BEAUVILLE-VOISIN FILTRATIONS ON ZERO CYCLES OF MODULI SPACE OF STABLE SHEAVES ON K3 SURFACES

ZHIYUAN LI AND RUXUAN ZHANG

ABSTRACT. The Beauville-Voisin conjecture predicts the existence of a filtration on projective hyper-Kähler manifolds opposite to the conjecture Bloch-Beilinson filtration, called the Beauivlle-Voisin filtration. In [Voi16], Voisin has introduced a filtration on zero cycles of an arbitrary projective hyper-Kähler manifold. On moduli space of stable objects of a projective K3 surface, there are other candidates constructed by Shen-Yin-Zhao, Barros-Flapan-Marian-Silversmith in [SYZ20, BFMS19] and more recently by Vial in [Via20] from different point of views. According to the work in [Via20], all of them are proved to be equivalent except Voisin's filtration. In this paper, we show that Voisin's filtration is the same as the other filtrations. As an application, we prove a conjecture in [BFMS19].

1. INTRODUCTION

On a projective K3 surface X, Beauville and Voisin [BV04] showed that X carries a canonical zero-cycle class of degree 1,

$$[o_X] \in \mathrm{CH}_0(X)$$

where o_X can be taken any point lying on a rational curve in X. The intersections of divisor classes in X, as well as the second Chern class of X, lie in $\mathbb{Z} \cdot [o_X]$. In [O'G13], O'Grady introduced a filtration $S_{\bullet}(X)$ on $CH_0(X)$,

$$S_i(X) = \bigcup_{\deg([z])=i} \{ [z] + \mathbb{Z} \cdot [o_X] \}$$

for all effective zero-cycles z of degree i, which gives another characterization of the Beauville-Voisin class $[o_X]$. More generally, Beauville-Voisin's conjecture predicts that a similar picture also holds for any projective hyper-Kähler manifold M and there exists a filtration (called Beauville-Voisin filtration) on the Chow ring viewed as an opposite to the conjectural Bloch-Beilinson filtration. For zero cycles, Voisin has constructed a filtration $S_{\bullet} CH_0(M) \subseteq CH_0(M)$ in [Voi16] defined by

$$S_i CH_0(M) := \langle x \in M | \dim O_x \ge d - i \rangle$$

where O_x is the orbit of x under the rational equivalence.

In the case $M = M_{\sigma}(v)$ is the moduli space of σ -stable objects in the derived category $D^b(X)$ of a projective K3 surface X for some Bridgeland stability condition σ . Shen-Yin-Zhao discovered a filtration on the derived category $D^b(X)$, which gives another natural filtration S^{SYZ}_{\bullet} CH₀(M),

$$S_i^{SYZ} CH_0(M) := \langle E | c_2(E) \in S_i(X) \rangle.$$

They showed that there is an inclusion

$$S_i^{SYZ} \operatorname{CH}_0(M) \subseteq S_i \operatorname{CH}_0(M),$$

between the two filtrations. A natural question is whether the two filtration coincides (cf. [SYZ20, Remark 2.6]). This has been confirmed on Hilbert scheme of points on X via using the density of constant cycle subvarieties. In this paper, we give an affirmative answer to this question and the main result is

Date: January 10, 2022.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 14J28; 14F08; 14J42.

Key words and phrases. Beauville-Voisin filtration, moduli space of sheaves, hyper-Kähler.

Theorem 1.1. Let $M = M_{\sigma}(v)$ be the moduli space of σ -stable objects in $D^{b}(X)$ with a primitive Mukai vector v. Suppose σ is v-generic. Then we have

$$S^{SYZ}_{\bullet} \operatorname{CH}_0(M) = S_{\bullet} \operatorname{CH}_0(M).$$

As a corollary, we obtain the density of constant cycle subvarieties on M (See Corollary 3.2). Finally, let us compare Voisin's filtration with other filtrations. In [BFMS19], Flapan, Marian and Silversmith have constructed two filtrations $S^{\text{small}}_{\bullet} \operatorname{CH}_0(M)$ and $S^{\text{BFMS}}_{\bullet} \operatorname{CH}_0(M)$ from the product point of view, while Vial has found a so called *co-radical* filtration $R_{\bullet} CH_0(M)$ from the co-algebra structure on birational motives. Combined with the results in [BFMS19, Via20], our main theorem indicates that

Theorem 1.2. With the assumptions in Theorem 1.1, we have

$$S_{\bullet}^{\text{small}} \operatorname{CH}_{0}(M) = S_{\bullet}^{\text{BFMS}} \operatorname{CH}_{0}(M) = S_{\bullet} \operatorname{CH}_{0}(M) = S_{\bullet}^{\text{SYZ}} \operatorname{CH}_{0}(M) = \operatorname{R}_{\bullet} \operatorname{CH}_{0}(M).$$
(1)

As a consequence, [BFMS19, Conjecture 4] holds.

Acknowledgement. The authors are grateful to Junliang Shen, Qizheng Yin and Ziyu Zhang for useful comments. The authors were supported by NSFC for Innovative Research Groups (Grant No. 12121001) and partially supported by NSFC grant for General Program (11771086).

2. Degenerate loci of vector bundles

In this section, we recap Voisin's work in [Voi15] which establish a relation between the zero cycles on the moduli space of stable sheaves and the Hilbert scheme of points. Let (X, H) be a polarized K3 surface and let $M = M_H(v)$ be the moduli space of Gieseker H-stable sheaves on X with a primitive Mukai vector v = (r, D, s). We may assume H is v-generic so that M is a smooth projective hyper-Kähler variety of dimension

$$v^2 + 2 = D^2 - 2rs + 2.$$

Given a locally free sheaf $E \in M$, let $d = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Ext}^{1}(E, E)$ and $g = \dim \operatorname{Gr}(r-1, \operatorname{H}^{0}(X, E))$. As in [Voi15], if E is globally generated and $H^1(X, E) = 0$, we have an exact sequence for a general (r-1)-dimensional sections of $\mathrm{H}^{0}(X, E)$

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X^{r-1} \xrightarrow{s} E \to I_Z \otimes D \to 0 \tag{2}$$

where Z is the degeneracy locus of s consisting of d + g distinct points. Then the sequence defines a rational map

$$\phi_E : \mathcal{G}_E \dashrightarrow X^{[d+g]},$$

 $\phi_E : \mathbf{G}_E \dashrightarrow X^{[d+g]},$ where $\mathbf{G}_E = \operatorname{Gr}(r-1, \mathrm{H}^0(X, E))$, sending s to $I_Z \otimes D \subset X^{[d+g]}$.

Proposition 2.1. Assume the condition (\star)

E is globally generated and
$$H^i(X, E) = 0$$
 for $i = 1, 2$

holds. The morphism ϕ_E is generically injective. Moreover, for any two stable sheaves $E, F \in M$ satisfying (\star) as extensions of \mathcal{O}_X^{r-1} and $I_Z \otimes D$ for some Z, we have $E \cong F$.

Proof. The generic injectivity of ϕ_E is already proved in [Voi15, Proposition 3.2]. It follows from the computation of $\operatorname{Hom}(E, I_Z \otimes D)$. Indeed, apply the functor $\operatorname{Hom}(E, -)$ to the exact sequence (2), one can obtain a long exact sequence:

$$0 \to \operatorname{Hom}(E, \mathcal{O}_X^{r-1}) \to \operatorname{Hom}(E, E) \to \operatorname{Hom}(E, I_Z \otimes D) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1(E, \mathcal{O}_X^{r-1}) \to \dots$$

By the Serre duality, we have

$$\operatorname{Hom}(E, \mathcal{O}_X^{r-1}) = \operatorname{Ext}^1(E, \mathcal{O}_X^{r-1}) = 0$$

Since E is simple, $\operatorname{Hom}(E, E) \cong \mathbb{C}$. It follows that

$$\operatorname{Hom}(E, I_Z \otimes D) \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

It implies that ϕ_E is injective on s whenever we have the sequence (2) for s. If we have another extension

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X^{r-1} \to F \to I_Z \otimes D \to 0,$$

after applying $\operatorname{Hom}(E, -)$, one can find that

$$\operatorname{Hom}(E, F) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(E, I_Z \otimes D) \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

In particular, if F is a stable sheaf, then $E \cong F$.

More generally, one can extend this construction to a family of stable locally free sheaves. Let \mathcal{E} be a locally free sheaf on $B \times X$ for some variety B satisfying that for $E_b = \mathcal{E}|_{\{b\} \times X}$, we have

$$H^{i}(X, E_{b}) = 0, \ i = 1, 2$$

for any $b \in B$. In particular, the spaces of sections $\mathrm{H}^0(X, E_b)$ are of the same dimension and $\mathcal{F} := \pi_* \mathcal{E}$ is a locally free sheaf on B, where $\pi : X \times B \to B$ is the projection. Let $\mathbf{G}_B := \mathrm{Gr}^{r-1}(\mathcal{F})$ be the Grassmannian of rank r-1 subbundles of \mathcal{F} which fits into the following diagram:

There exists a universal locally free subsheaf $\mathcal{W} \hookrightarrow p^* \mathcal{F}$ on \mathbf{G}_B . Pulling back to $\mathbf{G}_B \times X$, one has a natural morphism:

$$\sigma: q^* \mathcal{W} \to (p \times \mathrm{id})^* \mathcal{E}.$$
(4)

Then the degeneracy locus of σ in $\mathbf{G}_B \times X$ is:

$$D_k(\sigma) = \{(s, x) \mid \operatorname{rank}(\sigma(s, x)) \le k\}.$$

A general fiber of $D_k(\sigma) \to \mathbf{G}_B$ consists of d + g distinct points in X by Proposition 2.1. Then it defines a rational map ϕ :

$$\phi_B : \mathbf{G}_B \dashrightarrow X^{[d+g]}$$

The restriction of σ at $\{(b,s)\} \times X \subset \mathbf{G}_B \times X$ is exactly $\mathcal{O}_X^{r-1} \xrightarrow{s} E_b$ in Voisin's construction. In this way, one can obtain a rational map

$$\phi_B : \mathbf{G}_B \dashrightarrow X^{[d+g]} \tag{5}$$

whose restriction to the fiber $q^{-1}(E) \subseteq \mathbf{G}_B$ is ϕ_E .

3. Proof of Main Theorem

Let v = (r, D, s) be a primitive Mukai vector with $r \ge 0$ and assume that H is v-generic. We first consider the locally free sheaves in $M_H(v)$.

Theorem 3.1. Let E be a locally free sheaf in $M = M_H(v)$. Then $c_2(E) \in S_i(X)$ if there exists a constant cycle subvariety $Y \subseteq M$ such that $[E] \in Y$ and dim $Y \ge d - i$. In particular, if Mconsists of stable locally free sheaves, then $S_{\bullet}^{SYZ} CH_0(M) = S_{\bullet} CH_0(M)$.

Proof. After tensoring line bundles mH for sufficiently large m without changing M, we may assume that the sheaves in M satisfy the condition (\star) by [Lan06, Theorem 0.1, Section 10.1]. There exists an $1 \boxtimes \alpha$ -twisted universal sheaf on $X \times M$. We take a Severi-Brauer variety

$$\nu:P\to M$$

for the Brauer class α . Then there is a universal sheaf \mathcal{E} on $X \times P$ in the sense that for $x \in P$, the stalk \mathcal{E}_x of \mathcal{E} is isomorphic to $E_{\nu(x)}$, where $E_{\nu(x)}$ is the sheaf corresponding to $\nu(x) \in M$.

With the same notations in the previous section, for any subvariety $Y \subseteq M$ containing E, take $B = \nu^{-1}(Y)$, we can apply relative version of Voisin's construction to obtain a rational map

$$\phi_{\nu^{-1}(Y)}: \mathbf{G}_{\nu^{-1}(Y)} \dashrightarrow X^{[d+g]}$$

By Proposition 2.1, we have

(1) the restriction of $\phi_{\nu^{-1}(Y)}$ to the fiber of $p: \mathbf{G}_{\nu^{-1}(Y)} \to \nu^{-1}(Y)$ is generic injective and hence $\phi_{\nu^{-1}(Y)}$ sends each fiber to a *g*-dimensional constant cycle subvariety in $X^{[d+g]}$.

(2) for different $E' \in Y$ and the images $\phi(p^{-1}(E'))$ in $X^{[d+g]}$ are disjoint if E' is locally free. Moreover, for any two points $s_1, s_2 \in \nu^{-1}(E')$, their corresponding sheaves are the same and so are their images in $X^{[d+g]}$. Then we have

$$\dim \phi(p^{-1}(Y)) = \dim Y + g.$$

Now, suppose Y is a constant cycle subvariety on M of dimension $\geq d - i$. According to the main Theorem in [MZ20], two sheaves $E', E'' \in M$ are rationally equivalent in $\operatorname{CH}_0(M)$ if and only if $c_2(E')$ and $c_2(E'')$ are rationally equivalent in $\operatorname{CH}_0(X)$. For any point in $\mathbf{G}_{\nu^{-1}(Y)}$, its image $I_Z \otimes D$ represent the same class in $\operatorname{CH}_0(X^{[d+g]})$ as

$$c_2(I_Z \otimes D) = c_2(E)$$

in $\operatorname{CH}_0(X)$ because the points in Y are constant in $\operatorname{CH}_0(M)$. This implies that the Zariski closure of the image $\phi(p^{-1}(Y))$ is a constant cycle subvariety on $X^{[d+g]}$. By [Voi15, Theorem 2.1], we have

$$c_2(I_Z \otimes D) \in \mathcal{S}_i(X)$$

and hence $E \in S_i CH_0(M)$. For the last statement, we have

$$S_i \operatorname{CH}_0(M) \subseteq S_i^{\operatorname{SYZ}} \operatorname{CH}_0(M)$$

from the definition of $S_i \operatorname{CH}_0(M)$. The assertion then follows from the inclusion $S_i^{\operatorname{SYZ}} \operatorname{CH}_0(M) \subseteq S_i \operatorname{CH}_0(M)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We first deal with the case $M = M_H(v)$ with $r \ge 0$. By tensoring the sheaf in M with mH for m sufficiently large, we may always assume that

$$D \cdot H > \max\{4r^2 + 1, 2r(v^2H^2 - D^2H^2 + (D \cdot H)^2)\}, \ s > 2d, \text{ if } r > 0$$
(6)

or

$$s > \max\{2d, \frac{(D \cdot H)^2}{2H^2} + 1\}, \text{ if } r = 0.$$
 (7)

Let μ_H be the slope function with respect to H. Under these conditions, Yoshioka has shown in [Yos09, Theorem 1.7, Corollary 2.14] that there is an isomorphism

$$\Phi: M_H(v) \to M_{\widehat{H}}(\widehat{v})$$

induced by a Fourier-Mukai transform such that for any stable sheaf $E \in M$, $\Phi(E)$ is a $\mu_{\widehat{H}}$ -stable sheaf of rank s (See also [BZ19, Theorem 3.4]). The conditions also ensures that the $\mu_{\widehat{H}}$ -stable sheaves in $M_{\widehat{H}}(\widehat{v})$ have sufficiently large rank and have to be locally free (cf. [Yos01, Remark 3.2] and [HL97, Remark 6.1.9]). In fact, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to E \to E^{\vee \vee} \to Q \to 0$$

with $E^{\vee\vee}$ being $\mu_{\widehat{H}}$ -stable and Q being a 0-dimensional sheaf whose support is of length l. Then $v(E^{\vee\vee})^2 = v(E)^2 - 2rl \ge -2$. Therefore l = 0 if $r > \frac{v(E)^2 + 2}{2}$. By Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\mathbf{S}_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{SYZ}}(M_{\widehat{H}}(\widehat{v})) = \mathbf{S}_{\bullet}(M_{\widehat{H}}(\widehat{v}))$$

Finally, according to [SYZ20, Proposition 0.4], Φ induces an isomorphism between the two filtartions

$$\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{SYZ}}_{\bullet} \operatorname{CH}_0(M_H(v)) \cong \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{SYZ}}_{\bullet} \operatorname{CH}_0(M_{\widehat{H}}(\widehat{v})).$$

It follows that $S^{SYZ}_{\bullet} CH_0(M) = S_{\bullet} CH_0(M)$.


Now we return to the case $M = M_{\sigma}(v)$ is a Bridgeland moduli space. According to [BZ19, Proposition 3.5], every Bridgeland moduli space is isomorphic to a moduli space of Gieseker stable sheaves via a derived equivalence. Then again by [SYZ20, Proposition 0.4], one can immediately get the assertion.

Corollary 3.2. For any $E \in M$ with dim $O_E = d - i$, the subvarieties of the orbit O_E with dimension d - i are dense in M.

Proof. In [SYZ20], it has been shown that there is an incident variety

$$R \subset \{(E,\xi) \mid c_2(E) = [\operatorname{supp}(\xi)] + c \cdot o_X \in \operatorname{CH}_0(X)\} \subset M \times S^{[d]}$$

such that the natural projections in the diagram below



are both generically finite and surjective. Here, c is a constant depending on the Mukai vector of E. By Theorem 1.1, we have $c_2(E) \in S_i(X)$. Using the surjectivity of p, we can find $\xi \in X^{[d]}$ such that $(E,\xi) \in R$. As $c_2(\xi) \in S_i(X)$, by [Voi15, Lemma 3.5], the subvarieties $W_{\alpha} \subseteq O_{\xi}$ with dimension d - i are dense in $X^{[d]}$. Shrinking R if necessary, we may assume p and q are finite. Then the subvarieties $p \circ q^{-1}(W_{\alpha})$ are lying in the orbit O_E of dimension d - i and they are clearly Zariski dense in M.

Corollary 3.3. The filtration $S_{\bullet} CH_0(M)$ is invariant under birational transform between hyper-Kähler varieties, i.e. if $f : M = M_{\sigma}(v) \dashrightarrow M'$ is a birational map, then the induced isomorphism

$$\operatorname{CH}_0(M') \cong \operatorname{CH}_0(M)$$

preserves the fibration S_{\bullet} .

Proof. The hyper-Kähler birational models of M is controlled by its birational ample cone. According to [BM14, Theorem 1.2], there is a continuous map

$$\ell : \operatorname{Stab}^{\dagger}(X) \to \operatorname{NS}(M_{\sigma}(v)),$$

from a connected component of space of stability conditions on $D^b(X)$ to the Néron-Severi group such that the image of ℓ is the birational ample cone and M' is isomorphic to a moduli space of stable objects $M_{\sigma'}(v)$ for a generic σ' in some chamber \mathcal{C}' , such that $\ell(\mathcal{C}') = f^* \operatorname{Amp}(M_{\sigma'}(v))$ and $\ell(\mathcal{C}) = \operatorname{Amp}(M_{\sigma}(v))$ for the chamber \mathcal{C} containing σ . Moreover, up to an automorphism, the birational map $f: M_{\sigma}(v) \dashrightarrow M_{\sigma'(v)}$ is actually induced by a derived (anti) autoequivalence.

Thus we can deduce the result by the $S_{\bullet} = S_{\bullet}^{SYZ}$ and that S_{\bullet}^{SYZ} is independent of modular interpretations.

4. Applications: Comparison with other filtrations

With the notations as before, on the moduli space $M = M_H(v)$ of stable sheaves, there is a distinguished point $c_M = [E_0] \in M$ such that $c_2(E_0) = ko_X$ with $k = \frac{D^2}{2} + r - s$. The existence of c_M is ensured by the surjectivity of the projection map from the incident variety R to $X^{[d]}$. Let us recall the filtrations defined in [BFMS19].

Definition 4.1. Let Δ be the diagonal in the product $M \times M$ and we set

$$\Delta = \Delta - M \times c_M \in \operatorname{CH}_{2d}(M \times M) \tag{8}$$

Then one can define

$$\mathbf{S}_{i}^{\mathrm{BFMS}}(\mathrm{CH}_{0}(M)) := \{ \alpha \mid \alpha \cdot \overline{\Delta}_{0,1} \dots \cdot \overline{\Delta}_{0,i+1} = 0 \in \mathrm{CH}_{*}(M \times M^{i+1}) \},$$
(9)

where $\overline{\Delta}_{0,j}$ is the pull back of $\overline{\Delta}$ from the 0-th and j-th factors for $j = 0, 1, \dots i + 1$. It is useful to single out the subgroup

$$S_i^{\text{small}}(CH_0(M)) \subset S_i^{BFMS}(CH_0(M))$$

generated by point classes $[F] \in S_i^{BFMS}(CH_0(M))$ with $F \in M$.

In [BFMS19] and [Via20], it has been proved that

$$S_{\bullet}^{\text{small}} \operatorname{CH}_{0}(M) = S_{\bullet}^{\text{BFMS}} \operatorname{CH}_{0}(M) = S_{\bullet}^{\text{SYZ}} \operatorname{CH}_{0}(M) = \operatorname{R}_{\bullet} \operatorname{CH}_{0}(M),$$
(10)

(cf. [Via20, Proposition A.6, Theorem 8.4]). Furthermore, for 0-cycles, the termination of this filtration implies that for all $F \in M$

$$[F] \cdot \overline{\Delta}_{0,1} \cdot \overline{\Delta}_{0,2} \dots \overline{\Delta}_{0,d+1} = 0 \in CH_*(M \times M^{d+1})$$

Furthermore, they conjectured that a generalization of this equation for higher dimensional constant cycle varieties is still valid. As a consequence of Theorem 1.1, we confirm their conjecture.

Theorem 4.2. [BFMS19, Conjecture 4] Let $Y \subseteq M$ be a constant cycle subvariety. Then

$$[Y] \cdot \overline{\Delta}_{0,1} \cdot \overline{\Delta}_{0,2} \dots \overline{\Delta}_{0,d+1} = 0 \in \mathrm{CH}_*(M \times M^{d+1}).$$

Here, [Y] is pulled back as usual from the first M factor.

Proof. According to [BFMS19, Lemma 4], Conjecture holds if and only if

$$S_{\bullet} \operatorname{CH}_{0}(M) \subseteq S_{\bullet}^{\operatorname{BFMS}} \operatorname{CH}_{0}(M).$$

The assertion follows directly from (10) (cf. [BFMS19, Lemma 3] and [Via20, Theorem 8.4]). \Box

Combining the above results, one can conclude Theorem 1.2.

Remark 4.3. It was asked in [SYZ20, Question 3.2] whether one has the following equivalence

$$[E] \in \mathcal{S}_i^{SYZ} \operatorname{CH}_0(M)$$
 if and only if $c_2(E) \in \mathcal{S}_i(X)$.

An affirmative answer of this question can be implied by the following statement:

$$[V_E] \cdot \overline{\Delta}_{0,1} \cdot \overline{\Delta}_{0,2} \dots \overline{\Delta}_{0,d} \neq 0 \tag{11}$$

for a maximal constant cycle variety V_E containing E. This is due to a direct computation in [BFMS19, Remark 6]: if $E \in S_i^{\text{small}} CH_0(X)$, one must have dim $V_E \ge d - i$ assuming (11). Then up to taking a derived equivalence preserving O'Grady's filtration, one can assume that E is locally free and obtain $c_2(E) \in S_i(X)$ by applying Theorem 3.1.

In [BFMS19, Remark 6], it also claims that (11) holds if

$$S^{\text{small}}_{\bullet} \operatorname{CH}_0(M) \subseteq S_{\bullet} \operatorname{CH}_0(M).$$

which is always true by Theorem 1.2. However, it seems to us that there exists a gap in the proof: it's unclear why there exists a constant cycle variety V_E containing E with dim $V_E \ge n-i$ for $E \in S_i^{\text{small}} \operatorname{CH}_0(M) \cap S_i \operatorname{CH}_0(M) \setminus S_{i-1}^{\text{small}} \operatorname{CH}_0(M)$. Hence the question seems still open.

References

- [BFMS19] Ignacio Barros, Laure Flapan, Alina Marian, and Rob Silversmith. On product identities and Chow ring of holomorphic symplectic varieties. arXiv:1912.13419, 2019.
- [BM14] Arend Bayer and Emanuele Macrì. MMP for moduli of sheaves on K3s via wall-crossing: nef and movable cones, Lagrangian fibrations. *Invent. Math.*, 198(3):505–590, 2014.
- [BV04] Arnaud Beauville and Claire Voisin. On the chow ring of a k3 surface. *Journal of Algebraic Geometry*, 13(3):417–426, 2004.
- [BZ19] Nero Budur and Ziyu Zhang. Formality conjecture for K3 surfaces. Compos. Math., 155(5):902–911, 2019.
- [HL97] Daniel Huybrechts and Manfred Lehn. The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves. Aspects of Mathematics, E31. Friedr. Vieweg & Sohn, Braunschweig, 1997.
- [Lan06] Adrian Langer. Moduli spaces and castelnuovo-mumford regularity of sheaves on surfaces. American journal of mathematics, 128(2):373–417, 2006.
- [MZ20] Alina Marian and Xiaolei Zhao. On the group of zero-cycles of holomorphic symplectic varieties. *Épijournal Géom. Algébrique*, 4:Art. 3, 5, 2020.
- [O'G13] Kieran G. O'Grady. Moduli of sheaves and the Chow group of K3 surfaces. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9), 100(5):701–718, 2013.
- [SYZ20] Junliang Shen, Qizheng Yin, and Xiaolei Zhao. Derived categories of K3 surfaces, O'Grady's filtration, and zero-cycles on holomorphic symplectic varieties. *Compos. Math.*, 156(1):179–197, 2020.
- [Via20] Charles Vial. On the birational motive of hyper-kähler varieties. arXiv:2010.00099, 2020.

- [Voi15] Claire Voisin. Rational equivalence of 0-cycles on K3 surfaces and conjectures of Huybrechts and O'Grady. In Recent advances in algebraic geometry, volume 417 of London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., pages 422–436. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2015.
- [Voi16] Claire Voisin. Remarks and questions on coisotropic subvarieties and 0-cycles of hyper-Kähler varieties. In K3 surfaces and their moduli, volume 315 of Progr. Math., pages 365–399. Birkhäuser/Springer, [Cham], 2016.
- [Yos01] Kota Yoshioka. Moduli spaces of stable sheaves on abelian surfaces. Math. Ann., 321(4):817–884, 2001.
- [Yos09] Kota Yoshioka. Stability and the Fourier-Mukai transform. II. Compos. Math., 145(1):112–142, 2009.

Zhiyuan Li, Shanghai Center for Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Jiangwan Campus, Shanghai, 200438, China

Email address: zhiyuan_li@fudan.edu.cn

Ruxuan Zhang, Shanghai Center for Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Jiangwan Campus, Shanghai, 200438, China

Email address: rxzhang180fudan.edu.cn