

Parabolic connections and stack of roots

Niels Borne Amine Laaroussi

January 4, 2022

1 Introduction

1.1 The notion of parabolic connection

A parabolic connection on an algebraic variety X endowed with a divisor D is, roughly, a vector bundle on X equipped with two compatible structures: a parabolic structure in the sense of Mehta-Seshadri, and a logarithmic connection. Parabolic connections and parabolic Higgs bundles have been introduced by Carlos Simpson in order to establish a version of what is now called Simpson's correspondence over a non-compact curve ([Sim90]). Simpson's interpretation of parabolic bundles as filtered sheaves led to the generalization of the definition of parabolic Higgs bundles to higher dimensional varieties ([Yok93]).

Since then, parabolic connections, and their moduli spaces, have been an active subject of research, mainly over a curve¹. However, parabolic connections also made quite recently a notable apparition on higher varieties in the work of R.Donagi and T.Pantev on Geometric Langlands Conjecture using Simpson's non abelian Hodge theory ([DP19]).

1.2 First stacky interpretations

Meanwhile, another interpretation of parabolic bundles as orbifold bundles came up, first on global quotients ([Bis97]) then on natural algebraic stacks associated to (X, D) , the stacks of roots ([Bor07; Bor09; BV12]). More precisely, there is a Fourier like correspondence between parabolic bundles and ordinary vector bundles on the stack of roots.

This raises the question of understanding parabolic connections through this correspondence. This question was answered in dimension 1 by Biswas-Majumder-Wong ([BMW12]) and Loray-Saito-Simpson ([LSS13]). Both teams came to the same conclusion: connections on the stack of roots that are holomorphic correspond precisely to parabolic connections such that the weights of the parabolic structure are the spectra of the residues of the connection. Also notable was Biswas-Majumder-Wong's similar description of holomorphic Higgs bundles on the stack roots on a variety of arbitrary dimension ([BMW13]).

¹We apologize not to be able to cite the numerous contributions to this nice subject.

1.3 Our results

Our main goal is to generalize the results above in any dimension. Our starting data is a smooth variety X over a field k endowed with a smooth divisor D^2 . Let $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$. To this data, we can associate on one hand the corresponding stack of roots $\pi : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow X$, this is the minimal stack such that $\pi^* \left(\frac{1}{r} D \right)$ is integral. On the other hand, we can define parabolic connections, that is decreasing families $(\mathcal{E}_\alpha, \nabla_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}}$ of logarithmic connections such that $\mathcal{E}_{\alpha+1} \simeq \mathcal{E}_\alpha(-D)$. Consistently with the current terminology on parabolic Higgs bundles, we say that a logarithmic connection is *strongly parabolic* if moreover the residue of ∇_0 is semi-simple with eigenvalues the weights of the underlying parabolic bundle. Our first main result is:

Theorem A (Theorem 4.20). *A logarithmic connection (\mathcal{F}, ∇) on \mathfrak{X} is holomorphic if and only if the corresponding parabolic connection $(\mathcal{E}_\alpha, \nabla_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}}$ is strongly parabolic.*

From Theorem A and the usual stacky-parabolic equivalence for vector bundles we deduce:

Theorem B (Theorem 4.31). *There is a natural tensor equivalence of categories between holomorphic connections on \mathfrak{X} and strongly parabolic connections with weights in $\frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}$.*

Finally, inspired by [IS07], we show that if $(\mathcal{E}_\alpha, \nabla_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}}$ is a strongly parabolic connection, the connection ∇_0 on the underlying bundle \mathcal{E}_0 enables to reconstruct the parabolic structure. Via Theorem B, this has the following rather surprising translation:

Corollary C (Corollary 4.33). *Let (\mathcal{F}, ∇) and (\mathcal{F}', ∇') be two holomorphic connections on \mathfrak{X} , and $\pi : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow X$ be the morphism to the moduli space. Then any isomorphism $(\pi_* \mathcal{F}, \pi_* \nabla) \simeq (\pi_* \mathcal{F}', \pi_* \nabla')$ lifts uniquely to an isomorphism $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla) \simeq (\mathcal{F}', \nabla')$.*

The corresponding statements for vector bundles or even for logarithmic connections are easily seen to be false.

1.4 Content

We now give more details about the structure of the article.

The first section (§2) is a reminder of well-known results on stacks of roots. We recall how the hypothesis that we consider a strict normal crossings divisor implies that the stack of roots is smooth. We then turn to the definition of parabolic sheaves and their correspondence with vector bundles on the stack of roots.

In the next section (§3), we concentrate on connections on Deligne-Mumford stacks. Our main reference is Martin Olsson's books ([Ols16; Ols07]). As for

²In the main text, we work more generally with a strict normal crossings divisor.

vector bundles, the small étale site is sufficient to get a good notion of a connection. We recall how Atiyah's exact sequence enables to see connections within the \mathcal{O} -linear world. Our next task is to define logarithmic connections. Even the definition of logarithmic differentials is a bit tricky, as the usual Zariski local definition on schemes is not canonical enough to be useful when it comes to Deligne-Mumford stacks. Instead, we use Martin Olsson fundamental insight that logarithmic differentials should be seen as (the pull-back of) the sheaf $\Omega_{\mathbb{A}^1/[\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m]}^1$. Even for schemes, this gives a global definition of logarithmic differentials that does not seem to be well-known, but that is intrinsic and generalizes immediately to Deligne-Mumford stacks.

In the main section (§4), we define parabolic connections, and interpret them as sections of the parabolic Atiyah exact sequence. We then show a reconstruction theorem à la Iyer-Simpson. We finally prove Theorem A and deduce Theorem B. Despite the apparent similarity between this last theorem and the previous result for vector bundles (Theorem 2.12), the proof is very different³. The reason is that the strategy of the proof Theorem 2.12 does not work for connections, as they are not Zariski-locally sum of objects of rank 1.

Finally, the last section (§5) contains some thoughts on a potential definition of the log-Kummer algebraic fundamental group.

1.5 Conventions

1.5.1 Base field

We fix a base field k , often assumed to be perfect, and set $S = \text{Spec } k$. In some cases, we will need to work over an arbitrary base scheme S , this will then be mentioned explicitly.

1.5.2 Algebraic stacks

We follow the conventions of [Ols16]: in particular, we consider stacks on the category Sch/S of S -schemes endowed with the étale topology (the big étale site of S).

1.5.3 Logarithmic and log-smooth context

In this context, we fix a k -scheme X and a finite family $\mathbf{D} = (D_i)_{i \in I}$ of distinct effective integral Cartier divisors.

Most of the time, we assume that X is a smooth k -scheme and that moreover $D = \cup_{i \in I} D_i$ is a strict normal crossings divisor (§2.1.3). We will then say that we are in the log-smooth context.

To this data, we will add a system of weights $\mathbf{r} = (r_i)_{i \in I}$, where each r_i is a positive integer. This allows to define the stack of roots $\sqrt[r]{X/\mathbf{D}}$, often denoted by $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbf{r}}$, or even by \mathfrak{X} , when there is no ambiguity (§2.1.1). We denote by $\pi_{\mathbf{r}}$, or

³In fact the proof of Theorem B relies on Theorem 2.12.

more often by π , the natural morphism $\mathfrak{X}_r \rightarrow X$. Each D_i has a canonical r_i -th root \mathfrak{D}_i on \mathfrak{X}_r .

As we want to stick to Deligne-Mumford stacks, we will assume that each r_i is invertible in k .

1.5.4 Stacky context

We will also need to work in a more general situation, where \mathfrak{X}/k is a smooth Deligne-Mumford stack. Following the conventions in [Ols16], quasi-coherent sheaves on \mathfrak{X} will be considered as sheaves on the small étale site of \mathfrak{X} . Sometimes, the point of view of sheaves on a groupoid will also be useful.

Finally, we are also in some cases led to endow \mathfrak{X}/k with a finite family $\mathfrak{D} = (\mathfrak{D}_i)_{i \in I}$ of distinct effective integral Cartier divisors, thereby generalizing the situation in §1.5.3. We will use the natural combination of names: stacky logarithmic context, stacky log-smooth context ...

1.6 Acknowledgments

This project started from discussions of the first author with Mattia Talpo and Angelo Vistoli, who we thank heartily.

2 Generalities on stacks of roots

2.1 Definition, flat presentation, and smoothness

For our purposes, one most useful Artin stack is the stack Div_S of generalized Cartier divisors: objects over the scheme $T \rightarrow S$ are pairs (\mathcal{L}, s) where \mathcal{L} is an invertible sheaf on T and s is a global section of \mathcal{L} ⁴. It is well-known that Div_S is isomorphic to the quotient stack $[\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}_m]$ ([Ols16, Proposition 10.3.7]). Similarly, given a finite set I , the power stack Div^I classifying families of generalized Cartier divisors indexed by I is isomorphic to $[\mathbb{A}^I/\mathbb{G}_m^I]$.

2.1.1 Stack of roots

Definition 2.1. Let (\mathcal{L}, s) be a generalized Cartier divisor on a scheme X , and $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$ a positive integer. The stack of roots $\sqrt[r]{(\mathcal{L}, s)/X}$ is the stack classifying r -th roots of (\mathcal{L}, s) , that is generalized Cartier divisors (\mathcal{M}, t) endowed with an isomorphism $\mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{M}^{\otimes r}$ sending s to $t^{\otimes r}$.

In other words, $\sqrt[r]{(\mathcal{L}, s)/X}$ is given by the 2-cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \sqrt[r]{(\mathcal{L}, s)/X} & \longrightarrow & \text{Div}_S \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \times r \\ X & \xrightarrow{(\mathcal{L}, s)} & \text{Div}_S \end{array}$$

⁴Here our convention differs slightly from [Ols16].

The stack $\sqrt[r]{(\mathcal{L}, s)/X}$ is Deligne-Mumford if r is invertible on S ([Ols16, Theorem 10.3.10]).

The diagram above shows that the construction of the stack of roots makes sense if X is an algebraic stack, in particular, we can iterate it. This leads to the stack of roots associated to a finite family

$$((\mathcal{L}, \mathbf{s}), \mathbf{r}) = ((\mathcal{L}_i, s_i), r_i)_{i \in I}$$

where each (\mathcal{L}_i, s_i) is a generalized Cartier divisor on X and r_i is a positive integer. By definition we set

$$\sqrt[r]{(\mathcal{L}, \mathbf{s})/X} = \prod_{X, i \in I} \sqrt[r_i]{(\mathcal{L}_i, s_i)/X}$$

where the fiber product on the right hand-side is taken over X .

We will in fact consider stacks of roots associated to genuine effective Cartier divisors, and will identify such a divisor D with the associated generalized Cartier divisor $(\mathcal{O}_X(D), s_D)$, where s_D denotes the canonical section. In other words, starting from the logarithmic context (§1.5.3), we put

$$\sqrt[r]{\mathbf{D}/X} = \sqrt[r]{(\mathcal{O}_X(\mathbf{D}), \mathbf{s})/X}.$$

If $\pi : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow X$ is the natural morphism to the moduli space, there is for each $i \in I$ a canonical Cartier divisor \mathfrak{D}_i on $\mathfrak{X} = \sqrt[r]{\mathbf{D}/X}$ such that $\pi^* D_i = r_i \mathfrak{D}_i$.

2.1.2 Canonical flat presentation

To an invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} on X , we associate as usual the \mathbb{G}_m -torsor $\mathbb{V}(\mathcal{L}) \setminus \{0\} = \mathrm{Spec}_X \mathrm{Sym}^\pm(\mathcal{L})$. So from the data of $(D_i)_{i \in I}$ we get:

- a \mathbb{G}_m^I -torsor $p_D : T_D \rightarrow X$, where $T_D = \prod_{X, i \in I} \mathbb{V}(\mathcal{O}_X(D_i)) \setminus \{0\}$,
- a morphism $a_D : T_D \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^I$ corresponding to the canonical sections of the D_i 's.

In stacky terms, $T_D = X \times_{[\mathbb{A}^I/\mathbb{G}_m^I]} \mathbb{A}^I$. For the stack of roots \mathfrak{X} , one defines similarly $T_{\mathfrak{D}} = \mathfrak{X} \times_{[\mathbb{A}^I/\mathbb{G}_m^I]} \mathbb{A}^I$. This is a priori an algebraic space but in fact a scheme as $T_{\mathfrak{D}} = T_D \times_{\mathbb{A}^I} \mathbb{A}^I$ ([BV12, Remark 4.14.]). So we have a canonical \mathbb{G}_m^I -torsor $p_{\mathfrak{D}} : T_{\mathfrak{D}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ that enables to identify \mathfrak{X} with the quotient stack $[T_{\mathfrak{D}}/\mathbb{G}_m^I]$, a very convenient fact to define logarithmic differentials in this context (see §3.3.1).

2.1.3 Normal crossings

For the rest of this section, we use the notations of the logarithmic context (§1.5.3). We wish to give a condition ensuring the smoothness of the stack of roots $\sqrt[r]{\mathbf{D}/X}$.

Definition 2.2 ([Stacks, Tag 0CBN]). An effective Cartier divisor D on a locally noetherian scheme X has *strict normal crossings* if for each $x \in D$, the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is regular and there exists a regular system of parameters x_1, \dots, x_n in \mathfrak{m}_x and an integer $m \in [1, n]$ such that D admits $x_1 \cdots x_m$ for equation at x . It has *normal crossings* if it has strict normal crossings étale locally on X .

We will use the abbreviation ncd (resp. sncd) for normal crossings divisor (resp. strict normal crossings divisor).

The following proposition is folklore (see for instance [Kat89, Example 2.5] and [MO05, Example 1.2]) but since we couldn't find a proof in the literature, we provide one.

Proposition 2.3. *Let k be a perfect field, X be a locally algebraic k -scheme, and D an effective divisor. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) X is regular, and D has strict normal crossings (resp. normal crossings),
- (ii) Zariski (resp. étale) locally on X there exists an étale morphism $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^n = \text{Spec } k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and an integer $m \in [0, n]$ such that D is the pullback of the divisor given by $X_1 \cdots X_m$ on \mathbb{A}_k^n .

Proof. The resp. claim follows from the main one. The implication (ii) \implies (i) follows from the facts that "Smooth over a field implies regular" [Stacks, Tag 056S] and "Pullback of a strict normal crossings divisor by a smooth morphism is a strict normal crossings divisor" [Stacks, Tag 0CBP]. Let us show the implication (i) \implies (ii). As k is perfect, X is k -smooth by [Stacks, Tag 0B8X]. Let x be a closed point of X , it is enough to show the result around x by [Stacks, Tag 02IL]. If $x \notin D$, the result follows from the existence of étale coordinates for smooth schemes [Stacks, Tag 054L]. If $x \in D$, let x_1, \dots, x_n be a regular system of parameters as in Definition 2.2. By the proof of [Stacks, Tag 00TV], the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \frac{\mathfrak{m}_x}{\mathfrak{m}_x^2} \xrightarrow{d} \left(\Omega_{X/k}^1 \right)_x \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{X,x}} k(x) \rightarrow \Omega_{k(x)/k}^1 \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, and since x is a closed point and k is perfect, we have also that $\Omega_{k(x)/k}^1 = 0$. Hence (dx_1, \dots, dx_n) form a basis of $\left(\Omega_{X/k}^1 \right)_x$, and by [BLR90, §2.2 Corollary 10], the morphism $(x_1, \dots, x_n) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ is étale at x (that is (x_1, \dots, x_n) are étale coordinates at x), and the claim follows. \square

We are now able to prove the smoothness of the stack of roots with respect a sncd divisor.

Proposition 2.4. *Let k be a perfect field, X be a smooth k -scheme, $(D_i, r_i)_{i \in I}$ be a finite family of distinct effective integral Cartier divisors endowed with positive integers, invertible in k . Assume that the divisor $D = \cup_{i \in I} D_i$ is a sncd . Then the stack of roots $\mathfrak{X} = \sqrt{(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{s})/X}$ is k -smooth.*

Proof. Since the property is Zariski local on X , we can assume that each $D_i = \text{div}(f_i)$ is principal. Let x be a closed point of X , by shrinking further, we can assume that $x \in D_i$ for all $i \in I$. The local equation of D at x is given by $\prod_{i \in I} f_i$ and the D_i 's being integral by assumption, the f_i 's are prime, hence irreducible. The local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is regular, hence factorial so the hypothesis that D is sncd shows that set $\{f_i, i \in I\}$ can be ordered into a part of regular system of parameters at x , say (x_1, \dots, x_m) . We complete it into a full regular system of parameters (x_1, \dots, x_n) , which defines an étale morphism $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$. By affecting the integer $r_i = 1$ to the $n - m$ last x_i 's, we don't change the stack of roots, in other words we get a commutative diagram with cartesian squares:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
Y & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A}^n & & \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & \searrow & \\
\mathfrak{X} & \longrightarrow & [\mathbb{A}^n/\mu_r] & \longrightarrow & [\mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_m^n] \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A}^n & \longrightarrow & [\mathbb{A}^n/\mathbb{G}_m^n]
\end{array}$$

Since $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ is étale at x , by shrinking X again, we can assume it is étale. Thus $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n$ is also étale, and so Y is k -smooth. Since $Y \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is an étale chart, we are done. \square

Remark 2.5. 1. See also [BLS16, Proposition 3.9] for a slightly different approach.

2. The claim would be wrong if one would only assume that D is a ncd. To get a smooth stack of roots in the ncd case, one needs to use Olsson's definition, see [BV12]. This more elaborate formalism is out of the scope of the present article.

Let us now mention how to generalize the notion of (strict) normal crossings divisor to a locally noetherian Deligne-Mumford stack \mathfrak{X} . First, an effective Cartier divisor $\mathfrak{D} \subset \mathfrak{X}$ is a closed substack that is an effective Cartier divisor in an étale chart (equivalently, such that the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_{\mathfrak{D}} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is invertible).

Definition 2.6. Let \mathfrak{D} be an effective Cartier divisor on a locally noetherian Deligne-Mumford stack \mathfrak{X} . We will say that:

1. \mathfrak{D} has normal crossings if this is true in an étale chart,
2. \mathfrak{D} has strict normal crossings if it has normal crossings and its irreducible components are regular.

Remark 2.7. 1. On a scheme, according to [GM71, Lemma 1.8.4], the definition of sncd coincides with Definition 2.2.

2. It follows from the proof of Proposition 2.4 that if \mathfrak{D} is the Cartier divisor on $\sqrt[n]{\mathbf{D}/X}$ whose irreducible components are $(\mathfrak{D}_i)_{i \in I}$, then \mathfrak{D} has strict normal crossings.

2.2 Locally free sheaves on the stack of roots and parabolic vector bundles

In this section, we recall the main result of [Bor09]. We use the notations of the log-smooth context (§1.5.3).

Let us define parabolic vector bundles, following Carlos Simpson's formulation. We define the poset $\frac{1}{\mathbf{r}}\mathbb{Z}^I = \prod_{i \in I} \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{r_i}$ (with component-wise partial order), and identify it with the corresponding category. We write \cdot^{op} for the opposite category, and $\text{Vect}(X)$ for the category of vector bundles on X .

Definition 2.8. A *parabolic vector bundle* on (X, \mathbf{D}) with weights in $\frac{1}{\mathbf{r}}\mathbb{Z}^I$ consists of

- the data of a functor $\mathcal{E} : \left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{r}}\mathbb{Z}^I\right)^{op} \longrightarrow \text{Vect } X$ and,
- for each integral multi-index \mathbf{l} in \mathbf{Z}^I , a natural transformation $p_{\mathbf{l}} : \mathcal{E}_{\cdot+\mathbf{l}} \simeq \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(-\mathbf{l} \cdot \mathbf{D})$,

such that the following compatibility condition holds: for $\mathbf{l} \geq \mathbf{0}$, the diagram of natural transformations

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{E}_{\cdot+\mathbf{l}} & & \\
 \downarrow & \searrow & \\
 \mathcal{E} & & \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow & \\
 \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(-\mathbf{l} \cdot \mathbf{D}) & &
 \end{array} \tag{1}$$

is commutative.

For a more formal definition, see [Bor09, Définition 2.1.2]. We will most often omit the pseudo-periodicity isomorphism p from the notation and thus write \mathcal{E} instead of (\mathcal{E}, p) . We denote by $\text{Par}_{\frac{1}{\mathbf{r}}}(X, \mathbf{D})$ the corresponding category.

Remark 2.9. The existence of the pseudo-periodicity isomorphisms implies that a parabolic bundle is determined, up to isomorphism, by its restriction to the fundamental domain $\frac{1}{\mathbf{r}}\mathbb{Z}^I \cap [0, 1]^I$. The fact that \mathbf{D} is a family of (strict) normal crossings divisors implies much more, namely according to the forthcoming Lemma 2.10 a parabolic bundle is even determined by its restriction to the axes in $\frac{1}{\mathbf{r}}\mathbb{Z}^I \cap [0, 1]^I$.

Lemma 2.10. *Let \mathcal{E} be an object of $\text{Par}_{\frac{1}{\mathbf{r}}}(X, \mathbf{D})$ and \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{l}' in \mathbb{Z}^I such that $\mathbf{l} \leq \mathbf{l}' \leq \mathbf{l} + \mathbf{r}$. Then if $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ denotes the canonical basis of \mathbb{Z}^I , we have as subsheaves of $\mathcal{E}_{\frac{\mathbf{l}}{\mathbf{r}}}$:*

$$\mathcal{E}_{\frac{\mathbf{l}'}{\mathbf{r}}} = \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{E}_{\frac{\mathbf{l} + (\mathbf{l}' - \mathbf{l})e_i}{\mathbf{r}}}$$

Proof. The inclusion $\mathcal{E}_{\frac{\nu}{r}} \subset \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{E}_{\frac{1+(l'_i-l_i)e_i}{r}}$ is clear. For the other direction, let us first remark that for each $i \in I$ we have

$$\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1+(l'_i-l_i)e_i}{r}} \subset \mathcal{E}_{\frac{\nu-r}{r}+e_i} = \mathcal{E}_{\frac{\nu-r}{r}}(-D_i).$$

It follows that $\bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{E}_{\frac{1+(l'_i-l_i)e_i}{r}} \subset \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{E}_{\frac{\nu-r}{r}}(-D_i)$. But there is also a natural inclusion

$$\mathcal{E}_{\frac{\nu}{r}} = \mathcal{E}_{\frac{\nu-r}{r}}(-\sum_{i \in I} D_i) \subset \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{E}_{\frac{\nu-r}{r}}(-D_i).$$

The fact that $\mathcal{E}_{\frac{\nu-r}{r}}$ is locally free and that the local equations of the D_i 's are coprime shows that this last inclusion is in fact an equality, which proves the result. \square

Definition 2.11. 1. To each vector bundle \mathcal{F} on \mathfrak{X} , one associates a parabolic vector bundle $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ on (X, \mathbf{D}) with weights in $\frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}^I$ in the following way: if \mathbf{l} belongs to \mathbb{Z}^I , one defines $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\frac{\mathbf{l}}{r}} = \pi_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(-\mathbf{l}\mathfrak{D}))$.

2. Conversely, let \mathcal{E} be an object in $\text{Par}_{\frac{1}{r}}(X, \mathbf{D})$. One associates to this parabolic vector bundle a vector bundle on the stack of roots defined by:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{E}} = \int^{\frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}^I} \pi^* \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\cdot \mathbf{r}\mathfrak{D})$$

where $\int^{\frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}^I}$ stands for the coend⁵.

Theorem 2.12 ([Bor09, Théorème 2.4.7]). *The functors $\mathcal{E} \mapsto \widehat{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ define inverse equivalences between the categories $\text{Par}_{\frac{1}{r}}(X, \mathbf{D})$ and $\text{Vect}(\sqrt[r]{\mathbf{D}/X})$.*

3 Connections on Deligne-Mumford stacks

3.1 Holomorphic connections

The literature on the subject is sparse, even if the notion is widely used, especially in the geometric Langlands program. Our main reference is [Ols07, Chapter 2] where the -simplest- point of view of sheaves on the small étale site is used.

3.1.1 Definition on schemes and internal operations

Let us start by considering k -schemes $X, X' \dots$. There are many equivalent definitions of a connection ∇ on a vector bundle \mathcal{E} on X , but we considering first the most frequent one, Koszul's definition: a connection is a k -linear morphism $\nabla : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/k}^1$, satisfying Leibniz rule, that is $\nabla(fs) = f\nabla(s) + s \otimes df$ for $f \in \mathcal{O}_X$ and $s \in \mathcal{E}$.

The category of pairs (\mathcal{E}, ∇) is endowed with:

⁵See [Mac71, IX §6] or [Bor07, Appendice B] for a summary

- a tensor product given by $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla) \otimes (\mathcal{E}', \nabla') = (\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{E}', \nabla \otimes \nabla')$ where $(\nabla \otimes \nabla')(s \otimes s') = \nabla s \otimes s' + s \otimes \nabla' s'$,
- an internal Hom defined by $\mathbf{Hom}((\mathcal{E}, \nabla), (\mathcal{E}', \nabla')) = (\mathbf{Hom}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}'), \nabla^{\mathbf{Hom}})$ where $\nabla^{\mathbf{Hom}}$ verifies $\nabla'(\phi(s)) = (\phi \otimes \text{id})(\nabla(s)) + \nabla^{\mathbf{Hom}}(\phi)(s)$.

In particular, one can define the dual of (\mathcal{E}, ∇) as $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)^\vee = \mathbf{Hom}((\mathcal{E}, \nabla), (\mathcal{O}_X, d))$.

3.1.2 Functoriality of connections

The following result is well-known, but due to lack of a proper reference, we sketch a proof.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f : X' \rightarrow X$ be a morphism of k -schemes, \mathcal{E} a vector bundle on X endowed with a connection ∇ . There exists a unique connection $f^*\nabla$ on $f^*\mathcal{E}$ such that $f^*\nabla(f^*s) = f^*(\nabla(s))$ for all $s \in \mathcal{E}$.*

Proof. The uniqueness follows from Leibniz rule, as the sections f^*s generate $f^*\mathcal{E}$ locally. To show the existence, it is thus enough to show it Zariski locally. But then ∇ is given by a matrix of differential forms, and one defines $f^*\nabla$ thanks to the matrix obtained by pulling-back each form individually. \square

3.1.3 Definitions of holomorphic connection on a Deligne-Mumford stack

As for quasi-coherent sheaves, one can use different - but equivalent - points of view to define an holomorphic connection on a Deligne-Mumford stack \mathfrak{X} defined over a field k .

1. It is quite natural to use the (small) étale site $\acute{\text{E}}\text{t}(\mathfrak{X})$. This is Martin Olson's point of view in [Ols07, pp. 2.2.19–23]. On this site, the sheaf $\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}/k}^1$ is defined by $\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}/k}^1(T \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}) = \Gamma(T, \Omega_{T/k}^1)$, and there is a canonical derivation $d : \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathfrak{X}/k}^1$. Then the definition is the usual one: a connection (\mathcal{E}, ∇) is a locally free sheaf on $\acute{\text{E}}\text{t}(\mathfrak{X})$ endowed with a k -linear morphism $\nabla : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}} \Omega_{\mathfrak{X}/k}^1$, satisfying Leibniz rule.

We will rather use the close, and equivalent, point of view of giving the following data:

- for each étale morphism from a scheme $t : T \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ of a locally free sheaf endowed with a connection $(\mathcal{E}_{(T,t)}, \nabla_{(T,t)})$ on T ,
- and for each 2-morphism $(f, f^b) : (T', t') \rightarrow (T, t)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & T & \\
 f \nearrow & \downarrow f^b & \searrow t \\
 T' & \xrightarrow{t'} & \mathfrak{X}
 \end{array}$$

of an isomorphism $\rho_{(f, f^b)} : f^* \mathcal{E}_{(T, t)} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{(T', t')}$, compatible with the connections, this data verifying the usual cocycle condition.

2. One can also use the point of view of groupoids: let $U \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ be étale chart, and $(s, t) : R \rightrightarrows U$ the corresponding groupoid.

A connection on this groupoid consists of triple $(\mathcal{F}, \alpha, \nabla)$ where

- \mathcal{F} is a vector bundle endowed with a connection ∇ on U ,
- α is an isomorphism $\alpha : t^* \mathcal{F} \simeq s^* \mathcal{F}$, compatible with $t^* \nabla$ and $s^* \nabla$,

again submitted to the usual cocycle condition.

3.2 Atiyah's exact sequence

As is well known, connections can also be described within the \mathcal{O}_X -linear world. This is a precious point of view as it will considerably simplify some proofs. We recall very briefly the definition of Atiyah's extension following the exposition in [BK09, §1].

We start with the case of schemes, working over an arbitrary basis S .

Let X be a separated S -scheme. Obviously the notion of a connection over S still makes sense. Let $X^{(1)}$ be the first infinitesimal neighborhood of the diagonal $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times_S X$, let $i : X \rightarrow X^{(1)}$ be the canonical closed immersion, and for $j \in \{1, 2\}$, let $q_j : X^{(1)} \rightarrow X$ be the composition of $X^{(1)} \rightarrow X \times_S X$ with $\text{pr}_j : X \times_S X \rightarrow X$.

If \mathcal{I} is the ideal defined by Δ , then we identify $\frac{\mathcal{I}}{\mathcal{I}^2}$ with $i_* \Omega_{X/S}^1$, and the canonical connection $d : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \Omega_{X/S}^1$ with $i_* \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{I}}{\mathcal{I}^2}$ given by $f \mapsto q_2^* f - q_1^* f$.

Let \mathcal{E} be a vector bundle on X . By tensoring the canonical exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow i_* \Omega_{X/S}^1 \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{O}_{X \times_S X}}{\mathcal{I}^2} \rightarrow i_* \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0$$

with $q_2^* \mathcal{E}$ and then applying q_{1*} , we get a canonical (\mathcal{O}_X -linear) exact sequence of sheaves:

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{X/S}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow P_{X/S}^1(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$$

where $P_{X/S}^1(\mathcal{E}) = q_{1*} q_2^* \mathcal{E}$ is the sheaf of principal parts of \mathcal{E} . This sequence is known as *Atiyah's extension* of \mathcal{E} .

Since $i : X \rightarrow X^{(1)}$ is an homeomorphism, it follows that $P_{X/S}^1(\mathcal{E}) = q_{2*} q_2^* \mathcal{E}$ as sheaves of \mathcal{O}_S -modules, thus $q_2^\# : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow q_{2*} q_2^* \mathcal{E}$ gives an \mathcal{O}_S -linear splitting of Atiyah's extension. Now if $\alpha : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow P_{X/S}^1(\mathcal{E})$ is another \mathcal{O}_S -linear splitting, then α is \mathcal{O}_X -linear if and only if $\nabla = \alpha - q_2^\# : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \Omega_{X/S}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{E}$ verifies Koszul's condition. One concludes that the connections on \mathcal{E} are in one to one correspondence with the \mathcal{O}_X -linear splittings of Atiyah's extension. With some additional care, one shows that this holds for an arbitrary S -scheme, see [BO78, Proposition 2.9].

It is clear that the formation of $P_{X/S}^1(\mathcal{E})$ commutes with an étale base change $X' \rightarrow X$. As a consequence, we can define Atiyah's extension for a vector bundle \mathcal{E} on a Deligne-Mumford stack \mathfrak{X}/S , and the correspondence above still holds. However, we will rather need the logarithmic version, that we construct directly (§3.3.4).

3.3 Logarithmic connections

In this section, we use the notations of the log-smooth context (§1.5.3).

3.3.1 Logarithmic differentials

We start by revisiting the notion of logarithmic differentials on schemes. The classical and most intuitive way of defining $\Omega_{X/k}^1(\log(D))$ consists of viewing it as the subsheaf of $\Omega_{X/k}^1(\star D)$ locally generated by the forms that are logarithmic along D (see for instance [EV92, Definition 2.1]).

However, the local nature of this definition makes it a bit cumbersome to manipulate when one has to deal with algebraic stacks. Instead, we use Martin Olsson's insight that logarithmic differentials on a stack $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow [\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}^m]$ can be defined as $\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}/[\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}^m]}^1$. As $[\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}^m]$ is not a Deligne-Mumford stack, using this directly as a definition would imply the use of the lisse-étale (or fppf) site, that we want to avoid. For representable morphisms $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow [\mathbb{A}^1/\mathbb{G}^m]$ though, it is easy to spell out the meaning of Olsson's definition in a chart, and this point of view gives a global definition of logarithmic differentials that seems very useful even for schemes. Remember that we have defined the \mathbb{G}_m^I -torsor $p_D : T_D \rightarrow X$ in §2.1.2.

Definition 3.2 (Martin Olsson). The sheaf of logarithmic differentials is defined as $\Omega_{X/k}^1(\log(D)) = p_{D*}^{\mathbb{G}_m^I} \Omega_{T_D/\mathbb{A}^1}^1$.

Using this definition, one proves easily the following classical fact:

Proposition 3.3. *There is a natural exact sequence:*

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{X/k}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{X/k}^1(\log(D)) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} \bigoplus_{i \in I} i_{D_i*} \mathcal{O}_{D_i} \rightarrow 0$$

where for each $i \in I$, i_{D_i} stands for the closed immersion of D_i in X .

Let us now describe how logarithmic differentials behave functorially. As we don't need the full power of logarithmic geometry, we will work with an ad hoc notion of log-scheme.

- A log-scheme is a couple $(X, (D_i)_{i \in I})$ where X is a smooth k -scheme and $(D_i)_{i \in I}$ is a finite family of distinct effective integral Cartier divisors such that the divisor $D = \cup_{i \in I} D_i$ is a sncd.

- A morphism between two such log-schemes $(X', (D'_i)_{i \in I})$ and $(X, (D_i)_{i \in I})$, indexed by the same finite set I , is a couple $(f, (r_i)_{i \in I})$ consisting of a flat morphism $f : X' \rightarrow X$ and a family of non-negative integers $(r_i)_{i \in I}$ such that $f^*D_i = r_i D'_i$ for each $i \in I$.

As the second part of the data of a morphism is redundant, we will frequently omit it. It is clear from the definitions that to such a morphism is associated a canonical morphism $f^*\Omega_{X/k}^1(\log(D)) \rightarrow \Omega_{X'/k}^1(\log(D'))$.

Definition 3.4. A morphism $(f, (r_i)_{i \in I}) : (X', (D'_i)_{i \in I}) \rightarrow (X, (D_i)_{i \in I})$ is log-étale if

1. the integer r_i is invertible in k for each $i \in I$,
2. the associated morphism $X' \rightarrow \sqrt[r]{\mathbf{D}/X}$ is étale.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $(f, (r_i)_{i \in I}) : (X', (D'_i)_{i \in I}) \rightarrow (X, (D_i)_{i \in I})$ be log-étale morphism. Then the canonical morphism $f^*\Omega_{X/k}^1(\log(D)) \rightarrow \Omega_{X'/k}^1(\log(D'))$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. This follows from the two well-known facts: formation of differentials commutes with arbitrary base change, and an étale morphism is unramified. \square

Finally, we indicate briefly how to define logarithmic differentials in the stacky log-smooth context (§1.5.4). Let \mathfrak{X} be a k -smooth Deligne-Mumford stack endowed with a finite family $(\mathfrak{D}_i)_{i \in I}$ of distinct effective integral Cartier divisors such that the divisor $\mathfrak{D} = \cup_{i \in I} \mathfrak{D}_i$ is a sncd.

Let $(f, f^b) : (T', t') \rightarrow (T, t)$ be a 2-morphism between objects of the small étale site of \mathfrak{X} as in §3.1.3. Then Lemma 3.5 implies that there is a canonical isomorphism $f^*\Omega_{T/k}^1(\log(t^*\mathfrak{D})) \rightarrow \Omega_{T'/k}^1(\log(t'^*\mathfrak{D}'))$. The cocycle condition is verified, so this defines a sheaf $\Omega_{\mathfrak{X}/k}^1(\log(\mathfrak{D}))$.

However, for stack of roots, a more explicit approach is available. Namely more generally for stacks such that $T_{\mathfrak{D}}$ is a scheme, we can simply use Martin Olsson's definition (Definition 3.2) as it is.

There is a straightforward but useful definition of a log-stack (that is, a couple $(\mathfrak{X}, (\mathfrak{D}_i)_{i \in I})$ as above) and log-étale morphism between log-stacks. For instance, if $\mathfrak{X} = \sqrt[r]{\mathbf{D}/X}$ is a stack of roots and $(\mathfrak{D}_i)_{i \in I}$ is the family of roots, then $(\mathfrak{X}, (\mathfrak{D}_i)_{i \in I})$ is a log-stack (see Remark 2.7) and the log-morphism $(\pi, (r_i)_{i \in I}) : (\mathfrak{X}, (\mathfrak{D}_i)_{i \in I}) \rightarrow (X, (D_i)_{i \in I})$ is tautologically log-étale.

3.3.2 Logarithmic connections

A *logarithmic connection* ∇ on a vector bundle \mathcal{E} on X is a k -linear morphism $\nabla : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/k}^1(\log(D))$ satisfying Leibniz rule. The corresponding category is denoted by $\text{Con}(X, D)$.

There is a useful formula for the residue of a tensor product of two logarithmic connections (\mathcal{E}, ∇) and (\mathcal{E}', ∇') :

$$\text{res}_{D_i}(\nabla \otimes \nabla') = \text{res}_{D_i}(\nabla) \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{E}'|_{D_i}} + \text{id}_{\mathcal{E}|_{D_i}} \otimes \text{res}_{D_i}(\nabla')$$

One fact of paramount importance for stating the forthcoming correspondence between strongly parabolic connections and holomorphic connections on the stack of roots (see §4) is the existence of a canonical logarithmic connection on the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_{D_i} = \mathcal{O}_X(-D_i)$. Let us describe its construction in the terms of §3.3.1. We first notice that the canonical holomorphic connection $d : \mathcal{O}_{T_D} \rightarrow \Omega_{T_D/\mathbb{A}^I}^1$ is \mathbb{G}_m^I -equivariant. Let $(a_D)_i$ be the i -th component of a_D , this is a global equation of the principal divisor $p_D^* D_i$. Since $d((a_D)_i) = 0$ in $\Omega_{T_D/\mathbb{A}^I}^1$, it follows that $d((a_D)_i \mathcal{O}_{T_D}) \subset (a_D)_i \Omega_{T_D/\mathbb{A}^I}^1$. By applying the functor $(p_D)_*^{\mathbb{G}_m^I}$ to the restriction $d : (a_D)_i \mathcal{O}_{T_D} \rightarrow (a_D)_i \Omega_{T_D/\mathbb{A}^I}^1$ we get a logarithmic connection

$$d(-D_i) : \mathcal{O}_X(-D_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-D_i) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/k}^1(\log(D)) .$$

Remark 3.6. By construction, the morphism $\mathcal{O}_X(-D_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ is compatible with the connections $d(-D_i)$ and d , and this characterizes $d(-D_i)$ uniquely.

Lemma 3.7 ([EV92, Lemma 2.7]). *Let $B = \sum_{i \in I} \mu_i D_i$ be a Cartier divisor with support in D . There exists a canonical logarithmic connection:*

$$d(B) : \mathcal{O}_X(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(B) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/k}^1(\log(D))$$

characterized by $d(B)(\prod_{i \in I} x_i^{-\mu_i}) = -\prod_{i \in I} x_i^{-\mu_i} \cdot \sum_{i \in I} \mu_i \frac{dx_i}{x_i}$, where x_i is a local equation of D_i . In particular, $\text{res}_{D_i}(d(B)) = -\mu_i \text{id}$.

Proof. When $B = -D_i$, this is a consequence of the discussion above. The general case follows by using the dual of a connection and the tensor product of two connections. \square

In particular, using again the tensor product of two connections, we can twist an arbitrary logarithmic connection (\mathcal{E}, ∇) by a divisor $B = \sum_{i \in I} \mu_i D_i$, the result will be denoted by $(\mathcal{E}(B), \nabla(B))$. From [EV92, Lemma 2.7], we borrow the following formula, which we will use extensively:

$$\text{res}_{D_i}(\nabla(B)) = (\text{res}_{D_i}(\nabla))(B) - \mu_i \text{id}$$

and which follows from the formula giving the residue of a tensor product of logarithmic connections.

Remark 3.8. Let $f : (X', (D'_i)_{i \in I}) \rightarrow (X, (D_i)_{i \in I})$ be a morphism of log-schemes. The pull-back $f^* : \text{Con}(X, D) \rightarrow \text{Con}(X', D')$ is well defined (this is analogous to Lemma 3.1). If f is finite and log-étale then the push-forward $f_* : \text{Con}(X', D') \rightarrow \text{Con}(X, D)$ is also well defined (thanks to projection formula and Lemma 3.5).

3.3.3 Logarithmic Atiyah exact sequence

In this section, we denote by \mathcal{E} a vector bundle on X .

Definition 3.9. The sheaf of *logarithmic principal parts* (with respect to D) is the sheaf:

$$P_{(X,D)/k}^1(\mathcal{E}) := p_{D*}^{\mathbb{G}_m^I} \left(P_{T_D/\mathbb{A}^I}^1(p_D^*\mathcal{E}) \right)$$

Lemma 3.10. *There is a natural exact sequence:*

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{X/k}^1(\log D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{E} \rightarrow P_{(X,D)/k}^1(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$$

Proof. This is the image of the standard Atiyah sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{T_D/\mathbb{A}^I}^1 \otimes p_D^*\mathcal{E} \rightarrow P_{T_D/\mathbb{A}^I}^1(p_D^*\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow p_D^*\mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0$$

by the exact functor $p_{D*}^{\mathbb{G}_m^I}$. □

Lemma 3.11. *There is a natural bijection between logarithmic connections on \mathcal{E} and sections of the logarithmic Atiyah exact sequence.*

Proof. Since this holds for holomorphic connections (see §3.2), it is enough to show that logarithmic connections $\nabla : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_{X/k}^1(\log(D))$ correspond to connections $\nabla_D : p_D^*\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \Omega_{T_D/\mathbb{A}^I}^1 \otimes p_D^*\mathcal{E}$ that respect the natural \mathbb{Z}^I -graduations.

Starting from ∇_D we put $\nabla = p_{D*}^{\mathbb{G}_m^I} \nabla_D$, conversely starting from ∇ we can define $\nabla_D = p_D^* \nabla$ as in Lemma 3.1. It is clear that these constructions are inverse of each other. □

As an immediate consequence, we get the following:

Corollary 3.12. *There is a natural equivalence of categories between*

- the category $\text{Con}(X, D)$ of logarithmic connections,
- the category $\text{Sec}(X, D)$, whose objects are couples (\mathcal{E}, α) , where \mathcal{E} is a vector bundle on X and α is a section of the logarithmic Atiyah exact sequence of \mathcal{E} , with obvious morphisms.

The existence of twists of logarithmic connections can now be explained in a somewhat more natural way.

Lemma 3.13. *Let $B = \sum_{i \in I} \mu_i D_i$ be a Cartier divisor with support in D , and \mathcal{E} be a vector bundle on X . The logarithmic Atiyah exact sequence of $\mathcal{E}(B)$ identifies with the twist of the logarithmic Atiyah exact sequence by B . In other words, there is a natural isomorphism $P_{(X,D)/k}^1(\mathcal{E}(B)) \simeq P_{(X,D)/k}^1(\mathcal{E})(B)$, compatible with the morphisms in the logarithmic Atiyah exact sequences.*

Proof. Reasoning on T_D , it is enough to see that there is a natural isomorphism $P_{T_D/\mathbb{A}^I}^1(p_D^*\mathcal{E}(B)) \simeq P_{T_D/\mathbb{A}^I}^1(p_D^*\mathcal{E})(p_D^*(B))$ that is \mathbb{G}_m^I -equivariant, or in other words, of degree 0 with respect to the natural \mathbb{Z}^I -graduations. But the canonical trivialisation $\mathcal{O}_{T_D} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{T_D}(p_D^*(B))$ is of degree $(\mu_i)_{i \in I}$, and gives rise to isomorphisms $P_{T_D/\mathbb{A}^I}^1(p_D^*\mathcal{E}) \simeq P_{T_D/\mathbb{A}^I}^1(p_D^*\mathcal{E}(B))$ and $P_{T_D/\mathbb{A}^I}^1(p_D^*\mathcal{E}) \simeq P_{T_D/\mathbb{A}^I}^1(p_D^*\mathcal{E})(p_D^*(B))$, both of degree $(\mu_i)_{i \in I}$, hence the result. \square

3.3.4 Logarithmic connexions on Deligne-Mumford stacks

Assume now that we are in the stacky log-smooth context (§1.5.4). We can define logarithmic connections on the log-stack $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D})$ just as we did for holomorphic connections (§3.1.3), using the derivation $d : \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathfrak{X}/k}^1(\log(\mathfrak{D}))$ instead of $d : \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathfrak{X}/k}^1$.

If we assume that $T_{\mathfrak{D}} = \mathfrak{X} \times_{[\mathbb{A}^I/\mathbb{G}_m^I]} \mathbb{A}^I$ is a scheme, then the constructions of the logarithmic Atiyah exact sequence associated to a vector bundle \mathcal{E} on \mathfrak{X} (Lemma 3.10) and the interpretation of its sections as logarithmic connections on \mathcal{E} (Lemma 3.11, Corollary 3.12) also hold in this context.

4 The correspondence

In this section, we use the notations of the log-smooth context (1.5.3).

4.1 Parabolic connections

Definition 4.1. A *parabolic connection* on (X, \mathbf{D}) with weights in $\frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}^I$ consists of

- the data of a functor $\mathcal{E} : (\frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}^I)^{op} \rightarrow \text{Con}(X, D)$ and,
- the structure of a parabolic vector bundle (Definition 2.8) on the underlying functor $(\frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}^I)^{op} \rightarrow \text{Vect } X$.

Remark 4.2. One could define a parabolic connection directly by substituting $\text{Vect } X$ by $\text{Con}(X, D)$ in Definition 2.8. This is a priori a stronger requirement since the pseudo-periodicity isomorphism is then assumed to be compatible with the connections (a statement that makes sense as $\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X(-\mathbf{1} \cdot \mathbf{D})$ is naturally endowed with a logarithmic connection, see Lemma 3.7). But the commutativity of diagram (1) shows that this property is in fact automatically realized with our current definition.

If $I' \geq \mathbf{1}$, for each $i \in I$ the induced morphism $(\mathcal{E}_{\frac{I'}{r}})_{|D_i} \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{r}})_{|D_i}$ is compatible with the residues $\text{res}_{|D_i}(\nabla_{\frac{I'}{r}})$ and $\text{res}_{|D_i}(\nabla_{\frac{1}{r}})$. Let us denote by $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ the canonical basis of \mathbb{Z}^I . The morphism above for $I' = \mathbf{1} + e_i$ has a canonical factorization

$$\frac{\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1+e_i}{r}}}{\mathcal{O}_X(-D_i)\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1+e_i}{r}}} \twoheadrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1+e_i}{r}}}{\mathcal{O}_X(-D_i)\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{r}}} \hookrightarrow \frac{\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{r}}}{\mathcal{O}_X(-D_i)\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{r}}}$$

and thus by compatibility of the residue morphisms, the middle term $\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1+e_i}{r}}$ is stable by $\text{res}_{|D_i}(\nabla_{\frac{1}{r}})$, hence we get an induced morphism on the quotient $\frac{\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{r}}}{\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1+e_i}{r}}}$ that we again denote by $\text{res}_{|D_i}(\nabla_{\frac{1}{r}})$.

Definition 4.3. A *strongly parabolic connection* on (X, \mathbf{D}) with weights in $\frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}^I$ is a parabolic connection (\mathcal{E}, ∇) such that for each $i \in I$ the induced morphism $\text{res}_{|D_i}(\nabla_{\frac{1}{r}})$ on $\frac{\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{r}}}{\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1+e_i}{r}}}$ is equal to $\frac{l_i}{r_i} \text{id}$.

The corresponding category will be denoted by $\text{Par Con}_{\frac{1}{r}}^{st}(X, \mathbf{D})$.

4.2 Parabolic connections as sections of the parabolic Atiyah exact sequence

There is a neat interpretation of a parabolic connection within the parabolic world. Namely, let \mathcal{E} be a parabolic bundle. By Lemma 3.13 $P_{(X,D)/k}^1(\mathcal{E})$ admits a natural parabolic structure, and fits into the following parabolic Atiyah exact sequence built componentwise:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega_{X/S}^1(\log(D)) \rightarrow P_{(X,D)/k}^1(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0,$$

Lemma 4.4. *There is a natural bijection between parabolic connections (\mathcal{E}, ∇) with underlying parabolic bundle \mathcal{E} and sections of the parabolic Atiyah exact sequence of \mathcal{E} .*

Proof. As the bijection between logarithmic connections and sections of the logarithmic Atiyah exact sequence (§3.3.3) is functorial in \mathcal{E} , this follows from Lemma 3.11. \square

Corollary 4.5. *There is a natural equivalence of categories between*

- the category $\text{Par Con}_{\frac{1}{r}}(X, \mathbf{D})$ of parabolic connections,
- the category $\text{Par Sec}_{\frac{1}{r}}(X, \mathbf{D})$, whose objects are couples (\mathcal{E}, α) , where \mathcal{E} is a parabolic bundle and α is a section of the parabolic Atiyah exact sequence of \mathcal{E} , with obvious morphisms.

4.3 Reconstruction of the parabolic structure

It turns out that given a strongly parabolic connection (\mathcal{E}, ∇) , the underlying bundle $(\mathcal{E}_0, \nabla_0)$, endowed with its logarithmic connection, enables to reconstruct the parabolic structure. To explain precisely how this is possible, one needs to consider parabolic bundles from a slightly different point of view.

4.3.1 Seshadri's definition

Definition 4.6. Let \mathcal{E} be a parabolic bundle with weights in $\frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}^I$. The *weight filtration* on $\mathcal{E}_{0|D}$ is the filtration indexed by $\frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}^I \cap [0, 1]^I$ given by

$$F_{\frac{1}{r}}^w(\mathcal{E}_{0|D}) = \frac{\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{r}}}{\mathcal{E}_0(-D)}$$

One can introduce a category $\text{Sesh}_{\frac{1}{r}}(X, \mathbf{D})$ whose objects are couples (\mathcal{E}, F) where \mathcal{E} is a vector bundle on X and F is a decreasing filtration on $\mathcal{E}_{0|D}$ indexed by $\frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}^I \cap [0, 1]^I$ such that $F_0\mathcal{E}_{0|D} = \mathcal{E}_{0|D}$ and $F_1\mathcal{E}_{0|D} = 0$. It is clear that the functor $\mathcal{E} \mapsto (\mathcal{E}_{0|D}, F^w)$ enables to see $\text{Par}_{\frac{1}{r}}(X, \mathbf{D})$ as a full subcategory of $\text{Sesh}_{\frac{1}{r}}(X, \mathbf{D})$.

Definition 4.7. Let \mathcal{G} be a sheaf on D , and F a decreasing filtration on \mathcal{G} indexed by $\frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}^I \cap [0, 1]^I$ such that $F_0\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}$ and $F_1\mathcal{G} = 0$. We will say that the filtration is cartesian if for all $\frac{1}{r}$ in $\frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}^I \cap [0, 1]^I$:

$$F_{\frac{1}{r}}(\mathcal{G}) = \bigcap_{i \in I} F_{\frac{l_i}{r_i} e_i}(\mathcal{G})$$

where $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ stands for the canonical basis of \mathbb{Z}^I .

Lemma 4.8. Let \mathcal{E} be a parabolic bundle with weights in $\frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}^I$. The weight filtration F^w on $\mathcal{E}_{0|D}$ is cartesian.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 2.10. □

4.3.2 Connections with semi-simple residues

Definition 4.9. We denote by $\text{Con}_{\frac{1}{r}}^{ss}(X, \mathbf{D})$ the category whose objects are logarithmic connections (\mathcal{E}, ∇) along D such that for each $i \in I$ the residue $\text{res}_{D_i} \nabla$ is semi-simple with eigenvalues in $\frac{1}{r_i}\mathbb{Z} \cap [0, 1[$.

For such a connection, one denotes for each $i \in I$ and each $\frac{l_i}{r_i} \in \frac{1}{r_i}\mathbb{Z} \cap [0, 1[$ by $\mathcal{E}_{|D_i}(\frac{l_i}{r_i})$ the subsheaf corresponding to the eigenvalue $\frac{l_i}{r_i}$ with respect to the residue $\text{res}_{D_i} \nabla$. We get a filtration F^{∇} indexed by $\frac{1}{r_i}\mathbb{Z} \cap [0, 1]$

$$F_{\frac{l_i}{r_i}}^{\nabla}(\mathcal{E}_{|D_i}) = \bigoplus_{l_i \leq m_i < r_i} \mathcal{E}_{|D_i}(\frac{m_i}{r_i})$$

of $\mathcal{E}_{|D_i}$ such that $F_0^{\nabla}(\mathcal{E}_{|D_i}) = \mathcal{E}_{|D_i}$ and $F_1^{\nabla}(\mathcal{E}_{|D_i}) = 0$. By pulling-back along the canonical epimorphism $\mathcal{E}_{|D} \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{|D_i}$ one gets a filtration F^{∇} of \mathcal{E}_D indexed by $\frac{1}{r_i}\mathbb{Z} \cap [0, 1]$ such that $F_0^{\nabla}(\mathcal{E}_{|D}) = \mathcal{E}_{|D}$ and $F_1^{\nabla}(\mathcal{E}_{|D}) = \frac{\mathcal{E}(-D_i)}{\mathcal{E}(-D)}$. This filtration extends to a filtration F^{∇} of \mathcal{E}_D indexed by $\frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}^I \cap [0, 1]^I$ by putting

$$F_{\frac{1}{r}}^{\nabla}(\mathcal{E}_D) = \bigcap_{i \in I} F_{\frac{l_i}{r_i}}^{\nabla}(\mathcal{E}_D) .$$

This filtration is, by construction, cartesian, and verifies $F_0(\mathcal{E}_D) = \mathcal{E}_D$ and $F_1(\mathcal{E}_D) = 0$.

4.3.3 Coincidence of the filtrations

Proposition 4.10. *Let (\mathcal{E}, ∇) be a strongly parabolic connection on (X, \mathbf{D}) with weights in $\frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}^I$. The logarithmic connection $(\mathcal{E}_0, \nabla_0)$ has semi-simple residues with eigenvalues in $\frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}^I \cap [0, 1[^I$ and moreover the filtration of $\mathcal{E}_{0|D}$ associated to ∇_0 coincides with the weight filtration associated to the parabolic bundle \mathcal{E} , that is $F^w = F^{\nabla_0}$.*

In other words, in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 (\mathcal{E}, \nabla) & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & \mathcal{E} & & \\
 & & & & \\
 (\mathcal{E}, \nabla) & \text{Par Con}_{\frac{1}{r}}^{st}(X, \mathbf{D}) \longrightarrow \text{Par}_{\frac{1}{r}}(X, \mathbf{D}) & & \mathcal{E} & \\
 \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \\
 (\mathcal{E}_0, \nabla_0) & \text{Con}_{\frac{1}{r}}^{ss}(X, \mathbf{D}) \longrightarrow \text{Sesh}_{\frac{1}{r}}(X, \mathbf{D}) & & (\mathcal{E}_0, F^w) & \\
 & & & & \\
 (\mathcal{E}, \nabla) & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & (\mathcal{E}, F^{\nabla}) & &
 \end{array}$$

the left hand functor is well defined and the diagram commutes. Before proving Proposition 4.10 we state a consequence:

Corollary 4.11. *Let (\mathcal{E}, ∇) and (\mathcal{E}', ∇') be two strongly parabolic connections on (X, \mathbf{D}) with weights in $\frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}^I$. Then any isomorphism $(\mathcal{E}_0, \nabla_0) \simeq (\mathcal{E}'_0, \nabla'_0)$ lifts uniquely to an isomorphism $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla) \simeq (\mathcal{E}', \nabla')$.*

Proof. If $(\mathcal{E}_0, \nabla_0) \simeq (\mathcal{E}'_0, \nabla'_0)$, then Proposition 4.10 implies that the isomorphism $\mathcal{E}_0 \simeq \mathcal{E}'_0$ lifts to an isomorphism of parabolic bundles $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{E}'$. But since $\mathcal{E}_0 \simeq \mathcal{E}'_0$ is compatible with the connections, the pseudo-periodicity isomorphisms ensure that $\mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{E}'$ is compatible with the connections as well. \square

In order to prove Proposition 4.10, we first recall a well-known lemma.

Lemma 4.12. *Let Y be a scheme, $\phi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'$ a morphism of finite locally free sheaves, and for each $y \in Y$, denote by $\text{rk}_y \phi$ the rank of the $k(y)$ -linear morphism $\phi \otimes k(y) : \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} k(y) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} k(y)$. Then:*

1. *if $\text{coker } \phi$ is locally free, then $y \mapsto \text{rk}_y \phi$ is locally constant,*
2. *if Y is reduced and $y \mapsto \text{rk}_y \phi$ is locally constant then $\text{coker } \phi$ is locally free.*

Proof. Considering the fact that the functor $\cdot \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} k(y)$ is right exact, the first point is clear, and the second point follows from Nakayama's lemma, see for instance [Stacks, Tag 0FWH]. \square

Remark 4.13. If $\phi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'$ a morphism of finite locally free sheaves with locally free cokernel then $\text{im } \phi$ and $\text{ker } \phi$ are also locally free. Indeed if $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'' \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}' \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of quasi-coherent sheaves and \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{E}' are finite locally free, the exact sequence splits locally, hence \mathcal{E}'' is finite locally free as well.

Definition 4.14. If Y is a scheme, $\phi : \mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}'$ is a monomorphism of locally free sheaves, we will say that \mathcal{E} is a *subbundle* of \mathcal{E}' if $\text{coker } \phi$ is a locally free sheaf.

Remark 4.15. As in Remark 4.13, if $\mathcal{E}'' \subset \mathcal{E}' \subset \mathcal{E}$ and \mathcal{E}' is a subbundle of \mathcal{E} , then \mathcal{E}'' is a subbundle of \mathcal{E} if and only if \mathcal{E}'' is a subbundle of \mathcal{E}' .

Lemma 4.16. *Let Y be reduced scheme over a field k , and ϕ be an endomorphism of a finite locally free sheaf \mathcal{E} . Assume that \mathcal{E} admits a filtration by ϕ -stable subbundles $F_0\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E} \supset F_1\mathcal{E} \supset \cdots \supset F_N\mathcal{E} \supset F_{N+1}\mathcal{E} = \{0\}$ such that ϕ induces $\lambda_i \text{id}$ on $\frac{F_i\mathcal{E}}{F_{i+1}\mathcal{E}}$ for $i = 0, \dots, N$, where the $\lambda_i \in k$ are pairwise distinct. Then:*

1. ϕ is semisimple, that is $\mathcal{E} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^N \mathcal{E}(\lambda_i)$, where $\mathcal{E}(\lambda_i)$, the eigen-subsheaf associated to λ_i , is locally free of rank $\text{rk } \frac{F_i\mathcal{E}}{F_{i+1}\mathcal{E}}$,
2. for $i = 0, \dots, N$ it holds moreover that $F_i\mathcal{E} = \bigoplus_{j=i}^N \mathcal{E}(\lambda_j)$.

Proof. One first observes that the second assertion follows from the first, namely if the first point is true then $F_1\mathcal{E}(\lambda_i) = \mathcal{E}(\lambda_i)$ for $i \geq 1$, hence the second point follows by induction on the length N of the filtration.

To prove the first assertion, one notes that it is valid over the spectrum of a field, as $P = (t - \lambda_0) \cdots (t - \lambda_N)$ is a polynomial such that $P(\phi) = 0$. From this, it follows that for $i = 0, \dots, N$, the morphism $\phi - \lambda_i \text{id}$ is of locally constant rank $\text{rk } \mathcal{E} - \text{rk } \frac{F_i\mathcal{E}}{F_{i+1}\mathcal{E}}$, hence according Remark 4.13 $\mathcal{E}(\lambda_i)$ is a subbundle of \mathcal{E} of rank $\text{rk } \frac{F_i\mathcal{E}}{F_{i+1}\mathcal{E}}$. One concludes that the morphism $\bigoplus_{i=0}^N \mathcal{E}(\lambda_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is of locally constant rank $\text{rk } \mathcal{E}$, hence, according to Lemma 4.12, it is an isomorphism. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.10. Lemma 4.8 allows to reduce to the case of a single divisor, that is $\#I = 1$, so we can omit indices. Now [Bor09, Lemme 2.3.11] shows that $\mathcal{E}_{0|D} \supset \frac{\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{r}}}{\mathcal{E}_0(-D)} \supset \cdots \supset \frac{\mathcal{E}_{\frac{r-1}{r}}}{\mathcal{E}_0(-D)} \supset 0$ is a filtration by \mathcal{O}_D -subbundles, hence Lemma 4.16 applies, which concludes the proof. \square

Remark 4.17. In view of Proposition 4.10, it is natural to ask if the functor $\text{ParCon}_{\frac{1}{r}}^{st}(X, \mathbf{D}) \rightarrow \text{Con}_{\frac{1}{r}}^{ss}(X, \mathbf{D})$ given on objects by $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla) \mapsto (\mathcal{E}_0, \nabla_0)$ is essentially surjective. The answer is negative: namely if one starts from an object (\mathcal{E}, ∇) in $\text{Con}_{\frac{1}{r}}^{ss}(X, \mathbf{D})$, the given condition on the residues is too weak to ensure that the filtration F^∇ is stable by ∇ . For an explicit counter-example, one can consider $X = \mathbb{A}^2$, $D = \{0\} \times \mathbb{A}^1$, $r = 2$, $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus 2}$, and ∇ given

by the matrix of 1-forms $\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \frac{dx}{x} & 0 \\ dy & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then one easily checks that the corresponding parabolic vector bundle verifies $\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{2}} = \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{O}_X(-D)$, and as $dy \notin \Omega_X^1(\log D)(-D)$, this submodule is not stable by ∇ .

4.4 From stacky connections to parabolic connections

4.4.1 From stacky logarithmic connections to parabolic connections

Definition 4.18. To each logarithmic connection (\mathcal{F}, ∇) on $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D})$, one associates a parabolic connection $(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \widehat{\nabla})$ on (X, \mathbf{D}) with weights in $\frac{1}{\mathfrak{r}}\mathbb{Z}^I$ in the following way:

- the underlying parabolic vector bundle $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ is the one associated to \mathcal{F} by Definition 2.11,
- if \mathbf{l} belongs to \mathbb{Z}^I , one defines $\widehat{\nabla}_{\frac{1}{\mathfrak{r}}} = \pi_*(\nabla(-\mathbf{l}\mathfrak{D}))$.

Remark 4.19. 1. To define the second part of the data, we have used the natural extension to Deligne-Mumford stacks of the two operations on logarithmic connections met previously for schemes:

- the twist of a logarithmic connection on $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D})$ by a divisor with support in \mathfrak{D} (see 3.7),
- the push-forward of a logarithmic connection on $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D})$ along the log-étale morphism $(\pi, (r_i)_{i \in I}) : (\mathfrak{X}, (\mathfrak{D}_i)_{i \in I}) \rightarrow (X, (D_i)_{i \in I})$ (see Remark 3.8).

2. The fact that the $\widehat{\nabla}_{\frac{1}{\mathfrak{r}}}$ are compatible with themselves is a consequence of Remark 3.6.

Our next task is to show the following:

Theorem 4.20. *A logarithmic connection on (\mathcal{F}, ∇) on $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D})$ is holomorphic if and only if the associated parabolic connection $(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \widehat{\nabla})$ is strongly parabolic.*

The proof is postponed until §4.4.3 and §4.4.4.

4.4.2 Residues and push-forward

We now select an index $h \in I$ ⁶. There is a canonical commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{D}_h & \xrightarrow{j_h} & \mathfrak{X} \\ p_h \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ D_h & \xrightarrow{i_h} & X \end{array}$$

⁶The letter is chosen in order to avoid confusion with the closed immersions.

Lemma 4.21. *Let \mathcal{F} be a vector bundle on \mathfrak{X} . There is a natural exact sequence:*

$$i_h^* \pi_* (\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(-\mathfrak{D}_h)) \rightarrow i_h^* \pi_* \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{c_h} p_{h*} j_h^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$$

Proof. Since \mathcal{F} is locally free, by the projection formula, $j_{h*} j_h^* \mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}} j_{h*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{D}_h}$, so there is an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(-\mathfrak{D}_h) \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow j_{h*} j_h^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$$

As the stack of roots is tame (see [AOV08]), the functor π_* is exact, hence we get a natural exact sequence:

$$i_h^* \pi_* (\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(-\mathfrak{D}_h)) \rightarrow i_h^* \pi_* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow i_h^* \pi_* j_{h*} j_h^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$$

But now $i_h^* \pi_* j_{h*} j_h^* \mathcal{F} \simeq i_h^* i_{h*} p_{h*} j_h^* \mathcal{F} \simeq p_{h*} j_h^* \mathcal{F}$. \square

Lemma 4.22. *Let (\mathcal{F}, ∇) be a logarithmic connection on $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D})$. The canonical epimorphism*

$$c_h : i_h^* \pi_* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow p_{h*} j_h^* \mathcal{F}$$

is compatible with the endomorphisms $\text{res}_{D_h}(\pi_ \nabla)$ on $i_h^* \pi_* \mathcal{F}$ and $\frac{1}{r_h} p_* \text{res}_{\mathfrak{D}_h}(\nabla)$ on $p_{h*} j_h^* \mathcal{F}$, in other words:*

$$c_h \circ \text{res}_{D_h}(\pi_* \nabla) = \left(\frac{1}{r_h} p_* \text{res}_{\mathfrak{D}_h}(\nabla) \right) \circ c_h .$$

Proof. This is equivalent to the commutativity of the natural diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_* \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{\pi_* \nabla} & \pi_* (\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}} \Omega_{\mathfrak{X}}^1(\log(\mathfrak{D}))) \xrightarrow{\pi_* (\text{id} \otimes \text{res})} \pi_* j_{h*} j_h^* \mathcal{F} = i_{h*} p_{h*} j_h^* \mathcal{F} \\ \text{id} \uparrow & & \uparrow \wr \\ \pi_* \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{\pi_* \nabla} & \pi_* \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^1(\log(D)) \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \text{res}} i_{h*} i_h^* \pi_* \mathcal{F} \end{array}$$

The left-hand square commutes by definition of $\pi_* \nabla$ (Remark 3.8). The right-hand square being \mathcal{O}_X -linear and independent of ∇ , the commutativity is also easily checked. Namely, by adjunction, it is equivalent to the commutativity of

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}} \Omega_{\mathfrak{X}}^1(\log(\mathfrak{D})) & \longrightarrow & j_{h*} j_h^* \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}} j_{h*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{D}_h} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \times r_h & & \uparrow \times r_h \\ \pi^* \pi_* \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}} \pi^* \Omega_X^1(\log(D)) & \longrightarrow & \pi^* i_{h*} i_h^* \pi_* \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \pi^* \pi_* \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}} \pi^* i_{h*} \mathcal{O}_{D_h} \end{array}$$

so we are reduced to the case where $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$. But the result is then clear, since $r_h \mathfrak{D}_h = \pi^* D_h$: if t_h is a local equation of \mathfrak{D}_h , then $s_h = t_h^{r_h}$ is a local equation of $\pi^* D_h$, and $\frac{ds_h}{s_h} = r_h \frac{dt_h}{t_h}$, which proves the commutativity. \square

4.4.3 Proof of the ‘only if’ direction of Theorem 4.20

Let (\mathcal{F}, ∇) a holomorphic connection on $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D})$, and as usual let $(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \widehat{\nabla})$ be the associated parabolic connection. Let $\mathbf{1} \in \mathbb{Z}^I$. We apply Lemma 4.22 to the logarithmic connection $(\mathcal{F}(-\mathbf{1}\mathfrak{D}), \nabla(-\mathbf{1}\mathfrak{D}))$. It shows that the morphism c_h identifies with

$$i_h^* \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\frac{\mathbf{1}}{r}} \rightarrow i_h^* \left(\frac{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\frac{\mathbf{1}}{r}}}{\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\frac{\mathbf{1}+\epsilon_h}{r}}} \right)$$

So the endomorphism $\text{res}_{D_h}(\widehat{\nabla}_{\frac{\mathbf{1}}{r}}) = \text{res}_{D_h}(\pi_* \nabla(-\mathbf{1}\mathfrak{D}))$ of the left hand side induces the endomorphism $\frac{1}{r_h} p_* \text{res}_{\mathfrak{D}_h}(\nabla(-\mathbf{1}\mathfrak{D}))$ on the right hand side. But as (\mathcal{F}, ∇) is holomorphic, Definition 3.7 shows that $\text{res}_{\mathfrak{D}_h}(\nabla(-\mathbf{1}\mathfrak{D})) = l_h \text{id}$. Hence the endomorphism induced by $\text{res}_{D_h}(\widehat{\nabla}_{\frac{\mathbf{1}}{r}})$ is $\frac{l_h}{r_h} \text{id}$. Since this is true for any $\mathbf{1} \in \mathbb{Z}^I$ and any $h \in I$, the parabolic connection $(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \widehat{\nabla})$ is in fact strongly parabolic.

4.4.4 Proof of the ‘if’ direction of Theorem 4.20

Let (\mathcal{F}, ∇) a logarithmic connection on $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D})$, we assume that the associated parabolic connection $(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \widehat{\nabla})$ is a strongly parabolic connection. We have to show that $\text{res}_{\mathfrak{D}_h} \nabla = 0$ for all $h \in I$.

We first reduce to the case where $\#I = 1$. To do so, we observe more generally that if \mathfrak{D} is a Cartier divisor on a Deligne-Mumford stack \mathfrak{X} , its complement $\mathfrak{U} = \mathfrak{X} \setminus \mathfrak{D}$ is scheme-theoretically dense ([Stacks, Tag 01RE]), that is, if $\iota : \mathfrak{U} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is the open immersion, then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}} \hookrightarrow \iota_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{U}}$. From the projection formula, we get that for any locally free sheaf \mathcal{F} on \mathfrak{X} , the morphism $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \iota_* \iota^* \mathcal{F}$ is injective.

It follows that to prove that $\text{res}_{\mathfrak{D}_h} \nabla = 0$ as an endomorphism of $\mathcal{F}|_{\mathfrak{D}_h}$, one can check it on $\mathfrak{D}_h \setminus (\cup_{h' \in I, h' \neq h} \mathfrak{D}'_{h'} \cap \mathfrak{D}_h)$ (it follows from the proof of [Stacks, Tag 00NQ] that $(\cup_{h' \in I, h' \neq h} \mathfrak{D}'_{h'} \cap \mathfrak{D}_h)$ is a sncd in \mathfrak{D}_h). In order to do so, we can work on $\mathfrak{X} \setminus (\cup_{h' \in I, h' \neq h} \mathfrak{D}'_{h'})$ (where \mathfrak{X} stands again for our stack of roots), hence we can assume that $\#I = 1$.

So we can forget about indices, and we will thus work with the following notations.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{D} & \xrightarrow{j} & \mathfrak{X} \\ p \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ D & \xrightarrow{i} & X \end{array}$$

It is clear that $\mathfrak{D} \rightarrow D$ is a μ_r -gerbe, but we can actually say a bit more.

Definition 4.23. If S a scheme, and \mathcal{L} is an invertible sheaf on S , we denote by $\sqrt[r]{\mathcal{L}/S}$ the *gerbe of r -th roots of \mathcal{L}* , that is, the gerbe whose objects over $f : S' \rightarrow S$ are invertible sheaves \mathcal{M} on S' endowed with an isomorphism $f^* \mathcal{L} \simeq \mathcal{M}^{\otimes r}$.

Lemma 4.24. *Let X be a scheme, D an effective Cartier divisor on X , $r \geq 1$ an integer, and $\mathfrak{X} = \sqrt[r]{D/X}$. We denote by \mathfrak{D} the canonical Cartier divisor on \mathfrak{X} and by $\mathcal{N}_D = \mathcal{O}_X(D)|_D$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{D}} = \mathcal{O}_X(\mathfrak{D})|_{\mathfrak{D}}$ the conormal sheaves. Then there is a canonical D -isomorphism $\mathfrak{D} \simeq \sqrt[r]{\mathcal{N}_D/D}$ sending the canonical r -th root of \mathcal{N}_D to $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{D}}$.*

Proof. First note that the pull-back of the closed immersion $B\mathbb{G}_m \hookrightarrow [\mathbb{A}^1|\mathbb{G}_m]$ defined by $\mathcal{L} \mapsto (\mathcal{L}, 0)$ by $(\mathcal{O}_X(D), s_D) : X \rightarrow [\mathbb{A}^1|\mathbb{G}_m]$ is just $i : D \hookrightarrow X$, in other words in the following natural commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \mathfrak{D} & \longrightarrow & B\mathbb{G}_m \\
 & \swarrow & \downarrow & & \swarrow \\
 \mathfrak{X} & \longrightarrow & [\mathbb{A}^1|\mathbb{G}_m] & & B\mathbb{G}_m \\
 & \downarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & D & \longrightarrow & B\mathbb{G}_m \\
 & \swarrow & \downarrow & & \swarrow \\
 X & \longrightarrow & [\mathbb{A}^1|\mathbb{G}_m] & & B\mathbb{G}_m
 \end{array}$$

the bottom face is cartesian. For the same reason the top face is also cartesian, and since the front face is cartesian by Definition 2.1, the back face is cartesian as well. \square

This description of $p : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow D$ is useful as the representation theory of μ_r -gerbes associated to an invertible sheaf is fairly simple:

Lemma 4.25. *Let S be a scheme, \mathcal{L} be an invertible sheaf on S , $r \geq 1$ be an integer, and $p : \mathfrak{G} = \sqrt[r]{\mathcal{L}/S} \rightarrow S$ be the associated μ_r -gerbe. We denote by \mathcal{N} the canonical r -th root of \mathcal{L} on \mathfrak{G} . If \mathcal{G} is a locally free sheaf on \mathfrak{G} , then the canonical morphism:*

$$\bigoplus_{l=0}^{r-1} p^* p_* (\mathcal{G} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{G}}} \mathcal{N}^{\vee \otimes l}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{G}}} \mathcal{N}^{\otimes l} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. This property is Zariski-local on S , so we can assume $S = \text{Spec}(R)$ and that \mathcal{L} admits a r -th root on S , in other words there is a S -isomorphism $B_S \mu_r \simeq \mathfrak{G}$. But now the category of quasi-coherent sheaves on \mathfrak{G} is equivalent to the category of $\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{r}$ -graded R -modules, and the isomorphism boils down to the following obvious isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{l=0}^{r-1} (M \otimes_R R[-l])_0 \otimes_R R[l] \simeq M .$$

Namely, as we assume that M is locally free, we have that $M \otimes_R R[-l] \simeq \text{Hom}(R[l], M) = M_{-l}$, hence the result. \square

Corollary 4.26. *With notations of Lemma 4.25, if $\phi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$ is a morphism between two locally free sheaves on \mathfrak{E} , then $\phi = 0$ if and only if*

$$p_*(\phi \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{N}^{\vee \otimes l}}) = 0$$

for $l \in \{0, \dots, r-1\}$.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Lemma 4.25. \square

We can now end the proof of Theorem 4.20.

Let (\mathcal{F}, ∇) a logarithmic connection on $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D})$, we assume that the associated parabolic connection is a strongly parabolic connection. As we have seen in §4.4.3, this assumption implies that, for each $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, the residue $\text{res}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\pi_*(\nabla(-l\mathfrak{D})))$ induces $\frac{l}{r} \text{id}$ on the right-hand side of the epimorphism

$$c : i^* \pi_* \mathcal{F}(-l\mathfrak{D}) \rightarrow p_* j^* \mathcal{F}(-l\mathfrak{D}).$$

From this and Lemma 4.22, it follows that $p_*(\text{res}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\nabla(-l\mathfrak{D}))) = l \text{id}$. But since $\text{res}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\nabla(-l\mathfrak{D})) = \text{res}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\nabla) \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{N}_D^{\vee \otimes l}} + l \text{id}$, we get that $p_*(\text{res}_{\mathfrak{D}}(\nabla) \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{N}_D^{\vee \otimes l}}) = 0$. Since this is true for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}$, Lemma 4.24 and Corollary 4.26 enable to conclude that $\text{res}_{\mathfrak{D}} \nabla = 0$.

4.5 From parabolic connections to stacky connections

4.5.1 From parabolic connections to logarithmic stacky connections

Lemma 4.27. *Let \mathfrak{X} be a Deligne-Mumford stack over k , and let \mathfrak{D} be a sncd divisor. Let $(\mathcal{F}_\cdot, \nabla_\cdot) : J \rightarrow \text{Con}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D})$ be a diagram such that the colimit $\mathcal{F} = \varinjlim_J \mathcal{F}_j$ exists in $\text{Vect}(\mathfrak{X})$. Then there exists a unique logarithmic connection ∇ on \mathcal{F} such that for each $j \in J$ the morphism $\mathcal{F}_j \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is compatible with ∇_j and ∇ .*

Proof. This follows from (the stacky version of) Corollary 3.12. Namely as $\varinjlim_J \mathcal{F}_j \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}} \Omega_{\mathfrak{X}/k}^1(\log(\mathfrak{D})) \simeq \mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}} \Omega_{\mathfrak{X}/k}^1(\log(\mathfrak{D}))$, the natural morphism

$$\varinjlim_J P_{(X,D)/k}^1(\mathcal{F}_j) \rightarrow P_{(X,D)/k}^1(\mathcal{F})$$

is an isomorphism as well. \square

Remark 4.28. As coends are a special type of colimits (see [Mac71, IX §5 Proposition 1]), Lemma 4.27 holds if we change $(\mathcal{F}_\cdot, \nabla_\cdot) : J \rightarrow \text{Con}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D})$ by a functor of mixed variance $(\mathcal{F}_{\cdot, \cdot}, \nabla_{\cdot, \cdot}) : J^{op} \times J \rightarrow \text{Con}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D})$ and the colimit by the coend $\mathcal{F} = \int^J \mathcal{F}_{j, j}$.

To a parabolic connection $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla_\cdot)$ is associated a functor of mixed variance:

$$\left(\frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}^I\right)^{op} \times \frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}^I \longrightarrow \text{Con}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D})$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{r}, \frac{1}{r'}\right) \longmapsto \left(\pi^* \mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{r}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(I'\mathfrak{D}), \pi^* \nabla_{\frac{1}{r}}(I'\mathfrak{D})\right).$$

Now Definition 2.11 and Remark 4.28 show that the following definition makes sense:

Definition 4.29. Let (\mathcal{E}, ∇) be an object in $\text{Par Con}_{\frac{1}{r}}(X, \mathbf{D})$. We will denote by $\widehat{\nabla}$ the unique connection on the vector bundle $\widehat{\mathcal{E}} = \int^{\frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}^I} \pi^* \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\cdot r\mathfrak{D})$ compatible with the given connections on each of the term of the coend.

4.5.2 The tensor equivalence

We first explain how to endow $\text{Par Con}_{\frac{1}{r}}(X, \mathbf{D})$ with a natural tensor product. In [Bor09, §2.1.3], the first author described the tensor product on category $\text{Par}_{\frac{1}{r}}(X, \mathbf{D})$ as given by the convolution formula:

$$(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E}')_{\frac{1}{r}} = \int^{\frac{m}{r} \in \frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}^I} \mathcal{E}_{\frac{m}{r}} \otimes \mathcal{E}'_{\frac{1-m}{r}}$$

If we start from two parabolic connections (\mathcal{E}, ∇) and (\mathcal{E}', ∇') , each term $\mathcal{E}_{\frac{m}{r}} \otimes \mathcal{E}'_{\frac{1-m}{r}}$ is endowed with a tensor product logarithmic connection $\nabla_{\frac{m}{r}} \otimes \nabla'_{\frac{1-m}{r}}$. Since these connections are compatible when $\frac{m}{r}$ varies in $\frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}^I$, Lemma 4.27 shows that they give rise to a natural logarithmic connection on $(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{E}')_{\frac{1}{r}}$ that we denote by $(\nabla \otimes \nabla')_{\frac{1}{r}}$. The functoriality in $\frac{1}{r}$ is also clear, so we have lifted the tensor product from $\text{Par}_{\frac{1}{r}}(X, \mathbf{D})$ to $\text{Par Con}_{\frac{1}{r}}(X, \mathbf{D})$.

We now prove our main result, that is, the correspondence between strongly parabolic connections and holomorphic connections on the stack of roots. This can be seen as a de Rham version of the results for vector bundles in [Bor07; Bor09]. However the same strategy of proof does not apply: namely holomorphic connections are not locally sum of connections of rank 1. Our proof is based mainly on Theorem 4.20 and on the following larger equivalence of categories, which rather uses the aforementioned results.

Proposition 4.30. *The functors $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla) \mapsto (\widehat{\mathcal{E}}, \widehat{\nabla})$ and $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla) \mapsto (\widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \widehat{\nabla})$ are inverse tensor equivalences of categories between $\text{Par Con}_{\frac{1}{r}}(X, \mathbf{D})$ and $\text{Con}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D})$.*

Proof. According to Corollary 3.12 (resp. Corollary 4.5) the category $\text{Con}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D})$ (resp $\text{Par Con}_{\frac{1}{r}}(X, \mathbf{D})$) is equivalent to the category $\text{Sec}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D})$ (resp. $\text{Par Sec}_{\frac{1}{r}}(X, \mathbf{D})$).

It is thus sufficient to show that the functors $\mathcal{E} \mapsto \widehat{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ (Definition 2.11) induce inverse equivalences between $\text{Sec}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D})$ and $\text{Par Sec}_{\frac{1}{r}}(X, \mathbf{D})$.

Let \mathcal{F} be a vector bundle on \mathfrak{X} . The projection formula shows that the natural morphism $P_{(X, D)/k}^1(\pi_* \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \pi_* P_{(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D})/k}^1(\mathcal{F})$ is an isomorphism. From this and Lemma 3.13 it follows that the functor $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \widehat{\mathcal{F}}$ sends the logarithmic

Atiyah exact sequence of \mathcal{F} to the parabolic Atiyah exact sequence of $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$. Hence the result follows from Theorem 2.12.

The fact that these equivalences preserve tensor products follows from Fubini's formula for coends, see [Bor07, §3.4.4]. \square

Theorem 4.31. *The functors $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla) \mapsto (\widehat{\mathcal{E}}, \widehat{\nabla})$ and $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla) \mapsto (\widehat{\mathcal{F}}, \widehat{\nabla})$ are inverse tensor equivalences of categories between $\text{Par Con}_{\frac{1}{r}}^{\text{st}}(X, \mathbf{D})$ and $\text{Con}(\mathfrak{X})$.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 4.30 and Theorem 4.20. \square

Remark 4.32. Let (\mathcal{E}, ∇) be a strongly parabolic connection. The theorem shows that the natural connection on the vector bundle $\widehat{\mathcal{E}} = \int^{\frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}^I} \pi^* \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbf{rD})$ is holomorphic. But, in most cases, the connection $\pi^* \nabla_{\frac{1}{r}}(\mathbf{1D})$ on an individual term $\pi^* \mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{r}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathbf{1D})$ is not holomorphic (this is already true for the simplest example of (\mathcal{O}_X, d)).

Corollary 4.33. *Let (\mathcal{F}, ∇) and (\mathcal{F}', ∇') be two holomorphic connections on \mathfrak{X} . Then any isomorphism $(\pi_* \mathcal{F}, \pi_* \nabla) \simeq (\pi_* \mathcal{F}', \pi_* \nabla')$ lifts uniquely to an isomorphism $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla) \simeq (\mathcal{F}', \nabla')$.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 4.31 and Corollary 4.11. \square

Remark 4.34. The corresponding statement for logarithmic connections is false. Namely let us assume for simplicity that $\#I = 1$. Let $\omega \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{X}, \Omega_{\mathfrak{X}/k}^1(\log(\mathbf{D})))$, and $0 \leq l < r$. The morphism $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(l\mathbf{D})$ becomes an isomorphism after applying π_* ([Bor07, Lemme 3.11]) and is compatible with the connections $d + \omega$ (resp. $(d + \omega)(l\mathbf{D})$) on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(l\mathbf{D})$), see Remark 3.6. We deduce that $(\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(l\mathbf{D})), \pi_*(d + \omega)(l\mathbf{D})) = (\mathcal{O}_X, d + \omega)$ is independent of l , where we now see ω as an element of $\Gamma(X, \Omega_{X/k}^1(\log(D)))$.

4.6 The case of λ -connections

We fix λ in the base field k . Let us discuss briefly what happens more generally for λ -connections, that is pairs (\mathcal{E}, ∇) , where \mathcal{E} is a vector bundle and $\nabla : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega^1(\log D)$ is k -linear morphism verifying the λ -Leibniz rule $\nabla(fs) = f\nabla(s) + \lambda df \otimes s$. Such a connection can be twisted by a divisor B with support in D and $\text{res}_{D_i}(\nabla(B)) = (\text{res}_{D_i}(\nabla))(B) - \lambda\mu_i \text{id}$, where μ_i is the valuation of B at D_i .

There is an obvious notion of a parabolic λ -connection generalizing Definition 4.1, one just replaces connections by λ -connections. A parabolic λ -connection (\mathcal{E}, ∇) on (X, \mathbf{D}) with weights in $\frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}^I$ is strongly parabolic if for each $i \in I$ the morphism $\text{res}_{|D_i}(\nabla_{\frac{1}{r}})$ induces $\lambda \frac{l_i}{r_i} \text{id}$ on $\frac{\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{r}}}{\mathcal{E}_{\frac{1}{r} + e_i}}$.

It is clear that Theorem 4.20 and Theorem 4.31 hold for λ -connections, with the same proofs. The reason we have not written the article at this level of generality is that the only real new content is for $\lambda = 0$, that is, parabolic Higgs

bundles, a case already known from [BMW13]. Our choice of the term ‘strongly parabolic connection’ is motivated by the case of parabolic Higgs bundles.

Finally, if (\mathcal{E}, ∇) is a strongly parabolic λ -Higgs bundle, then it is clear from the definition that $\text{res}_{|D_i}(\nabla_0)$ is nilpotent. Moreover, similarly to Proposition 4.10, the Higgs field ∇_0 should enable to reconstruct the parabolic structure.

5 Towards the log-Kummer algebraic fundamental group

In this part, we assume that k is a field of characteristic 0, and use the notations of the log-smooth context (§1.5.3).

5.1 Curvature of a parabolic connection

The usual definition of curvature admits a straightforward transposition to the parabolic context:

Definition 5.1. Let $(\mathcal{E}, \nabla) \in \text{Par Con}_{\frac{1}{r}}(X, \mathbf{D})$ be a parabolic connection on (X, \mathbf{D}) . Its curvature $C_{(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)}$ is defined as the composite morphism:

$$C_{(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)} : \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \Omega_{X/k}^1(\log(D)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \nabla} \Omega_{X/k}^2(\log(D)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{E} .$$

The parabolic connection is integrable if $C_{(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)} = 0$.

Remark 5.2. 1. As usual $C_{(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)}$ is in fact \mathcal{O}_X -linear.

2. By definition (\mathcal{E}, ∇) is integrable if it is componentwise.

3. If (\mathcal{F}, ∇) is the logarithmic connection on the stack of roots $\sqrt[r]{(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{s})/\overline{X}}$ associated to (\mathcal{E}, ∇) (see Proposition 4.30) then $C_{(\mathcal{E}, \nabla)}$ corresponds to the curvature $C_{(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)}$ via the correspondence of Theorem 2.12.

5.2 Algebraic fundamental groups of Deligne-Mumford stacks

Proposition 5.3. *Let \mathfrak{X}/k be a smooth Deligne-Mumford stack. The category $\text{Int Con}(\mathfrak{X})$ of integrable holomorphic connections on \mathfrak{X}/k is tannakian.*

Proof. The usual proof for schemes applies to Deligne-Mumford stacks as well: see for instance [Saa72, p. VI 1.2]. \square

Corollary 5.4. *The category $\text{Int Par Con}_{\frac{1}{r}}^{\text{st}}(X, \mathbf{D})$ of integrable strongly parabolic connections with weights in $\frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z}^I$ is tannakian.*

Proof. According to Theorem 4.31 and Remark 5.2, the category $\text{Int Par Con}_{\frac{1}{r}}(X, \mathbf{D})$ is equivalent as a tensor category to the category $\text{Int Con}(\sqrt[r]{(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{s})/\overline{X}})$, hence the result follows from Proposition 2.4 and Proposition 5.3. \square

Proposition 5.5. *Let $x \in X(k) \setminus D(k)$ and $\pi_{\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{r}}^{alg}(X, x)$ be the fundamental group of the Tannaka category $\text{Int Par Con}_{\frac{1}{\mathbf{r}}}^{st}(X, \mathbf{D})$ based at x . Fix an affine algebraic group G/k . Then there is a one to one correspondence between:*

- *morphisms $\pi_{\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{r}}^{alg}(X, x) \rightarrow G$,*
- *triples $(T \rightarrow \sqrt[\mathbf{r}]{(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{s})/X}, t, \nabla)$ where $T \rightarrow \sqrt[\mathbf{r}]{(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{s})/X}$ is a G -torsor, $t \in T(k)$ is a lifting of x , and ∇ is an integrable connection on $T \rightarrow \sqrt[\mathbf{r}]{(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{s})/X}$.*

Proof. It is classical that G -torsors over $\sqrt[\mathbf{r}]{(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{s})/X}$ endowed with an integrable connection correspond to tensor functors $\text{Rep}_k(G) \rightarrow \text{Int Con}(\sqrt[\mathbf{r}]{(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{s})/X})$, so the result follows from Tannaka duality, Theorem 4.31 and Remark 5.2. \square

5.3 A candidate for the log-Kummer algebraic fundamental group

Let $(X, (D_i)_{i \in I})$ be a log-scheme, in the restricted set-up described §3.3.1. Let $x \in X(k) \setminus D(k)$. We can define:

$$\pi_{\mathbf{D}}^{alg}(X, x) = \varprojlim_{\mathbf{r}} \pi_{\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{r}}^{alg}(X, x).$$

This is the Tannaka group of the category

$$\text{Int Par Con}^{st}(X, \mathbf{D}) = \varinjlim_{\mathbf{r}} \text{Int Par Con}_{\frac{1}{\mathbf{r}}}^{st}(X, \mathbf{D}).$$

Assume now that G is an algebraic group of finite type over k . Then Proposition 5.5 suggests that there is a one to one correspondence between:

- *morphisms $\pi_{\mathbf{D}}^{alg}(X, x) \rightarrow G$,*
- *triples $(T \rightarrow \sqrt[\infty]{(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{s})/X}, t, \nabla)$ where $T \rightarrow \sqrt[\infty]{(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{s})/X}$ is a G -torsor, $t \in T(k)$ is a lifting of x , and ∇ is an integrable connection on $T \rightarrow \sqrt[\infty]{(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{s})/X}$.*

Here

$$\sqrt[\infty]{(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{s})/X} = \varprojlim_{\mathbf{r}} \sqrt[\mathbf{r}]{(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{s})/X}$$

is a pro-algebraic stack called the infinite root stack in [TV18]. This works hints on the other hand that G -torsors on $\sqrt[\infty]{(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{s})/X}$ for the étale topology correspond to G -torsors on the log scheme $(X, (D_i)_{i \in I})$ for the Kummer-étale topology. So we think that the group $\pi_{\mathbf{D}}^{alg}(X, x)$ might deserve the name of log-Kummer algebraic fundamental group of $(X, (D_i)_{i \in I})$.

References

- [AOV08] Dan Abramovich, Martin Olsson, and Angelo Vistoli. “Tame stacks in positive characteristic”. In: *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 58.4 (2008), pp. 1057–1091. ISSN: 0373-0956. URL: http://aif.cedram.org/item?id=AIF_2008__58_4.
- [Bis97] Indranil Biswas. “Parabolic bundles as orbifold bundles”. In: *Duke Math. J.* 88.2 (1997), pp. 305–325. ISSN: 0012-7094. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094>.
- [BK09] Jean-Benoit Bost and Klaus Kunnemann. “Hermitian vector bundles and extension groups on arithmetic schemes II. The arithmetic Atiyah extension”. In: *Astérisque* 327 (2009), 361–424 (2010). ISSN: 0303-1179.
- [BLR90] Siegfried Bosch, Werner Lütkebohmert, and Michel Raynaud. *Néron models*. Vol. 21. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990, pp. x+325. ISBN: 3-540-50587-3. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642>.
- [BLS16] Daniel Bergh, Valery A. Lunts, and Olaf M. Schnürer. “Geometricity for derived categories of algebraic stacks”. In: *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* 22.4 (2016), pp. 2535–2568. ISSN: 1022-1824. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00029-016>.
- [BMW12] Indranil Biswas, Souradeep Majumder, and Michael Lennox Wong. “Root stacks, principal bundles and connections”. In: *Bull. Sci. Math.* 136.4 (2012), pp. 369–398. ISSN: 0007-4497. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bulsci.20>.
- [BMW13] Indranil Biswas, Souradeep Majumder, and Michael Lennox Wong. “Parabolic Higgs bundles and Γ -Higgs bundles”. In: *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* 95.3 (2013), pp. 315–328. ISSN: 1446-7887. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S14467887130003>.
- [BO78] Pierre Berthelot and Arthur Ogus. *Notes on crystalline cohomology*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J.; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1978, pp. vi+243. ISBN: 0-691-08218-9.
- [Bor07] Niels Borne. “Fibrés paraboliques et champ des racines”. In: *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 16 (2007), Art. ID rnm049, 38. ISSN: 1073-7928. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnm049>.
- [Bor09] Niels Borne. “Sur les représentations du groupe fondamental d’une variété privée d’un diviseur à croisements normaux simples”. In: *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 58.1 (2009), pp. 137–180. ISSN: 0022-2518. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1512/iumj.2009.58.3734>.
- [BV12] Niels Borne and Angelo Vistoli. “Parabolic sheaves on logarithmic schemes”. In: *Adv. Math.* 231.3-4 (2012), pp. 1327–1363. ISSN: 0001-8708. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2012.06.015>.
- [DP19] Ron Donagi and Tony Pantev. *Parabolic Hecke eigensheaves*. 2019. arXiv: 1910.02357 [math.AG].
- [EV92] Hélène Esnault and Eckart Viehweg. *Lectures on vanishing theorems*. Vol. 20. DMV Seminar. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1992, pp. vi+164. ISBN: 3-7643-2822-3. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-0348-8600-0>.

- [GM71] Alexander Grothendieck and Jacob P. Murre. *The tame fundamental group of a formal neighbourhood of a divisor with normal crossings on a scheme*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 208. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1971, pp. viii+133.
- [IS07] Jaya N. N. Iyer and Carlos T. Simpson. “A relation between the parabolic Chern characters of the de Rham bundles”. In: *Math. Ann.* 338.2 (2007), pp. 347–383. ISSN: 0025-5831. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-006-0078-7>.
- [Kat89] Kazuya Kato. “Logarithmic structures of Fontaine-Illusie”. In: *Algebraic analysis, geometry, and number theory (Baltimore, MD, 1988)*. Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD, 1989, pp. 191–224.
- [LSS13] Frank Loray, Masa-Hiko Saito, and Carlos Simpson. “Foliations on the moduli space of rank two connections on the projective line minus four points”. In: *Geometric and differential Galois theories*. Vol. 27. Sémin. Congr. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2013, pp. 117–170.
- [Mac71] Saunders MacLane. *Categories for the working mathematician*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 5. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1971, pp. ix+262.
- [MO05] Kenji Matsuki and Martin Olsson. “Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing as Kodaira vanishing for stacks”. In: *Math. Res. Lett.* 12.2-3 (2005), pp. 207–217. ISSN: 1073-2780. URL: <https://doi.org/10.4310/MRL.2005.v12.n2.a6>.
- [Ols07] Martin C. Olsson. “Crystalline cohomology of algebraic stacks and Hyodo-Kato cohomology”. In: *Astérisque* 316 (2007), 412 pp. (2008). ISSN: 0303-1179.
- [Ols16] Martin Olsson. *Algebraic spaces and stacks*. Vol. 62. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2016, pp. xi+298. ISBN: 978-1-4704-2798-6. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1090/coll/062>.
- [Saa72] Neantro Saavedra Rivano. *Catégories Tannakiennes*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 265. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972, pp. ii+418.
- [Sim90] Carlos T. Simpson. “Harmonic bundles on noncompact curves”. In: *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 3.3 (1990), pp. 713–770. ISSN: 0894-0347. URL: <https://doi.org/10.2307/1990935>.
- [Stacks] The Stacks Project Authors. *Stacks Project*. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>. 2021.
- [TV18] Mattia Talpo and Angelo Vistoli. “Infinite root stacks and quasi-coherent sheaves on logarithmic schemes”. In: *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) 116.5 (2018), pp. 1187–1243. ISSN: 0024-6115. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1112/plms.12100>.
- [Yok93] Kôji Yokogawa. “Compactification of moduli of parabolic sheaves and moduli of parabolic Higgs sheaves”. In: *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* 33.2 (1993), pp. 451–504. ISSN: 0023-608X.