

Schwarzian derivative, Painlevé XXV-Ermakov equation and Bäcklund transformations

Sandra Carillo*, Alexander Chichurin†, Galina Filipuk‡, Federico Zullo§

January 10, 2022

Abstract

The role of Schwarzian derivative in the study of nonlinear ordinary differential equations is revisited. Solutions and invariances admitted by Painlevé XXV-Ermakov equation, Ermakov equation and third order linear equation in a normal form are shown to be based on solutions of the Schwarzian equation. Starting from the Riccati equation and the second order element of the Riccati chian as the simplest examples of linearizable equations, by introducing a suitable change of variables, it is shown how the Schwarzian derivative represents a key tool in the construction of solutions. Two families of Bäcklund transformations which link the linear and nonlinear equations under investigation are obtained. Some examples with relevant applications are given and discussed.

Keywords: Schwarzian derivative, Bäcklund transformations,
Painlevé XXV-Ermakov equation, Ermakov equation.

1 Introduction

The Riccati equation

$$\frac{dv}{dz} = a_2(z)v(z)^2 + a_1(z)v(z) + a_0(z) \quad (1)$$

can be considered as the simplest nonlinear ordinary differential equation. It is the only first-order nonlinear ordinary differential equation which possesses the Painlevé property [1]. It has many applications in different areas: from control theory to the theory

*Dipartimento Scienze di Base e Applicate per l'Ingegneria, SAPIENZA Università di Roma, Roma, Italy.

†Institute of Mathematics, Informatics and Landscape Architecture, The John Paul II Catholic University of Lublin, ul. Konstantynow 1H, 20-708 Lublin, Poland.

‡Institute of Mathematics, University of Warsaw, ul. Banacha 2, 02-097 Warsaw, Poland.

§DICATAM, Università di Brescia, Brescia, Italy.

of random processes, diffusion problems, orbiting satellites and seasonal phenomena [2], [3]. Also, it plays a very important role in the solution of integrable nonlinear partial differential equations. As an example, the simplest Bäcklund transformation of the Korteweg-de Vries equation is represented by a Riccati equation [4]. The Riccati equation (1) can be linearized: indeed by setting

$$v = -\frac{y'}{a_2 y} \quad (2)$$

it follows that $y(z)$ solves a linear second order differential equation. The linear second order differential equations are strictly related to the Schwarzian derivative. This differential operator is invariant under linear fractional transformations and plays a fundamental role in different area of mathematics: besides the theory of linear second order differential equations, there are numerous applications in the theory of modular forms, hypergeometric functions, univalent functions and conformal mappings. Given any smooth enough function $f(z)$, its Schwarzian derivative $\{f, z\}$ is defined via

$$\{f, z\} := \left(\frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right)^2 = \frac{f'''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right)^2. \quad (3)$$

The link between the Schwarzian derivative and the theory of linear differential equations is given by the following result

Theorem 1.1 (see e.g. [5], Theorem 10.1.1): *if $B(z)$ is analytic in a simply connected domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$, then for any two linearly independent solutions η_1 and η_2 of*

$$\eta''(z) = B(z)\eta(z), \quad (4)$$

their quotient $\Omega = \eta_1/\eta_2$ is locally injective and satisfies the differential equation

$$\{\Omega, z\} = -2B(z). \quad (5)$$

The converse of this statement is also true.

Higher order linear differential equations can be associated to generalized Riccati equations: all together these equations are called the *Riccati chain* [4]. In this paper we discuss the links between the second member of the Riccati chain (corresponding to a third order linear equation) and the Schwarzian derivative: in particular the connections with the Ermakov equation and with the Painlevé XXV-Ermakov equation studied in [6] are investigated. We show how the Painlevé XXV-Ermakov equation can be linearized to a third order equation written in a normal form. Thanks to the properties of this linear equation, in Section (3) we find a class of auto-Bäcklund transformations for the Ermakov equation and a particular case of the Painlevé XXV-Ermakov equation. The Ermakov equation appears in a variety of important physical applications, including cosmology, partial differential equations of mathematical physics, elasticity, quantum mechanics and nonlinear systems (for these and other applications see e.g. [7] and references therein).

In Section (3) polynomial relations among solutions are also introduced. In Section (4) a second class of auto-Bäcklund transformations for the Ermakov equation and the Painlevé XXV-Ermakov equation are given: as we will see this new class of transformations is strictly related to the properties of the Schwarzian derivative. In Section (5) algebraic relations among the solutions of the Schwarzian equation

$$\{\Omega, z\} = -2B(z), \quad (6)$$

and its derivative (i.e. Ω and Ω') and the solutions of the Ermakov equation and of the Painlevé XXV-Ermakov equation are derived. These relations and the use of the second class of auto-Bäcklund transformations give the possibility to write the general solution of the Ermakov equation and a particular case of the Painlevé XXV-Ermakov equation in terms of Ω and Ω' . Finally, in Section (6) two examples are discussed: the first one involving the Weierstrass elliptic function and the second one involving a rational function with an arbitrary number of double poles.

2 The second member of the Riccati chain and the Painlevé XXV-Ermakov equation

The properties of the solutions of the Riccati equation can be generalized to higher order equations: in this case the corresponding equations constitute the so called Riccati-chain [4]. By defining the differential operator

$$L = \frac{d}{dz} + v(z), \quad (7)$$

the n -th order equation of the chain is represented by

$$L^n v(z) + \sum_{k=1}^n a_k(z) (L^{k-1} v(z)) + a_0(z) = 0, \quad (8)$$

which can be linearized to a $(n+1)$ -th order differential equation via the change of variables $v = y'/y$, giving

$$\frac{d^{n+1}y}{dz^{n+1}} + \sum_{k=0}^n a_k(z) \frac{d^k y}{dz^k} = 0. \quad (9)$$

The second order element of the Riccati chain (8) is considered in [6]. When $n = 2$, equation (8) reads:

$$\frac{d^2 v}{dz^2} + 3v \frac{dv}{dz} + v^3 + p(z)(v' + v^2) + q(z)v + r(z) = 0, \quad (10)$$

where, with the notations of [6], we set $a_2(z) = p(z)$, $a_1(z) = q(z)$ and $a_0(z) = r(z)$. The corresponding linear equation is then given by

$$y''' + p(z)y'' + q(z)y' + r(z)y = 0. \quad (11)$$

Like for the Riccati equation (i.e. the first member of the chain), it is possible to consider the Schwarzian derivative of the ratio of two independent solutions of the corresponding linear equation. In this case, by setting

$$y_1(z) = w(z)y_2(z), \quad (12)$$

where both y_1 and y_2 satisfy (11), we define the function $\xi(z)$ to be the Schwarzian derivative of $w(z)$, i.e.

$$\xi(z) \doteq \{w(z), z\}. \quad (13)$$

In [6] it is shown that $\xi(z)$ satisfies the following non-linear, second order, differential equation

$$(12\xi(z) + b(z))\xi'' = 15\xi'^2 - h_0\xi' - 8\xi^3 - h_1\xi^2 - h_2\xi - h_3, \quad (14)$$

where the functions h_i , $i = 0...3$, are determined in terms of the functions p , q , r and their derivatives as

$$\begin{aligned} b &= 2(p^2 - 3q + 3p'), \quad h_1 = 4b, \\ h_0 &= 2(4pp' - 3p'' - 9pq + 2p^3 - 6q' + 27r), \\ h_2 &= 2(-4pp'' - 12qp' + 2p^2p' + 5p'^2 + 6qp' - 6p^2q + p^4 + 6q'' + 9q^2 - 18r'), \\ h_3 &= -6p''q' - 2pqp'' + 18rp'' + 6p'q'' + 2pp'q' - 2p^2qp' - 2qp'^2 + 6q^2p' - 18p'r' + \\ &\quad + 2p^2q'' + 2p^3q' - 6pqq' + 18pqr + p^2q^2 - 6p^2r' - 4p^3r - 6qq'' + 3q'^2 + \\ &\quad + 18qr' - 4q^3 - 27r^2. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The following change of dependent variable

$$12\xi(z) + b(z) = 12y(z), \quad (16)$$

together with the definition of the functions $A(z)$ and $B(z)$

$$\begin{aligned} A(z) &= \frac{1}{4}p'' + \frac{1}{2}pp' - \frac{3}{4}q' + \frac{1}{9}p^3 - \frac{1}{2}pq + \frac{3}{2}r, \\ 4B(z) &= p' + \frac{1}{3}p^2 - q, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

allow to recast the equation (14) for $\xi(z)$ in a more concise form:

$$yy'' - \frac{5}{4}y'^2 + \frac{2}{3}y^3 + 3Ay' + 4By^2 - 2A'y - A^2 = 0. \quad (18)$$

The previous equation is the Painlevé XXV-Ermakov equation [6]: the name is due to the fact that, as shown here, by a suitable change of variables, (18) gives both the Painlevé XXV equation (we are following the Ince's numbering [1]) and the Ermakov equation. Indeed it holds the following

Proposition 2.1 *In equation (18), by setting*

$$y(z) = \frac{g(z)}{u(z)^4}, \quad \text{with } g' = 2A(z)u(z)^4, \quad (19)$$

the following generalized Ermakov equation for $u(z)$ is obtained:

$$u'' = B(z)u(z) + \frac{g(z)}{6u(z)^3}. \quad (20)$$

In this Proposition, with the adjective *generalized* referred to the Ermakov equation we are meaning that the coefficient of u^{-3} depends on z . The Ermakov equation has a constant term instead. Also, as it can be seen from (19), the function $g(z)$ is related to $u(z)$ and so (20) is not strictly a Ermakov equation. Clearly, in the case $A = 0$, (19) implies that $g(z)$ is constant and in this case it follows that (20) is a proper Ermakov equation.

A particular case of the previous transformation, leading to the Painlevé XXV equation, is given in the following Proposition.

Proposition 2.2 *In Proposition (2.1), by setting $g(z) = 2A(z)u(z)^3$ and not considering the further constraint $g' = 2Au^4$, i.e. by setting*

$$y(z) = \frac{2A(z)}{u(z)} \quad (21)$$

in equation (18), the Painlevé XXV equation is obtained for $u(z)$:

$$u'' = \frac{3u'^2}{4u} + \left(\frac{A'}{2A} - \frac{3u}{2} \right) u' - \frac{1}{4}u^3 + \frac{A'}{2A}u^2 + \left(4B - \frac{5A'^2}{4A^2} + \frac{A''}{A} \right) u + \frac{4}{3}A. \quad (22)$$

From another point of view, we notice that in the Proposition (2.1) it is possible to look at the equation (20) as an equation *defining* the function $g(z)$. The constraint (19), i.e. $g' = 2A(z)u(z)^4$, then gives the following quadratic equation for $u(z)$:

$$u'''u + 3u''u' - \frac{A}{3}u^2 - 4Bu'u' - B'u^2 = 0, \quad (23)$$

which gives a *linear* equation in the new variable $w = u^2$:

$$w''' - 4Bw' - 2 \left(B' + \frac{A}{3} \right) w = 0. \quad (24)$$

From the previous observation we get a linearization of the equation (18): indeed it holds the following

Proposition 2.3 *Let $w(z)$ be a solution of the linear equation (24). Then the function*

$$y = \frac{3w''}{w} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{w'}{w} \right)^2 - 6B \quad (25)$$

is a solution of the Painlevé XXV-Ermakov equation (18).

Proposition 2.4 *If $A = 0$, the linear equation (24) reduces to the equation considered also by Gambier [8], [9] in relation to the Ermakov equation. Indeed, in this case, equation (24) possesses a first integral given by*

$$w''w - \frac{1}{2}(w')^2 - 2Bw^2 = 2I, \quad (26)$$

where I is a constant. From Propositions (2.1) and equation (25) it follows that the function $u(z)$ defined by $w = u^2$ solves the Ermakov equation:

$$u'' = B(z)u + \frac{I}{u^3}. \quad (27)$$

In Proposition (2.2) we have just considered the equation for $g(z)$, without the further constraint $g' = 2Au^4$. If the derivative of g satisfies this last constraint too, then it is possible to get a family of solutions of equation (18), corresponding also to a family of solutions of a linear equation and of the Painlevé XXV equation. Indeed, from the equations $g(z) = 2A(z)u^3$ and $g' = 2A(z)u^4$ it follows that $u(z)$ satisfies a Riccati equation:

$$3Au' - Au^2 + A'u = 0. \quad (28)$$

By setting $u = v'$, the function A can be expressed in terms of v and its derivative as:

$$A(z) = \frac{ce^v}{(v')^3}, \quad (29)$$

where c is an arbitrary constant. By Proposition (2.1) it follows that $u = v'$ satisfies also equation (20) (a linear equation in this case). This equation fixes the value of $B(z)$ in terms of v and its derivatives. Indeed one has:

$$B(z) = \frac{v'''}{v'} - \frac{ce^v}{3(v')^4}. \quad (30)$$

Finally, the function $y(z)$ satisfying the Painlevé XXV-Ermakov equation is given by:

$$y(z) = \frac{2ce^v}{(v')^4}. \quad (31)$$

From the above equations we get the following

Proposition 2.5 *Suppose that the functions $A(z)$ and $B(z)$ in (18) are given by the expressions (29) and (30) for some function $v(z)$. Then a solution of the Painlevé XXV-Ermakov equation (18) is given by the expression (31). Further, the function $u = v'$ satisfies the Painlevé XXV equation (22), equation (23) and the linear equation $u'' = Bu + A/3$.*

3 Wronskians and algebraic relations among solutions

The third order linear equation (24) is in normal form [10]. The function $A(z)$ is usually called the Laguerre invariant (see e.g. at [10]). It is known (see again [10]) that, if w_1 and w_2 are two solutions of (24), i.e.:

$$w_i''' - 4Bw_i' - 2\left(B' + \frac{A}{3}\right)w_i = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (32)$$

then their Wronskian

$$w = w_1w_2' - w_1'w_2 \quad (33)$$

is a solution of

$$w''' - 4Bw' - 2\left(B' - \frac{A}{3}\right)w = 0. \quad (34)$$

In the case $A = 0$ the transformation (33) represents an auto-Bäcklund transformation for the equation (24). This case is interesting since, as it has been discussed in the Proposition (2.4), it is related to the Ermakov equation. From the Bäcklund transformation (33) and the changes of variables $w = u^2$, $w_i = u_i^2$, $i = (1, 2)$, (see the Proposition (2.4)) we get the Bäcklund transformations for the Ermakov equation. It holds the following

Proposition 3.1 *Suppose that u_1 and u_2 are two solutions of the Ermakov equation*

$$u'' = B(z)u + \frac{c}{u^3}, \quad (35)$$

then the function u defined by

$$u^2 = 2u_1u_2(u_1u_2' - u_2u_1') \quad (36)$$

is a solution of the following Ermakov equation

$$u'' = B(z)u + \frac{k}{u^3}. \quad (37)$$

The constants c and k are conserved quantities for the equation (24) in the case $A = 0$, i.e. if $u^2 = w$, $u_1^2 = w_1$ and $u_2^2 = w_2$

$$\begin{aligned} w_i''w_i - \frac{1}{2}(w_i')^2 - 2Bw_i^2 &= 2c, \quad i = 1, 2, \\ w''w - \frac{1}{2}(w')^2 - 2Bw^2 &= 2k. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Proposition 3.2 *From equations (35) and (37) and the relation (36) it follows that the functions u_1 , u_2 and u of the Proposition (3.1) satisfy the following polynomial equation:*

$$\frac{u^4}{4} + c(u_1^2 - u_2^2)^2 - au_1^2u_2^2 = 0, \quad (39)$$

where the constant a is related to k and c by $k = -a(a + 4c)$.

Indeed, differentiation of equation (36) and taking into account the equation (36) itself and equation (35), gives

$$uu' = c \left(\frac{u_1^2}{u_2^2} - \frac{u_2^2}{u_1^2} \right) + \frac{u^2}{2} \left(\frac{u_1'}{u_1} + \frac{u_2'}{u_2} \right). \quad (40)$$

For the second derivative u'' , by differentiating the previous equation and taking into account (36), (35) and (40), we get

$$u'' = B(z)u - \frac{(u^4 + 4c(u_1^2 - u_2^2)^2)(u^4 + 4c(u_1^2 + u_2^2)^2)}{16u_1^4 u_2^4 u^3}. \quad (41)$$

By comparison with Proposition (3.1) the ratio on the right hand side of the previous equation must be equal to k/u^3 , i.e.,

$$\frac{(u^4 + 4c(u_1^2 - u_2^2)^2)}{4u_1^2 u_2^2} \frac{(u^4 + 4c(u_1^2 + u_2^2)^2)}{4u_1^2 u_2^2} + k = 0. \quad (42)$$

From direct differentiation and by using the equation (40) and (36) it is possible to check that both the factors in equation (42) are constants, i.e. there exist two constants a and b such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{u^4}{4} + c(u_1^2 - u_2^2)^2 &= au_1^2 u_2^2, \\ \frac{u^4}{4} + c(u_1^2 + u_2^2)^2 &= bu_1^2 u_2^2. \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

By subtracting the previous equations it follows that $-4c = a - b$, whereas by multiplying them one has $ab = -k$, giving the result (39).

The Propositions (3.1) and (3.2) can be generalized also to the case when u_1 and u_2 solve two Ermakov equations with two different constants as coefficients of the u^{-3} term. We have the following Proposition.

Proposition 3.3 *Suppose that u_1 and u_2 are two solutions of the Ermakov equation*

$$u_i'' = B(z)u_i + \frac{c_i}{u_i^3}, \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (44)$$

Then the function u defined by

$$u^2 = 2u_1 u_2 (u_1 u_2' - u_2 u_1') \quad (45)$$

is a solution of the following Ermakov equation

$$u'' = B(z)u + \frac{k}{u^3}. \quad (46)$$

The constants c_i and k are conserved quantities for the equation (24) in the case $A = 0$, i.e. if $u^2 = w$, $u_1^2 = w_1$ and $u_2^2 = w_2$

$$\begin{aligned} w_i'' w_i - \frac{1}{2}(w_i')^2 - 2Bw_i^2 &= 2c_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \\ w'' w - \frac{1}{2}(w')^2 - 2Bw^2 &= 2k. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Further, the solutions u , u_1 and u_2 and the constants c_1 , c_2 and k are related by the following polynomial relation:

$$\frac{u^8}{16} + \frac{(c_1 u_2^4 + c_2 u_1^4)}{2} u^4 + (c_1 u_2^4 - c_2 u_1^4)^2 + k u_1^4 u_2^4 = 0. \quad (48)$$

Indeed, let us look again at the Bäcklund transformation (33) and the Proposition (2.4). From the equations

$$w_i'' w_i - \frac{1}{2} (w_i')^2 - 2B w_i^2 = 2c_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (49)$$

and the change of variables $w_i = u_i^2$, $i = (1, 2)$ we get that the functions u_i , $i = 1, 2$, solve the Ermakov equations

$$u_i'' = B(z) u_i + \frac{c_i}{u_i^3}, \quad i = 1, 2 \quad (50)$$

whereas the function u , defined by

$$u^2 = 2u_1 u_2 (u_1 u_2' - u_2 u_1') \quad (51)$$

solves the equation

$$u'' = B(z) u + \frac{k}{u^3} \quad (52)$$

for a suitable value of k . This value of k is such that, if $w = u^2$, then

$$w'' w - \frac{1}{2} (w')^2 - 2B w^2 = 2k. \quad (53)$$

Differentiating equation (51) and by taking into account the equation (51) itself and equations (50), we get:

$$u u' = \left(c_2 \frac{u_1^2}{u_2^2} - c_1 \frac{u_2^2}{u_1^2} \right) + \frac{u^2}{2} \left(\frac{u_1'}{u_1} + \frac{u_2'}{u_2} \right). \quad (54)$$

For the second derivative u'' , by differentiating the previous equation and taking into account (51), (52) and (54), we get:

$$u'' = B(z) u - \left(\frac{u^8 + 8(c_1 u_2^4 + c_2 u_1^4) u^4 + 16(c_1 u_2^4 - c_2 u_1^4)^2}{16 u_1^4 u_2^4 u^3} \right). \quad (55)$$

From equation (52) it follows that the ratio on the right hand side of the previous equation must be equal to k/u^3 , i.e.

$$\frac{u^8}{16} + \frac{(c_1 u_2^4 + c_2 u_1^4)}{2} u^4 + (c_1 u_2^4 - c_2 u_1^4)^2 + k u_1^4 u_2^4 = 0. \quad (56)$$

Propositions (3.2) and (3.3), in particular the polynomial relations among solutions, can be extended to the solutions of the third order equation (24) and to the solutions of the Painlevé XXV-Ermakov equation (18) when the function $A(z)$ is equal to zero. We have indeed

Proposition 3.4 Suppose that w_1 and w_2 are two solutions of the linear equation

$$w''' - 4Bw' - 2B'w = 0, \quad (57)$$

then the function w defined by the Wronskian

$$w = w_1 w_2' - w_2 w_1' \quad (58)$$

is a solution of the same equation (57). There is a polynomial relation among w , w_1 and w_2 :

$$\frac{w^4}{16} + \frac{(c_1 w_2^2 + c_2 w_1^2)}{2} w^2 + (c_2 w_1^2 - c_1 w_2^2)^2 + k w_1^2 w_2^2 = 0. \quad (59)$$

The constants c_1 , c_2 and k are conserved quantities for the equation (57), i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} w_i'' w_i - \frac{1}{2} (w_i')^2 - 2B w_i^2 &= 2c_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \\ w'' w - \frac{1}{2} (w')^2 - 2B w^2 &= 2k. \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

If the two constants c_1 and c_2 are equal, $c_1 = c_2 = c$, then the polynomial equation (59) reduces to the quadratic equation

$$\frac{w^2}{4} + c(w_1 - w_2)^2 - a w_1 w_2 = 0, \quad (61)$$

wherein the constants a , k and c are related via $k = -a(a + 4c)$.

The previous Proposition comes directly from equations (32)-(34) and Propositions (3.2) and (3.3) by substituting $u_i^2 = w_i$, $i = 1, 2$, and $u^2 = w$.

Now, let us consider the equation (18) in the case $A = 0$. From the Proposition (2.3) and the Proposition (2.4) we have that, if the functions w_1 , w_2 and w satisfy equations (38), then the functions y_1 , y_2 and y defined by the relations $y w^2 = 6k$, $y_1 w_1^2 = 6c_1$ and $y_2 w_2^2 = 6c_2$ satisfy equation (18) in the case $A = 0$. Indeed, let us consider the equation (24) in the case $A = 0$, like in the Proposition (2.4):

$$w''' - 4Bw' - 2B'w = 0. \quad (62)$$

If w is a solution of (62), then the function defined by the transformation (25), i.e.,

$$y = \frac{3w''}{w} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{w'}{w} \right)^2 - 6B \quad (63)$$

is a solution of the Painlevé XXV-Ermakov equation (18) for $A = 0$. Equation (62) has a first integral, i.e.

$$w'' w - \frac{1}{2} (w')^2 - 2B w^2 = 2c, \quad (64)$$

where c is a constant. By comparing equations (63) and (64), we see that equation (63) can be rewritten as

$$y = \frac{6c}{w^2}. \quad (65)$$

Now, by considering the maps $y w^2 = 6k$, $y_1 w_1^2 = 6c_1$ and $y_2 w_2^2 = 6c_2$ and expressing the relations (58) and (59) in terms of the new variables y_1 , y_2 and y we get the following

Proposition 3.5 *Suppose that y_1 and y_2 are two solutions of the equation*

$$yy'' - \frac{5}{4}y'^2 + \frac{2}{3}y^3 + 4By^2 = 0, \quad (66)$$

then the function y defined by the following equation

$$y = \frac{2k(y_1y_2)^3}{3c_1c_2(y_1y_2' - y_2y_1')^2} \quad (67)$$

is a solution of the same equation (66). The constants c_1 , c_2 and k are such that the functions w_1 , w_2 and k defined by $yw^2 = 6k$, $y_1w_1^2 = 6c_1$ and $y_2w_2^2 = 6c_2$ satisfy equations (60). There is a polynomial relation among y , y_1 and y_2 , given by:

$$16c_1c_2(c_1c_2(y_1 - y_2)^2 + ky_1y_2)y^2 + 8c_1c_2ky_1y_2(y_1 + y_2)y + k^2y_1^2y_2^2 = 0. \quad (68)$$

4 Further Bäcklund transformations

In the previous work [11] (see also [12]) another family of Bäcklund transformations for equation (27) has been presented. These transformations depend on a certain function $f(z)$ that solve a suitable functional equation that is closely related with the properties of the Schwarzian derivative. For completeness we report the result given in [11]:

Proposition 4.1 *Suppose that u_0 is a solution of the equation (27). Define the function $\Omega(z) = \frac{\eta_0}{\eta_1}$, where η_0 and η_1 are two independent solutions of the linear differential equation $\eta'' = B\eta$. Then, if it is possible to find a function $f(z)$ such that the following equation*

$$\Omega(f(z)) = \frac{a\Omega(z) + b}{c\Omega(z) + d}, \quad ad - bc \neq 0, \quad (69)$$

holds for some set of constants a, b, c, d , then the map

$$u_1(z)^2 = \frac{u_0(f(z))^2}{f'(z)} \quad (70)$$

is a Bäcklund transformation for the equation (27).

Indeed, let us assume that $u_0(z)$ is a solution of equation (27) and hence a solution of the following equation

$$\frac{d^2u(\tilde{z})}{d\tilde{z}^2} = B(\tilde{z})u(\tilde{z}) + \frac{I}{u(\tilde{z})^3}, \quad (71)$$

$$\tilde{z} = f(z).$$

Differentiating equation (70) with respect to z we find (as in equation (71) $\tilde{z} = f(z)$)

$$u_1 \frac{du_1}{dz} = u_0(\tilde{z}) \frac{du_0}{d\tilde{z}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\frac{d^2f}{dz^2}}{(\frac{df}{dz})^2} u_0(\tilde{z})^2. \quad (72)$$

The second derivative, by using equation (72) for u_1' , equation (70) for $u_0(\tilde{z})$ and equation (71) for $\frac{d^2 u_0(\tilde{z})}{d\tilde{z}^2}$, can be written as:

$$\frac{d^2 u_1}{dz^2} = \left(\frac{df}{dz} \right)^2 B(\tilde{z}) u_1(z) + \frac{I}{u_1(z)^3} - \frac{1}{2} \{f(z), z\} u_1(z). \quad (73)$$

It follows that $u_1(z)$ is a solution of equation (27) if

$$\left(\left(\frac{df}{dz} \right)^2 B(\tilde{z}) - B(z) - \frac{1}{2} \{f(z), z\} \right) u_1(z) = 0. \quad (74)$$

The previous equation is an equation for $B(z)$ and can be rewritten as

$$(-2B(z)) = (-2B(f(z))) \left(\frac{df(z)}{dz} \right)^2 + \{f(z), z\}. \quad (75)$$

We remember the transformation law of the Schwarzian derivative under composition of functions. If the function Ω depends on z through the function $\psi(z)$, i.e. $\Omega(z) = \Omega(\psi(z))$, then the Schwarzian derivative via composition of functions behaves as (see e.g. [13])

$$\{\Omega(\psi(z)), z\} = \{\Omega(\psi), \psi\} \left(\frac{d\psi(z)}{dz} \right)^2 + \{\psi(z), z\}. \quad (76)$$

Notably, the latter reminds equation (75) when the function f is identified with the function ψ and the function $-2B$ with the Schwarzian derivative $\{\Omega, z\}$. So we identify $-2B(z)$ with a suitable Schwarzian derivative by setting

$$-2B(z) := \{\Omega(z), z\}. \quad (77)$$

In terms of Ω , equation (75) then becomes

$$\{\Omega(z), z\} = \{\Omega(f(z)), z\}. \quad (78)$$

Further, the previous implies that $\Omega(f(z))$ and $\Omega(z)$ are related by a fractional linear transformation, i.e. there are four constants a, b, c and d such that

$$\Omega(f(z)) = \frac{a\Omega(z) + b}{c\Omega(z) + d}, \quad ad - bc \neq 0. \quad (79)$$

The function $\Omega(z)$ is defined by equation (77). Equations (4) and (5) however imply that it can be also expressed by the ratio between two independent solutions of the equation $\eta'' = B\eta$. Proposition (4.1) then follows.

Due to the relations between the solutions of equation (66) and those of equation (27), i.e. $y = 6I/u^4$ (see Proposition 2.1), from Proposition (4.1) one has immediately the following

Proposition 4.2 Suppose that y_0 is a solution of the equation (66). Define the function $\Omega(z) = \frac{\eta_0}{\eta_1}$, where η_0 and η_1 are two independent solutions of the linear differential equation $\eta'' = B\eta$. Then, if it is possible to find a function $f(z)$ such that the following equation

$$\Omega(f(z)) = \frac{a\Omega(z) + b}{c\Omega(z) + d}, \quad ad - bc \neq 0, \quad (80)$$

holds for some set of constants a, b, c, d , then the map

$$y_1(z) = y_0(f(z))f'^2 \quad (81)$$

is a Bäcklund transformation admitted by equation (66).

Actually, this family of Bäcklund transformations can be extended to the more general equation (18) as well by a further constraint on the function $A(z)$, again expressed in terms of a functional equation. We give the following statement and a proof.

Proposition 4.3 Suppose that y_0 is a solution of the equation (18). Define the function $\Omega(z) = \frac{\eta_0}{\eta_1}$, where η_0 and η_1 are two independent solutions of the linear differential equation $\eta'' = B\eta$. Then, if it is possible to find a function $f(z)$ such that the following equation

$$\Omega(f(z)) = \frac{a\Omega(z) + b}{c\Omega(z) + d}, \quad ad - bc \neq 0, \quad (82)$$

holds for some set of constants a, b, c, d , and $A(z)$ satisfies

$$A(f(z)) \left(\frac{df(z)}{dz} \right)^3 = A(z), \quad (83)$$

then the map

$$y_1(z) = y_0(f(z))f'^2 \quad (84)$$

is a Bäcklund transformation for the equation (18).

To prove this statement, let us assume that the map (84) defines a new solution of equation (18). Inserting (84) in (18) and using the following equation for $y_0(f(z))$

$$y(\tilde{z}) \frac{d^2 y(\tilde{z})}{d\tilde{z}^2} - \frac{5}{4} \left(\frac{dy(\tilde{z})}{d\tilde{z}} \right)^2 + 3A(\tilde{z}) \frac{dy(\tilde{z})}{d\tilde{z}} + \frac{2}{3} y(\tilde{z})^3 + 4B(\tilde{z}) y(\tilde{z})^2 - 2 \frac{dA(\tilde{z})}{d\tilde{z}} y(\tilde{z}) - A(\tilde{z})^2 = 0, \quad (85)$$

$\tilde{z} = f(z),$

we find that $y_1(z)$ is again a solution of equation (18) provided $A(z)$ and $B(z)$ satisfy the following relations:

$$\{f(z), z\} + 2B(z) - 2B(f(z)) \left(\frac{df(z)}{dz} \right)^2 = 0, \quad A(f(z)) \left(\frac{df(z)}{dz} \right)^3 - A(z) = 0. \quad (86)$$

The equation for $A(z)$ is exactly (83). The equation for $B(z)$ is exactly the equation given in (74): again, with the same line of reasoning after equation (74) we conclude that for a suitable $\Omega(z)$

$$-2B(z) = \{\Omega(z), z\}, \quad (87)$$

and if

$$\Omega(f(z)) = \frac{a\Omega(z) + b}{c\Omega(z) + d}, \quad ad - bc \neq 0, \quad (88)$$

Proposition (4.3) follows.

5 Linearization of the Wronskian equation

The previous sections shows a deep relationship between the Schwarzian equation (5), the linear equation (57) and the Ermakov equation (27). These connections are made more explicit in this section. It is known (see e.g. [10]) that a solution of equation (57)

$$w''' - 4Bw' - 2B'w = 0 \quad (89)$$

can be written as the product of two independent solutions of $\eta'' = B\eta$. If η_1 and η_2 are two such solutions, for any choice of the constant (a, b, c, d) one has that the function

$$w = (a\eta_1 + b\eta_2)(c\eta_1 + d\eta_2) \quad (90)$$

solves equation (89). If $ad - bc \neq 0$, then the previous is the general solution of equation (89) (conversely, if $ad - bc = 0$ the expression on the right hand side of (90) reduces to a square of a single function). We remember that equation (89) can be integrated once, giving

$$ww'' - \frac{1}{2}(w')^2 - 2Bw^2 = 2c, \quad (91)$$

where c is an integration constant. By inserting the general solution (90) in (89), we find that the integration constant c is explicitly given by

$$c = -\frac{1}{2}W^2(ad - bc)^2, \quad (92)$$

where W is the Wronskian of η_1 and η_2 , i.e. $W = \eta_1\eta_2' - \eta_1'\eta_2$.

On the other hand, (4) and (5) imply that if η_1 and η_2 are two linearly independent solutions of the second order equation

$$\eta''(z) = B(z)\eta(z), \quad (93)$$

then the quotient

$$\Omega = \frac{a\eta_1 + b\eta_2}{c\eta_1 + d\eta_2}, \quad ad - bc \neq 0, \quad (94)$$

satisfies the Schwarzian equation

$$\{\Omega, z\} = -2B(z). \quad (95)$$

We notice that the product defined by the right hand side of equation (90) is proportional to Ω/Ω' , where Ω is given by (94). Specifically one has:

$$\frac{\Omega}{\Omega'} = -(a\eta_1 + b\eta_2)(c\eta_1 + d\eta_2)/(W(ad - bc)). \quad (96)$$

The previous equations, together with Proposition (2.4) and equation (65), give the following

Proposition 5.1 *Suppose that the function $\Omega(z)$ solves the Schwarzian equation*

$$\{\Omega, z\} = -2B(z). \quad (97)$$

Then the following statements hold:

1. *The function $w = \Omega/\Omega'$ solves the linear equation*

$$w''' - 4Bw' - 2B'w = 0. \quad (98)$$

2. *The function defined by $u^2 = 2r(\Omega/\Omega')$ solves the Ermakov equation*

$$u'' = Bu - \frac{r^2}{u^3}. \quad (99)$$

3. *The function $y(z) = -\frac{3}{2}(\Omega'/\Omega)^2$ satisfies the equation (18) for $A = 0$, i.e.*

$$yy'' - \frac{5}{4}y'^2 + \frac{2}{3}y^3 + 4By^2 = 0. \quad (100)$$

In Proposition (5.1), particular solutions of the equations (98)-(100) depend on the function $\Omega(z)$ and its first derivative: this function is the same appearing in the Bäcklund transformations found in section (4). This observation helps to find the *general* solutions of equations (98)-(100) in terms of $\Omega(z)$ and its first derivative. Indeed, let us consider for example the Ermakov equation (99). From Proposition (4.1) we know that if $u_0(z)$ is a solution of (109), then

$$u_1(z)^2 = \frac{u_0(f(z))^2}{f'(z)} \quad (101)$$

is another solution. Here the function $f(z)$ is such that

$$\Omega(f(z)) = \frac{a\Omega(z) + b}{c\Omega(z) + d}, \quad ad - bc \neq 0. \quad (102)$$

Differentiating the previous equation we get

$$\Omega'(f(z))f'(z) = (ad - bc) \frac{\Omega'(z)}{(c\Omega(z) + d)^2}, \quad ad - bc \neq 0. \quad (103)$$

Setting $u_0^2(z) = 2r (\Omega/\Omega')$ in (101) it follows

$$u_1(z)^2 = 2r \frac{\Omega(f)}{\Omega'(f)f'(z)}, \quad (104)$$

and, with the help of equation (103), we get

$$u_1(z)^2 = 2r \frac{(a\Omega(z) + b)(c\Omega(z) + d)}{(ad - bc)\Omega'(z)}. \quad (105)$$

Equation (105) represents a solution of the Ermakov equation (99) for any choice of the constants (a, b, c, d) such that $ad - bc \neq 0$ and then it is the general solution of equation (99). The same line of reasoning can be applied to equations (98) and (100): the results are summarized in the following

Proposition 5.2 *Suppose that the function $\Omega(z)$ solves the Schwarzian equation*

$$\{\Omega, z\} = -2B(z) \quad (106)$$

and let the arbitrary constants (a, b, c, d) be such that $ad - bc \neq 0$. Then

1. *The general solution of the linear equation*

$$w''' - 4Bw' - 2B'w = 0 \quad (107)$$

is given by

$$w(z) = \frac{(a\Omega(z) + b)(c\Omega(z) + d)}{(ad - bc)\Omega'(z)}. \quad (108)$$

2. *The general solution of the Ermakov equation*

$$u'' = Bu - \frac{r^2}{u^3} \quad (109)$$

is given by

$$u(z)^2 = 2r \frac{(a\Omega(z) + b)(c\Omega(z) + d)}{(ad - bc)\Omega'(z)}. \quad (110)$$

3. *The general solution of equation (18) for $A = 0$, i.e.*

$$yy'' - \frac{5}{4}y'^2 + \frac{2}{3}y^3 + 4By^2 = 0 \quad (111)$$

is given by

$$y(z) = -\frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{(ad - bc)\Omega'(z)}{(a\Omega(z) + b)(c\Omega(z) + d)} \right)^2. \quad (112)$$

The results given in this section will be useful in the examples of the next section.

6 Examples and applications

This Section collects some examples of interest in applications.

6.1 An example involving the Weierstrass \wp function

Let us consider the duplication formula for the Weierstrass elliptic function $\wp(z)$ (see e.g. [14], formula 23.10.7):

$$\wp(2z) = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\wp''(z)}{\wp'(z)} \right)^2 - 2\wp(z). \quad (113)$$

From the differential equation satisfied by $\wp(z)$, i.e.

$$(\wp')^2 = 4\wp^3 - g_2\wp - g_3, \quad (114)$$

where g_2 and g_3 are the invariants, and the differential consequences of (114), i.e.

$$\wp'' = 6\wp^2 - \frac{1}{2}g_2, \quad \wp''' = 12\wp\wp', \quad (115)$$

we get

$$\frac{\wp'''}{\wp'} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{\wp''}{\wp'} \right)^2 = \{\wp(z), z\} = -6\wp(2z). \quad (116)$$

The previous equation and Proposition 5.2 suggests to set $B(z) = 3\wp(2z)$. So we consider the linear third order equation:

$$w''' - 12\wp(2z)w' - 12\wp'(2z)w = 0. \quad (117)$$

From equation (116) we see that

$$\Omega(z) = \wp(z), \quad (118)$$

whereas Proposition 5.2 tells us that the general solution of (117) is given by

$$w(z) = \frac{(a\wp(z) + b)(c\wp(z) + d)}{(ad - bc)\wp'(z)}. \quad (119)$$

If we consider the Ermakov equation (109) in the case $B(z) = 3\wp(2z)$, i.e.,

$$u'' = 3\wp(2z)u - \frac{r^2}{u^3}, \quad (120)$$

we get, from Proposition 5.2 the general solution

$$u^2(z) = 2r \frac{(a\wp(z) + b)(c\wp(z) + d)}{\wp'(z)(ad - bc)}. \quad (121)$$

In addition, the general solution of the equation

$$yy'' - \frac{5}{4}y'^2 + \frac{2}{3}y^3 + 12\wp(2z)y^2 = 0, \quad (122)$$

is given by

$$y(z) = -\frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{(ad - bc)\wp'(z)}{(a\wp(z) + b)(c\wp(z) + d)} \right)^2. \quad (123)$$

We finally remark that equation (117) is related to a particular case of the Lamé equation considered by Halphen (see [15], pag. 105):

$$\eta'' - 3/4\wp(z)\eta = 0. \quad (124)$$

Halphen showed that this equation is such that every solution is multi-valued but the ratio of any two solutions is single-valued (a *fonction uniforme* according to Halphen). Further, he gives explicitly the two independent solution of (124) as

$$\eta_1 = \wp'(z/2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \eta_2 = \wp'(z/2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\wp(z/2). \quad (125)$$

The link between equation (117) and (124) is obtained by considering the change of variables $2z \rightarrow z$ in (117), giving

$$w''' - 3\wp(z)w' - 3/2\wp'(z)w = 0, \quad (126)$$

and by considering the comment after equation (89) and equation (90). Indeed, from equation (90), we see that the general solution of (126) can be written as

$$w(z) = (a\eta_1(z) + b\eta_2)(c\eta_2(z) + d\eta_1), \quad ad - bc \neq 0, \quad (127)$$

where η_1 and η_2 are two independent solutions of the Lamé equation (124). Explicitly one has

$$w(z) = \frac{1}{\wp'(z)}(a\wp(z) + b)(c\wp(z) + d), \quad ad - bc \neq 0. \quad (128)$$

6.2 An example involving rational functions

The Ermakov equation with a rational potential $B(z)$, like z^{-2} , appears in the theory of scalar field cosmologies (see e.g. [11], [16]). It is well known [5] that the Schwarzian derivative of a power, say $(z - \alpha)^{n+1}$, is proportional to $(z - \alpha)^{-2}$: more precisely

$$\{(z - a)^{n+1}, z\} = -\frac{n(n+2)}{2} \frac{1}{(z - a)^2}. \quad (129)$$

Actually it is possible to generalize the previous equation to a product of such power functions. Let us define the function $P(z)$ by

$$P'(z) = \prod_{k=1}^N (z - a_k)^n, \quad (130)$$

where all a_k 's are supposed to be different from each other. It is easy to show that

$$\frac{P'''}{P'} = n(n-1) \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{(z-a_k)^2} + 2n^2 \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{j \neq k}^N \frac{1}{a_j - a_k} \frac{1}{z - a_k}, \quad (131)$$

and

$$\left(\frac{P''}{P'}\right)^2 = n^2 \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{(z-a_k)^2} + 2n^2 \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{j \neq k}^N \frac{1}{a_j - a_k} \frac{1}{z - a_k}. \quad (132)$$

Equations (131) and (132) give

$$\{P(z), z\} = -\frac{n(n+2)}{2} \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{(z-a_k)^2} - n^2 \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{j \neq k}^N \frac{1}{a_j - a_k} \frac{1}{z - a_k}. \quad (133)$$

From the Proposition (5.2) we get the following result. Let the function $P(z)$ be defined by (130) and consider the following third order linear equation:

$$w''' - 4Bw' - 2B'w = 0, \quad (134)$$

where

$$B(z) = \frac{n(n+2)}{4} \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{1}{(z-a_k)^2} + \frac{n^2}{2} \sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{j \neq k}^N \frac{1}{a_j - a_k} \frac{1}{z - a_k}. \quad (135)$$

Then, the general solution of equation (134) is given by

$$w(z) = \frac{(aP(z) + b)(cP(z) + d)}{(ad - bc)P'(z)} = \frac{(a \int \prod_{k=1}^N (z - a_k)^n dz + b)(c \int \prod_{k=1}^N (z - a_k)^n dz + d)}{(ad - bc) \prod_{k=1}^N (z - a_k)^n}. \quad (136)$$

By considering the Ermakov equation

$$u'' = Bu - \frac{r^2}{u^3}, \quad (137)$$

with $B(z)$ defined by (135), its general solution is defined by the equation

$$u(z)^2 = 2r \frac{(aP(z) + b)(cP(z) + d)}{(ad - bc)P'(z)} = 2r \frac{(a \int \prod_{k=1}^N (z - a_k)^n dz + b)(c \int \prod_{k=1}^N (z - a_k)^n dz + d)}{(ad - bc) \prod_{k=1}^N (z - a_k)^n}. \quad (138)$$

Finally, the general solution of the following equation

$$yy'' - \frac{5}{4}y'^2 + \frac{2}{3}y^3 + 4By^2 = 0, \quad (139)$$

where $B(z)$ is defined by (135), is given by

$$\begin{aligned} y(z) &= -\frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{(ad - bc)P'(z)}{(aP(z) + b)(cP(z) + d)} \right)^2 = \\ &= -\frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{(ad - bc) \prod_{k=1}^N (z - a_k)^n}{(a \int \prod_{k=1}^N (z - a_k)^n dz + b)(c \int \prod_{k=1}^N (z - a_k)^n dz + d)} \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (140)$$

Acknowledgments

SC acknowledges the support of SAPIENZA Università di Roma, GNFM-INdAM and INFN, GF acknowledges the support of National Science Center (Narodowe Centrum Nauki NCN) OPUS grant 2017/25/B/BST1/00931 (Poland), FZ acknowledges the support of Università di Brescia, GNFM-INdAM and INFN.

Conflict of interests

On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

Data Availability Statements

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

References

- [1] Ince E.L.: Ordinary Differential Equations, Dover, New York (1976).
- [2] Khan N.A., Ara A., Jamil M.: An efficient approach for solving the Riccati equation with fractional orders, Computers and Mathematics with Applications 61, 2683–2689 (2011).
- [3] Reid W.T.: Riccati Differential Equations: Mathematics in Science and Engineering, vol. 86, Academic Press, New York (1972).
- [4] Grundland A.M., Levi D.: On higher-order Riccati equations as Bäcklund transformations, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., 32, 3931–3937 (1999).
- [5] Hille E., *Ordinary Differential Equations in the Complex Domain*, Wiley, Toronto (1976).
- [6] Chichurin A., Filipuk G.: On special solutions to the Ermakov-Painlevé XXV equation, submitted.
- [7] Leach P.G.L., Andriopoulos K.: The Ermakov equation: a commentary. Applicable Analysis and Discrete Mathematics Vol. 2, No. 2, pp. 146-157 (2008).

- [8] Conte R. M., Musette M.: The Painlevé Handbook, Springer, Dordrecht (2008).
- [9] Hone A.N.W.: Exact discretization of the Ermakov–Pinney equation, *Physics Letters A*, 263, 347-354 (1999).
- [10] Greguš M.: Third Order Linear Differential Equations, D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht (1987).
- [11] Carillo S., Zullo F.: Ermakov-Pinney and Emden-Fowler equations: new solutions from novel Bäcklund transformations, *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, Vol. 196, 3, 1268-1281 (2018).
- [12] Carillo S., Zullo F.: Gross-Pitaevskii equation: Bäcklund transformations and admitted solutions, *Ricerche di Matematica*, 68 (2), 503-512 (2018).
- [13] Ford L.R.: Automorphic functions, McGraw-Hill, New York (1929).
- [14] NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions, Olver F. W. J., Olde Daalhuis A. B., Lozier D.W., Schneider B.I., Boisvert R.F., Clark C.W., Miller B.R., Saunders B.V., Cohl H.S., and McClain M.A. eds., <http://dlmf.nist.gov/>, Release 1.1.3 of 2021-09-15.
- [15] Halphen M.: Mémoires présentés par divers savans à l'Académie royale des sciences, XXVIII, 1884.
- [16] Hawkins R.M., Lidsey J.E.: Ermakov-Pinney equation in scalar field cosmologies, *Phys. Rev. D* 66, 023523 (2002).