

FIG. 1: We consider a mobile impurity (green ball) forming a quasiparticle by interacting with a two-component Fermi gas (blue and red balls) in 2D. An attractive interaction with strength $-\ln(k_F a_F)$ between the fermions gives rise to a discontinuous phase transition between a superfluid and a normal phase at the critical temperature T_{BKT} , which is suppressed from the mean-field BCS prediction T_c by phase fluctuations. The vertical lines indicate the coupling strengths for which we plot the polaron energy in Fig. 2.

strength by the energy of a bound state of two fermions ϵ_B , which is always present for an attractive interaction [35, 36]. Similarly, we will eliminate the interaction strength g_{IF} between the impurity particle and the fermions, which for simplicity is taken to be independent of momentum and spin, in favour of the energy of a bound impurity-fermion dimer as explained below. We work in units where \hbar , k_B , and the system volume are all unity.

The BKT transition.— The 2D superfluid with quasi-long range order melts into a normal phase when vortex and anti-vortex pairs unbind and proliferate. This occurs at the critical temperature determined by the condition [22, 37]

$$T_{\text{BKT}} = \frac{\pi}{8m} n_s(T_{\text{BKT}}) \quad (3)$$

where n_s is the superfluid density given by [38]

$$\frac{n_s(T)}{n} = 1 + \frac{1}{2\pi m n} \int_0^\infty dk k^3 \frac{\partial f(E_{\mathbf{k}})}{\partial E_{\mathbf{k}}}. \quad (4)$$

Here $f(E) = [\exp(E/T) + 1]^{-1}$ is the Fermi-Dirac distribution and $n = k_F^2/2\pi$ is the total density from both spin

states of the Fermi gas. The integral is always negative and vanishes at $T = 0$ ensuring that the superfluid density is always equal to or smaller than the total density. It follows from Eq. (3) that the superfluid density of the Fermi gas exhibits a universal jump $\Delta n_s/mT_{\text{BKT}} = 8/\pi$ at the BKT transition. Contrary to bosonic systems [24], this jump has not been observed in fermionic systems to our knowledge, and a main goal here is to demonstrate that the discontinuity of the phase transition can be detected by looking at the properties of the impurity.

Figure 1 shows the phase diagram of the Fermi gas as a function of the Fermi-Fermi interaction length strength parametrised by $-\ln(k_F a_F)$ and temperature. Here, a_F is a scattering length defined by writing the energy of the bound Fermi-Fermi dimer as $-1/ma_F^2$. The Fermi gas is in a superfluid phase below a critical temperature T_{BKT} obtained by solving Eqs. (2)-(4) self-consistently. We vary the chemical potential μ to keep the density $n = 2 \sum_{\mathbf{k}} [v_{\mathbf{k}}^2(1 - f_{\mathbf{k}}) + u_{\mathbf{k}}^2 f_{\mathbf{k}}]$ fixed, where $v_{\mathbf{k}}^2 = 1 - u_{\mathbf{k}}^2 = (1 - \xi_{\mathbf{k}}/E_{\mathbf{k}})/2$ are the coherence factors and $f_{\mathbf{k}} = [\exp(E_{\mathbf{k}}/T) + 1]^{-1}$ is the Fermi function. The Fermi gas is taken to be ideal in the normal phase.

For weak coupling $-\ln(k_F a_F) \ll -1$ corresponding to the so-called BCS regime with large Cooper pairs, the superfluid transition temperature is close to that obtained from mean-field BCS theory, which predicts a smooth decrease of the superfluid density to zero at the critical temperature T_c . It follows that the jump in the superfluid density at T_{BKT} is small for weak coupling. For stronger coupling however, phase fluctuations significantly suppress the critical temperature below the BCS prediction leading to a large jump in the superfluid density at the phase transition. For $-\ln(k_F a_F) \gtrsim -1$, we obtain $T_{\text{BKT}} = T_F/8$ [39–41] reflecting that the superfluid density equals the total density $n = k_F^2/2\pi$ just below the transition giving rise to a maximal jump $\Delta n_s = n$. We note however that the gas eventually enters the BEC regime with increasing $-\ln(k_F a_F) \gtrsim 1$, where it can be described as a Bose gas of tightly bound Cooper pairs with a BKT critical temperature that decreases slowly [31, 32, 42, 43].

Perturbation theory.— We now turn to the properties of the impurity in the Fermi gas. Since the case of general interaction strengths between the impurity and the fermions and between the fermions is exceedingly complicated, we focus on weak impurity-fermion interactions where a reliable perturbative theory can be developed.

To do this, consider the scattering matrix between the impurity and a fermion. As detailed in the Sup. Mat. [36], it can in the ladder approximation be written as

$$\mathcal{T}(k) = \frac{g}{1 - g\Delta\Pi(k)} \simeq g + g^2\Delta\Pi(k) + \dots \quad (5)$$

where $k = (\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n)$ denotes the center-of-mass momentum \mathbf{k} of the colliding pair with $i\omega_n$ a Matsubara fre-

quency. The effective 2D interaction strength between the impurity and the fermions is [44, 45]

$$g = -\frac{\pi}{m_r} \frac{1}{\ln(k_F a_{\text{IF}})}, \quad (6)$$

and an expression for $\Delta\Pi(k)$ is given in the Supp. Matt. [36]. The bare impurity-Fermi coupling strength g_{IF} has been eliminated in favour of the energy $-1/2m_r a_{\text{IF}}^2$ of the bound impurity-fermion dimer with a_{IF} the impurity-fermion scattering length and $m_r = mm_I/(m + m_I)$ [35, 46] the reduced mass. When $|\ln(k_F a_{\text{IF}})| \gg 1$, the effective interaction is weak and the impurity properties can be calculated reliably using perturbation theory in g as used in Eq. (5).

To first order in g , the energy shift of the impurity is simply given by the mean-field expression $\Sigma_1(p) = gn$. The second order term is

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_2(p) &= 2Tg^2 \sum_k [G_{11}(k)\Delta\Pi(p+k) + G_{12}(k)\Pi_{21}(p+k)] \\ &= Tg^2 \sum_k G(k)\chi(p-k) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where

$$G_{11}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) = \frac{u_{\mathbf{k}}^2}{i\omega_n - E_{\mathbf{k}}} + \frac{v_{\mathbf{k}}^2}{i\omega_n + E_{\mathbf{k}}}, \quad (8)$$

$$G_{12}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) = u_{\mathbf{k}}v_{\mathbf{k}} \left(\frac{1}{i\omega_n + E_{\mathbf{k}}} - \frac{1}{i\omega_n - E_{\mathbf{k}}} \right) \quad (9)$$

are the normal and anomalous propagators for the superfluid and $G^{-1}(k) = i\omega_n - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$ is the impurity Green's function. The first term in the first line of Eq. (7) describes the coupling of the impurity to particle-hole excitations in the superfluid whereas the second term describes coupling to pair breaking excitations. In the second line of Eq. (7), we write the impurity self-energy in terms of the density-density correlation function $\chi(k)$ of the superfluid, which gives the compressibility for long wave lengths. Expressions for $\Pi_{12}(k)$ and $\chi(k)$ are given in the Supp. Matt. [36]. The energy ϵ_P of the polaron can now be found to second order in g by solving $\epsilon_P = gn + \Sigma_2(0, \epsilon_P + i0_+)$, where we take zero momentum and $m_I = m$ in the following for simplicity.

Results. – In Fig. (2), we plot the polaron energy as a function of the temperature for different values of the Fermi-Fermi interaction, which are shown by vertical lines in Fig. 1. This is found by first solving Eqs. (2)-(4) numerically for constant density to find the properties of the Fermi bath. We then calculate the impurity self-energy from Eq. (7). The impurity-fermion interaction is $-\ln(k_F a_{\text{IF}}) = -1.03$ for which perturbation theory is still accurate for an impurity in a 2D ideal Fermi gas [47]. First, we note that the polaron has a lower energy when the Fermi gas is in the superfluid phase as compared to when it is in the normal phase (dashed lines). Physically,




FIG. 2: Polaron energy as a function of temperature for the Fermi-Fermi coupling strengths $-\ln(k_F a_F) = -2.342$ (green), $-\ln(k_F a_F) = -1.386$ (red), and $-\ln(k_F a_F) = -0.077$ (blue). The dashed pink line gives polaron energy in the normal phase, and the dash-dotted lines the polaron energy assuming the Fermi gas remains superfluid up to the mean-field critical temperature T_c . The inset shows the superfluid gap as a function of temperature for the same coupling strengths with the dash-dotted lines giving the mean-field prediction for $T > T_{\text{BKT}}$.

this is because pairing correlations increase the compressibility of the Fermi gas [48–51] so that the impurity more easily can perturb its surroundings thereby lowering the energy. As the temperature increases, the superfluid gap decreases (inset in Fig. (2)), and the polaron energy approaches the value in the normal phase, which in turn decreases with temperature in analogy with what is found in 3D [52, 53]. Importantly, the polaron energy exhibits a *discontinuity* at the critical temperature T_{BKT} where the superfluid gap in the surrounding medium abruptly jumps to zero. This should be contrasted to mean-field predicting a gap continuously going to zero at a transition temperature T_c , giving rise to a continuous behaviour of polaron energy. Thus, the abrupt change in the properties of the Fermi gas at T_{BKT} is directly reflected in the polaron energy, which exhibits a sizeable jump in its energy well above the spectral resolution of current Fermi polaron experiments [11–15]. From this we conclude that using the polaron as a probe opens up the possibility to observe the discontinuous nature of the superfluid to normal phase transition in 2D, which to our knowledge has not been achieved before in a fermionic system.

Figure 2 moreover shows that the size of the dis-

continuity for $-\ln(k_F a_F) = -1.386$ is larger than for $-\ln(k_F a_F) = -2.342$. This is as expected, since a stronger Fermi-Fermi coupling gives rise to a larger jump in the superfluid gap (inset) at T_{BKT} . The discontinuity is however smaller again for even stronger coupling with $-\ln(k_F a_F) = -0.077$, even though the jump in the gap is larger. To explore this further, we plot in Fig. 3 the jump $\Delta\epsilon_P = \epsilon_P(T_{\text{BKT}}^+) - \epsilon_P(T_{\text{BKT}}^-)$ in the polaron energy at the critical temperature as a function of the Fermi-Fermi coupling strength $-\ln(k_F a_F)$. We see that $\Delta\epsilon_P$ initially increases with the coupling strength in the BCS regime. It reaches a sizeable maximum of $\Delta\epsilon_P \simeq 0.32\epsilon_F$ in the cross-over region around $\ln(k_F a_F) \sim -0.6$, after which it decreases as the BEC region is approached with increasing interaction, even though the gap continues to increase as shown in the inset. Interestingly, the maximum occurs when the size of the Cooper pairs is comparable to the interparticle spacing, where one has also observed a maximum in the critical temperature [31, 32] and in the critical velocity [33]. As the coupling strength increases further with $-\ln(k_F a_F) \gg 1$, the Cooper pairs shrink and the Fermi gas becomes a BEC of dimers with a transition temperature in a narrow region $\propto 1/\ln(\ln(1/na_D^2))$ below the mean-field prediction with a_D the dimer-dimer scattering length, and a correspondingly small discontinuity in the superfluid density [31, 32, 42, 43]. It follows that there *should* be a maximum in $\Delta\epsilon_P$ somewhere in the cross-over region as indeed predicted here. Note however that our theory is unreliable in the BEC regime, since it does not include the Bogoliubov-Anderson mode, which becomes the dominant excitation compared to particle-hole and pair breaking excitations. A consistent description of the polaron in the whole BEC-BCS cross-over of the Fermi gas remains an open and very challenging problem beyond the present scope.

Finally, Fig. 4 plots the jump $\Delta\epsilon_P$ in the polaron energy in units of the jump $\Delta n_s/m$ in the superfluid density at the critical temperature. This shows that while the discontinuity in the polaron energy is a direct consequence of the abrupt nature of the BKT phase transition of the surrounding medium, there is no simple proportionality between $\Delta\epsilon_P$ and Δn_s . Instead, the ratio $\Delta\epsilon_P m/\Delta n_s$ increases with Fermi-Fermi interaction strength in the BCS regime reaching a maximum at $\ln(k_F a_F) \sim -0.6$ after which it decreases.

Conclusions and outlook.— We investigated the properties of a quasiparticle formed by a mobile impurity in a fermionic superfluid. The characteristic discontinuity of the superfluid to normal phase transition of a 2D system was shown to give rise to a jump in the quasiparticle energy at the transition temperature. We demonstrated that the jump depends non-monotonically on the pairing strength with a maximum in the cross-over region between the BCS and BEC limits, and that it is measurable with present experimental techniques. This opens up a way to detect the discontinuous nature of the superfluid




FIG. 3: The discontinuity $\Delta\epsilon_P$ in the polaron energy at the critical temperature T_{BKT} as a function of the Fermi-Fermi coupling strength $-\ln(k_F a_F)$.




FIG. 4: The discontinuity $\Delta\epsilon_P$ in the polaron energy at the critical temperature T_{BKT} in units of the jump Δn_s in the superfluid density as a function of the Fermi-Fermi coupling strength $-\ln(k_F a_F)$. Inset shows Δn_s .

transition for a fermionic system.

The magnitude of the jump in the polaron energy will clearly be even larger for stronger interactions between the impurity and the surrounding Fermi gas. Exploring this requires going beyond the perturbative approach used here, which is an interesting topic for future study. Another fascinating but very challenging problem is to explore how the quasiparticle evolves smoothly from a Fermi to a Bose polaron as the Fermi gas changes from a BCS superfluid to a BEC of dimers.

From a broader perspective, our results illustrate how impurities entangled with their environment can be used as sensitive probes for non-trivial quantum and thermal correlations. This motivates further investigations into how coherent superpositions of internal spin states of the impurity can be used to enhance the sensitivity of the impurity probe while minimising the back-action on the environment [54–57]. Another intriguing research direction is to investigate how impurities can be used to probe non-local correlations and order, as well as the geometric and topological properties of the environment [58–62].

This work has been supported by the Danish National Research Foundation through the Center of Excellence ”CCQ” (Grant agreement no.: DNR156), the Independent Research Fund Denmark- Natural Sciences via Grant No. DFF -8021-00233B.

* Electronic address: Corresponding author: ragheed.alhyder@phys.au.dk

- [1] C. L. Degen, F. Reinhard, and P. Cappellaro. Quantum sensing. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 89:035002, Jul 2017.
- [2] Ryan Olf, Fang Fang, G. Edward Marti, Andrew MacRae, and Dan M. Stamper-Kurn. Thermometry and cooling of a bose gas to 0.02 times the condensation temperature. *Nature Physics*, 11(9):720–723, 2015.
- [3] Michael Hohmann, Farina Kindermann, Tobias Lausch, Daniel Mayer, Felix Schmidt, and Artur Widera. Single-atom thermometer for ultracold gases. *Phys. Rev. A*, 93:043607, Apr 2016.
- [4] Quentin Bouton, Jens Nettersheim, Daniel Adam, Felix Schmidt, Daniel Mayer, Tobias Lausch, Eberhard Tiemann, and Artur Widera. Single-atom quantum probes for ultracold gases boosted by nonequilibrium spin dynamics. *Phys. Rev. X*, 10:011018, Jan 2020.
- [5] Daniel Adam, Quentin Bouton, Jens Nettersheim, Sabrina Burgardt, and Artur Widera. Coherent and dephasing spectroscopy for single-impurity probing of an ultracold bath, 2021.
- [6] Hagai Edri, Boaz Raz, Noam Matzliah, Nir Davidson, and Roei Ozeri. Observation of spin-spin fermion-mediated interactions between ultracold bosons. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 124:163401, Apr 2020.
- [7] Nils B Jorgensen, Lars Wacker, Kristoffer T Skalmstang, Meera M Parish, Jesper Levinsen, Rasmus S Christensen, Georg M Bruun, and Jan J Arlt. Observation of Attractive and Repulsive Polarons in a Bose-Einstein Condensate. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 117(5):55302, jul 2016.
- [8] Ming-Guang Hu, Michael J de Graaff, Dhruv Kedar, John P Corson, Eric A Cornell, and Deborah S Jin. Bose polarons in the strongly interacting regime. *Physical review letters*, 117(5):55301, 2016.
- [9] L. A. Peña Ardila, N. B. Jørgensen, T. Pohl, S. Giorgini, G. M. Bruun, and J. J. Arlt. Analyzing a bose polaron across resonant interactions. *Phys. Rev. A*, 99:063607, Jun 2019.
- [10] Zoe Z Yan, Yiqi Ni, Carsten Robens, and Martin W Zwierlein. Bose polarons near quantum criticality. *Science*, 368(6487):190 LP – 194, apr 2020.
- [11] A Schirotzek, C-H Wu, A Sommer, and M W Zwierlein. Observation of Fermi Polarons in a Tunable Fermi Liquid of Ultracold Atoms. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 102(23):230402, 2009.
- [12] Christoph Kohstall, Matteo Zaccanti, Matthias Jag, Andreas Trenkwalder, Pietro Massignan, Georg M Bruun, Florian Schreck, and Rudolf Grimm. Metastability and coherence of repulsive polarons in a strongly interacting Fermi mixture. *Nature*, 485(7400):615–618, 2012.
- [13] Marco Koschorreck, Daniel Pertot, Enrico Vogt, Bernd Frohlich, Michael Feld, and Michael Köhl. Attractive and repulsive Fermi polarons in two dimensions. *Nature*, 485(7400):619–622, may 2012.
- [14] F. Scazza, G. Valtolina, P. Massignan, A. Recati, A. Amico, A. Burchianti, C. Fort, M. Inguscio, M. Zaccanti, and G. Roati. Repulsive fermi polarons in a resonant mixture of ultracold ${}^6\text{Li}$ atoms. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 118:083602, Feb 2017.
- [15] Isabella Fritsche, Cosetta Baroni, Erich Dobler, Emil Kirilov, Bo Huang, Rudolf Grimm, Georg M. Bruun, and Pietro Massignan. Stability and breakdown of fermi polarons in a strongly interacting fermi-bose mixture. *Phys. Rev. A*, 103:053314, May 2021.
- [16] Yusuke Nishida. Polaronic atom-trimer continuity in three-component fermi gases. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 114:115302, Mar 2015.
- [17] Wei Yi and Xiaoling Cui. Polarons in ultracold fermi superfluids. *Phys. Rev. A*, 92:013620, Jul 2015.
- [18] M. Pierce, X. Leyronas, and F. Chevy. Few versus many-body physics of an impurity immersed in a superfluid of spin 1/2 attractive fermions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 123:080403, Aug 2019.
- [19] N David Mermin and Herbert Wagner. Absence of ferromagnetism or antiferromagnetism in one-or two-dimensional isotropic Heisenberg models. *Physical Review Letters*, 17(22):1133, 1966.
- [20] P. C. Hohenberg. Existence of long-range order in one and two dimensions. *Phys. Rev.*, 158:383–386, Jun 1967.
- [21] V. Berezinskii. Destruction of Long-range Order in One-dimensional and Two-dimensional Systems Possessing a Continuous Symmetry Group. II. Quantum Systems. *Soviet Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics*, 34(3):610, 1972.
- [22] J. M. Kosterlitz and D. J. Thouless. Long range order and metastability in two dimensional solids and superfluids. (Application of dislocation theory). *Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics*, 5(11), 1972.
- [23] J. M. Kosterlitz and D. J. Thouless. Ordering, metastability and phase transitions in two-dimensional systems. *Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics*, 6(7):1181–1203, 1973.
- [24] D J Bishop and J D Reppy. Study of the Superfluid Transition in Two-Dimensional ${}^4\text{He}$ Films. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 40(26):1727–1730, jun 1978.
- [25] W. Dürr, M. Taborelli, O. Paul, R. Germar, W. Gudat, D. Pescia, and M. Landolt. Magnetic phase transition in two-dimensional ultrathin fe films on au(100). *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 62:206–209, Jan 1989.
- [26] Z Hadzibabic, P Krüger, M Cheneau, B Battelier, and J Dalibard. Berezinskii–Kosterlitz–Thouless crossover in a trapped atomic gas. *Nature*, 441(7097):1118–1121, 2006.
- [27] P. Cladé, C. Ryu, A. Ramanathan, K. Helmerson, and

- W. D. Phillips. Observation of a 2d bose gas: From thermal to quasicondensate to superfluid. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 102:170401, Apr 2009.
- [28] S. Tung, G. Lamporesi, D. Lobser, L. Xia, and E. A. Cornell. Observation of the presuperfluid regime in a two-dimensional bose gas. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 105:230408, Dec 2010.
- [29] Chen-Lung Hung, Xibo Zhang, Nathan Gemelke, and Cheng Chin. Observation of scale invariance and universality in two-dimensional bose gases. *Nature*, 470(7333):236–239, 2011.
- [30] Rémi Desbuquois, Lauriane Chomaz, Tarik Yefsah, Julian Léonard, Jérôme Beugnon, Christof Weitenberg, and Jean Dalibard. Superfluid behaviour of a two-dimensional bose gas. *Nature Physics*, 8(9):645–648, 2012.
- [31] M. G. Ries, A. N. Wenz, G. Zürn, L. Bayha, I. Boettcher, D. Kedar, P. A. Murthy, M. Neidig, T. Lompe, and S. Jochim. Observation of pair condensation in the quasi-2d bec-bcs crossover. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 114:230401, Jun 2015.
- [32] P. A. Murthy, I. Boettcher, L. Bayha, M. Holzmann, D. Kedar, M. Neidig, M. G. Ries, A. N. Wenz, G. Zürn, and S. Jochim. Observation of the berezinskii-kosterlitz-thouless phase transition in an ultracold fermi gas. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 115:010401, Jun 2015.
- [33] Lennart Sobirey, Niclas Luick, Markus Bohlen, Hauke Biss, Henning Moritz, and Thomas Lompe. Observation of superfluidity in a strongly correlated two-dimensional fermi gas. *Science*, 372(6544):844–846, 2021.
- [34] David R Nelson and J M Kosterlitz. Universal Jump in the Superfluid Density of Two-Dimensional Superfluids. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 39(19):1201–1205, nov 1977.
- [35] Mohit Randeria, Ji-min Duan, and Lih Yir. Superconductivity in two-dimensional Fermi gas Evolution from Cooper pairing to Bose condensation. 41(1), 1990.
- [36] Supplementary material where we provide details on the details of the analytical expressions provided.
- [37] JM Kosterlitz. The critical properties of the two-dimensional xy model. *Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics*, 7(6):1046, 1974.
- [38] E.M. Lifshitz and L.P. Pitaevskii. *Statistical Physics: Theory of the Condensed State*. Number vb. 9 in Course of Theoretical Physics. Elsevier Science, 2013.
- [39] E. Babaev and H. Kleinert. Nonperturbative XY-model approach to strong coupling superconductivity in two and three dimensions. *Phys. Rev. B*, 59:12083–12089, May 1999.
- [40] S. S. Botelho and C. A. R. Sá de Melo. Vortex-antivortex lattice in ultracold fermionic gases. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 96:040404, Feb 2006.
- [41] L. Salasnich, P. A. Marchetti, and F. Toigo. Superfluidity, sound velocity, and quasicondensation in the two-dimensional bcs-bec crossover. *Phys. Rev. A*, 88:053612, Nov 2013.
- [42] Daniel S. Fisher and P. C. Hohenberg. Dilute bose gas in two dimensions. *Phys. Rev. B*, 37:4936–4943, Apr 1988.
- [43] Nikolay Prokof'ev, Oliver Ruebenacker, and Boris Svistunov. Critical point of a weakly interacting two-dimensional bose gas. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 87:270402, Dec 2001.
- [44] Paul Bloom. Two-dimensional fermi gas. *Phys. Rev. B*, 12:125–129, Jul 1975.
- [45] Jan R. Engelbrecht and Mohit Randeria. Low-density repulsive fermi gas in two dimensions: Bound-pair excitations and fermi-liquid behavior. *Phys. Rev. B*, 45:12419–12434, Jun 1992.
- [46] Sascha Zollner, G. M. Bruun, and C. J. Pethick. Polarons and molecules in a two-dimensional Fermi gas. *Physical Review A - Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics*, 83(2):1–5, 2011.
- [47] Richard Schmidt, Tilman Enss, Ville Pietilä, and Eugene Demler. Fermi polarons in two dimensions. *Physical Review A - Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics*, 85(2):021602(R), feb 2012.
- [48] A J Leggett. Diatomic molecules and cooper pairs - Modern Trends in the Theory of Condensed Matter. pages 13–27, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1980. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- [49] Mark J. H. Ku, Ariel T. Sommer, Lawrence W. Cheuk, and Martin W. Zwierlein. Revealing the superfluid lambda transition in the universal thermodynamics of a unitary fermi gas. *Science*, 335(6068):563–567, 2012.
- [50] Hao Guo, Yan He, Chih-Chun Chien, and K. Levin. Compressibility in strongly correlated superconductors and superfluids: From the bcs regime to bose-einstein condensates. *Phys. Rev. A*, 88:043644, Oct 2013.
- [51] H. Tajima, P. van Wyk, R. Hanai, D. Kagamihara, D. Inotani, M. Horikoshi, and Y. Ohashi. Zero-temperature properties of a strongly interacting superfluid fermi gas in the bcs–bec crossover region. *Journal of Low Temperature Physics*, 187(5):677–684, 2017.
- [52] Hui Hu, Brendan C. Mulkerin, Jia Wang, and Xia-Ji Liu. Attractive fermi polarons at nonzero temperatures with a finite impurity concentration. *Phys. Rev. A*, 98:013626, Jul 2018.
- [53] Hiroyuki Tajima and Shun Uchino. Many fermi polarons at nonzero temperature. *New Journal of Physics*, 20(7):073048, jul 2018.
- [54] Alexander Klein, Martin Bruderer, Stephen R Clark, and Dieter Jaksch. Dynamics, dephasing and clustering of impurity atoms in bose–einstein condensates. *New Journal of Physics*, 9(11):411–411, nov 2007.
- [55] H. T. Ng and S. Bose. Single-atom-aided probe of the decoherence of a bose-einstein condensate. *Phys. Rev. A*, 78:023610, Aug 2008.
- [56] Mohammad Mehboudi, Anna Sanpera, and Luis A Correa. Thermometry in the quantum regime: recent theoretical progress. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 52(30):303001, jul 2019.
- [57] Mark T. Mitchison, Thomás Fogarty, Giacomo Guarneri, Steve Campbell, Thomas Busch, and John Gould. In situ thermometry of a cold fermi gas via dephasing impurities. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 125:080402, Aug 2020.
- [58] F. Grusdt, N. Y. Yao, D. Abanin, M. Fleischhauer, and E. Demler. Interferometric measurements of many-body topological invariants using mobile impurities. *Nature Communications*, 7(1):11994, 2016.
- [59] A. Camacho-Guardian, N. Goldman, P. Massignan, and G. M. Bruun. Dropping an impurity into a chern insulator: A polaron view on topological matter. *Phys. Rev. B*, 99:081105, Feb 2019.
- [60] A. Muñoz de las Heras, E. Macaluso, and I. Carusotto. Anyonic molecules in atomic fractional quantum hall liquids: A quantitative probe of fractional charge and anyonic statistics. *Phys. Rev. X*, 10:041058, Dec 2020.
- [61] D. Pimenov, A. Camacho-Guardian, N. Goldman,

- P. Massignan, G. M. Bruun, and M. Goldstein. Topological transport of mobile impurities. *Phys. Rev. B*, 103:245106, Jun 2021.
- [62] Niccolò Baldelli, Bruno Juliá-Díaz, Utso Bhattacharya, Maciej Lewenstein, and Tobias Graß. Tracing non-abelian anyons via impurity particles. *Phys. Rev. B*, 104:035133, Jul 2021.