nadja Kadom, Akash P Kansagra, Srini Tridandapani, and William F Auffermann. Deep learning in radiology. *Academic radiology*, 25(11):1472–1480, 2018.
- H. Brendan McMahan, Eider Moore, Daniel Ramage, Seth Hampson, and Blaise Agüera y Arcas. Communication-efficient learning of deep networks from decentralized data, 2017.
- Bjoern H Menze, Andras Jakab, Stefan Bauer, Jayashree Kalpathy-Cramer, Keyvan Farahani, Justin Kirby, Yuliya Burren, Nicole Porz, Johannes Slotboom, Roland Wiest, et al. The multimodal brain tumor image segmentation benchmark (brats). *IEEE transactions on medical imaging*, 34(10):1993–2024, 2014.
- Dianwen Ng, Xiang Lan, Melissa Min-Szu Yao, Wing P Chan, and Mengling Feng. Federated learning: a collaborative effort to achieve better medical imaging models for individual sites that have small labelled datasets. *Quantitative Imaging in Medicine and Surgery*, 11(2):852, 2021.
- Vishwa S Parekh and Michael A Jacobs. Integrated radiomic framework for breast cancer and tumor biology using advanced machine learning and multiparametric mri. *NPJ breast cancer*, 3(1):1–9, 2017.
- Vishwa S Parekh and Michael A Jacobs. Deep learning and radiomics in precision medicine. *Expert review of precision medicine and drug development*, 4(2):59–72, 2019.
- Vishwa S Parekh, Vladimir Braverman, Michael A Jacobs, et al. Multitask radiological modality invariant landmark localization using deep reinforcement learning. In *Medical Imaging with Deep Learning*, pages 588–600. PMLR, 2020.
- Adnan Qayyum, Kashif Ahmad, Muhammad Ahtazaz Ahsan, Ala Al-Fuqaha, and Junaid Qadir. Collaborative federated learning for healthcare: Multi-modal covid-19 diagnosis at the edge. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.07511*, 2021.



- Olaf Ronneberger, Philipp Fischer, and Thomas Brox. U-net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation. In *International Conference on Medical image computing and computer-assisted intervention*, pages 234–241. Springer, 2015.
- Ravi K Samala, Heang-Ping Chan, Lubomir Hadjiiski, Mark A Helvie, Caleb Richter, and Kenny Cha. Cross-domain and multi-task transfer learning of deep convolutional neural network for breast cancer diagnosis in digital breast tomosynthesis. In *Medical Imaging 2018: Computer-Aided Diagnosis*, volume 10575, page 105750Q. International Society for Optics and Photonics, 2018.
- Karthik V Sarma, Stephanie Harmon, Thomas Sanford, Holger R Roth, Ziyue Xu, Jesse Tetreault, Daguang Xu, Mona G Flores, Alex G Raman, Rushikesh Kulkarni, et al. Federated learning improves site performance in multicenter deep learning without data sharing. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 28(6):1259–1264, 2021.
- Chen Shen, Pochuan Wang, Holger R. Roth, Dong Yang, Daguang Xu, Masahiro Oda, Weichung Wang, Chiou-Shann Fuh, Po-Ting Chen, Kao-Lang Liu, Wei-Chih Liao, and Kensaku Mori. Multi-task federated learning for heterogeneous pancreas segmentation, 2021.
- Kenji Suzuki. Overview of deep learning in medical imaging. *Radiological physics and technology*, 10(3):257–273, 2017.
- Agnieszka Tomczak, Slobodan Ilic, Gaby Marquardt, Thomas Engel, Frank Forster, Nassir Navab, and Shadi Albarqouni. Multi-task multi-domain learning for digital staining and classification of leukocytes. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*, 2020.