

# 3+1d Boundaries with Gravitational Anomaly of 4+1d Invertible Topological Order for Branch-Independent Bosonic Systems

Zheyuan Wan,<sup>1, w<sub>1</sub></sup> Juven Wang,<sup>2, 3, w<sub>2</sub></sup> and Xiao-Gang Wen<sup>4, w<sub>3</sub></sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Yau Mathematical Sciences Center, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China*

<sup>2</sup>*Center of Mathematical Sciences and Applications, Harvard University, MA 02138, USA*

<sup>3</sup>*School of Natural Sciences, Institute for Advanced Study, Einstein Drive, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA*

<sup>4</sup>*Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA*

We study bosonic systems on a spacetime lattice (with imaginary time) defined by path integrals of commuting fields. We introduce a concept of *branch-independent bosonic* (BIB) *systems*, whose path integral is independent of the branch structure of the spacetime simplicial complex, even for a spacetime with boundaries. In contrast, a *generic lattice bosonic* (GLB) *system's* path integral may depend on the branch structure. We find the invertible topological order characterized by the Stiefel-Whitney cocycle (such as 4+1d  $w_2w_3$ ) to be nontrivial for *branch-independent bosonic systems*, but this topological order and a trivial gapped tensor product state belong to the same phase (via a smooth deformation without any phase transition) for *generic lattice bosonic systems*. This implies that the invertible topological orders in generic lattice bosonic systems on a spacetime lattice are not classified by the oriented cobordism. The branch dependence on a lattice may be related to the orthonormal frame of smooth manifolds and the framing anomaly of continuum field theories. In general, the branch structure on a discretized lattice may be related to a frame structure on a smooth manifold that trivializes any Stiefel-Whitney classes. We construct branch-independent bosonic systems to realize the  $w_2w_3$  topological order, and its 3+1d gapped or gapless boundaries. One of the gapped boundaries is a 3+1d  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge theory with (1) fermionic  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge charge particle which trivializing  $w_2$  and (2) “fermionic”  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge flux line trivializing  $w_3$ . In particular, if the flux loop’s worldsheet is unorientable, then the orientation-reversal 1d worldline must correspond to a fermion worldline that *does not carry the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge charge*. We also explain why Spin and Spin<sup>c</sup> structures trivialize the  $w_2w_3$  nonperturbative global pure gravitational anomaly to zero (which helps to construct the anomalous 3+1d gapped  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  and gapless all-fermion U(1) gauge theories), but the Spin<sup>h</sup> and Spin $\times_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ Spin( $n \geq 3$ ) structures modify the  $w_2w_3$  into a nonperturbative global mixed gauge-gravitational anomaly, which helps to constrain Grand Unifications (e.g.,  $n = 10, 18$ ) or construct new models.

## CONTENTS

I. Introduction	2	IV. 4d Boundary of SU(2) or Other Spin( $n$ ) Internal Symmetric Theories	14
A. Notations and conventions	4	V. Acknowledgements	16
II. Branch-Independent Bosonic System and Generic lattice Bosonic System	4	A. Spacetime Complex with Branch Structure, Cochains, Higher Cup Product	17
A. Branch-Independent Bosonic (BIB) System	5	1. Spacetime complex and branch structure	17
B. Generic Lattice Bosonic (GLB) System	6	2. Chain, cochain, cycle, cocycle	17
C. Lattice Bosonic Systems with time reversal symmetry	7	3. Derivative operator on cochains	18
III. Boundaries of $w_2w_3$ invertible topological order	7	4. Cup product and higher cup product	18
A. The branch independence and background gauge invariance	7	5. Steenrod square and generalized Steenrod square	19
B. 4d $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -gauge boundary of the $w_2w_3$ topological order	8	6. Branch structure dependence	20
1. Effective boundary theory	8	7. Poincaré dual and pseudo-inverse of Poincaré dual	21
2. Trivialization picture	10	B. Comparison with Standard Mathematical Conventions and Stiefel-Whitney Class	22
3. A physical consequence of the fermion-carrying $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -flux worldsheet	12	C. Emergence of Half-Integer Spin and Fermi Statistics	22
C. 4d U(1) <sup>f</sup> -gauge boundary of the $w_2w_3$ topological order	12	D. Cobordism Group Data and Anomaly Classification	23
		1. Spacetime and gauge bundle constraint	23
		2. Cobordism invariants	24
		a. Anomalies in SU(2) vs SO(3): cobordism vs homotopy group	25
		3. Trivialization via group extension	25
		E. Oriented Bordism Groups and Manifold Generators	27

<sup>w<sub>1</sub></sup> wanzheyuan@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn

<sup>w<sub>2</sub></sup> jw@cmsa.fas.harvard.edu

<sup>w<sub>3</sub></sup> xgwen@mit.edu

F. Combinatorial Formula for Stiefel-Whitney Classes	27
G. Compute $w_2w_3$ on Real Milnor, Wu, and Dold Manifolds	28
H. Generalized Wu Relation	28
I. Pullback Construction of Branch-Independent Bosonic Models	29
J. Background vs Dynamical Gauge Transformations	30
K. $\mathbb{Z}_2$ topological order with emergent fermion and higher dimensional bosonization	31
References	32

## I. INTRODUCTION

Gapped quantum states of matter (or more precisely, gapped quantum liquids [1, 2]) with no symmetry can be divided into two classes [3]:

(1) States with no topological order. All those states belong to the trivial phase represented by tensor product states, with no entanglement or short-range entanglement.

(2) States with topological order [4, 5] (*i.e.* gapped states with long-range entanglement [6]).

In the presence of global symmetry that is not spontaneously broken, the above two classes can be further divided into some subclasses:

(1a) States with no topological order and no symmetry-protected topological (SPT) order, or synonymously, symmetry-protected trivial (SPT) order, with no entanglement or short-range entanglement.

(1b) States with no topological order but with nontrivial SPT order [7–19], with short-range entanglement.

(2a) States with both topological order and symmetry. Those states are said to have symmetry enriched topological (SET) orders [20–31], with long-range entanglement.

In this work, we aim to study those topological states of matter and their boundaries for bosonic systems. We realize that even bosonic systems without any symmetries can have many different types, such as bosonic systems on a spacetime lattice with imaginary time, bosonic systems in continuum spacetime with real or imaginary time, and bosonic systems defined via lattice Hamiltonian with real continuous time. Those different bosonic theories require different mathematical descriptions. In this work, we will only study bosonic systems on a spacetime lattice with imaginary time, that satisfy the reflection positivity. In fact, we will study a simpler problem – the so called invertible topological states of matter in the bulk, and their boundaries, with or without symmetry.

Stacking two topological states,  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2$ , give use a third topological state  $\mathcal{C}_3 = \mathcal{C}_1 \boxtimes \mathcal{C}_2$ . The stacking operation  $\boxtimes$  makes the set of topological states into a monoid. (A monoid is like a group except its elements may not have inverse.) If a topological state  $\mathcal{C}$  has an inverse




FIG. 1. A branch structure of a simplicial complex is given by assigning arrows to the links which do not form loops around every triangle. The branch structure gives rise to a local order of vertices for every simplex. Stiefel-Whitney cocycle a simplicial complex can be constructed after assigning a branch structure (see Appendix F, Fig. 5 and Fig. 6).

under the stacking operation  $\boxtimes$ , *i.e.* there exists a topological state  $\mathcal{D}$  such that  $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}$  is a trivial product state, then  $\mathcal{C}$  will be called an invertible topological state. It turns out that all SPT states are invertible. Only a small fraction of topologically ordered states and SET states are invertible. For example, a fermionic integer quantum Hall state is an invertible topologically ordered state (a fermionic invertible SET with  $U(1)$  symmetry). An anti-ferromagnetic spin-1 Haldane chain is a SPT state protected by spin rotation  $SO(3)$  or time reversal  $\mathbb{Z}_2^T$  symmetry, which is always invertible. (In contrast, an anti-ferromagnetic spin-2 chain, another Haldane phase, has trivial SPT order.)

Invertible topological states of bosonic systems are characterized by a simple class of invertible topological invariants [16, 32–38]. In this article, we will derive the possible boundary theory from those topological invariants, especially the 4+1d invertible topological order characterized by the Stiefel-Whitney  $w_2w_3$  topological invariant in 5d. There are some earlier works in this direction [34, 39–49] which construct boundary theories of the  $w_2w_3$  invertible topological order. In this work, we will present a more complete and systematic derivation.

**Branch-Independent Bosonic System vs Generic Lattice Bosonic System:** Even bosonic systems on a spacetime lattice can have different types. We find that in order to discuss invertible topological order, we need to introduce a concept of *branch-independent bosonic system* (the L-type system studied in Ref. 50 happens to be a branch-independent bosonic system, L for Lagrangian formulated on the spacetime lattice), whose partition function computed from path integral is independent of the branch structures (or branching structures) of spacetime lattice (*i.e.* spacetime simplicial complex), even for spacetime with boundaries. Here a branch structure is a local ordering of the vertices for each simplex (see Fig. 1 and Appendix A). *Generic lattice bosonic systems* do not have this requirement, and their path integrals may depend on the branch structures of spacetime complex.

Branch-independence is a property of lattice bosonic systems. It appears that such a lattice property is related to frame-independence, a property of continuum

field theory. An orthonormal frame of a  $d$ -dimensional manifold is a set of  $d$  vector fields, which is orthonormal at every point respect to the metrics tensor of the manifold. We will abbreviate orthonormal frame as frame (which is also called the vielbein). After assigning a frame to a manifold, we can define an  $SO(d)$  connection to describe the curvature. Continuum field theory explicitly depends on the  $SO(d)$  connection and the frame. Thus the partition function of the continuum field theory may depend on the frame of the spacetime manifold. If the partition function does depend on the frame, we say that the theory has a *framing anomaly* [4]. Otherwise, the theory is *free of framing anomaly*.

In Ref. 51, 2+1d generic lattice bosonic systems are constructed to realize topological orders with any integral chiral central charge and the corresponding gravitational Chern-Simons term. Since the central charge is not 0 mod 24, those models contain framing anomaly and are not frame-independent. This implies that the partition function of generic lattice bosonic systems may depend on the frames of the spacetime manifold in the continuum limit. This example and the above discussions suggest a close relation between the branch structure on a lattice and the frame structure on a continuum manifold.<sup>1</sup>

We conjecture that the independence of the branch structure of spacetime complex for lattice models implies the independence of frame structure of spacetime manifold in the continuum limit. Under such a conjecture, the branch-independent bosonic systems on lattice only give rise to continuum effective field theories that are free of framing anomaly. As a result, a 2+1d branch-independent bosonic system can only realize topological orders with chiral central charge  $c = 0 \text{ mod } 24$ , where the 2+1d invertible topological orders is generated by three copies of  $E_8$  quantum Hall states (say  $E_8^3$  topological order). Indeed, using  $SO(\infty)$  non-linear  $\sigma$ -model, Ref. 50 constructed a branch-independent bosonic model that realize the  $E_8^3$  topological order with  $c = 24$ . For more details, see Appendix I.

In this work, we find that the 4+1d invertible topological order characterized by the Stiefel-Whitney class  $w_2w_3$  is nontrivial for branch-independent bosonic systems. On the other hand, The  $w_2w_3$  topological order and trivial tensor product states belong to the same phase for generic lattice bosonic systems. This implies that we cannot use the oriented cobordism (*i.e.* manifolds with special orthogonal group  $SO$  structures) [16, 34–36] to classify invertible topological orders for the generic lattice bosonic systems (where the lattice means the spacetime lattice).

Can we use oriented cobordism to classify invertible topological orders for branch-independent bosonic systems? The oriented cobordism suggests a  $\mathbb{Z}$  class of 2+1d invertible bosonic topological orders, generated by the  $E_8$  topological order.<sup>2</sup> However, currently, we do not have a realization of  $E_8$  topological order using branch-independent bosonic systems. We only know a branch-dependent bosonic model that realizes the  $E_8$  topological order [51], and a branch-independent bosonic model that realizes the  $E_8^3$  topological order [50]. Thus it is not clear if oriented cobordism classifies invertible topological orders for the branch-independent bosonic systems or not. In this article, we will concentrate on branch-independent lattice bosonic systems.

The boundary theories of bulk invertible topological orders with no symmetry belong to a special class: they are theories with gravitational anomalies. In fact, the gravitational anomalies in field theories are classified by invertible topological orders in one higher dimension [35, 56]. From this point of view, we study various anomalous theories with a given gravitational anomaly on the 3+1d boundary of 4+1d  $w_2w_3$  topological order. We shall call this gravitational anomaly as the  $w_2w_3$  anomaly, which is a *nonperturbative global gravitational anomaly*.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> **Branch structure on a discretized lattice vs Frame structure on a smooth manifold that trivializes any Stiefel-Whitney classes:** A framing of a  $d$ -dimensional manifold of  $M$  is a choice of trivialization of its tangent bundle, hence a choice of a section of the corresponding frame bundle. If there exists a framing on the tangent bundle  $TM$ , then there exist  $d$  linearly independent sections of the tangent bundle. If the  $i$ -th Stiefel-Whitney class  $w_i(TM) \neq 0$ , then there can not exist  $d - i + 1$  linearly independent sections of the tangent bundle. So  $w_i(TM) = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d$ . Thus,  
 (1) The tangential frame structure on a smooth manifold trivializes any Stiefel-Whitney classes.  
 (2) We will later suggest that a branch structure on a discretized lattice or on a simplicial complex also trivializes Stiefel-Whitney classes.  
 (3) Thus, our work suggests a possible relation: a branch structure on a discretized lattice may be related to the frame structure on a smooth manifold that trivializes any Stiefel-Whitney classes.

<sup>2</sup> The 2+1d Abelian bosonic topological orders are classified (in a many-to-one fashion) by symmetric integral matrices with even diagonals [52], which are called  $K$ -matrices. Those topological orders are realized by  $K$ -matrix quantum Hall wavefunctions  $\Psi(z_i^I) = \prod_{i < j; I, J} (z_i^I - z_j^J)^{K_{IJ}} e^{-\frac{1}{4} \sum |z_i^I|^2}$  and are described by  $K$ -matrix  $U(1)$ -Chern-Simons theories  $\frac{K_{IJ}}{4\pi} a_I da_J$ . The  $K$ -matrices with  $|\det(K)| = 1$  classify invertible topological orders. Here the  $E_8$  topological order is an invertible topological order described by a  $K$ -matrix given by the Cartan matrix of  $E_8$ , denoted as  $K_{E_8}$ . The 1+1d boundary carries the chiral central charge  $c = 8$  [53]. In contrast, the  $E_8^3$  topological order is described by a  $K$ -matrix  $K = K_{E_8} \oplus K_{E_8} \oplus K_{E_8}$  and has its boundary carrying the chiral central charge  $c = 24$ . It was suggested that the  $\mathbb{Z}$  class of the oriented cobordism is generated by the  $E_8$  topological order, see for example, Freed's work [54] or Freed-Hopkins [16]. See Section 7 of [55] for an elaborated interpretation of the related cobordism invariants.

<sup>3</sup> Perturbative local anomalies are detectable via infinitesimal gauge/diffeomorphism transformations continuously deformable from the identity, captured by perturbative Feynman diagrams [57]. Nonperturbative global anomalies are detectable via large gauge/diffeomorphism transformations that cannot be continuously deformed from the identity [58].

For example, it is known that the 4+1d invertible topological order has a gapped 3+1d boundary described by a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge theory with the  $w_2w_3$  anomaly, where the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge charge is fermionic. However, the fermionic  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge charge does not fully characterize the gravitational anomaly. In particular, there is also an anomaly-free 3+1d  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge theory with a fermionic  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge charge, *i.e.* there is a 3+1d lattice bosonic system that can realize a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge theory with a fermionic  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge charge [59]. In this work, we show that the 2d worldsheet of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge flux in the spacetime must carry a non-contractable 1d fermionic orientation-reversal worldline if the 2d worldsheet is unorientable. This 1d fermionic orientation-reversal worldline with neutral gauge charge is however distinct from the fermionic worldline of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge charge. This crucial property, together with the fermionic  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge charge, characterizes the gravitational anomaly.

The above result about the fermion worldline on unorientable worldsheet of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  flux line was first obtained in Section 3.3 of Ref. 44. In this paper we give a different derivation of the result using a path integral formulation on a spacetime lattice. This result was also obtained recently in Ref. 48 using Hamiltonian formulation on spatial lattice. The “fermionic” nature of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  flux line can also be characterized by the statistical hopping algebra for strings [49], a generalization of the statistical hopping algebra for particles [59].

### A. Notations and conventions

We denote the  $n'$ d for the spacetime dimensions to be  $n' = (n + 1)$  with an  $n$  dimensional space and a 1 dimensional time. Typically, in this article, the dimension always refer to the spacetime dimension altogether. We may simply call the 0d  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge charge as  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  charge, whose spacetime trajectory is a 1d worldline. We may simply call the 1d  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge flux loop as  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  flux, which can be a 1d loop which bounds a 2d surface enclosing gauge flux, whose spacetime trajectory is a 2d worldsheet.

In this work, we use a lot of formalisms of chain and cochain, as well as the associated derivative cup product, Steenrod square, etc. A brief coverage of those topic can found in Appendix A. The  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  values are chosen to be  $\{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$ . In this article, we always use this set to extend  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  values to  $\mathbb{Z}$  values, and treat  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -valued quantities as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued quantities. To help to express  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -valued relation using  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued quantities, we denote  $\stackrel{n}{=}$  to mean equal up to a multiple of  $n$  (thus it is a mod  $n$  relation: two sides of the equality are equal mod  $n$ ), and use  $\stackrel{d}{=}$  to mean equal up to a coboundary  $df$  (*i.e.* with the coboundary operator  $d$ ).

We denote the Lorentz group as SO (for bosonic systems) and Spin (for fermionic systems graded by the fermion parity  $\mathbb{Z}_2^f$ ). In  $n + 1$ d spacetime, the SO really means the  $SO(n + 1)$  for the Euclidean rotational

symmetry group and the  $SO(n, 1)$  for the Lorentz rotational + boost symmetry group; the Spin really means the  $Spin(n + 1)$  for the Euclidean rotational symmetry group and the  $Spin(n, 1)$  for the Lorentz rotational + boost symmetry group. We denote  $\stackrel{n, d}{=}$  to mean equal up to a mod  $n$  relation and also equal up to a coboundary  $df$ . We will use the group  $N \rtimes_{e_2, \alpha} G$  to describe the extension of a group  $G$  by an abelian group  $N$  via

$$1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow N \rtimes_{e_2, \alpha} G \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1,$$

which is characterized by  $e_2 \in H^2(G; N)$  of the second cohomology class, where  $N$  is an abelian group with a  $G$ -action via  $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}[N]$ . We will also use  $G_1 \times_N G_2 \equiv \frac{G_1 \times G_2}{N}$  to define as the product group of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  modding out their common normal subgroup  $N$ . Other mathematical conventions and definitions (such as Stiefel-Whitney class) can be found in Appendix B. We provide many appendices on the toolkits of co/chain, co/cycle, cohomology, characteristic class, and cobordism.

*Dynamical gauge fields* are associated with the gauge connections of gauge bundles that are summed over in the path integral (or partition function). *Background gauge fields* are associated with the non-dynamical gauge connections of gauge bundles that are fixed, not summed over in the path integral. We will distinguish their gauge transformations: for dynamical fields as *dynamical gauge transformations*, for background fields as *background gauge transformations*, see Appendix J.

In this work, the *anomalous gauge theory* merely means its partition function alone is only *non-invariant* under *background gauge transformations* (but still *invariant* under *dynamical gauge transformations*) — namely, the *anomalous gauge theory* with only 't Hooft anomaly of the global symmetry [60] can still be *well-defined* on the boundary of one-higher dimensional invertible topological phase. The cancellation of *background gauge transformations* between the bulk and boundary theories are known as the anomaly inflow [61].

## II. BRANCH-INDEPENDENT BOSONIC SYSTEM AND GENERIC LATTICE BOSONIC SYSTEM

In this work, we are going to use cochains on a spacetime simplicial complex as bosonic fields. In order to construct the action  $S$  in the path integral, using local Lagrangian term on each simplex, it is important to give the vertices of each simplex a local order. A local scheme to order the vertices is given by a branch structure [10, 62, 63]. A branch structure is a choice of orientation of each link in the complex so that there is no oriented loop on any triangle (see Fig. 1). Relative to a base branch structure, all other branch structure can be described by a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued 1-cochain  $s$  (see Appendix A 6). After assigning a branch structure to the spacetime complex, we can define cup product  $\smile$  of cochains



that depend on the branch structure  $s$ . For the base branch structure  $s = 0$ , we abbreviate  $\smile$  by  $\smile$ .

We find that for two cocycles,  $f$  and  $g$ ,  $f \smile g - f \smile g$  is coboundary, that depends on  $s, f, g$ . Let us use  $d\nu(s, f, g)$  to denote such a coboundary (for details, see Appendix A 6):

$$f \smile g + d\nu(s, f, g) = f \smile g. \quad (1)$$

Using derivative and cup product of the cochains, we can construct a local action  $S$ . So, in general, the action amplitude,  $e^{-S}$ , may depend on the choices of the branch structures.

### A. Branch-Independent Bosonic (BIB) System

Now we are ready to define the *branch-independent bosonic system*: A branch-independent bosonic system is defined by a path integral on a branch spacetime simplicial complex, such that the value of the path integral is independent of the choices of branch structures, even when the spacetime has boundaries.

Let us give an example of branch-independent bosonic system. The bosonic system has two fields: a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -valued 1-cochain field  $a^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$  and a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -valued 2-cochain field  $b^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ , which give rise to the following partition function

$$Z = \sum_{a^{\mathbb{Z}_2}, b^{\mathbb{Z}_2}} e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} (w_2^s + da^{\mathbb{Z}_2}) \smile (w_3^s + db^{\mathbb{Z}_2})} e^{i\pi \int_{\partial M^5} \nu(s, w_2^s + da^{\mathbb{Z}_2}, w_3^s + db^{\mathbb{Z}_2})}, \quad (2)$$

where  $\sum_{a^{\mathbb{Z}_2}, b^{\mathbb{Z}_2}}$  sums over all the cochain fields. The summation  $\sum_{a^{\mathbb{Z}_2}, b^{\mathbb{Z}_2}}$  in the path integral is known as a summation of **degrees of freedom**. Here, the  $w_n^s$  is the  $n^{\text{th}}$  Stiefel-Whitney cocycle computed from a simplicial complex  $M^5$  with a branch structure  $s$ , as described in Ref. 64 and in Appendix F. The cocycle  $w_n^s$  is a representation of the Stiefel-Whitney class  $w_n(TM)$  of the tangent bundle  $(TM)$  of the spacetime manifold  $M$ .<sup>4</sup> We omit the normalization factor here in the partition function (2).

<sup>4</sup> Here we treat  $w_n$  as the Stiefel-Whitney cocycle. In contrast, the mathematical definition of Stiefel-Whitney class as cohomology class and characteristic class  $w_n$  is given in Appendix B. Because the cohomology class is also a cocycle, so we may also abuse the notation to write Stiefel-Whitney class  $w_n$  in terms of cocycle  $w_n$ . A cohomology class is an equivalence class that has many representatives; any elements in the equivalence class are representatives. The  $w_n$  is Stiefel-Whitney class, also it can be referred to as Stiefel-Whitney cocycle when we choose a representative  $w_n$ ; the  $w_n$  is a Stiefel-Whitney number only when  $n$  is the top spacetime dimension.

The Stiefel-Whitney product cocycle  $w_2 w_3$  is a representative of the cup product of two Stiefel-Whitney classes ( $w_2$  and  $w_3$ ). When the  $w_2 w_3$  paired with the fundamental class, as  $\int_{M^5} w_2 w_3$ , is called the Stiefel-Whitney number.

Let  $w_n$  be the Stiefel-Whitney cocycle for the base branch structure:  $w_n \equiv w_n^{s=0}$ . In general,  $w_n^s$  depends on the branch structure  $s$  on  $M^5$ . However,  $w_n^s - w_n$  is a coboundary:

$$w_n^s = w_n + dv_{n-1}(s). \quad (3)$$

We can show that (2) is independent of branch structure  $s$ . First, from the definition of  $\nu$ , with a bulk  $M^5$  but without any boundary  $\partial M^5$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \sum_{a^{\mathbb{Z}_2}, b^{\mathbb{Z}_2}} e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} (w_2^s + da^{\mathbb{Z}_2}) \smile (w_3^s + db^{\mathbb{Z}_2})} \\ &= \sum_{a^{\mathbb{Z}_2}, b^{\mathbb{Z}_2}} e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} (w_2^s + da^{\mathbb{Z}_2})(w_3^s + db^{\mathbb{Z}_2})}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

In this article, we abbreviate the cup product for the base branch structure,  $f \smile g$ , as  $fg$ . From the relation between  $w_n^s$  and  $w_n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \sum_{a^{\mathbb{Z}_2}, b^{\mathbb{Z}_2}} e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} (w_2 + dv_1(s) + da^{\mathbb{Z}_2})(w_3 + dv_2(s) + db^{\mathbb{Z}_2})} \\ &= \sum_{a^{\mathbb{Z}_2}, b^{\mathbb{Z}_2}} e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} (w_2 + da^{\mathbb{Z}_2})(w_3 + db^{\mathbb{Z}_2})}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

We see that the partition function (2) is indeed independent of branch structure.

The partition function for a generic bosonic system on a spacetime complex  $M$  in a quantum liquid phase has a form

$$Z(M) = e^{-S^{\text{eff}}} Z^{\text{top}}(M), \quad (6)$$

in a thermodynamic limit, where  $S^{\text{eff}} = \int_M$  energy-density is the non-universal volume part, and  $Z^{\text{top}}(M)$  is the universal topological partition function (*i.e.* the topological invariant) that characterizes the topological order. (The topological partition function  $Z^{\text{top}}$  and its isolation are discussed in much more details in Ref. 35, 65, and 66.) For a branch-independent bosonic system, the topological invariant  $Z^{\text{top}}(M)$  does not depend on the branch structures on  $M$ , and possibly, nor the framing of the spacetime manifold in continuum limit. This leads to the conjecture that the topological invariant  $Z^{\text{top}}(M)$  is a cobordism invariant [16, 34, 35] for invertible topological orders in the branch-independent bosonic systems.

The above example (2) is exactly solvable when  $M^5$  has no boundary, since the partition function can be calculated exactly

$$Z = 2^{N_l + N_f} e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} w_2 w_3} \quad (7)$$

where  $N_l$  is the number of links (namely 1-simplices that can be paired with  $a^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ ) and  $N_f$  is the number of faces (namely 2-simplices that can be paired with  $b^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ ) in  $M^5$ . Thus the summation  $\sum_{a^{\mathbb{Z}_2}, b^{\mathbb{Z}_2}}$  gives a  $2^{N_l + N_f}$  factor. After dropping the non-universal volume term

$e^{-S^{\text{eff}}} = 2^{N_t + N_f}$ , we see that the topological partition function is given by

$$Z^{\text{top}}(M^5) = e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} w_2 w_3}. \quad (8)$$

The nontrivial topological invariant  $e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} w_2 w_3}$  suggests that the bosonic model (2) realizes a nontrivial topological order. The topological order is invertible since the topological invariant is a phase factor for any closed spacetime  $M^5$ . We will refer such an invertible topological order as the  $w_2 w_3$ -topological order.

### B. Generic Lattice Bosonic (GLB) System

We also like to define a concept of *generic lattice bosonic system*, as a bosonic system on a spacetime simplicial complex, whose path integral may or may not depend on the branch structures. Indeed, when we define an generic lattice bosonic system, the local branch structure of spacetime complex is given, and the definition may depend on the choices of the local branch structure.

Let us give an example of a generic lattice bosonic system, that happens to have “no degrees of freedom.” Here “no degrees of freedom” means that there is only one term in the path integral, and also means that there is only one state  $|0\rangle_j$  per single site  $j$ . By definition, the ground state of such a system is a *trivial tensor product state*  $\otimes_j |0\rangle_j$  (or, simply, a *product state*). Since the generic lattice bosonic system may have an action that depend on the branch structure on the spacetime complex  $M^5$ , we can choose the action amplitude to be

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-S} &= e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} w_2^s \smile w_3^s} e^{i\pi \int_{\partial M^5} \nu(s, w_2^s, w_3^s)} \\ &= e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} w_2^s \smile w_3^s} = e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} w_2^s w_3^s}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

This is possible since a branch simplicial complex fully determines the cup product and the cocycles  $w_n^s$  that represents Stiefel-Whitney cohomology classes [18, 67]. The  $w_n^s$  depends on the branch structure and different choices of branch structures  $s$  can only change  $w_n^s$  by a coboundary. Thus, when  $M^5$  has boundaries, the action amplitude  $e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} w_2^s w_3^s}$  will depend on the branch structure. So such an action amplitude is only allowed by generic lattice bosonic systems.

Since there is no degrees of freedom, the path integral is only a summation of one term and the topological partition function is given by the action amplitude

$$Z^{\text{top}}(M^5) = e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} w_2^s w_3^s}. \quad (10)$$

This implies that

In **generic lattice bosonic systems** (GLB), a cobordism invariant  $e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} w_2 w_3}$  can be realized by a system with no degrees of freedom, and corresponds to a trivial tensor product state with no SPT nor topological order. Here “no degrees of freedom” means that there is only one term in the path integral, and also means that there is only one state  $|0\rangle_j$  per single site  $j$ . So the Hilbert space only contains a single tensor product state  $\otimes_j |0\rangle_j$  for all sites.

In contrast,

In **branch-independent bosonic systems** (BIB), a cobordism invariant  $e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} w_2 w_3}$  cannot be realized by a system with no degrees of freedom, and thus corresponds to a nontrivial invertible topological order.

In other words, we can smoothly deform the topological order characterized by  $e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} w_2 w_3}$  into a trivial product state in the parameter space (or landscape) of generic lattice bosonic systems, but such a smooth deformation path does not exist in the parameter space (or landscape) of branch-independent bosonic systems. The smooth deformation is defined as the continuous and differentiable tuning of any coupling strength of Lagrangian/Hamiltonian terms in the parameter space, that do not cause any phase transitions.

To construct the above mentioned smooth deformation in the parameter space of generic lattice bosonic systems, let us consider the following generic lattice bosonic system

$$Z = \sum_{a^{Z_2}, b^{Z_2}} e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} (w_2 + da^{Z_2})(w_3 + db^{Z_2})} e^{-U \int_{M^5} |a^{Z_2}|^2 + |b^{Z_2}|^2}, \quad (11)$$

where

$$\int_{M^5} |a^{Z_2}|^2 \equiv \sum_{(ij)} |a_{ij}^{Z_2}|^2, \quad \int_{M^5} |b^{Z_2}|^2 \equiv \sum_{(ijk)} |b_{ijk}^{Z_2}|^2. \quad (12)$$

Changing  $U$  leads to the smooth deformation. When  $U = 0$ , the path integral (11) is (2). In the limit  $U \rightarrow \infty$ , the path integral (11) becomes (10). The path integral (11) is still exactly solvable when  $M^5$  has no boundaries

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \sum_{a^{Z_2}, b^{Z_2}} e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} (w_2 + da^{Z_2})(w_3 + db^{Z_2})} e^{-U \int_{M^5} |a^{Z_2}|^2 + |b^{Z_2}|^2} \\ &= (1 + e^{-U})^{N_t + N_f} e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} w_2 w_3}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

The system is gapped, namely, with a short-range correlation for any values of  $U$ . So the deformation from  $U = 0$  to  $U = +\infty$  is a smooth deformation that does *not* cause any phase transition. This is why a  $w_2 w_3$  topological order and a product state belong to the same phase for generic lattice bosonic systems.

From the above discussion, it is clear that invertible topological orders have different classifications for branch-independent bosonic systems and for generic lattice bosonic systems. However, the two kinds of invertible topological orders are still related. Let TP denotes a classification of “Topological Phases” following the notation of Freed-Hopkins [16]. Let  $\text{TP}_d(\text{BIB})$  be the Abelian group that classifies the topological phases invertible topological orders for branch-independent bosonic (BIB) systems. Let  $\text{TP}_d(\text{GLB})$  be the Abelian group that classifies invertible topological orders for generic lattice bosonic (GLB) systems. Since invertible topological orders for branch-independent bosonic systems can also be viewed as invertible topological orders for generic lattice bosonic systems, we have a group homomorphism

$$\text{TP}_d(\text{BIB}) \xrightarrow{p_d} \text{TP}_d(\text{GLB}). \quad (14)$$

Since invertible topological order characterized by Stiefel-Whitney classes all become trivial for generic lattice bosonic systems, the map  $p_d$  sends all the Stiefel-Whitney class to zero in the topological invariant. The map  $p_d$  is not injective. The map  $p_d$  may also not be surjective. So it may only tell us a subset of invertible topological orders for generic lattice bosonic systems. In the rest of this article, we will mainly concentrate on branch-independent bosonic systems and its  $w_2w_3$  invertible topological order.

### C. Lattice Bosonic Systems with time reversal symmetry

The above discussion can be easily generalized to bosonic systems with time reversal symmetry, defined via path integrals on a spacetime lattice with a real action amplitude  $e^{-S}$ . In order words, we restrict ourselves to consider only the real action amplitudes  $e^{-S}$ , as a way to implement time reversal symmetry,

The simplest bosonic invertible topological order with time reversal symmetry (or time-reversal SPT order) appears in 2d and is characterized by the topological invariant  $(-)^{\int_{M^2} w_1^2}$  on a closed spacetime  $M^2$ . A branch-independent bosonic model that realizes the SPT order is given by

$$Z = \sum_{g^{\mathbb{Z}_2}} (-)^{\int_{M^2} (w_1 + dg^{\mathbb{Z}_2})^2} \quad (15)$$

where  $\sum_{g^{\mathbb{Z}_2}}$  sums over all  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -valued 0-cochains  $g^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$  and the spacetime  $M^2$  can have boundaries. The branch-independence is ensured by the invariance of the action amplitude  $(-)^{\int_{M^2} (w_1 + dg^{\mathbb{Z}_2})^2}$  under the following transformation

$$w_1 \rightarrow w_1 + dv_0, \quad g^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \rightarrow g^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + v_0, \quad (16)$$

even when  $M^2$  has boundaries.

We also have a generic lattice bosonic model given by

$$Z = \sum_{g^{\mathbb{Z}_2}} (-)^{\int_{M^2} (w_1 + dg^{\mathbb{Z}_2})^2} e^{-U \int_{M^2} |g^{\mathbb{Z}_2}|^2}. \quad (17)$$

The model has the time-reversal symmetry since the action amplitude is real. The model is exactly solvable when  $M^2$  has no boundaries, and has the following partition function.

$$Z = (1 + e^{-U})^{N_v} (-)^{\int_{M^2} w_1^2} \quad (18)$$

where  $N_v$  is the number of the vertices in the spacetime complex  $M^2$ . Since the partition function only depends on the area of spacetime  $M^2$ , but not depends on the shape of spacetime  $M^2$ , the model (17) is gapped (*i.e.* has short range correlations) for any values of  $U$ . There is no phase transtion as we change  $U$ .

When  $U = 0$ , the model (17) becomes (15) and realize the time-reversal SPT order characterized by topological invariant  $(-)^{\int_{M^2} w_1^2}$ . When  $U = \infty$ , the model (17) becomes a model with no degrees of freedom which must correspond to a trivial tensor product state. We see that, for generic lattice bosonic models with time reversal symmetry, the time-reversal SPT order characterized by topological invariant  $(-)^{\int_{M^2} w_1^2}$  and the trivial tensor product state belong to the same phase. This result suggests that the bosonic invertible topological orders with time-reversal symmetry (*i.e.* with real action amplitudes) for generic lattice bosonic systems are not classified by unoriented cobordism (*i.e.* manifolds with orthogonal group O structures).

## III. BOUNDARIES OF $w_2w_3$ INVERTIBLE TOPOLOGICAL ORDER

### A. The branch independence and background gauge invariance

In the last section, we studied a 5d branch-independent bosonic model on spacetime complex  $M^5$  defined by the path integral (2). That path integral can be simplified by using the base branch structure to define the cup product:

$$Z = \sum_{a^{\mathbb{Z}_2}, b^{\mathbb{Z}_2}} e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} (w_2^s + da^{\mathbb{Z}_2})(w_3^s + db^{\mathbb{Z}_2})}. \quad (19)$$

When  $M^5$  is a closed manifold with no boundary, the above path integral gives rise to a topological invariant (also known as a cobordism invariant)  $e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} w_2 w_3}$ . In this section, we consider the case when  $M^5$  has a boundary. We shall obtain the possible boundary theories for the  $w_2w_3$ -topological order.

One might guess that, when  $M^5$  has a boundary, the partition function is still given by  $Z(M^5) = e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} w_2 w_3}$ . If this was true, we could conclude that the boundary is gapped, since the partition function  $Z(M^5) = e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} w_2 w_3}$  does not depend on the metrics on the

boundary  $B^4 \equiv \partial M^5$ . (A gapless system must have a partition function that depends on the metrics (*i.e.* the shape and size) of the spacetime.)

Such a gapped boundary is possible for generic lattice bosonic systems, but it is impossible for the branch-independent bosonic model (19). This is because the partition function in (19) is independent of the branch structure on  $M^5$  even when  $M^5$  has boundaries, while our guess  $Z(M^5) = e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} w_2^s w_3^s}$  depends on the branch structure, since

$$w_2^s = w_2 + dv_1(s), \quad w_3^s = w_3 + dv_2(s). \quad (20)$$

Thus  $e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} w_2^s w_3^s}$  cannot be the partition function of (19) which must be independent of the branch structure.

Due to (20), we see that we can encode the branch structure independence, via the invariance under the following transformation

$$w_2 \rightarrow w_2 + dv_1, \quad w_3 \rightarrow w_3 + dv_2. \quad (21)$$

Thus the branch independence of (19) can be rephrased as the invariance of the following path integral

$$Z = \sum_{a^{Z_2}, b^{Z_2}} e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} (w_2 + da^{Z_2})(w_3 + db^{Z_2})}. \quad (22)$$

under the above transformation (21), even for spacetime  $M^5$  with boundaries. We refer to (21) as a “background gauge transformation,” which is a change in the parameters in the Lagrangian rather than a change in the dynamical fields in the Lagrangian. See the comparison between “background gauge transformation” and “dynamical gauge transformation” in Appendix J.

In the following, we will use the background gauge invariance under (21) to ensure the independence of branch structures. For the branch-independent bosonic model (22), the boundary must be non-trivial. We may assume the boundary to be described by

$$Z(M^5) = \sum_{\phi} e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} w_2 w_3 - \int_{\partial M^5} \mathcal{L}_{\text{bdry}}(\phi, w_2, w_3)}. \quad (23)$$

The boundary Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{bdry}}$  is not invariant under the background gauge transformation (21), which cancels the non-invariance of  $w_2 w_3$  in  $e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} w_2 w_3}$  when  $M^5$  has boundaries. This cancellation of non-invariances of the bulk and boundary theories is actually the idea of anomaly inflow [61].

### B. 4d $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -gauge boundary of the $w_2 w_3$ topological order

In this section, we are going to explore the possibility that the boundary Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{bdry}}$  describes a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge theory, more precisely the dynamical Spin structure summed over in the path integral.

#### 1. Effective boundary theory

A 4d  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge theory can be described by  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -valued 1-cochain  $a^{Z_2}$  and 2-cochain  $b^{Z_2}$  fields (for example, see [65, 68, 69]) with the following path integral

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \sum_{a^{Z_2}, b^{Z_2}} e^{i\pi \int_{B^4} a^{Z_2} \smile db^{Z_2}} e^{i\pi \int_{\partial B^4} \nu(s, a^{Z_2}, db^{Z_2})} \quad (24) \\ &= \sum_{a^{Z_2}, b^{Z_2}} e^{i\pi \int_{B^4} a^{Z_2} \smile db^{Z_2}} = \sum_{a^{Z_2}, b^{Z_2}} e^{i\pi \int_{B^4} a^{Z_2} db^{Z_2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sum_{a^{Z_2}, b^{Z_2}}$  is a summation over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -valued 1-cochains  $a^{Z_2}$  and 2-cochains  $b^{Z_2}$  on  $B^4$ . But such a theory is invariant under the background gauge transformation (21), and cannot cancel the non-invariant of  $e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} w_2 w_3}$ .

We can add coupling to  $w_2$  and  $w_3$  to fix this problem and obtain the following boundary theory (with the bulk topological invariant included)

$$\begin{aligned} Z(M^5, B^4 = \partial M^5) & \quad (25) \\ &= \sum_{a^{Z_2}, b^{Z_2} \text{ on } B^4} e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} w_2 w_3} e^{i\pi \int_{B^4} a^{Z_2} db^{Z_2} + a^{Z_2} w_3 + w_2 b^{Z_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, such a partition function is independent of the branch structure, since it is invariant under the background gauge transformation (21). To see this point, we note that the change in  $w_2$  and  $w_3$  can be absorbed by  $a^{Z_2}$  and  $b^{Z_2}$ . In other words, the action amplitude  $e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} w_2 w_3} e^{i\pi \int_{\partial M^5} a^{Z_2} db^{Z_2} + a^{Z_2} w_3 + w_2 b^{Z_2}}$  is invariant under the following generalized transformation

$$\begin{aligned} a^{Z_2} &\rightarrow a^{Z_2} + v_1, & b^{Z_2} &\rightarrow b^{Z_2} + v_2 \\ w_2 &\rightarrow w_2 + dv_1, & w_3 &\rightarrow w_3 + dv_2. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

So (25) is a boundary theory of the branch-independent bosonic theory (22).

In fact we can obtain (25) directly from (22) by assuming  $M^5$  to have boundaries and not adding anything on the boundaries:

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \sum_{a^{Z_2}, b^{Z_2} \text{ on } M^5} e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} (w_2 + da^{Z_2})(w_3 + db^{Z_2})} \quad (27) \\ &= 2^{N_i^b + N_f^b} \sum_{a^{Z_2}, b^{Z_2} \text{ on } B^4} e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} w_2 w_3} e^{i\pi \int_{B^4} a^{Z_2} db^{Z_2} + a^{Z_2} w_3 + w_2 b^{Z_2}} \end{aligned}$$

where  $N_i^b$  and  $N_f^b$  are the number of links and faces in  $M^5$  that are not on the boundary  $\partial M^5$ .

Both (24) and (25) describe some kinds of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge theories. However, the two  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge theory is very different. Eqn. (24) is anomaly-free and can be realized by a branch-independent bosonic model in 4d. In fact (24) itself is a branch-independent bosonic model in 4d that realize the anomaly-free  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge theory. On the other hand, (25) has an invertible gravitational anomaly. It can only be realized as a boundary of 5d invertible topological order. In our example, (22) is a branch-independent



bosonic model that realizes the 5d invertible topological order, and (25) is a boundary theory of the 5d model (22).

Due to different gravitational anomalies, the two  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge theories (24) and (25) have different properties. In the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge theory (24), the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge charge is a bosonic particle and the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge flux line behave like a bosonic string. On the other hand, in the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge theory (25), the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge charge is a fermionic particle and the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge flux line has certain fermionic nature.

To see the above result, we include the worldline of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge charge and worldsheet of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge flux into the boundary theory (25):

$$\sum_{a^{\mathbb{Z}_2}, b^{\mathbb{Z}_2}} e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} w_2 w_3} e^{i\pi \int_{B^4} a^{\mathbb{Z}_2} db^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + a^{\mathbb{Z}_2} w_3 + w_2 b^{\mathbb{Z}_2}} e^{i\pi \int_{B^4} l_3 a^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + s_2 b^{\mathbb{Z}_2}} \quad (28)$$

where  $l_3$  and  $s_2$  are  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -valued 3- and 2-cocycles which correspond to the Poincaré dual of the worldline and the worldsheet. But the above action with the worldlines and worldsheets is not invariant under the transformation (26), and thus is not a correct boundary theory for branch-independent bosonic systems. We may fix this problem by considering the following modified boundary theory

$$\sum_{a^{\mathbb{Z}_2}, b^{\mathbb{Z}_2}} e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} w_2 w_3} e^{i\pi \int_{B^4} a^{\mathbb{Z}_2} db^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + a^{\mathbb{Z}_2} w_3 + b^{\mathbb{Z}_2} w_2} e^{i\pi \int_{B^4} l_3 a^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + s_2 b^{\mathbb{Z}_2}} e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} l_3 w_2 + s_2 w_3}. \quad (29)$$

In the above, we have assumed that  $l_3$  and  $s_2$  on the boundary  $B^4$  can be extended to the bulk  $M^5$  as cocycles. The invariance of the above path integral under transformation (26) can be checked directly.

But the above expression also has a problem: it depends on how we extend  $l_3$  and  $s_2$  on the boundary  $B^4$  to the bulk  $M^5$ . To fix this problem, we propose the path integral

$$Z = \sum_{a^{\mathbb{Z}_2}, b^{\mathbb{Z}_2}} e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} w_2 w_3} e^{i\pi \int_{B^4} a^{\mathbb{Z}_2} db^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + a^{\mathbb{Z}_2} w_3 + w_2 b^{\mathbb{Z}_2}} e^{i\pi \int_{B^4} l_3 a^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + s_2 b^{\mathbb{Z}_2}} e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} \text{Sq}^2 l_3 + l_3 w_2 + \text{Sq}^2 \beta_2 s_2 + s_2 w_3}, \quad (30)$$

that is fully invariant under the transformations in (26). Here  $\text{Sq}$  is the Steenrod square (A20) and  $\beta_2$  is the generalized Bockstein homomorphism (A9) that act on cocycles (see Appendix A for details).

Let us first show that the term  $e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} \text{Sq}^2 l_3 + l_3 w_2 + \text{Sq}^2 \beta_2 s_2 + s_2 w_3}$  only depends on the fields on the boundary  $B^4 = \partial M^5$ , so that the above path integral is well-defined. To do so, we note that, according to Wu relation for a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -valued cocycle  $x_{d-n}$  in the top  $d$ -dimension on the complex  $M^d$ :

$$\text{Sq}^n x_{d-n} \stackrel{2, d}{=} u_n x_{d-n}, \quad (31)$$

where  $u_n$  is Wu class:

$$\begin{aligned} u_0 &\stackrel{2, d}{=} 1, & u_1 &\stackrel{2, d}{=} w_1, & u_2 &\stackrel{2, d}{=} w_1^2 + w_2, \\ u_3 &\stackrel{2, d}{=} w_1 w_2, & u_4 &\stackrel{2, d}{=} w_1^4 + w_2^2 + w_1 w_3 + w_4, \\ u_5 &\stackrel{2, d}{=} w_1^3 w_2 + w_1 w_2^2 + w_1^2 w_3 + w_1 w_4, \\ u_6 &\stackrel{2, d}{=} w_1^2 w_2^2 + w_1^3 w_3 + w_1 w_2 w_3 + w_3^2 + w_1^2 w_4 + w_2 w_4, \\ u_7 &\stackrel{2, d}{=} w_1^2 w_2 w_3 + w_1 w_2^2 + w_1 w_2 w_4, \\ u_8 &\stackrel{2, d}{=} w_1^8 + w_2^4 + w_1^2 w_3^2 + w_1^2 w_2 w_4 + w_1 w_3 w_4 + w_4^2 \\ &\quad + w_1^3 w_5 + w_3 w_5 + w_1^2 w_6 + w_2 w_6 + w_1 w_7 + w_8. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

From (31) and (32), we can show that  $\text{Sq}^2 l_3 + l_3 w_2$  is a coboundary on oriented  $M^5$  with  $w_1 \stackrel{2, d}{=} 0$ . Thus  $e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} \text{Sq}^2 l_3 + l_3 w_2}$  only depends on  $l_3$  on the boundary  $B^4 = \partial M^5$ .

The term  $e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} \text{Sq}^2 l_3 + l_3 w_2}$  makes  $l_3$  on the boundary to be a fermion worldline via a higher dimensional bosonization [17, 65, 70–72].<sup>5</sup> (For details, see Appendix C.) In other words, the anomalous  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge theory on the boundary has a special property that the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge charge is a fermion.

There is another way to understand why the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge charge is a fermion. The  $w_2 w_3$ -topological order, as a bosonic state, can live on any 5-dimensional orientable manifold with a  $\text{SO}(5)$  connection for the tangent bundle. One way to obtain a gapped boundary of  $w_2 w_3$ -topological order is to trivialize  $w_2$  for the  $\text{SO}(5)$  connection on the boundary. Such a trivialization can be viewed as a group extension  $\text{Spin}(5) = \mathbb{Z}_2 \rtimes_{w_2} \text{SO}(5)$  via

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \text{Spin}(5) \rightarrow \text{SO}(5) \rightarrow 1. \quad (33)$$

This is consistent with the fact that the Spin manifold is necessary and sufficient condition for its second Stiefel-Whitney class  $w_2 = 0$  for its tangent bundle. Trivialize  $w_2$  on the boundary can be thought as promoting the  $\text{SO}(5)$  connection in the bulk to a  $\text{Spin}(5)$  connection on the boundary, where  $\text{Spin}(5)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  central extension of  $\text{SO}(5)$ . This implies that the boundary is described by a twisted  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge theory, where the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  connection 1-cochain  $a^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$  satisfies

$$da^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \stackrel{2}{=} w_2, \quad (34)$$

instead of  $da^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \stackrel{2}{=} 0$ . The above relation can be obtained from (25) by integrating out  $b^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$  first. In this case, the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  charge couples to the  $\text{Spin}(5)$  connection on the boundary, instead of  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \text{SO}(5)$  connection. So the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  charge

<sup>5</sup> Higher dimensional bosonization here especially in [71] means to use the purely bosonic commuting fields (*i.e.* cochains) with only Steenrod algebras (but without using Grassmann variables) to represent the fermionic systems with the fermionic parity symmetry  $\mathbb{Z}_2^f$ . The fermionic system here may be regarded as a system with emergent fermions living on the boundary of bosonic topological order. See Appendix K for a summary.

carries a half-integer spin representation of the spacetime Spin group if we interpret the extended normal  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  as the fermion parity  $\mathbb{Z}_2^f$  in (33) as

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^f \rightarrow \text{Spin}(5) \rightarrow \text{SO}(5) \rightarrow 1. \quad (35)$$

The odd  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge charge in (33) is also the half-integer spin representation of Spin group, which is also odd under the fermion parity  $\mathbb{Z}_2^f$  in (35). Then according to the usual lattice belief in terms of the spacetime-spin statistics relation, the half-integer spin representation of this  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge charge is also a fermion. The Spin structure, which contains the emergent fermion parity  $\mathbb{Z}_2^f$  on the boundary, is also called the emergent dynamical Spin structure [44].

However, a 4d  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge theory with a fermionic  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge charge may not have gravitational anomaly, since such theory can be realized by a 4d bosonic model

$$Z = \sum_{a^{\mathbb{Z}_2}, b^{\mathbb{Z}_2}} e^{i\pi \int_{B^4} b^{\mathbb{Z}_2} (w_2 + da^{\mathbb{Z}_2})}. \quad (36)$$

The action amplitude is invariant under the following transformation, even when  $B^4$  has boundaries

$$a^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \rightarrow a^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + v_1, \quad b^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \rightarrow b^{\mathbb{Z}_2}, \quad w_2 \rightarrow w_2 + dv_1. \quad (37)$$

After including the worldline of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  charge and the worldsheet of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  flux, the above becomes

$$Z = \sum_{a^{\mathbb{Z}_2}, b^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \text{ on } B^4} e^{i\pi \int_{B^4} a^{\mathbb{Z}_2} db^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + b^{\mathbb{Z}_2} w_2} e^{i\pi \int_{B^4} l_3 a^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + s_2 b^{\mathbb{Z}_2}} e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} \text{Sq}^2 l_3 + l_3 w_2}, \quad (38)$$

which is the path integral description of the anomaly-free  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge theory with fermionic  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  charge [59]

Therefore, the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -flux line must also have some special properties as a realization of the anomaly in the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge theory (25). Let us first show that  $\text{Sq}^2 \beta_2 s_2 + s_2 w_3$  is also a coboundary on  $M^5$ . Using

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sq}^1 x &\stackrel{2}{=} \beta_2 x, \\ \text{Sq}^1(w_j) &\stackrel{2,d}{=} w_1 w_j + (j-1)w_{j+1}, \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

we find that

$$\beta_2 w_2 \stackrel{2}{=} \text{Sq}^1 w_2 \stackrel{2,d}{=} w_1 w_2 + w_3. \quad (40)$$

Noticing  $w_1 \stackrel{2}{=} 0$ , we find that

$$s_2 w_3 \stackrel{2,d}{=} s_2 \beta_2 w_2 \stackrel{2}{=} w_2 \beta_2 s_2 + \beta_2 (w_2 s_2) \stackrel{2,d}{=} w_2 \beta_2 s_2. \quad (41)$$

The first equality uses (40), and the second equality uses the chain rule. The third equality uses  $\beta_2(w_2 s_2) \stackrel{2}{=} \text{Sq}^1(w_2 s_2) \stackrel{2,d}{=} w_1 w_2 s_2 \stackrel{2}{=} 0$ , where we have also used the Wu relation (31) and  $w_1 \stackrel{2}{=} 0$ . Now, according to (41), we have  $w_2 \beta_2 s_2 + s_2 w_3 \stackrel{2,d}{=} 0$ . Then using (31) and  $w_1 \stackrel{2}{=} 0$ , we have  $\text{Sq}^2 \beta_2 s_2 + s_2 w_3 \stackrel{2,d}{=} 0$ , and

$\text{Sq}^2 \beta_2 s_2 + w_2 \beta_2 s_2 \stackrel{2,d}{=} 0$ . Thus  $e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} \text{Sq}^2 \beta_2 s_2 + s_2 w_3}$  and  $e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} \text{Sq}^2 \beta_2 s_2 + w_2 \beta_2 s_2}$  only differ by a surface term on  $B^4 = \partial M^5$ . Also,  $e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} \text{Sq}^2 \beta_2 s_2 + w_2 \beta_2 s_2}$  itself is a surface term on  $B^4 = \partial M^5$ .

The term  $e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} \text{Sq}^2 \beta_2 s_2 + w_2 \beta_2 s_2}$  makes  $\beta_2 s_2$  on the boundary to be the Poincaré dual of a fermion worldline via a higher dimensional bosonization [17, 65, 71]. We note that if the 2d worldsheet for the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  flux loop is *orientable*, then its Poincaré dual  $s_2$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued 2-cocycle thus  $s_2 \in Z^2(M^5; \mathbb{Z})$ . In this case  $\beta_2 s_2 = \frac{1}{2} ds_2 = 0$  because the cocycle condition imposes  $ds_2 = 0$ .

Therefore a nontrivial  $\beta_2 s_2$  comes from an *unorientable* 2d worldsheet.<sup>6</sup> On an unorientable worldsheet, we have a worldline that marks the reversal of the orientation, whose Poincaré dual is  $\beta_2 s_2$ . So such an orientation-reversal worldline corresponds to a fermion worldline.

In other words, the anomalous  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge theory (25) on the boundary has a special property that an unorientable worldsheet of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -flux carries a non-contractable fermionic worldline. Such a fermionic worldline corresponds to the orientation reversal loop on the unorientable worldsheet.

## 2. Trivialization picture

We have used the trivialization picture to understand the half-integer spin and the Fermi statistic of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  charge. Can we use the similar trivialization picture to understand the above “fermionic” properties of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -flux lines?

In the above we have associated the Fermi statistic of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  charge (described by  $l_3$  in spacetime  $B^4$ ) with the topological invariant

$$e^{i\pi \int_{B^4} l_3 a^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + i\pi \int_{M^5} \text{Sq}^2 l_3 + l_3 w_2}, \quad B^4 = \partial M^5, \quad (45)$$

expressed in one higher dimension  $M^5$ . Similarly, we can associate the “Fermi statistic” of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  flux line (described by  $s_2$  in spacetime  $B^4$ ) with the topological invariant

$$e^{i\pi \int_{B^4} s_2 b^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + i\pi \int_{M^5} \text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1 s_2 + s_2 w_3}, \quad B^4 = \partial M^5. \quad (46)$$

<sup>6</sup> Although we require the *oriented* and *orientable* special orthogonal SO symmetry for this 4d boundary and 5d bulk theory, we do allow *unorientable* worldsheets on 2d submanifolds. Earlier we wrote for *oriented* worldsheet  $s_2 \in Z^2(M^5; \mathbb{Z})$  with the topological term:

$$e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} \text{Sq}^2 \beta_2 s_2 + w_2 \beta_2 s_2} = e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} \text{Sq}^2 \beta_2 s_2 + w_3 s_2}. \quad (42)$$

However, for *unorientable* worldsheets  $s_2 \in Z^2(M^5; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ , we can use Steenrod square  $\text{Sq}^1$  to rewrite (42) as

$$e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} \text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1 s_2 + w_2 \text{Sq}^1 s_2} = e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} \text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1 s_2 + w_3 s_2}. \quad (43)$$

In Appendix H, we prove a generalized Wu relation

$$\text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1 x_{d-3} = (w_1^3 + w_3) x_{d-3} \quad (44)$$

on a manifold  $M^d$  where  $w_i$  is the Stiefel-Whitney class of  $M^d$ .

To gain a better understanding of the “Fermi” statistics of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  charged particle and  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  flux line, We like to express topological invariants in the same dimension rather than one-higher dimension.

We start with the path integral (25) describing the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  boundary of the  $w_2 w_3$  invertible bosonic topological order. Then we add the worldline for  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  charge and worksheet for  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  flux line. But here we assume the worldline and worldsheet are boundaries. Thus their Poincaré dual’s,  $l_3$  and  $s_2$ , are coboundaries

$$l_3 = d\tilde{l}_2, \quad s_2 = d\tilde{s}_1. \quad (47)$$

Adding the worldline for  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  charge and worksheet for  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  flux line to the boundary spacetime  $B^4$ , we obtain the following path integral

$$Z = \sum_{a^{Z_2}, b^{Z_2}} e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} w_2 w_3} e^{i\pi \int_{B^4} a^{Z_2} db^{Z_2} + a^{Z_2} w_3 + b^{Z_2} w_2} e^{i\pi \int_{B^4} (d\tilde{l}_2) a^{Z_2} + (d\tilde{s}_1) b^{Z_2}}. \quad (48)$$

But the new term break the invariance under (26), which to ensure the branch independence. To fix this, we consider

$$Z = \sum_{a^{Z_2}, b^{Z_2}} e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} w_2 w_3} e^{i\pi \int_{B^4} a^{Z_2} db^{Z_2} + a^{Z_2} w_3 + b^{Z_2} w_2} e^{i\pi \int_{B^4} (d\tilde{l}_2) a^{Z_2} + \tilde{l}_2 w_2 + (d\tilde{s}_1) b^{Z_2} + \tilde{s}_1 w_3}. \quad (49)$$

However, the fix causes another problem:  $\tilde{l}_2$  and  $\tilde{l}_2 + \bar{l}_2$  described the same worldline if  $\bar{l}_2$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -valued cocycle;  $\tilde{s}_1$  and  $\tilde{s}_1 + \bar{s}_1$  described the same worldsheet if  $\bar{s}_1$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -valued cocycle. Therefore, the path integral must be invariant under the following transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{l}_2 &\rightarrow \tilde{l}_2 + \bar{l}_2, & d\bar{l}_2 &\stackrel{2}{=} 0; \\ \tilde{s}_1 &\rightarrow \tilde{s}_1 + \bar{s}_1, & d\bar{s}_1 &\stackrel{2}{=} 0. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

To have such an invariance, we consider

$$Z = \sum_{a^{Z_2}, b^{Z_2}} e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} w_2 w_3} e^{i\pi \int_{B^4} a^{Z_2} db^{Z_2} + a^{Z_2} w_3 + b^{Z_2} w_2} e^{i\pi \int_{B^4} (d\tilde{l}_2) a^{Z_2} + \tilde{l}_2 w_2 + \text{Sq}^2 \tilde{l}_2 + (d\tilde{s}_1) b^{Z_2} + \tilde{s}_1 w_3 + \text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1 \tilde{s}_1}, \quad (51)$$

where  $\text{Sq}^n$  is the generalized Steenrod square introduced in Ref. 71, which acts on cochains and is defined in (A21).  $\text{Sq}^n$  is equal to Pontryagin square mod 2 when acting on  $n$ -cochains. Thus the generalized Steenrod square  $\text{Sq}^n$  is in general *not* the same as the convention Pontryagin square studied in [73, 74].

Using (A29), we find

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{l}_2 + \bar{l}_2) w_2 + \text{Sq}^2 (\tilde{l}_2 + \bar{l}_2) &\stackrel{2,\text{d}}{=} (\tilde{l}_2 + \bar{l}_2) w_2 + \text{Sq}^2 \tilde{l}_2 + \text{Sq}^2 \bar{l}_2 \\ &\stackrel{2,\text{d}}{=} (\tilde{l}_2 + \bar{l}_2) w_2 + \text{Sq}^2 \tilde{l}_2 + w_2 \bar{l}_2 \stackrel{2,\text{d}}{=} \tilde{l}_2 w_2 + \text{Sq}^2 \tilde{l}_2, \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

where we have used  $\text{Sq}^2 \bar{l}_2 \stackrel{2}{=} \text{Sq}^2 \tilde{l}_2 \stackrel{2,\text{d}}{=} (w_2 + w_1) \bar{l}_2$  and  $w_1 \stackrel{2,\text{d}}{=} 0$  for  $B^4$ . This allows us to show the invariance of the path integral (51) under  $\tilde{l}_2 \rightarrow \tilde{l}_2 + \bar{l}_2$ .

Similarly, using (A29) and (A23), we find

$$\begin{aligned} &(\tilde{s}_1 + \bar{s}_1) w_3 + \text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1 (\tilde{s}_1 + \bar{s}_1) \\ &\stackrel{2,\text{d}}{=} (\tilde{s}_1 + \bar{s}_1) w_3 + \text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1 \tilde{s}_1 + \text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1 \bar{s}_1 \\ &\stackrel{2,\text{d}}{=} \tilde{s}_1 w_3 + \bar{s}_1 \text{Sq}^1 w_2 + \text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1 \tilde{s}_1 + w_2 \text{Sq}^1 \bar{s}_1 \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

where we have used  $\text{Sq}^1 w_2 \stackrel{2,\text{d}}{=} w_3$  when  $w_1 \stackrel{2,\text{d}}{=} 0$ . Using (A33) and (A9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{s}_1 \text{Sq}^1 w_2 + w_2 \text{Sq}^1 \bar{s}_1 \stackrel{2}{=} \bar{s}_1 \beta_2 w_2 + w_2 \beta_2 \bar{s}_1 \\ &\stackrel{2,\text{d}}{=} (\beta_2 w_2) \bar{s}_1 + w_2 \beta_2 \bar{s}_1 \stackrel{2,\text{d}}{=} \beta_2 (w_2 \bar{s}_1) \stackrel{\text{d}}{=} 0 \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

Therefore

$$(\tilde{s}_1 + \bar{s}_1) w_3 + \text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1 (\tilde{s}_1 + \bar{s}_1) \stackrel{2,\text{d}}{=} \tilde{s}_1 w_3 + \text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1 \tilde{s}_1. \quad (55)$$

This allows us to show the invariance of the path integral (51) under  $\tilde{s}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{s}_1 + \bar{s}_1$ . Thus (51) is a correct boundary theory for  $w_2 w_3$  topological order.

Let us examine the  $\tilde{l}_2$  terms in the theory. The term  $e^{i\pi \int_{B^4} \text{Sq}^2 \tilde{l}_2}$ , quadratic in  $\tilde{l}_2$ , gives the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -charge (described by  $l_3 = d\tilde{l}_2$ ) a Fermi statistics. The accompanying linear term  $e^{i\pi \int_{B^4} w_2 \tilde{l}_2}$  gives the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -charge a half integer spin, which is associated with the statement that fermion is related to the trivialization of  $w_2$  (for details, see Appendix C).

Now let us examine the  $\tilde{s}_1$  terms. Due to the very similar structure, we can say that the term  $e^{i\pi \int_{B^4} \text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1 \tilde{s}_1}$  gives the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -flux line (described by  $s_2 = d\tilde{s}_1$ ) an unusual statistics. We may also say that the accompanying linear term  $e^{i\pi \int_{B^4} w_3 \tilde{s}_1}$  is associated with the trivialization of  $w_3$ . So the unusual statistics of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -flux line is associated with the trivialization of  $w_3$ , just like the Fermi statistics of a particle is associated with the trivialization of  $w_2$ .

One way to characterize the unusual statistics of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -flux line is to notice that  $d(\text{Sq}^1 \tilde{s}_1) = \text{Sq}^1 d\tilde{s}_1 = \text{Sq}^1 s_2 \stackrel{2}{=} \beta_2 s_2$  describes the Poincaré dual of the orientation reversal line of the worldsheet of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -flux line. So the orientation reversal line of the worldsheet behaves like a fermion worldline due to the term  $\text{Sq}^2 (\text{Sq}^1 \tilde{s}_1)$ .

From the relation  $\beta_2 w_2 \stackrel{2,\text{d}}{=} w_3$ , we see that the trivialization of  $w_2$  on the boundary, also implies a trivialization of  $w_3$ . The worldsheet  $s_2$  of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -flux line couples to a 2-cochain  $b^{Z_2}$  via  $e^{i\pi \int_{B^4} s_2 b^{Z_2}}$  (see (30)). For our anomalous  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge theory, the  $w_3$  is trivialized as a coboundary via the split into a lower-dimensional cochain  $b^{Z_2}$ , namely

$$db^{Z_2} \stackrel{2}{=} w_3. \quad (56)$$

Such a relation can be obtained from (25) by integrating out  $a^{Z_2}$  first. But (56) is not independent from (34), because  $w_3 \stackrel{\text{d},2}{=} \text{Sq}^1 w_2$ .

To summarize, the 4+1D invertible topological order has a boundary described by

- (1) a dynamical  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge theory with gravitational anomaly.
- (2) In the continuum limit, the gauge charge transforms as  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \rtimes_{w_2} \text{SO}(\infty) = \text{Spin}(\infty)$  under the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge transformation and spacetime rotation.
- (3) Such a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge charge is a fermion in the spin-statistics.
- (4) The *orientation-reversal* worldline on the *unorientable* worldsheet of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -flux loop is a fermion worldline. But such a fermion worldline does not carry the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge charge.

### 3. A physical consequence of the fermion-carrying $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -flux worldsheet

In a usual anomaly-free  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -gauge theory, if we proliferate the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -flux worldsheets in spacetime, we will get new gapped state, which corresponds to a confined phase  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -gauge theory with no topological order. Why proliferating the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -flux worldsheet can give rise to a gapped state? This is because the path integral amplitude for the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -flux worldsheets is positive. If the surface tension of the worldsheet is zero, the equal weight superposition of the worldsheet leads to a short-range correlated state.

For the anomalous  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -gauge theory on the boundary of  $w_2w_3$  topological order, the path integral amplitude for the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -flux worldsheets is no longer positive. It contains a  $\pm$  sign from the braiding of the fermionic world lines carried by the unorientable worldsheet. In this case, we are not sure that the proliferation the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -flux worldsheets can give rise to a gapped  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  confined state. This result is consistent with our expectation that the anomalous  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge theory at the boundary of the  $w_2w_3$  topological order cannot have a trivial confined phase.

If we only allow orientable worldsheet of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -flux lines, then the path integral amplitude for those orientable worldsheet can be all positive. We can have a phase where the orientable worldsheet proliferate. But the proliferation of orientable worldsheet give rise to a  $U(1)$  gauge theory, instead of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  confined phase. Such a  $U(1)^f$ -gauge boundary of the  $w_2w_3$  topological order will be discussed in the next subsection.

### C. 4d $U(1)^f$ -gauge boundary of the $w_2w_3$ topological order

The anomalous  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -gauge theory is only one possible boundaries of the  $w_2w_3$  topological order. In this section, we will discuss another boundary — a  $U(1)$ -gauge theory with gravitational anomaly. To obtain such an anomalous boundary  $U(1)$ -gauge theory, we first rewrite the topological invariant  $w_2w_3 \stackrel{2}{=} w_2 \text{Sq}^1 w_2$  as

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{\text{top}}(M^5) &= e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} w_2 \text{Sq}^1 w_2} \\ &= e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} w_2 \beta_2 w_2} = e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} w_2 \frac{1}{2} dw_2}, \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

where we have used  $\text{Sq}^1 w_2 \stackrel{2, d}{=} \beta_2 w_2$  (see (A33)) and  $\beta_2 w_2 = \frac{1}{2} dw_2$  (see (A9)). In (57), both  $(w_2)(\beta_2 w_2)$  and  $(w_2)(\frac{1}{2} dw_2)$  pair between the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -valued  $w_2$  and the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued  $(\beta_2 w_2)$  or  $(\frac{1}{2} dw_2)$ , which altogether can be well-defined in the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  value.

We find that if  $M^5$  has a  $\text{Spin}^c$  structure, then  $\text{Sq}^1 w_2 = \text{Sq}^1(c_1 \bmod 2) \stackrel{2, d}{=} 0$ , thus  $\beta_2 w_2 \stackrel{d}{=} 0$  and  $Z^{\text{top}}(M^5) = 1$  (See a proof in Appendix D 1's Remark 3). Thus, the  $w_2w_3$  is *not* a cobordism invariant for the  $\text{Spin}^c$  structure. In this case, the  $\text{SO}(\infty)$  connection on  $M^5$  can be lifted into a  $U(1)^f \rtimes_{\frac{1}{2}w_2} \text{SO}(\infty)$  connection. The  $U(1)^f$  implies that

$$U(1)^f \supset \mathbb{Z}_2^f$$

contains the fermion parity as a normal subgroup. Here  $U(1)^f \rtimes_{\frac{1}{2}w_2} \text{SO}(\infty)$  is the  $U(1)^f$  extension of  $\text{SO}(\infty)$  characterized by  $\frac{1}{2}w_2 \in H^2(\text{BSO}(\infty); \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ .

Such a group extension to a total group  $\text{Spin}^c \equiv \text{Spin} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} U(1)^f \equiv \frac{\text{Spin} \times U(1)^f}{\mathbb{Z}_2^f}$  via the short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow U(1)^f \rightarrow \text{Spin}^c(5) \rightarrow \text{SO}(5) \rightarrow 1 \quad (58)$$

implies the  $w_2w_3$  in  $\text{SO}$  is trivialized in  $\text{Spin}^c$ . The  $\text{Spin}^c$  structure, which contains the emergent  $U(1)^f \supset \mathbb{Z}_2^f$  on the boundary, is also called the emergent dynamical  $\text{Spin}^c$  structure [44].

The above discussions also implies that the  $w_2w_3$  topological order has another boundary described by a  $U(1)^f$  gauge theory with gravitational anomaly. To write down such a  $U(1)^f$  gauge theory, we start with a 5d branch-independent bosonic model that realize the  $w_2w_3$  invertible topological order:

$$Z = \sum_{a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f}, b^{\mathbb{Z}}} e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} (w_2 + 2da^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f})(\beta_2 w_2 + db^{\mathbb{Z}})}. \quad (59)$$

The brunching-independence is ensured by the invariance of the above path integral under the following dynamical gauge transformations ( $\alpha_0$ ) and background gauge transformations ( $v_1$  and  $v_2$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} &\rightarrow a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} + d\alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2}v_1, \\ b^{\mathbb{Z}} &\rightarrow b^{\mathbb{Z}} + v_2, \\ w_2 &\rightarrow w_2 - dv_1 - 2v_2, \\ \beta_2 w_2 &\rightarrow \beta_2 w_2 - \beta_2 dv_1 - dv_2 = \beta_2 w_2 - dv_2. \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

The  $v_1 \in C^1(M^5; \mathbb{Z})$  and  $v_2 \in C^2(M^5; \mathbb{Z})$  are  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued 1- and 2-cochains and  $\alpha_0 \in C^0(B^4; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$  is a  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ -valued function (*i.e.* 0-cochain). Note that  $\beta_2 dv_1 = 0$  because  $\beta_2 = \frac{1}{2}d$ , while  $\frac{1}{2}v_1 \in C^1(M^5, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ , and  $dd = d^2 = 0$  on  $C^1(M^5, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ . Here the gauge transformation of  $a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f}$  is related to that of  $\frac{1}{2}a^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$  where the gauge transformation of  $a^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$  is in (26).

When  $M^5$  has boundaries and after integrating out  $a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f}, b^{\mathbb{Z}}$  in the bulk, we obtain the following boundary



theory

$$Z = \sum_{a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f}, b^{\mathbb{Z}}} e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} w_2 \beta_2 w_2} e^{i2\pi \int_{B^4} a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} db^{\mathbb{Z}} + a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} \beta_2 w_2 + \frac{1}{2} w_2 b^{\mathbb{Z}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} g \int_{B^4} |b + da^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} + \frac{1}{2} w_2|^2} \quad (61)$$

where  $a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} \in C^1(B^4; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$  is a  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ -valued 1-cochain<sup>7</sup> and  $b^{\mathbb{Z}} \in C^2(B^4; \mathbb{Z})$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued 2-cochain.<sup>8</sup> We add a gauge invariant term  $e^{-\frac{1}{2} g \int_{B^4} |b + da^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} + \frac{1}{2} w_2|^2}$ , which will produce the Maxwell term after we integrating out the  $b^{\mathbb{Z}}$  field. We find that a small  $g$  leads to a semiclassical  $U(1)^f$  gauge theory. Thus, the above describes a  $U(1)^f$  gauge theory,<sup>9</sup> with a gravitational anomaly of  $w_2 w_3$ .

<sup>7</sup> Note that the isomorphism  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} = U(1)$ , however we use  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  to emphasize the group operation is addition as in  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ , instead of multiplication in  $U(1)$ . Also we denote  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f = U(1)^f \supset \mathbb{Z}_2^f$  to include the fermion parity normal subgroup.

<sup>8</sup> First let us clarify why  $b^{\mathbb{Z}} da^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} + (\beta_2 w_2) a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} + b^{\mathbb{Z}}(\frac{1}{2} w_2)$  is well-defined in  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ -valued, for the cup product between a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued cohomology class (e.g., here  $b^{\mathbb{Z}}$ ,  $\beta_2 w_2$ , etc.) and a  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ -valued cohomology class (e.g., here  $da^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f}$ ,  $a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} w_2$ , etc.). Generally, for  $z \in \mathbb{Z}$  and the equivalence class  $[x] \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  where the representative  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have that the definition  $z[x] \equiv [zx] \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  is well-defined since if  $[x] = [y] \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ , then  $x - y \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $z(x - y) \in \mathbb{Z}$ . So  $[zx] = [zy] \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ , thus we prove that  $z[x] \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  is well-defined. Thus we prove that  $b^{\mathbb{Z}} da^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} + (\beta_2 w_2) a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} + b^{\mathbb{Z}}(\frac{1}{2} w_2)$  is well-defined in  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ -valued.

<sup>9</sup> We can motivate better about the 4d action  $\int_{B^4} b^{\mathbb{Z}} da^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f}$ . Schematically, without any other source or operator insertions in the path integral, we have the equation of motion  $db^{\mathbb{Z}} = 0$ . Although the cocycle (closed) is not necessarily coboundary (exact), locally we can write  $b^{\mathbb{Z}} = dv^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f}$ , since the  $b^{\mathbb{Z}} \in C^2(B^4; \mathbb{Z})$  has the integer quantized electric flux, then  $v^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} \in C^1(B^4; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f)$  is the dual gauge field (the 't Hooft magnetic gauge field). Since the pure abelian  $U(1)$  gauge theory has the action  $da^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} \wedge \star da^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} = \star dv^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} \wedge dv^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f}$  with the  $U(1)$  gauge coupling suppressed, we can also regard  $b^{\mathbb{Z}}$  as the integer quantized electric flux  $\oint \star dv^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} = \oint \star da^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} \in \mathbb{Z}$  on a closed 2-cycle, while  $\star b^{\mathbb{Z}}$  as the integer quantized magnetic flux  $\oint \star dv^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} = \oint da^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} \in \mathbb{Z}$  on a closed 2-cycle.

Thus in this special case, we may also treat  $\int_{B^4} b^{\mathbb{Z}} da^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f}$  as  $\int_{B^4} da^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} \wedge \star da^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} = \int_{B^4} \star dv^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} \wedge dv^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f}$ . By the Maxwell equations,  $d da^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} = d \star da^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} = 0$ , so  $da$  is a harmonic form (closed and co-closed) and the Hodge dual  $\star da^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} = dv^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f}$  is also a harmonic form. By the Hodge theorem, each cohomology class has a unique harmonic representative. So Hodge star is an isomorphism from the harmonic representatives of  $H_{DR}^k(M)$  to the harmonic representatives of  $H_{DR}^{n-k}(M)$ , here we can take  $M = B^4$ . Poincaré duality says that  $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \int_M \alpha \wedge \beta$  is a perfect pairing between  $H_{DR}^k(M)$  and  $H_{DR}^{n-k}(M)$ . So  $\int_M \alpha \wedge \star \alpha > 0$  for any nonzero  $\alpha$  and we can define  $\int_M |\alpha|^2$  as  $\int_M \alpha \wedge \star \alpha$ . The sum of  $|\alpha|^2$  on a 2-simplex over the spacetime simplex is also the action  $\int_M |\alpha|^2$ . For a cohomology class  $\alpha$ , the integral  $\int_M |\alpha|^2$  is defined to be  $\int_M |\alpha|^2$  for any cocycle representative  $\alpha$  of the cohomology class

In the presence of the 1d worldline of  $U(1)^f$ -electric charge (*i.e.* Wilson line) and the worldline of  $U(1)^f$ -magnetic monopole (*i.e.* 't Hooft line) on the boundary, the boundary theory becomes

$$Z = \sum_{a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f}, b^{\mathbb{Z}}} e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} w_2 \beta_2 w_2} e^{i2\pi \int_{B^4} a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} db^{\mathbb{Z}} + a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} \beta_2 w_2 + \frac{1}{2} w_2 b^{\mathbb{Z}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} g \int_{B^4} |b + da^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} + \frac{1}{2} w_2|^2} e^{i2\pi \int_{B^4} l_3^e a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} + \eta_2^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} b^{\mathbb{Z}}} e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} S q^2 l_3^e a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} + l_3^e a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} w_2 + S q^2 d\eta_2^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} + \eta_2^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} dw_2} \quad (62)$$

where  $l_3^e a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued 3-cocycle – the Poincaré dual of the Wilson line  $e^{i \oint_{S^1} a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f}}$  (the worldline of the  $U(1)^f$  electric charge), and  $\eta_2^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f}$  is a  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ -valued 2-cocycle, *i.e.* it satisfies

$$d\eta_2^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} = l_3^m a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f}. \quad (63)$$

Here  $l_3^m$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued cocycle, which is the Poincaré dual of the 't Hooft line  $e^{i \oint_{S^1} v^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f}}$  (the worldline of  $U(1)^f$  magnetic monopole) written in terms of the dual gauge field.<sup>10</sup> We note that  $\eta_2^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f}$  (of  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  or  $U(1)$  value) in (62) is related to  $\frac{1}{2} s_2$  where  $s_2$  (of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  or  $\mathbb{Z}$  value) appears in (30).<sup>11</sup>

The theory (62) is invariant under the gauge transformation (60). Also, the term

$$e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} S q^2 l_3^e a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} + l_3^e a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} w_2 + S q^2 d\eta_2^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} + \eta_2^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} dw_2}$$

only depends on the fields on the boundary  $B^4 = \partial M^5$ . Based on the relation (63), this term can be also read

$\alpha$ . In particular, we can choose the harmonic representative. For a harmonic form  $\alpha$ , we have  $\int_M |\alpha|^2 = \int_M \alpha \wedge \star \alpha$  since  $\alpha \wedge \star \alpha = |\alpha|^2$ .

<sup>10</sup> Note that  $e^{i2\pi \int_{B^4} l_3^e a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} + \eta_2^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} b^{\mathbb{Z}}}$  in (62) can be schematically rewritten as

$$e^{i2\pi \int_{B^4} l_3^e a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} + \eta_2^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} dv^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f}} = e^{i2\pi \int_{B^4} l_3^e a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} + (d\eta_2^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f}) v^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f}} = e^{i2\pi \int_{B^4} l_3^e a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} + l_3^m v^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f}} \quad (64)$$

via  $b^{\mathbb{Z}} = dv^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} = \star da^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f}$ . Hence we can identify the Poincaré dual of the Wilson line ( $a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f}$ ) as  $l_3^e a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f}$ , while the Poincaré dual of the 't Hooft line ( $v^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f}$ ) as  $l_3^m v^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f}$ .

<sup>11</sup> If we map  $\eta_2^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} \mapsto \frac{1}{2} s_2$ , both are  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ -valued, then we can map between the expressions in (62) and (30):

$$S q^2 d\eta_2^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} + \eta_2^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} dw_2 \mapsto S q^2 d\frac{1}{2} s_2 + \frac{1}{2} s_2 dw_2 = S q^2 S q^1 s_2 + s_2 S q^1 w_2 = S q^2 (\beta_2 s_2) + s_2 w_3 \quad (65)$$

schematically as

$$e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} (\text{Sq}^2 + w_2)(l_3^e \cdot Z + l_3^m \cdot Z)}. \quad (66)$$

This term makes the  $U(1)^f$  electric charge (Wilson line  $e^{i \oint_{S^1} a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f}}$  as Poincaré dual of  $l_3^e \cdot Z$ ) and the  $U(1)^f$  magnetic monopole ('t Hooft line  $e^{i \oint_{S^1} v^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f}}$  as Poincaré dual of  $l_3^m \cdot Z$ ) to be fermions, via a higher dimensional bosonization [17, 65, 71]. Thus, we show that the boundary of  $w_2 w_3$  topological order has the  $U(1)^f$  electric charge has the fermionic statistics, the  $U(1)^f$  magnetic monopole has the fermionic statistics, and their bound object  $U(1)^f$  dyon also has the fermionic statistics. This is known as the all fermion quantum electrodynamics (QED<sub>4</sub>).

Before ending this section, we like to write down two bosonic theories with no gravitational anomaly. The first one is given by the following path integral

$$Z = \sum_{a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f}, b^Z} e^{i2\pi \int_{B^4} a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} db^Z - \frac{1}{2}g \int_{B^4} b \star b} \quad (67)$$

$$e^{i2\pi \int_{B^4} l_3^e \cdot Z a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} + \eta_2^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} b^Z}$$

which is invariant under the following gauge transforma-

tion

$$a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} \rightarrow a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} + d\alpha_0, \quad b^Z \rightarrow b^Z. \quad (68)$$

When  $g$  is small, the above bosonic model realizes a  $U(1)$  gauge theory at low energies, where both electric charge described by  $l_3^e \cdot Z$  and magnetic charge described by  $d\eta_2^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f}$  are bosons.

The second bosonic model is given by

$$Z = \sum_{a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f}, b^Z} e^{i2\pi \int_{B^4} a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} db^Z + \frac{1}{2}w_2 b^Z} \quad (69)$$

$$e^{-\frac{1}{2}g \int_{B^4} (b + da^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} + \frac{1}{2}w_2) \star (b + da^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} + \frac{1}{2}w_2)}$$

$$e^{i2\pi \int_{B^4} l_3^e \cdot Z a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} + \eta_2^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} b^Z} e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} \text{Sq}^2 l_3^e \cdot Z + l_3^e \cdot Z w_2}$$

which is invariant under the following gauge transformation

$$a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} \rightarrow a^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f} + d\alpha_0 + \frac{1}{2}v_1, \quad b^Z \rightarrow b^Z, \quad (70)$$

$$w_2 \rightarrow w_2 - dv_1.$$

When  $g$  is small, the above bosonic model realizes a  $U(1)$  gauge theory at low energies, where the electric charge described by  $l_3^e \cdot Z$  is a fermion, and the magnetic charge described by  $d\eta_2^{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}^f}$  is a boson.

#### IV. 4D BOUNDARY OF $SU(2)$ OR OTHER $\text{Spin}(n)$ INTERNAL SYMMETRIC THEORIES

So far we have formulated two kinds of 4d boundary theories of 5d  $w_2 w_3$ : the 4d  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge theories (where the local  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge field is more precisely the dynamical Spin structure summed over in the path integral) and the 4d  $U(1)$  gauge theories (where the local  $U(1)$  gauge field is more precisely the dynamical  $U(1)$  gauge connection of  $\text{Spin}^c$  structure summed over in the path integral). We also have provided these boundary gauge theory constructions as the trivialization of  $w_2 w_3$  of  $SO$  structure via its pullback  $p$  to the  $p^* w_2 w_3 = 0$  in  $\text{Spin}$  or  $\text{Spin}^c$  structures (Namely,  $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \text{Spin} \xrightarrow{p} SO \rightarrow 1$  and  $1 \rightarrow U(1) \rightarrow \text{Spin}^c \xrightarrow{p} SO \rightarrow 1$  see further details in Appendix D). The  $\text{Spin}^c = \text{Spin} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} U(1)$  structure constrains that the fermions carry odd  $U(1)$  charge while the bosons carry even  $U(1)$  charge. In this section, we consider the  $\text{Spin}^h = \text{Spin} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} SU(2)$  structure such that the fermions are in even dimensional representation (e.g., **2, 4, ...**; or isospin  $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \dots$ ) of  $SU(2)$  while the bosons are in odd dimensional representation (e.g., **1, 3, ...**; or isospin  $0, 1, \dots$ ) of  $SU(2)$ . More generally, we can consider the  $\text{Spin} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \text{Spin}(n)$  structure. For example, for  $n = 10$ , fermions are in the spinor representation of  $\text{Spin}(10)$  (e.g., **16, ...**) while bosons are in other tensor representation of  $\text{Spin}(10)$  (e.g., **10, ...**). We list down the cobordism invariants from  $\text{TP}_5(\text{Spin} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \text{Spin}(n))$  in Appendix D. In this section, we summarize and enumerate other 4d boundary theories of 5d  $w_2 w_3$ , based on the  $\text{Spin} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \text{Spin}(n)$  structure construction, in particular  $n = 3$  and 10.

1. Boundary with the  $SU(2)$  and  $\text{Spin}^h = \text{Spin} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} SU(2) = \text{Spin} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \text{Spin}(3)$  structures:

(a) When the  $SU(2)$  is a global symmetry,

- An odd number of the fundamental **2**-dimensional representation (Rep) of  $SU(2)$  of the spacetime Weyl spinor  $\psi$  cannot be gapped by quadratic mass term while preserving the Lorentz and  $SU(2)$  symmetries. This is due to that the only quadratic mass term  $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \epsilon^{ij} \psi_{\alpha i} \psi_{\beta j} = 0$  (where  $\alpha, \beta$  are the Lorentz indices and  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$  are  $SU(2)$  indices; we take both the singlet **1** out of  $\mathbf{2} \otimes \mathbf{2} = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{3}$ ) vanish due to the fermi statistics. This suggests a possible anomaly — another hint is that the fermion spectrum under the  $SU(2)$  gauge bundle over  $S^4$  with an instanton number 1 background gives an odd number of fermion zero mode. More generally, an odd number of  $4r + 2$  Rep of  $SU(2)$  Weyl spinor has the same anomaly known

as Witten anomaly as a 't Hooft anomaly of the  $\text{Spin}^h$ -symmetry (See Table I). But these 4d theories live on the boundary of another 5d cobordism invariant, known as  $\tilde{\eta}\text{PD}(c_2(V_{\text{SU}(2)}))$ .<sup>12</sup> These 4d theories do not live on the boundary of the 5d  $w_2w_3$ .

• An odd number of the 4-dimensional representation (Rep) of  $\text{SU}(2)$  of the spacetime Weyl spinor  $\Psi$  also cannot be gapped by quadratic mass term while preserving the Lorentz and  $\text{SU}(2)$  symmetries. The singlet of both Lorentz and  $\text{SU}(2)$  symmetry requires any quadratic mass term vanishes:  $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta} C^{IJ} \Psi_{\alpha I} \Psi_{\beta J} = 0$ .<sup>13</sup> Ref. 44 shows that on a 4d non-spin manifold, the complex projective space  $\mathbb{CP}^2$ , with an appropriate large diffeomorphism by complex conjugation the  $\mathbb{CP}^2$  coordinates  $z_j \rightarrow \bar{z}_j$  and an appropriate  $\text{SU}(2)$  large gauge transformation, we can construct a mapping torus 5d Dold manifold  $\mathbb{CP}^2 \rtimes S^1$  such that the large gauge-diffeomorphism is transformed along the fifth dimension. Moreover, together with the  $\text{SU}(2)$  bundle, the whole theory is compatible with the  $\text{Spin}^h$  structure. But the path integral gets a  $(-1)$  sign under this large gauge-diffeomorphism transformation. This odd  $(-1)$  non-invariance shows the new  $\text{SU}(2)$  anomaly. More generally, an odd number of  $8r + 4$  Rep of  $\text{SU}(2)$  Weyl spinor has the same anomaly known as the new  $\text{SU}(2)$  anomaly as a 't Hooft anomaly of the  $\text{Spin}^h$ -symmetry (See Table I).

SU(2) isospin	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	1	$\frac{3}{2}$	2	$\frac{5}{2}$	3	$\frac{7}{2}$	mod 4	$2r + \frac{1}{2}$	$4r + \frac{3}{2}$	mod 4
SU(2) Rep $\mathbf{R}$ (dim)	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	mod 8	$4r + 2$	$8r + 4$	mod 8
Witten SU(2) anomaly	✓				✓					✓		
New SU(2) anomaly					✓					✓		

TABLE I. For a single spacetime Weyl spinor  $2_L$  in 4d, it has a Witten  $\text{SU}(2)$  anomaly if the spacetime Weyl spinor is also the  $4r + 2$ -dimensional representation (Rep) of  $\text{SU}(2)$  (or isospin  $2r + \frac{1}{2}$ ), for some nonnegative integer  $r$ . It has a new  $\text{SU}(2)$  anomaly if the spacetime Weyl spinor is also the  $8r + 4$ -dimensional Rep of  $\text{SU}(2)$  (or isospin  $4r + \frac{3}{2}$ ), for some nonnegative integer  $r$ . The checkmark ✓ means the fermion theory has the corresponding anomaly. These  $\text{SU}(2)$  anomalies can be interpreted as either a 't Hooft anomaly of global symmetry (if the  $\text{SU}(2)$  is global symmetry not gauged), or dynamical gauge anomaly (if the  $\text{SU}(2)$  is dynamically gauged).

(b) When the  $\text{SU}(2)$  is dynamically gauged,

- Witten anomaly gives a dynamical gauge anomaly constraint such that an odd number of  $4r + 2$  Rep of  $\text{SU}(2)$  Weyl spinor coupled to dynamical  $\text{SU}(2)$  gauge fields are ill-defined. It is not physically sensible to study its gauge dynamics.
- The gauge theories with new  $\text{SU}(2)$  anomalies are still well-defined theories with well-defined gauge dynamics on Spin manifolds, because  $w_2 = 0$  means no  $w_2w_3$  anomaly on the Spin manifolds. However, their gauge dynamics become ill-defined in 4d on non-Spin manifolds.

(c) Let us discuss further about the  $\text{SU}(2)$  theory with a 4 Rep of  $\text{SU}(2)$  Weyl spinor.

- **Explicit symmetry breaking:** If we are allowed to break this  $\text{SU}(2)$  theory with the new  $\text{SU}(2)$  anomaly, for example by choosing the quadratic fermion mass term via the  $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta} C'^{IJ} \Psi_{\alpha I} \Psi_{\beta J}$  such that the pairing  $C'^{IJ} \Psi_{\alpha I} \Psi_{\beta J}$  selects the **3**-dimensional Rep of  $\text{SU}(2)$  (which is also the vector Rep of  $\text{SO}(3)$ , and the isospin-1 Rep of  $\text{SU}(2)$  and  $\text{SO}(3)$ ), then  $C'^{IJ}$  is symmetric under  $I \leftrightarrow J$ , and such a mass term does not vanish under fermi statistics.<sup>14</sup> This mass term explicitly break the  $\text{SU}(2)$  down to  $\text{U}(1)$  symmetry.
- **Spontaneous symmetry breaking:** Instead we can consider the spontaneous symmetry breaking by introducing the Yukawa-Higgs term  $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta} (\vec{C}'^{IJ} \Psi_{\alpha I} \Psi_{\beta J}) \vec{\Phi}$  such that not merely  $(\vec{C}'^{IJ} \Psi_{\alpha I} \Psi_{\beta J})$  is the **3** of  $\text{SU}(2)$  but also the Higgs scalar  $\vec{\Phi}$  is also the **3** of  $\text{SU}(2)$ , while we again select the  $\text{SU}(2)$  singlet **1** out of

<sup>12</sup> The  $\tilde{\eta}$  is a mod 2 index of 1d Dirac operator from  $\text{TP}_1(\text{Spin}) = \mathbb{Z}_2$  or  $\Omega_1^{\text{Spin}} = \mathbb{Z}_2$ . A 1d manifold generator for the cobordism invariant  $\tilde{\eta}$  is a 1d  $S^1$  for fermions with periodic boundary condition, so called the Ramond circle. A 4d manifold generator for the  $c_2(V_{\text{SU}(2)})$  is the nontrivial  $\text{SU}(2)$  bundle over the  $S^4$ , such that the instanton number is 1. The PD is Poincaré dual.

<sup>13</sup> Here  $I, J \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  are  $\text{SU}(2)$  indices which correspond to isospin- $\frac{3}{2}$  indices  $\{\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}\}$ . We take the singlet **1** out of the tensor product of **4** of  $\text{SU}(2)$ :  $\mathbf{4} \otimes \mathbf{4} = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{3} \oplus \mathbf{5} \oplus \mathbf{7}$ . Based on the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients  $\frac{1}{2}(|\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\rangle|\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}\rangle - |\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\rangle|\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle + |\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle|\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\rangle - |\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}\rangle|\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\rangle) = |0, 0\rangle$ , we have  $C'^{ij} \Psi_{\alpha i} \Psi_{\beta j} = \frac{1}{2}((\Psi_{\alpha 1} \Psi_{\beta 4} - \Psi_{\alpha 4} \Psi_{\beta 1}) - (\Psi_{\alpha 2} \Psi_{\beta 3} - \Psi_{\alpha 3} \Psi_{\beta 2}))$ .

Since  $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}$  and  $C'^{ij}$  are both anti-symmetric, while all  $\Psi$  are Grassmannian variables due to fermi statistics, thus  $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta} C'^{ij} \Psi_{\alpha i} \Psi_{\beta j} = 0$ .

<sup>14</sup> Here we take the **3** out of the tensor product of **4** of  $\text{SU}(2)$ :  $\mathbf{4} \otimes \mathbf{4} = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{3} \oplus \mathbf{5} \oplus \mathbf{7}$ . Based on the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients, we may choose  $(\sqrt{\frac{9}{20}}(|\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\rangle|\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}\rangle + |\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{3}{2}\rangle|\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\rangle) - \sqrt{\frac{1}{20}}(|\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\rangle|\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle + |\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}\rangle|\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\rangle) = |1, 0\rangle$ , we have  $C'^{IJ} \Psi_{\alpha I} \Psi_{\beta J} = (\sqrt{\frac{9}{20}}(\Psi_{\alpha 1} \Psi_{\beta 4} + \Psi_{\alpha 4} \Psi_{\beta 1}) - \sqrt{\frac{1}{20}}(\Psi_{\alpha 2} \Psi_{\beta 3} + \Psi_{\alpha 3} \Psi_{\beta 2}))$ . Since  $C'^{IJ}$  are symmetric,  $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta} C'^{IJ} \Psi_{\alpha I} \Psi_{\beta J} \neq 0$ .

their tensor product pairing  $\mathbf{3} \otimes \mathbf{3} = \mathbf{1} \oplus \mathbf{3} \oplus \mathbf{5}$ . Then the Higgs condensation  $\langle \vec{\Phi} \rangle \neq 0$  only breaks the  $SU(2)$  *spontaneously* down to  $U(1)$ .

• **SU(2) to all-fermion U(1) gauge theories:** Ref. 44 shows that the spherical rotationally symmetric 't Hooft-Polyakov monopole (up to  $SU(2)$  gauge transformation) in the presence of  $\mathbf{4}$  Rep of  $SU(2)$  Weyl spinor traps fermionic zero modes in the spectrum. Ref. 44 shows that the 't Hooft-Polyakov monopole becomes fermion. This means under the breaking from  $SU(2)$  to  $U(1)$ , such that

the isospin- $\frac{3}{2}$  Weyl fermion of  $SU(2)$  becomes the fermionic electric charge 1 of  $U(1)$ .

the fermionic 't Hooft-Polyakov monopole of  $SU(2)$  becomes the fermionic magnetic monopole of  $U(1)$ .

Together with the fermionic electric charge of  $U(1)$ , the dyon of  $U(1)$  is also a fermion. Ref. 44 suggests that a possible renormalization group (RG) flow such that the high energy theory is an asymptotic free  $SU(2)$  gauge theory while the low energy theory is the all-fermion  $U(1)$  gauge theory.

The subtlety is that this 4d  $SU(2)$  gauge theory is ill-defined on a non-spin manifold and cannot be put on the boundary of 5d  $w_2w_3$ . But once we break  $SU(2)$  down to  $U(1)$ , the 4d  $U(1)$  gauge theory can be put on a non-spin manifold on the boundary of 5d  $w_2w_3$ .

• **All-fermion U(1) to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge theories:** If we introduce another Higgs also the  $\mathbf{3}$  of  $SU(2)$ , with a different vacuum expectation value, we can further Higgs down the  $U(1)$  down to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ , such that

the fermionic electric charge 1 of  $U(1)$  becomes the fermionic electric charge 1 of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ .

the fermionic monopole's 1d 't Hooft line of  $U(1)$  becomes the orientation-reversal 1d fermionic worldline on an unorientable 2d worldsheet of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge theory.

## 2. Boundary with the $\text{Spin}(10)$ and $\text{Spin} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \text{Spin}(10)$ structures:

(a) The standard  $so(10)$  Grand Unification [75, 76] with  $\text{Spin}(10)$  internal symmetry group and with Weyl fermions in the  $\mathbf{16}$  of  $\text{Spin}(10)$  does not have the  $w_2w_3$  anomaly (more precisely, it is the  $w_2w_3 = w'_2w'_3$  mixed gauge-gravitational anomaly, which is also a nonperturbative global anomaly, with  $w_j = w_j(TM)$  and  $w'_j = w_j(V_{SO(n=10)})$ ). This is the only 5d cobordism invariant from  $TP_5(\text{Spin} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \text{Spin}(10))$ , thus the only 4d global anomaly for  $\text{Spin} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \text{Spin}(10)$  structure. The absence of  $w_2w_3 = w'_2w'_3$  anomaly means that the standard  $so(10)$  Grand Unification is free from all perturbative local and nonperturbative global anomalies within  $\text{Spin} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \text{Spin}(10)$  structure [44, 55, 77].

(b) However, it is possible to construct a modified  $so(10)$  Grand Unification with  $\text{Spin}(10)$  internal symmetry group, also with Weyl fermions in the  $\mathbf{16}$  of  $\text{Spin}(10)$ , but with additional discrete torsion class of Wess-Zumino-Witten like term written on the 4d boundary and 5d bulk coupled system [45–47]. Here we summarize the results in [45–47]:

• When  $\text{Spin}(10)$  internal symmetry group is treated as a global symmetry, this modified  $so(10)$  Grand Unification can live on the boundary of 5d  $w_2w_3 = w'_2w'_3$  invertible topological order. The  $w_2w_3 = w'_2w'_3$  anomaly is saturated by the discrete torsion class of Wess-Zumino-Witten like term alone. The discrete torsion class of Wess-Zumino-Witten like term gives rise to various possible gauge theory realizations of low energy dynamics in 4d. The various possible gauge theory realizations are the emergent gauge theories (similar to the emergent dynamical  $\text{Spin}$  structure of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge theory and emergent dynamical  $\text{Spin}^c$  structure of the all-fermion  $U(1)$  gauge theory that we studied earlier).

• When  $\text{Spin}(10)$  internal symmetry group is dynamically gauged, the  $\text{Spin}(10)$  gauge field in the 5d bulk  $w_2w_3 = w'_2w'_3$  is also gauged. The 5d bulk is no longer a gapped invertible topological order; the 5d bulk becomes gapless and further long-range entangled. Thus the  $\text{Spin}(10)$  gauge fields can live only on the 4d boundary, but also propagate into the 5d bulk.

## V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work started in the summer of 2018 during X.-G.W.'s visit at IAS Princeton, and is based on the unpublished notes of 2018. We thank Edward Witten for important discussions and previous collaborations [44] in 2018. JW thanks Dan Freed and Ryan Thorngren for discussions. ZW is supported by the Shuimu Tsinghua Scholar Program. JW is supported by NSF Grant

DMS-1607871 “Analysis, Geometry and Mathematical Physics” and Center for Mathematical Sciences and Applications at Harvard University. XGW is partially supported by NSF DMR-2022428 and by the Simons Collaboration on Ultra-Quantum Matter, which is a grant from the Simons Foundation (651446, XGW).



## Appendix A: Spacetime Complex with Branch Structure, Cochains, Higher Cup Product

### 1. Spacetime complex and branch structure

In this article, we consider models defined on a spacetime lattice. A spacetime lattice is a triangulation of the  $d$ -dimensional spacetime, which is denoted by  $M^d$ . We will also call the triangulation  $M^d$  as a spacetime complex, which is formed by simplices – the vertices, links, triangles, *etc*. We will use  $i, j, \dots$  to label vertices of the spacetime complex. The links of the complex (the 1-simplices) will be labeled by  $(i, j), (j, k), \dots$ . Similarly, the triangles of the complex (the 2-simplices) will be labeled by  $(i, j, k), (j, k, l), \dots$ .

In order to define a generic lattice theory on the spacetime complex  $M^d$  using local Lagrangian term on each simplex, it is important to give the vertices of each simplex a local order. A nice local scheme to order the vertices is given by a branch structure.[10, 62, 63] A branch structure is a choice of orientation of each link in the  $d$ -dimensional complex so that there is no oriented loop on any triangle (see Fig. 2).

The branch structure induces a *local order* of the vertices on each simplex. The first vertex of a simplex is the vertex with no incoming links, and the second vertex is the vertex with only one incoming link, *etc*. So the simplex in Fig. 2a has the following vertex ordering: 0, 1, 2, 3. We always use ordered vertices to label a simplex. So the simplex in Fig. 2a and 2b are labeled as  $[0, 1, 2, 3]$ .

The branch structure also gives the simplex (and its sub-simplices) a canonical orientation. Fig. 2 illustrates two 3-simplices with opposite canonical orientations compared with the 3-dimension space in which they are embedded. The blue arrows indicate the canonical orientations of the 2-simplices. The black arrows indicate the canonical orientations of the 1-simplices.

### 2. Chain, cochain, cycle, cocycle

Given an Abelian group  $(\mathbb{M}, +)$ ,  $\mathbb{M}$  can also be viewed a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module (*i.e.* a vector space with integer coefficient) that also allows scaling by an integer:

$$\begin{aligned} x + y \in \mathbb{M}, \quad x * y \in \mathbb{M}, \quad mx = \underbrace{x + x + \dots + x}_{m \text{ terms}} \in \mathbb{M}, \\ x, y \in \mathbb{M}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A1})$$

The direct sum of two modules  $\mathbb{M}_1 \oplus \mathbb{M}_2$  (as vector spaces) is equal to the direct product of the two modules (as sets):

$$\mathbb{M}_1 \oplus \mathbb{M}_2 \stackrel{\text{as set}}{=} \mathbb{M}_1 \times \mathbb{M}_2 \quad (\text{A2})$$

An  $n$ -cochain  $\alpha_n$  in  $M^d$  is a formal combination of




FIG. 2. Two branch simplices with opposite orientations. (a) A branch simplex with positive orientation and (b) a branch simplex with negative orientation.




FIG. 3. A 1-cochain  $a$  has a value 1 on the red links:  $a_{ik} = a_{jk} = 1$  and a value 0 on other links:  $a_{ij} = a_{kl} = 0$ .  $da$  is non-zero on the shaded triangles:  $(da)_{jkl} = a_{jk} + a_{kl} - a_{jl}$ . For such 1-cochain, we also have  $a \smile a = 0$ . So when viewed as a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -valued cochain,  $\beta_2 a \neq a \smile a \pmod{2}$ .

$n$ -simplexes in  $M^d$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{M}$ :

$$\alpha_n = \sum_{[i, j, \dots, k]} \alpha_{n; i, j, \dots, k} [i, j, \dots, k], \quad \alpha_{n; i, j, \dots, k} \in \mathbb{M}, \quad (\text{A3})$$

where  $\sum_{[i, j, \dots, k]}$  sums over all simplexes in  $M^d$ . The collection of all such  $n$ -chains is denoted as  $C_n(M^d; \mathbb{M})$ . For example, a 2-chain can be a 2-simplex:  $[i, j, k]$ , a sum of two 2-simplices:  $[i, j, k] + [j, k, l]$ , a more general composition of 2-simplices:  $[i, j, k] - 2[j, k, l]$ , *etc*.

An  $n$ -cochain  $f_n$  in  $M^d$  is an assignment of values in  $\mathbb{M}$  to each  $n$ -simplex  $M^d$ . For example a value  $f_{n; i, j, \dots, k} \in \mathbb{M}$  is assigned to  $n$ -simplex  $(i, j, \dots, k)$  (see Fig. 3). We also denote the value  $f_{n; i, j, \dots, k}$  as  $f_n([i, j, \dots, k])$ . So a cochain  $f_n$  can be viewed as a bosonic field,  $f_n([i, j, \dots, k])$ , on the spacetime lattice  $M^d$ . To be more precise  $f_n$  is a linear map  $f_n : n\text{-chain} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$ . We can denote the linear map as  $f_n(n\text{-chain})$ , or

$$f_n(\alpha_n) = \sum_{[i, j, \dots, k]} \alpha_{n; i, j, \dots, k} f_n([i, j, \dots, k]) \in \mathbb{M}. \quad (\text{A4})$$

where  $\sum_{[i, j, \dots, k]}$  sums over all simplexes in  $M^d$ .

We will use  $C^n(M^d; \mathbb{M})$  to denote the set of all  $n$ -cochains on  $M^d$ .  $C^n(M^d; \mathbb{M})$  can also be viewed as a set all  $\mathbb{M}$ -valued fields (or paths) on  $M^d$ . Note that  $C^n(M^d; \mathbb{M})$  is an Abelian group under the  $+$ -operation.

The total spacetime lattice  $M^d$  correspond to a  $d$ -




FIG. 4. A 1-cochain  $a$  has a value 1 on the red links, Another 1-cochain  $a'$  has a value 1 on the blue links. On the left,  $a \smile a'$  is non-zero on the shade triangles:  $(a \smile a')_{ijl} = a_{ij}a'_{jl} = 1$ . On the right,  $a' \smile a$  is zero on every triangle. Thus  $a \smile a' + a' \smile a$  is not a coboundary.

chain. We will use the same  $M^d$  to denote it:

$$M^d = \sum_{[i,j,\dots,k]} s_{i,j,\dots,k} [i,j,\dots,k], \quad (\text{A5})$$

where  $s_{i,j,\dots,k} = \pm 1$ , describing the relative orientation between  $M^d$  and  $[i,j,\dots,k]$ . Viewing  $f_d$  as a linear map of  $d$ -chains, we can define an “integral” over  $M^d$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{M^d} f_d &\equiv f_d(M^d) = \sum_{[i,j,\dots,k]} f_{d;i,j,\dots,k} s_{i,j,\dots,k} \\ &= \sum_{[i,j,\dots,k]} f_d([i,j,\dots,k]) s_{i,j,\dots,k}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A6})$$

### 3. Derivative operator on cochains

We can define a derivative operator  $d$  acting on an  $n$ -cochain  $f_n$ , which give us an  $(n+1)$ -cochain (see Fig. 3):

$$\begin{aligned} (df_n)([i_0 i_1 i_2 \dots i_{n+1}]) \\ = \sum_{m=0}^{n+1} (-)^m f_n([i_0 i_1 i_2 \dots \hat{i}_m \dots i_{n+1}]) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A7})$$

where  $i_0 i_1 i_2 \dots \hat{i}_m \dots i_{n+1}$  is the sequence  $i_0 i_1 i_2 \dots i_{n+1}$  with  $i_m$  removed, and  $i_0, i_1, i_2 \dots i_{n+1}$  are the ordered vertices of the  $(n+1)$ -simplex  $(i_0 i_1 i_2 \dots i_{n+1})$ .

A cochain  $f_n \in C^n(M^d; \mathbb{M})$  is called a *cocycle* if  $df_n = 0$ . The set of cocycles is denoted by  $Z^n(M^d; \mathbb{M})$ . A cochain  $f_n$  is called a *coboundary* if there exist a cochain  $f_{n-1}$  such that  $df_{n-1} = f_n$ . The set of coboundaries is denoted by  $B^n(M^d; \mathbb{M})$ . Both  $Z^n(M^d; \mathbb{M})$  and  $B^n(M^d; \mathbb{M})$  are Abelian groups as well. Since  $d^2 = 0$ , a coboundary is always a cocycle:  $B^n(M^d; \mathbb{M}) \subset Z^n(M^d; \mathbb{M})$ . We may view two cocycles differ by a coboundary as equivalent. The equivalence classes of cocycles,  $[f_n]$ , form the so called cohomology group denoted by

$$H^n(M^d; \mathbb{M}) = Z^n(M^d; \mathbb{M}) / B^n(M^d; \mathbb{M}), \quad (\text{A8})$$

$H^n(M^d; \mathbb{M})$ , as a group quotient of  $Z^n(M^d; \mathbb{M})$  by  $B^n(M^d; \mathbb{M})$ , is also an Abelian group.

For the  $\mathbb{Z}_N$ -valued cochain  $x_n$ , we lift  $\mathbb{Z}_N$  to  $\mathbb{Z}$ , via  $\{0, 1, \dots, N-1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_N$  to  $\{0, 1, \dots, N-1\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ , and define

$$\beta_N x_n \equiv \frac{1}{N} dx_n \quad (\text{A9})$$

When  $x_n$  is a cocycle, we have  $dx_n \stackrel{N}{=} 0$ . In this case,  $\beta_N x_n$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued cocycle, and  $\beta_N$  is Bockstein homomorphism.

### 4. Cup product and higher cup product

From two cochains  $f_m$  and  $h_n$ , we can construct a third cochain  $p_{m+n}$  via the cup product (see Fig. 4):

$$p_{m+n} = f_m \smile h_n, \quad (\text{A10})$$

$$p_{m+n}([0 \rightarrow m+n]) = f_m([0 \rightarrow m]) h_n([m \rightarrow m+n]),$$

where  $i \rightarrow j$  is the consecutive sequence from  $i$  to  $j$ :

$$i \rightarrow j \equiv i, i+1, \dots, j-1, j. \quad (\text{A11})$$

Note that the the order of vertices in a simplex  $(0 \rightarrow m)$  and the notion of consecutive sequence are determined by the branch structure. Thus the cup product (and the higher cup product below) on a simplicial complex can be defined only after we assign a branch structure to the simplicial complex. The value of the cup product depends on the branch structure.

The cup product has the following property

$$d(h_n \smile f_m) = (dh_n) \smile f_m + (-)^n h_n \smile (df_m) \quad (\text{A12})$$

for cochains with global or local values. We see that  $h_n \smile f_m$  is a cocycle if both  $f_m$  and  $h_n$  are cocycles. If both  $f_m$  and  $h_n$  are cocycles, then  $f_m \smile h_n$  is a coboundary if one of  $f_m$  and  $h_n$  is a coboundary. So the cup product is also an operation on cohomology groups  $\smile: H^m(M^D; \mathbb{M}) \times H^n(M^D; \mathbb{M}) \rightarrow H^{m+n}(M^D; \mathbb{M})$ . The cup product of two *cocycles* has the following property (see Fig. 4)

$$f_m \smile h_n = (-)^{mn} h_n \smile f_m + \text{coboundary} \quad (\text{A13})$$

We can also define higher cup product  $f_m \smile_k h_n$  which gives rise to a  $(m+n-k)$ -cochain [78]:

$$\begin{aligned} (f_m \smile_k h_n)([0, 1, \dots, m+n-k]) \\ = \sum_{0 \leq i_0 < \dots < i_k \leq m+n-k} (-)^p f_m([0 \rightarrow i_0, i_1 \rightarrow i_2, \dots]) \times \\ h_n([i_0 \rightarrow i_1, i_2 \rightarrow i_3, \dots]), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A14})$$

and  $f_m \smile_k h_n = 0$  for  $k < 0$  or for  $k > m$  or  $n$ . Here  $i \rightarrow j$  is the sequence  $i, i+1, \dots, j-1, j$ , and  $p$  is the number of permutations to bring the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow i_0, i_1 \rightarrow i_2, \dots; i_0+1 \rightarrow i_1-1, i_2+1 \rightarrow i_3-1, \dots \quad (\text{A15})$$

to the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow m + n - k. \quad (\text{A16})$$

For example

$$(f_m \smile_1 h_n)([0 \rightarrow m + n - 1]) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (-)^{(m-i)(n+1)} \times \\ f_m([0 \rightarrow i, i + n \rightarrow m + n - 1]) h_n([i \rightarrow i + n]). \quad (\text{A17})$$

We can see that  $\smile_0 = \smile$ . Unlike cup product at  $k = 0$ , the higher cup product  $\smile_k$  of two cocycles may not be a cocycle. For cochains  $f_m, h_n$ , we have

$$d(f_m \smile_k h_n) = d f_m \smile_k h_n + (-)^m f_m \smile_k d h_n + \\ (-)^{m+n-k} f_m \smile_{k-1} h_n + (-)^{mn+m+n} h_n \smile_{k-1} f_m \quad (\text{A18})$$

Let  $f_m$  and  $h_n$  be cocycles and  $c_l$  be a cochain, from (A18) we can obtain

$$d(f_m \smile_k h_n) = (-)^{m+n-k} f_m \smile_{k-1} h_n \\ + (-)^{mn+m+n} h_n \smile_{k-1} f_m, \\ d(f_m \smile_k f_m) = [(-)^k + (-)^m] f_m \smile_{k-1} f_m, \\ d(c_l \smile_{k-1} c_l + c_l \smile_k d c_l) = d c_l \smile_k d c_l \\ - [(-)^k - (-)^l] (c_l \smile_{k-2} c_l + c_l \smile_{k-1} d c_l). \quad (\text{A19})$$

## 5. Steenrod square and generalized Steenrod square

From (A19), we see that, for  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -valued cocycles  $z_n$ ,

$$\text{Sq}^{n-k}(z_n) \equiv z_n \smile_k z_n \quad (\text{A20})$$

is always a cocycle. Here  $\text{Sq}$  is called the Steenrod square. More generally  $h_n \smile_k h_n$  is a cocycle if  $n+k = \text{odd}$  and  $h_n$  is a cocycle. Usually, the Steenrod square is defined only for  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -valued cocycles or cohomology classes. Here, we like to define a generalized Steenrod square for  $\mathbb{M}$ -valued cochains  $c_n$ :

$$\text{Sq}^{n-k} c_n \equiv c_n \smile_k c_n + c_n \smile_{k+1} d c_n. \quad (\text{A21})$$

From (A19), we see that

$$d \text{Sq}^k c_n = d(c_n \smile_{n-k} c_n + c_n \smile_{n-k+1} d c_n) \quad (\text{A22}) \\ = \text{Sq}^k d c_n + (-)^n \begin{cases} 0, & k = \text{odd} \\ 2 \text{Sq}^{k+1} c_n & k = \text{even} \end{cases}.$$

In particular, when  $c_n$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -valued cochain, we have

$$d \text{Sq}^k c_n \stackrel{2}{=} \text{Sq}^k d c_n. \quad (\text{A23})$$

Next, let us consider the action of  $\text{Sq}^k$  on the sum of two  $\mathbb{M}$ -valued cochains  $c_n$  and  $c'_n$ :

$$\text{Sq}^k(c_n + c'_n) = \text{Sq}^k c_n + \text{Sq}^k c'_n + \\ c_n \smile_{n-k} c'_n + c'_n \smile_{n-k} c_n + c_n \smile_{n-k+1} d c'_n + c'_n \smile_{n-k+1} d c_n \\ = \text{Sq}^k c_n + \text{Sq}^k c'_n + [1 + (-)^k] c_n \smile_{n-k} c'_n \\ - (-)^{n-k} [(-)^{n-k} c'_n \smile_{n-k} c_n + (-)^n c_n \smile_{n-k} c'_n] \\ + c_n \smile_{n-k+1} d c'_n + c'_n \smile_{n-k+1} d c_n \quad (\text{A24})$$

Notice that (see (A18))

$$- (-)^{n-k} c'_n \smile_{n-k} c_n + (-)^n c_n \smile_{n-k} c'_n \quad (\text{A25}) \\ = d(c'_n \smile_{n-k+1} c_n) - d c'_n \smile_{n-k+1} c_n - (-)^n c'_n \smile_{n-k+1} d c_n,$$

we see that

$$\text{Sq}^k(c_n + c'_n) = \text{Sq}^k c_n + \text{Sq}^k c'_n + [1 + (-)^k] c_n \smile_{n-k} c'_n \\ + (-)^{n-k} [d c'_n \smile_{n-k+1} c_n + (-)^n c'_n \smile_{n-k+1} d c_n] \\ - (-)^{n-k} d(c'_n \smile_{n-k+1} c_n) + c_n \smile_{n-k+1} d c'_n + c'_n \smile_{n-k+1} d c_n \\ = \text{Sq}^k c_n + \text{Sq}^k c'_n + [1 + (-)^k] c_n \smile_{n-k} c'_n \\ + [1 + (-)^k] c'_n \smile_{n-k+1} d c_n - (-)^{n-k} d(c'_n \smile_{n-k+1} c_n) \\ - [(-)^{n-k+1} d c'_n \smile_{n-k+1} c_n - c_n \smile_{n-k+1} d c'_n]. \quad (\text{A26})$$

Notice that (see (A18))

$$(-)^{n-k+1} d c'_n \smile_{n-k+1} c_n - c_n \smile_{n-k+1} d c'_n \\ = d(d c'_n \smile_{n-k+2} c_n) + (-)^n d c'_n \smile_{n-k+2} d c_n, \quad (\text{A27})$$

we find

$$\text{Sq}^k(c_n + c'_n) = \text{Sq}^k c_n + \text{Sq}^k c'_n + [1 + (-)^k] c_n \smile_{n-k} c'_n \\ + [1 + (-)^k] c'_n \smile_{n-k+1} d c_n - (-)^{n-k} d(c'_n \smile_{n-k+1} c_n) \\ - d(d c'_n \smile_{n-k+2} c_n) - (-)^n d c'_n \smile_{n-k+2} d c_n \\ = \text{Sq}^k c_n + \text{Sq}^k c'_n - (-)^n d c'_n \smile_{n-k+2} d c_n \\ + [1 + (-)^k] [c_n \smile_{n-k} c'_n + c'_n \smile_{n-k+1} d c_n] \\ - (-)^{n-k} d(c'_n \smile_{n-k+1} c_n) - d(d c'_n \smile_{n-k+2} c_n). \quad (\text{A28})$$

We see that, if one of the  $c_n$  and  $c'_n$  is a cocycle,

$$\text{Sq}^k(c_n + c'_n) \stackrel{2, d}{=} \text{Sq}^k c_n + \text{Sq}^k c'_n. \quad (\text{A29})$$

We also see that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{S}q^k(c_n + df_{n-1}) \\
&= \mathbb{S}q^k c_n + \mathbb{S}q^k df_{n-1} + [1 + (-)^k] df_{n-1} \smile_{n-k} c_n \\
&\quad - (-)^{n-k} d(c_n \smile_{n-k+1} df_{n-1}) - d(dc_n \smile_{n-k+2} df_{n-1}) \\
&= \mathbb{S}q^k c_n + [1 + (-)^k] [df_{n-1} \smile_{n-k} c_n + (-)^n \mathbb{S}q^{k+1} f_{n-1}] \\
&\quad + d[\mathbb{S}q^k f_{n-1} - (-)^{n-k} c_n \smile_{n-k+1} df_{n-1} - dc_n \smile_{n-k+2} df_{n-1}].
\end{aligned} \tag{A30}$$

Using (A28), we can also obtain the following result if  $dc_n = \text{even}$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{S}q^k(c_n + 2c'_n) \\
&\stackrel{4}{=} \mathbb{S}q^k c_n + 2d(c_n \smile_{n-k+1} c'_n) + 2dc_n \smile_{n-k+1} c'_n \\
&\stackrel{4}{=} \mathbb{S}q^k c_n + 2d(c_n \smile_{n-k+1} c'_n)
\end{aligned} \tag{A31}$$

As another application, we note that, for a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -valued cochain  $m_d$  and using (A18),

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{S}q^1(m_d) &= m_d \smile_{d-1} m_d + m_d \smile_d dm_d \\
&= \frac{1}{2}(-)^d [d(m_d \smile_d m_d) - dm_d \smile_d m_d] + \frac{1}{2} m_d \smile_d dm_d \\
&= (-)^d \beta_2(m_d \smile_d m_d) - (-)^d \beta_2 m_d \smile_d m_d + m_d \smile_d \beta_2 m_d \\
&= (-)^d \beta_2 \mathbb{S}q^0 m_d - 2(-)^d \beta_2 m_d \smile_{d+1} \beta_2 m_d \\
&= (-)^d \beta_2 \mathbb{S}q^0 m_d - 2(-)^d \mathbb{S}q^0 \beta_2 m_d
\end{aligned} \tag{A32}$$

This way, we obtain a relation between Steenrod square and Bockstein homomorphism, when  $m_d$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -valued cocycle

$$\mathbb{S}q^1(m_d) \stackrel{2}{=} \beta_2 m_d, \tag{A33}$$

where we have used  $\mathbb{S}q^0 m_d = m_d$  when the value of the cochain is only 0,1.

For a  $k$ -cochain  $a_k$ ,  $k = \text{odd}$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{S}q^k a_k = a_k a_k + a_k \smile_1 da_k \\
&= \frac{1}{2} [da_k \smile_1 a_k - a_k \smile_1 da_k - d(a_k \smile_1 a_k)] + a_k \smile_1 da_k \\
&= \frac{1}{2} [da_k \smile_2 da_k - d(da_k \smile_2 a_k)] - \frac{1}{2} d(a_k \smile_1 a_k) \\
&= \frac{1}{4} d(da_k \smile_3 da_k) - \frac{1}{2} d(a_k \smile_1 a_k + da_k \smile_2 a_k)
\end{aligned} \tag{A34}$$

Thus  $\mathbb{S}q^k a_k$  is always a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -valued coboundary, when  $k$  is odd.

## 6. Branch structure dependence

Note that the concepts of chain and cochain do not depend on the branch structure. Although the definition of

the derivative operator  $d$  formally depends on the branch structure, in fact, it is independent of the branch structure as a map between cochains.

However, the cup product and higher cup product do depend on the branch structure, as maps from two cochains to one cochain. To stress this dependence, we write a higher cup product as  $\smile_k^B$ , where  $B$  denotes the branch structure. In this section, we like to study this branch structure dependence. First we need to find a quantitative way to describe a change of branch structures.

Let us compare two branch structures  $B_0$  and  $B$ . We can use a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -valued 1-cochain  $s$  to describe the difference between  $B_0$  and  $B$ :  $s_{ij} = 1$  if the arrow on the link  $(ij)$  is different for  $B_0$  and  $B$ , and  $s_{ij} = 0$  otherwise. However, not every 1-cochain  $s$  corresponds to the difference between two branch structures. We find that  $s$  describes the difference between two branch structures if and only if (iff) on every triangle  $(ijk)$ ,  $i < j < k$ ,  $s$  has a form

$$\begin{aligned}
& s_{ij} = 1, \quad s_{jk} = 0, \quad s_{ik} = 0; \\
\text{or } & s_{ij} = 0, \quad s_{jk} = 1, \quad s_{ik} = 0; \\
\text{or } & s_{ij} = 0, \quad s_{jk} = 1, \quad s_{ik} = 1; \\
\text{or } & s_{ij} = 1, \quad s_{jk} = 0, \quad s_{ik} = 1; \\
\text{or } & s_{ij} = 1, \quad s_{jk} = 1, \quad s_{ik} = 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{A35}$$

where the order  $i < j < k$  is determined by the base branch structure  $B_0$ . Thus, after we fixed a base branch structure  $B_0$ , all other branch structure can be described by  $s$ . We may write higher cup product as  $\smile_k^s$ . The higher cup product for the base branch structure  $B_0$  is written as  $\smile_k$ , which correspond to  $s = 0$ .

We believe that, for cocycles  $f, g$ ,  $f \smile^s g - f \smile g$  is a coboundary. Thus

$$f \smile^s g + d\nu(s, f, g) = f \smile g. \tag{A36}$$

If  $f$  and  $g$  are 1-cocycles, then we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
& f \smile g - f \smile^s g \\
&= d(s \smile_1 f \smile_1 g) + 2(s \smile_1 f) \smile g + 2f \smile (s \smile_1 g) \\
&\quad - 2(s \smile_1 f) \smile (s \smile_1 g) - 2(s \smile_1 g) \smile (s \smile f) \\
&\quad - 2(s \smile_1 f) \smile_1 (g \smile s) + 2(s \smile_1 g) \smile_1 (s \smile (s \smile_1 f)) \\
&\quad + 2(s \smile_1 f) \smile_1 ((s \smile_1 g) \smile s)
\end{aligned} \tag{A37}$$

holds on a triangle  $(ijk)$  for all the 5 choices of  $s$  in (A35). We prove it as follows. The value of the right hand side of (A37) on a triangle  $(ijk)$  with the branch structure  $B_0$  is

$$\begin{aligned}
& s_{ij} f_{ij} g_{ij} + s_{jk} f_{jk} g_{jk} - s_{ik} f_{ik} g_{ik} \\
&+ 2s_{ij} f_{ij} g_{jk} + 2f_{ij} s_{jk} g_{jk} - 2s_{ij} f_{ij} s_{jk} g_{jk} \\
&+ 2s_{ik} g_{ik} s_{ij} f_{jk} + 2s_{ik} f_{ik} g_{ij} s_{jk} \\
&- 2(g_{ik} f_{jk} + g_{ij} f_{ik}) s_{ij} s_{jk} s_{ik}
\end{aligned} \tag{A38}$$



where we have used (A17).

- If  $s_{ij} = 1, s_{jk} = 0, s_{ik} = 0$ , then the value of the left hand side of (A37) on the triangle  $(ijk)$  is  $f_{ij}g_{jk} - f_{ji}g_{ik}$ , while the value of (A38) is  $f_{ij}g_{ij} + 2f_{ij}g_{jk} = f_{ij}g_{jk} - f_{ji}g_{ik}$  since  $g_{ij} + g_{jk} - g_{ik} = 0$  where we have used the cocycle condition for  $g$ .
- If  $s_{ij} = 0, s_{jk} = 1, s_{ik} = 0$ , then the value of the left hand side of (A37) on the triangle  $(ijk)$  is  $f_{ij}g_{jk} - f_{ik}g_{kj}$ , while the value of (A38) is  $f_{jk}g_{jk} + 2f_{ij}g_{jk} = f_{ij}g_{jk} - f_{ik}g_{kj}$  since  $f_{ij} + f_{jk} - f_{ik} = 0$  where we have used the cocycle condition for  $f$ .

- If  $s_{ij} = 0, s_{jk} = 1, s_{ik} = 1$ , then the value of the left hand side of (A37) on the triangle  $(ijk)$  is  $f_{ij}g_{jk} - f_{ki}g_{ij}$ , while the value of (A38) is

$$\begin{aligned} & f_{jk}g_{jk} - f_{ik}g_{ik} + 2f_{ij}g_{jk} + 2f_{ik}g_{ij} \\ &= f_{ij}g_{jk} + f_{ik}g_{ij} + (f_{ij} + f_{jk})g_{jk} + f_{ik}(g_{ij} - g_{ik}) \\ &= f_{ij}g_{jk} - f_{ki}g_{ij} + f_{ik}g_{jk} - f_{ik}g_{jk} \\ &= f_{ij}g_{jk} - f_{ki}g_{ij} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A39})$$

since  $f_{ij} + f_{jk} - f_{ik} = 0$  and  $g_{ij} + g_{jk} - g_{ik} = 0$  where we have used the cocycle condition for  $f$  and  $g$ .

- If  $s_{ij} = 1, s_{jk} = 0, s_{ik} = 1$ , then the value of the left hand side of (A37) on the triangle  $(ijk)$  is  $f_{ij}g_{jk} - f_{jk}g_{ki}$ , while the value of (A38) is

$$\begin{aligned} & f_{ij}g_{ij} - f_{ik}g_{ik} + 2f_{ij}g_{jk} + 2f_{jk}g_{ik} \\ &= f_{ij}g_{jk} + f_{jk}g_{ik} + f_{ij}(g_{ij} + g_{jk}) + g_{ik}(f_{jk} - f_{ik}) \\ &= f_{ij}g_{jk} - f_{jk}g_{ki} + f_{ij}g_{ik} - g_{ik}f_{ij} \\ &= f_{ij}g_{jk} - f_{jk}g_{ki} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A40})$$

since  $f_{ij} + f_{jk} - f_{ik} = 0$  and  $g_{ij} + g_{jk} - g_{ik} = 0$  where we have used the cocycle condition for  $f$  and  $g$ .

- If  $s_{ij} = 1, s_{jk} = 1, s_{ik} = 1$ , then the value of the left hand side of (A37) on the triangle  $(ijk)$  is  $f_{ij}g_{jk} - f_{kj}g_{ji}$ , while the value of (A38) is

$$\begin{aligned} & f_{ij}g_{ij} + f_{jk}g_{jk} - f_{ik}g_{ik} + 2f_{ij}g_{jk} \\ & \quad + 2f_{jk}g_{ik} + 2f_{ik}g_{ij} - 2(g_{ik}f_{jk} + g_{ij}f_{ik}) \\ &= f_{ij}g_{jk} + f_{ij}(g_{ij} + g_{jk}) + f_{jk}g_{jk} - f_{ik}g_{ik} \\ &= f_{ij}g_{jk} + (f_{ij} - f_{ik})g_{ik} + f_{jk}g_{jk} \\ &= f_{ij}g_{jk} + f_{jk}(g_{jk} - g_{ik}) \\ &= f_{ij}g_{jk} - f_{jk}g_{ij} \\ &= f_{ij}g_{jk} - f_{kj}g_{ji} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A41})$$

since  $f_{ij} + f_{jk} - f_{ik} = 0$  and  $g_{ij} + g_{jk} - g_{ik} = 0$  where we have used the cocycle condition for  $f$  and  $g$ .

Thus we have proved that (A37) holds on a triangle  $(ijk)$  for all the 5 choices of  $s$  in (A35). So  $f \smile g - f \stackrel{\smile}{=} g$  is a coboundary modulo 2 if  $f$  and  $g$  are 1-cocycles.

## 7. Poincaré dual and pseudo-inverse of Poincaré dual

The Poincaré dual of a cochain  $f \in C^n(K; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is defined to be the cap product  $[K] \frown f \in C_{m-n}(K; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  where  $[K]$  is the fundamental class of  $K$  (the sum modulo 2 of all  $m$ -simplices of  $K$ ). The cap product  $\sigma \frown f$  for an  $m$ -simplex  $\sigma = [v_0, \dots, v_n, \dots, v_m]$  and  $f \in C^n(K; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is an  $(m-n)$ -chain, which is defined as:

$$\sigma \frown f := f([v_0, \dots, v_n])[v_n, \dots, v_m]. \quad (\text{A42})$$

So the Poincaré dual  $\text{PD}(f) = [K] \frown f$  is

$$\text{PD}(f) = \sum_{[v_0, \dots, v_n, \dots, v_m]} f([v_0, \dots, v_n])[v_n, \dots, v_m] \quad (\text{A43})$$

where  $\sum_{[v_0, \dots, v_n, \dots, v_m]}$  is the sum of all  $m$ -simplices of  $K$ .

Since the Poincaré dual is an isomorphism between cohomology and homology, it has an pseudo-inverse (defined on cycles and cocycles and up to a boundary or coboundary). The pseudo-inverse Poincaré dual of a cycle  $\psi \in C_{m-n}(K; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is a cocycle  $\overline{\text{PD}}(\psi) \in C^n(K; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ , which is defined via its values on all the  $n$ -simplices  $[v_0, \dots, v_n]$ : first assume that no summand of  $\psi$  is of the form  $[v, \dots]$  where  $v$  is any one of the first  $n$  vertices according to the order given by the branch structure. We can first determine the value of  $\overline{\text{PD}}(\psi)$  on the “minimal” subset of all the  $n$ -simplices  $[v_0, \dots, v_n]$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\text{PD}}(\psi)([v_0, \dots, v_n]) &= \psi_{v_n, \dots, v_m} \\ \text{for } \psi &= \sum_{[v_n, \dots, v_m]} \psi_{v_n, \dots, v_m} [v_n, \dots, v_m] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A44})$$

where  $\psi_{v_n, \dots, v_m} = 0, 1$ . Here by minimal we mean: since  $\overline{\text{PD}}(\psi)$  has to be a cocycle, its value on any boundary is zero, if we have determined the values of  $\overline{\text{PD}}(\psi)$  on the  $n$ -simplices consist of vertices that are prior according to the order given by the branch structure, then we can determine the values of  $\overline{\text{PD}}(\psi)$  on other  $n$ -simplices  $[v_0, \dots, v_n]$  and  $\overline{\text{PD}}(\psi)$  is defined up to a coboundary.

Note that the summand of the Poincaré dual of any cochain  $f \in C^n(K; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  can not be of the form  $[v, \dots]$  where  $v$  is any one of the first  $n$  vertices according to the order given by the branch structure. If  $\psi \in C_{m-n}(K; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is a cycle, we can modify  $\psi$  by a boundary such that no summand of  $\psi$  is of the form  $[v, \dots]$  where  $v$  is any one of the first  $n$  vertices according to the order given by the branch structure. So the definition of the pseudo-inverse Poincaré dual is complete.

For example, let  $K$  be the surface of a tetrahedron and  $|K| = S^2$ . Given the branch structure on  $K$  so that the 4 vertices of  $K$  are ordered as  $v_0, v_1, v_2$ , and  $v_3$ , see Figure 5. If  $\psi = [v_0, v_1] + [v_0, v_3] + [v_1, v_2] + [v_2, v_3]$ , then modify  $\psi$  by a boundary  $[v_0, v_1] + [v_0, v_3] + [v_1, v_3]$  such that no summand of  $\psi$  is of the form  $[v_0, \dots]$ , we get  $\psi' = [v_1, v_2] + [v_1, v_3] + [v_2, v_3]$ . By (A44),  $\overline{\text{PD}}(\psi')$  takes value 1 on  $[v_0, v_1]$ , so the sum of the values of  $\text{PD}(\psi')$  on  $[v_0, v_2]$  and  $[v_1, v_2]$  is 1. The values of

$\overline{\text{PD}}(\psi')$  on other 1-simplices can also be determined and  $\overline{\text{PD}}(\psi')$  is determined up to a coboundary. By (A43),  $\text{PD}(\overline{\text{PD}}(\psi')) = [v_1, v_2] + [v_1, v_3] + [v_2, v_3]$ . So  $\text{PD}(\overline{\text{PD}}(\psi'))$  and  $\psi'$  are equal.

Since  $v_0, \dots, v_n, \dots, v_m$  are ordered according to the branch structure, the Poincaré dual of a cochain and the pseudo-inverse Poincaré dual of a chain depends on the branch structure, *i.e.* the same cochain can have different Poincaré duals and the same chain can have different pseudo-inverse Poincaré duals for different branch structures.

### Appendix B: Comparison with Standard Mathematical Conventions and Stiefel-Whitney Class

In the main text of this article, we use the Stiefel-Whitney *cocycle*  $w_n$  and the Steenrod algebra for cochains, for example, summarized in Sec. A. In this section, instead, we make the comparison with the standard mathematical conventions and Stiefel-Whitney *characteristic class*  $w_n$ . Some of the math notations/conventions can be found also in the summary of [79].

Let us define mathematically carefully about Stiefel-Whitney class. The Stiefel-Whitney classes of a real vector bundle  $\xi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(\xi) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\xi)$  (here  $\mathcal{E}(\xi)$  is the total space of  $\xi$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\xi)$  is the base of  $\xi$ ) are the cohomology classes  $w_j(\xi) \in H^j(\mathcal{B}(\xi); \mathbb{Z}_2)$  ( $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) satisfying the following axioms:

A1:  $w_0(\xi) = 1 \in H^0(\mathcal{B}(\xi); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ ,  $w_j(\xi) = 0$ , for  $\forall j > n$ .

A2: **Naturality** — For  $f : \mathcal{B}(\xi) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\eta)$  covered by a bundle map (so that  $\xi = f^*\eta$ ),  $w_j(\xi) = f^*w_j(\eta)$ .

A3: **Whitney sum formula** — If  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  are vector bundles over the same base  $\mathcal{B}$ , then  $w_k(\xi \oplus \eta) = \sum_{j=0}^k w_j(\xi) \smile w_{k-j}(\eta)$ .

A4: For a canonical line bundle  $\gamma_1^1$  over  $\mathbb{RP}^1$ ,  $w_1(\gamma_1^1) \neq 0$  (*i.e.* The  $\gamma_1^1$  is the Möbius strip. The  $\gamma_n^1$  is the canonical line bundle over  $\mathbb{RP}^n$ ).

The Steenrod square is  $\text{Sq}^{n-k}c_n \equiv c_n \smile_k c_n$  for a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -valued  $n$ -cohomology class  $c_n \in H^n(-, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . The first Steenrod square  $\text{Sq}^1 : H^n(-, \mathbb{Z}_2) \mapsto H^{n+1}(-, \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is the Bockstein homomorphism associated with the group extension  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ . The  $\beta_2 : H^n(-, \mathbb{Z}_2) \mapsto H^{n+1}(-, \mathbb{Z})$  is the Bockstein homomorphism associated with the group extension  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Poincaré dual means  $\text{PD}(B) = B \frown [M]$  where  $\frown$  is the cap product, the PD maps a cohomology class  $B$  to a homology class, and  $[M]$  is the fundamental class of the manifold. So PD is the cap product between a cohomology class and the fundamental class of the manifold. The cup product  $\smile$  is a product between a cochain and another cochain. We shall make the cup product  $\smile$  implicit whenever the product is clear written between cochains.

### Appendix C: Emergence of Half-Integer Spin and Fermi Statistics

In the following, we like to explain more carefully why  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge charge current  $l_3$  is a fermion current, or why the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge charge is a fermion. We like to show that for a twisted  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -gauge theory satisfying  $da^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \stackrel{2}{=} w_2$ , the corresponding  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge charge is a fermion. This is because  $da^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \stackrel{2}{=} w_2$  implies that under a combined  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -gauge and  $\text{SO}(\infty)$  spacetime rotation transformation, the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge charge transforms as  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \rtimes_{w_2} \text{SO}(\infty)$ . In other words, the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge charge couple to a  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \rtimes_{w_2} \text{SO}(\infty)$  connection in spacetime. Let us use

$$(a_{ij}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}, \gamma_{ij}), \quad a_{ij}^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \in \mathbb{Z}_2, \quad \gamma_{ij} \in \text{SO}(\infty) \quad (\text{C1})$$

on link  $(ij)$  to describe a  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \rtimes_{w_2} \text{SO}(\infty)$ . Here we use a pair

$$(a^{\mathbb{Z}_2}, \gamma), \quad a^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \in \mathbb{Z}_2, \quad \gamma \in \text{SO}(\infty) \quad (\text{C2})$$

to label an element in  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \rtimes_{w_2} \text{SO}(\infty)$ . The group multiplication in  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \rtimes_{w_2} \text{SO}(\infty)$  is given by

$$(a_1^{\mathbb{Z}_2}, \gamma_1) (a_2^{\mathbb{Z}_2}, \gamma_2) = (a_1^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + a_2^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + w_2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2), \gamma_1 \gamma_2) \quad (\text{C3})$$

where  $w_2(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in H^2(\text{BSO}(\infty); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ .

For a nearly flat connection  $(a_{ij}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}, \gamma_{ij})$  on a triangle  $(ijk)$ , we have

$$(a_{ij}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}, \gamma_{ij}) (a_{jk}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}, \gamma_{jk}) = (a_{ij}^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + a_{jk}^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + w_2(\gamma_{ij}, \gamma_{jk}), \gamma_{ij} \gamma_{jk}) \approx (a_{ik}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}, \gamma_{ik}). \quad (\text{C4})$$

We see that

$$w_2(\gamma_{ij}, \gamma_{jk}) \stackrel{2}{=} a_{ik}^{\mathbb{Z}_2} - a_{ij}^{\mathbb{Z}_2} - a_{jk}^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \quad (\text{C5})$$

which is  $w_2 \stackrel{2}{=} da^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ . This way we show that the twisted  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -gauge theory has a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge charge that transforms as  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \rtimes_{w_2} \text{SO}(\infty) = \text{Spin}(\infty)$  simply denoted as  $\text{Spin}$ . In other words, the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge charge carries a half-integer spin, and is a fermion using the spin-statistics theorem.

We may also compute the statistics of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge charge directly (which is phrased as the high dimensional bosonization in Ref. 17 and 65). Let us assume the worldline of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge charge is a *boundary*. In this case, the Poincaré dual of the worldline is a *coboundary*

$$l_3 \stackrel{2}{=} db_c^{\mathbb{Z}_2}. \quad (\text{C6})$$

Now we can rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} \text{Sq}^2 l_3 + l_3 w_2} &= e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} \text{Sq}^2 db_c^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + db_c^{\mathbb{Z}_2} w_2} \\ &= e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} \text{Sq}^2 db_c^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + db_c^{\mathbb{Z}_2} w_2} = e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} d\text{Sq}^2 b_c^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + db_c^{\mathbb{Z}_2} w_2} \\ &= e^{i\pi \int_{B^4} \text{Sq}^2 b_c^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + b_c^{\mathbb{Z}_2} w_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C7})$$

Here  $\text{Sq}$  is a generalized Steenrod square that acts on a cochain  $c_n$

$$\text{Sq}^{n-k} c_n \equiv c_n \smile_k c_n + c_n \smile_{k+1} dc_n, \quad (\text{C8})$$

where  $\smile_k$  is the higher cup product. It has properties

$$\begin{aligned} d\text{Sq}^k c_n &\stackrel{2}{=} \text{Sq}^k dc_n, \\ \text{Sq}^k (c_n + c'_n) &\stackrel{2}{=} \text{Sq}^k c_n + \text{Sq}^k c'_n + dc'_n \smile_{n-k+2} dc_n \\ &\quad + d(c'_n \smile_{n-k+1} c_n) + d(dc'_n \smile_{n-k+2} c_n) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C9})$$

Now the term in the path integral that contain  $l_3$  becomes

$$e^{i\pi \int_{B^4} l_3 a^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + \text{Sq}^2 b_c^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + b_c^{\mathbb{Z}_2} w_2}, \quad (\text{C10})$$

where  $b_c^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$  is given by  $l_3$  via  $db_c^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \stackrel{2}{=} l_3$ . The above phase factor has a gauge invariance

$$w_2 \rightarrow w_2 + du_1, \quad a^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \rightarrow a^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + u_1. \quad (\text{C11})$$

We note that  $b_c^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$  is determined up to a cocycle  $b_0^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{i\pi \int_{B^4} l_3 a^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + \text{Sq}^2 (b_c^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + b_0^{\mathbb{Z}_2}) + (b_c^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + b_0^{\mathbb{Z}_2}) w_2} \\ &= e^{i\pi \int_{B^4} l_3 a^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + \text{Sq}^2 b_c^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + \text{Sq}^2 b_0^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + (b_c^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + b_0^{\mathbb{Z}_2}) w_2} \\ &= e^{i\pi \int_{B^4} l_3 a^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + \text{Sq}^2 b_c^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + b_c^{\mathbb{Z}_2} w_2} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C12})$$

therefore the phase factor (C10) does not depend on this  $b_0^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$  ambiguity. In the above, we have used  $\text{Sq}^2 b_0^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + b_0^{\mathbb{Z}_2} w_2 \stackrel{2,d}{=} 0$  since  $w_1 \stackrel{2,d}{=} 0$ .

The linear  $l_3$ -term in the phase factor

$$e^{i\pi \int_{B^4} l_3 a^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + b_c^{\mathbb{Z}_2} w_2}, \quad (\text{C13})$$

describes the coupling to the background  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \rtimes_{w_2} \text{SO}(\infty)$ -connection, which indicates that the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge charge carry half-integer spin. The quadratic  $l_3$ -term

$$e^{i\pi \int_{B^4} \text{Sq}^2 b_c^{\mathbb{Z}_2}} = e^{i\pi \int_{B^4} (b_c^{\mathbb{Z}_2})^2 + l_3 \smile_1 b_c^{\mathbb{Z}_2}} \quad (\text{C14})$$

describes the Fermi statistics of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge charge. The absence of  $b_0^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$  cocycle ambiguity requires the linear  $l_3$ -term and the quadratic  $l_3$ -term to appear together as a combination (C10). Similarly, the WZW-like phase factor  $e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} \text{Sq}^2 l_3 + w_2 l_3}$  will not depend on how we extend from  $B^4$  to  $M^5$  only when the linear  $l_3$ -term  $w_2 l_3$  and the quadratic  $l_3$ -term  $\text{Sq}^2 l_3$  to appear together. This corresponds to the spin statistical theorem.

To summarize, adding a phase factor  $e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} \text{Sq}^2 l_3 + w_2 l_3}$  will make the current  $l_3$  on the boundary  $B^4 = \partial M^5$  to become a fermion current where the fermions carry a half-integer spin. Similarly, adding a phase factor  $e^{i\pi \int_{M^5} \text{Sq}^2 \beta_2 s_2 + w_2 \beta_2 s_2}$  will make  $\beta_2 s_2$  on the boundary to become a fermion current as well.

## Appendix D: Cobordism Group Data and Anomaly Classification

Let us systematically enumerate the pertinent cobordism group  $\text{TP}_d(G)$  with some spacetime-internal  $G$  symmetry in Table II.

$d$	1	2	3	4	5	6	...
$\text{TP}_d(\text{SO})$	0	0	$\mathbb{Z}$	0	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	0	...
$\text{TP}_d(\text{Spin})$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}$	0	0	0	...
$\text{TP}_d(\text{Spin} \times \text{U}(1))$	$\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}^2$	0	$\mathbb{Z}^2$	0	...
$\text{TP}_d(\text{Spin} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \text{U}(1))$	$\mathbb{Z}$	0	$\mathbb{Z}^2$	0	$\mathbb{Z}^2$	0	...
$\text{TP}_d(\text{Spin} \times \text{SU}(2))$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}^2$	0	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	...
$\text{TP}_d(\text{Spin} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \text{SU}(2))$	0	0	$\mathbb{Z}^2$	0	$\mathbb{Z}_2^2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2^2$	...
$\text{TP}_d(\text{Spin} \times \text{SO}(3))$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2^2$	$\mathbb{Z}^2$	0	0	0	...
$\text{TP}_d(\text{Spin} \times \text{Spin}(n \geq 7))$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}^2$	0	0	0	...
$\text{TP}_d(\text{Spin} \times \text{Spin}(10))$							
$\text{TP}_d(\text{Spin} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \text{Spin}(n \geq 7))$	0	0	$\mathbb{Z}^2$	0	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	...
$\text{TP}_d(\text{Spin} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \text{Spin}(10))$							
$\text{TP}_d(\text{Spin} \times \text{SO}(n \geq 7))$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2^2$	$\mathbb{Z}^2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	0	0	...
$\text{TP}_d(\text{Spin} \times \text{SO}(10))$							

TABLE II. The cobordism group  $\text{TP}_d(G)$  classifies the invertible topological phases or invertible topological field theories (including both the  $G$ -SPT state and the invertible topological order with  $G$ -symmetry) of spacetime-internal symmetry  $G$  in the  $d$ -dimensional spacetime. See the cobordism computations in [55, 77, 79].

In particular, we focus on  $\text{TP}_5(G)$  which classifies the invertible topological phases of spacetime-internal symmetry  $G$  in the 5-dimensional spacetime. We would like to comment why the invertible topological order characterized by  $w_2 w_3$  is present or absent in the given  $G$  symmetry.

Here we denote the  $w_j = w_j(TM) = w_j(a^{\text{SO}})$  as the  $j^{\text{th}}$  Stiefel-Whitney class of the spacetime tangent bundle  $(TM)$  of the spacetime manifold  $M$ , while  $w'_j = w_j(V_{\text{SO}(n)})$  is the  $j^{\text{th}}$  Stiefel-Whitney class of the associated vector bundle of the principal gauge bundle of  $\text{SO}(n) = \text{Spin}(n)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ .

Below let us explain why the cobordism invariant  $w_2 w_3$  vanishes in some  $G$  symmetry (e.g.,  $\text{Spin}$  and  $\text{Spin}^c \equiv \text{Spin} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \text{U}(1)$ ), but why the  $w_2 w_3$  persists in other symmetry (e.g.,  $\text{SO}$  and  $\text{Spin}^h = \text{Spin} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \text{SU}(2)$ ).

### 1. Spacetime and gauge bundle constraint

1. There is no particular constraint on  $w_2$  or  $w_3$  for the  $\text{SO}$  structure and  $\text{SO}$  manifold, thus the cobordism invariant  $w_2 w_3$  derived in the cobordism group of an  $\text{SO}$  structure still survives.
2. The constraint for the  $\text{Spin}$  structure and  $\text{Spin}$  manifold is  $w_2 = 0$ , thus  $w_3 = \text{Sq}^1 w_2 = 0$ , and  $w_2 w_3 = 0$ . We had also used the symmetry extension to trivialize the  $w_2 w_3$  term in an  $\text{SO}$  structure

via a pullback to 0 in a Spin structure under the group extension  $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \text{Spin} \rightarrow \text{SO} \rightarrow 1$ .

3. The constraint for the  $\text{Spin}^c \equiv \text{Spin} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \text{U}(1)$  structure is  $w_2 = c_1 \bmod 2$  also  $w_3 = 0$ , so  $w_2 w_3 = 0$ . To derive this, we use the  $w_3 = \text{Sq}^1 w_2 = \text{Sq}^1(c_1 \bmod 2) = 0$ , since  $\text{Sq}^1 \rho = 0$  where  $\rho$  is the mod 2 map. The  $\text{Sq}^1 = \rho \beta_2$  where  $\beta_2$  is the Bockstein associated with the short exact sequence  $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow 1$ , which induces the fiber sequence in their classifying spaces as  $\cdots \rightarrow B^2 \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow B^2 \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow B^3 \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \cdots$ . The  $\beta_2$  sends the  $\rho c_1 = c_1 \bmod 2 \in \mathbb{Z}_2$  in  $H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  to  $\beta_2 \rho c_1 = \beta_2(c_1 \bmod 2) \in \mathbb{Z}$  in  $H^3(M; \mathbb{Z})$ . Moreover, the group of homotopy classes of the maps from  $M$  to the higher classifying space  $B^n G$  is the cohomology group  $H^n(M; G)$ , which implies the long exact sequence of cohomology groups  $\cdots \rightarrow H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\rho} H^2(M; \mathbb{Z}_2) \xrightarrow{\beta_2} H^3(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \cdots$ . This implies that the  $\text{im} \rho = \ker \beta_2$ , thus  $\beta_2 \rho = 0$ . We derive the  $w_3 = \text{Sq}^1 w_2 = \text{Sq}^1(c_1 \bmod 2) = \text{Sq}^1(\rho c_1) = \rho \beta_2(\rho c_1) = \rho(\beta_2 \rho) c_1 = 0$ , thus  $w_2 w_3 = 0$ .

The constraint for the  $\text{Spin} \times \text{U}(1)$  structure still requires a Spin manifold ( $w_2 = 0$ ) with a tensor product structure of spacetime tangent bundle and the principal  $\text{U}(1)$  gauge bundle, thus  $w_2 w_3 = 0$ .

4. The constraint for the  $\text{Spin}^h \equiv \text{Spin} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \text{SU}(2)$  structure includes  $w_2 = w'_2$ , where we denote  $w'_j = w_j(V_{\text{SO}(3)})$ . Thus  $\text{Sq}^1 w_2 = \text{Sq}^1 w'_2 \Rightarrow w_3 = w'_3$ , so  $w_2 w_3 = w'_2 w'_3$  can be non-zero.

The constraint for the  $\text{Spin} \times \text{SU}(2)$  or  $\text{Spin} \times \text{SO}(3)$  structure still requires a Spin manifold ( $w_2 = 0$ ) with a tensor product structure of spacetime tangent bundle and the principal  $\text{SU}(2)$  or  $\text{SO}(3)$  gauge bundle, thus  $w_2 w_3 = 0$ .

5. Now we discuss  $\text{Spin} \times \text{Spin}(n \geq 7)$ ,  $\text{Spin} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \text{Spin}(n \geq 7)$  and  $\text{Spin} \times \text{SO}(n \geq 7)$ , especially when  $n = 10$  or 18 suitable for Grand Unified Theories [76, 80, 81].

The constraint for the  $\text{Spin} \times \text{Spin}(n \geq 7)$  and  $\text{Spin} \times \text{SO}(n \geq 7)$  structure still requires a Spin manifold ( $w_2 = 0$ ) with a tensor product structure of spacetime tangent bundle and the principal  $\text{SU}(2)$  or  $\text{SO}(3)$  gauge bundle, thus  $w_2 w_3 = 0$ .

The constraint for the  $\text{Spin} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \text{Spin}(n \geq 7)$  structure includes  $w_2 = w'_2$ , where we denote  $w'_j = w_j(V_{\text{SO}(n)})$ . Thus  $\text{Sq}^1 w_2 = \text{Sq}^1 w'_2 \Rightarrow w_3 = w'_3$ , so  $w_2 w_3 = w'_2 w'_3$  can be non-zero.

## 2. Cobordism invariants

To summarize their 5d cobordism invariants:

1.  $\text{TP}_5(\text{SO}) = \mathbb{Z}_2$  is generated by the cobordism invariant  $w_2 w_3$ . The manifold generator is a non-Spin manifold such as a Wu manifold

$$\text{SU}(3)/\text{SO}(3)$$

or a Dold manifold

$$\mathbb{CP}^2 \rtimes S^1$$

(which identifies the complex conjugation of coordinates in  $z \in \mathbb{CP}^2$  with the antipodal inversion of  $x \in S^1$ , so  $(z, x) \sim (\bar{z}, -x)$ ).

2.  $\text{TP}_5(\text{Spin}) = 0$  trivializes the cobordism invariant  $w_2 w_3$  to none via a pullback from  $\text{SO}$  to  $\text{Spin}$ .
3.  $\text{TP}_5(\text{Spin} \times \text{U}(1)) = \mathbb{Z}^2$  classes are generated by two 5d cobordism invariants  $ac_1^2$  and  $\mu(\text{PD}(c_1))$ . The 5d  $ac_1$  corresponds to the perturbative local anomaly captured by Feynman diagram of  $\text{U}(1)$ - $\text{U}(1)$ - $\text{U}(1)$  fields acting on the three vertices of the triangle diagram. The 5d  $\mu(\text{PD}(c_1))$  corresponds to the perturbative local anomaly captured by Feynman diagram of  $\text{U}(1)$ -gravity-gravity fields acting on the three vertices of the triangle diagram.

Here the  $a$  is the  $\text{U}(1)$  1-form gauge connection. Here the first Chern class  $c_1 = c_1(V_{\text{U}(1)})$  is written as the associated vector bundle of  $\text{U}(1)$ . The  $\mu(\text{PD}(c_1))$  is the 3d Rokhlin invariant of  $\text{PD}(c_1)$ , where  $\text{PD}(c_1)$  is the submanifold of a Spin 5-manifold which represents the Poincaré dual of  $c_1$ . In general, the Poincaré dual means  $\text{PD}(B) = B \cap [M]$  where  $\cap$  is the cap product,  $\text{PD}$  maps a cohomology class  $B$  to a homology class, and  $[M]$  is the fundamental class of the manifold.

The  $\text{TP}_5(\text{Spin} \times \text{U}(1)) = \mathbb{Z}^2$  are also descended from the two 6d topological invariants of the bordism group  $\Omega_6^{\text{Spin}}(\text{BU}(1))$ :  $c_1^3$  and  $\frac{1}{8}(\sigma(\text{PD}(c_1)) - F \cdot F)$  from the free part of the bordism group  $\Omega_6^{\text{Spin}}(\text{BU}(1))$ . The  $\text{PD}(c_1)$  is the submanifold of a Spin 6-manifold which represents the Poincaré dual of  $c_1 = c_1(V_{\text{U}(1)})$ . The  $\sigma(\text{PD}(c_1))$  is the signature of the 4-manifold  $\text{PD}(c_1)$ . The  $F$  is a 2d characteristic surface of the 4-manifold  $\text{PD}(c_1)$ , where  $F$  represents  $\text{PD}(B)$  where  $B \in H^2(\text{PD}(c_1); \mathbb{Z})$ . The  $F \cdot F$  is the intersection form of the 4-manifold  $\text{PD}(c_1)$ . The intersection form  $F \cdot F = \langle B \smile B, [\text{PD}(c_1)] \rangle$  is computed via the pairing between a cohomology class with a homology class, where  $[\text{PD}(c_1)]$  is the fundamental class of  $\text{PD}(c_1)$ . By Rokhlin's theorem,  $\sigma(\text{PD}(c_1)) - F \cdot F$  is a multiple of 8 and  $\frac{1}{8}(\sigma(\text{PD}(c_1)) - F \cdot F) = \text{Arf}(\text{PD}(c_1), F) \bmod 2$ . The  $\text{Arf}(\text{PD}(c_1), F)$  is the Arf invariant of a quadratic form  $\tilde{q} : H_1(F; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ , it is  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -valued, the LHS is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valued and equals to the RHS modulo 2. The  $F \cdot h = h \cdot h \bmod 2$  is true for all  $h \in H_2(\text{PD}(c_1); \mathbb{Z})$ .

4.  $\text{TP}_5(\text{Spin} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \text{U}(1)) = \text{TP}_5(\text{Spin}^c) = \mathbb{Z}^2$  classes are generated by two 5d cobordism invariants  $\frac{1}{2}ac_1^2$



and  $\frac{1}{48}c_1\text{CS}_3(TM)$ . The 5d  $\frac{1}{2}ac_1^2$  corresponds to the perturbative local anomaly captured by Feynman diagram of  $U(1)$ - $U(1)$ - $U(1)$  fields acting on the three vertices of the triangle diagram. The 5d  $\frac{1}{48}c_1\text{CS}_3(TM)$  corresponds to the perturbative local anomaly captured by Feynman diagram of  $U(1)$ -gravity-gravity fields acting on the three vertices of the triangle diagram.

The  $\text{TP}_5(\text{Spin}^c) = \mathbb{Z}^2$  are also descended from the two 6d topological invariants of the bordism group  $\Omega_6^{\text{Spin}^c}: \frac{1}{2}c_1^3$  and  $\frac{1}{16}\sigma(\text{PD}(c_1))$  from the free part of the bordism group  $\Omega_6^{\text{Spin}^c}$ . The  $\text{PD}(c_1)$  is a  $\text{Spin}$  submanifold of the  $\text{Spin}^c$  6-manifold which represents the Poincaré dual of  $c_1$ .

5.  $\text{TP}_5(\text{Spin} \times \text{SU}(2)) = \mathbb{Z}_2$  class is generated by a 5d cobordism invariant  $\tilde{\eta}\text{PD}(c_2(V_{\text{SU}(2)}))$ , where the  $\tilde{\eta}$  is a mod 2 index of 1d Dirac operator from  $\text{TP}_1(\text{Spin}) = \mathbb{Z}_2$  or  $\Omega_1^{\text{Spin}} = \mathbb{Z}_2$ . A 1d manifold generator for the cobordism invariant  $\tilde{\eta}$  is a 1d  $S^1$  for fermions with periodic boundary condition, so called the Ramond circle. A 4d manifold generator for the  $c_2(V_{\text{SU}(2)})$  is the nontrivial  $\text{SU}(2)$  bundle over the  $S^4$ , such that the instanton number is 1. So the 5d manifold generator for the cobordism invariant  $\tilde{\eta}\text{PD}(c_2(V_{\text{SU}(2)}))$  is the

$$S^1 \times S^4$$

with the fermion periodic boundary condition on  $S^1$  and the  $\text{SU}(2)$  bundle over  $S^4$  with an instanton number 1. The 4d boundary for a 5d  $\tilde{\eta}\text{PD}(c_2(V_{\text{SU}(2)}))$  captures the Witten  $\text{SU}(2)$  anomaly [82].

6.  $\text{TP}_5(\text{Spin} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \text{SU}(2)) = \text{TP}_5(\text{Spin}^h) = \mathbb{Z}_2^2$  classes are generated by two 5d cobordism invariants. One is the similar cobordism invariant as that of the  $\text{TP}_5(\text{Spin} \times \text{SU}(2)) = \mathbb{Z}_2$  whose 4d boundary has the Witten  $\text{SU}(2)$  anomaly [82]. The other is the  $w_2w_3 = w'_2w'_3$  with the  $w'_j = w_j(V_{\text{SO}(3)})$ .
7.  $\text{TP}_5(\text{Spin} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \text{Spin}(n)) = \mathbb{Z}_2$  has a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  class generated by  $w_2w_3 = w'_2w'_3$  with the  $w'_j = w_j(V_{\text{SO}(n)})$ .

*a. Anomalies in  $\text{SU}(2)$  vs  $\text{SO}(3)$ : cobordism vs homotopy group*

We note that the 4d nonperturbative global anomalies of an internal  $\text{SU}(2)$  symmetric theory on non-spin manifolds are classified by

$$\text{TP}_5(\text{Spin} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \text{SU}(2)) = \mathbb{Z}_2^2,$$

whose generators are the Witten  $\text{SU}(2)$  anomaly and the new  $\text{SU}(2)$  anomaly  $w_2w_3 = w'_2w'_3$ ; while on spin manifolds are classified by

$$\text{TP}_5(\text{Spin} \times \text{SU}(2)) = \mathbb{Z}_2,$$

whose generator is the Witten  $\text{SU}(2)$  anomaly. The global anomalies of an internal  $\text{SO}(3)$  symmetric theory on non-spin manifolds are classified by

$$\text{TP}_5(\text{SO} \times \text{SO}(3)) = \mathbb{Z}_2^2,$$

whose generators are the  $w_2w_3$  gravitational anomaly from the  $w_j(TM)$  of spacetime tangent bundle  $TM$  and the  $w'_2w'_3$  gauge anomaly from the  $w'_j(V_{\text{SO}(3)})$  of internal gauge bundle; while on spin manifolds are classified by

$$\text{TP}_5(\text{Spin} \times \text{SO}(3)) = 0.$$

We shall compare the cobordism group classification of global anomalies with the traditional homotopy group analysis [82] of global anomalies. We will find that the homotopy group analysis is insufficient, such that the homotopy group sometimes leads to incomplete or misleading results.

For example, in Table III, we learn that the homotopy group  $\pi_4(\text{SU}(2)) = \mathbb{Z}_2$  only gives the Witten  $\text{SU}(2)$  anomaly [82] but misses the new  $\text{SU}(2)$  anomaly [44]. We also learn that the homotopy group  $\pi_4(\text{SO}(3)) = \mathbb{Z}_2$  gives a possible global anomaly but in fact the topological invariant in the homotopy theory does not correspond to any 5d cobordism invariant on Spin manifolds (with  $\text{Spin} \times \text{SO}(3)$  structures). Thus there is no corresponding Witten  $\text{SU}(2)$  anomaly in an internal  $\text{SO}(3)$  symmetric theory.

$d$	1	2	3	4	5	6	...
$\pi_d(\text{SU}(2))$	0	0	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_{12}$	...
$\pi_d(\text{SO}(3))$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	0	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_{12}$	...

TABLE III. The homotopy group  $\pi_d(G)$  sometimes leads to incomplete or misleading results of global anomalies. The lesson is that we should use the  $d$ th cobordism group  $\text{TP}_d(G)$  to classify perturbative local and nonperturbative global anomalies in the  $(d-1)$ d spacetime, such as the cobordism group data in Table II. See the cobordism computations in [55, 79].

### 3. Trivialization via group extension

1. Trivialization via the pullback  $p^*$  in

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \text{Spin}(5) \xrightarrow{p} \text{SO}(5) \rightarrow 1,$$

following (33) and the symmetry extension method [43], gauging the normal subgroup  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  provides a boundary  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge theory construction of the 5d bulk  $w_2w_3$ .

- The 5d cobordism invariant  $w_2w_3$  for the 5d spacetime with the  $\text{SO}$  symmetry becomes trivialized to 0 for the spacetime with the  $\text{Spin}$  symmetry, because the  $\text{Spin}$  structure requires  $w_2 = 0$  and  $w_3 = \text{Sq}^1w_2 = 0$  on the  $\text{Spin}$  manifold.

- The  $w_2w_3$  of  $\text{TP}_5(\text{SO})$  is trivialized as  $p^*(w_2w_3) = 0$  in  $\text{TP}_5(\text{Spin})$ .

- So there is *no* Spin symmetric theory with some internal global  $\mathbb{Z}_2^f$  fermionic parity symmetry in 4d with the boundary anomaly of 5d  $w_2w_3$ .
- This means the 4d boundary anomaly of 5d  $w_2w_3$  of SO is also vanished in Spin. However, dynamically gauging the normal  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  subgroup provides a boundary  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge theory that preserves the SO symmetry but with the 't Hooft anomaly of  $w_2w_3$ .

## 2. Trivialization via the pullback $p^*$ in

$$1 \rightarrow U(1) \rightarrow \text{Spin}^c(5) \xrightarrow{p} \text{SO}(5) \rightarrow 1,$$

following the symmetry extension method [43], gauging the normal subgroup  $U(1)$  provides a boundary  $U(1)$  gauge theory construction of the 5d bulk  $w_2w_3$ .

- The 5d cobordism invariant  $w_2w_3$  for the 5d spacetime with the SO symmetry becomes trivialized to 0 for the spacetime with the  $\text{Spin}^c$  symmetry, because the  $\text{Spin}^c$  structure requires  $w_2 = c_1 \bmod 2$  and  $w_3 = \text{Sq}^1 w_2 = \text{Sq}^1(c_1 \bmod 2) = 0$  on the  $\text{Spin}^c$  manifold.
- The  $w_2w_3$  of  $\text{TP}_5(\text{SO})$  is trivialized as  $p^*(w_2w_3) = 0$  in  $\text{TP}_5(\text{Spin}^c)$ .
- So there is *no*  $\text{Spin}^c$  symmetric theory with some internal global  $U(1)$  symmetry in 4d with the boundary anomaly of 5d  $w_2w_3$ .
- This means the 4d boundary anomaly of 5d  $w_2w_3$  of SO is also vanished in  $\text{Spin}^c$ . However, dynamically gauging the normal  $U(1)$  subgroup provides a boundary  $U(1)$  gauge theory that preserves the SO symmetry but with the 't Hooft anomaly of  $w_2w_3$ .

## 3. The group extension

$$1 \rightarrow \text{SU}(2) \rightarrow \text{Spin}^h(5) \xrightarrow{p} \text{SO}(5) \rightarrow 1$$

however does *not* provide the trivialization of  $w_2w_3$ .

- The 5d cobordism invariant  $w_2w_3$  for the 5d spacetime with the SO symmetry becomes  $w_2w_3 = w'_2w'_3$  for the spacetime with the  $\text{Spin}^h$  symmetry, because the  $\text{Spin}^h$  structure requires  $w_2 = w'_2$  and  $w_3 = \text{Sq}^1 w_2 = \text{Sq}^1 w'_2 = w'_3$  on the  $\text{Spin}^h$  manifold. This also means the 4d gravitational anomaly on the boundary of 5d  $w_2w_3$  term becomes the 4d mixed gauge-gravitational anomaly on the boundary of 5d  $w'_2w'_3 = w_2w_3$  term.
- The  $w_2w_3$  of  $\text{TP}_5(\text{SO})$  is *not* trivialized but becomes  $p^*(w_2w_3) = w_2w_3 = w'_2w'_3$  in  $\text{TP}_5(\text{Spin}^h)$ .
- So there indeed exists certain  $\text{Spin}^h$  symmetric theory with some internal global  $\text{SU}(2)$  symmetry in 4d with the boundary 't Hooft anomaly of 5d  $w'_2w'_3 = w_2w_3$ . In fact, the Weyl fermion as a 2-component spacetime spinor and a 4-component internal  $\text{SU}(2)$  spinor, in the representation of  $(\mathbf{2}_L, \mathbf{4})$

of  $\text{Spin} \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \text{SU}(2) \equiv \text{Spin}^h$  has this precise so-called new  $\text{SU}(2)$  anomaly [44] of 5d  $w'_2w'_3 = w_2w_3$ .

- This means the 4d boundary anomaly of 5d  $w_2w_3$  of SO does not need to vanish in  $\text{Spin}^h$ . However, we can ask whether it is sensible to dynamically gauge the normal  $\text{SU}(2)$  subgroup in this  $\text{Spin}^h$  symmetric Weyl fermion theory with the new  $\text{SU}(2)$  anomaly [44].

— If we only restrict to the Spin manifold with  $w_2 = w'_2 = 0$  thus also  $w_3 = w'_3 = 0$ , then, yes, we can obtain a well-defined  $\text{SU}(2)$  gauge theory on a Spin manifold (such as a flat Euclidean or Minkowski spacetime) and we can study its dynamics [44].

— If we construct this  $\text{SU}(2)$  gauge theory on a generic non-Spin manifold with a  $\text{Spin}^h$  structure, then we have  $w_2 = w'_2 \neq 0$  thus also  $w_3 = w'_3 \neq 0$ . Then, no, we obtain an ill-defined  $\text{SU}(2)$  gauge theory by summing over the  $\text{SU}(2)$  bundle with the  $\text{SU}(2)$  connections on a generic non-Spin manifold. We cannot study the dynamics of an ill-defined  $\text{SU}(2)$  gauge theory with dynamical gauge-gravitational anomaly uncanceled [44].

## 4. The group extension

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Spin}(n \geq 3) \rightarrow \text{Spin}(5) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \text{Spin}(n \geq 3) \xrightarrow{p} \text{SO}(5) \rightarrow 1$$

however also does *not* provide the trivialization of  $w_2w_3$ , but modifies the  $w_2w_3$  to  $w_2w_3 = w'_2w'_3$ . The situation for  $n \geq 3$  is similar to our previous remark on  $\text{Spin}(3) = \text{SU}(2)$ .

- In comparison to the  $\text{Spin}(2) = U(1)$  case, there *exists* an all fermion  $\text{QED}_4$  as a  $U(1)$  gauge theory definable on a generic non-Spin manifold with a pure 4d gravitational anomaly as a 't Hooft anomaly of the spacetime diffeomorphism SO symmetry from the 5d  $w_2w_3$ .

- But for  $\text{Spin}(n \geq 3)$ , we do *not* have a  $\text{Spin}(n \geq 3)$  gauge theory — such a 4d gauge theory is *not* definable on a generic non-Spin manifold — with a pure 4d gravitational anomaly as a 't Hooft anomaly of the spacetime diffeomorphism SO symmetry from the 5d  $w_2w_3$ .

- For  $\text{Spin}(n \geq 3)$ , we do have a  $\text{Spin}(n)$ -symmetric theory definable on a generic non-Spin manifold with a 4d mixed gauge-gravitational anomaly as a 't Hooft anomaly of the gauge-diffeomorphism symmetry from the 5d  $w_2w_3 = w'_2w'_3$ .

— Dynamically gauging the  $\text{Spin}(n)$  in 4d alone makes sense only on a Spin manifold, which results in a 4d  $\text{Spin}(n)$  gauge theory with a well-defined dynamics on a 4d Spin manifold.

— Dynamically gauging the  $\text{Spin}(n)$  in 4d alone on a non-Spin manifold is ill-defined. But dynamically gauging the  $\text{Spin}(n)$  on a non-Spin manifold can result in a well-defined 4d-5d coupled fully

gauged system. This 4d-5d coupled system for  $\text{Spin}(n=10)$  is studied in [45–47].

### Appendix E: Oriented Bordism Groups and Manifold Generators

In Thom’s famous 1954 article [83], he showed that the oriented bordism ring is isomorphic to stable homotopy groups of the Thom spectrum  $MSO$ :  $\Omega_*^{\text{SO}} = \pi_*(MSO)$ . All of the homotopy groups are a direct sum  $\mathbb{Z}^r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^s$ . Bordism classes of oriented manifolds are completely determined by their Pontryagin and Stiefel-Whitney numbers. The mod 2 cohomology of  $MSO$  is the same as the mod 2 cohomology of  $BSO$ , a polynomial ring on the Stiefel-Whitney classes  $w_2, w_3, \dots$  whose Poincaré series is

$$\prod_{i \geq 2} \frac{1}{1 - t^i}.$$

Rationally, the oriented bordism ring is a polynomial algebra  $\mathbb{Q}[x_4, x_8, x_{12}, \dots]$  on generators in degrees that are a multiple of 4. This tells us the rank  $r$  of each group. The Poincaré series for the free part of  $\Omega_*^{\text{SO}}$  is thus

$$p_{\text{free}}(t) = \prod_{i \geq 1} \frac{1}{1 - t^{4i}}.$$

2-locally, the Thom spectrum  $MSO$  is a wedge sum of suspensions of Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectra  $H\mathbb{Z}_2$  and  $H\mathbb{Z}$ . This allows us to write

$$H^*(MSO; \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \bigoplus_{\text{free summands}} H^*(H\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Z}_2) \oplus \bigoplus_{\text{torsion summands}} H^*(H\mathbb{Z}_2; \mathbb{Z}_2). \quad (\text{E1})$$

Let the Poincaré series for  $H^*(H\mathbb{Z}_2; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  and  $H^*(H\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  be  $p_{H\mathbb{Z}_2}(t)$  and  $p_{H\mathbb{Z}}(t)$  respectively, then by [84], we have

$$p_{H\mathbb{Z}_2}(t) = \prod_{k=2^i-1} \frac{1}{1 - t^k}$$

and

$$p_{H\mathbb{Z}}(t) = \frac{1}{1+t} p_{H\mathbb{Z}_2}(t).$$

Since the Poincaré series  $p_{\text{tors}}(t)$  of the torsion part in  $\Omega_*^{\text{SO}}$  satisfies

$$p_{\text{tors}}(t) \cdot p_{H\mathbb{Z}_2}(t) + p_{\text{free}}(t) \cdot p_{H\mathbb{Z}}(t) = \prod_{k \geq 2} \frac{1}{1 - t^k},$$

we can solve

$$p_{\text{tors}}(t) = [(1-t) \prod_{k \geq 2, k \neq 2^i-1} (\frac{1}{1-t^k})] - [\frac{1}{1+t} \prod_{k \geq 1} (\frac{1}{1-t^{4k}})].$$

In particular, we have Table IV [85, page 203].

In 5d, the bordism group  $\Omega_5^{\text{SO}} = \mathbb{Z}_2$  and the bordism invariant is  $w_2 w_3$  since the only non-vanishing Stiefel-Whitney number of oriented 5-manifolds is  $w_2 w_3$ .

$d$	$\Omega_d^{\text{SO}}$	manifold generators
0	$\mathbb{Z}$	
1	0	
2	0	
3	0	
4	$\mathbb{Z}$	$\mathbb{CP}^2$
5	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$Y^5$ , Wu $SU(3)/SO(3)$ , or Dold $S^1 \times_\tau \mathbb{CP}^2$ manifolds
6	0	
7	0	
8	$\mathbb{Z}^2$	$\mathbb{CP}^4, \mathbb{CP}^2 \times \mathbb{CP}^2$
9	$\mathbb{Z}_2^2$	$Y^9, Y^5 \times \mathbb{CP}^2$
10	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$Y^5 \times Y^5$
11	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$Y^{11}$

TABLE IV. Oriented bordism groups and manifold generators. As manifold  $Y^5$  (respectively  $Y^9, Y^{11}$ ) we may take the nonsingular hypersurface of degree (1, 1) in the product  $\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^4$  (respectively  $\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^8$  or  $\mathbb{RP}^4 \times \mathbb{RP}^8$ ) of real projective spaces. These manifolds are called real Milnor manifolds. The 5d Wu manifold is  $SU(3)/SO(3)$ . The 5d Dold manifold is  $S^1 \times_\tau \mathbb{CP}^2$  where the involution  $\tau$  sends  $(x, [y])$  to  $(-x, [\bar{y}])$ .

### Appendix F: Combinatorial Formula for Stiefel-Whitney Classes

In 1940, Whitney obtained an explicit combinatorial formula for the Stiefel-Whitney classes [86]. The formula is as follows. Let  $K$  be an  $m$ -dimensional combinatorial manifold and  $K'$  the first barycentric subdivision of  $K$ . Let  $C_n$  be the sum modulo 2 of all  $(m-n)$ -dimensional simplices of  $K'$ . Then the chain  $C_n$  is a cycle modulo 2 and represents the homology class  $W_n$  Poincaré dual to the  $n$ -th Stiefel-Whitney class of  $K$ .

In [64], the authors obtained a formula for the Stiefel-Whitney homology classes in the original triangulation without passing to the first barycentric subdivision. Their formula is as follows. A branch structure on a triangulation is an orientation of the links with no closed loops which in turn provides an order to the vertices of simplices. Given a branch structure on  $K$  so that any representation of a simplex in  $K$  is written with its vertices in increasing order. Let  $s$  be an  $(m-n)$ -simplex in  $K$ , say  $s = [v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{m-n}]$ . Let  $t$  be another simplex which has  $s$  as a face; *i.e.*  $s \subset t$  ( $s$  may be equal to  $t$ ).

Let  $B_{-1}$  = set of vertices of  $t$  less than  $v_0$ ,

$B_0$  = set of vertices of  $t$  strictly between  $v_0$  and  $v_1$ ,

$B_k$  = set of vertices of  $t$  strictly between  $v_k$  and  $v_{k+1}$ ,

$B_{m-n}$  = set of vertices of  $t$  greater than  $v_{m-n}$ .

We say that  $s$  is regular in  $t$ , if  $B_k$  is empty for every odd  $k$ . Let  $\partial_{m-n}(t)$  denote the mod 2 chain which consists of all  $(m-n)$ -simplices  $s$  in  $t$  so that  $s$  is regular in  $t$ . Then  $C'_n = \sum_{\dim t \geq m-n} \partial_{m-n}(t)$  is a chain which represents the homology class  $W_n$  Poincaré dual to the  $n$ -th Stiefel-Whitney class of  $K$ .

For example, let  $K$  be the surface of a tetrahedron and  $|K| = S^2$ . Then  $C'_1 = \sum_{\dim t \geq 1} \partial_1(t)$ . Given the branch structure on  $K$  so that the 4 vertices of  $K$  are ordered as  $v_0, v_1, v_2$ , and  $v_3$ , see Figure 5. For  $\dim t \geq 1$ ,  $t$  can





FIG. 5. The surface of a branch tetrahedron.

FIG. 6. The surface of a tetrahedron with another branch structure in which the order between  $v_0$  and  $v_1$  is reversed.

be chosen as  $[v_0, v_1]$ ,  $[v_0, v_2]$ ,  $[v_1, v_2]$ ,  $[v_0, v_3]$ ,  $[v_1, v_3]$ , and  $[v_2, v_3]$  if  $\dim t = 1$  and  $[v_0, v_1, v_2]$ ,  $[v_0, v_1, v_3]$ ,  $[v_0, v_2, v_3]$ , and  $[v_1, v_2, v_3]$  if  $\dim t = 2$ . If  $\dim t = 1$  and  $s \in \partial_1(t)$ , then  $s = t$ , if  $\dim t = 2$  and  $s \in \partial_1(t)$ , then  $s$  is the 1-simplex whose two vertices are the smallest and the greatest vertices of  $t$ . Therefore,  $C'_1 = [v_0, v_1] + [v_0, v_3] + [v_1, v_2] + [v_2, v_3]$ . If another branch structure is given on  $K$  so that the order between  $v_0$  and  $v_1$  is reversed while other orders remain the same, see Figure 6, then  $C'_1$  changes to  $[v_0, v_1] + [v_0, v_2] + [v_1, v_3] + [v_2, v_3]$  and the difference with the original  $C'_1$  is  $[v_0, v_3] + [v_0, v_2] + [v_1, v_2] + [v_1, v_3]$  which is a boundary. So  $C'_n$  depends on the branch structure and different choices of branch structures can only change  $C'_n$  by a boundary.

The Poincaré dual (see Appendix A 7) also depends on the branch structure. Thus  $w_n$  depends on the branch structure and different choices of branch structures can only change  $w_n$  by a coboundary.

## Appendix G: Compute $w_2 w_3$ on Real Milnor, Wu, and Dold Manifolds

The 5d real Milnor manifold [87]  $Y^5 = H(2, 4)$  is the submanifold of  $\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^4$  given by

$$H(2, 4) = \{([x_0, x_1, x_2], [y_0, \dots, y_4]) \in \mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^4 : \sum_{i=0}^2 x_i y_i = 0\}. \quad (\text{G1})$$

In fact,  $H(2, 4)$  is the submanifold of  $\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^4$  Poincaré dual to  $(a + b)$  where  $a$  and  $b$  are the generators of  $H^*(\mathbb{RP}^2; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  and  $H^*(\mathbb{RP}^4; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  respectively. Note that  $a^3 = 0$  and  $b^5 = 0$ . The total Stiefel-Whitney class  $w(H(2, 4))$  of  $H(2, 4)$  is given by the restriction to  $H(2, 4)$  of the expression

$$\frac{(1 + a)^3 (1 + b)^5}{(1 + a + b)}.$$

By direct computation, we find that  $w_2 = a^2 + ab$  and  $w_3 = ab^2 + a^2 b$ . So  $w_2 w_3 = a^2 b^3$  and the Stiefel-Whitney number  $\langle w_2 w_3, [Y^5] \rangle = \langle (a + b) w_2 w_3, [\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^4] \rangle = 1$ .

The Wu manifold  $W := \text{SU}(3)/\text{SO}(3)$  has cohomology ring  $H^*(W; \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2[z_2, z_3]/(z_2^2, z_3^2)$  with the total Stiefel-Whitney class  $w(W) = 1 + z_2 + z_3$ ,  $\text{Sq}(z_2) = z_2 + z_3$ , and  $\text{Sq}(z_3) = z_3 + z_2 z_3$  where  $\text{Sq} := \text{Sq}^0 + \text{Sq}^1 + \text{Sq}^2 + \dots$  is the total Steenrod square. So the Stiefel-Whitney number  $\langle w_2 w_3, [W] \rangle = 1$ .

The 5d Dold manifold [88]  $P(1, 2)$  is the quotient  $S^1 \times_\tau \mathbb{CP}^2$  where the involution  $\tau$  sends  $(x, [y])$  to  $(-x, [\bar{y}])$ . The ring structure of  $H^*(P(1, 2); \mathbb{Z}_2)$  is

$$H^*(P(1, 2); \mathbb{Z}_2) = [\mathbb{Z}_2[c]/(c^2 = 0)] \otimes [\mathbb{Z}_2[d]/(d^3 = 0)],$$

and the total Stiefel-Whitney class of  $P(1, 2)$  is

$$w(P(1, 2)) = (1 + c)(1 + c + d)^3,$$

where  $c \in H^1(P(1, 2); \mathbb{Z}_2)$  and  $d \in H^2(P(1, 2); \mathbb{Z}_2)$ . The Steenrod squares act by

$$\text{Sq}^0 = \text{id}, \text{Sq}^1(c) = 0, \text{Sq}^1(d) = cd, \text{Sq}^2(d) = d^2,$$

and all other Steenrod squares act trivially on  $c$  and  $d$ . By direct computation, we find that  $w_2 = d$  and  $w_3 = cd$ . So the Stiefel-Whitney number  $\langle w_2 w_3, [P(1, 2)] \rangle = 1$ .

## Appendix H: Generalized Wu Relation

The classical Wu relation (31) expresses the action of a single Steenrod square  $\text{Sq}^n$  on a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -valued cocycle  $x_{d-n}$  in the top  $d$ -dimension on a manifold  $M^d$  as the cup product  $u_n x_{d-n}$  where  $u_n$  is the Wu class (32). In this section, we generalize this Wu relation to other elements in the mod 2 Steenrod algebra  $\mathcal{A}_2$ .

By Adem relation,  $\text{Sq}^1 \text{Sq}^1 = 0$  and  $\text{Sq}^1 \text{Sq}^2 = \text{Sq}^3$ . So the simplest element in  $\mathcal{A}_2$  which is not a single Steenrod square is  $\text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1$ . We claim that  $\text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1 x_{d-3} = (w_1^3 + w_3)x_{d-3}$  on a manifold  $M^d$  where  $w_i$  is the Stiefel-Whitney class of  $M^d$ . In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Sq}^2 \text{Sq}^1 x_{d-3} \\ &= (w_1^2 + w_2)(\text{Sq}^1 x_{d-3}) \\ &= \text{Sq}^1(w_1^2 x_{d-3}) + (\text{Sq}^1 w_2)x_{d-3} + \text{Sq}^1(w_2 x_{d-3}) \\ &= w_1^3 x_{d-3} + (w_1 w_2 + w_3)x_{d-3} + w_1 w_2 x_{d-3} \\ &= (w_1^3 + w_3)x_{d-3}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{H1})$$

In the first equality, we used the Wu relation (31) for  $\text{Sq}^2$ . In the second equality, we used the product formula for Steenrod square  $\text{Sq}^k(x \smile y) = \sum_{i+j=k} \text{Sq}^i x \smile \text{Sq}^j y$  and  $\text{Sq}^1(w_1^2) = 0$ . In the third equality, we used the Wu relation (31) for  $\text{Sq}^1$  and  $\text{Sq}^1 w_2 = w_1 w_2 + w_3$ . This (H1) is a new generalized Wu relation, which is mentioned in (44).

### Appendix I: Pullback Construction of Branch-Independent Bosonic Models

In this section, we are going to present an general systematic construction of branch-independent bosonic models. We will first construct a model with a finite  $G$  symmetry, realizing a  $G$ -SPT order. The degrees of freedom in our model are described by  $g_i \in G$  on each vertex- $i$ . The model on space time  $M^d$  is defined by the path integral

$$Z(M^d) = \sum_{g_i} e^{-S(g_i)}. \quad (\text{I1})$$

We can rewrite that model as

$$Z(M^d) = \sum_{g_i} e^{-S(a_{ij}^G)}, \quad a_{ij}^G = g_i g_j^{-1}. \quad (\text{I2})$$

We can add a background flat  $G$ -gauge field  $A_{ij}^G \in G$

$$A_{ij}^G A_{jk}^G = A_{ik}^G \quad (\text{I3})$$

to describe the symmetry twist, and consider the following gauged model

$$Z(M^d, A^G) = \sum_{g_i} e^{-S(a_{ij}^G)}, \quad a_{ij}^G = g_i A_{ij}^G g_j^{-1}. \quad (\text{I4})$$

Note that  $a_{ij}^G$  satisfy a flat condition

$$a_{ij}^G a_{jk}^G = a_{ik}^G. \quad (\text{I5})$$

When  $A_{ij}^G = 1$ , the partition function in (I4) automatically has the  $G$  symmetry

$$g_i \rightarrow g_i h, \quad h \in G, \quad (\text{I6})$$

even for spacetime  $M^d$  with boundaries. This implies that the  $G$  symmetry is anomaly-free.

We can choose a proper  $S(a_{ij}^G)$  so that the bosonic model (I4) realized a bosonic SPT order. To do so, let us consider the classifying space of the group  $G$ , and choose an one-vertex triangulation of the classifying space. We denote the resulting simplicial complex as  $BG$ . For the details about the one-vertex triangulation, see Ref. 89.  $BG$  has the properties that  $\pi_1(BG) = G$  and  $\pi_{n \neq 1}(BG) = 0$ . Since  $BG$  has only one vertex, the links in  $BG$  are labeled by  $\bar{a}_{ij}^G \in G$ , that satisfy the condition

$$\bar{a}_{ij}^G \bar{a}_{jk}^G = \bar{a}_{ik}^G \quad (\text{I7})$$

for every triangles  $(ijk)$  in  $BG$ .

$a_{ij}^G$  on the links of spacetime simplicial complex  $M^d$  defines a homomorphism of simplicial complex

$$M^d \xrightarrow{\phi(a_{ij}^G)} BG. \quad (\text{I8})$$

$\phi(a_{ij}^G)$  maps the vertices in  $M^d$  to the only vertex in  $BG$ .  $\phi(a_{ij}^G)$  maps the link in  $M^d$  with value  $a_{ij}^G$  to the link in  $BG$  labeled by  $\bar{a}_{ik}^G = a_{ik}^G$ . The two flat conditions (I5) and (I7) ensure that  $\phi(a_{ij}^G)$  maps the triangles in  $M^d$  to triangles in  $BG$ , etc. Thus  $\phi(a_{ij}^G)$  is a homomorphism of simplicial complex, and  $a_{ij}^G$  is the pullback of  $\bar{a}_{ij}^G$  by  $\phi(a_{ij}^G)$ :

$$a_{ij}^G = \phi^*(a_{ij}^G) \bar{a}_{ij}^G. \quad (\text{I9})$$

Let  $\bar{\omega}_d$  be a  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ -valued cocycle in  $BG$ :

$$\bar{\omega}_d \in H^d(BG; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}). \quad (\text{I10})$$

Now we can construct a bosonic model using  $\bar{\omega}_d$ :

$$Z(M^d, A^G) = \sum_{g_i} e^{i2\pi \int_{M^d} \phi^*(a_{ij}^G) \bar{\omega}_d}, \quad a_{ij}^G = g_i A_{ij}^G g_j^{-1}. \quad (\text{I11})$$

We refer to this construction as pullback construction. Clearly, the resulting bosonic model is branch-independent, since during the whole construction, the branch structure is not even specified. We also note that the action amplitude  $e^{i2\pi \int_{M^d} \phi^*(a_{ij}^G) \bar{\omega}_d}$  does not depend on the Stiefel-Whitney cocycle  $w_n$ .

The branch-independent bosonic model (I11) realizes the SPT order labeled by  $\bar{\omega}_d \in H^d(BG; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ , and described by the SPT invariant [32, 33]

$$Z^{\text{top}}(M^d, A^G) = e^{i2\pi \int_{M^d} \phi^*(A_{ij}^G) \bar{\omega}_d}. \quad (\text{I12})$$

This is consistent with the group cohomology classification of SPT order [10].

The above construction of branch-independent bosonic models can be generalized to compact continuous group  $G$  with less rigor, where the branch-independent bosonic model is given by the following path integral

$$Z(M^d, A^G) = \sum_{\phi} e^{i2\pi \int_{M^d} \phi^* \bar{\omega}_d} e^{-\int_{M^d} \mathcal{L}(\phi)}. \quad (\text{I13})$$



Here we give the classifying space of  $G$  a triangulation and denote the resulting simplicial complex as  $BG$ . The triangulation temporarily breaks the  $G$  symmetry.  $\phi$  is a homomorphism from spacetime simplicial complex  $M^d$  to classifying space simplicial complex  $BG$ :

$$M^d \xrightarrow{\phi} BG. \quad (\text{I14})$$

The path integral is a sum over the homomorphisms  $\phi$ . This is similar to the definition of non-linear  $\sigma$ -model on a continuum spacetime, where the path integral is a sum over the continuous maps from spacetime manifold to target space. The term  $e^{-\int_{M^d} \mathcal{L}(\phi)}$  is chosen such that the model (I13) describes a disordered state. In the limit of fine triangulation the model has a  $G$  symmetry, and the disordered state is  $G$  symmetric.

Also,  $\bar{\omega}_d$  in the path integral (I13) is a  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ -valued cocycle in  $BG$ :

$$\bar{\omega}_d \in H^d(BG; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}). \quad (\text{I15})$$

If  $H^n(BG; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_n \oplus \dots$ , then  $H^n(BG; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_n \oplus \dots$  according to the universal coefficient theorem

$$H^d(X; \mathbb{M}) \simeq \mathbb{M} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} H^d(X; \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \text{Tor}(\mathbb{M}, H^{d+1}(X; \mathbb{Z})). \quad (\text{I16})$$

We see that the torsion part of  $H^d(BG; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$  and  $H^{d+1}(BG; \mathbb{Z})$  coincide:

$$\text{Tor} H^d(BG; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) = \text{Tor} H^{d+1}(BG; \mathbb{Z}). \quad (\text{I17})$$

The cocycle that generate  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  part of  $H^n(BG; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$  does not have a quantized coefficient and can be continuously changed to zero. So the  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  part of  $H^d(BG; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$  does not characterize a topological phase. Only  $\bar{\omega}_d \in \text{Tor} H^d(BG; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) = \text{Tor}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}, H^{d+1}(BG; \mathbb{Z}))$  gives rise to distinct topological phase via the model (I13), with is a  $G$ -SPT phase.

When  $G$  is continuous, some  $G$ -SPT order can belong to  $\mathbb{Z}$  class which is not a torsion. To construct branch-independent bosonic model to realize this kind of SPT order, we need to generalize the above model (I13) to the following form

$$Z(M^d, A^G) = \sum_{\phi} e^{-\int_{M^d} \mathcal{L}(\phi)} e^{i2\pi[\int_{M^d} \phi^* \bar{\omega}_d + \int_{N^{d+1}} \phi_N^* \bar{\nu}_{d+1}]}, \quad (\text{I18})$$

$$M^d = \partial N^{d+1}.$$

The term  $e^{i2\pi \int_{N^{d+1}} \phi_N^* \bar{\nu}_{d+1}}$ , living in one higher dimension, is a Wess-Zumino-Witten like term, and  $\phi_N$  is a homomorphism of simplicial complex

$$N^{d+1} \xrightarrow{\phi_N} BG \quad (\text{I19})$$

such that at the boundary  $M^d = \partial N^{d+1}$ ,  $\phi_N = \phi$ .  $\bar{\nu}_{d+1}$  is a  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued cocycle that satisfies a quantization condition

$$\int_{N^{d+1}} \bar{\nu}_{d+1} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (\text{I20})$$

for all closed  $N^{d+1}$  in  $BG$ . In other words, the  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued cocycle  $\bar{\nu}_{d+1}$  represents a cohomology class in the free part of  $H^{d+1}(BG; \mathbb{Z})$ :

$$\bar{\nu}_{d+1} \in \text{Free}(H^{d+1}(BG; \mathbb{Z})). \quad (\text{I21})$$

In the disordered phase, (I18) realizes a  $G$ -SPT order characterized by  $(\bar{\omega}_d, \bar{\nu}_{d+1})$  in  $\text{Tor}(H^d(BG; \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})) = \text{Tor}(H^{d+1}(BG; \mathbb{Z}))$  and  $\text{Free}(H^{d+1}(BG; \mathbb{Z}))$ . In other words, the  $G$ -SPT order characterized is characterized by the elements in  $H^{d+1}(BG; \mathbb{Z})$ , which agree with the group cohomology theory of SPT order for symmetries described by compact groups.

When  $G = \text{SO}(\infty)$ , the term  $e^{i2\pi \int_{N^{d+1}} \phi_N^* \bar{\nu}_{d+1}}$  gives rise to the  $\text{SO}(\infty)$  Chern-Simons term on  $M^d = \partial N^{d+1}$ , whose generator is the Pontryagin class (for  $d+1 = 0 \pmod{4}$ ). The pullback of different maps  $\phi$

$$M^d \xrightarrow{\phi} \text{BSO}(\infty) \quad (\text{I22})$$

give rise to different  $\text{SO}(\infty)$  bundle over  $M^d$ . If we restrict  $\sum_{\phi}$  in (I18) to a subset of maps  $\phi$ , such that the  $\text{SO}(\infty)$  bundle over  $M^d$  is the same as the stabilized tangent bundle of  $M^d$ , the model (I18) may realize a bosonic invertible topological order. The  $\mathbb{Z}$ -class of invertible topological orders are described by gravitational Chern-Simons term, which is also  $\text{SO}(\infty)$  Chern-Simons term. We see that the model (I18) can only realize gravitational Chern-Simons terms generated by Pontryagin classes, which have no framing anomaly. Thus in 3d, the model (I18) can only realize invertible topological orders generated by  $E_8^3$  topological order. The  $E_8$  topological orders is characterized by a gravitational Chern-Simons term that corresponds to  $\frac{1}{3}$  of the first Pontryagin class, which has a framing anomaly.

## Appendix J: Background vs Dynamical Gauge Transformations

In Sec. IIIB1, we describe the invariance or non-invariance of path integral in terms of the change of coboundaries and branch structures. Here we fill in some additional terminology more accessible for quantum field theorists: in terms of *background gauge transformations* vs *dynamical gauge transformations*.

For 4d  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge theory (24) described by  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -valued 1-cochain and 2-cochain dynamical fields  $a^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$  and  $b^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$

$$Z = \sum_{a^{\mathbb{Z}_2}, b^{\mathbb{Z}_2}} e^{i\pi \int_{B^4} a^{\mathbb{Z}_2} db^{\mathbb{Z}_2}},$$

where  $\sum_{a^{\mathbb{Z}_2}, b^{\mathbb{Z}_2}}$  is a summation over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -valued 1- and 2-cochains. The above theory has *dynamical gauge transformations* for dynamical fields:

$$a^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \rightarrow a^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + du_0, \quad b^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \rightarrow b^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + du_1, \quad (\text{J1})$$

where  $u_0 \in C^0(B^4; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  and  $u_1 \in C^1(B^4; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  are  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -valued 0- and 1-cochain fields.

Now let us discuss another interpretation of (25). The Stiefel-Whitney classes  $w_2 \in H^2(M^5; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  and  $w_3 \in H^3(M^5; \mathbb{Z}_2)$  are special cohomology classes satisfying the extra axioms A1-A4 listed earlier, with the base manifold  $M^5$  for the real vector bundle. Since  $M^5$  is orientable, we have  $w_1 \stackrel{2}{=} 0$  and  $w_3 \stackrel{2}{=} \text{Sq}^1 w_2$ .

When  $M^5$  has a boundary, the partition function (25) depends on the choice of the coboundaries in  $w_2$  and  $w_3$ , *i.e.* under the following *background gauge transformation* for non-dynamical fields:

$$\begin{aligned} w_2 &\rightarrow w_2 + dv_1, \\ w_3 &\rightarrow w_3 + \text{Sq}^1 dv_1 + dv_2 \rightarrow w_3 + dv_2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{J2})$$

Although the Stiefel-Whitney classes have the relation  $\text{Sq}^1 w_2 = w_3$  so that the transformation  $dv_1$  can be related to  $\text{Sq}^1 dv_1$ , but they can differ by a coboundary  $dv_2$  which thus absorbs  $\text{Sq}^1 dv_1$ .

An anomalous  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -gauge theory (that has 't Hooft anomaly of spacetime SO diffeomorphism) on the boundary  $B^4 = \partial M^5$  of the topological state is described by (25) which has not only the *dynamical gauge transformations* (involving  $u_0$  and  $u_1$  in (J1)) but also additional *background gauge transformations* (involving  $v_1$  in (J2)):

$$\begin{aligned} a^{\mathbb{Z}_2} &\rightarrow a^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + du_0 + v_1, & b^{\mathbb{Z}_2} &\rightarrow b^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + du_1 + v_2, \\ w_2 &\rightarrow w_2 + dv_1, & w_3 &\rightarrow w_3 + dv_2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{J3})$$

It turns out that the *background gauge transformations at the lattice scale* of the simplicial complex are important to ensure the anomaly inflow or anomaly cancellation between the bulk and boundary for the 't Hooft anomaly of global symmetries. In contrast, the *dynamical gauge transformations at the lattice scale* of the simplicial complex are not so crucial or fundamental — the *dynamical gauge invariance* at the lattice scale, even if we break them locally, the *dynamical gauge invariance* can re-emerge at a larger length scale. So only the *emergent dynamical gauge invariance* is crucial.

### Appendix K: $\mathbb{Z}_2$ topological order with emergent fermion and higher dimensional bosonization

In this section, we review and summarize the higher dimensional bosonization following Ref. 71. In  $d+1$ -dimensional spacetime, a bosonic model that realizes a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  topological order is described by the following path integral

$$Z(M^{d+1}) = \sum_{da^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \stackrel{2}{=} 0} 1, \quad (\text{K1})$$

where  $\sum_{da^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \stackrel{2}{=} 0}$  sums over all  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  valued 1-cocycles  $a^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ . The low energy effective theory of the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  topological order is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge theory where the point-like  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  charge is a boson. Such a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  topological order has another re-

alization in terms of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -valued  $d-1$ -cocycles  $l^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$

$$Z(M^{d+1}) = \sum_{dl^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{d-1} \stackrel{2}{=} 0} 1. \quad (\text{K2})$$

There is a twisted  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  topological order [59], whose low energy effective theory is a twisted  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  gauge theory where the point-like  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  charge is a fermion. Such a twisted  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  topological order is realized by the following bosonic model

$$Z(M^{d+1}) = \sum_{dl^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{d-1} \stackrel{2}{=} 0} e^{i\pi \int_{M^{d+1}} \text{Sq}^2 l^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{d-1}}. \quad (\text{K3})$$

The above path integral does not contain a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  charge. To include a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  charge, we note that the worldline of a particle can be described by its Poincaré dual  $f_d$ , which is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -valued  $d$ -coboundary. The path integral including such a worldline is given by

$$Z(M^{d+1}) = \sum_{dl^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{d-1} \stackrel{2}{=} f_d} e^{i\pi \int_{M^{d+1}} \text{Sq}^2 l^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{d-1}}. \quad (\text{K4})$$

The term  $e^{i\pi \int_{M^{d+1}} \text{Sq}^2 l^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{d-1}}$  gives the worldline (described by  $f_{d-1}$ ) a Fermi statistics.

The  $(d+1)$ d twisted  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  topological order has a  $d$ -dimensional boundary, formed by condensing the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  flux. Such a boundary contains only point-like topological excitations, which are fermions, coming from the bulk fermionic  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  charge. Such a boundary is the canonical boundary of the path integral (K4), which is described by the following path integral

$$Z(M^{d+1}) = \sum_{dl^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{d-1} \stackrel{2}{=} 0} e^{i\pi \int_{M^{d+1}} \text{Sq}^2 l^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{d-1}}, \quad B^d = \partial M^{d+1}. \quad (\text{K5})$$

The cocycle  $l^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{d-1}$  on the boundary  $B^d$  can be viewed as the Poincaré dual of the worldline of boundary fermions.

Now, let us try to view the above path integral (K5) as a path integral on the boundary  $B^d$  for the field  $l^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{d-1}$ , and view the term  $e^{i\pi \int_{M^{d+1}} \text{Sq}^2 l^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{d-1}}$  as a Wess-Zumino-Witten like term defined in one higher dimension. But such a viewpoint is not quite correct, since the  $e^{i\pi \int_{M^{d+1}} \text{Sq}^2 l^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{d-1}}$  not only depends on  $l^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{d-1}$  on the boundary  $B^d = \partial M^{d+1}$ , but also depends on  $M^{d+1}$ , *i.e.* how we extend  $B^d$ . However, when  $M^{d+1}$  is oriented and spin,  $w_1 \stackrel{2,d}{=} 0$  and  $w_2 \stackrel{2,d}{=} 0$ ,  $\text{Sq}^2 l^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{d-1} \stackrel{2,d}{=} 0$ . In this case,  $e^{i\pi \int_{M^{d+1}} \text{Sq}^2 l^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{d-1}}$  only depends on  $l^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{d-1}$  on the boundary  $B^d = \partial M^{d+1}$ , and can indeed be viewed as Wess-Zumino-Witten like term.

We can modify the path integral (K5) to relax the requirement for  $M^{d+1}$  to be spin:

$$\begin{aligned} Z(M^{d+1}) &= \sum_{dl^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{d-1} \stackrel{2}{=} 0} e^{i\pi \left( \int_{B^d} l^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{d-1} A^{\mathbb{Z}_2} + \int_{M^{d+1}} \text{Sq}^2 l^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{d-1} + w_2 l^{\mathbb{Z}_2}_{d-1} \right)} \\ B^d &= \partial M^{d+1}, \quad w_2 \stackrel{2}{=} dA^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \text{ on } B^d. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{K6})$$

In the above,  $M^{d+1}$  is orientable but may not be spin, and  $B^d$  is spin such that  $w_2 \stackrel{\cong}{=} dA^{\mathbb{Z}_2^f}$  on  $B^d$ . In this case,  $e^{i\pi \int_{M^{d+1}} \mathbb{S}q^2 l_{d-1}^{\mathbb{Z}_2^f} + w_2 l_{d-1}^{\mathbb{Z}_2^f}}$  is a Wess-Zumino-Witten like term, that only depend on  $l_{d-1}^{\mathbb{Z}_2^f}$  and  $w_2$  on  $B^d$ . Also, (K6) is invariant under the “gauge” transformation

$$w_2 \rightarrow w_2 + dv_1^{\mathbb{Z}_2}, \quad A^{\mathbb{Z}_2^f} \rightarrow A^{\mathbb{Z}_2^f} + v_1^{\mathbb{Z}_2}, \quad (\text{K7})$$

so that it is branch independent.

$A^{\mathbb{Z}_2^f}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -valued 1-cochain, which corresponds to the spin structure on  $B^d$ . The relation  $w_2 \stackrel{\cong}{=} dA^{\mathbb{Z}_2^f}$  tells us that the worldline  $l_{d-1}^{\mathbb{Z}_2^f}$  couples to the  $SO(n)$  tangent bundle of  $B^d$  in such a way that the worldline corresponds to a half-integer spin.

- 
- [1] B. Zeng and X.-G. Wen, Gapped quantum liquids and topological order, stochastic local transformations and emergence of unitarity, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 125121 (2015), [arXiv:1406.5090](#).
- [2] B. Swingle and J. McGreevy, Renormalization group constructions of topological quantum liquids and beyond, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 045127 (2016), [arXiv:1407.8203](#).
- [3] X.-G. Wen, Topological orders in rigid states, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* **04**, 239 (1990).
- [4] E. Witten, Quantum field theory and the Jones polynomial, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **121**, 351 (1989).
- [5] X.-G. Wen and Q. Niu, Ground-state degeneracy of the fractional quantum hall states in the presence of a random potential and on high-genus Riemann surfaces, *Phys. Rev. B* **41**, 9377 (1990).
- [6] X. Chen, Z.-C. Gu, and X.-G. Wen, Local unitary transformation, long-range quantum entanglement, wave function renormalization, and topological order, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 155138 (2010), [arXiv:1004.3835](#).
- [7] Z.-C. Gu and X.-G. Wen, Tensor-entanglement-filtering renormalization approach and symmetry protected topological order, *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 155131 (2009), [arXiv:0903.1069](#).
- [8] A. Y. Kitaev, (Talk at KITP UCSB 2011) (2011).
- [9] X. Chen, Z.-X. Liu, and X.-G. Wen, Two-dimensional symmetry-protected topological orders and their protected gapless edge excitations, *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 235141 (2011), [arXiv:1106.4752](#).
- [10] X. Chen, Z.-C. Gu, Z.-X. Liu, and X.-G. Wen, Symmetry protected topological orders and the group cohomology of their symmetry group, *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 155114 (2013), [arXiv:1106.4772](#).
- [11] Y.-M. Lu and A. Vishwanath, Theory and classification of interacting integer topological phases in two dimensions: A chern-simons approach, *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 125119 (2012), [arXiv:1205.3156](#).
- [12] A. Vishwanath and T. Senthil, Physics of three-dimensional bosonic topological insulators: Surface-deconfined criticality and quantized magnetoelectric effect, *Phys. Rev. X* **3**, 011016 (2013), [arXiv:1209.3058](#).
- [13] Z.-C. Gu and X.-G. Wen, Symmetry-protected topological orders for interacting fermions – fermionic topological non-linear sigma-models and a group super-cohomology theory, *Phys. Rev. B* **90**, 115141 (2014), [arXiv:1201.2648](#).
- [14] T. Senthil, *Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics* **6**, 299 (2015), [arXiv:1405.4015 \[cond-mat.str-el\]](#).
- [15] A. Kapustin, R. Thorngren, A. Turzillo, and Z. Wang, Fermionic symmetry protected topological phases and cobordisms, *J. High Energ. Phys.* **2015** (12), 1, [arXiv:1406.7329](#).
- [16] D. Freed and M. Hopkins, Reflection positivity and invertible topological phases, (2016), [arXiv:1604.06527](#).
- [17] A. Kapustin and R. Thorngren, Fermionic SPT phases in higher dimensions and bosonization, *J. High Energ. Phys.* **2017** (10), 80, [arXiv:1701.08264](#).
- [18] Q.-R. Wang and Z.-C. Gu, Towards a complete classification of symmetry-protected topological phases for interacting fermions in three dimensions and a general group supercohomology theory, *Phys. Rev. X* **8**, 011055 (2018), [arXiv:1703.10937](#).
- [19] M. Barkeshli, Y.-A. Chen, P.-S. Hsin, and N. Manjunath, Classification of (2+1)D invertible fermionic topological phases with symmetry, (2021), [arXiv:2109.11039](#).
- [20] X.-G. Wen, Quantum orders and symmetric spin liquids, *Phys. Rev. B* **65**, 165113 (2002), [arXiv:cond-mat/0107071](#).
- [21] X.-G. Wen, Quantum orders in an exact soluble model, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 016803 (2003), [arXiv:quant-ph/0205004](#).
- [22] A. Kitaev, *Annals of Physics* **321**, 2 (2006), [cond-mat/0506438](#).
- [23] F. Wang and A. Vishwanath, Spin-liquid states on the triangular and kagomé lattices: A projective-symmetry-group analysis of Schwinger boson states, *Phys. Rev. B* **74**, 174423 (2006), [arXiv:cond-mat/0608129](#).
- [24] S.-P. Kou, M. Levin, and X.-G. Wen, Mutual Chern-Simons theory for  $z_2$  topological order, *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 155134 (2008), [arXiv:0803.2300](#).
- [25] S.-P. Kou and X.-G. Wen, Translation-symmetry-protected topological orders in quantum spin systems, *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 224406 (2009), [arXiv:0907.4537](#).
- [26] Y.-M. Lu and A. Vishwanath, Classification and Properties of Symmetry Enriched Topological Phases: A Chern-Simons approach with applications to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  spin liquids, *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 155121 (2016), [arXiv:1302.2634](#).
- [27] A. M. Essin and M. Hermele, Classifying fractionalization: Symmetry classification of gapped  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  spin liquids in two dimensions, *Phys. Rev. B* **87**, 104406 (2013), [arXiv:1212.0593](#).
- [28] M. Barkeshli, P. Bonderson, M. Cheng, and Z. Wang, Symmetry fractionalization, defects, and gauging of topological phases, *Physical Review B* **100**, 10.1103/physrevb.100.115147 (2019), [arXiv:1410.4540](#).
- [29] T. Lan, L. Kong, and X.-G. Wen, Classification of (2+1)-dimensional topological order and symmetry-protected topological order for bosonic and fermionic systems with on-site symmetries, *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 235140 (2017), [arXiv:1602.05946](#).
- [30] D. Bulmash and M. Barkeshli, Fermionic symmetry fractionalization in (2+1)D, (2021), [arXiv:2109.10913](#).
- [31] D. Aasen, P. Bonderson, and C. Knapp, Characterization and Classification of Fermionic Symmetry Enriched

- Topological Phases, (2021), [arXiv:2109.10911](#).
- [32] X.-G. Wen, Symmetry-protected topological invariants of symmetry-protected topological phases of interacting bosons and fermions, *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 035147 (2014), [arXiv:1301.7675](#).
  - [33] L.-Y. Hung and X.-G. Wen, Universal symmetry-protected topological invariants for symmetry-protected topological states, *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 075121 (2014), [arXiv:1311.5539](#).
  - [34] A. Kapustin, Bosonic Topological Insulators and Paramagnets: A view from cobordisms, (2014), [arXiv:1404.6659](#).
  - [35] L. Kong and X.-G. Wen, Braided fusion categories, gravitational anomalies, and the mathematical framework for topological orders in any dimensions, (2014), [arXiv:1405.5858](#).
  - [36] J. Wang, Z.-C. Gu, and X.-G. Wen, Field theory representation of pure gauge and mixed gauge-gravity symmetry-protected topological invariants, group cohomology and beyond, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 031601 (2015), [arXiv:1405.7689](#).
  - [37] E. Witten, Fermion Path Integrals And Topological Phases, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **88**, 035001 (2016), [arXiv:1508.04715 \[cond-mat.mes-hall\]](#).
  - [38] M. Guo, P. Putrov, and J. Wang, Time reversal,  $SU(N)$  Yang-Mills and cobordisms: Interacting topological superconductors/insulators and quantum spin liquids in  $3 + 1$  D, *Annals of Physics* **394**, 244 (2018), [arXiv:1711.11587 \[cond-mat.str-el\]](#).
  - [39] C. Wang, A. C. Potter, and T. Senthil, Classification of interacting electronic topological insulators in three dimensions, *Science* **343**, 629 (2014), [arXiv:1306.3238 \[cond-mat.str-el\]](#).
  - [40] S. Kravec, J. McGreevy, and B. Swingle, All-fermion electrodynamics and fermion number anomaly inflow, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 085024 (2015), [arXiv:1409.8339](#).
  - [41] R. Thorngren, Framed Wilson operators, fermionic strings, and gravitational anomaly in 4d, *J. High Energy Phys.* **2015** (2), 152, [arXiv:1404.4385](#).
  - [42] R. Thorngren, Topological Terms and Phases of Sigma Models, (2017), [arXiv:1710.02545](#).
  - [43] J. Wang, X.-G. Wen, and E. Witten, Symmetric gapped interfaces of SPT and SET states: systematic constructions, *Phys. Rev. X* **8**, 031048 (2018), [arXiv:1705.06728](#).
  - [44] J. Wang, X.-G. Wen, and E. Witten, A New  $SU(2)$  Anomaly, *J. Math. Phys.* **60**, 052301 (2019), [arXiv:1810.00844 \[hep-th\]](#).
  - [45] J. Wang and Y.-Z. You, Gauge Enhanced Quantum Criticality Beyond the Standard Model, (2021), [arXiv:2106.16248 \[hep-th\]](#).
  - [46] J. Wang and Y.-Z. You, (2021), [arXiv:2111.10369 \[hep-th\]](#).
  - [47] Y.-Z. You and J. Wang, (2022), [arXiv:2202.13498 \[hep-ph\]](#).
  - [48] Y.-A. Chen and P.-S. Hsin, Exactly Solvable Lattice Hamiltonians and Gravitational Anomalies, (2021), [arXiv:2110.14644](#).
  - [49] L. Fidkowski, J. Haah, and M. B. Hastings, Gravitational anomaly of  $3+1$  dimensional  $Z_2$  toric code with fermionic charges and fermionic loop self-statistics, *arXiv e-prints* (2021), [arXiv:2110.14654](#).
  - [50] X.-G. Wen, Construction of bosonic symmetry-protected-trivial states and their topological invariants via  $G \times SO(\infty)$  nonlinear  $\sigma$ -models, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 205101 (2015), [arXiv:1410.8477](#).
  - [51] M. DeMarco and X.-G. Wen, Compact  $U^k(1)$  chernsimons theory as a local bosonic lattice model with exact discrete 1-symmetries, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 021603 (2021), [arXiv:1906.08270](#).
  - [52] X.-G. Wen and A. Zee, Classification of abelian quantum hall states and matrix formulation of topological fluids, *Phys. Rev. B* **46**, 2290 (1992).
  - [53] X.-G. Wen, Topological orders and edge excitations in fractional quantum hall states, *Adv. Phys.* **44**, 405 (1995), [arXiv:cond-mat/9506066](#).
  - [54] D. S. Freed, Short-range entanglement and invertible field theories, (2014), [arXiv:1406.7278 \[cond-mat.str-el\]](#).
  - [55] Z. Wan and J. Wang, Beyond Standard Models and Grand Unifications: Anomalies, Topological Terms, and Dynamical Constraints via Cobordisms, *JHEP* **07**, 062, [arXiv:1910.14668 \[hep-th\]](#).
  - [56] X.-G. Wen, Classifying gauge anomalies through symmetry-protected trivial orders and classifying gravitational anomalies through topological orders, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 045013 (2013), [arXiv:1303.1803](#).
  - [57] L. Alvarez-Gaume and E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys. B* **234**, 269 (1984), [269(1983)].
  - [58] E. Witten, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **100**, 197 (1985), [197(1985)].
  - [59] M. Levin and X.-G. Wen, Fermions, strings, and gauge fields in lattice spin models, *Phys. Rev. B* **67**, 245316 (2003), [arXiv:cond-mat/0302460](#).
  - [60] G. 't Hooft, Naturalness, chiral symmetry, and spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking, *Recent Developments in Gauge Theories. Proceedings, Nato Advanced Study Institute, Cargese, France, August 26 - September 8, 1979*, *NATO Sci. Ser. B* **59**, 135 (1980).
  - [61] C. Callan and J. Harvey, Anomalies and fermion zero modes on strings and domain walls, *Nucl. Phys. B* **250**, 427 (1985).
  - [62] F. Costantino, A calculus for branched spines of 3-manifolds, *Math. Z.* **251**, 427 (2005), [math/0403014](#).
  - [63] X. Chen, Z.-C. Gu, Z.-X. Liu, and X.-G. Wen, Symmetry-protected topological orders in interacting bosonic systems, *Science* **338**, 1604 (2012), [arXiv:1301.0861](#).
  - [64] R. Z. Goldstein and E. C. Turner, A formula for Stiefel-Whitney homology classes, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **58**, 339 (1976).
  - [65] X.-G. Wen, Exactly soluble local bosonic cocycle models, statistical transmutation, and simplest time-reversal symmetric topological orders in  $3+1$  dimensions, *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 205142 (2017), [arXiv:1612.01418](#).
  - [66] X.-G. Wen and Z. Wang, Volume and topological invariants of quantum many-body systems, *Phys. Rev. Research* **2**, 033030 (2020), [arXiv:1801.09938](#).
  - [67] D. Gaiotto and A. Kapustin, Spin TQFTs and fermionic phases of matter, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **31**, 1645044 (2016), [arXiv:1505.05856](#).
  - [68] T. Banks and N. Seiberg, Symmetries and Strings in Field Theory and Gravity, *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 084019 (2011), [arXiv:1011.5120 \[hep-th\]](#).
  - [69] P. Putrov, J. Wang, and S.-T. Yau, Braiding Statistics and Link Invariants of Bosonic/Fermionic Topological Quantum Matter in  $2+1$  and  $3+1$  dimensions, *Annals Phys.* **384**, 254 (2017), [arXiv:1612.09298 \[cond-mat.str-el\]](#).
  - [70] L. Bhardwaj, D. Gaiotto, and A. Kapustin, State sum constructions of spin-TFTs and string net constructions



- of fermionic phases of matter, *J. High Energ. Phys.* **2017** (4), 96, [arXiv:1605.01640](#).
- [71] T. Lan, C. Zhu, and X.-G. Wen, Fermion decoration construction of symmetry protected trivial orders for fermion systems with any symmetries  $g_f$  and in any dimensions, *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 235141 (2019), [arXiv:1809.01112](#).
  - [72] Y.-A. Chen, Exact bosonization in arbitrary dimensions, *Physical Review Research* **2**, 033527 (2020), [arXiv:1911.00017](#).
  - [73] L. Pontrjagin, C. R. (Doklady) Acad. Sci. URSS (N. S.) **34**, 35 (1942).
  - [74] J. Whitehead, *Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici* **22**, 48 (1949).
  - [75] H. Georgi and S. L. Glashow, Unity of All Elementary Particle Forces, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **32**, 438 (1974).
  - [76] H. Fritzsch and P. Minkowski, Unified Interactions of Leptons and Hadrons, *Annals Phys.* **93**, 193 (1975).
  - [77] J. Wang and X.-G. Wen, A Non-Perturbative Definition of the Standard Models, *Phys. Rev. Res.* **2**, 023356 (2020), [arXiv:1809.11171 \[hep-th\]](#).
  - [78] N. E. Steenrod, Products of cocycles and extensions of mappings, *The Annals of Mathematics* **48**, 290 (1947).
  - [79] Z. Wan and J. Wang, Higher Anomalies, Higher Symmetries, and Cobordisms I: Classification of Higher-Symmetry-Protected Topological States and Their Boundary Fermionic/Bosonic Anomalies via a Generalized Cobordism Theory, *Ann. Math. Sci. Appl.* **4**, 107 (2019), [arXiv:1812.11967 \[hep-th\]](#).
  - [80] F. Wilczek and A. Zee, Families from Spinors, *Phys. Rev. D* **25**, 553 (1982).
  - [81] Y. Fujimoto, SO(18) UNIFICATION, *Phys. Rev. D* **26**, 3183 (1982).
  - [82] E. Witten, An SU(2) Anomaly, *Phys. Lett.* **117B**, 324 (1982), [230(1982)].
  - [83] R. Thom, Quelques propriétés globales des variétés différentiables, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **28**, 17 (1954).
  - [84] J.-P. Serre, Cohomologie modulo 2 des complexes d'Eilenberg-MacLane, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **27**, 198 (1953).
  - [85] J. W. Milnor and J. D. Stasheff, *Characteristic classes*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 76 (Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1974) pp. vii+331.
  - [86] H. Whitney, On the theory of sphere-bundles, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **26**, 148 (1940).
  - [87] J. Milnor, On the Stiefel-Whitney numbers of complex manifolds and of spin manifolds, *Topology* **3**, 223 (1965).
  - [88] A. Dold, Erzeugende der Thomschen Algebra  $N$ , *Math. Z.* **65**, 25 (1956).
  - [89] C. Zhu, T. Lan, and X.-G. Wen, Topological nonlinear  $\sigma$ -model, higher gauge theory, and a systematic construction of 3+1D topological orders for boson systems, *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 045105 (2019), [arXiv:1808.09394](#).