

SUMMATION FORMULAE FOR QUADRICS

JAYCE R. GETZ, WITH AN APPENDIX BY CHUN-HSIEN HSU

ABSTRACT. We prove a Poisson summation formula for the zero locus of a quadratic form in an even number of variables with no assumption on the support of the functions involved. The key novelty in the formula is that all “boundary terms” are given either by constants or sums over smaller quadrics related to the original quadric. We also discuss the link with the classical problem of estimating the number of solutions of a quadratic form in an even number of variables. To prove the summation formula we compute (the Arthur truncated) theta lift of the trivial representation of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$. As previously observed by Ginzburg, Rallis, and Soudry, this is an analogue for orthogonal groups on vector spaces of even dimension of the global Schrödinger representation of the metaplectic group. In the appendix the results of the paper are related to previous work on Eisenstein series and Poisson summation formulae for Braverman-Kazhdan spaces.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. A summation formula	3
1.2. Sketch of the proof of Theorem 1.2	6
Acknowledgements	8
2. Application to summation over points on a quadric	8
3. Preliminaries	10
3.1. Groups	10
3.2. Normalization of measures and the Harish-Chandra map	10
4. Local integrals and the Schwartz space	12
5. Integrals of truncated isotropic theta functions	20

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11F70; Secondary 11E12, 11F27, 11E12.

Key words and phrases. Poisson summation conjecture, minimal representations.

The author is partially supported by NSF grant DMS 1901883. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation. The author also thanks D. Kazhdan for travel support under his ERC grant AdG 669655.

6. Integrals of truncated anisotropic theta functions	26
7. Proof of Theorem 1.2	29
8. Invariance	31
Appendix A. Relationship with Braverman-Kazhdan spaces	33
A.1. Schwartz spaces of Braverman-Kazhdan spaces	33
A.2. Agreement of Schwartz spaces	34
A.3. Eisenstein series and the Poisson summation formulae	47
References	54

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $V_0 = \mathbb{G}_a^{\dim V_i}$ be an even dimensional affine space over a number field F and let Q_0 be a nondegenerate anisotropic quadratic form on V_0 . We allow the degenerate special case where $V_0 = \{0\}$, equipped with the trivial quadratic form. For $i \geq 0$ let

$$(1.1) \quad V_i := V_0 \oplus \mathbb{G}_a^{2i}$$

equipped with the quadratic form

$$(1.2) \quad Q_i(x) := \frac{x^t J_i x}{2}.$$

Here

$$(1.3) \quad J_i = \begin{pmatrix} J_0 & & & \\ & J & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & J \end{pmatrix}$$

where J_0 is the matrix of Q_0 and $J := \begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix}$, with i copies of J . For $i > i' \geq 0$ we identify $V_{i'}$ with the subspace

$$V_{i'} \oplus \{0\}^{2i-2i'} < V_i.$$

For F -algebras R let

$$(1.4) \quad X_i(R) := \{u \in V_i(R) : Q_i(u) = 0\}$$

and let $X_i^\circ := X_i - \{0\}$. Now fix $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. In this paper we prove a summation formula for X_ℓ analogous to the Poisson summation formula. It will involve the whole family of spaces X_i for $\ell \geq i \geq 0$. If $V_0 = \{0\}$ we will always assume that $\ell > 1$.

1.1. A summation formula. Let \mathbb{A}_F be the adeles of F . Fix an additive character $\psi : F \backslash \mathbb{A}_F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. We can then define the Weil representation

$$(1.5) \quad \rho_i := \rho_{Q_i, \psi} : \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F) \times \mathcal{S}(V_i(\mathbb{A}_F)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(V_i(\mathbb{A}_F))$$

as usual. Here

$$\mathcal{S}(V_i(\mathbb{A}_F)) := \mathcal{S}(V_i(F_\infty)) \otimes C_c^\infty(V_i(\mathbb{A}_F^\infty))$$

is the usual Schwartz space, where ∞ is the set of infinite places of F . We have suppressed the orthogonal group in the Weil representation because it plays no role at the moment.

We have an action

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} L^\vee : \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F) \times \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_F^2) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_F^2) \\ (g, f) &\longmapsto (v \mapsto f(g^t v)) \end{aligned}$$

and thus an action

$$r_i := \rho_i \otimes L^\vee : \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F) \times \mathcal{S}(V_i(\mathbb{A}_F)) \otimes \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_F^2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(V_i(\mathbb{A}_F)) \otimes \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_F^2)$$

which extends to

$$(1.7) \quad r_i : \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F) \times \mathcal{S}(V_i(\mathbb{A}_F) \oplus \mathbb{A}_F^2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(V_i(\mathbb{A}_F) \oplus \mathbb{A}_F^2).$$

Let ∞ denote the set of infinite places of F . For finite places v of F let \mathcal{O}_v denote the ring of integers of F_v .

Definition 1.1. The **Schwartz space of $X_i(\mathbb{A}_F)$** is the space of coinvariants

$$\mathcal{S}(V_i(\mathbb{A}_F) \oplus \mathbb{A}_F^2)_{r_i(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F))} = \mathcal{S}(V_i(F_\infty) \oplus F_\infty^2)_{r_i(\mathrm{SL}_2(F_\infty))} \otimes \left(\bigotimes'_{v \nmid \infty} \mathcal{S}(V_i(F_v) \oplus F_v^2)_{r_i(\mathrm{SL}_2(F_v))} \right).$$

Here the restricted direct product is taken with respect to the image of $\mathbb{1}_{V_i(\mathcal{O}_v) \oplus \mathcal{O}_v^2}$ for all finite v . The space

$$\mathcal{S}(V_i(F_\infty) \oplus F_\infty^2)_{r_i(\mathrm{SL}_2(F_\infty))}$$

denotes $\mathcal{S}(V_i(F_\infty) \oplus F_\infty^2)$ modulo the closure (in the usual Fréchet topology) of the space spanned by vectors of the form $f - r_i(g)f$ for $(g, f) \in \mathrm{SL}_2(F_\infty) \times \mathcal{S}(V_i(F_\infty) \oplus F_\infty^2)$. The local Schwartz spaces are defined analogously (see (4.2)).

It may seem odd to define the Schwartz space of $X_i(\mathbb{A}_F)$ as a space of coinvariants. However, this algebraic definition is quite convenient. To obtain functions on $X_i^\circ(\mathbb{A}_F)$ from elements of $\mathcal{S}(X_i(\mathbb{A}_F))$ we define, for $i > 0$, the operator

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{aligned} I : \mathcal{S}(V_i(\mathbb{A}_F) \oplus \mathbb{A}_F^2) &\longrightarrow C^\infty(X_i^\circ(\mathbb{A}_F)) \\ f &\longmapsto \left(\xi \mapsto \int_{N(\mathbb{A}_F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)} r_i(g) f(\xi, 0, 1) dg \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here $N \leq \mathrm{SL}_2$ is the unipotent radical of the Borel subgroup B of upper triangular matrices, that is, for F -algebras R ,

$$(1.9) \quad N(R) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} : t \in R \right\}.$$

The integral $I(f)$ is absolutely convergent and defines a function in $C^\infty(X_i^\circ(\mathbb{A}_F))$ by lemmas 4.1 and 4.8 below. We observe that I factors through the canonical map $\mathcal{S}(V_i(\mathbb{A}_F) \oplus \mathbb{A}_F^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X_i(\mathbb{A}_F))$ and thus allows us to produce a function on $X_i^\circ(\mathbb{A}_F)$ from each element of $\mathcal{S}(X_i(\mathbb{A}_F))$ as mentioned above. In Lemma 4.7 we prove that $I(\mathcal{S}(X_i(F_v)))$ contains the restrictions of any Schwartz function on $V_i(F_v)$ to $X_i^\circ(F_v)$ for all v .

For $s \in \mathbb{C}$ we also define a family of functionals

$$(1.10) \quad Z_{r_i}(\cdot, s) : \mathcal{S}(V_i(\mathbb{A}_F) \oplus \mathbb{A}_F^2) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

$$f \longmapsto \int_{N(\mathbb{A}_F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)} e^{H_B(g)(2 - \frac{\dim V_i}{2} - s)} r_i(g) f(0_{V_i}, 0, 1) d\dot{g}$$

where H_B is the usual Harish-Chandra map (see (3.7)). This is a Tate integral (see Lemma 4.5). For $i > 0$ we let

$$(1.11) \quad c_i : \mathcal{S}(V_i(\mathbb{A}_F) \oplus \mathbb{A}_F^2) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

be defined by

$$c_i(f) := \begin{cases} Z_{r_i}(f, 2 - \frac{\dim V_i}{2}) & \text{if } Z_{r_i}(f, s) \text{ is holomorphic at } 2 - \frac{\dim V_i}{2} \\ \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{d}{ds} (s Z_{r_i}(f, s + 2 - \frac{\dim V_i}{2})) & \text{if } Z_{r_i}(f, s) \text{ has a pole at } 2 - \frac{\dim V_i}{2}. \end{cases}$$

One might think of $c_i(f)$ as the regularized value of $I(f)$ at $0 \in X_i(F)$. We observe that $Z_{r_i}(f, s)$ is holomorphic except possibly at $s \in \{0, 1\}$. In fact, it is also holomorphic at $s \in \{0, 1\}$ when the χ is nontrivial, where χ is the usual character attached to the family of quadratic spaces V_i (see (4.9)). We discuss the $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ -invariance of the functionals c_i in §8 below. In particular c_i is $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ -invariant provided that $\dim V_i \notin \{2, 4\}$.

For each i we define $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ -intertwining maps

$$(1.12) \quad d_i : \mathcal{S}(V_i(\mathbb{A}_F) \oplus \mathbb{A}_F^2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(V_{i-1}(\mathbb{A}_F) \oplus \mathbb{A}_F^2)$$

$$f \longmapsto \mathcal{F}_2(\xi \mapsto f(\xi, 0, 0))$$

where $\mathcal{F}_2 : \mathcal{S}(V_i(\mathbb{A}_F)) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(V_{i-1}(\mathbb{A}_F) \oplus \mathbb{A}_F^2)$ is the partial Fourier transform of (4.6) below. For $i > i' \geq 0$ we let

$$(1.13) \quad d_{i,i'} = d_{i'+1} \circ \cdots \circ d_{i-1} \circ d_i : \mathcal{S}(V_i(\mathbb{A}_F) \oplus \mathbb{A}_F^2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(V_{i'}(\mathbb{A}_F) \oplus \mathbb{A}_F^2).$$

By convention, $d_{i,i}$ is the identity. We let

$$\mathcal{F}_\wedge : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_F^2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_F^2)$$

be the usual $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ -equivariant Fourier transform (see (4.13)). We extend it to $\mathcal{S}(V_i(\mathbb{A}_F) \oplus \mathbb{A}_F^2)$ by setting $\mathcal{F}_{X_i} := 1_{\mathcal{S}(V_i(\mathbb{A}_F))} \otimes \mathcal{F}_\wedge$. Since this map is $r_i(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F))$ -equivariant it induces a map

$$(1.14) \quad \mathcal{F}_{X_i} : \mathcal{S}(X_i(\mathbb{A}_F)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(X_i(\mathbb{A}_F)).$$

This is the **Fourier transform for X_i** .

Let $[\mathrm{SL}_2] := \mathrm{SL}_2(F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$. Our main theorem is the following summation formula:

Theorem 1.2. *For $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}(V_\ell(\mathbb{A}_F) \oplus \mathbb{A}_F^2)$ one has that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \left(c_i(d_{\ell,i}(f)) + \sum_{\xi \in X_i^\circ(F)} I(d_{\ell,i}(f))(\xi) \right) + \kappa d_{\ell,0}(f)(0_{V_0}, 0, 0) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \left(c_i(d_{\ell,i}(\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}(f))) + \sum_{\xi \in X_i^\circ(F)} I(d_{\ell,i}(\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}(f)))(\xi) \right) + \kappa d_{\ell,0}(\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}(f))(0_{V_0}, 0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\kappa := \begin{cases} \mathrm{meas}([\mathrm{SL}_2]) & \text{if } \dim V_0 = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The sums over ξ are in general infinite, but they are easily seen to be absolutely convergent by lemmas 4.1 and 4.8 below. In general, the i th summand on the left and right are not equal.

Let us call the terms other than the sums over $X_\ell^\circ(F)$ in Theorem 1.2 **boundary terms**. The key novelty in Theorem 1.2 is that it is valid under no restrictions on the test functions involved and the boundary terms are explicit and evidently geometric in nature. Poisson summation formulae for X_ℓ and other special classes of varieties exist in the literature; we comment on this in the next paragraph. However Theorem 1.2 is the first instance of a Poisson summation formula for a singular variety in which every term has a manifestly geometric interpretation.

If V_ℓ is a split quadratic space and we place additional assumptions on f then the theorem is a consequence of more general work of Braverman and Kazhdan [BK02]. Their work was generalized to arbitrary test functions f in [CG21], but the boundary terms were given inexplicitly in terms of residues of Eisenstein series. Related formulae are also established for arbitrary quadratic spaces in [Get18]

and in a special case related to Rankin-Selberg products on GL_2 in [Get20]. The relationship between Eisenstein series and the $V_0 = \{0\}$ case of Theorem 1.2 is made precise in Corollary A.14 below.

The interest in general test functions and boundary terms stems from the fact that restricting test functions restricts the information one can extract from automorphic representations. In more detail, it is expected, and can be verified in some cases, that the boundary terms correspond to poles of appropriate L -functions. Hence choosing test functions that eliminate these contributions hides information about the poles of L -functions.

Theorem 1.2 is very closely related to the circle method for quadratic forms and we feel that it will be useful for questions in analytic number theory. To make the relationship transparent we discuss the special case where $F = \mathbb{Q}$ in §2 below. Interestingly, in analytic number theory it is often only the most degenerate boundary terms that are studied.

We expect that the image of the map $I : \mathcal{S}(X(\mathbb{A}_F)) \rightarrow C^\infty(X^\circ(\mathbb{A}_F))$ is the Schwartz space of $X(\mathbb{A}_F)$ in either the sense of [BK02, GK19] or the sense of [GHLar] and the map

$$\mathcal{F}_{X_i} : \mathcal{S}(X_i(\mathbb{A}_F)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(X_i(\mathbb{A}_F))$$

descends to the Fourier transform on the Schwartz space of $X_i(\mathbb{A}_F)$ defined in these references. This may be proved locally, and when $V_0 = \{0\}$ the nonarchimedean part of the theory is contained in the appendix by C-H. Hsu. Moreover, the local analogues of the operators d_i and c_i are examined; it turns out that they may be understood in terms of germ expansions at the origin. In particular, at least in the nonarchimedean case, the functions $I(\mathcal{F}_{X_i}(f))$, $I(d_i(f))$ can be recovered from $I(f)$ and a similar statement is true for the operators c_i . See theorems A.6, A.7, and A.9.

1.2. Sketch of the proof of Theorem 1.2. In order to prove Theorem 1.2, for $f \in \mathcal{S}(V_\ell(\mathbb{A}_F) \oplus \mathbb{A}_F^2)$ we compute

$$(1.15) \quad \int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \Theta_f^T(g) dg$$

where Θ_f is the usual Θ -function and the superscript T denotes the usual truncation operator employed by Arthur.

Theorem 1.3. *The integral (1.15) is a polynomial in e^T and T plus $o_f(1)$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$. The constant term of the polynomial is*

$$(1.16) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \left(c_i(d_{\ell,i}(\mathcal{F}_2(f))) + \sum_{\xi \in X_i^{\circ}(F)} I_i(d_{\ell,i}(\mathcal{F}_2(f)))(\xi) \right) + \kappa d_{\ell,0}(\mathcal{F}_2(f))(0_{V_0}, 0, 0).$$

Theorem 1.2 then follows upon observing that $\Theta_{\mathcal{F}_2^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_X(f))}(g) = \Theta_{\mathcal{F}_2(f)}(g)$ (see §7 for more details and the proof of Theorem 1.3).

We now explain a representation-theoretic interpretation of Theorem 1.3. For each i let O_{V_i} (resp. GO_{V_i}) be the orthogonal (resp. orthogonal similitude) group of V_i . The partial Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}_2 : \mathcal{S}(V_i(\mathbb{A}_F) \oplus \mathbb{A}_F^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(V_i(\mathbb{A}_F) \oplus \mathbb{A}_F^2)$ of (4.6) intertwines the action of ρ_{i+1} and r_i (see Lemma 4.2). Hence it yields a \mathbb{C} -linear isomorphism

$$\mathcal{F}_2 : \mathcal{S}(V_i(\mathbb{A}_F) \oplus \mathbb{A}_F^2)_{\rho_{i+1}(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F))} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}(V_i(\mathbb{A}_F) \oplus \mathbb{A}_F^2)_{r_i(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F))}$$

that is equivariant with respect to the action of $\mathrm{O}_{V_i}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ (embedded in $\mathrm{O}_{V_{i+1}}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ in the obvious manner). The left hand side admits an obvious action of $\mathrm{GO}_{V_{i+1}}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ and we can define a representation

$$\sigma_i : \mathrm{GO}_{V_{i+1}}(\mathbb{A}_F) \times \mathcal{S}(V_i(\mathbb{A}_F) \oplus \mathbb{A}_F^2)_{r_i(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F))} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(V_i(\mathbb{A}_F) \oplus \mathbb{A}_F^2)_{r_i(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F))}$$

by transport of structure. We will make the action explicit in Proposition 4.3 below. Thus σ_i can be considered the big theta lift of the trivial representation of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$.

Theorem 1.3 amounts to an explicit automorphic realization of σ_i . The realization is clearly similar in spirit to the Schrödinger model of the metaplectic representation of the two-fold cover of a symplectic group. In the archimedean case this analogy is discussed in detail in [KM11]. We hope that having such an explicit model will aid in applications analogous to the many uses of the Schrödinger model. In particular the explicit geometric description of the model may aid in its use in unfolding arguments that are so crucial in the theory of integral representations of L -functions.

We hasten to point out that it is already known that σ_i is automorphic. In the case where $V_0 = \{0\}$ it is discussed in detail in [GRS97], which contains a wealth of information about the representation and analogues of it for exceptional groups. In loc. cit., the theta lift is realized as a residue of an Eisenstein series. It is then related to the theta lift of the trivial representation of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ to $\mathrm{O}_{V_i}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ in the special case where F is totally real using Kudla and Rallis' regularized

Siegel-Weil identity [KR94]. As far as the author knows, this perspective does not lead to a simpler proof of Theorem 1.3 (and hence Theorem 1.2) valid for all test functions f . This is one of the main features of the current paper. For the purpose of comparing our work with [GRS97] it is also worth mentioning that we make no use of the theory of Eisenstein series on groups of absolute rank bigger than 1. There is another closely related automorphic realization that was studied by Kazhdan and Polishchuk in [KP04]. However the automorphic realization of the minimal representation was not completely determined. In particular it is not clear how to extract the explicit formulae of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 from [KP04].

We now outline the contents of this paper. We pause to indicate the relationship of our results with classical questions related to the circle method in §2. We set notional and measure conventions in §3. In §4 we define the local integrals that play a role in the statement of our main theorem, define the local Schwartz space, and prove several useful properties of it. The proof of Theorem 1.3 is an induction on i . In §5 the computation of $\int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \Theta_f^T(g) dg$ is inductively reduced to the case where $V_i = V_0$, that is, to the anisotropic case. This case requires one additional idea and is treated in §6. We put the results of §5 and §6 together in §7 to prove Theorem 1.3. We then deduce Theorem 1.2.

We have included an appendix by C-H. Hsu that makes the relationship between the results of this paper and previous work on Eisenstein series, minimal representations, and Schwartz spaces for Braverman-Kazhdan spaces precise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author thanks D. Kazhdan for suggesting studying the boundary terms in the Poisson summation formula for quadrics and for many useful conversations. In particular he emphasized to the author the importance of establishing that the linear forms in the Poisson summation formulae are invariant under the action of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$. A. Pollack helped with references, Y. Sakellaridis explained how to think about coinvariants and G. Savin answered questions about the minimal representation. C-H. Hsu pointed out many typos in an earlier manuscript and was kind enough to write the appendix to this paper. The author also thanks H. Hahn for her help with editing and her constant encouragement.

2. APPLICATION TO SUMMATION OVER POINTS ON A QUADRIC

Just as the Poisson summation formula gives a canonical method for estimating the number of points in a vector space of a given size, the summation formula

of Theorem 1.2 gives a canonical method for estimating the number of points in a quadric of a given size. Given the ubiquity of the Poisson summation formula in analytic number theory (and more generally in analysis) we can imagine many applications of Theorem 1.2.

Of course the question of analyzing the number of points on a quadric has a long history, with theta functions and the circle method playing a key role. We point out in particular the author's work in [Get18] based on earlier work of Heath-Brown [HB96] and Duke, Friedlander and Iwaniec [DFI93]. To make the relationship between the circle method and Theorem 1.2 more transparent we explain Theorem 1.2 in a special case. Let $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and let Q_ℓ be a quadratic form with matrix J_ℓ defined as in (1.3). We assume that $J_\ell \in \mathrm{GL}_{V_\ell}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\det J_\ell = (-1)^\ell$. Assume moreover that $\ell > 0$ (which is to say that V_ℓ is isotropic) and that $\dim V_\ell > 4$. The case $\dim V_\ell = 4$ can also be treated, but the formula is slightly more complicated (see [Get18, HB96]). We choose

$$f = f_\infty \mathbb{1}_{V_{\ell+1}(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})} \in \mathcal{S}(V_\ell(\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{Q}) \oplus \mathbb{A}_\mathbb{Q}^2)$$

and assume that

$$d_\ell(f_\infty) = c_\ell(f_\infty) = 0.$$

This is easy enough to arrange. Then for any $B \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \leq \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}\infty}^\times$

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{\xi \in X_\ell^\circ(\mathbb{Q})} I(f)\left(\frac{\xi}{B}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{\xi \in nV_\ell(\mathbb{Z}) - \{0\} \\ Q_\ell(\xi)=0}} n^{\dim V_\ell/2-2} I(f_\infty)\left(\frac{\xi}{B}\right).$$

Here we have used Lemma 4.8. Thus one side of our summation formula is a weighted version of a sum familiar from analytic number theory. It is a smoothed count of the number of integral zeros of Q_ℓ of size at most B .

By Corollary 7.2 the other side is

$$\begin{aligned} & B^{\dim V_\ell-2} c_\ell(\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}(f)) + B^{\dim V_\ell-2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{\xi \in nV_\ell(\mathbb{Z}) - \{0\} \\ Q_\ell(\xi)=0}} n^{\dim V_\ell/2-2} I(\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}(f_\infty))(B\xi) \\ & + B^{\dim V_\ell/2} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \left(c_i(d_{\ell,i}(\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}(f))) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{\xi \in nV_i(\mathbb{Z}) - \{0\} \\ Q_i(\xi)=0}} n^{\dim V_i/2-2} I(d_{\ell,i}(\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}(f_\infty)))(\xi) \right) \\ & + B^{\dim V_\ell/2} \kappa d_{\ell,0}(\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}(f))(0_{V_0}, 0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus Theorem 1.2 gives a complete asymptotic expansion of (2.1) as a function of B in terms of sums over a family of quadratic forms. This goes far beyond

what is obtained in the usual circle method, which usually only produces a description of the main term $c_\ell(\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}(F))$ (but see [Get18, Sch17, Tra20, VW18]). The term $c_\ell(\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}(f))$ is essentially the familiar singular series. The flexibility of choosing other test functions in $\mathcal{S}(X_\ell(\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{Q}))$ allows one to impose congruence conditions on the sum. It is important that one is allowed to choose arbitrary test functions at infinity for classical applications of this formula. More specifically, if one imposed the conditions of [BK02], for example, then the term $c_\ell(\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}(f))$ would be zero, which would make the formula useless for the classical application of counting the number of points of size at most B on $X_\ell(\mathbb{Z})$.

3. PRELIMINARIES

3.1. Groups. As in the introduction GO_{V_i} is the similitude group of (V_i, Q_i) . Let

$$(3.1) \quad \lambda : \mathrm{GO}_{V_i} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$$

be the similitude norm. We identify GO_{V_i} as a subgroup of $\mathrm{GO}_{V_{i+1}}$ via the embedding given on points in an F -algebra R by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{GO}_{V_i}(R) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{GO}_{V_{i+1}}(R) \\ h &\longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} h & & \\ & \lambda(h) & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

For $x \in R^{\dim V_i}$ (viewed as a column vector) we let

$$(3.2) \quad u(x) := \begin{pmatrix} I_{V_i} & J_i x \\ & 1 \\ -x^t & -Q_i(x) & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and set

$$(3.3) \quad N_{i+1}(R) := \{u(x) : x \in R^{\dim V_i}\}.$$

This is the unipotent radical of a maximal parabolic subgroup of (the neutral component of) $\mathrm{GO}_{V_{i+1}}$.

3.2. Normalization of measures and the Harish-Chandra map. Fix a non-trivial character $\psi : F \backslash \mathbb{A}_F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. For each place v of F we normalize the Haar measure on F_v so that the Fourier transform with respect to ψ_v is self-dual. Then the induced measure on \mathbb{A}_F gives $F \backslash \mathbb{A}_F$ measure 1 [Wei74, §VII.2]. For each place v of F we give F_v the measure

$$d^\times x_v := \zeta_v(1) |x|_v^{-1} dx_v.$$

If F_v is unramified over its prime field and ψ_v is unramified then $dx_v(\mathcal{O}_v) = 1$, where \mathcal{O}_v is the ring of integers of F_v . As usual, let

$$(3.4) \quad A_{\mathbb{G}_m} \leq F_{\infty}^{\times}$$

be the diagonal copy of $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and let

$$(3.5) \quad (\mathbb{A}_F^{\times})^1 := \{x \in \mathbb{A}_F^{\times} : |x| = 1\}.$$

We choose the Haar measure on $A_{\mathbb{G}_m}$ so that the isomorphism $|\cdot| : A_{\mathbb{G}_m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ is measure preserving and then endow $(\mathbb{A}_F^{\times})^1$ with the unique Haar measure such that the canonical isomorphism

$$A_{\mathbb{G}_m} \times (\mathbb{A}_F^{\times})^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{A}_F^{\times}$$

is measure-preserving.

Let $T_2 < B < \mathrm{SL}_2$ be the maximal torus of diagonal matrices and the Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices, respectively. Let N be the unipotent radical of B . Let $K = \prod_{v \in \infty} K_v$ be the usual maximal compact subgroup; thus K_v is $\mathrm{SO}_2(\mathbb{R})$ (resp. $\mathrm{SU}_2(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}_v)$) if v is real (resp. complex, finite). By the Iwasawa decomposition

$$(3.6) \quad \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F) = N(\mathbb{A}_F)T_2(\mathbb{A}_F)K.$$

For each place v of F we give $\mathrm{SL}_2(F_v)$ the Haar measure

$$d\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & \\ & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} k\right) = dt \frac{d^{\times} a}{|a|^2} dk$$

where $(t, a, k) \in F_v \times F_v^{\times} \times K_v$ and dk gives K_v measure 1. This induces a measure on $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$.

For $g \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ write $g = n \begin{pmatrix} a & \\ & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} k$ with $(n, a, k) \in N(\mathbb{A}_F) \times \mathbb{A}_F^{\times} \times K$ and define

$$(3.7) \quad H_B(nak) := \log |a| \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We also use the obvious local analogue of this notation.

If G is an algebraic group over F we let

$$[G] := G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A}_F).$$

We let $\zeta(s) = \zeta_{\infty}(s)\zeta^{\infty}(s)$ denote the completed L -function. In the following lemma the measure on $[\mathrm{SL}_2]$ is induced from the measure on $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ fixed above.

Lemma 3.1. *We have $\mathrm{meas}([\mathrm{SL}_2]) = |D|^{1/2}\zeta(2)$, where D is the absolute discriminant of F .*

Proof. It is well known that the Tamagawa number of SL_2 is 1 [PR94, §5.3]. The measure we gave above on $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ is

$$|D|^{3/2}|D|^{-1/2}|D|^{-1/2} \cdot \zeta(2) = |D|^{1/2}\zeta(2).$$

times the Tamagawa measure by the computation in [Bor81, §6]. \square

4. LOCAL INTEGRALS AND THE SCHWARTZ SPACE

In this section we fix a place v of F and omit it from notation, writing $F := F_v$. Thus F can be any local field of characteristic 0. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(V_i(F) \oplus F^2)$. For $\xi \in X_i^\circ(F)$ let

$$(4.1) \quad I(f)(\xi) := \int_{N(F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(F)} r_i(g) f(\xi, 0, 1) dg.$$

Here, as above, $N \leq \mathrm{SL}_2$ denotes the unipotent radical of the Borel subgroup of upper triangular matrices.

Lemma 4.1. *The integral*

$$\int_{N(F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(F)} |r_i(g) f(\xi, 0, 1)| dg$$

is convergent for all $\xi \in V_i^\circ(F)$. For any $A \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ it is bounded by a constant depending on f and F (and $\varepsilon > 0$ if $\dim V_i = 4$) times

$$\max(|\xi|, 1)^{-A} \begin{cases} \min(|\xi|, 1)^{2-\dim V_i/2} & \text{if } \dim V_i > 4 \\ \min(|\xi|, 1)^{-\varepsilon} & \text{if } \dim V_i = 4 \\ 1 & \text{if } \dim V_i = 2. \end{cases}$$

In the nonarchimedean case, this can be strengthened to the assertion that the support of the integral is contained in the intersection of a compact subset of $V(F)$ with $V^\circ(F)$.

Proof. Decomposing the Haar measure on $\mathrm{SL}_2(F)$ using the Iwasawa decomposition we see that the integral in the lemma is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{F^\times \times K} |r_i\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & \\ & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} k\right) f|(\xi, 0, 1) \frac{d^\times adk}{|a|^2} \\ &= \int_{F^\times \times K} |r_i(k) f|(a\xi, 0, a^{-1}) \frac{|a|^{\dim V_i/2} d^\times adk}{|a|^2} \\ &= \int_{F^\times \times K} |r_i(k) f|(a^{-1}\xi, 0, a) |a|^{2-\dim V_i/2} d^\times adk. \end{aligned}$$

In the nonarchimedean case it is easy to check the assertion of the lemma from this expression. In the archimedean case it requires a small computation which is contained in [GL19, Lemma 8.1]. \square

We define

$$(4.2) \quad \mathcal{S}(X_i(F)) := \mathcal{S}(V_i(F) \oplus F^2)_{r_i(\mathrm{SL}_2(F))}.$$

Temporarily let

$$W := \langle f - r_i(g)f : (g, f) \in \mathrm{SL}_2(F) \times \mathcal{S}(V_i(F) \oplus F^2) \rangle$$

where the brackets denote the \mathbb{C} -span. In the nonarchimedean case

$$(4.3) \quad \mathcal{S}(V_i(F) \oplus F^2)_{r_i(\mathrm{SL}_2(F))} := \mathcal{S}(V_i(F) \oplus F^2)/W$$

and in the archimedean case

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{S}(V_i(F) \oplus F^2)_{r_i(\mathrm{SL}_2(F))} := \mathcal{S}(V_i(F) \oplus F^2)/\overline{W}$$

where \overline{W} is the closure of W in the usual Frechet space topology on $\mathcal{S}(V_i(F) \oplus F^2)$. The map I defined in (4.1) induces a morphism

$$I : \mathcal{S}(V_i(F) \oplus F^2) \longrightarrow C^\infty(X_i^\circ(F))$$

that factors through $\mathcal{S}(X_i(F))$.

Already in the introduction we made use of two representations of $\mathrm{SL}_2(F)$ on $\mathcal{S}(V_i(F) \oplus F^2)$, namely $\rho_{i+1}(g)$ and $r_i(g)$. We now relate these two actions. Define a transform

$$(4.5) \quad \mathcal{F}_2 : \mathcal{S}(F^2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(F^2) \\ f \longmapsto \left((u_1, u_2) \mapsto \int_F f(u_1, x) \psi(u_2 x) dx \right)$$

where $(u_1, u_2) \in F^2$. The subscript 2 is a reminder that this is the Fourier transform in the second variable. Identifying $V_{i+1}(F) = V_i(F) \oplus F^2$ this extends to

$$(4.6) \quad \mathcal{F}_2 := 1_{\mathcal{S}(V_i(\mathbb{A}_F))} \otimes \mathcal{F}_2 : \mathcal{S}(V_{i+1}(F)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(V_i(F) \oplus F^2).$$

It is obvious that \mathcal{F}_2 is $\mathrm{GO}_{V_i}(F)$ -equivariant. We also have the following equivariance property:

Lemma 4.2. *For $g \in \mathrm{SL}_2(F)$, one has that*

$$\mathcal{F}_2 \circ \rho_{i+1}(g) = r_i(g) \circ \mathcal{F}_2.$$

Before proving the lemma, we set some notation for the Weil representation ρ_i on $\mathcal{S}(V_i(F))$. For more details we refer to [GL19, §3.1] and the references therein. We let

$$(4.7) \quad \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle_i := v_1^t J_i v_2$$

be the pairing attached to the quadratic form Q_i , we let

$$(4.8) \quad \gamma := \gamma(Q_i)$$

be the Weil index (it is independent of i) and we let

$$(4.9) \quad \chi(a) := (a, (-1)^{\dim V_i/2} \det J_i)$$

be the usual character attached to the quadratic form (it is independent of i). Here the right hand side of (4.9) is the usual Hilbert symbol.

Proof of Lemma 4.2. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(V_i(F) \oplus F^2)$. By the Bruhat decomposition, it suffices to check the identity for $g \in T_2(F)$, $g \in N(F)$ and $g = \begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ -1 & \end{pmatrix}$.

For $a \in F^\times$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_2 \circ \rho_{i+1} \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & \\ & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right) f(\xi, u_1, u_2) &= \chi(a) |a|^{\dim V_i/2+1} \int_F f(a\xi, au_1, ax) \psi(u_2 x) dx \\ &= r_i \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & \\ & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right) \mathcal{F}_2(f)(\xi, u_1, u_2). \end{aligned}$$

For $t \in F$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_2 \circ \rho_{i+1} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) f(\xi, u_1, u_2) &= \mathcal{F}_2(\psi(tQ_{i+1}(\cdot))f)(\xi, u_1, u_2) \\ &= \int_F f(\xi, u_1, x) \psi(tQ_i(\xi) + tu_1 x) \psi(u_2 x) dx \\ &= \psi(tQ_i(\xi)) \mathcal{F}_2(f)(\xi, u_1, u_2 + tu_1) \\ &= r_i \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \mathcal{F}_2(f)(\xi, u_1, u_2). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{F}_2 \circ \rho_{i+1} \left(\begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ -1 & \end{pmatrix} \right) f(\xi, u_1, u_2) \\ &= \gamma \int_F \psi(u_2 x) \left(\int_{V_i(F) \times F^2} f(w, w_1, w_2) \psi(\langle \xi, w \rangle_i + u_1 w_2 + x w_1) dw_1 dw_2 dw \right) dx \\ &= \gamma \int_{F \times V(F)} f(w, -u_2, w_2) \psi(\langle \xi, w \rangle_i + u_1 w_2) dw_2 dw \\ &= r_i \left(\begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ -1 & \end{pmatrix} \right) \circ \mathcal{F}_2(f)(\xi, u_1, u_2). \end{aligned}$$

□

The transform \mathcal{F}_2 plays key role in the proof of Theorem 1.2, see Lemma 5.3 below. It is also important because it is what allows us to view $\mathcal{S}(X_i(F))$ as a representation of $\mathrm{GO}_{V_{i+1}}(F)$, not just $\mathrm{GO}_{V_i}(F)$ as we now explain. By Lemma 4.2 the isomorphism (4.6) yields an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{F}_2 : \mathcal{S}(V_{i+1}(F))_{\rho_{i+1}(\mathrm{SL}_2(F))} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}(V_i(F) \oplus F^2)_{r_i(\mathrm{SL}_2(F))} =: \mathcal{S}(X_i(F)).$$

We have an action

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} L : \mathrm{GO}_{V_{i+1}}(F) \times \mathcal{S}(V_{i+1}(F))_{\rho_{i+1}(\mathrm{SL}_2(F))} &\longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(V_{i+1}(F))_{\rho_{i+1}(\mathrm{SL}_2(F))} \\ (h, f) &\longmapsto (x \mapsto f(h^{-1}x)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus using \mathcal{F}_2 we obtain an action $\mathrm{GO}_{V_{i+1}}(F)$ on $\mathcal{S}(V_i(F) \oplus F^2)$ defined by transport of structure along \mathcal{F}_2 :

$$(4.11) \quad \sigma_i(h) := \mathcal{F}_2 \circ L(h) \circ \mathcal{F}_2^{-1}.$$

Define the semidirect product $\mathrm{SL}_2(F) \rtimes \mathrm{GO}_{V_i}(F)$ via

$$(g \rtimes h)(g' \rtimes h') := g \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & \lambda(h) \end{pmatrix} g' \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & \lambda(h)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \rtimes hh'.$$

The group $\mathrm{SL}_2(F) \rtimes \mathrm{GO}_{V_{i+1}}(F)$ acts on $\mathcal{S}(V_{i+1}(\mathbb{A}_F))$ via

$$\rho_{i+1}(g \rtimes h)f := \rho_{i+1}(g)(L(h)f)$$

(see [GL19, §3.1]). Thus r_i , originally defined as a representation of $\mathrm{SL}_2(F)$, extends to an action

$$r_i : \mathrm{SL}_2(F) \rtimes \mathrm{GO}_{V_{i+1}}(F) : \mathcal{S}(V_i(F) \oplus F^2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(V_i(F) \oplus F^2)$$

given by

$$r_i(g \rtimes h)f := r_i(g)(\sigma_i(h)f).$$

This implies that σ_i descends to an action

$$(4.12) \quad \sigma_i : \mathrm{GO}_{V_{i+1}}(F) \times \mathcal{S}(X_i(F)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(X_i(F)).$$

For $f \in \mathcal{S}(F^2)$ let

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_\wedge(f)(v) &:= \int_{F^2} f(w) \psi(w \wedge v) dw \\ &= \int_{F^2} f \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \end{pmatrix} \psi(w_1 v_2 - w_2 v_1) dw_1 dw_2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus \mathcal{F}_\wedge is an $\mathrm{SL}_2(F)$ -equivariant Fourier transform:

$$(4.14) \quad \mathcal{F}_\wedge : \mathcal{S}(F^2) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(F^2).$$

We extend it to $\mathcal{S}(V_{i+1}(F))$ by setting $\mathcal{F}_\wedge := 1_{\mathcal{S}(V_i(F))} \otimes \mathcal{F}_\wedge$. It clearly descends to a linear isomorphism

$$(4.15) \quad \mathcal{F}_X : \mathcal{S}(X_i(F)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(X_i(F)).$$

It is useful to explicitly compute how the action of $\mathrm{GO}_{V_{i+1}}(F)$ interacts with the operator I . We use notation from §3.1.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(X_i(F))$ and $\xi \in X_i^\circ(F)$. For $a \in F^\times$, $h \in \mathrm{GO}_{V_i}(F)$ and $x \in F^{\dim V_i}$ one has that*

$$(4.16) \quad I(\sigma_i(h)f)(\xi) = |\lambda(h)|I(f)(h^{-1}\xi),$$

$$(4.17) \quad I\left(\sigma_i\left(\begin{smallmatrix} I_{V_i} & a \\ & a^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}\right)f\right)(\xi) = \chi(a)|a|^{1-\dim V_i/2}I(f)(a^{-1}\xi),$$

$$(4.18) \quad I(\sigma_i(u(x))f)(\xi) = \overline{\psi}(x^t\xi)I(f)(\xi),$$

$$(4.19) \quad \sigma_i\left(\begin{smallmatrix} I_{V_i} & \\ & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)f = \mathcal{F}_{X_i}(f).$$

Thus the operator I intertwines the representation $\mathcal{S}(X_i(F))$ with the minimal representation of $\mathrm{SO}_{V_{i+1}}(F)$, realized on a suitable space of functions on $X_i(F)$ (see [GK23, Kaz90, KM11, KP04] for more on the minimal representation). This is made precise in the nonarchimedean case in Theorem A.6 below.

Proof. Changing variables $g \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & \lambda(h) \end{pmatrix} g \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & \lambda(h)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(\sigma_i(h)f)(\xi) &= \int_{N(F)\backslash\mathrm{SL}_2(F)} r_i(g)\sigma_i(h)f(\xi, 0, 1)d\dot{g} \\ &= |\lambda(h)| \int_{N(F)\backslash\mathrm{SL}_2(F)} r_i(1 \rtimes h)r_i(g)f(\xi, 0, 1)d\dot{g} \\ &= |\lambda(h)|I(f)(h^{-1}\xi). \end{aligned}$$

For $a \in F^\times$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} (4.20) \quad & \sigma_i\left(\begin{smallmatrix} I_{V_i} & a \\ & a^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}\right)f(\xi, \xi'_1, \xi'_2) \\ &= \int_F \left(\int_F f(\xi, a^{-1}\xi'_1, x)\overline{\psi}(axy)dx \right) \psi(\xi'_2 y)dy \\ &= |a|^{-1}f(\xi, a^{-1}\xi'_1, a^{-1}\xi'_2) \\ &= \chi(a)|a|^{-\dim V_i/2-1}r_i\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & \\ & a^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}\right)\sigma_i\left(\begin{smallmatrix} aI_{V_i} & a^2 \\ & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)f(\xi, \xi'_1, \xi'_2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$I\left(\sigma_i\left(\begin{smallmatrix} I_{V_i} & a \\ & a^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}\right)f\right)(\xi)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \chi(a)|a|^{-\dim V_i/2-1} \int_{N(F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(F)} r_i(g \rtimes 1) r_i \begin{pmatrix} a & \\ & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \sigma_i \begin{pmatrix} aI_{V_i} & \\ & a^2 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} f(\xi, 0, 1) d\dot{g} \\
&= \chi(a)|a|^{-\dim V_i/2-1} \int_{N(F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(F)} r_i(g) \sigma_i \begin{pmatrix} aI_{V_i} & \\ & a^2 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} f(\xi, 0, 1) d\dot{g} \\
&= \chi(a)|a|^{-\dim V_i/2-1} I \left(\sigma_i \begin{pmatrix} aI_{V_i} & \\ & a^2 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} f \right) (\xi).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have changed variables $g \mapsto g \begin{pmatrix} a & \\ & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$. We now apply (4.16) to see that the above is

$$(4.21) \quad \chi(a)|a|^{-\dim V_i/2+1} I(f)(a^{-1}\xi).$$

For $f \in \mathcal{S}(V_i(F) \oplus F^2)$ one has

$$L(u(x))\mathcal{F}_2^{-1}(f)(\xi, \xi'_1, \xi'_2) = \int_F f(\xi - Jx\xi'_1, \xi'_1, v) \overline{\psi}((x^t\xi - Q_i(x)\xi'_1 + \xi'_2)v) dv.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_i(u(x))f(\xi, \xi'_1, \xi'_2) &= \int_F \left(\int_F f(\xi - Jx\xi'_1, \xi'_1, v) \overline{\psi}((x^t\xi - Q_i(x)\xi'_1 + u)v) dv \right) \psi(\xi'_2 u) du \\
&= \int_F \left(\int_F f(\xi - Jx\xi'_1, \xi'_1, v) \overline{\psi}(uv) dv \right) \psi(\xi'_2(-x^t\xi + Q_i(x)\xi'_1 + u)) du \\
&= f(\xi - Jx\xi'_1, \xi'_1, \xi'_2) \psi(\xi'_2(-x^t\xi + Q_i(x)\xi'_1)).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\sigma_i(\mathrm{O}_{V_{i+1}}(F))$ commutes with $r_i(\mathrm{SL}_2(F))$ we deduce (4.18).

We now prove (4.19). Temporarily let $f \in \mathcal{S}(F^2)$. Letting $L(h)f(\xi) = f(h^{-1}\xi)$ as usual one has

$$\begin{aligned}
L \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}_2^{-1}(f) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} &= L \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \left(\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \int_F f \begin{pmatrix} x \\ v \end{pmatrix} \psi(-yv) dv \right) \\
&= \int_F f \begin{pmatrix} y \\ v \end{pmatrix} \psi(-xv) dv.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying \mathcal{F}_2 yields

$$(4.22) \quad \int_{F^2} f \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \psi(-xv + yu) dudv = \mathcal{F}_\wedge(f) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$

and (4.19) follows. \square

Corollary 4.4. *For $h \in \mathrm{GO}_{V_i}(F)$ one has*

$$I(\mathcal{F}_{X_i} \circ \sigma_i(h)f)(\xi) = |\lambda(h)|^{\dim V_i/2} I(\mathcal{F}_{X_i}(f))(\lambda(h)h^{-1}\xi).$$

Proof. One has that

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_{V_i} & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h & \\ & \lambda(h) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda(h)^{-1}h & \\ & \lambda(h)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \lambda(h) I_{V_{i+1}} \begin{pmatrix} I_{V_i} & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

so by (4.16) and (4.19) we have

$$I(\mathcal{F}_{X_i}(\sigma_i(h)f))(\xi) = |\lambda(h)|^{-1} I(\sigma_i(\lambda(h)I_{V_{i+1}})\mathcal{F}_{X_i}(f))(\lambda(h)h^{-1}\xi).$$

Now

$$\lambda(h)I_{V_{i+1}} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{V_i} & & \\ & \lambda(h)^{-1} & \\ & & \lambda(h) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda(h) & & \\ & \lambda(h)^2 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

so by (4.16) and (4.17) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\lambda(h)|^{-1} I(\sigma_i(\lambda(h)I_{V_{i+1}})\mathcal{F}_{X_i}(f))(\lambda(h)h^{-1}\xi) \\ &= \chi(\lambda(h))|\lambda(h)|^{\dim V_i/2-2} I\left(\sigma_i\left(\begin{pmatrix} \lambda(h) & & \\ & \lambda(h)^2 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}\mathcal{F}_{X_i}(f)\right)(\lambda(h)^2h^{-1}\xi)\right) \\ &= \chi(\lambda(h))|\lambda(h)|^{\dim V_i/2} I(\mathcal{F}_{X_i}(f))(\lambda(h)h^{-1}\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Since χ is trivial on the image of the similitude norm [GL19, Lemma 3.2] we deduce the corollary. \square

We will require another family of operators on $\mathcal{S}(V_i(F) \oplus F^2)$. For $f \in \mathcal{S}(V_i(F) \oplus F^2)$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$ define

$$(4.23) \quad \begin{aligned} Z_{r_i}(f, s) &:= \int_{N(F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(F)} e^{H_B(g)(2-\dim V_i/2-s)} r_i(g) f(0_{V_i}, 0, 1) d\dot{g}, \\ Z_{\rho_{i+1}}(f, s) &:= \int_{N(F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(F)} e^{H_B(g)(1-\dim V_i/2+s)} \rho_{i+1}(g) f(0_{V_i}, 0, 1) d\dot{g}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.5. *The integral $Z_{r_i}(f, s)$ is a Tate integral in the following sense: If*

$$\Psi_f(x) := \int_K r_i(k) f(0, 0, x) dk$$

then

$$Z_{r_i}(f, s) = Z(\Psi_f, \chi|\cdot|^s) := \int_{F^\times} \Psi_f(a) \chi(a) |a|^s d^\times a.$$

In view of the lemma, the integral $Z_{r_i}(f, s)$ is meromorphic as a function of s .

Proof. By the Iwasawa decomposition one has

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{r_i}(f, s) &= \int_{F^\times \times K} \chi(a) |a|^{-s} (r_i(k)f)(0_{V_i}, 0, a^{-1}) dk d^\times a \\ &= \int_{F^\times \times K} \chi(a) |a|^s (r_i(k)f)(0_{V_i}, 0, a) dk d^\times a. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Lemma 4.6. *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(V_i(F) \oplus F^2)$. For $a \in F^\times$, $h \in O_{V_i}(F)$ and $x \in F^{\dim V_i}$ one has*

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{r_i}(\sigma_i(h)f, s) &= Z_{r_i}(f, s), \\ Z_{r_i}\left(\sigma_i\left(\begin{smallmatrix} I_{V_i} & \\ & a \\ & & a^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}\right)f, s\right) &= \chi(a)|a|^{s-1}Z_{r_i}(f, s), \\ Z_{r_i}(\sigma_i(u(x))f, s) &= Z_{r_i}(f, s). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first assertion is clear. Similarly we compute

$$Z\left(\sigma_i\left(\begin{smallmatrix} I_{V_i} & \\ & a \\ & & a^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}\right)f, s\right) = \int_{F^\times \times K} \chi(b)|b|^s \left(\sigma_i\left(\begin{smallmatrix} I_{V_i} & \\ & a \\ & & a^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}\right)r_i(k)f\right)(0, 0, b)dkd^\times b.$$

Using (4.20) this is

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{F^\times \times K} |b|^s \chi(b)|a|^{-1} (r_i(k)f)(0, 0, a^{-1}b)dkd^\times b \\ &= |a|^{s-1} \chi(a) \int_{F^\times \times K} \chi(b)|b|^s (r_i(k)f)(0, 0, b)d^\times b. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the last formula is the same as the proof of (4.18) in Proposition 4.3. \square

We now prove some useful properties of the Schwartz space. By a minor variant of the argument proving [GH20, Lemma 5.7] one obtains the following lemma:

Lemma 4.7. *One has*

$$\mathcal{S}(V_i(F))|_{X_i^\circ(F)} < I(\mathcal{S}(X_i(F))).$$

\square

For nonarchimedean F with ring of integers \mathcal{O} we say that the image of $\mathbb{1}_{V_i(\mathcal{O}) \oplus \mathcal{O}^2}$ in $\mathcal{S}(X_i(F))$ is the **basic function**. Let q be the order of the residue field of \mathcal{O} . Computing as in Lemma 4.1 one obtains the following:

Lemma 4.8. *Let F be a nonarchimedean local field of characteristic 0. Assume that ψ is unramified, that F is unramified over its prime field, and that $J_i \in \mathrm{GL}_{V_i}(\mathcal{O})$. For $\xi \in X_i^\circ(F)$ one has that*

$$I(\mathbb{1}_{V_i(\mathcal{O}) \oplus \mathcal{O}^2})(\xi) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \chi(\varpi^k) q^{k(\dim V_i/2-2)} \mathbb{1}_{V_i(\mathcal{O})}\left(\frac{\xi}{\varpi^k}\right).$$

Moreover

$$\int_{N(F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(F)} |r_i(g) \mathbb{1}_{V_{i+1}(\mathcal{O})}(\xi, 0, 1)| d\dot{g}$$

is convergent for all $\xi \in V_i^\circ(F)$. It is supported in $V_i(\mathcal{O}) \cap V_i^\circ(F)$ and is bounded by

$$\begin{cases} |\xi|^{2-\dim V_i/2}(\log_q |\xi| + 1) & \text{if } \dim V_i > 4 \\ \log_q |\xi| + 1 & \text{if } \dim V_i = 4 \text{ or } 2. \end{cases}$$

□

If F is nonarchimedean, unramified over its prime field, ψ is unramified, and $J_i \in \mathrm{GL}_{V_i}(\mathcal{O})$ then it is clear that \mathcal{F}_{X_i} preserves the basic function.

5. INTEGRALS OF TRUNCATED ISOTROPIC THETA FUNCTIONS

For $f \in \mathcal{S}(V_{i+1}(\mathbb{A}_F))$ with $i \geq 0$ and $g \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ let

$$(5.1) \quad \Theta_f(g) := \sum_{\xi \in V_{i+1}(F)} \rho_{i+1}(g)f(\xi).$$

We refer to this as an **isotropic** theta function because Q_{i+1} is isotropic.

For $T \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and suitable functions φ on $[\mathrm{SL}_2]$ we then define

$$(5.2) \quad \varphi^T(g) := \Lambda^T \varphi(g) := \varphi(g) - \sum_{\gamma \in B(F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(F)} \mathbb{1}_{>T}(H_B(\gamma g)) \int_{[N]} \varphi(n\gamma g) dn.$$

Here $\mathbb{1}_{>T}$ is the characteristic function of $\mathbb{R}_{>T}$. This is the usual truncation in the special case of SL_2 (in Arthur's notation, $\mathbb{1}_{>0}$ is $\widehat{\tau}_B$). For fixed g the sum over γ in this expression is finite [Art05, §6].

For $f \in \mathcal{S}(V_i(\mathbb{A}_F) \oplus \mathbb{A}_F^2) = \mathcal{S}(V_{i+1}(\mathbb{A}_F))$ and $s \in \mathbb{C}$ define

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} Z_{r_i}(f, s) &:= \int_{N(\mathbb{A}_F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)} e^{H_B(g)(2-\dim V_i/2-s)} r_i(g) f(0, 0, 1) d\dot{g}, \\ Z_{\rho_{i+1}}(f, s) &:= \int_{N(\mathbb{A}_F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)} e^{H_B(g)(1-\dim V_i/2+s)} \rho_{i+1}(g) f(0, 0, 1) d\dot{g}. \end{aligned}$$

This is the global version of (4.23). The integral $Z_{r_i}(f, s)$ is a Tate integral by Lemma 4.5.

The main theorem of this section is the following:

Theorem 5.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(V_{i+1}(\mathbb{A}_F))$. As $T \rightarrow \infty$*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \Theta_f^T(g) dg &= \sum_{\xi \in X_i^\circ(F)} I(\mathcal{F}_2(f))(\xi) + \int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \Theta_{\mathcal{F}_2(f)(\cdot, 0, 0)}^T(g) dg \\ &+ \left(\sum_{s_i \in \left\{ \frac{\dim V_i}{2} - 1, \frac{\dim V_i}{2} - 2, 0 \right\}} \mathrm{Res}_{s=s_i} \frac{e^{Ts} Z_{r_i}(\mathcal{F}_2(f), s + 2 - \frac{\dim V_i}{2})}{s} \right) + o_f(1). \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\Theta_{\mathcal{F}_2(f)(\cdot, 0, 0)}(g) := \sum_{\xi \in V_i(F)} \rho_i(g) \mathcal{F}_2(f)(\xi, 0, 0)$$

where ρ_i acts via its action on $\mathcal{S}(V_i(\mathbb{A}_F))$. We give the proof at the end of this section. By induction on i this reduces the study of $\int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \Theta_f^T(g) dg$ to a special case to be treated in §6.

Lemma 5.2. *One has*

$$Z_{r_i}(f, s) = Z_{\rho_{i+1}}(\mathcal{F}_2^{-1}(f), 1 - s)$$

as meromorphic functions in s .

Proof. Let

$$\Psi_f(x) := \int_K r_i(k) f(0, 0, x) dk.$$

One has

$$Z_{r_i}(f, s) = \int_{\mathbb{A}_F^\times \times K} \chi(a) |a|^s r_i(k) f(0, 0, a) dk d^\times a = Z(\Psi_f, \chi | \cdot |^s).$$

Let $\widehat{\Psi}_f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{A}_F} \Psi_f(y) \overline{\psi}(xy) dy$. Then we have

$$Z(\Psi_f, \chi | \cdot |^s) = Z(\widehat{\Psi}_f, \chi | \cdot |^{1-s})$$

by Tate's functional equation. Let us expand $Z(\widehat{\Psi}_f, \chi | \cdot |^s)$ for $\mathrm{Re}(s)$ sufficiently large. It is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\widehat{\Psi}_f, \chi | \cdot |^s) &= \int_{\mathbb{A}_F^\times \times K} \chi(a) |a|^s \mathcal{F}_2^{-1}(r_i(k)f)(0, 0, a) dk d^\times a \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{A}_F^\times \times K} \chi(a) |a|^{-1-\dim V/2+s} \rho_{i+1} \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & \\ & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} k \right) \mathcal{F}_2^{-1}(r_i(k)f)(0, 0, 1) dk d^\times a. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.2 $\mathcal{F}_2^{-1} \circ r_i = \rho_{i+1} \circ \mathcal{F}_2^{-1}$. Thus the above is

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{A}_F^\times \times K} \chi(a) |a|^{-1-\dim V/2+s} \rho_{i+1} \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & \\ & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} k \right) \mathcal{F}_2^{-1}(f)(0, 0, 1) dk d^\times a \\ &= \int_{N(\mathbb{A}_F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)} e^{H_B(g)(1-\dim V/2+s)} \rho_{i+1}(g) \mathcal{F}_2^{-1}(f)(0, 0, 1) dg \\ &= Z_{\rho_{i+1}}(\mathcal{F}_2^{-1}(f), s). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 5.3. *The integral $\int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \Theta_f^T(g) dg$ is equal to*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \Theta_{\mathcal{F}_2(f)(\cdot, 0, 0)}^T(g) dg + \int_{N(\mathbb{A}_F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\leq T}(H_B(g)) \sum_{\xi \in X_i(F)} r_i(ng) \mathcal{F}_2(f)(\xi, 0, 1) \right. \\ \left. - \mathbb{1}_{> T}(H_B(g)) \sum_{\xi \in V_i(F)} \int_{N(\mathbb{A}_F)} r_i(ng) \mathcal{F}_2(f)(\xi, 1, 0) dn \right) dg. \end{aligned}$$

Here is where we make key use of the transform \mathcal{F}_2 . It converts the integral of the truncated Θ -function into an object that can be unfolded. Before beginning the proof we make some remarks on convergence issues. The lemma reduces the absolute convergence of $\int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \Theta_f^T(g) dg$ to the absolute convergence of $\int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \Theta_{\mathcal{F}_2(f)(\cdot, 0, 0)}^T(g) dg$ together with the absolute convergence of the other summand. The absolute convergence of the other summand is checked in propositions 5.4, 5.5, and 5.6. So by induction we are reduced to the absolute convergence statement in Lemma 6.1. This justifies the manipulations below.

Proof. By Lemma 4.2 and Poisson summation we obtain

$$(5.4) \quad \Theta_f(g) = \sum_{\xi \in V_{i+1}(F)} r_i(g) \mathcal{F}_2(f)(\xi).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \Theta_f^T(g) dg = \int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \left(\sum_{(\xi, \xi'_1, \xi'_2) \in V_i(F) \oplus F^2} r_i(g) \mathcal{F}_2(f)(\xi, \xi'_1, \xi'_2) \right. \\ \left. - \sum_{\gamma \in B(F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(F)} \mathbb{1}_{> T}(H_B(\gamma g)) \int_{[N]} \sum_{(\xi, \xi'_1, \xi'_2) \in V(F) \oplus F^2} r_i(n\gamma g) \mathcal{F}_2(f)(\xi, \xi'_1, \xi'_2) dn \right) dg. \end{aligned}$$

We separate the contributions of $(\xi'_1, \xi'_2) = (0, 0)$ and $(\xi'_1, \xi'_2) \neq (0, 0)$ to write this as the sum of

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \left(\sum_{\xi \in V_i(F)} r_i(g) \mathcal{F}_2(f)(\xi, 0, 0) \right. \\ \left. - \sum_{\gamma \in B(F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(F)} \mathbb{1}_{> T}(H_B(\gamma g)) \int_{[N]} \sum_{\xi \in V_i(F)} r_i(n\gamma g) \mathcal{F}_2(f)(\xi, 0, 0) dn \right) dg \\ = \int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \Theta_{\mathcal{F}_2(f)(\cdot, 0, 0)}^T(g) dg \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \left(\sum_{(\xi, \xi'_1, \xi'_2)} r_i(g) \mathcal{F}_2(f)(\xi, \xi'_1, \xi'_2) \right. \\ \left. - \sum_{\gamma \in B(F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(F)} \mathbb{1}_{>T}(H_B(\gamma g)) \int_{[N]} \sum_{(\xi, \xi'_1, \xi'_2)} r_i(n\gamma g) \mathcal{F}_2(f)(\xi, \xi'_1, \xi'_2) dn \right) dg$$

where the sums are over $(\xi, \xi'_1, \xi'_2) \in V_i(F) \oplus F^2$ such that $(\xi'_1, \xi'_2) \neq (0, 0)$. The latter expression is equal to

$$\int_{B(F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)} \left(\sum_{(\xi, \alpha) \in V_i(F) \times F^\times} r_i(g) \mathcal{F}_2(f)(\xi, 0, \alpha) \right. \\ \left. - \mathbb{1}_{>T}(H_B(g)) \int_{[N]} \sum_{(\xi, \xi'_1, \xi'_2)} r_i(ng) \mathcal{F}_2(f)(\xi, \xi'_1, \xi'_2) dn \right) dg \\ = \int_{N(F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)} \left(\sum_{\xi \in V_i(F)} r_i(g) \mathcal{F}_2(f)(\xi, 0, 1) \right. \\ \left. - \mathbb{1}_{>T}(H_B(g)) \int_{[N]} \sum_{\xi \in V_i(F)} \sum_{(\xi'_1, \xi'_2)/\sim} r_i(ng) \mathcal{F}_2(f)(\xi, \xi'_1, \xi'_2) dn \right) dg.$$

The last sum is over $(\xi'_1, \xi'_2) \in F^2 - \{(0, 0)\}$ up to equivalence, where (ξ'_1, ξ'_2) is equivalent to $(\alpha \xi'_1, \alpha^{-1} \xi'_2)$ for all $\alpha \in F^\times$. Using the definition of the Weil representation this becomes

(5.5)

$$\int_{N(\mathbb{A}_F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)} \left(\sum_{\xi \in X_i(F)} r_i(g) \mathcal{F}_2(f)(\xi, 0, 1) \right. \\ \left. - \mathbb{1}_{>T}(H_B(g)) \int_{[N]} \sum_{\xi \in V_i(F)} \sum_{(\xi'_1, \xi'_2)/\sim} r_i(ng) \mathcal{F}_2(f)(\xi, \xi'_1, \xi'_2) dn \right) d\dot{g}.$$

For each $\xi \in V_i(F)$ one has

$$\int_{[N]} \sum_{(\xi'_1, \xi'_2)/\sim} r_i(ng) \mathcal{F}_2(f)(\xi, \xi'_1, \xi'_2) dn \\ = \int_{[N]} r_i(ng) \mathcal{F}_2(f)(\xi, 0, 1) dn + \int_{[N]} \sum_{\alpha \in F} r_i(ng) \mathcal{F}_2(f)(\xi, 1, \alpha) dn.$$

The left summand vanishes unless $\mathcal{Q}_i(\xi) = 0$, in which case it is equal to $r_i(g)\mathcal{F}_2(f)(\xi, 0, 1)$. Thus (5.5) is equal to

$$(5.6) \quad \int_{N(\mathbb{A}_F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)} \left(\mathbb{1}_{\leq T}(H_B(g)) \sum_{\xi \in X_i(F)} r_i(g)\mathcal{F}_2(f)(\xi, 0, 1) \right. \\ \left. - \mathbb{1}_{> T}(H_B(g)) \sum_{\xi \in V_i(F)} \int_{N(\mathbb{A}_F)} r_i(n g)\mathcal{F}_2(f)(\xi, 1, 0) dn \right) d\dot{g}.$$

□

We now break the second summand in Lemma 5.3 into three pieces that we compute in the following three propositions:

Proposition 5.4. *The expression*

$$\int_{N(\mathbb{A}_F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)} \mathbb{1}_{\leq T}(H_B(g)) \sum_{\xi \in X_i^\circ(F)} |r_i(g)\mathcal{F}_2(f)(\xi, 0, 1)| d\dot{g}$$

converges and one has

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{N(\mathbb{A}_F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)} \mathbb{1}_{\leq T}(H_B(g)) \sum_{\xi \in X_i^\circ(F)} r_i(g)\mathcal{F}_2(f)(\xi, 0, 1) d\dot{g} = \sum_{\xi \in X_i^\circ(F)} I(\mathcal{F}_2(f))(\xi).$$

Proof. It is easy to see from Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.8 that

$$\sum_{\xi \in V_i^\circ(F)} \int_{N(\mathbb{A}_F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)} |r_i(g)\mathcal{F}_2(f)(\xi, 0, 1)| d\dot{g}$$

is absolutely convergent. The proposition follows. □

Proposition 5.5. *The integral*

$$\int_{N(\mathbb{A}_F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)} \mathbb{1}_{\leq T}(H_B(g)) r_i(g)\mathcal{F}_2(f)(0_{V_i}, 0, 1) d\dot{g}$$

converges absolutely and is equal to

$$\sum_{s_i \in \left\{ \frac{\dim V_i}{2} - 1, \frac{\dim V_i}{2} - 2, 0 \right\}} \mathrm{Res}_{s=s_i} \frac{e^{Ts} Z_{r_i}(\mathcal{F}_2(f), s + 2 - \frac{\dim V_i}{2})}{s} + o_f(1)$$

as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. The absolute convergence statement is a trivial consequence of the Iwasawa decomposition and well-known facts on Tate integrals.

We now prove the asymptotic formula. We have

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{N(\mathbb{A}_F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)} \mathbb{1}_{\leq T}(H_B(g)) r_i(g) \mathcal{F}_2(f)(0_{V_i}, 0, 1) d\dot{g} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{A}_F^\times \times K} \mathbb{1}_{\leq T}(\log |a|) \chi(a) |a|^{\dim V_i/2} r_i(k) \mathcal{F}_2(f)(0_{V_i}, 0, a^{-1}) \frac{dk d^\times a}{|a|^2} \end{aligned}$$

We wish to apply Mellin inversion to this expression. For $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 0$ we have

$$(5.8) \quad \int_{A_{G_m}} \mathbb{1}_{\leq T}(\log |a|) |a|^s d^\times a = \frac{e^{Ts}}{s}$$

and for $\mathrm{Re}(s)$ sufficiently small we have

$$(5.9) \quad \int_{\mathbb{A}_F^\times \times K} \chi(a) |a|^{\dim V_i/2+s} r_i(k) \mathcal{F}_2(f)(0_{V_i}, 0, a^{-1}) \frac{dk d^\times a}{|a|^2} = Z_{r_i}(\mathcal{F}_2(f), 2 - \frac{\dim V_i}{2} - s).$$

Hence (5.7) is equal to

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{i\mathbb{R}+\sigma} e^{Ts} Z_{r_i}(\mathcal{F}_2(f), s + 2 - \frac{\dim V_i}{2}) \frac{ds}{s}$$

for σ sufficiently large.

We now shift the contour to σ very small to see that the integral in the proposition is equal to

$$(5.10) \quad \sum_{s_i \in \left\{ \frac{\dim V_i}{2} - 1, \frac{\dim V_i}{2} - 2, 0 \right\}} \mathrm{Res}_{s=s_i} \frac{e^{Ts} Z_{r_i}(\mathcal{F}_2(f), s + 2 - \frac{\dim V_i}{2})}{s} + o_f(1).$$

□

Proposition 5.6. *As $T \rightarrow \infty$*

$$\int_{N(\mathbb{A}_F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)} \mathbb{1}_{>T}(H_B(g)) \int_{N(\mathbb{A}_F)} \sum_{\xi \in V_i(F)} |r_i(n\xi) \mathcal{F}_2(f)(\xi, 1, 0)| d\dot{g} = o_f(1).$$

Proof. The integral in the proposition is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{A}_F^\times \times K} \left(\mathbb{1}_{>T}(\log |a|) \sum_{\xi \in V_i(F)} \int_{\mathbb{A}_F} |a|^{\dim V_i/2-2} |r_i(k) \mathcal{F}_2(f)(a\xi, a, a^{-1}x)| dx d^\times a dk \right. \\ &= \left. \int_{\mathbb{A}_F^\times \times K} \left(\mathbb{1}_{>T}(\log |a|) \sum_{\xi \in V_i(F)} \int_{\mathbb{A}_F} |a|^{\dim V_i/2-1} |r_i(k) \mathcal{F}_2(f)(a\xi, a, x)| dx \right) d^\times a dk \right. \end{aligned}$$

The integral over a is a truncated Tate integral, and by well-known properties of Tate integrals it converges absolutely for all T . It becomes smaller as T becomes larger. □

Proof of Theorem 5.1. This is immediate from Lemma 5.3 and propositions 5.4, 5.5, and 5.6. \square

6. INTEGRALS OF TRUNCATED ANISOTROPIC THETA FUNCTIONS

Assume for this section that $V_i := V_0$ is an even dimensional vector space equipped with a nondegenerate anisotropic quadratic form Q_0 . Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(V_0(\mathbb{A}_F))$. We refer to $\Theta_f(g)$ as an **anisotropic theta function**. We allow the special case where $V_0 = \{0\}$. In this case we define $\mathcal{S}(V_0(\mathbb{A}_F)) := \mathbb{C}$ and the Weil representation is taken to be the trivial representation of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$.

Our aim is to compute $\int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \Theta_f^T(g) dg$. Since Q_0 is anisotropic we cannot reduce this computation to a smaller quadratic space as we did above. Instead, we apply a variant of the classical Rankin-Selberg method. Let

$$(6.1) \quad \Phi_s(g) := \int_{\mathbb{A}_F^\times} \Phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & t \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} g\right) |t|^{2s} d^\times t.$$

Moreover let

$$(6.2) \quad E(g, \Phi_s) := \sum_{\xi' \in F^2 - \{0\}} \Phi_s(\xi' g).$$

Then, as is well-known, $E(g, \Phi_s)$ converges absolutely for $\mathrm{Re}(s)$ large enough and admits a meromorphic continuation to the plane. Its residue at $s = 1$ is

$$\frac{\widehat{\Phi}(0)}{2} := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{A}_F^2} \Phi(x, y) dx dy.$$

In particular the residue is independent of g . For all of this we refer the reader to [JZ87, §1]. Define

$$(6.3) \quad \kappa := \begin{cases} \mathrm{meas}([\mathrm{SL}_2]) & \text{if } \dim V_0 = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 6.1. *The integral $\int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \Theta_f^T(g) dg$ converges absolutely. If $\dim V_0 = 0$*

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \Theta_f^T(g) dg = \kappa f(0).$$

If $\dim V_0 \geq 4$, then $\int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \Theta_f^T(g) dg$ is a polynomial in e^T and if $0 < \dim V_0 < 4$, it is a polynomial in e^{-T} . If $\chi \neq 1$ then this polynomial vanishes identically. If $\chi = 1$ then the constant term of the polynomial is 0.

Proof. The lemma is easy to check when $\dim V_0 = 0$. Thus for the remainder of the proof we assume $\dim V_0 > 0$. Assume that $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_F^2)$ and $\Phi(\xi'k) = \Phi(\xi')$ for all $(\xi', k) \in F^\times - \{0\} \times K$. Assume moreover that $\widehat{\Phi}(0) \neq 0$. Then by the comments before the statement of Lemma 6.1 we have

$$\frac{\widehat{\Phi}(0)}{2} \int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \Theta_f^T(g) dg = \int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \mathrm{Res}_{s=1} E(g, \Phi_s) \Theta_f^T(g) dg.$$

The function $\Theta_f^T(g)$ is rapidly decreasing on $[\mathrm{SL}_2]$ (see [Art80]) and hence using [JS81, Lemma 4.2] we deduce that the above is equal to

$$(6.4) \quad \mathrm{Res}_{s=1} \int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} E(g, \Phi_s) \Theta_f^T(g) dg.$$

We also deduce that $\int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} E(g, \Phi_s) \Theta_f^T(g) dg$ has at most a simple pole at $s = 1$. One has

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_f^T(g) &= \Theta_f(g) - \sum_{\gamma \in B(F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(F)} \mathbb{1}_{>T}(H_B(\gamma g)) \int_{[N]} \Theta_f(n\gamma g) dn \\ &= \Theta_f(g) - \sum_{\gamma \in B(F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(F)} \mathbb{1}_{>T}(H_B(\gamma g)) \rho_0(\gamma g) f(0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus for $\mathrm{Re}(s)$ sufficiently large,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} E(g, \Phi_s) \Theta_f^T(g) dg \\ &= \int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \sum_{\gamma' \in B(F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(F)} \Phi_s(\gamma' g) \left(\Theta_f(g) - \sum_{\gamma \in B(F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(F)} \mathbb{1}_{>T}(H_B(\gamma g)) \rho_0(\gamma g) f(0) \right) dg \\ &= \int_{B(F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)} \Phi_s(g) \left(\Theta_f(g) - \sum_{\gamma \in B(F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(F)} \mathbb{1}_{>T}(H_B(\gamma g)) \rho_0(\gamma g) f(0) \right) dg \\ &= \int_{T_2(F)N(\mathbb{A}_F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)} \Phi_s(g) \int_{[N]} \left(\Theta_f(ng) - \sum_{\gamma \in B(F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(F)} \mathbb{1}_{>T}(H_B(\gamma ng)) \rho_0(\gamma ng) f(0) \right) dndg. \end{aligned}$$

This is equal to

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{T_2(F)N(\mathbb{A}_F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)} \Phi_s(g) \left(\rho_0(g) f(0) \mathbb{1}_{\leq T}(H_B(g)) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int_{N(\mathbb{A}_F)} \mathbb{1}_{>T}(H_B(\begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ -1 & \end{pmatrix} ng)) \rho_0(\begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ -1 & \end{pmatrix} ng) f(0) \right) dndg. \end{aligned}$$

We break (6.5) into two summands. The first is

$$\int_{T_2(F)N(\mathbb{A}_F) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)} \mathbb{1}_{\leq T}(H_B(g)) \Phi_s(g) \rho_0(g) f(0) dg$$

$$= \Phi_s(I_2) \int_{[\mathbb{G}_m] \times K} \mathbb{1}_{\leq T}(\log |a|) |a|^{2s + \dim V_0/2} \chi(a) \rho_0(k) f(0) \frac{d^\times a}{|a|^2} dk.$$

This vanishes unless $\chi = 1$. Assuming $\chi = 1$ and $\operatorname{Re}(s)$ is sufficiently large we see that it is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi_s(I_2) \operatorname{meas}(F^\times \backslash (\mathbb{A}_F^\times)^1) \int_K \rho_0(k) f(0) dk \int_0^{e^T} r^{2s + \dim V_0/2 - 3} dr \\ &= \Phi_s(I_2) \operatorname{meas}(F^\times \backslash (\mathbb{A}_F^\times)^1) \int_K \rho_0(k) f(0) dk \frac{e^{T(2s + \dim V_0/2 - 2)}}{2s + \frac{\dim V_0}{2} - 2}. \end{aligned}$$

The residue of this expression at $s = 1$ is zero for $\dim V_0 > 0$.

The second summand of (6.5) is

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_{T_2(F)N(\mathbb{A}_F) \backslash \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)} \Phi_s(g) \int_{N(\mathbb{A}_F)} \rho_0\left(\begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ -1 & \end{pmatrix} ng\right) f(0) \mathbb{1}_{>T}(H_B\left(\begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ -1 & \end{pmatrix} ng\right)) dnd\dot{g} \\ &= - \int_{T_2(F) \backslash \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)} \Phi_s(g) \rho_0\left(\begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ -1 & \end{pmatrix} g\right) f(0) \mathbb{1}_{>T}(H_B\left(\begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ -1 & \end{pmatrix} g\right)) dg \\ &= - \int_{T_2(F) \backslash \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)} \Phi_s\left(\begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix} g\right) \rho_0(g) f(0) \mathbb{1}_{>T}(H_B(g)) dg \\ &= - \int_K \int_{[\mathbb{G}_m]} \int_{\mathbb{A}_F} \int_{\mathbb{A}_F^\times} \Phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ t a & t x a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right) |t|^{2s} d^\times t |a|^{\dim V_0/2} \chi(a) \rho_0(k) f(0) \mathbb{1}_{>T}(\log |a|) \frac{dx d^\times a dk}{|a|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We change variables $t \mapsto ta^{-1}$ and then $x \mapsto t^{-1}xa^2$ to see that this is

$$- \int_K \int_{[\mathbb{G}_m]} \int_{\mathbb{A}_F} \int_{\mathbb{A}_F^\times} \Phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ t & x \end{pmatrix}\right) |t|^{2s-1} d^\times t |a|^{\dim V_0/2-2s} \chi(a) \rho_0(k) f(0) \mathbb{1}_{>T}(\log |a|) dx d^\times a dk.$$

This vanishes unless χ is trivial, in which case it is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & (6.6) \\ & - \int_K \rho_0(k) f(0) dk \operatorname{meas}(F^\times \backslash (\mathbb{A}_F^\times)^1) \int_{e^T}^\infty r^{\dim V_0/2-2s-1} dr \int_{\mathbb{A}_F} \int_{\mathbb{A}_F^\times} \Phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ t & x \end{pmatrix}\right) |t|^{2s-1} d^\times t dx \\ &= \int_K \rho_0(k) f(0) dk \operatorname{meas}(F^\times \backslash (\mathbb{A}_F^\times)^1) \frac{e^{T(\dim V_0/2-2s)}}{2s - \frac{\dim V_0}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{A}_F} \int_{\mathbb{A}_F^\times} \Phi\left(\begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ t & x \end{pmatrix}\right) |t|^{2s-1} d^\times t dx. \end{aligned}$$

Assume for the moment that $\dim V_0 = 4$. If $\int_K \rho_0(k) f(0) dk = 0$ then this expression vanishes. If $\int_K \rho_0(k) f(0) dk \neq 0$ then this expression has a pole of order 2 at $s = 1$ for suitably chosen Φ . This contradicts the fact that $\int_{[\operatorname{SL}_2]} E(g, \Phi_s) \Theta_f^T(g) dg$ has at most a simple pole at $s = 1$. Thus if $\dim V_0 = 4$ we are done.

Assume $\dim V_0 \neq 4$. Then the pole of (6.6) at $s = 1$ is simple with residue equal to

$$\operatorname{meas}(F^\times \backslash (\mathbb{A}_F^\times)^1) \int_K \rho_0(k) f(0) dk \frac{\widehat{\Phi}(0) e^{T(\dim V_0/2-2)}}{2(2 - \frac{\dim V_0}{2})}.$$

This is a polynomial in e^T when $\dim V_0 > 4$ and a polynomial in e^{-T} when $0 < \dim V_0 < 4$. In either case the constant term is term 0. \square

7. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

For $i > 0$ we defined a linear form

$$(7.1) \quad c_i : \mathcal{S}(X_i(\mathbb{A}_F)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

in (1.11).

Lemma 7.1. *As $T \rightarrow \infty$*

$$\sum_{s_i \in \left\{ \frac{\dim V_i}{2} - 1, \frac{\dim V_i}{2} - 2, 0 \right\}} \text{Res}_{s=s_i} \frac{e^{Ts} Z_{r_i}(f, s + 2 - \frac{\dim V_i}{2})}{s}$$

is a polynomial in T and e^T plus $o_f(1)$. The constant term of the polynomial is $c_i(f)$.

Proof. The lemma follows immediately from Lemma 4.5 and well-known facts about Tate zeta functions. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By Theorem 5.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[\text{SL}_2]} \Theta_f^T(g) dg &= \sum_{\xi \in X_\ell^\circ(F)} I(\mathcal{F}_2(f))(\xi) + \int_{[\text{SL}_2]} \Theta_{\mathcal{F}_2(f)(\cdot, 0, 0)}^T(g) dg \\ &+ \left(\sum_{s_\ell \in \left\{ \frac{\dim V_\ell}{2} - 1, \frac{\dim V_\ell}{2} - 2, 0 \right\}} \text{Res}_{s=s_\ell} \frac{e^{Ts} Z_{r_\ell}(\mathcal{F}_2(f), s + \frac{\dim V_\ell}{2} - 2)}{s} \right) + o_f(1). \end{aligned}$$

By induction we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[\text{SL}_2]} \Theta_f^T(g) dg &= \int_{[\text{SL}_2]} \Theta_{d_{\ell, 0}(\mathcal{F}_2(f))}^T(g) dg + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \left(\sum_{\xi \in X_i^\circ(F)} I(d_i(\mathcal{F}_2(f))) (\xi) + \right. \\ &\left. + \left(\sum_{s_i \in \left\{ \frac{\dim V_i}{2} - 1, \frac{\dim V_i}{2} - 2, 0 \right\}} \text{Res}_{s=s_i} \frac{e^{Ts} Z_{r_i}(\mathcal{F}_2(f), s + 2 - \frac{\dim V_i}{2})}{s} \right) \right) + o_f(1). \end{aligned}$$

We now conclude using Lemma 6.1 and Lemma 7.1. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Poisson summation and the fact that \mathcal{F}_{X_ℓ} is $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ -invariant we have

$$\sum_{\xi \in V_i(F) \oplus F^2} r_i(g) f(\xi) = \sum_{\xi \in V_i(F) \oplus F^2} \mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}(r_i(g) f)(\xi) \sum_{\xi \in V_i(F) \oplus F^2} r_i(g) \mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}(f)(\xi).$$

By (5.4) this implies we have

$$\Theta_{\mathcal{F}_2^{-1}(f)}(g) = \Theta_{\mathcal{F}_2^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}(f))}(g).$$

Thus

$$\int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \Theta_{\mathcal{F}_2^{-1}(f)}^T(g) dg = \int_{[\mathrm{SL}_2]} \Theta_{\mathcal{F}_2^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}(f))}^T(g) dg.$$

We conclude using Theorem 1.3. \square

In applications of Poisson summation the behavior of the functions involved under scaling plays a key role. Since this takes some thought to work out we make it explicit:

Corollary 7.2. *Assume $\ell > 0$, and that either $\dim V_\ell > 4$ or $\chi \neq 1$. For $a \in \mathbb{A}_F^\times$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}(X_\ell(\mathbb{A}_F))$ one has*

$$\begin{aligned} & |a|^{1-\dim V_i/2} \chi(a) c_\ell(f) + \chi(a) |a|^{1-\dim V_i/2} \sum_{\xi \in X_i^\circ(F)} I(f)(a^{-1}\xi) \\ & + |a|^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \left(c_i(d_{\ell,i}(f)) + \sum_{\xi \in X_i^\circ(F)} I(d_{\ell,i}(f))(\xi) \right) + |a|^{-1} \kappa d_{\ell,0}(f)(0_{V_0}, 0, 0) \\ = & |a|^{\dim V_i/2-1} \chi(a) c_\ell(\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}(f)) + \chi(a) |a|^{\dim V_i/2-1} \sum_{\xi \in X_i^\circ(F)} I(\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}(f))(a\xi) \\ & + |a| \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \left(c_i(d_{\ell,i}(\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}(f))) + \sum_{\xi \in X_i^\circ(F)} I(d_{\ell,i}(\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}(f)))(\xi) \right) + |a| \kappa d_{\ell,0}(\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}(f))(0_{V_0}, 0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(V_\ell(\mathbb{A}_F) \oplus \mathbb{A}_F^2)$. By (4.17) of Proposition 4.3 we have

$$(7.2) \quad I \left(\sigma_\ell \left(\begin{smallmatrix} I_{V_\ell} & a \\ & a^{-1} \end{smallmatrix} \right) f \right) (\xi) = \chi(a) |a|^{1-\dim V_\ell/2} I(f)(a^{-1}\xi)$$

and by (4.17) and (4.19) of Proposition 4.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} (7.3) \quad I \left(\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell} \left(\sigma_\ell \left(\begin{smallmatrix} I_{V_\ell} & a \\ & a^{-1} \end{smallmatrix} \right) \right) f \right) (\xi) &= I \left(\sigma_\ell \left(\begin{pmatrix} I_{V_\ell} & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_{V_\ell} & a \\ & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right) f \right) (\xi) \\ &= I \left(\sigma_i \left(\begin{pmatrix} I_{V_\ell} & & \\ & a^{-1} & \\ & & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_{V_\ell} & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) f \right) (\xi) \\ &= I \left(\sigma_\ell \left(\begin{pmatrix} I_{V_\ell} & & \\ & a^{-1} & \\ & & a \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}(f) \right) \right) (\xi) \\ &= \chi(a) |a|^{\dim V_\ell/2-1} I(\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}(f))(a\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly by Lemma 4.6

$$(7.4) \quad \begin{aligned} c_\ell \left(\sigma_\ell \left(\begin{smallmatrix} I_{V_\ell} & a \\ & a^{-1} \end{smallmatrix} \right) f \right) &= |a|^{1-\dim V_\ell/2} \chi(a) c_\ell(f), \\ c_\ell \left(\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell} \left(\sigma_\ell \left(\begin{smallmatrix} I_{V_\ell} & a \\ & a^{-1} \end{smallmatrix} \right) \right) f \right) &= |a|^{\dim V_\ell/2-1} \chi(a) c_\ell(\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}(f)). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand (4.20) implies

$$(7.5) \quad \begin{aligned} d_\ell \left(\sigma_\ell \left(\begin{smallmatrix} I_{V_\ell} & a \\ & a^{-1} \end{smallmatrix} \right) f \right) &= |a|^{-1} d_\ell(f), \\ d_\ell \left(\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell} \left(\sigma_\ell \left(\begin{smallmatrix} I_{V_\ell} & a \\ & a^{-1} \end{smallmatrix} \right) \right) f \right) &= |a| d_\ell(\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}(f)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus applying Theorem 1.2 to the function $\sigma_i \left(\begin{smallmatrix} I_{V_i} & a \\ & a^{-1} \end{smallmatrix} \right) f$ we arrive at the asserted identity. \square

There is an analogue of Corollary 7.2 that is valid in the case $\dim V_\ell = 4$ and $\chi = 1$ as well. We omit it because it is slightly messier to state.

8. INVARIANCE

We would like to view the identity of our summation formula Theorem 1.2 as an identity of linear functionals on the space of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$ -coinvariants $\mathcal{S}(X_\ell(\mathbb{A}_F))$, not just $\mathcal{S}(V_\ell(\mathbb{A}_F) \oplus \mathbb{A}_F^2)$. Provided that $\dim V_\ell \geq 4$ as we have assumed throughout the paper we prove that this is possible in the current section.

To prove that a given functional present in the identity of Theorem 1.2 descends to $\mathcal{S}(X_\ell(\mathbb{A}_F))$ it is sufficient to check that it is invariant under $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$, or more briefly invariant. It is obvious that the linear functional I is invariant. Moreover, when $V_0 = \{0\}$ it is obviously true that the functional $f \mapsto f(0_{V_0}, 0, 0)$ is invariant.

For this section we take the convention that $c_i = 0$ if $i \leq 0$. To complete our discussion of invariance it suffices to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 8.1. *Assuming $\dim V_i \notin \{2, 4\}$, the functionals c_i are $r_i(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F))$ -invariant. If $\dim V_i = 4$ then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(V_i(\mathbb{A}_F) \oplus \mathbb{A}_F^2) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ f &\longmapsto c_i(f) + c_{i-1}(d_i(f)) \end{aligned}$$

is $r_i(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F))$ -invariant.

The theorem implies that if $\dim V_\ell \geq 4$ (as we have assumed throughout this paper) all of the linear functionals in the summation formula of Theorem 1.2 are invariant, at least after possibly grouping two of the linear functionals together.

We first prove a special case of Theorem 8.1:

Lemma 8.2. *If $\dim V_i \notin \{2, 4\}$ then c_i is $r_i(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F))$ -invariant.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 5.2 it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}(V_{i+1}(\mathbb{A}_F)) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ f &\longmapsto Z_{\rho_{i+1}}(f, \frac{\dim V_i}{2} - 1) \end{aligned}$$

is invariant under the action of $\rho_{i+1}(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F))$. But this is clear. \square

Lemma 8.3. *Assume $\dim V_i = 4$ and that $f \in \mathcal{S}(V_{i+1}(\mathbb{A}_F) \oplus \mathbb{A}_F^2)$. The difference*

$$\begin{aligned} &c_i(d_{i+1}(f)) + c_{i-1}(d_{i+1,i-1}(f)) \\ &- (c_i(d_{i+1}(\mathcal{F}_{X_{i+1}}(f))) + c_{i-1}(d_{i+1,i-1}(\mathcal{F}_{X_{i+1}}(f)))) \end{aligned}$$

is invariant under $f \mapsto r_{i+1}(h)f$ for $h \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$.

Proof. By Theorem 1.2 the quantity in the statement of the lemma is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &-\sum_{j=1}^{i+1} \sum_{\xi \in X_j^\circ(F)} I(d_{i+1,j}(f))(\xi) + \sum_{j=1}^{i+1} \sum_{\xi \in X_j^\circ(F)} I(d_{i+1,j}(\mathcal{F}_{X_{i+1}}(f)))(\xi) \\ &-\kappa d_{i+1,0}(f)(0_{V_0}, 0, 0) + \kappa d_{i+1,0}(\mathcal{F}_{X_{i+1}}(f))(0_{V_0}, 0, 0) \\ &-c_{i+1}(f) + c_{i+1}(\mathcal{F}_{X_{i+1}}(f)). \end{aligned}$$

By our comments at the beginning of this section and Lemma 8.2 each of these terms is invariant under $f \mapsto r_{i+1}(h)f$ for $h \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 8.1. If $\dim V_i \notin \{2, 4\}$ then the theorem follows from Lemma 8.2. Thus we assume that $\dim V_i = 4$. We must show that $f \mapsto c_i(f) + c_{i-1}(d_i(f))$ is $r_i(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F))$ -invariant. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(V_i(\mathbb{A}_F) \oplus \mathbb{A}_F^2)$. Pick $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_F^2)$ such that $\Phi(0, 0) = 1$ and $\mathcal{F}_\wedge(\Phi)(0, 0) = 0$. Then $\mathcal{F}_2^{-1}(f) \otimes \Phi \in \mathcal{S}(V_{i+1}(\mathbb{A}_F) \oplus \mathbb{A}_F^2)$, and by Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 8.3

$$\begin{aligned} &c_i(d_{i+1}(\mathcal{F}_2^{-1}(f) \otimes \Phi)) + c_{i-1}(d_{i+1,i-1}(\mathcal{F}_2^{-1}(f) \otimes \Phi)) \\ &- (c_i(d_{i+1}(\mathcal{F}_2^{-1}(f) \otimes \mathcal{F}_\wedge(\Phi))) + c_{i-1}(d_{i+1,i-1}(\mathcal{F}_2^{-1}(f) \otimes \mathcal{F}_\wedge(\Phi)))) \end{aligned}$$

is invariant under $f \otimes \Phi \mapsto r_i(h) \otimes L^\vee(h)(f \otimes \Phi)$ for $h \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}_F)$. But the above is

$$\begin{aligned} &c_i(f)\Phi(0, 0) + c_{i-1}(d_{i,i-1}(f))\Phi(0, 0) \\ &- c_i(f)\mathcal{F}_\wedge(\Phi)(0, 0) - c_{i-1}(d_{i,i-1}(f))\mathcal{F}_\wedge(\Phi)(0, 0) \\ &= c_i(f) + c_{i-1}(d_{i,i-1}(f)) \end{aligned}$$

and we deduce the proposition. \square

APPENDIX A. RELATIONSHIP WITH BRAVERMAN-KAZHDAN SPACES

Let G be a split simple simply connected group over a field of characteristic 0. Let T_G be a maximal split torus of G and let $T_G < P < G$ be a maximal parabolic subgroup. Let $M \geq T_G$ be the unique Levi subgroup of P containing T_G and let P^{op} be the opposite parabolic with respect to T_G . The space $X_P^\circ := P^{\text{der}} \backslash G$ is a **Braverman-Kazhdan space**. Denote by $X_P := \overline{X_P^\circ}^{\text{aff}}$ its affine closure.

In this appendix we explicate the relationship between the results of the paper and previous results on Eisenstein series, Schwartz spaces and Poisson summation formulae for Braverman-Kazhdan spaces. We assume the notation of the paper.

A.1. Schwartz spaces of Braverman-Kazhdan spaces. Let F be a local field. In [BK02] one finds a definition of a Fourier transform

$$(A.1) \quad \mathcal{F}_{P|P^{\text{op}}} : L^2(X_P(F)) \longrightarrow L^2(X_{P^{\text{op}}}(F)).$$

Here the L^2 spaces are defined with respect to right $G(F)$ -invariant measures on $X_P^\circ(F)$ and $X_{P^{\text{op}}}^\circ(F)$, extended by zero to $X_P(F)$ and $X_{P^{\text{op}}}(F)$. One then defines

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{BK}}(X_P(F)) := \mathcal{S}(X_P^\circ(F)) + \mathcal{F}_{P^{\text{op}}|P}(\mathcal{S}(X_{P^{\text{op}}}^\circ(F))),$$

where $\mathcal{S}(X_P^\circ(F))$ is the space of compactly supported smooth functions on $X_P^\circ(F)$ if F is nonarchimedean and is defined as in [ES18] when F is archimedean.

An alternate definition of the Schwartz space was given in [GHLar] following a special case treated in [GL21]. We denote this Schwartz space by $\mathcal{S}(X_P(F))$. By [GHLar, Corollary 5.8] one has $\mathcal{S}(X_P(F)) < L^2(X_P(F))$ and the Fourier transform (A.1) induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{F}_{X_P} : \mathcal{S}(X_P(F)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(X_{P^{\text{op}}}(F))$ by [GHLar, Theorem 5.12]. One expects that $\mathcal{S}(X_P^\circ(F)) < \mathcal{S}(X_P(F))$. This is known if F is nonarchimedean and G is not of type E or F [Hsu21, Theorem 1.3]. Whenever $\mathcal{S}(X_P^\circ(F)) < \mathcal{S}(X_P(F))$ the comments above imply that $\mathcal{S}_{\text{BK}}(X_P(F)) \leq \mathcal{S}(X_P(F))$. When F is nonarchimedean and G is not of type E or F one has $\mathcal{S}_{\text{BK}}(X_P(F)) = \mathcal{S}(X_P(F))$ by [Hsu21, Corollary 5.6].

When P is self-associate, that is, conjugate to its opposite, we fix a $w_0 \in G(F)$ normalizing M such that $w_0 P w_0^{-1} = P^{\text{op}}$. Then we have an isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{w_0} : \mathcal{S}(X_{P^{\text{op}}}(F)) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(X_P(F)) \\ f &\longmapsto (x \mapsto f(w_0^{-1}x)) \end{aligned}$$

and we define

$$\mathcal{F}_{X_P} := \iota_{w_0} \circ \mathcal{F}_{P|P^{\text{op}}} : \mathcal{S}(X_P(F)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(X_P(F)).$$

See [GHLar, §5.3] for more details.

A.2. Agreement of Schwartz spaces. Let $\ell \geq 1$ be an integer and F be a nonarchimedean local field of characteristic 0. We assume ψ has conductor \mathcal{O} . Consider the case $V_0 = \{0\}$; thus V_ℓ is a split quadratic space of dimension 2ℓ . When $\ell > 2$, one has a natural isomorphism of schemes $X_\ell^\circ \cong \tilde{P}_\ell^{\text{der}} \backslash \text{Spin}_{V_\ell}$, where \tilde{P}_ℓ is the parabolic subgroup of Spin_{V_ℓ} fixing the line spanned by the standard basis vector $e_\ell \in V_\ell$. Then \tilde{P}_ℓ is self-associate and as explained in [GHLar, §6.3.2],

$$\tilde{P}_\ell^{\text{der}} \backslash \text{Spin}_{V_\ell} = P_\ell^{\text{der}} \backslash \text{SO}_{V_\ell}$$

where $P_\ell = (\text{SO}_{V_{\ell-1}} \times T_2) \ltimes N_\ell$ is the image of \tilde{P}_ℓ in SO_{V_ℓ} (see §3.1). We denote the scheme $P_\ell^{\text{der}} \backslash \text{SO}_{V_\ell}$ by $X_{P_\ell}^\circ$ and let X_{P_ℓ} be its affine closure. By [Hsu21, Corollary 5.6] we have

$$(A.2) \quad \mathcal{S}(X_{P_\ell}(F)) = \mathcal{S}_{\text{BK}}(X_{\tilde{P}_\ell}(F)).$$

By [GK23, Remark 1.6] this space agrees with the space of smooth vectors in the minimal representation of $\text{O}_{V_{\ell+1}}(F)$ on the unitary representation $L^2(X_{P_\ell}(F))$.

When $\ell = 2$, let $X_{P_2}^\circ$ be the scheme consisting of 2 by 2 matrices of rank 1, and let $X_{P_2} := X_{P_2}^\circ \cup \{0\}$ be its affine closure. Note that X_{P_2} is an affine SL_2^2 -spherical variety, but not a Braverman-Kazhdan space. The degree 2 isogeny $\text{SL}_2^2 \rightarrow \text{SO}_4$ induces an isomorphism $X_{P_2} \xrightarrow{\sim} X_2$. We define $\mathcal{S}(X_{P_2}(F)) < L^2(X_{P_2}(F))$ to be the space of smooth vectors in the minimal representation of $\text{SL}_4(F)$. This is the pullback of the minimal representation of $\text{PGL}_4(F)$ defined in [SW07]. There is an element $r \in \text{SL}_4(F)$ such that if we denote by $\mathcal{F}_{X_{P_2}}$ the action of r under the minimal representation then

$$\mathcal{S}(X_{P_2}(F)) = \mathcal{S}(X_{P_2}^\circ(F)) + \mathcal{F}_{X_{P_2}}(\mathcal{S}(X_{P_2}^\circ(F)))$$

by the proof of [GK23, Lemma 2.13].

For $\ell = 1$, $X_{P_1}^\circ := X_1^\circ = (\mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\}) \sqcup (\mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\})$ and $X_1 = \mathbb{A}^1 \cup \mathbb{A}^1$. Hence X_1 is not a spherical variety. We define

$$\mathcal{S}(X_{P_1}(F)) := I(\mathcal{S}(X_1(F))).$$

For $\ell \geq 1$ the basic function of $\mathcal{S}(X_{P_\ell}(F))$ is

$$(A.3) \quad b_\ell(\xi) := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} q^{j(\ell-2)} \mathbb{1}_{V_\ell(\mathcal{O})} \left(\frac{\xi}{\varpi^j} \right) = I(\mathbb{1}_{V_{\ell+1}(\mathcal{O})})(\xi), \quad \xi \in X_\ell^\circ(F)$$

Here we have used Lemma 4.8. By [SW07, Theorem 6.1], for $\ell \geq 2$ the function b_ℓ is fixed by $\mathrm{SO}_{V_{\ell+1}}(\mathcal{O})$, acting via the restriction of the minimal representation of $\mathrm{SO}_{V_{\ell+1}}(F)$ on $L^2(X_{P_\ell}(F))$. Define the following subspaces of $\mathcal{S}(X_\ell(F))$

$$W_\ell := (\mathcal{S}(V_\ell(F)) \otimes \mathcal{S}(F^2 - \{0\}))_{r_\ell(\mathrm{SL}_2(F))},$$

$$W'_\ell := I^{-1}(\mathcal{S}(V_\ell(F))|_{X_\ell^\circ(F)}).$$

Of course W_ℓ and W'_ℓ depend on the field F ; when we need to indicate this dependence we denote these spaces by $W_\ell(F)$ and $W'_\ell(F)$, respectively. For part (iv) of the following lemma, let $\mathbb{P}X_2 \subset \mathbb{P}V_2$ be the projective scheme cut out by Q_2 .

Lemma A.1.

- (i) We have $I(W_\ell) = \mathcal{S}(V_\ell(F))|_{X_\ell^\circ(F)}$, and thus $W'_\ell = I^{-1}I(W_\ell)$.
- (ii) The map d_ℓ of (1.12) vanishes on W_ℓ .
- (iii) Suppose $\ell \neq 2$. For $f \in W'_\ell$, $Z_{r_\ell}(f, 2 - \ell) = I(f)(0)$ where Z_{r_ℓ} is defined as in (4.23).
- (iv) Suppose $\ell = 2$. For $f \in \mathcal{S}(V_3(F))$, let

$$\Psi_f := \int_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O})} r_2(k) f dk.$$

Let $X_2(F)^1 \subset X_2(F)$ be the set of vectors of norm 1. Then for any $\xi \in X_2(F)^1 \cong \mathbb{P}X_2(F)$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ sufficiently large in a sense independent of ξ ,

$$(A.4) \quad I(f)(\varpi^n \xi) = n \Psi_f(0) + a_0(f)(\xi)$$

for some function $a_0(f) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{P}X_2(F))$, and the difference

$$Z_{r_2}(f, s) - \Psi_f(0)\zeta(s)$$

is entire in s .

Consequently, $\Psi_f(0) = \zeta(s)^{-1} Z_{r_2}(f, s)|_{s=0}$ and $a_0(f)$ depend only on $I(f)$. If $f \in W'_\ell$, then $\Psi_f(0) = 0$ and thus $Z_{r_2}(f, s)$ is entire.

Proof. Only assertions (iii) and (iv) require a proof. Note that I and Z_{r_ℓ} are by definition $r_\ell(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}))$ -invariant. Writing

$$f = \int_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O})} (f - r_\ell(k)f) dk + \int_{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O})} r_\ell(k)f dk,$$

we may assume f is $r_\ell(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}))$ -invariant. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be integers such that

$$f(v + w) = f(v), \quad \forall v \in V_{\ell+1}(F), w \in \varpi^A V_{\ell+1}(\mathcal{O}),$$

$$f(v) = 0, \quad \forall v \notin \varpi^{-B} V_{\ell+1}(\mathcal{O}).$$

Let $\xi \in X_\ell^\circ(F)$ have norm 1. Since f is $r_\ell(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}))$ -invariant, by the Iwasawa decomposition for any $n \geq 2A - 1$

(A.5)

$$\begin{aligned} I(f)(\varpi^n \xi) &= \sum_{j=-B}^{\infty} q^{j(\ell-2)} f(\varpi^{n-j} \xi, 0, \varpi^j) \\ &= \sum_{j=-B}^{A-1} q^{j(\ell-2)} f(0, 0, \varpi^j) + \sum_{j=A}^{n-A} q^{j(\ell-2)} f(0) + \sum_{j=n-A+1}^{n+B} q^{j(\ell-2)} f(\varpi^{n-j} \xi, 0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Consider first $\ell \neq 2$ and $f \in W'_\ell$ so that for n sufficiently large the equality reads as

$$\begin{aligned} I(f)(0) &= \sum_{j=-B}^{A-1} q^{j(\ell-2)} f(0, 0, \varpi^j) + \frac{q^{A(\ell-2)}}{1 - q^{\ell-2}} f(0) - \frac{q^{(n-A+1)(\ell-2)}}{1 - q^{\ell-2}} f(0) \\ &\quad + q^{(n-A+1)(\ell-2)} \sum_{j=0}^{B+A-1} q^{j(\ell-2)} f(\varpi^{A-j-1} \xi, 0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Since this holds for all large n we have

$$(A.6) \quad \frac{q^{A(\ell-2)}}{(1 - q^{\ell-2})} f(0) + \sum_{j=-B}^{A-1} q^{j(\ell-2)} f(0, 0, \varpi^j) = I(f)(0).$$

On the other hand by Lemma 4.5

$$\begin{aligned} (A.7) \quad Z_{r_\ell}(f, 2 - \ell) &= \int_{F^\times} f(0, 0, a) |a|^s d^\times a \Big|_{s=2-\ell} \\ &= \int_{|a| \leq q^{-A}} f(0) |a|^s d^\times a \Big|_{s=2-\ell} + \sum_{j=-B}^{A-1} q^{j(\ell-2)} f(0, 0, \varpi^j) \\ &= \frac{q^{A(\ell-2)}}{1 - q^{\ell-2}} f(0) + \sum_{j=-B}^{A-1} q^{j(\ell-2)} f(0, 0, \varpi^j), \end{aligned}$$

which equals $I(f)(0)$ by (A.6). For $\ell = 2$, (A.5) and an analogue of the computation (A.7) prove (iv). \square

Lemma A.2. *Let $\ell \geq 1$. For any $\xi_0 \in X_\ell^\circ(F)$ there exists $f \in W_\ell$ such that $I(f)(0) = 0$ and $I(\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}(f))(\xi_0) \neq 0$.*

Proof. The group $\mathrm{O}_{V_\ell}(F)$ stabilizes W_ℓ and acts transitively on $X_\ell^\circ(F)$. By this observation and Proposition 4.3 it suffices to treat the special case $\xi_0 = (1, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 1, 0)$.

Let $f_1 = \mathbb{1}_{V_\ell(\mathcal{O})} - \mathbb{1}_{(\varpi\mathcal{O} \times \varpi^{-1}\mathcal{O})^\ell}$ and $f_2 = \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}^2} - \mathbb{1}_{\varpi\mathcal{O}^2}$ so that $f_1 \otimes f_2 \in W_\ell$. Note that $f_1 \otimes f_2$ is $r_\ell(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}))$ -invariant and $I(f_1 \otimes f_2)(0) = 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & I(f_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_\wedge(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}^2} - \mathbb{1}_{\varpi\mathcal{O}^2}))(\xi_0) \\ &= \int_{F^\times} |a|^{\ell-2} (\mathbb{1}_{V_\ell(\mathcal{O})} - \mathbb{1}_{(\varpi\mathcal{O} \times \varpi^{-1}\mathcal{O})^\ell}) (a\xi_0) (\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}^2}(0, a^{-1}) - q^{-2}\mathbb{1}_{\varpi^{-1}\mathcal{O}^2}(0, a^{-1})) d^\times a \\ &= 1 - q^{-2} - q^{-\ell} + q^{-\ell} = 1 - q^{-2} \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark. To aid the reader interested in generalizations, we point out that one can give a computation free proof of Lemma A.2 for $\ell \geq 2$ by mimicking the argument proving [GH20, Lemma 12.6].

Lemma A.3. *For $\ell \geq 1$, there is a (unique) linear operator $\mathcal{F} : I(\mathcal{S}(X_\ell(F))) \rightarrow I(\mathcal{S}(X_\ell(F)))$ which makes the following diagram commute*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S}(X_\ell(F)) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}} & \mathcal{S}(X_\ell(F)) \\ I \downarrow & & I \downarrow \\ I(\mathcal{S}(X_\ell(F))) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} & I(\mathcal{S}(X_\ell(F))) \end{array}$$

Proof. We follow the approach in [GH20, §12]. Suppose $\mathcal{F}_X(\ker I) \leq \ker I$. Then since \mathcal{F}_X has order 2 one has $\mathcal{F}_X(\ker I) = \ker I$ and the lemma follows. Thus it suffices to show if $f \in \mathcal{S}(V_{\ell+1}(F))$ satisfies $I(f) = 0$ then $I(\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}(f)) = 0$.

Let E be a number field such that $E_v = F$ for some place v . Let $f_v \in \mathcal{S}(V_{\ell+1}(F))$ such that $I(f_v) = 0$. Assume $\ell \geq 3$. Choose two finite unramified places v_1, v_2 distinct from v and functions $f_{v_i} \in \mathcal{S}(V_{\ell+1}(E_{v_i}))$ such that $f_{v_1} \in W_\ell(E_{v_1})$, $\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}(f_{v_2}) \in W_\ell(E_{v_2})$ and

$$I(f_{v_1})(0) = I(\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}(f_{v_2}))(0) = 0.$$

Choose $f^{vv_1v_2} \in \mathcal{S}(V_{\ell+1}(\mathbb{A}_E^{vv_1v_2}))$. By Theorem 1.2 and Lemma A.1, we have

$$(A.8) \quad \sum_{\xi \in X_\ell^\circ(E)} I(\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}(f_v f_{v_1} f_{v_2} f^{vv_1v_2}))(\xi) = 0.$$

Let $\xi_0 \in X_\ell^\circ(E)$. By Lemma A.2 there is an f_{v_1} as above so that $I(\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}(f_{v_1}))(\xi_0) \neq 0$. Since $X_\ell(E)$ is discrete in $X_\ell(\mathbb{A}_E)$, we may choose f_{v_2} and $f^{vv_1v_2}$ such that (A.8) equals to $I(\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}(f_v))(\xi_0)$. Thus $I(\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}(f_v))$ vanishes on $X_\ell^\circ(E)$. As $X_\ell^\circ(E)$ is dense in $X_\ell(F)$, the assertion follows by continuity.

For $\ell = 1, 2$, one can use an analogous argument. In more detail, one chooses distinct unramified finite places v_i, v'_i , $1 \leq i \leq 2$, and $f_{v_1} \in W_\ell(E_{v_1}), f_{v'_1} \in W_\ell(E_{v'_1}), f_{v_2} \in \mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}^{-1}(W_\ell(E_{v_2})), f_{v'_2} \in \mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}^{-1}(W_\ell(E_{v'_2}))$ such that

$$I(f_{v_1})(0) = I(f_{v'_1})(0) = I(\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}(f_{v_2}))(0) = I(\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}(f_{v'_2}))(0) = 0$$

and then applies Lemma A.1(iii)(iv). \square

Lemma A.4. *For $\ell \geq 2$ one has $I(\mathcal{S}(X_\ell(F))) \leq L^2(X_{P_\ell}(F))$.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 4.1. \square

For $\ell \geq 1$ the group $\mathrm{GO}_{V_{\ell+1}}(F)$ acts on $\mathcal{S}(X_\ell(F))$ via σ_ℓ and hence on $I(\mathcal{S}(X_\ell(F)))$ by Proposition 4.3, Lemma A.3, and transport of structure. Using notation from §3.1, consider the restriction of this action to $P_{\ell+1}(F) < (\mathrm{O}_{V_\ell} \times T_2) \ltimes N_{\ell+1}(F)$ when $\ell \geq 2$ and to $(\mathrm{O}_{V_1} \times T_2) \ltimes N_2(F)$ when $\ell = 1$. By Proposition 4.3 these actions preserve $\mathcal{S}(X_{P_\ell}^\circ(F))$.

Lemma A.5.

- (i) *For $\ell \geq 1$, $I(\mathcal{S}(X_\ell(F)))$ is a smooth $\mathrm{O}_{V_{\ell+1}}(F)$ -representation.*
- (ii) *For $\ell \geq 2$, $\mathcal{S}(X_{P_\ell}^\circ(F))$ is an irreducible $P_{\ell+1}(F)$ -representation.*
- (iii) *For $\ell = 1$, $\mathcal{S}(X_{P_1}^\circ(F))$ is an irreducible $(\mathrm{O}_{V_1} \times T_2) \ltimes N_2(F)$ -representation.*

Proof. Assertion (i) is clear. For $\ell \geq 3$ (ii) is stated in the proof of [Sav94, Proposition 6.6] as a consequence of an analogue of the argument in [BZ77, 5.15]. We give a direct proof. Assume $\ell \geq 2$. Let $X_{P_\ell}(F)^1 \subset X_{P_\ell}^\circ(F)$ consist of vectors of norm 1. Since $\mathrm{SO}_{V_\ell}(F)$ acts transitively on $X_{P_\ell}^\circ(F)$ and $C^\infty(X_{P_\ell}(F)^1) \subset \mathcal{S}(X_{P_\ell}^\circ(F))$ is stable under $N_{\ell+1}(F)$, it suffices to show $C^\infty(X_{P_\ell}(F)^1)$ is an irreducible $N_{\ell+1}(F) \ltimes \mathrm{SO}_{V_\ell}(\mathcal{O})$ -module.

Let $0 \neq f \in C^\infty(X_{P_\ell}(F)^1)$ and let W be the vector space spanned by the $N_{\ell+1}(F) \ltimes \mathrm{SO}_{V_\ell}(\mathcal{O})$ -translates of f . Let $x \in X_{P_\ell}(F)^1$. The group $\mathrm{SO}_{V_\ell}(\mathcal{O})$ acts transitively on $X_{P_\ell}(F)^1$. Thus using the action of $\mathrm{SO}_{V_\ell}(\mathcal{O})$ there is an element $f' \in W$ such that $f'(x) \neq 0$. By Fourier inversion, the functions

$$\xi \mapsto \psi(x^t \xi), \quad \xi \in X_{P_\ell}(F)^1$$

ranging over all $x \in V_\ell(F)$ generate $C^\infty(V_\ell(\mathcal{O}))|_{X_{P_\ell}(F)^1}$. Thus $\mathbb{1}_{x+\varpi^n V_\ell(\mathcal{O})}|_{X_{P_\ell}^1(F)} \cdot f' \in W$ for all n . We deduce that W contains $\mathbb{1}_{x+\varpi^n V_\ell(\mathcal{O})}$ for all n sufficiently large and all x . Thus $W = C^\infty(X_{P_\ell}(F)^1)$. This proves (ii). The proof for $\ell = 1$ is analogous. \square

Theorem A.6. *Suppose $\ell \geq 2$. We have the following.*

- (i) The Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(X_{P_\ell}(F))$ is an unramified irreducible smooth representation of $\mathrm{SO}_{V_{\ell+1}}(F)$, namely, the minimal representation. For $\ell \geq 3$, it admits an exact sequence of smooth $\mathrm{SO}_{V_\ell}(F)$ -modules:

$$(A.9) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X_{P_\ell}^\circ(F)) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X_{P_\ell}(F)) \xrightarrow{(\tilde{d}_\ell, \tilde{c}_\ell)} \mathcal{S}(X_{P_{\ell-1}}(F)) \oplus \mathbb{C} \rightarrow 0,$$

where the quotient map is given by taking germs of a function at the origin (i.e., the singular locus), and \tilde{d}_ℓ is normalized so that $\tilde{d}_\ell(b_\ell) = b_{\ell-1}$.

- (ii) The image of $\mathcal{S}(X_\ell(F))$ under I is $\mathcal{S}(X_{P_\ell}(F))$. Furthermore, the map I is $\mathrm{SO}_{V_{\ell+1}}(F)$ -equivariant. In particular, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S}(X_\ell(F)) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}} & \mathcal{S}(X_\ell(F)) \\ I \downarrow & & I \downarrow \\ \mathcal{S}(X_{P_\ell}(F)) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_{X_{P_\ell}}} & \mathcal{S}(X_{P_\ell}(F)) \end{array}$$

- (iii) For $\ell \geq 3$, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S}(X_\ell(F)) & \xrightarrow{d_\ell} & \mathcal{S}(X_{\ell-1}(F)) \\ I \downarrow & & I \downarrow \\ \mathcal{S}(X_{P_\ell}(F)) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{d}_\ell} & \mathcal{S}(X_{P_{\ell-1}}(F)) \end{array}$$

commutes.

- (iv) For $\ell \geq 3$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}(X_\ell(F))$

$$\tilde{c}_\ell(I(f)) = Z_{r_\ell}(f, 2 - \ell) =: c_\ell(f).$$

We call \tilde{d}_ℓ and \tilde{c}_ℓ the **boundary maps**.

Proof. For $\ell = 2$, $\mathcal{S}(X_{P_2}(F))$ is by definition the minimal representation which is known to be irreducible and unramified. Assume $\ell \geq 3$. Given (A.2) the fact that $\mathcal{S}(X_{P_\ell}(F))$ is the minimal representation of $\mathrm{O}_{V_{\ell+1}}(F)$ is [GK23, Remark 1.6]. Again, this representation is known to be unramified, irreducible and smooth. The remainder of assertion (i) is [GK23, Theorem 1.2 and Equation (1.3)].

For (ii) by Lemma A.4 one has an inclusion

$$\mathcal{S} := \mathcal{S}(X_{P_\ell}^\circ(F)) + \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{S}(X_{P_\ell}^\circ(F))) < L^2(X_{P_\ell}(F)).$$

By the proof of [GK23, Lemma 2.13] \mathcal{S} is $\mathrm{SO}_{V_{\ell+1}}(F)$ -invariant, it is smooth as a representation of $\mathrm{O}_{V_{\ell+1}}(F)$ by Lemma A.5(i), and one deduces from Lemma A.5(ii) that it is irreducible as a representation of $\mathrm{SO}_{V_{\ell+1}}(F)$. We claim \mathcal{S} contains b_ℓ . Assume the claim for the moment. By (A.3) the element b_ℓ is spherical with respect

to the action of the minimal representation and with respect to the action obtained by transport of structure along I . Moreover, the action of $P_{\ell+1}(F)$ on \mathcal{S} obtained by transport of structure along I and via the restriction of the action of the minimal representation on $L^2(X_{P_\ell}(F))$ agree by Proposition 4.3 (see [GK23, §1.1] and [SW07, §1]). Using the Iwasawa decomposition and the fact that unramified irreducible smooth representations are determined by spherical vectors, we deduce that $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(X_{P_\ell}(F))$. Thus $I(W_\ell) < \mathcal{S}$ by (i) and it follows that

$$\mathcal{S} = I(W_\ell) + \mathcal{F}(I(W_\ell)) = I(W_\ell) + I(\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}(W_\ell)) = I(\mathcal{S}(X_\ell(F))).$$

Therefore, the map I is an $\mathrm{SO}_{V_{\ell+1}}(F)$ -equivariant surjection onto $\mathcal{S}(X_{P_\ell}(F))$. In particular, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_{X_{P_\ell}}$, which completes the proof of (ii).

To see $b_\ell \in \mathcal{S}$, it suffices to show the existence of functions $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{S}(F^2)$ satisfying the following:

$$f_1 + \mathcal{F}_\wedge(f_2) = \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}^2}, \text{ and } f_i(0) = 0, \ I(\mathbb{1}_{V_\ell(\mathcal{O})} \otimes f_i)(0) = 0, \text{ for } i = 1, 2.$$

Here $I(\mathbb{1}_{V_\ell(\mathcal{O})} \otimes f_i)(0)$ is defined because $f_i(0) = 0$. One can find such a pair in the subspace $\langle \mathbb{1}_{\varpi^j \mathcal{O}^2} : j \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle < \mathcal{S}(F^2)$, for example.

Suppose $\ell \geq 3$. Then by (A.9) and (ii) \tilde{d}_ℓ induces an $\mathrm{SO}_{V_\ell}(F)$ -equivariant isomorphism

$$(A.10) \quad \mathcal{S}(X_\ell(F))/W'_\ell \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}(X_{P_{\ell-1}}(F))$$

Thus we have an $\mathrm{SO}_{V_\ell}(F)$ -equivariant surjection

$$J : \mathcal{S}(X_{P_{\ell-1}}(F)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}(X_\ell(F))/W'_\ell \xrightarrow{d_\ell} \mathcal{S}(X_{\ell-1}(F))/d_\ell(W'_\ell) \xrightarrow{I} \mathcal{S}(X_{P_{\ell-1}}(F))/I(d_\ell(W'_\ell)),$$

satisfying $J(b_{\ell-1}) = b_{\ell-1}$. Here the first isomorphism is the inverse of (A.10). Since $\mathcal{S}(X_{P_{\ell-1}}(F))$ is an irreducible $\mathrm{SO}_{V_\ell}(F)$ -representation, we have $I(d_\ell(W'_\ell)) = 0$ and J is the identity. This proves (iii). For (iv), by Lemma A.1(iii) c_ℓ vanishes on $\ker I$ and thus induces a linear functional c'_ℓ on $\mathcal{S}(X_{P_\ell}(F))$. Furthermore, in terms of (A.9) c'_ℓ vanishes on $\mathcal{S}(X_{P_\ell}^\circ(F))$ and agree on \mathbb{C} with \tilde{c}_ℓ . Thus by Lemma 4.6 $\tilde{c}_\ell = c'_\ell$. \square

Remarks.

- (1) Using Theorem A.6(ii) and the results of [GK19, §1.3] or [GHLar, §6.3.2], one can compute $I(\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}(f))$ directly from $I(f)$.
- (2) We expect an analogue of Theorem A.6 holds and can be proved similarly in the archimedean places except that one needs to replace the quotients in (A.9) by an appropriate notion of “ D -modules”. Let us explain briefly the form that (A.9)

should take when $F = \mathbb{R}$ using classical Fourier theory on vector spaces. We have for $n \geq 1$,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \widehat{\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} V_k} \rightarrow 0,$$

where V_k is the complex vector space of homogeneous polynomials of degree k in n variables, and $\widehat{}$ is the Fréchet completion. While each V_k is itself an irreducible $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ -module, $\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} V_k$ is an irreducible (holonomic) module of the n th Weyl algebra. Thus an analogue of (A.9) in the archimedean case should be stated in terms of (\mathfrak{g}, K) -modules together with an action of some unipotent group. The boundary maps in the archimedean cases are then given by maps to the “degree 0” terms of each “ D -module” (with respect to some filtration).

We are unaware of any suitable description of Weyl algebras on singular affine varieties. However, in this specific case of cones, a candidate for the Weyl algebra is given in [KM11, Theorem 2.4.1]; it is defined using the action of $N_{\ell+1}(F)$. Therefore, (A.9) can be deduced after identifying $\mathcal{S}(X_{P_\ell}(F))$ with the minimal representation of $\mathrm{SO}_{V_{\ell+1}}(F)$ and computing the asymptotics toward the origin using the theory of Igusa (cf. [Hsu21]). This description of Schwartz spaces of Braverman-Kazhdan spaces over archimedean local fields is the subject of ongoing work of the author.

In the rest of this subsection we discuss the cases $1 \leq \ell \leq 2$ in more detail.

Theorem A.7. *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(X_1(F))$.*

- (i) *The integral defining $I(f)(\xi)$ is absolutely convergent for all $\xi \in X_1(F)$.*
- (ii) *We have $Z_{r_1}(f, 1) = I(f)(0)$.*
- (iii) *We have an exact sequence of smooth representations of $\mathrm{O}_{V_1}(F)$:*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X_{P_1}^\circ(F)) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X_{P_1}(F)) \xrightarrow{((\tilde{d}'_1, \tilde{d}_1), \tilde{c}_1)} \mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \mathrm{triv} \rightarrow 0,$$

where (π, \mathbb{C}^2) is the irreducible representation given by

$$\pi\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & \\ & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}\right)(v, w) = (|a|^{-1}v, |a|w), \quad \pi\left(\begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix}\right)(v, w) = (w, v)$$

Here $(\tilde{d}'_1, \tilde{d}_1), \tilde{c}_1$ are normalized so that

$$(\tilde{d}'_1, \tilde{d}_1)(b_1) = (\zeta(2), \zeta(2)), \quad \tilde{c}_1(b_1) = 1 = \frac{b_1(0)}{\zeta(1)}.$$

In particular,

$$\tilde{c}_1(I(f)) = \frac{Z_{r_1}(f, 1)}{\zeta(1)} = \frac{I(f)(0)}{\zeta(1)}.$$

- (iv) *We have $\tilde{d}_1(I(f)) = \zeta(2)d_1(f)(0)$.*

(v) The map I is an $\mathrm{SO}_{V_2}(F)$ -equivariant surjection. In particular, there is a (unique) linear operator $\mathcal{F}_{X_{P_1}} : \mathcal{S}(X_{P_1}(F)) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X_{P_1}(F))$ which makes the following diagram commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S}(X_1(F)) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_{X_1}} & \mathcal{S}(X_1(F)) \\ I \downarrow & & I \downarrow \\ \mathcal{S}(X_{P_1}(F)) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_{X_{P_1}}} & \mathcal{S}(X_{P_1}(F)) \end{array}$$

Proof. Assertion (i) follows from Lemma 4.1. Assertion (ii) follows from (i) and the proof of Lemma A.1. For (iii), as argued in the proof of Lemma A.1 we may assume f is $r_1(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}))$ -invariant, and using the notation therein for n large by (A.5) and (ii)

(A.11)

$$I(f)(\varpi^n \xi) = I(f)(0) - q^{-n} \left(\frac{q^{A-1}}{1-q^{-1}} f(0) - q^{A-1} \sum_{j=0}^{B+A-1} q^{-j} f(\varpi^{A-j-1} \xi, 0, 0) \right).$$

Note that since f is $r_1(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}))$ -invariant, by (A.11) we have

$$I(f)(\varpi^n \xi) = \begin{cases} I(f)(\varpi^n(1, 0)) & \text{if } \xi = (a, 0), a \in \mathcal{O}^\times, \\ I(f)(\varpi^n(0, 1)) & \text{if } \xi = (0, a), a \in \mathcal{O}^\times. \end{cases}$$

Define

$$(\tilde{d}'_1, \tilde{d}_1)(I(f)) := \frac{\zeta(2)q^n}{\zeta(-1)} (I(f)(\varpi^n(1, 0)) - I(f)(0), I(f)(\varpi^n(0, 1)) - I(f)(0))$$

This is well defined by (A.11). One checks directly that (iii) follows. For (iv), we have by (iii) an $\mathrm{SO}_{V_1}(F)$ -equivariant surjection

$$J : \mathbb{C}^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}(X_{P_1}(F)) / \mathcal{S}(V_1(F))|_{X_{P_1}^\circ(F)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}(X_1(F)) / W'_1 \xrightarrow{d_1(\cdot)(0)} \mathbb{C}.$$

Since $d_1(\sigma_1 \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & \\ & a^{-1} \end{smallmatrix} \right) f)(0) = |a| d_1(f)(0)$ for $f \in \mathcal{S}(X_1(F))$ by Proposition 4.3 and $\tilde{d}_1(b_1) = \zeta(2) = \zeta(2) d_1(\mathbb{1}_{V_2(\mathcal{O})})(0)$, the assertion follows. Assertion (v) follows from the discussion before Lemma A.5. \square

We record the following well-known lemma.

Lemma A.8. *Let 1_s denote the quasicharacter on $T_2(F)$ such that $1_s \left(\left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & \\ & a^{-1} \end{smallmatrix} \right) \right) = |a|^s$. Let $\mathrm{Ind}_B^{\mathrm{SL}_2}(1_s)$ be the normalized induced representation, and*

$$M_{w_0}(s) : \mathrm{Ind}_B^{\mathrm{SL}_2}(1_s) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ind}_B^{\mathrm{SL}_2}(1_{-s})$$

$$f \mapsto \left(g \mapsto \int_{N(F)} f\left(\begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ -1 & \end{pmatrix} ug\right) du \right).$$

be the (unnormalized) intertwining operator. On $\operatorname{Re}(s) < 0$, the operator $M_{w_0}(s)$ is holomorphic and is an isomorphism except when $s = -1 \pmod{2\pi i / \log q\mathbb{Z}}$, where it has a nontrivial kernel. The module $\operatorname{Ind}_B^{\operatorname{SL}_2}(1_{-1})$ is of length 2. Its maximal semisimple subrepresentation (resp. quotient) is \mathbb{C} (resp. the Steinberg representation St), and \mathbb{C} is the kernel of $M_{w_0}(1_{-1})$. \square

It follows that $\operatorname{Ind}_B^{\operatorname{SL}_2}(1_{-1}) \otimes \operatorname{Ind}_B^{\operatorname{SL}_2}(1_{-1})$ is an $\operatorname{SL}_2(F) \times \operatorname{SL}_2(F)$ representation of length 4 whose composition factors are the external tensor products $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{St} \otimes \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C} \otimes \operatorname{St}, \operatorname{St} \otimes \operatorname{St}$. There is an isogeny $\operatorname{SL}_2^2 \rightarrow \operatorname{SO}_{V_2}$. After replacing it by a conjugate we can assume that the inverse image of $(\operatorname{SO}_{V_1} \times T_2) \ltimes N_1$ is B^2 . All of the composition factors above descend to representations of $\operatorname{SO}_{V_2}(F)$. The direct sum $(\operatorname{St} \otimes \mathbb{C}) \oplus (\mathbb{C} \otimes \operatorname{St})$ may be identified with the space of the representation

$$(A.12) \quad \sigma := \operatorname{Ind}_{\operatorname{SO}_{V_2}}^{\operatorname{O}_{V_2}}(\operatorname{St} \otimes \mathbb{C})$$

Concretely

$$\begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{O}_{V_2}(F)$$

acts by permuting the two factors of $(\operatorname{St} \otimes \mathbb{C}) \oplus (\mathbb{C} \otimes \operatorname{St})$.

Theorem A.9.

(i) We have an exact sequence of smooth $\operatorname{O}_{V_2}(F)$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(V_2(F))|_{X_2^{\circ}(F)} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X_{P_2}(F)) \xrightarrow{\tilde{d}_2} \pi \rightarrow 0,$$

where π is of length 2 and admits a nonsplit exact sequence of $\operatorname{O}_{V_2}(F)$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \pi \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow 0.$$

where the right map is given by taking highest order terms in the germ expansion. Let \tilde{c}_2 be the composite of the right map with \tilde{d}_2 :

$$\tilde{c}_2 : \mathcal{S}(X_{P_2}(F)) \xrightarrow{\tilde{d}_2} \pi \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

Then $\tilde{c}_2(I(f)) = \zeta(s)^{-1} Z_{r_2}(f, s)|_{s=0}$ for $f \in \mathcal{S}(X_2(F))$.

(ii) We have an isomorphism $J : \pi \cong \mathcal{S}(X_{P_1}(F))$ of $\mathrm{O}_{V_2}(F)$ -representations such that $J(\tilde{d}_2(b_2)) = b_1$, and under this identification we have a commutative diagram of $\mathrm{O}_{V_2}(F)$ -representations:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{S}(X_2(F)) & \xrightarrow{d_2} & \mathcal{S}(X_1(F)) \\ I \downarrow & & I \downarrow \\ \mathcal{S}(X_{P_2}(F)) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{d}_2} & \mathcal{S}(X_{P_1}(F)) \end{array}$$

Proof. Taking germs at the origin of functions in $\mathcal{S}(X_{P_2}(F))$ gives rise to an exact sequence of smooth $\mathrm{SL}_2^2(F)$ -representations

$$(A.13) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(X_{P_2}^\circ(F)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(X_{P_2}(F)) \longrightarrow \pi'' \longrightarrow 0$$

By Lemma A.1(iv), the map to π'' is given explicitly by

$$f \mapsto a_0(f) + \tilde{c}_2(f) \log_{1/q}(|\cdot|)$$

and $\tilde{c}_2(f) = \zeta(s)^{-1} Z_{r_2}(\tilde{f}, s)|_{s=0}$ in the notation of (A.4).

The group $\mathrm{SL}_2^2(F)$ acts transitively on $X_2^\circ(F)$ via the isogeny $\mathrm{SL}_2^2 \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{V_2}$. Since $a_0(f) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{P}X_2(F)) \cong \mathrm{Ind}_B^{\mathrm{SL}_2}(1_{-1}) \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_B^{\mathrm{SL}_2}(1_{-1})$ one has an exact sequence of smooth $\mathrm{SL}_2^2(F)$ -representations

$$(A.14) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \pi' \longrightarrow \pi'' \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow 0$$

where π' is a subrepresentation of $\mathrm{Ind}_B^{\mathrm{SL}_2}(1_{-1}) \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_B^{\mathrm{SL}_2}(1_{-1})$. Here the second map is determined by the requirement that $\tilde{c}_2(f) \log_{1/q}(|\cdot|)$ is sent to $\tilde{c}_2(f)$. For analogous computations recorded in detail see [Hsu21, §4].

To see $\mathbb{C}, \mathrm{St} \otimes \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathrm{St}$ are composition factors of π' , by symmetry and Lemma A.8 it suffices to show there is $f \in \mathcal{S}(X_{P_2}(F))$ such that $a_0(f)$ is nonconstant and $\tilde{c}_2(f) = 0$. Consider $b'_2 := I(\mathbb{1}_{\varpi \mathcal{O} \times \varpi^{-1} \mathcal{O}} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{O}^4})$. Then $a_0(b_2 - b'_2)$ is nonconstant and $\tilde{c}_2(b'_2) = \tilde{c}_2(b_2) = 1$.

Let $\pi := \pi''/(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C})$ and $\sigma := \pi'/(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C})$. To complete the proof of (i) we are left with showing that π' is a proper subrepresentation of $\mathrm{Ind}_B^{\mathrm{SL}_2}(1_{-1}) \otimes \mathrm{Ind}_B^{\mathrm{SL}_2}(1_{-1})$. For $f \in \mathcal{S}(X_{P_2}(F))$, let

$$f_s(\xi) := \int_{F^\times} |a|^{s+1} f(a\xi) d^\times a, \quad \xi \in X_{P_2}^\circ(F)$$

be the Mellin transform of f along the quasicharacter $1_s \otimes 1_s$ (extended by meromorphic continuation). We claim that $f \in \mathcal{S}(X_{P_2}(F))$ only if $M_{w_0}(s) \otimes M_{w_0}(s)(f_s)$

is holomorphic at $s = -1$. Indeed, for $\xi \in X_{P_2}(F)^1$ the Laurent expansion of $f_s(\xi)$ at $s = -1$ is

$$\frac{\tilde{c}_2(f)}{\zeta(s+1)^2} + \frac{a_0(f)(\xi) - \tilde{c}_2(f)}{\zeta(s+1)} + O(1).$$

Note that $a_0(b_2) = 1$ is a constant function, and thus $M_{w_0}(s) \otimes M_{w_0}(s)((b_2)_s)$ is holomorphic at $s = -1$ by Lemma A.8. By Lemma A.5 and the Iwasawa decomposition $\pi'' \cong \mathcal{S}(X_{P_2}(F))/\mathcal{S}(X_{P_2}^\circ(F))$ is generated by (the image of) b_2 as an $O_{V_2}(F)$ -representation, and thus the claim follows. Now if π' is not proper, then by Lemma A.8 there is $f \in \mathcal{S}(X_{P_2}(F))$ such that $M_{w_0}(-1) \otimes M_{w_0}(-1)(a_0(f)) \neq 0$, and thus $M_{w_0}(s) \otimes M_{w_0}(s)(f_s)$ has a simple pole at $s = -1$, which is a contradiction.

Finally, to prove (ii) consider the $O_{V_2}(F)$ -equivariant surjection

$$J : \pi \cong \mathcal{S}(X_2(F))/W'_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} \mathcal{S}(X_1(F))/d_1(W'_1) \xrightarrow{I} \mathcal{S}(X_{P_1}(F))/I(d_1(W'_1)).$$

One has $J(\tilde{d}_2(b'_2)) \neq J(\tilde{d}_2(b_2))$. Hence the image of J has dimension greater than 1. As \mathbb{C} is the unique proper quotient of π , J must be injective, and hence an isomorphism. Since

$$\mathcal{S}(X_{P_1}(F)) = \mathcal{S}(V_1(F))|_{X_1^\circ(F)} + \mathcal{F}_{X_{P_1}}(\mathcal{S}(V_1(F))|_{X_1^\circ(F)})$$

and $\mathcal{S}(V_1(F))|_{X_1^\circ(F)}$ is of length 2 as a representation of $(O_{V_1} \times T_2) \ltimes N_2(F)$ by Lemma A.5, it follows that $\mathcal{S}(X_{P_1}(F))$ is a representation of $O_{V_2}(F)$ of length at most 2. Since π is of length 2, we conclude that $\mathcal{S}(X_{P_1}(F))$ is an $O_{V_2}(F)$ -representation of length 2 and $I(d_1(W'_1)) = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary A.10. *For $f \in \mathcal{S}(X_{P_2}(F))$, $\tilde{c}_2(f) = \tilde{c}_1(\tilde{d}_2(f))$.*

Proof. By Theorem A.9, it suffices to check the assertion for $f = b_2$. By Theorem A.7 (iii) and Theorem A.9, we have

$$\tilde{c}_2(b_2) = 1 = \tilde{c}_1(b_1) = \tilde{c}_1(\tilde{d}_2(b_2)).$$

\square

We would like to replace π'' by $\pi \oplus (\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C})$ in (A.13) in analogy with the case of $\ell > 2$ in Theorem A.6, where $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ consists of germs of functions at the origin that are constant. But this is not possible if we consider (A.13) as an exact sequence of $O_{V_2}(F)$ -modules since $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is the maximal semisimple $O_{V_2}(F)$ -subrepresentation of π'' (and π'). However, if we view (A.13) as an exact sequence

of $(O_{V_1} \times T_2) \ltimes N_2(F)$ -modules, we can rewrite it as

$$(A.15) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(X_{P_2}^\circ(F)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(X_{P_2}(F)) \xrightarrow{(\tilde{a}_2, \tilde{d}_2)} (\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C}) \oplus \pi \longrightarrow 0.$$

The map \tilde{a}_2 is defined as follows. The stabilizer of $(0 : 0 : 0 : 1) \in \mathbb{P}X_2(F)$ in $O_{V_2}(F)$ is $(O_{V_1} \times T_2) \ltimes N_2(F)$. Then the linear functional

$$\tilde{a}_2(f) := a_0(f)((0 : 0 : 0 : 1))$$

is clearly invariant under $(O_{V_1} \times T_2) \ltimes N_2(F)$. This gives a splitting $\pi' = \sigma \oplus (\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C})$ as $(O_{V_1} \times T_2) \ltimes N_2(F)$ -representations. We remark that

$$\text{if } f \in \mathcal{S}(V_2(F))|_{X_{P_2}^\circ(F)} \text{ then } \tilde{a}_2(f) = f(0).$$

Lemma A.11. *Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(V_3(F))$. We have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{ds} \left(-\zeta(s)^{-1} Z_{r_2}(f, s) + \zeta(s+1)^{-1} Z_{r_1}(d_2(f), s+1) \right) \Big|_{s=0} \\ &= (\log q) (\tilde{c}_2(I(f)) - \tilde{a}_2(I(f))). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We may assume f is $r_2(\text{SL}_2(\mathcal{O}))$ -invariant. Using the computation in Lemma A.1 and the notation therein, and taking $A = B$, we have

$$(A.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \zeta(s)^{-1} Z_{r_2}(f, s) &= q^{-As} f(0) + (1 - q^{-s}) \sum_{j=-A}^{A-1} q^{-js} f(0, 0, \varpi^j) \\ &= f(0) - s \log q \left(A f(0) - \sum_{j=-A}^{A-1} f(0, 0, \varpi^j) \right) + O_f(s^2). \end{aligned}$$

as $s \rightarrow 0$. On the other hand, by definition for $\text{Re}(s)$ large

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{r_1}(d_2(f), s+1) &= \int_{F^\times} \int_F f(0, 0, 0, y, 0, 0) \psi(ay) dy |a|^{s+1} d^\times a \\ &= \int_{|a| \leq q^A} \int_F f(0, 0, 0, y, 0, 0) \psi(ay) dy |a|^{s+1} d^\times a \\ &= \int_F \int_{|a| \leq q^A} f(0, 0, 0, y, 0, 0) \psi(ay) |a|^{s+1} d^\times a dy. \end{aligned}$$

Using well known results on Ramanujan sums, the integral is

$$\begin{aligned} & \zeta(1)^{-1} \int_{F^\times} f(0, 0, 0, y, 0, 0) |y|^{-s} \int_{|a| \leq \min(q, q^A |y|)} \psi(a) |a|^{s+1} d^\times a d^\times y \\ &= \zeta(1)^{-1} \int_{|y| \leq q^{-A}} f(0) |y|^{-s} \int_{|a| \leq q^A |y|} |a|^{s+1} d^\times a d^\times y \\ &+ \zeta(1)^{-1} \int_{q^A \geq |y| > q^{-A}} f(0, 0, 0, y, 0, 0) |y|^{-s} d^\times y \int_{|a| \leq q} \psi(a) |a|^{s+1} d^\times a \end{aligned}$$

$$= \zeta(s+1)f(0)q^{As} + \zeta(1)^{-1} \int_{q^A \geq |y| > q^{-A}} f(0, 0, 0, y, 0, 0) |y|^{-s} d^\times y (\zeta(s+1) + \zeta(-1)q^{s+1}).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \zeta(s+1)^{-1} Z_{r_1}(d_2(f), s+1) \\ &= f(0)q^{As} + \zeta(1)^{-1} \sum_{j=-A}^{A-1} f(0, 0, 0, \varpi^j, 0, 0) q^{js} (1 - \zeta(-1)\zeta(-s-1)^{-1}) \\ &= f(0) + s(\log q)Af(0) - s(\log q) \sum_{j=-A}^{A-1} f(0, 0, 0, \varpi^j, 0, 0) + O_f(s^2). \end{aligned}$$

Combining with (A.16), we have by (A.5) and Lemma A.1(iv)

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(f) &:= (\log q)^{-1} \frac{d}{ds} \left(-\zeta(s)^{-1} Z_{r_2}(f, s) + \zeta(s+1)^{-1} Z_{r_1}(d_2(f), s+1) \right) \Big|_{s=0} \\ &= - \sum_{j=-A}^{A-1} f(0, 0, \varpi^j) + 2Af(0) - \sum_{j=-A}^{A-1} f(0, 0, 0, \varpi^j, 0, 0) \\ &= (n+1)\tilde{c}_2(I(f)) - I(f)(0, 0, 0, \varpi^n) \end{aligned}$$

for any n sufficiently large. Note that for n large

$$\begin{aligned} I(f)(0, 0, 0, \varpi^n) &= n\tilde{c}_2(I(f)) + a_0(f)((0 : 0 : 0 : 1)) \\ &= n\tilde{c}_2(I(f)) + \tilde{a}_2(I(f)), \end{aligned}$$

and thus $\lambda(f) = \tilde{c}_2(I(f)) - \tilde{a}_2(I(f))$. \square

A.3. Eisenstein series and the Poisson summation formulae. Let F be a number field. Fix a nontrivial additive character $\psi = \otimes_v \psi_v : F \backslash \mathbb{A}_F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. For v nonarchimedean, let $e(\psi_v)$ be the largest integer such that ψ_v is trivial on $\varpi_v^{-e(\psi_v)} \mathcal{O}_{F_v}$. We recall that in §A.2 we assumed that $e(\psi_v) = 0$. We cannot assume this globally for all finite places unless $F = \mathbb{Q}$, so we now summarize the results of §A.2 suitably modified to treat arbitrary ψ_v .

Let $\epsilon(s) := \prod_v \epsilon_v(s, \psi_v)$ be the Tate epsilon factor of the quasi-character $|\cdot|^s : \mathbb{A}_F^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. Note that when v is nonarchimedean

$$\epsilon_v(s, \psi_v) = q^{(\frac{1}{2}-s)e(\psi_v)},$$

which is trivial at almost all places.

Theorem A.12. *Let $\ell \geq 2$. Let v be a finite place of F . For $\ell \geq i \geq 1$, there are unique $\mathrm{SO}_{V_i}(F_v)$ -equivariant surjective maps*

$$\mathcal{F}_{X_{P_i}, v} : \mathcal{S}(X_{P_i}(F_v)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(X_{P_i}(F_v))$$

$$\tilde{d}_{i,v} : \mathcal{S}(X_{P_i}(F_v)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}(X_{P_{i-1}}(F_v))$$

$$\tilde{c}_{i,v} : \mathcal{S}(X_{P_i}(F_v)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

and a $(O_{V_1} \times T_2) \ltimes N_2(F_v)$ -equivariant surjection $\tilde{a}_{2,v} : \mathcal{S}(X_{P_2}(F)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with kernels as in theorems A.6, A.7, and A.9 such that for $f \in \mathcal{S}(X_i(F_v))$,

$$\mathcal{F}_{X_{P_i},v}(I(f)) = I(\mathcal{F}_{X_i,v}(f)),$$

$$\tilde{d}_{i,v}(I(f)) = \begin{cases} I(d_{i,v}(f)) & \text{if } i > 1, \\ \epsilon_v(1, \psi_v) \zeta_v(2) d_{1,v}(f)(0) & \text{if } i = 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\tilde{c}_{i,v}(I(f)) = \begin{cases} c_{i,v}(f) = Z_{r_i}(f, 2-i) & \text{if } i > 2, \\ \zeta_v(s)^{-1} Z_{r_2}(f, s)|_{s=0} & \text{if } i = 2, \\ \zeta_v(1)^{-1} Z_{r_1}(f, 1) & \text{if } i = 1. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore,

$$(A.17) \quad \tilde{c}_{2,v} = \epsilon_v(1, \psi_v) \tilde{c}_{1,v} \circ \tilde{d}_{2,v},$$

and for $f \in \mathcal{S}(V_3(F_v))$

$$(A.18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{ds} \left(-\zeta_v(s)^{-1} Z_{r_2}(f, s) + \epsilon_v(1, \psi_v) \zeta_v(s+1)^{-1} Z_{r_1}(d_2(f), s+1) \right) \Big|_{s=0} \\ &= (\log q_v) \left((e(\psi_v) + 1) \tilde{c}_{2,v}(I(f)) - \tilde{a}_{2,v}(I(f)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

We warn the reader that all maps $\mathcal{F}_{X_{P_i},v}$, $\tilde{d}_{i,v}$, $\tilde{c}_{i,v}$, $\tilde{a}_{2,v}$ depend on ψ_v . In particular, if $e(\psi_v) \neq 0$ then it is not necessarily true that $\tilde{d}_{i,v}(b_{i,v}) = b_{i,v}$.

Proof. The existence and uniqueness of the maps follow from Theorems A.6, A.7, A.9. Let us explain how to deduce (A.17) and (A.18) by modifying the proof of Lemma A.11.

For a nontrivial additive character ψ' of F_v , we let $d(x, \psi')$ (resp. $d^\times(x, \psi')$) be the Haar measure on F_v (resp. F_v^\times) such that $d(x, \psi')(\mathcal{O}_v) = q^{-\frac{e(\psi')}{2}}$ (resp. $d^\times(x, \psi')(\mathcal{O}_v^\times) = q^{-\frac{e(\psi')}{2}}$). Let ψ' be an additive character with conductor \mathcal{O}_v such that $\psi_v(x) = \psi'(\varpi_v^{e(\psi_v)} x)$ for all $x \in F_v$. Then in the proof of Lemma A.11 we have instead

$$\begin{aligned} & Z_{r_1}(d_2(f), s+1) \\ &= \int_{F^\times} \int_F f(0, 0, 0, y, 0, 0) \psi_v(ay) d(y, \psi_v) |a|^{s+1} d^\times(a, \psi_v) \\ &= q_v^{e(\psi_v)(s+\frac{1}{2})} \int_{F^\times} \int_F f(0, 0, 0, y, 0, 0) \psi'(ay) d(y, \psi_v) |a|^{s+1} d^\times(a, \psi'). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the Taylor expansion of $\zeta_v(s+1)^{-1}Z_{r_1}(d_2(f), s+1)$ at $s=0$ has the constant term

$$q_v^{\frac{e(\psi_v)}{2}} d^\times(x, \psi_v)(\mathcal{O}_v^\times) f(0)$$

and the coefficient of s is

$$\begin{aligned} & q_v^{\frac{e(\psi_v)}{2}} (\log q_v) d^\times(x, \psi_v)(\mathcal{O}_v^\times) \left(Af(0) - \sum_{j=-A}^{A-1} f(0, 0, 0, \varpi^j, 0, 0) \right) \\ & + q_v^{\frac{e(\psi_v)}{2}} (\log q_v) e(\psi_v) d^\times(x, \psi_v)(\mathcal{O}_v^\times) f(0). \end{aligned}$$

The rest follows from the proof of Lemma A.11. \square

In this subsection we work under the following hypothesis.

- (H1) Theorem A.12 holds for archimedean places v . In particular, we assume that (A.18) holds for some (necessarily unique) constants $\alpha_v \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\beta_v \in \mathbb{C}$ in place of $\log q_v$ and $e(\psi_v)$, and we then formally define $\log q_v := \alpha_v$ and $e(\psi_v) := \beta_v$.

Define for $\ell > i \geq 0$ and a place v of F , $\tilde{d}_{\ell, i, v} := \tilde{d}_{i+1, v} \circ \cdots \circ \tilde{d}_{\ell, v}$. Let

$$\tilde{d}_i := \otimes_v \tilde{d}_{i, v}, \quad \tilde{d}_{\ell, i} := \otimes_v \tilde{d}_{\ell, i, v}, \quad \tilde{c}_i := \otimes_v \tilde{c}_{i, v}.$$

Let

$$\kappa_0 := \text{Res}_{s=1} \zeta(s), \quad \kappa_1 := \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{d}{ds} s \zeta(s).$$

For $f = \otimes f_v \in \mathcal{S}(X_{P_2}(\mathbb{A}_F))$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{c}_{21}(f) &:= \left(2|D|^{-1/2} \kappa_1 - (\log |D|) \kappa_0 \right) \tilde{c}_1(\tilde{d}_2(f)) \\ &+ |D|^{1/2} \kappa_0 \sum_v (\log q_v) \left((e(\psi_v) + 1) \tilde{c}_{2, v}(I(f_v)) - \tilde{a}_{2, v}(f_v) \right) \prod_{v' \neq v} \tilde{c}_{2, v'}(f_{v'}), \end{aligned}$$

and extend by linearity to a linear functional $\mathcal{S}(X_{P_2}(\mathbb{A}_F))$. We note that the sum over v has finite support. Indeed, for v nonarchimedean, $e(\psi_v) = 0$ and $\tilde{c}_{2, v}(b_{2, v}) = \tilde{a}_{2, v}(b_{2, v}) = 1$ by (A.3) whenever ψ_v is unramified.

We rewrite Theorem 1.2 as a summation formula on $\mathcal{S}(X_{P_\ell}(\mathbb{A}_F))$:

Theorem A.13. *Suppose $\ell \geq 2$. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(X_{P_\ell}(\mathbb{A}_F))$. We have*

$$\sum_{i=3}^{\ell} \tilde{c}_i(\tilde{d}_{\ell, i}(f)) + \tilde{c}_{21}(\tilde{d}_{\ell, 2}(f)) + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \sum_{\xi \in X_{P_i}^\circ(F)} \tilde{d}_{\ell, i}(f)(\xi) + \tilde{d}_{\ell, 0}(f)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=3}^{\ell} \tilde{c}_i(\tilde{d}_{\ell,i}(\mathcal{F}_{X_{P_\ell}}(f))) + \tilde{c}_{21}(d_{\ell,2}(\mathcal{F}_{X_{P_\ell}}(f))) \\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \sum_{\xi \in X_{P_i}^\circ(F)} \tilde{d}_{\ell,i}(\mathcal{F}_{X_{P_\ell}}(f))(\xi) + \tilde{d}_{\ell,0}(\mathcal{F}_{X_{P_\ell}}(f)).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note that $\epsilon(s) = |D|^{\frac{1}{2}-s}$. In view of Theorem 1.2, by Theorem A.12, (H1), and Lemma 3.1, it suffices to show for $f = \otimes f_v \in \mathcal{S}(X_2(\mathbb{A}_F))$

$$c_2(f) + c_1(d_2(f)) = \tilde{c}_{21}(I(f)).$$

We begin by unwinding the definition of c_1 and c_2 . Using the functional equation $\zeta(s) = \epsilon(s)\zeta(1-s)$ and Theorem A.12, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
c_2(f) &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{d}{ds} s \zeta(s) \zeta(s)^{-1} Z_{r_2}(f, s) \right) \\
&= (\text{Res}_{s=0} \zeta(s)) \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{d}{ds} \zeta(s)^{-1} Z_{r_2}(f, s) \right) + \kappa_1 \left(\zeta(s)^{-1} Z_{r_2}(f, s) \right) \Big|_{s=0} \\
&= -\epsilon(0)\kappa_0 \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{d}{ds} \zeta(s)^{-1} Z_{r_2}(f, s) \right) + \kappa_1 \tilde{c}_2(I(f)).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for c_1 using $\epsilon(0)\epsilon(1) = 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
c_1(d_2(f)) &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{d}{ds} s \zeta(1+s) \zeta(1+s)^{-1} Z_{r_1}(d_2(f), s+1) \right) \\
&= \kappa_0 \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{d}{ds} \zeta(1+s)^{-1} Z_{r_1}(d_2(f), s+1) \right) \\
&+ \left(\frac{d}{ds} s \zeta(1+s) \right) \Big|_{s=0} \left(\zeta(1+s)^{-1} Z_{r_1}(d_2(f), s+1) \right) \Big|_{s=0} \\
&= \epsilon(0)\kappa_0 \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{d}{ds} \epsilon(1) \zeta(1+s)^{-1} Z_{r_1}(d_2(f), s+1) \right) \\
&+ \left(\frac{d}{ds} \epsilon(-s)^{-1} s \epsilon(-s) \zeta(1+s) \right) \Big|_{s=0} \tilde{c}_1(\tilde{d}_2(I(f))).
\end{aligned}$$

We have by (A.17)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\kappa_1 \tilde{c}_2(I(f)) + \left(\frac{d}{ds} \epsilon(-s)^{-1} s \epsilon(-s) \zeta(1+s) \right) \Big|_{s=0} \tilde{c}_1(\tilde{d}_2(I(f))) \\
&= \left(\kappa_1 \epsilon(1) - (\log |D|) |D|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \epsilon(0) \kappa_0 + \epsilon(0)^{-1} \kappa_1 \right) \tilde{c}_1(\tilde{d}_2(I(f))) \\
&= \left(2|D|^{-1/2} \kappa_1 - (\log |D|) \kappa_0 \right) \tilde{c}_1(\tilde{d}_2(I(f))).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, by (A.17) and (A.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & - \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{d}{ds} \zeta(s)^{-1} Z_{r_2}(f, s) \right) + \epsilon(1) \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{d}{ds} \zeta(1+s)^{-1} Z_{r_1}(d_2(f), s+1) \right) \\ & = \sum_v (\log q_v) \left((e(\psi_v) + 1) \tilde{c}_{2,v}(I(f_v)) - \tilde{a}_{2,v}(I(f_v)) \right) \prod_{v' \neq v} \tilde{c}_{2,v'}(I(f_{v'})). \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark. Since the definition of $\tilde{d}_{\ell,v}, \tilde{c}_{\ell,v}, \tilde{a}_{2,v}$ is up to normalization taking germs of functions at the singularity, this implies that the formula of Theorem A.13 does not depend on the choice of coordinates used to derive Theorem 1.2.

We assume in the rest of the paper $\ell \geq 3$. We use Theorem A.13 to give a new proof of the functional equation of certain degenerate Eisenstein series and compute their poles. The computation of the poles is new in the level of generality presented here. For a nonsplit analogue we refer to [KY22].

Let $M_\ell \leq P_\ell$ be the Levi subgroup containing $T_{\text{SO}_{V_\ell}}$. Then $M_\ell^{\text{ab}} := M_\ell / M_\ell^{\text{der}}$ acts on the left on X_{P_ℓ} and we have an induced action

$$\begin{aligned} M^{\text{ab}}(\mathbb{A}_F) \times C^\infty(X_{P_\ell}^\circ(\mathbb{A}_F)) & \longrightarrow C^\infty(X_{P_\ell}^\circ(\mathbb{A}_F)) \\ f & \longmapsto m.f(x) := f(m^{-1}g) \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the definition of the Schwartz space [GHLar, §5.2, §6.3.2] that this action preserves $\mathcal{S}(X_{P_\ell}(\mathbb{A}_F))$. Let $\omega_{P_\ell} : M^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ be the isomorphism whose inverse is induced by

$$\begin{aligned} R^\times & \longrightarrow M_\ell(R) \\ a & \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} I_{V_\ell} & & \\ & a & \\ & & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Thus $a.f(x) := \omega_{P_\ell}^{-1}(a).f(x) = f(ax)$ for $(a, f, x) \in \mathbb{A}_F^\times \times \mathcal{S}(X_{P_\ell}(\mathbb{A}_F)) \times X_\ell^\circ(\mathbb{A}_F)$.

For $f \in \mathcal{S}(X_{P_\ell}(\mathbb{A}_F))$, an idelic (unitary) character $\chi, s \in \mathbb{C}$, let

$$f_{\chi_s}(g) := \int_{M_\ell^{\text{ab}}(\mathbb{A}_F)} \delta_{P_\ell}^{1/2}(m) \chi(m) |m|^s f(m^{-1}g) dm, \quad g \in \text{SO}_{V_\ell}(\mathbb{A}_F)$$

be its Mellin transform along χ (extended by meromorphic continuation). We identify quasi-characters of \mathbb{A}_F^\times and $M_\ell^{\text{ab}}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ via ω_{P_ℓ} , and normalize the Haar measure on $M_\ell^{\text{ab}}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ so that ω_{P_ℓ} is measure preserving. This is the same as the convention in [GHLar, §6.3.2] and we have $\delta_{P_\ell}(\omega_{P_\ell}^{-1}(a)) = |a|^{2(\ell-1)}$. For $g \in$

$\mathrm{SO}_{V_\ell}(\mathbb{A}_F)$, define the Eisenstein series

$$\mathrm{Eis}(g; f_{\chi_s}) := \sum_{x \in P_\ell(F) \backslash \mathrm{SO}_{V_\ell}(F)} f_{\chi_s}(xg)$$

For ease of notation, we write $\mathrm{Eis}(f_{\chi_s}) := \mathrm{Eis}(I_{2\ell}; f_{\chi_s})$.

In [CG21] a summation formula similar to Theorem A.13 was obtained from the functional equations of Eisenstein series. Here we reverse the logic and deduce the analytic properties of Eisenstein series from Theorem A.13 following the approach of Tate.

Corollary A.14. *Suppose $\ell \geq 3$. The Eisenstein series $\mathrm{Eis}(f_{\chi_s})$ admits a meromorphic continuation to $s \in \mathbb{C}$ and satisfies a functional equation*

$$\mathrm{Eis}(f_{\chi_s}) = \mathrm{Eis}(\mathcal{F}_{X_\ell}(f)_{\overline{\chi}_{-s}}).$$

It is entire if χ is not principal. For $\chi = 1$, it has at worst simple poles at $s \in \{\pm 1, \pm(\ell - 1)\}$. One has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{Res}_{s=\ell-1} \mathrm{Eis}(\mathcal{F}_{X_{P_\ell}}(f)_{1_s})}{\kappa_0} &= \tilde{c}_\ell(f), \\ \frac{\mathrm{Res}_{s=1} \mathrm{Eis}(\mathcal{F}_{X_{P_\ell}}(f)_{1_s})}{\kappa_0} &= \sum_{i=3}^{\ell-1} \tilde{c}_i(\tilde{d}_{\ell,i}(f)) + \tilde{c}_{21}(\tilde{d}_{\ell,2}(f)) + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{\xi \in X_{P_i}^\circ(F)} \tilde{d}_{\ell,i}(f)(\xi) + \tilde{d}_{\ell,0}(f). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $M^{\mathrm{ab}}(\mathbb{A}_F)^1 := \{m \in M^{\mathrm{ab}}(\mathbb{A}_F) : |\omega_{P_\ell}(m)| = 1\}$ and let A^+ (resp. A^-) be the image of $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$ (resp. $\mathbb{R}_{\leq 1}$) under $\omega_{P_\ell}^{-1}$ with Haar measure da transported from the restriction of the measure $\frac{dt}{t}$ on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$. We may assume the unitary character χ is trivial on $A^+ \cup A^-$. Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Eis}(f_{\chi_s}) &= \int_{A^+ \times M_\ell^{\mathrm{ab}}(\mathbb{A}_F)^1} \chi(m) |a|^{s+\ell-1} \sum_{x \in P_\ell(F) \backslash \mathrm{SO}_{V_\ell}(F)} (am).f(x) dmda \\ (A.19) \quad &+ \int_{A^- \times M_\ell^{\mathrm{ab}}(\mathbb{A}_F)^1} \chi(m) |a|^{s+\ell-1} \sum_{x \in P_\ell(F) \backslash \mathrm{SO}_{V_\ell}(F)} (am).f(x) dmda. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathrm{Eis}(f_{\chi_s})$ converges for $\mathrm{Re}(s)$ sufficiently large, the first integral converges absolutely for all s and defines an entire function. We apply Poisson summation (Theorem A.13) to the second integral to see that it is

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{A^- \times M_\ell^{\mathrm{ab}}(F) \backslash M_\ell^{\mathrm{ab}}(\mathbb{A}_F)^1} \chi(m) |a|^{s+\ell-1} \sum_{x \in X_\ell^\circ(F)} (am).f(x) dmda \\ &= \int_{A^- \times M^{\mathrm{ab}}(F) \backslash M_\ell^{\mathrm{ab}}(\mathbb{A}_F)^1} \chi(m) |a|^{s+\ell-1} \left(\sum_{i=3}^{\ell} \tilde{c}_i(\tilde{d}_{\ell,i}(\mathcal{F}_{X_{P_\ell}}((am).f))) + \tilde{c}_{21}(\tilde{d}_{\ell,2}(\mathcal{F}_{X_{P_\ell}}((am).f))) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \sum_{\xi \in X_{P_i}^{\circ}(F)} \tilde{d}_{\ell,i}(\mathcal{F}_{X_{P_{\ell}}}((am).f))(\xi) + \tilde{d}_{\ell,0}(\mathcal{F}_{X_{P_{\ell}}}((am).f)) \\
& - \sum_{i=3}^{\ell} \tilde{c}_i(\tilde{d}_{\ell,i}((am).f)) - \tilde{c}_{21}(\tilde{d}_{\ell,2}((am).f)) - \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{\xi \in X_{P_i}^{\circ}(F)} \tilde{d}_{\ell,i}((am).f)(\xi) - \tilde{d}_{\ell,0}((am).f) \Big) dmda.
\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 4.3, (7.4), (7.5) and Theorem A.12, the integral above is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{A^- \times M_{\ell}^{\text{ab}}(F) \backslash M_{\ell}^{\text{ab}}(\mathbb{A}_F)^1} \chi(m) |a|^s \left(|a|^{-\ell+1} \tilde{c}_{\ell}(\mathcal{F}_{X_{P_{\ell}}}(f)) + |a|^{-1} \sum_{i=3}^{\ell-1} \tilde{c}_i(\tilde{d}_{\ell,i}(\mathcal{F}_{X_{P_{\ell}}}(f))) \right. \\
& + |a|^{-1} \tilde{c}_{21}(\tilde{d}_{\ell,2}(\mathcal{F}_{X_{P_{\ell}}}(f))) + |a|^{-\ell+1} \sum_{\xi \in X_{P_{\ell}}^{\circ}(F)} (am)^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{F}_{X_{P_{\ell}}}(f)(\xi) \\
& + |a|^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{\xi \in X_{P_i}^{\circ}(F)} \tilde{d}_{\ell,i}(\mathcal{F}_{X_{P_{\ell}}}(f))(\xi) + |a|^{-1} \tilde{d}_{\ell,0}(\mathcal{F}_{X_{P_{\ell}}}(f)) - |a|^{\ell-1} \tilde{c}_{\ell}(f) \\
& \left. - |a| \left(\sum_{i=3}^{\ell-1} \tilde{c}_i(\tilde{d}_{\ell,i}(f)) + \tilde{c}_{21}(\tilde{d}_{\ell,2}(f)) + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{\xi \in X_{P_i}^{\circ}(F)} \tilde{d}_{\ell,i}(f)(\xi) + \tilde{d}_{\ell,0}(f) \right) \right) dmda.
\end{aligned}$$

We substitute this into the expression (A.19), change variables, and evaluate some of the integrals to see that $\text{Eis}(f_{\chi_s})$ equals

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{A^+ \times M_{\ell}^{\text{ab}}(\mathbb{A}_F)^1} \chi(m) |a|^{s+\ell-1} \sum_{x \in P_{\ell}(F) \backslash \text{SO}_{V_{\ell}}(F)} (am).f(x) dmda \\
& + \int_{A^+ \times M_{\ell}^{\text{ab}}(\mathbb{A}_F)^1} \bar{\chi}(m) |a|^{-s+\ell-1} \sum_{x \in P_{\ell}(F) \backslash \text{SO}_{V_{\ell}}(F)} (am). \mathcal{F}_{X_{P_{\ell}}}(f)(x) dmda \\
& + \delta_{\chi} \kappa_0 \left(\frac{\tilde{c}_{\ell}(\mathcal{F}_{X_{P_{\ell}}}(f))}{s-\ell+1} - \frac{\tilde{c}_{\ell}(f)}{s+\ell-1} \right) \\
& + \delta_{\chi} \kappa_0 \frac{1}{s-1} \left(\sum_{i=3}^{\ell-1} \tilde{c}_i(\tilde{d}_{\ell,i}(\mathcal{F}_{X_{P_{\ell}}}(f))) + \tilde{c}_{21}(\tilde{d}_{\ell,2}(\mathcal{F}_{X_{P_{\ell}}}(f))) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{\xi \in X_{P_i}^{\circ}(F)} \tilde{d}_{\ell,i}(\mathcal{F}_{X_{P_{\ell}}}(f))(\xi) + \tilde{d}_{\ell,0}(\mathcal{F}_{X_{P_{\ell}}}(f)) \right) \\
& - \delta_{\chi} \kappa_0 \frac{1}{s+1} \left(\sum_{i=3}^{\ell-1} \tilde{c}_i(\tilde{d}_{\ell,i}(f)) + \tilde{c}_{21}(\tilde{d}_{\ell,2}(f)) + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \sum_{\xi \in X_{P_i}^{\circ}(F)} \tilde{d}_{\ell,i}(f)(\xi) + \tilde{d}_{\ell,0}(f) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_{\chi} = 0$ if $\chi \neq 1$ and $\delta_1 = 1$. Here we have used the fact that κ_0 is the measure of $M_{\ell}^{\text{ab}}(F^{\times}) \backslash M_{\ell}^{\text{ab}}(\mathbb{A}_F)^1$ with respect to dm [Wei74, §VII.5-VII.6]. The

expression is symmetric under $(f, \chi_s) \mapsto (\mathcal{F}_{X_{P_\ell}}(f), \overline{\chi}_{-s})$ and is entire if $\chi \neq 1$. The rest follows directly. \square

Remark. Using Corollary 7.2 one can formulate an analogue of Corollary A.14 for Eisenstein series on nonsplit (special) orthogonal groups of even rank (and absolute rank at least 3) in complete generality, whether or not we assume (H1). An analogue also holds for $V_0 = \{0\}$, $\ell = 2$ but it is slightly more complicated. The only caveat is that the sections must be constructed using functions of the form $I(f)$ because in the nonsplit archimedean case we do not have a definition of the Schwartz space, and in the archimedean and the nonarchimedean nonsplit case we have not proved an analogue of the compatibility results summarized in Theorem A.12.

By Theorem 1.3, the second identity in Corollary A.14 can be rewritten as

$$\kappa_0^{-1} \text{Res}_{s=1} \text{Eis}(\mathcal{F}_{X_{P_\ell}}(I(f))_{1_s}) = \text{Constant term} \int_{[SL_2]} \Theta_{\mathcal{F}_2^{-1} \circ d_\ell(f)}^T(g) dg$$

for $f \in \mathcal{S}(X_\ell(\mathbb{A}_F))$. This identity is akin to regularized Siegel-Weil identities, and indicates that regularized Siegel-Weil identities can be used to geometrize boundary terms at least for Braverman-Kazhdan spaces. For instance, one can rephrase the Poisson summation formulae on the Lagrangian Grassmannian in [GL21] in a geometric fashion via regularized Siegel-Weil identities established in [Ich01, Ike96, KR94]. We leave this to future work.

REFERENCES

- [Art80] J. Arthur. A trace formula for reductive groups. II. Applications of a truncation operator. *Compositio Math.*, 40(1):87–121, 1980. 27
- [Art05] J. Arthur. An introduction to the trace formula. In *Harmonic analysis, the trace formula, and Shimura varieties*, volume 4 of *Clay Math. Proc.*, pages 1–263. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005. 20
- [BK02] A. Braverman and D. Kazhdan. Normalized intertwining operators and nilpotent elements in the Langlands dual group. *Mosc. Math. J.*, 2(3):533–553, 2002. Dedicated to Yuri I. Manin on the occasion of his 65th birthday. 5, 6, 10, 33
- [Bor81] A. Borel. Commensurability classes and volumes of hyperbolic 3-manifolds. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, 8(1):1–33, 1981. 12
- [BZ77] I. N. Bernstein and A. V. Zelevinskii. Induced representations of the group $GL(n)$ over a p -adic field. *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, 10:225–227, 1977. 38
- [CG21] Y.-J. Choie and J. R. Getz. Schubert Eisenstein series and Poisson summation for Schubert varieties. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2107.01874, July 2021. 5, 52
- [DFI93] W. Duke, J. Friedlander, and H. Iwaniec. Bounds for automorphic L -functions. *Invent. Math.*, 112(1):1–8, 1993. 9

- [ES18] B. Elazar and A. Shaviv. Schwartz functions on real algebraic varieties. *Canad. J. Math.*, 70(5):1008–1037, 2018. [33](#)
- [Get18] J. R. Getz. Secondary terms in asymptotics for the number of zeros of quadratic forms over number fields. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 98(2):275–305, 2018. [5](#), [9](#), [10](#)
- [Get20] J. R. Getz. A summation formula for the Rankin-Selberg monoid and a nonabelian trace formula. *Amer. J. Math.*, 142(5):1371–1407, 2020. [6](#)
- [GH20] J. R. Getz and Chun-Hsien Hsu. A summation formula for triples of quadratic spaces II. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2009.11490, September 2020. [19](#), [37](#)
- [GHLar] J. R. Getz, Chun-Hsien Hsu, and Spencer Leslie. Harmonic analysis on certain spherical varieties. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, to appear. [6](#), [33](#), [34](#), [40](#), [51](#)
- [GK19] N. Gurevich and D. Kazhdan. Fourier transforms on the basic affine space of a quasi-split group. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1912.07071, December 2019. [6](#), [40](#)
- [GK23] N. Gurevich and David Kazhdan. Fourier transform on a cone and the minimal representation of even orthogonal group. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2304.13993, April 2023. [16](#), [34](#), [39](#), [40](#)
- [GL19] J. R. Getz and B. Liu. A summation formula for triples of quadratic spaces. *Adv. Math.*, 347:150–191, 2019. [13](#), [14](#), [15](#), [18](#)
- [GL21] J. R. Getz and B. Liu. A refined Poisson summation formula for certain Braverman-Kazhdan spaces. *Sci. China Math.*, 64(6):1127–1156, 2021. [33](#), [54](#)
- [GRS97] D. Ginzburg, S. Rallis, and D. Soudry. On the automorphic theta representation for simply laced groups. *Israel J. Math.*, 100:61–116, 1997. [7](#), [8](#)
- [HB96] D. R. Heath-Brown. A new form of the circle method, and its application to quadratic forms. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 481:149–206, 1996. [9](#)
- [Hsu21] C-H. Hsu. Asymptotics of Schwartz functions: nonarchimedean. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2112.02403, December 2021. [33](#), [34](#), [41](#), [44](#)
- [Ich01] A. Ichino. On the regularized Siegel-Weil formula. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 539:201–234, 2001. [54](#)
- [Ike96] T. Ikeda. On the residue of the Eisenstein series and the Siegel-Weil formula. *Compositio Math.*, 103(2):183–218, 1996. [54](#)
- [JS81] H. Jacquet and J. A. Shalika. On Euler products and the classification of automorphic representations. I. *Amer. J. Math.*, 103(3):499–558, 1981. [27](#)
- [JZ87] H. Jacquet and D. Zagier. Eisenstein series and the Selberg trace formula. II. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 300(1):1–48, 1987. [26](#)
- [Kaz90] D. Kazhdan. The minimal representation of D_4 . In *Operator algebras, unitary representations, enveloping algebras, and invariant theory (Paris, 1989)*, volume 92 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 125–158. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990. [16](#)
- [KM11] T. Kobayashi and G. Mano. The Schrödinger model for the minimal representation of the indefinite orthogonal group $O(p, q)$. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 213(1000):vi+132, 2011. [7](#), [16](#), [41](#)
- [KP04] D. Kazhdan and A. Polishchuk. Minimal representations: spherical vectors and automorphic functionals. In *Algebraic groups and arithmetic*, pages 127–198. Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Mumbai, 2004. [8](#), [16](#)

- [KR94] S. S. Kudla and S. Rallis. A regularized Siegel-Weil formula: the first term identity. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 140(1):1–80, 1994. [8](#), [54](#)
- [KY22] D. Kelmer and S. Yu. Fourier expansion of light-cone Eisenstein series. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2209.06696, September 2022. [51](#)
- [PR94] V. Platonov and A. Rapinchuk. *Algebraic groups and number theory*, volume 139 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1994. Translated from the 1991 Russian original by Rachel Rowen. [12](#)
- [Sav94] G. Savin. Dual pair $G_J \times \mathrm{PGL}_2$ where G_J is the automorphism group of the Jordan algebra J . *Invent. Math.*, 118(1):141–160, 1994. [38](#)
- [Sch17] D. Schindler. Counting rational points on hypersurfaces and higher order expansions. *J. Number Theory*, 173:332–370, 2017. [10](#)
- [SW07] G. Savin and M. Woodbury. Structure of internal modules and a formula for the spherical vector of minimal representations. *J. Algebra*, 312(2):755–772, 2007. [34](#), [35](#), [40](#)
- [Tra20] T. H. Tran. Secondary Terms in Asymptotics for the Number of Zeros of Quadratic Forms. page 110, 2020. Thesis (Ph.D.)–Duke University. [10](#)
- [VW18] R. C. Vaughan and T. D. Wooley. The asymptotic formula in Waring’s problem: higher order expansions. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 742:17–46, 2018. [10](#)
- [Wei74] A. Weil. *Basic number theory*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, third edition, 1974. Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 144. [10](#), [53](#)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DUKE UNIVERSITY, DURHAM, NC 27708
 Email address: jgetz@math.duke.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DUKE UNIVERSITY, DURHAM, NC 27708
 Email address: simonhsu@math.duke.edu