ON THE BIG QUANTUM COHOMOLOGY OF COADJOINT VARIETIES

NICOLAS PERRIN AND MAXIM N. SMIRNOV

ABSTRACT. This paper is devoted to the study of the quantum cohomology of coadjoint varieties of simple algebraic groups across all Dynkin types. We determine the non-semisimple factors of the small quantum cohomology ring and relate them to ADE-singularities. Moreover, we show that the big quantum cohomology of a coadjoint variety is always generically semisimple even though in most cases the small quantum cohomology is not.

Contents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Preliminaries	8
3.	Geometry of the space of lines	13
4.	Coadjoint varieties	16
5.	Type D_n	25
6.	Types E_6, E_7, E_8	38
7.	Type F_4	49
8.	Relation to the unfoldings of ADE-singularities	52
9.	Proof of [28, Theorem 1.6]	53
Re	ferences	54

1. INTRODUCTION

Quantum cohomology of rational homogeneous spaces G/P is a rich subject that received considerable attention in the last decades. A particular aspect that has been studied is the generic semisimplicity of the quantum cohomology and its connections to the bounded derived category $\mathbf{D}^{b}(G/P)$ of coherent sheaves via Dubrovin's conjecture. Recall that Dubrovin's conjecture predicts that for a smooth Fano variety X the existence of a full exceptional collection in $\mathbf{D}^{b}(X)$ is equivalent to the generic semisimplicity of the big quantum cohomology ring BQH(X).

A folklore conjecture predicts that the big quantum cohomology of a rational homogenous space is always generically semisimple.

Conjecture 1.1. Let G be a semisimple algebraic group and $P \subset G$ a parabolic subgroup. Then the big quantum cohomology BQH(G/P) is generically semisimple.

We discuss a companion conjecture on the derived category $\mathbf{D}^{b}(G/P)$ later in Section 1.3.

Let us list homogeneous varieties of simple algebraic groups G corresponding to maximal parabolic subgroups $P \subset G$, where Conjecture 1.1 is known to hold. Such a group G is determined by its Dynkin diagram that falls into types A, B, C, D, E, F, G, and its maximal

parabolic subgroups correspond to vertices of the Dynkin diagram, for which we use the standard labelling [7]. We denote by P_k the maximal parabolic subgroup of G corresponding to the k-th vertex of the Dynkin diagram of G.

Type A_n :	$A_n/P_k = G(k, n+1) \text{ for } k \in [1, n].$
Type B_n :	$B_n/P_1 = Q_{2n-1}, B_n/P_2 = OG(2, 2n + 1), \text{ and } B_n/P_n = OG(n, 2n + 1).$
Type C_n :	$C_n/P_1 = \mathbb{P}^{2n-1}, C_n/P_2 = IG(2, 2n) \text{ and } C_n/P_n = IG(n, 2n).$
Type D_n :	$D_n/P_1 = Q_{2n-2}$ and $D_n/P_{n-1} = D_n/P_n = OG_+(n, 2n).$
Type E_n :	$E_6/P_1 \simeq E_6/P_6$ and E_7/P_7 .
Type F_4 :	F_4/P_1 and F_4/P_4 .
Type G_2 :	G_2/P_1 and G_2/P_2 .
Type G_2 :	G_2/P_1 and G_2/P_2 .

In all of the above cases except for IG(2, 2n) and F_4/P_4 , the generic semisimplicity of the big quantum cohomology BQH(G/P) follows from the semisimplicity of the small quantum cohomology QH(G/P). The small quantum cohomology of a Fano variety is a much simpler gadget than its big quantum cohomology, as the former involves only finitely many Gromov– Witten invariants, whereas the latter involves infinitely many of them.

In type A_n the semisimplicity of the small quantum cohomology $QH(A_n/P_k)$ is known for any maximal parabolic P_k . For types B_n , C_n , D_n it is known (see [12, Table on p. 326]) that very often, roughly speaking in at least half of the cases, the small quantum cohomology QH(G/P) is not semisimple. In the exceptional types E_6 , E_7 , E_8 , F_4 a similar behaviour persists (see [12, Table on p. 326]). Therefore, in general one must work with the big quantum cohomology BQH(G/P) in Conjecture 1.1. Up to now the only cases with nonsemisimple QH(G/P), where Conjecture 1.1 is proved to hold are the symplectic isotropic Grassmannians $C_n/P_2 = IG(2, 2n)$ and the F_4 -Grassmannian F_4/P_4 (see [14, 20, 32, 31]). Both cases are the so called coadjoint varieties in respective Dynkin types and one of the main results of this paper is the proof of Conjecture 1.1 for coadjoint varieties in all Dynkin types.

Remark 1.2. Note that in the classical types B_n , C_n , D_n we only listed examples that fit into infinite series. Since by [10] presentations for the small quantum cohomology rings in types B_n , C_n , D_n are known, it could be possible to do a computer check of the semisimplicity of the small quantum cohomology for some isolated small rank examples (e.g. QH(IG(3, 8)) can easily be checked to be semisimple). On the contrary, in the exceptional types E_6 , E_7 , E_8 , F_4 , even though we only have finitely many varieties to consider, the problem in extending the above list by a single example is non-trivial, as already presentations even for the small quantum cohomology are known only for (co)minuscule or (co)adjoint varieties.

1.1. Statements of results. Recall that an adjoint (resp. coadjoint) variety of a simple algebraic group G is the highest weight vector orbit in the projectivization of the irreducible G-representation, whose highest weight is the highest *long* (resp. *short*) root of G. Clearly, if the group G is simply laced, then adjoint and coadjoint varieties coincide.

In Table 1 we give an explicit list of adjoint and coadjoint varieties. In type A_n the parabolic $P_{1,n}$ is the submaximal parabolic subgroup defined by the subset $\{1, n\}$ of the set of vertices of the Dynkin diagram of type A_n . Note that the Picard rank of (co)adjoint varieties is one, except for type A_n , where it is two. In a given Dynkin type we denote the adjoint (resp. coadjoint) variety by X^{ad} (resp. X^{coad}).

Type of G	Coadjoint variety	Adjoint variety
A_n	$A_n/P_{1,n} = Fl(1,n;n+1)$	$\operatorname{Fl}(1,n;n+1)$
B_n	$\mathbf{B}_n/\mathbf{P}_1 = \mathbf{Q}_{2n-1}$	$\mathbf{B}_n/\mathbf{P}_2 = \mathbf{OG}(2, 2n+1)$
C_n	$C_n/P_2 = IG(2, 2n)$	$\mathcal{C}_n/\mathcal{P}_1 = \mathbb{P}^{2n-1}$
D_n	$\mathbf{D}_n/\mathbf{P}_2 = \mathbf{OG}(2, 2n)$	$\mathbf{D}_n/\mathbf{P}_2 = \mathbf{OG}(2,2n)$
E_6	E_6/P_2	E_6/P_2
E_7	$\mathrm{E}_{7}/\mathrm{P}_{1}$	E_7/P_1
E_8	E_8/P_8	E_8/P_8
F_4	F_4/P_4	F_4/P_1
G_2	$G_2/P_2 = Q_5$	G_2/P_1
r		1

TABLE 1. Adjoint and coadjoint varieties

In this paper we concentrate our attention on coadjoint varieties, as for non simply laced groups G, for which there is a distinction between X^{ad} and X^{coad} , it is known by [12] that already the small quantum cohomology $QH(X^{ad})$ is semisimple.

Our first result shows that for all coadjoint varieties the presentation of the small quantum cohomology ring has some common features. Let us fix some notation before stating this result. Recall that for a smooth projective Fano variety X the biggest integer that divides the class of the canonical bundle $\omega_X \in \operatorname{Pic}(X)$ is called index of X; we denote it by r. For a cohomology class $\gamma \in H^{2d}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ we define $\deg(\gamma) \coloneqq d$, i.e., this is the Chow degree of γ . Finally, we recall that the small quantum cohomology is defined over the field

$$K = \overline{\mathbb{Q}((q))}$$

where q is a formal variable of degree $\deg(q) = r$ (see Section 2.1 for details).

Theorem 1.3. Let X^{coad} be a coadjoint variety not of type A_n . There exists a presentation

$$QH(X^{\text{coad}}) = \begin{cases} K[h]/(E + \lambda qh) & \text{in types } B_n \text{ and } G_2, \\ K[h, \delta_1]/(E_1, E + \lambda qh) & \text{in types } C_n \text{ and } F_4, \\ K[h, \delta_1, \delta_2]/(E_1, E_2, E + \lambda qh) & \text{in types } D_n, E_6, E_7, E_8, \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$, h is the hyperplane class, δ_i are Schubert classes of degrees $\deg(\delta_i) = d_i$, and E, E_1, E_2 are graded homogeneous polynomials with rational coefficients of degrees

 $\deg(E) = r + 1 \quad and \quad \deg(E_i) = r + 1 - d_i,$

where r is the index of X^{coad} .

The values of the constants appearing in Theorem 1.3 are collected in Table 2 below.

Most cases of this theorem are already known. Indeed, for types B_n and G_2 this is [2, 11], for type C_n this is [10, 14], and for type E_6 , E_7 , E_8 and F_4 these are Propositions 5.4, 5.6, 5.7 and 5.3 of [12]. Thus, we only need to give a proof in type D_n , which is done in Section 5 (see Corollary 5.8).

The second main result of this paper is a uniform description of the non-reduced factor of $QH(X^{coad})$. Before stating it we need to introduce some notation. For a simple algebraic group G we denote by T(G) its Dynkin diagram and by $T_{short}(G)$ its subdiagram of short

Type of G	X^{coad}	r	d_1	d_2	
B_n	$\mathbf{B}_n/\mathbf{P}_1 = \mathbf{Q}_{2n-1}$	2n - 1			
C_n	$C_n/P_2 = IG(2, 2n)$	2n - 1	2		
D_n	$\mathbf{D}_n/\mathbf{P}_2 = \mathbf{OG}(2, 2n)$	2n - 3	2	n-2	
E_6	E_6/P_2	11	3	4	
E_7	E_7/P_1	17	4	6	
E_8	E_8/P_8	29	6	10	
F_4	F_4/P_4	11	4		
G_2	$G_2/P_2 = Q_5$	5			
TABLE 2. Constants appearing in Theorem 1.3					

roots. In simply laced types we view all roots as both short and long. For convenience of the reader we collect the resulting Dynkin types into a table:

Т	A_n	\mathbf{B}_n	\mathbf{C}_n	D_n	\mathbf{E}_n	F_4	G_2
$T_{\rm short}$	A_n	A_1	A_{n-1}	D_n	\mathbf{E}_n	A_2	A_1

In view of (1.1) we define the origin of Spec $QH(X^{coad})$ as

h = 0	in types B_n and G_2 ,	
$h = \delta_1 = 0$	in types C_n and F_4 ,	(1.2)
$h = \delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0$	in types D_n, E_6, E_7, E_8 ,	

With this notation we can formulate the following.

Theorem 1.4. Let G be a simple algebraic group not of type A_n and let X^{coad} be the corresponding coadjoint variety. Then we have:

- (1) All points of Spec QH(X^{coad}) different from the point (1.2) are reduced.
- (2) The localisation of $QH(X^{coad})$ at the point (1.2) is isomorphic to the Jacobian ring of a simple hypersurface singularity of Dynkin type $T_{short}(G)$.

In particular, since the Jacobian ring of an A₁-singularity is just the ground field K, the small quantum cohomology $QH(X^{coad})$ is semisimple if and only if the group G is of Dynkin type B_n or G₂.

In type D_n this is a consequence of Proposition 5.12 and Lemma 5.13. In types E_6 , E_7 , E_8 , F_4 this is proved in Lemmas 6.3, 6.9, 6.15 and 7.2 respectively. In type C_n this is [14, Proposition 4.3]. In types B_n , G_2 this is known by [2, 11].

After examining the structure of the small quantum cohomology of coadjoint varieties we are ready to proceed with our study of their big quantum cohomology. Here we adopt the strategy of [14, 31]. Namely, for coadjoint varieties with non-semisimple $QH(X^{coad})$, we determine the first order deformation of $QH(X^{coad})$ inside the big quantum cohomology $BQH(X^{coad})$ along the directions

$$\delta \coloneqq \begin{cases} \delta_1 & \text{in types } \mathbf{C}_n \text{ and } \mathbf{F}_4, \\ \delta_1, \delta_2 & \text{in types } \mathbf{D}_n, \mathbf{E}_6, \mathbf{E}_7, \mathbf{E}_8, \end{cases}$$

as in Theorem 1.3. We denote this deformation by $BQH_{\delta}(X^{coad})$.

Let t_{δ_i} be the quantum variable associated to δ_i (see Subsection 2.1) and consider the ideals of BQH_{δ}(X^{coad}) defined as

$$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{t} = (t_{\delta_1}) \subset \mathfrak{m} = (h, \delta_1, t_{\delta_1}) & \text{in types } \mathcal{C}_n \text{ and } \mathcal{F}_4, \\ \mathfrak{t} = (t_{\delta_1}, t_{\delta_2}) \subset \mathfrak{m} = (h, \delta_1, \delta_2, t_{\delta_1}, t_{\delta_2}) & \text{in types } \mathcal{D}_n, \mathcal{E}_6, \mathcal{E}_7, \mathcal{E}_8 \end{cases}$$

With this notation we can state our third main result.

Theorem 1.5. Let X^{coad} be the coadjoint variety not of type A_n , B_n or G_2 . Then for the ring $BQH_{\delta}(X^{\text{coad}})$ we have a presentation of the form

$$BQH_{\delta}(X^{coad}) = \begin{cases} K[h, \delta_1] \left[\left[t_{\delta_1} \right] \right] / (\widetilde{E}_1, \widetilde{E}) & \text{in types } C_n \text{ and } F_4, \\ K[h, \delta_1, \delta_2] \left[\left[t_{\delta_1}, t_{\delta_2} \right] \right] / (\widetilde{E}_1, \widetilde{E}_2, \widetilde{E}) & \text{in types } D_n, E_6, E_7, E_8, \end{cases}$$
(1.3)

where for the relations $\widetilde{E}_i, \widetilde{E}$ we have congruences

$$\widetilde{E}_i \equiv E_i + \lambda_i q t_{\delta_i} \pmod{\mathfrak{tm}},$$

$$\widetilde{E} \equiv E + \lambda q h \pmod{\mathfrak{tm}},$$

with $h, \delta_i, E_i, E, \lambda$ defined in Theorem 1.3, and some constants $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$.

Here are pointers to the proofs of Theorem 1.5. In Dynkin types D_n, E_6, E_7, E_8, F_4 these are Propositions 5.15, 6.6, 6.12, 6.18, 7.4 respectively. In type C_n this is [14, Theorem 6.4].

As an immediate corollary of Theorem 1.5 we obtain the following.

Corollary 1.6. Let X^{coad} be the coadjoint variety not of type A_n . Then we have:

- (1) $BQH(X^{coad})$ is a regular ring.
- (2) $BQH(X^{coad})$ is generically semisimple.

The first claim follows easily from the presentation given in Theorem 1.5, and the second claim follows easily from the first. For completeness a proof is given in Section 5 for type D_n (see Corollary 5.16). The same proof works verbatim in types E_6 , E_7 , E_8 , F_4 . In types B_n , G_2 there is nothing to prove, as here already the small quantum cohomology is known to be semisimple by Theorem 1.4 and, therefore, both the regularity and the generic semisimplicity of the big quantum cohomology hold automatically.

In types C_n and F_4 the generic semisimplicity of the big quantum cohomology is already known by [14, 20, 31, 32]. However, in type F_4 our approach gives a new proof of this fact. In types D_n , E_6 , E_7 , E_8 our results are new.

In view of Corollary 1.6(1) we propose the following.

Conjecture 1.7. Let G be a semisimple algebraic group and $P \subset G$ a parabolic subgroup. Then the big quantum cohomology ring BQH(G/P) is regular.

Note that Conjecture 1.7 implies Conjecture 1.1, as in Corollary 1.6.

Our proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.5 rely on the following results. First, we extensively use combinatorial formulas for Littlewood–Richardson coefficients on (co)minuscule and (co)adjoint varieties proved in [13, 40]. In particular, these allow us to compute all the necessary Gromov–Witten invariants (see Sections 3 and 4). Second, we use the quantum

Chevalley formula proved in [19, 12]. The formulas for Littlewood–Richardson coefficients and the quantum Chevalley formula have been implemented by the second author in the computer algebra system SageMath [39] and are available in [35, 37]. Our computations for coadjoint varieties in exceptional Dynkin types rely on [35] and all the necessary scripts ca be found at

https://github.com/msmirnov18/bqh-coadjoint

1.2. Coadjoint variety in type A. In type A the situation is slightly different due to the fact that the Picard rank is 2 in this case. Indeed, in type A_n the coadjoint variety is the two-step flag variety Fl(1, n, n + 1). The small quantum cohomology has the following explicit description. Here we deviate from our conventions on quantum cohomology (see Section 2.1) and view the small quantum cohomology of Fl(1, n, n + 1) as an algebra over the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Q}[q_1, q_2]$.

Proposition 1.8 ([12, Proposition 7.2]). The small quantum cohomology of Fl(1, n, n + 1) is the quotient of

$$\mathbb{Q}[h_1,h_2,q_1,q_2]$$

modulo the relations

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} h_1^k h_2^{n-k} = q_1 + (-1)^n q_2 \quad and \quad h_1^{n+1} = q_1(h_1 + h_2).$$
(1.4)

 \square

If $q_1 + (-1)^n q_2 \neq 0$, then the algebra is semisimple. Otherwise the algebra has a unique non-reduced factor of the form $\mathbb{Q}[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^n)$.

Proof. The above presentation follows from the quantum Chevalley formula [19]. The only claim not explicitly contained in [12, Proposition 7.2] is the type of the non-reduced point for the values of the parameters q_1, q_2 satisfying $q_1 + (-1)^n q_2 = 0$. This follows easily by setting $q_1 + (-1)^n q_2 = 0$ in (1.4), then eliminating h_2 to get the relation

$$h_1^n \sum_{k=0}^n (q_1 - h_1^n)^{n-k} q_1^{k-n} = 0$$

Since under our assumptions we have $q_1 \neq 0$, the claim follows.

In particular, from Proposition 1.8 we see that the locus in the space of parameters q_1, q_2 , where the small quantum cohomology of Fl(1, n, n + 1) is not semisimple, depends on the parity of n.

1.3. Derived category of coherent sheaves and results of [28]. Recall that according to Dubrovin's conjecture the generic semisimplicity of the big quantum cohomology BQH(X) is equivalent to the existence of a full exceptional collection in the bounded derived category $\mathbf{D}^{b}(X)$ of coherent sheaves. Thus, the following folklore conjecture fits very well with Conjecture 1.1.

Conjecture 1.9. Let G be a semisimple algebraic group and $P \subset G$ a parabolic subgroup. Then the bounded derived category $\mathbf{D}^{b}(G/P)$ of coherent sheaves has a full exceptional collection. We refer to $[26, \S1.1]$ for an overview of the state-of-the art on this conjecture from a few years ago. Since then the main advances are [17, 22, 28, 3, 36].

In [27, 28] Alexander Kuznetsov and the second named author proposed a conjecture [28, Conjecture 1.3] relating the structure of the small quantum cohomology QH(X) of a Fano variety X with generically semisimple big quantum cohomology to the existence of certain Lefschetz exceptional collections in $\mathbf{D}^{b}(X)$. This conjecture could be seen as refinement of Dubrovin's conjecture. For coadjoint varieties, using the additional knowledge of the structure of QH(X) provided by the present paper, an even more precise conjecture can be formulated [28, Conjecture 1.9].

Conjecture 1.10 ([28, Conjecture 1.9]). Let X be the coadjoint variety of a simple algebraic group G over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Then $\mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{b}}(X)$ has an $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ -invariant rectangular Lefschetz exceptional collection with residual category \mathfrak{R} and

- (1) if $T(G) = A_n$ and n is even, then $\mathcal{R} = 0$;
- (2) otherwise, \mathfrak{R} is equivalent to the derived category of representations of a quiver of Dynkin type $T_{short}(G)$.

Guided by the structure of QH(X) this conjecture has been proved in all cases except for types E_6 , E_7 and E_8 . Specifically, this conjecture is proved in type A_n and D_n in [28], in type C_n in [14, Appendix by A. Kuznetsov] and in type F_4 in [3]. Types B_n and G_2 are easy since in this case X^{coad} is a smooth quadric and the result is well known (for example, see [27, Example 1.6]).

In [28], the necessary structural results to state the above conjecture are summarized in [28, Theorem 1.6] with a reference to the present paper. Thus, we feel obliged to give a proof. This is the content of Section 9.

1.4. Structure of the paper. The paper is structured as follows. Section 2 contains preliminaries on quantum cohomology and Schubert calculus. In Section 3 we recall well-known facts that allow us to compute degree one Gromov–Witten invariants of a rational homogeneous spaces using the Fano variety of lines. These results suffice to compute the necessary Gromov–Witten invariants for coadjoint varieties in simply-laced Dynkin types. In Section 4 we give some generalities on coadjoint varieties and explain how to compute the necessary Gromov–Witten for coadjoint varieties in non-simply laced Dynkin types. In Section 5 we consider the case of the coadjoint variety in type D. In Section 6 and Section 7 we treat the conadjoint varieties in types E_6, E_7, E_8 and F_4 . In Section 8 we relate the big quantum cohomology of coadjoint varieties to unfoldings of isolated hypersurface singularities.

Acknowledgements. We thank Sergey Galkin, Vasily Golyshev, and Alexander Kuznetsov for useful discussions and interest in our work. We are indebted to Alexander Kuznetsov for his detailed comments on the first draft of this paper.

M.S. is indebted to Pieter Belmans and Anton Mellit for their insights on programming related issues that were crucial for the development of [35, 37]. M.S. thanks the Hausdorff Research Institute for Mathematics and the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn for the great working conditions during the preparation of this paper.

N.P. was supported by ANR Catore and ANR FanoHK. M.S. was partially supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) — Projektnummer 448537907.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some definitions and basic properties of the small and big quantum cohomology. We also fix some notation for algebraic groups and Schubert varieties and prove some results on the behaviour of Schubert varieties under equivariant maps between homogeneous spaces. We will work over the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers.

2.1. Conventions and notation for quantum cohomology. Here we briefly recall the definition of the quantum cohomology ring for a smooth projective variety X. To simplify the exposition and avoid introducing unnecessary notation we impose from the beginning the following conditions on X: it is a Fano variety of Picard rank 1 and $H^{odd}(X, \mathbb{Q}) = 0$. For a thorough introduction we refer to [30]. Recall that we will use Chow degrees which are half of cohomological degrees. We write [pt] for the cohomology class of a point.

2.1.1. Definition. Let us fix a graded basis $\Delta_0, \ldots, \Delta_s$ in $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ and dual linear coordinates t_0, \ldots, t_s . It is customary to choose $\Delta_0 = 1$. For cohomology classes we use the Chow grading, i.e. we divide the topological degree by two. Further, for variables t_i we set $\deg(t_i) = 1 - \deg(\Delta_i)$.

Let R be the ring of formal power series $\mathbb{Q}[[q]]$, k its field of fractions, and K an algebraic closure of k. We set deg(q) = index (X), which is the largest integer r such that $-K_X = rH$ for some ample divisor H on X, where K_X is the canonical class of X.

The genus zero Gromov–Witten potential of X is an element $F \in R[[t_0, \ldots, t_s]]$ defined by the formula

$$F = \sum_{(i_0,\dots,i_s)} \langle \Delta_0^{\otimes i_0},\dots,\Delta_s^{\otimes i_s} \rangle \frac{t_0^{i_0}\dots t_s^{i_s}}{i_0!\dots i_s!},$$
(2.1)

where

$$\langle \Delta_0^{\otimes i_0}, \dots, \Delta_s^{\otimes i_s} \rangle = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \langle \Delta_0^{\otimes i_0}, \dots, \Delta_s^{\otimes i_s} \rangle_d q^d,$$
(2.2)

and $\langle \Delta_0^{\otimes i_0}, \ldots, \Delta_s^{\otimes i_s} \rangle_d$ are rational numbers called Gromov–Witten invariants of X of degree d. With respect to the grading defined above F is homogeneous of degree $3 - \dim X$. Since X is Fano, (2.2) is a polynomial in q.

Using (2.1) one defines the *big quantum cohomology ring* of X. Namely, let us endow the $K[[t_0, \ldots, t_s]]$ -module

$$BQH(X) = H^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} K[[t_0, \dots, t_s]]$$

with a ring structure by setting

$$\Delta_a \star \Delta_b = \sum_c \frac{\partial^3 F}{\partial t_a \partial t_b \partial t_c} \Delta^c, \qquad (2.3)$$

on the basis elements and extending to the whole BQH(X) by $K[[t_0, \ldots, t_s]]$ -linearity. Here $\Delta^0, \ldots, \Delta^s$ is the basis of $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ dual to $\Delta_0, \ldots, \Delta_s$ with respect to the Poincaré pairing. It is well known that (2.3) makes BQH(X) into a commutative, associative, graded $K[[t_0, \ldots, t_s]]$ -algebra with the identity element Δ_0 .

The algebra BQH(X) is called the *big* quantum cohomology algebra of X to distinguish it from a simpler object called the *small* quantum cohomology algebra which is the quotient of BQH(X) with respect to the ideal (t_0, \ldots, t_s) . We will denote the latter QH(X) and use \star_0 instead of \star for the product in this algebra. It is a finite dimensional K-algebra. Equivalently one can say that

$$\operatorname{QH}(X) = H^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} K$$

as a vector space, and the K-algebra structure is defined by putting

$$\Delta_a \star_0 \Delta_b = \sum_c \langle \Delta_a, \Delta_b, \Delta_c \rangle \Delta^c.$$
(2.4)

Remark 2.1. We are using a somewhat non-standard notation BQH(X) for the big quantum cohomology and QH(X) for the small quantum cohomology to stress the difference between the two. Note that this notation is different from the one used in [20] and is closer to the notation of [32].

Remark 2.2. The above definitions look slightly different from the ones given in [30]. The differences are of two types. The first one is that QH(X) and BQH(X) are in fact defined already over the ring R and not only over K. We pass to K from the beginning, since in this paper we are only interested in generic semisimplicity of quantum cohomology. The second difference is that in some papers on quantum cohomology one unifies the coordinate q with the coordinate t_1 which is dual to $H^2(X, \mathbb{Q})$, but the resulting structures are equivalent.

2.1.2. Deformation picture. The small quantum cohomology, if considered over the ring R (cf. Remark 2.2), is a deformation of the ordinary cohomology algebra, i.e. if we put q = 0, then the quantum product becomes the ordinary cup-product. Similarly, the big quantum cohomology is an even bigger deformation family of algebras. Since we work not over R but over K, we lose the point of classical limit but still retain the fact that BQH(X) is a deformation family of algebras with the special fiber being QH(X).

In this paper we view $\operatorname{Spec}(\operatorname{BQH}(X))$ as a deformation family of zero-dimensional schemes over $\operatorname{Spec}(K[[t_0, \ldots, t_s]])$. In the base of the deformation we consider the following two points: the origin (the closed point given by the maximal ideal (t_0, \ldots, t_s)) and the generic point η . The fiber of this family over the origin is the spectrum of the small quantum cohomology $\operatorname{Spec}(\operatorname{QH}(X))$. The fiber over the generic point will be denoted by $\operatorname{Spec}(\operatorname{BQH}(X)_{\eta})$. It is convenient to summarize this setup in the diagram

where both squares are Cartesian.

By construction BQH(X) is a free module of finite rank over $K[[t_0, \ldots, t_s]]$. Therefore, it is a noetherian semilocal K-algebra which is flat and finite over $K[[t_0, \ldots, t_s]]$. Note that neither $K[[t_0, \ldots, t_s]]$ nor BQH(X) are finitely generated over the ground field K. Therefore, some extra care is required in the standard commutative algebra (or algebraic geometry) constructions. For example, the notion of smoothness is one of such concepts.

Often we consider not the full deformation family BQH(X) but only a subfamily that corresponds to deformation directions along some basis elements $\Delta_{i_1}, \ldots, \Delta_{i_m}$ defined by

$$\operatorname{BQH}_{\Delta_{i_1},\ldots,\Delta_{i_m}}(X) \coloneqq \operatorname{BQH}(X) / \left(t_0,\ldots,\hat{t}_{i_1},\ldots,\hat{t}_{i_m},\ldots,t_s\right),$$

where \hat{t}_{i_l} means that the variable \hat{t}_{i_l} is ommitted.

2.1.3. Semisimplicity. Let A be a finite dimensional commutative algebra over a field F of characteristic zero. It is called *semisimple* if it is a product of fields. Equivalently, the algebra A is semisimple if the scheme Spec(A) is reduced. Another equivalent condition is to require the morphism $\text{Spec}(A) \to \text{Spec}(F)$ to be smooth.

Definition 2.3. We say that BQH(X) is generically semisimple if $BQH(X)_{\eta}$ is a semisimple algebra over η .

2.2. Notation for algebraic groups. Let G be a reductive algebraic group, let T be a maximal torus in G and let B be a Borel subgroup containing T. A parabolic subgroup $P \subset G$ is called standard if $B \subset P$.

Let P be a parabolic subgroup, we write $R_u(P)$ for the unipotent radical of P. If P contains T (for example if P is standard), then P contains a unique reductive subgroup L_P such that $T \subset L_P \subset P$ and $P = L_P R_u(P)$ with $L_P \cap R_u(P) = \{1\}$, the subgroup L_P is called the Levi subgroup of P.

For P a standard parabolic subgroup, there exists a unique parabolic subgroup, denoted P^- and called the opposite parabolic subgroup such that $P^- \cap P = L_P$. In the case P = B, then B^- is the opposite Borel subgroup and is characterized by $B \cap B^- = T$.

Denote by Φ the root system of (G, T) and by Δ the set of simple roots defined by B such that the roots of B is the set Φ^+ of positive roots. We set $\Phi^- = -\Phi^+$. If rk(G) is the semisimple rank of G, we will number the simple roots $\Delta = \{\alpha_i \mid i \in [1, \text{rk}(G)]\}$ as in Bourbaki [7, Tables]. If P is a standard parabolic subgroup, then we write Φ_P for the root system of L_P. Set $\Phi_P^+ = \Phi_P \cap \Phi^+$ and $\Phi_P^- = \Phi_P \cap \Phi^-$. If P is a maximal standard parabolic subgroup, we define α_P as the unique simple root such that $-\alpha_P \notin \Phi_P$.

Denote by W the Weyl group of the pair (G, T) and by $s_{\alpha} \in W$ the reflection associated to any root $\alpha \in \Phi$. For $\alpha_i \in \Delta$ a simple root we will also use the notation $s_i := s_{\alpha_i}$ for the corresponding simple reflection. Simple reflections define a length in W and we let w_0 be the longest element in W. Recall that $w_0^{-1} = w_0$. For P a standard parabolic subgroup, we denote by W_P the Weyl group of the pair (P, T). Note that this is also the Weyl group of the pair (L_P, T). Let $w_{0,P}$ be the longest element of W_P. We have $w_{0,P}^{-1} = w_{0,P}$. Denote by W^P \subset W the set of minimal length representatives of the quotient W/W_P and by w_0^P the longest element of W^P. If Q is another standard parabolic subgroup, we denote by W^Q_P \subset W_P the set of minimal length representatives of W_P/W_{P\capQ}. Let $w_{0,P}^Q \in W_P^Q$ be the longest element.

2.3. Basics on Schubert varieties and classes. Let $P \subset G$ be a standard parabolic subgroup and consider the quotient X = G/P, which is a rational projective variety homogeneous under the natural left action of G.

The variety X = G/P has a Bruhat decomposition — this is a cell decomposition with cells given by the orbits of the Borel subgroup B. Namely, for $w \in W$ define the corresponding Schubert cell as $\mathring{X}_w = Bw.P$. As \mathring{X}_w only depends on the coset of w modulo W_P , we have a disjoint union decomposition

$$X = \coprod_{\substack{w \in \mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{P}} \\ 10}} \mathring{X}_w.$$

The closures $X_w = \overline{Bw.P}$ of the Schubert cells are called **Schubert varieties**. The cohomology classes $\sigma_w = [X_w]$ form a basis of the singular cohomology $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ and are called **Schubert classes**. The set W^P of minimal length coset respresentatives is partially ordered by the inclusion of Schubert varieties: $u \leq v$ if and only if $X_u \subset X_v$. This is the **Bruhat order**.

Replacing in the above definitions B by B⁻ one defines opposite Schubert cells X^w that give rise to the opposite Bruhat decomposition $X = \coprod_{w \in W^P} X^w$. Similarly, one defines opposite Schubert varieties X^w , and their cohomology classes $\sigma^w = [X^w]$, called opposite Schubert classes, also form a basis of the singular cohomology $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$.

The following lemma collects some basic well-known facts about Schubert varieties.

Lemma 2.4.

- (1) For any $u \in W$ there exists a unique factorisation $u = u^{P}u_{P}$ with $u^{P} \in W^{P}$ and $u_{P} \in W_{P}$. Moreover, for this factorisation we have $\ell(u) = \ell(u^{P}) + \ell(u_{P})$.
- (2) For the longest element $w_0 \in W$ the above factorisation is of the form

$$v_0 = w_0^{\mathrm{P}} w_{0,\mathrm{P}}$$

(3) For any $u \in W^P$ we have

$$\ell(u) = \dim X_u = \operatorname{codim} X^u.$$

(4) For any $u \in W^P$ the element $u^{\vee} := w_0 u w_{0,P}$ lies in W^P and we have the equality

$$X^u = w_0 . X_{u^{\vee}}$$

(5) For any $u, v \in W^P$ the scheme-theoretic intersection $X_u^v \coloneqq X_u \cap X^v$ is reduced. The intersection X_u^v is non-empty if and only if $v \le u$. If non-empty, X_u^v is irreducible of dimension $\ell(u) - \ell(v)$.

Proof. For statements about Weyl group elements we refer to [5]. For statements about Schubert varieties to [8]. \Box

Let $\mathbf{R} \subset \mathbf{G}$ be a standard parabolic subgroup contained in P. We define $Z = \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{R}$ and consider the canonical projection morphism

$$\pi \colon Z \to X. \tag{2.6}$$

which is a fiber bundle with fiber P/R. Note that we have a natural inclusion of sets of minimal length coset representatives

$$W^{P} \subset W^{R} \tag{2.7}$$

indexing Schubert varieties on X and Z respectively.

Let $e \in X$ be the point corresponding the coset of the identity in G and note that the fiber $\pi^{-1}(e)$ is canonically identified with P/R. We define

$$Y \coloneqq \pi^{-1}(e). \tag{2.8}$$

There is a natural isomorphism

$$Y = L/R_L,$$

where L is the Levi subgroup of P containing T and $R_L = R \cap L$. The group L is reductive and $R_L \subset L$ is a parabolic subgroup. Moreover, we can also define Borel subgroups of L as

$$B_L = B \cap L \quad \text{and} \quad B_L^- = B^- \cap L.$$

Thus, we have all the data required to define Schubert varieties in Y. Note also that for the Weyl group of the pair (L, T) we have $W_L = W_P$ and $W_L^{R_L} = W_P^R$. We also note that there is a natural inclusion

$$W_{P}^{R} \subset W^{R}$$
.

The next lemma collects some well-known facts about images and preimages of Schubert varieties under the map (2.6) and describes how opposite Schubert varieties in Z intersect with the fiber (2.8). More general results in this direction can be found in [9].

Lemma 2.5.

- (1) For any $u \in W$ we have $(u_P)^R = (u^R)_P$. We denote this element by u_P^R .
- (2) For any $u \in W^{\mathbb{R}}$ we have $\pi(Z^{u}) = X^{u^{\mathbb{P}}}$ and $\pi(Z_{u}) = X_{u^{\mathbb{P}}}$. If moreover $u \in W^{\mathbb{P}}$, then the morphisms $\pi: Z_{u} \to X_{u}$ and $\pi: Z^{uw^{\mathbb{R}}_{0,\mathbb{P}}} \to X^{u}$ are birational.
- (3) For $u \in W^{P}$, we have $\pi^{-1}(X^{u}) = Z^{u}$ and $\pi^{-1}(X_{u}) = Z_{uw_{\alpha_{P}}^{R}}$.
- (4) For $u \in W^{\mathbb{R}}$ the intersection $Y \cap Z^{u}$ is non-empty if and only if $u \leq w_{0,\mathbb{P}}^{\mathbb{R}}$, in which case we have $Y \cap Z^{u} = Y^{u}$. The condition $u \leq w_{0,\mathbb{P}}^{\mathbb{R}}$ is equivalent to $u \in W_{\mathbb{P}}^{\mathbb{R}}$.

Proof. (1) This follows from the uniqueness of the factorisation in Lemma 2.4(1).

(2) The first part of the claim follows immediately from the definition of Schubert varieties. For the birationality of the first map we refer to [25, Section 13.8]). The birationality of the second map follows from the birationality of the first and Lemma 2.4(4).

(3) This is an immediate consequence of the definition of Schubert varieties.

(4) By Part (3) we have $Y = \pi^{-1}(X_1) = Z_{w_{0,P}^{\mathsf{R}}}$. By Lemma 2.4(5) the intersection $Y \cap Z^u$ is non-empty if and only if $u \leq w_{0,P}^{\mathsf{R}}$. Since $w_{0,P}^{\mathsf{R}}$ is the maximal element in W_P^{R} , the condition $u \leq w_{0,P}^{\mathsf{R}}$ is clearly equivalent to the inclusion $u \in W_P^{\mathsf{R}}$.

To show $Y \cap Z^u = Y^u$ we proceed as follows. For $u \in W_P^R$ we consider the intersection $\mathring{Z}^u \cap Y = B^- u.R \cap P.R$. Since $u \in W_P^R \subset W_P$ we can rewrite it as $\mathring{Z}^u \cap Y = (B^- \cap P)u.R$. Using the identifications $B^- \cap P = B_L^-$ and $P/R = L/R_L$, we obtain $\mathring{Z}^u \cap Y = B_L^- u.R_L = \mathring{Y}^u$. Now the claim follows by taking closures.

2.4. Borel's presentation. Let G be a reductive group and $P \subset G$ be a parabolic subgroup. In this subsection, we briefly recall Borel's presentation of the cohomology ring $H^*(G/P, \mathbb{Q})$.

First assume that P = B is a Borel subgroup of G and let Λ be the group of characters of B. For any character $\lambda \in \Lambda$, define the line bundle $L_{\lambda} \to G/B$ by $L_{\lambda} = (G \times \mathbb{C})/B$ where B acts via $(g, z).b = (gb, \lambda(b)^{-1}z)$ for $g \in G$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $b \in B$. This induces a linear map $c : \Lambda \to H^2(G/B, \mathbb{Q})$ via $c(\lambda) = c_1(L_{\lambda})$. This map extends to a \mathbb{Q} -algebra morphism called the characteristic map

$$c: \mathbb{Q}[\Lambda] \to H^*(G/B, \mathbb{Q}).$$

Note that Λ is also the group of characters of a maximal torus $T \subset B$ and therefore the Weyl group W of the pair (G, T) acts on Λ . A consequence of Borel's presentation of equivariant cohomology imples that the characteristic map is surjective and that its kernel is the ideal $\mathbb{Q}[\Lambda]^W_+$ generated by the non-constant invariant elements (see for example [6, Proposition 26.1] or [15, Corollaire 2, page 292]). We thus have an isomorphism

$$H^*(G/B, \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Q}[\Lambda]/\mathbb{Q}[\Lambda]^W_+.$$

For $P \supset B$ a general parabolic subgroup, we use the projection map $p : G/B \to G/P$ which induces an inclusion $p^* : H^*(G/P, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^*(G/B, \mathbb{Q})$. This induces an isomorphism

$$H^*(G/P, \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Q}[\Lambda]^{W_P}/\mathbb{Q}[\Lambda]^W_+.$$

See for example [6, Theorem 26.1] or [4, Theorem 5.5]). We will deform these presentations to get presentations for the small and the big quantum cohomology of adjoint and coadjoint varieties.

3. Geometry of the space of lines

In this section we recall general methods for computing degree one Gromov–Witten invariants of homogeneous varieties of Picard rank one.

Let $P \subset G$ be a maximal standard parabolic subgroup and α_P the corresponding simple root. Let X = G/P and let F(X) be the Fano variety of lines on X. We have the diagram

$$Z(X) \xrightarrow{p} X$$

$$\downarrow q \qquad (3.1)$$

$$F(X)$$

where $Z(X) = \{(x, \ell) \in X \times F(X) \mid x \in \ell\}$ is the universal family of lines and $p: Z(X) \to X$ and $q: Z(X) \to F(X)$ are canonical projections.

The variety F(X) is described by the following theorem of Landsberg and Manivel.

Theorem 3.1 ([29, Theorem 4.3]). Let $Q \subset G$ be the standard parabolic subgroup defined by those nodes of the Dynkin diagram of G that are connected to the node of α_P .

(1) If $\alpha_{\rm P}$ is a long root, then there is an isomorphism F(X) = G/Q, and the universal family (3.1) is given by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G/R \xrightarrow{p} G/P \\ q \\ Q \end{array} \tag{3.2}$$

where $R = P \cap Q$ and both maps are canonical G-equivariant projections.

(2) If G is not simply laced and $\alpha_{\rm P}$ is a short root, then F(X) is has two G-orbits, and the closed orbit is isomorphic to G/Q.

Degree d Gromov–Witten invariants of X are defined via intersection numbers on the moduli space of stable maps $\overline{M}_{0,n}(X,d)$. In the case d = 1 we can express these invariants in terms of some interesection numbers on the Fano variety of lines F(X). The following lemma makes this precise.

Lemma 3.2. Let $w_1, \ldots, w_n \in W^P$ and consider the corresponding opposite Schubert varieties $X^{w_1}, \ldots, X^{w_n} \subset X$ and Schubert classes $\sigma^{w_1}, \ldots, \sigma^{w_n} \in H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$. Let us further assume that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \deg(\sigma^{w_i}) = n - 3 + (-K_X, \ell) + \dim X, \tag{3.3}$$

where ℓ is the class of a line in X and deg (γ) stands for the cohomological degree.

(1) For general elements $g_1, \ldots, g_n \in G$ the scheme theoretic intersection

$$ev_1^{-1}(g_1X^{w_1}) \cap \dots \cap ev_n^{-1}(g_nX^{w_n})$$
 (3.4)

is either empty or is a finite number of reduced points supported in the locus of automorphism-free stable maps with irreducible domain, and we have

$$\langle \sigma^{w_1}, \dots, \sigma^{w_n} \rangle_1 = \# \left(ev_1^{-1}(g_1 X^{w_1}) \cap \dots \cap ev_n^{-1}(g_n X^{w_n}) \right).$$
 (3.5)

(2) For general elements $g_1, \ldots, g_n \in G$ the intersection in F(X) of the translates

$$g_1\left(qp^{-1}(X^{w_1})\right)\cap\cdots\cap g_n\left(qp^{-1}(X^{w_n})\right) \tag{3.6}$$

is either empty or is a finite number of reduced points, and we have

$$\langle \sigma^{w_1}, \dots, \sigma^{w_n} \rangle_1 = \# \left(g_1 \left(q p^{-1}(X^{w_1}) \right) \cap \dots \cap g_n \left(q p^{-1}(X^{w_n}) \right) \right).$$
(3.7)

Proof. (1) This is a special case of [18, Lemma 14].

(2) Recall that we have

$$\dim F(X) = (-K_X, \ell) + \dim X - 3.$$
(3.8)

Since the map q is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle, for any $w \in W^P$ we have

$$\operatorname{codim}_X(X^w) \ge \operatorname{codim}_{F(X)}(qp^{-1}(X^w)) \ge \operatorname{codim}_X(X^w) - 1.$$

Hence, using (3.3) we obtain the inequality

$$\sum_{i} \operatorname{codim}_{\mathcal{F}(X)}(qp^{-1}(X^{w_i})) \ge \dim \mathcal{F}(X).$$
(3.9)

Using this inequality, Kleiman's transversality and Theorem 3.1, one easily argues that for general $g_1, \ldots, g_n \in G$ the interesection of the translates $g_1(qp^{-1}(X^{w_1})), \ldots, g_n(qp^{-1}(X^{w_n}))$ is either empty or is a finite number of reduced points contained in the open G-orbit of F(X).

On the one hand, a point of the intersection (3.6) is given by a line $\ell \subset X$ that non-trivially intersects the translates $g_1 X^{w_1}, \ldots, g_n X^{w_n}$. On the other hand, we know by Part (1) that a point of the intersection (3.4) is given by a line $\ell \subset X$ that non-trivially intersects the translates $g_1 X^{w_1}, \ldots, g_n X^{w_n}$ together with a choice of points $p_i \in \ell \cap g_i X^{w_i}$. Thus, we need to show that the additional choice of points $p_i \in \ell \cap g_i X^{w_i}$ does not affect the result.

Since any Schubert variety is a linear section (see [33, Theorem 3.11(ii)]), the intersections $\ell \cap g_i X^{w_i}$ are either a reduced point or the line ℓ itself. If all intersections $\ell \cap g_i X^{w_i}$ are a reduced point, then there is a unique choice of the points $p_i \in \ell \cap g_i X^{w_i}$ and, therefore, we have a bijection between (3.4) and (3.6). If for some *i* we have $\ell \cap g_i X^{w_i} = \ell$ for g_i general in G, then we have $X^{w_i} = X$ and the GW invariant $\langle \sigma^{w_1}, \ldots, \sigma^{w_n} \rangle_1$ vanishes by [30, III.5.3]. Similarly, in this case the inequality (3.9) is strict and the intersection (3.6) is empty.

Define the variety $F_{pt}(X)$ of lines in X passing through a point as

$$F_{pt}(X) \coloneqq qp^{-1}(e) = \{\ell \in F(X) \mid e \in \ell\},\$$

where e is the point in X given by the coset of the identity element in G. By definition $F_{pt}(X)$ is a subvariety of F(X) and we denote the natural inclusion by $i: F_{pt}(X) \to F(X)$. Moreover, the restriction $q_{|p^{-1}(e)}: p^{-1}(e) \to q(p^{-1}(e))$ is an isomorphism and we can identify the variety $F_{pt}(X)$ with the fiber $p^{-1}(e)$. It is convenient to collect this data into the commutative diagram

$$F_{pt}(X) \xrightarrow{\varphi} Z(X) \xrightarrow{p} X \tag{3.10}$$

$$\downarrow^{q}$$

$$F(X)$$

where φ denotes the embedding of $F_{pt}(X)$ as the fiber $p^{-1}(e)$ and we have $q \circ \varphi = i$.

Corollary 3.3. For $w_1, \ldots, w_n \in W^P$ we have

$$\langle \sigma^{w_1}, \dots, \sigma^{w_n} \rangle_1 = \deg_{\mathcal{F}(X)}(q_*p^*(\sigma^{w_1}) \cup \dots \cup q_*p^*(\sigma^{w_n}))$$
(3.11)

and

$$\langle [\mathrm{pt}], \sigma^{w_1}, \dots, \sigma^{w_n} \rangle_1 = \deg_{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pt}}(X)}(i^*q_*p^*(\sigma^{w_1}) \cup \dots \cup i^*q_*p^*(\sigma^{w_n})).$$
(3.12)

Proof. If the codimension condition (3.3) is satisfied, then (3.11) holds by Lemma 3.2(2).

If the codimension condition (3.3) is not satisfied, then left-hand side of (3.11) vanishes according to the properties of GW invariants. To see the vanishing of the right-hand side we consider two cases:

- (1) If for some *i* we have $w_i = 1$, then $q_*p^*(\sigma^{w_i}) = 0$ and the right-hand side obviously vanishes.
- (2) If $w_i \neq 1$ for all *i*, then arguing by codimension as in the proof of Lemma 3.2(2) we also get the desired vanishing.

The equality (3.12) follows from (3.11) by projection formula.

Let us now make the above formulas for GW invariants even more explicit in the case, where the Fano variety of lines F(X) is homogeneous under the group G. Thus, in view of Theorem 3.1, let us assume that the root $\alpha_{\rm P}$ is a long root. In this case not only the variety F(X) = G/Q is homogeneous, but also the variety $F_{\rm pt}(X)$ of lines passing through a point is homogenous with respect to a subgroup of G. Indeed, using the identification of $F_{\rm pt}(X)$ with the fiber $p^{-1} = P/R$, we see that $F_{\rm pt}(X)$ is homogeneous under the Levi subgroup of P. In this case the diagram (3.10) becomes

$$P/R \xrightarrow{\varphi} G/R \xrightarrow{p} G/P \tag{3.13}$$

$$\downarrow^{q}$$

$$G/Q$$

If no confusion arises, we denote F = F(X) and $F_{pt} = F_{pt}(X)$.

Lemma 3.4. Under the assumptions of the diagram (3.13) we have the following.

(1) For $w \in W^{\mathcal{P}} \setminus \{1\}$ we have

$$w^{\mathbf{Q}} = ws_{\mathbf{P}} \in \mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{Q}} \quad and \quad \ell(w^{\mathbf{Q}}) = \ell(w) - 1.$$

(2) For $w \in W^P$ we have

$$q_* p^* (\sigma_X^w) = \begin{cases} \sigma_F^{w_{\rm SP}} & \text{if } w \neq 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } w = 1. \end{cases}$$
(3.14)

- (3) For $w_1, \dots, w_n \in W^P \setminus \{1\}$ we have $\langle \sigma_X^{w_1}, \dots, \sigma_X^{w_n} \rangle_1 = \deg_F \left(\sigma_F^{w_1 s_P} \cup \dots \cup \sigma_F^{w_n s_P} \right).$
- (4) For $w_1, \dots, w_n \in W^{\mathcal{P}} \setminus \{1\}$ we have $\langle [\mathrm{pt}], \sigma_X^{w_1}, \dots, \sigma_X^{w_n} \rangle_1 = \begin{cases} \deg_{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pt}}} \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n \sigma_{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pt}}}^{w_i s_{\mathrm{P}}} \right) & \text{if } w_i s_{\mathrm{P}} \leq w_{0,\mathrm{P}}^{\mathrm{R}} \text{ for all } i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

Proof. (1) Define $v \in W$ by the equality $v = ws_P$. By [5, Proposition 1.4.2] we have $l(v) = l(w) \pm 1$. Let $k = \ell(w)$ and let $s_{i_1} \ldots s_{i_k}$ be a reduced expression for w. Since $w \in W^P$, then by [5, Lemma 2.4.3] we must necessarily have $s_{i_k} = s_P$. Therefore, $s_{i_1} \ldots s_{i_{k-1}}$ is a reduced expression for v and we have l(v) = l(w) - 1. Moreover, appending $s_{i_k} = s_P$ as above gives a bijection between reduced expression for v and w.

To show the inclusion $v \in W^Q$ it is enough prove that $s_{i_{k-1}}$ corresponds to a vertex of the Dynkin diagram of G defining Q, i.e., it must be a neighbour of P. Indeed, if this were not the case, then $s_{i_{k-1}}$ and s_{i_k} would commute and $s_{i_1} \ldots s_{i_{k-2}}, s_{i_k}, s_{i_{k-1}}$ would be a reduced expression for w, which is a contradiction with the inclusion $w \in W^P$.

(2) For w = 1 the statement is clear, as the map q is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle. For $w \neq 1$ we argue as follows. At the level of Schubert varieties by Lemma 2.5 we have $p^{-1}(X^w) = Z^w$ and $qp^{-1}(X^w) = F^{w^Q}$, and it is enough to prove that the induced map $q: Z^w \to F^{w^Q}$ is birational. Since we have $w = w^Q s_P$ and $w^R_{0,Q} = s_P$, we get the birationality by applying Lemma 2.5(2).

(3) This is an immediate consequence of (3.11) and (3.14).

(4) This is a consequence of (3.12) and (3.14). Indeed, for $w \in W^P \setminus \{1\}$ using (3.14), the commutativity of the diagram (3.13), and Lemma 2.5 we get

$$i^*q_*p^*(\sigma_X^w) = \begin{cases} \sigma_{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{Pt}}}^{ws_{\mathrm{P}}} & \text{if } ws_{\mathrm{P}} \le w_{0,\mathrm{P}}^{\mathrm{R}}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

where we identify F_{pt} with P/R as agreed upon earlier. Now we just apply (3.12).

4. Coadjoint varieties

An adjoint (resp. coadjoint) variety of a simple algebraic group G is the highest weight vector orbit in the projectivization of the irreducible G-representation, whose highest weight is the highest *long* (resp. *short*) root of G. Clearly, if the group G is simply laced, then adjoint and coadjoint varieties coincide. We refer to the Table 1 in the Introduction for an explicit list of (co)adjoint varieties.

In this paper we are interested in quantum cohomology of coadjoint varieties and, therefore, in this section we discuss several results on Gromov–Witten theory and (quantum) Schubert calculus for this class of varieties. In Section 4.1 we discuss computations of degree one Gromov–Witten invariants for coadjoint varieties of non-simply laced groups. Recall that using Lemma 3.4 for a coadjoint variety X of a simply laced group we can explicitly reduce computations of degree one Gromov–Witten invariants to Schubert calculus on the Fano variety of lines F(X) and on the variety of lines through a point $F_{pt}(X)$. Here we explain a construction that allows to reduce computations of degree one Gromov–Witten invariants for coadjoint varieties of non-simply laced groups to Schubert calculus on some auxiliary varieties.

In Section 4.2 we recall some specifics of the (quantum) Schubert calculus for coadjoint varieties based on [12].

4.1. **GW invariants for coadjoint varieties of non-simply laced groups.** Results of this section rely on the observation that any coadoint variety G/P of a non-simply laced group G is a hyperplane section of an auxiliary homogenous variety \hat{G}/\hat{P} for a simply laced group \hat{G} . Here is the explicit list of such pairs:

G/P	$\hat{\mathrm{G}}/\hat{\mathrm{P}}$
$\mathbf{B}_n/\mathbf{P}_1 = \mathbf{Q}_{2n-1}$	$\mathbf{D}_{n+1}/\mathbf{P}_1 = \mathbf{Q}_{2n}$
$C_n/P_2 = IG(2, 2n)$	$\mathcal{A}_{2n-1}/\mathcal{P}_2 = \mathcal{G}(2,2n)$
F_4/P_4	E_6/P_1
$G_2/P_1 = Q_5$	$\mathrm{D}_4/\mathrm{P}_1 = \mathrm{Q}_6$
	1

TABLE 3. Hyperplane sections

The groups G and \hat{G} are related by the operation called folding. Let us make this setup more precise.

Let G be a connected simply connected simple algebraic group whose Dynkin diagram is as in the second column of the above table, i.e., it is either D_{n+1} with $n \ge 4$, or A_{2n-1} with $n \ge 2$, or E_6 , or D_4 . We also fix a maximal torus \hat{T} , Borel subgroups \hat{B} , \hat{B}^- , and a maximal parabolic subgroup \hat{P} as prescribed by the second column of the above table, and adhereing to our conventions.

Let σ be the automorphism of \hat{G} corresponding to the symmetry of the Dynkin diagram of \hat{G} , which we also denote by σ . The automorphism σ is an involution except in type D_4 , where it is of order 3. Define a closed subgroup $G \subset \hat{G}$ as the fixed locus of σ

$$\mathbf{G} \coloneqq \hat{\mathbf{G}}^{\sigma} \subset \hat{\mathbf{G}}$$

and all the standard subgroups of G as

$$T = G \cap \hat{T}, \quad B = G \cap \hat{B}, \quad B^- = G \cap \hat{B}^-, \quad P = G \cap \hat{P}.$$

The embedding of maximal tori gives rise to a surjective map of root systems

$$\pi \colon \Phi_{\hat{\mathbf{G}}} \to \Phi_{\mathbf{G}},\tag{4.1}$$

so that Φ_G is the quotient of $\Phi_{\hat{G}}$ with respect to σ . The map (4.1) is defined by its values on the simple roots and can be conveniently encoded by folding of Dynkin diagrams. For example, in the third case of Table 3 we have

$$1 \xrightarrow{3} 4 \xrightarrow{5} 6 = 1 \xrightarrow{2} 3 \xrightarrow{4} .$$

$$(4.2)$$

We refer to [16, Section 3.6] for more details on folding.

From the above definitions it follows that there is a closed embedding

$$j: G/P \hookrightarrow \hat{G}/\hat{P}.$$
 (4.3)

Moreover, the following lemma shows that (4.3) is a hyperplane section. Let us consider the natural closed embedding

$$\hat{\mathbf{G}}/\hat{\mathbf{P}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(V^{\vee}\right),$$

$$(4.4)$$

where

$$V \coloneqq H^0\left(\hat{\mathbf{G}}/\hat{\mathbf{P}}, \mathcal{O}_{\hat{\mathbf{G}}/\hat{\mathbf{P}}}(1)\right) = V_{\hat{\mathbf{G}}}^{\hat{\omega}_{\hat{\mathbf{P}}}},$$

 $\hat{\omega}_{\hat{P}}$ is the fundamental weight of \hat{G} that corresponds to \hat{P} , and $V_{\hat{G}}^{\hat{\omega}_{\hat{P}}}$ is the irreducible representation of \hat{G} with highest weight $\hat{\omega}_{\hat{P}}$.

Lemma 4.1. Up to a scalar multiple there exists a unique non-zero section

$$s \in V \tag{4.5}$$

such that

$$G/P = Z(s) \subset \hat{G}/\hat{P}.$$

Proof. We begin with a preparation. A direct computation shows that under the embedding of maximal tori $T \subset \hat{T}$ the fundamental weight $\hat{\omega}_{\hat{P}}$ maps to ω_{P} (use folding diagrams). Moreover, since in all cases we have

$$\dim V_{\hat{\mathbf{G}}}^{\hat{\omega}_{\hat{\mathbf{P}}}} = \dim V_{\mathbf{G}}^{\omega_{\mathbf{P}}} + 1,$$

we obtain a direct sum decomposition

$$\left(V_{\hat{G}}^{\hat{\omega}_{\hat{P}}}\right)_{|G} = V_{G}^{\omega_{P}} \oplus \mathbb{C}.$$
(4.6)

Note that the highest weight vector of $V_{\hat{G}}^{\hat{\omega}_{\hat{P}}}$ is also the highest weight vector of the G-representation $V_{G}^{\omega_{P}}$. If we dualize (4.6), we also see that the highest weight vector in $\left(V_{\hat{G}}^{\hat{\omega}_{\hat{P}}}\right)^{\vee}$ is also the highest weight vector of the G-representation $\left(V_{G}^{\omega_{P}}\right)^{\vee}$.

Now we are ready to finish the proof. The embedding (4.4) realizes \hat{G}/\hat{P} as the \hat{G} -orbit of the highest weight vector $v \in \left(V_{\hat{G}}^{\hat{\omega}_{\hat{P}}}\right)^{\vee}$. Consider the hyperplane $H = \mathbb{P}\left((V_{G}^{\omega_{P}})^{\vee}\right) \subset \mathbb{P}(V^{\vee})$. Clearly, we have the inclusion

$$G/P = G v \subset H \underset{\mathbb{P}(V^{\vee})}{\times} \hat{G}/\hat{P}$$
(4.7)

Moreover, since $\mathcal{O}(H)_{|\hat{G}/\hat{P}|}$ is a generator of the Picard group of \hat{G}/\hat{P} , it follows that the intersection (4.7) is reduced and irreducible. Therefore, since $\dim \hat{G}/\hat{P} = \dim G/P + 1$, the inclusion (4.7) is an equality.

Now we are ready to discuss the computation of degree one GW invariants for G/P. Let us collect Fano varieties of lines and varieties of lines through a point on G/P and \hat{G}/\hat{P} into the commutative diagram

Recall that by Theorem 3.1 we have

$$F(\hat{G}/\hat{P}) = \hat{G}/\hat{Q}, \quad Z(\hat{G}/\hat{P}) = \hat{G}/\hat{R}, \quad F_{pt}(\hat{G}/\hat{P}) = \hat{P}/\hat{R}.$$

The parabolic $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}$ is not necessarily maximal, i.e., it may be given by more than one vertex of the Dynkin diagram of $\hat{\mathbf{G}}$. In the next formula we denote the set of these vertices by the same symbol $\hat{\mathbf{Q}}$. For each vertex $\hat{q} \in \hat{\mathbf{Q}}$ we denote by $\hat{\omega}_{\hat{q}}$ the corresponding fundamental weight of $\hat{\mathbf{G}}$. With this notation in mind we define the $\hat{\mathbf{G}}$ -dominant weight

$$\hat{\omega}_{\hat{\mathbf{Q}}} = \sum_{\hat{q} \in \hat{\mathbf{Q}}} \hat{\omega}_{\hat{q}},\tag{4.9}$$

and denote by $\mathcal{O}(\hat{\omega}_{\hat{Q}})$ the globally generated line bundle defined by the weight (4.9).

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2.

(1) There is a canonical isomorphism

$$q_*p^*\left(\mathcal{O}_{\hat{\mathbf{G}}/\hat{\mathbf{P}}}(1)\right) \cong \mathcal{U}^{\hat{\omega}_{\hat{\mathbf{P}}}},\tag{4.10}$$

where $\mathcal{U}^{\hat{\omega}_{\hat{P}}}$ is the globally generated \hat{G} -equivariant vector bundle of rank 2 on $F(\hat{G}/\hat{P})$ given by the fundamental weight $\hat{\omega}_{\hat{P}}$.

(2) The global section s from (4.5) defines a global section

$$\tilde{s} \in H^0\left(\mathbf{F}(\hat{\mathbf{G}}/\hat{\mathbf{P}}), \mathcal{U}^{\hat{\omega}_{\hat{\mathbf{P}}}}\right)$$

and we have the identification

$$F(G/P) = Z(\tilde{s}) \subset F(\hat{G}/\hat{P}).$$

(3) The global section s from (4.5) defines a global section

$$\tilde{\tilde{s}} \in H^0\left(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{pt}}(\hat{\mathcal{G}}/\hat{\mathcal{P}}), \mathcal{O}(\hat{\omega}_{\hat{\mathcal{Q}}})\right)$$

and we have the identification

$$\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pt}}(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{P}) = Z(\tilde{\tilde{s}}) \subset \mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pt}}(\hat{\mathbf{G}}/\hat{\mathbf{P}})$$
19

Proof. (1) The isomorphism (4.10) is a direct consequence of basic facts of homogeneous bundles on homogeneous varieties.

(2) By Lemma 4.1 we know that G/P is the zero locus Z(s) of a global section s of the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\hat{G}/\hat{P}}(1)$. Hence, the claim is a standard fact about Fano varieties of lines (for example, see [1]).

(3) The left small square in (4.8) is Cartesian. Therefore, $F_{pt}(G/P)$ inside $F_{pt}(\hat{G}/\hat{P})$ is identified with the zero locus of the global section of $\varphi^*q^*(\mathcal{U}^{\hat{\omega}_{\hat{P}}})$ induced by the global section \tilde{s} . Let us do a more detailed analysis.

The pullback $q^* \left(\mathcal{U}^{\hat{\omega}_{\hat{\mathbf{P}}}} \right)$ is a rank two $\hat{\mathbf{G}}$ -equivaraint vector bundle, whose irreducible factors are easily seen to be $\mathcal{O}(\hat{\omega}_{\hat{\mathbf{P}}})$ and $\mathcal{O}(\hat{\omega}_{\hat{\mathbf{P}}} - \hat{\alpha}_{\hat{\mathbf{P}}})$. More precisely, there is a $\hat{\mathbf{G}}$ -equivaraint short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}(\hat{\omega}_{\hat{\mathbf{P}}} - \hat{\alpha}_{\hat{\mathbf{P}}}) \to q^* \left(\mathcal{U}^{\hat{\omega}_{\hat{\mathbf{P}}}} \right) \to \mathcal{O}(\hat{\omega}_{\hat{\mathbf{P}}}) \to 0$$

$$(4.11)$$

Since we have the equality of weights

$$\hat{\alpha}_{\hat{\mathbf{P}}} = 2\hat{\omega}_{\hat{\mathbf{P}}} - \sum_{\hat{q}\in\hat{\mathbf{Q}}}\hat{\omega}_{\hat{q}},$$

the restriction of (4.11) to $F_{pt}(\hat{G}/\hat{P}) = \hat{R}/\hat{P}$ becomes

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}(\hat{\omega}_{\hat{\mathbf{Q}}}) \to \varphi^* q^* \left(\mathcal{U}^{\hat{\omega}_{\hat{\mathbf{P}}}} \right) \to \mathcal{O} \to 0,$$

which is a split short exact sequence, as $\mathcal{O}(\hat{\omega}_{\hat{Q}})$ has vanishing higher cohomology groups.

The global section \tilde{s} gives rise to a non-zero global section of $\varphi^* q^* \left(\mathcal{U}^{\hat{\omega}_{\hat{P}}} \right)$. Its component along the summand \mathcal{O} must vanish, and, therefore, we get an induced global section

$$\tilde{\tilde{s}} \in H^0\left(\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pt}}(\hat{\mathbf{G}}/\hat{\mathbf{P}}), \mathcal{O}(\hat{\omega}_{\hat{\mathbf{Q}}})\right)$$

as claimed. Its zero locus is then automatically $F_{pt}(G/P)$.

Since $F(\hat{G}/\hat{P})$ and $F_{pt}(\hat{G}/\hat{P})$ are homogeneous, using the above lemma we can reduce the computation of certain GW invariants of G/P to Schubert calculus on $F(\hat{G}/\hat{P})$ and $F_{pt}(\hat{G}/\hat{P})$.

Corollary 4.3. For $\hat{w}_1, \ldots, \hat{w}_n \in W^{\hat{P}}_{\hat{G}} \setminus \{1\}$ we have

$$\langle j^* \sigma_{\hat{\mathrm{G}}/\hat{\mathrm{P}}}^{\hat{w}_1}, \dots, j^* \sigma_{\hat{\mathrm{G}}/\hat{\mathrm{P}}}^{\hat{w}_n} \rangle_1^{\mathrm{G}/\mathrm{P}} = \deg_{\mathrm{F}(\hat{\mathrm{G}}/\hat{\mathrm{P}})} \left(c_2(\mathfrak{U}^{\hat{\omega}_{\hat{\mathrm{P}}}}) \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n \sigma_{\mathrm{F}(\hat{\mathrm{G}}/\hat{\mathrm{P}})}^{\hat{w}_i s_{\hat{\mathrm{P}}}} \right) \right),$$

where $\mathcal{U}^{\hat{\omega}_{\hat{\mathbf{P}}}}$ is defined in (4.10), and

$$\langle [\text{pt}], j^* \sigma_{\hat{G}/\hat{P}}^{\hat{w}_1}, \dots, j^* \sigma_{\hat{G}/\hat{P}}^{\hat{w}_n} \rangle_1^{G/P} = = \begin{cases} \deg_{F_{\text{pt}}(\hat{G}/\hat{P})} \left(h \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n \sigma_{F_{\text{pt}}(\hat{G}/\hat{P})}^{\hat{w}_i s_{\hat{P}}} \right) \right) & \text{if } \hat{w}_i s_{\hat{P}} \leq w_{0,\hat{P}}^{\hat{R}} \text{ for all } i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(4.12)

where

$$h = c_1(\mathcal{O}(\hat{\omega}_{\hat{\mathbf{Q}}})).$$

Proof. Use Diagram (4.8), projection formula for j, and Lemmas 4.2, 3.2, 3.4.

In the rest of this section we discuss how to express the pullbacks $j^* \sigma_{\hat{G}/\hat{P}}^{\hat{w}}$ appearing in Corollary 4.3 in terms of Schubert classes on G/P. As usual we denote by $W_{\hat{G}}$ and W_{G} the Weyl groups of the pairs (\hat{G}, \hat{T}) and (G, T).

Lemma 4.4.

(1) The embedding $G \subset \hat{G}$ induces an embedding of the Weyl groups

$$\iota \colon W_{G} \hookrightarrow W_{\hat{G}},\tag{4.13}$$

such that

$$W_{G} = \left(W_{\hat{G}}\right)^{\sigma}, \qquad (4.14)$$

where the action of σ on $W_{\hat{G}}$ is induced from \hat{G} .

(2) For simple reflections (4.13) takes the form

$$s_{\alpha} \mapsto \prod_{\hat{\alpha} \in \pi^{-1}(\alpha)} s_{\hat{\alpha}},$$

where π is the quotient map (4.1). The order of the product is not important, as simple reflections $s_{\hat{\alpha}}$ for $\hat{\alpha} \in \pi^{-1}(\alpha)$ commute with each other.

(3) Let $W_{\hat{G}}^{fc} \subset W_{\hat{G}}$ be the subset of fully commutative elements. There is a well-defined map

$$\iota^* \colon \mathbf{W}_{\hat{\mathbf{G}}}^{fc} \to \mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{G}},$$
$$\hat{w} \mapsto s_{\pi(\hat{\alpha}_{i_1})} \cdots s_{\pi(\hat{\alpha}_{i_r})},$$

where $\hat{w} = s_{\hat{\alpha}_{i_1}} \cdots s_{\hat{\alpha}_{i_r}}$ is any reduced expression for \hat{w} . Moreover, since the homogeneous space \hat{G}/\hat{P} is minuscule, we have $W_{\hat{G}}^{\hat{P}} \subset W_{\hat{G}}^{fc}$, and, therefore, ι^* is well-defined on $W_{\hat{G}}^{\hat{P}}$.

Proof. (1) This claim follows immediately from the definitions of Weyl groups and from the fact that we have $C_{\hat{G}}(T_{G}) = T_{\hat{G}}$. We leave the details to the reader.

(2) See [16, Section 3.6].

(3) Since \hat{w} is fully commutative, its reduced word is unique up to commuting relations (see [38]). The claim now follows from the following observation. If $\hat{\alpha}$ and $\hat{\beta}$ are simple roots of \hat{G} such that $s_{\hat{\alpha}}s_{\hat{\beta}} = s_{\hat{\beta}}s_{\hat{\alpha}}$, then we also have $s_{\pi(\hat{\alpha})}s_{\pi(\hat{\beta})} = s_{\pi(\hat{\beta})}s_{\pi(\hat{\alpha})}$.

Recall that by Lemma 4.1 the variety G/P is a hyperplane section of \hat{G}/\hat{P} . Therefore, by the Lefschetz hyperplane section theorem, the pullback map

$$j^* \colon H^l(\widehat{\mathrm{G}}/\widehat{\mathrm{P}}, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^l(\mathrm{G}/\mathrm{P}, \mathbb{Q})$$

is an isomorphism for $l \leq \dim G/P$. We want to give an explicit formula for j^* in terms of Schubert classes. By Lemma 4.4 we have well-defined maps

$$\begin{split} W^{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{G}} &\to W^{\hat{\mathrm{P}}}_{\hat{\mathrm{G}}} \\ w &\mapsto w_* \coloneqq \iota(w)^{\hat{\mathrm{P}}} \end{split} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \begin{split} W^{\hat{\mathrm{P}}}_{\hat{\mathrm{G}}} &\to W^{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{G}} \\ \hat{w} &\mapsto \hat{w}^* \coloneqq \iota^*(\hat{w})^{\mathrm{P}} \end{split}$$

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.5.

(1) Consider $w \in W_{G}^{P}$ with $2\ell(w) \leq \dim G/P$ and a reduced expression

$$w = s_{\alpha_{i_1}} \cdots s_{\alpha_{i_r}}.$$

For each $k \in [1, r]$, there exists a unique simple root $\hat{\beta}_k \in \pi^{-1}(\alpha_{i_k})$ such that we have a reduced expression

$$w_* = s_{\hat{\beta}_1} \cdots s_{\hat{\beta}_r}.$$

In particular, we have $\ell(w_*) = \ell(w)$.

(2) For $w \in W_{G}^{P}$ with $2\ell(w) \leq \dim G/P$ we have

$$(w_*)^* = w.$$

(3) For $w \in W_G^P$ with $2\ell(w) \leq \dim G/P$ the closed embedding (4.3) gives rise to isomorphisms of Schubert varieties

$$j\left((\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{P})_w\right) = (\hat{\mathbf{G}}/\hat{\mathbf{P}})_{w_*}.$$

(4) For $\hat{w} \in W_{G}^{P}$ with $2\ell(\hat{w}) \leq \dim \hat{G}/\hat{P}$ we have

$$j^*\left(\sigma_{\hat{\mathrm{G}}/\hat{\mathrm{P}}}^{\hat{w}}
ight) = \sigma_{\mathrm{G}/\mathrm{P}}^{\hat{w}^*}.$$

Proof. (1) In the third case of Table 3, where the order of σ is 3, we have dim G/P = 5 and there are only two non-trivial coset representatives $\hat{w} \in W_G^P$ for which we need to prove the claim and for both of them the claim is obvious. We leave the details to the reader.

For the rest of the proof we assume that the automorphism σ is an involution, which covers all the other cases in Table 3.

Let us denote X = G/P and $Y = \hat{G}/\hat{P}$. Unraveling the definitions of Schubert varieties we immediately obtain $j(\mathring{X}_w) \subset \mathring{Y}_{w_*}$. Hence, we get the inequality

$$\ell(w_*) \ge \ell(w),\tag{4.15}$$

which we will soon show to be an equality.

The proof is by induction on $\ell(w)$. The base of induction is the case $\ell(w) = 1$, which is clear. Thus, we assume that we have $w = s_{\alpha_{i_1}} \cdots s_{\alpha_{i_r}}$ and that we already know the statement for $v = s_{\alpha_{i_2}} \cdots s_{\alpha_{i_r}}$, i.e., there exist a unique $\hat{\beta}_{i_k} \in \pi^{-1}(\alpha_{i_k})$ for all $k \in [2, r]$ such that $v_* = s_{\hat{\beta}_{i_2}} \cdots s_{\hat{\beta}_{i_r}}$. Let us consider the identity

$$w_* = (\iota(\alpha_{i_1})v_*)^{\hat{\mathbf{P}}}.$$

If $\#\pi^{-1}(\alpha_{i_1}) = 1$, then the claim is clear due to (4.15). Therefore, from now on we assume that $\pi^{-1}(\alpha_{i_1}) = \{\hat{\beta}, \hat{\gamma}\}$ and we have

$$w_* = (s_{\hat{\beta}} s_{\hat{\gamma}} v_*)^{\mathrm{P}}, \tag{4.16}$$

where the order of $s_{\hat{\beta}}$ and $s_{\hat{\gamma}}$ is not important, as they commute. By [12, Proposition 2.11] any $u \in W_{G}^{P}$ with $2\ell(u) \leq \dim G/P$ is ω_{P} -minuscule, and, hence, we have

$$\left(v(\omega_{\mathbf{P}}), \alpha_{i_1}^{\vee}\right) = 1.$$

Since we have $\pi(v_*(\hat{\omega}_{\hat{\mathbf{P}}})) = v(\omega_{\mathbf{P}})$, we obtain by [16, Section 3.6] the equality

$$(v_*(\hat{\omega}_{\hat{\mathbf{P}}}), \hat{\beta}^{\vee}) + (v_*(\hat{\omega}_{\hat{\mathbf{P}}}), \hat{\gamma}^{\vee}) = 1.$$

22

Since the group \hat{G} is simply laced and the space \hat{G}/\hat{P} is minuscule (see [12, Definition 2.1]) for any $\hat{\alpha} \in \Phi_{\hat{G}}$ we have

$$(v_*(\hat{\omega}_{\hat{\mathbf{P}}}), \hat{\alpha}^{\vee}) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$$

Therefore, without loss of generality we can assume

$$(v_*(\hat{\omega}_{\hat{\mathbf{P}}}), \hat{\beta}^{\vee}) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (v_*(\hat{\omega}_{\hat{\mathbf{P}}}), \hat{\gamma}^{\vee}) = 0.$$

The latter equality implies $s_{v_*}(\hat{\gamma}) \in W_{\hat{P}}$, and, since $v_*^{-1}s_{\gamma}v_* = s_{v_*}(\hat{\gamma})$, we obtain

$$(s_{\hat{\gamma}}v_*)^{\hat{\mathbf{P}}} = v_*.$$

Therefore, from (4.16) and (4.15) we get

$$w_* = (s_{\hat{\beta}}v_*)^{\hat{\mathbf{P}}} = s_{\hat{\beta}}v_*,$$

which finishes the proof.

(2) The claim follows immediately from Part (1) and Lemma 4.4(3).

(3) Let us denote X = G/P and $Y = \hat{G}/\hat{P}$. As in Part (1) we have $j(\mathring{X}_w) \subset \mathring{Y}_{w_*}$. Since by Part (1) we now also have $\ell(w_*) = \ell(w)$, we conclude $j(X_w) = Y_{w_*}$.

(4) The claim follows from Part (3) using Poincaré duality (see Lemma 2.4(5)). \Box

4.2. Quantum Schubert calculus for coadjoint varieties. As discussed in Section 2.3, Schubert classes on G/P are labelled by W^P. If G/P is coadoint, there is an alternative labelling introduced in [12]. Throughout this section we assume X = G/P to be a coadjoint variety not of type A.

Let Φ be the root system of G. For a root $\alpha = \sum_{\beta \in \Delta} m_{\beta}\beta$ we define its height and support to be respectively

$$|\alpha| = \sum_{\beta \in \Delta} |m_{\beta}|$$
 and $\operatorname{Supp}(\alpha) = \{\beta \in \Delta \mid m_{\beta} \neq 0\}.$

It is well-known that for the canonical line bundle of X we have

$$\omega_X = \mathcal{O}_X(-r), \text{ with } r = |\Theta|,$$

where Θ is the highest root.

Let $\Phi_s \subset \Phi$ be the subset of short roots and $\theta \in \Phi_s$ be the highest short root. It is well-known that we have

$$\dim X = 2r_0 - 1, \quad \text{with} \quad r_0 = |\theta|.$$

Finally we recall that the Weyl group W preserves the length of roots and acts transitively on Φ_s . Also recall that for a simply laced group G we consider all roots to be both short and long.

Lemma 4.6 ([12, Proposition 2.9]). Let X = G/P be a coadjoint variety not of type A.

(1) The map

$$W^{\mathbf{P}} \to \Phi_{\mathbf{s}}$$
$$w \mapsto w(\theta)$$

is bijective. Therefore, Schubert varieties and classes can be indexed by Φ_s as

 $X_{w(\theta)} \coloneqq X^w$ and $\sigma_{w(\theta)} \coloneqq \sigma^w$ for $w \in W^P$.

(2) We have the equivalence

$$X_{\alpha} \subset X_{\beta} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \alpha \leq \beta & \text{if } \alpha \text{ and } \beta \text{ have the same sign,} \\ \operatorname{Supp}(\alpha) \cup \operatorname{Supp}(\beta) \text{ is connected} & \text{for } \alpha < 0 \text{ and } \beta > 0. \end{cases}$$

(3) We have

$$\deg(\sigma_{\alpha}) = \begin{cases} r_0 - |\alpha| & \text{for } \alpha \in \Phi_{\rm s}^+, \\ r_0 + |\alpha| - 1 & \text{for } \alpha \in \Phi_{\rm s}^-, \end{cases}$$

where $r_0 = |\theta|$.

(4) The Poincaré duality takes the form

$$\sigma_{\alpha}^{\vee} = \sigma_{w_0(\alpha)}.$$

We also recall the quantum Chevalley formula for coadjoint varieties using the labelling of Schubert classes introduced above.

Theorem 4.7. Let X = G/P be a coadjoint variety not of type A and let $h \in H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ be the hyperplane class.

(1) We have

$$h \cup \sigma_{\alpha} = \begin{cases} \sum_{\beta \in \Phi_{s}, \alpha - \beta \in \Delta} \sigma_{\beta} & \text{if } \alpha \text{ is not simple} \\ 2\sigma_{-\alpha} + \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_{s} \setminus \{\alpha\}, \ \langle \alpha^{\vee}, \beta \rangle \neq 0} \sigma_{-\beta} & \text{if } \alpha \text{ is simple} \end{cases}$$

(2) If G is simply laced, then we have

$$h \star_0 \sigma_{\alpha} = h \cup \sigma_{\alpha} + \begin{cases} q & \text{if } \alpha \text{ is simple with } \langle \alpha^{\vee}, \Theta \rangle \neq 0, \\ q\sigma_{\Theta-\alpha} & \text{if } \alpha < 0 \text{ with } \langle \alpha^{\vee}, \Theta \rangle = -1, \\ 2q^2 + q\sigma_{-\alpha_{\rm P}} & \text{if } \alpha = -\Theta, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(3) If G is non-simply laced, then we have

$$h \star_0 \sigma_{\alpha} = h \cup \sigma_{\alpha} + \begin{cases} q \sigma_{\alpha + \Theta} & \text{if } \alpha \leq -\delta, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\delta = \Theta - \theta$.

Remark 4.8. Analogues of the above results also exist in type A. However, as in type A the coadjoint variety is of Picard rank two, one needs to be more careful with the statement. We refer to [12] for details.

5. Type D_n

Let G be a semisimple group of type D_n and of adjoint type. We assume $n \ge 4$. Since G is simply laced, the adjoint and the coadoint varieties agree. Let X be this (co)adjoint variety, we have $X = G/P_2$ where P_2 is the maximal parabolic subgroup of G associated to the simple root α_2 with notation as in [7]. This variety can be described as isotropic Grassmannian of lines as follows.

Let V be a 2n-dimensional complex vector space endowed with a non degenerate quadratic form q. Define the algebraic variety OG(2, V) parametrizing 2-dimensional isotropic subspaces of V. As for different non degenerate quadratic forms on V we obtain isomorphic isotropic Grassmannians, it is unambiguous to write OG(2, 2n). We have $X \simeq OG(2, 2n)$ and G = Aut(X). We have dim(X) = 4n - 7 = 2r - 1 with r = Index(X) = 2n - 3.

5.1. Borel presentation for OG(2, 2n). We will give an explicit description of Borel's presentation (see Subsection 2.4). Consider the short exact sequence of vector bundles on X = OG(2, V)

$$0 \to \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{U} \to 0, \tag{5.1}$$

where \mathcal{V} is the trivial vector bundle with fiber V, where \mathcal{U} is the subbundle of isotropic subspaces, and where $\mathcal{Q} := \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{U}$ is the quotient bundle. Usually one refers to \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{Q} as tautological subbundle and tautological quotient bundle respectively.

One also defines a vector bundle \mathcal{U}^{\perp} as the kernel of the composition $\mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{q} \mathcal{V}^{\vee} \to \mathcal{U}^{\vee}$, where the first morphism is the isomorphism induced by the quadratic form, and the second one is the dual of the natural inclusion $\mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V}$. From the definition of \mathcal{U}^{\perp} we immediately obtain an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{U}^{\perp} \simeq \mathcal{U}^{\vee}.\tag{5.2}$$

Further, we have inclusions of vector bundles $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{U}^{\perp} \subset \mathcal{V}$, and can also consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{U}^{\perp}/\mathcal{U} \to Q = \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{U}^{\perp} \to 0.$$
(5.3)

The vector bundle $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{V}/\mathcal{U}$ is of rank 2n - 2 and and we denote its Chern classes by $\psi_k = c_k(\mathcal{Q})$. The vector bundle $\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{U}^{\perp} \simeq \mathcal{U}^{\vee}$ is of rank 2 and we denote its Chern classes by $h = c_1(\mathcal{U}^{\vee})$ and $p = c_2(\mathcal{U}^{\vee})$. The vector bundle $\mathcal{U}^{\perp}/\mathcal{U}$ is of rank 2n - 4. Furthermore it is self dual (via the restriction of q), therefore it only has non vanishing even Chern classes. We set $\Sigma_k = c_{2k}(\mathcal{U}^{\perp}/\mathcal{U})$.

From (5.3) and the multiplicativity of Chern polynomial on short exact sequences we obtain the equality

$$c_t(\mathfrak{Q}) = c_t(\mathfrak{U}^{\vee})c_t(\mathfrak{U}^{\perp}/\mathfrak{U}),$$

whose graded pieces imply the following

$$\psi_{2j} = \Sigma_j + p\Sigma_{j-1} \quad \text{for} \quad j \in [1, n-1], \psi_{2j+1} = h\Sigma_j \quad \text{for} \quad j \in [0, n-2].$$
(5.4)

Let $T \subset B \subset P$ be a maximal torus and a Borel subgroup contained in P. The natural projection $G/T \to G/B$ induces an isomorphism $H^*(G/B, \mathbb{Q}) \simeq H^*(G/T, \mathbb{Q})$. Furthermore, the projection $\pi: G/T \to G/P$ induces the embedding of cohomology rings $\pi^* \colon H^*(G/P, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^*(G/T, \mathbb{Q})$. Given a vector bundle \mathcal{E} on G/P its pull-back $\pi^*\mathcal{E}$ splits into a direct sum line bundles L_1, \ldots, L_n . Hence, in $H^*(G/T, \mathbb{Q})$ we have the equality

$$\pi^* c_t(\mathcal{E}) = c_t(L_1) \dots c_t(L_n).$$

First Chern classes of the line bundles L_i are called Chern roots of \mathcal{E} and are given by images of characters of T (or equivalently of B) via the characteristic map (see Subsection 2.4).

Let x_1 and x_2 be the Chern roots of \mathcal{U}^{\vee} , so that we have

$$c_t(\mathcal{U}^{\vee}) = (1+x_1t)(1+x_2t).$$

Further, due to the self-duality of $\mathcal{U}^{\perp}/\mathcal{U}$, its possible to choose Chern roots x_3, \ldots, x_n of $\mathcal{U}^{\perp}/\mathcal{U}$, so that we have

$$c_t(\mathcal{U}^{\perp}/\mathcal{U}) = (1 - x_3^2 t^2) \cdots (1 - x_n^2 t^2).$$

From the definition of classes h, p, Σ_j it now immediately follows

$$h = \mathfrak{s}_1(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2,$$

$$p = \mathfrak{s}_2(x_1, x_2) = x_1 x_2,$$

$$\Sigma_j = (-1)^j \mathfrak{s}_j(x_3^2, \cdots, x_n^2) \quad \text{for} \quad j \in [1, n-2],$$
(5.5)

where \mathfrak{s}_j is the *j*-symmetric function. Note an easy computation of the weights of the line bundles occuring in the decomposition of $\pi^*\mathcal{U}^{\vee}$ or $\pi^*(\mathcal{U}^{\perp}/\mathcal{U})$ imply that that the Chern roots $x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdots, x_n$ are the images under the characteristic map of the standard basis $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3, \cdots, \varepsilon_n$ of G (see [7, Planche IV, page 256]).

Recall Borel's presentation (see Subsection 2.4). Let $\Lambda = X(T)$ be the group of characters of T. Then we have an isomorphism $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Q}[\Lambda]^{W_P}/\mathbb{Q}[\Lambda]^W_+$. An explicit description of the invariants $\mathbb{Q}[\Lambda]^{W_P}$ appearing in Borel's presentation can be found, for example, in [7, p. 210]. According to this description the \mathbb{Q} -algebra $\mathbb{Q}[\Lambda]^{W_P}$ is freely generated by the polynomials

$$x_1 + x_2$$

$$x_1 x_2$$

$$\mathfrak{s}_j(x_3^2, \cdots, x_n^2) \quad \text{for} \quad j \in [1, n-3]$$

$$x_3 \dots x_n.$$

Similarly, the ideal $\mathbb{Q}[\Lambda]^{W}_{+}$ is generated by the polynomials

$$\mathbf{s}_j(x_1^2, \cdots, x_n^2)$$
 for $j \in [1, n-1]$
 $x_1 \dots x_n$.

Hence, we obtain the following explicit description of Borel's presentation.

Proposition 5.1. We have an algebra isomorphism

$$H^{*}(X, \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[h, p, \gamma, (\Sigma_{j})_{j \in [1, n-3]}] / ((\Xi_{i})_{i \in [1, n-1]}, \xi_{n}),$$

where

$$\gamma = \mathfrak{s}_{n-2}(x_3, \cdots, x_n) = x_3 \cdots x_n,$$

$$\Xi_j = \mathfrak{s}_j(x_1^2, \cdots, x_n^2) \quad for \quad j \in [1, n],$$

$$\xi_n = \mathfrak{s}_n(x_1, \cdots, x_n) = x_1 \cdots x_n,$$

(5.6)

and h, p, Σ_j are defined by (5.5).

Our next goal is to simplify the above presentation. In particular, we are going to eliminate the variables Σ_j with $j \in [1, n-3]$ using the equations Ξ_i with $i \in [1, n-3]$. For that we introduce the following two ingredients:

(1) For any $l \in [1, n]$ we have the following identity

$$\Xi_{l} = (-1)^{l} \Sigma_{l} + (x_{1}^{2} + x_{2}^{2})(-1)^{l-1} \Sigma_{l-1} + x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}(-1)^{l-2} \Sigma_{l-2}$$
(5.7)

of symmetric polynomials in x_1, \ldots, x_n , where Ξ_l and Σ_l are defined in (5.5) and (5.6) respectively, and we use the convention $\Sigma_0 = 1$ and $\Sigma_{-1} = 0$.

(2) For any $j \ge 0$ we define polynomials $E_j(h, p) \in \mathbb{Q}[h, p]$ as

$$E_{j}(h,p) = \begin{cases} E_{0} = 1, \\ E_{1} = h^{2} - 2p, \\ E_{j} = (h^{2} - 2p)E_{j-1} - p^{2}E_{j-2} & \text{for } j \ge 2. \end{cases}$$
(5.8)

It is clear from the definition that, if we set $\deg(h) = 1$ and $\deg(p) = 2$, then the polynomial $E_j(h, p)$ is graded homogeneous of degree 2j.

Lemma 5.2. For $j \in [1, n-2]$ we have the following equality in $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$

$$\Sigma_j = \sum_{k=0}^j x_1^{2k} x_2^{2(j-k)}.$$
(5.9)

In particular, we have

$$\Sigma_j = E_j(h, p). \tag{5.10}$$

Proof. To show (5.9) we use (5.7) and induction on j. For j = 1 the equality (5.7) becomes $\Xi_1 = -\Sigma_1 + x_1^2 + x_2^2$. Therefore, in $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ we get $\Sigma_1 = x_1^2 + x_2^2$, which is a particular instance of (5.9).

Let us now assume that (5.9) is known to hold up to j = r. Using (5.7) we see that in $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ we have the relation $\Sigma_{r+1} = (x_1^2 + x_2^2)\Sigma_r - x_1^2 x_2^2 \Sigma_{r-1}$ and the claim now follows by induction.

To show (5.10) use (5.7), (5.9), and (5.5).

Corollary 5.3. There is an isomorphism of algebras

$$H^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Q}[h, p, \gamma] / (EQ_n, EQ_{2n-4}, EQ_{2n-2}),$$

where

$$EQ_n = p\gamma,$$

$$EQ_{2n-4} = \gamma^2 + (-1)^{n-1} E_{n-2}(h, p),$$

$$EQ_{2n-2} = (h^2 - p) E_{n-2}(h, p) - p^2 E_{n-3}(h, p).$$
(5.11)

Proof. Using (5.7) we can eliminate variables Σ_j for j in [1, n-3] using relations Ξ_i for $i \in [1, n-3]$. Thus, after the elimination we have

$$H^*(X,\mathbb{Q})\simeq \mathbb{Q}[h,p,\gamma]/(\xi_n,\Xi_{n-2},\Xi_{n-1}).$$

Let us look at these relations one at a time:

(1) Since $\xi_n = p\gamma$, we immediately get the first relation in (5.11).

(2) After the elimination of the variables Σ_j for j in [1, n-3] we need to replace their occurences in in the relations Ξ_{n-2} and Ξ_{n-1} by the polynomial $E_j(h, p)$. Thus, we can write Ξ_{n-2} in the variables h, p, γ as

$$\Xi_{n-2} = \gamma^2 + (-1)^{n-3} \left((h^2 - 2p) E_{n-3}(h, p) - p^2 E_{n-4}(h, p) \right).$$

Finally, using (5.8) we can rewrite the above relation as

$$\Xi_{n-2} = \gamma^2 + (-1)^{n-3} E_{n-2}(h, p).$$

(3) Again, we need to rewrite Ξ_{n-1} in terms of h, p, γ . By (5.7) we have

$$\Xi_{n-1} = (x_1^2 + x_2^2)(-1)^{n-2}\Sigma_{n-2} + x_1^2 x_2^2(-1)^{n-3}\Sigma_{n-3}.$$

As in the previous case we can rewrite

$$\Xi_{n-1} = (h^2 - 2p)\gamma^2 + p^2(-1)^{n-3}E_{n-3}(h, p).$$

Now using the second relation of (5.11), which we have already showed in the previous step, we can replace γ^2 and get

$$(-1)^{n-2} \left((h^2 - 2p) E_{n-2}(h, p) - p^2 E_{n-3}(h, p) \right)$$

Finally, since by the first and the second relations we have $pE_{n-2}(h, p) = p\gamma = 0$, we can rewrite the above relation as

$$(-1)^{n-2}\left((h^2-p)E_{n-2}(h,p)-p^2E_{n-3}(h,p)\right),\,$$

The claim now follows.

5.2. Schubert classes for OG(2, 2n). Let e_1, \ldots, e_{2n} be a basis of the vector space V such that

$$B(e_i, e_j) = \delta_{2n+1-i,j}$$

where B is the non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form associated to the quadratic form q. For $k \in [1, 2n]$, define $F_k = \langle e_i \mid i \in [1, k] \rangle$ and $F'_n = F_{n-1} + \langle e_{n+1} \rangle$ and set

$$\epsilon(k) = 2n - 2 - k + \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k \le n - 2\\ 0 & \text{if } k > n - 2 \end{cases}$$

For $k \in [1, 2n - 3]$ with $k \neq n - 2$, we define Schubert varieties

$$X_k = \{ V_2 \in X \mid V_2 \cap F_{\epsilon(k)} \neq 0 \}.$$

For k = n - 2 we define two Schubert varieties

$$X_{n-2} = \{ V_2 \in X \mid V_2 \cap F_n \neq 0 \}$$

and

$$X'_{n-2} = \{ V_2 \in X \mid V_2 \cap F'_n \neq 0 \}.$$

We have $\operatorname{codim}(X_k) = k$ and $\operatorname{codim}(X'_{n-2}) = n - 2$. Finally, we set

$$\tau_k = [X_k] \quad \text{for} \quad k \in [1, 2n - 3]$$

and

$$\tau_{n-2}' = [X_{n-2}'].$$

These classes are called special Schubert classes.

We have seen in Subsections 2.3 and 4.2 that Schubert classes can be indexed by elements of the Weyl group and in the (co)adjoint case also by roots. We explicitly give both descriptions for the classes $(\tau_k)_{k \in [1,2n-3]}$ and τ'_{n-2} .

In terms of elements of the Weyl group, we can express these classes as follows. Consider the elements of W^P defined by

$$w_{j} = \begin{cases} s_{\alpha_{j+1}} s_{\alpha_{j}} \dots s_{\alpha_{2}} & \text{for } 1 \le j \le n-1, \\ s_{\alpha_{2n-2-j}} \dots s_{\alpha_{n-3}} s_{\alpha_{n-2}} s_{\alpha_{n}} s_{\alpha_{n-1}} \dots s_{\alpha_{2}} & \text{for } n \le j \le 2n-3, \end{cases}$$

and

$$w'_{n-2} = s_{\alpha_n} s_{\alpha_{n-2}} s_{\alpha_{n-3}} \dots s_{\alpha_2}$$

With this notation we have

$$\tau_j = [X^{w_j}] = \sigma^{w_j} \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \le j \le 2n - 3,$$

$$\tau'_{n-2} = [X^{w'_{n-2}}] = \sigma^{w'_{n-2}}.$$

To check that this is the correct description, since $\ell(w_k) = k$, $\ell(w'_{n-2}) = n-2$, it is enough to check that, using the action of the Weyl group W on V, that we have $w_k(\langle e_{2n}, e_{2n-1} \rangle) \in X_k$ and $w'_{n-2}(\langle e_{2n}, e_{2n-1} \rangle) \in X'_{n-2}$.

We now describe these classes using roots. Applying Lemma 4.6, we have

$$\tau_k = \sigma_{\theta - \gamma_k} \quad \text{for} \quad k \in [1, 2n - 3],$$

$$\tau'_{n-2} = \sigma_{\theta - \gamma'_{n-2}},$$

where

$$\gamma_{k} = \begin{cases} \alpha_{2} + \dots + \alpha_{k+1} & \text{for } k \in [1, n-1], \\ \alpha_{2} + \dots + \alpha_{n} + \alpha_{n-2} + \dots + \alpha_{n-2-(k-n)} & \text{for } k \in [n, 2n-4], \\ \theta + \alpha_{1} & \text{for } k = 2n-3, \end{cases}$$
$$\gamma'_{n-2} = \alpha_{2} + \dots + \alpha_{n-2} + \alpha_{n}.$$

and the highest (short) root θ is given in this case by

$$\theta = \alpha_1 + 2\sum_{i=2}^{n-2} \alpha_i + \alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_n.$$

Using the above description of Schubert classes, we reinterpret Borel's presentation given in Corollary 5.3 in terms of Schubert classes. Recall from [10, Section 3.3] that we have

$$\psi_j = c_j(\Omega) = \begin{cases} \tau_j & \text{for } j < n-2\\ \tau_{n-2} + \tau'_{n-2} & \text{for } j = n-2\\ 2\tau_j & \text{for } j > n-2 \end{cases}$$
(5.12)

Together with (5.1) and the classical Chevalley formula (1) this implies

$$h = \tau_1$$
 and $p = \tau_1^2 - \psi_2 = \tau_{1,1},$ (5.13)

where $\tau_{1,1} = \sigma^{s_1 s_2}$ is a Schubert class of degree 2.

Remark 5.4. Note that for OG(2, 8) there are three Schubert classes in degree 2

$$au_2 = \sigma^{s_3 s_2}, \quad au_{1,1} = \sigma^{s_1 s_2}, \quad au'_2 = \sigma^{s_4 s_2},$$

whereas for OG(2, 2n) with $n \ge 5$ there are only two such classes

$$\tau_2 = \sigma^{s_3 s_2}$$
 and $\tau_{1,1} = \sigma^{s_1 s_2}$

Nevertheless, the formula (5.13) holds for any $n \ge 4$ as we have

$$\tau_1^2 = \begin{cases} \tau_2 + \tau_{1,1} + \tau_2' & \text{for} \quad n = 4, \\ \tau_2 + \tau_{1,1} & \text{for} \quad n \ge 5, \end{cases}$$
(5.14)

which can easily be deduced from the classical Chevalley formula (1).

We now want to express the classes γ and Σ_{n-2} in terms of Schubert classes.

Lemma 5.5. In $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ we have the identity

$$\Sigma_{n-2} = 2\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} (-1)^{i-1} \sigma_{\alpha_i} + (-1)^n (\sigma_{\alpha_{n-1}} + \sigma_{\alpha_n}).$$
(5.15)

Proof. According to (5.4) and (5.12) we have

$$h\Sigma_{n-2} = \psi_{2n-3} = 2\tau_{2n-3}$$

By the hard Lefschetz theorem the map $H^{2(2n-4)}(X,\mathbb{Q}) \to H^{2(2n-3)}(X,\mathbb{Q})$ given by the multiplication with h is an isomorphism. Hence, to show the identity (5.15), it is enough to check that its right-hand side multiplied with h is equal to $2\tau_{2n-3}$. This can be easily checked using the classical Chevalley formula (1).

Lemma 5.6. In $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ we have the identity

$$\gamma = \pm (\tau_{n-2} - \tau'_{n-2}),$$

which is to be understood up to sign, which comes from exchanging F_n with F'_n in the definition of the flag.

Proof. The presentation (5.11) shows that the map $H^{2n-4}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^{2n}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ given by multiplication with p has kernel equal to the \mathbb{Q} -span of γ . Indeed up to degree n, the only relation is given by $p\gamma = 0$. Forgetting this relation we would have a polynomial algebra which is a domain and the multiplication would be injective. Adding this only relation in degree n leads to the claim.

Now from the Pieri formula given in [10, Theorem 3.1] it is easy to check that $p\tau_{n-2} = p\tau'_{n-2}$ so that $\gamma = \lambda(\tau_{n-2} - \tau'_{n-2})$.

We compute λ using the equation $\gamma^2 = (-1)^{n-2} \Sigma_{n-2}$ (this is the second relation in (5.11)). In particular we consider the coefficient of $\sigma_{\alpha_1} = \tau_{2n-4}$. For a cohomology class ψ of degree 2n - 4 written in the basis $(\sigma_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in R}$, we write $L(\psi)$ for its coordinate on σ_{α_1} . We have $L(\gamma^2) = (-1)^{n-2}L(\Sigma_{n-2}) = 2(-1)^n$. An easy application of the Pieri formula (or a direct geometric check) shows that we have

$$L(\tau_{n-2}^2) = L(\tau_{n-2}'^2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } n \text{ even} \\ 0 & \text{for } n \text{ odd,} \end{cases} \text{ and } L(\tau_{n-2}\tau_{n-2}') = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } n \text{ even} \\ 1 & \text{for } n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

We get $2(-1)^n = L(\gamma^2) = 2\lambda^2(-1)^n$ so that $\lambda^2 = 1$ and $\lambda = \pm 1$. Exchanging F_n and F'_n changes the sign of γ proving the result.

5.3. Small quantum cohomology. In [10] a presentation for the small quantum cohomology ring QH(X) in terms of special Schubert classes is given. Here we give another presentation based on the Borel presentation (5.11), which is better suited for our needs.

To give a presentation for QH(X) from the presentation given in Corollary 5.3, we only need to deform the equations EQ_n , EQ_{2n-4} and EQ_{2n-2} by replacing the product in cohomology by the quantum product \star_0 . Write $EQ_k^{\star_0}$ for the polynomial in h, p and γ obtained from EQ_k by replacing the product in cohomology by \star_0 .

Lemma 5.7. In QH(X) we have the following equalities

$$EQ_n^{\star_0} = 0,$$

 $EQ_{2n-4}^{\star_0} = 0,$
 $EQ_{2n-2}^{\star_0} = -4qh$

Proof. The first two formulas follow immediately from the fact that $\deg(q) = 2n-3$ is greater than the degree of the expression. Hence, the quantum product coincides with the classical product and the expression vanishes.

First, note that in $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ by Lemma 5.2, (5.12) and (5.4) we have the equality

$$E_{n-2}(h,p) + pE_{n-3}(h,p) = \sum_{n-2} + p\sum_{n-3} = \psi_{2n-4} = 2\tau_{2n-4}.$$

Since its degree is smaller than $\deg(q) = 2n - 3$, this equality also holds in QH(X). Thus, we conclude

$$EQ_{2n-2}^{\star_0} = h \star_0 h \star_0 E_{n-2}(h,p) - 2p \star_0 \tau_{2n-4}.$$
(5.16)

To compute the first summand in (5.16) we use Lemma 5.5 and the quantum Chevalley formula given in Theorem 4.7 to get

$$h \star_0 \Sigma_{n-2} = 2\tau_{2n-3} - 2q,$$

and then once more to get

$$h \star_0 h \star_0 E_{n-2}(h^2, p) = 2\sigma_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} - 2qh$$

To compute the second summand in (5.16) we apply the quantum Pieri formula [10, Theorem 3.4] and obtain

$$p \star_0 \tau_{2n-4} = \tau_{2n-2} + q = \sigma_{-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)} + qh.$$

Putting everything together, we get the desired equality $EQ_{2n-2}^{\star_0} = -4qh$.

Corollary 5.8. We have the following presentation

$$QH(X) = K[h, p, \gamma] / (EQ_n, EQ_{2n-4}, EQ_{2n-2} + 4qh).$$
(5.17)

This proves Theorem 1.3 in type D_n .

Remark 5.9. Using (5.17) it is not difficult to see that the small quantum deformation of the presentation given in Proposition 5.1 is

$$QH(X) = K[h, p, \gamma, (\Sigma_j)_{j \in [1, n-3]}] / (\xi_n, (\Xi_i)_{i \in [1, n-2]}, \Xi_{n-1} + (-1)^{n-2} 4qh).$$
(5.18)

Since the small quantum cohomology QH(X) is a finite dimensional K-algebra, we can view it as the algebra of functions on the finite scheme Spec(QH(X)). Moreover, the presentation (5.17) defines the closed embedding

$$\operatorname{Spec}(\operatorname{QH}(X)) \subset \operatorname{Spec}(K[h, p, \gamma]) = \mathbb{A}^3.$$

We call origin the point in Spec(QH(X)) corresponding to the maximal ideal (h, p, γ) .

Lemma 5.10. The origin is contained in Spec QH(X) and the Zariski tangent space at this point is of dimension 2. In particular, the origin is a fat point of Spec QH(X) and the algebra QH(X) is not semisimple.

Proof. All claims follow immediately from Corollary 5.8, as polynomials $E_j(h, p)$ appearing in the presentation have no constant term. Note that the relations $EQ_n^{\star_0} = 0$ and $EQ_{2n-4}^{\star_0} = 0$ give no relation in the tangent space at the origin, while the relation $EQ_{2n-2}^{\star_0} = -4qh$ induces the equation h = 0 explaning the dimension of the tangent space.

Remark 5.11. We recover the fact that QH(X) is not semisimple (see [12]).

In the next proposition we study the structure of the finite scheme $\operatorname{Spec} \operatorname{QH}(X)$ in more detail. Note that we have $\dim_K \operatorname{QH}(X) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H^*(X, \mathbb{Q}) = 2n(n-1)$.

Proposition 5.12. We have a decomposition

$$QH(X) = A \times B,$$

where A is a fat point of length n supported at the origin and B is a semisimple algebra corresponding to n(2n-3) reduced points in Spec(QH(X)) different from the origin.

Proof. Since $\dim_K QH(X) = 2n(n-1)$, to prove the claim it is enough to show the inequatities

$$\dim_K A \ge n \tag{5.19}$$

and

$$\dim_K B \ge n(2n-3). \tag{5.20}$$

To show (5.19) it is enough to consider the intersection with the locus h = 0, i.e. to add h to the relations in the presentation (5.17). Since $E_j(0,p) = (-1)^j (j+1)p^j$, the resulting algebra becomes

$$K[p,\gamma]/(p\gamma,\gamma^2-(n-1)p^{n-2},p^{n-1}).$$

As this algebra is of dimension n, the inequality (5.19) follows.

To show (5.20) we proceed as follows. Consider the 2-to-1 cover of Spec QH(X) defined by the injective homomorphism of algebras (note that we use (5.17) and (5.18) here):

$$K[h, p, \gamma] / (EQ_n, EQ_{2n-4}, EQ_{2n-2} + 4qh) \to K[x_1, \dots, x_n] / I$$
(5.21)

with $I = (\xi_n, (\Xi_i)_{i \in [1, n-2]}, \Xi_{n-1} + (-1)^{n-2} 4q(x_1 + x_2))$ induced by

$$h \mapsto x_1 + x_2, \quad p \mapsto x_1 x_2, \quad \gamma \mapsto x_3 \dots x_n,$$
 (5.22)

and where ξ_n and Ξ_i are defined in (5.6).

Note that the generators of I can be rewritten in the form of polynomial identities

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} (t^2 - x_i^2) = t^2 (t^{2n-2} - 4q(x_1 + x_2)),$$

 $x_1 \cdots x_n = 0,$
(5.23)

which we are going to solve to estimate the dimension of B. From (5.22) it follows that that the set-theoretic the preimage of the origin $h = p = \gamma = 0$ is given by the equations $x_1 = x_2 = 0$ and $x_3 \dots x_n = 0$. Thus, we are only interested in the solutions of (5.23) outside of this set. We consider three cases.

(1) Case $x_1 = 0, x_2 \neq 0$. The first identity in (5.23) becomes

$$\prod_{i=2}^{n} (t^2 - x_i^2) = (t^{2n-2} - 4qx_2).$$

Since $t = x_2$ is a solution, we get a relation on x_2 of the form

$$x_2^{2n-3} = 4q.$$

Thus, we see that we have 2n-3 choices for x_2 . From here one also obtains x_3, \ldots, x_n up to permutations and signs.

These solutions in terms of x_1, \ldots, x_n give rise to the following solutions in terms of h, p, γ

$$h = x_2, \quad p = 0, \quad \gamma = x_3 \dots x_n.$$

Thus, in total we have 2(2n-3) = 4n-6 solutions in terms of h, p, γ , where the factor 2 comes from the sign.

- (2) Case $x_1 = 0, x_2 \neq 0$. This can be treated identially to the previous one. However, these solutions give the same answers for h, p and γ . So we don't need to take them into account.
- (3) Case $x_1 \neq 0, x_2 \neq 0, x_1 \neq x_2$. The second identity in (5.23) implies that at least one of the x_i for $i \in [3, n]$ must vanish. Therefore, we immediately obtain $\gamma = 0$.

By our assumption x_1 and x_2 are two distinct roots of the first identity in (5.23). Hence, by substituing them into this identity, we obtain the system of equations

$$x_1^{2n-2} = 4q(x_1 + x_2)$$

$$x_2^{2n-2} = 4q(x_1 + x_2).$$
(5.24)

Eliminating x_2 from (5.24) we obtain

$$(x_1^{2n-2} - 4qx_1)^{2n-2} = (4qx_1)^{2n-2},$$

and, since $x_1 \neq 0$, we can further rewrite it as

$$(x_1^{2n-3} - 4q)^{2n-2} = (4q)^{2n-2}.$$
(5.25)

It is clear that (5.25) is a polynomial of degree (2n-3)(2n-2), which has zero as a root of multiplicity 2n-3 and all other roots are of multiplicity one. Therefore, taking into account further 2n-3 solutions of the form $x_1 = x_2 \neq 0$, the number of solutions of (5.24) satisfying $x_1 \neq 0, x_2 \neq 0, x_1 \neq x_2$ is equal to (2n-3)(2n-4).

Finally, the above (2n-3)(2n-4) solutions for x_i 's give rise to (2n-3)(n-2) solutions for h, p, γ .

Adding the contributions of the first and the third case gives the desired bound (5.20). \Box

Lemma 5.13. The non-reduced factor A of QH(X) described in Proposition 5.12 has the following explicit presentation

$$A \simeq K[x, y] / (xy, x^{2} + (n-1)y^{n-2}).$$
33

In particular, A is isomorphic to the Jacobi ring of the simple isolated hypersurface singularity of type D_n , i.e. we have

$$A \simeq K[x, y]/(f'_x, f'_y) \quad with \quad f = x^2y + y^{n-1}.$$

Proof. Since A is the localisation of QH(X) at the origin, to prove the above claims we can consider the relations (5.17) for QH(X) in the formal power series ring $K[[h, p, \gamma]]$.

First we note that from (5.8) we have congruences

$$E_k \equiv h^{2k} \pmod{p}$$
 and $E_k \equiv (-1)^k (k+1) p^k \pmod{h}$.

From the relation $EQ_{2n-2} + 4qh = 0$ it follows that there exists $d(p) \in (p) \subset K[[p]]$ such that

$$h = (-p)^{n-1} \left(-\frac{1}{4q} + d(p) \right), \tag{5.26}$$

which allows us to get rid of the variable h and of the relation $EQ_{2n-2} + 4qh = 0$.

Now we can substitue (5.26) instead of h into the relation $EQ_{2n-4} = 0$ and obtain

$$\gamma^2 - (n-1)p^{n-2} \left(1 + e(p)\right) = 0$$

for some power series $e(p) \in (p) \subset K[[p]]$.

Let us define

$$x = \gamma$$
 and $y = p \sqrt[n-2]{-1 - e(p)}$.

In this notation the relation $EQ_{2n-4} = 0$ becomes $x^2 + (n-1)y^{n-2} = 0$ and the relation $EQ_{n-2} = 0$ becomes xy = 0. The claims now follow.

5.4. **Big quantum cohomology.** Recall the presentation (5.17) of the small quantum cohomology of X

$$QH(X) = K[h, p, \gamma] / (EQ_n, EQ_{2n-4}, EQ_{2n-2} + 4qh)$$

In this subsection, we prove the generic semisimplicity of BQH(X) as outlined in the introduction. We need to show that in the big quantum cohomology we have a deformation, whose relations are of the following form with $\lambda_{\gamma}, \lambda_{p} \in \mathbb{Q}^{\times}$:

$$EQ_n + \lambda_\gamma q t_\gamma + \text{higher degree terms}$$

 $EQ_{2n-4} + \lambda_p q t_p + \text{higher degree terms}$
 $EQ_{2n-2} + 4qh + \text{higher degree terms}$

We will see that the above form is prescribed by the degree of the generators and equations so we will only need to explicitly compute the values of λ_{γ} and λ_{p} . For this we will need to compute four-points Gromov–Witten invariants of degree 1 and we will use the results of Section 3.

Proposition 5.14. We have the following formulas.

$$\langle [pt], p, \tau_{n-2}, \tau_{n-2} \rangle_{1}^{X} = \langle [pt], p, \tau_{n-2}', \tau_{n-2}' \rangle_{1}^{X} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$
(5.27)

$$\langle [\text{pt}], p, \tau_{n-2}, \tau'_{n-2} \rangle_1^X = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$
(5.28)

$$\langle [pt], p, \gamma, \gamma \rangle_{1}^{X} = (-1)^{n-1} 2.$$
 (5.29)

$$\langle [\mathrm{pt}], \gamma, \gamma, \gamma \rangle_1^X = 0$$
 (5.30)

$$\langle [pt], p, p, \tau \rangle_1^X = 0 \text{ for any class } \tau$$
 (5.31)

$$\langle [\text{pt}], p, h, \tau_{2n-5} \rangle_1^X = 1 \text{ and } \langle [\text{pt}], \gamma, h, \tau_{2n-5} \rangle_1^X = 0.$$
 (5.32)

Proof. Recall from Section 3 that for X = OG(2, 2n) the variety F_x of lines passing through a point has the following description. The variety X corresponds to the second vertex of the Dynking diagram of type D_n



To obtain F_x we remove the second vertex from the diagram, splitting it into two connected components, and mark the two vertices that used to be adjacent to the second vertex:



in this process we keep the original indexing of the vertices. Hence, we obtain

$$\mathbf{F}_x = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbf{Q}^{2n-6}.$$

The Schubert basis for $H^*(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{Q})$ is given by

$$1 = \sigma^e$$
 and $\zeta = \sigma^{s_1}$,

where ζ can alternatively be described as the hyperplane class or the class of a point. The Schubert basis for $H^*(\mathbb{Q}^{2n-6}, \mathbb{Q})$ is given by

$$1 = \sigma^{e},$$

$$\xi_{i} = \sigma^{s_{i+2}s_{i+1}...s_{3}} \quad \text{for} \quad i \in [1, n-2],$$

$$\xi_{i} = \sigma^{s_{2n-3-i}...s_{n-2}s_{n}s_{n-1}...s_{3}} \quad \text{for} \quad i \in [n-1, 2n-6],$$

$$\xi'_{n-3} = \sigma^{s_{n}s_{n-2}s_{n-3}...s_{3}}.$$

Finally, the Schubert basis for $H^*(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{Q}^{2n-6}, \mathbb{Q})$ is given by the Künneth formula.

Let us recall (for example, see [34, Theorem 1.13]) that in $H^*(\mathbb{Q}^{2n-6}, \mathbb{Q})$ the following identities hold

$$\xi_{n-3}^2 = (\xi_{n-3}')^2 = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n-3 \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{if } n-3 \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \quad \text{and } \xi_{n-3}\xi_{n-3}' = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n-3 \text{ is even} \\ 1 & \text{if } n-3 \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$
(5.33)

We also restate for convenience of the reader the following formulas

$$p = \sigma^{s_1 s_2}, \quad \tau_{n-2} = \sigma^{s_{n-1} s_{n-2} s_{n-3} \dots s_2}, \quad \tau'_{n-2} = \sigma^{s_n s_{n-2} s_{n-3} \dots s_2}, \quad \gamma = \pm (\tau_{n-2} - \tau'_{n-2}), \quad (5.34)$$

which appeared earlier in this section.

Finally, we are now ready to compute the necessary GW invariants.

Invariants (5.27) - (5.28): These follow immediately from Lemma 3.4 and (5.33)-(5.34). **Invariant** (5.29): This invariant follows from (5.27) - (5.28).

Invariant (5.30): This invariant reduces via Lemma 3.4 to a linear combination of triple intersection numbers on Q^{2n-6} . Since all the cohomology classes involved are of degree n-3, all such triple intersections vanish for degree reasons.

Invariant (5.31): Similarly to the above, this vanishing follows from the fact that $\zeta^2 = 0$ in $H^*(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{Q})$.

Invariant (5.32): It follows from the fact that $q_*p^*\tau_{2n-5} = 1 \otimes \xi_{2n-6}$ so its product is 1 with $q_*p^*p = \zeta \otimes 1$ and 0 with $q_*p^*\gamma = 1 \otimes (\xi_{n-3} - \xi'_{n-3})$.

Proposition 5.15. The ring $BQH_{p,\gamma}(OG(2,2n))$ is the quotient of

$$(K[[t_p, t_{\gamma}]])[h, p, \gamma]$$

by the ideal generated by

$$EQ_{n} + (-1)^{n} 2qt_{\gamma} + \mathfrak{t}^{2},$$

$$EQ_{2n-4} + (-1)^{n} 4qt_{p} + \mathfrak{tm},$$

$$EQ_{2n-2} + 4qh + \mathfrak{tm},$$

(5.35)

where $\mathfrak{t} = (t_p, t_\gamma)$ and $\mathfrak{m} = (h, p, \gamma, t_p, t_\gamma)$.

Proof. Relations in the big quantum cohomology ring are homogeneous deformations of relations in the small quantum cohomology ring. In our case we are deforming along t_p and t_{γ} directions only. Thus, we a looking for a homogeneous deformation of (5.17) involving variables t_p and t_{γ} . Moreover, the general properties of Gromov–Witten invariants ensure that any terms involving t_p or t_{γ} must necessarily have a factor of q in it.

Recall that we have

$$deg(t_p) = 1 - |p| = -1, deg(t_{\gamma}) = 1 - |\gamma| = 3 - n deg(q) = 2n - 3.$$

From these formulas and homogeneity it immediately follows that the necessary deformation of the small quantum cohomology relations (5.17) has to have the form prescribed by (5.35). The only point that needs to be checked is the precise form of the linear terms $(-1)^n 2qt_{\gamma}$ and $(-1)^n 4qt_p$ appearing in (5.35). This is what we do in the rest of the proof.

We denote by EQ_k^{\star} the element of $BQH_{p,\gamma}(OG(2,2n))$ defined by the polynomial EQ_k , i.e. we use the product in \star in $BQH_{p,\gamma}(X)$ to multiply terms of the polynomial. For example, we have $EQ_n^{\star} = p \star \gamma$.

Let us consider the first relation in (5.35). The linear terms of $p \star \gamma$ are given by $\langle p, \gamma, \gamma, [\text{pt}] \rangle_1^X q t_\gamma$ and $\langle p, \gamma, p, [\text{pt}] \rangle_1^X q t_p$, where for degree reasons the latter one is only potentially non-zero for n = 4. Applying (5.29) and (5.31) we get the claim.

In the rest of the proof we deal with the second relation in (5.35). Recall from (5.11) that we have $EQ_{2n-4} = \gamma^2 + (-1)^{n-1}E_{n-2}(h,p)$. As above we need to compute EQ_{2n-4}^{\star} and we are only interested in the linear terms qt_{γ} and qt_p . We treat the summands $\gamma \star \gamma$ and $((-1)^{n-1}E_{n-2}(h,p))^{\star}$ separately.

First we consider $\gamma \star \gamma$. Here the linear terms are given by $\langle \gamma, \gamma, p, \text{pt} \rangle_1 qt_p$ and $\langle \gamma, \gamma, \gamma, \text{pt} \rangle_1 qt_\gamma$. Note that for degree reasons the latter term can only be potentially non-zero for n = 4. By (5.29) we have $\langle \gamma, \gamma, p, \text{pt} \rangle_1 qt_p = (-1)^{n-1} 2qt_p$ and by (5.30) we have $\langle \gamma, \gamma, \gamma, \text{pt} \rangle_1 qt_\gamma = 0$. Thus, the linear term of $\gamma \star \gamma$ is

$$(-1)^{n-1}2qt_p (5.36)$$

Now we consider $(E_{n-2}(h,p))^*$. From (5.8) is clear that $E_{n-2}(h,p) \equiv h^{2n-4} \pmod{p}$. Therefore, $(E_{n-2}(h,p))^* = h^{*2n-4} + p \star \tau$, where τ is a linear combination of Schubert classes of degree 2n - 6. We consider h^{*2n-4} and $p \star \tau$ separately.

The linear terms of $p \star \tau$ are given by $\langle p, \tau, p, [\text{pt}] \rangle_1 qt_p$ and $\langle p, \tau, \gamma, [\text{pt}] \rangle_1 qt_\gamma$, where the latter term can only be potentially non-zero for n = 4. By (5.31) we have $\langle p, \tau, p, [\text{pt}] \rangle_1 qt_p = 0$. To deal with $\langle p, \tau, \gamma, [\text{pt}] \rangle_1 qt_\gamma$ in the case n = 4 we note that by (5.8) we have $E_2(h, p) = h^4 + p(3p - 4h^2)$. Thus, in the case n = 4 by (5.12) – (5.14) we have

$$\tau = 3p - 4h^2 = -4(\tau_2 + \tau_2') - p_2$$

Hence, using (5.27), (5.28) and (5.31) we get

$$\langle p, \tau, \gamma, [\text{pt}] \rangle_1 = -4 \langle p, \tau_2 + \tau'_2, \tau_2 - \tau'_2, [\text{pt}] \rangle_1 - \langle p, p, \gamma, [\text{pt}] \rangle_1 = 0$$

Finally, we conclude that the linear term of $p \star \tau$ always vanishes.

Now let us consider $h^{\star 2n-4}$. By the Chevalley formula (see Theorem 4.7), we have

$$h^{2n-5} = \tau_1^{2n-5} = (\sigma_{\theta-\alpha_2})^{2n-5} = 2\tau_{2n-5} + \sigma,$$

(no quantum corrections for degree reasons), where σ is a linear combination of Schubert classes of the form $\sigma_{\alpha_i+\alpha_j}$ with $i, j \geq 2$ (also for degree reasons). For n = 4, we have $\tau_{2n-5} = \sigma_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}$ and $\sigma = 2(\sigma_{\alpha_2+\alpha_3} + \sigma_{\alpha_2+\alpha_4}) = 2(\sigma^{s_4s_1s_2} + \sigma^{s_3s_1s_2})$.

Multiplying with h, we have

$$h^{\star 2n-4} = 2\tau_1 \star \tau_{2n-5} + \tau_1 \star \sigma.$$

We treat these summands separately:

- (1) The linear terms of $\tau_1 \star \tau_{2n-5}$ are given by $\langle \tau_1, \tau_{2n-5}, p, [\text{pt}] \rangle_1 q t_p$ and $\langle \tau_1, \tau_{2n-5}, \gamma, [\text{pt}] \rangle_1 q t_\gamma$, where the latter term can only be potentially non-zero for n = 4. According to (5.32), we have $\langle \tau_1, \tau_{2n-5}, p, [\text{pt}] \rangle_1 q t_p = q t_p$ and $\langle \tau_1, \tau_{2n-5}, \gamma, [\text{pt}] \rangle_1 q t_\gamma = 0$.
- (2) The linear terms of $\tau_1 \star \sigma$ are given by $\langle \tau_1, \sigma, p, [\text{pt}] \rangle_1 q t_p$ and $\langle \tau_1, \sigma, \gamma, [\text{pt}] \rangle_1 q t_\gamma$, where the latter term can only be potentially non-zero for n = 4.

To deal with $\langle \tau_1, \sigma, p, [\text{pt}] \rangle_1 q t_p$ we note that $q_* p^* \sigma = \zeta \otimes \omega$ in $H^*(\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{Q}^{2n-6})$ because σ is a linear combinaison of classes of the form $\sigma_{\alpha_i + \alpha_j}$ with $i, j \geq 2$. Hence, since $q_* p^* p = \zeta \otimes 1$, we get $\langle \tau_1, \sigma, p, [\text{pt}] \rangle_1 = 0$.

To deal with $\langle \tau_1, \sigma, \gamma, [\text{pt}] \rangle_1 q t_{\gamma}$ in the case n = 4 we note that $q_* p^* \sigma = \zeta \otimes (\xi_1 + \xi'_1)$ and $q_* p^* \gamma = 1 \otimes (\xi_1 - \xi'_1)$ and using Lemma 3.4, we have

$$\langle \tau_1, \sigma, \gamma, [\text{pt}] \rangle_1^X = 2 \langle 1, \zeta \otimes (\xi_1 + \xi_1'), 1 \otimes (\xi_1 - \xi_1') \rangle_0^{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{Q}^2} = 0,$$

Therefore, we see that the linear term of $h^{\star 2n-4}$ is given by $2qt_p$ so that the linear term in $(-1)^{n-1}E_{n-2}(p,h)$ is given by

$$(-1)^{n-1}2qt_p (5.37)$$

Combining (5.36) and (5.37), we obtain that the linear term of $(\gamma^2 + (-1)^{n-1}E_{n-2}(h,p))^*$ is given by $(-1)^{n-1}4qt_p$.

We obtain the following immediate corollary.

Corollary 5.16. *The following statements hold:*

- (1) BQH(OG(2, 2n)) is a regular ring.
- (2) BQH(OG(2, 2n)) is generically semisimple.

Proof. (1) As the origin $h = p = \gamma = 0$ is the only non-reduced point of QH(OG(2, 2n)), to prove that $BQH_{p,\gamma}(OG(2, 2n))$ is regular it is enough to compute the dimension of the Zariski tangent space to $BQH_{p,\gamma}(OG(2, 2n))$ at the point $h = p = \gamma = t_p = t_{\gamma} = 0$. This dimension is easily seen to be equal to dim $BQH_{p,\gamma}(OG(2, 2n)) = 2$, by examining the linear terms of (5.35).

An identical argument proves the regularity of BQH(OG(2, 2n)).

(2) Recall the deformation picture (2.5) and note that the ring $BQH(X)_{\eta}$ is a localisation of BQH(X). Since BQH(X) is regular by Part (1) and since regularity is preserved under localisation, we conclude that $BQH(X)_{\eta}$ is also a regular ring. This implies that $BQH(X)_{\eta}$ is a product of finite field extensions of $K((t_0, \ldots, t_s))$, which was our definition of semisimplicity.

6. Types E_6, E_7, E_8

In this section we treat the coadjoint varieties in Dynkin types E_6 , E_7 , E_8 . For convenience we recall the corresponding Dynkin diagrams



where the labelling of vertices follows [7] and the black colored vertex corresponds to the maximal parabolic subgroup defining the respective coadjoint variety.

Since E_6, E_7, E_8 are simply laced, according to Lemma 4.6 we can index Schubert classes on the corresponding coadjoint varieties by roots. We denote simple roots by α_i and use the following shorthand notation

$$\alpha(a_1,\ldots,a_n) \coloneqq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \alpha_i,$$

where $n \in \{6, 7, 8\}$ depending on the Dynkin type. This notation is used throughout this section to label Schubert classes by roots.

On X = G/P any cohomology class $\gamma \in H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is a linear combination of Schubert classes σ_X^w . The coefficients in this decomposition we denote by $\operatorname{coeff}_{\sigma_X^w}(\gamma)$, so that we have

$$\gamma = \sum_{w \in \mathbf{W}^{\mathbf{P}}} \operatorname{coeff}_{\sigma_X^w}(\gamma) \, \sigma_X^w.$$
(6.1)

In terms of the dual Schubert classes we have

$$\operatorname{coeff}_{\sigma_X^w}(\gamma) = \operatorname{deg}_X\left(\gamma \cup (\sigma_X^w)^\vee\right).$$

$$\operatorname{38}_{38}$$

Since E_6, E_7, E_8 are simply laced, we rely on methods of Section 3 to compute the necessary GW invariants. Recall the setting of (3.13) and the content of Lemma 3.4. We abbreviate F(X) = G/Q to F and $F_{pt}(X) = P/R$ to F_{pt} . For any cohomology class $\gamma \in H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ we use the following shorthand notation

$$\bar{\gamma} \coloneqq i^* q_* p^* \gamma \in H^*(\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{pt}}, \mathbb{Q}).$$

In particular, for Schubert classes we have

$$\overline{\sigma_X^w} = \begin{cases} \sigma_{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{pt}}}^{w_{\mathrm{SP}}} & \text{if } w \neq 1 \text{ and } w_{\mathrm{SP}} \leq w_{\mathrm{P}}^{\mathrm{R}}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(6.2)

which are the type of cohomology classes appearing in Lemma 3.4(4). From (6.1) and (6.2) we obtain that for any $\gamma \in H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ we have

$$\operatorname{coeff}_{\sigma_X^w}(\gamma) = \operatorname{coeff}_{\sigma_{\mathrm{Fpt}}^{w_{\mathrm{SP}}}}(\bar{\gamma}) \quad \text{if} \quad w \neq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad ws_{\mathrm{P}} \le w_{\mathrm{P}}^{\mathrm{R}}.$$
 (6.3)

Our computations rely on the Littlewood–Richardson rules coming from Jeu de Taquin proved in [40] for (co)minuscule varieties and in [13] for coadjoint varieties and Schubert classes of degree at most $(\dim X)/2$. These rules are purely combinatorial and have been implemented by the second author [35], and we use this software for many computations below. The scripts for the computations are available at

https://github.com/msmirnov18/bqh-coadjoint

6.1. **Type** E₆. Let $X = E_6/P_2$ be the coadjoint variety of type E₆. It is shown in [12] that the cohomology classes

$$h = \sigma^{s_2},$$

$$s = \sigma^{s_3 s_4 s_2},$$

$$t = \sigma^{s_1 s_3 s_4 s_2}$$

generate the cohomology ring $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$. The quantum parameter is of degree

$$\deg(q) = 11.$$

According to [12] we have the following presentation of the small quantum cohomology of X.

Proposition 6.1 ([12, Proposition 5.4]). The small quantum cohomology QH(X) is the quotient of the polynomial ring K[h, s, t] modulo the ideal generated by

$$\begin{split} h^8 - 6h^5s + 3h^4t + 9h^2s^2 - 12hst + 6t^2, \\ h^9 - 4h^6s + 3h^5t + 3h^3s^2 - 6h^2st + 2s^3, \\ -97h^{12} + 442h^9s - 247h^8t - 507h^6s^2 + 624h^5st - 156h^2s^2t + 48hq. \end{split}$$

As already mentioned in the introduction, the above proposition implies Theorem 1.3 in type E_6 .

Remark 6.2. A curious reader can verify the validity of the relations of Proposition 6.1 using [35].

Lemma 6.3. The small quantum cohomology QH(X) is not semisimple. Its unique nonsemisimple factor is supported at the point h = s = t = 0 and is isomorphic to the Jacobian algebra of the isolated hypersurface singularity of type E_6 .

Proof. From the presentation in Proposition 6.1 it is clear that the point h = s = t = 0 belongs to Spec QH(X) and the Zariski tangent space to Spec QH(X) at this point is of dimension 2. Hence, since Spec QH(X) is of dimension 0, the point h = s = t = 0 is non-reduced.

To determine the algebra structure we set h = 0 in the presentation in Proposition 6.1 and see that we have unique solution and the algebra structure at that point is given by $K[s,t]/(6t^2, 2s^3)$, i.e. this is exactly the Jacobian algebra of the isolated hypersurface singularity of type E₆.

To finish the proof it is enough to show that the vector space dimension of the locus $h \neq 0$ is equal to 66 and that this locus is reduced. This can be done easily using SageMath [39]. \Box

Lemma 6.4. In $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ we have

$$t^{\cup 2} = \sigma_{\alpha(010110)} \tag{6.4}$$

$$s^{\cup 2} = \sigma_{\alpha(011210)} + 2\sigma_{\alpha(011111)} + \sigma_{\alpha(11110)} \tag{6.5}$$

 $s^{\cup 3} = 6\sigma_{\alpha(010100)} + 2\sigma_{\alpha(000011)} + 9\sigma_{\alpha(000110)} + 6\sigma_{\alpha(001100)} + \sigma_{\alpha(101000)}$ (6.6)

Proof. This is a routine calculation using the Littlewood-Richardson rule for E_6/P_2 . We used [35] for this.

Proposition 6.5. We have

$$\begin{split} \langle [\mathrm{pt}], t, t, t \rangle_1 &= 1 \\ \langle [\mathrm{pt}], h, t, \gamma \rangle_1 &= \mathrm{coeff}_{\sigma_{\alpha(010111)}}(\gamma) \\ \langle [\mathrm{pt}], h, s, \gamma \rangle_1 &= \mathrm{coeff}_{\sigma_{\alpha(010110)}}(\gamma) \\ \langle [\mathrm{pt}], s, s, \gamma \rangle_1 &= \mathrm{coeff}_{\sigma_{\alpha(011111)}}(\gamma) + \mathrm{coeff}_{\sigma_{\alpha(011210)}}(\gamma) \end{split}$$

Proof. The proof is a combination of Lemma 3.4 and some computations in the classical cohomology ring of $G(3,6) = A_5/P_3$.

Invariant $\langle [pt], t, t, t \rangle_1$. By Lemma 3.4 we have

$$\langle [\mathrm{pt}], t, t, t \rangle_1 = \deg_{\mathrm{G}(3,6)}(\overline{t} \cup \overline{t} \cup \overline{t}).$$

Using [35] we get

$$\bar{t} \cup \bar{t} \cup \bar{t} = [\text{pt}].$$

Invariant $\langle [pt], h, t, \gamma \rangle_1$. By Lemma 3.4 we have

$$\langle [\mathrm{pt}], h, t, \gamma \rangle_1 = \deg_{\mathrm{G}(3,6)}(h \cup \bar{t} \cup \bar{\gamma}) = \mathrm{coeff}_{\bar{t}^{\vee}}(\bar{\gamma}).$$

Using [35] we get

$$\bar{t}^{\vee} = \sigma_{\mathcal{G}(3,6)}^{s_2 s_3 s_4 s_1 s_2 s_3}_{40}$$

Lifting \bar{t}^{\vee} to E_6/P_2 by appending s_2 to the Weyl group element (and keeping in mind the relabelling vertices in Dynkin diagrams) we get the Schubert class

$$\sigma_{\rm E_6/P_2}^{s_3s_4s_5s_1s_3s_4s_2} = \sigma_{\rm E_6/P_2}^{\alpha(010111)},$$

where we used [35] on E_6/P_2 to convert into the root labelling (see Section 4.2). Now the claim follows by (6.3).

Invariant $\langle [pt], h, s, \gamma \rangle_1$. By Lemma 3.4 we have

$$\langle [\mathrm{pt}], h, s, \gamma \rangle_1 = \deg_{\mathrm{G}(3,6)}(\bar{h} \cup \bar{s} \cup \bar{\gamma}) = \mathrm{coeff}_{\bar{s}^{\vee}}(\bar{\gamma}).$$

Using [35] we get

$$\bar{s}^{\vee} = \sigma_{\mathcal{G}(3,6)}^{s_5 s_2 s_3 s_4 s_1 s_2 s_3}$$

Lifting \bar{s}^{\vee} to E_6/P_2 by appending s_2 to the Weyl group element (and keeping in mind the relabelling vertices in Dynkin diagrams) we get the Schubert class

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{E}_{6}/\mathrm{P}_{2}}^{s_{6}s_{3}s_{4}s_{5}s_{1}s_{3}s_{4}s_{2}} = \sigma_{\mathrm{E}_{6}/\mathrm{P}_{2}}^{\alpha(010110)},$$

where we used [35] on E_6/P_2 to convert into the root labelling (see Section 4.2). Now the claim follows by (6.3).

 $\mathit{Invariant}\; \langle [\mathrm{pt}], s, s, \gamma \rangle_1.$ By Lemma 3.4 we have

$$\langle [\mathrm{pt}], s, s, \gamma \rangle_1 = \deg_{\mathrm{G}(3,6)}(\bar{s} \cup \bar{s} \cup \bar{\gamma}).$$

Using [35] we get

$$\bar{s} \cup \bar{s} = \sigma_{\mathcal{G}(3,6)}^{s_3 s_4 s_2 s_3} + \sigma_{\mathcal{G}(3,6)}^{s_4 s_1 s_2 s_3}$$

and

$$(\bar{s} \cup \bar{s})^{\vee} = \sigma_{\mathcal{G}(3,6)}^{s_5 s_4 s_1 s_2 s_3} + \sigma_{\mathcal{G}(3,6)}^{s_3 s_4 s_1 s_2 s_3}.$$

Lifting $(\bar{s} \cup \bar{s})^{\vee}$ to E_6/P_2 by appending s_2 to the Weyl group element (and keeping in mind the relabelling vertices in Dynkin diagrams) we get the Schubert class

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{E}_{6}/\mathrm{P}_{2}}^{s_{6}s_{5}s_{1}s_{3}s_{4}s_{2}} + \sigma_{\mathrm{E}_{6}/\mathrm{P}_{2}}^{s_{4}s_{5}s_{1}s_{3}s_{4}s_{2}} = \sigma_{\mathrm{E}_{6}/\mathrm{P}_{2}}^{\alpha(011210)} + \sigma_{\mathrm{E}_{6}/\mathrm{P}_{2}}^{\alpha(01111)}$$

where we used [35] on E_6/P_2 to convert into the root labelling (see Section 4.2). Now the claim follows by (6.3).

Proposition 6.6. In BQH_{s,t}(X) we have the following equalities modulo \mathfrak{tm} :

$$h^8 - 6h^5s + 3h^4t + 9h^2s^2 - 12hst + 6t^2 \equiv 2qt_{\delta_2} \pmod{\mathfrak{m}}$$
(6.7)

$$h^9 - 4h^6s + 3h^5t + 3h^3s^2 - 6h^2st + 2s^3 \equiv -2qt_{\delta_1} \pmod{\mathfrak{tm}}$$
(6.8)

$$-97h^{12} + 442h^9s - 247h^8t - 507h^6s^2 + 624h^5st - 156h^2s^2t + 48hq \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{tm}}$$
(6.9)
41

Proof. For degree reasons (6.9) holds automatically. Thus, we only need to take care of (6.7) and (6.8).

Relation (6.7). The left hand side of (6.7) is of the form $-h\sigma + 6t^2$, where $\sigma \in H^{14}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is a linear combination of Schubert classes. Thus, in the classical (and also in the small quantum) cohomology of X we have the equality $h\sigma = 6t^2$. By the hard Lefschetz theorem, multiplication by h, considered as a map from $H^{14}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^{16}(X, \mathbb{Q})$, is injective. Hence, there exist a unique class $\sigma \in H^{14}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ so that $h\sigma = 6t^2$. Using (6.4) and [35] we compute

$$\sigma = 4\sigma_{\alpha(010111)} + 2\sigma_{\alpha(011110)} - 4\sigma_{\alpha(001111)} - 2\sigma_{\alpha(111100)} + 2\sigma_{\alpha(101110)}.$$

For degree reasons the linear term of $(-h\sigma + 6t^2)^*$ is given by

$$(-\langle h, \sigma, t, [\text{pt}] \rangle_1 + 6 \langle t, t, t, [\text{pt}] \rangle_1) q t_{\delta_2}$$

Applying Proposition 6.5 we conclude that the linear term is in fact equal to $2qt_{\delta_2}$, and the claim is proved.

Relation (6.8). The left hand side of (6.8) is of the form $-h\gamma + 2s^3$, where $\gamma = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2$ with $\gamma_1 \in H^{16}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ and $\gamma_2 \in \mathbb{Q} qt_{\delta_2}$. Since we are only interested in the linear terms of $(-h\gamma + 2s^3)^*$, we proceed as if we had $\gamma_2 = 0$. By the hard Lefschetz theorem, the classical multiplication by h, considered as a map from $H^{16}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^{18}(X, \mathbb{Q})$, is injective. Hence, there exist a unique class $\gamma \in H^{16}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ so that $h \cup \gamma = 2s^{\cup 3}$. Using (6.6) and [35] we compute

 $\gamma = 8\sigma_{\alpha(010110)} + 4\sigma_{\alpha(011100)} + 4\sigma_{\alpha(000111)} + 6\sigma_{\alpha(001110)} + 2\sigma_{\alpha(101100)}.$

Denoting $\rho = s^{\cup 2}$ we can rewrite

$$-h\gamma + 2s^3 = -h\gamma + 2s\rho.$$

For degree reasons the linear term of $(-h\gamma + 2s\rho)^*$ is given by

$$(-\langle h, \gamma, s, [\text{pt}] \rangle_1 + 2 \langle s, \rho, s, [\text{pt}] \rangle_1) qt_{\delta_1}.$$

Applying Proposition 6.5 and (6.5) we conclude that the linear term is in fact equal to $-2qt_{\delta_1}$, and the claim is proved.

As in the case of OG(2, 2n), we obtain the following immediate corollary.

Corollary 6.7. *The following statements hold:*

- (1) BQH(X) is a regular ring.
- (2) BQH(X) is generically semisimple.

6.2. Type E₇. Let $X = E_7/P_1$ be the coadjoint variety of type E₇ and recall that in this case for the quantum parameter we have

$$\deg(q) = 17.$$

It is shown in [12] that the cohomology classes

$$h = \sigma^{s_1},$$

$$s = \sigma^{s_2 s_4 s_3 s_1},$$

$$t = \sigma^{s_7 s_6 s_5 s_4 s_3 s_1}$$

generate the cohomology ring $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ and the following presentation of the small quantum cohomology is given.

Proposition 6.8 ([12, Proposition 5.6]). The small quantum cohomology QH(X) is the quotient of the polynomial ring K[h, s, t] modulo the ideal generated by

$$\begin{split} h^{12} - 6h^8s - 4h^6t + 9h^4s^2 + 12h^2st - s^3 + 3t^2, \\ h^{14} - 6h^{10}s - 2h^8t + 9h^6s^2 + 6h^4st - h^2s^3 + 3s^2t, \\ 232h^{18} - 1444h^{14}s - 456h^{12}t + 2508h^{10}s^2 + 1520h^8st - 988h^6s^3 + 133h^2s^4 + 36hq. \end{split}$$

As already mentioned in the introduction, the above proposition implies Theorem 1.3 in type E_7 .

Lemma 6.9. The small quantum cohomology QH(X) is not semisimple. Its unique nonsemisimple factor is supported at the point h = s = t = 0 and is isomorphic to the Jacobian algebra of the isolated hypersurface singularity of type E_7 .

Proof. The proof is identical to the proof of Lemma 6.3.

Lemma 6.10. In $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ we have

$$t^{\cup 2} = \sigma_{\alpha(0112100)} \tag{6.10}$$

$$s \cup t = \sigma_{(1112110)} \tag{6.11}$$

$$s^{\cup 2} = \sigma_{(0112221)} + \sigma_{(1112211)} + \sigma_{(1122111)} + \sigma_{(1122210)}$$
(6.12)

$$s^{\cup 3} = 3\sigma_{\alpha(0011111)} + 3\sigma_{\alpha(0101111)} + 11\sigma_{\alpha(011110)} + 9\sigma_{\alpha(0112100)} + 4\sigma_{\alpha(1011110)} + 6\sigma_{\alpha(1111100)}$$
(6.13)

$$t \cup s^{\cup 2} = 2\sigma_{\alpha(0001110)} + 5\sigma_{\alpha(0011100)} + 3\sigma_{\alpha(0101100)} + 3\sigma_{\alpha(011100)} + 3\sigma_{\alpha(0111000)} + 2\sigma_{\alpha(1011000)}$$
(6.14)

Proof. This is a routine calculation using the Littlewood-Richardson rule for E_7/P_1 . We used [35] for this.

Proposition 6.11. We have

$$\begin{split} \langle [\mathrm{pt}], t, t, t \rangle_1 &= 0 \\ \langle [\mathrm{pt}], h, t, \gamma \rangle_1 &= \mathrm{coeff}_{\sigma_{\alpha(1011111)}}(\gamma) \\ \langle [\mathrm{pt}], h, s, \gamma \rangle_1 &= \mathrm{coeff}_{\sigma_{\alpha(111000)}}(\gamma) \\ \langle [\mathrm{pt}], s, t, \gamma \rangle_1 &= \mathrm{coeff}_{\sigma_{\alpha(1122111)}}(\gamma) \\ \langle [\mathrm{pt}], s, s, \gamma \rangle_1 &= \mathrm{coeff}_{\sigma_{\alpha(1112110)}}(\gamma) \\ & 43 \end{split}$$

Proof. The proof is a combination of Lemma 3.4 and some computations in the classical cohomology ring of OG(6, 12). As such it is very similar to the proof of Proposition 6.5 and so we try to be very concise here.

Invariant $\langle [pt], t, t, t \rangle_1$. By Lemma 3.4 we have

$$\langle [\mathrm{pt}], t, t, t \rangle_1 = \deg_{\mathrm{OG}(6,12)}(t \cup t \cup t).$$

Using [35] we get

$$\bar{t} \cup \bar{t} \cup \bar{t} = 0,$$

and we get the desired vanishing.

Invariant $\langle [pt], h, t, \gamma \rangle_1$. By Lemma 3.4 we have

$$\langle [\mathrm{pt}], h, t, \gamma \rangle_1 = \deg_{\mathrm{OG}(6,12)}(\bar{h} \cup \bar{t} \cup \bar{\gamma}) = \mathrm{coeff}_{\bar{t}^{\vee}}(\bar{\gamma}).$$

Using [35] we get

$$\bar{t}^{\vee} = \sigma_{\mathrm{OG}(6,12)}^{s_5 s_4 s_6 s_3 s_4 s_5 s_2 s_3 s_4 s_6}$$

Lifting \bar{t}^{\vee} to E_7/P_1 by appending s_1 to the Weyl group element (and keeping in mind the relabelling vertices in Dynkin diagrams) we get the Schubert class

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{E}_{7}/\mathrm{P}_{1}}^{s_{2}s_{4}s_{5}s_{6}s_{3}s_{4}s_{5}s_{2}s_{4}s_{3}s_{1}} = \sigma_{\mathrm{E}_{7}/\mathrm{P}_{1}}^{\alpha(1011111)},$$

where we used [35] on E_7/P_1 to convert into the root labelling (see Section 4.2). Now the claim follows by (6.3).

Invariant $\langle [pt], h, s, \gamma \rangle_1$. By Lemma 3.4 we have

$$[\mathrm{pt}], h, s, \gamma \rangle_1 = \deg_{\mathrm{OG}(6, 12)}(\bar{h} \cup \bar{s} \cup \bar{\gamma}) = \mathrm{coeff}_{\bar{s}^{\vee}}(\bar{\gamma}).$$

Using [35] we get

$$\bar{s}^{\vee} = \sigma^{s_3 s_4 s_6 s_2 s_3 s_4 s_5 s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 s_6}_{\mathrm{OG}(6,12)}$$

Lifting \bar{s}^{\vee} to E_7/P_1 by appending s_1 to the Weyl group element (and keeping in mind the relabelling vertices in Dynkin diagrams) we get the Schubert class

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{E_7/P_1}}^{s_5s_6s_7s_4s_5s_6s_3s_4s_5s_2s_4s_3s_1} = \sigma_{\mathrm{E_7/P_1}}^{\alpha(1111000)}$$

where we used [35] on E_7/P_1 to convert into the root labelling (see Section 4.2). Now the claim follows by (6.3).

Invariant $\langle [pt], s, t, \gamma \rangle_1$. By Lemma 3.4 we have

$$\langle [\mathrm{pt}], s, t, \gamma \rangle_1 = \deg_{\mathrm{OG}(6,12)}(\bar{s} \cup \bar{t} \cup \bar{\gamma}).$$

Using [35] we get

$$\bar{s} \cup \bar{t} = \sigma_{\text{OG}(6,12)}^{s_6 s_4 s_5 s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 s_6}$$

and

$$(\bar{s} \cup \bar{t})^{\vee} = \sigma_{\mathrm{OG}(6,12)}^{s_3 s_4 s_5 s_2 s_3 s_4 s_6}.$$

Lifting $(\bar{s} \cup \bar{t})^{\vee}$ to E_7/P_1 by appending s_1 to the Weyl group element (and keeping in mind the relabelling vertices in Dynkin diagrams) we get the Schubert class

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{E}_{7}/\mathrm{P}_{1}}^{s_{5}s_{6}s_{4}s_{5}s_{2}s_{4}s_{3}s_{1}} = \sigma_{\mathrm{E}_{7}/\mathrm{P}_{1}}^{\alpha(1122111)}$$
44

where we used [35] on E_7/P_1 to convert into the root labelling (see Section 4.2). Now the claim follows by (6.3).

Invariant $\langle [pt], s, s, \gamma \rangle_1$. By Lemma 3.4 we have

$$\langle [\mathrm{pt}], s, s, \gamma \rangle_1 = \deg_{\mathrm{OG}(6,12)}(\bar{s} \cup \bar{s} \cup \bar{\gamma}).$$

Using [35] we get

$$\bar{s} \cup \bar{s} = \sigma_{\text{OG}(6,12)}^{s_4 s_5 s_2 s_3 s_4 s_6}$$

and

$$(\bar{s} \cup \bar{s})^{\vee} = \sigma_{\text{OG}(6,12)}^{s_6 s_3 s_4 s_5 s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4 s_6}$$

Lifting $(\bar{s} \cup \bar{s})^{\vee}$ to E_7/P_1 by appending s_1 to the Weyl group element (and keeping in mind the relabelling vertices in Dynkin diagrams) we get the Schubert class

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{E}_{7}/\mathrm{P}_{1}}^{s_{7}s_{5}s_{6}s_{3}s_{4}s_{5}s_{2}s_{4}s_{3}s_{1}} = \sigma_{\mathrm{E}_{7}/\mathrm{P}_{1}}^{\alpha(1112110)}$$

where we used [35] on E_7/P_1 to convert into the root labelling (see Section 4.2). Now the claim follows by (6.3).

Proposition 6.12. In BQH_{s,t}(X), we have the following equalities modulo \mathfrak{tm} :

$$h^{12} - 6h^8s - 4h^6t + 9h^4s^2 + 12h^2st - s^3 + 3t^2 \equiv -qt_{\delta_2} \pmod{\mathfrak{tm}}$$
(6.15)

$$h^{14} - 6h^{10}s - 2h^8t + 9h^6s^2 + 6h^4st - h^2s^3 + 3s^2t \equiv qt_{\delta_1} \pmod{\mathfrak{tm}}$$
(6.16)

$$232h^{18} - 1444h^{14}s - 456h^{12}t + 2508h^{10}s^2 + + 1520h^8st - 988h^6s^3 + 133h^2s^4 + 36hq \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{tm}}$$
(6.17)

Proof. For degree reasons (6.17) holds automatically. Thus, we only need to take care of (6.15) and (6.16).

Relation (6.15). The lefthand side of (6.15) is of the form $h\sigma - s^3 + 3t^2$, where $\sigma \in H^{22}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is a linear combination of Schubert classes. Thus, in the classical (and in the small quantum) cohomology of X we have the equality $h\sigma = s^3 - 3t^2$. By the hard Lefschetz theorem, multiplication by h, considered as a map from $H^{22}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^{24}(X, \mathbb{Q})$, is injective. Hence, there exist a unique class $\sigma \in H^{22}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ so that $h\sigma = s^3 - 3t^2$. Using (6.10), (6.13), and [35] we compute

$$\sigma = 3\sigma_{\alpha(0111111)} + 4\sigma_{\alpha(0112110)} + 4\sigma_{\alpha(111110)} + 2\sigma_{\alpha(1112100)}$$

Setting $\tau = s^2$, we can rewrite the left hand side of (6.15) as $h\sigma - s\tau + 3t^2$. Thus, now we need to compute $(h\sigma - s\tau + 3t^2)^*$. For degree reasons the linear term of $(h\sigma - s\tau + 3t^2)^*$ is given by

$$(\langle h, \sigma, [pt], t \rangle_1 - \langle s, \tau, [pt], t \rangle_1 + 3 \langle t, t, [pt], t \rangle_1) qt_{\delta_2}$$

Applying (6.12) and Proposition 6.11 we conclude that the linear term is indeed equal to $-qt_{\delta_2}$, and the claim is proved.

Relation (6.16). The lefthand side of (6.16) is of the form $-h\gamma + 3s^2t$, where $\gamma \in H^{26}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is a linear combination of Schubert classes. Thus, in the classical (and in the small quantum)

cohomology of X we have the equality $h\gamma = 3s^2t$. By the hard Lefschetz theorem, multiplication by h, considered as a map from $H^{26}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^{28}(X, \mathbb{Q})$, is injective. Hence, there exist a unique class $\gamma \in H^{26}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ so that $h\gamma = 3s^2t$. Using (6.14) and [35] we compute

 $\gamma = 4\sigma_{\alpha(001110)} + 2\sigma_{\alpha(010110)} + 7\sigma_{\alpha(011110)} + 4\sigma_{\alpha(1011100)} + 2\sigma_{\alpha(111100)}.$

Setting $\eta = st = \sigma_{\alpha(1112110)}$, we can rewrite the left hand side of (6.15) as $-h\gamma + 3s\eta$. Thus, now we need to compute $(-h\gamma + 3s\eta)^*$. For degree reasons the linear term of $(-h\gamma + 3s\eta)^*$ is given by

 $(-\langle h, \gamma, [\text{pt}], s \rangle_1 + 3 \langle s, \eta, [\text{pt}], s \rangle_1) qt_{\delta_1}.$

Applying (6.11) and Proposition 6.11 we conclude that the linear term is indeed equal to qt_{δ_1} , and the claim is proved.

As in the case of OG(2, 2n), we obtain the following immediate corollary.

Corollary 6.13. *The following statements hold:*

- (1) BQH(X) is a regular ring.
- (2) BQH(X) is generically semisimple.

6.3. **Type** E₈. Let $X = E_8/P_8$ be the coadjoint variety of type E₈. It is shown in [12] that the cohomology classes

$$h = \sigma^{s_8},$$

$$s = \sigma^{s_2 s_4 s_5 s_6 s_7 s_8},$$

$$t = \sigma^{s_6 s_5 s_4 s_3 s_2 s_4 s_5 s_6 s_7 s_8}.$$

generate the classical and the small quantum cohomology rings, and their presentations are given. Note that here we have

$$\deg(q) = 29$$

Proposition 6.14 ([12, Proposition 5.7]). The small quantum cohomology QH(X) is the quotient of the polynomial ring K[h, s, t] modulo the ideal generated by

$$\begin{split} h^{14}s + 6h^{10}t - 3h^8s^2 - 12h^4st - 10h^2s^3 + 3t^2, \\ 29h^{24} - 120h^{18}s + 15h^{14}t + 45h^{12}s^2 - 30h^8st + 180h^6s^3 - 30h^2s^2t + 5s^4, \\ - 86357h^{30} + 368652h^{24}s - 44640h^{20}t - 189720h^{18}s^2 + \\ &+ 94860h^{14}st - 473680h^{12}s^3 + 74400h^8s^2t - 1240h^2s^3t + 60hq. \end{split}$$

As already mentioned in the introduction, the above proposition implies Theorem 1.3 in type E_8 .

Lemma 6.15. The small quantum cohomology QH(X) is not semisimple. Its unique nonsemisimple factor is supported at the point h = s = t = 0 and is isomorphic to the Jacobian algebra of the isolated hypersurface singularity of type E_8 .

Proof. The proof is identical to the proof of Lemma 6.3.

Lemma 6.16. In $H^*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ we have the equalities

$$t^{\cup 2} = 4\sigma_{\alpha(01122111)} + 7\sigma_{\alpha(01122210)} + 8\sigma_{\alpha(11221110)} + 16\sigma_{\alpha(11222100)} + 2\sigma_{\alpha(11121111)} + 14\sigma_{\alpha(11122110)}$$
(6.18)

$$s^{\cup 3} = 6\sigma_{\alpha(01122221)} + 58\sigma_{\alpha(11222111)} + 85\sigma_{\alpha(11222210)} + + 111\sigma_{\alpha(11232110)} + 34\sigma_{\alpha(11122211)} + 25\sigma_{\alpha(12232100)}$$
(6.19)

$$s^{\cup 4} = 1668\sigma_{\alpha(01011110)} + 3957\sigma_{\alpha(01121000)} + 5600\sigma_{\alpha(01111100)} + + 432\sigma_{\alpha(00011111)} + 2256\sigma_{\alpha(00111110)} + + 2888\sigma_{\alpha(11111000)} + 2048\sigma_{\alpha(10111100)} \quad (6.20)$$

Proof. This is a routine calculation using the Littlewood-Richardson rule for E_8/P_8 . We used [35] for this.

Proposition 6.17. We have

$$\langle [\text{pt}], t, t, t \rangle_{1} = 2$$

$$\langle [\text{pt}], h, t, \gamma \rangle_{1} = \text{coeff}_{\sigma_{\alpha(01122211)}}(\gamma)$$

$$\langle [\text{pt}], h, s, \gamma \rangle_{1} = \text{coeff}_{\sigma_{\alpha(01011111)}}(\gamma)$$

$$\langle [\text{pt}], s, s, \gamma \rangle_{1} = 2 \operatorname{coeff}_{\sigma_{\alpha(1122211)}}(\gamma) + 2 \operatorname{coeff}_{\sigma_{\alpha(11222111)}}(\gamma)$$

Proof. The proof is a combination of Lemma 3.4 and some computations in the classical cohomology ring of the Freudenthal variety E_7/P_7 . As such it is very similar to the proofs of Propositions 6.5 and 6.11 and so we try to be very concise here.

Invariant $\langle [pt], t, t, t \rangle_1$. By Lemma 3.4 we have

$$\langle [\mathrm{pt}], t, t, t \rangle_1 = \deg_{\mathrm{E}_7/\mathrm{P}_7}(\bar{t} \cup \bar{t} \cup \bar{t}).$$

Using [35] we compute

 $\bar{t} \cup \bar{t} \cup \bar{t} = 2 \,[\text{pt}] \,.$

Hence, we get

$$\deg_{\mathbf{E}_7/\mathbf{P}_7}(\bar{t}\cup\bar{t}\cup\bar{t})=2.$$

Invariant $\langle [pt], h, t, \gamma \rangle_1$. By Lemma 3.4 we have

$$\langle [\mathrm{pt}], h, t, \gamma \rangle_1 = \deg_{\mathrm{E}_7/\mathrm{P}_7}(\bar{h} \cup \bar{t} \cup \bar{\gamma}) = \mathrm{coeff}_{\bar{t}^\vee}(\bar{\gamma}).$$

Using [35] on E_7/P_7 we get

$$\bar{t}^{\vee} = \sigma_{\mathrm{E_7/P_7}}^{s_7s_1s_3s_4s_5s_6s_2s_4s_5s_3s_4s_2s_1s_3s_4s_5s_6s_7}$$

Lifting \bar{t}^{\vee} to E_8/P_8 by appending s_8 to the Weyl group element we get the Schubert class

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{E}_8/\mathrm{P}_8}^{s_7s_1s_3s_4s_5s_6s_2s_4s_5s_3s_4s_2s_1s_3s_4s_5s_6s_7s_8} = \sigma_{\mathrm{E}_8/\mathrm{P}_8}^{\alpha(01122211)}$$

where we used [35] on E_8/P_8 to convert into the root labelling (see Section 4.2). Now the claim follows by (6.3).

Invariant $\langle [pt], h, s, \gamma \rangle_1$. By Lemma 3.4 we have

$$\langle [\mathrm{pt}], h, s, \gamma \rangle_1 = \deg_{\mathrm{E}_7/\mathrm{P}_7}(\bar{h} \cup \bar{s} \cup \bar{\gamma}) = \mathrm{coeff}_{\bar{s}^\vee}(\bar{\gamma}).$$

Using [35] on E_7/P_7 we get

$$\bar{s}^{\vee} = \sigma_{\mathrm{E}_{7}/\mathrm{P}_{7}}^{s_{3}s_{4}s_{5}s_{6}s_{7}s_{1}s_{3}s_{4}s_{5}s_{6}s_{2}s_{4}s_{5}s_{3}s_{4}s_{1}s_{3}s_{2}s_{4}s_{5}s_{6}s_{7}}.$$

Lifting \bar{s}^{\vee} to E_8/P_8 by appending s_8 to the Weyl group element we get the Schubert class

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{E}_8/\mathrm{P}_8}^{s_3s_4s_5s_6s_7s_1s_3s_4s_5s_6s_2s_4s_5s_3s_4s_1s_3s_2s_4s_5s_6s_7s_8} = \sigma_{\mathrm{E}_8/\mathrm{P}_8}^{\alpha(0101111)}$$

where we used [35] on E_8/P_8 to convert into the root labelling (see Section 4.2). Now the claim follows by (6.3).

Invariant $\langle [pt], s, s, \gamma \rangle_1$. By Lemma 3.4 we have

$$\langle [\mathrm{pt}], s, s, \gamma \rangle_1 = \deg_{\mathrm{E}_7/\mathrm{P}_7}(\bar{s} \cup \bar{s} \cup \bar{\gamma}).$$

Using [35] on E_7/P_7 we get

$$\left(\bar{s}\cup\bar{s}\right)^{\vee} = 2\sigma_{\mathrm{E_7/P_7}}^{s_6s_7s_4s_5s_6s_2s_4s_5s_3s_4s_1s_3s_2s_4s_5s_6s_7} + 2\sigma_{\mathrm{E_7/P_7}}^{s_7s_3s_4s_5s_6s_2s_4s_5s_3s_4s_1s_3s_2s_4s_5s_6s_7}.$$

Lifting each summand of $(\bar{s} \cup \bar{s})^{\vee}$ to E_8/P_8 by appending s_8 to the Weyl group element we get

$$\sigma_{\text{E}_8/\text{P}_8}^{s_6s_7s_4s_5s_6s_2s_4s_5s_3s_4s_1s_3s_2s_4s_5s_6s_7s_8} = \sigma_{\text{E}_8/\text{P}_8}^{\alpha(11222111)}$$

$$\sigma_{\text{E}_8/\text{P}_8}^{s_7s_3s_4s_5s_6s_2s_4s_5s_3s_4s_1s_3s_2s_4s_5s_6s_7s_8} = \sigma_{\text{E}_8/\text{P}_8}^{\alpha(11122211)}$$

where we used [35] on E_8/P_8 to convert into the root labelling (see Section 4.2). Now the claim follows by (6.3).

Proposition 6.18. In BQH_{s,t}(X) we have the following equalities modulo \mathfrak{tm} :

$$h^{14}s + 6h^{10}t - 3h^8s^2 - 12h^4st - 10h^2s^3 + 3t^2 \equiv -qt_{\delta_2} \pmod{\mathfrak{tm}} (6.21)$$

$$29h^{24} - 120h^{18}s + 15h^{14}t + 45h^{12}s^2 - 30h^8st + 180h^6s^3 - 30h^2s^2t + 5s^4 \equiv -qt_{\delta_1} \pmod{\mathfrak{tm}}$$
(6.22)

$$\begin{aligned} &-86357h^{30} + 368652h^{24}s - 44640h^{20}t - \\ &-189720h^{18}s^2 + 94860h14st - 473680h^{12}s^3 + \\ &+ 74400h^8s^2t - 1240h^2s^3t + 60hq \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{tm}} \quad (6.23) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For degree reasons (6.23) holds automatically. Thus, we only need to take care of (6.21) and (6.22).

Relation (6.21). The lefthand side of (6.21) is of the form $-h\sigma + 3t^2$, where $\sigma \in H^{38}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ is a linear combination of Schubert classes. Thus, in the classical (and in the small quantum) cohomology of X we have the equality $h\sigma = 3t^2$. By the hard Lefschetz theorem, multiplication by h, considered as a map from $H^{38}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^{40}(X, \mathbb{Q})$, is injective. Hence, there exist a unique class $\sigma \in H^{38}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ so that $h\sigma = 3t^{\cup 2}$. Using (6.18) and [35] we compute

$$\sigma = 7\sigma_{\alpha(01122211)} + \sigma_{\alpha(11221111)} + 23\sigma_{\alpha(11222110)} + 25\sigma_{\alpha(11232100)} + 5\sigma_{\alpha(11122111)} + 14\sigma_{\alpha(11122210)}.$$

For degree reasons the linear term of $(-h\sigma + 3t^2)^*$ is given by

 $(-\langle h, \sigma, [\mathrm{pt}], t \rangle_1 + 3 \langle t, t, [\mathrm{pt}], t \rangle_1) q t_{\delta_2}.$

Applying Proposition 6.17 we conclude that the linear term is indeed equal to $-qt_{\delta_2}$, and the claim is proved.

Relation (6.22). The left hand side of (6.22) is of the form $-h\gamma + 5s^4$, where $\gamma = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2$ with $\gamma_1 \in H^{46}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ and $\gamma_2 \in H^6(X, \mathbb{Q}) \cdot qt_{\delta_2}$. Since we are only interested in the linear terms of $(-h\gamma + 5s^4)^*$, we proceed as if we had $\gamma_2 = 0$. By the hard Lefschetz theorem, the classical multiplication by h, considered as a map from $H^{46}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^{48}(X, \mathbb{Q})$, is injective. Hence, there exist a unique class $\gamma \in H^{46}(X, \mathbb{Q})$ so that $h \cup \gamma = 5s^{\cup 4}$. Using (6.20) and [35] we compute

$$\gamma = 921\sigma_{\alpha(01011111)} + 12963\sigma_{\alpha(01121100)} + + 7419\sigma_{\alpha(0111110)} + 1239\sigma_{\alpha(00111111)} + 6822\sigma_{\alpha(11121000)} + + 7618\sigma_{\alpha(1111100)} + 2622\sigma_{\alpha(1011110)}$$

Setting $\tau = s^3$ we can rewrite the left hand side of (6.22) as $-h\gamma + 5s\tau$. For degree reasons the linear term of $(-h\gamma + 5s\tau)^*$ is given by

$$(-\langle h, \gamma, [\text{pt}], s \rangle_1 + 5 \langle s, \tau, [\text{pt}], s \rangle_1) q t_{\delta_1}$$

Applying Proposition 6.17 and (6.19) we conclude that the linear term is indeed equal to $-qt_{\delta_1}$, and the claim is proved.

As in the case of OG(2, 2n), we obtain the following immediate corollary.

Corollary 6.19. The following statements hold:

- (1) BQH(X) is a regular ring.
- (2) BQH(X) is generically semisimple.

7. Type F_4

Here we consider the coadjoint variety in type F_4 . Let us recall the corresponding Dynkin diagram

$$\stackrel{1}{\circ} \xrightarrow{2} \stackrel{3}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{4}{\circ} \xrightarrow{\bullet}$$

where the labelling of vertices follows [7] and the black colored vertex corresponds to the maximal parabolic subgroup defining the respective coadjoint variety

$$X = F_4 / P_4$$

It is shown in [12] that the cohomology classes

$$h = \sigma^{s_4},$$

$$s = \sigma^{s_1 s_2 s_3 s_4},$$

generate the classical and the small quantum cohomology rings, and their presentations are given. Note that here we have

$$\deg(q) = 11.$$

Proposition 7.1 ([12, Proposition 5.3]). The small quantum cohomology QH(X) is the quotient of the polynomial ring K[h, s] modulo the ideal generated by

$$2h^8 - 6h^4s + 3s^2,$$

$$-11h^{12} + 26h^8s + 3hq.$$

As already mentioned in the introduction, the above proposition implies Theorem 1.3 in type F_4 .

Lemma 7.2. The small quantum cohomology QH(X) is not semisimple. Its unique nonsemisimple factor is supported at the point h = s = 0 and is isomorphic to the Jacobian algebra of the isolated hypersurface singularity of type A_2 .

Proof. The proof is identical to the proof of Lemma 6.3.

To compute the required 4-point GW invariants we apply Corollary 4.3.

Proposition 7.3.

$$\langle [\mathrm{pt}], s, s, s \rangle_1 = 1$$

$$\langle [\text{pt}], h, s, \gamma \rangle_1 = \text{coeff}_{\sigma_{\alpha(0010)}}(\gamma)$$

Proof. As in Section 4.1 we consider the embedding (4.3) as a hyperplane section

$$j: \mathbf{F}_4/\mathbf{P}_4 \to \mathbf{E}_6/\mathbf{P}_1.$$

Since dim $F_4/P_4 = 15$, the induced map $j^* \colon H^*(E_6/P_1, \mathbb{Q}) \to H^*(F_4/P_4, \mathbb{Q})$ is an isomorphism up to degree 7. Let $\hat{s} \in H^8(E_6/P_1, \mathbb{Q})$ be the unique Schubert class such that $j^*\hat{s} = s$. By Lemma 4.5 we have

$$\hat{s} = \sigma_{\rm E_6/P_1}^{s_2 s_4 s_3 s_1}.\tag{7.1}$$

Moreover, we have the identification $F_{pt}(E_6/P_1) = D_5/P_4$ and the cohomology class appearing in Corollary 4.3 and corresponding to \hat{s} is given by

$$\bar{\hat{s}} = \sigma_{\mathrm{D}_{5}/\mathrm{P}_{4}}^{s_{5}s_{3}s_{4}} \in H^{6}(\mathrm{D}_{5}/\mathrm{P}_{4}, \mathbb{Q}),$$

where we have kept track of the relabelling from E_6 to D_5 .

Invariant $\langle [pt], s, s, s \rangle_1$. By Corollary 4.3 we have

$$\langle [\mathrm{pt}], s, s, s \rangle_1 = \deg_{\mathrm{D}_5/\mathrm{P}_4} \left(\overline{\hat{s}} \cup \overline{\hat{s}} \cup \overline{\hat{s}} \cup h_{\mathrm{D}_5/\mathrm{P}_4} \right),$$

where

$$h_{{
m D}_5/{
m P}_4} = \sigma_{{
m D}_5/{
m P}_4}^{s_4}$$

Using [35] we compute

$$\bar{\hat{s}} \cup \bar{\hat{s}} \cup \bar{\hat{s}} \cup \bar{\hat{s}} \cup h_{\mathrm{D}_{5}/\mathrm{P}_{4}} = [\mathrm{pt}].$$

Hence, we get

$$\deg_{\mathrm{D}_5/\mathrm{P}_4}\left(\bar{\hat{s}}\cup\bar{\hat{s}}\cup\bar{\hat{s}}\cup h_{\mathrm{D}_5/\mathrm{P}_4}\right)=1.$$

Invariant $\langle [pt], h, s, \gamma \rangle_1$. By the dimension axiom for Gromov–Witten invariants, the invariant $\langle [pt], h, s, \gamma \rangle_1$ vanishes unless $\gamma \in H^{14}(\mathcal{F}_4/\mathcal{P}_4, \mathbb{Q})$. Applying Lemma 4.5 we see that such a class γ has a unique lift $\hat{\gamma} \in H^{14}(\mathcal{E}_6/\mathcal{P}_1, \mathbb{Q})$.

By Corollary 4.3 we have

$$\langle [\mathrm{pt}], h, s, \gamma \rangle_1 = \deg_{\mathrm{D}_5/\mathrm{P}_4} \left(\overline{\hat{s}} \cup \overline{\hat{\gamma}} \cup h_{\mathrm{D}_5/\mathrm{P}_4} \right)$$

Using [35] we get

$$(\bar{\hat{s}} \cup h_{\mathrm{D}_{5}/\mathrm{P}_{4}})^{\vee} = \sigma_{\mathrm{D}_{5}/\mathrm{P}_{4}}^{s_{3}s_{5}s_{1}s_{2}s_{3}s_{4}}.$$

Lifting $(\bar{s} \cup h_{D_5/P_4})^{\vee}$ to E_6/P_1 by appending s_1 to the Weyl group element gives $\sigma_{E_6/P_1}^{s_4s_2s_6s_5s_4s_3s_1}$. Restricting it to F_4/P_4 using Lemma 4.5(4) (and at each step keeping in mind the relabelling vertices in Dynkin diagrams) we get the Schubert class

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{F}_4/\mathrm{P}_4}^{s_4s_2s_3s_1s_2s_3s_4} = \sigma_{\mathrm{F}_4/\mathrm{P}_4}^{\alpha(0010)},$$

where we used [35] on F_4/P_4 to convert into the root labelling (see Section 4.2). Now the claim follows by (6.3).

Proposition 7.4. In BQH_s(X), we have the following equalities modulo \mathfrak{tm}

$$2h^8 - 6h^4s + 3s^2 \equiv qt_{\delta_1} \pmod{\mathfrak{tm}}$$

$$\tag{7.2}$$

$$-11h^{12} + 26h^8s + 3hq \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{tm}}$$
(7.3)

Proof. For degree reasons (7.3) holds automatically and, hence, we only need to consider (7.2). The left hand side of (7.2) is of the form $-h\sigma + 3s^2$, with $\sigma = -2h^7 + 6h^3s$. Using [35] we easily compute

$$\sigma = 2\sigma_{\mathrm{F}_4/\mathrm{P}_4}^{s_4s_2s_3s_1s_2s_3s_4} + 2\sigma_{\mathrm{F}_4/\mathrm{P}_4}^{s_3s_2s_3s_1s_2s_3s_4} = 2\sigma_{\mathrm{F}_4/\mathrm{P}_4}^{\alpha(0010)} + 2\sigma_{\mathrm{F}_4/\mathrm{P}_4}^{\alpha(0001)},$$

For degree reasons the linear term of $(-h\sigma + 3s^2)^*$ is of the form

$$(-\langle h, \sigma, [\mathrm{pt}], s \rangle_1 + 3 \langle s, s, [\mathrm{pt}], s \rangle_1) qt_{\delta_1}.$$

Applying Proposition 7.3 we conclude that the linear term is in fact equal to qt_{δ_1} .

As in the case of OG(2, 2n), we obtain the following immediate corollary.

Corollary 7.5. The following statements hold:

- (1) BQH(X) is a regular ring.
- (2) BQH(X) is generically semisimple.

8. Relation to the unfoldings of ADE-singularities

The goal of this section is to strengthen the relation between the quantum cohomology of coadjoint varieties and unfoldings of ADE-singularities given by Theorem 1.4. To do that we need to pass to the world of F-manifolds. For a thourough treatment of the background material on F-manifolds we refer to [24, 23]. To a reader interested only in a very consice summary of the necessary facts we recommend [14, Section 7].

Let us briefly explain how we get an F-manifold from the big quantum cohomology of a Fano variety X. Recall that the big quantum product is defined using the GW potential (2.1). Since X is a Fano variety, the dimension axiom for GW invariants implies that the coefficients (2.2) of the GW potential are polynomial in q. Hence, it makes sense to specialize the formulas (2.1)–(2.4) to q = 1.

Viewing (2.1) specialized at q = 1 as a formal power series in t_0, \ldots, t_s we can ask ourselves the question, wether this series has a non-trivial convergence domain in $\mathbb{C}^{s+1} = H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$. In general, the answer to this question is not known. Thus, we add a convergence assumption to our setup.

Assumtion 8.1 (*Convergence assumption*). The power series $\Phi_{q=1}$ converges in some open neighbourhood $M \subset \mathbb{C}^{s+1}$ of the origin.

Under this assumption (2.3) endows M with the structure of an analytic Frobenius manifold. In particular, forgetting the metric, we get an F-manifold structure on M. Below we work with the germ of this F-manifold at the origin $t_0 = t_1 = \cdots = t_s$, which corresponds to the small quantum cohomology at q = 1.

As in Section 9, after setting q = 1 in $QH(X^{coad})$ we can consider the finite scheme $QS_{X^{coad}} = Spec(QH(X^{coad}))$ endowed with a morphism to the affine line $\kappa \colon QS_{X^{coad}} \to \mathbb{A}^1$ given by the anticanonical class. We define $QS_{X^{coad}}^{\times}$ as the preimage of $\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$ under κ and $QS_{X^{coad}}^{\circ}$ as its complement. Since in our case the anticanonical class is proportial to the hyperplane class h, $QS_{X^{coad}}^{\times}$ and $QS_{X^{coad}}^{\circ}$ are the vanishing and the non-vanishing loci of h considered as a function on $QS_{X^{coad}}$.

Theorem 8.2. Let X^{coad} be the coadjoint variety of a simple algebraic group G not of type A and let M_{G} be the germ of the F-manifold of BQH(X^{coad}) as above.

(1) The F-manifold germ $M_{\rm G}$ decomposes into the direct product of irreducible germs of F-manifolds

$$M_{\rm G} = M_{{\rm G},0} \times \prod_{x \in {\rm QS}_{x\rm coad}} M_{{\rm G},x}$$

and $M_{G,0}$ corresponds to the unique fat point of $QH(X^{coad})$.

- (2) The F-manifold germs $M_{G,x}$ for $x \in QS_{X^{coad}}^{\times}$ are one-dimensional and isomorphic to the base space of a semiuniversal unfolding of an isolated hypersurface singularity of type A_1 .
- (3) The spectral cover of $M_{\rm G}$ is smooth.
- (4) The F-manifold germ $M_{G,0}$ is isomorphic to the base space of a semiuniversal unfolding of a simple hypersurface singularity of Dynkin type $T_{short}(G)$.

Proof. To prove (1) we apply [23, Theorem 2.11] and Theorem 1.4.

To prove (2) we just note that the base space of a semiuniversal unfolding of an isolated hypersurface singularity of type A_1 is the unique one-dimensional *F*-manifold germ up to isomorphism.

To prove (3) it is enough to consider the component $M_{G,0}$ and compute the rank of the Jacobian matrix as in the proof of Corollary 5.16(1).

To prove (4) we proceed as follows. By (3) and [23, Theorem 5.6] it follows that $M_{G,0}$ is isomorphic to the base space of a semiuniversal unfolding of some isolated hypersurface singularity g. Thus, we just need to prove that this singularity is stably right equivalent to a simple singularity f of type $T_{short}(G)$. Here we denote by $g, f \in \mathbb{C}\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ the germs of holomorphic functions defining these singularities. Since f is quasi-homogeneous, a Mather-Yau-type statement holds. Indeed, a theorem by Shoshitaishvili [41] (see [21, Theorem 2.29] for a more convenient reference) implies that if the Jacobian algebras M_f and M_g are isomorphic as \mathbb{C} -algebras, then f and g are right equivalent.

9. PROOF OF [28, Theorem 1.6]

We begin by recalling some notation on quantum cohomology from [28, Section 1]. We warn the reader that this notation is slightly different from the conventions in the present paper outlined in Section 2.1.

Let X be a Fano variety of Picard rank r and vanishing odd cohomology. Then the small quantum cohomology QH(X) is a commutative algebra over the ring $\mathbb{Q}[q_1, \ldots, q_r]$ of functions on the affine space $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ over \mathbb{Q} . Let

$$\operatorname{QH}_{\operatorname{can}}(X) \coloneqq \operatorname{QH}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}[q_1, \dots, q_r]} \mathbb{C}$$

be the base change of QH(X) to the point of $Spec(\mathbb{Q}[q_1, \ldots, q_r])$ corresponding to the canonical class of X. Thus, $QH_{can}(X)$ is a finite dimensional commutative \mathbb{C} -algebra, whose underlying verter space is canonically isomorphic to $H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$.

If the Picard rank is one, so that there is only one parameter q, then to get $\text{QH}_{\text{can}}(X)$ one simply needs to put q = 1. This also applies to the examples treated in the present paper, i.e., to obtain a presentation for $\text{QH}_{\text{can}}(X)$ of coadjoint varieties from the presentation given in Theorem 1.3 one simply needs to replace the ground field K by \mathbb{C} and set q = 1 in the relations.

Now we can define the quantum spectrum as

$$QS_X \coloneqq Spec(QH_{can}(X)),$$

which is a finite scheme endowed with an action of the group μ_m , where m is the Fano index of X. The anticanonical class $-K_X$ defines a morphism

$$\kappa \colon \mathrm{QS}_X \to \mathbb{A}^1,$$

which is equivariant with repsect to the standard action of μ_m on \mathbb{A}^1 . Finally, we define

$$QS_X^{\times} \coloneqq \kappa^{-1}(\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}) \text{ and } QS_X^{\circ} \coloneqq QS_X \setminus QS_X^{\times}$$

Now we are ready to state and prove [28, Theorem 1.6].

Theorem 9.1 ([28, Theorem 1.6]). Let X^{ad} and X^{coad} be the adjoint and coadjoint varieties of a simple algebraic group G, respectively.

(1) If $T(G) = A_{2n}$, then $QS^{\circ}_{X^{\text{ad}}} = QS^{\circ}_{X^{\text{coad}}} = \emptyset$.

- (2) If $T(G) \neq A_{2n}$, then $QS^{\circ}_{X^{coad}}$ is a single non-reduced point and the localization of $QH_{can}(X^{coad})$ at this point is isomorphic to the Jacobian ring of a simple hypersurface singularity of type $T_{short}(G)$.
- (3) If T(G) is simply laced, then we have $X^{ad} = X^{coad}$ and $QS^{\circ}_{X^{ad}} = QS^{\circ}_{X^{coad}}$.
- (4) If T(G) is not simply laced, then $QS^{\circ}_{X^{ad}} = \emptyset$.

Proof. (1) In type A_n the algebra $QH_{can}(X^{coad})$ is obtained by setting $q_1 = q_2 = 1$ in the presentation of Proposition 1.8. and $QS^{\circ}_{X^{coad}}$ is supported in the locus $h_1 + h_2 = 0$. Assuming n is even and using (1.4) we see that $QS^{\circ}_{X^{coad}}$ is empty.

(2) For G of type A_n we argue as in (1) using Proposition 1.8. For G not of type A the statement follows from Theorem 1.4 and the fact that $QS^{\circ}_{X^{\text{coad}}}$ is supported in the locus h = 0. (3) This part is automatic.

(4) In all cases the claim is obtained by setting h = 0 in the presentation for the small quantum cohomology and checking easily that there are no solutions to the equations. For B_n/P_2 one can either use the presentation from [10, Theorem 2.5] or the analysis of the solution set done the proof of [12, Proposition 6.2]. For $C_n/P_1 = \mathbb{P}^{2n-1}$ this is well-known. For G_2/P_2 and F_4/P_1 one can use presentations given in [12, Proposition 5.1] and [12, Proposition 5.2].

References

- A. B. Altman and S. L. Kleiman. Foundations of the theory of Fano schemes. Compositio Math., 34(1):3–47, 1977.
- [2] A. Beauville. Quantum cohomology of complete intersections. Mat. Fiz. Anal. Geom., 2(3-4):384–398, 1995.
- [3] P. Belmans, A. Kuznetsov, and M. Smirnov. Derived categories of the Cayley plane and the coadjoint Grassmannian of type F. *Transformation Groups*, 2021. See also arXiv:2005.01989.
- [4] I. N. Bernstein, I. M. Gelfand, and S. I. Gelfand. Schubert cells, and the cohomology of the spaces G/P. Uspehi Mat. Nauk, 28(3(171)):3–26, 1973.
- [5] A. Björner and F. Brenti. Combinatorics of Coxeter groups, volume 231 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2005.
- [6] A. Borel. Sur la cohomologie des espaces fibrés principaux et des espaces homogènes de groupes de Lie compacts. Ann. of Math. (2), 57:115–207, 1953.
- [7] N. Bourbaki. Éléments de mathématique. Masson, Paris, 1981. Groupes et algèbres de Lie. Chapitres 4, 5 et 6. [Lie groups and Lie algebras. Chapters 4, 5 and 6].
- [8] M. Brion and V. Lakshmibai. A geometric approach to standard monomial theory. *Represent. Theory*, 7:651–680, 2003.
- [9] A. S. Buch, P. E. Chaput, L.C. Mihalcea, and N. Perrin. Positivity of cominuscule quantum K-theory. *To appear.*
- [10] A. S. Buch, A. Kresch, and H. Tamvakis. Quantum Pieri rules for isotropic Grassmannians. Invent. Math., 178(2):345–405, 2009.
- [11] P. E. Chaput, L. Manivel, and N. Perrin. Quantum cohomology of minuscule homogeneous spaces III. Semi-simplicity and consequences. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics. Journal Canadien de Mathématiques*, 62(6):1246–1263, 2010.
- [12] P. E. Chaput and N. Perrin. On the quantum cohomology of adjoint varieties. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3), 103(2):294–330, 2011.
- [13] P.-E. Chaput and N. Perrin. Towards a Littlewood-Richardson rule for Kac-Moody homogeneous spaces. J. Lie Theory, 22(1):17–80, 2012.
- [14] J. A. Cruz Morales, A. Mellit, N. Perrin, and M. Smirnov. On quantum cohomology of Grassmannians of isotropic lines, unfoldings of A_n-singularities, and Lefschetz exceptional collections. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), 69(3):955–991, 2019. With an appendix by Alexander Kuznetsov.

- [15] M. Demazure. Invariants symétriques entiers des groupes de Weyl et torsion. Invent. Math., 21:287–301, 1973.
- [16] V. V. Fock and A. B. Goncharov. Cluster X-varieties, amalgamation, and Poisson-Lie groups. In Algebraic geometry and number theory, volume 253 of Progr. Math., pages 27–68. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2006.
- [17] A. Fonarev. Full exceptional collections on Lagrangian Grassmannians. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, (2):1081–1122, 2022. See also arXiv:1911.08968.
- [18] W. Fulton and R. Pandharipande. Notes on stable maps and quantum cohomology. In Algebraic geometry—Santa Cruz 1995, volume 62 of Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., pages 45–96. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.
- [19] W. Fulton and C. Woodward. On the quantum product of Schubert classes. J. Algebraic Geom., 13(4):641–661, 2004.
- [20] S. Galkin, A. Mellit, and M. Smirnov. Dubrovin's conjecture for IG(2,6). Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, (18):8847–8859, 2015.
- [21] G.-M. Greuel, C. Lossen, and E. Shustin. Introduction to singularities and deformations. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [22] L. Guseva. On the derived category of IGr(3;8). Mat. Sb., 211(7):24–59, 2020. See also arXiv:1810.07777.
- [23] C. Hertling. Frobenius manifolds and moduli spaces for singularities, volume 151 of Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [24] C. Hertling and Y. I. Manin. Weak Frobenius manifolds. Internat. Math. Res. Notices, (6):277–286, 1999.
- [25] J. C. Jantzen. Representations of algebraic groups, volume 131 of Pure and Applied Mathematics. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1987.
- [26] A. Kuznetsov and A. Polishchuk. Exceptional collections on isotropic Grassmannians. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS), 18(3):507–574, 2016. See also arXiv:1110.5607.
- [27] A. Kuznetsov and M. Smirnov. On residual categories for Grassmannians. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3), 120(5):617–641, 2020.
- [28] A. Kuznetsov and M. Smirnov. Residual categories for (co)adjoint Grassmannians in classical types. Compos. Math., 157(6):1172–1206, 2021. See also arXiv:2001.04148.
- [29] J. M. Landsberg and L. Manivel. On the projective geometry of rational homogeneous varieties. Comment. Math. Helv., 78(1):65–100, 2003.
- [30] Y. I. Manin. Frobenius manifolds, quantum cohomology, and moduli spaces, volume 47 of American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [31] A. Mellit, N Perrin, and M. Smirnov. Update on quantum cohomology of IG(2, 2n). Available at arXiv:1510.07903.
- [32] N. Perrin. Semisimple quantum cohomology of some Fano varieties. Available at arXiv:1405.5914v1.
- [33] A. Ramanathan. Equations defining Schubert varieties and Frobenius splitting of diagonals. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., (65):61–90, 1987.
- [34] M. Reid. The complete intersection of two or more quadrics.
- [35] M. Smirnov. *Littlewood-Richardson Calculator*. Available at: https://github.com/msmirnov18/littlewood-richardson-rule.
- [36] M. Smirnov. On the derived category of the adjoint Grassmannian of type F. Available at arXiv:2107.07814.
- [37] M. Smirnov. *Quantum Chevalley Calculator*. Available at: https://github.com/msmirnov18/quantum-chevalley-formula.
- [38] J. R. Stembridge. On the fully commutative elements of Coxeter groups. J. Algebraic Combin., 5(4):353– 385, 1996.
- [39] The Sage Developers. SageMath, the Sage Mathematics Software System (Version 9.0), 2020. https://www.sagemath.org.
- [40] H. Thomas and A. Yong. A combinatorial rule for (co)minuscule Schubert calculus. Adv. Math., 222(2):596–620, 2009.
- [41] A. N. Šošitaĭšvili. Functions with isomorphic Jacobian ideals. Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen., 10(2):57–62, 1976.

LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE VERSAILLES, UVSQ, CNRS, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-SACLAY, 78035 VERSAILLES, FRANCE Email address: nicolas.perrin@uvsq.fr

UNIVERSITÄT AUGSBURG, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄTSSTR. 14, 86159 AUGSBURG, GERMANY Email address: maxim.smirnov@math.uni-augsburg.de

Email address: maxim.n.smirnov@gmail.com