

Stability of the Type IIA flow and its applications in symplectic geometry ¹

Teng Fei, Duong H. Phong, Sebastien Picard, and Xiangwen Zhang

Abstract

In this paper the dynamical stability of the Type IIA flow with no source near its stationary points is established. These stationary points had been shown previously by the authors to be Ricci-flat Kähler metrics on Calabi-Yau 3-folds. The dynamical stability of the Type IIA flow is then applied to prove the stability under symplectic deformations of the Kähler property for Calabi-Yau 3-folds.

1 Introduction

In 1985, Candelas, Horowitz, Strominger, and Witten [7] proposed Calabi-Yau 3-folds as solutions of the heterotic string. Since then, many solutions of unified string theories have emerged, all of which can be recognized as defining suitable notions of canonical metrics. Here the notion of canonical metric is taken in a broad sense, as consisting of a curvature condition combined with a cohomological constraint, and the original notion in Kähler geometry is only a special case. Geometric flows are particularly effective in the search for such metrics, because they can often be chosen to preserve the cohomological condition. The earliest flow introduced for this reason appears to be Bryant's Laplacian flow for G_2 holonomy [5], and more recent ones motivated specifically by unified string theories include the Anomaly flow [24, 25, 26, 27], the Type IIB flow [13], and the Type IIA flow [11, 12] (see e.g. [23] for a survey). Of these, the Type IIA flow stands out as a flow in symplectic rather than Riemannian or complex geometry.

Geometric flows have had many spectacular applications in topology, Riemannian geometry, and complex geometry, but their success in symplectic geometry has been more limited so far, despite the appearance of many natural interesting flows [18, 29, 10]. The main goal of this paper is to show that the Type IIA flow may be particularly promising in this regard. Already in [11], by considering specific models, evidence had been presented to suggest that the Type IIA flow on a 6-dimensional compact symplectic manifold should lead to the optimal almost-complex structure compatible with the given symplectic structure. Here we shall show that the Type IIA flow can also be applied to establish a remarkable property of deformations of symplectic structures on Calabi-Yau 3-folds, namely that small enough symplectic deformations preserve the Kähler property. This will follow

¹Work supported in part by the National Science Foundation Grants DMS-1855947, DMS-1809582 and Simons Collaboration Grant 853806.

from the facts that the stationary points of the Type IIA flow are Calabi-Yau 3-folds, and the Type IIA flow is dynamically stable. Dynamical stability is a particularly important property of a flow, and in general it is the best possible analytic statement that one can make about its convergence without more detailed information about its global behavior. It is perhaps fitting that, just as in the past, a structure originating from theoretical physics turns out to be valuable from both the geometric and the analytic standpoints as well.

We state now more precisely our main results. Let M be an oriented 6-dimensional manifold. In [15], Hitchin had shown how to associate to a non-degenerate 3-form φ an almost-complex structure J_φ . In presence of a symplectic structure ω on M , it makes sense to require that φ be primitive with respect to ω , i.e., $\Lambda_\omega \varphi = 0$, where $\Lambda_\omega : \Lambda^k(M) \rightarrow \Lambda^{k-2}(M)$ is the Hodge contraction with respect to ω . In [11], it was shown that this condition is equivalent to the form $g_\varphi(X, Y) = \omega(X, J_\varphi Y)$ being invariant under J_φ , and hence is an almost Hermitian metric when $g_\varphi > 0$. The triple $(\omega, g_\varphi, J_\varphi)$ defines then an almost-Kähler structure. As shown in [11], when φ is in addition a closed form, the almost-Kähler structure $(\omega, g_\varphi, J_\varphi)$ acquires very special properties. We shall refer to such structures as *Type IIA structures*, and denote them by a pair (φ, ω) .²

Fix then a compact 6-dimensional oriented manifold M . The Type IIA flow is defined as the flow of Type IIA structures given by

$$\partial_t \varphi = d\Lambda_\omega d(|\varphi|^2 \hat{\varphi}), \quad \omega = \omega_0 \tag{1.1}$$

for any initial data Type IIA structure (φ_0, ω_0) . Here $|\varphi|$ is the norm of φ with respect to g_φ , and $\hat{\varphi}$ is the Hodge dual of φ , which can be defined solely from φ by $\hat{\varphi}(X, Y, Z) = \varphi(J_\varphi X, J_\varphi Y, J_\varphi Z)$. The flow (1.1) is the special case with no source of the Type IIA flow introduced in [11], which was motivated by the fact that its stationary points satisfy the system of equations identified by Tseng and Yau [31] as a basic solution of the Type IIA string, building on earlier formulations of Grana et al [14] and Tomasiello [30].

In [11], it was shown that, in the case of no source, the flow always exists for at least a short time, it is indeed a flow of Type IIA structures, and at stationary points, the almost-complex structure J_φ is integrable, and the resulting metric a Calabi-Yau metric, i.e. a Kähler Ricci-flat metric. We shall call such stationary points *Ricci-flat Type IIA structures*.

Our first result is the dynamic stability of the Type IIA flow, which can be stated as follows:

²In [11], Type IIA structures were called instead Type IIA geometries. However, in that reference, the symplectic form ω was usually fixed, while we shall be particularly interested in varying it in the present paper. The new terminology suggests this shift in emphasis.

Theorem 1 *Let $(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})$ be a Ricci-flat Type IIA structure on a compact oriented 6-manifold M . Then there is an $\epsilon'_0 > 0$ so that for any Type IIA structure (φ_0, ω_0) satisfying*

$$(\varphi_0, \omega_0) \in \mathcal{U}_{(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})} = \{(\varphi, \omega) : |\omega - \bar{\omega}|_{W^{10,2}} + |\varphi - \bar{\varphi}|_{W^{10,2}} < \epsilon'_0\},$$

the Type IIA flow (1.1) with initial value (φ_0, ω_0) exists for all $t \in [0, \infty)$ and converges smoothly to a Ricci-flat Type IIA structure $(\varphi_\infty, \omega_0)$.

Using this dynamical stability of the Type IIA flow, we can then establish the following theorem:

Theorem 2 *Let $(M, \bar{\omega})$ be a compact symplectic 6-manifold with $c_1(M, \bar{\omega}) = 0 \in H^2(M; \mathbf{R})$. Suppose that $(M, \bar{\omega})$ admits a compatible integrable complex structure. Then there exists $\epsilon(\bar{\omega}) > 0$, with the following property: for any symplectic form ω with $|\omega - \bar{\omega}|_{W^{10,2}} < \epsilon$, the symplectic manifold (M, ω) also admits a compatible and integrable complex structure.*

To the best of our knowledge, there does not appear to have been in the literature similar statements about the stability of Kähler structures under symplectic deformations at the level of differential forms. The only relevant paper we can find is by de Bartolomeis [1], which investigated this problem at the cohomology level, by considering equivalence classes modulo the diffeomorphism group action. In a private communication along this line, Professor Dietmar Salamon has provided us with an argument showing that, when $H_{\bar{J}}^{2,0}(M) = 0$, where \bar{J} is the complex structure associated to the Kähler form $\bar{\omega}$, then every symplectic form close to $\bar{\omega}$ admits a compatible complex structure which is diffeomorphic to \bar{J} . But the situation is more obscure when $H_{\bar{J}}^{2,0}(M) \neq 0$.

It may be worth stressing that Theorem 2 is a statement purely about symplectic structures, where the Type IIA flow does not appear in any way. Rather the Type IIA flow is only used in the proof, which is a clear indication that it can be an effective tool in symplectic geometry.

We provide now an outline of the proof of Theorems 1 and 2, and of the organization of the paper. The group of diffeomorphisms acts on the space of Type IIA structures, and a first step is to classify the steady Type IIA solitons. By definition (see Definition 1), these are triples (φ, ω, V) where (φ, ω) is a Type IIA structure which is a fixed point of the Type IIA flow reparametrized by the vector field V . We shall prove:

Theorem 3 *Let (φ, ω, V) be a steady Type IIA soliton on a compact manifold M . Then (φ, ω) is a Ricci-flat Type IIA structure and V is a Killing vector field for (φ, ω) , namely we have*

$$\mathcal{L}_V \varphi = \mathcal{L}_V \omega = 0. \tag{1.2}$$

We return to the proof of Theorem 1 proper. The Type IIA flow is only weakly parabolic, so following DeTurck's strategy for the Ricci flow, we consider instead the Type IIA flow reparametrized by a specific time-dependent vector field V , chosen to make the flow parabolic. Under a time-dependent reparametrization, the symplectic form ω also evolves with time. Thus the Type IIA flow reparametrized by a vector field V is now a genuine flow of pairs (φ_t, ω_t) . Its fixed points are special cases of steady Type IIA solitons, and hence we can use Theorem 3 to identify them. The next step is to show that the reparametrized Type IIA flow is linearly stable. This is achieved in Theorem 4. With Proposition 4 we obtain good estimates for the projection of a Type IIA structure on the space of stationary Type IIA structures. The long-time existence and convergence of the reparametrized Type IIA flow are then proved in Theorem 6. Returning to the original Type IIA flow, Theorem 1 can then be proved in §6.4. Finally, Theorem 2 is proved in §7.

2 Reparametrizations and steady Type IIA solitons

The main goal of this section is to establish some basic facts about the Type IIA flow and its reparametrizations. To begin with, we collect some facts and results about Type IIA structures that will be used in the later calculations. For more detail, we refer the reader to [11, 12].

2.1 Preliminaries

Let M be an oriented 6-manifold. In the Introduction, we had recalled the construction of an almost-Kähler structure $(g_\varphi, \omega, J_\varphi)$ from a Type IIA structure (φ, ω) . The notation $\hat{\varphi}$ will be used for the 3-form given by $\hat{\varphi}(X, Y, Z) = \varphi(J_\varphi X, J_\varphi Y, J_\varphi Z)$. The norm $|\varphi|$ is then given by

$$|\varphi|^2 \frac{\omega^3}{3!} = \varphi \wedge \hat{\varphi}, \quad (2.1)$$

and the metric g_φ is given in components by

$$(g_\varphi)_{ij} = -|\varphi|^{-2} \varphi_{iab} \varphi_{jcp} \omega^{ak} \omega^{bp}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $|\varphi|$ is the norm of the 3-form φ defined above and ω^{ak} is the inverse of the symplectic form ω , $\omega^{ak} \omega_{kp} = \delta^a_p$. It turns out that $|\varphi|$ defined this way is also the norm of φ with respect to the metric g_φ , and the volume form of g_φ is the same as $\omega^3/3!$.

We give a list of identities from Type IIA geometry that will be used in this paper. First, our conventions are

$$\omega_{ij} = g_{Ji,j}, \quad g_{Ji,Jj} = g_{ij}, \quad \omega^{ia} \omega_{aj} = \delta^i_j, \quad g^{ia} g_{aj} = \delta^i_j, \quad \hat{\varphi}_{ijk} = -\varphi_{Ji,j,k}. \quad (2.3)$$

If α is a tensor, we write $(J\alpha)(X_1, \dots, X_k) = \alpha(JX_1, \dots, JX_k)$, and we use the component notation $W_{Ji} = W_k J^k_i$. For all tensors other than g, ω , upper indices denote raised indices

with respect to the metric g , i.e. $\varphi^i{}_{jk} = g^{i\ell}\varphi_{\ell jk}$, but note that $\omega^{jk} = -g^{ja}\omega_{ab}g^{bk}$. We write $d^c = J^{-1}dJ$. We use the following normalization for the inner product of k -forms

$$(A, B) = \frac{1}{k!}g^{IJ}A_IB_J, \quad g^{IJ} = g^{i_1j_1}\dots g^{i_kj_k}. \quad (2.4)$$

The adjoint differential is denoted

$$(d^*A)_I = (-\star d\star A)_I = -\nabla^p A_{pI}. \quad (2.5)$$

For local computations, we will often use the normal form of (ω, φ) . Around each point, there exists an orthonormal frame $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^6$ with respect to g_φ such that $J_\varphi e_{2k-1} = e_{2k}$, $J_\varphi e_{2k} = -e_{2k-1}$, and

$$\omega = e^{12} + e^{34} + e^{56} \quad (2.6)$$

$$\varphi = \frac{|\varphi|}{2}(e^{135} - e^{146} - e^{245} - e^{236}) \quad (2.7)$$

$$\hat{\varphi} = \frac{|\varphi|}{2}(e^{136} + e^{145} + e^{235} - e^{246}). \quad (2.8)$$

The normal form can be used to derive the bilinear identity

$$\omega^{ij}\varphi_{iab}\varphi_{jcd} = \frac{|\varphi|^2}{4}(\omega_{ac}g_{bd} - \omega_{bc}g_{ad} - \omega_{ad}g_{bc} + \omega_{bd}g_{ac}). \quad (2.9)$$

We also note the fundamental Type IIA variational formulas:

$$\delta\hat{\varphi} = -J_\varphi\delta\varphi + \frac{2\delta\varphi \wedge \varphi}{\varphi \wedge \hat{\varphi}}\varphi + \frac{2\delta\varphi \wedge \hat{\varphi}}{\varphi \wedge \hat{\varphi}}\hat{\varphi}, \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$\delta|\varphi|^2 = 2(\delta\varphi, \varphi) - |\varphi|^2\Lambda_\omega\delta\omega. \quad (2.11)$$

We end this section with a statement of our long-time existence criteria [11] for the Type IIA flow: Suppose $\varphi(t)$ is a solution to the Type IIA flow on $[0, T)$, and that

$$\sup_{M \times [0, T)} |\varphi(t)| + |Rm(g(t))| \leq C, \quad (2.12)$$

for $C > 0$. Then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that the Type IIA flow extends to $[0, T + \epsilon)$.

2.2 Steady Type IIA solitons

In the Introduction, we had defined the Type IIA flow, which is a flow of Type IIA structures on the 6-dimensional manifold M . We would like to consider now the reparametrizations of the Type IIA flow by a possibly time-dependent vector field V .³

³Such reparametrizations were actually needed in the proof given in [11] for the short-time existence of the Type IIA flow. But here, we would like to examine the issue of reparametrizations in greater generality.

Let then V be such a vector field, and consider reparametrizations by V of the Type IIA flow of a type IIA structure (φ, ω) . Even if ω_0 were fixed, under such a reparametrization, the evolving Type IIA structure becomes a time-dependent pair (φ_t, ω_t) which obeys the equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \varphi_t = d\Lambda_t d(|\varphi_t|^2 \hat{\varphi}_t) + d\iota_{V_t} \varphi_t \\ \partial_t \omega_t = d\iota_{V_t} \omega_t \end{cases} \quad (2.13)$$

with $\varphi_{t=0} = \varphi_0$ and $\omega_{t=0} = \omega_0$. The notion of steady Type IIA soliton can then be defined as follows:

Definition 1 *A steady Type IIA soliton on a compact manifold M is a triple (φ, ω, V) , where (φ, ω) is a Type IIA structure, and V a vector field satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} d\Lambda d(|\varphi|^2 \hat{\varphi}) + d\iota_V \varphi = 0 \\ d\iota_V \omega = 0 \end{cases} \quad (2.14)$$

In the Introduction, we had formulated as Theorem 3 a classification of steady Type IIA solitons on compact manifolds. We can give now the proof of this theorem.

Proof of Theorem 3. The proof is actually parallel to that given in [11, Theorem 8] for the stationary points of the Type IIA flow. Assume that (φ, ω, V) is a steady Type IIA soliton. Then by integration by part we know that

$$0 = \int_M (d\Lambda d(|\varphi|^2 \hat{\varphi}) + d\iota_V \varphi) \wedge \hat{\varphi} = - \int_M (\partial_- (|\varphi|^2 \hat{\varphi}) + \iota_V \varphi) \wedge d\hat{\varphi}. \quad (2.15)$$

As argued in [11], $d\hat{\varphi}$ is of type $(2, 2)$, and for any vector field V , we know that $\iota_V \varphi$ is of type $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$. Therefore the second term above $\iota_V \varphi \wedge d\hat{\varphi}$ is identically zero. So we are in the same case as in [11] and conclude that $d\hat{\varphi} = 0$ hence the associated complex structure J_φ is integrable and we have a Kähler Calabi-Yau manifold.

Using the normal form of Type IIA structures [11, Lemma 4], it is straightforward to establish the following identities for any vector field V

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_V \varphi &= \Lambda(V^\# \wedge \hat{\varphi}) = \Lambda(JV^\# \wedge \varphi), \\ \iota_V \hat{\varphi} &= -\Lambda(V^\# \wedge \varphi) = \Lambda(JV^\# \wedge \hat{\varphi}), \end{aligned}$$

where $V^\#$ is the 1-form dual to V via the metric $g(\varphi, \omega)$. As a result, we know

$$d\Lambda((d|\varphi|^2 + V^\#) \wedge \hat{\varphi}) = 0. \quad (2.16)$$

Let W be the real vector field on M such that $W^\# = d|\varphi|^2 + V^\#$. Then, (2.16) becomes $d\Lambda(W^\# \wedge \hat{\varphi}) = 0$. By Hodge theory, we know there exists a real harmonic 2-form H and a 1-form a such that

$$\Lambda(W^\# \wedge \hat{\varphi}) = H + da,$$

or equivalently

$$W^\sharp \wedge \hat{\varphi} = \omega \wedge (H + da).$$

Notice that $W^\sharp \wedge \hat{\varphi}$ is a $(3, 1) + (1, 3)$ -form, so we also know that $H + da$ is a $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$ -form. Write $a = \alpha + \bar{\alpha}$ where α is the $(1, 0)$ -part of a . The above analysis implies that

$$\bar{\partial}\alpha + \partial\bar{\alpha} + H^{1,1} = 0, \quad (2.17)$$

where $H^{1,1}$ is the $(1, 1)$ -part of H , which is also harmonic. From (2.17) we know that $\bar{\partial}\alpha$ is $\bar{\partial}$ -exact and ∂ -closed, by the $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -lemma, we know that there exists a complex-valued function s such that $\bar{\partial}\alpha = \bar{\partial}s$. By Hodge theory again, we can find a harmonic $(1, 0)$ -form μ such that $\alpha = \partial s + \mu$. It follows that

$$\partial\alpha = H^{1,1} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad W^\sharp \wedge \hat{\varphi} = \omega \wedge H, \quad (2.18)$$

where H is a harmonic $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$ -form. Let $H^{2,0}$ be the $(2, 0)$ -part of H which is holomorphic. Since $\Omega = \varphi + i\hat{\varphi}$ is a holomorphic volume form, we see that

$$\frac{i}{2}\Omega \wedge (W^\sharp)^{0,1} = \omega \wedge H^{2,0}. \quad (2.19)$$

By non-degeneracy of Ω we know that there exists a holomorphic vector field Y such that

$$\iota_Y \Omega = H^{2,0}. \quad (2.20)$$

Using Y we can express $(W^\sharp)^{0,1}$ as

$$(W^\sharp)^{0,1} = -2i\iota_Y \omega.$$

It follows that

$$W^\sharp = 2\iota_{i(\bar{Y} - Y)}\omega.$$

On the other hand, since $d\iota_Y \omega = 0$, namely Y is a Hamiltonian vector field, by Hodge theory for Kähler manifolds, we know that there exists a real-valued function v and a harmonic 1-form h such that

$$V^\sharp = h + Jdv.$$

Let $X = 2i(\bar{Y} - Y)$ which is a real holomorphic vector field, namely the real part of a holomorphic vector field. We see that

$$\iota_X \omega = d|\varphi|^2 + h + Jdv. \quad (2.21)$$

Now consider an arbitrary real holomorphic vector field Z . By Cartan's formula we know that $\mathcal{L}_Z(\Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}) = 0$. By definition,

$$\Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega} = -2i|\varphi|^2 \frac{\omega^3}{3!}. \quad (2.22)$$

Hence we conclude that $\operatorname{div}(|\varphi|^2 Z) = 0$ for any real holomorphic vector field Z . Let $Z = X$ and JX for X from (2.21), we obtain two equations

$$\begin{cases} \Delta|\varphi|^4 + 2(d|\varphi|^2, h + Jdv) = 0 \\ \Delta v - (d \log |\varphi|^2, Jh - dv) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (2.23)$$

By the strong maximum principle, the first equation implies that $|\varphi|^2$ is a constant so we have a Ricci-flat Type IIA structure and the theorem follows. Q.E.D.

In fact, by a theorem of Bochner [4], we know that the vector field V is not only Killing: it is also parallel under the Levi-Civita connection associated to the Ricci-flat metric g_φ .

3 The reparametrized Type IIA flow

Let (M, ω) be a compact symplectic 6-manifold with trivial first Chern class. It has been shown in [11] that the Type IIA flow is only weakly parabolic, and even the proof of the short-time existence of the flow required a suitable adaptation of DeTurck's arguments [9] for the proof of the short-time existence of the Ricci flow. In particular, the Type IIA flow had to be reparametrized by a specific time-dependent vector field V_t , which is the analogue for the Type IIA flow of DeTurck's vector field for the Ricci flow. To prove the desired dynamic stability Theorem 1, we shall begin by establishing dynamic stability for the reparametrized Type IIA flow.

First, we recall the specific vector field V_t which we need for this reparametrization. It is a vector field depending on (φ_t, ω_t) and a reference metric g'

$$V_t = V(\varphi_t, \omega_t, g'). \quad (3.1)$$

For simplicity, we omit the subscript t and write $g = g_t = g(\varphi_t, \omega_t)$ for the associated metric (2.2). The expression of $V = V_t$ is then given by

$$V^k = |\varphi|^2 g^{pq} (\Gamma_{pq}^k - (\Gamma')_{pq}^k) - g^{lk} \partial_l |\varphi|^2, \quad (3.2)$$

where Γ and Γ' are the Christoffel symbol associated to the metric g and g' respectively. The choice of reference metric g' is arbitrary. In [11], it is chosen to be the initial metric $g' = g_0$. However, throughout this paper, we choose $g' = \bar{g}$ to be the fixed Ricci-flat Kähler metric, which comes from a stationary point $(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})$ of the Type IIA flow.

Recall that the equations of a reparametrized Type IIA flow were given in (2.13). Thus the stationary points of the reparametrized Type IIA flow are given by steady Type IIA solitons, with just the additional requirement that the vector field V be given by (3.2). As a comparison, we recall that the vector field V' used by DeTurck [9] in the study of Ricci flow has the expression

$$(V')^k = g^{pq} (\Gamma_{pq}^k - \bar{\Gamma}_{pq}^k). \quad (3.3)$$

Let \mathcal{M} be the set of all stationary points of the reparametrized Type IIA flow (2.13), namely

$$\mathcal{M} = \{(\varphi, \omega) \text{ is a Type IIA structure} : d\Lambda_\omega d(|\varphi|^2 \hat{\varphi}) + d\iota_V \varphi = 0, \quad d\iota_V \omega = 0\}$$

with V defined as in (3.2). Clearly $(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega}) \in \mathcal{M}$ since $g(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega}) = \bar{g}$ is the reference metric which is Ricci-flat, and $|\bar{\varphi}|^2$ is a constant. Similarly we let \mathcal{N} be the set of stationary points of the DeTurck-Ricci flow,

$$\mathcal{N} = \{g : \text{Ric}(g) + \mathcal{L}_{V'} g = 0\}$$

with $V' = V'(g, \bar{g})$ from (3.3). Again it is trivial to check that $\bar{g} \in \mathcal{N}$.

Proposition 1 *There is a well-defined map $g : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$. That is, for any $(\varphi, \omega) \in \mathcal{M}$, we have $g(\varphi, \omega) \in \mathcal{N}$.*

Proof: For any $(\varphi, \omega) \in \mathcal{M}$, we know that by definition $(\varphi, \omega, V(\varphi, \omega, \bar{g}))$ is a steady Type IIA soliton. Hence by Theorem 3 we know that (φ, ω) is a Ricci-flat Type IIA structure and $V(\varphi, \omega, \bar{g})$ is a Killing vector field of $g(\varphi, \omega)$. In particular, we know that $|\varphi|^2$ is a constant. Therefore the expression in (3.2) can be simplified to

$$V^k = |\varphi|^2 g^{pq} (\Gamma_{pq}^k - \bar{\Gamma}_{pq}^k) = |\varphi|^2 (V')^k, \quad (3.4)$$

namely, V' is a constant multiple of V . It follows that $g = g(\varphi, \omega)$ satisfies $\text{Ric}(g) = \mathcal{L}_{V'} g = 0$, hence $g \in \mathcal{N}$. Q.E.D.

Proposition 2 *For any $g \in \mathcal{N}$, we have $V'(g, \bar{g}) = 0$.*

Proof: By the well-known classification steady compact Ricci solitons, we know $g \in \mathcal{N}$ implies that g is Ricci-flat and V' is a Killing vector field to g . Thus by Bochner's theorem [4], we know that $\nabla V' = 0$, where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection associated to g . Notice that

$$\Gamma_{pq}^k - \bar{\Gamma}_{pq}^k = \frac{1}{2} g^{ks} (\bar{\nabla}_p g_{qs} + \bar{\nabla}_q g_{ps} - \bar{\nabla}_s g_{pq}) \quad (3.5)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \bar{g}^{ks} (\nabla_p \bar{g}_{qs} + \nabla_q \bar{g}_{ps} - \nabla_s \bar{g}_{pq}), \quad (3.6)$$

therefore

$$|V'|_{\bar{g}}^2 = (V')^k (V')^l \bar{g}_{kl} = -\frac{g^{pq}}{2} (\nabla_p \bar{g}_{ql} + \nabla_q \bar{g}_{pl} - \nabla_l \bar{g}_{pq}) (V')^l. \quad (3.7)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M |V'|_{\bar{g}}^2 \text{vol}_g &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_M g^{pq} (\nabla_p \bar{g}_{ql} + \nabla_q \bar{g}_{pl} - \nabla_l \bar{g}_{pq}) (V')^l \text{vol}_g \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_M g^{pq} (\bar{g}_{ql} \nabla_p (V')^l + \bar{g}_{pl} \nabla_q (V')^l - \bar{g}_{pq} \nabla_l (V')^l) \text{vol}_g \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

So we conclude that $V' = 0$. Q.E.D.

As a corollary, we deduce

Proposition 3 *For any $(\varphi, \omega) \in \mathcal{M}$, we have $V(\varphi, \omega, \bar{g}) = 0$. In particular, this implies*

$$\mathcal{M} = \{(\varphi, \omega) \text{ is a Ricci flat Type IIA structure} : V(\varphi, \omega, \bar{g}) = 0\} \quad (3.9)$$

4 Linearization of the reparametrized Type IIA flow

Let $(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})$ be a Ricci-flat Type IIA structure defining an integrable complex structure \bar{J} and Calabi-Yau metric \bar{g} , normalized such that $|\bar{\varphi}| = 1$. Let $(\varphi(t), \omega(t))$ be a solution of the reparametrized Type IIA flow (2.13) with reference \bar{g} , and denote the right-hand side of the flow by

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \varphi = E(\varphi, \omega), \\ \partial_t \omega = F(\varphi, \omega). \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

We now compute the linearization of this system of equations.

Theorem 4 *For variations satisfying the constraint*

$$d(\delta\varphi) = d(\delta\omega) = 0, \quad \delta\varphi \wedge \bar{\omega} + \bar{\varphi} \wedge \delta\omega = 0, \quad (4.2)$$

we have

$$DE(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})(\delta\varphi, \delta\omega) = -|\bar{\varphi}|^2 \square_d(\delta\varphi), \quad (4.3)$$

$$DF(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})(\delta\varphi, \delta\omega) = -|\bar{\varphi}|^2 \square_d(\delta\omega), \quad (4.4)$$

where $\square_d = dd^* + d^*d$ is the Hodge Laplacian operator with respect to the Ricci-flat Kähler metric associated to the stationary point. If $\star(\delta\varphi \wedge \bar{\omega} + \bar{\varphi} \wedge \delta\omega) = H$, then

$$DE(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})(\delta\varphi, \delta\omega) = -|\bar{\varphi}|^2 \square_d(\delta\varphi) + d(\mathcal{E} * \bar{\nabla} H), \quad (4.5)$$

$$DF(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})(\delta\varphi, \delta\omega) = -|\bar{\varphi}|^2 \square_d(\delta\omega) + d(\mathcal{E} * \bar{\nabla} H), \quad (4.6)$$

where $\mathcal{E}*$ denotes contraction with terms involving $(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})$.

Proof of Theorem 4: In this calculation, for convenience we write (φ, ω, g) (instead of with bars) for the Kähler Ricci-flat Calabi-Yau structure. Denote ∇ as the Levi-Civita connection with respect to g . We split the computation into several parts.

4.1 Computation of δV

Recall that the vector field V with reference $(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})$ is given in (3.2). We start with

$$\begin{aligned}\delta V^k &= |\varphi|^2 [g^{pq}(\delta\Gamma)_{pq}^k - g^{lk}\partial_l(\delta|\varphi|^2)] \\ &= |\varphi|^2 (g^{kl}\nabla^p(\delta g)_{pl} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla^k \text{tr}_g \delta g) - g^{kl}(\delta|\varphi|^2)_l.\end{aligned}\quad (4.7)$$

We will compute δg . Differentiating the expression (2.2) for g_{ij} gives

$$\begin{aligned}(\delta g)_{ij} &= -\delta|\varphi|^{-2}\varphi_{iab}\varphi_{jcd}\omega^{ac}\omega^{bd} - |\varphi|^{-2}\delta\varphi_{iab}\varphi_{jcd}\omega^{ac}\omega^{bd} - |\varphi|^{-2}\varphi_{iab}\delta\varphi_{jcd}\omega^{ac}\omega^{bd} \\ &\quad - |\varphi|^{-2}\varphi_{iab}\varphi_{jcd}\delta\omega^{ac}\omega^{bd} - |\varphi|^{-2}\varphi_{iab}\varphi_{jcd}\omega^{ac}\delta\omega^{bd}\end{aligned}\quad (4.8)$$

Using the bilinear identity (2.9) and $\delta\omega^{ac} = -\omega^{ap}\delta\omega_{pq}\omega^{qc}$, $\omega^{il} = g^{ji,l}$, we compute the first term on the second line

$$\begin{aligned}-|\varphi|^{-2}\varphi_{iab}\varphi_{jcd}\delta\omega^{ac}\omega^{bd} &= \frac{1}{4}(\omega_{ij}g_{ac} - \omega_{aj}g_{ic} - \omega_{ic}g_{aj} + \omega_{ac}g_{ij})(\omega^{ap}\delta\omega_{pq}\omega^{qc}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(\omega_{ia}\delta\omega_{jp}g^{ap} + \omega_{ja}\delta\omega_{ip}g^{ap} + (\omega^{ab}\delta\omega_{ab})g_{ij}).\end{aligned}\quad (4.9)$$

The second term on the second line is similar, and so using $\Lambda A = \frac{1}{2}\omega^{ij}A_{ji}$ and the formula for $\delta|\varphi|^2$ (2.11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|\varphi|^2(\delta g)_{ij} &= g^{ac}g^{bd}((\delta\varphi)_{iab}\varphi_{jcd} + \varphi_{iab}(\delta\varphi)_{jcd}) - \delta|\varphi|^2 g_{ij} \\ &\quad + \frac{|\varphi|^2}{2}[g^{ab}((\delta\omega)_{ia}\omega_{jb} + \omega_{ia}(\delta\omega)_{jb}) - 2\Lambda_0(\delta\omega)g_{ij}] \\ &= g^{ac}g^{bd}((\delta\varphi)_{iab}\varphi_{jcd} + \varphi_{iab}(\delta\varphi)_{jcd}) - 2(\delta\varphi, \varphi)g_{ij} \\ &\quad + \frac{|\varphi|^2}{2}g^{ab}((\delta\omega)_{ia}\omega_{jb} + \omega_{ia}(\delta\omega)_{jb}).\end{aligned}\quad (4.10)$$

Taking the trace gives

$$\text{tr}_g(\delta g) = 2(\delta\omega, \omega) = 2\Lambda(\delta\omega). \quad (4.11)$$

by our convention (2.4) for the inner product of differential forms. Since $\nabla\varphi = \nabla\omega = \nabla g = 0$ at a Kähler stationary point, we substitute these expressions for δg into δV and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\delta V^k &= \nabla^p(\delta\varphi)_{pab}\varphi^{kab} + g^{kl}\nabla_p(\delta\varphi)_{lab}\varphi^{pab} - 4(\nabla^k\delta\varphi, \varphi) \\ &\quad + \frac{|\varphi|^2}{2}(\omega^{ak}\nabla^p(\delta\omega)_{pa} + g^{kl}\omega^{bp}\nabla_p(\delta\omega)_{lb}).\end{aligned}\quad (4.12)$$

We will simplify the second and last terms. For the second term, since $\delta\omega$ is closed, then we have

$$\nabla_p\delta\varphi_{lab} - \nabla_b\delta\varphi_{pla} + \nabla_a\delta\varphi_{bpl} - \nabla_\ell\delta\varphi_{abp} = 0 \quad (4.13)$$

which implies

$$3\nabla_p \delta\varphi^k{}_{ab} \varphi^{pab} - \nabla^k \delta\varphi_{abp} \varphi^{pab} = 0 \quad (4.14)$$

and so

$$g^{kl} \nabla_p (\delta\varphi)_{lab} \varphi^{pab} = 2\nabla^k (\delta\varphi, \varphi). \quad (4.15)$$

For the last term, we use that $\delta\omega$ is closed, which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^k \Lambda_\omega(\delta\omega) &= \frac{g^{kl}}{2} \omega^{ba} \nabla_l (\delta\omega)_{ab} = \frac{g^{kl}}{2} \omega^{ba} (\nabla_a (\delta\omega)_{lb} + \nabla_b (\delta\omega)_{al}) \\ &= g^{kl} \omega^{ba} \nabla_a (\delta\omega)_{lb}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

Substituting into the expression for δV and using $d^* A_I = -\nabla^p A_{pI}$, we get

$$\delta V^k = -(\delta^* \delta\varphi)_{ab} \varphi^{kab} - 2\nabla^k (\delta\varphi, \varphi) + \frac{|\varphi|^2}{2} (-\omega^{ak} (d^* \delta\omega)_a + \nabla^k \Lambda \delta\omega). \quad (4.17)$$

4.2 Linearization of $\partial_t \omega$ equation

In the equation $\partial_t \omega = F(\varphi, \omega)$, we need to compute $DF(\varphi, \omega)(\delta\varphi, \delta\omega) = d\iota_{\delta V} \omega$. Since $\hat{\varphi}_{ijk} = -\varphi_{Ji,jk}$, it follows that

$$(\iota_{\delta V} \omega)_s = \nabla^p (\delta\varphi)_{pab} \hat{\varphi}_s{}^{ab} + 2\nabla_{Js} (\delta\varphi, \varphi) + \frac{|\varphi|^2}{2} (\nabla^p (\delta\omega)_{ps} - \nabla_{Js} \Lambda(\delta\omega)). \quad (4.18)$$

The first two terms are

$$-(\delta^* \delta\varphi)_{ab} \hat{\varphi}_s{}^{ab} + 2\nabla_{Js} (\delta\varphi, \varphi). \quad (4.19)$$

We will show that these terms can be rewritten such that the main terms only involve $\delta\omega$. We can decompose $\delta\varphi$ into

$$\delta\varphi = 2f_1\varphi - 2f_2\hat{\varphi} + \alpha + \omega \wedge \beta \quad (4.20)$$

where f_1, f_2 are real functions, α is a primitive real $(2, 1) + (1, 2)$ form, and β a real 1-form. Furthermore, we can write $\alpha = \alpha' + \alpha''$ and $\beta = \beta' + \beta''$, where α' is the $(2, 1)$ -part of α , β' the $(1, 0)$ -part of β , and the double primed forms are the complex conjugate of the primed forms. Notice that $\varphi + i\hat{\varphi}$ is the holomorphic volume form, so we can rewrite $\delta\varphi$ as

$$\delta\varphi = (f_1 + if_2)(\varphi + i\hat{\varphi}) + (f_1 - if_2)(\varphi - i\hat{\varphi}) + \alpha' + \alpha'' + \omega \wedge (\beta' + \beta'').$$

Since $d\delta\varphi = 0$, by taking its $(3, 1)$ and $(1, 3)$ parts, we get

$$0 = \bar{\partial}(f_1 + if_2) \wedge (\varphi + i\hat{\varphi}) + \partial\alpha' + \omega \wedge \partial\beta', \quad (4.21)$$

$$0 = \partial(f_1 - if_2) \wedge (\varphi - i\hat{\varphi}) + \bar{\partial}\alpha'' + \omega \wedge \bar{\partial}\beta''. \quad (4.22)$$

By these relations, we see immediately that

$$\begin{aligned}
\star\delta\varphi &= -i(f_1 + if_2)(\varphi + i\hat{\varphi}) + i(f_1 - if_2)(\varphi - i\hat{\varphi}) + i\alpha' - i\alpha'' - \omega \wedge (i\beta' - i\beta''), \\
d\star\delta\varphi &= (-i\bar{\partial}(f_1 + if_2) \wedge (\varphi + i\hat{\varphi}) + i\partial\alpha' - i\omega \wedge \partial\beta') \\
&\quad + (i\partial(f_1 - if_2) \wedge (\varphi - i\hat{\varphi}) - i\bar{\partial}\alpha'' + i\omega \wedge \bar{\partial}\beta'') + (d\star\delta\varphi)^{(2,2)} \\
&= -2i(\bar{\partial}(f_1 + if_2) \wedge (\varphi + i\hat{\varphi}) + \omega \wedge \partial\beta') + 2i(\partial(f_1 - if_2) \wedge (\varphi - i\hat{\varphi}) + \omega \wedge \bar{\partial}\beta'') \\
&\quad + (d\star\delta\varphi)^{(2,2)} \\
&= 4df_2 \wedge \varphi + 4df_1 \wedge \hat{\varphi} - 2i\omega \wedge (\partial\beta' - \bar{\partial}\beta'') + (d\star\delta\varphi)^{(2,2)}, \\
\star d\star\delta\varphi &= -4\iota_{\nabla f_2}\hat{\varphi} + 4\iota_{\nabla f_1}\varphi - 2i(\partial\beta' - \bar{\partial}\beta'') + (\star d\star\delta\varphi)^{(1,1)}. \tag{4.23}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $(\star d\star\delta\varphi)^{(1,1)}$ is J -invariant while $\hat{\varphi}_{abc} = -\hat{\varphi}_{a,Jb,Jc}$, so $(\star d\star\delta\varphi)^{(1,1)} = -(\star d\star\delta\varphi)^{(1,1)}$ does not contribute in the term $(d\star\delta\varphi)_{ab}\hat{\varphi}_s^{ab}$. Altogether, computing in normal form (2.6), the first two terms of $\iota_{\delta V}\omega$ are then

$$-(d\star\delta\varphi)_{ab}\hat{\varphi}_s^{ab} = -4|\varphi|^2((f_2)_s + (f_1)_{Js}) - 2(dJ\beta)_{ab}\hat{\varphi}_s^{ab}, \tag{4.24}$$

$$2\nabla_{Js}(\delta\varphi, \varphi) = 4|\varphi|^2(f_1)_{Js}. \tag{4.25}$$

Let $\delta\omega = \gamma + \pi + h\omega$, where γ is a real $(2, 0) + (0, 2)$ -form, π a primitive $(1, 1)$ -form and h a real function. Recall $H = \star(\delta\varphi \wedge \omega + \varphi \wedge \delta\omega)$. Note

$$\omega^2 \wedge \beta + \varphi \wedge \gamma = \delta\varphi \wedge \omega + \varphi \wedge \delta\omega. \tag{4.26}$$

Taking \star on both sides, we get $J\beta = \frac{1}{2}\iota_\gamma\hat{\varphi} + H$, meaning that

$$(J\beta)_k = \frac{1}{4}\gamma_{ab}\hat{\varphi}_k^{ab} + H_k. \tag{4.27}$$

It follows that

$$-2(dJ\beta)_{ab}\hat{\varphi}_s^{ab} = |\varphi|^2\nabla^p\gamma_{ps} + \mathcal{E} \star \nabla H = -|\varphi|^2(d\star\gamma)_s + \mathcal{E} \star \nabla H. \tag{4.28}$$

where $\mathcal{E}\star$ denotes contraction with terms involving (ω, φ, g) . Substituting this into (4.24) and (4.18), we find that

$$\iota_{\delta V}\omega = -4|\varphi|^2df_2 - \frac{|\varphi|^2}{2}(d\star(\delta\omega) + 2d\star\gamma + Jd\Lambda_\omega(\delta\omega)) + \mathcal{E} \star \nabla H. \tag{4.29}$$

By our assumption $\delta\omega$ is closed, so

$$0 = d(\delta\omega) = d\gamma + d\pi + dh \wedge \omega. \tag{4.30}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\star\delta\omega = \gamma \wedge \omega - \pi \wedge \omega + h\frac{\omega^2}{2}, \tag{4.31}$$

$$d\star\delta\omega = d\gamma \wedge \omega - d\pi \wedge \omega + dh \wedge \frac{\omega^2}{2} = 2d\gamma \wedge \omega + 3dh \wedge \frac{\omega^2}{2}, \tag{4.32}$$

$$d\star\delta\omega = 2d\star\gamma + 3Jdh = 2d\star\gamma + Jd\Lambda_\omega(\delta\omega). \tag{4.33}$$

So we conclude that

$$\iota_{\delta V}\omega = |\varphi|^2(-4df_2 - d^*\delta\omega) + \mathcal{E} * \nabla H, \quad (4.34)$$

$$d\iota_{\delta V}\omega = -|\varphi|^2\Box_d(\delta\omega) + d(\mathcal{E} * \nabla H). \quad (4.35)$$

4.3 Linearization of $\partial_t\varphi$ equation

Now let us compute the linearization of $\partial_t\varphi = E(\varphi, \omega)$, which is

$$DE(\varphi, \omega)(\delta\varphi, \delta\omega) = d\Lambda_\omega d(|\varphi|^2\hat{\varphi}) + d\iota_{\delta V}\varphi.$$

Substituting (4.20), (4.33) into (4.17),

$$\begin{aligned} (\iota_{\delta V}\varphi)_{ij} &= -(d^*\delta\varphi)_{ab}\varphi^{kab}\varphi_{kij} - 2\nabla^k(2f_1|\varphi|^2)\varphi_{kij} \\ &\quad + \frac{|\varphi|^2}{2}(-\omega^{ak}(2d^*\gamma + 3Jdh)_a\varphi_{kij} + \nabla^k(3h)\varphi_{kij}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

computing in normal form (2.6)

$$\begin{aligned} (\iota_{\delta V}\varphi)_{ij} &= \frac{|\varphi|^2}{2} \left[-(d^*\delta\varphi)_{ij} + (d^*\delta\varphi)_{Ji, Jj} - 2\nabla^k(4f_1)\varphi_{kij} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \omega^{ak}(2d^*\gamma + 3Jdh)_a\varphi_{kij} + \nabla^k(3h)\varphi_{kij} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.37)$$

In normal form, we can compute

$$-\omega^{ak}(Jdh)_a\varphi_{kij} = (\nabla^k h)\varphi_{kij} \quad (4.38)$$

To exchange $d^*\gamma$, we use the primitivity identity (4.28)

$$\begin{aligned} -\omega^{ak}(d^*\gamma)_a\varphi_{kij} &= -2|\varphi|^{-2}\omega^{ak}(dJ\beta)_{mn}\hat{\varphi}_a^{mn}\varphi_{kij} + \mathcal{E} * \nabla H \\ &= -(dJ\beta)_{ij} + (JdJ\beta)_{ij} + \mathcal{E} * \nabla H \end{aligned} \quad (4.39)$$

where the last identity comes from a computation using the normal form (2.6). From here we obtain

$$\iota_{\delta V}\varphi = \frac{|\varphi|^2}{2}(-d^*\delta\varphi + Jd^*\delta\varphi + 2\iota_{\nabla(-4f_1+3h)}\varphi - 2dJ\beta + 2d^c\beta) + \mathcal{E} * \nabla H. \quad (4.40)$$

By Kähler identities, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -d^*\delta\varphi &= -2d^*(f_1\varphi - f_2\hat{\varphi}) - d^*(\alpha + \omega \wedge \beta) \\ &= 2(\iota_{\nabla f_1}\varphi - \iota_{\nabla f_2}\hat{\varphi}) - [\Lambda_\omega, d^c](\alpha + \omega \wedge \beta) \\ &= 2(\iota_{\nabla f_1}\varphi - \iota_{\nabla f_2}\hat{\varphi} + \Lambda_\omega(i\partial\alpha' - i\bar{\partial}\alpha'') + (2 - \Lambda_\omega L)(i\bar{\partial}\beta'' - i\partial\beta')) \\ &\quad + \Lambda_\omega(i\partial\alpha'' - i\bar{\partial}\alpha') + (2 - \Lambda_\omega L)(i\bar{\partial}\beta' - i\partial\bar{\beta}''), \end{aligned} \quad (4.41)$$

where L denotes the operation of wedging with ω . So

$$-\frac{|\varphi|^2}{2}(d^*\delta\varphi + Jd^*\delta\varphi) = |\varphi|^2(\Lambda_\omega(i\partial\alpha'' - i\bar{\partial}\alpha') + (2 - \Lambda_\omega L)(i\bar{\partial}\beta' - i\partial\beta'')). \quad (4.42)$$

Combine (4.40) and (4.42) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{\delta V}\varphi &= -|\varphi|^2 \left(d^*\delta\varphi + 2dJ\beta + \iota_{\nabla(4f_1-3h)}\varphi \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \Lambda_\omega(i\partial\alpha'' - i\bar{\partial}\alpha') - \Lambda_\omega L(i\bar{\partial}\beta' - i\partial\beta'') \right) + \mathcal{E} * \nabla H. \end{aligned} \quad (4.43)$$

On the other hand, by (2.10), (4.21), (4.22) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(|\varphi|^2\hat{\varphi}) &= -|\varphi|^2 J(\delta\varphi) - 2(\delta\varphi, \hat{\varphi})\varphi + 4(\delta\varphi, \varphi)\hat{\varphi} - |\varphi|^2 \Lambda_\omega(\delta\omega)\hat{\varphi} \\ &= |\varphi|^2(-J(\delta\varphi) + 4f_2\varphi + (8f_1 - 3h)\hat{\varphi}) \\ &= |\varphi|^2(2f_2\varphi + (6f_1 - 3h)\hat{\varphi} - i\alpha' + i\alpha'' - i\omega \wedge (\beta' - \beta'')). \end{aligned} \quad (4.44)$$

$$\begin{aligned} d\delta(|\varphi|^2\hat{\varphi}) &= |\varphi|^2(2df_2 \wedge \varphi + d(6f_1 - 3h) \wedge \hat{\varphi} - i\partial\alpha' + i\bar{\partial}\alpha'' - i\omega \wedge (\partial\beta' - \bar{\partial}\beta'')) \\ &\quad + |\varphi|^2(i\partial\alpha'' - i\bar{\partial}\alpha' - i\omega \wedge (\bar{\partial}\beta' - \partial\beta'')) \\ &= |\varphi|^2(d(4f_1 - 3h) \wedge \hat{\varphi} + i\partial\alpha'' - i\bar{\partial}\alpha' - i\omega \wedge (\bar{\partial}\beta' - \partial\beta'')). \end{aligned} \quad (4.45)$$

$$\Lambda_\omega d\delta(|\varphi|^2\hat{\varphi}) = |\varphi|^2(\iota_{\nabla(4f_1-3h)}\varphi + \Lambda_\omega(i\partial\alpha'' - i\bar{\partial}\alpha') - \Lambda_\omega L(i\bar{\partial}\beta' - i\partial\beta'')). \quad (4.46)$$

Adding (4.43) and (6.4), we see that

$$\Lambda_\omega d(\delta(|\varphi|^2\hat{\varphi})) + \iota_{\delta V}\varphi = -|\varphi|^2(d^*\delta\varphi + 2dJ\beta) + \mathcal{E} * \nabla H, \quad (4.47)$$

$$d\Lambda_\omega d(\delta(|\varphi|^2\hat{\varphi})) + d\iota_{\delta V}\varphi = -|\varphi|^2\Box_d(\delta\varphi) + d(\mathcal{E} * \nabla H), \quad (4.48)$$

which concludes the proof.

5 Perturbations of Calabi-Yau metrics

In this section, we perturb the structure $(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})$ using an integrability condition to obtain another Ricci-flat Type IIA structure $(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\omega})$ which will be used to obtain L^2 decay gap in the next section. See [28] for related ideas applied to the stability of the Ricci flow.

Proposition 4 *Let (φ_0, ω_0) be a given Type IIA structure. There exists $\epsilon > 0$ depending on $(M, \bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})$ such that if $|\omega_0 - \bar{\omega}|_{C^{k,\gamma}} + |\varphi_0 - \bar{\varphi}|_{C^{k,\gamma}} < \epsilon$, then there exists a corrected Type IIA structure $(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\omega}) \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfying the following properties.*

- 1). *The differences $\omega_0 - \tilde{\omega}$ and $\varphi_0 - \tilde{\varphi}$ are L^2_g -orthogonal to $\ker \Box_d$.*

2). *There holds*

$$|\tilde{\omega} - \bar{\omega}|_{C^{k,\gamma}} + |\tilde{\varphi} - \bar{\varphi}|_{C^{k,\gamma}} \leq C\epsilon \quad (5.1)$$

for some positive constant C depending on $(M, \bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})$ and k, γ .

In the following, we prove the above Proposition 4 based on the Riemannian holonomy classification of the manifold (X, \bar{g}) . As $(X, \bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})$ is a Ricci-flat Kähler Calabi-Yau 3-fold, we know that $b_1(X)$ must be even and $b_1 \leq 6$. By holonomy consideration, we know that the only possibilities are $b_1 = 0$, $b_1 = 2$, or $b_1 = 6$. In terms of the Bogomolov-Beauville-Yau splitting theorem, up to finite covering, we X is holomorphically isomorphic to one of the following.

1. X is a simply connected Calabi-Yau 3-fold.
2. $X = K3 \times T^2$.
3. $X = T^6$,

However in our treatment, we do not necessarily need to pass to the finite cover. Instead, we work with X directly based on value of the first Betti number b_1 .

In the following we let \mathcal{H} denote the projection of a closed form to its \bar{g} -harmonic part.

5.1 The $b_1(X) = 6$ case

Let us begin with the easiest case, namely Case 3 where (X, \bar{g}) is flat. In this case, we show that we can simply take $(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\omega}) = (\mathcal{H}(\varphi_0), \mathcal{H}(\omega_0))$ to fulfill the requirements in Proposition 4. Since \bar{g} is flat, being harmonic simply means being parallel. Hence we know that

$$|(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\omega}) - (\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})| \leq |(\varphi_0, \omega_0) - (\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})| \quad (5.2)$$

holds for any $W^{k,2}$ -norm or Hölder norm. Therefore we only need to verify that $(\mathcal{H}(\varphi_0), \mathcal{H}(\omega_0))$ is a stationary point of the reparametrized Type IIA flow.

First, we need to check that $(\mathcal{H}(\varphi_0), \mathcal{H}(\omega_0))$ is a Type IIA structure. The only thing to check is that $\mathcal{H}(\varphi_0) \wedge \mathcal{H}(\omega_0) = 0$. By the Hodge decomposition, we can write $\varphi_0 = \mathcal{H}(\varphi_0) + da$ and $\omega_0 = \mathcal{H}(\omega_0) + db$. Since (φ_0, ω_0) is a Type IIA structure, we know that

$$0 = \varphi_0 \wedge \omega_0 = \mathcal{H}(\varphi_0) \wedge \mathcal{H}(\omega_0) + d(a \wedge \omega_0 - \mathcal{H}(\varphi_0) \wedge b). \quad (5.3)$$

Notice that $\mathcal{H}(\varphi_0) \wedge \mathcal{H}(\omega_0)$ is the wedge product of two parallel forms, which is also parallel, hence harmonic. Therefore the above expression is the Hodge decomposition of the 5-form 0, so we conclude that $\mathcal{H}(\varphi_0) \wedge \mathcal{H}(\omega_0) = 0$.

Second, since both $\mathcal{H}(\varphi_0)$ and $\mathcal{H}(\omega_0)$ are parallel, the corresponding metric \tilde{g} is also parallel, and in fact, it is flat and it induces the same Levi-Civita connection as that of \bar{g} . It follows that $V(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\omega}, \tilde{g}) = 0$ and indeed such a choice of $(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\omega})$ is a stationary point of the reparametrized Type IIA flow.

5.2 The $b_1(X) = 0$ case

Next we work with the generic case where $b_1(X) = 0$. The key is to prove:

Proposition 5 \mathcal{M} is smooth near $(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})$ with tangent space

$$T_{(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})}\mathcal{M} = \{(\delta\varphi, \delta\omega) \in \mathcal{H}^3 \times \mathcal{H}^2 : \delta\varphi \wedge \bar{\omega} + \bar{\varphi} \wedge \delta\omega = 0\}, \quad (5.4)$$

where \mathcal{H}^k denotes the space of \bar{g} -harmonic k -forms on X .

Here \mathcal{M} is smooth means that for any $(\delta\varphi, \delta\omega) \in T_{(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})}\mathcal{M}$, there exists a path $(\varphi(t), \omega(t)) \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0}(\varphi(t), \omega(t)) = (\delta\varphi, \delta\omega)$. The formula for the tangent space of \mathcal{M} at $(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})$ follows from Theorem 4.

Notice that when $b_1(X) = 0$, the condition $\delta\varphi \wedge \bar{\omega} + \bar{\varphi} \wedge \delta\omega = 0$ is automatically true. Since in this case we know that $\mathcal{H}^1 = 0$, and further by Bochner technique one can show that $\mathcal{H}^{2,0} = \mathcal{H}^{0,2} = 0$. Therefore the Lefschetz decomposition for Kähler manifolds yield

$$\mathcal{H}^3 = \mathcal{PH}^3, \quad \mathcal{H}^2 = \mathcal{H}^{1,1}, \quad (5.5)$$

where \mathcal{PH} stands for the space of primitive harmonic forms. Therefore for any $\delta\varphi \in \mathcal{H}^3$, we have $\delta\varphi \wedge \bar{\omega} = 0$, and for any $\delta\omega \in \mathcal{H}^2$ we have $\bar{\varphi} \wedge \delta\omega = 0$. Consequently we can write

$$T_{(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})}\mathcal{M} = \{(\delta\varphi, \delta\omega) \in \mathcal{H}^3 \times \mathcal{H}^2\}. \quad (5.6)$$

Now consider the harmonic projection map $\mathcal{H} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^3 \times \mathcal{H}^2$ defined by

$$\mathcal{H}(\varphi, \omega) = (\mathcal{H}(\varphi), \mathcal{H}(\omega)). \quad (5.7)$$

Clearly its differential is the identity map at the point $(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})$. Therefore by applying implicit function theorem to the map \mathcal{H} , we can solve $\mathcal{H}(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\omega}) = \mathcal{H}(\varphi_0, \omega_0)$. For the estimate, we use

$$|\bar{\varphi} - \tilde{\varphi}| = |\mathcal{H}^{-1}(\bar{\varphi}) - \mathcal{H}^{-1}(\mathcal{H}(\varphi_0))| \leq |D\mathcal{H}^{-1}||\bar{\varphi} - \mathcal{H}(\varphi_0)| \leq C\epsilon. \quad (5.8)$$

Thus Proposition 4 is proved in this case. The only remaining step is to show that Proposition 5 holds.

Proof of Proposition 5: Consider the map $g : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ in Proposition 1. Notice also that the metric \bar{g} comes from a Calabi-Yau structure. By the work of Koiso [17, Theorem 10.5], we know that for any $g \in \mathcal{N}$ close to \bar{g} , g also comes from a Calabi-Yau structure, hence g is locally onto near $(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})$. It follows that $\pi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is an $\mathbf{C}^* = S^1 \times \mathbf{R}$ -fibration and it is well-known that \mathcal{N} is smooth near \bar{g} (see for example [28]). This proves Proposition 5.

Remark 1 In fact, one can prove that \mathcal{N} is smooth near \bar{g} as follows. Following the notation in [17], we denote the space of $W^{s,2}$ -Riemannian metrics on M by \mathcal{M}^s , and the group of $W^{s+1,2}$ -diffeomorphisms by \mathcal{D}^{s+1} . In addition, we let $I_{\bar{g}}$ be the isometry group of the metric \bar{g} . The Ebin's slice theorem (cf. [17, Lemma 2.1]) says for $s \geq [n/2] + 1$, there exists a submanifold $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{g}}^s$ of \mathcal{M}^s and a local section $\chi^{s+1} : I_{\bar{g}} \backslash \mathcal{D}^{s+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{s+1}$ defined on an open neighborhood of \mathcal{U}^{s+1} of the coset $I_{\bar{g}}$ such that the map $F^s : \mathcal{S}_{\bar{g}}^s \times \mathcal{U}^{s+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^s$ defined by $F^s(g, u) = \chi^{s+1}(u)^*g$ is a homeomorphism onto an open neighborhood \mathcal{V}^s of \bar{g} in \mathcal{M}^s . Moreover, we have the orthogonal decomposition [17, Lemma 2.2]

$$W^{s,2}(S^2M) = \delta_{\bar{g}}^*(W^{s+1,2}(S^1M)) \oplus \ker \delta_{\bar{g}} \cap W^{s,2}(S^2M), \quad (5.9)$$

where S^kM denotes the space of symmetric k -forms on M , $\delta_{\bar{g}} : W^{s,2}(S^2M) \rightarrow W^{s-1,2}(S^1M)$ is the linear operator defined by

$$(\delta_{\bar{g}}h)_k = -\bar{\nabla}^j h_{jk}, \quad (5.10)$$

and $\delta_{\bar{g}}^*$ its L^2 -adjoint. In the above decomposition, $W^{s,2}(S^2M)$, $\delta_{\bar{g}}^*(W^{s+1,2}(S^1M))$, and $\ker \delta_{\bar{g}}$ are the tangent space at \bar{g} of \mathcal{M}^s , $(\mathcal{D}^{s+1})^*\bar{g}$, and $\mathcal{S}_{\bar{g}}^s$ respectively.

Koiso considered what he called the Einstein local pre-moduli space $\text{ELPM}_{\bar{g}}$, which consists of all Einstein metrics inside the smooth slice $\mathcal{M}_{\bar{g}}^\infty$. In particular, when \bar{g} is a Ricci-flat Kähler metric, Koiso [17, Theorem 0.7, and 0.9], [3, Theorem 12.88] showed that $\text{ELPM}_{\bar{g}}$ is a real analytic manifold near \bar{g} , and for all $g \in \text{ELPM}_{\bar{g}}$, they are the underlying Ricci-flat Kähler metric of certain nearby Calabi-Yau structure. For $g \in \text{ELPM}_{\bar{g}}$, let K_g be the space of Killing vector fields of the metric g . It turns out $\dim K_g$ is a topological constant which does not depend on the point $g \in \text{ELPM}_{\bar{g}}$. Therefore, the family $\text{ELPM}_{\bar{g}}$ is *normal* in the sense of Koiso [17, Definition 4.1], hence by [17, Lemma 4.10], we have $K_g = K_{\bar{g}}$ and therefore we shall drop the subscript g from now on.

When $b_1(M) = 0$, consider the map $Q^s : \text{ELPM}_{\bar{g}} \times \mathcal{U}^{s+1} \rightarrow W^{s-1,2}(\mathfrak{X}(M))$, where $W^{s-1,2}(\mathfrak{X}(M))$ is the space of $W^{s-1,2}$ -vector fields. The map Q^s is defined to be

$$Q^s(g, u) = V'(\chi^{s+1}(u)^*g, \bar{g}), \quad (5.11)$$

where V' is the DeTurck vector field defined before. By definition, we know that $Q^s(\bar{g}, [I_{\bar{g}}]) = 0$. Moreover, when $b_1(M) = 0$, we know that there are no Killing vector fields, hence $T_{[I_{\bar{g}}]}\mathcal{U}^{s+1} = W^{s+1,2}(\mathfrak{X}(M))$. The differential of Q^s at the point $(\bar{g}, [I_{\bar{g}}])$ can be computed as

$$DQ^s(\bar{g}, [I_{\bar{g}}])(h, X) = \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{\bar{g}}X, \quad (5.12)$$

which is a surjection to $W^{s-1,2}(\mathfrak{X}(M))$ since $\Delta_{\bar{g}}$ has no kernel and it is self-adjoint. Here $h \in T_{\bar{g}}\text{EPLM}_{\bar{g}}$ is described in [17, Lemma 1.5, Theorem 3.1]. Since h satisfies $\delta_{\bar{g}}h = \nabla \text{tr}_{\bar{g}}h = 0$, it follows that h does not contribute to the RHS of (5.12). We also need the Ricci-flatness of \bar{g} to obtain (5.12).

Therefore by implicit function theorem, there exists a unique smooth function $P : \text{ELPM}_{\bar{g}} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^{s+1}$ defined locally near \bar{g} such that $Q^s(g, P(g)) = 0$. As shown in the proof of Theorem 7, we have $\mathcal{N} = \{g : \text{Ric}(g) = 0, V'(g, \bar{g}) = 0\}$. It follows that for any $g \in \text{ELPM}_{\bar{g}}$ near \bar{g} , we have $\chi^{s+1}(P(g))^*g \in \mathcal{N}$. Moreover, since F^s is a locally onto \mathcal{M}^s , we see \mathcal{N} can be identified with the graph of P over $\text{ELPM}_{\bar{g}}$ near \bar{g} , namely

$$\mathcal{N} = \{\chi^{s+1}(P(g))^*g : g \in \text{ELPM}_{\bar{g}}\}, \quad (5.13)$$

hence it is also smooth.

When $b_1(M) \neq 0$, the Bogomolov-Beauville-Yau splitting theorem (see Proposition 6.2.2 in [16]) , P says we can locally write $M = T^{2k} \times M'$, where T^{2k} is a flat torus and M' is a Ricci-flat Kähler manifold with $b_1 = 0$. As K does not depend on $g \in \text{ELPM}_{\bar{g}}$, one can choose suitable coordinates such that both \bar{g} and g are locally product of Ricci-flat Kähler metrics on T^{2k} and M' . A similar argument as above reduce the statement for M to the corresponding statement for M' .

As a conclusion, we always have that \mathcal{N} is smooth near \bar{g} , no matter what $b_1(M)$ is.

Remark 2 Here is an explicit way to show that given a pair of \bar{g} -harmonic forms $(\delta\varphi, \delta\omega) \in \mathcal{H}^3 \times \mathcal{H}^2$, we can lift from \mathcal{N} a path $(\varphi(t), \omega(t)) \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$(\varphi(0), \omega(0)) = (\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega}), \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}(\varphi(t), \omega(t)) = (\delta\varphi, \delta\omega). \quad (5.14)$$

We set $\Omega_0 = \bar{\varphi} + i\hat{\bar{\varphi}}$ and $\delta\Omega = \delta\varphi + i\delta\hat{\varphi}$ where $\delta\hat{\varphi}$ is given by formula (2.10). We can associate to the pair $(\delta\Omega, \delta\omega) \in \mathcal{H}^3 \times \mathcal{H}^2$ a deformation δg via

$$(\delta g)_{\bar{\alpha}\beta} = i(\delta\omega)_{\bar{\alpha}\beta}, \quad (\delta g)_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} = \frac{1}{|\Omega_0|_{\bar{\omega}}^2}(\bar{\Omega}_0)_{\bar{\alpha}}^{\mu\nu}(\delta\Omega)_{\mu\nu\bar{\beta}} \quad (5.15)$$

A calculation in [6] shows that $(\delta\Omega, \delta\omega) \in \ker \square_{\bar{g}}$ implies $\delta g \in T_{\bar{g}}\mathcal{N}$. By the theorem mentioned earlier on integrability of \mathcal{N} (e.g. discussion in Remark 1), δg comes from a variation of Kähler Ricci-flat metrics $g(t) \in \mathcal{N}$ so that $g(0) = \bar{g}$ and $\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}g(t) = \delta g$. The complex manifolds $(X, J(t))$ are deformations of a Calabi-Yau central fiber, and so admit holomorphic volume forms $\Omega(t)$ with $\Omega(0) = \bar{\varphi} + i\hat{\bar{\varphi}}$.

Let $(\Omega(t), \omega(t))$ be the associated path of differential forms. Using $h^{0,2}(X) = 0$, we have that general variations have type $\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}\omega \in \Lambda^{1,1}(X)$ (e.g. [17]) and our given $\delta\omega \in \Lambda^{1,1}(X)$. General variations of holomorphic volume forms have type $\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}\Omega \in \Lambda^{3,0} \oplus \Lambda^{2,1}$. The well-known variational formulas [6] are

$$\left[\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}\omega\right]_{\bar{\alpha}\beta} = -i(\delta g)_{\bar{\alpha}\beta}, \quad \left[\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}\Omega\right]_{\mu\nu\bar{\beta}} = \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\mu\nu}^{\bar{\lambda}}(\delta g)_{\bar{\lambda}\bar{\beta}}. \quad (5.16)$$

By choice of δg , to show that we can reach

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}\omega(t) = \delta\omega \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}\Omega(t) = \delta\Omega, \quad (5.17)$$

we just need to adjust the scale of our choice of $\Omega(t)$ so that the $(3,0)$ component is

$$\left[\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \Omega \right]_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = (\delta\Omega)_{\alpha\beta\gamma}. \quad (5.18)$$

It is well-known that in this setup, both $[\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0}\Omega]^{3,0}$ and $(\delta\Omega)^{3,0}$ are holomorphic $(3,0)$ forms. Therefore $[\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0}\Omega]^{3,0} = c_0\Omega$, and to attain the $(3,0)$ variation we can rescale $e^{(c-c_0)t}\Omega(t)$. From $\Omega(t)$ we associate $\varphi(t) = \text{Re } \Omega(t)$, $\bar{\varphi} = \text{Im } \Omega(t)$.

5.3 The $b_1 = 2$ case

In this case, due to the existence of parallel 1-forms, the condition (5.4) is not automatic, which poses difficulties in applying the implicit function theorem as in the previous section. To overcome it, we need to consider a moduli space \mathcal{K} with extra data compared to \mathcal{M} . Let

$$\mathcal{K} = \{(\varphi, \omega, \sigma) : (\varphi, \omega) \in \mathcal{M}, \sigma \text{ is a closed real } (2,0) + (0,2) - \text{form w.r.t. } J_\varphi\}. \quad (5.19)$$

The obvious map $p : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is a rank 2 vector bundle. Moreover, the map $g : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ defined as in Proposition 1 is a fibration with fiber $\mathbf{RP}^3 \times \mathbf{R}$. The same argument shows that \mathcal{K} is smooth.

For $(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega}, 0) \in \mathcal{K}$, its tangent space $T_{(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega}, 0)}\mathcal{K}$ can be characterized as

$$T_{(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega}, 0)}\mathcal{K} = \{(\delta\varphi, \delta\omega, \delta\sigma) \in \mathcal{H}^3 \times \mathcal{H}^2 \times \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{R}}^{(2,0)+(0,2)} : \delta\varphi \wedge \bar{\omega} + \bar{\varphi} \wedge \delta\omega = 0\}. \quad (5.20)$$

Now consider the harmonic projection map $\mathcal{H} : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^3 \times \mathcal{H}^2$ given by

$$\mathcal{H}(\varphi, \omega, \sigma) = (\mathcal{H}(\varphi), \mathcal{H}(\omega + \sigma)). \quad (5.21)$$

It is straightforward to check that its differential at the point $(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega}, 0)$ is an isomorphism, so we can apply inverse function theorem. In particular, we can find a unique $(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\sigma}) \in \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}(\tilde{\varphi}) = \mathcal{H}(\varphi_0), \quad \mathcal{H}(\tilde{\omega} + \tilde{\sigma}) = \mathcal{H}(\omega_0). \quad (5.22)$$

In particular, in term of de Rham cohomology classes, we have $[\tilde{\varphi}] = [\varphi_0]$, $[\tilde{\omega} + \tilde{\sigma}] = [\omega_0]$. Since both $(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\omega})$ and (φ_0, ω_0) are Type IIA structures, we have

$$0 = [\varphi_0] \wedge [\omega_0] = [\tilde{\varphi}] \wedge [\tilde{\omega} + \tilde{\sigma}] = [\tilde{\varphi}] \wedge [\tilde{\sigma}]. \quad (5.23)$$

From Hodge theory of Calabi-Yau 3-folds, this can happen only when $[\tilde{\sigma}] = 0$, which is the same as $\tilde{\sigma} = 0$. Consequently Proposition 4 holds.

6 Estimates and convergence of the flow

In this section, we will prove that starting the Type IIA flow in a small $W^{10,2}$ neighborhood of a Ricci-flat Type IIA structure leads to the long-time existence and convergence.

6.1 Evolution of corrected differences

Start the reparametrized Type IIA flow with initial data (φ_0, ω_0) as in the previous subsection, and as before denote by $(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\omega}) \in \mathcal{M}$ the corrected structure (constructed in Proposition 4) with $\omega_0 - \tilde{\omega}$, $\varphi_0 - \tilde{\varphi}$ orthogonal to $\ker \square_{\bar{g}}$. Let

$$\alpha(t) = \omega(t) - \tilde{\omega}, \quad \beta(t) = \varphi(t) - \tilde{\varphi} \quad (6.1)$$

denote the evolving corrected differences.

Lemma 1 *Let $(\varphi(t), \omega(t))$ solve the flow with initial data (φ_0, ω_0) on a time interval $[0, A]$. Then, we have*

- 1). $\alpha(t), \beta(t)$ are orthogonal to $\ker \square_{\bar{g}}$ for all $t \in [0, A]$.
- 2). If $|\omega(t) - \tilde{\omega}|_{C^1} + |\varphi(t) - \tilde{\varphi}|_{C^1} < \epsilon$ for all $t \in [0, A]$, then

$$|\alpha(t)|_{C^1} + |\beta(t)|_{C^1} \leq C\epsilon, \quad (6.2)$$

where C depends on $(M, \bar{\omega}, \bar{\varphi})$.

Proof: Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the L^2 inner product with respect to \bar{g} and let $\gamma \in \ker \square_{\bar{g}}$. Since

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle \omega(t) - \tilde{\omega}, \gamma \rangle = \langle d\iota_V \omega, \gamma \rangle = 0 \quad (6.3)$$

it follows that $\langle \omega(t) - \tilde{\omega}, \gamma \rangle = 0$ for all t by choice of $\tilde{\omega}$, and similarly for $\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}$. The estimates follows from (5.1). Q.E.D.

We now show that α and β solve an approximate heat equation.

Lemma 2 *Suppose the flow is defined on an interval $[0, A]$. There exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that if $|\omega - \tilde{\omega}|_{C^1}(t) + |\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}|_{C^1}(t) \leq \epsilon$ with $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$ for all $t \in [0, A]$, then*

$$\partial_t \alpha = -\square_{\bar{g}} \alpha + d \left[\mathcal{E}_1 * (\alpha + \nabla \alpha + \beta + \nabla \beta) \right] \quad (6.4)$$

$$\partial_t \beta = -\square_{\bar{g}} \beta + d \left[\mathcal{E}_2 * (\alpha + \nabla \alpha + \beta + \nabla \beta) \right] \quad (6.5)$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_i = O(\omega, \varphi) * (1 + \nabla\omega + \nabla\varphi) \quad (6.6)$$

satisfy the estimate

$$|\mathcal{E}_i|_{C^0}(t) \leq C\epsilon. \quad (6.7)$$

Here the constant C depend on $(M, \bar{\omega}, \bar{\varphi})$. The notation $*$ denotes contractions with respect to \bar{g} and ∇ is the covariant derivative with respect to \bar{g} .

Proof: We denote ∇ and use norms with respect to the reference \bar{g} . Since $(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\omega})$ is integrable and satisfies $d\iota_{V(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\omega})}\tilde{\omega} = 0$, $d\iota_{V(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\omega})}\tilde{\varphi} = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}) &= E(\varphi, \omega) - E(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\omega}) \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{d}{da} E(\varphi_a, \omega_a) da \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

for $\varphi_a = a\varphi + (1-a)\tilde{\varphi}$ and $\omega_a = a\omega + (1-a)\tilde{\omega}$. Note that

$$|\varphi_a - \bar{\varphi}| \leq a|\varphi - \bar{\varphi}| + (1-a)|\tilde{\varphi} - \bar{\varphi}| \leq C\epsilon \quad (6.9)$$

if $|\varphi(t) - \bar{\varphi}| < \epsilon$ for all t and $|\tilde{\varphi} - \bar{\varphi}| \leq C\epsilon$. Therefore φ_a is still a non-degenerate positive 3-form by the openness of Hitchin's condition [15], ⁴ and similarly ω_a is symplectic. The expression

$$E(\varphi_a, \omega_a) = d\Lambda_{\omega_a} d(|\varphi_a|^2 \hat{\varphi}_a) + d\iota_{V_a} \varphi_a$$

is then well-defined, with g_{ij} given in (2.2) satisfying $g_{ij} > 0$ for ϵ small.

Recall in Theorem 4, we showed that for closed variations, then

$$\delta E|_{(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})}(\delta\varphi, \delta\omega) = -\square_{\bar{g}}\delta\varphi + d\mathcal{R}$$

where the error term \mathcal{R} appears if the variations do not preserve the primitive condition. We apply this to $\delta\omega = \omega - \tilde{\omega} := \alpha$ and $\delta\varphi = \varphi - \tilde{\varphi} := \beta$.

$$\partial_t(\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}) = -\square_{\bar{g}}(\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}) + \int_0^1 \left[\delta E_{(\varphi_a, \omega_a)} - \delta E_{(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})} \right] (\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}, \omega - \tilde{\omega}) da + d\mathcal{R} \quad (6.10)$$

Let $\varphi_{a,b} = b\varphi_a + (1-b)\bar{\varphi}$ and $\omega_{a,b} = b\omega_a + (1-b)\bar{\omega}$. Let $f_a(b) = \delta E|_{(\varphi_{a,b}, \omega_{a,b})}(\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}, \omega - \tilde{\omega})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}) &= -\square_{\bar{g}}(\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}) + \int_0^1 \left[f_a(1) - f_a(0) \right] da + d\mathcal{R} \\ &= -\square_{\bar{g}}(\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}) + \int_0^1 \left[\int_0^1 f'_a(b) db \right] da + d\mathcal{R} \\ &:= -\square_{\bar{g}}(\varphi - \tilde{\varphi}) + \mathcal{Q}_1 + \mathcal{Q}_2. \end{aligned} \quad (6.11)$$

⁴ φ is positive if it induces an almost-complex structure J_φ and the associated quadratic form g_φ is positive definite.

We now estimate the error terms \mathcal{Q}_i . We claim

$$\mathcal{Q}_i = d \left[\mathcal{E} * (\alpha + \nabla \alpha + \beta + \nabla \beta) \right] \quad (6.12)$$

with $\mathcal{E} = O(\omega, \varphi) * (1 + \nabla \omega + \nabla \varphi)$ satisfying $|\mathcal{E}|_{C^0} \leq C\epsilon$.

- Term \mathcal{Q}_1 . The general expression for $\delta E|_{(\varphi, \omega)}$ is

$$\delta E = d \left[\Lambda_{\delta \omega} d(|\varphi|^2 \hat{\varphi}) + \Lambda_{\omega} d\delta(|\varphi|^2 \hat{\varphi}) + \iota_{\delta V} \varphi + \iota_V \delta \varphi \right]. \quad (6.13)$$

From the expression for V (3.2) and $\delta \hat{\varphi}$ (2.10), we have

$$f_a(b) = d \left[O(\omega_{a,b}, \varphi_{a,b}) * (\nabla \omega_{a,b} + \nabla \varphi_{a,b}) * (\alpha + \beta) + O(\omega_{a,b}, \varphi_{a,b}) * (\nabla \alpha + \nabla \beta) \right] \quad (6.14)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{db} f_a(b) &= d \left[O(\omega_{a,b}, \varphi_{a,b}) * (\nabla \omega_{a,b} + \nabla \varphi_{a,b}) * ((\varphi_a - \bar{\varphi}) + (\omega_a - \bar{\omega})) * (\alpha + \beta) \right. \\ &\quad + O(\omega_{a,b}, \varphi_{a,b}) * (\nabla(\omega_a - \bar{\omega}) + \nabla(\varphi_a - \bar{\varphi})) * (\alpha + \beta) \\ &\quad \left. + O(\omega_{a,b}, \varphi_{a,b}) * ((\varphi_a - \bar{\varphi}) + (\omega_a - \bar{\omega})) * (\nabla \alpha + \nabla \beta) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (6.15)$$

The $O(\cdots)$ terms also depend on the positivity of $\varphi_{a,b}$ and $\omega_{a,b} > 0$. By the estimate (6.9), these have uniformly bounded positivity, and the Lemma's assumption $|\omega(t)|_{C^1}, |\varphi(t)|_{C^1} \leq C$ implies that the $O(\cdots)$ terms are uniformly bounded in C^0 . The smallness comes from the differences e.g. $\varphi_a - \bar{\varphi}$ (6.9), and thus the \mathcal{Q}_1 terms are of the form (6.12).

- Term \mathcal{Q}_2 . If we write $\mathcal{Q}_2 = d\mathcal{R}$, the error term $\mathcal{R} = O(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega}) * H$ from Theorem 4 involves bounded terms contracted with

$$\nabla \star (\delta \omega \wedge \bar{\varphi} + \bar{\omega} \wedge \delta \varphi) \quad (6.16)$$

Here $\delta \omega = \alpha$ and $\delta \varphi = \beta$, and so using $\varphi(t) \wedge \omega(t) = 0$, $\bar{\varphi} \wedge \bar{\omega} = 0$, $\bar{\varphi} \wedge \bar{\omega} = 0$,

$$\delta \omega \wedge \bar{\varphi} + \bar{\omega} \wedge \delta \varphi = \alpha \wedge (\bar{\varphi} - \varphi) + (\bar{\omega} - \omega) \wedge \beta + \alpha \wedge \beta. \quad (6.17)$$

Since $\alpha, \beta, \bar{\varphi} - \varphi, \bar{\omega} - \omega$ are small in the C^1 norm, we see that the \mathcal{Q}_2 terms are of the form (6.12). Q.E.D.

Let $\chi = (\varphi, \omega)$ denote the evolving Type IIA structure. Then the remainder terms \mathcal{E}_i introduced in Lemma 2 can be written as

$$\mathcal{E}_i = O(\chi)(1 + \nabla \chi), \quad (6.18)$$

where $O(\chi)$ is an unspecified smooth function of χ which is bounded if $|\omega - \bar{\omega}|_{C^0} + |\varphi - \bar{\varphi}|_{C^0} \leq \epsilon$. Using this language, we have

Lemma 3 *The higher derivatives of \mathcal{E}_i are of the form*

$$\nabla^j \mathcal{E}_i = O(\chi) \sum_{j \leq i_1 + \dots + i_s \leq j+1} \nabla^{i_1} \chi * \dots * \nabla^{i_s} \chi. \quad (6.19)$$

Proof: This can be proved by making induction on j and using the fact that

$$\nabla(O(\chi)) = O(\chi) \nabla \chi. \quad (6.20)$$

Q.E.D.

6.2 Decay estimates for $\alpha(t)$ and $\beta(t)$

The goal is to derive the decay estimates for $\alpha(t)$ and $\beta(t)$ in C^k -norms. To achieve that, we first establish the $W^{2,m}$ estimates for $\alpha(t)$ and $\beta(t)$, then apply the Sobolev embeddings to get the desired estimates. Similar techniques were also used in the proof of the stability of other flows, see for example, the Ricci flow [28] and G_2 flow [21].

From now on in this section, we use norms, covariant derivatives, adjoints, volume forms, etc, all with respect to the background \bar{g} . So for example, instead of

$$I_0(t) := \int_M (|\alpha(t)|_{\bar{g}}^2 + |\beta(t)|_{\bar{g}}^2) dV_{\bar{g}} \quad (6.21)$$

we write $I_0 = \int_M |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2$.

Lemma 4 *Suppose the flow is defined on an interval $[0, A]$. There exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that if $|\omega - \bar{\omega}|_{C^1}(t) + |\varphi - \bar{\varphi}|_{C^1}(t) \leq \epsilon$ with $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$ for all $t \in [0, A]$, then I_0 satisfies*

$$\frac{d}{dt} I_0 \leq -\frac{1}{2} \int_M (|\nabla \alpha|^2 + |\nabla \beta|^2) dV_{\bar{g}} + C I_0(t) \quad (6.22)$$

for any $t \in [0, A]$. Moreover, there exists $0 < \delta < 1$ depending on $(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})$ such that

$$I_0(t) \leq e^{-\delta t} I_0(0). \quad (6.23)$$

Here $\alpha(t) = \omega(t) - \bar{\omega}$ and $\beta(t) = \varphi(t) - \bar{\varphi}$.

Proof: By Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} I_0(t) &= 2 \int_M \langle -\square_{\bar{g}} \alpha, \alpha \rangle + \langle -\square_{\bar{g}} \beta, \beta \rangle \\ &\quad + \int_M \langle \mathcal{E}_1 * (\alpha + \nabla \alpha + \beta + \nabla \beta), d^\dagger \alpha \rangle + \langle \mathcal{E}_2 * (\alpha + \nabla \alpha + \beta + \nabla \beta), d^\dagger \beta \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (6.24)$$

Let $0 < 2\delta < 1$ be smaller than the smallest positive eigenvalue of $\square_{\bar{g}}$ on 2-forms and 3-forms. This is where we use the fact that α, β are orthogonal to the kernel (Lemma 1) to obtain a δ decay gap.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}I_0(t) &\leq -2\delta \int_M |\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 - \int_M |d\alpha|^2 + |d^*\alpha|^2 + |d\beta|^2 + |d^*\beta|^2 \\ &\quad + C\epsilon \int_M |\alpha|^2 + |\nabla\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 + |\nabla\beta|^2 + |d^\dagger\alpha|^2 + |d^\dagger\beta|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (6.25)$$

By the Bochner-Kodaira formula

$$(dd^\dagger + d^\dagger d)\alpha = -\bar{g}^{ij}\nabla_i\nabla_j\alpha + Rm * \alpha, \quad (6.26)$$

we have

$$\int_M |d\alpha|^2 + |d^*\alpha|^2 = \int_M |\nabla\alpha|^2 + \int_M Rm * \alpha * \alpha. \quad (6.27)$$

Therefore the $C\epsilon$ terms can all be absorbed for ϵ small enough. Therefore

$$\frac{d}{dt}I_0(t) \leq -\delta I_0(t) - \frac{1}{2} \int_M |d\alpha|^2 + |d^*\alpha|^2 + |d\beta|^2 + |d^*\beta|^2 \quad (6.28)$$

and the lemma follows. Q.E.D.

Next, we estimate $I_1(t) := \int_M |\nabla\alpha|^2 + |\nabla\beta|^2$.

Lemma 5 *Suppose the flow is defined on an interval $[0, A]$. There exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that if $|\omega - \bar{\omega}|_{C^1}(t) + |\varphi - \bar{\varphi}|_{C^1}(t) \leq \epsilon$ with $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$ for all $t \in [0, A]$, then I_1 satisfies*

$$\frac{d}{dt}I_1 \leq - \int_M (|\nabla^2\alpha|^2 + |\nabla^2\beta|^2) dV_{\bar{g}} + C(I_0(t) + I_1(t)) \quad (6.29)$$

for all $t \in [0, A]$. Moreover, there is a uniform positive constant C_1 such that

$$I_1(t) \leq (I_1(0) + C_1 I_0(0)) e^{-\frac{\delta}{2}t} \quad (6.30)$$

Here $\alpha(t) = \omega(t) - \bar{\omega}$ and $\beta(t) = \varphi(t) - \bar{\varphi}$.

Proof: Let $\Delta = \bar{g}^{ij}\nabla_i\nabla_j$. We have

$$\partial_t\alpha = \Delta\alpha + Rm * \alpha + d\mathcal{F}, \quad (6.31)$$

where $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}_1 * (\alpha + \nabla\alpha + \beta + \nabla\beta)$ is as in Lemma 2. We start with

$$\partial_t|\nabla\alpha|^2 = 2\langle\nabla\Delta\alpha, \nabla\alpha\rangle + 2\langle\nabla d\mathcal{F}, \nabla\alpha\rangle + \nabla Rm * \alpha * \nabla\alpha + Rm * \nabla\alpha * \nabla\alpha \quad (6.32)$$

and

$$\Delta|\nabla\alpha|^2 = 2\langle\nabla\Delta\alpha, \nabla\alpha\rangle + 2|\nabla^2\alpha|^2 + Rm * \nabla\alpha * \nabla\alpha + \nabla Rm * \alpha * \nabla\alpha. \quad (6.33)$$

Thus

$$(\partial_t - \Delta)|\nabla\alpha|^2 = -2|\nabla^2\alpha|^2 + 2\langle\nabla d\mathcal{F}, \nabla\alpha\rangle + \nabla Rm * \alpha * \nabla\alpha + Rm * \nabla\alpha * \nabla\alpha \quad (6.34)$$

Integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_M |\nabla\alpha|^2 &= -2 \int_M |\nabla^2\alpha|^2 - 2 \int_M \langle d\mathcal{F}, \Delta\alpha \rangle + \int_M Rm * \nabla\alpha * \nabla\alpha \\ &\quad + \int_M \nabla Rm * \alpha * \nabla\alpha \end{aligned} \quad (6.35)$$

We can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathcal{F} &= \nabla\mathcal{E}_1 * (\alpha + \nabla\alpha + \beta + \nabla\beta) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{E}_1 * (\nabla\alpha + \nabla^2\alpha + \nabla\beta + \nabla^2\beta) \end{aligned} \quad (6.36)$$

under the condition $|\omega - \bar{\omega}|_{C^1}(t) + |\varphi - \bar{\varphi}|_{C^1}(t) < \epsilon$. The second term on the right hand side can be easily handled using Lemma 2

$$|\mathcal{E}_1 * (\nabla\alpha + \nabla^2\alpha + \nabla\beta + \nabla^2\beta)| \leq C\epsilon(|\nabla\alpha| + |\nabla^2\alpha| + |\nabla\beta| + |\nabla^2\beta|) \quad (6.37)$$

For the first term, from Lemma 3, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla\mathcal{E}_1| &\leq C(|\nabla^2\omega| + |\nabla^2\varphi| + |\nabla\omega|^2 + |\nabla\varphi|^2 + 1) \\ &= C(|\nabla^2\omega| + |\nabla^2\varphi| + |\nabla(\omega - \bar{\omega})|^2 + |\nabla(\varphi - \bar{\varphi})|^2 + 1) \\ &\leq C(|\nabla^2\omega| + |\nabla^2\varphi| + 1) \end{aligned} \quad (6.38)$$

because $\nabla\bar{\omega} = \nabla\bar{\varphi} = 0$ and our C^1 -closedness assumption. To further control it, we make use of $\omega = \alpha + \tilde{\omega}$, $\varphi = \beta + \tilde{\varphi}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &|\nabla\mathcal{E}_1 * (\alpha + \nabla\alpha + \beta + \nabla\beta)| \\ &\leq C(|\nabla^2\alpha| + |\nabla^2\beta| + |\nabla^2\tilde{\omega}| + |\nabla^2\tilde{\varphi}| + 1)(|\alpha| + |\nabla\alpha| + |\beta| + |\nabla\beta|) \\ &\leq C(|\nabla^2\alpha| + |\nabla^2\beta|)(|\alpha| + |\nabla\alpha| + |\beta| + |\nabla\beta|) + C(|\alpha| + |\nabla\alpha| + |\beta| + |\nabla\beta|) \\ &\leq C\epsilon(|\nabla^2\alpha| + |\nabla^2\beta|) + C(|\alpha| + |\nabla\alpha| + |\beta| + |\nabla\beta|) \end{aligned} \quad (6.39)$$

where we use Lemma 1 and Proposition 4 to bound $|\nabla^2\tilde{\omega}| + |\nabla^2\tilde{\varphi}|$ by some uniform constant C depending on $\bar{\omega}$ and $\bar{\varphi}$. Putting those estimates together, we have obtained

$$|d\mathcal{F}| \leq C\epsilon(|\nabla^2\alpha| + |\nabla^2\beta|) + C(|\alpha| + |\nabla\alpha| + |\beta| + |\nabla\beta|) \quad (6.40)$$

under the assumption that $|\omega - \bar{\omega}|_{C^1}(t) + |\varphi - \bar{\varphi}|_{C^1}(t) < \epsilon$.

It follows that

$$\int_M |d\mathcal{F}| |\Delta\alpha| \leq C\epsilon \int_M (|\nabla^2\alpha|^2 + |\nabla^2\alpha||\nabla^2\beta|) + C \int_M |\nabla^2\alpha|(|\alpha| + |\nabla\alpha| + |\beta| + |\nabla\beta|) \quad (6.41)$$

We take ϵ_0 small such that $C\epsilon_0 = \frac{1}{4}$. There, for any $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$, we can use Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_M |\nabla\alpha|^2 \leq -\frac{3}{2} \int_M |\nabla^2\alpha|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_M |\nabla^2\alpha||\nabla^2\beta| + C \left[\int_M |\alpha|^2 + |\nabla\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 + |\nabla\beta|^2 \right] \quad (6.42)$$

We can use the evolution equation of β in Lemma 2 to perform the same calculation and obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_M |\nabla\beta|^2 \leq -\frac{3}{2} \int_M |\nabla^2\beta|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \int_M |\nabla^2\alpha||\nabla^2\beta| + C \left[\int_M |\alpha|^2 + |\nabla\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 + |\nabla\beta|^2 \right] \quad (6.43)$$

Putting (6.42) and (6.43) together, we get (6.29).

Next, we use (6.29) to derive the estimate for $I_1(t)$. It follows from (6.29) that

$$\frac{d}{dt} I_1(t) \leq C(I_0(t) + I_1(t)). \quad (6.44)$$

Recall (6.22)

$$\frac{d}{dt} I_0(t) \leq -\frac{1}{2} I_1(t) + C I_0(t). \quad (6.45)$$

We can take $\eta > 1$ large enough and obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[I_1(t) + \eta I_0(t) \right] \leq -I_1(t) + C(\eta) I_0(t) \leq C(\eta) I_0(t). \quad (6.46)$$

Indeed, η can be taken as $2(1+C)$ and therefore it is also a uniform constant, as C , which only depends on $\bar{\omega}$ and $\bar{\varphi}$. We note that $I_0(t) \leq e^{-\delta t} I_0(0)$. Taking integration $\int_0^t \cdot ds$ on both sides of

$$\frac{d}{ds} \left[I_1(s) + \eta I_0(s) \right] \leq C e^{-\delta s}, \quad (6.47)$$

we obtain

$$I_1(t) \leq I_1(0) + C I_0(0). \quad (6.48)$$

Indeed, we can play with (6.46) more carefully and obtain a decay estimate for $I_1(t)$.

Denote $h(t) = I_1(t) + \eta I_0(t)$. Then,

$$\frac{d}{dt} h(t) \leq -h(t) + (\eta + C(\eta)) I_0(t) \leq -h(t) + \tilde{C}(\eta) e^{-\delta t} \quad (6.49)$$

where $\tilde{C}(\eta) = I_0(0)(\eta + C(\eta))$ is a uniform constant depending on η . Next, taking a constant $\delta_1 > 0$ small such that $\delta/2\delta_1 - \tilde{C}(\eta) \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left[h(t) + \frac{1}{\delta_1} e^{-\delta t} \right] &= \frac{d}{dt} h(t) - \frac{\delta}{\delta_1} e^{-\delta t} \leq -h(t) - (\delta/\delta_1 - \tilde{C}(\eta)) e^{-\delta t} \\ &\leq -h(t) - \frac{\delta}{2\delta_1} e^{-\delta t} = (\delta/2 - 1) h(t) - \frac{\delta}{2} \left[h(t) + \frac{1}{\delta_1} e^{-\delta t} \right] \\ &\leq -\frac{\delta}{2} \left[h(t) + \frac{1}{\delta_1} e^{-\delta t} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (6.50)$$

since $0 < \delta < 1$. This will give us the estimate

$$h(t) + \frac{1}{\delta_1} e^{-\delta t} \leq \left[h(0) + \frac{1}{\delta_1} \right] e^{-\frac{\delta}{2} t}. \quad (6.51)$$

Therefore,

$$h(t) \leq h(0) e^{-\frac{\delta}{2} t}. \quad (6.52)$$

This implies the decay of $I_1(t)$ claimed in (6.30). Q.E.D.

Next, we will use the idea of induction to derive the estimates for

$$I_k(t) = \int_M |\nabla^k \alpha|^2 + |\nabla^k \beta|^2 dV_{\bar{g}} \quad (6.53)$$

Lemma 6 *Suppose the flow is defined on an interval $[0, A]$. There exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that if $|\omega - \bar{\omega}|_{C^1}(t) + |\varphi - \bar{\varphi}|_{C^1}(t) \leq \epsilon$ for all $t \in [0, A]$ for with $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$, then $|\omega - \bar{\omega}|_{C^{[\frac{k}{2}]+1}}(t) + |\varphi - \bar{\varphi}|_{C^{[\frac{k}{2}]+1}}(t) \leq S$ implies that I_k satisfies*

$$\frac{d}{dt} I_k(t) \leq - \int_M (|\nabla^{k+1} \alpha|^2 + |\nabla^{k+1} \beta|^2) dV_{\bar{g}} + C \sum_{j=0}^k I_j(t) \quad (6.54)$$

for any $t \in [0, A]$. Moreover, there is a positive constant C such that

$$I_k(t) \leq (I_k(0) + C \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} I_j(0)) e^{-\frac{\delta}{2} t}. \quad (6.55)$$

Here the constant C in (6.54) and (6.55) depend on k, S and the background Ricci-flat Type IIA structure $(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})$.

Proof: In this proof, we use C_0 to denote constants that do not depend on k or S , and we use C to denote a constant that may depend on k and S . From Lemma 2, we have

$$\partial_t \alpha = \Delta \alpha + Rm * \alpha + d\mathcal{F}, \quad (6.56)$$

where $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}_1 * (\alpha + \nabla \alpha + \beta + \nabla \beta)$ with $\mathcal{E}_1 = O(\omega(t), \nabla \omega(t), \varphi(t), \nabla \varphi(t))$ satisfy the estimate $|\mathcal{E}_i|_{C^0}(t) \leq C_0 \epsilon$. We compute

$$\partial_t |\nabla^k \alpha|^2 = 2\langle \nabla^k \Delta \alpha, \nabla^k \alpha \rangle + 2\langle \nabla^k d\mathcal{F}, \nabla^k \alpha \rangle + \sum_{j=0}^k \nabla^j Rm * \nabla^{k-j} \alpha * \nabla^k \alpha \quad (6.57)$$

and

$$\Delta |\nabla^k \alpha|^2 = 2\langle \nabla^k \Delta \alpha, \nabla^k \alpha \rangle + 2|\nabla^{k+1} \alpha|^2 + \sum_{j=0}^k \nabla^j Rm * \nabla^{k-j} \alpha * \nabla^k \alpha. \quad (6.58)$$

Thus

$$(\partial_t - \Delta) |\nabla^k \alpha|^2 = -2|\nabla^{k+1} \alpha|^2 + 2\langle \nabla^k d\mathcal{F}, \nabla^k \alpha \rangle + \sum_{j=0}^k \nabla^j Rm * \nabla^{k-j} \alpha * \nabla^k \alpha \quad (6.59)$$

Integrating both sides over M , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_M |\nabla^k \alpha|^2 &= -2 \int_M |\nabla^{k+1} \alpha|^2 + \int_M \nabla^{k-1} d\mathcal{F} * \nabla^{k+1} \alpha \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^k \int_M \nabla^j Rm * \nabla^{k-j} \alpha * \nabla^k \alpha \end{aligned} \quad (6.60)$$

Recall that

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E}_1 * (\alpha + \nabla \alpha + \beta + \nabla \beta) \quad (6.61)$$

with $\mathcal{E}_1 = O(\chi)(1 + \nabla \chi)$. We can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^{k-1} d\mathcal{F} &= \mathcal{E}_1 * (\nabla^k \alpha + \nabla^{k+1} \alpha + \nabla^k \beta + \nabla^{k+1} \beta) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \nabla^{k-j} \mathcal{E}_1 * (\nabla^j \alpha + \nabla^{j+1} \alpha + \nabla^j \beta + \nabla^{j+1} \beta) \\ &\quad + \nabla^k \mathcal{E}_1 * (\alpha + \nabla \alpha + \beta + \nabla \beta). \end{aligned} \quad (6.62)$$

By Lemma 3, we can formally write

$$\nabla^j \mathcal{E}_1 = O(\chi) \sum_{j \leq i_1 + \dots + i_s \leq j+1} \nabla^{i_1} \chi * \dots * \nabla^{i_s} \chi. \quad (6.63)$$

We can deal with those terms in a similar way as the estimate for $I_1(t)$ in previous lemma. Note that, $\omega = \alpha + \tilde{\omega}$ and $\varphi = \beta + \tilde{\varphi}$, we can use the replacements $\nabla^\ell \omega = \nabla^\ell \alpha + \nabla^\ell \tilde{\omega}$ and $\nabla^\ell \varphi = \nabla^\ell \beta + \nabla^\ell \tilde{\varphi}$ for any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Notice that terms like $\nabla^\ell \tilde{\omega}$ and $\nabla^\ell \tilde{\varphi}$ are all bounded by Proposition 4, therefore,

$$|\nabla^j \mathcal{E}_1| \leq C \left(1 + \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_s \leq j+1} |\nabla^{i_1}(\alpha, \beta)| \dots |\nabla^{i_s}(\alpha, \beta)| \right), \quad (6.64)$$

where i_1, \dots, i_s are all positive integers. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \nabla^{k-j} \mathcal{E}_1 * (\nabla^j \alpha + \nabla^{j+1} \alpha + \nabla^j \beta + \nabla^{j+1} \beta) \right| \\ & \leq C \left(1 + \sum_{i_1 + \dots + i_s + j \leq k+2} |\nabla^{i_1}(\alpha, \beta)| \dots |\nabla^{i_s}(\alpha, \beta)| |\nabla^j(\alpha, \beta)| \right), \end{aligned} \quad (6.65)$$

where j in the summation ranges from 2 to k . Notice that all the indices i_1, \dots, i_s, j are less or equal than k in the above summation, and there are at most one such index that is great than $[\frac{k}{2}] + 1$. Therefore by our assumption that $|\omega - \bar{\omega}|_{C^{[\frac{k}{2}]+1}}(t) + |\varphi - \bar{\varphi}|_{C^{[\frac{k}{2}]+1}}(t) \leq S$ and Proposition 4, we can bound all terms like $|\nabla^l(\alpha, \beta)|$ by constants when $l \leq [\frac{k}{2}] + 1$. So we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \nabla^{k-j} \mathcal{E}_1 * (\nabla^j \alpha + \nabla^{j+1} \alpha + \nabla^j \beta + \nabla^{j+1} \beta) \right| \\ & \leq C \left(1 + \sum_{j=1}^k |\nabla^j \alpha| + |\nabla^j \beta| \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6.66)$$

For the other terms, the same trick gives

$$|\mathcal{E}_1 * (\nabla^k \alpha + \nabla^{k+1} \alpha + \nabla^k \beta + \nabla^{k+1} \beta)| \leq C_0 \epsilon (|\nabla^k \alpha| + |\nabla^{k+1} \alpha| + |\nabla^k \beta| + |\nabla^{k+1} \beta|), \quad (6.67)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |\nabla^k \mathcal{E}_1 * (\alpha + \nabla \alpha + \beta + \nabla \beta)| \leq C_0 \epsilon |\nabla^k \mathcal{E}_1| \\ & \leq C_0 \epsilon (|\nabla^{k+1} \alpha| + |\nabla^{k+1} \beta|) + C \sum_{j=0}^k (|\nabla^j \alpha| + |\nabla^j \beta|). \end{aligned} \quad (6.68)$$

Plugging (6.66), (6.67) and (6.68) into (6.62), we deduce that

$$|\nabla^{k-1} d\mathcal{F}| \leq C_0 \epsilon (|\nabla^{k+1} \alpha| + |\nabla^{k+1} \beta|) + C \sum_{j=1}^k |\nabla^j \alpha| + |\nabla^j \beta|. \quad (6.69)$$

On the other hand, the curvature term in (6.60) can also be estimated as

$$\sum_{j=0}^k \nabla^j Rm * \nabla^{k-j} \alpha * \nabla^k \alpha \leq C \sum_{j=0}^k |\nabla^{k-j} \alpha| |\nabla^k \alpha|. \quad (6.70)$$

We take ϵ_0 small such that C_0 in (6.69) satisfies $C_0 \epsilon_0 = \frac{1}{2}$. Hence, for any $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$, we can use Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_M |\nabla^k \alpha|^2 &\leq -\frac{3}{2} \int_M |\nabla^{k+1} \alpha|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\nabla^{k+1} \alpha| |\nabla^{k+1} \beta| \\ &\quad + C \sum_{j=0}^k \int_M |\nabla^j \alpha|^2 + |\nabla^j \beta|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (6.71)$$

By the same calculation, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_M |\nabla^k \beta|^2 &\leq -\frac{3}{2} \int_M |\nabla^{k+1} \beta|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\nabla^{k+1} \alpha| |\nabla^{k+1} \beta| \\ &\quad + C \sum_{j=0}^k \int_M |\nabla^j \alpha|^2 + |\nabla^j \beta|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (6.72)$$

The above two inequalities imply (6.54).

Next, we use (6.54) to derive the estimate (6.55). Recall that, for all ℓ ,

$$\frac{d}{dt} I_\ell(t) \leq -I_{\ell+1} + C \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} I_j(t). \quad (6.73)$$

Taking any positive numbers $\eta_\ell, \ell = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$ and setting $\eta_k = 1$, we compute

$$\partial_t \left[I_k(t) + \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \eta_\ell I_\ell(t) \right] \leq - \sum_{\ell=1}^k \left(\eta_{\ell-1} - C \sum_{j=0}^{k-\ell} \eta_{k-j} \right) I_\ell + C \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^k \eta_\ell \right) I_0(t) \quad (6.74)$$

Now, we can choose $\eta_\ell > 1, \ell = 1, \dots, k-1$ satisfying

$$\eta_{\ell-1} - C \sum_{j=0}^{k-\ell} \eta_{k-j} \geq \eta_\ell. \quad (6.75)$$

Note that this can be done inductively. For example, let $\ell = k$, the above requirement is simply $\eta_{k-1} - C \geq \eta_k = 1$, which will determine η_{k-1} ; next, taking $\ell = k-1$ and we can make the choice for η_{k-2} . Then, we have

$$\partial_t \left[I_k(t) + \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \eta_\ell I_\ell(t) \right] \leq - \sum_{\ell=1}^k \eta_\ell I_\ell(t) + C \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^k \eta_\ell \right) I_0(t) \leq C(\eta_0, \dots, \eta_{k-1}) I_0(t) \quad (6.76)$$

Now, using the decay estimate $I_0(t) \leq e^{-\delta t} I_0(0)$ and taking integration $\int_0^t \cdot ds$ on both sides of

$$\frac{d}{ds} \left[I_k(s) + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \eta_j I_j(s) \right] \leq C(\eta_0, \dots, \eta_{k-1}) I_0(0) e^{-\delta s} \quad (6.77)$$

we obtain

$$I_k(t) \leq I_k(0) + C \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} I_j(0). \quad (6.78)$$

Next, we use a similar argument as in Lemma 5 to derive the decay estimate (6.55). Denote $h_k(t) = I_k(t) + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \eta_j I_j(t)$. Then,

$$\frac{d}{dt} h_k(t) \leq -h_k(t) + (\eta_0 + C(\eta_0, \dots, \eta_{k-1})) I_0(t) \leq -h_k(t) + \tilde{C} e^{-\delta t} \quad (6.79)$$

where $\tilde{C} = I_0(0)(\eta_0 + C(\eta_0, \dots, \eta_{k-1}))$ is a uniform constant. Next, taking a constant $\delta_1 > 0$ small such that $\delta/2\delta_1 - \tilde{C}(\eta) \geq 1/2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \left[h_k(t) + \frac{1}{\delta_1} e^{-\delta t} \right] &= \frac{d}{dt} h_k(t) - \frac{\delta}{\delta_1} e^{-\delta t} \leq -h_k(t) - (\delta/\delta_1 - \tilde{C}) e^{-\delta t} \\ &\leq -h_k(t) - \frac{\delta}{2\delta_1} e^{-\delta t} = (\delta/2 - 1) h_k(t) - \frac{\delta}{2} \left[h_k(t) + \frac{1}{\delta_1} e^{-\delta t} \right] \\ &\leq -\frac{\delta}{2} \left[h_k(t) + \frac{1}{\delta_1} e^{-\delta t} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (6.80)$$

since $0 < \delta < 1$. This will give us the estimate

$$h_k(t) + \frac{1}{\delta_1} e^{-\delta t} \leq \left[h_k(0) + \frac{1}{\delta_1} \right] e^{-\frac{\delta}{2} t}. \quad (6.81)$$

Therefore,

$$h_k(t) \leq h_k(0) e^{-\frac{\delta}{2} t}. \quad (6.82)$$

This implies the decay of $I_1(t)$ claimed in (6.55). Q.E.D.

From the above lemmas, we have obtained the $W_g^{2,k}$ estimates for α and β . Then, we can apply the Sobolev embedding theorem to conclude

Theorem 5 *There exists $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega}) > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that, for any $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$, if the flow is defined on an interval $[0, A]$ and $|\omega - \bar{\omega}|_{C^1}(t) + |\varphi - \bar{\varphi}|_{C^1}(t) < \epsilon$ for all $t \in [0, A]$, then we have the estimate*

$$|\alpha(t)|_{W^{k,2}} + |\beta(t)|_{W^{k,2}} \leq C e^{-\delta t/4} \quad (6.83)$$

for any k , where C is a constant depending only on $\bar{\omega}$, $\bar{\varphi}$, k , $|\omega - \bar{\omega}|_{C^6}(t) + |\varphi - \bar{\varphi}|_{C^6}(t)$ and $|\alpha(0)|_{W^{k,2}} + |\beta(0)|_{W^{k,2}}$.

Proof: Let ϵ_0 and δ be the positive numbers appeared in Lemma 6. Write $S = \max_{t \in [0, A]} |\omega - \bar{\omega}|_{C^6}(t) + |\varphi - \bar{\varphi}|_{C^6}(t)$. Apply Lemma 6 when $k \leq 11$, we have

$$I_k(t) \leq (I_k(0) + C \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} I_j(0)) e^{-\delta t/4} \leq I_k(0) + C \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} I_j(0), \quad (6.84)$$

where C depends only on $\bar{\varphi}$, $\bar{\omega}$, k and S . Summing over k , we get

$$|\alpha(t)|_{W^{k,2}} + |\beta(t)|_{W^{k,2}} \leq C(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega}, k, S)(|\alpha(0)|_{W^{k,2}} + |\beta(0)|_{W^{k,2}}) \quad (6.85)$$

for $k \leq 11$. In particular, for $k = 11$, the Sobolev inequality implies

$$|\alpha(t)|_{C^7} + |\beta(t)|_{C^7} \leq C(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})(|\alpha(t)|_{W^{11,2}} + |\beta(t)|_{W^{11,2}}) \leq C(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega}, S)(|\alpha(0)|_{W^{11,2}} + |\beta(0)|_{W^{11,2}}),$$

which gives C^7 bound for $\omega - \bar{\omega}$ and $\varphi - \bar{\varphi}$ on $[0, A]$ that depend only on $\bar{\varphi}$, $\bar{\omega}$, S , and $|\alpha(0)|_{W^{11,2}} + |\beta(0)|_{W^{11,2}}$. By Lemma 6 again, the C^7 bound implies upper bound for I_{12} and I_{13} , which further produce C^8 and C^9 bounds for $\omega - \bar{\omega}$ and $\varphi - \bar{\varphi}$ on $[0, A]$. Keep iterating, we prove the theorem. Q.E.D.

6.3 Long time existence and convergence of the reparametrized Type IIA flow

The estimates obtained in the above theorem allow us to prove the long time existence and exponential convergence for the perturbed initial data.

Theorem 6 *Let $(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})$ be a fixed Ricci-flat Type IIA structure on a 6-manifold. Then there exists a positive constant $\epsilon'_0 > 0$ such that for any initial Type IIA structure (φ_0, ω_0) with*

$$|\varphi_0 - \bar{\varphi}|_{W^{10,2}} + |\omega_0 - \bar{\omega}|_{W^{10,2}} < \epsilon'_0, \quad (6.86)$$

the solution $(\varphi(t), \omega(t))$ of the reparametrized Type IIA flow exists for all time and converges exponentially to a Ricci-flat Type IIA structure $(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\omega})$ in the C^k -norm for any k .

Proof: Choose ϵ_0 and δ as before. By Sobolev inequality and using (6.85) with $k = 10$, we know there exists a constant $C_1(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})$ such that $|\omega - \bar{\omega}|_{C^6}(t) + |\varphi - \bar{\varphi}|_{C^6}(t) < \epsilon_0$ for all $t \in [0, A]$ implies

$$|\alpha(t)|_{C^{6,\gamma}} + |\beta(t)|_{C^{6,\gamma}} \leq C_1(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})(|\alpha(0)|_{W^{10,2}} + |\beta(0)|_{W^{10,2}}). \quad (6.87)$$

On the other hand, by Proposition (4) and Sobolev imbedding theorem, we know there exist constants $C_2(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})$ and $C_3(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})$ such that

$$|\tilde{\omega} - \bar{\omega}|_{C^{6,\gamma}} + |\tilde{\varphi} - \bar{\varphi}|_{C^{6,\gamma}} \leq C_2(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})(|\omega_0 - \bar{\omega}|_{W^{10,2}} + |\varphi_0 - \bar{\varphi}|_{W^{10,2}}), \quad (6.88)$$

$$|\alpha(0)|_{W^{10,2}} + |\beta(0)|_{W^{10,2}} \leq C_3(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})(|\omega_0 - \bar{\omega}|_{W^{10,2}} + |\varphi_0 - \bar{\varphi}|_{W^{10,2}}). \quad (6.89)$$

Combining (6.87), (6.88) and (6.89) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\omega(t) - \bar{\omega}|_{C^{6,\gamma}} + |\varphi(t) - \bar{\varphi}|_{C^{6,\gamma}} \\
& \leq |\alpha(t)|_{C^{6,\gamma}} + |\tilde{\omega} - \bar{\omega}|_{C^{6,\gamma}} + |\beta(t)|_{C^{6,\gamma}} + |\tilde{\varphi} - \bar{\varphi}|_{C^{6,\gamma}} \\
& \leq C_1(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})(|\alpha(0)|_{W^{10,2}} + |\beta(0)|_{W^{10,2}}) + C_2(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})(|\omega_0 - \bar{\omega}|_{W^{10,2}} + |\varphi_0 - \bar{\varphi}|_{W^{10,2}}) \\
& \leq (C_1(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})C_3(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega}) + C_2(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega}))(|\omega_0 - \bar{\omega}|_{W^{10,2}} + |\varphi_0 - \bar{\varphi}|_{W^{10,2}}) \\
& = M(|\omega_0 - \bar{\omega}|_{W^{10,2}} + |\varphi_0 - \bar{\varphi}|_{W^{10,2}}), \tag{6.90}
\end{aligned}$$

where $M = C_1C_3 + C_2$ depends only on $(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})$. Now choose $\epsilon'_0 = \epsilon_0/M$. We need to show that (6.86) implies the long-time existence and exponential convergence of the reparametrized Type IIA flow.

Let A be the supremum of time T such that on the interval $[0, T]$ the following hold:

- i). the solution $(\varphi(t), \omega(t))$ of the reparametrized Type IIA flow exists;
- ii). the solution satisfies $|\omega - \bar{\omega}|_{C^6}(t) + |\varphi - \bar{\varphi}|_{C^6}(t) \leq \epsilon_0$.

Indeed, such an $A > 0$ must exist by the short time existence of the reparametrized Type IIA flow. If $A < \infty$, then at finite time A we must have

$$|\omega - \bar{\omega}|_{C^6}(t) + |\varphi - \bar{\varphi}|_{C^6}(t) = \epsilon_0. \tag{6.91}$$

However, for our choice of ϵ'_0 , the estimate (6.90) and the initial condition (6.86) together imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\omega - \bar{\omega}|_{C^6}(t) + |\varphi - \bar{\varphi}|_{C^6}(t) & \leq M(|\omega_0 - \bar{\omega}|_{W^{10,2}} + |\varphi_0 - \bar{\varphi}|_{W^{10,2}}) \\
& < M\epsilon'_0 = \epsilon_0, \tag{6.92}
\end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. So we get the long-time existence of the flow and that along the flow we always have $|\omega - \bar{\omega}|_{C^6}(t) + |\varphi - \bar{\varphi}|_{C^6}(t) \leq \epsilon_0$. The exponential convergence follows from the decay estimates (6.83). Q.E.D.

6.4 Stability of Type IIA flow

In this section, we will prove that a solution of the reparametrized Type IIA flow (2.13) converges exponentially fast to a Ricci-flat Type IIA structure $(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\omega})$ implies the corresponding solution of the Type IIA flow (1.1) converges exponentially to a (possibly distinct) Ricci-flat Type IIA structure.

Theorem 7 *Let $(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})$ be a Ricci-flat Type IIA structure on a 6-manifold. There is a neighborhood \mathcal{U} of $(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})$ such that for any Type IIA structure $(\varphi_0, \omega_0) \in \mathcal{U}_{(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})} = \{(\varphi, \omega) : |\omega - \bar{\omega}|_{W^{10,2}} + |\varphi - \bar{\varphi}|_{W^{10,2}} < \epsilon'_0\}$, the Type IIA flow (1.1) with initial value φ_0 and symplectic form ω_0 exists for all $t \in [0, \infty)$ and converges smoothly to φ_∞ which gives a Ricci-flat Type IIA structure $(\varphi_\infty, \omega_0)$.*

Proof: Theorem 6 shows that the solution $(\varphi(t), \omega(t))$ of the reparametrized Type IIA flow (2.13) with initial data (φ_0, ω_0) converges exponentially to a Ricci-flat Type IIA structure $(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\omega})$ smoothly. Let $\phi(t)$ be the solution of the Type IIA flow (1.1) with initial data φ_0 . Then

$$\phi(t) = f_t^* \varphi(t), \quad (6.93)$$

and the associated metric $g(t) = f_t^* g(t)$, where f_t is a family of diffeomorphisms satisfying

$$\partial_t f_t(x) = -V(\varphi(t))|_{f_t(x)} \quad (6.94)$$

with $f_0 = Id$, for all $x \in M$. Recall that $V(\varphi(t))$ is the vector field defined in (3.2), which involves the first order covariant derivative of $\varphi(t)$. Since $\varphi(t)$ exists for all $t \in [0, \infty)$ and converges exponentially to $\tilde{\varphi}$ smoothly, the vector field $V(\varphi(t))$ also exists for all $t \in [0, \infty)$. Moreover, recall Proposition 2, we conclude that it converges to $V(\tilde{\varphi}) = 0$ exponentially.

Now, back to the ODE for the diffeomorphism (6.94). Using the exponential convergence $V(x, t) \rightarrow 0$, we know that the diffeomorphisms f_t exists for all $t \in [0, \infty)$ (Lemma 3.15 in [8]) and converges to a limit map f_∞ smoothly. For our setting, it is easy to see that the limit map f_∞ is also a diffeomorphism. Indeed, by the evolution equation for $\omega(t)$ in the reparametrized Type IIA flow (2.13), we know that $f_t^* \omega(t) = \omega_0$. It follows that $f_\infty^* \tilde{\omega} = \omega_0$. Therefore, the differential of f_∞ is nondegenerate as ω_0 is. The inverse function theorem then implies that f_∞ is a local diffeomorphism. Since $f_0 = Id$ the identity map and each f_t is a diffeomorphism which is isotopic to the identity map, f_∞ is a surjective local diffeomorphism homotopic to identity. It implies that f_∞ is a degree one covering map. Hence, it must be a diffeomorphism of M .

Summarize the above discussion, $\phi(t) = f_t^* \varphi(t)$ is a long time solution of the Type IIA flow and converges to $\phi_\infty := f_\infty^* \tilde{\varphi}$ smoothly. Q.E.D.

Finally, we would like to mention that the dynamic stability of the Type IIB flow has been proved recently by Bedulli and Vezzoni [2].

7 Applications in symplectic geometry

Definition 2 *We say a symplectic manifold (M, ω) is Kähler, if it admits a compatible and integrable complex structure.*

Given M , clearly being Kähler or not is a property of the symplectic form ω , and this property is invariant under the diffeomorphism group action. A fundamental question in symplectic geometry is whether the property of being Kähler is stable or not under small perturbations. More precisely, suppose we know that a compact symplectic manifold $(M, \bar{\omega})$ is Kähler. Then for a symplectic form ω sufficiently close to $\bar{\omega}$, can we always deduce that (M, ω) is also Kähler?

When ω has the same cohomology class as $\bar{\omega}$, the statement is true because of Moser's trick [22]. Therefore it is essential to perturb the de Rham cohomology class of $\bar{\omega}$. A weaker version of such deformations was studied by de Bartolomeis [1].

As an application of the stability of the Type IIA flow, we shall prove that the Kähler property is stable under perturbation if we assume that $(M, \bar{\omega})$ is a Calabi-Yau 3-fold.

Proof of Theorem 2.

- Step 1: By our assumption, there exists an integrable complex structure compatible with $(M, \bar{\omega})$, making it a Kähler manifold. Since $c_1(M, \bar{\omega}) = 0$, using the Calabi-Yau theorem and the Moser's trick, we can find a compatible and integrable complex structure \bar{J} , such that the corresponding metric is Ricci-flat. By passing to a finite cover if necessary, there exists a Type IIA structure $(M, \bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})$ such that the complex structure associated to $\bar{\varphi}$ is exactly \bar{J} . By [11, Theorem 9], we may further assume that $(M, \bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})$ is Ricci-flat, namely it is a stationary point of the Type IIA flow.
- Step 2: We are given ω , a small perturbation of $\bar{\omega}$, the goal is to upgrade it to a small perturbation (φ, ω) , of the Type IIA structure $(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})$ with desired estimates. In fact, we shall prove a slightly more general statement which does not require \bar{g} to be Ricci-flat.

Proposition 6 *Let $(M, \bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})$ be a fixed Type IIA structure such that the associated almost complex structure $J_{\bar{\varphi}}$ is integrable. Let \bar{g} be the Riemannian metric induced by $(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})$. There exists positive constants ϵ and C such that for any symplectic form ω such that $|\omega - \bar{\omega}|_{W_{\bar{g}}^{k,2}} < \epsilon$, one can find a Type IIA structure (φ, ω) with estimate*

$$|\varphi - \bar{\varphi}|_{W_{\bar{g}}^{k,2}} \leq C|\omega - \bar{\omega}|_{W_{\bar{g}}^{k,2}}. \quad (7.1)$$

Proof of Proposition 6: Let $\omega_s = s\omega + (1-s)\bar{\omega}$ for $0 \leq s \leq 1$. The goal is to construct a smooth family φ_s for $0 \leq s \leq 1$ satisfying

$$\varphi_s \text{ is positive}, \quad (7.2)$$

$$d\varphi_s = 0, \quad (7.3)$$

$$\omega_s \wedge \varphi_s = 0. \quad (7.4)$$

First let us assume we already have this family φ_s . By taking the s -derivative of (7.4), we get $\dot{\omega}_s \wedge \varphi_s + \omega_s \wedge \dot{\varphi}_s = 0$. At the cohomology level, this is

$$[\omega_s] \wedge [\dot{\varphi}_s] = -[\dot{\omega}_s] \wedge [\varphi_s] \in H^5(M; \mathbf{R}). \quad (7.5)$$

Since $J_{\bar{\varphi}}$ is integrable, we know $[\bar{\omega}]$ satisfies the Hard Lefschetz theorem, hence that $[\bar{\omega}]^2 \wedge \cdot : H^1(M; \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow H^5(M; \mathbf{R})$ is an isomorphism. As $[\omega_s]$ is a small perturbation of $[\bar{\omega}]$, we can choose ϵ very small so that $[\omega_s]^2 \wedge \cdot : H^1(M; \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow H^5(M; \mathbf{R})$ is also an

isomorphism for every s , therefore there exists a unique class $[\alpha_s] \in H^1(M; \mathbf{R})$ such that

$$[\omega_s]^2 \wedge [\alpha_s] = [\omega_s] \wedge [\dot{\varphi}_s] = -[\dot{\omega}_s] \wedge [\varphi_s], \quad (7.6)$$

hence

$$[\alpha_s] = -[\omega_s]^{-2}([\dot{\omega}_s] \wedge [\varphi_s]). \quad (7.7)$$

Now let us construct the family φ_s . Consider the following linear ODE on the cohomology group $H^3(M; \mathbf{R})$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{ds}[\varphi_s] = -[\omega_s] \wedge ([\omega_s]^{-2}([\dot{\omega}_s] \wedge [\varphi_s])), \\ [\varphi_s] \Big|_{s=0} = [\bar{\varphi}] \end{cases} \quad (7.8)$$

The unique solution $[\varphi_s]$ of this ODE satisfies (7.5) by its construction. Now let $\mathcal{H}[\varphi_s]$ be the harmonic representative of the class $[\varphi_s]$ with respect to the fixed metric \bar{g} . In particular we know that $\mathcal{H}[\varphi_0] = \bar{\varphi}$ as $J_{\bar{\varphi}}$ is integrable. Moreover, we have the isomorphism $H^3(M; \mathbf{R}) \cong \mathcal{H}^3(M)$, where $\mathcal{H}^3(M)$ is the space of harmonic 3-forms with respect to the metric \bar{g} . Since any two norms on the finite-dimensional spaces $\mathcal{H}^3(M)$ are equivalent, there exists a constant C , such that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{H}[\varphi_s] - \bar{\varphi}|_{W_{\bar{g}}^{k,2}} &\leq C|[\varphi_s] - [\varphi_0]|_{C_{\bar{g}}^0} \\ &\leq C \int_0^1 |[\omega_s] \wedge [\omega_s]^{-2}([\dot{\omega}_s] \wedge \cdot)|_{\bar{g}} |[\varphi_s]|_{C_{\bar{g}}^0} ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $|\cdot|_{\bar{g}}$ is the operator norm on $H^*(M; \mathbf{R})$ induced by \bar{g} . Because $[\omega_s] \wedge \cdot$, $[\omega_s]^{-2}$ are bounded linear operators on cohomology groups, $[\dot{\omega}_s] = [\omega - \bar{\omega}]$, and that $|[\varphi_s]|_{C_{\bar{g}}^0}$ is bounded as well, we get

$$|\mathcal{H}[\varphi_s] - \bar{\varphi}|_{W_{\bar{g}}^{k,2}} \leq C|[\omega - \bar{\omega}]|_{\bar{g}} \leq C|\omega - \bar{\omega}|_{W_{\bar{g}}^{k,2}}. \quad (7.9)$$

With this construction, we know that $\mathcal{H}[\varphi_s]$ is closed and positive, because it is harmonic and $W_{\bar{g}}^{k,2}$ -close to $\bar{\varphi}$. The only problem is that $\mathcal{H}[\varphi_s]$ is not necessarily primitive with respect to ω_s in the pointwise manner.

However, by integrating (7.5) over s , we know that at the cohomology level $[\mathcal{H}[\varphi_s] \wedge \omega_s] = [0]$, therefore $\mathcal{H}[\varphi_s] \wedge \omega_s$ is d -exact. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{H}[\varphi_s] \wedge \omega_s|_{W_{\bar{g}}^{k,2}} &= |\mathcal{H}[\varphi_s] \wedge \omega_s - \bar{\varphi} \wedge \bar{\omega}|_{W_{\bar{g}}^{k,2}} \\ &\leq |\omega_s \wedge (\mathcal{H}[\varphi_s] - \bar{\varphi})|_{W_{\bar{g}}^{k,2}} + |\bar{\varphi} \wedge (\omega_s - \bar{\omega})|_{W_{\bar{g}}^{k,2}} \\ &\leq C \left(|\mathcal{H}[\varphi_s] - \bar{\varphi}|_{W_{\bar{g}}^{k,2}} + |\omega - \bar{\omega}|_{W_{\bar{g}}^{k,2}} \right) \\ &\leq C|\omega - \bar{\omega}|_{W_{\bar{g}}^{k,2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.10)$$

Let $\gamma_s = d^* \square_{\bar{g}}^{-1}(\mathcal{H}[\varphi_s] \wedge \omega_s)$, where d^* and $\square_{\bar{g}}$ are the adjoint of d and the Hodge-Laplacian operator with respect to the metric \bar{g} . Since $\mathcal{H}[\varphi_s] \wedge \omega_s$ is d -exact, we know $\square_{\bar{g}}^{-1}(\mathcal{H}[\varphi_s] \wedge \omega_s) \in \mathcal{H}^4(M)^\perp$ is defined, and $d^* \square_{\bar{g}}^{-1}$ is the so-called Neumann operator. From its construction we know that $d\gamma_s = \mathcal{H}[\varphi_s] \wedge \omega_s$. In addition, by standard theory of linear PDE, the following estimate holds

$$|\gamma_s|_{W_{\bar{g}}^{k+1,2}} \leq C |\mathcal{H}[\varphi_s] \wedge \omega_s|_{W_{\bar{g}}^{k,2}} \leq C |\omega - \bar{\omega}|_{W_{\bar{g}}^{k,2}}. \quad (7.11)$$

As ω_s is a small perturbation of $\bar{\omega}$, we know that $\omega_s \wedge \cdot : \Omega^2(M) \rightarrow \Omega^4(M)$ is an isomorphism pointwise, therefore there exists a unique 2-form λ_s , such that $\gamma_s = \omega_s \wedge \lambda_s$. It follows that

$$|\lambda_s|_{W_{\bar{g}}^{k+1,2}} \leq C |\omega - \bar{\omega}|_{W_{\bar{g}}^{k,2}}. \quad (7.12)$$

The above construction indicates that

$$\mathcal{H}[\varphi_s] \wedge \omega_s = d\gamma_s = d\lambda_s \wedge \omega_s. \quad (7.13)$$

Let $\varphi_s = \mathcal{H}[\varphi_s] - d\lambda_s$, then φ_s is primitive with respect to ω_s pointwise. Moreover, $[\varphi_s] = [\mathcal{H}[\varphi_s]]$ and we have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi_s - \bar{\varphi}|_{W_{\bar{g}}^{k,2}} &\leq |\mathcal{H}[\varphi_s] - \bar{\varphi}|_{W_{\bar{g}}^{k,2}} + |d\lambda_s|_{W_{\bar{g}}^{k,2}} \\ &\leq C \left(|\omega - \bar{\omega}|_{W_{\bar{g}}^{k,2}} + |\lambda_s|_{W_{\bar{g}}^{k+1,2}} \right) \\ &\leq C |\omega - \bar{\omega}|_{W_{\bar{g}}^{k,2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.14)$$

Here the estimate (7.14) implies that φ_s is positive when ϵ is sufficiently small as $\bar{\varphi}$ is positive. Therefore by letting $s = 1$ we prove Proposition 6.

- Step 3: By Step 1, we can find a Ricci-flat Type IIA structure $(\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})$ as use it as a reference. By Step 2, for ω in Theorem 2 with sufficiently small ϵ , one can construct a Type IIA structure (φ, ω) such that

$$|(\varphi, \omega) - (\bar{\varphi}, \bar{\omega})|_{W_{\bar{g}}^{10,2}} < \epsilon'_0. \quad (7.15)$$

Consider the Type IIA flow with initial data (φ, ω) and reference metric \bar{g} . By Theorem 1 we know that it converges to a Ricci-flat Type IIA structure (φ_∞, ω) . Hence we know that (M, ω) is Kähler since J_{φ_∞} is integrable.

Remark 3 The reason that we can pass to a finite covering of M is as follows. As we argued in Step 1, on M we can construct a Ricci-flat Kähler metric \bar{g} associated to $(\bar{\omega}, \bar{J})$. However, in order to get a Ricci-flat Type IIA structure, in general we need to consider a finite covering $\pi : M' \rightarrow M$, and the Ricci-flat Type IIA structure $(\bar{\varphi}, \pi^* \bar{\omega})$ lives on M' , which is compatible with $\pi^* \bar{J}$. Let Γ be the group of deck transformations

of the covering map $\pi : M' \rightarrow M$. Since the canonical bundle of $(M', \pi^* \bar{J})$ provides a 1-dimensional representation of Γ over \mathbf{C} , which is commutative, we may assume that Γ is a finite abelian group without loss of generality. Recall that we construct the family φ_s from solving an ODE (7.8) and the Neumann operator equation. These equations are canonically defined and invariant under Γ since the metric $\pi^* \bar{g}$ is Γ -invariant. Therefore the real irreducible representation of Γ generated by $\{\gamma^* \varphi_s\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ is at most 2-dimensional and it induces representations on space of scalars and symmetric 2-tensors, which are spanned by $\{|\gamma^* \varphi_s|^2\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ and $\{\gamma^* g(\varphi_s, \pi^* \omega_s)\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$. Since these quantities are all positive definite by definition, these induced representations must be trivial, therefore $|\varphi_s|^2$ and $g(\varphi_s, \pi^* \omega_s)$ descend to M . Then by uniqueness of solutions to the Type IIA flow, we know that the limiting complex structure J_∞ on M' also descends to M , which is the desired integrable complex structure on M compatible with ω .

Acknowledgements The authors would like to thank Z.Q. Lu, S. Karigiannis, L.-S. Tseng and B.Y. Zhang for very helpful communications. They would also like to thank D. McDuff and D. Salamon for very valuable information on deformations of symplectic structures.

References

- [1] P. de Bartolomeis, *Symplectic deformations of Kähler manifolds*, J. Symplectic Geom. 3 (2005), no. 3, 341-355.
- [2] L. Bedulli and L. Vezzoni, *On the stability of the anomaly flow*, arXiv:2005.05670, to appear in Math. Res. Lett.
- [3] A. Besse, *Einstein Manifolds*, volume 10 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge*, Springer, 1987.
- [4] S. Bochner, *Vector fields and Ricci curvature*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 52 (1946), no. 9, 776-797.
- [5] R.L. Bryant, *Some remarks on G_2 -structures*, in *Proceedings of 12th Gökova Geometry-Topology Conference*, pages 75-109, International Press, 2006.
- [6] P. Candelas, and X. de la Ossa, *Moduli space of Calabi-Yau manifolds*, Nuclear Phys. B 355 (1991), no. 2, 455-481.
- [7] P. Candelas, G. Horowitz, A. Strominger, and E. Witten, *Vacuum configurations for superstrings*, Nuclear Phys. B 258 (1985), no. 1, 46-74.
- [8] B. Chow and D. Knopf, *The Ricci Flow: An Introduction*, volume 110 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, AMS, 2004.
- [9] D.M. DeTurck, *Deforming metrics in the direction of their Ricci tensors*, J. Differential Geom. 18 (1983), no. 1, 157-162.
- [10] T. Fei and D.H. Phong, *Symplectic geometric flows*, arXiv: 2111.14048.

- [11] T. Fei, D.H. Phong, S. Picard and X.W. Zhang, *Geometric flows for the Type IIA string*, Camb. J. Math. 9 (2021), no. 3, 693-807.
- [12] T. Fei, D.H. Phong, S. Picard, and X.W. Zhang, *Bochner-Kodaira formulas and the Type IIA flow*, arXiv: 2012.01550.
- [13] T. Fei, D.H. Phong, S. Picard, and X.W. Zhang, *Estimates for a geometric flow for the Type IIB string*, arXiv: 2004.14529, to appear in Math. Ann.
- [14] M. Grana, R. Minasian, M. Petrini, and A. Tomasiello, *Generalized structures of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ vacua*, J. High Energy Phys. 2005, no. 11, 020, 22 pp.
- [15] N.J. Hitchin, *The geometry of three-forms in six dimensions*, J. Differential Geom. 55 (2000), no. 3, 547-576.
- [16] D.D. Joyce, *Compact manifolds with special holonomy*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs Series, Oxford University Press, 2000.
- [17] N. Koiso, *Einstein metrics and complex structures*, Invent. Math. 73 (1983), no. 1, 71-106.
- [18] H.V. Lê and G.-F. Wang, *Anti-complexified Ricci flow on compact symplectic manifolds*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 530 (2001), 17-31.
- [19] J.D. Lotay, *Geometric flows of G_2 structures*, in *Lectures and Surveys on G_2 -Manifolds and Related Topics*, volume 84 of Fields Institute Communications, pages 113-140, Springer, 2020.
- [20] J.D. Lotay and Y. Wei, *Laplacian flow for closed G_2 structures: Shi-type estimate, uniqueness and compactness*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 27 (2017), no. 1, 165-233.
- [21] J.D. Lotay and Y. Wei, *Stability of torsion-free G_2 structure along the Laplacian flow*, J. Differential Geom. 111 (2019), no. 3, 495-526.
- [22] J.K. Moser, *On the volume elements on a manifold*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 120 (1965), no. 2, 286-294.
- [23] D.H. Phong, *Geometric partial differential equations from unified string theories*, in *Proceedings of the International Consortium of Chinese Mathematicians, 2018: Second Annual Meeting*, pages 67-87, International Press, 2020.
- [24] D.H. Phong, S. Picard, and X.W. Zhang, *Geometric flows and the Strominger system*, Math. Z. 288 (2018), no. 1-2, 101-113.
- [25] D.H. Phong, S. Picard, and X.W. Zhang, *Anomaly flows*, Comm. Anal. Geom. 26 (2018), no. 4, 955-1008.
- [26] D.H. Phong, S. Picard, and X.W. Zhang, *The Fu-Yau equation with negative slope parameter*, Invent. Math. 209 (2017), no. 2, 541-576.
- [27] D.H. Phong, S. Picard, and X.W. Zhang, *The Anomaly flow and the Fu-Yau equation*, Ann. PDE 4 (2018), no. 2, Paper No. 13, 60 pp.
- [28] N. Sesum, *Linear and dynamical stability of Ricci-flat metrics*, Duke Math. J. 133 (2006), no. 1, 1-26.

- [29] J. Streets and G. Tian, *Symplectic curvature flow*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 696 (2014), 143-185.
- [30] A. Tomasiello, *Reformulating supersymmetry with a generalized Dolbeault operator*, J. High Energy Phys. 2008, no. 2, 010, 25 pp.
- [31] L.-S. Tseng and S.-T. Yau, *Generalized cohomologies and supersymmetry*, Commun. Math. Phys. 326 (2014), no. 3, 875-885.

Department of Mathematics & Computer Science, Rutgers, Newark, NJ 07102, USA
 teng.fei@rutgers.edu

Department of Mathematics, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA
 phong@math.columbia.edu

Mathematics Department, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z2, CAN
 spicard@math.ubc.ca

Department of Mathematics, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697, USA
 xiangwen@math.uci.edu