

A CW complex homotopy equivalent to spaces of locally convex curves

Victor Goulart Nicolau C. Saldanha

October 14, 2022

Abstract

Locally convex (or nondegenerate) curves in the sphere \mathbb{S}^n (or the projective space) have been studied for several reasons, including the study of linear ordinary differential equations of order $n + 1$. Taking Frenet frames allows us to obtain corresponding curves Γ in the group Spin_{n+1} ; recall that $\Pi : \text{Spin}_{n+1} \rightarrow \text{Flag}_{n+1}$ is the universal cover of the space of flags. Determining the homotopy type of spaces of such curves Γ with prescribed initial and final points appears to be a hard problem. Due to known results, we may focus on \mathcal{L}_n , the space of (sufficiently smooth) locally convex curves $\Gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Spin}_{n+1}$ with $\Gamma(0) = 1$ and $\Pi(\Gamma(1)) = \Pi(1)$. Convex curves form a contractible connected component of \mathcal{L}_n ; there are 2^{n+1} other components, corresponding to non convex curves, one for each endpoint. The homotopy type of \mathcal{L}_n has so far been determined only for $n = 2$ (the case $n = 1$ is trivial). This paper is a step towards solving the problem for larger values of n .

The *itinerary* of a locally convex curve $\Gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Spin}_{n+1}$ belongs to \mathbf{W}_n , the set of finite words in the alphabet $S_{n+1} \setminus \{e\}$. The itinerary of a curve lists the non open Bruhat cells crossed by the curve. Itineraries yield a stratification of the space \mathcal{L}_n . We construct a CW complex \mathcal{D}_n which is a kind of dual of \mathcal{L}_n under this stratification: the construction is similar to Poincaré duality. The CW complex \mathcal{D}_n is homotopy equivalent to \mathcal{L}_n . The cells of \mathcal{D}_n are naturally labeled by words in \mathbf{W}_n so that \mathcal{D}_n is infinite but locally finite. Explicit glueing instructions are described for lower dimensions.

As an application, we describe an open subset $\mathcal{Y}_n \subset \mathcal{L}_n$, a union of strata of \mathcal{L}_n . In each non convex component of \mathcal{L}_n , the intersection with \mathcal{Y}_n is connected and dense. Most connected components of \mathcal{L}_n are contained in \mathcal{Y}_n . For $n > 3$, in the other components the complement of \mathcal{Y}_n has codimension at least 2. We prove that \mathcal{Y}_n is homotopically equivalent to the disjoint union of 2^{n+1} copies of ΩSpin_{n+1} . In particular, for all $n \geq 2$, all connected components of \mathcal{L}_n are simply connected.

1 Introduction

Let $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval. A sufficiently smooth curve $\gamma : J \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is (positive) locally convex [3, 24, 25] or (positive) nondegenerate [13, 18, 20, 22] if it satisfies

$$\forall t \in J, \det(\gamma(t), \gamma'(t), \dots, \gamma^{(n)}(t)) > 0.$$

Such a curve γ can be associated with $\Gamma = \mathfrak{F}_\gamma : J \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{n+1}$ where the column-vectors of $\Gamma(t)$ are the result of applying the Gram-Schmidt algorithm to the ordered basis $(\gamma(t), \gamma'(t), \dots, \gamma^{(n)}(t))$ of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . The curve Γ can then be lifted to the double cover Spin_{n+1} . The curve $\Gamma : J \rightarrow \mathrm{Spin}_{n+1}$ is also called locally convex; a direct description is in order.

For $j \in \llbracket n \rrbracket = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, consider the skew-symmetric tridiagonal matrices $\mathfrak{a}_j = e_{j+1}e_j^\top - e_j e_{j+1}^\top \in \mathfrak{so}_{n+1}$ as elements of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{spin}_{n+1} = \mathfrak{so}_{n+1}$. The identification between the two Lie algebras is induced by the covering map $\Pi : \mathrm{Spin}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{n+1}$. A sufficiently smooth curve $\Gamma : J \rightarrow \mathrm{Spin}_{n+1}$ is called locally convex if its logarithmic derivative is of the form

$$(\Gamma(t))^{-1}\Gamma'(t) = \sum_{j \in \llbracket n \rrbracket} \kappa_j(t) \mathfrak{a}_j, \quad (1)$$

for positive functions $\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n : J \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$. Recall that $\Pi_{\mathrm{Flag}} : \mathrm{Spin}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathrm{Flag}_{n+1}$ is the universal cover of the flag space. Let $\mathrm{Quat}_{n+1} = \Pi_{\mathrm{Flag}}^{-1}[\Pi_{\mathrm{Flag}}[\{1\}]] \subset \mathrm{Spin}_{n+1}$: this is a subgroup of order 2^{n+1} , isomorphic to $\pi_1(\mathrm{Flag}_{n+1})$.

Given sufficiently large $r \in \mathbb{N}^* = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and $z_0, z_1 \in \mathrm{Spin}_{n+1}$, let $\mathcal{L}_n^{[C^r]}(z_0; z_1)$ denote the space of locally convex curves $\Gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathrm{Spin}_{n+1}$ of differentiability class C^r with endpoints $\Gamma(0) = z_0$ and $\Gamma(1) = z_1$. We endow this space with the usual C^r topology and consider the problem of describing its homotopy type. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{L}_n^{[C^r]}(z_0; z_1)$ is homeomorphic to $\mathcal{L}_n^{[C^r]}(1; z_0^{-1}z_1)$ so we assume $z_0 = 1$. As discussed in [14] (among others), the value of r does not affect the homotopy type so we drop it and write $\mathcal{L}_n(1; z)$. It is proved in [15, 25] that for every $z \in \mathrm{Spin}_{n+1}$ there exists $q \in \mathrm{Quat}_{n+1}$ such that $\mathcal{L}_n(1; z)$ and $\mathcal{L}_n(1; q)$ are homotopy equivalent (the map from z to q is explicitly described). We aim to study the homotopy type of $\mathcal{L}_n(1; q)$, $q \in \mathrm{Quat}_{n+1}$, or equivalently that of the disconnected space

$$\mathcal{L}_n = \bigsqcup_{q \in \mathrm{Quat}_{n+1}} \mathcal{L}_n(1; q).$$

In this paper we construct and study a CW complex \mathcal{D}_n which is homotopy equivalent to \mathcal{L}_n . We shall see that \mathcal{D}_n is infinite but locally finite. Cells are naturally labeled by finite words in the alphabet $S_{n+1} \setminus \{e\}$ ($e \in S_{n+1}$ being the identity): such words correspond to *itineraries* of curves $\Gamma \in \mathcal{L}_n$ (itineraries are discussed at length in [14]). We proceed to discuss the symmetric group S_{n+1} and itineraries of curves. In the process we review the main results from [14].

We consider the symmetric group S_{n+1} as a Coxeter-Weyl group, that is, we use as generators the transpositions $a_j = (j, j+1)$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. The number of inversions $\text{inv}(\sigma)$ of a permutation σ is its length with these generators. Notice that for $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$ and $j \in \llbracket n+1 \rrbracket = \{1, 2, \dots, n, n+1\}$ we write j^σ (instead of $\sigma(j)$); composition is defined by $(j^{\sigma_0})^{\sigma_1} = j^{(\sigma_0 \sigma_1)}$. Let $\eta \in S_{n+1}$ be the top permutation, i.e., the permutation with the maximum number of inversions: $\text{inv}(\eta) = n(n+1)/2$, $j^\eta = n+2-j$. The element η is the longest element of S_{n+1} with the above generators and is often denoted in the literature by w_0 . Permutations are often written in the generators a_j ; for $n \leq 4$ we also write $a = a_1$, $b = a_2$, $c = a_3$ and $d = a_4$. Thus, for instance, for $n = 2$ we have $\eta = aba = bab$; for $n = 3$ we have $\eta = abacba$.

Let B_{n+1} be the group of signed permutation matrices; this is also Coxeter-Weyl group but we shall not use such generators. Let $B_{n+1}^+ = B_{n+1} \cap SO_{n+1}$. Let $\tilde{B}_{n+1}^+ \subset \text{Spin}_{n+1}$ be the preimage of B_{n+1}^+ under the covering map $\Pi : \text{Spin}_{n+1} \rightarrow SO_{n+1}$. The subgroup $\text{Quat}_{n+1} \subset \tilde{B}_{n+1}^+$ is the preimage (under Π) of the subgroup $\text{Diag}_{n+1}^+ \subset B_{n+1}^+$ of diagonal matrices. We have a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Quat}_{n+1} \rightarrow \tilde{B}_{n+1}^+ \rightarrow S_{n+1} \rightarrow 1. \quad (2)$$

The spin group is stratified by contractible Bruhat cells $\text{Bru}_z \subset \text{Spin}_{n+1}$, $z \in \tilde{B}_{n+1}^+$. The unsigned (and more usual) Bruhat cells are

$$\text{Bru}_\sigma = \bigsqcup_{z \in \Pi^{-1}[\{\sigma\}]} \text{Bru}_z, \quad \sigma \in S_{n+1}, \quad (3)$$

where $\Pi : \tilde{B}_{n+1}^+ \rightarrow S_{n+1}$ is the homomorphism in the exact sequence above (we shall say more about the subsets $\Pi^{-1}[\{\sigma\}] \subset \tilde{B}_{n+1}^+$ below). For $z \in \tilde{B}_{n+1}^+$ with $\Pi(z) = \sigma$, $\text{Bru}_z \subset \text{Bru}_\sigma$ is the connected component of Bru_σ containing z .

The set Bru_η is a dense open subspace of the spin group with 2^{n+1} contractible connected components. Let $\text{Sing}_{n+1} = \text{Spin}_{n+1} \setminus \text{Bru}_\eta$ be the union of the non open Bruhat cells. We define the *singular set* of a locally convex curve $\Gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Spin}_{n+1}$ as $\text{sing}(\Gamma) = \Gamma^{-1}[\text{Sing}_{n+1}] \setminus \{0, 1\}$. It turns out that $\text{sing}(\Gamma)$ is finite and a continuous function of $\Gamma \in \mathcal{L}_n$ (with the Hausdorff metric in compact subsets of $(0, 1)$): this is Theorem 1 in [14]. The elements of $\text{sing}(\Gamma)$ are sometimes called the *moments of non-transversality* of Γ (as in [27]). Given $\Gamma \in \mathcal{L}_n$, write $\text{sing}(\Gamma) = \{\tau_1 < \dots < \tau_\ell\}$. Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_\ell \in S_{n+1}$ be such that $\Gamma(\tau_j) \in \text{Bru}_{\eta\sigma_j}$: the itinerary of Γ is the word $\text{iti}(\Gamma) = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_\ell)$. Figure 1 shows itineraries of a few locally convex curves in \mathbb{S}^2 .

Let \mathbf{W}_n be the set of finite words in the alphabet $S_{n+1} \setminus \{e\}$. A simplified notation for the word $w = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_\ell) \in \mathbf{W}_n$ is often used, which we exemplify for a few words in \mathbf{W}_3 :

$$acbac = (a, c, b, a, c), \quad [ac]b[ac] = (ac, b, ac), \quad [acb] = (acb).$$




Figure 1: A family of curves in \mathcal{L}_2 . The equator is dashed and the fat dot indicates e_1 . The vector e_2 is at the right. Here we use the simplified notation for words in \mathbf{W}_2 : for instance, $abab$ denotes (a, b, a, b) and $[aba]$ denotes (aba) .

For $w = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_\ell) \in \mathbf{W}_n$, define

$$\dim(w) = \dim(\sigma_1) + \dots + \dim(\sigma_\ell), \quad \dim(\sigma) = \text{inv}(\sigma) - 1. \quad (4)$$

Let $\mathcal{L}_n[w] \subset \mathcal{L}_n$ be the set of curves Γ with $\text{iti}(\Gamma) = w$. As in [14], we prefer to work with Hilbert spaces, more precisely with the Sobolev space H^r . For large $r \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{L}_n^{[H^r]}$ be the Hilbert manifold of locally convex curves Γ of class H^r with the usual boundary conditions. From now on, assume $\mathcal{L}_n = \mathcal{L}_n^{[H^r]}$; recall that this does not affect the homotopy type of \mathcal{L}_n . Theorem 2 in [14] tells us that $\mathcal{L}_n[w] \subset \mathcal{L}_n$ is a (non empty) contractible embedded submanifold of codimension $\dim(w)$ and of class C^{r-1} . Notice that [14] discusses the case H^1 in considerable detail: here we consistently prefer the case H^r , r large.

This stratification by itineraries of \mathcal{L}_n is not as well behaved as might be desired. We do not, for instance, have the Whitney property. Indeed, for $n = 3$ and $w_0 = [ac]b[ac] = (a_1a_3, a_2, a_1a_3)$ and $w_1 = [acb] = (a_1a_3a_2)$ we have

$$\mathcal{L}_n[w_1] \cap \overline{\mathcal{L}_n[w_0]} \neq \emptyset, \quad \mathcal{L}_n[w_1] \not\subset \overline{\mathcal{L}_n[w_0]}.$$

Notice that $\mathcal{L}_3[w_0], \mathcal{L}_3[w_1] \subset \mathcal{L}_3$ both have codimension 2: this example is extensively discussed in Section 9 of [14]. On the other hand, the stratification of \mathcal{L}_n is well behaved enough for the construction of a homotopically equivalent CW complex \mathcal{D}_n . In a sense, the CW complex is a dual of the stratification.

The maps acute, grave : $S_{n+1} \rightarrow \widetilde{B}_{n+1}^+$ and hat : $S_{n+1} \rightarrow \text{Quat}_{n+1}$ are discussed in [15] and revised in Section 2 below. For $w \in \mathbf{W}_n$ define $\hat{w} \in \text{Quat}_{n+1}$ by

$$w = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_\ell = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_\ell) \in \mathbf{W}_n, \quad \hat{w} = \hat{\sigma}_1 \cdots \hat{\sigma}_\ell \in \text{Quat}_{n+1};$$

here $\hat{\sigma} = \text{hat}(\sigma) \in \text{Quat}_{n+1}$. Theorem 2 in [14] tells us that $\mathcal{L}_n[w] \subset \mathcal{L}_n(1; \acute{w}\hat{w}\grave{w}) \subset \mathcal{L}_n$. Thus, words with different values of hat do not interact directly and can be studied separately.

There is a form of duality (a variant of Poincaré duality) which allows us to relate the stratification of \mathcal{L}_n with a rather explicit CW complex \mathcal{D}_n . We now present a short statement; a more detailed one is given in Theorem 4. The statement of Theorem 4 assumes familiarity with the partial order \sqsubseteq in \mathbf{W}_n (discussed in Section 4), a variant of another partial order \preceq in \mathbf{W}_n (introduced in [14], and based on previous work by Shapiro and Shapiro).

Theorem 1. *There exists a CW complex \mathcal{D}_n with one cell c_w of dimension $\dim(w)$ for each word $w \in \mathbf{W}_n$. Furthermore, there exists a continuous map $i : \mathcal{D}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n$ which is a homotopy equivalence.*

A similar but simpler example is presented in [4]: there we have a finite dimensional manifold $\text{BL}_\sigma \subset \text{Lo}_{n+1}^1$ ($\sigma \in S_{n+1}$) which is stratified by finitely many contractible submanifolds $\text{BLS}_\varepsilon \subseteq \text{BL}_\sigma$. A finite homotopically equivalent CW complex BLC_σ is then constructed. The result in [4] most relevant for the above description is Theorem 2 (for the homotopy equivalence $i_\sigma : \text{BLC}_\sigma \rightarrow \text{BL}_\sigma$), which in turn relies on Lemma 14.1 (a topological result which validates the inductive step). The present paper does not presuppose these results.

Glueing maps for cells of dimensions 1 and 2 are described explicitly. This allows us to construct the 1 and 2-skeletons of \mathcal{D}_n in Sections 7 and 8. The following result is then proved.

Theorem 2. *Let $z_0, z_1 \in \text{Spin}_{n+1}$. Every connected component of $\mathcal{L}_n(z_0; z_1)$ is simply connected.*

In order to state our third main result we need a few algebraic definitions. A permutation $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$ is *parity alternating* if $k^\sigma \not\equiv (k+1)^\sigma \pmod{2}$ for all k , $1 \leq k \leq n$. We shall see that σ is parity alternating if and only if $\hat{\sigma} \in Z(\text{Quat}_{n+1})$ (the center of Quat_{n+1}). For $n > 3$, if $\sigma \neq e$ is parity alternating then $\text{inv}(\sigma) \geq 3$. Let $\mathbf{I}_Y \subset \mathbf{W}_n$ be the set of words containing at least one letter which is *not* parity alternating. It is not hard to verify that $\mathbf{I}_Y \subset \mathbf{W}_n$ is a lower set with respect to the partial orders \preceq and \sqsubseteq . It then follows from [14] (or from Theorem 4) that $\mathcal{Y}_n = \mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}_Y] \subset \mathcal{L}_n$ is an open subset. For $z \in \text{Spin}_{n+1}$, let $\Omega \text{Spin}_{n+1}(1; z)$ be the space of all continuous curves $\Gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Spin}_{n+1}$, $\Gamma(0) = 1$ and $\Gamma(1) = z$. The space $\Omega \text{Spin}_{n+1}(1; z)$ is homeomorphic to the loop space $\Omega \text{Spin}_{n+1} = \Omega \text{Spin}_{n+1}(1; 1)$ and we have a natural inclusion $\mathcal{L}_n(1; z) \subset \Omega \text{Spin}_{n+1}(1; z)$.

Theorem 3. *Let $\mathcal{Y}_n \subset \mathcal{L}_n$ be the open subset constructed above. For $q \in \text{Quat}_{n+1}$ let $\mathcal{Y}_n(1; q) = \mathcal{Y}_n \cap \mathcal{L}_n(1; q)$. For any $q \in \text{Quat}_{n+1}$, the open subset $\mathcal{Y}_n(1; q) \subset \mathcal{L}_n(1; q)$ is dense in the connected component of non convex curves and the inclusion $\mathcal{Y}_n(1; q) \subset \Omega \text{Spin}_{n+1}$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

The case $n = 2$ of the above theorem is the hardest result in [24]. The set \mathcal{Y}_2 is there called the set of *complicated* curves and the complement $\mathcal{L}_2 \setminus \mathcal{Y}_2$ is the closed subset of *multiconvex* curves. We may therefore say that the basic structure of the arguments in [24], in the case $n = 2$, seems to survive in the cases $n > 2$, except that the complement $\mathcal{L}_n \setminus \mathcal{Y}_n$ does not admit a correspondingly direct description. We could also say that we have to study what is the correct generalization of the concept of a multiconvex curve to the cases $n > 2$. We expect to address these issues in future work.

The case $n = 3$ deserves special attention; in Section 15 we state and prove an alternative to Theorem 3 for this special case. We also state in Theorem 6 a full description of the homotopy type of \mathcal{L}_3 : this is the main result in [2].

The following is an easy consequence of Theorem 3. Notice that, for large n , it gives us the homotopy type for most of the connected components of \mathcal{L}_n .

Corollary 1.1. *If $q \in \text{Quat}_{n+1}$ does not belong to its center $Z(\text{Quat}_{n+1}) \subset \text{Quat}_{n+1}$ then the inclusion $\mathcal{L}_n(1; q) \subset \Omega \text{Spin}_{n+1}(1; q)$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

We expect to discuss higher dimensional skeletons and glueing maps in future work. Some conjectures are listed in Section 15: in particular, we believe that if $q \in Z(\text{Quat}_{n+1})$ then $\mathcal{L}_n(1; q)$ is *not* homotopy equivalent to ΩSpin_{n+1} .

Our problem is related to the study of linear ordinary differential operators. This point of view was the original motivation of V. Arnold, B. Khesin, V. Ovsienko, B. Shapiro and M. Shapiro for considering this class of questions in the early nineties [18, 19, 20, 30]. The second author was first led to consider this subject while studying the critical sets of nonlinear differential operators with periodic coefficients, in a series of works with D. Burghelea and C. Tomei [5, 6, 7, 28].

In Section 2 we see several results concerning the combinatorics of the symmetric group S_{n+1} : these results will of course be useful later. In Section 3 we review classical results about Bruhat cells, some recent results from our previous papers ([15, 14]) and a few other results which will be needed later. In Section 4 we present the two partial orders \preceq and \sqsubseteq in \mathbf{W}_n : we see some examples and conjectures. In Section 5 we continue to study \mathbf{W}_n as a poset: we are now interested in lower and upper sets. At this point, in Section 6, we are ready to state and prove Theorem 4, a stronger and more detailed version of Theorem 1, and to construct the CW complex \mathcal{D}_n . After we define some auxiliary concepts and state

Lemma 6.3 (a technical result, essentially the inductive step in the proof of Theorem 4), most of the section is dedicated to the proof of Lemma 6.3. In Section 7 we study the 1-skeleton of our newly obtained CW complex \mathcal{D}_n : as an exercise, we give a new proof of the well known classification of the connected components of \mathcal{L}_n . In Section 8 we study the 2-skeleton of \mathcal{D}_n : this is the highest dimension to be completely described. Some partial results concerning higher dimensions are discussed in Section 9: this is where the combinatorial results from Section 2 are applied. The concept of *loose* maps has been discussed in previous papers: it is revised and adapted in Section 10. In Section 11 this concept is adapted to ideals (subsets of the poset \mathbf{W}_n): Proposition 11.3 is a pivotal result with a long and technical proof. In Section 12 we finally prove Theorem 2. In Section 13 we prepare for the proof of Theorem 3 by stating and proving Proposition 13.2, which in a sense is an improvement of Proposition 11.3. Theorem 3 is proved in Section 14. Section 15, the final remarks, includes the statement of Theorem 6, the main result in [2]: this gives the homotopy type of \mathcal{L}_3 . Theorem 5, a retouched version of Theorem 3 for the case $n = 3$, aims specifically at the proof of Theorem 6. We also state as conjectures other results which we hope to prove in future work.

We would like to thank: Emília Alves, Boris Khesin, Ricardo Leite, Carlos Gustavo Moreira, Paul Schweitzer, Boris Shapiro, Michael Shapiro, Carlos Tomei, David Torres, Cong Zhou and Pedro Zülkhe for helpful conversations; the University of Toronto and the University of Stockholm for the hospitality during our visits. Both authors thank CAPES, CNPq and FAPERJ (Brazil) for financial support. More specifically, the first author benefited from CAPES-PDSE grant 99999.014505/2013-04 during his Ph. D. and also CAPES-PNPD post-doc grant 88882.315311/2019-01.

2 Permutations

Consider the symmetric group S_{n+1} (acting on $\llbracket n+1 \rrbracket = \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$) as the Coxeter-Weyl group of type A_n , i.e., use the n generators $a = a_1 = (12)$, $b = a_2 = (23)$, \dots , $a_n = (n, n+1)$. For $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$ and $k \in \llbracket n+1 \rrbracket$ we use the notation k^σ (rather than $\sigma(k)$) so that $(k^{\sigma_1})^{\sigma_2} = k^{(\sigma_1\sigma_2)}$. Given $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$, let P_σ be the $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ permutation matrix satisfying $e_i^\top P_\sigma = e_{i^\sigma}^\top$; notice that $P_{\sigma_0}P_{\sigma_1} = P_{\sigma_0\sigma_1}$. For $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$, let $\text{inv}(\sigma)$ be the length of σ with the generators a_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$.

For $\sigma_0, \sigma_1 \in S_{n+1}$, write $\sigma_0 \equiv \sigma_1 \pmod{2}$ if $i^{\sigma_0} \equiv i^{\sigma_1} \pmod{2}$ for all $i \in \llbracket n+1 \rrbracket$. A permutation $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$ is *parity preserving* if $i^\sigma \equiv i \pmod{2}$ for all $i \in \llbracket n+1 \rrbracket$, i.e., if $\sigma \equiv e \pmod{2}$. A permutation $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$ is *parity alternating* if $i^\sigma \not\equiv (i+1)^\sigma \pmod{2}$ for all $i \in \llbracket n \rrbracket$. The sets S_{PP} and S_{PA}

of parity preserving and parity alternating permutations, respectively, are both subgroups: $S_{PP} \leq S_{PA} \leq S_{n+1}$. If n is even, $S_{PP} = S_{PA}$; if n is odd, S_{PP} is a subgroup of index 2 of S_{PA} . We have $\sigma_0 \equiv \sigma_1 \pmod{2}$ if and only if $\sigma_1^{-1}\sigma_0 \in S_{PP}$, or, equivalently, if $\sigma_0 S_{PP} = \sigma_1 S_{PP}$.

Example 2.1. For $n = 2$ we have $S_{PA} = S_{PP} = \{e, \eta = aba\}$. For $n = 3$ we have $S_{PP} = \{e, aba, bcb, bacb\}$ and $S_{PA} = S_{PP} \cup \{ac, abc, cba, \eta = abacba\}$. For $n = 4$ we have $S_{PA} = S_{PP}$ and

$$S_{PP} = \{e, aba, bacb, bcb, cbdc, cdc, abacbd, abacdc, abcdcb, cdcaba, cbdcaba, \eta\},$$

with $\eta = abacbadcba$. \diamond

The group S_{PP} is isomorphic to $S_{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor} \times S_{\lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil}$. For odd n , the top element η and $\sigma = a_1 a_3 \cdots a_{n-2} a_n$ are both elements of $S_{PA} \setminus S_{PP}$: σ is the element in this set with minimal number of inversions, equal to $(n+1)/2$.

The maps acute, grave : $S_{n+1} \rightarrow \tilde{B}_{n+1}^+$ and hat : $S_{n+1} \rightarrow \text{Quat}_{n+1}$ are discussed in [15] (these are not homomorphisms!). We also write $\acute{\sigma} = \text{acute}(\sigma)$, $\grave{\sigma} = \text{grave}(\sigma)$, $\hat{\sigma} = \text{hat}(\sigma)$. If $\Pi : \tilde{B}_{n+1}^+ \rightarrow S_{n+1}$ is as in the exact sequence (2) above we have $\Pi(\acute{\sigma}) = \Pi(\grave{\sigma}) = \sigma$. In order to define $\acute{a}_i \in \tilde{B}_{n+1}^+$, consider the two preimages under the surjective homomorphism $\tilde{B}_{n+1}^+ \rightarrow B_{n+1}$ of the signed permutation matrix P with entries $P_{i+1,i} = 1$, $P_{i,i+1} = -1$ and $P_{j,j} = 1$ for $j \notin \{i, i+1\}$: the element \acute{a}_i is the preimage closest to $1 \in \text{Spin}_{n+1}$. We have $\grave{a}_i = (\acute{a}_i)^{-1}$. For a reduced word $\sigma = a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_\ell}$, set

$$\acute{\sigma} = \acute{a}_{i_1} \cdots \acute{a}_{i_\ell}, \quad \grave{\sigma} = \grave{a}_{i_1} \cdots \grave{a}_{i_\ell}, \quad \hat{\sigma} = \acute{\sigma}(\grave{\sigma})^{-1}. \quad (5)$$

For all $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$ we have $\Pi^{-1}[\{\sigma\}] = \text{Quat}_{n+1} \acute{\sigma} = \acute{\sigma} \text{Quat}_{n+1}$.

Lemma 2.2. A permutation $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$ is parity alternating if and only if $\hat{\sigma} \in Z(\text{Quat}_{n+1})$. Also, $\sigma \in S_{PP}$ if and only if $\hat{\sigma} = \pm 1$.

Remark 2.3. It is easy to verify that $Z(\text{Quat}_{n+1})$ (the center of the group) equals $\{\pm 1\}$ for n even and $\{\pm 1, \pm(\hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_3 \cdots \hat{a}_n)\}$ for n odd. For even n we have $\eta \in S_{PP}$; for odd n we have $\eta \in S_{PA} \setminus S_{PP}$. Remark 3.7 in [15] confirms that $\hat{\eta} = \pm 1$ for even n and $\hat{\eta} = \pm(\hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_3 \cdots \hat{a}_n)$ for odd n .

A computation verifies that $\acute{\eta}$ commutes with every element of $Z(\text{Quat}_{n+1})$. In particular, $q \in Z(\text{Quat}_{n+1})$ if and only if $\acute{\eta} q \acute{\eta} \in Z(\text{Quat}_{n+1})$. \diamond

The *multiplicity* of $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$ is a vector $\text{mult}(\sigma) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ (where $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$) with coordinates

$$\text{mult}_j(\sigma) = (1^\sigma + \cdots + j^\sigma) - (1 + \cdots + j), \quad 1 \leq j \leq n; \quad (6)$$

see [15] for the reason of the name.

Proof of Lemma 2.2. Clearly, $\sigma \in S_{\text{PP}}$ if and only if $\text{mult}_k(\sigma)$ is even for all k . Similarly, $\sigma \in S_{\text{PA}} \setminus S_{\text{PP}}$ if and only if $\text{mult}_k(\sigma) \equiv k \pmod{2}$ for all k . We know from Lemma 3.3 in [15] that $\hat{\sigma} = \pm \hat{a}_1^{\text{mult}_1(\sigma)} \cdots \hat{a}_n^{\text{mult}_n(\sigma)}$. Thus, $\sigma \in S_{\text{PP}}$ if and only if $\hat{\sigma} = \pm 1$ and, for odd n , $\sigma \in S_{\text{PA}} \setminus S_{\text{PP}}$ if and only if $\hat{\sigma} = \pm(\hat{a}_1 \hat{a}_3 \cdots \hat{a}_n)$ for odd n . The result now follows from Remark 2.3. \square

Write $\sigma_0 \triangleleft \sigma_1$ if and only if $\sigma_0 < \sigma_1$ (in the strong Bruhat order) and $\text{inv}(\sigma_1) = \text{inv}(\sigma_0) + 1$. If $\sigma_0 \triangleleft \sigma_1$ then there exist unique $i_0 < i_1$ and $j_0 < j_1$ such that $\sigma_1 = (i_0 i_1) \sigma_0 = \sigma_0 (j_0 j_1)$. We then have $i_k^{\sigma_0} = j_k$, $i_k^{\sigma_1} = j_{1-k}$ (for $k \in \{0, 1\}$); also, if $i \in (i_0, i_1) \cap \mathbb{Z}$ then $i^{\sigma_k} \notin (j_0, j_1) \cap \mathbb{Z}$ (where again $k \in \{0, 1\}$). Also, if $\sigma_0 \triangleleft \sigma_1$ then there exists a reduced word for σ_1 such that a reduced word for σ_0 is obtained by deleting a single letter: $\sigma_0 = \sigma_a \sigma_b$, $\sigma_1 = \sigma_a a_i \sigma_b$, $\text{inv}(\sigma_0) = \text{inv}(\sigma_a) + \text{inv}(\sigma_b)$. Write $\sigma_0 \blacktriangleleft \sigma_1$ if $\sigma_0 \triangleleft \sigma_1$ and $\sigma_0 \equiv \sigma_1 \pmod{2}$. If $\sigma_0 \triangleleft \sigma_1$ and $\sigma_1 = (i_0 i_1) \sigma_0 = \sigma_0 (j_0 j_1)$ then $\sigma_0 \blacktriangleleft \sigma_1$ if and only if $j_0 \equiv j_1 \pmod{2}$.

Remark 2.4. It follows directly from Lemma 3.5 in [15] that $\sigma_0 \blacktriangleleft \sigma_1$ implies $\hat{\sigma}_0 = \hat{\sigma}_1$; also, if $\sigma_0 \triangleleft \sigma_1$ and $\hat{\sigma}_0 = \hat{\sigma}_1$ then $\sigma_0 \blacktriangleleft \sigma_1$. \diamond

Lemma 2.5. *If $\sigma_0, \sigma_1 \in S_{n+1}$ satisfy*

$$\sigma_0 \triangleleft \sigma_1, \quad 2 \text{mult}(\sigma_0) \leq \text{mult}(\sigma_1)$$

then we have one of the cases:

$$\sigma_0 = e \triangleleft \sigma_1 = a_i, \quad \sigma_0 = a_i \triangleleft \sigma_1 = \sigma_0 a_{i \pm 1}, \quad \sigma_0 = a_i a_{i+2} \triangleleft \sigma_1 = \sigma_0 a_{i+1}.$$

In particular, if $\sigma_0 \blacktriangleleft \sigma_1$ then $2 \text{mult}(\sigma_0) \not\leq \text{mult}(\sigma_1)$.

Proof. If $\sigma_0 \triangleleft \sigma_1 = (i_0 i_1) \sigma_0 = \sigma_0 (j_0 j_1)$ then

$$\text{mult}_k(\sigma_1) = \text{mult}_k(\sigma_0) + (j_1 - j_0)[i_0 \leq k < i_1],$$

as is shown in [15], Lemma 2.4. Thus, $2 \text{mult}(\sigma_0) \leq \text{mult}(\sigma_1)$ implies $\text{mult}_k(\sigma_0) = 0$ for $k < i_0$ or $k > i_1$. Thus $i_0 < i < i_1$ implies $i_0 \leq i^{\sigma_0} \leq i_1$. We must then have $j_1 - j_0 = 1$. Indeed, if $j_1 - j_0 > 1$ take j with $j_0 < j < j_1$ and i with $i^{\sigma_0} = j$: we have $i_0 < i < i_1$, contradicting $\sigma_0 \triangleleft \sigma_1$. We thus have $\text{mult}_k(\sigma_0) \leq 1$ for $i_0 \leq k < i_1$. This implies that σ_0 is a product of commuting generators a_i , $i_0 \leq i < i_1$; a case by case analysis completes the proof. \square

Define the subset $Y \subset S_{n+1}$ by

$$Y = S_{n+1} \setminus S_{\text{PA}} = \{\sigma \in S_{n+1} \mid \hat{\sigma} \notin Z(\text{Quat}_{n+1})\} \quad (7)$$

(the equivalence follows from Lemma 2.2). For $\sigma \in Y$, let k be the smallest integer with $k^\sigma \equiv (k+1)^\sigma \pmod{2}$. Let $\sigma' = a_k \sigma$. Notice that $\sigma' \equiv \sigma \pmod{2}$ and that $\sigma'' = \sigma$ so that we have involutions in Y and in each lateral class $\sigma S_{\text{PP}} \subset S_{n+1}$, $\sigma \in Y$. We call $\sigma \in Y$ *low* if $\sigma \blacktriangleleft \sigma'$ and *high* otherwise. Thus, $\sigma \in Y$ is high if and only if $\sigma' \blacktriangleleft \sigma$.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\sigma_0, \sigma_1 \in Y$ with σ_0 high, $\sigma_1 \blacktriangleleft \sigma_0$ and $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma'_0$: then σ_1 is high.*

Proof. We have $\sigma_0 \equiv \sigma_1 \pmod{2}$. Let k be the smallest integer with $k^{\sigma_0} \equiv (k+1)^{\sigma_0} \pmod{2}$: we have $\sigma'_0 = a_k \sigma_0 \blacktriangleleft \sigma_0$ and $\sigma'_1 = a_k \sigma_1$. We need to prove that $k^{\sigma_1} > (k+1)^{\sigma_1}$. Write $\sigma_1 = (i_0 i_1) \sigma_0$, $i_0 < i_1$. If $i_0 = k$ and $i_1 = k+1$ then $\sigma_1 = \sigma'_0$, contradicting the statement. If $\{i_0, i_1\}$ is disjoint from $\{k, k+1\}$ then $k^{\sigma_1} = k^{\sigma_0} > (k+1)^{\sigma_0} = (k+1)^{\sigma_1}$ and therefore σ_1 is high. If $i_0 = k$ and $i_1 > k+1$ we must have $(k+1)^{\sigma_0} < i_1^{\sigma_0} < k^{\sigma_0}$ and therefore again σ_1 is high. If $i_0 = k+1$ we must have $i_1^{\sigma_0} < (k+1)^{\sigma_0} < k^{\sigma_0}$ and therefore also in this case σ_1 is high. The two remaining cases are: $i_1 = k$ and $i_1 = k+1$ with $i_0 < k$; both are similar to the cases above and imply σ_1 high. \square

Remark 2.7. A \blacktriangleleft -matching of a set $X \subset S_{n+1}$ is a partition of X into subsets of cardinality 2 of the form $\{\sigma_-, \sigma_+\}$ with $\sigma_- \blacktriangleleft \sigma_+$. For instance, for $n = 2$ and $X = S_3 \setminus \{e, \eta = aba\}$ the following is a \blacktriangleleft -matching:

$$\{\{a, ba\}, \{b, ab\}\}.$$

Indeed, we have $a \blacktriangleleft ba$ and $b \blacktriangleleft ab$.

Partition S_{n+1} into lateral classes $\sigma S_{PP} \subset S_{n+1}$. The involution $\sigma \leftrightarrow \sigma'$ above defines a \blacktriangleleft -matching in each class *except* S_{PP} and, for n odd, $S_{PA} \setminus S_{PP} = \eta S_{PP}$. See the case $n = 2$ above.

For $n = 3$, S_4 is partitioned into 6 classes of cardinality 4 each. For instance, $bS_{PP} = \{b, ab, cb, acb\}$ is partitioned as $\{\{b, ab\}, \{cb, acb\}\}$. The classes $S_{PP} = \{e, aba, bcb, bacb\}$ and $\eta S_{PP} = \{ac, abc, cba, \eta\}$ admit no \blacktriangleleft -matching.

For $n = 4$, we have $S_{PA} = S_{PP}$ and $|S_{PP}| = 12$; the list of elements is given both in Example 2.1 and in Figure 2. The group S_5 is then partitioned into 10 classes of cardinality 12. The class S_{PP} admits no \blacktriangleleft -matching; see Figure 2.




Figure 2: The \blacktriangleleft -pairs in $S_{PP} \subset S_5$.

For any value of n , there is no permutation $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$ for which $e \blacktriangleleft \sigma$ or $\sigma \blacktriangleleft e$. Similarly, there is no permutation $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$ for which $\eta \blacktriangleleft \sigma$ or $\sigma \blacktriangleleft \eta$. Thus, there is no \blacktriangleleft -matching of either S_{PP} or of ηS_{PP} .

Removing e and η does not help much. Figure 2 shows the \blacktriangleleft -connected components of aba and of $abcdcba \in S_{PP}$ for $n = 4$. The figure for the connected component of aba is similar for other values of n . The number of elements is odd and trying to construct a \blacktriangleleft -matching always leaves out an element. \diamond

Remark 2.8. Let $Y \subset S_{n+1}$ be as in Equation (7). For $k \geq 2$, let $Y_k \subseteq Y$ be the set of permutations $\sigma \in Y$ which are either low with $\text{inv}(\sigma) < k$ or high with $\text{inv}(\sigma) \leq k$. For instance, for $n \geq 2$:

$$Y_2 = \{a_1, a'_1 = a_2 a_1, a_2, a'_2 = a_1 a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}, a'_{n-1} = a_{n-2} a_{n-1}, a_n, a'_n = a_{n-1} a_n\}.$$

The correspondence $\sigma \leftrightarrow \sigma'$ defines an involution in Y_k and a \blacktriangleleft -matching of Y_k . It follows from Lemma 2.6 that if $\sigma_0 \in Y_k$ and $\sigma_1 \blacktriangleleft \sigma_0$ then $\sigma_1 \in Y_k$; if we also have $\sigma_1 \neq \sigma'_0$ then $\sigma_1 \in Y_{k-1}$. \diamond

3 Bruhat cells

In this section we revise some notation and results and prove some results concerning Bruhat cells and related constructions.

Two invertible matrices A_0, A_1 are (unsigned) *Bruhat equivalent* if there exist $U_0, U_1 \in \text{Up}_{n+1}$ with $A_0 = U_0 A_1 U_1$ (recall that Up_{n+1} is the group of invertible real upper triangular matrices). Any invertible matrix A is Bruhat equivalent to a unique permutation matrix P_ρ , $\rho \in S_{n+1}$. We then say that A is Bruhat equivalent to ρ . Given $A \in \text{GL}_{n+1}$ and a pair (i, j) , let

$$\text{SW}(A, i, j) = \text{SubMatrix}(A, i \dots n+1, 1 \dots j), \quad (8)$$

a $(n+2-i) \times j$ submatrix of A . As is well known, two matrices A_0 and A_1 are Bruhat equivalent if for every pair (i, j) the ranks of $\text{SW}(A_0, i, j)$ and of $\text{SW}(A_1, i, j)$ are equal. Let $(A_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of matrices in GL_{n+1} converging to $A_\infty \in \text{GL}_{n+1}$; if, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, A_k is Bruhat equivalent to ρ_0 and A_∞ is Bruhat equivalent to ρ_1 then $\rho_1 \leq \rho_0$ in the strong Bruhat order. Indeed, this condition is often used to define the Bruhat order.

Given a signed permutation matrix $Q_0 \in \text{B}_{n+1}^+$ corresponding to the permutation $\rho \in S_{n+1}$, let $\sigma = \eta\rho$ and consider the affine space of matrices

$$\mathbf{M}_{Q_0} = Q_0 \text{Lo}_{n+1}^1 \cap \text{Lo}_{n+1}^1 Q_0 = \text{Up}_\sigma Q_0 = Q_0 \text{Lo}_{\sigma^{-1}} \subset \text{GL}_{n+1}.$$

The subgroups $\text{Up}_\sigma \subseteq \text{Up}_{n+1}^1$ and $\text{Lo}_\sigma \subseteq \text{Lo}_{n+1}^1$ (for $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$) are implicitly defined by this equation and are discussed in Section 2 of [15]. We have $\dim(\mathbf{M}_{Q_0}) = \dim(\text{Lo}_{\sigma^{-1}}) = \text{inv}(\sigma) = \text{inv}(\eta) - \text{inv}(\rho)$. In order to construct a parametrization of \mathbf{M}_{Q_0} , start with Q_0 and run through the zero entries. An entry (i, j) satisfies both $j < i^\rho$ and $j^{\rho^{-1}} < i$ if and only if it is both below a non zero entry of Q_0 and to the left of another non zero entry of Q_0 . In this case, replace it by a free real variable x_k . This space of matrices is constructed in the proof of Theorem 2 in [15] (where its generic element is called M) and discussed in Section 7 in [14] (where its generic element is called \tilde{M}). We have

$Q_0 \text{Lo}_{n+1}^1 = \text{Up}_\rho \mathbf{M}_{Q_0}$: every element $A \in Q_0 \text{Lo}_{n+1}^1$ can be uniquely written as a product $A = UM$ with $U \in \text{Up}_\rho$ and $M \in \mathbf{M}_{Q_0}$, and $U \in \text{Up}_\rho$ and $M \in \mathbf{M}_{Q_0}$ imply $UM \in Q_0 \text{Lo}_{n+1}^1$. Clearly, M is Bruhat equivalent to $A = UM$.

Example 3.1. Consider $n = 5$ and the matrix

$$Q_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \cdot & \cdot & -1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 \\ 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 & \cdot & \cdot \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{B}_{n+1}^+$$

so that the corresponding permutation is $\rho_1 = [326154]$ and $\sigma_1 = \eta\rho_1 = [451623]$. The affine space \mathbf{M}_{Q_1} is the set of matrices \tilde{M}_1 of the form

$$\tilde{M}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \cdot & \cdot & -1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & x_1 & x_2 & \cdot & \cdot & 1 \\ 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ x_3 & x_4 & x_5 & \cdot & 1 & \cdot \\ x_6 & x_7 & x_8 & 1 & \cdot & \cdot \end{pmatrix}, \quad x_1, \dots, x_8 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Notice that $\text{inv}(\rho_1) = 7$ and $\dim(\mathbf{M}_{Q_1}) = \text{inv}(\sigma_1) = 8$. ◇

Lemma 3.2. Consider $Q_1 \in \mathbf{B}_{n+1}^+$ corresponding to $\rho_1 \in S_{n+1}$ and the affine space \mathbf{M}_{Q_1} .

1. If $M \in \mathbf{M}_{Q_1}$ is equivalent to $\rho \in S_{n+1}$ then $\rho_1 \leq \rho$. The only such matrix M equivalent to ρ_1 is $M = Q_1$.
2. Consider $\rho_0 \in S_{n+1}$, $\rho_1 \triangleleft \rho_0$, $\rho_0 = (i_0 i_1)\rho_1 = \rho_1(j_0 j_1)$, $i_0 < i_1$, $j_0 < j_1$. Take $X = e_{i_1} e_{j_0}^\top$ be the matrix with a single non zero entry equal to 1 in position (i_1, j_0) . Then $Q_1 + tX \in \mathbf{M}_{Q_1}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, $M \in \mathbf{M}_{Q_1}$ is equivalent to ρ_0 if and only if M is of the form $M = Q_1 + tX$ for $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

Example 3.3. Consider Q_1 and ρ_1 and in Example 3.1. Take $\rho_0 = [356124]$ so that $\rho_1 \triangleleft \rho_0$, $i_0 = 2$, $i_1 = 5$, $j_0 = 2$ and $j_1 = 5$. The matrices in \mathbf{M}_{Q_1} which are equivalent to ρ_0 are precisely

$$\tilde{M}_1 = Q_1 + x_4 X = \begin{pmatrix} \cdot & \cdot & -1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 \\ 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & x_4 & \cdot & \cdot & 1 & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 & \cdot & \cdot \end{pmatrix}, \quad x_4 \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}.$$

Notice that for $x_4 = 0$ we have $\tilde{M}_1 = Q_1$, which is equivalent to ρ_1 . ◇

Corollary 3.4. *Let ρ_0 , ρ_1 and Q_1 be as in Lemma 3.2. The matrices $A \in Q_1 \text{Lo}_{n+1}^1$ which are Bruhat equivalent to ρ_1 are precisely $A \in \text{Up}_{\rho_1} Q_1 = Q_1 \text{Lo}_{\rho_1^{-1}}^{-1}$. The matrices $A \in Q_1 \text{Lo}_{n+1}^1$ which are Bruhat equivalent to ρ_0 are precisely those of the form $U(Q_1 + tX)$ for $U \in \text{Up}_{\rho_1}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ (and X as in Lemma 3.2).*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.2 and $Q_1 \text{Lo}_{n+1}^1 = \text{Up}_{\rho_1} \mathbf{M}_{Q_1}$. \square

Proof of Lemma 3.2. Clearly Q_1 is equivalent to ρ_1 and $Q_1 + tX$ is equivalent to ρ_0 if $t \neq 0$. Set

$$D_0(\lambda) = \text{diag}(\lambda^{1^{\rho_1}}, \dots, \lambda^{i^{\rho_1}}, \dots), \quad D_1(\lambda) = \text{diag}(\lambda^{-1}, \dots, \lambda^{-i}, \dots).$$

Given $M_1 \in \mathbf{M}_{Q_1}$, set $M_\lambda = D_0(\lambda)M_1D_1(\lambda)$. For $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ we have that $M_\lambda \in \mathbf{M}_{Q_1}$ is Bruhat equivalent to M_1 . Also,

$$\lim_{\lambda \searrow 0} M_\lambda = Q_1;$$

from the characterization of the strong Bruhat order above we have $\rho_1 \leq \rho$.

Assume that $M \in \mathbf{M}_{Q_1}$ is Bruhat equivalent to Q_1 . If $M \neq Q_1$, take (i, j) such that $M_{i,j} \neq (Q_1)_{i,j}$ with minimal $j - i$, that is, the position furthest to the southwest direction. The submatrices $S_Q = \text{SW}(Q_1, i, j)$ and $S_M = \text{SW}(M, i, j)$ (with the notation of Equation (8)) have the same rank and are equal except for the northeast entry. We must have $j < i^{\rho_1}$ and therefore the first row of S_Q is the zero vector. The first row of S_M is therefore of the form $(0, \dots, 0, c)$, $c \neq 0$. By equality of rank, it has to be a linear combination of other rows of S_Q . But we must have $j^{\rho_1^{-1}} < i$, so that the last column of S_Q is the zero vector, a contradiction.

Assume that $M \in \mathbf{M}_{Q_1}$ is Bruhat equivalent to ρ_0 . If M is not of the form $Q_1 + tX$, take (i, j) to be a position which violates that form. We cover the entries in a convenient order: assume (i, j) to be the first violation in this order. The cases $i > i_1$ and $j < j_0$ are just as in the ρ_1 case, discussed in the previous paragraph. The case $(i, j) = (i_1, j_0)$ is easily ruled out. The case $i = i_1$, $j_0 < j < j_1$ is divided in two subcases: if $j^{\rho^{-1}} > i_1$ then the position is zero and therefore $M_{i,j} = (Q_1)_{i,j} = 0$, so there is no violation. Otherwise, notice that the ranks of $\text{SW}(Q_1, i_0, j-1)$ and of $\text{SW}(Q_1, i_0, j)$ are equal and that therefore the j -th column of $\text{SW}(M, i_0, j)$ is a linear combination of previous columns. Notice also that for $j' \leq j$, $j' \neq j_0$, we have $M_{i_0,j'} = 0$: for $j' < j_0$ this follows from the previous case; for $j' > j_0$ this follows from $M \in \mathbf{M}_{Q_1}$. In particular, $M_{i_0,j} = 0$. Furthermore, for $j' < j$, $j' \neq j_0$, we have $M_{i_1,j'} = 0$, by the minimality hypothesis. Thus, the linear combination of columns 1 to $j-1$ of $\text{SW}(M, i_0, j)$ which produces column j has zero coefficient for j_0 , implying $M_{i_1,j} = 0$, as desired. The case $j = j_0$, $i_0 < i < i_1$ is similar. The cases $i = i_1$, $j \geq j_1$ and $j = j_0$, $i \leq i_0$ are trivial. The cases $i_0 < i < i_1$, $j_0 < j < j_1$ are handled similarly to the ρ_1 case, but with

ρ_0 instead. The cases $i = i_0$, $j_0 < j \leq j_1$ and $j = j_1$, $i_0 \leq i < i_1$ are trivial. The case $j > j_1$, $i_0 < i < i_1$ is also similar, but for clarity we describe it. The rank of $\text{SW}(M, i, j)$ equals that of $\text{SW}(M, i, j-1)$ and therefore the j -th column of $\text{SW}(M, i, j)$ is a linear combination of the first $j-1$ columns. But we have $M_{i,j'} = 0$ for all $j' < j$, implying $M_{i,j} = 0$. The case $i < i_0$, $j_0 < j < j_1$ is similar. The final case is $i < i_0$, $j > j_1$: this is again similar to the ρ_1 case, completing the proof. \square

Below we will make heavy use of notation and results from [15]; we briefly recall some basic facts. Given $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$, let $\text{Bru}_\sigma \subset \text{SO}_{n+1}$ be the set of matrices $Q \in \text{SO}_{n+1}$ which are Bruhat equivalent to σ . For $\Pi : \text{Spin}_{n+1} \rightarrow \text{SO}_{n+1}$, the inverse image $\Pi^{-1}[\text{Bru}_\sigma]$ is also called $\text{Bru}_\sigma \subset \text{Spin}_{n+1}$. We have $\text{Bru}_\sigma \subseteq \overline{\text{Bru}_\rho}$ if and only if $\sigma \leq \rho$ (in the strong Bruhat order). The connected components of $\text{Bru}_\sigma \subset \text{Spin}_{n+1}$ are all contractible submanifolds of dimension $\text{inv}(\sigma)$.

Recall from Equation (5) that if $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$ then $\acute{\sigma} \in \tilde{\text{B}}_{n+1}^+$; also, $\text{Quat}_{n+1} \subset \tilde{\text{B}}_{n+1}^+$ is a normal subgroup of order 2^{n+1} , defined in Equation (2). Each element $q\acute{\sigma}$, $q \in \text{Quat}_{n+1}$, belongs to a different connected component of Bru_σ , called $\text{Bru}_{q\acute{\sigma}}$ so that

$$\text{Bru}_\sigma = \bigsqcup_{q \in \text{Quat}_{n+1}} \text{Bru}_{q\acute{\sigma}}, \quad q\acute{\sigma} \in \text{Bru}_{q\acute{\sigma}},$$

as in Equation (3). The following lemma discusses some special cases of the relation $\text{Bru}_{z_1} \subseteq \overline{\text{Bru}_{z_0}}$, $z_0, z_1 \in \tilde{\text{B}}_{n+1}^+$.

Lemma 3.5. *Consider $\rho_1 \triangleleft \rho_0$, $\rho_1 = \rho_a \rho_b$, $\rho_0 = \rho_a a_i \rho_b$, $\text{inv}(\rho_1) = \text{inv}(\rho_a) + \text{inv}(\rho_b)$. Consider $z_1 = q\acute{\rho}_1 = q\acute{\rho}_a \acute{\rho}_b \in \tilde{\text{B}}_{n+1}^+$, $q \in \text{Quat}_{n+1}$. Set $z_0^+ = q\acute{\rho}_a \acute{a}_i \acute{\rho}_b$, $z_0^- = q\acute{\rho}_a \acute{a}_i \acute{\rho}_b$. Then there exists a tubular neighborhood \mathcal{U}_{z_1} of Bru_{z_1} such that*

$$\mathcal{U}_{z_1} \cap \text{Bru}_{\rho_0} = \mathcal{U}_{z_1} \cap (\text{Bru}_{z_0^+} \cup \text{Bru}_{z_0^-}).$$

Moreover, $N = \text{Bru}_{z_0^+} \cup \text{Bru}_{z_1} \cup \text{Bru}_{z_0^-} \subset \text{Spin}_{n+1}$ is a smooth submanifold of dimension $\text{inv}(\rho_0)$.

Remark 3.6. The open subsets $\mathcal{U}_z \subset \text{Spin}_{n+1}$, $z \in \tilde{\text{B}}_{n+1}^+$, have been discussed in Section 4 of [15]; Theorem 2 of [15] gives a system of coordinates for \mathcal{U}_z . The set $\text{Bru}_{\acute{\eta}} \subset \text{Spin}_{n+1}$ is an open neighborhood of $\acute{\eta} \in \text{Spin}_{n+1}$. For $z \in \tilde{\text{B}}_{n+1}^+$, the set $\mathcal{U}_z = z\acute{\eta} \text{Bru}_{\acute{\eta}} \subset \text{Spin}_{n+1}$ is an open neighborhood of z and an open tubular neighborhood of $\text{Bru}_z \subset \text{Spin}_{n+1}$. \diamond

Proof of Lemma 3.5. Set $Q_1 = \Pi(z_1)$ (where $\Pi : \text{Spin}_{n+1} \rightarrow \text{SO}_{n+1}$) and consider the affine space $Q_1 \text{Lo}_{n+1}^1 \subset \text{GL}_{n+1}$. Define $\mathbf{Q} : Q_1 \text{Lo}_{n+1}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{z_1}$ by $\mathbf{Q}(A) = z$ if and only if there exists $R \in \text{Up}_{n+1}^+$ with $A = \Pi(z)R$. The map \mathbf{Q} is a

diffeomorphism. Furthermore, if A is Bruhat equivalent to ρ then $\mathbf{Q}(A) \in \text{Bru}_\rho$. It therefore follows from Corollary 3.4 that

$$\mathcal{U}_{z_1} \cap \text{Bru}_{\rho_1} = \mathbf{Q}[\text{Up}_{\rho_1} Q_1], \quad \mathcal{U}_{z_1} \cap \text{Bru}_{\rho_0} = \mathbf{Q}[\text{Up}_{\rho_1}(Q_1 + (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})X)].$$

In particular, $\mathcal{U}_{z_1} \cap \text{Bru}_{\rho_1}$ is connected and $\mathcal{U}_{z_1} \cap \text{Bru}_{\rho_0}$ has at most two connected components. We present another system of coordinates which identifies the two connected components of $\mathcal{U}_{z_1} \cap \text{Bru}_{\rho_0}$ as being contained in $\text{Bru}_{z_0^\pm}$.

Let $D = \text{Bru}_{q\dot{\rho}_a} \times (-\pi, \pi) \times \text{Bru}_{\dot{\rho}_b}$. Consider the smooth map $\Phi : D \rightarrow \text{Spin}_{n+1}$, $\Phi(z_a, \theta, z_b) = z_a \alpha_i(\theta) z_b$. Consider the following subsets of the domain D : $D_- = \text{Bru}_{q\dot{\rho}_a} \times (-\pi, 0) \times \text{Bru}_{\dot{\rho}_b}$, $D_0 = \text{Bru}_{q\dot{\rho}_a} \times \{0\} \times \text{Bru}_{\dot{\rho}_b}$, $D_+ = \text{Bru}_{q\dot{\rho}_a} \times (0, \pi) \times \text{Bru}_{\dot{\rho}_b}$. It follows from Theorem 1 in [15] that the following restrictions are diffeomorphisms: $\Phi|_{D_-} : D_- \rightarrow \text{Bru}_{z_0^-}$, $\Phi|_{D_0} : D_0 \rightarrow \text{Bru}_{z_1}$, $\Phi|_{D_+} : D_+ \rightarrow \text{Bru}_{z_0^+}$, completing the proof. \square

4 Partial orders

Recall that \mathbf{W}_n is the set of finite words in the alphabet $S_{n+1} \setminus \{e\}$. The set \mathbf{W}_n plays a very important part in our discussion. In this section we discuss a few partial orders in \mathbf{W}_n .

A partial order \preceq on \mathbf{W}_n is defined and discussed in [14]; we recall the definition. For $\sigma \in S_{n+1} \setminus \{e\}$, take $\sigma = \eta\rho$ and consider $z_0 = q_a\dot{\rho} = q_c\dot{\rho} \in \dot{\rho}\text{Quat}_{n+1} \subset \widetilde{\text{B}}_{n+1}^+$, so that $q_a, q_c \in \text{Quat}_{n+1}$. Define the open neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_{z_0} \subset \text{Spin}_{n+1}$ as in Remark 3.6. Define $z_{\pm 1} \in \dot{\eta}\text{Quat}_{n+1}$ by $z_{+1} = q_a\dot{\eta}$, $z_{-1} = q_c\dot{\eta}$. Consider a short convex curve $\Gamma_1 : [-1, +1] \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{z_0} \subset \text{Spin}_{n+1}$ with

$$\Gamma_1(0) = z_0, \quad t \in [-1, 0) \rightarrow \Gamma_1(t) \in \text{Bru}_{z_{-1}}, \quad t \in (0, +1] \rightarrow \Gamma_1(t) \in \text{Bru}_{z_{+1}}.$$

Notice that the itinerary of Γ_1 is σ . We have $w \preceq \sigma$ if and only if there exists a convex curve $\Gamma_0 : [-1, +1] \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_{z_0} \subset \text{Spin}_{n+1}$ with $\Gamma_0(-1) = \Gamma_1(-1)$, $\Gamma_0(+1) = \Gamma_1(+1)$ and itinerary w . It is not hard to verify that the above definition does not depend on the choice of Γ_1 . For $w_1 = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_\ell$, write $w_0 \preceq w_1$ if there exist words $w_{0,1}, \dots, w_{0,\ell}$ with $w_0 = w_{0,1} \cdots w_{0,\ell}$ and $w_{0,i} \preceq \sigma_i$ (for all i). We prove in [14] that

$$\mathcal{L}_n[w_1] \cap \overline{\mathcal{L}_n[w_0]} \neq \emptyset \implies w_0 \preceq w_1. \quad (9)$$

This implication also holds for topologies which we are not considering in the present paper, such as H^1 . Some basic properties of the partial order \preceq are proved in Theorem 3 of [14].

Unfortunately, the partial order \preceq is complicated: there are basic open questions concerning it, including a conjecture by Shapiro and Shapiro [29, 30]. This conjecture is essentially equivalent to Conjecture 2.2 of [14]. In order to state

it, we need to introduce the concept of multiplicity of a word. Recall that the *multiplicity* of $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$ is a vector $\text{mult}(\sigma) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, defined in Equation (6); for $w = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_\ell = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_\ell)$, define $\text{mult}(w) = \text{mult}(\sigma_1) + \cdots + \text{mult}(\sigma_\ell)$. Conjecture 2.2 of [14] asks: does $w \preceq \sigma$ imply $\text{mult}(w) \leq \text{mult}(\sigma)$? Theorem 4 in [14] proves a related result. See also [27] and [26] for other new related results. The original conjecture, however, remains open as of this writing.

In the present paper, we prefer therefore to work with a more manageable partial order \sqsubseteq (also on \mathbf{W}_n). For $w \in \mathbf{W}_n$ and $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$, we define

$$(w \sqsubseteq \sigma) \iff ((w \neq ()) \wedge (\text{mult}(w) \leq \text{mult}(\sigma)) \wedge (\hat{w} = \hat{\sigma}));$$

here $()$ denotes the empty word. For words w_0 and $w_1 = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_\ell) \in \mathbf{W}_n$, we have $w_0 \sqsubseteq w_1$ if and only if there exists non empty words $w_{01}, \dots, w_{0\ell}$ such that w_0 equals the concatenation $w_{01} \cdots w_{0\ell}$ and $w_{0j} \sqsubseteq \sigma_j$ for every j , $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. It follows from Theorems 3 and 4 from [14] that, if we work in H^r and $r > ((n+1)/2)^2$ we have an implication similar to the one in Equation (9):

$$\mathcal{L}_n[w_1] \cap \overline{\mathcal{L}_n[w_0]} \neq \emptyset \implies w_0 \sqsubseteq w_1. \quad (10)$$

Notice that given $w_0 \in \mathbf{W}_n$ both sets

$$\{w \in \mathbf{W}_n, w \sqsubseteq w_0\}, \quad \{w \in \mathbf{W}_n, w_0 \sqsubseteq w\}$$

are finite. Indeed, $w_0 \sqsubseteq w_1$ implies $\text{mult}(w_0) \leq \text{mult}(w_1)$ and $\ell(w_0) \geq \ell(w_1)$ (where $\ell(w)$ is the length of the word w). A similar result for \preceq follows from [26].

It is natural to ask at this point how the partial orders \preceq and \sqsubseteq are related. We first consider the implication $(w_0 \preceq w_1) \rightarrow (w_0 \sqsubseteq w_1)$. We know that $w \preceq \sigma$ implies $w \neq ()$ and $\hat{w} = \hat{\sigma}$. We do not know, however, whether $w \preceq \sigma$ implies $\text{mult}(w) \leq \text{mult}(\sigma)$: this is essentially Conjecture 1.2 in [14]. It is relatedly also an open problem whether the implication $(w_0 \preceq w_1) \rightarrow (w_0 \sqsubseteq w_1)$ holds. The next example shows that the converse implication is false.

Example 4.1. Let $n = 4$, $\sigma_0 = aba$ and $\sigma_1 = \eta = abacbadcba$. It follows from Example 3.7 in [15] that $\hat{\sigma}_0 = \hat{\sigma}_1 = -1$ and a simple computation then verifies that $(\sigma_0) \sqsubseteq (\sigma_1)$. We now prove, however, that $\sigma_0 \not\preceq \sigma_1$ and therefore, from Equation (9),

$$\mathcal{L}_4[(\sigma_1)] \cap \overline{\mathcal{L}_4[(\sigma_0)]} = \emptyset.$$

As in [14], we work in the nilpotent group Lo_5^1 and its subsets $\text{Pos}_\eta, \text{Neg}_\eta \subset \text{Lo}_5^1$. The only point in $\overline{\text{Pos}_\eta} \cap \overline{\text{Neg}_\eta}$ is the identity. Consider a locally convex curve $\Gamma_L : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \text{Lo}_5^1$ with $\Gamma_L(-1) \in \text{Neg}_\eta$ and $\Gamma_L(1) \in \text{Pos}_\eta$. Set $\Gamma(t) = q\mathbf{Q}(\Gamma_L(t))$, $q \in \text{Quat}_{n+1}$. If $\Gamma_L(t) = I$ for some t then $\text{iti}(\Gamma) = \eta$. Otherwise, Γ_L must cross ∂Neg_η and ∂Pos_η at two distinct times $t_- < t_+$, $t_-, t_+ \in \text{sing}(\Gamma)$: thus $\text{iti}(\Gamma) \neq \sigma_0$.

In the following examples we also have $(\sigma_0) \sqsubseteq (\sigma_1)$ and $(\sigma_0) \not\preceq (\sigma_1)$:

1. $n = 6$, $\sigma_0 = a_1a_2a_1a_4a_5a_4$, $\sigma_1 = \eta$;
2. $n = 7$, $\sigma_0 = a_1a_3a_5a_7$, $\sigma_1 = \eta$;
3. $n = 8$, $\sigma_0 = a_1a_2a_1$, $\sigma_1 = a_1a_2a_1a_4a_5a_4a_7a_8a_7$.

In all cases the verification of the \sqsubseteq condition is an easy computation. For the first two items, the proof of the \preceq claim is similar to the one given above; the third item requires a modification of the argument (which we neither present nor need in this paper). \diamond

Remark 4.2. Neither partial order \preceq nor \sqsubseteq respects dimension of words (defined in Equation (4)). For instance, we have $[ac]b[ac] \preceq [acb]$, $[ac]b[ac] \sqsubseteq [acb]$ and $\dim([ac]b[ac]) = \dim([acb]) = 2$: this is the example discussed in detail in Section 9 in [14]. \diamond

5 Lower and upper sets

A subset $\mathbf{I} \subseteq \mathbf{W}_n$ is a *lower set* (for \sqsubseteq) if, for all $w_1 \in \mathbf{I}$ and $w_0 \in \mathbf{W}_n$, $w_0 \sqsubseteq w_1$ implies $w_0 \in \mathbf{I}$. In particular, \emptyset and \mathbf{W}_n are lower sets. If \mathbf{I} is a lower set then it follows from Equation (10) that

$$\mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}] = \bigsqcup_{w \in \mathbf{I}} \mathcal{L}_n[w] \subseteq \mathcal{L}_n$$

is an open subset. Given $w_0 \in \mathbf{W}_n$, let $\mathbf{I}(w_0) = \{w \in \mathbf{W}_n, w \sqsubseteq w_0\}$ and $\mathbf{I}^*(w_0) = \mathbf{I}(w_0) \setminus \{w_0\}$: both are lower sets. The map $\phi : \mathbb{D}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n$ transversal section to $\mathcal{L}_n[\sigma]$ constructed in Lemma 7.1 of [14] is of the form $\phi : \mathbb{D}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}(\sigma)] \subset \mathcal{L}_n$. Figure 3 is a diagram of

$$\mathbf{I}([aba]) = \{aa, abab, bb, baba, [ba]a, a[ba], [ab]b, b[ab], [aba]\};$$

compare with Figure 1.




Figure 3: The lower set $\mathbf{I}([aba])$.

As another example of a lower set, let $\mathbf{I}_{(\omega)}$ be the set of words of dimension 0, i.e., words whose letters are generators a_k . (The subscript is an ordinal, a relic of notation used in [13], starting in Section 14. The notation shall not be defined or needed in its general form.) The set $\mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}_{(\omega)}]$ is a disjoint union of contractible open sets $\mathcal{L}_n[w]$, $w \in \mathbf{I}_{(\omega)}$.

Similarly, $\mathbf{U} \subseteq \mathbf{W}_n$ is an *upper set* (for \sqsubseteq) if, for all $w_0 \in \mathbf{U}$ and $w_1 \in \mathbf{W}_n$, $w_0 \sqsubseteq w_1$ implies $w_1 \in \mathbf{U}$. If \mathbf{U} is an upper set then

$$\mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{U}] = \bigsqcup_{w \in \mathbf{U}} \mathcal{L}_n[w] \subseteq \mathcal{L}_n$$

is a closed subset (again from Equation (10)).

A few examples are in order.

Example 5.1. The set $\mathbf{I}_Y \subset \mathbf{W}_n$ of words containing *at least* one letter belonging to $Y = S_{n+1} \setminus S_{\text{PA}}$ is also a lower set. Indeed, consider $\sigma_0 \in Y$ and a word $w_1 \sqsubseteq \sigma_0$, $w_1 = \sigma_{1,1} \cdots \sigma_{1,\ell}$. From Lemma 2.2, $\hat{\sigma}_0 \notin Z(\text{Quat}_{n+1})$. We thus have $\hat{\sigma}_{1,1} \cdots \hat{\sigma}_{1,\ell} = \hat{\sigma}_0 \notin Z(\text{Quat}_{n+1})$ and therefore $\hat{\sigma}_{1,k} \notin Z(\text{Quat}_{n+1})$ for some k : again from Lemma 2.2, we have $\sigma_{1,k} \in Y$, as desired. The open subset $\mathcal{Y}_n = \mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}_Y] \subset \mathcal{L}_n$ is studied in Theorem 3. \diamond

Example 5.2. Recall from Remark 2.8 that for $n \geq 2$ we have

$$Y_2 = \{a_1, a'_1 = a_2 a_1, a_2, a'_2 = a_1 a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}, a'_{n-1} = a_{n-2} a_{n-1}, a_n, a'_n = a_{n-1} a_n\}.$$

The set $\mathbf{I}_{Y_2} \subset \mathbf{W}_n$ of words containing *at least* one letter belonging to Y_2 is also a lower set. Indeed, if $\sigma \in Y_2$, $\text{inv}(\sigma) > 1$ and $w \sqsubseteq \sigma$ then either $w = \sigma$ or w is a word of dimension 0 and length 1 or 3. The same conclusion holds assuming instead $w \preceq \sigma$, implying the $\mathbf{I}_{Y_2} \subset \mathbf{W}_n$ is also a lower subset for \preceq . It follows that $\mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}_{Y_2}] \subseteq \mathcal{L}_n$ is an open subset. The lower set $\mathbf{I}_{Y_2} \subset \mathbf{I}_Y$ is considered again in Proposition 13.2. \diamond

Example 5.3. Let $\mathbf{I}_{[0]} \subset \mathbf{W}_n$ be the set of words containing *at least* one letter of dimension 0. As in the previous examples, $\mathbf{I}_{[0]}$ is a lower set for both \sqsubseteq and \preceq . The lower set $\mathbf{I}_{[0]} \subset \mathbf{I}_{Y_2}$ is considered again in Proposition 11.3. \diamond

Example 5.4. The following are examples of upper sets:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{U}_{2,2} &= \{[aba]\} \subset \mathbf{W}_2, & \mathbf{U}_{2,3} &= \{[aba], [bacb], [bcb]\} \subset \mathbf{W}_3, \\ & \{[abc], [ac], [cba]\} \subset \mathbf{W}_3, & \mathbf{U}_{\star,3} &= \{[cba]\} \subset \mathbf{W}_3, \\ \mathbf{U}_{4,4} &= \{[34521], [32541], [52341], [52143], [54123]\} \subset \mathbf{W}_4. \end{aligned}$$

The example $\mathbf{U}_{2,2}$ is easy: there is no letter in S_3 of greater dimension than $[aba]$. For $\mathbf{U}_{2,3}$, verify that there are no other letters $\sigma \in S_4$ with $\hat{\sigma} = \text{hat}([aba]) = -1$ (notice also that $[aba] \sqsubseteq [bacb]$, $[bcb] \sqsubseteq [bacb]$). Also, the only letters $\sigma \in S_4$ with $\hat{\sigma} = \hat{a}\hat{c}$ are $[abc]$, $[ac]$ and $[cba]$ (notice that $[ac] \sqsubseteq [abc]$, $[ac] \sqsubseteq [cba]$). The only letters $\sigma \in S_5 \setminus \{e\}$ with $\hat{\sigma} = 1$ are the elements of $\mathbf{U}_{4,4}$. \diamond

Example 5.5. Consider $n = 4$ and the set

$$\mathbf{U}_{2,4} = \{[aba], [bacb], [bcb], [cbdc], [cdc]\} \subset \mathbf{W}_4.$$

Notice that these are the letters in Figure 2. The set $\mathbf{U}_{2,4}$ is not an upper set for \sqsubseteq : we have $\sigma \sqsubseteq \eta$ for all $\sigma \in \mathbf{U}_{2,4}$. It is, however, a double (\sqsubseteq, \preceq) upper set, meaning that

$$\forall w_0 \in \mathbf{U}_{2,4}, \forall w_1 \in \mathbf{W}_4, ((w_0 \sqsubseteq w_1) \wedge (w_0 \preceq w_1)) \implies w_1 \in \mathbf{U}_{2,4} :$$

this follows from Example 4.1. This is sufficient to prove (with Equations (9) and (10)) that the subset $\mathcal{L}_4[\mathbf{U}_{2,4}] \subset \mathcal{L}_4$ is closed. \diamond

6 Construction of \mathcal{D}_n and Theorems 1 and 4

We now describe the construction of the CW complex \mathcal{D}_n . In a nutshell, we proceed as follows. For each word w , there is a cell c_w of dimension $\dim(w)$. The CW complex \mathcal{D}_n can be constructed in increasing order (with respect to \sqsubseteq in \mathbf{W}_n). For each new word w , the glueing map g_w for the new cell c_w takes \mathbb{S}^{d-1} (for $d = \dim(w)$) to previously constructed cells $c_{\tilde{w}}$, $\tilde{w} \sqsubseteq w$. The glueing map is determined by studying a transversal section to $\mathcal{L}_n[w] \subset \mathcal{L}_n$, as constructed in Section 7 of [14]. Notice that the image of such a transversal section is contained in the union of the strata $\mathcal{L}_n[\tilde{w}]$, $\tilde{w} \sqsubseteq w$.

More precisely, consider a lower set $\mathbf{I} \subseteq \mathbf{W}_n$ (for the partial order \sqsubseteq). Let

$$\mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}] = \bigcup_{w \in \mathbf{I}} \mathcal{L}_n[w] \subseteq \mathcal{L}_n.$$

The set $\mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}] \subset \mathcal{L}_n$ is an open subset and therefore a Hilbert manifold (recall that we work in the Hilbert space H^r of curves). For each lower set we have a CW complex $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}]$ and a homotopy equivalence $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}]$. Furthermore, we may assume the homotopy equivalence to be an inclusion $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}] \subset \mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}]$. Indeed, the induction step is as follows. Let $\tilde{\mathbf{I}} \subset \mathbf{I} \subseteq \mathbf{W}_n$ be lower sets with $\mathbf{I} = \tilde{\mathbf{I}} \cup \{w_0\}$. Assume that a CW complex $\mathcal{D}_n[\tilde{\mathbf{I}}] \subset \mathcal{L}_n[\tilde{\mathbf{I}}]$ has been previously constructed and that the inclusion is a homotopy equivalence. We show in Lemma 6.3 how to add a cell c_{w_0} to $\mathcal{D}_n[\tilde{\mathbf{I}}]$ to obtain the desired CW complex $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}] \subset \mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}]$, the inclusion also being a homotopy equivalence. It then suffices to take the union of a chain of CW complexes to obtain \mathcal{D}_n (see Lemma 6.2).

We sum up our construction in a theorem.

Theorem 4. *There exists a CW complex \mathcal{D}_n and a continuous map $i : \mathcal{D}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n$ with the following properties:*

1. For each word $w \in \mathbf{W}_n$ there exists a cell c_w of \mathcal{D}_n of dimension $\dim(w)$.
2. For every lower set $\mathbf{I} \subseteq \mathbf{W}_n$ (with respect to the partial order \sqsubseteq) the union of the cells c_w , $w \in \mathbf{I}$, is a subcomplex $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}] \subseteq \mathcal{D}_n$.
3. For every lower set $\mathbf{I} \subseteq \mathbf{W}_n$ the union of the strata $\mathcal{L}_n[w]$, $w \in \mathbf{I}$, is an open subset $\mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}] \subseteq \mathcal{L}_n$.
4. For every lower set $\mathbf{I} \subseteq \mathbf{W}_n$ the restriction $i|_{\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}]} : \mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}]$ is a homotopy equivalence.

Clearly, Theorem 1 follows from Theorem 4. We remind the reader that a similar but simpler construction (for a finite stratification of another space) is presented in [4] (the proof of that Theorem 2 is particularly relevant).

We state a few definitions aimed at the proof of Theorem 4. Here \mathbb{D}^k is the closed disk of dimension k . Let $\mathbf{I} \subseteq \mathbf{W}_n$ be a lower set (for \sqsubseteq). A *valid (CW) complex* $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}]$ (for \mathbf{I}) has the following ingredients and properties:

- (i) The CW complex $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}]$ has one cell of dimension $\dim(w)$ for each $w \in \mathbf{I}$. For every $w \in \mathbf{I}$, the continuous glueing map $g_w : \partial\mathbb{D}^{\dim(w)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}]$ has image contained in the restriction $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_w^*] \subset \mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}]$ (which is also a valid CW complex). Let $i_w : \mathbb{D}^{\dim(w)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}]$ be the inclusion (with quotient at the boundary).
- (ii) For each $w \in \mathbf{I}$, we have a continuous map $c_w : \mathbb{D}^{\dim(w)} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}_w]$ (which will also be called the w cell).
- (iii) The maps c_w are compatible: if $i_{w_0}(p_0) = i_{w_1}(p_1)$ then $c_{w_0}(p_0) = c_{w_1}(p_1)$. In particular, if $w_1 \sqsubseteq w_0$, $p_0 \in \partial\mathbb{D}^{\dim(w_0)}$, $p_1 \in \mathbb{D}^{\dim(w_1)}$ and $g_{w_0}(p_0) = i_{w_1}(p_1)$ then $c_{w_0}(p_0) = c_{w_1}(p_1)$. The union of the cells c_w naturally defines a continuous map $c_{\mathbf{I}} : \mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}]$.
- (iv) For any $w \in \mathbf{I}$, the cell c_w is a topological embedding in the interior of $\mathbb{D}^{\dim(w)}$, smooth in the ball of radius $\frac{1}{2}$, and intersects $\mathcal{L}_n[w]$ transversally at $c_w(0) \in \mathcal{L}_n[w]$.
- (v) If $s \in \mathbb{D}^{\dim(w)}$, $s \neq 0$, then $c_w(s) \in \mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}^*(w)]$ (and therefore $c_w(s) \notin \mathcal{L}_n[w]$).

We abuse notation and identify a valid complex with the corresponding family of cells and write $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}] = (c_w)_{w \in \mathbf{I}}$ (notice that this family yields all the necessary information). Given a valid complex $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}] = (c_w)_{w \in \mathbf{I}}$ and a lower set $\tilde{\mathbf{I}} \subseteq \mathbf{I}$, the subcomplex $(c_w)_{w \in \tilde{\mathbf{I}}}$ is also valid (for $\tilde{\mathbf{I}}$). We call this subcomplex $\mathcal{D}_n[\tilde{\mathbf{I}}]$ the *restriction* of $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}]$ to $\tilde{\mathbf{I}}$.

Remark 6.1. It follows from (iv) and (v) that the map c_w intersects a tubular neighborhood $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_w \supset \mathcal{L}_n[w]$ transversally around $c_w(0) \in \mathcal{L}_n[w]$. Such a tubular neighborhood $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_w$ is constructed in the proof of Theorem 2 in [14], Section 6. A map $\hat{F}_w : \hat{\mathcal{A}}_w \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^{\dim(w)}$ with $\hat{F}_w^{-1}[\{0\}] = \mathcal{L}_n[w]$ is also constructed. The map $\hat{F}_w \circ c_w$ is then a homeomorphism from an open neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{D}^{\dim(w)}$ to an open neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{D}^{\dim(w)}$. The map \hat{F}_w also yields a transversal orientation to $\mathcal{L}_n[w] \subset \mathcal{L}_n$ (the preimage orientation for \hat{F}_w ; see [14] after Lemma 7.1). We assume that the map c_w respects this orientation, that is, that the composition $\hat{F}_w \circ c_w$ respects orientation. Lower dimensional cases shall be explicitly presented in examples. \diamond

A valid complex $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}]$ is *good* if for every lower set $\tilde{\mathbf{I}} \subseteq \mathbf{I}$ the map $c_{\tilde{\mathbf{I}}} : \mathcal{D}_n[\tilde{\mathbf{I}}] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n[\tilde{\mathbf{I}}]$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. Clearly, a restriction of a good complex is also a good complex.

Let J be a totally ordered set. Let $(\mathbf{I}_j)_{j \in J}$ be a family of lower sets such that $j_0 < j_1$ implies $\mathbf{I}_{j_0} \subseteq \mathbf{I}_{j_1}$. Let $\mathbf{I} = \bigcup_{j \in J} \mathbf{I}_j$, which is therefore also a lower set. For each $j \in J$, let $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_j]$ be a valid complex. Assume that if $j_0 < j_1$ then $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_{j_0}]$ is the restriction of $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_{j_1}]$ to \mathbf{I}_{j_0} . Then the union $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}]$ of all the valid complexes $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_j]$ (for all $j \in J$) is a valid complex for \mathbf{I} . A family $(\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_j])_{j \in J}$ of valid complexes is a *chain* if it satisfies the conditions above.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $(\mathcal{D}[\mathbf{I}_j])_{j \in J}$ be a chain of good complexes. Let $\mathbf{I} = \bigcup_{j \in J} \mathbf{I}_j$ and $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}]$ be the union of the complexes $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_j]$. Then $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}]$ is a good complex.*

Proof. Let $\alpha : \mathbb{S}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}]$ be a continuous map which is homotopically trivial in $\mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}]$, i.e., there exists a map $A_0 : \mathbb{D}^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}]$, $A_0|_{\mathbb{S}^k} = c_{\mathbf{I}} \circ \alpha$. By compactness, there exists $j \in J$ such that the image of A_0 is contained in $\mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}_j]$ so that we may write $A_0 : \mathbb{D}^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}_j]$. Since $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_j]$ is good, there exists $A_1 : \mathbb{D}^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_j]$ such that $A_1|_{\mathbb{S}^k} = \alpha$.

Let $\alpha_0 : \mathbb{S}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}]$ be a continuous map. By compactness, there exists $j \in J$ such that the image of α_0 is contained in $\mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}_j]$. Since $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_j]$ is good, there exists $\alpha_1 : \mathbb{S}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_j] \subset \mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}]$ such that $c_{\mathbf{I}_j} \circ \alpha_1$ is homotopic to α_0 in $\mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}_j]$ (and therefore also in $\mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}]$).

This completes the proof that $c_{\mathbf{I}} : \mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}]$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. The proof for $\tilde{\mathbf{I}} \subseteq \mathbf{I}$ is similar. \square

Lemma 6.3. *Let $\tilde{\mathbf{I}} \subset \mathbf{I} \subseteq \mathbf{W}_n$ be lower sets with $\mathbf{I} \setminus \tilde{\mathbf{I}} = \{w_0\}$. Let $\mathcal{D}_n[\tilde{\mathbf{I}}]$ be a good complex (for $\tilde{\mathbf{I}}$). Then this complex can be extended to a valid complex $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}]$ (for \mathbf{I}). Moreover, all such valid complexes are good.*

The proof of this lemma is the longest and most technical part of the present section: we postpone it for a while. Before proving Lemma 6.3 we present the main conclusion for this section.

Lemma 6.4. *For any lower set $\mathbf{I} \subseteq \mathbf{W}_n$ there exists a valid complex $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}] \subset \mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}]$. All such valid complexes are good.*

Proof. The partial order \sqsubseteq is well founded and can therefore be extended to a (total) well-ordering. Let γ^+ be the set of all initial segments of \mathbf{I} under this well-ordering. This defines a chain of lower sets $(\mathbf{I}_{(\beta)})_{\beta \in \gamma^+}$ with a top element $\mathbf{I}_{(\gamma)} = \mathbf{I}$. From now on in this proof we identify such β , γ and γ^+ with ordinal numbers; in particular, $\gamma^+ = \gamma + 1$.

We prove by transfinite induction on $\alpha \leq \gamma$ that there exists a chain of good complexes $(\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_{(\beta)}])_{\beta < \alpha}$. For $\alpha = 0$ there is nothing to do. For $\alpha = \tilde{\alpha} + 1$ (a successor ordinal), apply Lemma 6.3. For α a limit ordinal apply Lemma 6.2.

The fact that all valid complexes are good is likewise proved by transfinite induction. We again use Lemma 6.3 for successor ordinals and Lemma 6.2 for limit ordinals. \square

Theorems 1 and 4 are now easy.

Proof of Theorems 1 and 4. All claims in the statement of Theorem 4 follow from Lemma 6.4, together with the definitions of valid and good complexes. Theorem 1 is a direct consequence of Theorem 4. \square

Example 6.5. Following the above construction for $\mathbf{I}_{[aba]}$ we have the cell shown in Figure 4; the transversal map \tilde{c} in the proof above is shown in Figure 1. Notice that $c_{[bcb]}$ is very similar. The immediate predecessors of $[aba]$ are $[ba]a$, $b[ab]$, $[ab]b$ and $a[ba]$ (see Figure 3) and we have

$$\partial[aba] = [ba]a + b[ab] - [ab]b - a[ba], \quad \partial[bcb] = [cb]b + c[bc] - [bc]c - b[cb].$$

Here we use homological notation. The cells are oriented as in Remark 6.1. \diamond




Figure 4: The cells $c_{[aba]}$ and $c_{[bcb]}$.

Remark 6.6. As we shall see, for cells of very low dimension the complex can be taken to be polyhedral; it is not clear whether this is true in general. We shall not attempt to clarify this and related issues here. \diamond

Proof of Lemma 6.3. Assume $\mathcal{D}_n[\tilde{\mathbf{I}}]$ given (and good): we construct the cell c_{w_0} so that $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}]$ is also good. Let $k = \dim(w_0)$. For a small ball $B \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ around the origin, construct as in Section 7 of [14] (after Lemma 7.1) a smooth map $\phi : B \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}] \subseteq \mathcal{L}_n$ with $\phi(0) = \Gamma_0 \in \mathcal{L}_n[w_0]$ and transversal to $\mathcal{L}_n[w_0] \subset \mathcal{L}_n$ at this point. By taking $r > 0$ sufficiently small, the image under ϕ of a ball $B(2r) \subset B$ of radius $2r$ around the origin satisfies the following condition: $s \in B(2r)$ and $s \neq 0$ imply $\phi(s) \in \mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}_{w_0}^*] \subseteq \mathcal{L}_n[\tilde{\mathbf{I}}]$.

Let $S(r) \subset B(2r)$ be the sphere of radius r around the origin so that $\phi|_{S(r)} : S(r) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}_{w_0}^*]$. Since $\mathcal{D}_n[\tilde{\mathbf{I}}]$ is good, the map $c_{\mathbf{I}_{w_0}^*} : \mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_{w_0}^*] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}_{w_0}^*]$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. There exists therefore a map $g_{w_0} : \mathbb{S}^{k-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_{w_0}^*]$ such that $c_{\mathbf{I}_{w_0}^*} \circ g_{w_0}$ is homotopic (in $\mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}_{w_0}^*]$) to $\phi|_{S(r)}$. In other words, there exists $c_{w_0} : \mathbb{D}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}_{w_0}^*]$ coinciding with ϕ in $B(r)$, assuming values in $\mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}_{w_0}^*]$ outside 0 and with boundary $c_{\mathbf{I}_{w_0}^*} \circ g_{w_0}$. This completes the construction of a valid complex $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}]$ and the proof of the first claim.

We now prove the second claim. More precisely, let c_{w_0} be such that extending the good complex $\mathcal{D}_n[\tilde{\mathbf{I}}]$ by c_{w_0} defines a valid complex $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}]$ (for \mathbf{I}): we prove that this is also a good complex. In other words, we prove that the map $c_{\hat{\mathbf{I}}} : \mathcal{D}_n[\hat{\mathbf{I}}] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n[\hat{\mathbf{I}}]$ is a weak homotopy equivalence for any lower set $\hat{\mathbf{I}} \subseteq \mathbf{I}$. Again, we present the proof for $\hat{\mathbf{I}} = \mathbf{I}$; the general case is similar. The construction is similar to that of certain classical results involving CW complexes; here $\mathcal{L}_n[w_0] \subset \mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}]$ is a submanifold of class C^{r-1} . Recall that $\mathcal{L}_n[w_0] \subseteq \mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}]$ is a contractible closed subset (of $\mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}]$) and a (globally) collared submanifold of codimension $d = \dim(w_0)$. Indeed, in the proof of Theorem 2 in [14] (Section 6, near Remark 6.8) we constructed a tubular neighborhood of $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{w_0} \supset \mathcal{L}_n[w_0]$, a projection $\Pi : \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{w_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n[w_0]$ and a map $\hat{F} = \hat{F}_{w_0} : \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{w_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^d$ (where \mathbb{B}^d is the unit open ball) such that $(\Pi, \hat{F}) : \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{w_0} \rightarrow (\mathcal{L}_n[w_0], \mathbb{B}^d)$ is a homeomorphism. By construction, the map c_{w_0} intersects $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{w_0} \supset \mathcal{L}_n[w_0]$ transversally so that $c_{w_0}^{-1}[\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{w_0}]$ is an open neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{B}^d$. The map $\hat{F} \circ c_{w_0}$ (where defined) is a homeomorphism from a neighborhood of 0 to a neighborhood of 0; indeed, in the example constructed in the first part of the proof, it is the multiplication by a positive constant. We may assume that c_{w_0} is such that $\Pi(c_{w_0}(p)) = \Gamma_0$ for p in an open neighborhood $B_0 \subset \mathbb{B}^d$, $0 \in B_0$ (this is actually true for the maps constructed in [14]). Let $\text{bump} : \mathbb{B}^d \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a bump function with support contained in the neighborhood B_0 above and constant equal to 1 in a smaller open ball $B_1 \subset B_0$, $0 \in B_1$.

Consider a compact manifold M_0 of dimension k and continuous map $\alpha_0 : M_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}]$. We prove that there exists $\alpha_1 : M_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}]$ such that α_0 is

homotopic to $c_{\mathbf{I}} \circ \alpha_1$. First deform α_0 to obtain a map $\alpha_{\frac{1}{3}}$ which intersects $\mathcal{L}_n[w_0]$ transversally. By using the contractibility of $\mathcal{L}_n[w_0]$, we may deform $\alpha_{\frac{1}{3}}$ to obtain a map $\alpha_{\frac{2}{3}}$ which intersects a thinner tubular neighborhood contained in $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{w_0}$ only along the image of c_{w_0} . More precisely, take $\Gamma_0 = c_{w_0}(0) \in \mathcal{L}_n[w_0]$ as a base point; let $H : [0, 1] \times \mathcal{L}_n[w_0] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n[w_0]$ be a homotopy such that, for all $\Gamma \in \mathcal{L}_n[w_0]$, $H(0, \Gamma) = \Gamma$ and $H(1, \Gamma) = \Gamma_0$. For $s \in [\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}]$, we define α_s to coincide with $\alpha_{\frac{1}{3}}$ outside $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{w_0}$; if $\alpha_{\frac{1}{3}}(p) \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{w_0}$ define $\alpha_s(p) \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{w_0}$ to satisfy $\hat{F}_{w_0}(\alpha_s(p)) = \hat{F}_{w_0}(\alpha_{\frac{1}{3}}(p))$ and

$$\Pi(\alpha_s(p)) = H((3s - 1) \text{bump}(\hat{F}_{w_0}(\alpha_{\frac{1}{3}}(p))), \Pi(\alpha_{\frac{1}{3}}(p))).$$

Thus, the set of points $p \in M_0$ such that $\alpha_{\frac{2}{3}}(p) \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}_{w_0}$ and $\hat{F}_{w_0}(\alpha_{\frac{2}{3}}(p)) \in B_1$ consists of open tubes taken by $\alpha_{\frac{2}{3}}$ to the image of the cell c_{w_0} . By removing these open tubes we obtain $M_1 \subseteq M_0$, a manifold with boundary, also of dimension k . Let $\mathcal{D}_n^*[\mathbf{I}] = \mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}] \setminus i_{w_0}[B_1]$ so that both the map $c_{\mathbf{I}}|_{\mathcal{D}_n^*[\mathbf{I}]} : \mathcal{D}_n^*[\mathbf{I}] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n[\tilde{\mathbf{I}}]$ and the inclusion $\mathcal{D}_n[\tilde{\mathbf{I}}] \subset \mathcal{D}_n^*[\mathbf{I}]$ are weak homotopy equivalences (since $\mathcal{D}_n[\tilde{\mathbf{I}}]$ is assumed to be good). The restriction $\alpha_{\frac{2}{3}}|_{M_1} : M_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n[\tilde{\mathbf{I}}]$ has boundary $\alpha_{\frac{2}{3}}|_{\partial M_1} = c_{\mathbf{I}} \circ \beta_1$ for $\beta_1 : \partial M_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n^*[\mathbf{I}]$. There exists $\beta : M_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n^*[\mathbf{I}]$ with $\beta_1 = \beta|_{\partial M_1}$ and such that $c_{\mathbf{I}} \circ \beta : M_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n[\tilde{\mathbf{I}}]$ is homotopic to $\alpha_{\frac{2}{3}}|_{M_1} : M_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n[\tilde{\mathbf{I}}]$ (in $\mathcal{L}_n[\tilde{\mathbf{I}}]$). Define α_1 to coincide with β in M_1 and such that $c_{w_0} \circ \alpha_1$ coincides with $\alpha_{\frac{2}{3}}$ in the tubes $M_0 \setminus M_1$. This is our desired map.

Conversely, consider a compact manifold with boundary M and its boundary $\partial M = N$. Consider a maps $\alpha_0 : M \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}]$ and $\beta_0 : N \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}]$ such that $c_{\mathbf{I}} \circ \beta_0 = \alpha_0|_N$. We prove that there exists a map $\alpha_1 : M \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}]$ with $\alpha_1|_N = \beta_0$. Furthermore, α_0 and $c_{\mathbf{I}} \circ \alpha_1$ are homotopic in $\mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}]$, with the homotopy constant in the boundary. Again, we may assume without loss of generality that α_0 is transversal to $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{w_0}$. As in the previous paragraph, use the contractibility of $\mathcal{L}_n[w_0]$ to deform α_0 in $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_{w_0}$ towards c_{w_0} , thus defining $\alpha_{\frac{1}{2}}$; notice that this keeps the boundary fixed, as required. Again remove tubes around points taken to c_{w_0} , thus defining $M_1 \subset M$, also a manifold with boundary. By hypothesis, $\alpha_{\frac{1}{2}}$ can be deformed in M_1 to obtain $\alpha_1 : M_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n[\tilde{\mathbf{I}}]$; more precisely, $\alpha_{\frac{1}{2}} : M_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n[\tilde{\mathbf{I}}]$ is homotopic to $c_{\mathbf{I}} \circ \alpha_1$. As in the previous paragraph we fill in the tubes to define $\alpha_1 : M \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}]$, the desired map. \square

7 Examples of lower sets

In this section we consider a few simple examples of lower sets and valid complexes. Recall that $\mathbf{I}_{(\omega)} \subset \mathbf{W}_n$ is the lower set of words of dimension 0. Two other examples will be $\mathbf{I}_{(\omega 2)}$, the lower set of words of dimension at most 1 and $\mathbf{I}_{(\omega^2)}$, the lower set of words with all letters of dimension at most 1. We clearly

have $\mathbf{I}_{(\omega)} \subset \mathbf{I}_{(\omega^2)} \subset \mathbf{I}_{(\omega^2)}$: we shall construct the corresponding valid complexes $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_{(\omega)}] \subset \mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_{(\omega^2)}] \subset \mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_{(\omega^2)}]$.

For each $w \in \mathbf{I}_{(\omega)}$, let $c_w \in \mathcal{L}_n[w]$ be an arbitrary curve: we think of c_w as a vertex of the complex \mathcal{D}_n . In other words, the 0-skeleton of \mathcal{D}_n is the infinite countable set of vertices $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_{(\omega)}] = \{c_w, w \in \mathbf{I}_{(\omega)}\}$; the inclusion $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_{(\omega)}] \subset \mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}_{(\omega)}]$ is a homotopy equivalence.

A word $w \in \mathbf{I}_{(\omega^2)}$ of dimension 1 is of the form $w = w_0[a_k a_l]w_1$ where $w_0, w_1 \in \mathbf{I}_{(\omega)} \subset \mathbf{W}_n$ are (possibly empty) words of dimension 0 and $k \neq l$ so that $a_k a_l \in S_{n+1}$ is an element of dimension 1 (i.e., $\text{inv}(a_k a_l) = 2$). There are three cases: case (i) is $l = k - 1$, case (ii) is $l = k + 1$ and case (iii) is $l > k + 1$ (if $l < k - 1$ we write $a_l a_k$ instead; notice that in this case the permutations a_k and a_l commute). Recall from Theorem 2 in [14] that in each case the stratum $\mathcal{L}_n[w]$ is a hypersurface with an open stratum on either side. From Remark 6.1, there is a transversal orientation to $\mathcal{L}_n[w]$. Call the two open strata $\mathcal{L}_n[w^+]$ and $\mathcal{L}_n[w^-]$ in such a way that the transversal orientation is from $\mathcal{L}_n[w^-]$ to $\mathcal{L}_n[w^+]$. The words $w^+, w^- \in \mathbf{W}_n$ have dimension 0; their values according to case are:

- (i) $w^- = w_0 a_l w_1, w^+ = w_0 a_k a_l a_k w_1$;
- (ii) $w^- = w_0 a_k a_l a_k w_1, w^+ = w_0 a_l w_1$;
- (iii) $w^- = w_0 a_k a_l w_1, w^+ = w_0 a_l a_k w_1$.

From computing multiplicities in each case, it follows easily that the only two words $\tilde{w} \in \mathbf{W}_n$ such that $\tilde{w} \sqsubseteq w$ are $\tilde{w} = w^\pm$. These words also satisfy $w^\pm \preceq w$, and are the only two words apart from w to do so. In order to construct the 1-skeleton $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_{(\omega^2)}]$ of \mathcal{D}_n , we add an oriented edge c_w from c_{w^-} to c_{w^+} . The edge may be assumed to be contained in $\mathcal{L}_n[w^-] \cup \mathcal{L}_n[w] \cup \mathcal{L}_n[w^+]$ and to cross $\mathcal{L}_n[w]$ transversally and precisely once; edges are also assumed to be simple, and disjoint except at endpoints. Again, the inclusion $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_{(\omega^2)}] \subset \mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}_{(\omega^2)}]$ is a homotopy equivalence.

The four sides of Figure 1 above provide examples of edges of cases (i) and (ii). Figure 5 shows the edges of \mathcal{D}_n . Here we omit the initial and final words w_0 and w_1 (since they are not involved anyway). We also prefer to present examples (instead of spelling out conditions as above; a more formal discussion is given in Section 7 from [14] and in Section 6 above). Thus, cases (i), (ii) and (iii) are represented by $[ba]$, $[ab]$ and $[ac]$, respectively.




Figure 5: Examples of edges of \mathcal{D}_n .

In a homological language, we write

$$\partial[ba] = bab - a, \quad \partial[ab] = b - aba, \quad \partial[ac] = ca - ac.$$

Notice that in all cases $\hat{w} = \hat{w}^+ = \hat{w}^- \in \text{Quat}_{n+1}$, consistently with Equation 10.

As a simple application of our methods, we compute the connected components of \mathcal{D}_n (i.e., we are reproving in our notation the main result of [29]). We need only consider words of dimension 0 (the vertices of \mathcal{D}_n), i.e., words in the generators a_k , $1 \leq k \leq n$. Write $w_0 \sim w_1$ if c_{w_0} and c_{w_1} are in the same connected component; in particular, if $w_0 \sim w_1$ then $\hat{w}_0 = \hat{w}_1$. Figure 5 illustrates that

$$a \sim bab, \quad aba \sim b, \quad ac \sim ca.$$

For $()$ the empty word, the vertex $c_{()}$ is not attached to any edge and thus forms a connected component in the complex \mathcal{D}_n (see also Remark 7.2 below). Notice that $\hat{a}\hat{a}\hat{a}\hat{a} = \text{hat}(()) = 1$ but $aaaa \not\sim ()$.

Proposition 7.1. *Consider two non-empty words $w_0, w_1 \in \mathcal{D}_n$ of dimension 0. Then $w_0 \sim w_1$ if and only if $\hat{w}_0 = \hat{w}_1 \in \text{Quat}_{n+1}$.*

Remark 7.2. For $q \in \text{Quat}_{n+1}$, the set $\mathbf{I}_q = \{w \in \mathbf{W}_n \mid \hat{w} = q\}$ is both a lower set and an upper set. Theorem 2 in [14] tells us that $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_q] \subset \mathcal{L}_n(1; \hat{q}q\hat{q})$. For $q = 1$, we can further split \mathbf{I}_q into $\mathbf{I}_{q,\text{convex}} = \{()\}$ and $\mathbf{I}_{q,\text{non-convex}} = \mathbf{I}_q \setminus \mathbf{I}_{q,\text{convex}}$. It follows from Proposition 7.1 that the connected components of \mathcal{D}_n are $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_q]$ ($q \in \text{Quat}_{n+1}$, $q \neq 1$), $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_{1,\text{convex}}]$ and $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_{1,\text{non-convex}}]$. Thus, from Theorem 4, $\mathcal{L}_n(1; q)$ is connected for $q \neq \hat{\eta}$. The set $\mathcal{L}_n(1; \hat{\eta})$ has two connected components: one of them is $\mathcal{L}_{n,\text{convex}}(1; \hat{\eta}) = \mathcal{L}_n[()]$, which is contractible; its complement $\mathcal{L}_n(1; \hat{\eta}) \setminus \mathcal{L}_n[()] = \mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}_{1,\text{non-convex}}]$ is also connected.

These results are of course well known but also follow from our methods. \diamond

Proof of Proposition 7.1. We have already seen that $w_0 \sim w_1$ implies $\hat{w}_0 = \hat{w}_1$. We prove the other implication for non-empty words. A *basic word* is either:

- (i) a non-empty word $a_{k_1}a_{k_2}\cdots a_{k_l}$ with $k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_l$;
- (ii) of the form $aaa_{k_1}a_{k_2}\cdots a_{k_l}$ with $k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_l$ (here the words aa and aaa are allowed: they correspond to $l = 0$ and $l = 1$, $k_1 = 1$);
- (iii) $aaaa$.

and $(bw)^H = b^H w$. Define recursively

$$a_{k+1}^H = (a_{k+1} \xleftarrow{[a_k a_{k+1}]} a_k a_{k+1} a_k \xrightarrow{a_k^H a_{k+1} a_k} a a a a a_k a_{k+1} a_k \xrightarrow{a a a a [a_k a_{k+1}]} a a a a a_{k+1}).$$

Notice that for every k , the path a_k^H begins as

$$a_k^H = a_k \xleftarrow{a'_k} a_k^* \cdots.$$

The permutation a'_k is defined in Section 2 (above Lemma 2.6); the words a_k^* are given by

$$a' = [ba], \quad a^* = bab, \quad a'_{k+1} = [a_k a_{k+1}], \quad a_{k+1}^* = a_k a_{k+1} a_k.$$

For longer words, set $(a_k w)^H = a_k^H w$. ◇

We now construct the complex $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_{(\omega^2)}]$. Notice that $w \in \mathbf{I}_{(\omega^2)}$ if and only if w is of the form $w = w_0 \sigma_1 w_1 \cdots \sigma_l w_l$ where $\dim(w_j) = 0$ and $\dim(\sigma_j) = 1$ (some of the w_j may equal the empty word). Set c_w to be a *product cell* of dimension l , i.e., the l -th dimensional cube

$$c_w = c_{w_0} \times c_{\sigma_1} \times c_{w_1} \times \cdots \times c_{\sigma_l} \times c_{w_l}.$$

In homological notation we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial(w_0 \sigma_1 w_1 \cdots \sigma_l w_l) &= w_0 (\partial \sigma_1) w_1 \cdots \sigma_l w_l - w_0 \sigma_1 w_1 (\partial \sigma_2) \cdots \sigma_l w_l + \cdots \\ &\quad \cdots + (-1)^{l+1} w_0 \sigma_1 w_1 \cdots \partial(\sigma_l) w_l. \end{aligned}$$

See Figure 6 for the following examples of the previous formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial([ba][ab]) &= bab[ab] - [ba]b - a[ab] + [ba]aba, \\ \partial([ac]b[ac]) &= cab[ac] - [ac]bca - acb[ac] + [ac]bac. \end{aligned}$$




Figure 6: The 2-cells $[ba][ab]$ and $[ac]b[ac]$.

Remark 7.5. The construction of product cells works in greater generality. If $w \in \mathbf{W}_n$ is a word containing more than one letter of positive dimension, define c_w as a *product cell*. As before, write $w = w_0\sigma_1w_1\cdots\sigma_lw_l$ where $\dim(w_j) = 0$ and $\dim(\sigma_j) > 0$ (some of the w_j may equal the empty word). Set

$$c_w = c_{w_0} \times c_{\sigma_1} \times c_{w_1} \times \cdots \times c_{\sigma_l} \times c_{w_l}.$$

Here we assume the cells c_{σ_j} to have been previously constructed. \diamond

Remark 7.6. The reader will agree that an important part in the study of the CW complex \mathcal{D}_n is the construction of the boundary maps for permutations $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$, or, equivalently, of the cells c_σ . The basic blocks in this construction are permutations σ for which $1^\sigma > 1$. For instance, the construction of the boundary map for $\sigma = bcb$ is easy if we already discussed $\tilde{\sigma} = aba$.

Indeed, let $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$ be a letter of dimension k such that $1^\sigma = 1$. Equivalently, a reduced word for σ does not use the generator a_1 . Write a reduced word $\sigma = a_{n_1} \cdots a_{n_{k+1}}$ and $s = -1 + \min n_j > 0$. Set $\tilde{\sigma} = a_{n_1-s} \cdots a_{n_{k+1}-s} \in S_{n-s+1}$: the cell $c_{\tilde{\sigma}}$ is assumed to be already constructed. Define c_σ from $c_{\tilde{\sigma}}$ by adding s to the index of every generator of every letter. Notice that this fits with our construction of the 1-skeleton; see also Figure 4 for $c_{[bcb]}$. \diamond

8 Cells of dimension 2

Given n and Remarks 7.5 and 7.6, there is a finite (and short) list of possibilities of letters of dimension 2. In S_3 , the only letter of dimension 2 is $[aba]$: Figure 3 shows the elements of \mathbf{W}_2 (or \mathbf{W}_n) below $[aba]$, i.e., the smallest lower set containing $[aba]$ (in this case the partial orders \preceq and \sqsubseteq agree). See also Figures 1 and 4 for a transversal surface to the submanifold $\mathcal{L}_2[[aba]]$ and for the cell $c_{[aba]}$.

In S_4 we also have $[bcb]$ (which, from Remark 7.6, is similar to $[aba]$, as in Figure 4). The example $[acb]$ deserves special attention: it is discussed in detail in Section 9 of [14], valid cells are given in Example 8.2 and in Figure 8 below. The three remaining cells are $[abc]$, $[bac]$ and $[cba]$, for which transversal sections and valid cells are shown in Figure 7; in a homological notation:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial[abc] &= abc[ab] + a[bc] + [ac] - [bc]a - [ab]cba + ab[ac]ba, \\ \partial[bac] &= [ac]b - [bc]ab - bc[ba] - b[ac] - ba[bc] - [ba]cb, \\ \partial[cba] &= [ac] + c[ba] + cba[cb] + cb[ac]bc - [cb]abc - [ba]c. \end{aligned}$$

In each figure, we consider the family of paths constructed in Section 7 of [14] so that the functions m_j are polynomials in the real parameters x_1 and x_2




Figure 7: The cells $c_{[abc]}$, $c_{[bac]}$ and $c_{[cba]}$.

and in the variable t . The semialgebraic curves in the figure indicate regions for which the itinerary has positive dimension and therefore separate open regions for which the itinerary has dimension 0.

Consider $\sigma \in S_{n+1} \setminus \{e\}$, $\dim(\sigma) = k$, and the map $\phi : \mathbb{D}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n$ transversal to $\mathcal{L}_n[\sigma]$ constructed in Lemma 7.1 of [14] (and discussed above in Section 6). By construction of ϕ , if $x \in \mathbb{D}^k \setminus \{0\}$ and $\text{iti}(\phi(x)) = w$ there exists a continuous map $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^k$ such that $h(0) = 0$, $h(1) = x$ and $\text{iti}(\phi(h(s))) = w$ for all $s \in (0, 1]$. In particular, we have both $w \sqsubseteq \sigma$ and $w \preceq \sigma$. As we shall see in Example 8.2, the reciprocal does not hold.

Example 8.1. Take $n = 3$ and $\sigma = abc$: we discuss the first diagram of Figure 7. Following the construction in [14], we have

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{t^2}{2} & -t & -1 & 0 \\ t & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{t^3}{6} + x_2 t + x_1 & \frac{t^2}{2} + x_2 & t & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

and therefore

$$m_1(t) = \frac{t^3}{6} + x_2 t + x_1, \quad m_2(t) = \frac{t^2}{2} + x_2, \quad m_3(t) = -t.$$

The vertical line, corresponding to $[ac]$, has equation

$$\text{resultant}(m_1, m_3; t) = x_1 = 0.$$

More precisely, the positive semiaxis corresponds to itinerary $[ac]$ and the negative semiaxis corresponds to itinerary $ab[ac]ba$. The horizontal line, corresponding to $[bc]$, has equation

$$\text{discriminant}(m_2; t) = -2x_2 = 0 :$$

the positive semiaxis corresponds to itinerary $a[bc]$ and the negative semiaxis to $[bc]a$. Finally, the cusp-like curve in the figure, corresponding to $[ab]$, has equation

$$\text{resultant}(m_1, m_2; t) = \frac{x_2^3}{9} + \frac{x_1^2}{8} = 0;$$

in the third quadrant the itinerary is $[ab]cba$; in the fourth quadrant it is $abc[ab]$. \diamond

Example 8.2. Take $n = 3$ and $\sigma = acb$. The transversal section constructed in Example 7.3 of [14] implies that

$$[ac]b[ac] \subseteq [acb], \quad [ac]b[ac] \preceq [acb].$$

Notice that $\dim([ac]b[ac]) = \dim([acb]) = 2$. By transitivity we have

$$acbac, cabca, [ac]bac, [ac]bca, acb[ac], cab[ac] \subseteq [acb]$$

(and similarly for \preceq) but none of the itineraries on the left hand side appear in the transversal section constructed in Example 7.3 of [14].

It is easy, on the other hand, to perturb the map ϕ to obtain other maps transversal to $\mathcal{L}_n[[ac]b[ac]]$. Take

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} -t & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{t^3}{6} + xt & \frac{t^2}{2} + x & t & 0 \\ ut + 1 & u & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{t^2}{2} + y & t & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where u is to be thought of as a fixed real number of small absolute value: say, $|u| < 1/4$. Figure 8 shows the resulting sections. The construction in Example 7.3 of [14] corresponds to $u = 0$. See Example 7.4 of [14] for a slightly different construction; see also Section 9 of [14].




Figure 8: Two other transversal sections to $\mathcal{L}_3[[acb]]$ ($u < 0$ and $u > 0$).

Notice that the two diagrams differ combinatorially. For $u < 0$, the itinerary $acbac$ appears and $cabca$ does not; for $u > 0$ it is the other way round. Provided $\mathbf{I} \subset \mathbf{W}_3$ contains the 2-dimensional word $[ac]b[ac]$, the two boundary maps (from \mathbb{S}^1 to the 1-skeleton) are homotopic in $\mathcal{D}_3[\mathbf{I}]$, thus guaranteeing that $\mathcal{D}_3[\mathbf{I} \cup \{[acb]\}]$ is well defined, consistently with the results from Section 6. \diamond




Figure 9: The cells $c_{[abd]}$, $c_{[acd]}$, $c_{[adc]}$ and $c_{[bad]}$

In \mathcal{D}_4 there exist faces (i.e., cells of dimension 2) equivalent to those above in the sense of Remark 7.6 (such as $[bcd]$, which is equivalent to $[abc]$) but also a few genuinely new ones: $[abd]$, $[acd]$, $[adc]$ and $[bad]$, all shown in Figure 9; more generally, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial[aba_k] &= ab[aa_k] + a[ba_k]a + [aa_k]ba + a_k[ab] - [ba_k] - [ab]a_k, \quad k \geq 4; \\
\partial[aa_k a_{k+1}] &= a[a_k a_{k+1}] + [aa_{k+1}] - [a_k a_{k+1}]a \\
&\quad - a_k a_{k+1}[aa_k] - a_k[aa_{k+1}]a_k - [aa_k]a_{k+1}a_k, \quad k \geq 3; \\
\partial[aa_{k+1} a_k] &= a[a_{k+1} a_k] + [aa_{k+1}]a_k a_{k+1} + a_{k+1}[aa_k]a_{k+1} \\
&\quad + a_{k+1}a_k[aa_{k+1}] - [a_{k+1}a_k]a - [aa_k], \quad k \geq 3; \\
\partial[baa_k] &= [aa_k] + a_k[ba] - [ba_k]ab - b[aa_k]b - ba[ba_k] - [ba]a_k, \quad k \geq 4.
\end{aligned}$$

The only genuinely new face in \mathcal{D}_5 is $[a_1 a_3 a_5]$, shown in Figure 10; we have

$$\partial[aa_k a_l] = a[a_k a_l] + [aa_l]a_k + a_l[aa_k] - [a_k a_l]a - a_k[aa_l] - [aa_k]a_l, \quad 3 \leq k < l - 1.$$

9 Boundaries

The previous sections contain several examples of boundary maps of cells c_σ , $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$. We do not know a simple and general description of such boundary maps in higher dimension. In this section we prove some special cases.

Recall from Section 2 that $\sigma_0 \blacktriangleleft \sigma_1$ if $\sigma_0 \triangleleft \sigma_1$ and $\sigma_0 \equiv \sigma_1 \pmod{2}$, i.e., if $j_0 \equiv j_1 \pmod{2}$. The following proposition is the main result of this section.




Figure 10: The cell $c_{[a_1 a_3 a_5]}$.

Proposition 9.1. *Let $\sigma_0, \sigma_1 \in S_{n+1} \setminus \{e\}$, $w_0, w_1 \in \mathbf{W}_n$. If $\sigma_0 \blacktriangleleft \sigma_1$ then*

$$\mathcal{L}_n[w_0 \sigma_1 w_1] \subset \overline{\mathcal{L}_n[w_0 \sigma_0 w_1]}.$$

A valid boundary map for the cell $c_{w_0 \sigma_1 w_1}$ can be taken so as to include exactly one copy of $c_{w_0 \sigma_0 w_1}$. In particular, $w_0 \sigma_0 w_1 \preceq w_0 \sigma_1 w_1$ and $w_0 \sigma_0 w_1 \sqsubseteq w_0 \sigma_1 w_1$.

The proof of this proposition is postponed to the end of this section so that we can present examples and a preliminary, more technical lemma. It follows easily from Remark 2.4 that if $\sigma_0 \triangleleft \sigma_1$ and $\sigma_0 \blacktriangleleft \sigma_1$ then $\sigma_0 \not\sqsubseteq \sigma_1$.

Example 9.2. In these examples we take w_0 and w_1 both empty. The case $\sigma_0 = a \blacktriangleleft \sigma_1 = ba$ is illustrated in Figure 5, as is the case $\sigma_0 = b \blacktriangleleft \sigma_1 = ab$. The case $\sigma_0 = ac \blacktriangleleft \sigma_1 = abc$ is illustrated in Figure 7 (the top side is $[ac]$). The case $\sigma_0 = ac \blacktriangleleft \sigma_1 = cba$ is also illustrated in Figure 7 (the bottom side is $[ac]$). The cases $ab \blacktriangleleft acb$ and $cb \blacktriangleleft acb$ are both illustrated in Figure 8. Figure 9 illustrates the cases $bd \blacktriangleleft abd$, $ad \blacktriangleleft acd$, $ac \blacktriangleleft adc$ and $ad \blacktriangleleft bad$.

Notice that there is no $\sigma_0 \in S_4$ with $\sigma_0 \blacktriangleleft \sigma_1 = bac$ and consistently no side of $\partial \sigma_1$ is a word of length one; see Figure 7. Also, $\sigma_1 \in Y = S_{n+1} \setminus S_{\text{PA}}$ is low, with $\sigma_1 \blacktriangleleft \sigma'_1 = abac$.

Consider also $\sigma_1 = a_1 a_3 a_5 \in S_6$; no side of the boundary of c_{σ_1} is a word of length 1, see Figure 10. In this case we have $\sigma_1 \in S_{\text{PA}}$ and σ'_1 is undefined. There is no $\sigma_0 \in S_6$ with $\sigma_0 \blacktriangleleft \sigma_1$; we have, however, $\sigma_1 \blacktriangleleft a_1 a_2 a_3 a_5$. \diamond

Lemma 9.3. *Let $\sigma_0 \triangleleft \sigma_1 \in S_{n+1}$ with $\sigma_1 = (i_0 i_1) \sigma_0 = \sigma_0 (j_0 j_1)$. For $\Gamma \in \mathcal{L}_n[\sigma_1]$ there exist 0-dimensional words $w_0^+, w_0^-, w_1^+, w_1^- \in \mathbf{W}_n$ such that*

$$\Gamma \in \overline{\mathcal{L}_n[w_0^+ \sigma_0 w_1^+]} \cap \overline{\mathcal{L}_n[w_0^- \sigma_0 w_1^-]}.$$

In particular, we have

$$w_0^+ \sigma_0 w_1^+ \sqsubseteq \sigma_1, \quad w_0^+ \sigma_0 w_1^+ \preceq \sigma_1, \quad w_0^- \sigma_0 w_1^- \sqsubseteq \sigma_1, \quad w_0^- \sigma_0 w_1^- \preceq \sigma_1.$$

If $\sigma_0 \blacktriangleleft \sigma_1$ then w_0^+ and w_1^+ are both empty and

$$\text{mult}_k(w_0^-) = \text{mult}_k(w_1^-) = [i_0 \leq k < i_1].$$

If $\sigma_0 \blacktriangleright \sigma_1$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mult}_k(w_0^-) &= \text{mult}_k(w_1^+), & \text{mult}_k(w_0^+) &= \text{mult}_k(w_1^-), \\ \text{mult}_k(w_0^-) + \text{mult}_k(w_0^+) &= [i_0 \leq k < i_1]. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 9.4. Notice that we are not claiming that the two words $w_0^\pm \sigma_0 w_1^\pm$ are the *only* words of the form $\tilde{w}_0 \sigma_0 \tilde{w}_1$ for which we have $\Gamma \in \overline{\mathcal{L}_n[\tilde{w}_0 \sigma_0 \tilde{w}_1]}$, $\tilde{w}_0 \sigma_0 \tilde{w}_1 \sqsubseteq \sigma_1$ or $\tilde{w}_0 \sigma_0 \tilde{w}_1 \preceq \sigma_1$. In full generality such a claim is not correct. Indeed, for $\sigma_1 = [acb]$ and $\sigma_0 = [ac]$ we have

$$acb[ac], cab[ac], [ac]bac, [ac]bca, [ac]b[ac] \sqsubseteq [acb]$$

and similarly for \preceq . ◇

The following proof makes systematic use of both Theorem 4 and Lemma 7.2 in [15]. We recall Theorem 4: consider a smooth locally convex curve $\Gamma : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow \text{SO}_{n+1}$ with $\Gamma(0) \in \text{Bru}_{\eta\sigma}$. Let $m_j(t)$ be the determinant of the southwest $j \times j$ minor of $\Gamma(t)$. Then $t = 0$ is a zero of multiplicity $\text{mult}_j(\sigma)$ of m_j .

Proof of Lemma 9.3: Consider $\sigma_0 \triangleleft \sigma_1$ and let i_*, j_* be as in the statement. For $s \in \mathbb{R}$ (small), take $M_s : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{GL}_{n+1}$ with nonzero entries

$$(M_s)_{n+2-i,1} = \begin{cases} t^{(j_1-1)} + st^{(j_0-1)}, & i = i_0; \\ t^{(i\sigma_1-1)}, & i \neq i_0; \end{cases} \quad (M_s)_{i,j+1} = \frac{d}{dt}(M_s)_{i,j}.$$

This matrix M_0 has the form considered in Lemma 7.2 in [15] so its determinant is constant (in t), with the sign given by the parity of $\eta\sigma_1$ (η is the top permutation, the longest element of S_{n+1} in the generators a_j). The matrix M_s is obtained from M_0 by row operations so it has the same determinant. If necessary, change the sign of the first row of M_s so that $M_s : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{GL}_{n+1}^+$. Perform a QR factorization $M_s(t) = \Gamma_s(t)R_s(t)$ to obtain $\Gamma_s : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{SO}_{n+1}$: the curve Γ_s is locally convex.

Let $(M_s(t))_k$ and $(\Gamma_s(t))_k$ be the $k \times k$ southwest minors of $M_s(t)$ and $\Gamma_s(t)$, respectively. Consider the smooth functions

$$\tilde{m}_{s;k}(t) = \det((M_s(t))_k), \quad m_{\gamma_s;k}(t) = \det((\Gamma_s(t))_k);$$

the QR factorization shows that one is a positive multiple of the other. In order to study the multiplicities of zeroes we may as well use $\tilde{m}_{s;k}(t)$.

Let

$$C_{j,k} = \prod_{i_a < i_b \leq k} (i_a^{\sigma_j} - i_b^{\sigma_j}), \quad \tilde{C}_k = \frac{C_{1,k}}{C_{0,k}}, \quad d_{j,k} = -\frac{k(k-1)}{2} + \sum_{i \leq k} i^{\sigma_j}.$$

Notice that for $k < i_0$ and $k \geq i_1$ we have $d_{1,k} = d_{0,k}$ but for $i_0 \leq k < i_1$ we have $d_{1,k} = d_{0,k} + (j_1 - j_0)$. Also, for $k < i_0$ we have $\tilde{C}_k = 1$; for $i_0 \leq k < i_1$, \tilde{C}_k has the same sign as \tilde{C}_{i_0} (this is where we use the condition $\sigma_0 \triangleleft \sigma_1$).

For $k < i_0$ Lemma 7.2 in [15] gives $\tilde{m}_{s;k}(t) = C_{1,k}t^{d_{1,k}}$. For $k \geq i_1$, $(M_s(t))_k$ is obtained from $(M_0(t))_k$ by row operations and Lemma 7.2 in [15] again implies $\tilde{m}_{s;k}(t) = C_{1,k}t^{d_{1,k}}$. Finally, for $i_0 \leq k < i_1$, we use linearity of the determinant on row $n + 2 - i_0$; more precisely, let \hat{M} be defined by

$$(\hat{M})_{n+2-i,1} = t^{(i\sigma_0)}, \quad (\hat{M})_{i,j+1} = \frac{d}{dt}(\hat{M})_{i,j},$$

with southwest $k \times k$ minor $(\hat{M})_k$ and let $\hat{m}_k(t) = \det((\hat{M}(t))_k)$. By linearity and Lemma 7.2 in [15] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{m}_{s;k}(t) &= \det((M_s(t))_k) = \det((M_0(t))_k) + s \det((\hat{M}(t))_k) \\ &= \tilde{m}_{0;k}(t) + s\hat{m}_k(t) = C_{1,k}t^{d_{1,k}} + C_{0,k}st^{d_{0,k}} = C_{0,k}t^{d_{0,k}}(\tilde{C}_k t^{(j_1-j_0)} + s). \end{aligned}$$

The multiplicities imply that $\sigma(\gamma_0, 0) = \sigma_1$ and $\sigma(\gamma_s, 0) = \sigma_0$ for $s \neq 0$ (here $\sigma(\gamma, t) \in S_{n+1}$ denotes the singularity type of γ at time t , as in the definition of itinerary). If $j_1 - j_0$ is odd there are extra roots with $t \neq 0$; if $j_1 - j_0$ is even and s has the same sign as \tilde{C}_{i_0} then there are no other real roots. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Proposition 9.1. We first consider the case when both words w_0 and w_1 are empty. Set $\rho_i = \eta\sigma_i$, $z_1 = \rho_1$ and $d_1 = \text{inv}(\sigma_1) - 1$. In order to construct a section, we start with a locally convex curve $\Gamma : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow \text{Spin}_{n+1}$ with $\Gamma(0) = z_1$. We then translate the curve Γ to define a family Γ_s of curves, s in a small ball around $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1}$. From Lemma 2.5, if $\sigma_0 \triangleleft \sigma_1$ then $2 \text{mult}(\sigma_0) \not\leq \text{mult}(\sigma_1)$. From Theorem 4 in [14], each curve Γ_s intersects the manifold N (as in Lemma 3.5) at most once. This implies that there exists a curve of values of s through 0 of curves passing through a (single) point of N . Thus, for a nice ball, the boundary map contains two points with itinerary including σ_0 : these are the two points identified by Lemma 9.3.

The general case (arbitrary w_0 and w_1) is handled as in Remark 7.5. \square

10 Loose and tight maps

In this section $*$ denotes the concatenation of curves: if $\gamma_0 \in \mathcal{L}_n(1)$ and $\gamma_1 \in \mathcal{L}_n(z)$ then $\gamma_0 * \gamma_1 \in \mathcal{L}_n(z)$ is given by

$$(\gamma_0 * \gamma_1)(t) = \begin{cases} \gamma_0(2t), & t \leq 1/2; \\ \gamma_1(2t - 1), & t \geq 1/2. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, the curve $\gamma_0 * \gamma_1$ can fail to be smooth at $t = 1/2$: as discussed in previous occasions (in several papers), apply a smoothening procedure. For $\gamma_0 \in \mathcal{L}_n(1)$ and $\gamma_1 \in \mathcal{L}_n$, the itinerary of $\gamma_0 * \gamma_1$ is $w_{\gamma_0 * \gamma_1} = w_{\gamma_0} \eta w_{\gamma_1}$ (where $\eta \in S_{n+1}$ is the top permutation).

There exists a related construction in the CW complex \mathcal{D}_n , a continuous product $m : \mathcal{D}_n \times \mathcal{D}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n$. Given two words $w_0, w_1 \in \mathbf{W}_n$, we saw in Remark 7.5 that there exists a bijection from $c_{w_0} \times c_{w_1}$ to $c_{w_0 w_1}$. The product is defined by taking this bijection for every pair of cells, so that $m[c_{w_0} \times c_{w_1}] = c_{w_0 w_1}$. Thus, for instance, if w_0 is a word of dimension 0, the map $m_{w_0} : \mathcal{D}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n$ defined by $m_{w_0}(w_1) = m(w_0, w_1)$ is a homeomorphism taking cells to cells from \mathcal{D}_n to the open subset $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_{w_0}]$, where $\mathbf{I}_{w_0} \subseteq \mathbf{W}_n$ is the lower set of words w of the form $w = w_0 \tilde{w}$, $\tilde{w} \in \mathbf{W}_n$. For brevity, for $w_0 \in \mathbf{W}_n$, $\dim(w_0) = 0$, and $f : K \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n$ we write $w_0 f : K \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n$, $(w_0 f)(p) = m(w_0, f(p))$. Similarly, for $p_0 \in \mathcal{D}_n$ we write $p_0 f : K \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n$ for $(p_0 f)(p) = m(p_0, f(p))$.

Let $\gamma_0 \in \mathcal{L}_n(1)$ be a fixed non-convex closed curve. Let M be a compact manifold and consider a map $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n(z)$: ϕ is *loose* if ϕ is homotopic to

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_0 * \phi : M &\rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n(z) \\ s &\mapsto \gamma_0 * \phi(s); \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

otherwise, ϕ is *tight*. These definitions generalize those in [24]; there, $n = 2$ and γ_0 is a fixed curve. It is easy to see, however, that the definition does not depend on the choice of γ_0 . Indeed, if $\gamma_1 \in \mathcal{L}_n(1)$ is another non-convex closed curve then γ_0 and γ_1 are homotopic (from Proposition 7.1). Also, γ_0 is homotopic to $\gamma_0^2 = \gamma_0 * \gamma_0$ and to $\gamma_0^N = \gamma_0 * \gamma_0^{N-1} = \gamma_0 * \dots * \gamma_0$.

The following lemma gives a characterization of loose maps $f : K \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n$.

Lemma 10.1. *A map $f_0 : K \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n$ is loose if and only if f_0 is homotopic to the map $f_1 = aaaa f_0$.*

Proof. Let $w_0 = \text{iti}(\gamma_0)$. Take a short convex curve $\gamma_1 : [-1, 1] \rightarrow \text{Spin}_{n+1}$ with $\gamma_1(0) = 1$ so that $\text{iti}(\gamma_1) = \eta$. Slightly perturb γ_1 with fixed endpoints so that its itinerary w_1 has dimension 0. Thus, for $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}_n$ with $\text{iti}(\gamma) = w$ we have $\text{iti}(\gamma_0 * \gamma) = w_0 \eta w$ but a small perturbation of $\gamma_0 * \gamma$ has itinerary $w_0 w_1 w$.

Let $f_2 = \gamma_0 * f_0$. Perturb each curve f_2 near the glueing point to define a homotopic function $f_3 : K \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n$ with $\text{iti}(f_3(p)) = w_0 w_1 \text{iti}(f_0(p))$. Take $f_4 = w_0 w_1 f_0 : K \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n \subset \mathcal{L}_n$. The functions f_3 and f_4 are homotopic. Indeed, up to details in the construction of \mathcal{D}_n we might have $f_3 = f_4$. Thus, f_0 is loose if and only if f_0 and f_4 are homotopic.

We claim that f_1 and f_4 are homotopic, completing the proof. Indeed, apply Proposition 7.1 to obtain a path $\delta : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n$ assuming values in the 1-skeleton of \mathcal{D}_n with $\delta(0) = aaaa$, $\delta(1) = w_0 w_1$. Define $H : [0, 1] \times K \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n$, $H(s, p) = \delta(s) f_0(p) = m(\delta(s), f_0(p))$: H is the desired homotopy between f_1 and f_4 . \square

The following result partially justifies the interest in considering loose maps. Recall that $\Omega \text{Spin}_{n+1}(1; z)$ is the space of continuous maps $\Gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Spin}_{n+1}$ with $\Gamma(0) = 1$ and $\Gamma(1) = z$, so that we have a continuous inclusion map $i : \mathcal{L}_n(z) \rightarrow \Omega \text{Spin}_{n+1}(1; z)$.

Lemma 10.2. *Let M be a compact manifold and consider maps $\phi_0, \phi_1 : M \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n(z)$. If ϕ_0 and ϕ_1 are both loose and $i \circ \phi_0, i \circ \phi_1$ are homotopic (in the space $\Omega \text{Spin}_{n+1}(1; z)$) then ϕ_0 and ϕ_1 are homotopic (in $\mathcal{L}_n(z)$).*

Proof. This result is proved in [25] and, for $n = 2$, in [24]. Since this is such a crucial result we present here a brief sketch of proof.




Figure 11: Any base curve becomes locally convex if we add loops.

If ϕ_0 is loose then it is homotopic to $\gamma_0 * \phi_0$ and therefore to $\gamma_0^N * \phi_0$ (for a very large natural number N). The copies of γ_0 are then spread along ϕ_0 . At this stage our curve looks like a phone wire (see Figure 11); the many loops allow us to follow the homotopy in ΩSpin_{n+1} and keep our curves locally convex. \square

One important construction in [29] and [24] is the add-loop procedure, which, in certain cases, is used to loosen up compact families of nondegenerate curves through a homotopy in \mathcal{L}_n . The resulting families of curly curves are then maleable: if a homotopy exists in the space of immersions, another homotopy exists in the space of locally convex curves. In [24], for instance, open dense subsets $\mathcal{Y}_\pm \subset \mathcal{L}_2(\pm 1)$ are shown to be homotopy equivalent to the space of loops $\Omega \mathbb{S}^3$. Thus, certain questions regarding homotopies can be transferred to the space of continuous paths in the group Spin_{n+1} . This approach is reminiscent of classical constructions such as the proof of Hirsch-Smale Theorem [17, 31] and Thurston's eversion of the sphere by corrugations [21]. It can be considered as an elementary instance of the h-principle of Eliashberg and Gromov [9, 16].

We now restate Lemma 6.6 in [24], with minor changes. This is in a sense a more general version of Lemma 10.2 above (with a more complicated statement). Compared to the situation in [24], we are now in arbitrary dimension. Also, the concept of “adding two loops” has to be adapted. The proof, however, is very

much the same; we give a more succinct version here, the proof given in [24] is more careful.

If $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}_n(1)$ and $z \in \text{Spin}_{n+1}$, then $z\gamma$ denotes the closed curve $z\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Spin}_{n+1}$, $(z\gamma)(t) = z(\gamma(t))$.

Lemma 10.3. *Let K be a compact manifold and $f : K \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n$ a continuous map. Let J be a positive integer and let $(\gamma_j)_{1 \leq j \leq J}$ be a family of non-convex closed curves $\gamma_j \in \mathcal{L}_n(1)$. Assume that:*

1. $t_{1,-}, t_{1,+}, t_{2,-}, \dots, t_{J,+} : K \rightarrow (0, 1)$ are continuous functions with $0 < t_{1,-} < t_{1,+} < t_{2,-} < \dots < t_{J,+} < 1$;
2. the family of open sets $(U_j)_{j \leq J}$ covers K , that is, $K = \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq J} U_j$;
3. for $p \in U_j$, $f(p)(t_{j,-}(p)) = f(p)(t_{j,+}(p))$ and the restriction $f(p)|_{[t_{j,-}(p), t_{j,+}(p)]}$ is a reparametrization in time of the closed curve $(f(p)(t_{j,-}(p)))\gamma_j$.

Then the map f is loose.

From Proposition 7.1, each curve γ_j is homotopic to γ_0 . Notice that in Lemma 6.6 in [24] the curves γ_j , $1 \leq j \leq J$, and γ_0 are all equal to ν_2 , a circle traversed twice, and the closed curve $f(p)|_{[t_{j,-}(p), t_{j,+}(p)]}$ is a pair of loops.

Proof of Lemma 10.3. Recall that $\gamma_j \sim \gamma_0^N * \gamma_j$, that is, there exists a path in $\mathcal{L}_n(1)$ from γ_j to $\gamma_0^N * \gamma_j$ (here N is a large natural number, to be chosen later). Apply this path near the boundary of each open set U_j so that in a slightly smaller open set \tilde{U}_j the closed curve $f(p)|_{[t_{j,-}(p), t_{j,+}(p)]}$ is now (a reparametrization of) $(f(p)(t_{j,-}(p))) (\gamma_0^N * \gamma_j)$. We may assume that the open sets \tilde{U}_j still cover K . For each $p \in K$, spread the copies of γ_0 which appear along $f(p)$ in time, so that now we have “phone wire” curves as in Figure 11. As in the proof of Lemma 10.2, apply the homotopy which is known to exist in the space ΩSpin_{n+1} to the base curves. For sufficiently large N , the “phone wire” structure is sufficient to give us local convexity, thus obtaining the desired homotopy in \mathcal{L}_n . \square

11 Loose ideals

A non empty lower set $\mathbf{I} \subset \mathbf{W}_n$ for both \sqsubseteq and \preceq is an *ideal* if $w_1 \in \mathbf{I}$ and $w_2 \in \mathbf{W}_n$ imply $w_1 w_2, w_2 w_1 \in \mathbf{I}$. The lower sets $\mathbf{I}_{[0]}$, \mathbf{I}_{Y_2} and \mathbf{I}_Y (discussed in Examples 5.3, 5.2 and 5.1) are ideals. A lower set \mathbf{I} is a *weakly loose ideal* if it is an ideal and every map $f : K \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}] \subset \mathcal{L}_n$ is loose. Notice that we do not claim that the homotopy between f and $\gamma_0 * f$ in Equation (11) has image contained in $\mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}]$. A weakly loose ideal \mathbf{I} is (*strongly*) *loose* if for every map

$f : K \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}] \subset \mathcal{L}_n$ there exists a homotopy $H : [0, 1] \times K \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}]$ between f and $\gamma_0 * f$.

A *nice loop* is a periodic locally convex but non convex curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \text{Spin}_{n+1}$ with $\gamma(0) = \gamma(1) \in \text{Bru}_\eta$ and image contained in $\text{Bru}_\eta \cup \bigcup_i \text{Bru}_{\eta a_i}$. For arbitrary n there exist nice loops: take an arbitrary periodic locally convex curve $\tilde{\gamma} \in \mathcal{L}_n(1)$ and take $\gamma = z\tilde{\gamma}$ for generic $z \in \text{Spin}_{n+1}$. The itinerary $\text{iti}(\gamma) \in \mathbf{W}_n$ of a nice loop γ is defined similarly to itineraries of curves in \mathcal{L}_n . More precisely, $\gamma^{-1}[\bigcup_i \text{Bru}_{\eta a_i}] \subset (0, 1)$ is a finite set $\{t_1 < \dots < t_\ell\}$. For $j \leq \ell$, take i_j such that $\gamma(t_j) \in \text{Bru}_{\eta a_{i_j}}$ and set $\text{iti}(\gamma) = a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_\ell} \in \mathbf{W}_n$.

A word $w \in \mathbf{W}_n$ is a *loop word* if there exists a nice loop γ with $\text{iti}(\gamma) = w$. Notice that if w is a loop word then $\dim(w) = 0$ and $\hat{w} = 1$. For $n = 2$, $aaaa$ is a loop word. Investigating exactly which words are loop words is an interesting question which we shall not pursue.

Lemma 11.1. *Let $w \in \mathbf{W}_n$ be a loop word. There exist $\gamma_\star \in \mathcal{L}_n(\hat{\eta})$ with the following properties:*

1. *The itinerary of γ_\star is $w = \text{iti}(\gamma_\star)$.*
2. *The arcs $\gamma_\star|_{[0, \frac{1}{3}]}$ and $\gamma_\star|_{[\frac{2}{3}, 1]}$ are convex.*
3. *We have $\gamma_\star(\frac{1}{3}) = \gamma_\star(\frac{2}{3}) = \hat{\eta}$.*

Proof. Take a nice loop $\tilde{\gamma} : [\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}] \rightarrow \text{Spin}_{n+1}$. Multiplication by an element of Quat_{n+1} allows us to assume that $\tilde{\gamma}(\frac{1}{3}) \in \text{Bru}_{\hat{\eta}}$. By applying a projective transformation (see Remark 11.2), we may also assume that $\tilde{\gamma}(\frac{1}{3}) = \hat{\eta}$. Extend the curve $\tilde{\gamma}$ by two convex arcs (from 1 to $\hat{\eta}$ and from $\hat{\eta}$ to $\hat{\eta}$) to define the desired curve γ_\star . \square

Remark 11.2. For γ_\star as in Lemma 11.1, it is the restriction $\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma_\star|_{[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}]}$ which is a closed curve, not γ_\star (unless n is such that $\hat{\eta} = 1$).

In the above proof we used projective transformations, which are discussed in other papers, particularly in Section 2.1 of [14]. We try to avoid introducing extra notation for such transformations and will use them in other proofs without further comments. \diamond

Proposition 11.3. *The set $\mathbf{I}_{[0]} \subset \mathbf{W}_n$ of words containing at least one letter of dimension 0 is a weakly loose ideal. In particular, if K is a compact manifold and $f : K \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_{[0]}] \subset \mathcal{L}_n$ is a continuous map then f is loose.*

Remark 11.4. Take $K = \mathbb{S}^0 = \{+1, -1\}$ and $f_0, f_1 : K \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_{[0]}]$, $f_1 = aaaa f_0$ so that

$$f_0(+1) = a, \quad f_0(-1) = f_1(+1) = aaaaa, \quad f_1(-1) = aaaaaaaaaa.$$

Proposition 7.1 implies that f_0 and f_1 are homotopic in \mathcal{D}_n , consistently with Proposition 11.3 which says that f_0 is loose and Lemma 10.1 which says that f_0 and f_1 are therefore homotopic in \mathcal{D}_n . On the other hand, f_0 and f_1 are not homotopic in $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_{[0]}]$ (or in $\mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}_{[0]}]$): the cell c_a is an isolated vertex in $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_{[0]}]$. This proves that $\mathbf{I}_{[0]}$ is not (strongly) loose. \diamond

Proof of Proposition 11.3. The set $\mathbf{I}_{[0]}$ is clearly a lower set for both \preceq and \sqsubseteq ; it is also an ideal. All we have to prove is therefore the last claim in the statement: that the map f is loose. The idea of the proof is to start with $f_0 = f$ as in the statement and deform it (i.e., apply a homotopy) first in \mathcal{D}_n and then in \mathcal{L}_n to obtain a finite sequence of functions $f_i : K \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n$. The function f_3 satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 10.3 and is therefore loose: thus, so is the original f . Let $\gamma_\bullet \in \mathcal{L}_n(1)$ be a periodic non convex curve (as γ_0 in Equation (11)). Let w_0 be a loop word, the itinerary of the nice loop $\gamma_0 = z\gamma_\bullet$, where $z \in \text{Spin}_{n+1}$ is a generic element.

We first describe the strategy of the proof. The function $f_1 : K \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n$ has the property that for every $p \in K$ if $f_1(p) \in c_w$ then w contains a subword w_0^N (where N is a large positive integer). We then prove that the function f_1 satisfies conditions similar (but not identical) to those in Lemma 10.3. More precisely, there exists a finite open cover $(U_j)_{1 \leq j \leq J}$ of K and continuous functions $t_{1,-2} < t_{1,+2} < \dots < t_{J,-2} < t_{J,+2} : K \rightarrow (0,1)$ such that if $p \in U_j$ then the arc $f_1(p)|_{[t_{j,-2}(p), t_{j,+2}(p)]}$ has itinerary w_0 . From f_1 to f_2 the homotopy takes place in \mathcal{L}_n . The function $f_2 : K \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n$ satisfies yet another variation of the conditions in Lemma 10.3. More precisely, there exist continuous functions $t_{j,-}, t_{j,+} : U_j \rightarrow (0,1)$ with $t_{j,-2} < t_{j,-} < t_{j,+} < t_{j,+2}$ such that $p \in U_j$ implies that $f(p)(t_{j,-}(p)) = f(p)(t_{j,+}(p))$ and the arc $f(p)|_{[t_{j,-}(p), t_{j,+}(p)]}$ is obtained from γ_0 by a projective transformation. Finally, a homotopy takes f_2 to $f_3 : K \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n$ satisfying the original conditions: the arcs $f(p)|_{[t_{j,-}(p), t_{j,+}(p)]}$ are obtained from γ_0 by multiplication.

For a function $f_0 : K \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n$ we have a finite open cover $(U_j)_{1 \leq j \leq J}$ of K such that each U_j is connected and for $p \in U_j$, the itinerary of $f_0(p)$ is of the form $w_-(p)a_{i_j}w_+(p)$. Similarly, a function $f_0 : K \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n$ can be slightly modified by a homotopy so that we have a finite open cover $(U_j)_{1 \leq j \leq J}$ of K such that each U_j is connected and $p \in U_j$ implies that $f(p) \in c_{w(p)}$ where the word $w(p)$ is of the form $w_-(p)a_{i_j}w_+(p)$. Moreover, we can take the cover so that there are continuous functions $f_{\pm,j} : U_j \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n$ such that, for all $p \in U_j$, $f(p) = f_{-,j}(p)a_{i_j}f_{+,j}(p)$. Take a family of compact manifolds with boundary $W_j \subset U_j$ such that the sets $U_j^{(0)} = \text{int}(W_j)$ cover K . Take tubular neighborhoods of ∂W_j , i.e., local homeomorphisms $\Phi_j : \partial W_j \times [-1,1] \rightarrow U_j$ such that $\Phi_j(p,0) = p$ and $\Phi_j(p,t) \in \text{int}(W_j)$ for all $p \in \partial W_j$ and $t > 0$. For $s \in [0,1]$, set $U_j^{(s)} = \text{int}(W_j) \setminus \Phi_j[\partial W_j \times [-1,s]]$ so

that $s_0 < s_1$ implies $U_j \supset U_j^{(s_0)} \supset U_j^{(s_1)}$. We also assume that the open sets $(U_j^{(1)})_{1 \leq j \leq J}$ cover K .

From Proposition 7.1, $a_i \sim a_i w_0^N$, where N is a large positive integer to be specified later. In other words, there exists a path δ_i from $[0, 1]$ to the 1-skeleton of \mathcal{D}_n satisfying:

$$\delta_i : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n, \quad \delta_i(0) \in c_{a_i} \subset \mathcal{D}_n, \quad \delta_i(1) \in c_{a_i w_0^N}. \quad (12)$$

The paths a_i^H constructed in Example 7.4 can be used here. Let a'_i be, as in Section 2, a letter of dimension 1 (with abuse, a segment) joining a_i to a word a_i^* of dimension 0 and length 3:

$$a' = [ba], a^* = bab, b' = [ab], b^* = aba, \dots, a'_{i+1} = [a_i a_{i+1}], a^*_{i+1} = a_i a_{i+1} a_i, \dots$$

We may assume that $\delta_i(t) \in c_{a'_i}$ for $t \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, $\delta_i(\frac{1}{2}) \in c_{a_i^*}$, $\delta_i(\frac{3}{4}) \in c_{aaaaa_i}$ and $\delta_i(1) \in c_{a_i w_0^N}$. Notice that for $t \geq \frac{1}{2}$ if $\delta_i(t) \in c_w$ then w has a letter of dimension 0.

Define a map $f_1 : K \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n$ as follows. For $p \in U_j^{(1/2)}$, $f_1(p)$ is obtained from $f_0(p)$ by replacing a_{i_j} by $a_{i_j} w_0^N$. More precisely: take p in K and $w \in \mathbf{I}_{[0]}$ such that $f(p) \in c_w$. Let J_p be the set of j for which $p \in U_j^{(0)}$. To each j in J_p corresponds a copy of the letter a_{i_j} in w . Notice that such copies need not be distinct in the word w : for $j_0 \neq j_1 \in J_p$, we may have $i_{j_0} = i_{j_1}$ and the open sets U_{j_0} and U_{j_1} may both point to the exact same copy of $a_{i_{j_0}} = a_{i_{j_1}}$ in w . If this happens, we say that j_0 and j_1 are *equivalent*. Write $w = w_1 a_{i_{j_1}} w_2 \dots w_k a_{i_{j_k}} w_{k+1}$ where j_1, \dots, j_k are representatives of the equivalent classes in J_p .

For each $j \in J_p$, define $\tilde{s}_j = 2 \sup\{s \in [0, 1/2] \mid p \in U_j^{(s)}\} \in [0, 1]$; notice that for any $p \in K$ there exists $j \in J_p$ such that $\tilde{s}_j = 1$. For a representative j_κ ($1 \leq \kappa \leq k$), define s_{j_κ} to be the maximum of all values of \tilde{s}_j , j equivalent to j_κ . Write $c_w = c_{w_1} \times a_{i_{j_1}} \times \dots \times a_{i_{j_k}} \times c_{w_{k+1}}$ and

$$f_0(p) = (p_1, a_{i_{j_1}}, \dots, a_{i_{j_k}}, p_{k+1}) \quad (13)$$

(so that, for instance, $p_1 \in c_{w_1}$). (Notice that, as we often do, we use a word of dimension 0 to denote both a point in \mathcal{D}_n and the cell whose only element is said point.) Define

$$f_1(p) = (p_1, \delta_{i_{j_1}}(s_{j_1}), \dots, \delta_{i_{j_k}}(s_{j_k}), p_{k+1}). \quad (14)$$

Similarly, define a homotopy $H_1 : K \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n$ from f_0 to f_1 by

$$H_1(p, s) = (p_1, \delta_{i_{j_1}}(\min\{s, s_{j_1}\}), \dots, \delta_{i_{j_k}}(\min\{s, s_{j_k}\}), p_{k+1}). \quad (15)$$

This completes the construction of f_1 . Notice that f_1 assumes values in $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_{[0]}] \subset \mathcal{D}_n$ and the homotopy H_1 assumes values in \mathcal{D}_n : nothing guarantees that the image of H_1 is contained in $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_{[0]}]$ (see Remark 11.4). It is not clear at this point

that f_1 together with the cover (U_j) satisfies the property stated above, that is, there are at this point no functions $t_{j,\pm 2}$. We address this issue by modifying the cover (but not the function f_1).

A first difficulty is that in the intersection $U_{j_1} \cap U_{j_2}$ (with $j_1 \neq j_2$) the same copy of w_0^N should not be used by the two open sets. This is easily addressed by taking N sufficiently large. More precisely, so that each set U_j has a copy of w_0^N we perform the previous construction not with N but with $\tilde{N} > JN$. The set U_j then has the j -th copy of w_0^N inside the copy of $w_0^{\tilde{N}}$. From now on we assume that this first difficulty has been taken care of.

A second difficulty is that copies of w_0^N should appear in the itinerary of $f_1(p)$ in the same order as the indices j . Permuting the indices j may not solve the problem, but taking N large, followed by a refinement of the covering, does. Indeed, assume $N > J$. Define continuous functions $\tilde{t}_{j,-}, \tilde{t}_{j,+} : U_j \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that, for $p \in U_j$, $\tilde{t}_{j,-}(p) < \tilde{t}_{j,+}(p)$ and the itinerary of the arc $f_1(p)|_{[\tilde{t}_{j,-}(p), \tilde{t}_{j,+}(p)]}$ is w_0^N . Set $\tilde{t}_j = (\tilde{t}_{j,-} + \tilde{t}_{j,+})/2 : U_j \rightarrow [0, 1]$. The functions \tilde{t}_j can be defined so as to be extendable to K ; furthermore, we may assume that for any p there exists at most one pair (j_0, j_1) with $j_0 < j_1$ and $\tilde{t}_{j_0}(p) = \tilde{t}_{j_1}(p)$. Let $\tau_j(p) = \text{card}\{j' \mid \tilde{t}_{j'}(p) \leq \tilde{t}_j(p)\} \in [1, J]$. Let $U_{j,j'} \subseteq U_j$ be an open set such that $p \in U_j$ and $\tau_j(p) = j'$ imply $p \in U_{j,j'}$. The sets can be chosen so that if $j_0 \neq j_1$ then $U_{j_0,j'}$ and $U_{j_1,j'}$ are disjoint. Assign to $U_{j,j'}$ the j' -th copy of w_0 in $w_0^N = \text{iti}(f_1(p)|_{[\tilde{t}_{j,-}(p), \tilde{t}_{j,+}(p)]})$. More precisely, define functions $\tilde{t}_{j,j',-}, \tilde{t}_{j,j',+} : U_{j,j'} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $\tilde{t}_{j,-} < \tilde{t}_{j,j',-} < \tilde{t}_{j,j',+} < \tilde{t}_{j,+}$ and such that $\text{iti}(f_1(p)|_{[\tilde{t}_{j,-}(p), \tilde{t}_{j,j',-}(p)]}) = w_0^{j'-1}$, $\text{iti}(f_1(p)|_{[\tilde{t}_{j,j',-}(p), \tilde{t}_{j,j',+}(p)]}) = w_0$ and $\text{iti}(f_1(p)|_{[\tilde{t}_{j,j',+}(p), \tilde{t}_{j,+}(p)]}) = w_0^{N-j'}$. The functions can be chosen so that $p \in U_{j_0,j'_0} \cap U_{j_1,j'_1}$ and $j'_0 < j'_1$ imply $\tilde{t}_{j_0,j'_0,+}(p) < \tilde{t}_{j_1,j'_1,-}(p)$. Relabel the non empty sets $U_{j,j'}$ in increasing order of j' , allowing us to define the functions $t_{j,\pm 2}$. This completes the discussion of f_1 .

We now discuss the construction of f_2 and of the homotopy from f_1 to f_2 . For $p \in U_j$, the arc $f_1(p)|_{[t_{j,-2}(p), t_{j,+2}(p)]}$ has itinerary w_0 . For f_2 , we want that arc to contain a closed curve, a copy of γ_0 (up to projective transformation). We show how to achieve this by a homotopy, working for one value of j at a time. It will be noticed that the construction for $j = j_2$ does not spoil the previously performed construction for $j = j_1 < j_2$. For this, take families of subsets $U_{j,0} \subset W_{j,0} \subset U_{j,1} \subset W_{j,1} \subset U_j$ such that $U_{j,*}$ are open sets, $W_{j,*}$ are compact manifolds with boundary and the family $(U_{j,0})_{1 \leq j \leq J}$ covers K . As usual, after this step we drop the extra index and write U_j instead of $U_{j,0}$.

Given j , assume $f_{1+\frac{j-1}{J}}$ constructed with the property $f_{1+\frac{j-1}{J}}(p)(t_{j',-1}(p)) = f_{1+\frac{j-1}{J}}(p)(t_{j',+1}(p))$ for $p \in U_{j',0}$ and $j' < j$. We want to construct a homotopic function $f_{1+\frac{j}{J}}$ with the same property for all $j' \leq j$. Let $q_j \in \text{Quat}_{n+1}$ such that $f_1(p)(t_{j,\pm 2}(p)) \in \text{Bru}_{q_j \dot{\eta}}$. Let $t_{j,\pm \frac{1}{2}}(p)$ be the times corresponding to the first and last letter in the arc $f_1(p)|_{[t_{j,-2}(p), t_{j,+2}(p)]}$; notice that, from Theorem 1

in [14], these are continuously defined for $p \in U_j$. Define functions $t_{j,\pm 1}(p) = (2t_{j,\pm \frac{1}{2}}(p) + t_{j,\pm 2}(p))/3$ and $t_{j,\pm \frac{3}{2}}(p) = (t_{j,\pm \frac{1}{2}}(p) + 2t_{j,\pm 2}(p))/3$ so that $t_{j,-2} < t_{j,-\frac{3}{2}} < t_{j,-1} < t_{j,-\frac{1}{2}} < t_{j,+\frac{1}{2}} < t_{j,+1} < t_{j,+\frac{3}{2}} < t_{j,+2}$. Draw a family of convex arcs from q_j to $f_1(p)(t_{j,-\frac{3}{2}}(p))$ (with domain $[0, t_{j,-\frac{3}{2}}(p)]$) and from $f_1(p)(t_{j,+\frac{3}{2}}(p))$ to $q_j\hat{\eta}$. (with domain $[t_{j,+\frac{3}{2}}(p), 1]$). Multiplication by q_j^{-1} defines a continuous map $g_{j,0} : W_{j,1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n[w_0] \subset \mathcal{L}_n(\hat{\eta})$ with $q_j g_{j,0}(p)(t) = f_1(p)(t)$. Since $\mathcal{L}_n[w_0]$ is a contractible Hilbert manifold, there exists a homotopy from $g_{j,0}$ to $g_{j,1} : W_{j,1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n[w_0]$ with the following properties:

1. The restrictions $g_{j,0}|_{\partial W_{j,1}}$ and $g_{j,1}|_{\partial W_{j,1}}$ are equal.
2. Up to reparametrization, the restriction $g_{j,1}|_{W_{j,0}}$ is constant equal to γ_\star (the curve introduced in Lemma 11.1). The reparametrization takes $t_{j,-1}(p)$ and $t_{j,+1}(p)$ to $1/3$ and $2/3$ so that $g_{j,1}(p)(t_{j,-1}(p)) = g_{j,1}(p)(t_{j,+1}(p)) = \hat{\eta}$.
3. For all $p \in W_{j,1}$ and all $s \in [0, 1]$, we have $g_{j,s}(p)(t_{j,-2}(p)) \in \text{Bru}_{\hat{\eta}}$ and $g_{j,s}(p)(t_{j,+2}(p)) \in \text{Bru}_{\hat{\eta}}$.

Here of course $g_{j,s}(p) = H(s, p)$, where H is the homotopy from $g_{j,0}$ to $g_{j,1}$. The careful reader will have noticed that we did not pay attention to differentiability of curves in \mathcal{L}_n at glueing points. Curves can be smoothened at such points, as has been amply discussed in several papers.

The maps $g_{j,*}$ and the homotopy above guide us to construct $f_{1+\frac{j}{J}}$ and the homotopy with $f_{1+\frac{j-1}{J}}$. First, consider the arcs obtained by restricting $f_{1+\frac{j-1}{J}}(p)$ to $[0, t_{j,-\frac{3}{2}}(p)]$. The corresponding arc in $f_{1+\frac{j-1+s}{J}}(p)$ is obtained by a projective transformation taking $f_{1+\frac{j-1}{J}}(p)(t_{j,-\frac{3}{2}}(p)) \in \text{Bru}_{q_j\hat{\eta}}$ to $q_j g_{j,s}(p)(t_{j,-\frac{3}{2}}(p)) \in \text{Bru}_{q_j\hat{\eta}}$. Consider now the restriction of $f_{1+\frac{j-1}{J}}(p)$ to $[t_{j,+\frac{3}{2}}(p), 1]$: the corresponding arc in $f_{1+\frac{j-1+s}{J}}(p)$ is obtained by a projective transformation taking $f_{1+\frac{j-1}{J}}(p)(t_{j,+\frac{3}{2}}(p)) \in \text{Bru}_{q_j\hat{\eta}}$ to $q_j g_{j,s}(p)(t_{j,+\frac{3}{2}}(p)) \in \text{Bru}_{q_j\hat{\eta}}$. Finally, the restriction of $f_{1+\frac{j-1+s}{J}}(p)$ to $[t_{j,-\frac{3}{2}}(p), t_{j,+\frac{3}{2}}(p)]$ is $q_j g_{j,s}(p)$. Again, smoothening procedures are implicit; notice that they have no effect on itineraries or on the condition $f_2(p)(t_{j,-1}(p)) = f_2(p)(t_{j,+1}(p))$. This completes step j in the construction of f_2 and the discussion of f_2 .

Notice that the closed loop $f_2(p)|_{[t_{j,-1}(p), t_{j,+1}(p)]}$ is obtained from γ_0 at step j in the construction of f_2 , but suffers projective transformations at later steps. The group of projective transformations is contractible, however. In order to pass from f_2 to f_3 we apply multiplication and projective transformations to such closed loops, completing the construction of f_3 and the proof. \square

12 Simple connectivity

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem 2. This is essentially equivalent to the following result.

Proposition 12.1. *Any continuous map $f_0 : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n$ is loose.*

Proof. We may assume that f_0 assumes values in the 1-complex of \mathcal{D}_n . From Lemma 10.1 it suffices to prove that f_0 is homotopic to $f_1 = aaaa f_0$. We construct an explicit homotopy between the maps f_0 and f_1 .

Recall that in Example 7.4 (consistently with Proposition 7.1) we constructed for every non-empty word $w \in \mathbf{W}_n$ of dimension 0 an explicit path w^H in the 1-skeleton of \mathcal{D}_n joining w and $aaaa w$. We first define:

$$a^H = (a \xleftarrow{[ba]} bab \xleftarrow{[ab]ab} \cdot \xrightarrow{aba[ba]b} \cdot \xrightarrow{ababab[ab]} ababababa \xleftarrow{a[ba]ababa} \cdot \xleftarrow{aaa[ba]a} aaaaa).$$

Define recursively

$$a_{k+1}^H = (a_{k+1} \xleftarrow{[a_k a_{k+1}]} a_k a_{k+1} a_k \xrightarrow{a_k^H a_{k+1} a_k} aaaaa a_k a_{k+1} a_k \xrightarrow{aaaa[a_k a_{k+1}]} aaaaa a_{k+1})$$

and $(a_k w)^H = a_k^H w$. We now define w^H for words of dimension 1.

Let w be a word of dimension 1 with $\partial w = w_1 - w_0$, where w_0 and w_1 are non-empty words of dimension 0. In order to complete the construction of the homotopy, it suffices to construct $w^H : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n$ with $w^H|_{\{0\} \times [0, 1]} = w$, $w^H|_{\{1\} \times [0, 1]} = aaaa w$, $w^H|_{[0, 1] \times \{0\}} = w_0^H$, $w^H|_{[0, 1] \times \{1\}} = w_1^H$. If the first letter of w has dimension 0 we may write $w = a_k \tilde{w}$ and $w^H = a_k^H \tilde{w}$. We are left with the case when the first letter of w has dimension 1: if $w = \sigma \tilde{w}$ we set $w^H = \sigma^H \tilde{w}$. We are therefore left with the case $w = \sigma$, $\text{inv}(\sigma) = 2$.

This is done on a case by case basis: $[a_k a_{k+1}]$, $[a_{k+1} a_k]$ and $[a_k a_l]$ for $l > k+1$.

We first observe that the case $[a_k a_{k+1}]$ is rather trivial: the square collapses to the segment in the definition of a_{k+1}^H . We define $[ac]^H$ in Figure 12.

The top and bottom hexagons (they look like triangles, but they have six vertices each) are $[abc]$ and $aaaa[abc]$, as indicated. Each of the three central trapezoidal regions is actually composed of six product cells (since a^H is not one edge, but six). The definition of $[a_k a_{k+2}]^H$ is similar: just substitute a_k , a_{k+1} and a_{k+2} for a , b and c in Figure 12 (a minor difference is that a_k^H is actually $4 + 2k$ edges). We define $[ad]^H$ in Figure 13.

Notice that the second of the four central trapezoidal regions uses the previous construction of $[ac]^H$. The other three consist of several product cells. Again, the definition of $[a_k a_{k+3}]^H$ is similar. The definition of $[a_k a_{k+4}]^H$ uses that of $[a_k a_{k+3}]^H$ and so on, recursively.




Figure 12: The definition of $[ac]^H$.




Figure 13: The definition of $[ad]^H$.

This takes care of the cases $[a_k a_l]$ for $l > k$; we are left with the case $[a_{k+1} a_k]$. We now describe $[cb]^H$ in Figure 14.

This definition uses a specific cell for $[acb]$; a similar diagram works for the other cell. The definition of $[a_{k+1} a_k]^H$ for $k > 2$ is similar, just substitute a_{k-1} , a_k and a_{k+1} for a , b and c (except for the initial $aaaa$ in the lower part of the diagram).

Finally, in order to define $[ba]^H$ we have to fill in the trapezoidal region, indicated by (?) in Figure 15; notice that there is a partial collapse at the top.

This can be done explicitly but is rather messy; we prefer to use Lemma 10.2 and Proposition 11.3. The boundary of the trapezoidal region is a map from \mathbb{S}^1 to $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_{(0)}]$ and therefore loose. As a map from \mathbb{S}^1 to ΩSpin_{n+1} it is homotopically trivial since ΩSpin_{n+1} is simply connected. Thus, from Lemma 10.2, the map is also homotopically trivial in \mathcal{D}_n , allowing us to fill the region, thus completing the construction of $[ba]^H$ and the proof of the proposition. \square




Figure 14: The definition of $[cb]^H$.




Figure 15: The (partial) definition of $[ba]^H$.

Proof of Theorem 2. From Proposition 12.1, any map from \mathbb{S}^1 to \mathcal{D}_n is loose. As a map from \mathbb{S}^1 to ΩSpin_{n+1} it is homotopically trivial (ΩSpin_{n+1} being simply connected). Thus, from Lemma 10.2, any map from \mathbb{S}^1 to \mathcal{D}_n is homotopically trivial, completing the proof of the theorem. \square

Remark 12.2. It would be interesting to obtain an explicit solution to complete Figure 15, preferably with a small number of pieces. \diamond

13 The subcomplexes $\mathcal{Y}_{n,2} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_n$

We briefly recall some notation and results from Section 2. We write $Y = S_{n+1} \setminus S_{\text{PA}}$ so that $\sigma \leftrightarrow \sigma'$ is an involution of Y : for $\sigma \in Y$ take k to be the

smallest positive integer with $k^\sigma \equiv (k+1)^\sigma \pmod{2}$ and define $\sigma' = a_k \sigma$. We call $\sigma \in Y$ low if $\sigma \blacktriangleleft \sigma'$ and high if $\sigma' \blacktriangleleft \sigma$. As in Remark 2.8, let $Y_k \subseteq Y$ be the set of permutations σ which are either low with $\text{inv}(\sigma) < k$ or high with $\text{inv}(\sigma) \leq k$. Let $\mathbf{I}_{Y_k} \subset \mathbf{W}_n$ be the set of words with *at least* one letter in Y_k .

Remark 13.1. We saw in Examples 5.1 and 5.2 that the subsets $\mathbf{I}_Y, \mathbf{I}_{Y_2} \subset \mathbf{W}_n$ are lower subsets for both \sqsubseteq and \preceq . In this section we consider the lower set \mathbf{I}_{Y_2} , the open subset $\mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}_{Y_2}] \subset \mathcal{L}_n$ and the corresponding subcomplex $\mathcal{Y}_{n,2} = \mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_{Y_2}] \subset \mathcal{D}_n$.

We believe that for all $k > 2$ the subset $\mathbf{I}_{Y_k} \subseteq \mathbf{W}_n$ is a lower set under \preceq , but we shall neither prove nor use this claim. Similarly, we believe (but do not prove) that $\mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}_{Y_k}] \subset \mathcal{L}_n$ is an open subset. Still, in the next section we will construct and study subcomplexes $\mathcal{Y}_{n,k}$ corresponding to the sets \mathbf{I}_{Y_k} . Lemma 14.2 below is good enough for our purposes. \diamond

A subcomplex $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_n$ is *nice* if for every word $w \in \mathbf{W}_n$ if $c_w \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ then $c_{aaaaaw} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$; the subcomplex $\mathcal{Y}_{n,2}$ is clearly nice. Notice that if \mathcal{X} is nice and $f : K \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is a continuous map then there exists a continuous map $aaaaf : K \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. A nice subcomplex $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_n$ is *loose* if for every compact manifold K and every continuous map $f_0 : K \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ there exists a homotopy $H : [0, 1] \times K \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ from f_0 to $f_1 = aaaa f_0$. More explicitly, we have $H(0, s) = f_0(s)$ and $H(1, s) = aaaa f_0(s)$ for all $s \in K$. Notice that we require the image of the homotopy H to be included in \mathcal{X} . Contrast this with the definitions of a weakly loose ideal and of a (strongly) loose ideal in Section 11. Clearly, if $\mathbf{I} \subset \mathbf{W}_n$ is a (strongly) loose ideal then $\mathcal{D}[\mathbf{I}] \subset \mathcal{D}_n$ is a loose subcomplex. It follows from Remark 11.4 that the subcomplex $\mathcal{D}[\mathbf{I}_{[0]}] \subset \mathcal{D}_n$ is not a loose subcomplex.

Proposition 13.2. *The ideal $\mathbf{I}_{Y_2} \subset \mathbf{W}_n$ is (strongly) loose.*

The previous proposition is equivalent to saying that the subcomplex $\mathcal{Y}_{n,2} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_n$ is loose. Its proof relies strongly on the proof of Proposition 11.3 above. A few remarks will hopefully make the proofs easier to follow.

Remark 13.3. For every $k \in \llbracket n \rrbracket$, we constructed in Example 7.4 a path a_k^H in the 1-skeleton of $\mathcal{Y}_{n,2}$ from a_k to $aaaaa a_k$. These paths were used in the proofs of Propositions 11.3 and 12.1.

Recall that $a' = [ba]$ and $a'_{k+1} = [a_k a_{k+1}]$: in all cases, the first edge in a_k^H is therefore a'_k . The second vertex of a'_k is a_k^* :

$$a^* = bab, \quad b^* = aba, \quad a_{k+1}^* = a_k a_{k+1} a_k.$$

After that, every vertex and edge corresponds to a word with at least one letter of dimension 0. Thus, all vertices and edges in a_k^H are labeled by words which

contain at least one letter from Y_2 : such words therefore belong to \mathbf{I}_{Y_2} . Thus, all paths are in $\mathcal{Y}_{n,2}$.

In the proof of Proposition 12.1 we also constructed $(a'_k)^H$, a map from the square to \mathcal{D}_n which equals a'_k at the top, $aaaaa'_k$ at the bottom, a_k^H at one side and $a_{k-1}^H a_k a_{k-1}$ at the other side. Since a_k^H is constructed to be the concatenation of the other three sides, this map is rather trivial, a mere stretching of the path a_k^H . Again, all words belong to \mathbf{I}_{Y_2} and therefore the map assumes values in $\mathcal{Y}_{n,2}$.

We completed this construction by considering $a' = [ba]$, with boundary points a and bab . In Figure 15 we construct (or at least show how to construct) a map $(a')^H = [ba]^H$ from the square to \mathcal{D}_n which equals a' at the top, $aaaaa'$ at the bottom, a^H at one side and $b^H ab$ at the other side. The map also assumes values in $\mathcal{Y}_{n,2}$. This is clear for the explicit part of the figure; in the remaining part we may also assume that at least one letter of dimension 0 is present. \diamond

Remark 13.4. Recall from Remark 11.4 the maps $f_0, f_1 : \mathbb{S}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_{[0]}] \subset \mathcal{D}_n$ with $f_0(+1) = a$, $f_0(-1) = aaaaa$, $f_1 = aaaa f_0$. As we have seen, the maps f_0 and f_1 are homotopic in \mathcal{D}_n but not in $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_{[0]}]$. Indeed, the only way to move away from the vertex a is through the edge $[ba]$, which joins it to the vertex bab . Since $[ba] \in Y_2$, it is clear that the obvious homotopy from f_0 to f_1 assumes values in $\mathcal{Y}_{n,2}$, consistently with Proposition 13.2.

More generally, in the proof of Proposition 11.3 we show that there exists a homotopy H from a continuous map $f_0 : K \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_{[0]}]$ to $aaaa f_0$. The proof shows how to construct H , or at least parts of H : we pass from f_0 to f_1 , then to f_2 and f_3 . (The functions f_* are as in the proof of Proposition 11.3.) It follows from Remark 11.4 that in general the image of the homotopy H can not possibly be contained in $\mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}_{[0]}]$. Indeed, in the passage from f_0 to f_1 we typically move from (p_1, a_i, p_2) to (p_1, a_i^*, p_2) through $c_{w_1} \times c_{a'_i} \times c_{w_2}$. Unless w_1 or w_2 contain letters of dimension 0 (which we have no reason to expect) then $w_1 a'_i w_2 \notin \mathbf{I}_{[0]}$.

On the other hand, from f_1 onwards, the homotopy H assumes values in $\mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}_{[0]}]$. Indeed, the curves then contain a large number of loops.

Also, the homotopy from f_0 to f_1 assumes values in $\mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}_{Y_2}] \supset \mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}_{[0]}]$. Indeed, the paths δ_i assume values in $\mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}_{Y_2}]$, as we saw in Remark 13.3.

We thus see that, for f_0 as above, there exists a homotopy $H : [0, 1] \times K \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n[\mathbf{I}_{Y_2}]$ from f_0 to $aaaa f_0$. \diamond

Proof of Proposition 13.2. The idea is to follow the proof of Proposition 11.3, performing the necessary adaptations and verifying that the homotopies assume values in $\mathcal{Y}_{n,2}$. We will notice that part of the proof which requires significant comments and adaptations is the passage from $f_0 : K \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n$ to f_1 . The functions

f_* are as in the proof of Proposition 11.3; thus, curves in the image of f_1 have multiple loops.

Recall that we begin the proof of Proposition 11.3, by taking a finite cover of K by sets U_j . In that proof we have that $p \in U_j$ implies $f_0(p) \in c_{w(p)}$ with $w(p)$ of the form $w_-(p)a_{i_j}w_+(p)$. In the present proof we instead have that $p \in U_j$ implies $f_0(p) \in c_{w(p)}$ with $w(p)$ of one of the three forms: $w_-(p)a_{i_j}w_+(p)$, $w_-(p)a'_{i_j}w_+(p)$ or $w_-(p)a^*_{i_j}w_+(p)$. Construct maps $\delta_i : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n$ as in Equation (12) (in the proof of Proposition 11.3) satisfying $\delta_i(t) \in c_{a'_i}$ for $t \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, $\delta_i(\frac{1}{2}) \in c_{a^*_i}$ and assuming values in $\mathcal{Y}_{n,2}$. Instead of having f_0 as in Equation (13), we now have

$$f_0(p) = (p_1, \delta_{i_{j_1}}(s_{0,j_1}), \dots, \delta_{i_{j_k}}(s_{0,j_k}), p_{k+1}),$$

with $s_{0,j_*} = s_{0,j_*}(p) \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$. Recall that in the construction of f_1 in proof of Proposition 11.3, we define $s_{j_*} \in [0, 1]$, continuous functions of $p \in K$. In the old proof, the functions s_{j_*} are bumps, equal to zero near the boundary of U_{j_*} ; it is crucial that for every p there exists at least one j_* such that $p \in U_{j_*}$ and $s_{j_*}(p) = 1$. Define new function s_{j_*} by $s_{j_*}(p) = \max\{s_{0,j_*}(p), s_{j_*}^{\text{old}}(p)\}$ so that we have $s_{0,j_*}(p) \leq s_{j_*}(p)$ (for all p). The new functions s_{j_*} are also bumps, equal to s_{0,j_*} near the boundary of U_{j_*} ; the crucial property above still holds. The function f_1 is now defined as in Equation (14), using the new s_{j_*} :

$$f_1(p) = (p_1, \delta_{i_{j_1}}(s_{j_1}), \dots, \delta_{i_{j_k}}(s_{j_k}), p_{k+1}).$$

Notice that (for all p) $f_1(p)$ has multiple loops, as desired. Finally, for the homotopy H_1 from f_0 to f_1 , instead of Equation (15), we now write

$$H_1(p, s) = (p_1, \delta_{i_{j_1}}(\text{med}(s_{0,j_1}, s, s_{j_1})), \dots, \delta_{i_{j_k}}(\text{med}(s_{0,j_k}, s, s_{j_k})), p_{k+1});$$

here med denotes the median among three real numbers. Notice that f_0 , f_1 and H_1 all assume values in $\mathcal{Y}_{n,2}$.

As discussed in Remark 13.4, the remainder of the proof (or construction) preserves at least one letter of dimension 0, so that the remaining homotopies assume values in $\mathcal{Y}_{n,2}$, completing the proof. \square

14 Proof of Theorem 3

Let $\mathbf{I} \subseteq \mathbf{W}_n$: if \mathbf{I} is a lower set (for either \sqsubseteq or \preceq) then $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}] \subset \mathcal{D}_n$ is a subcomplex (and therefore a closed subset). Here, of course, $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}]$ is the subcomplex with cells c_w , $w \in \mathbf{I}$. On the other hand, at least in principle, we do not need to prove that \mathbf{I} is a lower set to deduce that $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}]$ is a subcomplex. Indeed, we presently define a weaker condition.

For any $w_0 \in \mathbf{W}_n$, consider the glueing map $g_{w_0} : \partial \mathbb{D}^{\dim(w_0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_n$ (as constructed in Section 6). The image of this map is a compact set $B_{w_0} = g_{w_0}[\partial \mathbb{D}^{\dim(w_0)}] \subset \mathcal{D}_n$. The construction of the CW complex \mathcal{D}_n can be performed in such a way that if w_0, w_1 are words with $\dim(w_1) = \dim(w_0) - 1$ and $c_{w_1} \not\subseteq B_{w_0}$ then the cell c_{w_1} is not necessary in order to glue c_{w_0} . More precisely, we must then have $c_{w_1} \cap B_{w_0} \subseteq B_{w_1}$. Indeed, if the glueing map g_{w_0} touches c_{w_1} in a non-surjective manner then we may deform g_{w_0} so that g_{w_0} does not touch the interior of c_{w_1} . Notice that such a deformation does not change the homotopy type of the complex. From now on we assume that \mathcal{D}_n satisfies this condition.

The subset $\mathbf{I} \subseteq \mathbf{W}_n$ is *subcomplex compatible* if for all $w_0 \in \mathbf{I}$ and for all $w_1 \in \mathbf{W}_n$ with $\dim(w_1) = \dim(w_0) - 1$ and $c_{w_1} \subseteq B_{w_0}$ we have $w_1 \in \mathbf{I}$. If \mathbf{I} is subcomplex compatible then $\mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}] \subseteq \mathcal{D}_n$ is a subcomplex. Also, if \mathbf{I} is a lower set (for either \sqsubseteq or \preceq) then \mathbf{I} is subcomplex compatible.

Remark 14.1. The concept of subcomplex compatible depends on arbitrary and unspecified choices in the construction of \mathcal{D}_n . For instance, the following set is a lower set and therefore subcomplex compatible:

$$\mathbf{I}_1 = \{b, aba, cbc, acbca, acbac, cabac, [ab], [cb], a[cb]a, c[ab]c, acb[ac], [ac]bac\}.$$

The set $\mathbf{I}_2 = \mathbf{I}_1 \cup \{[acb]\}$ is not a lower set for either \sqsubseteq or \preceq ; is it subcomplex compatible? It depends on our choice of the cell $c_{[acb]}$ (or of its glueing map). Two possibilities are shown in Figure 8: if we choose the left one then yes, \mathbf{I}_2 is subcomplex compatible, if we choose the right one then no. \diamond

Lemma 14.2. Consider $k \geq 2$ and, for $\sigma_0 \in Y$, let $B_{\sigma_0} \subset \mathcal{D}_n$ be the image of its glueing map.

1. If $\sigma_0 \in Y_k$ then $B_{\sigma_0} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_{Y_k}]$.
2. If $\sigma_0 \in Y_{k+1}$ is low and $\text{inv}(\sigma_0) = k$ then $B_{\sigma_0} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_{Y_k}]$.
3. If σ_0 is high and $\text{inv}(\sigma_0) = k + 1$ then $B_{\sigma_0} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_{Y_k}] \cup c_{\sigma'_0}$.

In particular, $\mathbf{I}_{Y_k} \subset \mathbf{W}_n$ is subcomplex compatible.

Proof. Consider $w_1 = \sigma_{1,1} \cdots \sigma_{1,\ell} \in \mathbf{W}_n$ such that $\dim(w_1) = \dim(\sigma_0) - 1$ and $c_{w_1} \subseteq B_{\sigma_0}$. We know from Example 5.1 that $w_1 \in Y$ so that there exists j with $\sigma_{1,j} \in Y$. If $\text{inv}(\sigma_0) \leq k$ then $\dim(w_1) \leq k - 2$ and therefore $\text{inv}(\sigma_{1,j}) < k$ so that $\sigma_{1,j} \in Y_k$ and therefore $w_1 \in \mathbf{I}_{Y_k}$. If σ_0 is high and $\text{inv}(\sigma_0) = k + 1$ then we may still have $\text{inv}(\sigma_{1,j}) < k$, which implies $w_1 \in \mathbf{I}_{Y_k}$. The other possibility is $\text{inv}(\sigma_{1,j}) = k$ and therefore $\sigma_{1,j} \triangleleft \sigma_0$; it then follows from computing dimensions that all other letters in w_1 have dimension 0. If $\ell > 1$ there are other letters $\sigma_{1,j'}$ with $\text{inv}(\sigma_{1,j'}) = 1$ and therefore again $w_1 \in \mathbf{I}_{Y_k}$. If $\sigma_{1,j} \blacktriangleleft \sigma_0$ we have $\hat{\sigma}_{1,j} \neq \hat{\sigma}_0 = \hat{w}_1$ and therefore $\ell > 1$ (compare with Lemma 9.3). If $\sigma_{1,j} \blacktriangleleft \sigma_0$ and

$\sigma_{1,j} \neq \sigma'_0$ then (from Lemma 2.6) we have that $\sigma_{1,j}$ is high and therefore $\sigma_{1,j} \in Y_k$ and therefore also in this case $w_1 \in \mathbf{I}_{Y_k}$. The last possible case is $j = \ell = 1$ and $\sigma_{1,j} = \sigma'_0$. This completes the proof of the itemized claims.

In order to prove the final claim we need to consider the more general case $w_0 = w_a \sigma_0 w_b$ and $w_1 = w_a \tilde{w}_1 w_b$. But this case is analogous, except that the words w_a and w_b may occasionally help us by containing a letter in Y_k . \square

We are interested in the subcomplexes $\mathcal{Y}_{n,k} = \mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_{Y_k}]$. For $m = \text{inv}(\eta)$, we have $Y_m = Y$ and therefore $\mathcal{Y}_{n,m} = \mathcal{D}_n[\mathbf{I}_Y]$. Notice that from Theorem 4 the CW complex $\mathcal{Y}_{n,m}$ is homotopy equivalent to the Hilbert manifold \mathcal{Y}_n .

We are almost ready to prove Theorem 3: let us first state a lemma.

Lemma 14.3. *For all $k \geq 2$, the subcomplex $\mathcal{Y}_{n,k} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_n$ is loose.*

Proof. This proof is by induction on k , the base case $k = 2$ being Proposition 13.2 above. Assume therefore that $k \geq 3$ and that the subcomplex $\mathcal{Y}_{n,k-1} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_n$ is known to be loose. Consider a compact manifold K and a continuous map $\alpha_0 : K \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{n,k}$: we claim that α_0 is homotopic in $\mathcal{Y}_{n,k}$ to $\alpha_1 : K \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_{n,k-1}$. Proving the claim completes the proof of the lemma.

Consider $\mathcal{Y}_{n,k}$ as a CW complex. Let $\sigma \in Y_k \setminus Y_{k-1}$ be a low permutation, so that $\sigma' \in Y_k \setminus Y_{k-1}$ be a high permutation, $\text{inv}(\sigma) = k - 1$ and $\text{inv}(\sigma') = k$. Proposition 9.1 tells us that there is a valid collapse starting from $\mathcal{Y}_{n,k}$ and removing the cells c_σ and $c_{\sigma'}$:

$$\mathcal{Y}_{n,k} \searrow (\mathcal{Y}_{n,k} \setminus (c_\sigma \cup c_{\sigma'})).$$

(We assume here only minimal knowledge of collapses; see [8] or [10] for much more on the subject.) In other words, by applying a homotopy with values in $\mathcal{Y}_{n,k}$ to the map α_0 we obtain a map which avoids the cells c_σ and $c_{\sigma'}$. From Proposition 9.1, the new cells possibly touched by the new map are in $\mathcal{Y}_{n,k-1}$.

A similar collapse operation holds for pairs of the form $c_{w_- \sigma w_+}$ and $c_{w_- \sigma' w_+}$. Since K is compact, a finite number of such collapses obtains α_1 with image contained in $\mathcal{Y}_{n,k-1}$. \square

Remark 14.4. The above proof can be rewritten as defining maps σ^H and $(\sigma')^H$ for $\sigma \in Y_k \setminus Y_{k-1}$ a low permutation. For instance, consider $k = 3$, $\sigma = cb = [1342 \dots]$ and $\sigma' = acb = [3142 \dots]$. The construction of σ^H is illustrated in Figure 14.

More precisely, we take cells σ' next to σ and $aaaa\sigma'$ next to $aaaa\sigma$. For another face w of σ' , we have $w \in \mathbf{I}_{Y_{k-1}}$ so that there is no difficulty in joining w to $aaaaw$: the map w^H has already been constructed. As with the pairs (a_k, a'_k) ($k > 1$), the construction of σ^H gives us as a bonus $(\sigma')^H$. \diamond

Proof of Theorem 3. For $q \in \text{Quat}_{n+1} \setminus Z(\text{Quat}_{n+1})$ we have $\mathcal{Y}_n(1; q) = \mathcal{L}_n(1; q)$. For $q \in Z(\text{Quat}_{n+1})$, the proper subset $\mathcal{Y}_n(1; q) \subset \mathcal{L}_n(1; q)$ is clearly open. Apart from the contractible connected component of convex curves (with itinerary equal to the empty word), its closed complement $\mathcal{M}_n(1; q) = \mathcal{L}_n(1; q) \setminus \mathcal{Y}_n(1; q)$ is the union of the strata corresponding the words formed by parity alternating permutations. For $n \neq 3$, non trivial parity alternating permutation has at least 3 inversions; for $n = 3$ at least 2 inversions. Thus $\mathcal{M}_n(1; q) \setminus \mathcal{L}_{n,\text{convex}}(1; q)$ is a closed subset of codimension at least 1 (at least 2 for $n \neq 3$). This implies that $\mathcal{Y}_n(1; q)$ is open and dense in $\mathcal{L}_n(1; q) \setminus \mathcal{L}_{n,\text{convex}}(1; q)$, as claimed.

We now prove that the inclusion $\mathcal{Y}_n(1; q) \subset \Omega \text{Spin}_{n+1}(1; q)$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. Indeed, consider a continuous map $\alpha_0 : \mathbb{S}^k \rightarrow \Omega \text{Spin}_{n+1}(1; q)$. We already saw that the add-loop construction obtains a homotopic map $\alpha_1 : \mathbb{S}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n(1; q) \subset \Omega \text{Spin}_{n+1}(1; q)$. We may assume that after the add-loop construction, every locally convex curve $\alpha_1(s)$ in the image of α_1 begins with a non-convex closed arc. By homotopy (more precisely, by connectivity of the non-convex component of $\mathcal{L}_n(1; 1)$, as in Proposition 7.1), this initial non-convex closed arc can be assumed to have an itinerary starting with $aaaa$. This implies that α_1 has the form $\alpha_1 : \mathbb{S}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_n(1; q) \subset \Omega \text{Spin}_{n+1}(1; q)$.

Similarly, consider $\alpha_0 : \mathbb{S}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_n(1; q)$. Assume there exists a $\beta_0 : \mathbb{D}^{k+1} \rightarrow \Omega \text{Spin}_{n+1}(1; q)$, $\beta_0|_{\mathbb{S}^k} = \alpha_0$. By Lemma 14.3, α_0 is homotopic in $\mathcal{Y}_n(1; q)$ to $\alpha_1 = aaaaaaaaa\alpha_0$. From the add-loop construction, $aaaaa\alpha_0$ is homotopically trivial in $\mathcal{L}_n(1; q)$, that is, there exists $\beta_2 : \mathbb{D}^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n(1; q)$ with $\beta_2|_{\mathbb{S}^k} = aaaaa\alpha_0$. Define $\beta_1 = aaaa\beta_2$: we have $\beta_1 : \mathbb{D}^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_n(1; q)$ with $\beta_1|_{\mathbb{S}^k} = \alpha_1$. This completes the proof that the inclusion $\mathcal{Y}_n(1; q) \subset \Omega \text{Spin}_{n+1}(1; q)$ is a weak homotopy equivalence. Since $\mathcal{Y}_n(1; q)$ is a Hilbert manifold, the inclusion is also a homotopy equivalence [23]. \square

15 Final Remarks

The present paper casts the foundations of a combinatorial approach in the study of spaces of locally convex curves. We proved a few results as a consequence but we believe this approach can be used to prove far more. We now state as a conjecture another result we hope to prove using these methods in a forthcoming paper. First, however, we review an older result.

Recall that $\text{Quat}_3 = \mathbb{Q}_8 = \{\pm 1, \pm \mathbf{i}, \pm \mathbf{j}, \pm \mathbf{k}\} \subset \mathbb{S}^3 \subset \mathbb{H}$ where \mathbb{H} is the algebra of quaternions. The main result in [24] classifies the spaces $\mathcal{L}_2(q)$, $q \in \text{Quat}_3$, into the following three weak homotopy types. For $q \neq \pm 1$, consistently with Corollary 1.1, we have $\mathcal{L}_2(q) \approx \Omega \mathbb{S}^3$. For $q \in \{\pm 1\}$ we have:

$$\mathcal{L}_2(-1) \approx \Omega \mathbb{S}^3 \vee \mathbb{S}^0 \vee \mathbb{S}^4 \vee \mathbb{S}^8 \vee \dots, \quad \mathcal{L}_2(1) \approx \Omega \mathbb{S}^3 \vee \mathbb{S}^2 \vee \mathbb{S}^6 \vee \mathbb{S}^{10} \vee \dots \quad (16)$$

For $n = 3$ we have $\text{Spin}_4 = \mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3$ for $\mathbb{S}^3 \subset \mathbb{H}$. We also have that $\text{Quat}_4 \subset \mathbb{Q}_8 \times \mathbb{Q}_8$ is generated by $(1, -1)$, (\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{i}) and (\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}) . This point of view is used in [3] to obtain partial results concerning the homotopy type of \mathcal{L}_3 .

Theorem 3 admits a minor improvement in the case $n = 3$ which should be helpful. Indeed, let

$$\tilde{Y} = Y \cup \{ac, abc\} = S_4 \setminus \{e, aba, bacb, bcb, cba, abacba = \eta\}.$$

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_3 \subset \mathcal{L}_3$ be the open set of faces whose itinerary admits at least one letter in \tilde{Y} ; we clearly have $\mathcal{Y}_3 \subset \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_3 \subset \mathcal{L}_3$.

Theorem 5. *For each $q \in \text{Quat}_4$, the inclusion $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}_3 \cap \mathcal{L}_3(1; q) \subset \Omega \text{Spin}_4(1; q)$ is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. Artificially define $[ac]' = [abc]$: notice that $[ac] \blacktriangleleft [abc]$, as expected. Proposition 9.1 holds for $\sigma_0 = [ac]$ and $\sigma_1 = [abc]$, as has been observed in Example 9.2 and can be verified from Figure 7: the cell $c_{[abc]}$ has a side $c_{[ac]}$; the five other sides all include a letter of dimension 0 (and are therefore in $\mathcal{Y}_{3,2}$).

The proof of the present result from Theorem 3 (for $n = 3$) is now similar to a step in the proof of Lemma 14.3: perform the necessary collapses for the pair $\sigma = [ac] \blacktriangleleft \sigma' = [abc]$. \square

The following theorem is the main result in [2].

Theorem 6. *We have the following weak homotopy equivalences:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_3(1; 1) &\approx \Omega(\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3) \vee \mathbb{S}^4 \vee \mathbb{S}^8 \vee \mathbb{S}^8 \vee \mathbb{S}^{12} \vee \mathbb{S}^{12} \vee \mathbb{S}^{12} \vee \dots, \\ \mathcal{L}_3(1; -1) &\approx \Omega(\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3) \vee \mathbb{S}^2 \vee \mathbb{S}^6 \vee \mathbb{S}^6 \vee \mathbb{S}^{10} \vee \mathbb{S}^{10} \vee \mathbb{S}^{10} \vee \dots, \\ \mathcal{L}_3(1; -\hat{a}\hat{c}) &\approx \Omega(\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3) \vee \mathbb{S}^0 \vee \mathbb{S}^4 \vee \mathbb{S}^4 \vee \mathbb{S}^8 \vee \mathbb{S}^8 \vee \mathbb{S}^8 \vee \dots, \\ \mathcal{L}_3(1; \hat{a}\hat{c}) &\approx \Omega(\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{S}^3) \vee \mathbb{S}^2 \vee \mathbb{S}^6 \vee \mathbb{S}^6 \vee \mathbb{S}^{10} \vee \mathbb{S}^{10} \vee \mathbb{S}^{10} \vee \dots. \end{aligned}$$

The above bouquets include one copy of \mathbb{S}^k , two copies of $\mathbb{S}^{(k+4)}$, \dots , $j+1$ copies of $\mathbb{S}^{(k+4j)}$, \dots , and so on.

Recall that, in the notation from [3], we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{LS}^3((+1, +1)) &= \mathcal{L}_3(1; 1), & \mathcal{LS}^3((-1, -1)) &= \mathcal{L}_3(1; -1), \\ \mathcal{LS}^3((+1, -1)) &= \mathcal{L}_3(1; -\hat{a}\hat{c}), & \mathcal{LS}^3((-1, +1)) &= \mathcal{L}_3(1; \hat{a}\hat{c}). \end{aligned}$$

It seems to be perhaps within reach but certainly harder to use this combinatorial approach to determine the homotopy type of $\mathcal{L}_n(1; q)$ for $n > 3$ and $q \in Z(\text{Quat}_{n+1})$. We hope to be able to prove at least the following claim, which should be contrasted with Corollary 1.1.

Conjecture 15.1. *Consider $n > 3$ and $q \in Z(\text{Quat}_{n+1})$. Then $\mathcal{L}_n(1; q)$ is not homotopically equivalent to ΩSpin_{n+1} . Moreover, if there are convex curves in $\mathcal{L}_n(1; q)$ then the connected component $\mathcal{L}_{n, \text{non-convex}}(1; q) \subset \mathcal{L}_n(1; q)$ of non-convex curves is also not homotopically equivalent to ΩSpin_{n+1} .*

Another interesting aspect of the subject is its relation with the theory of differential operators, mentioned in the Introduction. A linear differential operator can be canonically associated to a nondegenerate curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$ (see [18]). There is a Poisson structure in the space of the differential operators, given by the Adler-Gelfand-Dickey bracket [1, 11, 12]. The identification above relates the spaces $\mathcal{L}_n(1; z)$ with symplectic leaves of this structure [18, 19]. Notice that the spheres appearing in the bouquets in Equation 16 and Theorem 6 are all even-dimensional. We wonder whether this is fortuitous or a manifestation of this symplectic structure; this question is worth clarification.

References

- [1] M. Adler. On a trace functional for formal pseudo-differential operators and the symplectic structure of the Korteweg-deVries type equations. *Inventiones Math.*, 50:219–248, 1979.
- [2] E. Alves, V. Goulart, and N. Saldanha. Homotopy type of spaces of locally convex curves in the sphere \mathbb{S}^3 . *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2205.10928, 2022.
- [3] E. Alves and N. Saldanha. Results on the homotopy type of the spaces of locally convex curves on \mathbb{S}^3 . *Annales d’Institut Fourier*, 69, no.3:1147–1185, 2019.
- [4] E. Alves and N. Saldanha. On the homotopy type of intersections of two real Bruhat cells. *Int. Math. Res. Notices*, 1:1–57, 2022.
- [5] D. Burghilea, N. Saldanha, and C. Tomei. Results on infinite dimensional topology and applications to the structure of the critical set of nonlinear Sturm-Liouville operators. *J. Differential Equations*, 188:569–590, 2003.
- [6] D. Burghilea, N. Saldanha, and C. Tomei. The topology of the monodromy map of a second order ODE. *J. Differential Equations*, 227:581–597, 2006.
- [7] D. Burghilea, N. Saldanha, and C. Tomei. The geometry of the critical set of nonlinear periodic Sturm-Liouville operators. *J. Differential Equations*, 246:3380–3397, 2009.
- [8] Marshall M. Cohen. *A course in simple-homotopy theory*, volume 10 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, 1973.
- [9] Y. Eliashberg and N. Mishachev. *Introduction to the h-principle*, volume 48 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.

- [10] R. Forman. A user's guide to discrete morse theory. *Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire*, 48:1–35, 2002.
- [11] I. Gel'fand and L. Dikii. Fractional powers of operators and Hamiltonian systems. *Funkts. Anal. Prilozhen.*, 10 (4), 1976.
- [12] I. Gel'fand and L. Dikii. A family of Hamiltonian structures related to nonlinear integrable differential equations. *Prepr. Inst. Appl. Mat.*, 136, 1978.
- [13] V. Goulart and N. Saldanha. Combinatorialization of spaces of nondegenerate spherical curves. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1810.08632, October 2018.
- [14] V. Goulart and N. Saldanha. Stratifications by itineraries of spaces of locally convex curves. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1907.01659, July 2019.
- [15] V. Goulart and N. Saldanha. Locally convex curves and the Bruhat stratification of the spin group. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 242:565–604, 2021.
- [16] M. Gromov. *Partial Differential Relations*. Springer-Verlag, 1986.
- [17] M. Hirsch. Immersions of manifolds. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 93:242–276, 1959.
- [18] B. Khesin and V. Ovsienko. Symplectic leaves of the Gelfand-Dickey brackets and homotopy classes of nondegenerate curves. *Funktsional'nyi Analiz i Ego Prilozheniya*, 24, No. i:38–47, 1990.
- [19] B. Khesin and B. Shapiro. Nondegenerate curves on \mathbb{S}^2 and orbit classification of the Zamolodchikov algebra. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 145:357–362, 1992.
- [20] B. Khesin and B. Shapiro. Homotopy classification of nondegenerate quasiperiodic curves on the 2-sphere. *Publ. de l'Institut Mathématique*, tome 66 (80):127–156, 1999.
- [21] S. Levy, D. Maxwell, and T. Munzner. Outside in, 1994. Narrated video (21 min) from the Geometry Center.
- [22] J. Little. Nondegenerate homotopies of curves on the unit 2-sphere. *J. of Differential Geometry*, 4:339–348, 1970.
- [23] R. Palais. Homotopy theory of infinite dimensional manifolds. *Topology*, 5:1–16, 1966.
- [24] N. Saldanha. The homotopy type of spaces of locally convex curves in the sphere. *Geometry & Topology*, 19:1155–1203, 2015.

- [25] N. Saldanha and B. Shapiro. Spaces of locally convex curves in \mathbb{S}^n and combinatorics of the group B_{n+1}^+ . *Journal of Singularities*, 4:1–22, 2012.
- [26] N. Saldanha, B. Shapiro, and M. Shapiro. Finiteness of rank for Grassmann convexity. *ArXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2110.07389, 2021.
- [27] N. Saldanha, B. Shapiro, and M. Shapiro. Grassmann convexity and multiplicative Sturm theory, revisited. *Moscow Mathematical Journal*, 21:613–637, 2021.
- [28] N. Saldanha and C. Tomei. The topology of critical sets of some ordinary differential operators. *Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications*, 66:491–504, 2005.
- [29] B. Shapiro and M. Shapiro. On the number of connected components in the space of closed nondegenerate curves on \mathbb{S}^n . *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.*, 25, no.1:75–79, 1991.
- [30] B. Shapiro and M. Shapiro. Linear ordinary differential equations and Schubert calculus. In *Proceedings of 13th Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference*, pages 1–9, 2010.
- [31] S. Smale. The classification of immersions of spheres in Euclidean spaces. *Ann. of Math.*, 69:327–344, 1959.

Victor Goulart

Departamento de Matemática, UFES,

Av. Fernando Ferrari 514; Campus de Goiabeiras, Vitória, ES 29075-910, Brazil.

`jose.g.nascimento@ufes.br`

Nicolau C. Saldanha

Departamento de Matemática, PUC-Rio,

R. Marquês de S. Vicente 255, Rio de Janeiro, RJ 22451-900, Brazil.

`saldanha@puc-rio.br`