arXiv:2201.00609v2 [math.NA] 17 Apr 2023

L^2 norm error estimates of BDF methods up to fifth-order for the phase field crystal model

Hong-lin Liao* Yuanyuan Kang†

April 18, 2023

Abstract

The well-known backward difference formulas (BDF) of the third, the fourth and the fifth orders are investigated for time integration of the phase field crystal model. By building up novel discrete gradient structures of the BDF-k (k = 3, 4, 5) formulas, we establish energy dissipation laws at the discrete levels and then obtain a priori solution estimates for the associated numerical schemes (however, we can not build any discrete energy dissipation law for the corresponding BDF-6 scheme because the BDF-6 formula itself does not have any discrete gradient structures). With the help of the discrete orthogonal convolution kernels and Young-type convolution inequalities, some concise L^2 norm error estimates (with respect to the starting data in the L^2 norm) are established via the discrete energy technique. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time such type L^2 norm error estimates of non-A-stable BDF schemes are obtained for nonlinear parabolic equations. Numerical examples are presented to verify and support the theoretical analysis.

Keywords: phase field crystal model; high-order BDF method; discrete gradient structure; energy dissipation law; orthogonal convolution kernels; L^2 norm error estimate

AMS subject classiffications. 35Q99, 65M06, 65M12

1 Introduction

This work builds on the recent discrete energy analysis [27] of the backward difference formula (BDF) schemes for linear diffusion equations. The phase field crystal (PFC) model is a class of sixth order nonlinear parabolic equation, which is thermodynamically consistent [9, 10] in that the free energy of the thermodynamic model is dissipative. Consider a free energy functional of Swift-Hohenberg type [9, 10],

$$E[\Phi] = \int_\Omega \left(\frac{1}{4}\Phi^4 + \frac{1}{2}\Phi \left[-\epsilon + (1+\Delta)^2 \right] \Phi \right) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}, \tag{1.1}$$

*ORCID 0000-0003-0777-6832; School of Mathematics, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Nanjing 211106, China; Key Laboratory of Mathematical Modelling and High Performance Computing of Air Vehicles (NUAA), MIIT, Nanjing 211106, China. Hong-lin Liao (liaohl@nuaa.edu.cn and liaohl@csrc.ac.cn) is supported by a grant 12071216 from National Natural Science Foundation of China.

†School of Mathematics, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics, 211101, P. R. China. Email: kangyy0101@163.com.

where $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, Φ represents the atomistic density field and $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ is a parameter related to the temperature. The PFC equation is given by the H^{-1} gradient flow associated with the free energy functional $E[\Phi]$,

$$\partial_t \Phi = \Delta \mu \quad \text{with the chemical potential} \quad \mu := \tfrac{\delta E}{\delta \Phi} = \Phi^3 - \epsilon \Phi + (1+\Delta)^2 \Phi. \tag{1.2}$$

The PFC growth model is an efficient approach to simulate crystal dynamics at the atomic scale in space while on diffusive scales in time. This model has been successfully applied to a wide variety of simulations in materials science across different time scales. Related numerical schemes for the PFC model can be found in [8, 18, 19, 22, 24, 34]. We assume that Φ is periodic over the domain Ω. Let the L^2 inner product $\langle f, g \rangle := \int_\Omega fg \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}$ and the associated L^2 norm $\|f\| := \sqrt{\langle f, f \rangle}$ for all $f, g \in L^2(\Omega)$. We always use the standard seminorms and norms in the Sobolev space $H^m(\Omega)$ for $m \geq 0$. By the integration by parts, one has the volume conservation, $\big\langle \Phi(t), 1 \big\rangle = \big\langle \Phi(0), 1 \big\rangle$, and the following energy dissipation law,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t} = \big(\tfrac{\delta E}{\delta \Phi}, \partial_t \Phi\big) = (\mu, \Delta \mu) = -\|\nabla \mu\|^2 \leq 0. \tag{1.3}$$

Let the discrete time level $t_k = k\tau$ with the uniform time-step $\tau := T/N$. For any discrete time sequence $\{v^n\}_{n=0}^N$, denote $\nabla_\tau v^n := v^n - v^{n-1}$ and $\partial_\tau v^n := \nabla_\tau v^n / \tau$. Here and hereafter, let the summation $\sum_{k=i}^j \cdot = 0$ if the lower index i is greater than the upper index j. For a fixed index $3 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 6$, we view the BDF-k formula as a discrete convolution summation,

$$D_{\mathrm{k}} v^n := \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{n-j}^{(\mathrm{k})} \nabla_\tau v^j \quad \text{for } n \geq \mathrm{k}, \tag{1.4}$$

where the associated BDF-k kernels $b_j^{(\mathrm{k})}$ (vanish if $j \geq \mathrm{k}$), see Table 1, are generated by

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\mathrm{k}} \frac{1}{\ell} (1-\zeta)^{\ell-1} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\mathrm{k}-1} b_\ell^{(\mathrm{k})} \zeta^\ell \quad \text{for } 3 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 6. \tag{1.5}$$

Table 1: The BDF-k kernels $b_j^{(\mathrm{k})}$ generated by (1.5)

BDF-k	$b_0^{(\mathrm{k})}$	$b_1^{(\mathrm{k})}$	$b_2^{(\mathrm{k})}$	$b_3^{(\mathrm{k})}$	$b_4^{(\mathrm{k})}$	$b_5^{(\mathrm{k})}$
$\mathrm{k}=3$	$\frac{11}{6}$	$-\frac{7}{6}$	$\frac{1}{3}$			
$\mathrm{k}=4$	$\frac{25}{12}$	$-\frac{23}{12}$	$\frac{13}{12}$	$-\frac{1}{4}$		
$\mathrm{k}=5$	$\frac{137}{60}$	$-\frac{163}{60}$	$\frac{137}{60}$	$-\frac{21}{20}$	$\frac{1}{5}$	
$\mathrm{k}=6$	$\frac{147}{60}$	$-\frac{213}{60}$	$\frac{237}{60}$	$-\frac{163}{60}$	$\frac{62}{60}$	$-\frac{1}{6}$

This work is motivated in developing high-order BDF time-steppings for the long-time simulations of coarsening dynamics. Recently, the adaptive BDF2 time-stepping scheme was investigated theoretically in [24,28] for the linear diffusion equation and PFC model (1.2), respectively. The discrete energy dissipation law and concise L^2 norm error estimate were established under

a practical step-ratio constraint. In general, lower order schemes would be well suited for the fast varying solutions especially in the early coarsening process [23, 25, 35]; while high-order stable methods should be more preferable for slowly varying solutions during the long-time process approaching the steady state [5, 15, 16, 31]. It is well known that the BDF-k methods are numerically dissipative (L-stable) and have a faster dissipation rate for higher frequency disturbances. They are easy to implement compared with some existing methods and have their own advantages in the long-time simulation of gradient flow problems, including phase field crystal model. In the literature, the stability and convergence of A-stable (G-stable) BDF1 and BDF2 schemes [7, 11, 15, 17, 32] have been well studied, while the stability and convergence of the non-A-stable BDF-k ($\mathrm{k} = 3, 4, 5, 6$) schemes for nonlinear phase field models have not been well studied in the literatures due to the lack of proper discrete energy techniques.

This situation was improved recently due to the seminal work [29] by Lubich, Mansour and Venkataraman. They noticed that the Nevanlinna-Odeh multiplier technique [30] is a powerful discrete tool for the stability analysis of non-A-stable BDF-k methods. This tool was applied and explored in the numerical analysis of fully implicit and implicit-explicit BDF-k approaches for linear and nonlinear parabolic problems, see related works in [1, 3, 4] and references therein. As noticed, the multiplier technique relies on the celebrated equivalence of A-stability and G-stability for linear multi-step methods by Dahlquist [7]. Recently, the discrete time derivative $D_{\mathrm{k}} v^n$ was also used in [2, 20, 21] as a multiplier to derive optimal H^1 norm error estimate for nonlinear parabolic equations. Nonetheless, because the products of nonlinear term and these multipliers can hardly be written into a difference between two positive functionals (part of energy), it seems that these multiplier techniques are inadequate to establish the energy dissipation law and L^2 norm convergence of non-A-stable BDF-k schemes for nonlinear gradient flow problems.

Practically, the preservation of (1.3) at each time level, called discrete energy dissipation law, has been proven to the fundamental requirement of numerical methods for the effective simulation of long-time coarsening dynamics [8, 13, 18, 19, 25, 31, 32]. We focus on the intrinsic energy stability properties of the non-A-stable BDF-k formulas themselves, that is, some positive constants $\sigma_{L\mathrm{k}}$ (the larger, the better), two nonnegative quadratic functionals $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{k}}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{k}}$ are sought such that the BDF-k kernels $b_j^{(\mathrm{k})}$ defined in (1.5) satisfy the following *discrete gradient structure* in the sense of [5, 31] or [32, Section 5.6],

$$v_n \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{n-j}^{(\mathrm{k})} v_j = \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{k}}[\vec{v}_n] - \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{k}}[\vec{v}_{n-1}] + \frac{\sigma_{L\mathrm{k}}}{2} v_n^2 + \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{k}}[\vec{v}_n] \quad \text{for } n \geq \mathrm{k}, \tag{1.6}$$

where $\vec{v}_n$ denotes the consecutive tuples $\vec{v}_n := (v_n, v_{n-1}, \cdots, v_0)$. As shown in Theorem 2.2 that the discrete gradient structure (1.6) plays an important role for constructing the discrete energy dissipation laws of the corresponding BDF schemes. In this work, we achieve concise discrete gradient structures for the BDF-k formulas with the constants $\sigma_{L3} \approx 1.979$, $\sigma_{L4} \approx 1.601$ and $\sigma_{L5} \approx 0.3367$, respectively, see Lemma 2.3.

To demonstrate the practical significance of discrete gradient structures, we consider the following BDF-k implicit scheme subject to the periodic boundary conditions

$$D_{\mathrm{k}} \phi^n = \Delta \mu^n \quad \text{with} \quad \mu^n = (1 + \Delta)^2 \phi^n + (\phi^n)^3 - \epsilon \phi^n \quad \text{for } \mathrm{k} \leq n \leq N, \tag{1.7}$$

where the starting solutions ϕ^1, ϕ^2, $\cdots$, $\phi^{\mathrm{k}-1}$ are assumed to be available and accurate enough, such as, by Runge-Kutta methods [13]. In this paper, we only consider the time-discrete approximation. Our theoretical results including the discrete energy dissipation laws and L^2 norm error analysis can be easily extended to the fully discrete scheme by using finite difference, finite element or pseudo-spectral approximation preserving the discrete Green's formulas.

In the L^2 norm error analysis, our main discrete tool is the discrete orthogonal convolution (DOC) kernels. For the discrete BDF-k kernels $b_j^{(\mathrm{k})}$ generated by (1.5), the corresponding DOC-k kernels $\theta_j^{(\mathrm{k})}$ are defined by [27]

$$\theta_0^{(\mathrm{k})} := \frac{1}{b_0^{(\mathrm{k})}} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{n-j}^{(\mathrm{k})} := -\frac{1}{b_0^{(\mathrm{k})}} \sum_{\ell=j+1}^{n} \theta_{n-\ell}^{(\mathrm{k})} b_{\ell-j}^{(\mathrm{k})} \quad \text{for } j = n-1, n-2, \cdots, \mathrm{k}+1, \mathrm{k}. \tag{1.8}$$

It is easy to find the following *discrete orthogonal convolution identity*

$$\sum_{\ell=j}^{n} \theta_{n-\ell}^{(\mathrm{k})} b_{\ell-j}^{(\mathrm{k})} \equiv \delta_{nj} \quad \text{for any } \mathrm{k} \le j \le n, \tag{1.9}$$

where δ_{nj} is the Kronecker delta symbol. Thus, by exchanging the summation order, one gets

$$\sum_{j=\mathrm{k}}^{n} \theta_{n-j}^{(\mathrm{k})} \sum_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^{j} b_{j-\ell}^{(\mathrm{k})} \nabla_\tau \phi^\ell = \sum_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^{n} \nabla_\tau \phi^\ell \sum_{j=\ell}^{n} \theta_{n-j}^{(\mathrm{k})} b_{j-\ell}^{(\mathrm{k})} = \nabla_\tau \phi^n \quad \text{for } \mathrm{k} \le n \le N.$$

Multiplying the BDF-k formula $D_{\mathrm{k}}\phi^j$ with the associated DOC kernels $\theta_{n-j}^{(\mathrm{k})}$ and summing j from $j = \mathrm{k}$ to n, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=\mathrm{k}}^{n} \theta_{n-j}^{(\mathrm{k})} D_{\mathrm{k}} \phi^j &= \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{j=\mathrm{k}}^{n} \theta_{n-j}^{(\mathrm{k})} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\mathrm{k}-1} b_{j-\ell}^{(\mathrm{k})} \nabla_\tau \phi^\ell + \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{j=\mathrm{k}}^{n} \theta_{n-j}^{(\mathrm{k})} \sum_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^{j} b_{j-\ell}^{(\mathrm{k})} \nabla_\tau \phi^\ell \\ &\triangleq \frac{1}{\tau} \phi_{\mathrm{I}}^{(\mathrm{k},n)} + \partial_\tau \phi^n \qquad \text{for } \mathrm{k} \le n \le N, \end{aligned} \tag{1.10}$$

where $\phi_{\mathrm{I}}^{(\mathrm{k},n)}$ represents the starting effects on the numerical solution at the time t_n,

$$\phi_{\mathrm{I}}^{(\mathrm{k},n)} := \sum_{\ell=1}^{\mathrm{k}-1} \nabla_\tau \phi^\ell \sum_{j=\mathrm{k}}^{n} \theta_{n-j}^{(\mathrm{k})} b_{j-\ell}^{(\mathrm{k})} \qquad \text{for } n \ge \mathrm{k}. \tag{1.11}$$

By using (1.10) and (1.11), we can reformulate the discrete scheme (1.7) into

$$\partial_\tau \phi^j = -\phi_{\mathrm{I}}^{(\mathrm{k},j)}/\tau + \sum_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^{j} \theta_{j-\ell}^{(\mathrm{k})} \Delta \mu^\ell \quad \text{for } j \ge \mathrm{k}. \tag{1.12}$$

In section 4, the L^2 norm error estimates of the BDF-k schemes (1.7) are proved via the above equivalent formulation (1.12). The standard discrete energy technique will be used with the help of some novel discrete convolution inequalities in section 3. Numerical examples are presented in the last section to support our theoretical analysis.

In summary, our contributions in this paper are two-fold:

1. Novel discrete gradient structures of the BDF-k (k = 3, 4, 5) formulas are derived such that we can build up certain discrete energy dissipation laws and obtain the priori solution estimates in the energy norm for the BDF-k time-stepping schemes (1.7). However, we can not build any discrete energy dissipation law for the corresponding BDF-6 scheme because the BDF-6 formula itself does not have any discrete gradient structures. It provides a counterexample for the conjecture by Stuart and Humphries [32, Section 5.6].

2. By developing novel discrete convolution inequalities of Young-type, we prove the L^2 norm convergence of the high-order BDF-k scheme (1.7) with respect to the starting data in the L^2 norm. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time such optimal L^2 norm error estimates of BDF-k methods (k = 3, 4, 5) are proved for a nonlinear parabolic problem.

Throughout this paper, any subscripted C, such as C_ϕ, denotes a generic positive constant, not necessarily the same at different occurrences; while, any subscripted c, such as c_Ω, c_0, c_1 and so on, denotes a fixed constant. Always, the appeared constants are dependent on the given data and the solution but independent of the time steps.

2 Energy dissipation law and solvability

Denote the space $\mathbb{V} := \{v \,|\, v \text{ is periodic for } \mathbf{x} \in \Omega\}$. For any functions $v, w \in \mathbb{V}$, one has the Green's formulas, $\langle -\Delta v, w\rangle = \langle \nabla v, \nabla w\rangle$, $\langle \Delta^2 v, w\rangle = \langle \Delta v, \Delta w\rangle$, and $\langle \Delta^3 v, w\rangle = -\langle \nabla\Delta v, \nabla\Delta w\rangle$. Let $\|v\|_{L^\infty} := \max_{\mathbf{x}\in\Omega} |v|$. We have the embedding inequality [6, 26]

$$\|v\|_{L^\infty} \le c_\Omega \left(\|v\| + \|\Delta v\|\right) \quad \text{for any } v \in \mathbb{V}. \tag{2.1}$$

For the underlying volume-conservative problem, it is convenient to define a mean-zero space $\mathring{\mathbb{V}} := \left\{v \in L^2(\Omega) \,|\, \langle v, 1\rangle = 0\right\} \subset \mathbb{V}$. The H^{-1} inner product $\langle v, w\rangle_{-1} := \left\langle (-\Delta)^{-1} v, w\right\rangle$ and the associated H^{-1} norm $\|\cdot\|_{-1}$ can be defined by $\|v\|_{-1} := \sqrt{\langle v, v\rangle_{-1}}$. For any functions $v \in \mathring{\mathbb{V}}$, we have the generalized Hölder inequality, $\|v\|^2 \le \|\nabla v\| \, \|v\|_{-1}$, and the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1 *[24, Lemma 2.1] For any grid functions $v \in \mathring{\mathbb{V}}$, it holds that*

$$\|v\|^2 \le \frac{1}{3}\|(1+\Delta)v\|^2 + \frac{3}{2}\|v\|_{-1}^2.$$

2.1 Unique solvability

To focus on the numerical analysis of the BDF-k solutions, it is to assume that

A1. Certain starting scheme, such as Gauss collocation Runge-Kutta method [12], is chosen to compute the first (k − 1)-level solutions ϕ^ℓ for $1 \le \ell \le \mathrm{k}-1$ such that they preserve the volume, $\langle \phi^\ell, 1\rangle = \langle \phi^0, 1\rangle$ for $1 \le \ell \le \mathrm{k}-1$.

Note that, the solution ϕ^n of BDF-k scheme (1.7) preserves the volume, $\langle \phi^n, 1\rangle = \langle \phi^0, 1\rangle$, for $n \ge 1$. Actually, taking the inner product of (1.7) by 1 and applying the summation by parts, one has $\langle D_{\mathrm{k}}\phi^j, 1\rangle = \langle \Delta\mu^j, 1\rangle = 0$ for $j \ge \mathrm{k}$. Multiplying both sides of this equality by the DOC-k kernels $\theta_{n-j}^{(\mathrm{k})}$ and summing the index j from $j = \mathrm{k}$ to n, we get $\sum_{j=\mathrm{k}}^{n} \theta_{n-j}^{(\mathrm{k})} \langle D_{\mathrm{k}}\phi^j, 1\rangle = 0$

for $n \geq \mathrm{k}$. It follows from (1.10) that $\langle \nabla_\tau \phi^n, 1\rangle = 0$ because the assumption **A1** implies $\langle \phi_{\mathrm{I}}^{(\mathrm{k},n)}, 1\rangle = 0$. Simple induction yields the conservation law, $\langle \phi^n, 1\rangle = \langle \phi^0, 1\rangle$ for $n \geq 1$.

Theorem 2.1 *If the time-step* $\tau \leq \frac{2}{3\epsilon} b_0^{(\mathrm{k})}$, *the BDF-*k *scheme* (1.7) *is uniquely solvable.*

Proof For any fixed time-level indexes $n \geq \mathrm{k}$, we consider the following energy functional G on the space $\mathbb{V}^* := \{z \in L^2(\Omega) \,|\, \langle z, 1\rangle = \langle \phi^{n-1}, 1\rangle\}$,

$$G[z] := \frac{1}{2\tau}\langle b_0^{(\mathrm{k})}(z - \phi^{n-1}) + 2L^{n-1}, z - \phi^{n-1}\rangle_{-1} + \frac{1}{2}\big\|(1+\Delta)z\big\|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\langle z^3 - 2\epsilon z, z\rangle,$$

where $L^{n-1} := \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-1} b_{n-\ell}^{(\mathrm{k})} \nabla_\tau \phi^\ell$. Under the time-step constraint $\tau \leq \frac{2}{3\epsilon} b_0^{(\mathrm{k})}$, the functional G is strictly convex. Actually, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and any $\psi \in \mathring{\mathbb{V}}$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 G}{\mathrm{d}\lambda^2}[z+\lambda\psi]\Big|_{\lambda=0} &= \frac{1}{\tau} b_0^{(\mathrm{k})} \big\|\psi\big\|_{-1}^2 + \big\|(1+\Delta)\psi\big\|^2 + 3\big\|z\psi\big\|^2 - \epsilon\big\|\psi\big\|^2 \\ &\geq \big(\frac{1}{\tau} b_0^{(\mathrm{k})} - \frac{3\epsilon}{2}\big)\big\|\psi\big\|_{-1}^2 + \frac{2}{3}\big\|(1+\Delta)\psi\big\|^2 + 3\big\|z\psi\big\|^2 \geq 0,\end{aligned}$$

where Lemma 2.1 was applied with the setting $0 < \epsilon < 1$. Also, $G[z]$ is coercive on $\mathbb{V}^*$. Thus the functional G has a unique minimizer, denoted by ϕ^n, if and only if it solves the equation

$$\begin{aligned}0 = \frac{\mathrm{d}G}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}[z+\lambda\psi]\Big|_{\lambda=0} &= \frac{1}{\tau}\langle b_0^{(\mathrm{k})}(z-\phi^{n-1}) + L^{n-1}, \psi\rangle_{-1} + \big\langle (1+\Delta)^2 z + z^3 - \epsilon z, \psi\big\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\tau}\langle b_0^{(\mathrm{k})}(z-\phi^{n-1}) + L^{n-1} - \tau\Delta\big[(1+\Delta)^2 z + z^3 - \epsilon z\big], \psi\rangle_{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

This equation holds for any $\psi \in \mathring{\mathbb{V}}$ if and only if the unique minimizer $\phi^n \in \mathbb{V}^*$ solves

$$\frac{1}{\tau}\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} b_{n-\ell}^{(\mathrm{k})} \nabla_\tau \phi^\ell - \Delta\big[(1+\Delta)^2\phi^n + (\phi^n)^3 - \epsilon\phi^n\big] = 0,$$

which is just the BDF-k scheme (1.7). It completes the proof. ∎

As seen, the time-step size constraint $\tau \leq \frac{2}{3\epsilon} b_0^{(\mathrm{k})}$ for solvability and energy stability (see Theorem 2.2 below) is not practically restrictive since the parameter $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$.

2.2 Energy dissipation law

The positive definiteness of the BDF-k kernels has been established in [27, Lemma 2.4] with the help of the Grenander-Szegö theorem [14, pp. 64–65].

Lemma 2.2 *[27, Lemma 2.4] For* $3 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 5$, *the discrete BDF-*k *kernels* $b_j^{(\mathrm{k})}$ *defined in* (1.5) *are positive definite in the sense that*

$$2\sum_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^{n} w_\ell \sum_{j=\mathrm{k}}^{\ell} b_{\ell-j}^{(\mathrm{k})} w_j \geq \mathfrak{m}_{1\mathrm{k}} \sum_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^{n} w_\ell^2 \quad \textit{for } n \geq \mathrm{k},$$

where $\mathfrak{m}_{13} = 95/48$, $\mathfrak{m}_{14} = 1.628$ *and* $\mathfrak{m}_{15} = 0.3711$. *The minimum eigenvalue of the associated quadratic form with the BDF-*k *kernels can be bounded from below by the constant* $\mathfrak{m}_{1\mathrm{k}}$.

This result may be adequate to show that the discrete solution of (1.7) is bounded in an energy norm. However, it is inadequate to build some discrete energy dissipation laws to simulate the continuous property (1.3) at each time level. To achieve this aim, some novel quadratic decompositions (or, discrete gradient structures according to [11, 32]) for the BDF-k formulas (1.4) are given in the following lemma. Some roughly lower estimates $\sigma_{L\mathrm{k}} \leq \mathfrak{m}_{1\mathrm{k}}$ are then obtained for the minimum eigenvalues of the quadratic forms with the BDF-k kernels $b_j^{(\mathrm{k})}$.

We remark that the functionals $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{k}}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{k}}$ in Lemma 2.3 always involve the consecutive tuples $\vec{v}_n = (v_n, v_{n-1}, \cdots, v_0)$. For the simplicity of notations, we denote

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{k}}[\vec{v}_n] \triangleq \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{k}}[v_n, v_{n-1}, \cdots, v_0], \quad \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{k}}[\vec{v}_{n-1}] \triangleq \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{k}}[v_{n-1}, v_{n-2}, \cdots, v_0].$$

Lemma 2.3 *For the real sequence $\{v_k \,|\, k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, N\}$, define the difference operators*

$$\delta_1 v_n := \delta_1^1 v_n = v_n - v_{n-1} \quad \textit{and} \quad \delta_1^{m+1} v_n := \delta_1^m(\delta_1 v_n) = \delta_1^m v_n - \delta_1^m v_{n-1} \quad \textit{for } m \geq 1.$$

Then for the step index $\mathrm{k} = 3, 4$ *and 5, there exists positive constant $\sigma_{L\mathrm{k}}$, nonnegative quadratic functionals $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{k}}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{k}}$ such that the BDF-*k *kernels $b_j^{(\mathrm{k})}$ defined in* (1.5) *satisfy*

$$v_n \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{n-j}^{(\mathrm{k})} v_j = \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{k}}[\vec{v}_n] - \mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{k}}[\vec{v}_{n-1}] + \frac{\sigma_{L\mathrm{k}}}{2} v_n^2 + \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{k}}[\vec{v}_n] \quad \textit{for } n \geq \mathrm{k}, \tag{2.2}$$

where positive constants $\sigma_{L\mathrm{k}}$, the quadratic functionals $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{k}}$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{k}}$ are given by

- *for* $\mathrm{k} = 3$, *the constant* $\sigma_{L3} := \frac{95}{48} \approx 1.979$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_3[\vec{v}_n] :=& \frac{37}{96} v_n^2 - \frac{1}{8} v_{n-1}^2 + \frac{7}{24} (\delta_1 v_n)^2 = \frac{1}{6} v_n^2 + \frac{1}{6} (\tfrac{7}{4} v_n - v_{n-1})^2, \\
\mathcal{R}_3[\vec{v}_n] :=& \frac{1}{6} (\delta_1^2 v_n + \tfrac{1}{4} v_{n-1})^2;
\end{aligned}$$

- *for* $\mathrm{k} = 4$, *the constant* $\sigma_{L4} := \frac{4919}{3072} \approx 1.601$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_4[\vec{v}_n] :=& \frac{3433}{6144} v_n^2 - \frac{15}{64} v_{n-1}^2 + \frac{1}{8} v_{n-2}^2 + \frac{47}{192} (\delta_1 v_n)^2 - \frac{3}{16} (\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2 + \frac{3}{16} (\delta_1^2 v_n)^2 \\
=& \frac{13627}{43008} v_n^2 + \frac{7}{24} (\tfrac{65}{56} v_n - v_{n-1})^2 + \frac{1}{8} (\tfrac{3}{2} \delta_1 v_n + v_{n-2})^2, \\
\mathcal{R}_4[\vec{v}_n] :=& \frac{1}{8} (\delta_1^3 v_n + \tfrac{3}{2} \delta_1 v_{n-1})^2 + \frac{1}{6} (\delta_1^2 v_n + \tfrac{35}{32} v_{n-1})^2;
\end{aligned}$$

- *for* $\mathrm{k} = 5$, *the constant* $\sigma_{L5} := \frac{646631}{1920000} \approx 0.3367$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_5[\vec{v}_n] :=& \frac{4227769}{3840000} v_n^2 - \frac{551}{1600} v_{n-1}^2 + \frac{17}{40} v_{n-2}^2 - \frac{1}{10} v_{n-3}^2 + \frac{1607}{4800} (\delta_1 v_n)^2 \\
& - \frac{39}{80} (\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2 + \frac{2}{5} (\delta_1 v_{n-2})^2 + \frac{7}{80} (\delta_1^2 v_n)^2 - \frac{2}{5} (\delta_1^2 v_{n-1})^2 + \frac{1}{5} (\delta_1^3 v_n)^2 \\
=& \frac{1198850903}{1678080000} v_n^2 + \frac{437}{900} (\tfrac{4931}{6992} v_n - v_{n-1})^2 \\
& + \frac{9}{40} (\tfrac{23}{18} \delta_1 v_n + v_{n-2})^2 + \frac{1}{10} (2\delta_1 v_n + 2v_{n-2} - v_{n-3})^2, \\
\mathcal{R}_5[\vec{v}_n] :=& \frac{1}{10} (\delta_1^4 v_n + 2\delta_1^2 v_{n-1})^2 + \frac{1}{8} (\delta_1^3 v_n + \tfrac{23}{10} \delta_1 v_{n-1})^2 + \frac{1}{6} (\delta_1^2 v_n + \tfrac{1787}{800} v_{n-1})^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, by summing (2.2) *with the setting* $v_j = 0$ *for* $1 \le j \le \mathrm{k}-1$, *the associated quadratic form of BDF-*k *kernels* $b_j^{(\mathrm{k})}$ *can be bounded by*

$$2\sum_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^{n} v_\ell \sum_{j=\mathrm{k}}^{\ell} b_{\ell-j}^{(\mathrm{k})} v_j \ge \sigma_{L\mathrm{k}} \sum_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^{n} v_\ell^2 \quad \text{for } n \ge \mathrm{k}.$$

The discrete gradient structures (2.2) for the BDF-k formulas with $\mathrm{k} = 3, 4, 5$ can be checked by some symbolic computation software (see the appended MATHEMATICA program `Appendix_BDF345decomposition.nb`) or by rather lengthy but delicate calculations (Appendix A gives a detail proof of Lemma 2.3 for interested readers). Note that the quadratic decomposition for the case of $\mathrm{k} = 3$ would be optimal in the sense that the resulting minimum eigenvalue bound $\sigma_{L3} = 95/48$ equals the lower bound $\mathfrak{m}_{13}$, see Lemma 2.2. The cases of $\mathrm{k} = 4, 5$ seem to be nearly optimal in the sense that σ_{L4} and σ_{L5} is very close to $\mathfrak{m}_{14}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{15}$, respectively.

The delicate quadratic decompositions (2.2) for the non-A-stable BDF-k methods significantly update the results in [32, Theorem 5.6.3] or [11, Theorem 6.2]. They are much sharper than the recent results in [5, Theorems 3.2 and 3.6] with the eigenvalue estimates $\sigma_{L4} = 41/72 \approx 0.5694$ and $\sigma_{L5} = 0.1$ for the BDF-4 and BDF-5 formulas, respectively. The present results give the explicit expressions of the Lyapunov functionals $\mathcal{G}_\mathrm{k}$ and the proof is quite different from the technique of undetermined coefficients in [5, 31].

Remark 1 *The BDF-6 formula might not be suited for simulating the gradient flow models, because we can not find two nonnegative quadratic functionals* $\mathcal{G}_6$ *and* $\mathcal{R}_6$ *to ensure the discrete gradient structure* (2.2) *for the BDF-6 formula. Otherwise, the discrete BDF-6 kernels* $b_j^{(6)}$ *defined by* (1.5) *are at least positive semi-definite. However, it is not difficult to check that the associated quadratic form* $\sum_{m=6}^{n} v_m \sum_{j=6}^{m} b_{m-j}^{(6)} v_j$ *has negative eigenvalues for proper large* n. *Moreover, the BDF-6 formula is* $A(\alpha)$*-stable with* $\alpha = 17.84^\circ$, *cf. [15, Section V.2]. Thus it provides a counterexample for the conjecture by Stuart and Humphries in [32, Section 5.6], in which they inferred that* $A(\alpha)$*-stability implies "gradient stability".*

Let $E[\phi^n]$ be the discrete version of free energy functional (1.1), given by

$$E[\phi^n] := \frac{1}{2}\big\|(1+\Delta)\phi^n\big\|^2 + \frac{1}{4}\big\|(\phi^n)^2 - \epsilon\big\|^2 - \frac{1}{4}\big\|\epsilon\big\|^2 \quad \text{for } n \ge 0. \tag{2.3}$$

We define a modified discrete energy for $n \ge \mathrm{k}$,

$$\mathcal{E}_\mathrm{k}[\vec{\phi}^n] := E[\phi^n] + \frac{1}{\tau}\big\langle \mathcal{G}_\mathrm{k}\big[\nabla_\tau \vec{\phi}^n\big], 1\big\rangle_{-1}. \tag{2.4}$$

As seen, the modified discrete energy $\mathcal{E}_\mathrm{k}$ introduces a perturbed term of $O(\tau)$ to the original energy $E[\phi^n]$ due to the application of BDF-k formula D_k.

Theorem 2.2 *Assume that* ***A1*** *holds and the time-step sizes are properly small such that*

$$\tau \le \frac{2}{3\epsilon}\min\big\{b_0^{(\mathrm{k})}, \sigma_{L\mathrm{k}}\big\}, \tag{2.5}$$

where $\sigma_{L3} \approx 1.979 > b_0^{(3)}$, $\sigma_{L4} \approx 1.601 < b_0^{(4)}$ *and* $\sigma_{L5} \approx 0.3367 < b_0^{(5)}$. *Then the BDF-*k *implicit scheme* (1.7) *preserves the following energy dissipation law*

$$\mathcal{E}_\mathrm{k}[\vec{\phi}^n] \le \mathcal{E}_\mathrm{k}[\vec{\phi}^{n-1}] \quad \text{for } n \ge \mathrm{k}.$$

Proof The first bound of (2.5) ensures the solvability in Theorem 2.1. We shall establish the energy law under the second condition of (2.5). The volume conservation law implies $\nabla_\tau \phi^n \in \mathring{\mathbb{V}}$ for $n \geq 1$. Then we make the inner product of (1.7) by $(-\Delta)^{-1}\nabla_\tau \phi^n$ and obtain

$$\big\langle D_{\rm k}\phi^n, (-\Delta)^{-1}\nabla_\tau \phi^n\big\rangle + \big\langle (1+\Delta)^2\phi^n, \nabla_\tau \phi^n\big\rangle + \big\langle (\phi^n)^3 - \epsilon\phi^n, \nabla_\tau \phi^n\big\rangle = 0. \tag{2.6}$$

With the help of the summation by parts and $2a(a-b) = a^2 - b^2 + (a-b)^2$, the second term at the left hand side of (2.6) gives

$$\big\langle (1+\Delta)^2\phi^n, \nabla_\tau \phi^n\big\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\big\|(1+\Delta)\phi^n\big\|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\big\|(1+\Delta)\phi^{n-1}\big\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\big\|(1+\Delta)\nabla_\tau \phi^n\big\|^2.$$

By using Lemma 2.3 with $v_n := \nabla_\tau \phi^n$, the first term in (2.6) can be bounded by

$$\big\langle D_{\rm k}\phi^n, (-\Delta)^{-1}\nabla_\tau \phi^n\big\rangle \geq \frac{1}{\tau}\big\langle \mathcal{G}_{\rm k}\big[\nabla_\tau \vec{\phi}^n\big], 1\big\rangle_{-1} - \frac{1}{\tau}\big\langle \mathcal{G}_{\rm k}\big[\nabla_\tau \vec{\phi}^{n-1}\big], 1\big\rangle_{-1} + \frac{\sigma_{L{\rm k}}}{2\tau}\big\|\nabla_\tau \phi^n\big\|_{-1}^2.$$

It is easy to check the following identity

$$4\big(a^3 - \epsilon a\big)(a-b) = \big(a^2-\epsilon\big)^2 - \big(b^2-\epsilon\big)^2 - 2\big(\epsilon - a^2\big)(a-b)^2 + \big(a^2-b^2\big)^2.$$

One can bound the third term in (2.6) by

$$\big\langle (\phi^n)^3 - \epsilon\phi^n, \nabla_\tau \phi^n\big\rangle \geq \frac{1}{4}\big\|(\phi^n)^2 - \epsilon\big\|^2 - \frac{1}{4}\big\|(\phi^{n-1})^2 - \epsilon\big\|^2 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\big\|\nabla_\tau \phi^n\big\|^2.$$

By collecting the above estimates, it follows from (2.6) and the definition (2.4) that

$$\frac{1}{2}\big\|(1+\Delta)\nabla_\tau \phi^n\big\|^2 + \frac{\sigma_{L{\rm k}}}{2\tau}\big\|\nabla_\tau \phi^n\big\|_{-1}^2 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}\big\|\nabla_\tau \phi^n\big\|^2 + \mathcal{E}_{\rm k}[\vec{\phi}^n] \leq \mathcal{E}_{\rm k}[\vec{\phi}^{n-1}] \tag{2.7}$$

for $n \geq {\rm k}$. Applying Lemma 2.1, one has

$$\frac{\epsilon}{2}\big\|\nabla_\tau \phi^n\big\|^2 \leq \frac{1}{6}\big\|(1+\Delta)\nabla_\tau \phi^n\big\|^2 + \frac{3\epsilon}{4}\big\|\nabla_\tau \phi^n\big\|_{-1}^2,$$

where $0 < \epsilon < 1$ has been used. Thus we can obtain that

$$\frac{1}{3}\big\|(1+\Delta)\nabla_\tau \phi^n\big\|^2 + \big(\frac{\sigma_{L{\rm k}}}{2\tau} - \frac{3\epsilon}{4}\big)\big\|\nabla_\tau \phi^n\big\|_{-1}^2 + \mathcal{E}_{\rm k}[\vec{\phi}^n] \leq \mathcal{E}_{\rm k}[\vec{\phi}^{n-1}] \quad \text{for } n \geq {\rm k}.$$

Under the second condition of (2.5) or $\tau \leq \frac{2}{3\epsilon}\sigma_{L{\rm k}}$, it yields the claimed result. ∎

The two time-step constraints in (2.5) ensure the unique solvability and the energy stability are consistent since they have the same order of magnitude. But the constraint (2.5) always requires smaller step-sizes for the higher order methods. It is expected that some stabilized techniques [33] would remove the time-step restriction without sacrificing the time accuracy. However, this issue is out of our current scope and will be reported in further studies.

To simplify the subsequent analysis, we impose a further assumption:

A2. Under the assumption **A1** and the time-step constraint (2.5), assume that there exists a constant c_0 such that $\mathcal{E}_{\rm k}[\vec{\phi}^{{\rm k}-1}] \leq c_0$, where c_0 may depend on the problem and the starting values, but is always independent of the time-step size τ.

Lemma 2.4 *If* **A2** *holds, the solution of BDF-*k *scheme* (1.7) *is stable in the* L^∞ *norm,*

$$\left\|\phi^n\right\|_\infty \le c_1 := c_\Omega\sqrt{8c_0 + 2\big(2+\epsilon\big)^2\,|\Omega|} \quad \textit{for } n \ge \mathrm{k},$$

where c_1 *may depend on the problem and the starting values, but is always independent of the time-step size* τ *and the time* t_n*.*

Proof The result follows from the proof of [24, Lemma 2.3] with the help of (2.1). ∎

3 Some discrete convolution inequalities

3.1 Some properties of DOC-k kernels

Our error analysis is closely related to the discrete convolution form (1.12), so we need some detail properties of the DOC-k kernels $\theta_j^{(\mathrm{k})}$ and the associated discrete convolution inequalities. At first, we have the following result.

Lemma 3.1 *[27, Lemma 2.1] The discrete kernels* $b_j^{(\mathrm{k})}$ *in* (1.5) *are positive (semi-)definite if and only if the associated DOC-*k *kernels* $\theta_j^{(\mathrm{k})}$ *in* (1.8) *are positive (semi-)definite.*

Thanks to Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 3.1, the DOC-k kernels are positive definite. Moreover, we collect the decaying estimates in [27, Lemma 2.5] and obtain the following result.

Lemma 3.2 *For* $3 \le \mathrm{k} \le 5$*, the associated DOC-*k *kernels* $\theta_j^{(\mathrm{k})}$ *defined in* (1.8) *are positive definite and satisfy the following decaying estimates*

$$\big|\theta_j^{(\mathrm{k})}\big| \le \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{k}}}{4}\Big(\frac{\mathrm{k}}{7}\Big)^j \quad \textit{for } j \ge 0,$$

where the constants $\rho_3 = 10/3$*,* $\rho_4 = 6$ *and* $\rho_5 = 96/5$*.*

To facilitate the convergence analysis, we present some discrete convolution inequalities with respect to the DOC-k kernels $\theta_j^{(\mathrm{k})}$. For the BDF-k formula, consider the following matrices of order $m := n - \mathrm{k} + 1$

$$B_{\mathrm{k},l} := \begin{pmatrix} b_0^{(\mathrm{k})} & & & & & \\ b_1^{(\mathrm{k})} & b_0^{(\mathrm{k})} & & & & \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & & \\ b_{\mathrm{k}-1}^{(\mathrm{k})} & \cdots & b_1^{(\mathrm{k})} & b_0^{(\mathrm{k})} & & \\ & \ddots & \cdots & b_1^{(\mathrm{k})} & b_0^{(\mathrm{k})} & \\ & & b_{\mathrm{k}-1}^{(\mathrm{k})} & \cdots & b_1^{(\mathrm{k})} & b_0^{(\mathrm{k})} \end{pmatrix}_{m\times m} \quad \text{and} \quad B_{\mathrm{k}} := B_{\mathrm{k},l} + B_{\mathrm{k},l}^T, \tag{3.1}$$

where $3 \le \mathrm{k} \le 5$ and the index $n \ge \mathrm{k}$. Lemma 2.2 says that the real symmetric matrix B_{k} is positive definite. Moreover, introduce the matirces

$$\Theta_{\mathrm{k},l} := \begin{pmatrix} \theta_0^{(\mathrm{k})} & & & \\ \theta_1^{(\mathrm{k})} & \theta_0^{(\mathrm{k})} & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\ \theta_{m-1}^{(\mathrm{k})} & \theta_{m-2}^{(\mathrm{k})} & \cdots & \theta_0^{(\mathrm{k})} \end{pmatrix}_{m\times m} \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta_{\mathrm{k}} := \Theta_{\mathrm{k},l} + \Theta_{\mathrm{k},l}^T, \tag{3.2}$$

where the discrete kernels $b_j^{(\mathrm{k})}$ and $\theta_j^{(\mathrm{k})}$ are defined by (1.5) and (1.8), respectively. It follows from the discrete orthogonal identity (1.9) that

$$\Theta_{\mathrm{k},l} = B_{\mathrm{k},l}^{-1}, \tag{3.3}$$

and thus

$$\Theta_{\mathrm{k}} := \Theta_{\mathrm{k},l} + \Theta_{\mathrm{k},l}^T = B_{\mathrm{k},l}^{-1} + (B_{\mathrm{k},l}^{-1})^T = (B_{\mathrm{k},l}^{-1})^T B_{\mathrm{k}} B_{\mathrm{k},l}^{-1}. \tag{3.4}$$

As stated in Lemma 3.2, the real symmetric matrix Θ_{k} is also positive definite.

3.2 Eigenvalue estimates

We present the following eigenvalue estimates of $B_{\mathrm{k},l}^T B_{\mathrm{k},l}$ and Θ_{k} for any indexes $n \geq \mathrm{k}$.

Lemma 3.3 *There exists a positive constant* $\mathfrak{m}_{2\mathrm{k}}$ *such that* $\lambda_{\max}\big(B_{\mathrm{k},l}^T B_{\mathrm{k},l}\big) \leq \mathfrak{m}_{2\mathrm{k}}$ *for* $3 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 5$.

Proof For the matrix $B_{\mathrm{k},l}$ in (3.1) of any order m, $B_{\mathrm{k},l}^T B_{\mathrm{k},l}$ is a real symmetric matrix no more than $(2\mathrm{k}-1)$ diagonals. That is, each row of $B_{\mathrm{k},l}^T B_{\mathrm{k},l}$ has at most $(2\mathrm{k}-1)$ bounded elements computed from the BDF-k kernels $b_j^{(\mathrm{k})}$. The Gerschgorin's circle theorem implies that there is a finite bound $\mathfrak{m}_{2\mathrm{k}}$ such that $\lambda_{\max}\big(B_{\mathrm{k},l}^T B_{\mathrm{k},l}\big) \leq \mathfrak{m}_{2\mathrm{k}}$, also see a detailed computation of the constant $\mathfrak{m}_{22}$ in [24, Lemma A2] for the variable-step BDF2 fromula. ∎

To avoid possible confusions, we define the vector norm $|||\cdot|||$ by $|||\boldsymbol{u}||| := \sqrt{\boldsymbol{u}^T\boldsymbol{u}}$ for any real vector $\boldsymbol{u}$ and the associated matrix norm $|||A||| := \sqrt{\lambda_{\max}(A^TA)}$.

Lemma 3.4 *The matrix* Θ_{k} *in* (3.4) *satisfies* $\lambda_{\min}\big(\Theta_{\mathrm{k}}\big) \geq \mathfrak{m}_{1\mathrm{k}}/\mathfrak{m}_{2\mathrm{k}}$ *for* $3 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 5$.

Proof Lemma 2.2 says that real symmetric matrix B_{k} is positive definite. There exists a non-singular upper triangular matrix U such that $B_{\mathrm{k}} = U^TU$. By using (3.4), one gets

$$\boldsymbol{v}^T\Theta_{\mathrm{k}}\boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{v}^T(B_{\mathrm{k},l}^{-1})^T B_{\mathrm{k}} B_{\mathrm{k},l}^{-1}\boldsymbol{v} = (UB_{\mathrm{k},l}^{-1}\boldsymbol{v})^T UB_{\mathrm{k},l}^{-1}\boldsymbol{v} = |||UB_{\mathrm{k},l}^{-1}\boldsymbol{v}|||^2.$$

Thus it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}|||\boldsymbol{v}|||^2 &= |||B_{\mathrm{k},l}U^{-1}UB_{\mathrm{k},l}^{-1}\boldsymbol{v}|||^2 \leq |||B_{\mathrm{k},l}U^{-1}|||^2|||UB_{\mathrm{k},l}^{-1}\boldsymbol{v}|||^2\\ &\leq |||B_{\mathrm{k},l}|||^2|||U^{-1}|||^2\boldsymbol{v}^T\Theta_{\mathrm{k}}\boldsymbol{v} = \lambda_{\max}\big(B_{\mathrm{k},l}^TB_{\mathrm{k},l}\big)\lambda_{\max}\big(B_{\mathrm{k}}^{-1}\big)\boldsymbol{v}^T\Theta_{\mathrm{k}}\boldsymbol{v}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus Lemmas 2.2 and 3.3 yield the claimed result. ∎

Lemma 3.5 *There exists a positive constant* $\mathfrak{m}_{3\mathrm{k}}$ *such that* $\lambda_{\max}\big(\Theta_{\mathrm{k}}\big) \leq \mathfrak{m}_{3\mathrm{k}}$ *for* $3 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 5$.

Proof The decaying properties of the DOC kernels $\theta_j^{(\mathrm{k})}$ determine the boundedness of the maximum eigenvalue of $(\Theta_{\mathrm{k}})_{m\times m}$. For an arbitrary order m, Lemma 3.2 shows that

$$\mathfrak{R}_{m,j} := \sum_{\ell=1}^{j}|\theta_{j-\ell}^{(\mathrm{k})}| + \sum_{\ell=j}^{m}|\theta_{\ell-j}^{(\mathrm{k})}| \leq \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{k}}}{4}\sum_{\ell=1}^{j}\Big(\frac{\mathrm{k}}{7}\Big)^{j-\ell} + \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{k}}}{4}\sum_{\ell=j}^{m}\Big(\frac{\mathrm{k}}{7}\Big)^{\ell-j} < \frac{7\rho_{\mathrm{k}}}{2(7-\mathrm{k})}$$

for $1 \leq j \leq m$. One takes $\mathfrak{m}_{3\mathrm{k}} := \frac{7\rho_{\mathrm{k}}}{2(7-\mathrm{k})}$ such that $\lambda_{\max}\big(\Theta_{\mathrm{k}}\big) \leq \max_{1\leq j\leq m}\mathfrak{R}_{m,j} < \mathfrak{m}_{3\mathrm{k}}$ by the Gerschgorin's circle theorem. It completes the proof. ∎

3.3 Discrete convolution inequalities

The following lemmas describe some discrete convolution inequalities of Young-type. Here and hereafter, we always denote $\sum_{\ell,j}^{n,\ell} := \sum_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^{n}\sum_{j=\mathrm{k}}^{\ell}$ for the simplicity of presentation.

Lemma 3.6 *For any $\varepsilon>0$, any real sequence $\{v^\ell\}_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^n$ and $\{w^\ell\}_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^n$, it holds that*

$$\sum_{\ell,j}^{n,\ell}\theta_{\ell-j}^{(\mathrm{k})}v^j w^\ell \le \varepsilon\sum_{\ell,j}^{n,\ell}\theta_{\ell-j}^{(\mathrm{k})}v^\ell v^j + \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{m}_{1\mathrm{k}}\varepsilon}\sum_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^{n}(w^\ell)^2 \le \varepsilon\sum_{\ell,j}^{n,\ell}\theta_{\ell-j}^{(\mathrm{k})}v^\ell v^j + \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{2\mathrm{k}}}{\mathfrak{m}_{1\mathrm{k}}^2\varepsilon}\sum_{\ell,j}^{n,\ell}\theta_{\ell-j}^{(\mathrm{k})}w^j w^\ell.$$

Proof Let $\boldsymbol{w} := (w^{\mathrm{k}}, w^{\mathrm{k}+1}, \cdots, w^n)^T$. A similar proof of [24, Lemma A.3] gives

$$\sum_{\ell,j}^{n,\ell}\theta_{\ell-j}^{(\mathrm{k})}v^j w^\ell \le \varepsilon\sum_{\ell,j}^{n,\ell}\theta_{\ell-j}^{(\mathrm{k})}v^j v^\ell + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon}\boldsymbol{w}^T B_{\mathrm{k}}^{-1}\boldsymbol{w} \quad \text{for any } \varepsilon>0. \tag{3.5}$$

From the proof Lemma 3.4, we have $B_{\mathrm{k}}^{-1} = U^{-1}(U^{-1})^T$ and then

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{w}^T B_{\mathrm{k}}^{-1}\boldsymbol{w} &= \boldsymbol{w}^T U^{-1}(U^{-1})^T\boldsymbol{w} = \left|\!\left|\!\left|(U^{-1})^T\boldsymbol{w}\right|\!\right|\!\right|^2 \le \left|\!\left|\!\left|(U^{-1})^T\right|\!\right|\!\right|^2\left|\!\left|\!\left|\boldsymbol{w}\right|\!\right|\!\right|^2\\ &= \lambda_{\max}\big((B_{\mathrm{k}})^{-1}\big)\boldsymbol{w}^T\boldsymbol{w} \le \frac{1}{\mathfrak{m}_{1\mathrm{k}}}\boldsymbol{w}^T\boldsymbol{w} = \frac{1}{\mathfrak{m}_{1\mathrm{k}}}\sum_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^{n}(w^\ell)^2,\end{aligned}$$

where Lemma 2.2 has been used. Inserting it into (3.5), we obtain the first claimed inequality. The second inequality follows immediately from Lemma 3.4, which gives the minimum eigenvalue estimate of Θ_{k}. It completes the proof. ∎

Lemma 3.7 *For any $\varepsilon>0$, any real sequences $\{v^\ell\}_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^n$ and $\{w^\ell\}_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^n$, it holds that*

$$\sum_{\ell,j}^{n,\ell}\theta_{\ell-j}^{(\mathrm{k})}v^j w^\ell \le \varepsilon\sum_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^{n}(v^\ell)^2 + \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{3\mathrm{k}}}{4\mathfrak{m}_{1\mathrm{k}}\varepsilon}\sum_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^{n}(w^\ell)^2 \le \varepsilon\sum_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^{n}(v^\ell)^2 + \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{2\mathrm{k}}\mathfrak{m}_{3\mathrm{k}}}{2\mathfrak{m}_{1\mathrm{k}}^2\varepsilon}\sum_{\ell,j}^{n,\ell}\theta_{\ell-j}^{(\mathrm{k})}w^j w^\ell.$$

Proof Taking $\varepsilon := 2\varepsilon_2/\mathfrak{m}_{3\mathrm{k}}$ in the first inequality of Lemma 3.6 yields

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\ell,j}^{n,\ell}\theta_{\ell-j}^{(\mathrm{k})}v^j w^\ell &\le \frac{2\varepsilon_2}{\mathfrak{m}_{3\mathrm{k}}}\sum_{\ell,j}^{n,\ell}\theta_{\ell-j}^{(\mathrm{k})}v^\ell v^j + \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{3\mathrm{k}}}{4\mathfrak{m}_{1\mathrm{k}}\varepsilon_2}\sum_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^{n}(w^\ell)^2\\ &\le \varepsilon_2\sum_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^{n}(v^\ell)^2 + \frac{\mathfrak{m}_{3\mathrm{k}}}{4\mathfrak{m}_{1\mathrm{k}}\varepsilon_2}\sum_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^{n}(w^\ell)^2,\end{aligned}$$

where Lemma 3.5 was used in the last inequality. The first inequality is verified by choosing $\varepsilon_2 := \varepsilon$, and the second one follows from Lemma 3.4 immediately. ∎

Lemma 3.8 *Let $v^n \in \mathbb{V}$ be a sequence of grid functions. For any constant $\varepsilon>0$,*

$$\sum_{\ell,j}^{n,\ell}\theta_{\ell-j}^{(\mathrm{k})}\langle\Delta v^j, \Delta v^\ell\rangle \le \varepsilon\sum_{\ell,j}^{n,\ell}\theta_{\ell-j}^{(\mathrm{k})}\langle\nabla\Delta v^j, \nabla\Delta v^\ell\rangle + \frac{8\mathfrak{m}_{2\mathrm{k}}^2}{\mathfrak{m}_{1\mathrm{k}}^5\varepsilon^2}\sum_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^{n}\left\|v^\ell\right\|^2.$$

Proof For any constant $\varepsilon_3 > 0$, we can apply the second inequality of Lemma 3.6 with $w^\ell := -\nabla\Delta v^\ell$, $v^j := \nabla v^j$ and $\varepsilon := \mathfrak{m}_{2\mathrm{k}}/(\mathfrak{m}_{1\mathrm{k}}^2\varepsilon_3)$ and derive that

$$2\sum_{\ell,j}^{n,\ell}\theta_{\ell-j}^{(\mathrm{k})}\langle\Delta v^j,\Delta v^\ell\rangle \le \frac{2\mathfrak{m}_{2\mathrm{k}}}{\mathfrak{m}_{1\mathrm{k}}^2\varepsilon_3}\sum_{\ell,j}^{n,\ell}\theta_{\ell-j}^{(\mathrm{k})}\langle\nabla v^j,\nabla v^\ell\rangle + 2\varepsilon_3\sum_{\ell,j}^{n,\ell}\theta_{\ell-j}^{(\mathrm{k})}\langle\nabla\Delta v^j,\nabla\Delta v^\ell\rangle.$$

Similarly, by using the first inequality of Lemma 3.6 with $v^j := -\Delta v^j$, $w^\ell := v^\ell$ and the parameter $\varepsilon := \varepsilon_3\mathfrak{m}_{1\mathrm{k}}^2/(2\mathfrak{m}_{2\mathrm{k}})$, we can get

$$\frac{2\mathfrak{m}_{2\mathrm{k}}}{\mathfrak{m}_{1\mathrm{k}}^2\varepsilon_3}\sum_{\ell,j}^{n,\ell}\theta_{\ell-j}^{(\mathrm{k})}\langle\nabla v^j,\nabla v^\ell\rangle \le \sum_{\ell,j}^{n,\ell}\theta_{\ell-j}^{(\mathrm{k})}\langle\Delta v^j,\Delta v^\ell\rangle + \frac{2\mathfrak{m}_{2\mathrm{k}}^2}{\mathfrak{m}_{1\mathrm{k}}^5\varepsilon_3^2}\sum_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^{n}\big\|v^\ell\big\|^2.$$

We complete the proof by summing up the above two inequalities and taking $\varepsilon_3 := \varepsilon/2$. ∎

4 L^2 norm error estimate

Let $\xi^j := D_{\mathrm{k}}\Phi(t_j) - \partial_t\Phi(t_j)$ be the local consistency error of BDF-k formula at the time $t = t_j$. Assume that the solution is regular in time for $t \ge t_{\mathrm{k}}$ such that

$$\big|\xi^j\big| \le C_\phi\tau^{\mathrm{k}}\max_{t_{\mathrm{k}}\le t\le T}\big|\partial_t^{(\mathrm{k}+1)}\Phi(t)\big| \le C_\phi\tau^{\mathrm{k}} \quad \text{for } j \ge \mathrm{k}. \tag{4.1}$$

Then Lemma 3.2 yields

$$\sum_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^{n}\tau\big\|\Xi^\ell\big\| \le C_\phi\tau^{\mathrm{k}+1}\sum_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^{n}\sum_{j=\mathrm{k}}^{\ell}\big|\theta_{\ell-j}^{(\mathrm{k})}\big| \le \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{k}}t_{n-\mathrm{k}+1}}{7-\mathrm{k}}C_\phi\tau^{\mathrm{k}} \quad \text{for } n \ge \mathrm{k}, \tag{4.2}$$

where the global time consistency error is defined by

$$\Xi^\ell := \sum_{j=\mathrm{k}}^{\ell}\theta_{\ell-j}^{(\mathrm{k})}\xi^j \ \text{ for } \ell \ge \mathrm{k}. \tag{4.3}$$

Note that, the energy dissipation law (1.3) of PFC model (1.2) shows that $E[\Phi^n] \le E[\Phi(t_0)]$. From the formulation (1.1), it is not difficult to see that $\big\|\Phi^n\big\|_{H^2}$ can be bounded by a time-independent constant. Applying the Sobolev embedding inequality, one has

$$\big\|\Phi^n\big\|_{L^\infty} \le c_\Omega\big\|\Phi^n\big\|_{H^2} \le c_2 \quad \text{for } 1 \le n \le N, \tag{4.4}$$

where c_2 is dependent on the domain Ω and initial data $\Phi(t_0)$, but independent of the time t_n.

In the convergence analysis, set

$$c_3 := c_2^2 + c_1c_2 + c_1^2 + \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad c_4 := 250\mathfrak{m}_{2\mathrm{k}}^2/\mathfrak{m}_{1\mathrm{k}}^5 + 2c_3^2\mathfrak{m}_{2\mathrm{k}}\mathfrak{m}_{3\mathrm{k}}/\mathfrak{m}_{1\mathrm{k}}^2,$$

which may be dependent on the given data, the solution and the starting values, but are always independent of the time-step size τ and the time t_n. Recall the following estimates on the starting values $\phi_{\mathrm{I}}^{(\mathrm{k},j)}$ defined in (1.11).

Lemma 4.1 *[27, Lemma 2.6] There exist some positive constants $c_{\mathrm{I,k}} > 1$ such that the starting values $\phi_{\mathrm{I}}^{(\mathrm{k},j)}$ satisfy*

$$\big|\phi_{\mathrm{I}}^{(\mathrm{k},j)}\big| \le \frac{c_{\mathrm{I,k}}\rho_{\mathrm{k}}}{8}\Big(\frac{\mathrm{k}}{7}\Big)^{j-\mathrm{k}}\sum_{\ell=1}^{\mathrm{k}-1}\big|\nabla_\tau\phi^\ell\big| \quad \textit{for } 3\le \mathrm{k}\le 5 \textit{ and } j\ge \mathrm{k},$$

such that

$$\sum_{j=\mathrm{k}}^{n}\big|\phi_{\mathrm{I}}^{(\mathrm{k},j)}\big| \le \frac{7c_{\mathrm{I,k}}\rho_{\mathrm{k}}}{8(7-\mathrm{k})}\sum_{\ell=1}^{\mathrm{k}-1}\big|\nabla_\tau\phi^\ell\big| \quad \textit{for } 3\le \mathrm{k}\le 5 \textit{ and } n\ge \mathrm{k},$$

where the constants ρ_{k} are defined in Lemma 3.2.

Theorem 4.1 *Assume that the PFC problem* (1.2) *has a solution $\Phi \in C^{\mathrm{k}+1}[0,T]$. If* **A2** *holds and the time-step size is small such that $\tau \le 1/(2c_4)$, the numerical solution ϕ^n of the BDF-*k *implicit scheme* (1.7) *is convergent in the L^2 norm,*

$$\big\|\Phi^n-\phi^n\big\| \le \frac{7\rho_{\mathrm{k}}}{7-\mathrm{k}}\exp(2c_4t_{n-\mathrm{k}+1})\Big(c_{\mathrm{I,k}}\sum_{\ell=0}^{\mathrm{k}-1}\big\|\Phi^\ell-\phi^\ell\big\| + C_\phi t_{n-\mathrm{k}+1}\tau^{\mathrm{k}}\Big), \quad \mathrm{k}\le n\le N.$$

Proof Let $e^n := \Phi^n-\phi^n$ be the error between the exact solution and the numerical solution of the BDF-k implicit scheme (1.7). We have the following error equation

$$D_{\mathrm{k}}e^n = \Delta\big[(1+\Delta)^2e^n + f_\phi^n e^n\big] + \xi^n \quad \text{for } \mathrm{k}\le n\le N, \tag{4.5}$$

where ξ^n is defined by (4.1) and $f_\phi^n := (\Phi^n)^2+\Phi^n\phi^n+(\phi^n)^2-\epsilon$. Thanks to the maximum norm solution estimates in Lemma 2.4 and (4.4), one has

$$\big\|f_\phi^n\big\|_\infty \le c_2^2+c_1c_2+c_1^2+\epsilon = c_3. \tag{4.6}$$

Multiplying both sides of equation (4.5) by $\tau\theta_{\ell-n}^{(\mathrm{k})}$ and summing up n from $n=\mathrm{k}$ to ℓ, we apply the equality (1.10) with $v^j := e^j$ to obtain

$$\nabla_\tau e^\ell = -e_{\mathrm{I}}^{(\mathrm{k},\ell)} + \tau\sum_{j=\mathrm{k}}^{\ell}\theta_{\ell-j}^{(\mathrm{k})}\Delta\big[(1+\Delta)^2e^j+f_\phi^je^j\big] + \tau\Xi^\ell \quad \text{for } \mathrm{k}\le\ell\le N, \tag{4.7}$$

where Ξ^ℓ is defined by (4.3) and $e_{\mathrm{I}}^{(\mathrm{k},n)}$ represents the starting error effects on the numerical solution at the time t_n

$$e_{\mathrm{I}}^{(\mathrm{k},n)} := \sum_{\ell=1}^{\mathrm{k}-1}\nabla_\tau e^\ell\sum_{j=\mathrm{k}}^{n}\theta_{n-j}^{(\mathrm{k})}b_{j-\ell}^{(\mathrm{k})} \qquad \text{for } n\ge\mathrm{k}. \tag{4.8}$$

Making the inner product of (4.7) with $2e^\ell$, and summing up the superscript from k to n, we have the following equality

$$\big\|e^n\big\|^2-\big\|e^{\mathrm{k}-1}\big\|^2 \le -2\sum_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^{n}\big\langle e_{\mathrm{I}}^{(\mathrm{k},\ell)},e^\ell\big\rangle + J^n + 2\tau\sum_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^{n}\big\langle\Xi^\ell,e^\ell\big\rangle \quad \text{for } \mathrm{k}\le n\le N, \tag{4.9}$$

where the identity $2a(a-b) = a^2 - b^2 + (a-b)^2$ is used and J^n is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} J^n &:= 2\tau \sum_{\ell,j}^{n,\ell} \theta_{\ell-j}^{(\mathrm{k})} \big\langle e^j + 2\Delta e^j + \Delta^2 e^j + f_\phi^j e^j, \Delta e^\ell \big\rangle \\ &= 2\tau \sum_{\ell,j}^{n,\ell} \theta_{\ell-j}^{(\mathrm{k})} \Big[\big\langle f_\phi^j e^j + 2\Delta e^j, \Delta e^\ell \big\rangle - \big\langle \nabla e^j, \nabla e^\ell \big\rangle - \big\langle \nabla \Delta e^j, \nabla \Delta e^\ell \big\rangle \Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Now we handle the quadratic form J^n. By applying the second inequality of Lemma 3.7 with $v^j := f_\phi^j e^j$, $w^\ell := \Delta e^\ell$ and $\varepsilon := \mathfrak{m}_{2\mathrm{k}}\mathfrak{m}_{3\mathrm{k}}/\mathfrak{m}_{1\mathrm{k}}^2$, one derives that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\tau \sum_{\ell,j}^{n,\ell} \theta_{\ell-j}^{(\mathrm{k})} \big\langle f_\phi^j e^j + 2\Delta e^j, \Delta e^\ell \big\rangle &= 2\tau \sum_{\ell,j}^{n,\ell} \theta_{\ell-j}^{(\mathrm{k})} \big\langle f_\phi^j e^j, \Delta e^\ell \big\rangle + 4\tau \sum_{\ell,j}^{n,\ell} \theta_{\ell-j}^{(\mathrm{k})} \big\langle \Delta e^j, \Delta e^\ell \big\rangle \\ &\le \frac{2\mathfrak{m}_{2\mathrm{k}}\mathfrak{m}_{3\mathrm{k}}}{\mathfrak{m}_{1\mathrm{k}}^2} \sum_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^{n} \tau \big\| f_\phi^\ell e^\ell \big\|^2 + 5\tau \sum_{\ell,j}^{n,\ell} \theta_{\ell-j}^{(\mathrm{k})} \big\langle \Delta e^j, \Delta e^\ell \big\rangle \\ &\le \frac{2c_3^2\mathfrak{m}_{2\mathrm{k}}\mathfrak{m}_{3\mathrm{k}}}{\mathfrak{m}_{1\mathrm{k}}^2} \sum_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^{n} \tau \big\| e^\ell \big\|^2 + \frac{250\mathfrak{m}_{2\mathrm{k}}^2}{\mathfrak{m}_{1\mathrm{k}}^5} \sum_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^{n} \tau \big\| e^\ell \big\|^2 + 2\tau \sum_{\ell,j}^{n,\ell} \theta_{\ell-j}^{(\mathrm{k})} \big\langle \nabla \Delta e^j, \nabla \Delta e^\ell \big\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where the maximum norm estimate (4.6), and Lemma 3.8 with $v^j := e^j$ and $\varepsilon := 2/5$ were used in the second inequality. Also, Lemma 3.2 implies that $-\sum_{\ell,j}^{n,\ell} \theta_{\ell-j}^{(\mathrm{k})} \big\langle \nabla e^j, \nabla e^l \big\rangle \le 0$. Then we obtain from (4.10) that

$$J^n \le c_4 \sum_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^{n} \tau \big\| e^\ell \big\|^2.$$

Therefore, it follows from (4.9) that

$$\big\| e^n \big\|^2 \le \big\| e^{\mathrm{k}-1} \big\|^2 + 2 \sum_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^{n} \big\| e_{\mathrm{I}}^{(\mathrm{k},\ell)} \big\| \big\| e^\ell \big\| + c_4 \sum_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^{n} \tau \big\| e^\ell \big\|^2 + 2\tau \sum_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^{n} \big\| e^\ell \big\| \big\| \Xi^\ell \big\| \quad \text{for } \mathrm{k} \le n \le N.$$

Choosing some integer n_0 ($\mathrm{k}-1 \le n_0 \le n$) such that $\big\| e^{n_0} \big\| = \max_{\mathrm{k}-1 \le \ell \le n} \big\| e^\ell \big\|$. Taking $n := n_0$ in the above inequality, one can obtain

$$\big\| e^{n_0} \big\| \le \big\| e^{\mathrm{k}-1} \big\| + 2 \sum_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^{n_0} \big\| e_{\mathrm{I}}^{(\mathrm{k},\ell)} \big\| + c_4 \sum_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^{n_0} \tau \big\| e^\ell \big\| + 2\tau \sum_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^{n_0} \big\| \Xi^\ell \big\|.$$

By applying Lemma 4.1 to the starting term $e_{\mathrm{I}}^{(\mathrm{k},\ell)}$ in (4.8), one has

$$2 \sum_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^{n} \big\| e_{\mathrm{I}}^{(\mathrm{k},\ell)} \big\| \le \frac{7 c_{\mathrm{I},\mathrm{k}} \rho_{\mathrm{k}}}{4(7-\mathrm{k})} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\mathrm{k}-1} \big\| \nabla_\tau e^\ell \big\| \quad \text{for } \mathrm{k} \le n \le N.$$

Thus one gets

$$\|e^n\| \le \|e^{n_0}\| \le \frac{7c_{\mathrm{I,k}}\rho_{\mathrm{k}}}{2(7-\mathrm{k})}\sum_{\ell=0}^{\mathrm{k}-1}\|e^\ell\| + c_4\sum_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^{n}\tau\|e^\ell\| + 2\tau\sum_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^{n}\|\Xi^\ell\|.$$

Under the time-step constraint $\tau \le 1/(2c_4)$, we have

$$\|e^n\| \le \frac{7c_{\mathrm{I,k}}\rho_{\mathrm{k}}}{7-\mathrm{k}}\sum_{\ell=0}^{\mathrm{k}-1}\|e^\ell\| + 2c_4\sum_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^{n-1}\tau\|e^\ell\| + 4\tau\sum_{\ell=\mathrm{k}}^{n}\|\Xi^\ell\|.$$

By the standard discrete Grönwall inequality together with the consistency estimate (4.2), one can obtain the claimed error estimate and complete the proof. ∎

5 Numerical experiments

Some numerical experiments are included to illustrate the efficiency of the BDF-k schemes by the Fourier pseudo-spectral method in space. The resulting nonlinear algebraic systems are solved by fixed-point iterative methods with the termination error 10^{-12}. Due to periodic boundary conditions, the fast Fourier transform can be applied for every iteration step. The sixth-order Gauss collocation method [12] is employed to initiate the numerical schemes such that the assumptions **A1** and **A2** would be reasonably fulfilled.

Example 5.1 *We consider the exterior-forced PFC model* $\partial_t\Phi = \Delta\mu + g(\mathbf{x},t)$ *with the model parameter* $\epsilon = 0.02$*, which has an exact solution* $\Phi = \cos(t)\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}x)\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}y)$.

Table 2: Numerical accuracy of BDF-k scheme (1.7).

N	τ	BDF3 scheme		BDF4 scheme		BDF5 scheme	
		$e(N)$	Order	$e(N)$	Order	$e(N)$	Order
10	1.00e-01	1.85e-04	–	1.19e-05	–	3.85e-06	–
20	5.00e-02	2.42e-05	2.94	7.97e-07	3.90	9.53e-08	5.34
40	2.50e-02	3.08e-06	2.98	5.14e-08	3.95	1.80e-09	5.72
80	1.25e-02	3.71e-07	3.05	3.26e-09	3.98	3.86e-11	5.54
160	6.25e-03	4.60e-08	3.01	2.05e-10	4.00	1.16e-12	5.06

The domain $\Omega = (0,8)^2$ is divided into a 128×128 mesh such that the temporal error dominates the spatial error in each run. We solve the problem until time $T = 1$. The numerical result is tabulated in Table 2, in which the discrete L^2 norm error $e(N) := \|\Phi(T) - \phi^N\|$ is recorded in each run and the experimental order is computed by Order $\approx \log_2(e(N)/e(2N))$. It is observed that the BDF-k scheme is kth-order accuracy in time.

Example 5.2 *We take the parameter* $\epsilon = 0.25$ *and use a* 256×256 *uniform mesh to discretize the spatial domain* $\Omega = (0,256)^2$*. As seeds for nucleation, three random perturbations on the*




Figure 1: The crystal growth process obtained at $t = 1$, 200, 300, 400, 500 and 1000 by the BDF-5 scheme (the BDF-3 and BDF-4 schemes generate similar profiles).




Figure 2: Evolutions of original energy (left) and volume difference (right)

three small square patches are taken as $\Phi_0(\boldsymbol{x}) = \bar{\Phi} + \mathrm{A} \cdot \mathrm{rand}(\boldsymbol{x})$, *where the constant density* $\bar{\Phi} = 0.285$, A *is amplitude and the random numbers rand* $(\cdot)$ *are uniformly distributed in* $(-1, 1)$. *The centers of three pathes locate at* $(64, 196)$, $(128, 64)$ *and* $(196, 196)$, *with the corresponding amplitudes* $A = 0.25$, 0.3 *and* 0.35, *respectively. The length of each small square is set to 10. The solution is computed until the time* $T = 1000$ *with a constant time step* $\tau = 0.1$.

The time evolutions of the phase variable are depicted in Figure 1. It is clear that the speed of moving interfaces is related to the initial amplitude A, the larger the amplitude A, the faster the crystal growth. And three different crystal grains grow and become large enough to form grain boundaries eventually. The discrete original energy (2.3) and the volume difference are shown in Figure 2. As predicted by our theory, the discrete volume is conservative (up to a tolerance 10^{-9}). It can be seen that the energy dissipates very fast at the early stage, and

gradually slows down as the time escapes.

A Proof of Lemma 2.3

This proof describes some quadratic decompositions of the following quantity

$$\mathfrak{B}_{\mathrm{k}}^{n} := v_n \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{n-j}^{(\mathrm{k})} v_j = b_0^{(\mathrm{k})} v_n^2 + b_1^{(\mathrm{k})} v_n v_{n-1} + \cdots + b_{\mathrm{k}-1}^{(\mathrm{k})} v_n v_{n-\mathrm{k}+1} \quad \text{for } n \geq \mathrm{k},$$

where the discrete BDF-k kernels $b_j^{(\mathrm{k})}$ (vanish if $j \geq \mathrm{k}$) are generated by (1.5), see Table 1. According to the derivations of BDF-k formulas, we use the difference operators $\delta_1^m v_n$ to find

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{n-j}^{(\mathrm{k})} v_j = \sum_{m=1}^{\mathrm{k}} \frac{1}{m} \delta_1^{m-1} v_n = v_n + \frac{1}{2}\delta_1 v_n + \frac{1}{3}\delta_1^2 v_n + \cdots + \frac{1}{\mathrm{k}}\delta_1^{\mathrm{k}-1} v_n.$$

It implies that

$$\mathfrak{B}_{\mathrm{k}}^{n} = \sum_{m=1}^{\mathrm{k}} \frac{1}{m} v_n \delta_1^{m-1} v_n = \sum_{m=1}^{\mathrm{k}} \frac{1}{2m} J_{m-1}[v_n], \quad \text{where} \quad J_m[v_n] := 2 v_n \delta_1^m v_n. \tag{A.1}$$

Obviously, we have two trivial cases,

$$\mathfrak{B}_1^n = \frac{1}{2} J_0[v_n] = v_n^2$$

and

$$\mathfrak{B}_2^n = \mathfrak{B}_1^n + \frac{1}{4} J_1[v_n] = \frac{1}{4}\big(v_n^2 - v_{n-1}^2\big) + v_n^2 + \frac{1}{4}(\delta_1 v_n)^2.$$

In general, we will handle $J_{\mathrm{k}}[v_n]$ and decompose $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathrm{k}+1}^n$ via the following equality,

$$\mathfrak{B}_{\mathrm{k}+1}^n = \mathfrak{B}_{\mathrm{k}}^n + \frac{1}{2(\mathrm{k}+1)} J_{\mathrm{k}}[v_n] \quad \text{for } \mathrm{k} \geq 2. \tag{A.2}$$

We prove Lemma 2.3 for the cases $\mathrm{k} = 3, 4$ and 5 in the subsequent subsections, respectively. Our process for the quadratic decompositions includes the following three steps:

Step 1. Apply the identities $2a(a-b) = a^2 - b^2 + (a-b)^2$ and $2b(a-b) = a^2 - b^2 - (a-b)^2$ to decompose $J_m[v_n] = 2v_n\delta_1^m v_n$ in (A.1) into some quadratic terms, see (A.4), (A.9) and (A.16). Then one can obtain the preliminary (not necessarily desired) quadratic decomposition of $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathrm{k}}^n$ via the recurive formula in (A.2).

Step 2. Apply the inverse decomposition formulas, see (A.5), (A.12) and (A.20), to absorb some nonpositive quadratic terms into the nonnegative terms of high-order difference. See the underlined parts in this proof, we use the nonnegative terms $(\delta_1^2 v_n + \alpha v_{n-1})^2$, $(\delta_1^3 v_n + \beta\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2$ and $(\delta_1^4 v_n + \gamma\delta_1^2 v_{n-1})^2$ to absorb the nonpositive terms $-(\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2$, $-(\delta_1^2 v_{n-1})^2$ and $-(\delta_1^3 v_{n-1})^2$, respectively. Here α, β and γ are constants.

Step 3. Repeat Step 2 untill the preliminary quadratic decomposition in Step 1 can be reformulated into a discrete gradient structure like (1.6).

A.1 Decomposition for the BDF-3 formula

Consider the case of k = 2. By noticing that

$$2v_{n-1}\delta_1^2 v_n = v_n^2 - 2v_{n-1}^2 + v_{n-2}^2 - (\delta_1 v_n)^2 - (\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2, \tag{A.3}$$

one has

$$J_2[v_n] = 2\delta_1 v_n(\delta_1^2 v_n) + 2v_{n-1}\delta_1^2 v_n = v_n^2 - 2v_{n-1}^2 + v_{n-2}^2 - 2(\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2 + (\delta_1^2 v_n)^2. \tag{A.4}$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{B}_3^n =& \mathfrak{B}_2^n + \frac{1}{6}J_2[v_n] \\ =& \frac{17}{12}v_n^2 - \frac{7}{12}v_{n-1}^2 + \frac{1}{6}v_{n-2}^2 + \frac{1}{4}(\delta_1 v_n)^2 - \frac{1}{4}(\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2 + \underline{\frac{1}{6}(\delta_1^2 v_n)^2 - \frac{1}{12}(\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2}.\end{aligned}$$

We treat with the last two terms (the underlined part) as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{R}_{31}^n :=& \frac{1}{6}(\delta_1^2 v_n)^2 - \frac{1}{24}(\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2 \\ =& \frac{1}{6}(\delta_1^2 v_n)^2 + \frac{1}{12}v_{n-1}\delta_1^2 v_n - \frac{1}{24}v_n^2 + \frac{1}{12}v_{n-1}^2 - \frac{1}{24}v_{n-2}^2 + \frac{1}{24}(\delta_1 v_n)^2 \\ =& \frac{1}{6}(\delta_1^2 v_n + \tfrac{1}{4}v_{n-1})^2 - \frac{1}{24}v_n^2 + \frac{7}{96}v_{n-1}^2 - \frac{1}{24}v_{n-2}^2 + \frac{1}{24}(\delta_1 v_n)^2,\end{aligned}$$

where the equality (A.3) was applied inversely, that is,

$$-(\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2 = 2v_{n-1}\delta_1^2 v_n - v_n^2 + 2v_{n-1}^2 - v_{n-2}^2 + (\delta_1 v_n)^2. \tag{A.5}$$

Then we derive that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{B}_3^n =& \left[\frac{37}{96}v_n^2 - \frac{1}{8}v_{n-1}^2 + \frac{7}{24}(\delta_1 v_n)^2\right] - \left[\frac{37}{96}v_{n-1}^2 - \frac{1}{8}v_{n-2}^2 + \frac{7}{24}(\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2\right] \\ &+ \frac{95}{96}v_n^2 + \frac{1}{6}(\delta_1^2 v_n + \tfrac{1}{4}v_{n-1})^2.\end{aligned}$$

Let $\sigma_{L3} := 95/48$, and

$$\mathcal{G}_3[\vec{v}_n] := \frac{37}{96}v_n^2 - \frac{1}{8}v_{n-1}^2 + \frac{7}{24}(\delta_1 v_n)^2 = \frac{1}{6}v_n^2 + \frac{1}{6}(\tfrac{7}{4}v_n - v_{n-1})^2 \geq 0, \tag{A.6}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_3[\vec{v}_n] := \frac{1}{6}(\delta_1^2 v_n + \tfrac{1}{4}v_{n-1})^2. \tag{A.7}$$

It follows that

$$\mathfrak{B}_3^n = \mathcal{G}_3[\vec{v}_n] - \mathcal{G}_3[\vec{v}_{n-1}] + \frac{\sigma_{L3}}{2}v_n^2 + \mathcal{R}_3[\vec{v}_n]. \tag{A.8}$$

It confirms the claimed decomposition (2.2) for the case of k = 3.

A.2 Decomposition for the BDF-4 formula

Consider the case of k = 3. One follows the derivations of (A.4) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_3[v_n] =& 2v_n\delta_1^3 v_n = J_2[\delta_1 v_n] + 2v_{n-1}\delta_1^2 v_n - J_2[v_{n-1}] \\ =& -3(\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2 + 3(\delta_1 v_{n-2})^2 - 3(\delta_1^2 v_{n-1})^2 + (\delta_1^3 v_n)^2 \\ &+ v_n^2 - 3v_{n-1}^2 + 3v_{n-2}^2 - v_{n-3}^2, \end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

where the equality (A.3) was also used. Then using the quadratic decomposition (A.8) together with (A.6) and (A.7) we obtain

$$\mathfrak{B}_4^n = \mathfrak{B}_3^n + \frac{1}{8}J_3[v_n] = \mathcal{G}_3[\vec{v}_n] - \mathcal{G}_3[\vec{v}_{n-1}] + R_4^n, \tag{A.10}$$

where, by combining similar terms,

$$\begin{aligned} R_4^n :=& \frac{95}{96}v_n^2 + \mathcal{R}_3[\vec{v}_n] + \frac{1}{8}J_3[v_n] = \frac{107}{96}v_n^2 - \frac{3}{8}v_{n-1}^2 + \frac{3}{8}v_{n-2}^2 - \frac{1}{8}v_{n-3}^2 \\ &- \frac{3}{8}(\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2 + \frac{3}{8}(\delta_1 v_{n-2})^2 + \frac{1}{6}(\delta_1^2 v_n + \tfrac{1}{4}v_{n-1})^2 + \underline{\frac{1}{8}(\delta_1^3 v_n)^2 - \frac{3}{8}(\delta_1^2 v_{n-1})^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We will handle the last two terms (the underlined part). Noticing that

$$2\delta_1 v_{n-1}(\delta_1^3 v_n) = (\delta_1 v_n)^2 - 2(\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2 + (\delta_1 v_{n-2})^2 - (\delta_1^2 v_n)^2 - (\delta_1^2 v_{n-1})^2, \tag{A.11}$$

or, inversely,

$$-(\delta_1^2 v_{n-1})^2 = 2\delta_1 v_{n-1}\delta_1^3 v_n - (\delta_1 v_n)^2 + 2(\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2 - (\delta_1 v_{n-2})^2 + (\delta_1^2 v_n)^2, \tag{A.12}$$

one can derive that

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{R}_{41}^n :=& \frac{1}{8}(\delta_1^3 v_n)^2 - \frac{3}{16}(\delta_1^2 v_{n-1})^2 \\ =& \frac{1}{8}(\delta_1^3 v_n + \tfrac{3}{2}\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2 - \frac{3}{16}(\delta_1 v_n)^2 + \frac{3}{32}(\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2 - \frac{3}{16}(\delta_1 v_{n-2})^2 + \frac{3}{16}(\delta_1^2 v_n)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting it into the above expression of R_4^n, one has

$$\begin{aligned} R_4^n =& \frac{107}{96}v_n^2 - \frac{3}{8}v_{n-1}^2 + \frac{3}{8}v_{n-2}^2 - \frac{1}{8}v_{n-3}^2 \\ &+ \frac{3}{16}(\delta_1^2 v_n)^2 - \frac{3}{16}(\delta_1^2 v_{n-1})^2 + \frac{1}{8}(\delta_1^3 v_n + \tfrac{3}{2}\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2 \\ &- \frac{3}{16}(\delta_1 v_n)^2 - \frac{9}{64}(\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2 + \frac{3}{16}(\delta_1 v_{n-2})^2 + \underline{\frac{1}{6}(\delta_1^2 v_n + \tfrac{1}{4}v_{n-1})^2 - \frac{9}{64}(\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we handle the last two terms (the underlined part) by applying (A.5) as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{R}_{42}^n :=& \frac{1}{6}(\delta_1^2 v_n + \tfrac{1}{4}v_{n-1})^2 - \frac{9}{64}(\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2 \\ =& \frac{1}{6}(\delta_1^2 v_n + \tfrac{35}{32}v_{n-1})^2 - \frac{9}{64}v_n^2 + \frac{189}{32\cdot 64}v_{n-1}^2 - \frac{9}{64}v_{n-2}^2 + \frac{9}{64}(\delta_1 v_n)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting it into the above expression of R_4^n, one gets

$$\begin{aligned}R_4^n = &\left[\frac{355}{2048}v_n^2 - \frac{7}{64}v_{n-1}^2 + \frac{1}{8}v_{n-2}^2\right] - \left[\frac{355}{2048}v_{n-1}^2 - \frac{7}{64}v_{n-2}^2 + \frac{1}{8}v_{n-3}^2\right]\\ &- \frac{3}{64}(\delta_1 v_n)^2 + \frac{3}{64}(\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2 - \frac{3}{16}(\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2 + \frac{3}{16}(\delta_1 v_{n-2})^2 + \frac{3}{16}(\delta_1^2 v_n)^2\\ &- \frac{3}{16}(\delta_1^2 v_{n-1})^2 + \frac{4919}{6144}v_n^2 + \frac{1}{8}(\delta_1^3 v_n + \tfrac{3}{2}\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2 + \frac{1}{6}(\delta_1^2 v_n + \tfrac{35}{32}v_{n-1})^2.\end{aligned}$$

Inserting it into the equality (A.10), one gets the desired decomposition

$$\mathfrak{B}_4^n = \mathcal{G}_4[\vec{v}_n] - \mathcal{G}_4[\vec{v}_{n-1}] + \frac{\sigma_{L4}}{2}v_n^2 + \mathcal{R}_4[\vec{v}_n], \tag{A.13}$$

where $\sigma_{L4} = \frac{4919}{3072}$, the functionals $\mathcal{G}_4$ and $\mathcal{R}_4$ are defined by

$$\mathcal{G}_4[\vec{v}_n] := \frac{3433}{6144}v_n^2 - \frac{15}{64}v_{n-1}^2 + \frac{1}{8}v_{n-2}^2 + \frac{47}{192}(\delta_1 v_n)^2 - \frac{3}{16}(\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2 + \frac{3}{16}(\delta_1^2 v_n)^2, \tag{A.14}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_4[\vec{v}_n] := \frac{1}{8}(\delta_1^3 v_n + \tfrac{3}{2}\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2 + \frac{1}{6}(\delta_1^2 v_n + \tfrac{35}{32}v_{n-1})^2. \tag{A.15}$$

It confirms the claimed decomposition (2.2) for the case of k = 4, because the quadratic functional $\mathcal{G}_4$ is non-negative, that is,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{G}_4[\vec{v}_n] &= \frac{3433}{6144}v_n^2 - \frac{3}{16}v_n^2 - \frac{3}{64}v_{n-1}^2 + \frac{1}{8}v_{n-2}^2 + \frac{119}{192}(\delta_1 v_n)^2 + \frac{3}{8}v_{n-2}(\delta_1 v_n)\\ &= \frac{13627}{43008}v_n^2 + \frac{7}{24}(\tfrac{65}{56}v_n - v_{n-1})^2 + \frac{1}{8}(\tfrac{3}{2}\delta_1 v_n + v_{n-2})^2 \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

A.3 Decomposition for the BDF-5 formula

Consider the case of k = 4. By using (A.3) and (A.4), one has

$$2v_{n-1}\delta_1^3 v_n = v_n^2 - 3v_{n-1}^2 + 3v_{n-2}^2 - v_{n-3}^2 - (\delta_1 v_n)^2 - (\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2 + 2(\delta_1 v_{n-2})^2 - (\delta_1^2 v_{n-1})^2.$$

Then we can follow the derivations of (A.9) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}J_4[v_n] &= 2v_n\delta_1^4 v_n = J_3[\delta_1 v_n] + 2v_{n-1}\delta_1^3 v_n - J_3[v_{n-1}]\\ &= v_n^2 - 4v_{n-1}^2 + 6v_{n-2}^2 - 4v_{n-3}^2 + v_{n-4}^2 - 4(\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2 + 8(\delta_1 v_{n-2})^2 - 4(\delta_1 v_{n-3})^2\\ &\quad - 4(\delta_1^2 v_{n-1})^2 + 6(\delta_1^2 v_{n-2})^2 - 4(\delta_1^3 v_{n-1})^2 + (\delta_1^4 v_n)^2.\end{aligned} \tag{A.16}$$

Then using (A.13) together with (A.14) and (A.15), we obtain

$$\mathfrak{B}_5^n = \mathfrak{B}_4^n + \frac{1}{10}J_4[v_n] = \mathcal{G}_4[\vec{v}_n] - \mathcal{G}_4[\vec{v}_{n-1}] + R_5^n, \tag{A.17}$$

where, by combining similar terms,

$$R_5^n = \frac{4919}{6144}v_n^2 + \mathcal{R}_4[\vec{v}_n] + \frac{1}{10}J_4[v_n] = \frac{4919}{6144}v_n^2 + \frac{1}{8}(\delta_1^3 v_n + \tfrac{3}{2}\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2 + \frac{1}{6}(\delta_1^2 v_n + \tfrac{35}{32}v_{n-1})^2$$

$$+\frac{1}{10}v_n^2-\frac{2}{5}v_{n-1}^2+\frac{3}{5}v_{n-2}^2-\frac{2}{5}v_{n-3}^2+\frac{1}{10}v_{n-4}^2-\frac{2}{5}(\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2+\frac{4}{5}(\delta_1 v_{n-2})^2$$
$$-\frac{2}{5}(\delta_1 v_{n-3})^2-\frac{2}{5}(\delta_1^2 v_{n-1})^2+\frac{3}{5}(\delta_1^2 v_{n-2})^2\underline{-\frac{2}{5}(\delta_1^3 v_{n-1})^2+\frac{1}{10}(\delta_1^4 v_n)^2}. \tag{A.18}$$

Noticing that

$$2\delta_1^2 v_{n-1}(\delta_1^4 v_n)=(\delta_1^2 v_n)^2-2(\delta_1^2 v_{n-1})^2+(\delta_1^2 v_{n-2})^2-(\delta_1^3 v_n)^2-(\delta_1^3 v_{n-1})^2, \tag{A.19}$$

or, inversely,

$$-(\delta_1^3 v_{n-1})^2=2\delta_1^2 v_{n-1}(\delta_1^4 v_n)-(\delta_1^2 v_n)^2+2(\delta_1^2 v_{n-1})^2-(\delta_1^2 v_{n-2})^2+(\delta_1^3 v_n)^2, \tag{A.20}$$

we handle the last two terms (the underlined part) in (A.18) as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{R}_{51}^n &:=\frac{1}{10}(\delta_1^4 v_n)^2-\frac{1}{5}(\delta_1^3 v_{n-1})^2-\frac{1}{5}(\delta_1^3 v_{n-1})^2\\ &=\frac{1}{10}(\delta_1^4 v_n+2\delta_1^2 v_{n-1})^2-\frac{1}{5}(\delta_1^2 v_n)^2-\frac{1}{5}(\delta_1^2 v_{n-2})^2+\frac{1}{5}(\delta_1^3 v_n)^2-\frac{1}{5}(\delta_1^3 v_{n-1})^2.\end{aligned}$$

Then it follows from (A.18) that

$$\begin{aligned}R_5^n=&\frac{4919}{6144}v_n^2+\frac{1}{10}v_n^2-\frac{2}{5}v_{n-1}^2+\frac{3}{5}v_{n-2}^2-\frac{2}{5}v_{n-3}^2+\frac{1}{10}v_{n-4}^2\\ &-\frac{2}{5}(\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2+\frac{4}{5}(\delta_1 v_{n-2})^2-\frac{2}{5}(\delta_1 v_{n-3})^2+\frac{2}{5}(\delta_1^2 v_{n-2})^2\\ &+\frac{1}{5}(\delta_1^3 v_n)^2-\frac{1}{5}(\delta_1^3 v_{n-1})^2+\frac{1}{10}(\delta_1^4 v_n+2\delta_1^2 v_{n-1})^2+\frac{1}{6}(\delta_1^2 v_n+\tfrac{35}{32}v_{n-1})^2\\ &-\frac{1}{5}(\delta_1^2 v_n)^2-\frac{3}{10}(\delta_1^2 v_{n-1})^2+\underline{\frac{1}{8}(\delta_1^3 v_n+\tfrac{3}{2}\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2-\frac{1}{10}(\delta_1^2 v_{n-1})^2}.\end{aligned} \tag{A.21}$$

By using (A.12), we treat with the last two terms (the underlined part) by

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{R}_{52}^n :=&\frac{1}{8}(\delta_1^3 v_n+\tfrac{3}{2}\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2-\frac{1}{10}(\delta_1^2 v_{n-1})^2\\ =&\frac{1}{8}(\delta_1^3 v_n+\tfrac{23}{10}\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2-\frac{1}{10}(\delta_1 v_n)^2-\frac{9}{50}(\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2-\frac{1}{10}(\delta_1 v_{n-2})^2+\frac{1}{10}(\delta_1^2 v_n)^2.\end{aligned}$$

Inserting it into (A.21), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}R_5^n=&\frac{4919}{6144}v_n^2+\frac{1}{10}v_n^2-\frac{2}{5}v_{n-1}^2+\frac{3}{5}v_{n-2}^2-\frac{2}{5}v_{n-3}^2+\frac{1}{10}v_{n-4}^2-\frac{1}{10}(\delta_1 v_n)^2\\ &-\frac{39}{100}(\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2+\frac{3}{10}(\delta_1 v_{n-2})^2+\frac{2}{5}(\delta_1 v_{n-2})^2-\frac{2}{5}(\delta_1 v_{n-3})^2-\frac{1}{10}(\delta_1^2 v_n)^2\\ &-\frac{3}{10}(\delta_1^2 v_{n-1})^2+\frac{2}{5}(\delta_1^2 v_{n-2})^2+\frac{1}{5}(\delta_1^3 v_n)^2-\frac{1}{5}(\delta_1^3 v_{n-1})^2+\frac{1}{10}(\delta_1^4 v_n+2\delta_1^2 v_{n-1})^2\\ &+\frac{1}{8}(\delta_1^3 v_n+\tfrac{23}{10}\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2+\underline{\frac{1}{6}(\delta_1^2 v_n+\tfrac{35}{32}v_{n-1})^2-\frac{19}{100}(\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2}.\end{aligned} \tag{A.22}$$

Furthermore, one can apply (A.5) to get

$$\widetilde{R}_{53}^n :=\frac{1}{6}(\delta_1^2 v_n+\tfrac{35}{32}v_{n-1})^2-\frac{19}{100}(\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{6}(\delta_1^2 v_n + \tfrac{1787}{800} v_{n-1})^2 - \frac{19}{100} v_n^2 - \frac{10089}{40000} v_{n-1}^2 - \frac{19}{100} v_{n-2}^2 + \frac{19}{100}(\delta_1 v_n)^2.$$

Then we can derive from (A.22) that

$$R_5^n = \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_5[\vec{v}_n] - \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_5[\vec{v}_{n-1}] + \frac{\sigma_{L5}}{2} v_n^2 + \mathcal{R}_5[\vec{v}_n], \tag{A.23}$$

where the constant $\sigma_{L5} := \frac{646631}{1920000}$, the functionals $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_5$ and $\mathcal{R}_5^n$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_5[\vec{v}_n] :=& \frac{21689}{40000} v_n^2 - \frac{11}{100} v_{n-1}^2 + \frac{3}{10} v_{n-2}^2 - \frac{1}{10} v_{n-3}^2 + \frac{9}{100}(\delta_1 v_n)^2 - \frac{3}{10}(\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2 \\
&+ \frac{2}{5}(\delta_1 v_{n-2})^2 - \frac{1}{10}(\delta_1^2 v_n)^2 - \frac{2}{5}(\delta_1^2 v_{n-1})^2 + \frac{1}{5}(\delta_1^3 v_n)^2, \\
\mathcal{R}_5[\vec{v}_n] :=& \frac{1}{10}(\delta_1^4 v_n + 2\delta_1^2 v_{n-1})^2 + \frac{1}{8}(\delta_1^3 v_n + \tfrac{23}{10}\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2 + \frac{1}{6}(\delta_1^2 v_n + \tfrac{1787}{800} v_{n-1})^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Return to (A.17) and one gets claimed decomposition (2.2) for k = 5,

$$\mathfrak{B}_5^n = \mathcal{G}_5[\vec{v}_n] - \mathcal{G}_5[\vec{v}_{n-1}] + \frac{\sigma_{L5}}{2} v_n^2 + \mathcal{R}_5[\vec{v}_n], \tag{A.24}$$

where, by using (A.14),

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_5[\vec{v}_n] :=& \mathcal{G}_4[\vec{v}_n] + \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_5[\vec{v}_n] \\
=& \frac{4227769}{3840000} v_n^2 - \frac{551}{1600} v_{n-1}^2 + \frac{17}{40} v_{n-2}^2 - \frac{1}{10} v_{n-3}^2 + \frac{1607}{4800}(\delta_1 v_n)^2 \\
&- \frac{39}{80}(\delta_1 v_{n-1})^2 + \frac{2}{5}(\delta_1 v_{n-2})^2 + \frac{7}{80}(\delta_1^2 v_n)^2 - \frac{2}{5}(\delta_1^2 v_{n-1})^2 + \frac{1}{5}(\delta_1^3 v_n)^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.25}$$

By following the treatment of $\mathcal{G}_4$ in the above subsection, it is not difficult to find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_5[\vec{v}_n] =& \frac{1198850903}{1678080000} v_n^2 + \frac{437}{900}(\tfrac{4931}{6992} v_n - v_{n-1})^2 \\
&+ \frac{9}{40}(\tfrac{23}{18}\delta_1 v_n + v_{n-2})^2 + \frac{1}{10}(2\delta_1 v_n + 2v_{n-2} - v_{n-3})^2 \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof of Lemma 2.3 is completed.

References

[1] G. Akrivis, *Stability of implicit-explicit backward difference formulas for nonlinear parabolic equations*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 53: 464-484, 2015.

[2] G. Akrivis, M. Feischl, B. Kovács, and C. Lubich, *Higher-order linearly implicit full discretization of the Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation*, Math. Comput., 90:995-1038, 2021.

[3] G. Akrivis and E. Katsoprinakis, *Backward difference formulae: new multipliers and stability properties for parabolic equations*, Math. Comput., 85: 2195-2216, 2016.

[4] G. Akrivis and C. Lubich, *Fully implicit, linearly implicit and implicit-explicit backward difference formulae for quasi-linear parabolic equations*, Numer. Math., 131: 713-735, 2015.

[5] A. Bouchriti, M. Pierre and N.E. Alaa, *Gradient stability of high-order BDF methods and some applications*, J. Differ. Equ. Appl., 26(1): 74-103, 2020.

[6] K. Cheng, C. Wang, S. Wise and X. Yue, *A second-order, weakly energy-stable pseudo-spectral scheme for the Cahn-Hilliard equation and its solution by homogeneous linear iteration method*, J. Sci. Comput., 69: 1083-1114, 2016.

[7] G. Dahlquist, *G-stability is equivalent to A-stability*, BIT, 18: 384-401, 1978.

[8] L. Dong, W. Feng, C. Wang, S. Wise and Z. Zhang, *Convergence analysis and numerical implementation of a second order numerical scheme for the three-dimensional phase field crystal equation*, Comput. Math. Appl., 75: 1912-1928, 2018.

[9] K. Elder and M. Grant, *Modeling elastic and plastic deformations in nonequilibrium processing using phase field crystals*, Phys. Rev. E, 70: 051605, 2004.

[10] K. Elder, M. Katakowski, M. Haataja and M. Grant, *Modeling elasticity in crystal growth*, Phys. Rev. Lett., 88: 245701, 2002.

[11] C.M. Elliott and A.M. Stuart, *The global dynamics of discrete semilinear parabolic equations*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 30: 1622-1663, 1993.

[12] Y. Gong, J. Zhao and Q. Wang, *Arbitrarily high-order unconditionally energy stable schemes for thermodynamically consistent gradient flow models*, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 42(1): B135-B156, 2020.

[13] Y. Gong and J. Zhao, *Energy-stable Runge-Kutta schemes for gradient flow models using the energy quadratization approach*, Appl. Math. Lett., 94: 224-231, 2019.

[14] U. Grenander and G. Szegö, *Toeplitz Forms and Their Applications*, 2nd edition, AMS Chelsea, Providence, RI, 2001.

[15] E. Hairer and G. Wanner, *Solving Ordinary Differential Equations II: Stiff and Differential-Algebraic Problems*, Springer Series in Computational Mathematics Volume 14, Second Edition, Springer-Verlag, 2002.

[16] Y. Hao, Q. Huang and C. Wang, *A third order BDF energy stable linear scheme for the no-slope-selection thin film model*, Commu. Comput. Phys., 29: 905-929, 2021.

[17] A.T. Hill and E. Süli, *Approximation of the global attractor for the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations*, IMA J. Numer. Anal., 20(4): 633-667, 2000.

[18] Z. Hu, S. Wise, C. Wang and J. Lowengrub, *Stable and efficient finite-difference nonlinear-multigrid schemes for the phase field crystal equation*, J. Comput. Phys., 228: 5323-5339, 2009.

[19] X. Jing and Q. Wang, *Linear second order energy stable schemes for phase field crystal growth models with nonlocal constraints*, Comput. Math. Appl., 79: 764-788, 2020.

[20] B. Kovács, B. Li, and C. Lubich, *A convergent evolving finite element algorithm for mean curvature flow of closed surfaces*, Numer. Math., 143: 797-853, 2019.

[21] B. Kovács, B. Li, and C. Lubich, *A convergent evolving finite element algorithm for Willmore flow of closed surfaces*, Numer. Math., 149: 595-643, 2021.

[22] Y. Li and J. Kim, *An efficient and stable compact fourth-order finite difference scheme for the phase field crystal equation*, Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng., 319:194–216, 2017.

[23] Z. Li and H.-L. Liao. *Stability of variable-step BDF2 and BDF3 methods*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 60(4): 2253-2272, 2022.

[24] H.-L. Liao, B. Ji and L. Zhang, *An adaptive BDF2 implicit time-stepping method for the phase field crystal model*, IMA J. Numer. Anal., 42(1): 649-679, 2022.

[25] H.-L. Liao, X. Song, T. Tang and T. Zhou, Analysis of the second order BDF scheme with variable steps for the molecular beam epitaxial model without slope selection, Sci. China Math., 64(5): 887-902, 2021.

[26] H.-L. Liao, Z. Sun and H. Shi, *Error estimate of fourth-order compact scheme for solving linear Schrödinger equations*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 47(6): 4381-4401, 2010.

[27] H.-L. Liao, T. Tang and T. Zhou, *A new discrete energy technique for multi-step backward difference formulas*, CSIAM Trans. Appl. Math., 3(2): 318-334, 2022.

[28] H.-L. Liao and Z. Zhang, *Analysis of adaptive BDF2 scheme for diffusion equations*, Math. Comput., 90: 1207-1226, 2021.

[29] C. Lubich, D. Mansour and C. Venkataraman, *Backward difference time discretization of parabolic differential equations on evolving surfaces*, IMA J. Numer. Anal., 33: 1365-1385, 2013.

[30] O. Nevanlinna and F. Odeh, *Multiplier techniques for linear multistep methods*, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. 3: 377-423, 1981.

[31] M. Pierre, *Maximum time step for the BDF3 scheme applied to gradient flows*, Calcolo, 58:3, 2021, doi:10.1007/s10092-020-00393-3.

[32] A.M. Stuart and A.R. Humphries, *Dynamical systems and numerical analysis*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1998.

[33] C. Xu and T. Tang, *Stability analysis of large time-stepping methods for epitaxial growth models*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 44: 1759-1779, 2006.

[34] X. Yang and D. Han, *Linearly first- and second-order, unconditionally energy stable schemes for the phase field crystal model*, J. Comput. Phys., 330: 1116-1134, 2017.

[35] Z. Zhang, Y. Ma and Z. Qiao, *An adaptive time-stepping strategy for solving the phase field crystal model*, J. Comput. Phys., 249: 204-215, 2013.