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#### Abstract

We study the Hamiltonian dynamics of a spaceship in the background of Alcubierre and Gödel metrics. We derive the Hamiltonian vector fields governing the system evolution, construct and discuss related recursion operators generating the constants of motion. Besides, we characterize relevant master symmetries.
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## 1 Introduction

In 1949, Gödel [15] found a solution of the Einstein equations corresponding to a homogeneous mass distribution that rotates at each point of the space [13]. This distribution of matter causes unusual effects, such as the existence of closed timelike curves (CTCs). However, Gödel's metric has the advantage that it is rather of compact form and most calculations can be carried out analytically [20]. The Gödel solution makes it apparent that general relativity permits solutions with closed time-like worldlines, even when the metric possesses a local Lorentzian character that ensures an inherited regular chronology, and therefore, the local validation of the causality principle [21]. In recent decades, the study of CTCs attracted the attention of several authors (see e.g., [3, 4, 13, 20, 21, 29]). In particular, in 2004, Kajari et al. presented exact expressions for the Sagnac effect of Gödel Universe. In their work, they proposed a formulation of the Sagnac time delay in terms of invariant
physical quantities and showed that this result is very close to the analogous formula of the Sagnac time delay of a rotating coordinate system in Minkowski spacetime.

Moreover, it is known that in general relativity, faster-than-light (FTL) speed is only forbidden locally [13]. This is not as exotic as it might seem at first glance. For instance, the expansion of the Universe can make that two distant galaxies move at FTL speed between them, while each one is moving locally inside its light cone. The opposite might be possible too: if the spacetime were contracting fast enough, each galaxy were moving near the speed of light locally (inside its light cone) in opposite directions, but globally both were getting closer. With these considerations in mind, Alcubierre introduced in 1994 [2] the so-called warp drive metric (WDM), within the framework of general relativity, which allows in principle for superluminal motion, that is, FTL travel [12, [13]. This Alcubierre's idea consists to create in the front of an object (a spaceship for example), a spacetime contraction, and in the back, a spacetime dilation. Thus, the contraction will pull the object forward, and the dilation will push the object forward too [13]. Locally, the object will be inside its light cone, but due to this spacetime manipulation, it would move FTL as compared with $c$, the speed of light in flat-spacetime vacuum. The objet is within the so-called warp bubble. In this way, the objet can travel at arbitrarily high speeds, without violating the laws of special and general relativity, or other known physical laws [12]. Following Alcubierre's idea, many investigations were done (see e.g. [12, 13]).

In addition, in the last few decades, there was a renewed interest in completely integrable Hamiltonian systems (IHS), the concept of which goes back to Liouville in 1897 [23] and Poincaré in 1899 [26]. In short, IHS are defined as nonlinear differential equations admitting a Hamiltonian description and possessing sufficiently many constants of motion so that they can be integrated by quadratures [11. Many of these systems obey Hamiltonian dynamics with respect to two compatible symplectic structures [24], [14], [33], permitting a geometrical interpretation of the so-called recursion operator [22]. A description of integrability working both for systems with finitely many degrees of freedom and for field theory can be given in terms of invariant, diagonalizable mixed ( 1,1 )-tensor field, having bidimensional eigenspaces and vanishing Nijenhuis torsion. One of powerful methods of describing IHS with involutive Hamiltonian functions or constants of motion uses the recursion operator admitting a vanishing Nijenhuis torsion. In 2015, Takeuchi constructed recursion operators of Hamiltonian vector fields of geodesic flows for some Riemannian and Minkowski metrics [32], and obtained related constants of motion. In his work, he used five particular solutions of the Einstein equation in the Schwarzschild, Reissner-Nordström, Kerr, Kerr-Newman, and FLRW metrics, and constructed recursion operators inducing the complete integrability of the Hamiltonian functions. In 2019, we investigated the same problem in a noncommutative Minkowski phase space and the Kepler problem in a deformed phase space, and obtained associated constants of motion, [17, [18].

Since the work by Magri, the integrability associated with bi-Hamiltonian structures [24] became one of the most efficient methods used for the integrability of evolution equations in both finite and infinite dimensional dynamical systems [22, 30]. When a completely integrable Hamiltonian system admits a bi-Hamiltonian construction, one can generate infinite hierarchies of conserved quantities using the construction by Oevel [25] based on scaling invariances and master symmetries [10, 31]. In 1997 and 1999, Smirnov [30, 31] formulated a constructive method of transforming a completely integrable Hamiltonian system, in Liou-
ville's sense, into Magri-Morosi-Gel'fand-Dorfman's (MMGD) bi-Hamiltonian form. In 2005, Rañada [27] proved the existence of a bi-Hamiltonian structure arising from a non-symplectic symmetry as well as the existence of master symmetries and additional integrals of motion (weak superintegrability) for certain particular cases. Recently, in 2021 [19], we also constructed a hierarchy of bi-Hamiltonian structures for the Kepler problem, and computed conserved quantities using related master symmetries.

In the present work, we address the Hamiltonian dynamics of a spaceship in Alcubierre and Gödel metrics. We derive related recursion operators and discuss their relevant master symmetries. We prove that the two models satisfy the same dynamics and exhibit a set of similar master symmetries.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give the main tools used in this work. In Section 33, we give the Hamiltonian function, the symplectic form and the vector field describing the Hamiltonian dynamics of a spaceship in the Alcubierre metric and construct the associated recursion operators. In Section 4, we perform the same study, as in Section 33, in the Gödel metric. In Section 5, we introduce bi-Hamiltonian structures, define the hierarchy of master symmetries and compute the corresponding conserved quantities. In Section 6, we end with some concluding remarks.

## 2 Recursion operator and master symmety

A characterization of integrable Hamiltonian systems is given by De Filippo et al. through the following Theorem [11]:

Theorem 1. Let $X$ be a dynamical vector field on a $2 n$-dimensional manifold $\mathcal{M}$. If the vector field $X$ admits a diagonalizable mixed (1,1)-tensor field $T$ which is invariant under $X$, has a vanishing Nijenhuis torsion and doubly degenerate eigenvalues with nowhere vanishing differentials, then there exists a symplectic structure and a Hamiltonian function $H$ such that the vector field $X$ is a separable Hamiltonian vector field of $H$, and $H$ is completely integrable with respect to the symplectic structure.

Such a $(1,1)$-tensor field $T$ is called a recursion operator of $X$. In the particular case of $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$, a recursion operator can be constructed as follows [32]:

Lemma 1. Let us consider vector fields

$$
X_{l}=-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n+l}}, \quad l=1, \ldots, n
$$

on $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ and let $T$ be a $(1,1)$-tensor field on $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ given by

$$
T=\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \otimes d x_{i}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{n+i}} \otimes d x_{n+i}\right) .
$$

Then, we have that the Nijenhuis torsion $\mathcal{N}_{T}$ and the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_{X_{l}}$ of $T$ are vanishing, i.e.,

$$
\left(\mathcal{N}_{T}\right)_{i j}^{h}:=T_{i}^{k} \frac{\partial T_{j}^{h}}{\partial x^{k}}-T_{j}^{k} \frac{\partial T_{i}^{h}}{\partial x^{k}}+T_{k}^{h} \frac{\partial T_{i}^{k}}{\partial x^{j}}-T_{k}^{h} \frac{\partial T_{j}^{k}}{\partial x^{i}}=0, \text { and } \mathcal{L}_{X_{l}} T=0,
$$

i.e., the $(1,1)$-tensor field $T$ is a recursion operator of $X_{l},(l=1, \ldots, n)$.

Given a general dynamical system defined on a $2 n$-dimensional manifold $\mathcal{Q}$ [30,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}(t)=X(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{Q}, \quad X \in \mathcal{T} \mathcal{Q} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{T} \mathcal{Q}$ is the tangent bundle of $\mathcal{Q}$.
If this system (1) admits two different Hamiltonian representations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}(t)=X_{H_{1}, H_{2}}=\mathcal{P}_{1} d H_{1}=\mathcal{P}_{2} d H_{2}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

its integrability as well as many other properties are subject to Magri's approach, i.e., the bi-Hamiltonian vector field $X_{H_{1}, H_{2}}$ is defined by two pairs of Poisson bivectors $\mathcal{P}_{1}, \mathcal{P}_{2}$ and Hamiltonian functions $H_{1}, H_{2} . \mathcal{P}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ are compatible Poisson bivectors with vanishing Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket [9]: $\left[\mathcal{P}_{1}, \mathcal{P}_{2}\right]_{N S}=0$.
Such a manifold $\mathcal{Q}$ equipped with two Poisson bivectors is called a double Poisson manifold and the quadruple $\left(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{P}_{1}, \mathcal{P}_{2}, X_{H_{1}, H_{2}}\right)$ is called a bi-Hamiltonian system.

In differential geometric terms, a vector field $Y$ on the cotangent bundle $\mathcal{T}^{*} \mathcal{Q}$ that satisfies

$$
\left[X_{H^{\prime}}, Y\right] \neq 0, \quad\left[X_{H^{\prime}}, X\right]=0, \quad\left[X_{H^{\prime}}, Y\right]=X
$$

is called a master symmetry or a generator of symmetries of degree $m=1$ for the Hamiltonian vector field $X_{H^{\prime}}$ [7, 8, 10, 27, 28].

## 3 Recursion operator of a Hamiltonian vector field in the Alcubierre metric

In this work, without loss of generality, we consider the following particular Alcubierre metric [2]:

$$
d s^{2}=-d t^{2}+\left(d x-v_{s} f\left(r_{s}\right) d t\right)^{2}+d y^{2}+d z^{2}
$$

describing the motion of a spaceship along the $x$-axis of a cartesian coordinate system such as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha=1, \beta_{2}=-v_{s} f\left(r_{s}\right), \beta_{3}=\beta_{4}=0, \gamma_{i j}=\delta_{i j},\left(\delta_{i j} \text { is the Kronecker symbol }\right), \\
& v_{s}=\frac{d x_{s}(t)}{d t}, r_{s}(t)=\left(\left(x-x_{s}(t)\right)^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& f\left(r_{s}\right)=\frac{\tanh \left(\sigma\left(r_{s}+R\right)\right)-\tanh \left(\sigma\left(r_{s}-R\right)\right)}{2 \tanh (\sigma R)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sigma>0, R>0$ are arbitrary parameters, and

$$
\lim _{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} f\left(r_{s}\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { for } \left.r_{s} \in\right]-R, R[ \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text { for } r_{s} \in\{-R, R\} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Under the limit $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$, with $\left.r_{s} \in\right]-R, R[$, this particular Alcubierre metric becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=-d t^{2}+\left(d x-v_{s} d t\right)^{2}+d y^{2}+d z^{2} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the tensor metric and its inverse are given by

$$
g_{\nu \mu}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-\left(1-v_{s}^{2}\right) & -v_{s} & 0 & 0 \\
-v_{s} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad \text { and } \quad g^{\nu \mu}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-1 & -v_{s} & 0 & 0 \\
-v_{s} & \left(1-v_{s}^{2}\right) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Geometrically, this spacetime can be interpreted as follows [2]:
Firstly, since $\gamma_{i j}=\delta_{i j}$, the 3-geometry of the hypersurfaces is then always flat. Secondly, the fact that the lapse is given by $\alpha=1$ implies that the time-like curves normal to these hypersurfaces are geodesics, i.e., the Eulerian observers are in free fall. Notice that spacetime, however, is not flat due to the presence of a non-uniform shift. Finally, since the shift vector vanishes for $r_{s} \gg R$, at any time $t$, spacetime will be essentially flat everywhere except within a region with a radius of order $R$, centred at the point $\left(x_{s}(t), 0,0\right)$.

Let now $\mathcal{Q}=\mathbb{R}^{4}:=\left\{q^{1}=t, q^{2}=x, q^{3}=y, q^{4}=z\right\}$ be the manifold describing the configuration space and $\mathcal{T}^{*} \mathcal{Q}=\mathcal{Q} \times \mathbb{R}^{4}$ be the cotangent bundle with the local coordinates $(q, p)$, and the natural symplectic structure $\omega_{A}: \mathcal{T} \mathcal{Q} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}^{*} \mathcal{Q}$ given by

$$
\omega_{A}=\sum_{\nu=1}^{4} d p_{\nu} \wedge d q^{\nu}
$$

where $\mathcal{T Q}$ is the tangent bundle. By definition, $\omega_{A}$ is non-degenerate. It induces the map $\mathcal{P}_{A}: \mathcal{T}^{*} \mathcal{Q} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T} \mathcal{Q}$, called bivector field, defined by

$$
\mathcal{P}_{A}=\sum_{\nu=1}^{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\nu}} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\nu}},
$$

which is the inverse map of $\omega_{A}$, i.e., $\omega_{A} \circ \mathcal{P}_{A}=\mathcal{P}_{A} \circ \omega_{A}=1$ [34]. In this case, the Hamiltonian vector field $X_{f}$ of a Hamiltonian function $f$ is given by

$$
X_{f}=\mathcal{P}_{A} d f
$$

On the cotangent bundle $\mathcal{T}^{*} \mathcal{Q}$, (3) takes the form:

$$
d s^{2}=-\left(d q^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(d q^{2}-v_{s} d q^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(d q^{3}\right)^{2}+\left(d q^{4}\right)^{2}
$$

In our framework, the Hamiltonian function $\mathcal{H}_{A}$ describing the dynamics of a spaceship in the Alcubierre metric and its corresponding 1-form $d \mathcal{H}_{A} \in \mathcal{T}^{*} \mathcal{Q}$ are given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{A}:=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\nu, \mu=1}^{4} g^{\nu \mu} p_{\nu} p_{\mu}=\frac{1}{2}\left(-p_{1}^{2}-v_{s} p_{1} p_{2}+\left(1-v_{s}^{2}\right) p_{2}^{2}+p_{3}^{2}+p_{4}^{2}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \mathcal{H}_{A} & =-\left(p_{1}+v_{s} p_{2}\right) d p_{1}+\left(-v_{s} p_{1}+\left(1-v_{s}^{2}\right) p_{2}\right) d p_{2} \\
& +p_{3} d p_{3}+p_{4} d p_{4}-\dot{v}_{s}\left(p_{1}+v_{s} p_{2}\right) p_{2} d q^{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively. Then, the Hamiltonian vector field of $\mathcal{H}_{A}$ with respect to the symplectic structure $\omega_{A}$ is derived as

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{\mathcal{H}_{A}}:=\left\{\mathcal{H}_{A}, .\right\} & =-\left(p_{1}+v_{s} p_{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{1}}+\left(-v_{s} p_{1}+\left(1-v_{s}^{2}\right) p_{2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{2}} \\
& +p_{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{3}}+p_{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{4}}+\dot{v}_{s}\left(p_{1}+v_{s} p_{2}\right) p_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This Hamiltonian vector field satisfies the required condition for a Hamiltonian system, i.e.,

$$
\iota_{x_{\mathcal{H}_{A}}} \omega_{A}=-d \mathcal{H}_{A},
$$

where $\iota_{X_{\mathcal{H}_{A}}} \omega_{A}$ is the interior product of $\omega_{A}$ with respect to the Hamiltonian vector field $X_{\mathcal{H}_{A}}$. Hence, the triplet $\left(\mathcal{T}^{*} \mathcal{Q}, \omega_{A}, \mathcal{H}_{A}\right)$ is a Hamiltonian system.

In the sequel, we consider the Hamilton-Jacobi equation with respect to the Hamiltonian function (4) and introduce a generating function $W$ satisfying the following canonical transformations [1, 5]:

$$
p=\frac{\partial W}{\partial q} \quad \text { and } \quad P=-\frac{\partial W}{\partial Q} .
$$

Since the Hamiltonian function $\mathcal{H}_{A}$ does not explicitly depend on the time $t$, then, setting $V=W-E t$, it is possible to find an additive separable solution:

$$
W=W_{1}\left(q^{1}\right)+W_{2}\left(q^{2}\right)+W_{3}\left(q^{3}\right)+W_{4}\left(q^{4}\right) .
$$

The Hamilton-Jacobi equation [5]

$$
\frac{\partial V}{\partial t}+\mathcal{H}_{A}\left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial q} / q / t\right)=0
$$

is then reduced to the nonlinear equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{1}{2}\left\{-\left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial q^{1}}\right)^{2}-2 v_{s} \frac{\partial W}{\partial q^{1}} \frac{\partial W}{\partial q^{2}}+\left(1-v_{s}^{2}\right)\left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial q^{2}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial q^{3}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial W}{\partial q^{4}}\right)^{2}\right\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E$ is a constant. We notice that the Hamiltonian function does not include $q^{2}, q^{3}$, and $q^{4}$. Then, putting

$$
\frac{d W_{2}}{d q^{2}}\left(q^{2}\right)=\alpha_{0}, \frac{d W_{3}}{d q^{3}}\left(q^{3}\right)=\beta_{0}, \frac{d W_{4}}{d q^{4}}\left(q^{4}\right)=\gamma_{0}
$$

where $\alpha_{0}, \beta_{0}, \gamma_{0}$ are constants and $2 E \leq\left(\beta_{0}^{2}+\gamma_{0}^{2}+\alpha_{0}^{2}\right)$, (5) becomes

$$
\left(\frac{d W_{1}}{d q^{1}}\right)^{2}+2 \alpha_{0} v_{s} \frac{d W_{1}}{d q^{1}}+K=0
$$

where $K=2 E-\left(\beta_{0}^{2}+\gamma_{0}^{2}+\left(1-v_{s}^{2}\right) \alpha_{0}^{2}\right)$.
Now, setting $\Psi=\frac{d W_{1}}{d q^{1}}$, with $W_{1}(0)=0$, we obtain the following quadratic equation

$$
\Psi^{2}+2 \alpha_{0} v_{s} \Psi+K=0
$$

with $\Delta_{A}=-8 E+4\left(\beta_{0}^{2}+\gamma_{0}^{2}+\alpha_{0}^{2}\right) \geq 0$. Then, we have two possible cases: $\Delta_{A}>0$ or $\Delta_{A}=0$.
(i) For $\Delta_{A}>0$, we get

$$
\Psi_{1}=-v_{s} \alpha_{0}+\sqrt{\left(\beta_{0}^{2}+\gamma_{0}^{2}+\alpha_{0}^{2}\right)-2 E}, \quad \text { and } \quad \Psi_{2}=-\left(v_{s} \alpha_{0}+\sqrt{\left(\beta_{0}^{2}+\gamma_{0}^{2}+\alpha_{0}^{2}\right)-2 E}\right)
$$

leading to solutions for the generating function $W$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{a}=-\alpha_{0} q_{s}-\left(\sqrt{\left(\beta^{2}+\gamma_{0}^{2}+\alpha_{0}^{2}\right)-2 E}\right) q^{1}+\alpha_{0} q^{2}+\beta_{0} q^{3}+\gamma_{0} q^{4} \\
& W_{b}=-\alpha_{0} q_{s}+\left(\sqrt{\left(\beta_{0}^{2}+\gamma_{0}^{2}+\alpha_{0}^{2}\right)-2 E}\right) q^{1}+\alpha_{0} q^{2}+\beta_{0} q^{3}+\gamma_{0} q^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

which, in terms of $q^{i}$ and $Q^{i}$, are expressed as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{a}=-Q^{2} q_{s}-\left(\sqrt{\sum_{k=2}^{4}\left(Q^{k}\right)^{2}-2 Q^{1}}-v_{s} Q^{2}\right) q^{1}+\sum_{k=2}^{4} Q^{k} q^{k},  \tag{6}\\
& W_{b}=-Q^{2} q_{s}+\left(\sqrt{\sum_{k=2}^{4}\left(Q^{k}\right)^{2}-2 Q^{1}}-v_{s} Q^{2}\right) q^{1}+\sum_{k=2}^{4} Q^{k} q^{k}, \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Q^{1}=E, Q^{2}=\alpha_{0}, Q^{3}=\beta_{0}, Q^{4}=\gamma_{0}$, and $\left(\sum_{k=2}^{4}\left(Q^{k}\right)^{2}-2 Q^{1}\right)>0$.
In the following, we consider each of these solutions to derive the relationship between the canonical coordinate systems $(Q, P)$ and $(q, p)$.

- For $W=W_{a}$, we obtain the relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
p_{1}=-\sqrt{\sum_{k=2}^{4}\left(Q^{k}\right)^{2}-2 Q^{1}}-v_{s} Q^{2} \\
p_{2}=Q^{2} \\
p_{3}=Q^{3} \\
p_{4}=Q^{4}
\end{array} ;\left\{\begin{array}{l}
q^{1}=-P_{1} \sqrt{\sum_{k=2}^{4}\left(Q^{k}\right)^{2}-2 Q^{1}} \\
q^{2}=q_{s}-P_{2}-Q^{2} P_{1} \\
q^{3}=-P_{3}-Q^{3} P_{1} \\
q^{4}=-P_{4}-Q^{4} P_{1}
\end{array}\right.\right.  \tag{8}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
P_{1}=\frac{q^{1}}{p_{1}+v_{s} p_{2}} \\
P_{2}=-\frac{p_{2} q^{1}}{p_{1}+v_{s} p_{2}}+q_{s}-q^{2} \\
P_{3}=-\frac{p_{3} q^{1}}{p_{1}+v_{s} p_{2}}-q^{3} \\
P_{4}=-\frac{p_{4} q^{1}}{p_{1}+v_{s} p_{2}}-q^{4}
\end{array} \quad ;\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q^{1}=\mathcal{H} \\
Q^{2}=p_{2} \\
Q^{3}=p_{3} \\
Q^{4}=p_{4} .
\end{array}\right.\right. \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

- For $W=W_{b}$, we have:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
p_{1}=\sqrt{\sum_{k=2}^{4}\left(Q^{k}\right)^{2}-2 Q^{1}}-v_{s} Q^{2}  \tag{10}\\
p_{2}=Q^{2} \\
p_{3}=Q^{3} \\
p_{4}=Q^{4}
\end{array} ; \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
q^{1}=P_{1} \sqrt{\sum_{k=2}^{4}\left(Q^{k}\right)^{2}-2 Q^{1}} \\
q^{2}=q_{s}-P_{2}-Q^{2} P_{1} \\
q^{3}=-P_{3}-Q^{3} P_{1} \\
q^{4}=-P_{4}-Q^{4} P_{1}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P_{1}=\frac{q^{1}}{p_{1}+v_{s} p_{2}}  \tag{11}\\
P_{2}=-\frac{p_{2} q^{1}}{p_{1}+v_{s} p_{2}}+q_{s}-q^{2} \\
P_{3}=-\frac{p_{3} q^{1}}{p_{1}+v_{s} p_{2}}-q^{3} \\
P_{4}=-\frac{p_{4} q^{1}}{p_{1}+v_{s} p_{2}}-q^{4}
\end{array} ;\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q^{1}=\mathcal{H}_{A} \\
Q^{2}=p_{2} \\
Q^{3}=p_{3} \\
Q^{4}=p_{4}
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

Defined in the coordinate system $(Q, P)$, the Alcubierre symplectic form and the vector field are given, respectively, as:

$$
\omega_{A}=\sum_{\nu=1}^{4} d P_{\nu} \wedge d Q^{\nu}, X_{\mathcal{H}_{A}}:=\left\{\mathcal{H}_{A}, .\right\}=-\frac{\partial}{\partial P_{1}}
$$

In this condition, a tensor field $T_{A}$ of $(1,1)$-type can be expressed as:

$$
T_{A}=\sum_{\nu=1}^{4} Q^{\nu}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial P_{\nu}} \otimes d P_{\nu}+\frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{\nu}} \otimes d Q^{\nu}\right)
$$

Taking $x_{\nu}=Q^{\nu}$ and $x_{\nu+4}=P_{\nu}$ in Lemma 1, where $\nu=1,2,3,4$, the tensor field $T_{A}$ takes the form :

$$
T_{A}=\sum_{\nu=1}^{4} Q^{\nu}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial P_{\nu}} \otimes d P_{\nu}+\frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{\nu}} \otimes d Q^{\nu}\right)=\sum_{i, j=1}^{2 n}\left(T_{A}\right)_{j}^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}} \otimes d x^{j}
$$

with $x \equiv\left(Q^{1}, \ldots, Q^{4}, P_{1}, \ldots, P_{4}\right)$. The matrix $\left(T_{A}\right)_{j}^{i}$ is given by

$$
\left(T_{A}\right)_{j}^{i}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
{ }^{t} G & O \\
O & G
\end{array}\right), \quad G=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
Q^{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & Q^{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & Q^{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & Q^{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The tensor $T_{A}$ satisfies $\mathcal{L}_{X_{\mathcal{H}}} T_{A}=0, \mathcal{N}_{T_{A}}=0$ and $\operatorname{deg} Q^{\nu}=2$ proving that $T_{A}$ is a recursion operator of $X_{\mathcal{H}_{A}}$. The constants of motion are :

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(T_{A}^{h}\right)=2\left(\left(Q^{1}\right)^{h}+\left(Q^{2}\right)^{h}+\left(Q^{3}\right)^{h}+\left(Q^{4}\right)^{h}\right), \quad h \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

Reverting back to the original coordinate system $(q, p)$, the generating functions $W_{a}$ and $W_{b}$ lead to the following result for the Alcubierre metric $d s^{2}=-\left(d q^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(d q^{2}-\right.$ $\left.v_{s} d q^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(d q^{3}\right)^{2}+\left(d q^{4}\right)^{2}:$

Proposition 1. Provided the conditions
(1) $\frac{\dot{v}_{s}}{v_{s}}=-\frac{1}{q^{1}}$;
(2) $\frac{\ddot{v}_{s}}{\dot{v}_{s}} v_{s}^{h-1}=\left(\frac{p_{1}}{p_{2}}\right)^{h-1}\left(p_{1}+v_{s} p_{2}\right)^{h-1}, \quad h \in \mathbb{N}$,
then, the Hamiltonian vector field has a recursion operator $T_{A}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{A}=\sum_{\mu, \nu=1}^{4}\left(\tilde{M}_{\mu}^{\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\nu}} \otimes d q^{\mu}+\tilde{N}_{\mu}^{\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\nu}} \otimes d p_{\mu}+\tilde{L}_{\mu}^{\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\nu}} \otimes d p_{\mu}+\tilde{R}_{\mu}^{\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\nu}} \otimes d q^{\mu}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the corresponding constants of motion

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(T_{A}^{h}\right)=\mathcal{H}^{h}+2\left(p_{2}^{h}+p_{3}^{h}+p_{4}^{h}\right)+\left(\frac{\mathcal{H} p_{1}}{p_{1}+v_{s} p_{2}}\right)^{h}+\left(\frac{v_{s} p_{2} q^{1}\left(\mathcal{H}-p_{2}\right)}{\left(p_{1}+v_{s} p_{2}\right)^{2}}\right)^{h}+\left(\dot{v}_{s} p_{2} \mathcal{H}\right)^{h}
$$

$h \in \mathbb{N}$, where the coordinate dependent quantities $\tilde{M}_{\mu}^{\nu}, \tilde{N}_{\mu}^{\nu}, \tilde{L}_{\mu}^{\nu}$ and $\tilde{R}_{\mu}^{\nu}$ are expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ \tilde { M } _ { 1 } ^ { 1 } = J p _ { 1 } \mathcal { H } _ { A } } \\
{ \tilde { M } _ { 1 } ^ { 2 } = p _ { 2 } [ J ( p _ { 2 } - \mathcal { H } _ { A } ) - v _ { s } ] } \\
{ \tilde { M } _ { 1 } ^ { k } = J p _ { k } ( p _ { k } - \mathcal { H } _ { A } ) , \quad k = 3 , 4 ; } \\
{ \tilde { M } _ { j } ^ { j } = p _ { j } , \quad j = 2 , 3 ; 4 } \\
{ \tilde { M } _ { n } ^ { m } = 0 , \quad \text { otherwise } , }
\end{array} \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\tilde{N}_{1}^{1}=\mathcal{H}_{A} \\
\tilde{N}_{2}^{1}=\left(p_{2}-\mathcal{H}_{A}\right)\left(J p_{2}-v_{s}\right) \\
\tilde{N}_{k}^{1}=J p_{k}\left(p_{k}-\mathcal{H}_{A}\right), \quad k=3,4 \\
\tilde{N}_{j}^{j}=p_{j}, \quad j=2,3 ; 4 \\
\tilde{N}_{n}^{m}=0, \quad \text { otherwise },
\end{array}\right.\right. \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\tilde{L}_{1}^{k}=-\tilde{L}_{k}^{1}=J^{2} p_{k} q^{1}\left(p_{k}-\mathcal{H}_{A}\right), \quad k=2,3,4 \\
\tilde{L}_{2}^{k}=J^{2} v_{s} p_{k} q^{1}\left(\mathcal{H}_{A}-p_{k}\right), \quad k=2,3,4 \\
\tilde{L}_{j}^{j}=0, \quad j=1,3 ; 4 \\
\tilde{L}_{n}^{m}=0, \quad \text { otherwise },
\end{array} ;\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\tilde{R}_{1}^{1}=\dot{v}_{s} p_{2} \mathcal{H}_{A} \\
\tilde{R}_{n}^{m}=0, \quad \text { otherwise },
\end{array}\right.\right. \\
& n, m=1,2,3,4, J=\frac{1}{p_{1}+v_{s} p_{2}},\left(p_{1}+v_{s} p_{2}\right)>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof.

Using (8) and (9), or (10) and (11), it is straightforward to obtain (12). Furthermore, using conditions (1) and (2), we get $\mathcal{L}_{X_{\mathcal{H}_{A}}}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(T_{A}^{h}\right)\right)=0$ proving that $\operatorname{Tr}\left(T_{A}^{h}\right)$ are constants of motion.
(ii) For $\Delta_{A}=0$, we obtain the following double root $\Psi=-v_{s} \alpha_{0}$ yielding

$$
W=-\alpha_{0} q_{s}+\alpha_{0} q^{2}+\beta_{0} q^{3}+\gamma_{0} q^{4},
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
W=-Q^{2} q_{s}+\sum_{k=2}^{4} Q^{k} q^{k}
$$

in terms of $q^{i}$ and $Q^{i}, Q^{2}=\alpha_{0}, Q^{3}=\beta_{0}$, and $Q^{4}=\gamma_{0}$, inducing the following relationship between the canonical coordinate systems $(Q, P)$ and $(q, p)$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
p_{1}=-v_{s} Q^{2} \\
p_{2}=Q^{2} \\
p_{3}=Q^{3} \\
p_{4}=Q^{4}
\end{array} ;\left\{\begin{array}{l}
q^{2}=q_{s}-P_{2} \\
q^{3}=-P_{3} \\
q^{4}=-P_{4}
\end{array} ; \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
P_{2}=q_{s}-q^{2} \\
P_{3}=-q^{3} \\
P_{4}=-q^{4}
\end{array} ;\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q^{2}=p_{2} \\
Q^{3}=p_{3} \\
Q^{4}=p_{4}
\end{array}\right.\right.\right.\right.
$$

and the Hamiltonian function $\mathcal{H}_{A}$

$$
\mathcal{H}_{A}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=2}^{4}\left(Q^{k}\right)^{2}
$$

describing the dynamics of a free particle system in the coordinate system $(Q, P)$, and the associated Hamiltonian vector field

$$
X_{\mathcal{H}_{A}}=-\sum_{k=2}^{4} Q^{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial P_{k}}
$$

Since $W$ does not depend on $Q^{1}$ and $P_{1}$, the $(1,1)$ - tensor field $T_{A}$ can be given as:

$$
T_{A}=\sum_{\nu=2}^{4} Q^{\nu}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial P_{\nu}} \otimes d P_{\nu}+\frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{\nu}} \otimes d Q^{\nu}\right)
$$

Then, $T_{A}$ satisfies $\mathcal{L}_{X_{\mathcal{H}_{A}}} T_{A}=0, \mathcal{N}_{T_{A}}=0$ and $\operatorname{deg} Q^{\nu}=2$ proving by Theorem 1 that $T_{A}$ is a recursion operator of $X_{\mathcal{H}_{A}}$, with the constants of motion

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(T_{A}^{h}\right)=2\left(\left(Q^{2}\right)^{h}+\left(Q^{3}\right)^{h}+\left(Q^{4}\right)^{h}\right), h \in \mathbb{N}
$$

In the original coordinate system $(q, p), T_{A}$ becomes

$$
T_{A}=\sum_{\mu, \nu=1}^{4}\left(A_{\mu}^{\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\nu}} \otimes d q^{\mu}+B_{\mu}^{\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\nu}} \otimes d p_{\mu}\right)
$$

where

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
v_{s} p_{2} & p_{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & p_{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & p_{4}
\end{array}\right) \text { and } B=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & -v_{s} p_{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & p_{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & p_{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & p_{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the constants of motion turn to be $\operatorname{Tr}\left(T_{A}^{h}\right)=2\left(p_{2}^{h}+p_{3}^{h}+p_{4}^{h}\right), h \in \mathbb{N}$.

## 4 Recursion operator of a Hamiltonian vector field in the Gödel metric

In this work, as matter of result comparison, we also consider the Gödel line element $d s^{2}$ in dimensionless cylindrical coordinates [20]:

$$
d s^{2}=c^{2} d t^{2}-\frac{1}{1+\left(\frac{r}{2 a}\right)^{2}} d r^{2}-r^{2}\left(1-\left(\frac{r}{2 a}\right)^{2}\right) d \phi^{2}-d z^{2}+\frac{2 r^{2} c^{2}}{a \sqrt{2}} d t d \phi
$$

where $a$ is a parameter with units of length, which represents a characteristic distance. In particular, $r=2 a$ represents the critical radius from which CTC can exist [22].

The corresponding tensor metric and its inverse are given, respectivily, by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{\nu \mu}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & \frac{r^{2}}{a \sqrt{2}} & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{1}{1+\left(\frac{r}{2 a}\right)^{2}} & 0 & 0 \\
r^{2} & 0 & -r^{2}\left(1-\left(\frac{r}{2 a}\right)^{2}\right) & 0 \\
\frac{r^{2}}{a \sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right) \text { and } \\
& 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where we put $c=1$.
Now, let the manifold $\mathcal{Q}=\mathbb{R}^{4}:=\left\{q^{1}=t, q^{2}=r, q^{3}=\phi, q^{4}=z\right\}$, where $t \in$ $(-\infty,+\infty), r \in(0, \infty), \phi \in(0,2 \pi)$, and $z \in(-\infty,+\infty)$, describe the configuration space, and $\mathcal{T}^{*} \mathcal{Q}=\mathcal{Q} \times \mathbb{R}^{4}$ be the cotangent bundle with the local coordinates $(q, p)$. The natural symplectic form and its corresponding Poisson bivector are given, respectively, by:

$$
\omega_{G}=\sum_{\nu=1}^{4} d p_{\nu} \wedge d q^{\nu}, \mathcal{P}_{G}=\sum_{\nu=1}^{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\nu}} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\nu}},
$$

where $\mathcal{T} \mathcal{Q}$ is the tangent bundle.
In the cotangent bundule $\mathcal{T}^{*} \mathcal{Q}$, the Gödel metric takes the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=\left(c d q^{1}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{1+\left(\frac{q^{2}}{2 a}\right)^{2}}\left(d q^{2}\right)^{2}-\left(q^{2}\right)^{2}\left(1-\left(\frac{q^{2}}{2 a}\right)^{2}\right)\left(d q^{3}\right)^{2}-\left(d q^{4}\right)^{2}+\frac{2\left(c q^{2}\right)^{2}}{a \sqrt{2}} d q^{1} d q^{3} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming $\frac{\left(q^{2}\right)^{3}}{2 a} \ll 1$, the approximated line element of (13) is given by

$$
d s^{2}=\left(c d q^{1}\right)^{2}-\left(d q^{2}\right)^{2}-\left(q^{2}\right)^{2}\left(d q^{3}\right)^{2}-2\left(q^{2}\right)^{2} \Omega_{G} d q^{1} d q^{2}-\left(d q^{4}\right)^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(\Omega_{G}^{2}\right)
$$

where $\Omega_{G}=\frac{c}{\sqrt{2 a}}$ and $\frac{q^{2}}{2 a} \ll 1$.
Setting $c=1$ leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2} \simeq\left(d q^{1}\right)^{2}-\left(d q^{2}\right)^{2}-\left(q^{2}\right)^{2}\left(d q^{3}\right)^{2}-2\left(q^{2}\right)^{2} \Omega_{G} d q^{1} d q^{2}-\left(d q^{4}\right)^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(\Omega_{G}^{2}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Hamiltonian function

$$
\mathcal{H}_{G}=\frac{1}{2\left(\left(q^{2}\right)^{2} \Omega_{G}^{2}+1\right)} p_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} p_{2}^{2}-\frac{1}{2\left(q^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\left(q^{2}\right)^{2} \Omega_{G}^{2}+1\right)} p_{3}^{2}+\frac{\Omega_{G}}{\left(q^{2}\right)^{2} \Omega_{G}^{2}+1} p_{1} p_{3}-\frac{1}{2} p_{4}^{2}
$$

with the associated Hamiltonian vector field given by

$$
X_{\mathcal{H}_{G}}=\sum_{\mu=1}^{4}\left(U_{\mu}^{\prime} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\mu}}-V_{\mu}^{\prime} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\mu}}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{1}^{\prime} & =\frac{1}{\left(q^{2}\right)^{2} \Omega_{G}^{2}+1} p_{1}+\frac{\Omega_{G}}{\left(q^{2}\right)^{2} \Omega_{G}^{2}+1} p_{3}, \quad U_{2}^{\prime}=-p_{2} \\
U_{3}^{\prime} & =\frac{\Omega_{G}}{\left(q^{2}\right)^{2} \Omega_{G}^{2}+1} p_{1}-\frac{1}{\left(q^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\left(q^{2}\right)^{2} \Omega_{G}^{2}+1\right)} p_{3}, \quad U_{4}^{\prime}=-p_{4} \\
V_{1}^{\prime} & =V_{2}^{\prime}=V_{3}^{\prime}=0, \text { and } V_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{\left(q^{2}\right)^{2} \Omega_{G}^{2}\left(2 p_{3}^{2}-\left(q^{2}\right)^{2} p_{1}^{2}\right)+p_{3}\left(p_{3}-\Omega_{G}^{3}\left(q^{2}\right)^{2} p_{1}\right)}{\left(q^{2}\right)^{3}\left(\left(q^{2}\right)^{2} \Omega_{G}^{2}+1\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The vector field $X_{\mathcal{H}_{G}}$ satisfies the required condition for a Hamiltonian system, i.e., $\iota_{X_{\mathcal{H}_{G}}} \omega_{G}=$ $-d \mathcal{H}_{G}$. Hence, the triplet $\left(\mathcal{T}^{*} \mathcal{Q}, \omega_{G}, \mathcal{H}_{G}\right)$ is a Hamiltonian system.

The Hamiltonian-Jacobi equation is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
E^{\prime} & =\frac{1}{2\left(\left(q^{2}\right)^{2} \Omega_{G}^{2}+1\right)}\left(\frac{\partial W_{1}^{\prime}}{\partial q^{1}}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial W_{2}^{\prime}}{\partial q^{2}}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2\left(q^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\left(q^{2}\right)^{2} \Omega_{G}^{2}+1\right)}\left(\frac{\partial W_{3}^{\prime}}{\partial q^{3}}\right)^{2} \\
& +\frac{\Omega_{G}}{\left(q^{2}\right)^{2} \Omega_{G}^{2}+1} \frac{\partial W_{1}^{\prime}}{\partial q^{1}} \frac{\partial W_{3}^{\prime}}{\partial q^{3}}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial W_{4}^{\prime}}{\partial q^{4}}\right)^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $E^{\prime}$ is a constant, and $W^{\prime}=\sum_{\mu=1}^{4} W_{\mu}^{\prime}\left(q^{\mu}\right)$ is the generating function.
As the Hamiltonian function $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{G}}$ does not include $q^{1}, q^{2}$, and $q^{3}$, we can set:

$$
\frac{d W_{1}^{\prime}}{d q^{1}}=\eta^{\prime}, \frac{d W_{3}^{\prime}}{d q^{3}}=\theta^{\prime}, \frac{d W_{4}^{\prime}}{d q^{4}}=\vartheta^{\prime}
$$

yielding

$$
\begin{aligned}
E^{\prime} & =\frac{1}{2\left(\left(q^{2}\right)^{2} \Omega_{G}^{2}+1\right)} \eta^{\prime 2}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{d W_{2}^{\prime}}{d q^{2}}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2\left(q^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\left(q^{2}\right)^{2} \Omega_{G}^{2}+1\right)} \theta^{\prime 2} \\
& +\frac{\Omega_{G}}{\left(q^{2}\right)^{2} \Omega_{G}^{2}+1} \eta^{\prime} \theta^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2} \vartheta^{\prime 2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\eta^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime}$, and $\vartheta^{\prime}$ are constants such that the following conditions are satisfied:

$$
\text { (i) } \frac{\eta^{\prime 2}}{2 E^{\prime}+\vartheta^{\prime 2}} \ll 1 \text {, with }\left(2 E^{\prime}+\vartheta^{\prime 2}\right)>0, \quad \text { (ii) } \frac{\left(\Omega_{G} \theta^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{2 E^{\prime}+\vartheta^{\prime 2}} \ll \frac{1}{4}, \quad \text { (iii) } \frac{\eta^{\prime} \theta^{\prime}}{2 E^{\prime}+\vartheta^{\prime 2}} \simeq \frac{1}{2 \Omega_{G}^{2}} \text {. }
$$

Thereafter, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{d W_{2}^{\prime}}{d q^{2}}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{\left(q^{2}\right)^{2} \Omega_{G}^{2}+1} f\left(q^{2}\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
f\left(q^{2}\right)=-\left(2 E^{\prime}+\vartheta^{\prime 2}\right) \Omega_{G}^{2}\left(q^{2}\right)^{4}+\left(-\left(2 E+\vartheta^{\prime 2}\right)+\eta^{\prime 2}+2 \eta^{\prime} \theta^{\prime} \Omega_{G}\right)\left(q^{2}\right)^{2}-\theta^{\prime 2} .
$$

Putting $Z=\left(q^{2}\right)^{2}$ and considering the above condition $(i), f$ takes the form

$$
f(Z)=-\left(2 E^{\prime}+\vartheta^{\prime 2}\right) \Omega_{G}^{2} Z^{2}+\left(-\left(2 E^{\prime}+\vartheta^{\prime 2}\right)+2 \eta^{\prime} \theta^{\prime} \Omega_{G}\right) Z-\theta^{\prime 2}
$$

with $\Delta_{G}=\left(2 E^{\prime}+\vartheta^{\prime 2}\right)\left[\left(2 E^{\prime}+\vartheta^{\prime 2}\right)-4 \eta^{\prime} \Phi-4 \Phi^{2}\right], \Phi=\theta^{\prime} \Omega_{G}$.
After computation and using the condition (ii), we obtain
$\Delta_{G}=16\left(2 E^{\prime}+\vartheta^{\prime 2}\right)>0$ affording

$$
Z_{1}=\frac{\eta^{\prime} \theta^{\prime}}{\left(2 E^{\prime}+\vartheta^{\prime 2}\right) \Omega_{G}}+\frac{1}{2 \Omega_{G}^{2}} \text { and } Z_{2}=\frac{\eta^{\prime} \theta^{\prime}}{\left(2 E^{\prime}+\vartheta^{\prime 2}\right) \Omega_{G}}-\frac{3}{2 \Omega_{G}^{2}}
$$

Using the third condition (iii), we get

$$
f\left(q^{2}\right)=\frac{\left(2 E^{\prime}+\vartheta^{\prime 2}\right)}{\Omega_{G}^{2}}\left(1-\left(q^{2}\right)^{2} \Omega_{G}^{2}\right)\left(\left(q^{2}\right)^{2} \Omega_{G}^{2}+1\right)
$$

with $\left(q^{2}\right)^{2} \Omega_{G}^{2} \ll 1$. Thus, (15) becomes

$$
\frac{d W_{2}^{\prime}}{d q^{2}}=\frac{\sqrt{2 E^{\prime}+\vartheta^{\prime 2}}}{\Omega_{G}} \sqrt{\left(1-\left(q^{2}\right)^{2} \Omega_{G}^{2}\right)} \simeq \frac{\sqrt{2 E^{\prime}+\vartheta^{\prime 2}}}{\Omega_{G}}\left(1-\frac{1}{2}\left(q^{2}\right)^{2} \Omega_{G}^{2}\right), W_{2}^{\prime}(0)=0
$$

affording

$$
W_{2}^{\prime} \simeq \frac{\sqrt{2 E^{\prime}+\vartheta^{\prime 2}}}{\Omega_{G}} q^{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{6} \Omega_{G}^{2}\left(q^{2}\right)^{3}\right) \simeq \frac{\sqrt{2 E^{\prime}+\vartheta^{\prime 2}}}{\Omega_{G}} q^{2} .
$$

Putting $Q^{1}=E^{\prime}, Q^{2}=\eta^{\prime}, Q^{3}=\theta^{\prime}$, and $Q^{4}=\vartheta^{\prime}$, we have

$$
W^{\prime} \simeq Q^{2} q^{1}+\frac{\sqrt{2 Q^{1}+\left(Q^{4}\right)^{2}}}{\Omega_{G}} q^{2}+Q^{3} q^{3}+Q^{4} q^{4}
$$

Then, we obtain the following relationship between the canonical coordinate systems $(Q, P)$ and $(q, p)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
p_{1}=Q^{2} \\
p_{2}=\frac{\sqrt{2 Q^{1}+\left(Q^{4}\right)^{2}}}{\Omega_{G}} ; \\
p_{3}=Q^{3} \\
p_{4}=Q^{4}
\end{array}\right.  \tag{16}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
P_{1}=-\frac{q^{1}}{\Omega_{G}^{2} p_{2}} \\
P_{2}=-q^{1} \\
P_{3}=-q^{3} \\
P_{4}=-\frac{p_{4} q^{2}}{\Omega_{G}^{2} p_{2}}-q^{4}
\end{array} ;\left\{\begin{array}{l}
q^{1}=-P_{2} \\
q^{2}=-P_{1} \Omega_{G} \sqrt{2 Q^{1}+\left(Q^{4}\right)^{2}} \\
q^{3}=-P_{3} \\
q^{4}=-P_{4}+Q^{4} P_{1}
\end{array}\right.\right.  \tag{17}\\
& ;\left\{\begin{array}{l}
Q^{1}=\mathcal{H}_{G}^{\prime} \\
Q^{2}=p_{1} \\
Q^{3}=p_{3} \\
Q^{4}=p_{4} .
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

In terms of the canonical coordinate system $(Q, P)$, the vector field $X_{\mathcal{H}_{G}}$ and the symplectic form $\omega_{G}$ are written as:

$$
X_{\mathcal{H}_{G}}=\left\{\mathcal{H}_{G}, .\right\}=-\frac{\partial}{\partial P_{1}} ; \quad \omega_{G}=\sum_{\nu=1}^{4} d P_{\nu} \wedge d Q^{\nu} .
$$

By the Lemma 1, a $(1,1)$-tenseur field $T_{G}$ can be expressed as:

$$
T_{G}=\sum_{\nu=1}^{4} Q^{\nu}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial P_{\nu}} \otimes d P_{\nu}+\frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{\nu}} \otimes d Q^{\nu}\right)
$$

where the constants of motion are:

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(T_{G}^{h}\right)=2\left(\left(Q^{1}\right)^{h}+\left(Q^{2}\right)^{h}+\left(Q^{3}\right)^{h}+\left(Q^{4}\right)^{h}\right), h \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

We arrive at:
Proposition 2. Under the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{2}^{\prime h} \simeq\left(\frac{p_{2}^{2}}{q^{2}}\right)^{h}, h \in \mathbb{N} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

the Hamiltonian vector field $X_{\mathcal{H}_{G}}$ in the Gödel metric (14) has a recursion operator $T_{G}$ in the original coordinate system $(q, p)$ given by

$$
T_{G}=\sum_{\mu, \nu=1}^{4}\left(\tilde{A}_{\mu}^{\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\nu}} \otimes d q^{\mu}+\tilde{B}_{\mu}^{\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\nu}} \otimes d p_{\mu}+\tilde{C}_{\mu}^{\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\nu}} \otimes d p_{\mu}+\tilde{D}_{\mu}^{\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\nu}} \otimes d q^{\mu}\right)
$$

where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\tilde{A}_{j}^{j}=p_{j} \\
\tilde{A}_{2}^{2}=\mathcal{H}_{G}\left(1+q^{2} V_{2}^{\prime} S\right) \\
\tilde{A}_{2}^{4}=-p_{4} p_{2} S\left(\mathcal{H}_{G}+U_{4}^{\prime}\right) \\
\tilde{A}_{n}^{m}=0, \quad \text { otherwise }
\end{array} ; \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\tilde{B}_{j}^{j}=p_{j}, \quad j=1,3,4 \\
\tilde{B}_{k}^{2}=\mathcal{H}_{G} U_{k}^{\prime} p_{2} S, \quad k=1,2,3 \\
\tilde{B}_{4}^{2}=-p_{4} p_{2} S\left(\mathcal{H}_{G}+U_{4}^{\prime}\right) \\
\tilde{B}_{n}^{m}=0, \quad \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\tilde{C}_{i}^{2}=\mathcal{H}_{G} U_{i}^{\prime} q^{2} S, \quad i=1,3 \\
\tilde{C}_{2}^{2}=\mathcal{H}_{G} q^{2} \Omega_{G}^{2} S\left(-p_{2}+U_{2}^{\prime 3} S\right) \\
\tilde{C}_{4}^{2}=-p_{4} S\left(\mathcal{H}_{G}+U_{4}^{\prime}\right) \\
\tilde{C}_{2}^{4}=p_{4} S\left(\mathcal{H}_{G}+U_{4}^{\prime}\right) \\
\tilde{C}_{n}^{m}=0, \quad ; \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\tilde{D}_{2}^{2}=\mathcal{H}_{G} V_{2}^{\prime} p_{2} S ; \\
\tilde{D}_{n}^{m}=0, \quad \text { otherwise },
\end{array} ; S=\frac{1}{\Omega_{G}^{2} p_{2}^{2}},\right.
\end{array}\right.
$$

$\Omega_{G}^{2} p_{2}^{2}>0, n, m=1,2,3,4$.

The constants of motion in the original coordinate system $(q, p)$ are $\operatorname{Tr}\left(T_{G}^{h}\right), h \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Tr}\left(T_{G}^{h}\right) & =2\left(p_{2}^{h}+p_{3}^{h}+p_{4}^{h}\right)+\mathcal{H}_{G}^{h}\left(1+\frac{q^{2} V_{2}^{\prime}}{\Omega_{G}^{2} p_{2}^{2}}\right)^{h}+\left(-\frac{\mathcal{H}_{G}}{\Omega_{G}^{2}}\right)^{h} \\
& +\left(-\frac{\mathcal{H}_{G} q^{2}}{p_{2}}\right)^{h}\left(1+\frac{1}{\Omega_{G}^{2}}\right)^{h}+\left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{G} V_{2}^{\prime}}{\Omega_{G}^{2} p_{2}}\right)^{h}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof.

Using (16) and (17), and after some computations, we obtain (2).
In addition, from condition (18)

$$
\mathcal{L}_{X_{\mathcal{H}_{G}}}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(T_{G}^{h}\right)\right)=0 .
$$

Hence,

$$
2\left(p_{2}^{h}+p_{3}^{h}+p_{4}^{h}\right)+\mathcal{H}_{G}^{h}\left(1+\frac{q^{2} V_{2}^{\prime}}{\Omega_{G}^{2} p_{2}^{2}}\right)^{h}+\left(-\frac{\mathcal{H}_{G}}{\Omega_{G}^{2}}\right)^{h}+\left(-\frac{\mathcal{H}_{G} q^{2}}{p_{2}}\right)^{h}\left(1+\frac{1}{\Omega_{G}^{2}}\right)^{h}+\left(\frac{\mathcal{H}_{G} V_{2}^{\prime}}{\Omega_{G}^{2} p_{2}}\right)^{h}
$$

are constants of motion.
It is worth noticing that in the coordinate system $(Q, P)$, for $\Delta_{A}>0$, the Alcubierre and Gödel metrics have the same Hamiltonian vector field $X_{H}$ and the same recursion operator $T$, which thus induce the same dynamics.

## 5 Master symmetries

Provided the above common dynamical characteristics, let us consider the Hamiltonian system $\left(\mathcal{T}^{*} \mathcal{Q}, \omega, Q^{1}\right)$, for which the Hamiltonian function $H$, the vector field $X_{0}$, the symplectic form $\omega$, and the bivector field are given in both the Alcubierre and Gödel metrics by:

$$
H=Q^{1} ; \quad X_{0}=\left\{Q^{1}, .\right\}=-\frac{\partial}{\partial P_{1}} ; \quad \omega=\sum_{\nu=1}^{4} d P_{\nu} \wedge d Q^{\nu} ; \quad \mathcal{P}=\sum_{\nu=1}^{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial P_{\nu}} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{\nu}} .
$$

Introduce the vector fields $Y_{j} \in \mathcal{T}^{*} \mathcal{Q}$,

$$
Y_{j}=\sum_{\nu=1}^{4}\left(Q^{\nu}\right)^{j}\left((j+1) P_{\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial P_{\nu}}-Q^{\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{\nu}}\right), \quad j \in \mathbb{N},
$$

satisfying the relation

$$
\iota_{Y_{j}} \omega=-d \tilde{H}_{j}, \quad \text { with } \quad \tilde{H}_{j}=-\sum_{\nu=1}^{4}\left(Q^{\nu}\right)^{j+1} P_{\nu} .
$$

The symplectic structure $\omega$ generates a set of Hamiltonian systems on the same manifold $\mathcal{T}^{*} \mathcal{Q}$. The Lie bracket between the vector fields $X_{i}$ and $Y_{j}$ obeys the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[X_{i}, Y_{j}\right]=X_{i+j}, \quad\left[X_{i}, X_{i+j}\right]=0, \quad \text { with } \quad X_{i+j}=-(j+1)(i+j+1)\left(Q^{1}\right)^{i+j} \frac{\partial}{\partial P_{1}}, i, j \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is well illustrated in Fig 1. In differential geometric terms, $Y_{j}$ and $\tilde{H}_{j}$ are called master symmetries for $X_{i}$ and master integrals, respectively, [7, 8, 10, 27, 28].


Figure 1: Diagrammatical illustration of equation (19).

From the master integrals $\tilde{H}_{j}$, we can generate a family of Hamiltonian functions:

$$
H_{i+j}:=\left\{H_{i}, \tilde{H}_{j}\right\}=(i+1)\left(Q^{1}\right)^{i+j+1}, \text { with } H_{0}=H, i, j \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

The recursion operator $T$,

$$
T=\sum_{\nu=1}^{4} Q^{\nu}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial P_{\nu}} \otimes d P_{\nu}+\frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{\nu}} \otimes d Q^{\nu}\right)
$$

can be written as:

$$
T=\mathcal{P}_{1} \circ \mathcal{P}^{-1}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{P}_{1}=\sum_{\nu=1}^{4} Q^{\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial P_{\nu}} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{\nu}}
$$

and $\mathcal{P}$ are two compatible Poisson bivectors with vanishing Schouten-Nijenhuis bracket $\left[\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}_{1}\right]_{N S}=0$.

Now, introducing the following Poisson bracket $\{., .\}_{1}$

$$
\{f, g\}_{1}:=\sum_{\nu=1}^{4} Q^{\nu}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial P_{\nu}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial Q^{\nu}}-\frac{\partial f}{\partial Q^{\nu}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial P_{\nu}}\right)
$$

with respect to the symplectic form $\omega_{1}=\sum_{\nu=1}^{4}\left(Q^{\nu}\right)^{-1} d P_{\nu} \wedge d Q^{\nu}$, we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
X_{i}=\left\{\bar{H}_{i}, .\right\}=\left\{\bar{H}_{i+1}, .\right\}_{1}, \quad \bar{H}_{0}=H, \quad \bar{H}_{1}=\ln \left(Q^{1}\right), \bar{H}_{j}=-\frac{1}{j\left(Q^{1}\right)^{j}}, Q^{1} \neq 0, X_{0}= \\
-\frac{\partial}{\partial P_{1}}, \quad X_{1}=-\frac{1}{Q^{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial P_{1}}, \quad X_{j}=-\frac{1}{\left(Q^{1}\right)^{j+1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial P_{1}}, j=2,3, \ldots, n ; n, i \in \mathbb{N}, \text { proving that } X_{i}
\end{gathered}
$$ are bi-Hamiltonian vector fields defined by the two Poisson bivectors $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{1}$. Then, the quadruple $\left(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}_{1}, X_{i}\right)$ is a bi-Hamiltonian system for each $i$.

In addition, we have

$$
\mathcal{L}_{Y_{0}}(\mathcal{P})=0, \quad(\tilde{\alpha}=0), \quad \mathcal{L}_{Y_{0}}\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}\right)=-\sum_{\nu=1}^{4} Q^{\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial P_{\nu}} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{\nu}}, \quad(\tilde{\beta}=-1)
$$

$$
\mathcal{L}_{Y_{0}}(H)=-Q^{1}=-H,(\tilde{\gamma}=-1)
$$

We conclude that the vector field

$$
Y_{0}=\sum_{\nu=1}^{4}\left(P_{\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial P_{\nu}}-Q^{\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{\nu}}\right)
$$

is conformal symmetry for $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}_{1}$ and $H$ [10]. Defining now the families of quantities $X_{h}^{\prime}, Y_{h}^{\prime}, \mathcal{P}_{h}^{\prime}, \omega_{h}^{\prime}$ and $d H_{h}^{\prime}$ by

$$
X_{h}^{\prime}:=T^{h} X_{0}, \mathcal{P}_{h}^{\prime}:=T^{h} \mathcal{P}, \omega_{h}^{\prime}:=\left(T^{*}\right)^{h} \omega^{\prime}, Y_{h}:=T^{h} Y_{0}, d H_{h}^{\prime}:=\left(T^{*}\right)^{h} d H, \quad h \in \mathbb{N},
$$

where $T^{*}:=\mathcal{P}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{P}_{1}$ is the adjoint of $T$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_{h}^{\prime} & =\sum_{\nu=1}^{4}\left(Q^{\nu}\right)^{h} \frac{\partial}{\partial P_{\nu}} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{\nu}}, Y_{h}^{\prime}=\sum_{\nu=1}^{4}\left(Q^{\nu}\right)^{h}\left(P_{\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial P_{\nu}}-Q^{\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^{\nu}}\right), X_{h}^{\prime}=-\left(Q^{1}\right)^{h} \frac{\partial}{\partial P_{1}}, \\
\omega_{h}^{\prime} & =\sum_{\nu=1}^{4}\left(Q^{\nu}\right)^{h} d P_{\nu} \wedge d Q^{\nu}, d H_{h}^{\prime}=\left(Q^{1}\right)^{h} d Q^{1} \text { and } H_{h}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{h+1}\left(Q^{1}\right)^{h+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

leading to the following plethora of conserved quantities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{L}_{Y_{h}^{\prime}}\left(Y_{l}^{\prime}\right)=(h-l) Y_{l+h}^{\prime}, \mathcal{L}_{Y_{h}^{\prime}}\left(X_{l}^{\prime}\right)=-(l+1) X_{l+h}^{\prime}, \mathcal{L}_{Y_{h}^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{P}_{l}^{\prime}\right)=(h-l) \mathcal{P}_{l+h}^{\prime}, \\
& \mathcal{L}_{Y_{h}^{\prime}}\left(\omega_{l}^{\prime}\right)=-(l+h) \omega_{l+h}^{\prime}, \mathcal{L}_{Y_{h}^{\prime}}(T)=-T^{1+h},\left\langle d H_{l}^{\prime}, Y_{h}^{\prime}\right\rangle=-(h+l+1) H_{l+h}^{\prime}, l \in \mathbb{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{L}_{Y_{h}^{\prime}}\left(Y_{l}^{\prime}\right)=(\tilde{\beta}-\tilde{\alpha})(l-h) Y_{(l+h)}^{\prime}, \mathcal{L}_{Y_{h}^{\prime}}\left(X_{l}^{\prime}\right)=(\tilde{\beta}+\tilde{\gamma}+(l-1)(\tilde{\gamma}-\tilde{\alpha})) X_{l+h}^{\prime}, \\
& \mathcal{L}_{Y_{h}^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{P}_{l}^{\prime}\right)=(\tilde{\beta}+(l-h-1)(\tilde{\beta}-\tilde{\alpha})) \mathcal{P}_{l+h}^{\prime}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{Y_{h}^{\prime}}\left(\omega_{l}^{\prime}\right)=(\tilde{\beta}+(l+h-1)(\tilde{\beta}-\tilde{\alpha})) \omega_{l+h}^{\prime}, \\
& \mathcal{L}_{Y_{h}^{\prime}}(T)=(\tilde{\beta}-\tilde{\alpha}) T^{1+h},\left\langle d H_{l}^{\prime}, Y_{h}^{\prime}\right\rangle=(\tilde{\gamma}+(l+h)(\tilde{\beta}-\tilde{\alpha})) H_{l+h}^{\prime},
\end{aligned}
$$

analogue to the Oevel formulae (see [25, 10, 30, 31]).

## 6 Conclusion

In this paper, we have analyzed in detail the dynamics of a spaceship in Alcubierre and Gödel metrics. We have derived the Hamiltonian vector fields governing the system evolution, constructed and discussed related recursion operators generating the constants of motion. Besides, we have proved the existence of a bi-Hamiltonian structure in the considered canonical coordinate system and computed conserved quantities using the corresponding master symmetries.

This study has shown that Hamiltonian dynamics hints at a connection between the geometry of the physical system and conservation laws using the Poisson bracket. Our physical systems in Alcubierre and Gödel metrics are symplectic manifolds equipped with Hamiltonian vector fields. In this connection, the spaceship positions on the manifolds are viewed as states and vector fields as laws governing how those states evolve.

We have observed that the spaceship obeys the same dynamics for particular choices of the Alcubierre and Gödel metrics. Indeed, using appropriate parametrizations, the Hamiltonian vector fields and the recursion operators have been expressed in identical way for both the metrics. The only difference between them has been the relationship between the original coordinates and the new coordinates. Further, we have noticed that the Hamiltonian function of the spaceship remains constant along the trajectories (also called integral curves) for Hamiltonian vector fields.

We have used the recursion operator to compute the constants of motion, i.e., first integrals, which are an important step in the study of the dynamics of the spaceship. Each Hamiltonian vector field $X_{H}$ is its own first integral, $X_{H}(H):=\{H, H\}=0$ due to the antisymmetry of the Poisson bracket. This is characteristic of the physical principle of energy conservation.

Finally, from this study, we infer the formulation of a generalized Poisson bracket as follows:

$$
\{f, g\}_{j}:=\sum_{\nu=1}^{4}\left(Q^{\nu}\right)^{j}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial P_{\nu}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial Q^{\nu}}-\frac{\partial f}{\partial Q^{\nu}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial P_{\nu}}\right), j \in \mathbb{N}
$$

yielding a set of bi-Hamiltonian vector fields

$$
X_{i}=\left\{\bar{H}_{i}, .\right\}=\left\{\bar{H}_{i+j}, .\right\}_{j}, \quad i, j \in \mathbb{N},
$$

which can allow a straightforward extension of all previous results.
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