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#### Abstract

Skew Dyck paths are a variation of Dyck paths, where additionally to steps $(1,1)$ and $(1,-1)$ a south-west step $(-1,-1)$ is also allowed, provided that the path does not intersect itself. Replacing the south-west step by a red south-east step, we end up with decorated Dyck paths. Sequence A128723 of the Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences considers such paths where peaks at level 1 are forbidden. We provide a thorough analysis of a more general scenario, namely partial decorated Dyck paths, ending on a prescribed level $j$, both from left-to-right and from right-to-left (decorated Dyck paths are not symmetric). The approach is completely based on generating functions.


## 1 Introduction

Skew Dyck paths are a variation of Dyck paths, where additionally to steps $(1,1)$ and $(1,-1)$ a south-west step $(-1,-1)$ is also allowed, provided that the path does not intersect itself. Replacing the south-west step by a red south-east step, we end up with decorated Dyck paths. Our earlier publication [2] studied such paths using generating functions.

Sequence A128723 considers such paths where peaks at level 1 are forbidden. These paths are the main objects of the present paper.


Figure 1: All 10 skew Dyck paths of length 6 (consisting of 6 steps).


Figure 2: The 10 paths redrawn, with red south-east edges instead of south-west edges.

The Figures 1, 2, 3 describe such paths of length 6.
We catch the essence of a decorated Dyck path using a state-diagram (Fig. 4):
It has three types of states, with $j$ ranging from 0 to infinity; in the drawing, only $j=0 . .8$ is shown. The first layer of states refers to an up-step leading to a state, the second layer refers to a black down-step leading to a state and the third layer refers to a red down-step leading to a state.

If the dashed edge is present, the graph models skew (decorated) Dyck paths. Any path from the origin to a node on level $j$ represents such a decorated Dyck path ending on level $j$. In particular, if $j=0$, the path comes back to the $x$-axis. Note that the syntactic rules of forbidden patterns $\triangle$ and $\vee$ can be clearly seen from the picture.

However, if the dashed edge is not present, it means that peaks at level 1 cannot be modeled by this graph, and that is what we want in the present paper.

We will work out generating functions describing all paths leading to a particular state. We will use the notations $f_{j}, g_{j}, h_{j}$ for the three respective layers, from top to bottom. Although one could in principle compute all these functions separately, we are mainly interested in $s_{j}=f_{j}+g_{j}+h_{j}$, so we are interested in paths arriving on level $j$ but we do not care in which way this final level has been reached. It is also clear that a path of length $n$ leading to a state at level $j$ must satisfy $n \equiv j \bmod 2$.

In a last section, the right-to-left model is briefly described. Then, red down-steps become blue up-steps.




Figure 3: The 6 paths without peaks on level 1.


Figure 4: Three layers of states according to the type of steps leading to them (up, downblack, down-red).

## 2 Generating functions and the kernel method

The functions depend on the variable $z$ (marking the number of steps), but mostly we just write $f_{j}$ instead of $f_{j}(z)$, etc.

The following recursions can be read off immediately from the diagram (Fig. 4):

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{0}=1, \quad f_{i+1}=z f_{i}+z g_{i}, \quad i \geq 0, \\
g_{i}=z f_{i+1}+z g_{i+1}+z h_{i+1}, \quad i \geq 1, \\
g_{0}=z g_{i+1}+z h_{i+1}, \quad i \geq 1 \\
h_{i}=z h_{i+1}+z g_{i+1}, \quad i \geq 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

We can make a few direct observations: $f_{0}=1, f_{1}=z+z g_{0}, g_{0}=h_{0}$. The latter can be proved from combinatorial reasoning, since switching the last step from black to red resp. from red to black constitutes a bijection. This is a consequence of the fact that there are no peaks at level 1, otherwise the syntactic restrictions might be violated.

Now it is time to introduce bivariate generating functions:

$$
F(z, u)=\sum_{i \geq 0} f_{i}(z) u^{i}, \quad G(z, u)=\sum_{i \geq 0} g_{i}(z) u^{i}, \quad H(z, u)=\sum_{i \geq 0} h_{i}(z) u^{i}
$$

Again, often we just write $F(u)$ instead of $F(z, u)$ and treat $z$ as a 'silent' variable. Summing
the recursions leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i \geq 0} u^{i} f_{i+1} & =\sum_{i \geq 0} u^{i} z f_{i}+\sum_{i \geq 0} u^{i} z g_{i} \\
\sum_{i \geq 1} u^{i} g_{i} & =\sum_{i \geq 1} u^{i} z f_{i+1}+\sum_{i \geq 1} u^{i} z g_{i+1}+\sum_{i \geq 1} u^{i} z h_{i+1} \\
\sum_{i \geq 0} u^{i} h_{i} & =\sum_{i \geq 0} u^{i} z h_{i+1}+\sum_{i \geq 0} u^{i} z g_{i+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

This can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{u}(F(u)-1) & =z F(u)+z G(u), \\
\sum_{i \geq 1} u^{i} g_{i}+g_{0} & =\sum_{i \geq 1} u^{i} z f_{i+1}+\sum_{i \geq 1} u^{i} z g_{i+1}+z g_{1}+\sum_{i \geq 1} u^{i} z h_{i+1}+z h_{1}, \\
\sum_{i \geq 0} u^{i} g_{i} & =\sum_{i \geq 1} u^{i} z f_{i+1}+\sum_{i \geq 0} u^{i} z g_{i+1}+\sum_{i \geq 0} u^{i} z h_{i+1}, \\
G(u) & =\frac{z}{u}\left[F(u)-f_{0}-u f_{1}\right]+\frac{z}{u}\left[G(u)-g_{0}\right]+\frac{z}{u}\left[H(u)-h_{0}\right], \\
H(u) & =\frac{z}{u}(G(u)-G(0))+\frac{z}{u}(H(u)-H(0)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Instead of working with 3 functions, we can reduce the system to just one equation (with the variable $G$ ):

$$
F=\frac{1+z u G}{1-z u}, \quad H=\frac{z\left(G-g_{0}-h_{0}\right)}{u-z} .
$$

Using this, we get

$$
G=\frac{-z^{3} u(u-z)+z(1-z u)\left(2+z u-z^{2}\right) g_{0}}{z\left(u-r_{1}\right)\left(u-r_{2}\right)}
$$

with

$$
r_{1}=\frac{1+z^{2}+\sqrt{1-6 z^{2}+5 z^{4}}}{2 z}, \quad r_{2}=\frac{1+z^{2}-\sqrt{1-6 z^{2}+5 z^{4}}}{2 z}
$$

Note that $r_{1} r_{2}=2-z^{2}$. Since the factor $u-r_{2}$ in the denominator is "bad," it must also cancel in the numerator. This is an essential step in the kernel method, see for instance our own survey [1]. This leads to the new version

$$
G=\frac{-z^{3}\left(u-z+r_{2}\right)-z^{2}\left(-z^{2}+z u+1+z r_{2}\right) g_{0}}{z\left(u-r_{1}\right)}
$$

Plugging in $u=0$ and solving the equation

$$
G(z, 0)=g_{0}=\frac{-z^{3}\left(-z+r_{2}\right)-z^{2}\left(-z^{2}+1+z r_{2}\right) g_{0}}{z\left(-r_{1}\right)}
$$

leads to

$$
g_{0}=\frac{1-2 z^{4}-3 z^{2}-\sqrt{1-6 z^{2}+5 z^{4}}}{2\left(z^{2}+3\right) z^{2}}
$$

Knowing this, we know $G$, and thus $F$ and $H$. As the first goal, we still set $u=0$, thus considering paths coming back to the $x$-axis. Using Maple,

$$
s_{0}:=f_{0}+g_{0}+h_{0}=\frac{1-z^{4}-\sqrt{1-6 z^{2}+5 z^{4}}}{\left(z^{2}+3\right) z^{2}} .
$$

## The conjecture

We write $z^{2}=x$, since skew paths, as discussed here, must have an even number of steps. The function

$$
y(x)=\frac{1-x^{2}-\sqrt{1-6 x+5 x^{2}}}{x(x+3)}
$$

is the generating function of the sequence A128723:
$1,0,2,6,22,84,334,1368,5734,24480,106086,465462,2063658,9231084,41610162, \ldots$
GFUN, as described in [3], produces the algebraic equation that $y(x)$ satisfies:

$$
-(x-1)(x-2)+3 x+2\left(1-x^{2}\right) y-x(3+x) y^{2}=0
$$

and from this the differential equation

$$
-\left(9 x^{2}+5 x^{3}+3-17 x\right) x y^{\prime}+\left(9 x^{2}+7 x-5 x^{3}-3\right) y+3+9 x^{2}-5 x^{3}-7 x
$$

and finally from the differential equation the recursion for the coefficients $s_{n}=\left[x^{n}\right] y(x)$ :

$$
3(n+4) s_{n+3}-(17 n+41) s_{n+2}+9 n s_{n+1}+5(n+1) s_{n}=0 .
$$

An equivalent recursion was conjectured in the description of sequence A128723 [4].

## Partial paths

Another compution with Maple leads to

$$
S(z, u)=F(z, u)+G(z, u)+H(z, u)=\frac{-z^{4}-z^{4} g_{0}-z^{2} g_{0}+z^{2}-1}{z\left(u-r_{1}\right)} .
$$

Further

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{j}:=\left[u^{j}\right] S(z, u) & =\frac{z^{4}+z^{4} g_{0}+z^{2} g_{0}-z^{2}+1}{z r_{1}\left(1-u / r_{1}\right)} \\
& =\frac{z^{4}+z^{4} g_{0}+z^{2} g_{0}-z^{2}+1}{z r_{1}^{j+1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

One sees the parity: $j$ even/odd iff exponents are even/odd. If it is desired, $1 / r_{1}$ may be expressed by $r_{2}$ (and a factor).

## Open-ended paths

We might allow any level as end-level of the path. In terms of generating functions, this means to consider $S(z, 1)$, viz.

$$
S(z, 1)=\frac{-2 z^{5}-3 z^{4}+z^{3}-5 z^{2}-3 z+4-\left(z^{2}+3 z+4\right) \sqrt{1-6 z^{2}+5 z^{4}}}{2 z\left(3+z^{2}\right)\left(z^{2}+2 z-1\right)} .
$$

The sequence of coefficients
$1,1,1,2,5,8,18,31,71,126,290,527,1218,2253,5223,9796,22763,43170,100502,192347, \ldots$ is not in the encyclopedia [4].

## 3 Reading the decorated paths from right to left

Since decorated paths are not symmetric, it makes sense to consider this scenario separately.


Figure 5: All 6 dual (=right-to-left) skew Dyck paths of length 6 (consisting of 6 steps), having no peak at level 1.

We catch the essence of a decorated (dual skew) Dyck path using a state-diagram:


Figure 6: Three layers of states according to the type of steps leading to them (down, up-black, up-blue).

Note that the syntactic rules of forbidden patterns $\triangle$ and $\downarrow$ can be clearly seen from the picture.

As in the earlier section, if the dashed edge is removed it means that the condition 'no peak at level $1^{\prime}$ is modeled, which is what we need to do. Using the letters $c_{j}, a_{j}, b_{j}$ (in
that order) for the generating functions to reach state $j$ in the particular layer, we find the following recursions immediately from the diagram:

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{0}=1, \quad a_{i+1}=z a_{i}+z b_{i}+z c_{i}, \quad i \geq 0 \\
b_{0}=z b_{1}, \quad b_{i}=z a_{i+1}+z b_{i+1}, \quad i \geq 1 \\
c_{i+1}=z a_{i}+z c_{i}, \quad i \geq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

We introduce bivariate generating functions:

$$
A(z, u)=\sum_{i \geq 0} a_{i}(z) u^{i}, \quad B(z, u)=\sum_{i \geq 0} b_{i}(z) u^{i}, \quad C(z, u)=\sum_{i \geq 0} c_{i}(z) u^{i} .
$$

Summing the recursions leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
A(u) & =\sum_{i \geq 0} u^{i} a_{i}=1+u \sum_{i \geq 0} u^{i}\left(z a_{i}+z b_{i}+z c_{i}\right) \\
& =1+u z A(u)+u z B(u)+u z C(u), \\
\sum_{i \geq 0} u^{i} b_{i} & =\sum_{i \geq 1} u^{i} z a_{i+1}+\sum_{i \geq 0} u^{i} z b_{i+1}, \\
B(u) & =\frac{z}{u} \sum_{i \geq 2} u^{i} a_{i}+\frac{z}{u} \sum_{i \geq 1} u^{i} b_{i} \\
& =\frac{z}{u}\left[A(u)-a_{0}-u a_{1}\right]+\frac{z}{u}\left[B(u)-b_{0}\right], \\
\sum_{i \geq 1} u^{i} c_{i} & =u z \sum_{i \geq 0} u^{i} a_{i}+u z \sum_{i \geq 0} u^{i} c_{i}, \\
C(u)-c_{0} & =u z A(u)+u z C(u) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have $c_{0}=0, a_{0}=1$, and $a_{1}=z+z b_{0}$. We may write

$$
\begin{gathered}
C(u)=\frac{u z A(u)}{1-u z}, \\
A(u)=1+u z A(u)+u z B(u)+\frac{u^{2} z^{2} A(u)}{1-u z}=\frac{1+u z B(u)}{1-u z-\frac{u^{2} z^{2}}{1-u z}}=\frac{1-u z}{1-2 u z}[1+u z B(u)], \\
C(u)=\frac{u z}{1-2 u z}[1+u z B(u)] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Solving for $B(u)$,

$$
B(u)=\frac{z\left(2 u^{2} z^{2}+2 z^{2} u^{2} b_{0}+b_{0} z u-b_{0}\right)}{z\left(z^{2}-2\right)\left(u-r_{1}^{-1}\right)\left(u-r_{2}^{-1}\right)} .
$$

We cancel the bad factor $\left(u-r_{1}^{-1}\right)$ out of the numerator:

$$
B(u)=\frac{z\left(2 r_{1} u z+2 r_{1} u z b_{0}+b_{0} r_{1}+2 z+2 z b_{0}\right) r_{2}}{r_{1}\left(z^{2}-2\right)\left(u r_{2}-1\right)}
$$

Plugging in $u=0$ results in the equation

$$
b_{0}=\frac{-z\left(b_{0} r_{1}+2 z+2 z b_{0}\right) r_{2}}{r_{1}\left(z^{2}-2\right)}
$$

and thus

$$
b_{0}=\frac{1-z^{4}-\sqrt{1-6 z^{2}+5 z^{4}}}{z^{2}\left(3+z^{2}\right)}-1,
$$

as expected, since $1+b_{0}$ is the generating function of all skew Dyck paths without peaks at level 1.

Expressions for $A(z, u)+B(z, u)+C(z, u)$ and $\left[u^{j}\right](A(z, u)+B(z, u)+C(z, u))$ could be explicitly written, which we leave to the reader.

The open paths in this model are enumerated via

$$
A(z, 1)+B(z, 1)+C(z, 1)
$$

which is a long expression, with coefficients

$$
1,2,4,10,24,56,134,318,764,1824,4390,10520,25346,60878,146768, \ldots
$$

which are again not in the OEIS [4].
Explicit formulæ for this model are a bit unpleasant, but easily regenerated using Maple.

## 4 Conclusion

In order to keep this paper short (and not boring) we refrained from working out many additional parameters. That might be a good project for graduate students.
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