

Local and Global Invariant Cycle Theorems for Hodge Modules

Morihiro Saito

Abstract. We show that the local and global invariant cycle theorems for Hodge modules follow easily from the general theory.

Introduction

It does not seem well recognized (see for instance [ES 21]) that the local and global invariant cycle theorems for pure Hodge modules follow easily from the general theory [Sa 88], [Sa 90a]. In these notes, we show that the decomposition theorem implies the *local invariant cycle theorem* for pure Hodge modules (see **1.1** below), and the *global invariant cycle theorem* for pure Hodge modules can be proved in a similar way to the classical case [De 71, 4.1.1 (ii)], see **1.2** below.

As for the estimate of weights of the cohomology of a link (which is called “local purity” in [ES 21]), this has been known in the constant coefficient case (see [Sa 89a, 1.18], [DS 90]), and a similar reasoning apply to the pure Hodge module case, since the assertion was proved using mixed Hodge modules, see **2.1** below.

This work was partially supported by JSPS Kakenhi 15K04816.

1. Local and global invariant cycle theorems.

1.1. Local invariant cycle theorem. Let $f: X \rightarrow \Delta$ be a proper morphism from a complex manifold to a disk. Here we assume either f is projective or X is an open subset of a smooth complex algebraic variety. Let \mathcal{M} be a pure Hodge module with strict support Y which is not contained in a fiber of f . Let K be the underlying \mathbb{Q} -complex of \mathcal{M} . Then in the notation of [BBD 82], we have the decomposition theorem asserting the non-canonical isomorphism

$$(1.1.1) \quad \mathbf{R}f_*K \cong \bigoplus_k {}^pR^k f_*K \quad \text{with} \quad {}^pR^k f_* = {}^p\mathcal{H}^k \mathbf{R}f_*,$$

together with the isomorphisms

$$(1.1.2) \quad {}^pR^k f_*K = (j_*L_{\Delta^*}^k)[1] \oplus L_0^k \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Here $L_{\Delta^*}^k, L_0^k$ are local systems on $\Delta^*, 0$, and $j: \Delta^* \hookrightarrow \Delta$ denotes the canonical inclusion. (This assertion can be reduced to the f projective case.)

These isomorphisms give the non-canonical isomorphisms

$$(1.1.3) \quad R^k f_*K \cong j_*L_{\Delta^*}^{k+1} \oplus L_0^k \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

These imply the following.

Theorem 1.1 (*Local invariant cycle theorem*). *We have canonical surjection*

$$(1.1.4) \quad H^k(X_0, K|_{X_0}) \twoheadrightarrow H^k(X_s, K|_{X_s})^T \quad (s \in \Delta^*, k \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

shrinking Δ if necessary, where the right-hand side denotes the T -invariant subspace with T the local monodromy.

Proof. By the proper base change theorem, we have the isomorphisms

$$(1.1.5) \quad H^k(X_s, K|_{X_s}) = (R^k f_*K)_s \quad (s \in \Delta, k \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

So the assertion follows from (1.1.3). (Note that (1.1.4) is a property of the *sheaf* $R^k f_* K$, which depends only on the isomorphism class of the sheaf.)

1.2. Global invariant cycle theorem. One can generalize an argument in [De 71, 4.1.1 (ii)] as follows. Let $f : X \rightarrow S$ be a proper surjective morphism of irreducible complex algebraic varieties. Let \mathcal{M} be a pure Hodge module of weight w with strict support X , and K be the underlying \mathbb{Q} -complex. We have the following.

Theorem 1.2 (*Global invariant cycle theorem*). *There is the canonical surjection for $s \in S'$:*

$$(1.2.1) \quad H^k(X, K) \twoheadrightarrow H^k(X_s, K|_{X_s})^{G_{k,s}} \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Here $S' \subset S$ is a sufficiently small non-empty smooth Zariski-open subset such that the $R^k f_* K|_{S'}$ are local systems ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$), and the $G_{k,s}$ denote the monodromy group of the local system $R^k f_* K|_{S'}$ with base point s .

Proof. Set $X' := f^{-1}(S')$. Let $f' : X' \rightarrow S'$ be the restriction of f . The decomposition theorem for f' implies the canonical surjection

$$(1.2.2) \quad \mathrm{Gr}_{w+k}^W H^k(X', K|_{X'}) \twoheadrightarrow H^k(X_s, K|_{X_s})^{G_{k,s}} \quad (s \in S', k \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

since the $R^k f_* K|_{S'}$ are local systems. Here $H^k(X_s, K|_{X_s})$ is pure of weight $w+k$. Indeed, $\mathcal{M}[-d_S]|_{X_s}$ is a pure Hodge module of weight $w-d_S$ on X_s ($s \in S'$), and

$$H^k(X_s, K|_{X_s}) = H^{k+d_S}(X_s, K[-d_S]|_{X_s}) \quad (d_S := \dim S).$$

We then get (1.2.1) from (1.2.2), since we have moreover the canonical surjection

$$(1.2.3) \quad H^k(X, K) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{w+k}^W H^k(X', K|_{X'}).$$

This surjection follows from the long exact sequence of mixed Hodge structures

$$(1.2.4) \quad \rightarrow H^k(X, K) \rightarrow H^k(X', K|_{X'}) \rightarrow H^{k+1}(X'', i^! K) \rightarrow$$

with $X'' := X \setminus X'$ and $i : X'' \hookrightarrow X$ the natural inclusion. Indeed, $H^{k+1}(X'', i^! K)$ has weights $\geq w+k+1$, since $i^! \mathcal{M}$ has weights $\geq w$, see [Sa 90a, (4.5.2)]. So Thm. 1.2 follows.

2. Local purity in the sense of [ES 21].

2.1. Local purity. Let \mathcal{M} be a pure Hodge module of weight w with strict support X . Take $x \in X$ with inclusions $i_x : \{x\} \hookrightarrow X$, $j_x : X \setminus \{x\} \hookrightarrow X$. Then the ‘‘local purity’’ in the sense [ES 21] asserts the following.

Theorem 2.1.

$$(2.1.1) \quad H^k i_x^*(j_x)_* j_x^* \mathcal{M} \text{ has weights } \leq w+k \text{ if } k < 0, \text{ and } > w+k \text{ if } k \geq 0.$$

Remark 2.1a. This is known in the constant coefficient case, see [Sa 89a, 1.18], [DS 90], where mixed Hodge modules are used for the proof. It is easy to generalize this as follows.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Applying i_x^* to the distinguished triangle

$$(i_x)_* i_x^! \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \rightarrow (j_x)_* j_x^* \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{+1},$$

we get

$$(2.1.2) \quad i_x^! \mathcal{M} \rightarrow i_x^* \mathcal{M} \rightarrow i_x^*(j_x)_* j_x^* \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{+1}.$$

Taking its dual, and using the self-duality $\mathbf{D}\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(w)$, it gives

$$(2.1.3) \quad \mathbf{D} i_x^*(j_x)_* j_x^* \mathcal{M} \rightarrow i_x^! \mathcal{M}(w) \rightarrow i_x^* \mathcal{M}(w) \xrightarrow{+1},$$

since $\mathbf{D}i_x^* = i_x^! \mathbf{D}$. We thus get the self-duality

$$(2.1.4) \quad \mathbf{D}i_x^*(j_x)_*j_x^*\mathcal{M} = i_x^*(j_x)_*j_x^*\mathcal{M}(w)[-1].$$

Setting $H^k := H^k i_x^*(j_x)_*j_x^*\mathcal{M}$, this means the duality of mixed Hodge structures

$$(2.1.5) \quad \mathbf{D}H^k = H^{-k-1}(w) \quad (k \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

So the assertion (2.1.1) is reduced to the case $k < 0$.

Consider the composition

$$(2.1.6) \quad (j_x)_*j_x^*\mathcal{M} \rightarrow (i_x)_*i_x^*(j_x)_*j_x^*\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \tau^{\geq 0}(i_x)_*i_x^*(j_x)_*j_x^*\mathcal{M},$$

Let \mathcal{M}'' be its shifted mapping cone so that we have the distinguished triangle

$$(2.1.7) \quad \mathcal{M}'' \rightarrow (j_x)_*j_x^*\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \tau^{\geq 0}(i_x)_*i_x^*(j_x)_*j_x^*\mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{+1},$$

Let K'' be the underlying \mathbb{Q} -complex of \mathcal{M}'' . We have the isomorphism $K'' = K$ using the inductive definition of intersection complexes iterating open direct images and truncations, see [BBD 82]. (Here we apply the last step of the inductive construction.) This implies that \mathcal{M}'' is a mixed Hodge module (that is, $H^k \mathcal{M}'' = 0$ ($k \neq 0$)), and its injective image in the mixed Hodge module $H^0(j_x)_*j_x^*\mathcal{M}$ is identified with the injective image of \mathcal{M} in it, since this holds for the underlying \mathbb{Q} -complexes. (Note that H^\bullet is the standard cohomology functor of the bounded derived category $D^b\text{MHM}(X)$.) Thus \mathcal{M}'' in (2.1.7) can be replaced by \mathcal{M} .

The assertion (2.1.1) then follows from the standard estimates of weights for the pullback functor, see [Sa 90a, (4.5.2)]. (Here it is also possible to use the ‘‘classical’’ t -structure ${}^c\tau_{\leq p}$ on the bounded derived category of mixed Hodge modules, see [Sa 90a, Remark 4.6,2].)

Remark 2.1b. It does not seem necessarily easy to follow some arguments in [ES 21]. For instance, the authors hire the theory of mixed Hodge modules *partially* in some places, although it does not seem quite clear whether the quoted assertions can really adapt to the situation they are considering, since they are performing a too complicated calculation of nearby cycles extending an old *double complex construction* in terms of logarithmic complexes and $\frac{df}{f} \wedge$ *without using filtered \mathcal{D} -modules* (see also [ELY 18]).

Note that the *compatibility of the decomposition with the Hodge filtration* never follows immediately from Kashiwara’s *combinatorial* description in terms of ‘‘infinitesimal mixed Hodge structures’’. (Recall that there is *no combinatorial description of mixed Hodge modules of normal crossing type* as is suggested in some longer version of [Sh 92].)

In order to prove the compatibility, we have to apply the *Verdier-type extension theorem* (see [Ve 85]) for mixed Hodge modules as in [Sa 90a, 2.8 (rather than 2.28)] inductively using the V -filtrations along coordinate functions. (This was explained in a longer preprint version of [Sa 89b].)

There is a similar problem in the argument about the reduction to the unipotent local monodromy case. (This is closely related to [Sa 82].)

Note also that the Hodge filtration can never be captured as in [ES 21, 6.1.1] using a filtration in the abelian full subcategory of $D_c^b(X, \mathbb{C}_X)$ constructed in [BBD 82].

REFERENCES

- [BBD 82] Beilinson, A., Bernstein, J., Deligne, P., Faisceaux pervers, Astérisque 100, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1982.
- [De 71] Deligne, P., Théorie de Hodge II, Publ. Math. IHES 40 (1971), 5–58.
- [DS 90] Durfee, A.H., Saito, M., Mixed Hodge structures on the intersection cohomology of links, Compos. Math. 76 (1990), 49–67.

- [ELY 18] El Zein, F., Lê, D.T., Ye, X., Decomposition, purity and fibrations by normal crossing divisors (arXiv:1811.04774).
- [ES 21] El Zein, F., Steenbrink, J.H.M., Local purity (preprint).
- [Sa 82] Saito, M., Supplement to “Gauss-Manin system and mixed Hodge structure”, *Astérisque* 101-102 (1983), 320–331.
- [Sa 88] Saito, M., Modules de Hodge polarisables, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.* 24 (1988), 849–995.
- [Sa 89a] Saito, M., Introduction to mixed Hodge modules, *Astérisque* 179-180 (1989), 145–162.
- [Sa 89b] Saito, M., Mixed Hodge modules and admissible variations, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* 309 (1989), 351–356.
- [Sa 90a] Saito, M., Mixed Hodge modules, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.* 26 (1990), 221–333.
- [Sh 92] Shimizu, Y., An introduction to Morihiko Saito’s theory of mixed hodge modules (unpublished).
- [Ve 85] Verdier, J.-L., Extension of a perverse sheaf over a closed subspace. *Astérisque* 130 (1985), 210–217.

RIMS Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502 Japan