A TASTE OF TWISTED BRIN–THOMPSON GROUPS

MATTHEW C. B. ZAREMSKY

ABSTRACT. This note serves as a short and reader-friendly introduction to twisted Brin– Thompson groups, which were recently constructed by Belk and the author to provide a family of simple groups with a variety of interesting properties. Most notably, twisted Brin–Thompson groups can be used to show that every finitely generated group quasiisometrically embeds as a subgroup of a finitely generated simple group. Another important application is a concrete construction of a family of simple groups with arbitrary finiteness length. In addition to giving a concise introduction to the groups and these applications, we also prove here a strengthening of one of the results from the original paper. Namely, we prove that any finitely presented group acting faithfully and oligomorphically on a set, with finitely generated stabilizers of finite subsets, embeds in a finitely presented simple group. We believe this could potentially lead to future progress on resolving the Boone–Higman Conjecture for certain groups.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Brin-Thompson groups sV ($s \in \mathbb{N}$), also called higher-dimensional Thompson groups, were originally introduced by Brin in [Bri04]. They serve as a nice, natural infinite family of finitely presented infinite simple groups, generalizing the classical Thompson's group V = 1V. The fact that they are all finitely generated and simple was proved by Brin [Bri04, Bri10], and finite presentability was shown by Hennig-Matucci [HM12]. Since their introduction, these groups have turned out to have an array of remarkable properties. For instance, while V = 1V has decidable torsion problem, Belk-Bleak showed that none of the other sV do [BB17]. Also, Belk-Bleak-Matucci proved that every right-angled Artin group (RAAG) embeds into some sV [BBM20], and very recently Salo showed that every RAAG already embeds into 2V [Sal]. This is striking, since very few RAAGs embed into V, by virtue of V not containing $\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}^2$ [BSD13]. Another important result, due to Matte Bon, is that mV embeds into nV if and only if $m \leq n$ [MB]. Work has also been done to understand the metric properties of sV [BC10] and higher finiteness properties [KMPN13, FMWZ13]; in particular it turns out every sV is of type F_{∞} .

In [BZ], Jim Belk and the author introduced "twisted" variants of the Brin–Thompson groups. Given $G \leq \text{Symm}(\{1, \ldots, s\})$, the version of sV twisted by G is denoted sV_G . More generally, given any set S and any $G \leq \text{Symm}(S)$, one gets a twisted Brin–Thompson group SV_G . The idea is, sV acts on the direct product \mathfrak{C}^s of s many copies of the Cantor set \mathfrak{C} , and in sV_G one allows G to shuffle these copies around, potentially in different ways in

Date: January 4, 2022.

Key words and phrases. Thompson group, Brin–Thompson group, simple group, quasi-isometric embedding, finiteness properties, oligomorphic, Boone–Higman conjecture.

different regions of \mathfrak{C}^s . When using an infinite set S, the Brin–Thompson group SV acts on the product space \mathfrak{C}^S , and the twisted version SV_G allows G to shuffle things in \mathfrak{C}^S around (potentially in different ways in different regions) via its action on S. More precise details will be given in Section 2.

The SV_G turn out to have a number of important properties, in particular they are always simple. Finite simple groups are, quite famously, classified, but infinite simple groups remain extremely mysterious. Since any sort of classification of infinite simple groups is way beyond the scope of current mathematics, an active current direction of research is to find new examples of simple groups with interesting or unexpected properties. Just to highlight a few recent examples: Lodha found a finitely presented simple group that acts by homeomorphisms on the circle, but not by C^1 -diffeomorphisms or piecewise linear homeomorphisms [Lod19], Hyde and Lodha found examples of finitely generated leftorderable simple groups [HL19], and Matte Bon and Triestino found further such examples with additional interesting properties [MBT20], e.g., they admit no infinite subgroups with property (T).

Perhaps the most striking application of twisted Brin–Thompson groups is that if G and SV_G are finitely generated, then G embeds quasi-isometrically as a subgroup of SV_G . This proves:

Theorem. [BZ] Every finitely generated group quasi-isometrically embeds as a subgroup of a finitely generated simple group.

This strengthens a result of Bridson [Bri98], and provides a geometric version of the classical result due to Hall that every finitely generated group embeds in a finitely generated simple group [Hal74]. This theorem was also proved independently, through completely different means, by Darbinyan and Steenbock [DS].

Another application of twisted Brin–Thompson groups is that they provide a family of simple groups with arbitrary finiteness length. Recall that a group is of type F_n if it acts geometrically (that is, properly and cocompactly) on an (n-1)-connected CW-complex. In a sense, type F_n is a group theoretic analogue of the topological property of being (n-1)-connected. In particular, type F_1 is equivalent to finite generation, and type F_2 is equivalent to finite presentability. Equivalently, a group is of type F_n if and only if it admits a classifying space with finite *n*-skeleton. We also say type F_{∞} to mean type F_n for all *n*, and note that every group is of type F_0 . The finiteness length of a group is the largest $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}$ such that the group is of type F_n .

In [SWZ19], Skipper, Witzel, and the author constructed a family of simple groups with arbitrary finiteness length; these were the first such examples known for finiteness lengths other than 0, 1, and ∞ . These examples came from so called Röver–Nekrashevych groups, and rely on utilizing very specific constructions of self-similar groups. Twisted Brin–Thompson groups provide another such family, this time without relying on self-similar groups, thus recovering the following:

Theorem. [SWZ19] For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a simple group of type F_{n-1} but not type F_n .

The third main application of twisted Brin–Thompson groups done in [BZ] was to produce examples of simple groups of type F_{∞} containing every right-angled Artin group. A right-angled Artin group (RAAG) is a group definable by a finite presentation in which every defining relator is a commutator of two generators. Subsequently, Salo proved in [Sal] that in fact every RAAG already embeds into 2V, which is a much easier group to deal with than the twisted Brin–Thompson group examples given in [BZ]. However, the construction in [BZ] can also be used to produce simple groups with arbitrary finiteness length containing every RAAG:

Corollary 3.3. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a simple group of type F_{n-1} but not type F_n into which every RAAG embeds as a subgroup.

This was not explicitly stated in [BZ], but follows easily from the results there, as we will explain in Section 3.

In the coming sections we recall the construction of the groups SV_G , discuss the embedding results from [BZ], and the results about finiteness properties. We also prove a strengthening of a result from [BZ], which is the main result of this note:

Theorem 4.2. Let G be a finitely presented group acting faithfully and oligomorphically on a set S. Suppose the stabilizer in G of any finite subset of S is finitely generated. Then G embeds as a subgroup of a finitely presented simple group, namely the twisted Brin-Thompson group SV_G .

Here an action is *oligomorphic* if there are finitely many orbits of k-element subsets, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. To be precise, this strengthens the n = 2 case of [BZ, Theorem D] by only requiring the stabilizers to be finitely generated, not necessarily finitely presented. This in particular proves that such a G (and indeed any of its finitely generated subgroups) must have decidable word problem, being as it is embeddable in a finitely presented simple group, a fact also confirmed directly by James Hyde [Hyd]. We believe that trying to embed a given group into a finitely presented group admitting such an action is "easier" than trying to embed it directly into a finitely presented simple group, so this reduction could have applications toward resolving the Boone–Higman Conjecture for certain groups (see Conjecture 4.3).

Acknowledgments. Thanks are due to Jim Belk for helpful discussions. The author is supported by grant #635763 from the Simons Foundation.

2. The construction of the groups

The easiest way to understand the twisted Brin–Thompson groups SV_G is to focus on the case when S is finite, and extrapolate to infinite S by analogy. Thus, let us first focus on the case when S is finite, and let us also first assume there is no twisting (so, the situation Brin first considered in [Bri04]).

2.1. Finite S case, no twisting. Let $S = \{1, \ldots, s\}$, let $\mathfrak{C} = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be the Cantor set (with the usual product topology), and let \mathfrak{C}^s be the product of s many copies of \mathfrak{C} . Note that an element of \mathfrak{C} is an infinite string κ of 0s and 1s. Also denote by $\{0, 1\}^*$ the set of all finite strings of 0s and 1s, including the empty string \emptyset . Given an s-tuple $\psi = (\psi(1), \ldots, \psi(s))$ with $\psi(i) \in \{0, 1\}^*$ (we write $\psi(i)$ instead of ψ_i for the sake of future notational clarity), denote by $B(\psi)$ the *cone* on ψ (also called "dyadic brick" in [BZ]), that is, the subset of \mathfrak{C}^s given by

$$B(\psi) := \{ (\psi(1)\kappa(1), \dots, \psi(s)\kappa(s)) \mid \kappa(1), \dots, \kappa(s) \in \mathfrak{C} \}.$$

For example, B(0,1) consists of all pairs (κ, κ') such that κ starts with 0 and κ' starts with 1. Note that the cone $B(\psi)$ is canonically homeomorphic to \mathfrak{C}^s , via the *canonical* homeomorphism $h_{\psi} \colon \mathfrak{C}^s \to B(\psi)$ defined via

$$h_{\psi} \colon (\kappa(1), \dots, \kappa(s)) \mapsto (\psi(1)\kappa(1), \dots, \psi(s)\kappa(s)).$$

Now let us consider partitions of \mathfrak{C}^s into finitely many cones, for example $\{B(0, \emptyset), B(1, 0), B(1, 1)\}$ is a partition of \mathfrak{C}^2 into three cones. Suppose we have a partition $\{B(\varphi_1), \ldots, B(\varphi_n)\}$ of \mathfrak{C}^s into finitely many cones, and another partition $\{B(\psi_1), \ldots, B(\psi_n)\}$ of \mathfrak{C}^s into the same number of cones. Then we can define a homeomorphism $\mathfrak{C}^s \to \mathfrak{C}^s$, by sending each $B(\varphi_i)$ to $B(\psi_i)$ via a *prefix replacement*, i.e., by first applying $h_{\varphi_i}^{-1} \colon B(\varphi_i) \to \mathfrak{C}^s$ and then $h_{\psi_i} \colon \mathfrak{C}^s \to B(\psi_i)$. The reason for the name "prefix replacement" is that this sends the element $(\varphi_i(1)\kappa(1), \ldots, \varphi_i(s)\kappa(s))$ to $(\psi_i(1)\kappa(1), \ldots, \psi_i(s)\kappa(s))$, i.e., it replaces each prefix $\varphi_i(j)$ with $\psi_i(j)$.

Definition 2.1 (Brin–Thompson group). The set of all homeomorphisms of this form is the *Brin–Thompson group* sV.

It is not obvious from this definition that sV is a group, but indeed any composition of homeomorphisms of this form is again of this form, essentially thanks to the fact that any two partitions into finitely many cones have a common refinement that is also a partition into finitely many cones. (This is why the set is closed under compositions, and it is obviously closed under inverses.)

As explained in [BZ, Remark 1.1], it is enough to consider only certain partitions of \mathfrak{C}^s into finitely many cones, called *dyadic partitions* there. A dyadic partition is one obtained by successively "halving" the copies of \mathfrak{C}^s , that is, by iteratively replacing some cone

 $B(\psi(1),\ldots,\psi(s))$ with the cones

$$B(\psi(1), \dots, \psi(i-1), \psi(i)0, \psi(i+1), \dots, \psi(s))$$

and $B(\psi(1), \dots, \psi(i-1), \psi(i)1, \psi(i+1), \dots, \psi(s))$

for some $1 \leq i \leq s$. For example, $\{B(0, \emptyset), B(1, 0), B(1, 1)\}$ is a dyadic partition since it is obtained by first halving $\mathfrak{C}^2 = B(\emptyset, \emptyset)$ into $B(0, \emptyset)$ and $B(1, \emptyset)$, and then halving $B(1, \emptyset)$ into B(1, 0) and B(1, 1). It turns out every element of sV is realizable with both its domain and range partitions dyadic in this way.

This halving procedure for constructing the domain and range partitions defining an element of sV is convenient for pictures. Viewing \mathfrak{C} visually as an interval and \mathfrak{C}^s as an *s*-dimensional cube, an element of sV is obtained by successively halving *s*-cubes in two ways, and then mapping the cones canonically. See Figure 1 for an example.



FIGURE 1. An example of an element of 2V. We view \mathfrak{C}^2 as a square, chop it into three cones in two different ways, as shown, and map them to each other via canonical homeomorphisms.

2.2. Finite S case, with twisting. Now we incorporate twisting. Let G be a (finite) group acting faithfully on $S = \{1, \ldots, s\}$. The action of G on S induces an action of G on \mathfrak{C}^s by permuting the coordinates. Given $\gamma \in G$, write $\tau_{\gamma} \colon \mathfrak{C}^s \to \mathfrak{C}^s$ for the basic twist homeomorphism induced by γ . Our notational convention is, given $\kappa \in \mathfrak{C}^s$, the s-tuple $\tau_{\gamma}(\kappa)$ has ith entry $\kappa(\gamma^{-1}(i))$, so

$$\tau_{\gamma}(\kappa(1),\ldots,\kappa(s)) := \kappa(\gamma^{-1}(1)),\ldots,\kappa(\gamma^{-1}(s)).$$

This way, the $\gamma(i)$ th entry of $\tau_{\gamma}(\kappa)$ is the *i*th entry of κ , i.e.,

$$\tau_{\gamma}(\kappa)(\gamma(i)) = \kappa(i)$$

The main reason for defining twists this way is so that the resulting map $\gamma \mapsto \tau_{\gamma}$ from G to the group of twists is a homomorphism rather than an antihomomorphism, that is, $\tau_{\gamma}\tau_{\gamma'} = \tau_{\gamma\gamma'}$ for all $\gamma, \gamma' \in G$.

Now given two cones $B(\varphi)$ and $B(\psi)$ and an element $\gamma \in G$, we can define the *twist* homeomorphism $B(\varphi) \to B(\psi)$ to be the composition $h_{\psi} \circ \tau_{\gamma} \circ h_{\varphi}^{-1}$. Intuitively, this acts as a prefix replacement with a twist in the middle: first the prefixes $\varphi(i)$ are deleted, then the remaining strings are permuted according to γ , and then new prefixes $\psi(i)$ are added.

We are now ready to define the twisted Brin–Thompson group sV_G . Given a partition of \mathfrak{C}^s into finitely many cones $B(\varphi_1), \ldots, B(\varphi_n)$, another partition into the same number n of cones $B(\psi_1), \ldots, B(\psi_n)$, and an n-tuple $(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n)$ of elements of G, we can define a homeomorphism $\mathfrak{C}^s \to \mathfrak{C}^s$ by sending each $B(\varphi_i)$ to $B(\psi_i)$ via the twist homeomorphism $h_{\psi_i} \circ \tau_{\gamma_i} \circ h_{\varphi_i}^{-1}$.

Definition 2.2 (Twisted Brin–Thompson group). The set of all homeomorphisms of this form is the *twisted Brin–Thompson group* sV_G .

Once one sees why sV is a group, it is not hard to see that sV_G is also a group. The key is that, if $B(\varphi)$ is a cone and $B(\varphi')$ is a "half" of $B(\varphi)$, so φ' is obtained by replacing some $\varphi(i)$ with either $\varphi(i)0$ or $\varphi(i)1$, then the restriction of a twist homeomorphism on $B(\varphi)$ to $B(\varphi')$ equals a twist homeomorphism on $B(\varphi')$.

Example 2.3. An easy but useful example to keep in mind is $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ acting on $S = \{1, 2\}$ in the non-trivial way. See Figure 2 for an example of an element of $2V_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}$.



FIGURE 2. An example of an element of $2V_{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}$, where $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ acts on $\{1,2\}$ in the non-trivial way. We view \mathfrak{C}^2 as a square, chop it into three cones in two different ways, as shown, and map them to each other. The cone labeled 2 is mapped via a canonical homeomorphism, and the cones labeled 1 and 3 are mapped via twist homeomorphisms (as indicated by the labels 1 and 3 being reflected).

2.3. Infinite S case. Now we generalize to infinite S. Playing the role of \mathfrak{C}^s is \mathfrak{C}^S , the space of functions from S to \mathfrak{C} , with the usual product topology. To represent a collection of prefixes, we take a function $\psi: S \to \{0, 1\}^*$ with *finite support*, that is, satisfying $\psi(s) = \emptyset$ for all but finitely many $s \in S$. Analogously to the finite S case, we define the *cone* $B(\psi)$ to be the basic open set

 $\{\kappa \in \mathfrak{C}^S \mid \psi(s) \text{ is a prefix of } \kappa(s) \text{ for each } s \in S\}.$

For any ψ we have a *canonical homeomorphism* $h_{\psi} \colon \mathfrak{C}^S \to B(\psi)$ defined by

 $h_{\psi}(\kappa)(s) := \psi(s)\kappa(s).$

As in the finite S case, we can consider homeomorphisms $\mathfrak{C}^S \to \mathfrak{C}^S$ given by *prefix replacements*, defined as follows. Take a partition of \mathfrak{C}^S into finitely many cones $B(\varphi_1), \ldots, B(\varphi_n)$, take another partition into the same number of cones $B(\psi_1), \ldots, B(\psi_n)$, and send each $B(\varphi_i)$ to $B(\psi_i)$ via $h_{\psi_i} \circ h_{\varphi_i}^{-1}$. These homeomorphisms comprise the *Brin–Thompson group* SV.

Now suppose G is a group acting faithfully on S, and we will introduce twisting. For $\gamma \in G$, let $\tau_{\gamma} \colon \mathfrak{C}^S \to \mathfrak{C}^S$ be the *basic twist homeomorphism* defined by

$$\tau_{\gamma}(\kappa)(\gamma(s)) := \kappa(s).$$

Given cones $B(\varphi)$ and $B(\psi)$ we get a *twist homeomorphism* $B(\varphi) \to B(\psi)$ given by $h_{\psi} \circ \tau_{\gamma} \circ h_{\varphi}^{-1}$. More generally, given a partition of \mathfrak{C}^S into finitely many cones $B(\varphi_1), \ldots, B(\varphi_n)$, another such partition $B(\psi_1), \ldots, B(\psi_n)$, and an *n*-tuple $(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n)$ of elements of G, we can define a homeomorphism $\mathfrak{C}^S \to \mathfrak{C}^S$ by sending $B(\varphi_i)$ to $B(\psi_i)$ via the twist homeomorphism $h_{\psi_i} \circ \tau_{\gamma_i} \circ h_{\varphi_i}^{-1}$. These homeomorphisms comprise the *twisted Brin-Thompson group* SV_G .

Example 2.4. An important example to keep in mind is S = G with the action given by left translation. In this case we get a twisted Brin–Thompson group denoted GV_G .

Just to emphasize, in Example 2.4, G can be any group whatsoever. In particular, every group embeds into a twisted Brin–Thompson group.

Perhaps the most important general property of twisted Brin–Thompson groups is the following:

Theorem. [BZ, Theorem 3.4] For any S and G, the group SV_G is simple.

3. Embedding and finiteness properties

Note that G embeds as a subgroup of SV_G , namely the subgroup of all basic twist homeomorphisms τ_{γ} . Since SV_G is simple, this provides a nice, natural way of embedding an arbitrary group into a simple group. Now one can begin asking whether any desirable properties of G are inherited by SV_G .

In geometric group theory, perhaps the most desirable basic property a group could have is to be finitely generated. It is not too difficult to relate the finite generation of G to that of SV_G :

Theorem. [BZ, Theorem A] The group SV_G is finitely generated if and only if G is finitely generated and the action of G on S has finitely many orbits.

For example if one uses S = G as in Example 2.4, then GV_G is finitely generated if and only if G is. In particular this recovers the classical result of Hall [Hal74] that every finitely generated group embeds as a subgroup of a finitely generated group.

Let us now discuss the relationship between the geometry of G and SV_G , in the case when they are both finitely generated. First we need to recall some background on basic geometric group theory.

Recall that given any finitely generated group Γ with a fixed finite generating set A, we get a *word metric* on Γ by declaring that the distance between g and h is the length of the shortest word in the generators and their inverses representing $g^{-1}h$. It is an easy exercise to verify that this is a metric, and since A is finite, Γ is even a proper metric space. For metric spaces X and Y with metrics d_X and d_Y respectively, a function $f: X \to Y$ is called *coarse Lipschitz* if there exist constants C, D > 0 such that

$$d_Y(f(x), f(x')) \le Cd_X(x, x') + D$$

for all $x, x' \in X$. It is called a *quasi-isometric embedding* if additionally

$$\frac{1}{C}d_X(x,x') - D \le d_Y(f(x),f(x'))$$

for all $x, x' \in X$.

Given a finitely generated group Γ and a finitely generated subgroup Γ' , one can ask whether the inclusion map $\Gamma' \to \Gamma$ is a quasi-isometric embedding, with respect to some word metrics. In this case we say that Γ' quasi-isometrically embeds as a subgroup of Γ . Since the inclusion is a homomorphism it will always be coarse Lipschitz, but if it is even a quasi-isometric embedding then, intuitively, points that are far apart in Γ' do not become substantially closer when viewed in the ambient group Γ . Thus, if Γ' quasi-isometrically embeds as a subgroup of Γ , then in some sense one should view Γ' as not only an algebraic sub-object of Γ , but additionally as a geometric sub-object.

Now we can state the main application of twisted Brin–Thompson groups:

Theorem. [BZ, Theorems B and C] Let G and SV_G be finitely generated. Then the inclusion map $G \to SV_G$ is a quasi-isometric embedding. Hence every finitely generated group G quasi-isometrically embeds as a subgroup of some finitely generated simple group, e.g., GV_G .

Now we turn our attention to higher finiteness properties. An obvious question is, if G is of type F_n , what conditions on the action of G on S can ensure that SV_G is also of type F_n ?

The main result in this direction in [BZ] involves oligomorphic actions:

Definition 3.1 (Oligomorphic). An action of a group G on a set S is *oligomorphic* if for each $k \ge 1$ there are finitely many G-orbits of k-element subsets of S.

Theorem. [BZ, Theorem D] Suppose the action of G on S is oligomorphic, that G is of type F_n , and that for any finite subset $T \subseteq S$ the stabilizer in G of T is of type F_n . Then SV_G is of type F_n .

Note that it is actually redundant to specify that G is of type F_n here, since G equals the stabilizer in G of the finite subset \emptyset , and hence the condition on the stabilizers ensures G is of type F_n . For the sake of emphasizing that G itself should be of type F_n though, we phrase it this way.

A TASTE OF TWISTED BRIN-THOMPSON GROUPS

The n = 2 case is worth spelling out:

Corollary 3.2. Suppose the action of G on S is oligomorphic, that G is finitely presented, and that for any finite subset $T \subseteq S$ the stabilizer in G of T is finitely presented. Then SV_G is finitely presented.

In Section 4 we will improve this result, by only requiring the stabilizers of non-empty finite subsets to be finitely generated.

First let us discuss some of the consequences of the above theorem. Since G quasiisometrically embeds as a subgroup of SV_G , we see that any group G acting (faithfully) oligomorphically on a set with type F_n stabilizers of finite subsets quasi-isometrically embeds as a subgroup of a simple group of type F_n . This is already significant in the n = 2case, since any subgroup of a finitely presented simple group has decidable word problem (more on this in Section 4). There are some concrete examples discussed in [BZ] that we recall here, and we refer the reader to [BZ] for more details.

Corollary. [BZ, Corollary E] Let $S = (0,1) \cap \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$ and consider the standard action of Thompson's group F on S. This action is oligomorphic, and the stabilizer of any finite subset of S is of type F_{∞} , so the twisted Brin–Thompson group SV_F is of type F_{∞} .

Corollary. [BZ, Corollary G] Let $S = \{1, ..., n\} \times \mathbb{N}$ and consider the standard action of Houghton's group H_n on S. This action is oligomorphic, and the stabilizer of any finite subset of S is of type F_{n-1} , so the twisted Brin-Thompson group SV_{H_n} is of type F_{n-1} .

There are also results in [BZ] showing that certain twisted Brin–Thompson groups are not of type F_n . The key is that when G and SV_G are finitely generated, G is a *quasi-retract* of SV_G , which by a theorem of Alonso [Alo94] implies that if SV_G is of type F_n then so is G. See [BZ] for details. The important consequence of this is the following complete version of the above:

Corollary. [BZ, Corollary G] The twisted Brin–Thompson group SV_{H_n} above, using Houghton's group H_n , is of type F_{n-1} but not type F_n .

The SV_{H_n} therefore provide an infinite family of simple groups with arbitrary finiteness length. This is the second such construction known, following the examples constructed in [SWZ19] using Röver–Nekrashevych groups.

Let us remark on one final application from [BZ] of twisted Brin–Thompson groups, which has recently become a bit obsolete. By a result of Belk–Bleak–Matucci, every rightangled Artin group (RAAG) embeds into some sV. Thus, for any infinite S, any twisted Brin–Thompson group SV_G contains every RAAG. In particular (see [BZ, Corollary E]), SV_F , using Thompson's group F as above, provides an example of a simple group of type F_{∞} containing every RAAG.

Quite recently, Salo proved that the situation can be made vastly more straightforward: 2V itself already contains every RAAG [Sal]. This is especially striking since V = 1V does

not even contain $\mathbb{Z}^2 * \mathbb{Z}$ [BSD13], and hence does not contain any RAAG whose defining graph features an edge and a vertex not adjacent to either endpoint of the edge. Thus, there is no need to use infinite S, or to worry about a "twisting" group G that has a nice enough action on S to get SV_G to be of type F_{∞} . However, twisted Brin–Thompson groups do still provide the only known examples revealing the following:

Corollary 3.3. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a simple group of type F_{n-1} but not F_n containing every RAAG.

Proof. The twisted Brin–Thompson groups SV_{H_n} , using the Houghton groups H_n as above, provide such a family, since $S = \{1, \ldots, n\} \times \mathbb{N}$ is infinite.

Right-angled Artin groups are one of the most prominent families of groups in geometric group theory. It is natural to ask whether other prominent kinds of groups from geometric group theory can also be made to embed into simple groups with good finiteness properties.

Question 3.4. Do each of the following groups embed (quasi-isometrically?) into a finitely presented simple group (even of type F_{∞} ?) Braid groups, mapping class groups, $Out(F_n)$, CAT(0) groups, hyperbolic groups.

To the best of our knowledge, this question is generally open, and it would be interesting to try make progress on it using twisted Brin–Thompson groups.

4. An improved finite presentability result

In this final section, we prove an improvement of [BZ, Theorem D], which is not all that much better for n > 2 but is, we believe, notably better for n = 2. Let us recall the theorem and then state our improvement.

Theorem. [BZ, Theorem D] Suppose the action of G on S is oligomorphic, that G is of type F_n , and that for any finite subset $T \subseteq S$ the stabilizer in G of T is of type F_n . Then SV_G is of type F_n .

Theorem 4.1. Suppose the action of G on S is oligomorphic, that G is of type F_n , and that for any non-empty finite subset $T \subseteq S$ the stabilizer in G of T is of type F_{n-1} . Then SV_G is of type F_n .

In particular, when n = 2 we get:

Theorem 4.2. Suppose the action of G on S is oligomorphic, that G is finitely presented, and that for any non-empty finite subset $T \subseteq S$ the stabilizer in G of T is finitely generated. Then SV_G is finitely presented.

10

The reason we think this is notably better in the n = 2 case is that, in general, it is much easier to prove that a group is finitely generated than to prove it is finitely presented.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. To prove Theorem 4.1, we need to delve into some of the details of the proof of [BZ, Theorem D] given in [BZ]. As explained in that proof, there is a contractible complex X on which SV_G acts, and a filtration of X into SV_G -invariant cocompact sublevel sets X_m , which are increasingly highly connected as m goes to ∞ . In the proof of [BZ, Theorem D], the stabilizer of any simplex was of type F_n , and all of the above was enough to conclude by [BZ, Theorem 6.2] that SV_G is of type F_n .

Now we have weakened the hypotheses, and so we no longer necessarily have that the stabilizer in SV_G of every simplex in X is of type F_n . However, this was not necessary to conclude that SV_G is of type F_n ; indeed, [BZ, Theorem 6.2] follows from Brown's Criterion (as in [Bro87]), and in Brown's Criterion it is only necessary to assume that the stabilizer of every *p*-simplex is of type F_{n-p} . Thus, in order to get the conclusion we want, it suffices to prove that the stabilizer in SV_G of every vertex of X is of type F_n , and the stabilizer of every positive dimensional simplex of X is of type F_{n-1} . (In fact it would suffice to get the stabilizer of each *p*-simplex to be of type F_{n-p} , but with twisted Brin–Thompson groups the structure of the stabilizers of higher dimensional cells is not sufficiently different than that of the stabilizers of edges for us to make any meaningful weakening of the hypotheses that leverages this.)

By [BZ, Lemma 6.3], the stabilizer in SV_G of any vertex in X is isomorphic to a wreath product of G with a finite symmetric group. Since G is of type F_n , and since being of type F_n is preserved under taking finite direct products and finite extensions, we know that the stabilizer of any vertex is of type F_n . It remains to show that positive dimensional simplices have stabilizers of type F_{n-1} . For this, we can apply [BZ, Corollary 6.6] using n-1 instead of n: since G is of type F_n it is also of type F_{n-1} , and our assumption is that the stabilizer in SV_G of any non-empty finite subset of S is of type F_{n-1} , so by [BZ, Corollary 6.6] the stabilizer of any simplex in X is of type F_{n-1} , as desired. (There it refers to a simplex of a complex called $|P_1|$, but X is a subcomplex of this, so the same result holds.)

One concrete potential future application of Theorem 4.2 (suggested by Jim Belk) is to prove that every finitely generated contracting, or finitely presented, self-similar group, and the Röver–Nekrashevych group associated to every such self-similar group, embeds in a finitely presented simple group. Given such a self-similar group G, the Röver–Nekrashevych group $V_d(G)$ is finitely presented by [Nek18, Theorem 5.9] and [SWZ19, Theorem 4.15], and the commutator subgroup $[V_d(G), V_d(G)]$ is simple, but it may have infinite index. However, it seems likely that $V_d(G)$ admits an action as in Theorem 4.2 and hence embeds in a finitely presented simple group. We leave this for future work.

As another remark, it would be nice to be able to only have to assume that the stabilizer in G of any finite subset $T \subseteq S$ is of type $F_{n-|T|}$, and still get to conclude that SV_G is of type F_n , but as remarked in the proof above there is not a convenient way to differentiate edge

stabilizers from higher dimensional simplex stabilizers, so it is not clear whether something like this is possible.

Let us conclude with a discussion of some implications and questions regarding decidability of the word problem. Recall that the Boone–Higman Theorem states that a finitely generated group has decidable word problem if and only if it embeds in a simple subgroup of a finitely presented group [BH74, Theorem I]. The simple group can be taken to be finitely generated by a result of Thompson [Tho80]. Boone and Higman also asked a question, which has since turned into a conjecture, called the Boone–Higman Conjecture:

Conjecture 4.3 (Boone–Higman). Every finitely presented group with decidable word problem embeds in a finitely presented simple group.

Since any twisted Brin–Thompson group is simple, if SV_G (and hence G) is finitely presented, then every subgroup of SV_G , for instance G, must have decidable word problem. Since there do exist finitely presented groups with undecidable word problem, this implies that G being finitely presented is not sufficient for SV_G to be finitely presented. The situation in Theorem 4.2 thus provides a non-obvious sufficient condition to ensure a finitely presented group (along with any of its finitely generated subgroups) has decidable word problem. As a remark, James Hyde also found a direct proof that a group admitting an action as in Theorem 4.2 has decidable word problem [Hyd]. Finally, note that all of the groups in Question 3.4 have decidable word problem, and thus conjecturally should embed into finitely presented simple groups; it would be interesting to try and resolve this by proving that they embed into groups admitting actions as in Theorem 4.2.

References

- [Alo94] Juan M. Alonso. Finiteness conditions on groups and quasi-isometries. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 95(2):121–129, 1994.
- [BB17] James Belk and Collin Bleak. Some undecidability results for asynchronous transducers and the Brin-Thompson group 2V. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 369(5):3157–3172, 2017.
- [BBM20] James Belk, Collin Bleak, and Francesco Matucci. Embedding right-angled Artin groups into Brin-Thompson groups. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 169(2):225–229, 2020.
- [BC10] José Burillo and Sean Cleary. Metric properties of higher-dimensional Thompson's groups. Pacific J. Math., 248(1):49–62, 2010.
- [BH74] William W. Boone and Graham Higman. An algebraic characterization of groups with soluble word problem. J. Austral. Math. Soc., 18:41–53, 1974. Collection of articles dedicated to the memory of Hanna Neumann, IX.
- [Bri98] Martin R. Bridson. Controlled embeddings into groups that have no non-trivial finite quotients. In *The Epstein birthday schrift*, volume 1 of *Geom. Topol. Monogr.*, pages 99–116. Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 1998.
- [Bri04] Matthew G. Brin. Higher dimensional Thompson groups. *Geom. Dedicata*, 108:163–192, 2004.
- [Bri10] Matthew G. Brin. On the baker's map and the simplicity of the higher dimensional Thompson groups nV. Publ. Mat., 54(2):433–439, 2010.
- [Bro87] Kenneth S. Brown. Finiteness properties of groups. In *Proceedings of the Northwestern confer*ence on cohomology of groups (Evanston, Ill., 1985), volume 44, pages 45–75, 1987.

- [BSD13] Collin Bleak and Olga Salazar-Díaz. Free products in R. Thompson's group V. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 365(11):5967–5997, 2013.
- [BZ] James Belk and Matthew C. B. Zaremsky. Twisted brin-Thompson groups. *Geom. Topol.* To appear. arXiv:2001.04579.
- [DS] Arman Darbinyan and Markus Steenbock. Embeddings into left-orderable simple groups. J. London Math. Soc. To appear. arXiv:2005.06183.
- [FMWZ13] Martin G. Fluch, Marco Marschler, Stefan Witzel, and Matthew C. B. Zaremsky. The Brin– Thompson groups sV are of type F_∞. Pacific J. Math., 266(2):283–295, 2013.
- [Hal74] P. Hall. On the embedding of a group in a join of given groups. J. Austral. Math. Soc., 17:434–495, 1974. Collection of articles dedicated to the memory of Hanna Neumann, VIII.
- [HL19] James Hyde and Yash Lodha. Finitely generated infinite simple groups of homeomorphisms of the real line. *Invent. Math.*, 218(1):83–112, 2019.
- [HM12] Johanna Hennig and Francesco Matucci. Presentations for the higher-dimensional Thompson groups nV. Pacific J. Math., 257(1):53–74, 2012.
- [Hyd] James Hyde. Decidability of word problem for group admitting certain action. MathOverflow. URL:https://mathoverflow.net/q/403058 (version: 2021-09-02).
- [KMPN13] Dessislava H. Kochloukova, Conchita Martínez-Pérez, and Brita E. A. Nucinkis. Cohomological finiteness properties of the Brin-Thompson-Higman groups 2V and 3V. Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2), 56(3):777–804, 2013.
- [Lod19] Yash Lodha. A finitely presented infinite simple group of homeomorphisms of the circle. J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2), 100(3):1034–1064, 2019.
- [MB] Nicolás Matte Bon. Rigidity properties of full groups of pseudogroups over the Cantor set. arXiv:1801.10133.
- [MBT20] Nicolás Matte Bon and Michele Triestino. Groups of piecewise linear homeomorphisms of flows. Compos. Math., 156(8):1595–1622, 2020.
- [Nek18] Volodymyr Nekrashevych. Finitely presented groups associated with expanding maps. In Geometric and cohomological group theory, volume 444 of London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., pages 115–171. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2018.
- [Sal] Ville Salo. Graph and wreath products in topological full groups of full shifts. arXiv:2103.06663.
- [SWZ19] Rachel Skipper, Stefan Witzel, and Matthew C. B. Zaremsky. Simple groups separated by finiteness properties. *Invent. Math.*, 215(2):713–740, 2019.
- [Tho80] Richard J. Thompson. Embeddings into finitely generated simple groups which preserve the word problem. In Word problems, II (Conf. on Decision Problems in Algebra, Oxford, 1976), volume 95 of Stud. Logic Foundations Math., pages 401–441. North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York, 1980.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY AT ALBANY (SUNY), ALBANY, NY 12222

Email address: mzaremsky@albany.edu