

# DYNAMICAL ZETA FUNCTIONS FOR BILLIARDS

YANN CHAUBET AND VESSELIN PETKOV

ABSTRACT. Let  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d \geq 2$ , be the union of a finite collection of pairwise disjoint strictly convex compact obstacles. Let  $\mu_j \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\text{Im } \mu_j > 0$  be the resonances of the Laplacian in the exterior of  $D$  with Neumann or Dirichlet boundary condition on  $\partial D$ . For  $d$  odd,  $u(t) = \sum_j e^{i|t|\mu_j}$  is a distribution in  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$  and the Laplace transforms of the leading singularities of  $u(t)$  yield the dynamical zeta functions  $\eta_N, \eta_D$  for Neumann and Dirichlet boundary conditions, respectively. These zeta functions play a crucial role in the analysis of the distribution of the resonances. Under a non-eclipse condition, for  $d \geq 2$  we show that  $\eta_N$  and  $\eta_D$  admit a meromorphic continuation in the whole complex plane. In the particular case when the boundary  $\partial D$  is real analytic, by using a result of Fried [Fri95], we prove that the function  $\eta_D$  cannot be entire. Following Ikawa [Ika88], this implies the existence of a strip  $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < \text{Im } z \leq \delta\}$  containing an infinite number of resonances  $\mu_j$  for the Dirichlet problem.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $D_1, \dots, D_r \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d \geq 2$ , be compact strictly convex disjoint obstacles with smooth boundary and let  $D = \bigcup_{j=1}^r D_j$ . Throughout this paper we suppose the following non-eclipse condition

$$D_k \cap \text{convex hull}(D_i \cup D_j) = \emptyset, \quad (1.1)$$

for any  $1 \leq i, j, k \leq r$  such that  $i \neq k$  and  $j \neq k$ . Under this condition all period rays for the billiard flow in  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \overset{\circ}{D}$  are ordinary reflecting ones without tangent segments to the boundary of  $D$ . Notice that if (1.1) is not satisfied, for generic perturbations of  $\partial D$  all periodic reflecting rays in  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \overset{\circ}{D}$  have no segments tangent to  $\partial D$  (see Theorem 6.3.1 in [PS17]). We consider the (non grazing) billiard flow  $(\phi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  (see §2.1 for a precise definition). For any periodic  $\gamma$ , denote by  $P_\gamma$  its associated linearized Poincaré map and by  $\tau(\gamma)$  its period. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the set of all periodic rays. The counting function of the lengths of periodic rays satisfies the bound

$$\#\{\gamma \in \mathcal{P} : \tau(\gamma) \leq \tau\} \leq e^{a\tau}, \quad \tau > 0,$$

for some  $a > 0$ . Moreover, for some constants  $C, b_1, b_2 > 0$  we have (see for instance [Pet99])

$$Ce^{b_1\tau(\gamma)} \leq |\det(I - P_\gamma)| \leq e^{b_2\tau(\gamma)}, \quad \gamma \in \mathcal{P}.$$

By using these estimates, for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 1$  we define two Dirichlet series

$$\eta_N(s) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{\tau^\sharp(\gamma)e^{-s\tau(\gamma)}}{|\det(1 - P_\gamma)|^{1/2}}, \quad \eta_D(s) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}} (-1)^{m(\gamma)} \frac{\tau^\sharp(\gamma)e^{-s\tau(\gamma)}}{|\det(1 - P_\gamma)|^{1/2}},$$

where for any periodic  $\gamma$ , we denoted by  $\tau^\sharp(\gamma)$  its primitive period, and by  $m(\gamma)$  the number of reflexions of  $\gamma$  on the obstacles.

The series  $\eta_N(s)$ ,  $\eta_D(s)$  are related to the resonances of the self-adjoint operators  $-\Delta_b$ ,  $b = N, D$ , on  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus D)$ , with Neumann and Dirichlet boundary conditions on  $\partial D$ , respectively, and domains  $\mathcal{D}_b \subset \mathcal{H}$ . To explain this relation, consider the resolvents

$$\mathcal{R}_b(\mu) = (-\Delta_b - \mu^2)^{-1},$$

which are analytic in  $\{\mu \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im} \mu < 0\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}_b(\mu) : \mathcal{H}_{\text{comp}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{b,\text{loc}}$  has a meromorphic continuation to  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$  if  $d$  is odd, and in the logarithmic covering of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  if  $d$  is even (see [LP89] for  $d$  odd and [DZ19] for  $d \geq 2$ ). The poles  $\mu_j$ ,  $\operatorname{Im} \mu_j > 0$ , of these continuations are called *resonances*. Introduce the distribution  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$  given by the trace

$$u(t) = 2\operatorname{tr}_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \left( \cos(t\sqrt{-\Delta_b}) \oplus 0 - \cos(t\sqrt{-\Delta_0}) \right),$$

where  $\Delta_0$  is the free Laplacian in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\cos(t\sqrt{-\Delta_b}) \oplus 0$  acts as 0 on  $L^2(D)$ . Then for  $d$  odd, [Mel82] (see also [BGR82] for a slightly weaker result) proved that in  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$  we have

$$u(t) = \sum_j m(\mu_j) e^{i|t|\mu_j},$$

where  $m(\mu_j)$  is the multiplicity of  $\mu_j$ . Here in the notations we omitted the dependence on the boundary conditions. The series above converge in the sense of distributions since we have the bound  $\sharp\{\mu_j : |\mu_j| \leq r\} \leq Cr^d$  for all  $r > 0$  (see [DZ19]). The reader may see also [Zwo97] and [DZ19] for a proof treating the singularity of  $u(t)$  at  $t = 0$ . For  $d$  even, the situation is more complicated since the resonances are defined in a logarithmic covering of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $\Lambda = \mathbb{C} \setminus e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}\mathbb{R}^+}$  and for  $\rho > 0$  let

$$\Lambda_\rho = \{\mu \in \Lambda : |\operatorname{Im} \mu| \leq \rho |\operatorname{Re} \mu|\}$$

be a conic neighborhood of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Choose a function  $\psi$  in  $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}; [0, 1])$  equal to 1 in a neighborhood of 0 and denote by  $\sigma_b(\lambda)$  the scattering phase related to

$-\Delta_b$  (see [Zwo98] for the notation). Following the work of Zworski [Zwo98], there exists a function  $v_{\rho,\psi} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$  such that in the sense of distributions  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) = & \sum_{\mu_j \in \Lambda_\rho} m(\mu_j) e^{i\mu_j |t|} + m(0) \\ & + 2 \int_0^\infty \psi(\lambda) \frac{d\sigma}{d\lambda}(\lambda) \cos(t\lambda) d\lambda + v_{\rho,\psi}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where  $m(0)$  is a constant. The reader may consult [Sjö97] for a local trace formula involving the resonances. Concerning the singularities of the distribution  $u$ , from [BGR82] it follows that

$$\text{sing supp } u \subset \{\pm\tau(\gamma) : \gamma \in \mathcal{P}\}.$$

Under the condition (1.1), every periodic trajectory  $\gamma$  is an ordinary reflecting ray and the leading singularity of  $u(t)$  related to  $t = \tau(\gamma)$  was described by Guillemin and Melrose [GM79]. More precisely, the singularity related to  $\tau(\gamma)$  has the form

$$(-1)^{m(\gamma)} \tau^\sharp(\gamma) |\det(I - P_\gamma)|^{-1/2} \delta(t - \tau(\gamma)) + L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R})$$

(see for instance, Corollary 4.3.4 in [PS17]), where for the Neumann problem the factor  $(-1)^{m(\gamma)}$  must be omitted. Taking the sum of the Laplace transforms of the leading singularities of  $u(t)|_{\mathbb{R}_+}$  related to  $\tau(\gamma)$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}$ , we obtain the dynamical zeta functions  $\eta_N(s)$ ,  $\eta_D(s)$ .

The analytic singularities of  $\eta_N(s)$  and  $\eta_D(s)$  are important for the analysis of the distribution of the resonances (see [Ika88, Ika90a, Ika90b, Ika92, Sto09, Pet08] and the papers cited there). By using the Ruelle transfer operator and symbolic dynamics (see [Ika90a, Pet99, Sto09, Mor91]), a meromorphic continuation of  $\eta_N(s)$ ,  $\eta_D(s)$  has been proved in a domain  $s_0 - \alpha \leq \text{Re } s$  with a suitable  $\alpha > 0$ , where  $s_0$  is the abscissa of absolute convergence of the Dirichlet series  $\eta_N(s)$ ,  $\eta_D(s)$ . Recently, a meromorphic continuation on  $\mathbb{C}$  of the series

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{\tau^\sharp(\gamma) e^{-s\tau(\gamma)}}{|\det(1 - P_\gamma)|}, \quad \text{Re}(s) \gg 1, \quad (1.3)$$

has been proved by Küster–Schütte–Weich [KSW21] (see also [BSW21] for results concerning weighted zeta functions). On the other hand, a meromorphic continuation in the whole complex plan of the semi-classical zeta function for contact Anosov flows was established by Faure–Tsuji [FT17]. Their zeta function is similar to the function  $\zeta_N$  defined in (1.4) below. The meromorphic

continuation of the Ruelle zeta function for general Anosov flows was established by Giulietti–Liverani–Pollicott [GLP13] (see also the work of Dyatlov–Zworski [DZ16] for another proof based on microlocal analysis). In this paper the series  $\eta_N(s)$ ,  $\eta_D(s)$  are simply called dynamical zeta functions following previous works [Pet99, Pet08] and we refer to the book of Baladi [Bal18] for more references concerning zeta functions for hyperbolic dynamical systems.

Our main result is the following

**Theorem 1.** *The functions  $\eta_N(s)$  and  $\eta_D(s)$  admit a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane with simple poles and integer residues.*

One may consider also the zeta functions  $\zeta_b(s)$  associated to the boundary conditions  $b = D, N$ , defined for  $\operatorname{Re} s$  large enough by

$$\zeta_b(s) = \exp \left( - \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}} (-1)^{m(\gamma)\varepsilon(b)} \frac{e^{-s\tau(\gamma)}}{\mu(\gamma) |\det(1 - P_\gamma)|^{1/2}} \right), \quad (1.4)$$

where  $\varepsilon(D) = 1$ ,  $\varepsilon(N) = 0$  and  $\tau(\gamma) = \mu(\gamma)\tau^\sharp(\gamma)$ . Notice that we have

$$\frac{\zeta'_b(s)}{\zeta_b(s)} = \eta_b(s), \quad b = D, N, \quad \operatorname{Re} s \gg 1. \quad (1.5)$$

In particular, since by the above theorem  $\eta_b(s)$  has simple poles with integer residues, it follows by a classical argument of complex analysis that we have the following

**Corollary 2.** For  $b = D, N$ , the function  $s \mapsto \zeta_b(s)$  extends meromorphically to the whole complex plane.

In fact, we will prove a slightly more general result. For  $q \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ , consider the Dirichlet series

$$\eta_q(s) = \sum_{m(\gamma) \in q\mathbb{N}} \frac{\tau^\sharp(\gamma)e^{-s\tau(\gamma)}}{|1 - P_\gamma|^{1/2}}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 1,$$

where the sum runs over all periodic rays  $\gamma$  with  $m(\gamma) \in q\mathbb{N}$ . We will show that  $\eta_q(s)$  admits a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane, with simple poles and residues valued in  $\mathbb{Z}/q$  (see Theorem 4). In particular, considering the function  $\zeta_q(s)$  defined by

$$\zeta_q(s) = \exp \left( - \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{P}, m(\gamma) \in q\mathbb{N}} \frac{e^{-s\tau(\gamma)}}{\mu(\gamma) |\det(1 - P_\gamma)|^{1/2}} \right), \quad \operatorname{Re} s \gg 1,$$

one gets  $q\zeta'_q/\zeta_q = q\eta_q$ . Thus the function  $s \mapsto \zeta_q(s)^q$  extends meromorphically to the whole complex plane since its logarithmic derivative is  $q\eta_q$  and by Theorem 4 the function  $q\eta_q$  has simple poles with integer residues. One reason for

which it is interesting to study these functions is the relation

$$\eta_D(s) = -\frac{d}{ds} \log \frac{\zeta_2(s)^2}{\zeta_N(s)} = 2\eta_2(s) - \eta_N(s), \quad (1.6)$$

hence we can express  $\eta_D(s)$  for  $\operatorname{Re} s \gg 1$  as the difference of two Dirichlet series with positive coefficients. In particular, to show that  $\eta_D(s)$  has a meromorphic extension to  $\mathbb{C}$ , it is sufficient to prove that both series  $\eta_N(s)$  and  $2\eta_2(s)$  have this property.

The distribution of the resonances  $\mu_j$  depends on the geometry of the obstacles and for trapping obstacles and  $d$  odd it was conjectured that there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$N_{0,\delta} = \#\{\mu_j \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < \operatorname{Im} \mu_j \leq \delta\} = \infty. \quad (1.7)$$

For  $d$  even we must count

$$N_{0,\delta} = \#\{\mu_j \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < \operatorname{Im} \mu_j \leq \delta, 0 < \arg z < \pi\} \quad (1.8)$$

since a meromorphic extension of  $\mathcal{R}_D(\mu)$  is possible on the Riemann logarithmic surface  $\Lambda = \{-\infty < \arg z < +\infty\}$ . This conjecture for  $d$  odd was introduced by Ikawa [Ika90a] and it is known as the modified Lax-Phillips conjecture (MLPC). In this direction, for  $d$  odd, Ikawa [Ika88, Ika90a] proved that for strictly convex disjoint obstacles satisfying (1.1) the existence of at least one singularity of  $\eta_N(s)$  or  $\eta_D(s)$  implies the existence of  $\delta > 0$  for which (1.7) holds for the Neumann or Dirichlet boundary problem. The proof in [Ika90a] can be modified to cover also the case  $d$  even, applying the trace formula of Zworski (1.2). The existence of a singularity of the dynamical zeta function trivially holds for the Neumann problem since  $\eta_N(s)$  is a Dirichlet series with positive coefficients, and by a classical result,  $\eta_N(s)$  must have a singularity at  $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\operatorname{Re} s = s_0$  is the line of absolute convergence of  $\eta_N(s)$ . Moreover, for  $d$  odd (see [Pet02]) there exist constants  $c_0, \varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for every  $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$  it holds

$$\#\left\{\mu_j \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < \operatorname{Im} \mu_j \leq \frac{c_0}{\varepsilon}, |\mu_j| \leq r\right\} \geq C_\varepsilon r^{1-\varepsilon}.$$

The situation for the Dirichlet problem is more complicated since  $\eta_D(s)$  is analytic for  $\operatorname{Re} s \geq s_0$ ,  $s_0$  being the abscissa of absolute convergence [Pet99]. Moreover, for  $d = 2$  [Sto01] and for  $d \geq 3$  under some conditions [Sto12] Stoyanov proved that there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\eta_D(s)$  is analytic for  $\operatorname{Re} s \geq s_0 - \varepsilon$ . The reason of this cancellation of singularities is related to the change of signs in the Dirichlet series defining  $\eta_D(s)$ , as it is emphasized by the relation (1.6). Despite many works in the physical literature concerning the  $n$ -disk problem (see for example [CVW97, Wir99, LZ02, PWB<sup>+</sup>12, BWP<sup>+</sup>13] and the

references cited there), a rigorous proof of the (MLPC) was established only for sufficiently small balls [Ika90b] and for obstacles with sufficiently small diameters [Sto09]. In this direction we prove the following

**Theorem 3.** *Assume that the boundary  $\partial D$  is real analytic. Then the function  $\eta_D(s)$  has at least one pole and the (MLPC) holds.*

For convenience of the reader we explain briefly the ideas of the proofs of Theorems 1 and 3. First, in §2 we make some geometric preparations. The non-grazing billiard flow  $\varphi_t$  is defined in  $M = B/\sim$ , where

$$B = S\mathbb{R}^d \setminus (\pi^{-1}(\mathring{D}) \cup \mathcal{D}_g),$$

$\pi : S\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is the natural projection,  $\mathcal{D}_g$  is the grazing part (see §2.1) and  $(x, v) \sim (y, w)$  if and only if  $(x, v) = (y, w)$  or  $x = y \in \partial D$  and  $w$  is equal to the reflected direction of  $v$  at  $x \in \partial D$  (see §2.1). By using this factorization, the flow  $\varphi_t$  becomes continuous in  $M$ . However, to apply the Dyatlov–Guillarmou theory [DG16] in order to study the spectral properties of  $\varphi_t$  which are intimately related to the dynamical zeta functions, we need to work with a smooth flow. For this reason we use a special smooth structure near  $\partial D$  with smooth charts introduced in the recent work of Küster–Schütte–Weich [KSW21]. In this smooth model one obtains a smooth flow  $\varphi_t$  which is uniformly hyperbolic when restricted to the compact trapped set  $K$  of  $\varphi_t$ . The periodic points are dense in  $K$  and for any  $z \in K$  the tangent space  $T_z M$  has the decomposition  $T_z M = \mathbb{R}X(z) \oplus E_u(z) \oplus E_s(z)$  with unstable and stable spaces  $E_u(z)$ ,  $E_s(z)$ , where  $X$  is the generator of  $\varphi_t$ . A meromorphic continuation of the resolvent  $(X + s)^{-1}$  has been established in [DG16] in a general setting, and as in [DZ16] and [DG16], estimates on the wavefront set of the resolvent  $(X + s)^{-1}$  allow to define its flat trace which is related to the series (1.3). This implies a meromorphic continuation of this series in  $\mathbb{C}$  (see [KSW21]).

To prove a meromorphic continuation of the zeta function  $\eta_N(s)$  which is defined with factors  $|\det(I - P_\gamma)|^{-1/2}$  instead of  $|\det(1 - P_\gamma)|^{-1}$ , a natural approach would consist to study the Lie derivative  $\mathcal{L}_X$  acting on sections of the unstable bundle  $E_u(z)$  (see for example [FT17, pp. 6–8]). However,  $E_u(z)$  in general is not smooth with respect to  $z$ , but only Hölder continuous. Thus we are led to change the geometrical setting as in the work of Faure–Tsuji [FT17] (notice that the Grassmann bundle introduced below also appears in [BR75] and [GL08]). One introduces the Grassmannian bundle  $\pi_G : G \rightarrow V$  over a neighborhood  $V$  of  $K$ ; for every  $z \in V$  the fiber  $\pi_G^{-1}(z)$  is formed by all  $(d - 1)$ -dimensional planes of  $T_z N$ . We define  $\tilde{K}_u = \{E_u(z) : z \in K\} \subset G$  and introduce the natural lifted smooth flow  $\tilde{\varphi}_t$  on  $G$ . Then by [BR75, Lemma A.3], the set  $\tilde{K}_u$  is hyperbolic for  $\tilde{\varphi}_t$ . We introduce the tautological bundle

$\mathcal{E} \rightarrow G$  by setting

$$\mathcal{E} = \{(\omega, v) \in \pi_G^*(TV) : v \in [\omega]\},$$

where  $[\omega]$  denotes the subspace of  $T_{\pi_G(z)}V$  that  $\omega \in G$  represents, and  $\pi_G^*(TV)$  is the pull-back of the tangent bundle  $TV \rightarrow V$  by  $\pi_G$ . Next, we define the vector bundle  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow G$  by

$$\mathcal{F} = \{(\omega, W) \in TG : d\pi_G(w) \cdot W = 0\}$$

which is a subbundle of the bundle  $TG \rightarrow G$ . Finally, we set

$$\mathcal{E}_{k,\ell} = \wedge^k \mathcal{E}^* \otimes \wedge^\ell \mathcal{F}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq d-1, \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq d^2 - d,$$

and define a suitable flow  $\Phi_t^{k,\ell} : \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}$  as well as a transfer operator (see §2)

$$\Phi_{-t}^{k,\ell,*} : C^\infty(G, \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}) \rightarrow C^\infty(G, \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}).$$

For a periodic orbit  $\gamma$  of  $\varphi_t$ , this geometrical setting allows to express the term  $|\det(I - P_\gamma)|^{-1/2}$  as a finite sum involving the traces of  $\Phi_{\tau(\gamma)}^{k,\ell}$  along the periodic orbit  $\tilde{\gamma} = \{(\gamma(t), E_u(\gamma(t))) : t \in [0, \tau(\gamma)]\}$  of the flow  $(\tilde{\varphi}_t)$  (see Lemma 3.1). In this context we may apply the Dyatlov–Guillarmou theory for the generators of the transfer operators  $\Phi_{-t}^{k,\ell,*}$  and by using the Guillemin flat trace formula [Gui77] (see also [DZ16, Appendix B] or [BSW21]), we obtain the meromorphic continuation of  $\eta_N(s)$ . Finally, the meromorphic continuation of  $\eta_q(s)$  is obtained in a similar way, by considering in addition a certain *q-reflexion bundle*  $\mathcal{R}_q \rightarrow G$  on which the flow  $\tilde{\varphi}_t$  can be lifted (see §4.1).

The strategy to prove Theorem 3 goes as follows. First, the representation (1.6) tells us that, if  $\eta_D(s)$  can be extended to an entire function, then the function  $\zeta_2(s)^2/\zeta_N(s)$  has neither zeros nor poles on the whole complex plane. For obstacles with real analytic boundary we may use real analytic charts near  $\partial D$  to define a real analytic structure on  $M$  which makes  $\varphi_t$  a real analytic flow. In this setting we may apply a result of Fried [Fri95] to the billiard flow lifted to the Grassmannian bundle, and show that the meromorphic functions  $\zeta_2$  and  $\zeta_N$  have finite order. This crucial point implies that  $\zeta_2(s)^2/\zeta_N(s)$  has also finite order. Finally, by using Hadamard’s factorisation theorem, one concludes that we may write  $\zeta_2(s)^2/\zeta_N(s) = e^{Q(s)}$  for some polynomial  $Q(s)$ . This leads to  $\eta_D(s) = -Q'(s)$  and we obtain a contradiction. Notice that this argument works if the functions  $\zeta_2(s)$  and  $\zeta_N(s)$  have finite order. The recent work of Bonthonneau–Jézéquel [BJ20] about Anosov flows suggests that this should be satisfied for obstacles with *Gevrey* regular boundary  $\partial D$ . In particular, the (MLPC) should be true for such obstacles. However in this paper we are not going to study this generalization.

The paper is organized as follows. In §2 one introduces the geometric setting of the billiard flow  $\varphi_t$  and its smooth model. We define the Grassmannian extension  $G$  and the bundles  $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell} = \Lambda^k \mathcal{E}^* \otimes \Lambda^\ell \mathcal{F}$  over  $G$ . Next, we discuss the setting, where we apply the Dyatlov-Guillarmou theory [DG16] for the first order operator  $\mathbf{Q}_{k,\ell} = \nabla_{\tilde{Y}} + \mathbf{A}_{k,\ell}$  leading to a meromorphic continuation of the cut-off resolvent  $\mathbf{R}_{k,\ell}(s) = \tilde{\chi}(\mathbf{Q}_{k,\ell} + s)^{-1} \tilde{\chi}$ . In §3 we treat the flat trace of the resolvent  $\mathbf{R}_\varepsilon^{k,\ell}(s) = e^{-\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q}_{k,\ell} + s)} \mathbf{R}_{k,\ell}(s)$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and we obtain a meromorphic continuation of  $\eta_N(s)$ . In §4 we study the dynamical zeta functions  $\eta_q(s)$  for particular rays  $\gamma$  having number of reflections  $m(\gamma) \in q\mathbb{N}$ ,  $q \geq 2$ . Applying the result for  $\eta_2(s)$ , we deduce the meromorphic continuation of  $\eta_D(s)$ . Finally, in §5 we treat the modified Lax-Philips conjecture for obstacles with real analytic boundary. In Appendix we present a proof for  $d \geq 2$  of the uniform hyperbolicity of the flow  $\phi_t$  in the Euclidean metric in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

**Acknowledgements.** We would like to thank Frédéric Faure, Benjamin Küster, Stéphane Nonnenmacher, Tobias Weich and Luchezar Stoyanov for very interesting discussions. Thanks are also due to Colin Guillarmou for pointing us that we could use Fried's result for the proof of Theorem 3. The first author is supported from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Unions Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme with agreement No. 725967.

## 2. GEOMETRICAL SETTING

**2.1. The billiard flow.** Let  $D_1, \dots, D_r \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be pairwise disjoint compact convex obstacles, satisfying the condition (1.1), where  $r \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 3}$ . We denote by  $S\mathbb{R}^d$  the unit tangent bundle of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and by  $\pi : S\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  the natural projection. For  $x \in \partial D_j$ , we denote by  $n_j(x)$  the outward unit normal vector to  $\partial D_j$  at the point  $x$  pointing into  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus D_j$ . Set  $D = \bigcup_j D_j$  and

$$\mathcal{D} = \{(x, v) \in S\mathbb{R}^d : x \in \partial D\}.$$

We will say that  $(x, v) \in T_{\partial D_j} \mathbb{R}^d$  is incoming (resp. outgoing) if  $\langle v, n_j(x) \rangle > 0$  (resp.  $\langle v, n_j(x) \rangle < 0$ ), and introduce

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{in}} = \{(x, v) \in \mathcal{D} : (x, v) \text{ is incoming}\},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{out}} = \{(x, v) \in \mathcal{D} : (x, v) \text{ is outgoing}\}.$$

We define the grazing set  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{g}} = T(\partial D) \cap \mathcal{D}$ . We have

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_{\text{g}} \sqcup \mathcal{D}_{\text{in}} \sqcup \mathcal{D}_{\text{out}}.$$

The billiard flow  $(\phi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is the complete flow acting on  $S\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \pi^{-1}(\overset{\circ}{D})$  which is defined as follows. For  $(x, v) \in S\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \pi^{-1}(\overset{\circ}{D})$  we set

$$\tau_{\pm}(x, v) = \pm \inf\{t > 0 : x \pm tv \in \partial D\}$$



and for  $(x, v) \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{in/out/g}}$  we denote by  $v' \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{out/in/g}}$  the image of  $v$  by the reflexion with respect to  $T_x \partial D$  at  $x \in \partial D$ , that is

$$v' = v - 2\langle v, n_j(x) \rangle n_j(x), \quad v \in S_x \mathbb{R}^d, \quad x \in \partial D_j.$$

Then for  $(x, v) \in (S\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \pi^{-1}(D)) \cup \mathcal{D}_g$  we define

$$\phi_t(x, v) = (x + tv, v), \quad t \in [\tau_-(x, v), \tau_+(x, v)],$$

while for  $(x, v) \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{in/out}}$ , we set

$$\phi_t(x, v) = (x + tv, v) \quad \text{if} \quad \begin{cases} (x, v) \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{in}}, t \in [0, \tau_+(x, v)], \\ \text{or } (x, v) \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{out}}, t \in [\tau_-(x, v), 0], \end{cases}$$

and

$$\phi_t(x, v) = (x + tv', v') \quad \text{if} \quad \begin{cases} (x, v) \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{out}}, t \in ]0, \tau_+(x, v)], \\ \text{or } (x, v) \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{in}}, t \in [\tau_-(x, v), 0[. \end{cases}$$

Next we extend  $(\phi_t)$  to a complete flow (which we still denote by  $(\phi_t)$ ) satisfying the property

$$\phi_{t+s}(x, v) = (\phi_t \circ \phi_s)(x, v), \quad t, s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (x, v) \in S\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \pi^{-1}(D).$$

Strictly speaking,  $(\phi_t)$  is not a flow, since the above flow property does not hold in full generality for  $(x, v) \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{in/out}}$ . However we arrange it considering an appropriate quotient space (see §2.2 below).

**2.2. A smooth model for the non-grazing billiard flow.** In this paragraph, we briefly recall the construction of [KSW21] which allows to obtain a smooth model for the non-grazing billiard flow. We first define the (non-grazing) billiard table  $M$  as

$$M = B / \sim, \quad B = S\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \left( \pi^{-1}(\mathring{D}) \cup \mathcal{D}_g \right),$$

where  $(x, v) \sim (y, w)$  if and only if  $(x, v) = (y, w)$  or

$$x = y \in \partial D \quad \text{and} \quad w = v'.$$

The set  $M$  is endowed with the quotient topology. We will change the notation and pass from  $\phi_t$  to the non-grazing flow  $\varphi_t$ , which is defined on  $M$  as follows. For  $(x, v) \in (S\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \pi^{-1}(D)) \cup \mathcal{D}_{\text{in}}$  we define

$$\varphi_t([(x, v)]) = [\phi_t(x, v)], \quad t \in ]\tau_-^g(x, v), \tau_+^g(x, v)[,$$

where  $[z]$  denotes the equivalence class of the vector  $z \in B$  for the relation  $\sim$ , and

$$\tau_{\pm}^g(x, v) = \pm \sup\{t > 0 : \phi_{\pm t}(x, v) \in \mathcal{D}_g\}.$$

Note that this formula indeed defines a flow on  $M$  since each  $(x, v) \in B$  has a unique representative in  $(S\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \pi^{-1}(D)) \cup \mathcal{D}_{\text{in}}$ . The flow  $\varphi_t$  is continuous but

not complete and for times  $t \notin ]\tau_-^g(x, v), \tau_+^g(x, v)[$ , the flow is not defined.

Following [KSW21], we define smooth charts on  $M = B/\sim$  as follows. Introduce the surjection map  $\pi_M : B \rightarrow M$  by  $\pi_M(x, v) = [(x, v)]$  and note that by the definition of  $\varphi_t$  one has

$$\varphi_t \circ \pi_M = \pi_M \circ \phi_t. \quad (2.1)$$

We set  $\mathring{B} = S\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \pi^{-1}(D)$ . Then  $\pi_M : \mathring{B} \rightarrow M$  is a homeomorphism onto its image  $\mathcal{O}$ . Let  $\mathcal{G} = \pi_M(\mathcal{D}_{\text{in}})$  be the gluing region. We consider the map  $\pi_M^{-1} : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathring{B}$  as a chart. Next we wish to define charts in an open neighborhood of  $\mathcal{G}$ . For every point  $z_\star = (x_\star, v_\star) \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{in}}$  let

$$F_{z_\star} : U_{z_\star} \times U_{z_\star} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\text{in}}$$

be a local smooth parametrization of  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{in}}$ , where  $U_{z_\star}$  is an open small neighborhood of 0 in  $\mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ . For small  $\varepsilon_{z_\star} > 0$ , we may define the map  $\psi_{z_\star} : ]-\varepsilon_{z_\star}, \varepsilon_{z_\star}[ \times U_{z_\star} \times U_{z_\star} \rightarrow M$  by

$$\psi_{z_\star}(t, y, w) = (\pi_M \circ \phi_t \circ F_{z_\star})(y, w). \quad (2.2)$$

Shrinking  $U_{z_\star}$  and taking  $\varepsilon_{z_\star}$  smaller,  $\psi_{z_\star}$  is a homeomorphism onto its image  $\mathcal{O}_{z_\star} \subset M$ , (see Corollary 4.3 in [KSW21]). It is easy to prove this. To see that  $\psi_{z_\star}$  is injective, let  $F_{z_\star}(y_k, w_k) = (x_k, v_k) \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{in}}$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ , and assume that  $\pi_M \phi_{t_1}(x_1, v_1) = \pi_M \phi_{t_2}(x_2, v_2)$ . Since vectors in  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{in}}$  are transversal to  $\partial D$ , we see that for each  $z \in \mathcal{O}_{z_\star}$ , there is a unique  $t \in ]-\varepsilon_{z_\star}, \varepsilon_{z_\star}[$  such that  $\varphi_t(z) \in \mathcal{G}$ . In particular, we have  $t_1 = 0$  if and only if  $t_2 = 0$ . If that is the case, then  $(x_1, v_1) = (x_2, v_2)$  since  $\pi_M : \mathcal{D}_{\text{in}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is injective. If  $t_1 \neq 0, t_2 \neq 0$ , then  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  have the same sign and by injectivity of  $\pi_M : \mathring{B} \rightarrow M$  and the definition of  $\phi_t$ , we have

$$\begin{cases} (x_1 + t_1 v_1, v_1) = (x_2 + t_2 v_2, v_2) & \text{if } t_1, t_2 > 0, \\ (x_1 + t_1 v'_1, v'_1) = (x_2 + t_2 v'_2, v'_2) & \text{if } t_1, t_2 < 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $v'_k$  is the reflexion of  $v_k$  with respect to  $T_{x_k} \partial D$  for  $k = 1, 2$ . Thus one concludes that  $(t_1, x_1, v_1) = (t_2, x_2, v_2)$ . As mentioned above, the directions in  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{in}}$  are transversal to the boundary  $\partial D$ . This implies that the maps  $\psi_{z_\star}$  are open ones. In particular,  $\psi_{z_\star}$  realizes a homeomorphism onto its image and we declare the map  $\psi_{z_\star}^{-1} : \mathcal{O}_{z_\star} \rightarrow ]-\varepsilon_{z_\star}, \varepsilon_{z_\star}[ \times U_{z_\star} \times U_{z_\star}$  as a chart. Hence we obtain an open covering

$$\mathcal{G} \subset \bigcup_{z_\star \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{in}}} \mathcal{O}_{z_\star}.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{O}_{z_\star} \neq \emptyset$  for any  $z_\star$ , and clearly the map

$$(t, x, v) \mapsto (\pi_M^{-1} \circ \psi_{z_\star})(t, x, v) = (\phi_t \circ F_{z_\star})(x, v)$$

is smooth on  $\psi_{z_\star}^{-1}(\mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{O}_{z_\star})$ . On the other hand, assume that  $\mathcal{O}_{z_\star} \cap \mathcal{O}_{z'_\star} \neq \emptyset$  for some  $z_\star, z'_\star \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{in}}$ . If  $\pi_M(\phi_t(F_{z_\star}(x, v))) = \pi_M(\phi_s(F_{z'_\star}(y, w))) \in \mathcal{O}_{z_\star} \cap \mathcal{O}_{z'_\star}$ , then as above this yields  $t = s$ ,  $F_{z_\star}(x, v) = F_{z'_\star}(y, w)$  and we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi_{z_\star}^{-1} \circ \psi_{z'_\star})(t, y, w) &= (\psi_{z_\star}^{-1} \circ \pi_M \circ \phi_t \circ F_{z'_\star})(y, w) \\ &= (\psi_{z_\star}^{-1} \circ \pi_M \circ \phi_t \circ F_{z_\star}) \left( (F_{z_\star}^{-1} \circ F_{z'_\star})(y, w) \right) \\ &= (t, (F_{z_\star}^{-1} \circ F_{z'_\star})(y, w)). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

This shows that the change of coordinates  $\psi_{z_\star}^{-1} \circ \psi_{z'_\star}$  is smooth on the set  $\psi_{z'_\star}^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{z_\star} \cap \mathcal{O}_{z'_\star})$ , and these charts endow  $M$  with a smooth structure. Now it is easy to see that the non-complete flow  $(\varphi_t)$  is smooth on  $M$ . Indeed, this is obvious far from the gluing region  $\mathcal{G}$ . Now let  $z \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $z_\star \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{in}}$  be such that  $\pi_M(z_\star) = z$ . Then for  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ , with  $|t| + |s|$  small, and  $(y, w) \in U_{z_\star} \times U_{z_\star}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi_{z_\star}^{-1} \circ \varphi_s \circ \psi_{z_\star})(t, y, w) &= (\psi_{z_\star}^{-1} \circ \varphi_s \circ \pi_M \circ \phi_t \circ F_{z_\star})(y, w) \\ &= (\psi_{z_\star}^{-1} \circ \pi_M \circ \phi_{t+s} \circ F_{z_\star})(y, w) \\ &= (s + t, y, w). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the flow  $(\varphi_t)$  is also smooth near  $\mathcal{G}$  and we obtain a smooth non-complete flow on  $M$ . We conclude this paragraph by noting that one has a period-preserving bijection between the periodic trajectories of the flow  $(\phi_t)$  and those of the flow  $(\varphi_t)$ . The same holds for the corresponding Poincaré maps.

**2.3. Uniform hyperbolicity of the flow  $\varphi_t$ .** From now on, we will work exclusively with the flow  $(\varphi_t)$  defined on the smooth model described in §2.2. The trapped set  $K$  of  $(\varphi_t)$  is defined as the set of points  $z \in M$  which satisfy  $-\tau_-^g(z) = \tau_+^g(z) = +\infty$  and

$$\sup A(z) = -\inf A(z) = +\infty, \quad \text{where } A(z) = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : \pi(\varphi_t(z)) \in \partial D\}.$$

By definition,  $\varphi_t(z)$  is defined for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  whenever  $z \in K$ . The flow  $(\varphi_t)$  is called uniformly hyperbolic on  $K$ , if for each  $z \in K$  there exists a decomposition

$$T_z M = \mathbb{R}X(z) \oplus E_u(z) \oplus E_s(z), \quad (2.4)$$

which is  $d\varphi_t$ -invariant (in the sense that  $d\varphi_t(E_\bullet(z)) = E_\bullet(\varphi_t(z))$  for  $\bullet = u, s$ ), with  $\dim E_s(z) = \dim E_u(z) = d - 1$ . The spaces  $E_s(z)$  and  $E_u(z)$  depend continuously on  $z$  and for some constants  $C, \nu > 0$  independent of  $z \in K$ , and some smooth norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on  $TM$ , we have

$$\|d\varphi_t(z) \cdot v\| \leq \begin{cases} Ce^{-\nu t} \|v\|, & v \in E_s(z), \quad t \geq 0, \\ Ce^{-\nu|t|} \|v\|, & v \in E_u(z), \quad t \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

We may define the trapping set  $K_e$  for the flow  $(\varphi_t)$  in the Euclidean metric. Then  $K = \pi_M(K_e)$ . The uniform hyperbolicity on  $K_e$  of the flow  $(\phi_t)$  in the Euclidean metric can be defined by the splitting of the tangent space  $T_z(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for  $z \in \mathring{B} \cap K_e$  (see Definition 2.10 in [KSW21] and Appendix). Following this definition, one avoids the points  $(x, v) \in K_e \cap \mathcal{D}_{\text{in}}$ . The uniform hyperbolicity of  $(\phi_t)$  in the Euclidean metric implies the uniform hyperbolicity of  $(\varphi_t)$  in the smooth model (see [KSW21, Proposition 3.8]). Thus, to obtain (2.4) and (A.1), we may apply the uniform hyperbolicity of  $(\phi_t)$  in the Euclidean metric on  $\mathring{B} \cap K_e$  established for  $d = 2$  in [Mor91] and [CM06, §4.4]. For  $d \geq 2$ , the same could be obtained by applying the results in [BCST03, §4]. For the sake of completeness, we present in Appendix a proof of the uniform hyperbolicity of  $(\phi_t)$  in the Euclidean metric as well as a construction of  $E_s(z)$  and  $E_u(z)$  for  $z \in \mathring{B} \cap K_e$ .

**2.4. The Grassmann extension.** In what follows, we will take a small neighborhood  $V$  of  $K$ , with smooth boundary. We embed  $V$  into a compact manifold without boundary  $N$  (for example by taking the doubling manifold of the closure of  $V$ ), and we arbitrarily extend  $X$  to obtain a smooth vector field on  $N$ , which we still denote by  $X$ . The associated flow is still denoted by  $(\varphi_t)$  (note however that this new flow  $(\varphi_t)$  is now complete).

We consider the  $(d - 1)$ -Grassmann bundle

$$\pi_G : G \rightarrow N$$

over  $N$ , that is, for every  $z \in N$ , the set  $\pi_G^{-1}(z)$  consists of all  $(d - 1)$ -dimensional planes of  $T_z N$ . Moreover,  $\pi_G^{-1}(z)$  can be identified with the Grassmannian  $G_{d-1}(\mathbb{R}^{2d-1})$  which is isomorphic to  $O(2d - 1)/(O(d - 1) \times O(d))$ ,  $O(k)$  being the space of  $k \times k$  orthogonal matrices with elements in  $\mathbb{R}$ . The dimension of  $O(k)$  is  $k(k - 1)/2$ , hence the dimension of  $\pi_G^{-1}(z)$  is  $d(d - 1)$ . Note that  $G$  is a smooth compact manifold. We may lift the flow  $\varphi_t$  to a flow  $\tilde{\varphi}_t : G \rightarrow G$  which is simply defined by

$$\tilde{\varphi}_t(E) = d\varphi_t(z)(E) \subset T_{\varphi_t(z)}N, \quad z \in N, \quad E \subset T_z N, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.6)$$

Introduce the set

$$\tilde{K}_u := \{(z, E_u(z)) : z \in K\} \subset G.$$

Clearly,  $\tilde{K}_u$  is invariant under the action of  $\tilde{\varphi}_t$ , since  $d\varphi_t(z)(E_u(z)) = E_u(\varphi_t(z))$ . As  $K$  is a hyperbolic set, it follows from [BR75, Lemma A.3] that the set  $\tilde{K}_u$  is hyperbolic for  $\tilde{\varphi}_t$  and we have a decomposition

$$T_\omega G = \mathbb{R}\tilde{X}(\omega) \oplus \tilde{E}_u(\omega) \oplus \tilde{E}_s(\omega), \quad \omega \in \tilde{K}_u.$$

Here  $\tilde{X}$  is the generator of the flow  $(\tilde{\varphi}_t)$  and the spaces  $\tilde{E}_s(\omega)$  and  $\tilde{E}_u(\omega)$  are defined as follows. For small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let

$$W_s(z, \varepsilon) = \{z' \in M : \text{dist}(\varphi_t(z), \varphi_t(z')) \leq \varepsilon \text{ for every } t \geq 0\}$$

and

$$W_u(z, \varepsilon) = \{z' \in M : \text{dist}(\varphi_{-t}(z), \varphi_{-t}(z')) \leq \varepsilon \text{ for every } t \geq 0\}$$

be the local stable and unstable manifolds at  $z$ , where  $\text{dist}$  is any smooth distance on  $M$ . For  $b = s, u$ , we define

$$\tilde{W}_b(z) = TW_b(z, \varepsilon) = \{(z', E_b(z')) : z' \in W_b(z, \varepsilon)\} \subset G.$$

We finally set, for  $\omega = (z, E_u(z)) \in \tilde{K}_u$ ,

$$\tilde{E}_u(\omega) = T_\omega(\tilde{W}_u(z)),$$

and also we define  $\tilde{E}_s(\omega)$  as the tangent space at  $\omega$  of the manifold

$$\tilde{W}_{s,\text{tot}}(z) = \{E \in \pi_G^{-1}(W_s(z, \varepsilon)) : \text{dist}(E_u(z), E) < \varepsilon\},$$

where  $\text{dist}$  is any smooth distance on  $TN$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *For any  $\omega = (z, E) \in G$  we have natural isomorphisms*

$$\tilde{E}_u(\omega) \simeq E_u(z), \quad \tilde{E}_s(\omega) \simeq E_s(z) \oplus \ker d\pi_G(\omega).$$

*Under these identifications, we have*

$$d\tilde{\varphi}_t|_{\tilde{E}_u(\omega)} \simeq d\varphi_t|_{E_u(z)}, \quad d\tilde{\varphi}_t|_{\tilde{E}_s(\omega)} \simeq d\varphi_t|_{E_s(z)} \oplus d\tilde{\varphi}_t|_{\ker d\pi_G(\omega)}.$$

*Proof.* Note that if  $\omega = (z, E) \in G$ , by (2.6) one has

$$d\pi_G(\omega) \circ d\tilde{\varphi}_t(\omega) = d(\pi_G \circ \tilde{\varphi}_t)(\omega) = d(\varphi_t \circ \pi_G)(\omega) = d\varphi_t(z) \circ d\pi_G(\omega). \quad (2.7)$$

This equality shows that  $d\tilde{\varphi}_t$  preserves  $\ker d\pi_G$ . Looking at the definitions of  $\tilde{W}_u(z)$  and  $W_u(z, \varepsilon)$ , we see that

$$d\pi_G(\omega)|_{\tilde{E}_u(z)} : \tilde{E}_u(z) \rightarrow E_u(z)$$

realizes an isomorphism. Then by (2.7), it is clear that  $d\pi_G(\omega)|_{T_\omega\tilde{W}_u(z)}$  realizes a conjugation between  $d\tilde{\varphi}_t(\omega)|_{\tilde{E}_u(\omega)}$  and  $d\varphi_t(z)|_{E_u(z)}$ . Similarly,  $d\pi_G|_{T_\omega\tilde{W}_s(\omega)}$  realizes an isomorphism  $T_\omega\tilde{W}_s(\omega) \simeq E_s(z)$ , which conjugates  $d\tilde{\varphi}_t|_{\tilde{E}_s(\omega)}$  and  $d\varphi_t|_{E_s(z)}$ . Thus the lemma will be proven if we show that we have the direct sum

$$\tilde{E}_s(z) = T_\omega\tilde{W}_{s,\text{tot}}(z) = T_\omega\tilde{W}_s(z) \oplus \ker d\pi_G(\omega).$$

To see this, take a local trivialization  $\widetilde{W}_{s,\text{tot}}(z) \rightarrow W_s(z, \varepsilon) \times G_{d-1}(\mathbb{R}^{2d-1})$  sending  $\omega$  on  $(z, E_0)$  for some  $E_0 \in G_{d-1}(\mathbb{R}^{2d-1})$  and such that  $\widetilde{W}_s(z)$  is sent to  $W_s(z, \varepsilon) \times \{E_0\}$ . In these coordinates one has the identifications

$$T_\omega \widetilde{W}_s(z) \simeq E_s(z) \oplus \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \ker d\pi_G(\omega) \simeq \{0\} \oplus T_{E_0} G_{d-1}(\mathbb{R}^{2d-1}).$$

As  $T_\omega \widetilde{W}_{s,\text{tot}}(z)$  is identified with  $E_s(z) \oplus T_{E_0} G_{d-1}(\mathbb{R}^{2d-1})$ , the proof is complete.  $\square$

We conclude this paragraph by noting that for any  $\omega = (z, E) \in \widetilde{K}_u$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \widetilde{E}_u(\omega) + \dim \widetilde{E}_s(\omega) &= \dim E_u(z) + \dim E_s(z) + \dim \ker d\pi_G(\omega) \\ &= \dim M - 1 + \dim \pi_G^{-1}(z) \\ &= \dim G - 1, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\dim G = \dim M + \dim \pi_G^{-1}(z)$ .

**2.5. Vector bundles.** We define the tautological vector bundle  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow G$  by

$$\mathcal{E} = \{(\omega, u) \in \pi_G^*(TN) : \omega \in G, u \in [\omega]\},$$

where  $[\omega] = E$  denotes the  $(d-1)$  dimensional subspace of  $T_{\pi_G(z)}N$  represented by  $\omega = (z, E)$  and  $\pi_G^*(TN)$  is the pullback bundle of  $TN$ . Also, we define the vector bundle  $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow G$  by

$$\mathcal{F} = \{(\omega, W) \in TG : d\pi_G(\omega) \cdot W = 0\}.$$

It is a subbundle of the bundle  $TG \rightarrow G$ . The dimensions of the fibres  $\mathcal{E}_\omega$  and  $\mathcal{F}_\omega$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  over  $\omega$  are given by

$$\dim \mathcal{E}_\omega = d - 1, \quad \dim \mathcal{F}_\omega = \dim \ker d\pi_G(\omega) = \dim \pi_G^{-1}(z) = d^2 - d$$

for any  $\omega \in G$  with  $\pi_G(\omega) = z$ . Finally, we set

$$\mathcal{E}_{k,\ell} = \wedge^k \mathcal{E}^* \otimes \wedge^\ell \mathcal{F}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq d-1, \quad 0 \leq \ell \leq d^2 - d,$$

where  $\mathcal{E}^*$  is the dual bundle of  $\mathcal{E}$ , that is, we replace the fibre  $\mathcal{E}_\omega$  by its dual space  $\mathcal{E}_\omega^*$ . We consider  $\mathcal{E}^*$  and not  $\mathcal{E}$  since the map  $d\varphi_t(\pi_G(z)) : \mathcal{E}_\omega \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\varphi_t(\omega)}$  is expanding for  $\omega \in \widetilde{K}_u$  and  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ , whereas  $d\varphi_t(\pi_G(\omega))^{-\top} : \mathcal{E}_\omega^* \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\varphi_t(\omega)}^*$  is contracting. Indeed, for  $\omega = (z, E_u(z)) \in \widetilde{K}_u$  and  $u \in E_u(z)^*$  (here  $E_u(z)^*$  is the dual vector space of  $E_u(z)$  and it does not coincide with  $E_u^*(z)$ ) one has

$$\langle d\varphi_t(z)^{-\top} u, v \rangle = \langle u, d\varphi_{-t}(\varphi_t(z))v \rangle, \quad v \in d\varphi_t(z)E_u(z) = E_u(\varphi_t(z)).$$

Consequently,  $d\varphi_t(\pi_G(\omega))^{-\top}$  is contracting on  $\mathcal{E}_\omega^*$  when  $\omega \in \widetilde{K}_u$  since  $d\varphi_{-t}(\varphi_t(z))$  is contracting on  $E_u(\varphi_t(z))$ . This fact will be convenient later for the proof of Lemma 3.1 below.

In what follows we use the notation  $\omega = (z, \eta) \in G$  and  $u \otimes v \in \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}|_\omega$ . By using the flow  $\tilde{\varphi}_t$ , we introduce a flow  $\Phi_t^{k,\ell} : \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}$  by setting

$$\Phi_t^{k,\ell}(\omega, u \otimes v) = \left( \tilde{\varphi}_t(\omega), b_t(\omega) \cdot \left[ (d\varphi_t(\pi_G(\omega)))^{-\top} \right]^{\wedge k} (u) \otimes d\tilde{\varphi}_t(\omega)^{\wedge \ell} (v) \right), \quad (2.8)$$

where  $^{-\top}$  denotes the inverse transpose and

$$b_t(\omega) = |\det d\varphi_t(\pi_G(\omega))|_{[\omega]}|^{1/2} \cdot |\det (d\tilde{\varphi}_t(\omega)|_{\ker d\pi_G})|^{-1}.$$

Here the determinants are taken with respect to any choice of smooth metrics  $g_N$  and  $g_G$  on  $N$  and  $G$ , as follows. If  $\omega = (z, E) \in G$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , then the number  $|\det d\varphi_t(z)|_{[\omega]}$  is defined as the absolute value of the ratio

$$\frac{(d\varphi_t(z)|_{[\omega]})^{\wedge d-1} \cdot \mu_\omega}{\mu_{\tilde{\varphi}_t(\omega)}}$$

where  $\mu_\omega = e_{1,\omega} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{d-1,\omega} \in \wedge^{d-1}[\omega]$  (resp.  $\mu_{\tilde{\varphi}_t(\omega)} \in \wedge^{d-1}[\tilde{\varphi}_t(\omega)]$ ) is a volume element given by any basis  $e_{1,\omega}, \dots, e_{d-1,\omega}$  of  $[\omega]$  (resp.  $[\tilde{\varphi}_t(\omega)]$ ) which is orthonormal with respect to the scalar product induced by  $g_N|_{[\omega]}$  (resp.  $g_N|_{[\tilde{\varphi}_t(\omega)]}$ ). The number  $|\det (d\tilde{\varphi}_t(\omega)|_{\ker d\pi_G})|$  is defined similarly. Taking local trivializations of  $\mathcal{E}^*$  and  $\mathcal{F}$ , we see that the action of  $\Phi_t^{k,\ell}$  is smooth. Thus we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell} & \xrightarrow{\Phi_t^{k,\ell}} & \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}_t} & G \\ \downarrow \pi_G & & \downarrow \pi_G \\ N & \xrightarrow{\varphi_t} & N \end{array}$$

Now we consider the transfer operator

$$\Phi_{-t}^{k,\ell,*} : C^\infty(G, \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}) \rightarrow C^\infty(G, \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell})$$

defined by

$$\Phi_{-t}^{k,\ell,*} \mathbf{u}(\omega) = \Phi_t^{k,\ell} [\mathbf{u}(\tilde{\varphi}_{-t}(\omega))], \quad \mathbf{u} \in C^\infty(G, \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}). \quad (2.9)$$

Let  $\mathbf{P}_{k,\ell} : C^\infty(G, \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}) \rightarrow C^\infty(G, \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell})$  be the generator of  $\Phi_{-t}^{k,\ell,*}$ , that is,

$$\mathbf{P}_{k,\ell} \mathbf{u} = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \left( \Phi_{-t}^{k,\ell,*} \mathbf{u} \right), \quad \mathbf{u} \in C^\infty(G, \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}).$$

Then we have the equality

$$\mathbf{P}_{k,\ell}(f\mathbf{u}) = (\tilde{X}f)\mathbf{u} + f(\mathbf{P}_{k,\ell}\mathbf{u}), \quad f \in C^\infty(G), \quad \mathbf{u} \in C^\infty(G, \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}). \quad (2.10)$$

Next, we want to study the spectral properties of the operator  $\mathbf{P}_{k,\ell}$  applying the work of Dyatlov–Guillarmou [DG16]. For this purpose, one needs to find a neighborhood of  $\tilde{K}_u$  which has convexity properties with respect to  $\tilde{X}$ . However, it is not clear that a such neighborhood exists, and one needs to modify slightly  $\tilde{X}$  outside a neighborhood of  $\tilde{K}_u$  to obtain the desired properties. This is down in §2.6 below.

**2.6. Isolating blocks.** By [CE71, Theorem 1.5], there exists an arbitrarily small neighborhood  $\tilde{V}_u$  of  $\tilde{K}_u$  in  $G$  such that the following holds.

- (i) The boundary  $\partial\tilde{V}_u$  of  $\tilde{V}_u$  is smooth;
- (ii) The set  $\partial_0\tilde{V}_u = \{z \in \partial\tilde{V}_u : \tilde{X}(z) \in T_z\partial\tilde{V}_u\}$  is a smooth submanifold of codimension 1 of  $\partial\tilde{V}_u$ ;
- (iii) There is  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for any  $z \in \partial\tilde{V}_u$  one has

$$\tilde{X}(z) \in T_z\partial\tilde{V}_u \implies \tilde{\varphi}_t(z) \notin \text{clos } \tilde{V}_u, \quad |t| \in ]0, \varepsilon[,$$

where  $\text{clos } A$  denotes the closure of a set  $A$ .

In what follows we denote

$$\Gamma_{\pm}(\tilde{X}) = \{z \in \tilde{V}_u : \tilde{\varphi}_t(z) \in \tilde{V}_u, \mp t > 0\}.$$

A function  $\tilde{\rho} \in C^\infty(\text{clos } \tilde{V}_u, \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$  will be called a boundary defining function for  $\tilde{V}_u$  if we have  $\{z \in \text{clos } \tilde{V}_u : \tilde{\rho}(z) = 0\} = \partial\tilde{V}_u$  and  $d\tilde{\rho}(z) \neq 0$  for any  $z \in \partial\tilde{V}_u$ .

By [GMT21, Lemma 2.3] (see also [KSW21, Lemma 5.2]), we have the following result.

**Lemma 2.2.** *For any small neighborhood  $\tilde{W}_0$  of  $\partial_0\tilde{V}_u$  in  $\text{clos } \tilde{V}_u$ , we may find a vector field  $\tilde{Y}$  on  $\text{clos } \tilde{V}_u$  which is arbitrarily close to  $\tilde{X}$  in the  $C^\infty$ -topology, such that the following holds.*

- (1)  $\text{supp}(\tilde{Y} - \tilde{X}) \subset \tilde{W}_0$ ;
- (2)  $\Gamma_{\pm}(\tilde{X}) = \Gamma_{\pm}(\tilde{Y})$  where  $\Gamma_{\pm}(\tilde{Y})$  is defined as  $\Gamma_{\pm}(\tilde{X})$  by replacing the flow  $(\tilde{\varphi}_t)$  by the flow generated by  $\tilde{Y}$ .
- (3) For any defining function  $\tilde{\rho}$  of  $\tilde{V}_u$  and any  $\omega \in \partial\tilde{V}_u$  we have

$$\tilde{Y}\tilde{\rho}(\omega) = 0 \implies \tilde{Y}^2\tilde{\rho}(\omega) < 0. \quad (2.11)$$

From now on, we will fix  $\tilde{V}_u, \tilde{W}_0$  and  $\tilde{Y}$  as above. By [DG16, Lemma 2.1] we may find a smooth extension of  $\tilde{Y}$  on  $G$  (still denoted by  $\tilde{Y}$ ) so that for every  $\omega \in G$  and  $t \geq 0$ , we have

$$\omega, \tilde{\varphi}_t(\omega) \in \text{clos } \tilde{V}_u \implies \tilde{\varphi}_\tau(\omega) \in \text{clos } \tilde{V}_u \text{ for every } \tau \in [0, t]. \quad (2.12)$$



Let  $(\psi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  be the flow generated by  $\tilde{Y}$  and set  $\tilde{\Gamma}_\pm = \Gamma_\pm(\tilde{Y})$  for simplicity. The extended unstable/stable bundles  $\tilde{E}_\pm^* \subset T^*\tilde{V}_u$  over  $\tilde{\Gamma}_\pm$  are defined by

$$\tilde{E}_\pm^*(\omega) = \{\Omega \in T_\omega^*\tilde{V}_u : \Psi_t(\Omega) \rightarrow_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} 0\},$$

where  $\Psi_t$  is the symplectic lift of  $\tilde{\psi}_t$ , that is

$$\Psi_t(\Omega) = \left( d\tilde{\psi}_t(\omega)^{-\top} \cdot \Omega \right), \quad (\omega, \Omega) \in T^*G, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $^{-\top}$  denotes the inverse transpose. Then by [DG16, Lemma 2.10], the bundles  $\tilde{E}_\pm^*(\omega)$  depend continuously on  $\omega \in \tilde{\Gamma}_\pm$ , and for any smooth norm  $|\cdot|$  on  $T^*G$ , and moreover for some constants  $C, \beta > 0$  we have

$$|\Psi_{\pm t}(\Omega)| \leq C e^{-\beta t} |\Omega|, \quad t \geq 0, \quad \Omega \in E_\mp^*(\omega).$$

**2.7. Dyatlov–Guillarmou theory.** Let  $\nabla^{k,\ell}$  be any smooth connexion on  $\mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}$ . Then by (2.10) we have

$$\mathbf{P}_{k,\ell} = \nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{k,\ell} + \mathbf{A}_{k,\ell}$$

for some  $\mathbf{A}_{k,\ell} \in C^\infty(G, \text{End}(\mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}))$ . We define a new operator  $\mathbf{Q}_{k,\ell}$  by setting

$$\mathbf{Q}_{k,\ell} = \nabla_{\tilde{Y}}^{k,\ell} + \mathbf{A}_{k,\ell} : C^\infty(G, \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}) \rightarrow C^\infty(G, \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}).$$

Note that  $\mathbf{Q}_{k,\ell}$  coincides with  $\mathbf{P}_{k,\ell}$  near  $\tilde{K}_u$  since  $\tilde{Y}$  coincides with  $\tilde{X}$  near  $\tilde{K}_u$ . Clearly, we have

$$\mathbf{Q}_{k,\ell}(f\mathbf{u}) = (\tilde{Y}f)\mathbf{u} + f(\mathbf{Q}_{k,\ell}\mathbf{u}), \quad f \in C^\infty(G), \quad \mathbf{u} \in C^\infty(G, \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}). \quad (2.13)$$

Consider the transfer operator  $e^{-t\mathbf{Q}_{k,\ell}} : C^\infty(G, \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}) \rightarrow C^\infty(G, \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell})$ , which is characterized by

$$\partial_t e^{-t\mathbf{Q}_{k,\ell}} \mathbf{u} = -\mathbf{Q}_{k,\ell} e^{-t\mathbf{Q}_{k,\ell}} \mathbf{u}, \quad \mathbf{u} \in C^\infty(G, \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Fix any norm on  $\mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}$ ; this fixes a scalar product on  $L^2(G, \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell})$ . Then for some  $C > 0$  we have

$$\|e^{-t\mathbf{Q}_{k,\ell}}\|_{L^2(G, \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}) \rightarrow L^2(G, \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell})} \leq C e^{C|t|}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For  $\text{Re}(s) \gg 1$ , the resolvent  $(\mathbf{Q}_{k,\ell} + s)^{-1}$  on  $L^2(G, \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell})$  is given by

$$(\mathbf{Q}_{k,\ell} + s)^{-1} = \int_0^\infty e^{-t(\mathbf{Q}_{k,\ell} + s)} dt : L^2(G, \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}) \rightarrow L^2(G, \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}). \quad (2.14)$$

Let  $\tilde{\chi} \in C_c^\infty(\tilde{V}_u)$  be such that  $\tilde{\chi} \equiv 1$  on  $\tilde{K}_u$ . Define the operator

$$\mathbf{R}_{k,\ell}(s) = \tilde{\chi}(\mathbf{Q}_{k,\ell} + s)^{-1} \tilde{\chi}, \quad \text{Re}(s) \gg 1,$$

from  $C_c^\infty(\tilde{V}_u, \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell})$  to  $\mathcal{D}'(\tilde{V}_u, \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell})$ , where  $\mathcal{D}'(\tilde{V}_u, \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell})$  denotes the space of  $\mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}$ -valued distributions. Thanks to (2.11), (2.12) and (2.13), we are in position to apply [DG16, Theorem 1] in order to obtain a meromorphic extension of

$\mathbf{R}_{k,\ell}(s)$  to the whole plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . Moreover, according to [DG16, Theorem 2], for every  $s_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  in a small neighborhood of  $s_0$  one has the representation

$$\mathbf{R}_{k,\ell}(s) = \mathbf{R}_{H,k,\ell}(s) + \sum_{j=1}^{J(s_0)} \frac{(-1)^{j-1} (\mathbf{Q}_{k,\ell} + s_0)^{j-1} \Pi_{s_0}^{k,\ell}}{(s - s_0)^j} \quad (2.15)$$

where  $\mathbf{R}_{H,k,\ell}(s) : C_c^\infty(\tilde{V}_u, \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\tilde{V}_u, \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell})$  is a holomorphic family of operators near  $s = s_0$ . Let us denote by  $K_{\mathbf{R}_{H,k,\ell}(s)}$  and  $K_{\Pi_{s_0}^{k,\ell}}$  the Schwartz kernels of the operators  $\mathbf{R}_{H,k,\ell}(s)$  and  $\Pi_{s_0}^{k,\ell}$ , respectively. By [DG16, Lemma 4.5], we have

$$\text{WF}'(K_{\mathbf{R}_{H,k,\ell}(s)}) \subset \Delta(T^*\tilde{V}_u) \cup \Upsilon_+ \cup (\tilde{E}_+^* \times \tilde{E}_-^*). \quad (2.16)$$

Here  $\Delta(T^*\tilde{V}_u)$  is the diagonal in  $T^*(\tilde{V}_u \times \tilde{V}_u)$ ,

$$\Upsilon_+ = \{(\Psi_t(\omega, \Omega), \omega, \Omega) : (\omega, \Omega) \in T^*\tilde{V}_u, t \geq 0, \langle \tilde{Y}(\omega), \Omega \rangle = 0\},$$

while the bundles  $\tilde{E}_\pm^*$  and  $\Psi_t$  are defined in §2.6. Finally, we have

$$\text{supp}(K_{\Pi_{s_0}^{k,\ell}}) \subset \Gamma_+ \times \Gamma_- \quad \text{and} \quad \text{WF}'(K_{\Pi_{s_0}^{k,\ell}}(s)) \subset \tilde{E}_+^* \times \tilde{E}_-^*. \quad (2.17)$$

### 3. THE DYNAMICAL ZETA FUNCTION FOR THE NEUMANN PROBLEM

**3.1. The flat trace.** First we recall the definition of the flat trace for operators acting on vector bundles. Consider a manifold  $V$ , a vector bundle  $\mathcal{V}$  over  $V$  and a continuous operator  $\mathbf{T} : C_c^\infty(V, \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(V, \mathcal{V})$ . Fix a smooth density  $\mu$  on  $V$ ; this defines a pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $C_c^\infty(V, \mathcal{V}) \times C_c^\infty(V, \mathcal{V}^*)$ . Let

$$K_{\mathbf{T}} \in \mathcal{D}'(V \times V, \mathcal{V} \boxtimes \mathcal{V}^*)$$

be the Schwartz kernel of  $\mathbf{T}$  with respect to this pairing. Here the bundle  $\mathcal{V} \boxtimes \mathcal{V}^*$  is defined as  $\pi_1^* \mathcal{E} \otimes \pi_2^* \mathcal{E}^*$  where  $\pi_j : V \times V \rightarrow V$  is the projection of the  $j$ -th factor for  $j = 1, 2$ . Let  $\Delta = \{(x, x) : x \in V\} \subset V \times V$  denote the diagonal in  $V \times V$ . Consider the inclusion map  $\iota_\Delta : V \rightarrow V \times V$ ,  $x \mapsto (x, x)$ . Assume that

$$\text{WF}(K_{\mathbf{T}}) \cap N^* \Delta = \emptyset,$$

where  $N^* \Delta = \{\Xi \in T^*(V \times V) : \langle \Xi, T\Delta \rangle = 0\}$  is the conormal bundle to the diagonal  $\Delta$ . Then by [Hör90, Theorem 8.2.4] the pull-back  $\iota_\Delta^* K_{\mathbf{T}}$  is well defined and we define the *flat trace* of  $\mathbf{T}$  by

$$\text{tr}^b \mathbf{T} = \langle \text{tr}(\iota_\Delta^* K_{\mathbf{T}}), 1 \rangle,$$

where  $\text{tr}$  denotes the trace on  $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{V}^* = \text{End}(\mathcal{V})$ .

**3.2. The Guillemin trace formula.** Consider a smooth flow  $\Phi_t$  acting on  $C^\infty(V, \mathcal{V})$  which has the form

$$\Phi_t(x, u) = (\varphi_t(x), F(x, t) \cdot u), \quad (x, u) \in \mathcal{V},$$

where  $\varphi_t$  is a hyperbolic flow on  $V$  and  $F(x, t)$  is an isomorphism  $\mathcal{V}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_{\varphi_t(x)}$  for every  $x, t$ . For  $\varrho \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$  define  $\mathbf{T}_\varrho : C^\infty(V, \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow C^\infty(V, \mathcal{V})$  by

$$\mathbf{T}_\varrho \mathbf{u} = \int_0^\infty \varrho(t) (\Phi_{-t}^* \mathbf{u}) dt, \quad \mathbf{u} \in C^\infty(V, \mathcal{V}),$$

where

$$(\Phi_{-t}^* \mathbf{u})(x) = \Phi_t(\mathbf{u}(\varphi_{-t}x)), \quad \mathbf{u} \in C^\infty(V, \mathcal{V}), \quad x \in V.$$

Assume that all periodic trajectories  $\gamma$  of  $\varphi_t$  are nondegenerate, that is  $1 \notin \text{spect } P_\gamma$ , where

$$P_\gamma = d\varphi_{-\tau(\gamma)}(z)|_{E_u(z) \oplus E_s(z)}$$

is the linearized Poincaré map of the orbit  $\gamma$  (here  $z$  is any point of  $\gamma$ ).

Then following [DZ16, Appendix B] (see also [BSW21]), the flat trace of  $\mathbf{T}_\varrho$  is given by

$$\text{tr}^b \mathbf{T}_\varrho = \sum_\gamma \frac{\varrho(\tau(\gamma))}{|\det(1 - P_\gamma)|} \int_0^{\tau^\sharp(\gamma)} \text{tr } F(\gamma(t), \tau(\gamma)) dt,$$

where the sum runs over all periodic orbits  $\gamma$  of the flow  $\varphi_t$ , and  $\tau^\sharp(\gamma)$  is the primitive period of  $\gamma$ .

**3.3. The flat trace of cut-off resolvent.** For  $\varrho \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$  define

$$\mathbf{T}_\varrho^{k,\ell} \mathbf{u} = \tilde{\chi} \left( \int_0^\infty \varrho(t) (e^{-t\mathbf{Q}_{k,\ell}} \mathbf{u}) dt \right) \tilde{\chi}, \quad \mathbf{u} \in C^\infty(G, \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}),$$

where the cut-off function  $\tilde{\chi}$  was defined in the previous section. By the above statement for the flat trace we have

$$\text{tr}^b (\mathbf{T}_\varrho^{k,\ell}) = \sum_{\tilde{\gamma}} \frac{\varrho(\tau_\gamma)}{|\det(1 - \tilde{P}_\gamma)|} \int_0^{\tau^\sharp(\gamma)} \text{tr } \Phi_{\tau(\gamma)}^{k,\ell}(\tilde{\gamma}(t)) dt,$$

where the sum runs over all periodic orbits  $\tilde{\gamma}$  of  $\tilde{\varphi}_t$  and  $\tilde{P}_\gamma$  is the linearized Poincaré map of the closed orbit  $t \mapsto \tilde{\gamma}(t) = (\gamma(t), E_u(\gamma(t))) \in G$  of the flow  $\tilde{\varphi}_t$ . Note that  $\Phi_{\tau(\gamma)}^{k,\ell}(\tilde{\gamma}(t))$  is conjugated to  $\Phi_{\tau(\gamma)}^{k,\ell}(\tilde{\gamma}(t'))$  for any  $t, t'$ . Hence

$$\int_0^{\tau^\sharp(\gamma)} \text{tr } \Phi_{\tau(\gamma)}^{k,\ell}(\tilde{\gamma}(t)) dt = \tau^\sharp(\gamma) \text{tr } \Phi_{\tau(\gamma)}^{k,\ell}(\omega_{\tilde{\gamma}})$$

for any  $\omega_{\tilde{\gamma}} \in \tilde{\gamma}$ .

By proceeding exactly as in [DZ16, §4], we obtain, with (2.14) in mind

$$\mathrm{tr}^b \mathbf{R}_\varepsilon^{k,\ell}(s) = \sum_{\tilde{\gamma}} \frac{e^{-s\tau(\gamma)} \tau^\sharp(\gamma) \mathrm{tr} \Phi_{\tau(\gamma)}^{k,\ell}(\omega_{\tilde{\gamma}})}{|\det(1 - \tilde{P}_\gamma)|}, \quad \mathrm{Re}(s) \gg 1, \quad (3.1)$$

where for  $\mathrm{Re}(s)$  large enough and  $\varepsilon > 0$  small, we set

$$\mathbf{R}_\varepsilon^{k,\ell}(s) = e^{-\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q}_{k,\ell} + s)} \tilde{\chi}(\mathbf{Q}_{k,\ell} + s)^{-1} \tilde{\chi}.$$

More precisely, we apply the above formula with the functions  $\varrho_{s,T}(t) = e^{-st} \varrho_T(t)$ , where  $\varrho_T \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$  satisfies  $\mathrm{supp} \varrho_T \subset [\delta, T+1]$  for  $\delta$  small and  $\varrho_T \equiv 1$  on  $[2\delta, T]$ . Then by taking the limit  $T \rightarrow \infty$  which can be justified as in [DZ16, §4], we obtain (3.1) exploiting (2.14). The fact that  $\mathrm{tr}^b \mathbf{R}_\varepsilon^{k,\ell}(s)$  is well defined follows from the information for the wave front set given in (2.16) and from multiplicativity of wavefront sets [Hör90, Theorem 8.2.14].

Next one obtains the following formula similar to that in [FT17] which we will prove for the sake of completeness.

**Lemma 3.1.** *For any periodic orbit  $\tilde{\gamma}$  related to a periodic orbit  $\gamma$ , we have*

$$\frac{1}{|\det(I - \tilde{P}_\gamma)|} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{d^2-d-k} (-1)^{k+\ell} \mathrm{tr} \Phi_{\tau(\gamma)}^{k,\ell}(\omega_{\tilde{\gamma}}) = |\det(1 - P_\gamma)|^{-1/2}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma(t)$  be a periodic orbit and let  $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = (\gamma(t), E_u(\gamma(t)))$ ,  $\omega_{\tilde{\gamma}} \in \tilde{\gamma}$ . Set

$$P_{\gamma,u} = d\varphi_{-\tau(\gamma)}(z)|_{E_u(z)}, \quad P_{\gamma,s} = d\varphi_{-\tau(\gamma)}(z)|_{E_s(z)},$$

$$P_{\gamma,\perp} = d\tilde{\varphi}_{-\tau(\gamma)}(\omega_{\tilde{\gamma}})|_{\ker d\pi_G(\omega)}, \quad P_{\gamma,\perp}^{-1} = d\tilde{\varphi}_{-\tau(\gamma)}(\omega_{\tilde{\gamma}})^{-1}|_{\ker d\pi_G(\omega)}.$$

The linearized Poincaré map  $\tilde{P}_\gamma$  of the closed orbit  $\tilde{\gamma}$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \det(I - \tilde{P}_\gamma) &= \det \left( I - d\tilde{\varphi}_{-\tau(\gamma)}|_{\tilde{E}_s(\omega) \oplus \tilde{E}_u(\omega)} \right) \\ &= \det(I - P_\gamma) \det(I - P_{\gamma,\perp}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

since  $\tilde{E}_s(\omega) \simeq E_s(z) \oplus \ker d\pi_G(\omega)$  and  $\tilde{E}_u(\omega) \simeq E_u(z)$  by Lemma 2.1. Recall the well known formula

$$\det(I - A) = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \mathrm{tr} \wedge^j A$$

for any endomorphism  $A$  of a  $k$ -dimensional vector space. By (2.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k,\ell} (-1)^{k+\ell} \operatorname{tr} \Phi_{\tau(\gamma)}^{k,\ell}(\omega_{\tilde{\gamma}}) \\ &= b_{\tau(\gamma)}(\omega_{\tilde{\gamma}}) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} (-1)^k \operatorname{tr} \wedge^k P_{\gamma,u} \right) \left( \sum_{\ell=0}^{d^2-d} (-1)^\ell \operatorname{tr} \wedge^\ell P_{\gamma,\perp}^{-1} \right) \quad (3.3) \\ &= |\det(P_{\gamma,u})|^{-1/2} |\det(P_{\gamma,\perp})| \det(I - P_{\gamma,u}) \det(I - P_{\gamma,\perp}^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the equality

$$\begin{aligned} b_{\tau(\gamma)}(\omega_{\tilde{\gamma}}) &= |\det d\varphi_{\tau(\gamma)}(\pi_G(\omega_{\tilde{\gamma}}))|_{[\omega_{\tilde{\gamma}}]}^{1/2} \cdot |\det (d\tilde{\varphi}_{\tau(\gamma)}(\omega_{\tilde{\gamma}})|_{\ker d\pi_G})|^{-1} \\ &= |\det(P_{\gamma,u})|^{-1/2} |\det(P_{\gamma,\perp})| \end{aligned}$$

because  $P_{\gamma,u}$  and  $P_{\gamma,\perp}$  are defined with  $d\varphi_{-t}$  and  $d\tilde{\varphi}_{-t}$ , respectively. Therefore (3.2) yields

$$\sum_{k,\ell} (-1)^{k+\ell} \frac{\operatorname{tr} \Phi_{\tau(\gamma)}^{k,\ell}(\omega_{\tilde{\gamma}})}{|\det(I - \tilde{P}_\gamma)|} = \frac{\det(I - P_{\gamma,u}) \det(I - P_{\gamma,\perp}^{-1}) |\det(P_{\gamma,u})|^{-1/2}}{|\det(I - P_\gamma)| |\det(I - P_{\gamma,\perp})| |\det(P_{\gamma,\perp})|^{-1}}. \quad (3.4)$$

Since  $P_\gamma$  is a linear symplectic map, we have

$$\det(I - P_{\gamma,s}^{-1}) = \det(I - P_{\gamma,u}), \quad \det(P_{\gamma,s}) = \det(P_{\gamma,u}^{-1}),$$

and one deduces

$$\begin{aligned} |\det(I - P_\gamma)| &= |\det(I - P_{\gamma,u})| |\det(I - P_{\gamma,s})| \\ &= |\det(P_{\gamma,s})| |\det(I - P_{\gamma,u})| |\det(I - P_{\gamma,s}^{-1})| \\ &= |\det(P_{\gamma,u})|^{-1} |\det(I - P_{\gamma,u})|^2 \end{aligned}$$

For  $t > 0$  the map  $d\tilde{\varphi}_t = (d\tilde{\varphi}_{-t})^{-1}$  is contracting on  $\ker d\pi_G \subset \tilde{E}_s(\omega_{\tilde{\gamma}})$  (resp.  $d\varphi_{-t}$  is contracting on  $E_u(z)$ ) and these contractions yield  $\det(I - P_{\gamma,\perp}^{-1}) > 0$  (resp.  $\det(I - P_{\gamma,u}) > 0$ ). Thus the terms involving  $P_{\gamma,\perp}$  in (3.4) cancel and since

$$|\det(I - P_\gamma)|^{-1/2} = |\det(P_{\gamma,u})|^{1/2} \det(I - P_{\gamma,u})^{-1},$$

the right hand side of (3.4) is equal to  $|\det(I - P_\gamma)|^{-1/2}$ .  $\square$

**3.4. Meromorphic continuation of  $\eta_N$ .** From Lemma 3.1 and (3.1), we deduce that for  $\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 1$ , we have

$$\eta_N(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{d^2-d} (-1)^{k+\ell} \operatorname{tr}^b \mathbf{R}_\varepsilon^{k,\ell}(s),$$

where  $\eta_N(s)$  is defined by

$$\eta_N(s) = \sum_{\gamma} \frac{\tau^{\sharp}(\gamma) e^{-\tau(\gamma)s}}{|\det(1 - P_{\gamma})|^{1/2}}.$$

Since for every  $k, \ell$  the family  $s \mapsto \mathbf{R}_{\varepsilon}^{k, \ell}(s)$  extends to a meromorphic family on the whole complex plane, so does  $s \mapsto \eta_N(s)$ . Indeed, it follows from the proof of [DG16, Lemma 4.2] that  $s \mapsto K_{\mathbf{R}_{\varepsilon}^{k, \ell}(s)}$  is continuous as a map<sup>1</sup>

$$\mathbb{C} \setminus \text{Res}(\mathbf{R}_{\varepsilon}^{k, \ell}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'_{\Gamma}(G \times G, \mathcal{E}_{k, \ell} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}_{k, \ell}^*).$$

Here  $K_{\mathbf{R}_{\varepsilon}^{k, \ell}(s)}$  is the Schwartz kernel of  $\mathbf{R}_{\varepsilon}^{k, \ell}(s)$  and

$$\Gamma = \Delta_{\varepsilon} \cup \Upsilon_{+, \varepsilon} \cup E_+^* \times E_-^*,$$

where  $\Delta_{\varepsilon} = \{(\Psi_{\varepsilon}(\Omega), \Omega) : (\Omega, \Omega) \in \Delta\}$  and

$$\Upsilon_{+, \varepsilon} = \{(\Psi_t(\Omega), \Omega) : t \geq \varepsilon, \langle \Omega, X \rangle = 0\},$$

while  $\mathcal{D}'_{\Gamma}(G \times G, \mathcal{E}_{k, \ell} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}_{k, \ell}^*)$  is the space of distributions valued in  $\mathcal{E}_{k, \ell} \boxtimes \mathcal{E}_{k, \ell}^*$  whose wavefront set is contained in  $\Gamma$ . This space is endowed with its usual topology (see [Hör90, §8.2]). In particular,  $s \mapsto \text{tr}^b \mathbf{R}_{\varepsilon}^{k, \ell}(s)$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \text{Res}(\mathbf{R}_{\varepsilon}^{k, \ell})$  by [Hör90, Theorem 8.2.4]. Finally, Cauchy's formula implies that this map is meromorphic on  $\mathbb{C}$  and this completes the proof that the Dirichlet series  $s \mapsto \eta_N(s)$  admits a meromorphic continuation in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Now we will establish that  $\eta_N(s)$  has simple poles with integer residues. To do this, we may proceed as in [DG16, §5] but for the sake of completeness we present a brief proof. Let  $s_0 \in \text{Res}(\mathbf{R}_{k, \ell})$  for some  $k, \ell$ . Recalling the development (2.15), it is enough to show that

$$\text{tr}^b (e^{-\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q}_{k, \ell} + s_0)} \tilde{\chi} [(\mathbf{Q}_{k, \ell} + s_0)^{j-1} \Pi_{s_0}^{k, \ell}] \tilde{\chi}) = 0, \quad j \geq 2, \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\text{tr}^b (e^{-\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q}_{k, \ell} + s_0)} \tilde{\chi} \Pi_{s_0}^{k, \ell} \tilde{\chi}) = \text{rank } \Pi_{s_0}^{k, \ell}. \quad (3.6)$$

In the following we fix  $k$  and  $\ell$ . Applying (2.17), we may write

$$\Pi_{s_0}^{k, \ell} = \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{u}_i \otimes \mathbf{v}_i,$$

where  $\otimes$  denotes the Hilbert tensor product and for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_i &\in \mathcal{D}'(\tilde{V}_u, \mathcal{E}_{k, \ell}), & \text{supp}(\mathbf{u}_i) &\subset \Gamma_+, & \text{WF}'(\mathbf{u}_i) &\subset \tilde{E}_+^*, \\ \mathbf{v}_i &\in \mathcal{D}'(\tilde{V}_u, \mathcal{E}_{k, \ell}^* \otimes |\Omega|), & \text{supp}(\mathbf{v}_i) &\subset \Gamma_-, & \text{WF}'(\mathbf{v}_i) &\subset \tilde{E}_-^*, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

<sup>1</sup>This follows from the fact that the estimates on the wavefront set of  $\mathbf{R}_{\varepsilon}^{k, \ell}(s)$  given in [DG16] are locally uniform with respect to  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ .

$|\Omega|$  being the line bundle of densities. The relations

$$\text{supp } \mathbf{u}_i \cap \text{supp } \mathbf{v}_j \subset \Gamma_+ \cap \Gamma_- = \tilde{K}_u,$$

$$\tilde{E}_+^*(\omega) \cap \tilde{E}_-^*(\omega) = \{0\}, \quad z \in \tilde{K}_u,$$

make possible to define the pairing  $\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle$  on  $\mathcal{E}_{k,\ell} \times \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}^*$  for  $i, j = 1, \dots, m$  which yields a density on  $\tilde{V}_u$ . The family  $(\mathbf{u}_i)$  is a basis of the range of  $\Pi_{s_0}^{k,\ell}$ . By definition of the flat trace and the information on the wavefront sets, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}^b(e^{-\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q}_{k,\ell} + s_0)} \tilde{\chi} [(\mathbf{Q}_{k,\ell} + s_0)^{j-1} \Pi_{s_0}^{k,\ell}] \tilde{\chi}) \\ = \sum_{i=1}^m \int_G e^{-\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q}_{k,\ell} + s_0)} \langle \tilde{\chi}(\mathbf{Q}_{k,\ell} + s_0)^{j-1} \mathbf{u}_i, \tilde{\chi} \mathbf{v}_i \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Here the integrals make sense taking into account the estimates of the supports and the wavefront sets of  $\mathbf{u}_i$  and  $\mathbf{v}_i$  mentioned above. Since  $\Pi_{s_0}^{k,\ell} \circ \Pi_{s_0}^{k,\ell} = \Pi_{s_0}^{k,\ell}$ , the family  $(\mathbf{v}_i)$  is dual to the basis  $(\mathbf{u}_i)$  in the sense that

$$\int_G \langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{v}_p \rangle = \delta_{ip}, \quad 1 \leq i, p \leq m, \quad (3.9)$$

where  $\delta_{ip}$  are the Kronecker symbols. Introduce

$$C_{s_0,k,\ell}^{(j)} = \{ \mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{D}'(\tilde{V}_u, \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}) : \text{supp } u \subset \Gamma_+, \text{WF}(u) \subset \tilde{E}_+^*, (\mathbf{Q}_{k,\ell} + s_0)^j \mathbf{u} = 0 \}.$$

Then, since  $\tilde{\chi} = 1$  near  $\tilde{K}_u$ , one deduces that  $e^{-\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q}_{k,\ell} + s_0)} \tilde{\chi}(\mathbf{Q}_{k,\ell} + s_0) \Pi_{s_0}^{k,\ell} \tilde{\chi}$  maps

$$C_{s_0,k,\ell}^{(j+1)} \rightarrow C_{s_0,k,\ell}^{(j)}, \quad \text{and} \quad C_{s_0,k,\ell}^{(1)} \rightarrow \{0\}, \quad j \geq 1.$$

This fact and (3.9) show that (3.5) holds. To prove (3.6), we write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G \langle e^{-\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q}_{k,\ell} + s_0)} \tilde{\chi} \mathbf{u}_i, \tilde{\chi} \mathbf{v}_i \rangle &= \int_G \langle \tilde{\chi} e^{-\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q}_{k,\ell} + s_0)} \mathbf{u}_i, \tilde{\chi} \mathbf{v}_i \rangle \\ &= \int_G \langle \tilde{\chi} \mathbf{u}_i, \tilde{\chi} \mathbf{v}_i \rangle \\ &\quad - \int_0^\varepsilon dt \int_G \tilde{\chi} e^{-t(\mathbf{Q}_{k,\ell} + s_0)} (\mathbf{Q}_{k,\ell} + s_0) \mathbf{u}_i \wedge \tilde{\chi} \mathbf{v}_i. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we replace  $\varepsilon$  by  $t$  in (3.5) for any  $t \in [0, \varepsilon]$ , and we obtain that the trace of the last integral is zero. Finally, summing from 1 to  $m$  and applying (3.9), we obtain (3.6).

## 4. THE DYNAMICAL ZETA FUNCTION FOR PARTICULAR RAYS

In this section we adapt the above construction to prove the following result.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $q \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ . The function  $\eta_q(s)$  defined by*

$$\eta_q(s) = \sum_{m(\gamma) \in q\mathbb{N}} \frac{\tau^\sharp(\gamma) e^{-s\tau(\gamma)}}{|1 - P_\gamma|^{1/2}}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 1,$$

where the sum runs over all periodic rays  $\gamma$  with  $m(\gamma) \in q\mathbb{N}$ , admits a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane with simple poles and residues valued in  $\mathbb{Z}/q$ .

Note that for large  $\operatorname{Re}(s)$  we have the formula

$$\eta_D(s) = 2\eta_2(s) - \eta_N(s). \quad (4.1)$$

In particular, Theorem 4 implies that  $\eta_D(s)$  also extends meromorphically to the whole complex plane, since  $\eta_N(s)$  does by the preceding section. In particular, we obtain Theorem 1 since  $2\eta_2(s)$  has simple poles with residues in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**4.1. The  $q$ -reflection bundle.** For  $q \geq 2$  we define the  $q$ -reflection bundle  $\mathcal{R}_q \rightarrow M$  by

$$\mathcal{R}_q = ([S\mathbb{R}^d \setminus (\pi^{-1}(D^\circ) \cup \mathcal{D}_g)] \times \mathbb{R}^q) / \approx, \quad (4.2)$$

where the equivalence classes of the relation  $\approx$  are defined as follows. For  $(x, v) \in S\mathbb{R}^d \setminus (\pi^{-1}(D^\circ) \cup \mathcal{D}_g)$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^q$ , we set  $[(x, v, \xi)] = \{(x, v, \xi)\}$  if  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus D$  and

$$[(x, v, \xi)] = \{(x, v, \xi), (x, v', A(q) \cdot \xi)\} \quad \text{if } (x, v) \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{in}}, (x, v') \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{out}},$$

where  $A(q)$  is the  $q \times q$  matrix with entries in  $\{0, 1\}$  given by

$$A(q) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This indeed defines an equivalence relation since  $(x, v') \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{out}}$  whenever  $(x, v) \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{in}}$ . Note that

$$A(q)^q = \operatorname{Id}, \quad \operatorname{tr} A(q)^j = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, q-1. \quad (4.3)$$

Let us describe the smooth structure of  $\mathcal{R}_q$ , using the charts of  $M$  and the notations of §2.2. For  $z_\star \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{in}}$ , we denote by  $U_{z_\star}$  the image of  $\psi_{z_\star}$ . Then the bundle  $\mathcal{R}_q \rightarrow M$  can be defined by defining its transition maps, as follows.



Let  $U = \psi(B \setminus \pi^{-1}(\partial D))$  be the chart domain of  $\psi$ . In the coordinates (??), we have  $U_{z_\star} \cap U = U_+ \sqcup U_-$  where

$$U_+ = ]0, \varepsilon[ \times B^{2d-2}(0, \delta) \quad \text{and} \quad U_- = ]-\varepsilon, 0[ \times B^{2d-2}(0, \delta).$$

Then we define the transition map  $\alpha_{z_\star} : U_{z_\star} \cap U \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathbb{R}^q)$  of the bundle  $\mathcal{R}_q$  with respect to the pair of charts  $(\psi_{z_\star}, \psi)$  to be the locally constant map defined by

$$\alpha_{z_\star}(z) = \begin{cases} \text{Id} & \text{if } z \in U_-, \\ A(q) & \text{if } z \in U_+. \end{cases}$$

For  $z_\star, z'_\star \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{in}}$ , the transition map of  $\mathcal{R}_q$  for the pair of charts  $(\psi_{z_\star}, \psi_{z'_\star})$  is declared to be constant and equal to  $\text{Id}$  on  $U_{z_\star} \cap U_{z'_\star}$ . In this way we obtain a smooth bundle  $\mathcal{R}_q$  over  $M$ , which is clearly homeomorphic to the quotient space (4.2). Since the transition maps of  $\mathcal{R}_q$  are locally constant, there is a natural flat connexion  $d^q$  on  $\mathcal{R}_q$  which is given in the charts by the trivial connexion on  $\mathbb{R}^q$ .

Consider a small smooth neighborhood  $V$  of  $K$ . As in §2.3, we embed  $V$  into a smooth compact manifold without boundary  $N$ , and we fix an extension of  $\mathcal{R}_q$  to  $N$  (this is always possible if we choose  $N$  to be the doubling manifold of  $V$ ). Consider any connexion  $\nabla^q$  on the extension of  $\mathcal{R}_q$  which coincides with  $d^q$  near  $K$ , and denote by

$$P_{q,t}(z) : \mathcal{R}_q(z) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_q(\varphi_t(z))$$

the parallel transport of  $\nabla^q$  along the curve  $\{\varphi_\tau(z) : 0 \leq \tau \leq t\}$ . We have a smooth action of  $\varphi_t$  on  $\mathcal{R}_q$  which is given by

$$\varphi_t^q(z, \xi) = (\varphi_t(z), P_{q,t}(z) \cdot \xi), \quad (z, \xi) \in \mathcal{R}_q.$$

From (4.3), and the fact that  $\nabla^q$  coincides with  $d^q$  near  $K$ , we easily deduce that for any periodic orbit  $\gamma = (\varphi_\tau(z))_{\tau \in [0, \tau(\gamma)]}$ , we have

$$\text{tr } \varphi_{\tau(\gamma)}^q(z) = \begin{cases} q & \text{if } m(\gamma) = 0 \pmod{q}, \\ 0 & \text{if } m(\gamma) \neq 0 \pmod{q}. \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

**4.2. Transfer operators acting on  $G$ .** Now consider the bundle

$$\mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}^q = \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell} \otimes \pi_G^* \mathcal{R}_q,$$

where  $\pi_G^* \mathcal{R}_q$  is the pullback of  $\mathcal{R}_q$  by  $\pi_G$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}$  is defined in §2.5, so that  $\pi_G^* \mathcal{R}_q \rightarrow G$  is a vector bundle over  $G$ . We may lift the flow  $\varphi_t^q$  to a flow  $\Phi_t^{k,\ell,q}$

on  $\mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}^q$  which is defined locally near  $\tilde{K}_u$  by

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi_t^{k,\ell,q}(\omega, u \otimes v \otimes \xi) \\ &= \left( \tilde{\varphi}_t(\omega), b_t(\omega) \cdot \left[ (d\varphi_t(\pi_G(\omega)))^{-\top} \right]^{\wedge k} (u) \otimes (d\tilde{\varphi}_t(\omega))^{\wedge \ell} (v) \otimes P_{q,t}(z) \cdot \xi \right] \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\omega = (z, E) \in G$ ,  $u \otimes v \otimes \xi \in \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}^q(\omega)$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Here  $b_t(\omega)$  is defined in 2.5. As in §2.7, we consider a smooth connexion  $\nabla^{k,\ell,q} = \nabla^{k,\ell} \otimes \pi_G^* \nabla^q$  on  $\mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}^q$ . Define the transfer operator

$$\Phi_{-t}^{k,\ell,q,*} : C^\infty(G, \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}^q) \rightarrow C^\infty(G, \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}^q)$$

by

$$\Phi_{-t}^{k,\ell,q,*} \mathbf{u}(\omega) = \Phi_{-t}^{k,\ell,q}[\mathbf{u}(\tilde{\varphi}_t(\omega))], \quad \mathbf{u} \in C^\infty(G, \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}^q).$$

Then the operator

$$\mathbf{P}_{k,\ell,q} = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \left( \Phi_{-t}^{k,\ell,q,*} \right),$$

which is defined near  $\tilde{K}_u$ , can be written locally as  $\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{k,\ell,q} + \mathbf{A}_{k,\ell,q}$  for some  $\mathbf{A}_{k,\ell,q} \in C^\infty(\tilde{U}_u, \text{End } \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}^q)$  which is defined in some small neighborhood  $\tilde{U}_u$  of  $\tilde{K}_u$ . Next, we choose some  $\mathbf{B}_{k,\ell,q} \in C^\infty(G, \text{End } \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}^q)$  which coincides  $\mathbf{A}_{k,\ell,q}$  near  $\tilde{K}_u$ . We consider  $\tilde{V}_u$  and  $\tilde{Y}$  as in §2.6, and set

$$\mathbf{Q}_{k,\ell,q} = \nabla_{\tilde{Y}}^{k,\ell,q} + \mathbf{B}_{k,\ell,q} : C^\infty(G, \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}^q) \rightarrow C^\infty(G, \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}^q).$$

**4.3. Meromorphic continuation of  $\eta_q(s)$ .** For  $\tilde{\chi} \in C_c^\infty(\tilde{V}_u)$  such that  $\tilde{\chi} \equiv 1$  near  $\tilde{K}_u$ , we define

$$\mathbf{R}_\varepsilon^{k,\ell,q}(s) = e^{-\varepsilon(\mathbf{Q}_{k,\ell,q} + s)} \tilde{\chi}(\mathbf{Q}_{k,\ell,q} + s)^{-1} \tilde{\chi}$$

and by the argument of the preceding section one obtains a meromorphic continuation of  $\mathbf{R}_\varepsilon^{k,\ell,q}(s)$ . Now notice that, with the notations of §3.3, for any periodic orbit  $\gamma$  of  $(\varphi_t)$  we have

$$\text{tr } \Phi_{\tau(\gamma)}^{k,\ell,q}(\omega_{\tilde{\gamma}}) = \text{tr} \left( \Phi_{\tau(\gamma)}^{k,\ell}(\omega_{\tilde{\gamma}}) \right) \text{tr} \left( \varphi_{\tau(\gamma)}^q(z_\gamma) \right),$$

where  $z_\gamma$  is any point of  $\gamma$ . However, by (4.4) one gets  $\text{tr } \varphi_{\tau(\gamma)}^q(z_\gamma) = \mathbf{1}_{q\mathbb{N}}(m(\gamma))$ . In particular, proceeding exactly as in the the preceding section, we obtain that for  $\text{Re}(s)$  large enough,

$$\sum_{k,\ell} (-1)^{k+\ell} \text{tr}^b \mathbf{R}_\varepsilon^{k,\ell,q}(s) = q \sum_{m(\gamma) \in q\mathbb{N}} \frac{\tau^\sharp(\gamma) e^{-s\tau(\gamma)}}{|\det(1 - P_\gamma)|^{1/2}}. \quad (4.5)$$

Thus, repeating the argument of §3, we establish a meromorphic continuation of the function  $s \mapsto \eta_q(s)$ . Finally, by using (4.5), we may proceed exactly

as in §3.4 to show that  $q\eta_q$  has integer residues. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

## 5. THE MODIFIED LAX–PHILLIPS CONJECTURE FOR REAL ANALYTIC OBSTACLES

In this section, we assume that the obstacles  $D_1, \dots, D_r$  have real analytic boundary. Then the smooth structure on  $M$  defined in §2.2 induces an analytic structure on  $M$ . Indeed, with notations of §2.2, the local parametrizations  $F_{z_\star}$  of  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{in}}$  can be chosen to be real analytic, as  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{in}}$  is a real analytic submanifold of  $S\mathbb{R}^2$ . This makes the transition maps (2.3) real analytic, and thus we obtain a real analytic structure on  $M$ . In the charts  $\psi_{z_\star}$  and  $\psi$ , the billiard flow is a translation and it defines a real analytic flow. Of course, the Grassmannian bundle  $G \rightarrow M$  also becomes real analytic. Consequently, the lifted flow  $\tilde{\varphi}_t$  on  $G$ , which is defined by (2.6), is real analytic as well.

Consider the bundles  $\mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}^q \rightarrow G$  defined in §4.2 for  $q \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq d-1$  and  $1 \leq \ell \leq d^2-d$ . If  $q=1$  the bundles  $\mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}^1 \rightarrow G$  are isomorphic to  $\mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}$  being the bundles defined in §3. As before we naturally extend the flow  $\tilde{\varphi}_t$  to a flow  $\Phi_t^{k,\ell,q}$  (which is non complete) on  $\mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}^q$ . We set

$$\mathcal{E}_q^+ = \bigoplus_{k+\ell \text{ even}} \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}^q \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}_q^- = \bigoplus_{k+\ell \text{ odd}} \mathcal{E}_{k,\ell}^q.$$

Define the flows  $\Phi_{t,q}^+$  and  $\Phi_{t,q}^-$ , acting respectively on the bundles  $\mathcal{E}_q^+$  and  $\mathcal{E}_q^-$ , by

$$\Phi_{t,q}^+ = \bigoplus_{k+\ell \text{ even}} \Phi_t^{k,\ell,q} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_{t,q}^- = \bigoplus_{k+\ell \text{ odd}} \Phi_t^{k,\ell,q}.$$

Then  $\Phi_{t,q}^\pm$  is a virtual lift of  $\tilde{\varphi}_t$  to the virtual bundles  $\mathcal{E}_q^\pm$ , in the sense of [Fri95, p. 176]. Also, following [Fri95, p. 176], given a periodic ray  $\gamma$ , one defines  $\chi_\gamma(\mathcal{E}_q^\pm) = \chi_\gamma(\mathcal{E}_q^+) - \chi_\gamma(\mathcal{E}_q^-)$ . More precisely, given a point  $\omega = (z, E) \in G$ ,  $z \in \gamma$ , and a bundle  $\xi \rightarrow G$  over  $G$ , one considers the transformation  $\Phi_{\tau(\gamma)} : \xi_\omega \rightarrow \xi_\omega$ , where  $\xi_\omega$  is the fibre over  $\omega$  and  $\Phi_t$  is the lift of the flow  $\tilde{\varphi}_t$  to  $\xi$ . Then we set  $\chi_\gamma(\xi) = \text{tr} \Phi_{\tau(\gamma)}$ . For a period ray  $\gamma$  related to a primitive periodic ray  $\gamma^\sharp$  one defines  $\mu(\gamma) \in \mathbb{N}$  determined by the equality  $\tau(\gamma) = \mu(\gamma)\tau(\gamma^\sharp)$ .

After this preparation one introduces the zeta function

$$\zeta_q(s) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{q} \sum_{\tilde{\gamma}} \frac{\chi_\gamma(\mathcal{E}_q^\pm)}{\mu(\gamma) |\det(1 - \tilde{P}_\gamma)|} e^{-s\tau(\gamma)}\right), \quad \text{Re}(s) \gg 1.$$

This function corresponds exactly to the *flat-trace function*  $T^b(s)$  introduced by Fried [Fri95, p. 177]. On the other hand, one has

$$\chi_\gamma(\mathcal{E}_q^\pm) = \sum_{k,\ell} (-1)^{k+\ell} \text{tr} \Phi_{\tau(\gamma)}^{k,\ell,q}(\omega_{\tilde{\gamma}}).$$

According to the analysis of §3 for the function  $\zeta_N(s)$ , one deduces that

$$\frac{d}{ds} \log \zeta_1(s) = \sum_\gamma \frac{\tau(\gamma^\#) e^{-s\tau(\gamma)}}{|\det(I - P_\gamma)|^{1/2}} = \eta_N(s), \quad \text{Re } s \gg 1.$$

Similarly, the argument of §4 implies

$$\frac{d}{ds} \log \zeta_2(s)^2 = 2 \sum_{\substack{\gamma \\ m(\gamma) \in 2\mathbb{N}}} \frac{\tau(\gamma^\#) e^{-s\tau(\gamma)}}{|\det(I - P_\gamma)|^{1/2}} = 2\eta_2(s), \quad \text{Re } s \gg 1.$$

Consequently, the representation (4.1) yields

$$\eta_D(s) = -\frac{d}{ds} \log \left( \frac{\zeta_2(s)^2}{\zeta_1(s)} \right), \quad \text{Re } s \gg 1. \quad (5.1)$$

For obstacles with real analytic boundary the flow  $\tilde{\varphi}_t$  is real analytic and the bundles  $\mathcal{E}_q^\pm$  are real analytic, too. Thus we are in position to apply the principal result of Fried [Fri95, Theorem p. 180] (see also pp. 177–178) saying that the zeta functions  $s \mapsto \zeta_k(s)$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ , are meromorphic in  $\mathbb{C}$  with finite order  $\rho(\zeta_k)$ . Thus  $\zeta_2^2/\zeta_1$  is a meromorphic function with order  $\max\{\rho(\zeta_1), \rho(\zeta_2^2)\}$ .

*Proof of Theorem 3.* Denote by  $\{\mu_j\} \subset \mathbb{C}$  the set of resonances for the wave equation in the domain  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus D$ , with Dirichlet boundary conditions. Our purpose is to prove that there is  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\#\{\mu_j : |\text{Im } \mu_j| \leq \delta\} = \infty.$$

By the work of Ikawa [Ika88, Ika90a] and a slight modification of its proof to cover the case  $d$  even<sup>2</sup>, it is sufficient to show that the Dirichlet series  $\eta_D(s)$  cannot be continued as an entire function on  $\mathbb{C}$ , that is,  $\eta_D(s)$  has at least one pole. We proceed by contradiction and assume that  $\eta_D(s)$  is an entire function. Applying the representation (5.1), this means that  $\zeta_2(s)^2/\zeta_1(s)$  has neither poles nor zeros. As we have mentioned above, this function has finite order, so by the Hadamard factorisation theorem we deduce that  $\zeta_2(s)^2/\zeta_1(s) = \exp(Q(s))$  for some polynomial  $Q(s)$ . This implies that  $\eta_D(s) = -Q'(s)$  is a polynomial, which is impossible. Indeed, since  $\eta_D(s) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\text{Re}(s) \rightarrow +\infty$ , this implies that  $Q'(s)$  must be the zero polynomial. By uniqueness

<sup>2</sup>for  $d$  even one applies the trace formula provided by Zworski [Zwo98] and one repeats the argument of [Ika90a].

of the development of an absolutely convergent Dirichlet series of the form  $\sum_n a_n e^{-\lambda_n s}$  [Per08], this leads to a contradiction.  $\square$

#### APPENDIX. HYPERBOLICITY OF THE BILLIARD FLOW

In this appendix we show that the non-grazing flow  $(\phi_t)$  defined in §2.1 is uniformly hyperbolic on the trapped set  $K_e$ . Throughout this section we will work with the Euclidian metric. As it was mentioned in §2.3, we can obtain the uniform hyperbolicity of the flow  $(\varphi_t)$  on  $K$  in the smooth model from that for  $(\phi_t)$  on  $K_e$ . The flow  $(\phi_t)$  is hyperbolic on  $K_e$  if for every  $z = (x, v) \in \mathring{B} \cap K_e$  we have a splitting

$$T_z \mathbb{R}^d = \mathbb{R}X(z) \oplus E_s(z) \oplus E_u(z),$$

where  $X(z) = v$  and  $E_s(z)/E_u(z)$  are stable/unstable spaces such that  $d\phi_t(z)$  maps  $E_{s/u}(z)$  onto  $E_{s/u}(\phi_t(z))$  whenever  $\phi_t(z) \in \mathring{B} \cap K_e$ , and if for some constants  $C, \nu > 0$  independent of  $z \in K_e$ , we have

$$\|d\phi_t(z) \cdot v\| \leq \begin{cases} Ce^{-\nu t} \|v\|, & v \in E_s(z), t \geq 0, \\ Ce^{-\nu|t|} \|v\|, & v \in E_u(z), t \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

First, we consider the case of periodic points. Our purpose is to define the unstable and stable manifolds  $E_u(z)$  and  $E_s(z)$  at a periodic point  $z$ , and to estimate the norm of  $d\phi_t(z)|_{E_b(z)}$  for  $b = u, s$ . Consider a periodic ray  $\gamma$  with reflection points  $z_i = (q_i, \omega_i)$ ,  $q_i \in \partial D$ ,  $\omega_i \in S^{d-1}$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, m(\gamma) = m$ . We will apply the representation of the Poincaré map established in Theorem 2.3.1 and Proposition 2.3.2 in [PS17]. To do this, we recall some notations given in Section 2 of [PS17]. Let  $\Pi_i \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be the plane passing through  $q_i$  and orthogonal to the line  $q_i q_{i+1}$  and let  $\Pi'_i$  be the plane passing through  $q_i$  and orthogonal to  $\omega_{i-1}$ . For  $j = i \pmod{m}$  we set  $\Pi_j = \Pi_i$ ,  $q_j = q_i$ . Set  $\lambda_i = \|q_{i-1} - q_i\|$  and let  $\sigma_i$  be the symmetry with respect to the tangent plane  $\alpha_i = T_{q_i} \partial D$ . Clearly,

$$\sigma_i(\omega_i) = \omega_{i+1}, \quad \sigma_i(\Pi'_i) = \Pi_i, \quad \Pi_0 = \Pi_m.$$

We identify the planes  $\Pi_{i-1}$  and  $\Pi'_i$  by using a translation along the line determined by the segment  $[q_{i-1}, q_i]$  and we will write  $\sigma_i(\Pi_{i-1}) = \Pi_i$ .

We may identify  $\Pi_i \times \Pi_i$  with  $\Sigma_{z_i} = T_{z_i}(T\mathbb{R}^d)/E_{z_i}$ , where  $E_{z_i}$  is the two-dimensional space spanned by  $\omega_i$  and the cone axis at  $z_i$ . Denote  $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\text{in}} = \{(x, v) : x \in \partial D, |v| = 1, \langle v, n(x) \rangle \geq 0\}$  and define the *billiard ball map*

$$\mathbf{B} : \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\text{in}} \ni (x, v) \longmapsto (y, R_y w) \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\text{in}},$$

where  $R_y : S_y \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow S_y \mathbb{R}^d$  is the reflexion with respect to  $T_y \partial D$  and

$$(y, w) = \phi_{\tau_+(x, v)}(x, v), \quad \tau_+(x, v) = \inf\{t > 0 : \pi(\phi_t(x, v)) \in \partial D\}.$$




FIGURE 1. The map  $\Psi_i : (u, v) \mapsto (u', v')$

The map  $\mathbf{B}$  is well defined near  $K_e \cap \mathcal{D}_{\text{in}}$ . Given  $(u, v) \in \Pi_{i-1} \times \Pi_{i-1}$  sufficiently close to  $(0, 0)$ , consider the line  $\ell(u, v)$  passing through  $u$  and having direction  $\omega_{i-1} + v$  (the point  $v$  is identified with the vector  $v$ ). Then  $\ell(u, v)$  intersects  $\partial D$  at a point  $p = p(u, v)$  close to  $q_i$ . Let  $\ell'(u, v)$  be the line symmetric to  $\ell(u, v)$  with respect to the tangent plane to  $\partial D$  at  $p$  and let  $u' \in \Pi_i$  be the intersection point of  $\ell'(u, v)$  with  $\Pi_i$ . There exists a unique  $v' \in \Pi_i$  for which  $\omega_i + v'$  has the direction of  $\ell'(u, v)$ . Thus we get a map

$$\Psi_i : \Pi_{i-1} \times \Pi_{i-1} \ni (u, v) \longmapsto (u', v') \in \Pi_i \times \Pi_i$$

defined for  $(u, v)$  in a small neighborhood of  $(0, 0)$  (see Figure 1). The smoothness of the billiard ball map implies the smoothness of  $\Psi_i$ . Next consider the second fundamental form  $S(\xi, \eta) = \langle G_i(\xi), \eta \rangle$  for  $D$  at  $q_i$ , where

$$G_i = dn_j(q_i) : \alpha_i \longrightarrow \alpha_i$$

is the Gauss map. Introduce a symmetric linear map  $\tilde{\psi}_i$  on  $\Pi_i$  defined by for  $\xi, \eta \in \Pi'_i$  by

$$\langle \tilde{\psi}_i \sigma_i(\xi), \sigma_i(\eta) \rangle = -2 \langle \omega_{i-1}, n_j(q_i) \rangle \langle G_i(\pi_i(\xi)), \pi_i(\eta) \rangle,$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the scalar product in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\pi_i : \Pi'_i \longrightarrow \alpha_i$  is the projection on  $\alpha_i$  along  $\mathbb{R}\omega_{i-1}$ .

Notice that the non-eclipse condition (1.1) implies that there exists  $\beta_0 \in ]0, \pi/2[$  depending only of  $D$  such that for all incoming directions  $\omega_{i-1}$  and all reflexion points  $q_i \in \partial D_j$ , one has

$$-\langle \omega_{i-1}, n_j(q_i) \rangle = \langle \omega_i, n_j(q_i) \rangle \geq \cos \beta_0 > 0.$$

Consequently, the symmetric map  $\tilde{\psi}_i$  has spectrum included in  $[\mu_1, \mu_2]$  with  $0 < \mu_1 < \mu_2$  depending only of  $\kappa = \cos \beta_0$  and the sectional curvatures of  $\partial D$ . Finally, define the symmetric map

$$\psi_i = s_i^{-1} \tilde{\psi}_i s_i : \Pi_m \longrightarrow \Pi_m$$

with  $s_i = \sigma_i \circ \sigma_{i-1} \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_1$ . By Theorem 2.3.1 in [PS17], the map  $d\Psi_i(0, 0)$  has the form

$$d\Psi_i(0, 0) = \begin{pmatrix} I & \lambda_i I \\ \tilde{\psi}_i & I + \lambda_i \tilde{\psi}_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_i & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_i \end{pmatrix},$$

and the linearized Poincaré map  $P_\gamma$  related to  $\gamma$  is given by

$$P_\gamma = d(\Psi_m \circ \cdots \circ \Psi_1)(0, 0) : \Pi_0 \times \Pi_0 \longrightarrow \Pi_0 \times \Pi_0,$$

which implies

$$P_\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} s_m & 0 \\ 0 & s_m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & \lambda_m I \\ \psi_m & I + \lambda_m \psi_m \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} I & \lambda_1 I \\ \psi_1 & I + \lambda_1 \psi_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we repeat without changes the argument of Proposition 2.3.2 in [PS17]. For  $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$ , consider the space  $\mathcal{M}_k$  of linear symmetric non-negative definite maps  $M : \Pi_k \longrightarrow \Pi_k$ . Next, let  $\mathcal{M}_k(\varepsilon) \subset \mathcal{M}_k$  be the space of maps such that  $M \geq \varepsilon I$  with  $\varepsilon > 0$ . To study the spectrum of  $P_\gamma$ , consider the subspace

$$L_0 = \{(u, M_0 u) : u \in \Pi_0\}, \quad M_0 \in \mathcal{M}_0,$$

which is Lagrangian with respect to the natural symplectic structure on  $\Pi_0 \times \Pi_0$ . By the action of the map  $d\Psi_1(0, 0)$ , the space  $L_0$  is transformed into

$$L_1 = \{\sigma_1(I + \lambda_1 M_0)u, \sigma_1((I + \lambda_1 \psi_1)M_0 + \psi_1)u : u \in \Pi_0\} \subset \Pi_1 \times \Pi_1.$$

Introduce the operator

$$\mathcal{A}_i : \mathcal{M}_{i-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_i$$

defined by

$$\mathcal{A}_i(M) = \sigma_i M (I + \lambda_i M)^{-1} \sigma_i^{-1} + \tilde{\psi}_i.$$

Therefore we may write  $L_1 = \{(u, M_1 u) : u \in \Pi_1\}$  with  $M_1 = \mathcal{A}_1(M_0)$ . By recurrence, one defines

$$L_k = \{(u, M_k u) : u \in \Pi_k\}, \quad M_k = \mathcal{A}_k(M_{k-1}), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

The maps  $\mathcal{A}_k$  are contractions from  $\mathcal{M}_{k-1}(\varepsilon)$  to  $\mathcal{M}_k(\varepsilon)$ , and hence

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_m \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{A}_1$$

is also a contraction from  $\mathcal{M}_0(\varepsilon)$  to  $\mathcal{M}_0(\varepsilon)$ . We choose  $M_0 \in \mathcal{M}_0(\varepsilon)$  as a fixed point of  $\mathcal{A}$  and notice that  $\varepsilon > 0$  can be chosen uniformly for all periodic rays. Thus we deduce

$$P_\gamma \begin{pmatrix} u \\ M_0 u \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} S u \\ M_0 S u \end{pmatrix}$$

with a map  $S : \Pi_0 \rightarrow \Pi_0$  having the form

$$S = \sigma_m(I + \lambda_m \mathcal{A}'_{m-1}(M_0)) \circ \sigma_{m-1}(I + \lambda_{m-1} \mathcal{A}'_{m-2}(M_0)) \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_1(I + \lambda_1 M_0),$$

where  $\mathcal{A}'_k = \mathcal{A}_k \circ \mathcal{A}_{k-1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{A}_1$ . Setting

$$d_0 = \min_{i \neq j} \text{dist}(D_i, D_j) > 0, \quad d_1 = \max_{i \neq j} \text{dist}(D_i, D_j),$$

and  $\beta = \log(1 + \varepsilon d_0)$ , one obtains

$$\|Su\| \geq (1 + d_0 \varepsilon)^m \|u\| = e^{\beta m} \|u\|.$$

Obviously, the eigenvalues of  $S$  are eigenvalues of  $P_\gamma$  and we conclude that  $P_\gamma$  has  $(d-1)$  eigenvalues  $\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{d-1}$  satisfying

$$|\nu_j| \geq e^{\beta m}, \quad j = 1, \dots, d-1.$$

For  $0 < \tau < \lambda_1$ , consider a point  $\rho = \phi_\tau(z) \in \mathring{B} \cap \gamma$ , where  $z = (x, v) \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{in}}$ . The map  $\phi_\tau : \mathcal{D}_{\text{in}} \rightarrow \mathring{B}$  is smooth near  $z$  and moreover  $d\phi_\tau(z) : \Sigma_z \rightarrow \Sigma_{\phi_\tau(z)}$ . We identify  $\Pi_0 \times \Pi_0$  with  $\Sigma_z$  and  $\Sigma_{\phi_\tau(z)}$  with the image

$$d\phi_\tau(z)\Sigma_z = \begin{pmatrix} I & \tau I \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} (\Pi_0 \times \Pi_0).$$

Next we define the unstable subspace of  $\Sigma_{\phi_\tau(z)}$  as

$$E_u(\phi_\tau(z)) = d\phi_\tau(z)(L_0) = \begin{pmatrix} I & \tau I \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} (L_0).$$

Let  $0 < \tau < \lambda_1$ ,  $0 < \sigma < \lambda_{p+1}$  with  $p \geq 1$  and set  $t = -\tau + \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_j + \sigma$ . Then  $\phi_t$  is smooth near  $\rho$  and

$$d\phi_t(\rho)|_{\Sigma_\rho} = d\phi_\sigma(\mathbf{B}^p(z)) \circ d\mathbf{B}^p(z) \circ d\phi_{-\tau}(z) : \Sigma_\rho \rightarrow \Sigma_{\phi_t(\rho)}.$$

Thus we have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} E_u(\rho) & \xrightarrow{d\phi_t(\rho)} & E_u(\phi_t(\rho)) & & \\ & \downarrow d\phi_{-\tau}(\rho) & \uparrow d\phi_\sigma(\mathbf{B}^p(z)) & & \\ \Pi_0 & \xrightarrow{\chi_0} & L_0 & \xrightarrow{d\mathbf{B}^p(z)} & L_p & \xleftarrow{\chi_p} & \Pi_p, \end{array}$$

where  $\chi_0 : \Pi_0 \ni u \mapsto (u, M_0 u) \in L_0 \subset \Pi_0 \times \Pi_0$  and  $\chi_p : \Pi_p \ni u \mapsto (u, M_p u) \in L_p \subset \Pi_p \times \Pi_p$ . It is easy to obtain an estimate of the action of  $d\phi_t(\rho)|_{E_u(\rho)}$  for  $\rho = \phi_\tau(z)$ ,  $v = d\phi_\tau(z)(u, M_0 u) \in E_u(\rho)$ . Clearly,

$$d\phi_t(\rho) \cdot v = (d\phi_\sigma(\mathbf{B}^p(z)) \circ d\mathbf{B}^p(z))(u, M_0 u).$$

By the above argument we deduce

$$d\mathbf{B}^p(z)(u, M_0 u) = (S_p u, M_p S_p u) \in L_p$$



with

$$S_p = \sigma_p(I + \lambda_p \mathcal{A}'_{p-1}(M_0)) \circ \sigma_{p-1}(I + \lambda_{p-1} \mathcal{A}'_{p-2}(M_0)) \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_1(I + \lambda_1 M_0).$$

Setting  $\beta_0 = \beta/d_1$  and  $w = (u, M_0 u) = d\phi_{-\tau}(\rho) \cdot v$ , we have

$$\|d\mathbf{B}^p(z) \cdot w\| = \|(S_p u, M_p S_p u)\| \geq \|S_p u\| \geq e^{\frac{\beta}{d_1} p d_1} \|u\| \geq e^{\beta_0(t+\tau-\sigma)} \|u\|,$$

hence we get

$$\|d\mathbf{B}^p(z) \cdot w\| \leq C_0 e^{-\beta_0 d_1} e^{\beta_0 t} \|w\| = C_0 e^{-\beta_0 d_1} e^{\beta_0 t} \|d\phi_{-\tau}(\rho)v\|. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Here we used the estimate

$$\|w\| = \left( \|u\|^2 + \|M_0 u\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq (1 + B_0^2)^{1/2} \|u\|$$

with  $\|M_0\|_{\Pi_0 \rightarrow \Pi_0} \leq B_0$  and we set  $C_0 = (1 + B_0^2)^{-1/2}$ . The constant  $B_0$  can be chosen uniformly for all  $M_k$  and all periodic points since for every non-negative symmetric map  $M$  one has

$$\|M(I + \lambda_k M)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \leq \frac{1}{d_0},$$

and the norms  $\|\tilde{\psi}_k\|$  are uniformly bounded by a constant depending on the sectional curvatures and  $\kappa > 0$ . Consequently,

$$\|\mathcal{A}_k(M)\| \leq B_0, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

the same is true for the fixed point  $M_0 = \mathcal{A}_m(M_{m-1})$  and the estimate (A.3) is uniform for all periodic points. Finally, estimating the norm of  $d\phi_{-\sigma}(\mathbf{B}^p(z)) =$

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & -\sigma I \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}, \text{ we obtain } \|d\phi_{\sigma}(\mathbf{B}^p(z))\zeta\| \geq (1 + d_1)^{-1} \|\zeta\| \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|d\phi_t(\rho)v\| &\geq (1 + d_1)^{-1} C_0 e^{-\beta_0 d_1} e^{\beta_0 t} \|d\phi_{-\tau}(\rho)v\| \\ &\geq (1 + d_1)^{-2} C_0 e^{-\beta_0 d_1} e^{\beta_0 t} \|v\|. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to treat the case  $\rho = \phi_{\tau}(z)$ ,  $z \in \mathcal{D}_{in}$ ,  $0 < t = \tau + \sigma < \lambda_1$ . Then  $\phi_t(\rho) = \phi_{\tau+\sigma}(z) \in \dot{B} \cap \gamma$  and we obtain easily an estimate for  $\|(d\phi_{\tau+\sigma}(z)) \cdot v\|$ .

Our case is a partial one of a more general setting (see [LW94]) concerning Lagrangian spaces  $\{(u, Mu)\}$  with positive definite linear maps  $M$ . Such spaces are called positive Lagrangian. A linear symplectic map  $L$  is called monotone if it maps positive Lagrangian onto positive Lagrangian. In [LW94] it is proved that any monotone symplectic map is a contraction on the manifold of positive Lagrangian spaces. After a suitable conjugation the map  $L$  has the representation (see Proposition 3 in [LW94])

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} A^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & A^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & R \\ P & I + PR \end{pmatrix}$$

with positive definite matrices  $P, R$ . In our situation we have  $A = I, R = \lambda_i I, P = \psi_i$ .

To determine the stable space  $E_s(z)$  at  $z$ , we will study the flow  $\phi_t$  for  $t < 0$  and repeat the above argument leading to a fixed point. The linear map  $P_\gamma^{-1}$  for a periodic ray  $\gamma$  with  $m$  reflexions has the representation

$$P_\gamma^{-1} = (d\Psi_1)^{-1} \circ \dots \circ (d\Psi_m)^{-1} : \Pi_0 \times \Pi_0 \longrightarrow \Pi_0 \times \Pi_0,$$

where

$$(d\Psi_k)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_k^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_k^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I + \lambda_k \psi_k & -\lambda_k I \\ -\psi_k & I \end{pmatrix}.$$

Recall that  $\Pi_0 = \Pi_m$ . Consider a Lagrangian  $Q_0 = Q_m = \{(u, -N_m u) : u \in \Pi_0\}$  with a symmetric non-negative definite map  $N_m \in \mathcal{M}_0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (d\Psi_m)^{-1} Q_m &= \{(\sigma_m^{-1}(I + \lambda_m(\psi_m + N_m))u, -\sigma_m^{-1}(\psi_m + N_m)u) : u \in \Pi_0\} \\ &= \{(u, -N_{m-1}u) : u \in \Pi_{m-1}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$N_{m-1} = \sigma_m^{-1}(\psi_m + N_m) \left( I + \lambda_m(\psi_m + N_m) \right)^{-1} \sigma_m : \Pi_{m-1} \longrightarrow \Pi_{m-1}.$$

By recurrence, introduce the Lagrangian spaces

$$Q_k = \{(u, -N_k u) : u \in \Pi_k\}, \quad N_k = \mathcal{B}_k(N_{k+1}), \quad k = 0, \dots, m-1,$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}_k(M) = \sigma_{k+1}^{-1}(\psi_{k+1} + M) \left( I + \lambda_{k+1}(\psi_{k+1} + M) \right)^{-1} \sigma_{k+1} : \Pi_k \longrightarrow \Pi_k.$$

It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{B}_k$  are contractions from  $\mathcal{M}_{k+1}(\varepsilon)$  to  $\mathcal{M}_k(\varepsilon)$  since

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{k+1} \left( \mathcal{B}_k(M_1) - \mathcal{B}_k(M_2) \right) \sigma_{k+1}^{-1} \\ = (I + \lambda_{k+1}(\psi_{k+1} + M_1))^{-1} (M_1 - M_2) (I + \lambda_{k+1}(\psi_{k+1} + M_2))^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_0 \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{B}_{m-1}$  will be contraction from  $\mathcal{M}_0(\varepsilon)$  to  $\mathcal{M}_0(\varepsilon)$  and there exists a fixed point  $N_m \in \mathcal{M}_0(\varepsilon)$  of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Moreover,

$$P_\gamma^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ -N_m u \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{S}u \\ -N_m \tilde{S}u \end{pmatrix}, \quad u \in \Pi_0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S} &= \sigma_1^{-1}(I + \lambda_1(\psi_1 + \mathcal{B}'_1(N_m))) \circ \sigma_2^{-1}(I + \lambda_2(\psi_2 + \mathcal{B}'_2(N_m))) \\ &\quad \circ \dots \circ \sigma_m^{-1}(I + \lambda_m(\psi_m + N_m)) \end{aligned}$$

and  $\mathcal{B}'_k = \mathcal{B}_k \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{B}_{m-1}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, m-1$ . Clearly,

$$\|\tilde{S}u\| \geq (1 + d_0\varepsilon)^m \|u\|, \quad u \in \Pi_0,$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$  depends of the sectional curvatures of  $D$ . Thus the stable manifold at  $\phi_\sigma(z)$ ,  $-\lambda_{m-1} < \sigma < 0$  can be defined as  $E_s(\phi_\sigma(z)) = d\phi_\sigma(z)(Q_m)$  and we may repeat the above argument for the estimate of  $d\phi_t(\phi_\sigma(z))$  acting on  $E_s(\phi_\sigma(z))$  for  $t < 0$ .

The intersection of the unstable and stable manifolds at  $y = \phi_t(z)$ ,  $0 < t < \lambda_p$  is  $(0, 0)$ . Indeed, we have

$$E_u(y) = d\phi_t(z)(L_{p-1}), \quad E_s(y) = d\phi_{t-\lambda_p}(\phi_{\lambda_p}(z))(Q_p),$$

where  $L_{p-1} = \{(u, M_{p-1}u) : u \in \Pi_{p-1} \times \Pi_{p-1}\}$  and  $Q_p = \{(-u, -N_p u) : u \in \Pi_p \times \Pi_p\}$ . Assume that  $E_u(y) \cap E_s(y) \neq (0, 0)$ . Then there exists  $0 \neq v \in L_{p-1} \cap d\phi_{-\lambda_p}(\phi_{\lambda_p}(z))(Q_p)$ . By the above argument  $d\phi_{-\lambda_p}(\phi_{\lambda_p}(z))(Q_p) = \{(u, -N_{p-1}u) : u \in \Pi_{p-1} \times \Pi_{p-1}\}$ . This implies the existence of  $u \neq 0$  for which  $(M_{p-1} + N_{p-1})u = 0$  which is impossible since  $M_{p-1} + N_{p-1}$  is a definite positive map. Consequently,  $E_u(y)$  and  $E_s(y)$  are transversal subspaces of dimension  $d - 1$  of  $\Sigma_y$  and we have a direct sum  $\Sigma_y = E_u(y) \oplus E_s(y)$ .

Now we pass to the estimates of  $d\phi_t(z)|_{E_u(z)}$ , where  $z \in \mathring{B} \cap K_e$  is not a periodic point. Since  $z \in K_e$ , the trajectory  $\gamma = \{\phi_t(z) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$  has infinite number successive reflection points  $q_k \in \partial D_{i_k}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , with an infinite sequence

$$J_0 = (i_j)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}, \quad i_j \neq i_{j+1}.$$

For every  $p \geq p_0 \gg 1$  define the configuration

$$\alpha_p = \begin{cases} (i_{-p}, \dots, i_0, \dots, i_p) & \text{if } i_p \neq i_{-p}, \\ (i_{-p}, \dots, i_0, \dots, i_{p+1}) & \text{if } i_p = i_{-p}. \end{cases}$$

Repeating  $\alpha_p$  infinite times, one obtains an infinite configuration and following the arguments of the proof of Proposition 10.3.2 in [PS17], there exists a periodic ray  $\gamma_p$  following this configuration. Thus we obtain a sequence of periodic rays  $(\gamma_{p_0+k})_{k \geq 0}$ . Let  $\{q_{p,k} \in \partial D_{i_k}\}$  be the reflexion points of  $\gamma_p$ . For the periodic ray  $\gamma_p$  passing through  $q_{p,0} \in \partial D_{i_0}$  consider the linear space

$$L_{p,0} = \{(u, M_{p,0}u) : u \in \Pi_{p,0}\} \subset \Pi_{p,0} \times \Pi_{p,0}.$$

Our purpose is to show that the symmetric linear maps  $M_{p,0} \in \mathcal{M}_{p,0}(\varepsilon)$  composed by some unitary maps converge as  $p \rightarrow \infty$  to a symmetric linear map  $\widetilde{M}_0 \in \mathcal{M}_0(\varepsilon)$  on  $\Pi_0$ . This composition is necessary since the maps  $M_{p,0}$ ,  $p \geq p_0$ , are defined on different spaces. To do this, we will use Lemmas 10.2.1, 10.4.1 and 10.4.2 in [PS17]. Consider the rays  $\gamma_{p_0+q}$ ,  $q \geq 1$ , and  $\gamma$ . These rays have reflection points passing successively through the obstacles

$$L' = D_{i_{-p_0-1}}, D_{i_{-p_0}}, \dots, D_{i_0}, \dots, D_{i_{p_0}}, D_{i_{p_0+1}} = L''.$$

According to Lemma 10.2.1 in [PS17], there exist uniform constants  $C > 0$  and  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  such that for any  $|k| \leq p_0$  and  $j = 1, \dots, q$ , one has

$$\|q_{p_0+1,k} - q_{p_0+j,k}\| \leq C(\delta^{p_0+k} + \delta^{p_0-k}), \quad \|q_{p_0+j,k} - q_k\| \leq C(\delta^{p_0+k} + \delta^{p_0-k}).$$

We need to introduce some notations from Section 10.4 in [PS17]. Let  $x \in \partial D_i$  and  $y \in \partial D_j$  with  $i \neq j$ , and assume that the segment  $[x, y]$  is transversal to both  $\partial D_i$  and  $\partial D_j$ . Let  $\Pi$  be the plane orthogonal to  $[x, y]$ , passing through  $x$ . Let  $e = (x - y)/\|x - y\|$ , and introduce the projection  $\pi : \Pi \rightarrow T_x(\partial D)$  along the vector  $e$ . As above, we define the symmetric linear map  $\tilde{\psi} : \Pi \rightarrow \Pi$  by

$$\langle \tilde{\psi}(u), u \rangle = 2\langle e, n(x) \rangle \langle G_x(\pi(u)), \pi(u) \rangle, \quad u \in \Pi,$$

and notice that

$$\text{spec } \tilde{\psi} \subset [\mu_1, \mu_2], \quad 0 < \mu_1 < \mu_2.$$

Setting  $D_0 = 2C$ , we have the estimates

$$\|q_{p_0+j,k} - q_k\| \leq D_0 \delta^{p_0+k}, \quad k = -p_0 + 1, \dots, 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, q.$$

Fix  $1 \leq j \leq q$  and introduce the vectors

$$e_k = \frac{q_{k+1} - q_k}{\|q_{k+1} - q_k\|}, \quad e'_k = \frac{q_{p_0+j,k+1} - q_{p_0+j,k}}{\|q_{p_0+j,k+1} - q_{p_0+j,k}\|}.$$

Consider the maps  $\tilde{\psi}_k : \Pi_k \rightarrow \Pi_k$  and  $\tilde{\psi}'_k : \Pi'_k \rightarrow \Pi'_k$  related to the segments  $[q_{k-1}, q_k]$  and  $[q_{p_0+j,k-1}, q_{p_0+j,k}]$ , respectively. Let  $M_{-p_0+1} : \Pi_{-p_0+1} \rightarrow \Pi_{-p_0+1}$  and  $M'_{-p_0+j} : \Pi'_{-p_0+j} \rightarrow \Pi'_{-p_0+j}$  be symmetric non-negative definite linear operators. By induction, define

$$M_k = \sigma_k M_{k-1} (I + \lambda_k M_{k-1})^{-1} \sigma_k + \tilde{\psi}_k, \quad k = -p_0 + 2, \dots, 0,$$

where  $\lambda_k = \|q_{k-1} - q_k\|$  and  $\sigma_k$  is the symmetry with respect to  $T_{q_k} \partial D$ . Similarly, we define  $M'_k$ ,  $k = -p_0 + 2, \dots, 0$ , replacing  $\tilde{\psi}_k$ ,  $\lambda_k$  and  $\sigma_k$  by  $\tilde{\psi}'_k$ ,  $\lambda_{p_0+j,k} = \|q_{p_0+j,k-1} - q_{p_0+j,k}\|$  and  $\sigma'_k$ , respectively. Next, introduce the constants

$$b = (1 + 2\mu_1 \kappa d_0)^{-1} < 1, \quad a_1 = \max\{\delta, b\} < 1,$$

where  $d_0 > 0$  and  $\kappa > 0$  were defined above. We choose  $M_{-p_0+1}$  so that  $\|M_{-p_0+1}\| \leq B_0$  and by induction one deduces  $\|M_k\| \leq B_0$ . Here  $B_0 > 0$  is the constant in (A.3). We have uniform estimates

$$\|M_k\| \leq B_0, \quad \|M'_k\| \leq B_0, \quad k = -p_0 + 1, \dots, 0. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Applying Lemma 10.4.1 in [PS17], there exists a linear isometry  $A_k : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $A_k(\Pi'_k) = \Pi_k$ , and  $A_k$  satisfies the estimates

$$\|A_k - I\| \leq C_1 D_0 (1 + \delta) \delta^k, \quad \|\tilde{\psi}_k - A_k \tilde{\psi}'_k A_k^{-1}\| \leq C_2 D_0 (1 + \delta) \delta^k, \quad (\text{A.5})$$

for any  $k = -p_0 + 1, \dots, 0$ . Now we are in position to apply Lemma 10.4.2 in [PS17] saying that with some constant  $E > 0$ , depending only on  $D$ ,  $\kappa$ ,  $\delta$  and  $b$ , for  $k = -p_0 + 1, \dots, 0$  we have

$$\|M_k - A_k M'_k A_k^{-1}\| \leq D_0 E a_1^{p_0+k} + b^{2(k+p_0-1)} \|M_{-p_0+1} - A_{-p_0+1} M'_{-p_0+1} A_{-p_0+1}^{-1}\|. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

The norm of the second term on the right hand side is bounded by  $2B_0b^{2(k+p_0-1)}$  and for  $k = 0$  one gets

$$\|M_0 - A_0M'_0A_0^{-1}\| \leq D_0Ea_1^{p_0} + 2B_0b^{2(p_0-1)}.$$

Applying the above estimate for the rays  $\gamma_{p_0+q}$ , the maps  $M'_0, A_0$  will depend on the ray  $\gamma_{p_0+q}$  and for this reason we denote them by  $M'_{q,0}, A_{q,0}$ . Now we use these estimates for the maps  $M'_{q,0}, M'_{q',0}$  related to the rays  $\gamma_{p_0+q}$  and  $\gamma_{p_0+q'}$  and by the triangle inequality one deduces

$$\|A_{q,0}M'_{q,0}A_{q,0}^{-1} - A_{q',0}M'_{q',0}A_{q',0}^{-1}\| \leq 2D_0Ea_1^{p_0} + 4B_0b^{2(p_0-1)}. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Here  $A_{q,0}(\Pi'_{q,0}) = \Pi_0$  and  $A_{q',0}(\Pi'_{q',0}) = \Pi_0$  are some isometries satisfying the estimates (A.5). Clearly, one obtain a Cauchy sequence  $(A_{q,0}M'_{q,0}A_{q,0}^{-1})_{q \geq 1}$  which converges to a symmetric non-negative linear map  $\widetilde{M}_0$  in  $\Pi_0$ . Moreover, if for every  $q$  we have  $M'_{q,0} \geq \varepsilon I$ , then  $\widetilde{M}_0 \geq \varepsilon I$ .

After this preparation we define the unstable manifold at  $\phi_t(z_0)$  for some  $0 < \tau < \|q_1 - q_0\|$  as the subspace

$$E_u(\phi_\tau(z)) = d\phi_\tau(z)\{(u, \widetilde{M}_0u) \in \Pi_0 \times \Pi_0 : u \in \Pi_0\} \subset \Sigma_{\phi_\tau(z)}.$$

It is important to note that the procedure leading to the estimate (A.6) can be repeated starting with  $\widetilde{M}_0$  instead of  $M_{-p_0+1}$ . Then if  $\widetilde{M}_k$  are the maps obtained from  $\widetilde{M}_0$  after successive reflexions, we obtain an estimate

$$\|\widetilde{M}_k - A_kM'_kA_k^{-1}\| \leq D_0Ea_1^{p_0+k} + b^{2(k+p_0-1)}\|\widetilde{M}_0 - A_0\widetilde{M}'_0A_0^{-1}\|$$

for  $k = 1, \dots, p_0/2$ .

We can repeat the above argument for  $\rho = \phi_\tau(z)$ ,  $v \in E_u(\rho)$ , and  $t = -\tau + \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_j + \sigma$ , where  $0 < \tau < \lambda_1$  and  $0 < \sigma < \lambda_{p+1}$ , to estimate  $\|d\phi_t(\rho) \cdot v\|$ . We apply (5) with the expansion map  $\widetilde{S}_p$  defined as the composition of the maps  $(I + \lambda_k \mathcal{A}'_{k-1}(\widetilde{M}_0))$  and we get (A.4). Finally, the construction of the stable space  $E_s(\phi_\sigma(z))$ ,  $-\|q_{-1} - q_0\| < \sigma < 0$  can be obtained by a similar argument and we omit the details.

## REFERENCES

- [Bal18] Viviane Baladi. *Dynamical zeta functions and dynamical determinants for hyperbolic maps*. Springer, 2018.
- [BCST03] Péter Bálint, Nikolai Chernov, Domokos Szász, and Imre Péter Tóth. Geometry of multi-dimensional dispersing billiards. *Astérisque*, (286):xviii, 119–150, 2003. Geometric methods in dynamics. I.
- [BGR82] C. Bardos, J.-C. Guillot, and J. Ralston. La relation de Poisson pour l'équation des ondes dans un ouvert non borné. Application à la théorie de la diffusion. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 7(8):905–958, 1982.

- [BJ20] Yannick Guedes Bonthonneau and Malo Jézéquel. Fbi transform in gevrey classes and anosov flows. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.03610*, 2020.
- [BR75] Rufus Bowen and David Ruelle. The ergodic theory of Axiom A flows. *Invent. Math.*, 29(3):181–202, 1975.
- [BSW21] Sonja Barkhofen, Philipp Schütte, and Tobias Weich. Meromorphic continuation of weighted zeta functions on open hyperbolic systems. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.05791*, 2021.
- [BWP<sup>+</sup>13] Sonja Barkhofen, Tobias Weich, Alexander Potzuweit, H-J Stöckmann, Ulrich Kuhl, and Maciej Zworski. Experimental observation of the spectral gap in microwave n-disk systems. *Physical review letters*, 110(16):164102, 2013.
- [CE71] Charles Conley and Robert Easton. Isolated invariant sets and isolating blocks. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 158(1):35–61, 1971.
- [CM06] Nikolai Chernov and Roberto Markarian. *Chaotic billiards*. Number 127. American Mathematical Soc., 2006.
- [CVW97] Predrag Cvitanović, Gábor Vattay, and Andreas Wirzba. Quantum fluids and classical determinants. In *Classical, Semiclassical and Quantum Dynamics in Atoms*, pages 29–62. Springer, 1997.
- [DG16] Semyon Dyatlov and Colin Guillarmou. Pollicott–Ruelle resonances for open systems. In *Annales Henri Poincaré*, volume 17, pages 3089–3146. Springer, 2016.
- [DZ16] Semyon Dyatlov and Maciej Zworski. Dynamical zeta functions for Anosov flows via microlocal analysis. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 49(3):543–577, 2016.
- [DZ19] S. Dyatlov and M. Zworski. *Mathematical Theory of Scattering Resonances*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, 2019.
- [Fri95] David Fried. Meromorphic zeta functions for analytic flows. *Communications in mathematical physics*, 174(1):161–190, 1995.
- [FT17] Frédéric Faure and Masato Tsujii. The semiclassical zeta function for geodesic flows on negatively curved manifolds. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 208(3):851–998, 2017.
- [GL08] Sébastien Gouëzel and Carlangelo Liverani. Compact locally maximal hyperbolic sets for smooth maps: fine statistical properties. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 79(3):433–477, 2008.
- [GLP13] Paolo Giulietti, Carlangelo Liverani, and Mark Pollicott. Anosov flows and dynamical zeta functions. *Annals of Mathematics*, pages 687–773, 2013.
- [GM79] Victor Guillemin and Richard Melrose. The Poisson summation formula for manifolds with boundary. *Adv. in Math.*, 32(3):204–232, 1979.
- [GMT21] Colin Guillarmou, Marco Mazzucchelli, and Leo Tzou. Boundary and lens rigidity for non-convex manifolds. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 143(2):533–575, 2021.
- [Gui77] Victor Guillemin. Lectures on spectral theory of elliptic operators. *Duke Math. J.*, 44(3):485–517, 09 1977.
- [Hör90] L. Hörmander. *The analysis of linear partial differential operators: Distribution theory and Fourier analysis*. Springer Study Edition. Springer-Verlag, 1990.
- [Ika88] Mitsuru Ikawa. On the existence of poles of the scattering matrix for several convex bodies. *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, 64(4):91–93, 1988.
- [Ika90a] Mitsuru Ikawa. On the distribution of poles of the scattering matrix for several convex bodies. In *Functional-analytic methods for partial differential equations*

- (Tokyo, 1989), volume 1450 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 210–225. Springer, Berlin, 1990.
- [Ika90b] Mitsuru Ikawa. Singular perturbation of symbolic flows and poles of the zeta functions. *Osaka J. Math.*, 27(2):281–300, 1990.
- [Ika92] Mitsuru Ikawa. Singular perturbation of symbolic flows and poles of the zeta functions, addendum. *Osaka J. Math.*, 29:161–174, 1992.
- [KSW21] Benjamin Küster, Philipp Schütte, and Tobias Weich. Resonances and weighted zeta functions for obstacle scattering via smooth models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.05907*, 2021.
- [LP89] Peter D. Lax and Ralph S. Phillips. *Scattering theory*, volume 26 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, second edition, 1989. With appendices by Cathleen S. Morawetz and Georg Schmidt.
- [LW94] Carlangelo Liverani and Maciej P. Wojtkowski. Generalization of the Hilbert metric to the space of positive definite matrices. *Pacific J. Math.*, 166(2):339–355, 1994.
- [LZ02] Kevin K Lin and Maciej Zworski. Quantum resonances in chaotic scattering. *Chemical physics letters*, 355(1-2):201–205, 2002.
- [Mel82] Richard Melrose. Scattering theory and the trace of the wave group. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 45(1):29–40, 1982.
- [Mor91] Takehiko Morita. The symbolic representation of billiards without boundary condition. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 325(2):819–828, 1991.
- [Per08] Oskar Perron. Zur Theorie der Dirichletschen Reihen. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 134:95–143, 1908.
- [Pet99] Vesselin Petkov. Analytic singularities of the dynamical zeta function. *Nonlinearity*, 12(6):1663–1681, 1999.
- [Pet02] Vesselin Petkov. Lower bounds on the number of scattering poles for several strictly convex obstacles. *Asymptot. Anal.*, 30(1):81–91, 2002.
- [Pet08] Vesselin Petkov. Dynamical zeta function for several strictly convex obstacles. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 51(1):100–113, 2008.
- [PS17] Vesselin M. Petkov and Luchezar N. Stoyanov. *Geometry of the generalized geodesic flow and inverse spectral problems*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, second edition, 2017.
- [PWB<sup>+</sup>12] Alexander Potzuweit, Tobias Weich, Sonja Barkhofen, Ulrich Kuhl, H-J Stöckmann, and Maciej Zworski. Weyl asymptotics: from closed to open systems. *Physical Review E*, 86(6):066205, 2012.
- [Sjö97] Johannes Sjöstrand. A trace formula and review of some estimates for resonances. In *Microlocal analysis and spectral theory (Lucca, 1996)*, volume 490 of *NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C: Math. Phys. Sci.*, pages 377–437. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1997.
- [Sto01] Luchezar Stoyanov. Spectrum of the Ruelle operator and exponential decay of correlations for open billiard flows. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 123(4):715–759, 2001.
- [Sto09] Luchezar Stoyanov. Scattering resonances for several small convex bodies and the lax-phillips conjecture. *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.*, 199(933):vi.+ 76, 2009.
- [Sto12] Luchezar Stoyanov. Non-integrability of open billiard flows and Dolgopyat-type estimates. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 32(1):295–313, 2012.

- [Wir99] Andreas Wirzba. Quantum mechanics and semiclassics of hyperbolic n-disk scattering systems. *Physics Reports*, 309(1-2):1–116, 1999.
- [Zwo97] Maciej Zworski. Poisson formulae for resonances. In *Séminaire sur les Équations aux Dérivées Partielles, 1996–1997*, pages Exp. No. XIII, 14. École Polytech., Palaiseau, 1997.
- [Zwo98] Maciej Zworski. Poisson formula for resonances in even dimensions. *Asian J. Math.*, 2(3):609–617, 1998.

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES D'ORSAY, FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES D'ORSAY, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-SACLAY, 91405 ORSAY, FRANCE

*Email address:* `yann.chaubet@universite-paris-saclay.fr`

UNIVERSITÉ DE BORDEAUX, INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE BORDEAUX, 351, COURS DE LA LIBÉRATION, 33405 TALENCE, FRANCE

*Email address:* `petkov@math.u-bordeaux.fr`