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#### Abstract

The Brauer relations of a finite group $G$ are virtual differences of nonisomorphic $G$-sets $X-Y$ which induce isomorphic permutation $G$-representations $\mathbb{Q}[X] \simeq \mathbb{Q}[Y]$ over the rationals. These relations have been classified by TornehaveBouc and Bartel-Dokchitser. Motivated by stable homotopy theory, a relative version of Brauer relations for $\left(G, C_{p}\right)$-bisets which are $C_{p}$-free have been classified by Kahn in case $G$ is an elementary Abelian $p$-group. In this paper we extend Kahn's classification to the case when $G$ is a finite Abelian $p$-group.


## 1. Introduction

The Burnside ring $B(G)$ of a finite group $G$ is the Grothendick ring of the category of finite $G$-sets and is isomorphic up to completion to the stable cohomotopy group of the classifying space $B_{G}$ according to Segal's Conjecture [6]. The relative Burnside module $B(G, H)$ of a pair of finite groups $(G, H)$ is the Grothendick module of the category of finite $(G, H)$-bisets that are $H$-free. Up to completion, $B(G, H)$ describes the stable homotopy classes of maps from the classifying space $B_{G}$ to the classifying space $B_{H}$, by the generalized Segal's Conjecture [10].

The representation ring $R_{F}(G)$ of a finite group $G$ over a field $F$ is the Grothendick ring of the category of finitely generated $F G$-modules, where $F G$ denotes the group ring of $G$ over $F$. Let $F=\mathbb{Q}$ be the field of rational numbers. The functor sending each finite $G$-set $X$ to the permutation $G$-module $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ induces a ring homomorphism from the Burnside ring $B(G)$ to the rational representation ring $R_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{G}: B(G) \rightarrow R_{\mathbb{Q}}(G), \quad \quad f_{G}[X]=\mathbb{Q}[X] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The cokernel of $f_{G}$ is finite of exponent dividing the group order $|G|$ by Artin's induction theorem [16] and it is trivial if $G$ is a finite $p$-group by Ritter-Segal [13, 15]. The elements of the kernel $K(G)$ of $f_{G}$ are called the Brauer relations of the group $G$ or the $G$-relations and these have been classified by Tornehave-Bouc [5] for finite $p$-groups and Bartel-Dokchitser [3] for arbitrary finite groups.

We note that the image of the submodule $B(G, H) \subset B(G \times H)$ under the map $f_{G \times H}$ is contained in the Grothendieck submodule $R_{\mathbb{Q}}(G, H) \subset R_{\mathbb{Q}}(G \times H)$ of the category of finitely generated $\mathbb{Q}(G \times H)$-modules which are free right $\mathbb{Q H}$-modules. The kernel and cokernel of the well defined restricted homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{G, H}=f_{G \times H}: B(G, H) \rightarrow R_{\mathbb{Q}}(G, H) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]are also of interest in view of the generalized Segal's Conjecture. In particular, it makes sense to call the elements of the kernel $K(G, H)$ of $f_{G, H}$ the relative Brauer relations of the pair $(G, H)$ or the $(G, H)$-relations. The cokernel of $f_{G, H}$ is trivial for $G$ a finite $p$-group and $H=C_{p}$ by Anton [1] and the $\left(G, C_{p}\right)$-relations have been classified by Kahn [7] for $G$ an elementary Abelian $p$-group, where $C_{p}$ denotes the cyclic group of prime order $p$. The main result of this paper is

THEOREM 1.1. The relative Brauer relations of the pair $\left(G, C_{p}\right)$ for $G$ a finite Abelian p-group are linear combinations of relative Brauer relations 'indufted' from sub-quotients of $G \times C_{p}$ of the form $C_{p} \times C_{p} \times C_{p}$.

We note that there is a natural ring homomorphism mapping $R_{F}(G)$ to the stable homotopy classes of maps from the classifying space $B_{G}$ to the plus construction of the classifying space $B_{G L(F)}$, where $G L(F)$ is the infinite general linear group over the field $F$. Up to completion, this map is an isomorphism for $F$ the (topological) field of complex numbers by Atiyah's Theorem [2] or for $F$ a finite field [8]. If $F=\mathbb{Q}$, this homomorphism connects Brauer relations with algebraic $K$-theory [11]. The relative Brauer relations are connected with maps between algebraic $K$-theory spectra.

The background terminology will be reviewed in section $\S 2$ and a precise formulation of Theorem 1.1 will be given in section $\S 3$. In section $\S 4$ we prove some key rank lemmas. In section $\S 5$ we prove the main theorem up to torsion. In section $\S 6$ we reduce the proof to a set of special generators and finish the argument in $\S 7$.

## 2. Background and terminology

This section is a survey of basic definitions and facts about Burnside and representation modules, many of them being used in this paper.
2.1. Relative Burnside modules. Following [3], the Burnside ring $B(\Gamma)$ of a group $\Gamma$ is the free Abelian group generated by the isomorphism classes $[X]$ of finite $\Gamma$-sets $X$ modulo the relations $[X \sqcup Y]=[X]+[Y]$ where $\sqcup$ denotes the disjoint union. The product in $B(\Gamma)$ is given by $[X] *[Y]=[X \times Y]$ where $X \times Y$ is the $\Gamma$-set under the diagonal $\Gamma$-action.

PROPOSITION 2.1 ([3]). The transitive $\Gamma$-sets are left coset spaces $\Gamma / L$ of subgroups $L \leq \Gamma$ and their isomorphism classes $[\Gamma / L]$ form a basis for $B(\Gamma)$.

There is a bijection sending the conjugacy class of a subgroup $L \leq \Gamma$ to the basis element $[\Gamma / L]$. Using this identification,

We write the elements of $B(\Gamma)$ as integral linear combinations $\sum n_{i} L_{i}$ of subgroups $L_{i} \leq \Gamma$ up to conjugacy.

The product of two basis elements in $B(\Gamma)$ is given by the double coset formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
L * M=\sum_{x \in L \backslash \Gamma / M} L \cap{ }^{x} M \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L, M \leq \Gamma$ and ${ }^{x} M=x M x^{-1}$ for $x \in \Gamma$.

Given a pair $(G, H)$ of finite groups, let $\Gamma=G \times H$. A $(G, H)$-biset is a finite set $X$, endowed with a left $G$-action and a right $H$-action that commute with each other, or equivalently, a left $\Gamma$-action where the right $H$-action is defined via

$$
\begin{equation*}
x h=h^{-1} x \text { for } x \in X \text { and } h \in H . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2.2. The relative Burnside module $B(G, H)$ of a pair of finite groups ( $G, H$ ) is the free Abelian group generated by the isomorphism classes $[X]$ of $(G, H)$-bisets which are right $H$-free, modulo the relations $[X \sqcup Y]=[X]+[Y]$.

According to [10], the transitive right $H$-free $(G, H)$-bisets are twisted products $G \times{ }_{\rho} H$ between $G$ and a group homomorphism $\rho: K \rightarrow H$ from a subgroup $K \leq G$. Such a product is the quotient of $\Gamma$ modulo the relations

$$
[g k, h]=[g, \rho(k) h] \text { for } g \in G, h \in H, \text { and } k \in K
$$

Here $\left[g, h\right.$ ] denotes the class of ( $g, h$ ) in $G \times{ }_{\rho} H$. The map $\Gamma \rightarrow G \times H$ given by $(g, h) \mapsto\left(g, h^{-1}\right)$ induces an isomorphism of left $\Gamma$-sets $\Gamma /(K \times \rho) \approx G \times{ }_{\rho} H$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
K \times \rho=\{(k, \rho(k)): k \in K\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the graph (subgroup) of $\rho$ in $\Gamma$. This is an isomorphism of ( $G, H$ )-bisets via (4).
PROPOSITION 2.3 ([10]). A basis for the submodule $B(G, H) \subset B(\Gamma)$ consists of the isomorphism classes of twisted products $\left[G \times{ }_{\rho} H\right]$.

There is a bijection between these basis elements and the conjugacy classes of group homomorphisms $\rho: K \rightarrow H$ with $K \leq G$ or equivalently of subgroups $K \times \rho \leq \Gamma$. Using this identification,

We write the elements of $B(G, H)$ as integral linear combinations $\sum n_{i} K_{i} \times \rho_{i}$ of graphs up to conjugacy of homomorphisms $\rho_{i}: K_{i} \rightarrow H$ from subgroups $K_{i} \leq G$.

If $Z$ is a $G$-set and $X$ is a $(G, H)$-biset, then the product $[Z][X]=[Z \times X]$ defines a left $B(G)$-module structure on $B(G, H)$, where $G$ acts on $Z \times X$ diagonally from the left and $H$ acts only on $X$ from the right. The $B(G)$-module structure on $B(G, H)$ is made explicit for $M, K \leq G$ and $\rho: K \rightarrow H$ by the product:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M *(K \times \rho)=\sum_{x \in K \backslash G / M}\left(K \cap{ }^{x} M\right) \times \rho . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.2. Functorial operations. These operations are $\mathbb{Z}$-linear maps on $B(\Gamma)$. The induction $\operatorname{Ind}{ }_{L}^{\Gamma}: B(L) \rightarrow B(\Gamma)$ from a subgroup $L \leq \Gamma$ is defined on $L$-sets $Y$ by

$$
\text { Ind }{ }_{L}^{\Gamma} Y=\Gamma \times{ }_{L} Y \text { where } \Gamma \text { acts by left multiplication on } \Gamma \text {. }
$$

Here $\Gamma \times_{L} Y$ denotes the quotient of $\Gamma \times Y$ modulo the relations $[\gamma l, y]=[\gamma, l y]$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma, l \in L$ and $y \in Y$.

The restriction Res ${ }_{L}^{\Gamma}: B(\Gamma) \rightarrow B(L)$ is defined on $\Gamma$-sets $X$ by

$$
\operatorname{Res}{ }_{L}^{\Gamma} X=X \text { where } L \text { acts on } X \text { as a subgroup of } \Gamma \text {. }
$$

On basis elements, for $K \leq L$ and $M \leq \Gamma$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ind}{ }_{L}^{\Gamma} K=K, \quad \operatorname{Res}{ }_{L}^{\Gamma} M=\sum_{x \in L \backslash \Gamma / M} L \cap{ }^{x} M \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inflation $\operatorname{Inf}_{\Pi}^{\Gamma}: B(\Pi) \rightarrow B(\Gamma)$ from a quotient $\Pi=\Gamma / N$ by a normal subgroup $N \unlhd \Gamma$ is defined on $\Pi$-sets $Z$ by

$$
\operatorname{Inf}_{\Pi}^{\Gamma} Z=Z \text { where } \Gamma \text { acts on } Z \text { via its projection in } \Pi .
$$

The deflation $\operatorname{Def}_{\Pi}^{\Gamma}: B(\Gamma) \rightarrow B(\Pi)$ is given on $\Gamma$-sets $X$ by the orbit space of $N$

$$
\operatorname{Def}_{\Pi}^{\Gamma} X=N \backslash X \text { where }[\gamma][x]=[\gamma x] \text { for } \gamma \in \Gamma \text { and } x \in X
$$

Here [ $\gamma$ ] denotes the coset $\gamma N$ in $\Pi$ and [ $x$ ] denotes the orbit $N x$ of $x$ in $N \backslash X$. On basis elements, for $N \unlhd K \leq \Gamma$ and $M \leq \Gamma$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Inf}_{\Pi}^{\Gamma}(K / N)=K, \quad \operatorname{Def}_{\Pi}^{\Gamma} M=N M / N \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.3. Relative Representation Modules. Following [16], the rational representation ring $R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Gamma)$ of a finite group $\Gamma$ is the free Abelian group generated by the isomorphism classes [ $V$ ] of finitely generated left $\mathbb{Q} \Gamma$-modules $V$ modulo the relations $[V \oplus W]=$ $[V]+[W]$ where $\oplus$ denotes the direct sum. The product is given by $[V] *[W]=[V \otimes W]$ where $\otimes$ denotes the tensor product over $\mathbb{Q}$ and $V \otimes W$ is the $\mathbb{Q} \Gamma$-module under the diagonal $\mathbb{Q} \Gamma$-action. The irreducible $\mathbb{Q} \Gamma$-modules are direct summands $V_{i}$ of the group ring $\mathbb{Q} \Gamma$ and their isomorphism classes $\left[V_{i}\right]$ form a basis for $R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Gamma)$.

Given a pair of finite groups $(G, H)$, let $\Gamma=G \times H$. A $(G, H)$-bimodule over the rationals is simply a finitely generated left $\mathbb{Q} \Gamma$-module $V$ with $\mathbb{Q} G$ acting on the left via the canonical inclusion in $\mathbb{Q} \Gamma$ and $\mathbb{Q} H$ acting on the right via the rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
v h=h^{-1} v, \text { for } v \in V \text { and } h \in H \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2.4. The relative rational representation module $R(G, H)$ of a pair of finite groups $(G, H)$ is the submodule of $R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Gamma)$ generated by the isomorphism classes of $(G, H)$-bimodules over the rationals, which are right $\mathbb{Q} H$-free modules.

We call a right $\mathbb{Q} H$-free $(G, H)$-bimodule $V$ over the rationals irreducible if $V$ cannot be decomposed as a direct sum of right $\mathbb{Q} H$-free $(G, H)$-bimodules over the rationals. In particular, the isomorphism classes [ $W_{i}$ ] of irreducible right $\mathbb{Q} H$-free $(G, H)$-bimodules $W_{i}$ over the rationals form a basis for $R(G, H)$. Notice that $\mathbb{Q} H$ with $\mathbb{Q} H$ acting on the right by the multiplication in $H$ and $\mathbb{Q} G$ acting on the left by the identity $1 \in G$ is an example of an irreducible right $\mathbb{Q} H$-free $(G, H)$-bimodule over the rationals which is not an irreducible left $\mathbb{Q} \Gamma$-module (unless $H=1$ ).

If $U$ is a left $\mathbb{Q} G$-module and $V$ a right $\mathbb{Q} H$-free $(G, H)$-bimodule over the rationals, then the product $[U] *[V]=[U \otimes V]$ defines a left $R_{\mathbb{Q}}(G)$-module structure on $R(G, H)$, where $\mathbb{Q} G$ acts on $U \otimes V$ diagonally from the left and $\mathbb{Q} H$ acts only on $V$ from the right. Indeed, with these actions, $U \otimes V$ is a right $\mathbb{Q} H$-free $(G, H)$-bimodule.

From the relative Burnside module $B(G, H)$ to the relative rational representation module $R(G, H)$ we have the natural linear map $f_{G, H}$ defined by (2).

Definition 2.5. The relative Brauer relations of a pair of finite groups $(G, H)$ are the elements of the kernel $K(G, H)$ of the linear map $f_{G, H}: B(G, H) \rightarrow R(G, H)$.

Recall that the Brauer relations of the finite group $\Gamma=G \times H$ are the elements of the kernel $K(\Gamma)$ of the linear map $f_{\Gamma}: B(\Gamma) \rightarrow R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Gamma)$ assigning to a $\Gamma$-set $X$ the rational permutation representation $\mathbb{Q}[X]$. The map $f_{G, H}$ is the restriction of $f_{\Gamma}$ to the submodule $B(G, H) \subseteq B(\Gamma)$ and its kernel is a submodule $K(G, H) \subseteq K(\Gamma)$.
2.4. Functorial operations. On the representation ring $R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Gamma)$ we define functorial operations, which are $\mathbb{Z}$-linear maps. The induction $\operatorname{Ind}{ }_{L}^{\Gamma}: R_{\mathbb{Q}}(L) \rightarrow R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Gamma)$ from a subgroup $L \leq \Gamma$ is defined on $\mathbb{Q} L$-modules $W$ by

$$
\text { Ind }{ }_{L}^{\Gamma} W=\mathbb{Q} \Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Q} L} W \text { where } \mathbb{Q} \Gamma \text { acts by left multiplication on } \mathbb{Q} \Gamma \text {. }
$$

The restriction $\operatorname{Res}{ }_{L}^{\Gamma}: R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Gamma) \rightarrow R_{\mathbb{Q}}(L)$ is defined on $\mathbb{Q} \Gamma$-modules $V$ by

$$
\operatorname{Res}{ }_{L}^{\Gamma} V=V \text { where } \mathbb{Q} L \text { acts on } V \text { as a subring of } \mathbb{Q} \Gamma \text {. }
$$

The inflation $\operatorname{Inf}_{\Pi}^{\Gamma}: R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Pi) \rightarrow R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Gamma)$ from a quotient $\Pi=\Gamma / N$ by a normal subgroup $N \unlhd \Gamma$ is defined on $\mathbb{Q} \Pi$-modules $U$ by

$$
\operatorname{Inf}_{\Pi}^{\Gamma} U=U \text { where } \mathbb{Q} \Gamma \text { acts on } U \text { via its projection in } \mathbb{Q} \Pi \text {. }
$$

The deflation $\operatorname{Def}_{\Pi}^{\Gamma}: R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Gamma) \rightarrow R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Pi)$ is given on $\mathbb{Q} \Gamma$-modules $V$ by

$$
\operatorname{Def}_{\Pi}^{\Gamma} V=\mathbb{Q} \Pi \otimes_{\mathbb{Q} \Gamma} V \text { where } \mathbb{Q} \Gamma \text { acts on } \mathbb{Q} \Pi \text { via its projection. }
$$

Notation. For any group $C$ we denote by $1_{C}$ the trivial rational representation $[\mathbb{Q}]$ where $C$ acts on $\mathbb{Q}$ by the identity $1 \in C$. Also $\operatorname{Ind}^{\Gamma}=\operatorname{Ind}_{C}^{\Gamma}$ and $R(\Gamma)=R_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Gamma)$. Similarly, $\operatorname{Inf}^{\Gamma}=\operatorname{Inf}_{\Pi}^{\Gamma}, \operatorname{Res}{ }^{\Gamma}=\operatorname{Res}{ }_{C}^{\Gamma}, \operatorname{Def}^{\Gamma}=\operatorname{Def}_{\Pi}^{\Gamma}$ where $\Pi$ is a quotient of $\Gamma$.
PROPOSITION 2.6 ([3]). The linear map $f_{\Gamma}: B(\Gamma) \rightarrow R(\Gamma)$ commutes with the operations $\operatorname{Ind}{ }^{\Gamma}$, $\operatorname{Inf}^{\Gamma}, \operatorname{Res}^{\Gamma}$, $\operatorname{Def}^{\Gamma}$.

For example, if $L \leq \Gamma$ is a subgroup and $K$ is a basis element in $B(\Gamma)$ given by the conjugacy class of a subgroup in $\Gamma$, we have Mackey's formula [16] from (7)

$$
\operatorname{Res}{ }_{L}^{\Gamma}\left(f_{\Gamma} K\right)=\operatorname{Res}{ }_{L}^{\Gamma} \operatorname{Ind}{ }^{\Gamma}\left(1_{K}\right)=\sum_{x \in L \backslash \Gamma / K} \operatorname{Ind}^{L}\left(1_{L \cap^{x} K}\right)=f_{L}\left(\operatorname{Res}{ }_{L}^{\Gamma}(K)\right) .
$$

However, for $\Gamma=G \times H$ these operations restricted to the submodules $B(G, H)$ and $R(G, H)$ do not land in the same submodules except in special cases. For example, if $K \leq G$ is a subgroup, and $N \unlhd G$ is a normal subgroup with $\Pi=G / N$, we have a commutative diagram of linear maps

as $\operatorname{Ind}_{K}^{G}=\operatorname{Ind}{ }_{K \times H}^{\Gamma}$ and $\operatorname{Inf}{ }_{\Pi}^{G}=\operatorname{Inf}_{\Pi \times H}^{\Gamma}$ do not change the $H$-structure.
THEOREM 2.7 (Artin's Induction [16]). The vector space $\mathbb{Q} \otimes R(\Gamma)$ has a basis given by Ind ${ }^{\Gamma}\left(1_{C}\right)$ where $C$ runs over the conjugacy classes of cyclic subgroups of $\Gamma$.

It is a known fact [16] that Ind ${ }^{\Gamma}\left(1_{C}\right)$ do not generate $R(\Gamma)$ in general.

COROLLARY 2.8. For $\Gamma=G \times H$, the rank of the $\mathbb{Z}$-module $R(\Gamma)$ equals the number of conjugacy classes of cyclic subgroups of $\Gamma$ and the rank of the $\mathbb{Z}$-module $K(\Gamma)$ equals the number of conjugacy classes of non-cyclic subgroups of $\Gamma$.

Proof. The conjugacy classes of subgroups $L_{i} \leq \Gamma$ form a basis for $B(\Gamma)$ and their images under $f_{\Gamma}: B(\Gamma) \rightarrow R(\Gamma)$ are given by $f_{\Gamma}\left(L_{i}\right)=$ Ind $^{\Gamma}\left(1_{L_{i}}\right)$. By Artin's Induction, it follows that in the exact sequence below, Coker $\left(f_{\Gamma}\right)$ is torsion:

$$
0 \rightarrow K(\Gamma) \rightarrow B(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{f_{\Gamma}} R(\Gamma) \rightarrow \text { Coker }\left(f_{\Gamma}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

This concludes the proof as the alternating sum of the ranks is zero.

## 3. The formulation of the main theorem

We denote by $C_{p}$ the multiplicative cyclic group of prime order $p$. Since the rank of the $\mathbb{Z}$-module $K(G)$ of Brauer relations equals the number of non-cyclic subgroups of $G$ by Corollary 2.8, the first part of the following proposition is immediate.

PROPOSITION 3.1 ([5]). Cyclic groups have no Brauer relations except zero. Products $P=C_{p} \times C_{p}$ of cyclic groups of prime order have one independent Brauer relation given below where $C$ runs over all non-trivial cyclic subgroups of $P$

$$
\Theta_{P}=1-\sum_{C=\text { cyclic }} C+p P
$$

Recall that an element $\Theta=\sum n_{i} H_{i}$ is a $G$-relation if and only if

$$
\sum n_{i} \operatorname{Ind}^{G}\left(1_{H_{i}}\right)=0, \quad \quad 1_{H_{i}}=\text { trivial } H_{i} \text {-module } \mathbb{Q}
$$

According to Proposition 2.6, Brauer relations can be induced by Ind ${ }^{G^{\prime}}$ from subgroups $H \leq G^{\prime}$ (or restricted by Res $H_{H}$ ) and can be lifted by $\operatorname{Inf}^{\tilde{G}}$ from quotients $G=\tilde{G} / N$ (or projected by $\operatorname{Def}_{\tilde{G} / N}$ ). A Brauer relation of $G$ is called primitive if cannot be induced from a proper subgroup or lifted from a proper sub-quotient of $G$.

THEOREM 3.2 (Bouc-Tornehave [5]). All Brauer relations of a p-group G are linear combinations of the form

$$
\Theta=\sum n_{P} \operatorname{Ind}_{K}^{G} \operatorname{Inf}_{P}^{K}\left(\Theta_{P}\right), \quad P=K / N \text { sub-quotient of } G, n_{P} \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

of relations $\operatorname{Ind}_{K}^{G} \operatorname{Inf}_{P}^{K}\left(\Theta_{P}\right)$ 'indufted' from primitive $P$-relations $\Theta_{P}$ where
(1) $P \approx C_{p} \times C_{p}$ and $\Theta_{P}=1-\sum_{C=\text { cyclic }} C+p P$ or
(2) $P \approx$ the Heisenberg group of order $p^{3}$ or $P \approx$ the dihedral group of order $2^{n}$ with $n \geq 4$ and $\Theta_{P}=I-I Z-J+J Z$.
In the second case, $Z$ is the center of $P$ and $I, J$ are non-conjugate subgroups of $P$ of order $p$ (or order 2 ) intersecting $Z$ trivially.

Let $G$ be a finite $p$-group and $K\left(G, C_{p}\right)$ be the module of relative $\left(G, C_{p}\right)$-Brauer relations as in Definition 2.5. In this case we have the following commutative diagram
of short exact sequences of $\mathbb{Z}$-modules and linear maps

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 \longrightarrow & K\left(G \times C_{p}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { incl. }} B\left(G \times C_{p}\right) \xrightarrow{f_{G \times C_{p}}} R\left(G \times C_{p}\right) \longrightarrow 0  \tag{11}\\
\text { incl. } \uparrow & & \text { incl. } \uparrow
\end{array} \begin{array}{lll}
\text { incl. } \uparrow
\end{array}
$$

The surjectivity of the maps $f_{G \times C_{p}}$ and $f_{G, C_{p}}$ has been established in [15] and [1]. By estimating the ranks of the $\mathbb{Z}$-modules involved in the diagram and searching for Brauer $P$-relations $\Theta_{P}^{\prime}$ for sub-quotients $P=K / N$ of the group $G \times C_{p}$ such that Ind ${ }_{K}^{G \times C_{p}} \operatorname{Inf}{ }_{P}^{K}\left(\Theta_{P}^{\prime}\right)$ are relative $\operatorname{Brauer}\left(G, C_{p}\right)$-relations, we formulate the following

CONJECTURE 3.3. Let $p$ be a prime and $G$ a finite p-group. All relative Brauer $\left(G, C_{p}\right)$-relations for a $p$-group $G$ are linear combinations
$\Theta=\sum n_{P} \operatorname{Ind}_{K}^{G \times C_{p}} \operatorname{Inf}_{P}^{K}\left(\Theta_{P}^{\prime}\right), \quad P=K / N$ sub-quotient of $G \times C_{p}, n_{P} \in \mathbb{Z}$
of $\left(G, C_{p}\right)$-relations $\operatorname{Ind}_{K}^{G \times C_{p}} \operatorname{Inf}_{P}^{K}\left(\Theta_{P}^{\prime}\right)$ 'indufted' from $P$-relations $\Theta_{P}^{\prime}$ where
(1) $P \approx C_{p} \times C_{p} \times C_{p}$ or
(2) $P \approx\left(\right.$ the Heisenberg group of order $\left.p^{3}\right) \times C_{p}$ or
(3) $P \approx$ (the dihedral group of order $2^{n}$ with $\left.n \geq 4\right) \times C_{2}$.

In [7] this conjecture was proved for $G$ an elementary Abelian $p$-group by giving an explicit description of $K\left(G, C_{p}\right)$. The simplest example shows an intricate network of subgroups behind the relative Brauer relations.

PROPOSITION 3.4 (Kahn [7]). $K\left(C_{2} \times C_{2}, C_{2}\right)$ has a basis with four elements $e_{1}-e_{3}-e_{5}-e_{7}+2 e_{12}, e_{3}-e_{12}-e_{13}-e_{4}+e_{14}+e_{15}, e_{5}-e_{12}-e_{14}-e_{6}+e_{13}+e_{15}$, $e_{7}-e_{12}-e_{15}-e_{8}+e_{13}+e_{14}$ where $e$ 's label distinct subgroups of $C_{2} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$.

In this paper we prove Conjecture 3.3 for $G$ any finite Abelian $p$-group by giving the following description of $K\left(G, C_{p}\right)$ :

THEOREM 3.5. Let $p$ be a prime and $G$ a finite Abelian $p$-group. The $\mathbb{Z}$-module $K\left(G, C_{p}\right)$ of relative Brauer ( $G, C_{p}$ )-relations is generated by elements of the form $\operatorname{Ind}_{K}^{G \times C_{p}} \operatorname{Inf}_{P}^{K}\left(\Theta_{P}^{\prime}\right)$ where $P=K / N \approx C_{p} \times C_{p} \times C_{p}$ are sub-quotients of $G \times C_{p}$ and $\Theta_{P}^{\prime}$ are elements of $K(P)$, the module of Brauer $P$-relations.

## 4. Rank lemmas and their proofs

In this section we fix $G$ to be a finite Abelian $p$-group and endow the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ with $\zeta$ a fixed primitive $p$-root of unity adjoined by the $\left(G, C_{p}\right)$-bimodule structure where $C_{p}$-action is given by multiplication by $\zeta$ and the $G$-action is trivial.

Notice that a $\left(G, C_{p}\right)$-bimodule $W$ over the rationals is a right $\mathbb{Q}\left[C_{p}\right]$-free module if and only if the module

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{0} W=\operatorname{Res}_{1 \times C_{p}} W \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the $G$-action forgotten is a left $\mathbb{Q}\left[C_{p}\right]$-free module.

LEMMA 4.1. $1_{G \times C_{p}} \oplus \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ represents an element in $R\left(G, C_{p}\right)$.
Proof. We have the following $\mathbb{Q}\left[C_{p}\right]$-isomorphism

$$
0\left(1_{G \times C_{p}} \oplus \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)\right)=1_{C_{p}} \oplus \mathbb{Q}(\zeta) \approx \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)=\mathbb{Q}\left[C_{p}\right]
$$

This concludes the proof.
LEMMA 4.2. $R\left(G \times C_{p}\right)=R\left(G, C_{p}\right) \oplus \mathbb{Z} \cdot 1_{G \times C_{p}}$.
Proof. Since $G$ is a finite Abelian $p$-group, the group ring $\mathbb{Q}\left[G \times C_{p}\right]$ is a product of cyclotomic field extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$ obtained by adjoining primitive $p^{v}$-roots of unity $\xi_{v}$ where $v \geq 0$ are integers. In particular, any irreducible $\mathbb{Q}\left[G \times C_{p}\right]$-module $W$ is a cyclotomic field, say $W=\mathbb{Q}\left(\xi_{v}\right)$, whose degree over $\mathbb{Q}$ is $d_{v}=p^{v-1}(p-1)$ and whose degree over $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ is $p^{\nu-1}$.

More precisely, there is a group homomorphism $\chi: G \times C_{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\left(\xi_{v}\right)^{\times}$into the multiplicative group of the field $W=\mathbb{Q}\left(\xi_{v}\right)$ such that the elements $y \in G \times C_{p}$ act on $W$ by multiplication by $\chi(y)$. If we fix a generator $y_{0}$ of $C_{p}$, then $C_{p}$ acts on $W$ by multiplication by $\chi\left(y_{0}\right)$. Since $y_{0}^{p}=1$, we know that $\chi\left(y_{0}\right)$ is a $p$-root of unity. In particular, we distinguish two cases.

If $\chi\left(y_{0}\right)=1$, we have the $\mathbb{Q}\left[C_{p}\right]$-isomorphisms
${ }_{0}\left(W \oplus d_{\nu} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)\right)=\left({ }_{0} W\right) \oplus d_{\nu} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta) \approx d_{\nu} 1_{C_{p}} \oplus d_{\nu} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta) \approx d_{\nu} \mathbb{Q}\left[C_{p}\right]$.
If $\chi\left(y_{0}\right)=\zeta$ is a primitive $p$-root of unity, we have the $\mathbb{Q}\left[C_{p}\right]$-isomorphisms

$$
{ }_{0}\left(W \oplus p^{\nu-1} 1_{G \times C_{p}}\right) \approx p^{v-1} \mathbb{Q}(\zeta) \oplus p^{\nu-1} 1_{C_{p}} \approx p^{\nu-1} \mathbb{Q}\left[C_{p}\right]
$$

By Lemma 4.1, we deduce that for any irreducible $\mathbb{Q}\left[G \times C_{p}\right]$-module $W$, either $W-d_{\nu} 1_{G \times C_{p}}$ or $W+p^{v-1} 1_{G \times C_{p}}$ represents an element in $R\left(G, C_{p}\right)$.

LEMMA 4.3 (Goursat [9]). The subgroups of a direct product of two finite groups $\Gamma \times \Omega$ are in bijection with the quintuples $(K, N, A, B, \theta)$ of subgroups $N \unlhd K \leq \Gamma$ and $B \unlhd A \leq \Omega$ and isomorphisms $\theta: K / N \approx A / B$.

The correspondence in Goursat Lemma is given by the following map

$$
(K, N, A, B, \theta) \mapsto S=\{(k n, \theta(k N) b) \mid n \in N, k \in K, b \in B\} \leq \Gamma \times \Omega
$$

Let $\mathcal{S}_{G}$ be the graph with a vertex $K$ for each subgroup $K \leq G$ and an edge $(K, N)$ for each pair of subgroups $N \leq K$ having index $[K: N]=p$.

LEMMA 4.4. $\operatorname{rank} B\left(G \times C_{p}\right)-\operatorname{rank} B\left(G, C_{p}\right)=\operatorname{rank} B(G)$.
Proof. By Proposition 2.1, we have
rank $B(G)=\#$ vertices in $\mathcal{S}_{G}$,
$\operatorname{rank} B\left(G \times C_{p}\right)=\#$ vertices in $\mathcal{S}_{G \times C_{p}}$.
By Proposition 2.3, a basis for $B\left(G, C_{p}\right)$ is given by graphs of homomorphisms $\rho: K \rightarrow C_{p}$ from subgroups $K \leq G$. Each such $\rho$ is either trivial $\rho=1$ or factors through a canonical map $K \rightarrow K / N$ and an automorphism of $C_{p}$ where $N \leq K$ is a subgroup of index $[K: N]=p$. The number of automorphisms of $C_{p}$ is $p-1$.

Hence, the number of graphs $K \times \rho$ equals the number of subgroups $K \leq G(\rho=1)$ plus $(p-1)$ times the number of pairs $(K, N)$ with $N \leq K$ having index $p(\rho \neq 1)$ :
rank $B\left(G, C_{p}\right)=\#$ vertices in $\mathcal{S}_{G}+(p-1) \cdot \#$ edges in $\mathcal{S}_{G}$.
By Goursat's Lemma 4.3 the vertices of $\mathcal{S}_{G \times C_{p}}$ are in bijection with quintuples ( $K, N, A, B, \theta$ ) of subgroups $N \leq K \leq G$ and $B \leq A \leq C_{p}$ and isomorphisms $\theta: K / N \approx A / B$. We distinguish two cases:

1) $A=B, K=N, \theta=1$ and
2) $A=C_{p}, B=1,(K, N)$ is an edge in $\mathcal{S}_{G}$ and $\theta: K / N \rightarrow C_{p}$ is an isomorphism. Since $C_{p}$ has only two subgroups, we conclude that

$$
\# \text { vertices in } \mathcal{S}_{G \times C_{p}}=2 \cdot \# \text { vertices in } \mathcal{S}_{G}+(p-1) \cdot \# \text { edges in } \mathcal{S}_{G} \text {. }
$$

The statement now follows by combining the formulas above.
PROPOSITION 4.5. $\operatorname{rank} K\left(G \times C_{p}\right)-\operatorname{rank} K\left(G, C_{p}\right)=\operatorname{rank} B(G)-1$.
Proof. From the diagram of exact sequences (11), we deduce the rank relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{rank} B\left(G \times C_{p}\right) & =\operatorname{rank} K\left(G \times C_{p}\right)+\operatorname{rank} R\left(G \times C_{p}\right) \\
\operatorname{rank} B\left(G, C_{p}\right) & =\operatorname{rank} K\left(G, C_{p}\right)+\operatorname{rank} R\left(G, C_{p}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma[4.2, $\operatorname{rank} R\left(G \times C_{p}\right)-\operatorname{rank} R\left(G, C_{p}\right)=1$ and thus if we take the difference between the two equations above and use Lemma 4.4 , we get the statement.

## 5. Generators up to torsion

For the rest of the paper we use the notation $\epsilon: G \rightarrow 1$ for the trivial map and the notation (5) for the graph of a homomorphism. By Goursat Lemma4.3 the subgroups of $G \times C_{p}$ have the following structure

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \times \epsilon, L \times \epsilon, 1 \times C_{p}, L \times C_{p}, L \times \lambda \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda: L \rightarrow C_{p}$ is a surjective homomorphism and $1 \neq L \leq G$.
LEMMA 5.1. We have the following list of possible pairs of subgroups $(K, N)$ of $G \times C_{p}$ with $K / N \approx C_{p} \times C_{p}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{rrrr}
K & =G^{\prime} \times C_{p}, & N=L \times \rho & \text { with } \rho: L \rightarrow C_{p}, \rho\left(G^{\prime p}\right)=1, G^{\prime} / L \approx C_{p} \\
K & =G^{\prime} \times C_{p}, & N=L \times C_{p} & \text { with } G^{\prime} / L \approx C_{p} \times C_{p} \\
K=G^{\prime} \times \lambda, & N=L \times \lambda & \text { with } \lambda: G^{\prime} \rightarrow C_{p} \text { surjective, } G^{\prime} / L \approx C_{p} \times C_{p} \\
K=G^{\prime} \times \epsilon, & N=L \times \epsilon & \text { with } G^{\prime} / L \approx C_{p} \times C_{p}
\end{array}
$$

where $\left(G^{\prime}, L\right)$ are pairs of subgroups of $G$ with $L<G^{\prime}$.
Proof. The structure of the subgroup $K$ is given by (13). If $K$ is not a graph, the structure of a subgroup of $K$ is again give by (13). If $K$ is a graph, any subgroup of $K$ is a subgraph. The constraint $K / N \approx C_{p} \times C_{p}$ translates to $G^{\prime} / L \approx C_{p} \times C_{p}$ except for the case of a homomorphism $\rho: L \rightarrow C_{p}$ with $G^{\prime} / L \approx C_{p}$. In this case, we always have $G^{\prime p} \leq L$ as $G^{\prime} / L \approx C_{p}$. If $\rho\left(G^{p}\right)=1$, then an isomorphism $\left(G^{\prime} \times C_{p}\right) /(L \times \rho) \approx C_{p} \times C_{p}$ is given by

$$
\left(y x_{0}^{i}, c\right) \mapsto\left(c_{0}^{i}, c \rho(y)^{-1}\right), \quad y \in L, c \in C_{p}, i \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

where $x_{0} \in G^{\prime}$ is a generator of $G^{\prime} / L \approx C_{p}$ and $c_{0} \in C_{p}$ is a generator of $C_{p}$. Indeed, each element of $G^{\prime}$ is of the form $y x_{0}^{i}$ and the map is well defined since any other representation $y^{\prime} x_{0}^{j}=y x_{0}^{i}$ gives $y^{\prime} y^{-1}=x_{0}^{i-j}$ with $i-j=k p$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence,

$$
\rho\left(y^{\prime} y^{-1}\right)=\rho\left(x_{0}^{k p}\right)=\rho\left(x_{0}^{p}\right)^{k}=1 .
$$

If $\rho\left(G^{\prime p}\right) \neq 1$ then $\left(G^{\prime} \times C_{p}\right) /(L \times \rho) \approx C_{p^{2}}$ is generated by $\left(x_{0}, 1\right):\left(x_{0}^{p}, 1\right) \notin L \times \rho$ as $\rho\left(x_{0}^{p}\right) \neq 1$, but $\left(x_{0}^{p^{2}}, 1\right) \in L \times \rho$ as $\rho\left(x_{0}^{p^{2}}\right)=\rho\left(x_{0}^{p}\right)^{p}=1$ (recall that $x_{0}^{p} \in L$ ).

Now we make a sublist $\mathcal{L}_{G \times C_{p}}$ of pairs ( $K, N^{\prime}$ ) selected from Lemma 5.1 such that each $K$ appears exactly once in $\mathcal{L}_{G \times C_{p}}$ as follows. For each non-trivial subgroup $G^{\prime} \leq G$ we choose a subgroup $L^{\prime}<G^{\prime}$ such that $G^{\prime} / L^{\prime} \approx C_{p} \times C_{p}$ and if this is impossible, we choose a subgroup $L^{\prime}<G^{\prime}$ such that $G^{\prime} / L^{\prime} \approx C_{p}$.
Definition 5.2. With the choices above, the list $\mathcal{L}_{G \times C_{p}}$ of pairs ( $K, N^{\prime}$ ) of subgroups of $G \times C_{p}$ with $K / N^{\prime} \approx C_{p} \times C_{p}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { if } G^{\prime} / L^{\prime} \approx C_{p} \times C_{p} & K=G^{\prime} \times C_{p}, N^{\prime}=L^{\prime} \times C_{p} \\
& K=G^{\prime} \times \lambda, N^{\prime}=L^{\prime} \times \lambda \text { with } \lambda: G^{\prime} \rightarrow C_{p} \text { surjective } \\
& K=G^{\prime} \times \epsilon, N^{\prime}=L^{\prime} \times \epsilon \\
\text { if } G^{\prime} / L^{\prime} \approx C_{p} & K=G^{\prime} \times C_{p}, N^{\prime}=L^{\prime} \times \epsilon
\end{array}
$$

LEMMA 5.3. The number of pairs ( $K, N^{\prime}$ ) of subgroups of $G \times C_{p}$ in the list $\mathcal{L}_{G \times C_{p}}$ with $K$ not a graph is one less than the number of subgroups of $G$.
Proof. Each non-trivial subgroup $G^{\prime} \leq G$ falls into one of the two categories of the Definition 5.2. Namely, $G^{\prime}$ is non-cyclic if and only if admits a quotient $G^{\prime} / L^{\prime} \approx$ $C_{p} \times C_{p}$. If $G^{\prime}$ is cyclic, then it is non-trivial if and only if admits a quotient $G^{\prime} / L^{\prime} \approx C_{p}$. Since each product $K=G^{\prime} \times C_{p}$ appears exactly once in the list $\mathcal{L}_{G \times C_{p}}$, this concludes the proof.
LEMMA 5.4. rank $K\left(G \times C_{p}\right)=$ number of pairs ( $K, N^{\prime}$ ) in the list $\mathcal{L}_{G \times C_{p}}$.
Proof. By Corollary 2.8 the rank of $K\left(G \times C_{p}\right)$ equals the number of non-cyclic subgroups of $G \times C_{p}$. In the list $\mathcal{L}_{G \times C_{p}}$ the non-cyclic subgroups $K \leq G \times C_{p}$ appear exactly once. Indeed, for a graph subgroup $G^{\prime} \times \rho \leq G \times C_{p}$ to admit a quotient $G^{\prime} / L^{\prime} \approx C_{p} \times C_{p}$ is equivalent with being non-cyclic. And a subgroup $G^{\prime} \times C_{p}$ that admits a quotient $G^{\prime} / L^{\prime} \approx C_{p}$ is non-cyclic as a direct product. The two cases cover all the possibilities of non-cyclic subgroups without overlap.

By Proposition 3.1, each pair ( $K, N$ ) from the Lemma 5.1 produces an element $\operatorname{Induf}\left(\Theta_{K / N}\right)$ of $K\left(G \times C_{p}\right)$ which is defined as follows

Induf : $K(K / N) \rightarrow K\left(G \times C_{p}\right)$ is given by $S / N \mapsto S$ for $N \leq S \leq K$
$\Theta_{K / N}=(N / N)-\sum_{C^{\prime}}\left(C^{\prime} / L\right)+p(K / N)$ where $N \leq C^{\prime} \leq K$ such that $C^{\prime} / N \approx C_{p}$
Indeed, by (7) and (8) we have the following calculation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ind}_{K}^{G \times C_{p}} \operatorname{Inf}_{K / N}^{K}\left(\Theta_{K / N}\right)=\operatorname{Induf}\left(\Theta_{K / N}\right)=N-\sum_{C^{\prime}} C^{\prime}+p K \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

THEOREM 5.5. Let $p$ be a prime and $G$ a finite Abelian $p$-group. Then $K\left(G, C_{p}\right)\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]$-module whose basis is given by the elements $\operatorname{Induf}\left(\Theta_{K / N^{\prime}}\right)$ indexed by the pairs of the form $\left(K, N^{\prime}\right)=\left(G^{\prime} \times \rho, L^{\prime} \times \rho\right)$ in the list $\mathcal{L}_{G \times C_{p}}$ where $\rho: G^{\prime} \rightarrow C_{p}$ is a homomorphism and $G^{\prime} / L^{\prime} \approx C_{p} \times C_{p}$ is a sub-quotient of $G$.

Proof. By Proposition 4.5 we have rank $K\left(G, C_{p}\right)=\operatorname{rank} K\left(G \times C_{p}\right)-\operatorname{rank} B(G)+1$ where rank $B(G)=$ the number of subgroups of $G$. By Lemmas 5.3 and 5.4 we deduce that $\operatorname{rank} K\left(G, C_{p}\right)=$ the number of pairs $\left(K, N^{\prime}\right)$ with $K$ a graph, which are listed in $\mathcal{L}_{G \times C_{p}}$. Observe that $K$ is a graph if there is a homomorphism $\rho: G^{\prime} \rightarrow C_{p}$ such that $G^{\prime} \leq G$ and $K=G^{\prime} \times \rho$. In this situation, any subgroup of $K$ must be a subgraph of the form $L \times \rho \leq K$ where $L \leq G^{\prime}$ and $\rho$ is restricted to $L$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Induf}\left(\Theta_{K / N^{\prime}}\right)=L^{\prime} \times \rho-\sum_{C^{\prime}} C^{\prime} \times \rho+p\left(G^{\prime} \times \rho\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L^{\prime}<C^{\prime}<G^{\prime}$ such that $C^{\prime} / L^{\prime} \approx C_{p}$ according to (14). We deduce that each element (15) belongs to $B\left(G, C_{p}\right)$. For $\left(K, N^{\prime}\right)=\left(G^{\prime} \times \rho, L^{\prime} \times \rho\right)$ in the list $\mathcal{L}_{G \times C_{p}}$ the number of these elements equals the rank of $K\left(G, C_{p}\right)$. Since their dominant terms $p K$ under inclusion form a sub-basis of $B\left(G \times C_{p}\right)\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]$, the statement follows.
COROLLARY 5.6 ( [7]). For $p$ be a prime and $G$ a cyclic p-group, $K\left(G, C_{p}\right)=0$.
Proof. Since $G$ is cyclic, $G$ does not admit sub-quotients of the form $G^{\prime} / L^{\prime} \approx C_{p} \times C_{p}$. By Theorem 5.5, rank $K\left(G, C_{p}\right)=0$. Recall that $B\left(G \times C_{p}\right)$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module and thus, $K\left(G, C_{p}\right) \subset B\left(G \times C_{p}\right)$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-submodule. Hence, $K\left(G, C_{p}\right)=0$.

## 6. The reduction to Type 2 generators

We denote by $K^{\prime}\left(G, C_{p}\right) \subset K\left(B, C_{p}\right)$ the submodule generated by the elements of $K\left(G, C_{p}\right)$ that are 'indufted' from sub-quotients of $G \times C_{p}$ isomorphic to $C_{p} \times C_{p} \times C_{p}$. The Theorem 3.5 states that $K^{\prime}\left(G, C_{p}\right)=K\left(G, C_{p}\right)$. Since $K\left(G, C_{p}\right) \subset K\left(G \times C_{p}\right)$, by Theorem 3.2 we know that each element $x$ of $K\left(G, C_{p}\right)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combination of elements of the form $\operatorname{Induf}\left(\Theta_{K / N}\right)$ where $\Theta_{K / N}$ are defined as in (14) for each pair $(K, N)$ given by Lemma 5.1. A careful analysis of the elements $\operatorname{Induf}\left(\Theta_{K / N}\right)$ reveals the following classification:

Type 1. For each pair of subgroups $L<G^{\prime}<G$ with $G^{\prime} / L \approx C_{p} \times C_{p}$ and each homomorphism $\alpha: G^{\prime} \rightarrow C_{p}$ we define

$$
A_{G^{\prime}, L, \alpha}=L \times \alpha-\sum_{L<C^{\prime}<G^{\prime}} C^{\prime} \times \alpha+p G^{\prime} \times \alpha
$$

Here $C^{\prime}$ runs over the subgroups $L<C^{\prime}<G^{\prime}$ with $C^{\prime} / L \approx C_{p}$.
Type 2. For each pair of subgroups $C<G^{\prime}<G$ with $G^{\prime} / C \approx C_{p}$ and each homomorphism $\beta: C \rightarrow C_{p}$ with $\beta\left(G^{\prime p}\right)=1$ we define

$$
B_{G^{\prime}, C, \beta}=C \times \beta-\sum_{\tilde{\beta} \mid C=\beta} G^{\prime} \times \tilde{\beta}-C \times C_{p}+p G^{\prime} \times C_{p}
$$

Here $\tilde{\beta}$ runs over the homomorphisms $\tilde{\beta}: G^{\prime} \rightarrow C_{p}$ with $\tilde{\beta} \mid C=\beta$.

Type 3. For each pair of subgroups $L<G^{\prime}<G$ with $G^{\prime} / L \approx C_{p} \times C_{p}$ we define

$$
D_{G^{\prime}, L}=L \times C_{p}-\sum_{L<C^{\prime}<G^{\prime}} C^{\prime} \times C_{p}+p G^{\prime} \times C_{p}
$$

Here $C^{\prime}$ runs over the subgroups $L<C^{\prime}<G^{\prime}$ with $C^{\prime} / L \approx C_{p}$.
LEMMA 6.1. The Type 1 elements $A_{G^{\prime}, L, \alpha}$ belong to $K^{\prime}\left(G, C_{p}\right)$.
Proof. For each sub-quotient $G^{\prime} / L \approx C_{p} \times C_{p}$ of $G$ and homomorphism $\alpha: G^{\prime} \rightarrow C_{p}$ we have the following isomorphism

$$
P=\left(G^{\prime} \times C_{p}\right) /(L \times \alpha) \approx C_{p} \times C_{p} \times C_{p},
$$

which comes from $\varphi: G^{\prime} \rightarrow G^{\prime} / L \approx C_{p} \times C_{p}$ by sending $(x, c) \in G^{\prime} \times C_{p}$ to the element $\left(\varphi(x), c \rho(x)^{-1}\right) \in C_{p} \times C_{p} \times C_{p}$. In this context, the element $A_{G^{\prime}, L, \alpha}$ is of the form $A_{G^{\prime}, L, \alpha}=\operatorname{Induf}\left(\Theta_{P}^{\prime}\right) \in K\left(G, C_{p}\right)$ for some $\Theta_{P}^{\prime} \in K(P)$.

COROLLARY 6.2. For each $x \in K\left(G, C_{p}\right)$, either $x$ or px belongs to $K^{\prime}\left(G, C_{p}\right)$.
Proof. By Theorem 5.5 and its proof we know that for each $x \in K\left(G, C_{p}\right)$ either $x$ or $p x$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combination of Type 1 elements and we apply Lemma 6.1 .

LEMMA 6.3. For each pair $L<G^{\prime}<G$ with $G^{\prime} / L \approx C_{p} \times C_{p}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p+1) D_{G^{\prime}, L} \equiv \sum_{L<C^{\prime}<G^{\prime}} B_{G^{\prime}, C^{\prime}, \epsilon}-\sum_{L<C^{\prime}<G^{\prime}} B_{C^{\prime}, L, \epsilon} \quad \bmod K^{\prime}\left(G, C_{p}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $C^{\prime}$ runs over the subgroups $L<C^{\prime}<G^{\prime}$ with $C^{\prime} / L \approx C_{p}$.
Proof. Recall that $B\left(G, C_{p}\right)$ is generated by graph-subgroups $K \times \rho<G \times C_{p}$ as in the Proposition 2.3. In this context, for each of the $p+1$ subgroups $L<C^{\prime}<G^{\prime}$ (see the next section) with $C^{\prime} / L \approx C_{p}$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{rrr}
B_{G^{\prime}, C^{\prime}, \epsilon} & \equiv & -C^{\prime} \times C_{p}+p G^{\prime} \times C_{p} \\
-B_{C^{\prime}, L, \epsilon} & \bmod B\left(G, C_{p}\right) \\
D_{G^{\prime}, L} & \equiv & L \times C_{p}-p C^{\prime} \times C_{p} \bmod B\left(G, C_{p}\right) \\
& L \times C_{p}-\sum_{L<C^{\prime}<G^{\prime}} C^{\prime} \times C_{p}+p G^{\prime} \times C_{p}
\end{array}
$$

Hence, if we apply the operator $\sum_{L<C^{\prime}<G^{\prime}}$ to the first two equations we get

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\sum_{L<C^{\prime}<G^{\prime}} B_{G^{\prime}, C^{\prime}, \epsilon} \equiv-\sum_{L<C^{\prime}<G^{\prime}} C^{\prime} \times C_{p}+(p+1) p G^{\prime} \times C_{p} \quad \bmod B\left(G, C_{p}\right) \\
-\sum_{L<C^{\prime}<G^{\prime}} B_{C^{\prime}, L, \epsilon} \equiv & (p+1) L \times C_{p}-p \sum_{L<C^{\prime}<G^{\prime}} C^{\prime} \times C_{p}
\end{array} \bmod B\left(G, C_{p}\right)\right) ~ \$
$$

By definitions, $K\left(G . C_{p}\right)=B\left(G, C_{p}\right) \cap K\left(G \times C_{p}\right)$ where $K\left(G \times C_{p}\right)$ contains the Type 2 and Type 3 elements. Hence, by adding the last two equations, we get the relation (16) $\bmod K\left(G, C_{p}\right)$ where all the terms are 'indufted' from the sub-quotient $\left(G^{\prime} \times C_{p}\right) /(L \times \epsilon) \approx C_{p} \times C_{p} \times C_{p}$. This proves (16) $\bmod K^{\prime}\left(G, C_{p}\right)$.

Now we can reduce the proof of Theorem 3.5 to elements of $K\left(G, C_{p}\right)$ that are $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combinations of Type 2 generators. Here is the precise statement

PROPOSITION 6.4. Each $x \in K\left(G, C_{p}\right)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combination $\bmod K^{\prime}\left(G, C_{p}\right)$ of Type 2-elements of the form $B_{G^{\prime}, C, \epsilon}$ with $G^{\prime} / C \approx C_{p}$.

Proof. Each element $x \in K\left(G, C_{p}\right)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combination of the form

$$
x=\text { Type } 1 \text { combination }+ \text { Type } 2 \text { combination }+ \text { Type } 3 \text { combination }
$$

By Lemma6.3, we have the following reduction

$$
(p+1)(\text { Type } 3 \text { combination }) \equiv \text { Type } 2 \text { combination } \bmod K^{\prime}\left(G, C_{p}\right)
$$

By Corollary 6.2, we have $p x \equiv 0 \bmod K^{\prime}\left(G, C_{p}\right)$. By putting together the equations above and Lemma 6.1, we get

$$
x=(p+1) x-p x \equiv \text { Type } 2 \text { combination } \bmod K^{\prime}\left(G, C_{p}\right)
$$

If $G^{\prime}<G$ is cyclic, then $C=G^{\prime p}<G^{\prime}$ is the unique subgroup of index $p$. In this case, $B_{G^{\prime}, C, \beta}=B_{G^{\prime}, C, \epsilon}$. If $G^{\prime}<G$ is non-cyclic and $\beta: C \rightarrow C_{p}$ with $C<G^{\prime}$ is such that $G^{\prime} / C \approx C_{p}$ and $\beta \neq \epsilon$, then the difference

$$
B_{G^{\prime}, C, \beta}-B_{G^{\prime}, C, \epsilon}=C \times \beta-\sum_{\tilde{\beta} \mid C=\beta} G^{\prime} \times \tilde{\beta}-C \times \epsilon+\sum_{\tilde{\epsilon} \mid C=\epsilon} G^{\prime} \times \tilde{\epsilon}
$$

is 'indufted' from $\left(G^{\prime} \times C_{p}\right) /(L \times \beta) \approx C_{p} \times C_{p} \times C_{p}$ if we take $L=\operatorname{ker} \beta<C$. This shows that the difference belongs to $K^{\prime}\left(G, C_{p}\right)$ and it concludes the proof.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 3.5. To that end, let $x \in K\left(G, C_{p}\right)$ be given. By Proposition 6.4 we can represent $x$ by a Type 2 combination $\bmod K^{\prime}\left(G, C_{p}\right)$. In what follows we will show how to eliminate all the Type 2 elements from that combination, concluding that $x \in K^{\prime}\left(G, C_{p}\right)$. This proves Theorem 3.5,

## 7. The elimination algorithm

The Type 2 elements $B_{G^{\prime}, L, \epsilon}$ generate a $\mathbb{Z}$-submodule $\mathcal{M} \subset K\left(G \times C_{p}\right)$ and each such generator is uniquely determined by a pair of subgroups $L<G^{\prime}$ with $G^{\prime} / L \approx C_{p}$. Hence, we can drop the $\epsilon$ from the notation $B_{G^{\prime} L}=B_{G^{\prime}, L, \epsilon}$. Moreover, its image $\bmod B\left(G, C_{p}\right)$ is given by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{G^{\prime} L} \equiv-L \times C_{p}+p G^{\prime} \times C_{p} \quad \bmod B\left(G, C_{p}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 7.1. The signature homomorphism $\sigma: B\left(G \times C_{p}\right) \rightarrow B(G)$ is defined by sending $L \times C_{p} \mapsto L$ for $L<G$ and any other basis elements to zero.

For example, we say that the Type 2 generator $B_{G^{\prime} L}$ has the signature $-L+p G$.
LEMMA 7.2. The signature homomorphism $\sigma: B\left(G \times C_{p}\right) \rightarrow B(G)$ is surjective and its kernel is $B\left(G, C_{p}\right)$.

Proof. Observe that $\sigma$ has a well defined section $\ell: B(G) \rightarrow B\left(G, C_{p}\right)$ sending $L \mapsto L \times C_{p}$ for $L<G$. Since the identity map $\sigma \circ \ell: B(G) \rightarrow B(G)$ is surjective, so is $\sigma$. Moreover, by Proposition 2.3 the $B\left(C \times C_{p}\right)$ is the direct sum of its submodules $B\left(G, C_{p}\right)$ and $\ell B(G)$. This shows that $\operatorname{ker} \sigma=B\left(G, C_{p}\right)$.
COROLLARY 7.3. The kernel of the restriction $\sigma: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow B(G)$ is $\mathcal{M} \cap K\left(G, C_{p}\right)$.

Proof. By the previous lemma, the kernel of the restriction $\sigma \mid \mathcal{M}$ is $\mathcal{M} \cap B\left(G, C_{p}\right)$. By combining this fact with $\mathcal{M} \subset K\left(G \times C_{p}\right)$ and $K\left(G, C_{p}\right)=K\left(G \times C_{p}\right) \cap B\left(G, C_{p}\right)$ we get the statement $\operatorname{ker} \sigma \mid \mathcal{M}=\mathcal{M} \cap K\left(G, C_{p}\right)$.

Definition 7.4. We call a resolution starting at a subgroup $L$ and ending at a subgroup $G^{\prime}$ any chain of intermediate subgroups $L=G_{q}<G_{q-1}<\ldots<G_{1}<G_{0}=G^{\prime}$ such that each subgroup $G_{i}$ has index $p$ in the next subgroup $G_{i+1}$ of the chain.

Here are a couple of basic facts [12]. Between any two comparable subgroups $L<G^{\prime}$ of a finite $p$-group there is at least one resolution starting at $L$ and ending at $G^{\prime}$. If $L$ has index $p^{2}$ in $G^{\prime}$, then $G^{\prime} / L \approx C_{p^{2}}$ if from $L$ to $G^{\prime}$ there is only one resolution and $G^{\prime} / L \approx C_{p} \times C_{p}$ if there are at least two resolutions. In the latter case, there will be exactly $p+1$ such resolutions.

LEMMA 7.5. Given any resolution $L=G_{e}<G_{e-1}<\ldots<G_{1}<G_{0}=G^{\prime}$ starting at a subgroup $L$ and ending at a subgroup $G^{\prime}$ of the group $G$ we have

$$
\sigma\left(B_{G_{e-1} G_{e}}+p B_{G_{e-2} G_{e-1}}+\ldots+p^{e-1} B_{G_{0} G_{1}}\right)=-G_{e}+p^{e} G_{0}
$$

Proof. Notice that $\sigma\left(B_{G_{i} G_{i+1}}\right)=-G_{i+1}+p G_{i}$ and apply a telescopic sum.
LEMMA 7.6. Given any two resolutions starting at a subgroup $L$ and ending at a subgroup $G^{\prime}$ of $G$, say

$$
L=G_{e}<G_{e-1}<\ldots<G_{1}<G_{0}=G^{\prime} \text { and } L=H_{e}<H_{e-1}<\ldots<H_{1}<H_{0}=G^{\prime}
$$

we have the following relation

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{e} p^{e-j} B_{G_{j-1} G_{j}} \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{e} p^{e-j} B_{H_{j-1} H_{j}} \quad \bmod K^{\prime}\left(G, C_{p}\right)
$$

Proof. By [12] there is a sequence of resolutions

$$
L=G_{e}^{(i)}<G_{e-1}^{(i)}<\ldots<G_{1}^{(i)}<G_{0}^{(i)}=G
$$

for $i=0,1,2, \ldots, n$ such that (1) for each $k$ we have $G_{k}^{(0)}=G_{k}, G_{k}^{(n)}=H_{k}$, and (2) for each $i$ there is $\lambda$ depending on $i$ with $G_{\lambda}^{(i+1)} \neq G_{\lambda}^{(i)}$ and $G_{k}^{(i+1)}=G_{k}^{(i)}$ if $k \neq \lambda$.

In this context, notice that the following combinations belong to $K^{\prime}\left(G, C_{p}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j=1}^{e} p^{e-j} B_{G_{j-1}^{(i+1)} G_{j}^{(i+1)}}-\sum_{j=1}^{e} p^{e-j} B_{G_{j-1}^{(i)} G_{j}^{(i)}} \\
& =p^{e-\lambda-1}\left(p B_{G_{\lambda-1}^{(i+1)} G_{\lambda}^{(i+1)}}-p B_{G_{\lambda-1}^{(i)} G_{\lambda}^{(i)}}+B_{G_{\lambda}^{(i+1)} G_{\lambda+1}^{(i+1)}}-B_{G_{\lambda}^{(i)} G_{\lambda+1}^{(i)}}\right) \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

since the terms on the right hand side of the equation are associated with two resolutions starting at $G_{\lambda+1}^{(i+1)}=G_{\lambda+1}^{(i)}$ and ending at $G_{\lambda-1}^{(i+1)}=G_{\lambda-1}^{(i)}$ and thus, they are 'indufted' from $\left(G_{\lambda-1}^{(i)} \times C_{p}\right) /\left(G_{\lambda+1}^{(i)} \times \epsilon\right) \approx C_{p} \times C_{p} \times C_{p}$ as noted in basic facts. By adding up all the relations (18) for $i=0,1,2, \ldots, n$ we get get the result.

Let the order of $G$ be $p^{n}$ and for each $k=0,1,2, \ldots, n$ define $\mathcal{G}_{k}$ to be the set of all subgroups of index $p^{k}$ in $G$. According to the formula (17), the Type 2 elements are in bijection with their signatures as listed for each pair $\left(X_{i}, X_{i+1}\right)$ with $X_{i+1}<X_{i}$ and $X_{k} \in \mathcal{G}_{k}$ in the table below

Table 1.

$$
-X_{n}+p X_{n-1}\left|-X_{n-1}+p X_{n-2}\right| \ldots\left|-X_{2}+p X_{1}\right|-X_{1}+p X_{0}
$$

Recall that the Type 2 elements generate a submodule $\mathcal{M} \subset K\left(G \times C_{p}\right)$. Using Table 1 as a mirror, we build a new system of generators for $\mathcal{M}$ using elementary operations. Namely, by basic facts, each pair $X_{i+1}<X_{i}$ can be extended to a resolution

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{i+1}<X_{i}<X_{i-1}<\ldots<X_{1}<X_{0}=G \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using this resolution we replace $B_{X_{i} X_{i+1}}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{X_{i} X_{i+1}}+p B_{X_{i-1} X_{i}}+\ldots+p^{i} B_{X_{0} X_{1}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 7.5 the signature table of the new system of generators is given by

## Table 2.

$$
-X_{n}+p^{n} X_{0}\left|-X_{n-1}+p^{n-1} X_{0}\right| \ldots\left|-X_{2}+p^{2} X_{0}\right|-X_{1}+p X_{0}
$$

In this new table, the signatures appear with repetitions. More precisely, any two resolutions starting at $X_{i+1}$ and ending at $X_{0}$, say resolution (20) and resolution

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{i+1}<Y_{i}<Y_{i-1}<\ldots<Y_{1}<X_{0}=G \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

produce the signature $-X_{i+1}+p^{i+1} X_{0}$ corresponding to generator (20) and generator

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{Y_{i} X_{i+1}}+p B_{Y_{i-1} Y_{i}}+\ldots+p^{i} B_{X_{0} Y_{1}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the generator (20) as a pivot and subtracting that generator from the generator (22), we can remove the signature duplicate in Table 2, According to Lemma 7.6, the zero represents an element in $K^{\prime}\left(G, C_{p}\right)$. By using this procedure, we eliminate all the repetitions in Table $2 \bmod K^{\prime}\left(G, C_{p}\right)$. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the system thus obtained of generators for $\mathcal{M}$. By Proposition 6.4, given an element $x \in K\left(G, C_{p}\right)$, we can write it as a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combination $y \in \mathcal{M}$ of elements in $\mathcal{S} \bmod K^{\prime}\left(G, C_{p}\right)$ as follows

$$
x \equiv y \quad \bmod K^{\prime}\left(G, C_{p}\right) \text { where } \sigma(y)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{X \in \mathcal{G}_{i}} m_{X}\left(-X+p^{i} X_{0}\right)
$$

where $m_{X} \in \mathbb{Z}$ are the coefficients $\bmod K^{\prime}\left(G, C_{p}\right)$ of the combination $y$. By Corollary 7.3 we must have $\sigma(y)=0$. Since the collection of subgroups $\cup_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{G}_{i}$ is a sub-basis for $B(G)$, we deduce that $m_{X}=0$ for each $X$. This proves that $y \in K^{\prime}\left(G, C_{p}\right)$ and thus, $x \in K^{\prime}\left(G, C_{p}\right)$ proving Theorem 3.5

## 8. An example

By Theorem [5.5] a basis for $K\left(C_{p} \times C_{p}, C_{p}\right)\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1 \times 1) \times \epsilon-\sum_{C}(C \times \epsilon)+p\left(C_{p} \times C_{p}\right) \times \epsilon \\
& (1 \times 1) \times \lambda-\sum_{C}(C \times \lambda)+p\left(C_{p} \times C_{p}\right) \times \lambda
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $C$ runs over the cyclic subgroups of order $p$ of $C_{p} \times C_{p}$ and $\lambda$ over the surjective homomorphisms $\lambda: C_{p} \times C_{p} \rightarrow C_{p}$. By direct counting, we deduce that

$$
\operatorname{rank} K\left(C_{p} \times C_{p}, C_{p}\right)=1+(p+1)(p-1)=p^{2} .
$$

In particular, for $p=2$ we have rank $K\left(C_{2} \times C_{2}, C_{2}\right)=4$. Specifically, the lattice of subgroups for $e_{16}=\left(C_{2} \times C_{2}\right) \times C_{2}$ is given by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
e_{9}=\left(1 \times C_{2}\right) \times C_{2}, e_{10}=\left(C_{2} \times 1\right) \times C_{2}, e_{11}=\Delta \times C_{2} \\
e_{12}=\left(C_{2} \times C_{2}\right) \times \epsilon, e_{13}=\left(C_{2} \times C_{2}\right) \times p_{1}, e_{14}=\left(C_{2} \times C_{2}\right) \times p_{2} \\
e_{15}=\left(C_{2} \times C_{2}\right) \times \sigma \\
e_{2}=(1 \times 1) \times C_{2}, e_{3}=\left(1 \times C_{2}\right) \times \epsilon, e_{4}=\left(1 \times C_{2}\right) \times p_{2} \\
e_{5}=\left(C_{2} \times 1\right) \times \epsilon, e_{6}=\left(C_{2} \times 1\right) \times p_{1}, e_{7}=\Delta \times \epsilon, e_{8}=\Delta \times \delta \\
e_{1}=(1 \times 1) \times \epsilon
\end{array}
$$

where $\Delta \subset C_{2} \times C_{2}$ is the diagonal subgroup, $\rho: C_{2} \times C_{2} \rightarrow\left(C_{2} \times C_{2}\right) / \Delta$ is the canonical projection, $\delta: \Delta \rightarrow C_{2}$ is the unique isomorphism, $p_{1}, p_{2}: C_{2} \times C_{2} \rightarrow C_{2}$ are the projections on the first and the second component respectively.

The generators of $K\left(C_{2} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}\right)$ are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
E_{9}=e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{3}-e_{4}+2 e_{9} & E_{10}=e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{5}-e_{6}+2 e_{10} \\
E_{11}=e_{1}-e_{2}-e_{7}-e_{8}+2 e_{11} & E_{12}=e_{1}-e_{3}-e_{5}-e_{7}+2 e_{12} \\
E_{13}=e_{1}-e_{3}-e_{6}-e_{8}+2 e_{13} & E_{14}=e_{1}-e_{4}-e_{5}-e_{8}+2 e_{14} \\
E_{15}=e_{1}-e_{4}-e_{6}-e_{7}+2 e_{15} & E_{2}=e_{2}-e_{9}-e_{10}-e_{11}+2 e_{16} \\
E_{3}=e_{3}-e_{9}-e_{12}-e_{13}+2 e_{16} & E_{4}=e_{4}-e_{9}-e_{14}-e_{15}+2 e_{16} \\
E_{5}=e_{5}-e_{10}-e_{12}-e_{14}+2 e_{16} & E_{6}=e_{6}-e_{10}-e_{13}-e_{15}+2 e_{16} \\
E_{7}=e_{7}-e_{11}-e_{12}-e_{15}+2 e_{16} & E_{8}=e_{8}-e_{11}-e_{13}-e_{14}+2 e_{16}
\end{array}
$$

As in Proposition 3.4 we can prove that a basis for $K\left(C_{2} \times C_{2}, C_{2}\right)$ is given by

$$
E_{15}, E_{4}-E_{3}, E_{6}-E_{5}, E_{8}-E_{7} .
$$
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