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#### Abstract

Let $Y$ be a smooth compact $n$-manifold. We study smooth embeddings and immersions $\beta: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times Y$ of compact $n$-manifolds $M$ such that $\beta(M)$ avoids some a priory chosen closed poset $\Theta$ of tangent patterns to the fibers of the obvious projection $\pi: \mathbb{R} \times Y \rightarrow Y$. Then, for a fixed $Y$, we introduce an equivalence relation between such $\beta$ 's; it is a crossover between pseudo-isotopies and bordisms. We call this relation quasitopy. In the study of quasitopies, the spaces $\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}$ of real univariate polynomials of degree $d$ with real divisors, whose combinatorial patterns avoid a given closed poset $\Theta$, play the classical role of Grassmanians. We compute the quasitopy classes $\mathcal{Q T}_{d}^{\mathrm{emb}}(Y, \mathbf{c} \Theta)$ of $\Theta$-constrained embeddings $\beta$ in terms of homotopy/homology theory of spaces $Y$ and $\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}$. We prove also that the quasitopies of emeddings stabilize, as $d \rightarrow \infty$.


## 1. Introduction

This paper is the first in a series, inspired by the pioneering works of Arnold Ar , Ar 1 , [Ar2], and Vassiliev (V]. It is based heavily on computations from KSW1, [KSW2]. In this introduction, we will describe our results informally, in a manner that clarifies their nature, but does not involve their most general forms, which carry the burden of combinatorial decorations.

We study immersions and embeddings $\beta: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times Y$ of compact smooth $n$-manifolds $M$ into products $\mathbb{R} \times Y$, where $Y$ is a given compact smooth $n$-manifold. We denote by $\mathcal{L}$ the oriented 1-dimensional foliation on $\mathbb{R} \times Y$, formed by the fibers of the obvious projection $\pi: \mathbb{R} \times Y \rightarrow Y$. We are interested in the ways $\beta(M)$ may be tangent to the fibers of $\mathcal{L}$. More precisely, we impose restrictions $\Theta$ on the combinatorial patterns $\omega$ of such tangencies and organize $\{\beta: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times Y\}$ that do not violate $\Theta$ into a set $\mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}(Y, \mathbf{c} \Theta)$ of equivalence classes; we call equivalent $\beta$ 's quasitopic. The quasitopies is a hibrid between classical notions of pseudo-isotopies and bordisms (see Fig. 1). In the paper, we deal with various brands of quasitopies, richly decorated by combinatorial data. In this introduction, to simplify the description of our results, we omit the decorations. In particular, we may insist that the cardinality of the fiber of $\pi \circ \beta$ is less than or equal to a given natural number $d$. In such a case, we are dealing with the quasitopies $\mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d}(Y, \mathbf{c} \Theta)$.

The main observation of the paper is that spaces $\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}$ of real degree $d$ monic polynomials in one variable, whose real divisors do not belong to the forbidden poset $\Theta$, play a role of Grassmanians for immersions/embeddings $\beta$ as above. This is reminiscent to the role

[^0]played by the Stiefel manifolds of $k$-frames in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ in the Smale theory of immersions [ $\mathbf{T}$. At least for embeddings, we are able to compute $\mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d}^{\mathrm{emb}}(Y, \mathbf{c} \Theta)$ in terms of the homotopy theory of $\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}$ and $Y$. Our computation uses the results from [KSW1, [KSW2] about homotopy and homology types of $\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}$ for many specific $\Theta$ 's. This information allows us to describe cohomological invariants of $\mathcal{Q T}_{d}^{\mathrm{emb}}(Y, \mathbf{c} \Theta)$ and $\mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d}^{\mathrm{imm}}(Y, \mathbf{c} \Theta)$ (characteristic classes of quasitopies) in purely combinatorial terms, related to $\Theta$. Here the superscript "imm" stands for immersions. In many special cases, we see subtle connections between $\mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d}^{\text {emb }}(Y, \mathbf{c} \Theta)$ and homotopy groups of spheres. Implicitly, this connection goes back to Ar], Ar1] and [V].

Let $D^{n}$ denote the standard $n$-ball. We notice that the sets $\mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(D^{n}, \mathbf{c} \Theta\right)$ and $\mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d}^{\text {imm }}\left(D^{n}, \mathbf{c} \Theta\right)$ have a group structure (abelian for $n>1$ ). We denote these groups by $\mathrm{G}_{d}^{\mathrm{emb}}(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta)$ and $\mathrm{G}_{d}^{\mathrm{imm}}(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta)$ and prove that $\mathrm{G}_{d}^{\mathrm{imm}}(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta)$ is a split extension of $\mathrm{G}_{d}^{\mathrm{emb}}(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta)$. Then we are able to validate a group isomorphism $\mathrm{G}_{d}^{\mathrm{emb}}(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta) \approx \pi_{n}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, p t\right)$.

With the help of the boundary connected sum operation, the groups $\mathrm{G}_{d}^{\text {emb }}(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta)$ and $\mathrm{G}_{d}^{\mathrm{imm}}(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta)$ act on the sets $\mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d}^{\mathrm{emb}}(Y, \mathbf{c} \Theta)$ and $\mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d}^{\mathrm{imm}}(Y, \mathbf{c} \Theta)$, respectively.

For two pairs $A \supset B, C \supset D$ of topological spaces, let $[(A, B),(C, D)]$ denote the homotopy classes of continuous maps $f:(A, B) \rightarrow(C, D)$, where $f(B) \subset D$.

We prove that the classifying map $\Phi^{\mathrm{emb}}: \mathcal{Q T}_{d}^{\mathrm{emb}}(Y, \mathbf{c} \Theta) \rightarrow\left[(Y, \partial Y),\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, p t\right)\right]$ is a bijection, and the classifying map $\Phi^{\mathrm{imm}}: \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d}^{\mathrm{imm}}(Y, \mathbf{c} \Theta) \rightarrow\left[(Y, \partial Y),\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, p t\right)\right]$ is a surjection. Moreover, these maps are equivariant with respect to the $\mathrm{G}_{d}^{\mathrm{emb}}(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta)$ - or $\mathrm{G}_{d}^{\mathrm{imm}}(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta)$ actions on the quasitopies (the sources of the classifying maps $\Phi^{\mathrm{emb} / \mathrm{imm}}$ ) and the corresponding action of the homotopy groups $\pi_{n}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, p t\right)$ on the sets $\left[(Y, \partial Y),\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, p t\right)\right]$ (the targets of the maps $\Phi^{\mathrm{emb} / \mathrm{imm}}$ ).

We present also a variety of results about the stabilization of $\mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d}^{\mathrm{emb}}(Y, \mathbf{c} \Theta)$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$.
In [K6], we apply and extend the result of this article to a study of the traversing smooth flows on manifolds $X$ with boundaries, where the poset $\Theta$ controls the combinatorial patterns of tangency of the flow trajectories to the boundary $\partial X$.

## 2. Spaces of real polynomials with constrained real divisors

In this section, for our readers' convenience, we state a number of results from KSW1] and KSW2] about the topology of spaces of real monic univariate polynomials with constrained real divisors. These results will be crucial for the applications to follow.

Let $\mathcal{P}_{d}$ denote the space of real monic univariate polynomials of degree $d$. Given a polynomial $P(u)=u^{d}+a_{d-1} u^{d-1}+\cdots+a_{0}$ with real coefficients, we consider its real divisor $D_{\mathbb{R}}(P)$.

Let $\omega_{i}$ denotes the multiplicity of the $i$-th real root of $P$, the roots being ordered by their magnitude. The ordered $\ell$-tuple $\omega=\left(\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{\ell}\right)$ is called the real root multiplicity pattern of $P(x)$, or the multiplicity pattern for short.

Such sequences $\omega$ form a universal poset $(\boldsymbol{\Omega}, \succ)$. The partial order " $\succ$ " in $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ is defined in terms of two types of elementary operations: merges $\left\{\mathrm{M}_{i}\right\}_{i}$ and inserts $\left\{\mathrm{I}_{i}\right\}_{i}$.

The operation $\mathrm{M}_{i}$ merges a pair of adjacent entries $\omega_{i}, \omega_{i+1}$ of $\omega=\left(\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{i}, \omega_{i+1}, \ldots, \omega_{q}\right)$ into a single component $\tilde{\omega}_{i}=\omega_{i}+\omega_{i+1}$, thus forming a new shorter sequence $\mathrm{M}_{i}(\omega)=$ $\left(\omega_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{\omega}_{i}, \ldots, \omega_{q}\right)$. The operation $I_{i}$ either insert 2 in-between $\omega_{i}$ and $\omega_{i+1}$, thus forming a new longer sequence $\mathrm{I}_{i}(\omega)=\left(\ldots, \omega_{i}, 2, \omega_{i+1}, \ldots\right)$, or, in the case of $\mathrm{I}_{0}$, appends 2 before the sequence $\omega$, or, in the case $\mathrm{I}_{q}$, appends 2 after the sequence $\omega$.

So the merge operation $\mathrm{M}_{j}: \boldsymbol{\Omega} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\Omega}$ sends $\omega=\left(\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{\ell}\right)$ to the composition

$$
\mathrm{M}_{j}(\omega)=\left(M_{j}(\omega)_{1}, \ldots, M_{j}(\omega)_{\ell-1}\right)
$$

where, for any $j \geq \ell$, one has $\mathrm{M}_{j}(\omega)=\omega$, and for $1 \leq j<\ell$, one has

$$
\begin{cases}\mathrm{M}_{j}(\omega)_{i} & =\omega_{i} \text { if } i<j  \tag{2.1}\\ \mathrm{M}_{j}(\omega)_{j} & =\omega_{j}+\omega_{j+1} \\ \mathrm{M}_{j}(\omega)_{i} & =\omega_{i+1} \text { if } i+1<j \leq \ell-1\end{cases}
$$

Similarly, we introduce the insert operation $\boldsymbol{I}_{j}: \boldsymbol{\Omega} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\Omega}$ that sends $\omega=\left(\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{\ell}\right)$ to the composition $I_{j}(\omega)=\left(I_{j}(\omega)_{1}, \ldots, I_{j}(\omega)_{\ell+1}\right)$, where for any $j>\ell+1$, one has $I_{j}(\omega)=\omega$, and for $1 \leq j \leq \ell+1$, one has

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{I}_{j}(\omega)_{i}=\omega_{i} \text { if } i<j  \tag{2.2}\\
\mathrm{I}_{j}(\omega)_{j}=2, \\
\mathrm{I}_{j}(\omega)_{i}=\omega_{i-1} \text { if } j \leq i \leq \ell+1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Definition 2.1. For $\omega, \omega^{\prime} \in \boldsymbol{\Omega}$, we define the order relation $\omega \succ \omega^{\prime}$ if one can produce $\omega^{\prime}$ from $\omega$ by applying a sequence of the elementary operations in (2.1) and (2.2).

For a sequence $\omega=\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \ldots, \omega_{q}\right) \in \boldsymbol{\Omega}$, we introduce the norm and the reduced norm of $\omega$ by the formulas:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\omega|=\operatorname{def} \sum_{i} \omega_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad|\omega|^{\prime}=\operatorname{def} \sum_{i}\left(\omega_{i}-1\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $q$, the cardinality of the support of $\omega$, is equal to $|\omega|-|\omega|^{\prime}$.
Let $\mathrm{R}_{d}^{\omega}$ be of the set of all polynomials with root multiplicity pattern $\omega$, and let $\mathrm{R}_{d}^{\omega}$ be its closure in $\mathcal{P}_{d}$.

For a given collection $\Theta$ of multiplicity patterns $\{\omega\}$ which share the pairity of their norms $\{|\omega|\}$ and is closed under the merge and insert operations, we consider the union $\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\Theta}$ of the subspaces $\left\{\mathrm{R}_{d}^{\omega}\right\}_{\omega}$, taken over all $\omega \in \Theta$ such that $|\omega| \leq d$ and $|\omega| \equiv d \bmod 2$. We denote by $\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta}$ its complement $\mathcal{P}_{d} \backslash \mathcal{P}_{d}^{\Theta}$.

Since $\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\Theta}$ is contractible, it makes more sense to consider its one-point compactification $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{d}^{\Theta}$ (which is the union of the one point compactifications $\overline{\mathrm{R}}_{d}^{\omega}$ for $\omega \in \Theta$ with all the points at infinity being identified). If the set $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{d}^{\Theta}$ is closed in $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{d}$, by the Alexander duality on the sphere $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{d} \cong S^{d}$, we get

$$
H^{j}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \approx H_{d-j-1}\left(\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{d}^{\Theta} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

This implies that the spaces $\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{d}^{\Theta}$ carry the same (co)homological information. Let us describe it in pure combinatorial terms.

For a subposet $\Theta \subset \boldsymbol{\Omega}$ and natural numbers $d, k$, we introduce the following notations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Theta_{[d]} & =\operatorname{def} \quad\{\omega \in \Theta:|\omega|=d\}, \quad \Theta_{\langle d]}=\operatorname{def}\{\omega \in \Theta:|\omega| \leq d\},  \tag{2.4}\\
\Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime}=k} & =\operatorname{def} \quad\left\{\omega \in \Theta:|\omega|^{\prime}=k\right\}, \quad \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}=\operatorname{def}\left\{\omega \in \Theta:|\omega|^{\prime} \geq k\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Assuming that $\Theta \subset \boldsymbol{\Omega}$ is a closed sub-poset, let

$$
\mathbf{c} \Theta=\operatorname{def} \boldsymbol{\Omega} \backslash \Theta \text { and } \mathbf{c} \Theta_{\langle d]}={ }_{\operatorname{def}} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\langle d]} \backslash \Theta_{\langle d]} .
$$

We denote by $\mathbb{Z}\left[\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\langle d]}\right]$ the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module, generated by the elements of $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\langle d]}$. Using the merge operators $\mathrm{M}_{k}$ and the insert operators $\mathrm{I}_{k}$ on $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\langle d]}$, we define two homomorphisms of $\mathbb{Z}\left[\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\langle d]}\right]:$

$$
\partial_{\mathrm{M}}(\omega)==_{\text {def }}-\sum_{k=1}^{s_{\omega}-1}(-1)^{k} \mathrm{M}_{k}(\omega) \text { and } \partial_{\mathbf{l}}(\omega)=\left.\operatorname{def}^{s_{\omega=0}} \sum_{k=1}^{s_{\omega}}(-1)^{k}\right|_{k}(\omega),
$$

where $s_{\omega}=_{\text {def }}|\omega|-|\omega|^{\prime}$ is the cardinality of the support of $\omega$. The homomorphisms $\partial_{\mathrm{M}}$ and $\partial_{I}$ are anti-commuting differentials.

Next, we introduce the homomorphism

$$
\partial={ }_{\operatorname{def}} \partial_{\mathrm{M}}+\partial_{\mathrm{I}}: \mathbb{Z}\left[\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\langle d]}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\left[\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\langle d]}\right]
$$

by the formula

$$
\partial(\omega)==_{\text {def }}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\sum_{k=1}^{s_{\omega}-1}(-1)^{k} \mathrm{M}_{k}(\omega)+\left.\sum_{k=0}^{s_{\omega}}(-1)^{k}\right|_{k}(\omega), \text { for }|\omega|<d,  \tag{2.5}\\
-\sum_{k=1}^{s_{\omega}-1}(-1)^{k} \mathrm{M}_{k}(\omega), \text { for }|\omega|=d
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is easy to see that for a closed poset $\Theta_{\langle d]} \subset \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\langle d]}$, the restrictions of the differentials $\partial, \partial_{\mathrm{M}}$, and $\partial_{\mathrm{I}}$ to the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\mathbb{Z}\left[\Theta_{\langle d]}\right]$ are well-defined. Thus, for any closed sub-poset $\Theta_{\langle d]} \subset \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\langle d]}$, we may consider the differential complex $\partial: \mathbb{Z}\left[\Theta_{\langle d]}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\left[\Theta_{\langle d]}\right]$, whose $(d-j)$ grading is defined by the module $\mathbb{Z}\left[\Theta_{\langle d],|\sim|^{\prime}=j}\right]$. We denote by $\partial^{*}: \mathbb{Z}\left[\Theta_{\langle d]}\right]^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\left[\Theta_{\langle d]}\right]^{*}$ its dual, where $\mathbb{Z}\left[\Theta_{\langle d]}\right]^{*}:=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbb{Z}\left[\Theta_{\langle d]}\right], \mathbb{Z}\right)$ and the operator $\partial^{*}$ is the dual of the boundary operator $\partial$.

Then we consider the quotient set $\Theta_{\langle d]}^{\#}:=\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\langle d]} / \Theta_{\langle d]}$. For the closed subposet $\Theta_{\langle d]}$, the partial order in $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\langle d]}$ induces a partial order in the quotient $\Theta_{\langle d]}^{\#}$.

Next, we introduce a new differential complex $\left(\mathbb{Z}\left[\Theta_{\langle d]}^{\#}\right], \partial^{\#}\right)$ by including it in the short exact sequence of differential complexes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{Z}\left[\Theta_{\langle d]}, \partial\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{Z}\left[\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\langle d]}\right], \partial\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{Z}\left[\Theta_{\langle d]}^{\#}\right], \partial^{\#}\right) \rightarrow 0\right. \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will rely on the following result from KSW2, which reduces the computation of the (reduced) cohomology $\tilde{H}^{*}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$ to Algebra and Combinatorics.

Theorem 2.1. (KSW2]) Let $\Theta \subset \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\langle d]}$ be a closed subposet. Then, for any $j \in[0, d]$, we get group isomorphisms

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\tilde{H}^{j}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{\approx} H_{d-j}\left(\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{d}, \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{d}^{\Theta} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \approx H_{d-j}\left(\partial^{\#}: \mathbb{Z}\left[\Theta^{\#}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\left[\Theta^{\#}\right]\right),  \tag{2.7}\\
\tilde{H}_{j}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{ce}} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \stackrel{\mathcal{D}}{\sim} H^{d-j}\left(\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{d}, \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{d}^{\Theta} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \approx H_{d-j}\left(\left(\partial^{\#}\right)^{*}:\left(\mathbb{Z}\left[\Theta^{\#}\right]\right)^{*} \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{Z}\left[\Theta^{\#}\right]\right)^{*}\right),
\end{array}
$$

where $\mathcal{D}$ denote the Poincaré duality isomorphisms.
For $d^{\prime} \geq d, d^{\prime} \equiv d \bmod 2$, consider an embedding $\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}: \mathcal{P}_{d} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}(P)(u)=_{\operatorname{def}}\left(u^{2}+1\right)^{\frac{d^{\prime}-d}{2}} \cdot P(u) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It preserves the $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$-stratifications of the two spaces by the combinatorial types $\omega$ of real divisors.

Definition 2.2. We call a closed poset $\Theta \subseteq \boldsymbol{\Omega}$ profinite $i f$, for all integers $q \geq 0$, there exist only finitely many elements $\omega \in \Theta$ such that $|\omega|^{\prime} \leq q$.

Note that any finitely generated (i.e., having a finite set of maximal elements) closed $\Theta$ is profinite.

For a profinite $\Theta$, the embedding $\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}$ makes it possible to talk about stabilization of the homology/cohomology of spaces $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{d}^{\Theta}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}$, as $d \rightarrow \infty$.

For a closed poset $\Theta \subset \boldsymbol{\Omega}$, consider the closed finite poset $\Theta_{\langle d]}=\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\langle d]} \cap \Theta$. It is generated by some maximal elements $\omega_{\star}^{(1)}, \ldots, \omega_{\star}^{(\ell)}, \ell=\ell(d)$. We introduce two useful quantities:

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta_{\Theta}(d) & =\operatorname{def} \max _{i \in[1, \ell(d)]}\left\{\left(\left|\omega_{\star}^{(i)}\right|-2\left|\omega_{\star}^{(i)}\right|^{\prime}\right)\right\},  \tag{2.9}\\
\psi_{\Theta}(d) & =\operatorname{def} \quad \frac{1}{2}\left(d+\eta_{\Theta}(d)\right) . \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that

$$
\psi_{\Theta}(d)=\frac{1}{2} \min _{i \in[1, \ell(d)]}\left\{\left(d-\left|\omega_{\star}^{(i)}\right|^{\prime}\right)+\left(\left|\omega_{\star}^{(i)}\right|-\left|\omega_{\star}^{(i)}\right|^{\prime}\right)\right\}<d,
$$

where both summands, the "codimension" $\left(d-\left|\omega_{\star}^{(i)}\right|^{\prime}\right)$ and the "support" $\left(\left|\omega_{\star}^{(i)}\right|-\left|\omega_{\star}^{(i)}\right|^{\prime}\right)$, are positive and each one does not exceed $d$. At the same time, $\eta_{\Theta}(d)$ may be negative.

The quantity $\xi_{\Theta}(d+2):=d+2-\psi_{\Theta}(d+2)$ is employed on many occasions. It plays a key role in describing the stabilization in the homology $H_{*}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$.

Now we are in position to state one of the main stabilization results from KSW2]:
Theorem 2.2. (short stabilization: $\{\mathbf{d} \Rightarrow \mathbf{d}+\mathbf{2}\}$ ) Let $\Theta$ be a closed subposet of $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$. Let the embedding $\epsilon_{d, d+2}: \mathcal{P}_{d} \subset \mathcal{P}_{d+2}$ be as in 2.8.

- Then, for all $j \geq \psi_{\Theta}(d+2)-1$, we get a homological isomorphism

$$
\left(\epsilon_{d, d+2}\right)_{*}: H_{j}\left(\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{d}^{\Theta} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \approx H_{j+2}\left(\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{d+2}^{\Theta} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

- and, for all $j \leq d+2-\psi_{\Theta}(d+2)$, a homological isomorphism

$$
\left(\epsilon_{d, d+2}\right)_{*}: H_{j}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \approx H_{j}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d+2}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

Corollary 2.1. (long stabilization: $\{\mathbf{d} \Rightarrow \infty\}$ ) If $\Theta \subset \boldsymbol{\Omega}$ is a closed profinite subposet, then for each $j$, the homomorphism

$$
\left(\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}\right)_{*}: H_{j}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \approx H_{j}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

is an isomorphism for all sufficiently big $d \leq d^{\prime}, d \equiv d^{\prime} \bmod 2$.
As a result, for such profinite $\Theta$ 's, we may talk about the stable homology $H_{j}\left(\mathcal{P}_{\infty}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$, the direct limit $\lim _{d \rightarrow \infty} H_{j}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$.

Let us describe few special cases of posets $\Theta$ from KSW2]. For $k \geq 1, q \in[0, d]$, and $q \equiv d \bmod 2$, let us consider the closed poset

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)}=\operatorname{def}\left\{\omega \in \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\langle d]} \text { such that }|\omega|^{\prime} \geq k \text { and }|\omega| \geq q\right\} . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, for $\Theta=\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(0)}:=\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}$, the space $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{d}^{\Theta}$ is the entire $(d-k)$-skeleton of $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{d}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Let } A(d, k, q)=\operatorname{def}\left|\chi\left(\left(\mathbb{Z}\left[\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)}\right], \partial\right)\right)\right| \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

the absolute value of the Euler number of the differential complex $\left(\mathbb{Z}\left[\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)}\right], \partial\right)$.
Proposition 2.1. ([KSW2]) Fix $k \in[1, d)$ and $q \geq 0$ such that $q \equiv d \bmod 2$, and set $\Theta=\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)}$.

Then $\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}$ has the homotopy type of a bouquet of $(k-1)$-dimensional spheres. The number of spheres in the bouquet equals $A(d, k, q)$.
Proposition 2.2. ([KSW1]) Let $\Theta \subseteq \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\langle d],|\sim|^{\prime} \geq 2}$ be a closed poset. For $d^{\prime} \geq d+2$ such that $d^{\prime} \equiv d \bmod 2$, let $\hat{\Theta}_{\left\{d^{\prime}\right\}}$ be the smallest closed poset in $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\left\langle d^{\prime}\right]}$ containing $\Theta$.

Then for $d^{\prime} \geq d+2$, we have an isomorphism $\pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c} \hat{\Theta}_{\left\{d^{\prime}\right\}}}\right) \cong \pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d+2}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta_{\{d+2\}}}\right)$ of the fundamental groups.

## 3. Immersions and embeddings with restricted tangency patterns to the PRODUCT 1-FOLIATIONS

3.1. On immersions $\left\{\beta: M^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times Y^{n}\right\}$ and their self-intersections loci. We adopt the following definition of a proper immersion.
Definition 3.1. Let $M$ be a compact smooth $n$-manifold and $W$ a compact smooth ( $n+1$ )manifold. We call an immersion $\beta: M \rightarrow W$ proper if:
(1) $\beta(\partial M) \subset \partial W$,
(2) $\beta$ is transversal to $\partial W$ (thus the kernel of $D\left(\left.\beta\right|_{\partial M}\right)$ is also trivial).

When $M$ is closed, requirements (1) and (2) are vacuous. When $Y$ is closed, then $M$ must be closed as well.

Remark 3.1. In this paper, for a given compact smooth $n$-manifold $Y$, we are only interested in proper immersions/embeddings $\beta$ of compact smooth $n$-manifolds $M$ into the product $\mathbb{R} \times Y$. The existence of such $\beta$ puts substantial restrictions on the topological nature of $M$. For example, the tangent $n$-bundle $\tau_{M}$ must be a subbundle of the $(n+1)$ bundle $\beta^{*}\left(\tau_{Y}\right) \oplus \mathbb{R}$. By the classical Hirsch Theorem [Hi], the existence of such an immersion $\beta$ in the homotopy class of a given map $\tilde{\beta}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times Y$ is equivalent to the property of the stable bundle $\tilde{\beta}^{*}\left(\tau_{\mathbb{R} \times Y}\right) \oplus \nu_{M}$ to be of geometric dimension $\leq 1$. Here $\nu_{M}$ is the stable normal bundle of $M$. The geometric dimension of a stable bundle $\eta$ is defined to be the minimal dimension of a bundle $\eta^{\sharp}$ in the stable equivalence class of $\eta$.

Definition 3.2 below requires to introduce of the following notion. Let $G, H$ be two smooth hypersurfaces in a smooth manifold $X$. We fix a point $a \in G \cap H$ and consider its neighborhood $U_{a} \subset X$. Let $g: U_{a} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, h: U_{a} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two smooth functions such that 0 is a regular value for both, and $G=g^{-1}(0), H=h^{-1}(0)$.

Let $q$ be a natural number. We say that two hypersurfaces $G, H$ are $q$-jet equivalent at $a \in G \cap H$, if there exists smooth functions $\phi, \chi: U_{a} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\phi(a) \neq 0, \chi(a) \neq 0$ and the $q$-jet $j e t_{a}^{q}(\chi \cdot g)=j e t_{a}^{q}(\phi \cdot h)$. In other words, $j e t_{a}^{q}(\chi) \cdot j e t_{a}^{q}(g)=j e t_{a}^{q}(\phi) \cdot j e t_{a}^{q}(h)$ modulo the the $(q+1)^{\text {st }}$ power of the maximal ideal $\mathrm{m}_{0}$ in the polynomial ring in $\operatorname{dim} X$ variables.

We denote by $\mathrm{j}_{a}^{q}(H)$ the $q$-jet equivalence class of $H \subset X$ at $a$, and by $\mathrm{J}_{a}^{q}$ the set of all such $q$-jet equivalence classes of hypersurfaces through $a$. The space $J_{a}^{q}$ depends on finitely many parameters, the number of which is a function of $\operatorname{dim} X$ and $q$. Since $J_{a}^{1}$ is the Grassmanian of hyperplanes, we view $J_{a}^{q}$ as a generalized Grassmanian. We denote by $J^{q}(X) \rightarrow X$ the fibration over $X$, whose fiber is $J_{a}^{q}$.

Definition 3.2. We call an immersion $\beta: M \rightarrow X$ of a smooth compact n-manifold $M$ into a smooth compact $(n+1)$-manifold $X$-separable if:

- for any point $a \in \beta(M)$, the set $\beta^{-1}(a)$ is finite,
- there is a natural number $q$ such that, for any point $a \in \beta(M)$, the $q$-jet equivalence classes of the local branches of $\beta(M)$, labeled by points $\beta^{-1}(a)$, are all distinct. $\diamond$

For the rest of the section, we fix a compact connected smooth $n$-manifold $Y$ and consider proper immersions $\beta: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times Y$ of compact smooth $n$-manifolds $M$. By a small perturbation, we may assume that different local branches of $\beta(M)$ are in general position at their mutual intersections (see [GG], Theorem 4.13). In particular, we may assume that $\beta$ is 1 -separable in the sense of Definition 3.2,

Recall the following definition from [LS] and [Th], adjusted for our setting.
Definition 3.3. A smooth proper immersion $\beta: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times Y$ is called $k$-normal if, for each $k$-tuple of distinct points $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k} \in M$ with $\beta\left(x_{1}\right)=\ldots=\beta\left(x_{k}\right)$, the images of the tangent spaces $T_{x_{1}} M, \ldots, T_{x_{k}} M$ under the differential $D \beta$ are in general position in the tangent space $T_{y}(\mathbb{R} \times Y)$, where $y=\beta\left(x_{i}\right)$. Also, for such a $k$-tuple, the images of the tangent spaces $T_{x_{1}}(\partial M), \ldots, T_{x_{k}}(\partial M)$ under the differential $D\left(\left.\beta\right|_{\partial M}\right)$ are in general position in the tangent space $T_{y}(\mathbb{R} \times \partial Y)$.

For a given proper immersion $\beta:(M, \partial M) \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y)$, we consider the $k$-fold product map $(\beta)^{k}:(M)^{k} \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y)^{k}$. Let $\Sigma_{k}$ be the preimage of the diagonal $\Delta \subset(\mathbb{R} \times Y)^{k}$ under the map $(\beta)^{k}$. For a $k$-normal $\beta, \Sigma_{k}$ is a smooth manifold of dimension $n-k+1$ [LS. Following [LS], we call $\Sigma_{k}$ the $k$ self-intersection manifold of $\beta$. Note that the same construction applies to $\beta \mid: \partial M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y$, producing the boundary $\partial \Sigma_{k}$.

Let $p_{1}:(M)^{k} \rightarrow M$ be the obvious projection on the first factor of the product. Then $p_{1}: \Sigma_{k} \rightarrow M$ is an immersion [LS]. Its image $p_{1}\left(\Sigma_{k}\right)$ is the set of points $x_{1} \in M$ such that there exist distinct $x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k} \in M$ with the property $f\left(x_{2}\right)=f\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, f\left(x_{k}\right)=f\left(x_{1}\right)$.

If both $M$ and $Y$ are orientable, then so is $\Sigma_{k}$. In such a case, we denote by $\left[\Sigma_{k}\right]_{\star}^{\beta} \in$ $H_{n-k+1}(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbb{Z})$ the image of the relative fundamental class [ $\Sigma_{k}$ ] under the composition $\pi \circ \beta \circ p_{1}$, where $\pi: \mathbb{R} \times Y \rightarrow Y$ is the obvious projection. Without orientability assumptions, only the classes $\left[\Sigma_{k}\right]_{\star}^{\beta} \in H_{n-k+1}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ are available.

Let $\mathcal{D}_{M}: H_{*}(M, \partial M) \rightarrow H^{n-*}(M)$ be the Poincaré duality isomorphism. (Here, depending on the orientability of $M$, the coefficients are $\mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$.) We have also the Poincaré duality isomorphism $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{R} \times Y}: H_{*}(\mathbb{R} \times Y, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y) \rightarrow H_{c}^{n+1-*}(\mathbb{R} \times Y)$, where the subscript $c$ indicates the cohomology with compact support and the choice of coefficients $\mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ depends on the orientability of $Y$.

Let $\nu_{\beta}:=\beta^{*}\left(\tau_{\mathbb{R} \times Y}\right) / \beta^{*}\left(D \beta\left(\tau_{M}\right)\right)$ be the 1-bundle over $M$, normal to $\beta(M)$, and let $W^{1}\left(\nu_{\beta}\right)$ denote its first Stiefel-Whitney characteristic class. Put $\tilde{\Sigma}_{k}:=p_{1}\left(\Sigma_{k}\right) \subset M$. Then applying the main result of LSS to our setting, for $k>1$, we get a pleasing formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{M}\left(\left[\tilde{\Sigma}_{k}\right]\right)= \pm\left(\beta^{*} \circ \mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{R} \times Y} \circ \beta_{*}\left(\left[\tilde{\Sigma}_{k}\right]\right)-W^{1}\left(\nu_{\beta}\right)\right)^{k-1} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying $\pi \circ \beta \circ p_{1}$ to $\Sigma_{k}$, these constructions have the following direct implication.
Lemma 3.1. For compact oriented $n$-manifolds $M$ and $Y$ and $k \in[2, n+1]$, a proper $k$ normal immersion $\beta: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times Y$ generates the homology class $\left[\Sigma_{k}\right]_{\star}^{\beta} \in H_{n-k+1}(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbb{Z})$ and its Poincaré dual $\left[\Sigma_{k}\right]_{\beta}^{\star} \in H^{k-1}(Y ; \mathbb{Z})$. For non-orientable $M$ or $Y$, a proper $k$-normal immersion $\beta$ produces similar classes $\left[\Sigma_{k}\right]_{\star}^{\beta}$ and $\left[\Sigma_{k}\right]_{\beta}^{\star}$ in the homology/cohomology with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$.

Lemma 3.2. Let two proper $k$-normal immersions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{0}:\left(M_{0}, \partial M_{0}\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y \times\{0\}, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y \times\{0\}) \\
& \beta_{1}:\left(M_{1}, \partial M_{1}\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y \times\{1\}, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y \times\{1\})
\end{aligned}
$$

be cobordant with the help of a proper $k$-normal immersion

$$
B:(N, \delta N) \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y \times[0,1], \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y \times[0,1])
$$

where the boundary $\partial N=\left(M_{0} \sqcup-M_{1}\right) \cup_{\left(\partial M_{0} \sqcup-\partial M_{1}\right)} \delta N,\left.B\right|_{M_{0}}=\beta_{0},\left.B\right|_{M_{1}}=\beta_{1}$, and $B(\delta N) \subset \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y \times[0,1]$.

Then the $k$-intersection manifolds

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{0} \circ\left(p_{0}\right)_{1}:\left(\Sigma_{k}^{\beta_{0}}, \Sigma_{k}^{\beta_{0}^{\partial}}\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y \times\{0\}, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y \times\{0\}), \\
& \beta_{1} \circ\left(p_{1}\right)_{1}:\left(\Sigma_{k}^{\beta_{1}}, \Sigma_{k}^{\beta_{1}^{\partial}}\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y \times\{1\}, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y \times\{1\})
\end{aligned}
$$

are cobordant with the help of the map

$$
B \circ P_{1}:\left(\Sigma_{k}^{B}, \Sigma_{k}^{B^{\delta}}\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y \times[0,1], \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y \times[0,1])
$$

Here $\left(p_{0}\right)_{1}: \Sigma_{k}^{\beta_{0}} \rightarrow M_{0},\left(p_{1}\right)_{1}: \Sigma_{k}^{\beta_{1}} \rightarrow M_{0}$, and $P_{1}: \Sigma_{k}^{B} \rightarrow N$ denote the projections on the first factors of the $k$-th powers $\left(M_{0}\right)^{k},\left(M_{1}\right)^{k}$, and $(N)^{k}$, respectively. The maps, $\left(p_{0}\right)_{1}$, $\left(p_{1}\right)_{1}$, and $P_{1}$, are immersions.

If the manifolds $M_{0}, M_{1}, N$, and $Y$ are orientable, then so are the manifods $\Sigma_{k}^{\beta_{0}}, \Sigma_{k}^{\beta_{1}}$, and $\Sigma_{k}^{B}$.
Proof. By the definition of $k$-normality, the $k$-th power $B^{k}$ of $B$ is transversal to the diagonal $\tilde{\Delta} \subset(\mathbb{R} \times Y \times[0,1])^{k}$, a manifold with corners $\partial Y \times \partial[0,1]$. Thus $\Xi_{k}^{B}:=\left(B^{k}\right)^{-1}(\tilde{\Delta}) \subset$ $(N)^{k}$ will be a compact smooth manifold of dimension $n-k+2$. Its projection $P:(N)^{k} \rightarrow N$ on the first factor $N$ is an immersion [LS]. So the composition of $B \circ P: \Xi_{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times Y \times[0,1]$ delivers a cobordism between the pair of maps

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{0} \circ\left(p_{0}\right)_{1}:\left(\Sigma_{k}\left(\beta_{0}\right), \partial \Sigma_{k}\left(\beta_{0}\right)\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y \times\{0\}, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y \times\{0\}) \\
& \beta_{1} \circ\left(p_{1}\right)_{1}:\left(\Sigma_{k}\left(\beta_{1}\right), \partial \Sigma_{k}\left(\beta_{1}\right)\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y \times\{1\}, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y \times\{1\}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By [LS], if $M_{0}, M_{1}, N$, and $Y$ are orientable, then so are $\Sigma_{k}^{\beta_{0}}, \Sigma_{k}^{\beta_{1}}$, and $\Xi_{k}^{B}$.
Corollary 3.1. Let $\pi: \mathbb{R} \times Y \rightarrow Y$ be the obvious projection. Let proper $k$-normal immersions $\beta_{0}:\left(M_{0}, \partial M_{0}\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y)$ and $\beta_{1}:\left(M_{1}, \partial M_{1}\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y)$ be cobordant with the help of a proper immersion $B:(N, \delta N) \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y \times[0,1], \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y \times[0,1])$, as in Lemma 3.1. Then both maps

$$
\pi \circ \beta_{0} \circ\left(p_{0}\right)_{1}:\left(\Sigma_{k}^{\beta_{0}}, \Sigma_{k}^{\beta_{0}^{\partial}}\right) \rightarrow(Y, \partial Y) \text { and } \pi \circ \beta_{1} \circ\left(p_{1}\right)_{1}:\left(\Sigma_{k}^{\beta_{1}}, \Sigma_{k}^{\beta_{1}^{\partial}}\right) \rightarrow(Y, \partial Y)
$$

define the same element $\left[\left(\Sigma_{k}^{\beta}, \partial \Sigma_{k}^{\beta}\right) \rightarrow(Y, \partial Y)\right]$ of the relative bordism group $\mathbf{B}_{n+1-k}(Y, \partial Y)$. If $M_{0}, M_{1}, N$, and $Y$ are orientable, then the two immersions $\beta_{0}, \beta_{1}$ define the same element of the oriented bordism group $\mathbf{O B}_{n+1-k}(Y, \partial Y)$.
Proof. By the Thom Multijet Transversality Theorem [Th1, we may smoothly perturb the cobordism map $B$ within the space of immersions, without changing it on $\partial N \backslash \delta N=M_{0} \sqcup$ $M_{1}$, so that its $k$-th power $B^{k}$ will become transversal to the diagonal $\tilde{\Delta} \subset(\mathbb{R} \times Y \times[0,1])^{k}$. For such a perturbation, $B$ becomes $k$-normal, and $\Xi_{k}:=\left(B^{k}\right)^{-1}(\tilde{\Delta}) \subset(N)^{k}$ is a compact manifold of dimension $n-k+2$. Now the claim follows from Lemma 3.2,
Conjecture 3.1. Any proper immersion $\beta:(M, \partial M) \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y)$ admits a $C^{\infty}$ approximation $\tilde{\beta}$ such that the immersion $\tilde{\beta}$ is $k$-normal for all $k \in[2, n+1]$ and each map $\tilde{\beta} \circ p_{1} \mid:\left(\Sigma_{k}^{\tilde{\beta}}, \Sigma_{k}^{\tilde{\beta}^{\partial}}\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y)$ is transversal to the 1 -foliation $\mathcal{L}$, generated by the fibers of the obvious projection $\pi: \mathbb{R} \times Y \rightarrow Y$.

The conjecture would imply that, by a perturbation, one can separate in $\mathbb{R} \times Y$ the self-intersections of $\beta$ from its tangencies to $\mathcal{L}$. It is well-known that, for $k \geq 2$, each individual map $\left.\left(\tilde{\beta} \circ p_{1}\right)\right|_{\Sigma_{k}^{\tilde{\beta}}}$ can be perturbed to become transversal to $\mathcal{L}$.

[^1]3.2. Constrained patterns of tangency to the foliation $\mathcal{L}$ and the classifying maps to the spaces $\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}$. Let $X$ be a compact topological space. We denote by $C(X)$ the algebra of real continuous functions on $X$. Let
$$
\left\{P(u)=u^{d}+a_{d-1} u^{d-1}+\ldots+a_{1} u+a_{0}\right\}
$$
be a family of real monic polynomials on $X$, where the functional coefficients $a_{i} \in C(X)$. We fix a closed subposet $\Theta \subset \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\leq d}$ and assume that, for each point $x \in X$, the polynomial
$$
P(u, x)=u^{d}+a_{d-1}(x) u^{d-1}+\ldots+a_{1}(x) u+a_{0}(x)
$$
has a real zero divisor whose combinatorial pattern $\omega(x) \in \mathbf{c} \Theta:=\boldsymbol{\Omega} \backslash \Theta$.
Evidently, such polynomial family $P(u)$ gives rise to a continuous map $\Phi_{P}: X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}$, defined by the formula $x \rightarrow P(\sim, x)$, where the target space $\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}$ is an open subset of $\mathcal{P}_{d} \approx \mathbb{R}^{d}$. The preimage of $\mathbf{c} \Theta$-stratification of $\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}$ under $\Phi_{P}$ produces a an interesting stratification $\mathcal{S}(X, P)$ of the space $X$.

When $X$ is a smooth compact manifold, it is possible to perturb the coefficients of $P$ to form a new family $\tilde{P}$ so that the perturbed map $\Phi_{\tilde{P}}$ will be transversal to each pure stratum $\left\{\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\omega}\right\}_{\omega \in \mathbf{c} \Theta}$. If $X$ has a boundary $\partial X$, then we may assume also that $\left.\Phi_{\tilde{P}}\right|_{\partial X}$ is transversal to each pure stratum $\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\omega} \subset \mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}$.

For such a polynomial family $\{\tilde{P}(u, x)\}_{x \in X}$, the stratification $\mathcal{S}(X, \tilde{P})$ of $X$ mimics the geometry of the $\mathbf{c} \Theta$-stratification of the target space $\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta}$ and gives rise to a variety of topological invariants of $X$, generated by the polynomial family.

The polynomial family $P=\{P(u, x)\}_{x \in X}$ as above generates loci

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\partial \mathcal{E}_{P}=\operatorname{def}\{(u, x) \mid P(u, x)=0\} \subset \mathbb{R} \times X, \\
\mathcal{E}_{P}=\text { def }\{(u, x) \mid P(u, x) \leq 0\} \subset \mathbb{R} \times X, \tag{3.2}
\end{array}
$$

which are maped on $X$ by the obvious projection $\pi: \mathbb{R} \times X \rightarrow X$. The fiber over $x \in X$ of the projection $\pi^{\partial}: \partial \mathcal{E}_{P} \rightarrow X$ is the support of a real zero divisor $D_{\mathbb{R}}(x)$ of $P(u, x)$ of a degree $\leq d$. For some $x \in X$, the $x$-fiber may be empty; then the divisor $D_{\mathbb{R}}(x)$ is equal to zero.

This setting leads to a natural question: "What are the maximal sets $\left\{U_{\alpha} \subset X\right\}_{\alpha}$ (open, closed, any ...) which admit a continuous section $\sigma_{\alpha}: U_{\alpha} \rightarrow \partial \mathcal{E}_{P}$ of the projection $\pi^{\partial}: \partial \mathcal{E}_{P} \rightarrow X$ ?" In other words, given a particular root $u_{\star}$ of $P\left(u, x_{\star}\right)$, what is the maximal set $U_{u_{\star}, x_{\star}} \subset X$ over which a global solution of the equation $\{P(u, x)=0\}$, which extends $u_{\star}$, exists? The question resembles the question about the maximal domain of an analytic continuation of a given analytic function $f$ (leading to the Riemannian surface associated with $f$ ). Perhaps, tackling this question deserves a different paper, dealing with the interplay between the fundamental groups $\pi_{1}(X)$ and $\pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}\right) \ldots$

Let $C^{k}(\sim)$ denote the space of $k$ times continuously differentiable functions. When $X$ is a $C^{k}$-differentiable manifold and all the coefficients of polynomials $a_{i} \in C^{k}(X)$, then $\partial \mathcal{E}_{P}$ is a $C^{k}$-differentiable hypersurface in $\mathbb{R} \times X$, since $\partial \mathcal{E}_{P}$ admits the $C^{k}$-differentiable parametrization $\left(u, a_{d-1}, \ldots, a_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(u, a_{d-1}, \ldots, a_{1}, P(u)-P(0)\right)$.

Now, given an appropriate hypersurface $\partial \mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{R} \times X$, we aim to reverse the correspondence $P \rightsquigarrow\left(\mathcal{E}_{P}, \partial \mathcal{E}_{P}\right)$ by constructing a polynomial family $P=P(\partial \mathcal{E})$ on $X$ that generates $\partial \mathcal{E}$.

For a given $d$, let us consider the crucial for us $(d+1)$-dimensional domain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{E}_{d}={ }_{\text {def }} \quad\left\{(u, P) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{P}_{d} \mid P(u) \leq 0\right\} \text { and its boundary } \\
& \partial \mathcal{E}_{d}==_{\text {def }} \quad\left\{(u, P) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{P}_{d} \mid P(u)=0\right\} . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}$ is a graph of the map $\left(u, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d-1}\right) \longrightarrow a_{0}=-\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} a_{i} u^{i}$, it follows that $\mathcal{E}_{d}$ is diffeomorphic to the half-space $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{d+1}$, and $\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}$ to the space $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.

We denote by $\pi_{d}: \mathcal{E}_{d} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{d}$ the obvious projection map. For $d \equiv 0 \bmod 2$, its fibers are compact (are finite unions of closed intervals and singletons).

Let $Y$ be a smooth compact manifold, and $\beta:(M, \partial M) \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y)$ an immersion. We denote by $\mathcal{L}$ the one-dimensional oriented foliation, defined by the fibers of the projection map $\pi: \mathbb{R} \times Y \rightarrow Y$, and by $\mathcal{L}_{y}$ the fiber $\pi^{-1}(y)$.

If $\partial Y \neq \emptyset$, we add a collar to $Y$ and denote the resulting manifold by $\hat{Y}$. Similarly, if $\partial M \neq \emptyset$, we add a collar to $M$, which results in a new manifold $\hat{M}$. We still assume that $\beta(\partial M) \subset \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y$ and that $\hat{\beta}(\hat{M} \backslash M) \subset \mathbb{R} \times(\hat{Y} \backslash Y)$. Using that $\beta$ is transversal to $\mathbb{R} \times \partial Y$, we may assume that $\beta: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times Y$ extends to an immersion $\hat{\beta}: \hat{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \hat{Y}$.

Next, for each point $b \in M$, we introduce a natural number $\mu^{\beta}(b)$, the multiplicity of tangency between the $b$-labeled local branch of $\hat{\beta}(\hat{M})$ and the leaf of $\mathcal{L}$ through the point $a:=\beta(b)$. If a local branch $\tilde{M}$ of $\hat{\beta}(\hat{M})$ is given as the zero set of a locally defined smooth function $z: \mathbb{R} \times \hat{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which has 0 as its regular value, then the multiplicity/order of tangency of the $\pi$-fiber $\mathcal{L}_{y}$ with $\tilde{M}$ at a point $a=(u, y) \in \tilde{M} \cap \mathcal{L}_{y}$ is defined as the natural number $\mu:=\mu^{\beta}(b)$ such that the jet jet ${ }_{a}^{\mu-1}\left(\left.z\right|_{\mathcal{L}_{y}}\right)=0$, but jet ${ }_{a}^{\mu}\left(\left.z\right|_{\mathcal{L}_{y}}\right) \neq 0$. In particular, if the branch is transversal to the leaf, then $\mu^{\beta}(b)=1$.

We fix a natural number $d$ and assume that an immersion $\beta: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times Y$ is such that each leaf $\left\{\mathcal{L}_{y}\right\}_{y \in Y}$ hits the image $\beta(M)$ so that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{\beta}(y)=\operatorname{def} \sum_{\left\{a \in \mathcal{L}_{y} \cap \beta(M)\right\}}\left(\sum_{\left\{b \in \beta^{-1}(a)\right\}} \mu^{\beta}(b)\right) \leq d . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that this assumption rules out the infinite multiplicity tangencies $\mu^{\beta}(y)$.
We order the points $\left\{a_{i}\right\}$ of $\mathcal{L}_{y} \cap \beta(M)$ by the values of their projections on $\mathbb{R}$ and introduce the combinatorial pattern $\omega^{\beta}(y)$ of $y \in Y$ as the ordered sequence of multiplicities

$$
\left\{\omega_{i}^{\beta}(y)=\operatorname{def} \sum_{\left\{b \in \beta^{-1}\left(a_{i}\right)\right\}} \mu^{\beta}(b)\right\}_{i} .
$$

We denote by $D^{\beta}(y)$ the real divisor on $\mathcal{L}_{y}$, whose support is $\mathcal{L}_{y} \cap \beta(M)$ and whose multiplicities are the $\left\{\omega_{i}^{\beta}(y)\right\}_{i}$.

In particular, the combinatorial type of $D^{\beta}(y)$ is sensitive to the points $y \in Y$ over which the multiple self-intersections of $\beta$ reside. Note also that the definition of the divisor $D^{\beta}(y)$ depends only on the image $\beta(M) \subset \mathbb{R} \times Y$ of $M$.

A special case of the following theorem my be found in [KSW1, Proposition 3.1.
Theorem 3.1. Let $M$ and $Y$ be smooth compact n-manifolds.
For any proper (in the sense of Definition 3.1) immersion $\beta:(M, \partial M) \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y)$ which satisfies inequality (3.4) and the parity condition $\mu^{\beta}(y) \equiv d \bmod 2$, there exists a smooth map $\Phi^{\beta}: Y \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{d}$ such that the locus

$$
\left\{(u, y) \in \mathbb{R} \times Y \mid \Phi^{\beta}(y)(u)=0\right\}=\beta(\partial X) .
$$

If, for a given pair of closed posets $\Theta \subset \Lambda \subset \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\langle d]}$, the immersion $\beta$ is such that no $\omega^{\beta}(y)$ belongs to $\Theta$ and, for $y \in \partial Y$, no $\omega^{\beta}(y)$ belongs to $\Lambda$, then $\Phi^{\beta}$ maps $Y$ to the open subset $\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta} \subset \mathcal{P}_{d}$ and $\partial Y$ to the open subset $\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Lambda} \subset \mathcal{P}_{d}$. Moreover, any two such maps $\Phi_{0}^{\beta}, \Phi_{1}^{\beta}:(Y, \partial Y) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, \mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Lambda}\right)$ are homotopic as maps of pairs.
Proof. Let us denote by $\hat{M}^{\circ}$ the interior of $\hat{M}$ and by $\hat{Y}^{\circ}$ the interior of $\hat{Y}$.
We claim that the set $\hat{\beta}\left(\hat{M}^{\circ}\right)$ may be viewed as the solution set of the equations

$$
\left\{u^{d}+\sum_{j=0}^{d-1} a_{j}(y) u^{j}=0\right\}_{y \in \hat{Y}^{\circ}},
$$

where $\left\{a_{j}: \hat{Y}^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\right\}_{j}$ are some smooth functions.
Let us justify this claim. By Lemma 4.1 from [K2] and Morin's Theorem [Mor (both based on the Malgrange Preparation Lemma), if a particular branch $\hat{\beta}\left(\hat{M}^{\circ}\right)_{\kappa}$ of $\hat{\beta}\left(\hat{M}^{\circ}\right)$ is tangent to the leaf $\mathcal{L}_{y_{\star}}$ at a point $a_{\star}=\left(\alpha, y_{\star}\right)$ with the order of tangency $j=j\left(a_{\star}, \kappa\right)$, then there is a system of local coordinates $(\tilde{u}, \tilde{x}, \tilde{z})$ in the vicinity of $a \in \mathbb{R} \times \hat{Y}^{\circ}$ such that:
(1) $\hat{\beta}\left(\hat{M}^{\circ}\right)_{\kappa}$ is given by the equation $\left\{\tilde{u}^{j}+\sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \tilde{x}_{k} \tilde{u}^{k}=0\right\}$, where $\tilde{x}_{k}\left(y_{\star}\right)=0$ and $\tilde{u}(\alpha)=0$,
(2) each nearby leaf $\mathcal{L}_{y}$ is given by the equations $\left\{\tilde{x}=\overrightarrow{\text { const }}, \tilde{z}=\overrightarrow{\text { const }^{\prime}}\right\}$.

Letting $\tilde{u}=u-\alpha$ and writing $\tilde{x}_{k}$ 's as smooth functions of $y \in \hat{Y}^{\circ}$, the same locus $\hat{\beta}\left(\hat{M}^{\circ}\right)_{\kappa}$ can be given by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{P_{\alpha, \kappa}(u, y):=(u-\alpha)^{j}+\sum_{j=0}^{j-1} a_{\kappa, k}(y)(u-\alpha)^{k}=0\right\}, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{\kappa, k}: \hat{Y}^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are smooth functions, vanishing at $y_{\star}$. Therefore, there exists an open neighborhood $U_{y_{\star}}$ of $y_{\star}$ in $\hat{Y}^{\circ}$ such that, in $\mathbb{R} \times U_{y_{\star}}$, the locus $\hat{\beta}\left(\hat{M}^{\circ}\right)$ is given by the monic polynomial equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{P_{y_{\star}}(u, y):=\prod_{\left(\alpha, y_{\star}\right) \in \mathcal{L}_{y_{\star} \cap \hat{\beta}\left(\hat{M}^{\circ}\right)}}\left(\prod_{\kappa \in A_{\alpha}} P_{\alpha, \kappa}(u, y)\right)=0\right\}, \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

of degree $\mu^{\beta}\left(y_{\star}\right) \leq d$ in $u$. Here the finite set $A_{\alpha}$ labels the local branches of $\beta(M)$ that contain the point $\left(\alpha, y_{\star}\right) \in \mathcal{L}_{y_{\star}} \cap \beta(M)$.

By multiplying $P_{y_{\star}}(u, y)$ with $\left(u^{2}+1\right)^{\frac{d-\mu^{\beta}\left(y_{\star}\right)}{2}}$, we get a polynomial $\tilde{P}_{y_{\star}}(u, y)$ of degree $d$. For each $y \in U_{y_{\star}}, \tilde{P}_{y_{\star}}(u, y)$ shares with $P_{y_{\star}}(u, y)$ the zero set $\hat{\beta}\left(\hat{M}^{\circ}\right) \cap\left(\mathbb{R} \times U_{y_{\star}}\right)$, as well as the divisors $D^{\beta}(y)$.

For each $y \in \hat{Y}^{\circ}$, we consider the space $\mathcal{X}_{\hat{\beta}}(y)$ of monic polynomials $\tilde{P}(u)$ of degree $d$ such that their real divisors coincide with the $\hat{\beta}$-induced divisor $D^{\hat{\beta}}(y)$. We view $\mathcal{X}_{\hat{\beta}}=$ def $\amalg_{y \in \hat{Y}^{\circ}} \mathcal{X}_{\hat{\beta}}(y)$ as a subspace of $\hat{Y}^{\circ} \times \mathcal{P}_{d}$. It is equipped with the obvious projection $p$ : $\mathcal{X}_{\hat{\beta}} \rightarrow \hat{Y}^{\circ}$. The smooth sections of the map $p$ are exactly the smooth functions $\tilde{P}(u, y)$ that interest us. By the previous argument, $p$ admits a local smooth section over the vicinity of each $y_{\star} \in \hat{Y}^{\circ}$.

Evidently, each $p$-fiber $\mathcal{X}_{\hat{\beta}}(y)$ is a convex set. Thus, given finitely many smooth sections $\left\{\sigma_{i}\right\}_{i}$ of $p$, we conclude that $\sum_{i} \phi_{i} \cdot \sigma_{i}$ is again a section of $p$, provided that the smooth functions $\phi_{i}: Y \rightarrow[0,1]$ have the property $\sum_{i} \phi_{i} \equiv \mathbf{1}$. Note that an individual term $\phi_{i} \cdot \sigma_{i}$ may not belong to $\mathcal{P}_{d}$ due to the failure of the polynomials to be monic.

Since $Y$ is compact, it admits a finite cover by the open sets $\left\{U_{y_{i}} \subset \hat{Y}^{\circ}\right\}_{i}$ as above (see formulas (3.5), (3.6). Let $\left\{\phi_{i}: Y \rightarrow[0,1]\right\}_{i}$ be a smooth partition of unity, subordinated to this finite cover. Then the monic $u$-polynomial

$$
\tilde{P}(u, y):=\sum_{i} \phi_{i}(y) \cdot \tilde{P}_{y_{i}}(u, y)
$$

of degree $d$ has the desired properties. In particular, its divisor $\tilde{D}^{\beta}(y)=D^{\beta}(y)$ for each $y \in Y$. Thus, using $\tilde{P}(u, y)$, any immersion $\beta:(M, \partial M) \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y)$, such that:
(i) $\beta$ is transversal to $\mathbb{R} \times \partial Y$,
(ii) no $\omega^{\beta}(y)$ belongs to $\Theta$,
(iii) for $y \in \partial Y$, no $\omega^{\beta}(y)$ belongs to $\Lambda$, is realized by a smooth map $\Phi^{\beta}:(Y, \partial Y) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, \mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Lambda}\right)$ for which $\beta(M)=\left\{\Phi^{\beta}(y)(u)=0\right\}$.

If two such maps $\Phi_{0}^{\beta}, \Phi_{1}^{\beta}:(Y, \partial Y) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, \mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Lambda}\right)$ share the same divisor $D^{\beta}(y)$ for each $y \in Y$, then the quotients $\Phi_{0}^{\beta}(y) / \Phi_{1}^{\beta}(y)$ and $\Phi_{1}^{\beta}(y) / \Phi_{0}^{\beta}(y)$ are strictly positive rational functions and their numerators and denominators are monic non-vanishing polynomials of degree $d$. Such rational functions form a convex set $\mathcal{Q}_{+}(d)$ that retracts to the pointfunction 1. Therefore $\Phi_{0}^{\beta}, \Phi_{1}^{\beta}$ produce a continuous map $\Phi_{0}^{\beta} / \Phi_{1}^{\beta}$ into a contractible space $\mathcal{Q}_{+}(d)$. As a result, by the linear homotopy $\left\{(1-t) \Phi_{0}^{\beta}+t \Phi_{1}^{\beta}\right\}_{t \in[0,1]}, \Phi_{0}^{\beta}, \Phi_{1}^{\beta}$ are homotopic maps.

Fig. 1, which illustrates the construction of the classifying map $\Phi^{\beta}$, reveals an interesting duality: if $\left(u_{\star}, y_{\star}\right) \in \mathbb{R} \times Y$ is the point of transversal self-intersection of $\beta(M)=" \infty$ ", then $y_{\star}$ is mapped by $\Phi^{\beta}$ to a point where the curve $\Phi^{\beta}(Y)$ is tangent to the discriminant variety $\mathcal{D}_{2}$; in contrast, if $\left(u_{*}, y_{*}\right) \in \mathbb{R} \times Y$ is the point of tangency of $\beta(M)$ to $\mathcal{L}$, then $y_{*}$ is mapped by $\Phi^{\beta}$ to a point where $\Phi^{\beta}(Y)$ is transversal to $\mathcal{D}_{2}$.


Figure 1. An immersion $\beta: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times Y$ and the classifying map $\Phi^{\beta}: Y \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}$ its generates. Note that $\beta(M)=\left(\text { id } \times \Phi^{\beta}\right)^{-1}\left(\left(\mathbb{R} \times \Phi^{\beta}(Y)\right) \cap \partial \mathcal{E}_{d}\right)$. To get the figure realistic in $3 D$, we have chosen the simplest possible case $d=2, \Theta=\emptyset$.

Definition 3.4. Let $Y$ be a smooth compact n-manifold and the domain $\mathcal{E}_{d} \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{P}_{d}$ be as in 3.3). A smooth map $\Phi: Y \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{d}$ is called $\left(\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}\right)$-regular if the maps $\mathbb{R} \times Y \xrightarrow{\text { id } \times \Phi} \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{P}_{d}$ and $\mathbb{R} \times \partial Y \xrightarrow{\text { id } \times \Phi^{\partial}} \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{P}_{d}$ are transversal to the hypersurface $\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}$.

Thus, for a $\left(\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}\right)$-regular $\Phi$, the preimage $(\text { id } \times \Phi)^{-1}\left(\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}\right)$ is a compact smooth $n$ manifold $(M, \partial M) \subset(\mathbb{R} \times Y, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y)$. Of course, if $\beta:(M, \partial M) \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y)$ is an immersion, but not an embedding, then any associated map $\Phi^{\beta}:(Y, \partial Y) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, \mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Lambda}\right)$ is not $\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}$-regular.

Note that, in Fig. 1, we can perturb the map $\Phi^{\beta}: Y \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{d}$ so that it will become transversal to the discriminant variety. Such a perturbation $\tilde{\Phi}^{\beta}$ may be assumed to be $\left(\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}\right)$-regular. It will resolve the image $\beta(M)$ into a nonsingular manifold $N \subset \mathbb{R} \times Y$. In general, $N$ may be topologically different from the original $M$. These observations are generalized in the next couple lemmas.

Lemma 3.3. For a compact smooth manifold $Y$, the $\left(\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}\right)$-regular maps $\left\{\Phi: Y \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{d}\right\}$ form an open and dense set in the space $C^{\infty}\left(Y, \mathcal{P}_{d}\right)$ of all smooth maps.

Proof. A smooth map $\Phi: Y \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{d}$, given by $d$ functions-coefficients $x_{d-1}(y), \ldots, x_{1}(y), x_{0}(y)$ on $Y$, is $\left(\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}\right)$-regular if and only if, in any local coordinate system $\vec{y}=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots y_{n}\right\}$ on $Y$,
the system

$$
\begin{align*}
u^{d}+x_{d-1} u^{d-1}+\ldots x_{1} u+x_{0} & =0 \\
d u^{d-1}+(d-1) x_{d-1} u^{d-2}+\ldots+x_{1} & =0 \\
\left\{\frac{\partial x_{d-1}}{\partial y_{j}} u^{d-1}+\ldots \frac{\partial x_{1}}{\partial y_{j}} u+\frac{\partial x_{0}}{\partial y_{j}}\right. & =0\}_{j \in[1, n]} \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

of $(n+2)$ equations has no solutions in $\vec{y}$ for all $u$, and a similar property holds for $\partial Y$. Indeed, $\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}$ is given by the equation $\wp(u, \vec{x}):=u^{d}+x_{d-1} u^{d-1}+\ldots x_{1} u+x_{0}=0$. The pull-back $\Psi^{*}(\wp)$ of $\wp$ under the map $\Psi=($ id, $\Phi): \mathbb{R} \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{P}_{d}$ is the function

$$
u^{d}+x_{d-1}(y) u^{d-1}+\ldots x_{1}(y) u+x_{0}(y)
$$

on $\mathbb{R} \times Y$. So the first equation in (3.7) defines the preimage of $\partial \mathcal{E}$ under $\Psi$. The transversality of $\Psi$ to $\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}$ can be expressed as the non-vanishing of the 1 -jet of $\Psi^{*}(\wp)$ along the locus $\left\{\Psi^{*}(\wp)=0\right\}$. In local coordinates on $\mathbb{R} \times Y$, the vanishing of $\operatorname{jet}^{1}\left(\Psi^{*}(\wp)\right)$ is exactly the constraints imposed by (3.7).

Note that, for each $u \in \mathbb{R}$, the system (3.7) imposes $(n+2)$ affine constraints on the functions $\left\{x_{k}: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\right\}_{k \in[1, d]}$ and their first derivatives $\left\{\frac{\partial x_{k}}{\partial y_{j}}\right\}$. Thus for any $\left.u, 3.7\right\}$ defines an affine subbundle $\mathcal{W}(u)$ of the jet bundle $\left\{\mathrm{J}^{1}\left(Y, \mathcal{P}_{d}\right) \rightarrow Y\right\}$. The union $\mathcal{W}=\bigcup_{u \in \mathbb{R}} \mathcal{W}(u)$ is a ruled variety, residing in $J^{1}\left(Y, \mathcal{P}_{d}\right)$. Since $\operatorname{codim}(\mathcal{W}(u))=n+2$, the codimension of $\mathcal{W}$ in $\mathrm{J}^{1}\left(Y, \mathcal{P}_{d}\right)$ is $n+1$.

Consider the jet map jet ${ }^{1}(\Phi): Y \rightarrow \mathrm{~J}^{1}\left(Y, \mathcal{P}_{d}\right)$. By the Thom Transversality Theorem (see [GG], Theorem 4.13), the space of $\Phi$ for which jet ${ }^{1}(\Phi)$ is transversal to the subvariety $\mathcal{W}$ is open and dense (recall that $Y$ is compact). Since $Y$ is $n$-dimensional, this transversality implies that $\left(\operatorname{jet}^{1}(\Phi)\right)(Y) \cap \mathcal{W}=\emptyset$ for an open and dense set of maps $\Phi$.

A similar arguments apply to the smooth maps $\Phi^{\partial}: \partial Y \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{d}$. Thus we may perturb first any given $\Phi: Y \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{d}$ to insure the $\left(\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}\right)$-regularity of $\Phi^{\partial}=\left.\Phi\right|_{\partial Y}$ and then perturb $\Phi$ to insure its $\left(\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}\right)$-regularity, while keeping the regularity of $\Phi^{\partial}$.

Therefore, the set of $\left(\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}\right)$-regular maps $\Phi$ is open and dense in $C^{\infty}\left(Y, \mathcal{P}_{d}\right)$.
Corollary 3.2. Let $\Theta \subset \Lambda \subset \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\langle d]}$ be closed subposets. For a compact smooth manifold $Y$, the $\left(\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}\right)$-regular maps $\left\{\Phi:(Y, \partial Y) \rightarrow\left(P_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, P_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Lambda}\right)\right\}$ form an open and dense set in the space of all smooth maps $C^{\infty}\left((Y, \partial Y),\left(P_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, P_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Lambda}\right)\right)$.
Proof. Since $Y$ is compact and the posets $\Theta, \Lambda$ are closed, the target spaces $\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta} \supset \mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Lambda}$ are both open in $\mathcal{P}_{d}$. Therefore the claim follows from Lemma 3.3.
Lemma 3.4. For a compact n-dimensional $Y$, any $\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}$-regular smooth map $h: Y \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta}$ is realized by an embedding $\beta_{h}:(M, \partial M) \hookrightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y)$, where the smooth manifold $M$ is $n$-dimensional and $\operatorname{rk}\left(D \beta_{h}\right)=n$. The manifold $M$ is orientable if $Y$ is.

If $\partial Y=\emptyset$ and and $d \equiv 0 \bmod 2$, then $M$ is a boundary of a compact (orientable, if $Y$ is orientable) $(n+1)$-manifold $L \subset \mathbb{R} \times Y$.
Proof. A $\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}$-regular smooth map $h: Y \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}$ produces the locus $M=(\text { id } \times h)^{-1}\left(\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}\right)$ which is a smooth manifold by the transversality of id $\times h$ to $\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}$. If $Y$ is orientable, so is
$\mathbb{R} \times Y$. Thus, the the pull-back of the normal vector field to $\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}$ in $\mathcal{E}_{d}$ helps to orient the tangent bundle of $M$.

Given an embedding $\beta: M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R} \times Y$, $\operatorname{dim} M=n$, whose tangent patterns belong to $\mathbf{c} \Theta$ and using that $d \equiv 0 \bmod 2$, we conclude that when $Y$ is closed, then $\beta(M)$ bounds a compact $(n+1)$-manifold $X \subset \mathbb{R} \times Y$. Indeed, for each $y \in Y$, we consider the even degree $d$ real monic polynomial $P_{y}(u)$ whose real divisor is $\mathcal{L}_{y} \cap \beta(M)$, counted with the multiplicities. Then we define $L \cap \mathcal{L}_{y}$ as the compact set of $u \in \mathbb{R}$ that satisfies $\left\{P_{y}(u) \leq 0\right\}$. The $Y$-family of such inequalities determines $L$.

As a result, any closed $n$-manifold $M$, which is not (orientably, if $Y$ is orientable) cobordant to $\emptyset$, does not arise via $\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}$-regular maps $h: Y \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{d}$ for any closed $Y$ and $d \equiv 0$ $\bmod 2$.

Consider the tautological function $\wp: \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{P}_{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ whose value at the point $(u, P)$ is $P(u)$.

Definition 3.5. Let $Y$ be a smooth compact $n$-manifold. Let $k \leq d+1$.
We call a smooth map $\Phi: Y \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{d}\left(\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}, k\right)$-regular if the map $\mathbb{R} \times Y \xrightarrow{\text { id } \times \Phi} \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{P}_{d}$ has the following properties:

- the pull-back $(\mathrm{id} \times \Phi)^{*}(\wp)$ of the function $\wp$ in the vicinity $U_{a}$ of every point

$$
a=(u, y) \in \mathcal{M}_{\Phi}:=\left\{(\operatorname{id} \times \Phi)^{*}(\wp)=0\right\}
$$

is locally a product of at most $\ell \leq k$ smooth functions $\left\{z_{i}: U_{a} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\right\}_{i \in[1, \ell]}$ for each of which 0 is a regular value,

- there is a natural number $q$ such that the $q$-jet equivalence classes of the local branches $\left\{z_{i}=0\right\}_{i}$ of $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}$ at a are distinct for all points a $\|^{2}$
- if $y \in \partial Y$, the restrictions $\left\{\left.z_{i}\right|_{\mathbb{R} \times \partial Y}\right\}_{i}$ have 0 as a regular value, and the $q$-jet equivalence classes of local branches $\left\{\left.z_{i}\right|_{\mathbb{R} \times \partial Y}=0\right\}_{i}$ are distinct as well.

Evidently, $\left(\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}, 1\right)$-regular map is $\left(\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}\right)$-regular.
Informally, we would like to think of $\left(\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}, k\right)$-regular maps $\Phi$ as hypersurfaces that divide the space of $\left(\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}, k-1\right)$-regular maps into chambers. Presently, this interpretation is just a wishful thinking... What is clear that, if a map $\Phi: Y \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{d}$ is $\left(\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}, k\right)$-regular, then there exists its open neighborhood in the space $C^{\infty}\left(Y, \mathcal{P}_{d}\right)$ that does not contain any $\left(\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}, l\right)$-maps, where $l>k$.

Lemma 3.5. If a map $\Phi: Y \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{d}$ is $\left(\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}, k\right)$-regular, then the locus

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}=\operatorname{def}\left\{(\operatorname{id} \times \Phi)^{*}(\wp)=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{R} \times Y
$$

is a compact n-dimensional set with singularities of the local types $\left\{\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} z_{i}=0\right\}$, where $2 \leq \ell \leq k \leq d+1$, and each $z_{i}$ has 0 as its regular value.

Moreover, $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}$ is the image of a smooth compact $n$-manifold $M$ under an immersion $\beta: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times Y$.

[^2]Proof. The validation of the first claim is on the level of definitions.
Recall that we denote by $\mathrm{J}^{q}(X) \rightarrow X$ the fibration over a smooth manifold $X$, whose fiber over a point $x \in X$ is $J_{x}^{q}$, the space of $q$-equivalence classes of germs of smooth hypersurfaces in $X$ at $x$.

It remains to show that, if a map $\Phi: Y \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{d}$ is $\left(\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}, k\right)$-regular and, for some $q \geq 1$, the branches of the locus $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi} \subset \mathbb{R} \times Y$ at their mutual intersections are $q$-separable, then $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}$ is the immersed image of some $n$-manifold $M$. In fact, there is an obvious canonical resolution $M \subset \mathrm{~J}^{\mathrm{q}}(\mathbb{R} \times Y)$ of $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}$, so that the projection $\beta: M \subset \mathrm{~J}^{\mathrm{q}}(\mathbb{R} \times Y) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{R} \times Y$ is the desired immersion. We just associate with each point $a \in\left\{\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} z_{i}=0\right\}$ the (unordered) set of $\ell \leq k$ distinct points $\left\{\mathrm{J}_{a}^{q}\left(\left\{z_{i}=0\right\}\right)\right\}_{i \in[1, \ell]} \subset \mathrm{J}_{a}^{q}(\mathbb{R} \times Y)$. In this way, each local branch $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi, i}:=\left\{z_{i}=0\right\}$ of $\mathcal{M}_{\Phi}$ defines a smooth section $\sigma_{i}:\left.\mathcal{M}_{\Phi, i} \rightarrow J^{q}(\mathbb{R} \times Y)\right|_{\mathcal{M}_{\Phi, i}}$. Over the vicinity of $a$, thanks to the $q$-separability, all the sections $\left\{\sigma_{i}\right\}_{i}$ are disjoint. Since $\pi \circ \sigma_{i}=\mathrm{id}$, we conclude that $\beta: M \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\Phi}$ is an immersion.

Definition 3.6. We say that an immersion $\beta: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times Y$ is $k$-flat, if for all $y \in Y$, the reduced multiplicity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mu^{\beta}\right)^{\prime}(y)=\operatorname{def} \sum_{\left\{a \in \mathcal{L}_{y} \cap \beta(M)\right\}}\left(\sum_{\left\{b \in \beta^{-1}(a)\right\}}\left(\mu^{\beta}(b)-1\right)\right)<k . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if $\beta$ is $k$-flat and $k$-normal, then the $k$-intersection manifold $\Sigma_{k}^{\beta}=\emptyset$.

### 3.3. Quasitopies of immersions \& embeddings with constrained tangencies against the background of 1 -foliations $\mathcal{L}$ : the case of general combinatorics.

We use the abbreviation "imm" for immersions and "emb" for regular embeddings. When the arguments work equally well for both types, we use the abbreviation " $\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}$ ".

Fix two natural numbers $d \leq d^{\prime}, d \equiv d^{\prime} \bmod 2$ and consider the embedding $\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}: \mathcal{P}_{d} \hookrightarrow$ $\mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}$, defined by the formula $(2.8)$. Recall that it preserves the $\Omega$-stratifications of the two spaces, $\mathcal{P}_{d}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}$, by the combinatorial types $\omega$ of real divisors $D_{\mathbb{R}}(P), D_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}(P)\right)$.

For a closed profinite (see Definition 2.2) poset $\Theta \subset \boldsymbol{\Omega}$, the embeddings $\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}$ make it possible to talk about the stabilization in the homology of spaces $\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}$, as $d \rightarrow \infty$. With the help of $\left\{\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}\right\}$, it also makes sense to introduce the limit spaces $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{\infty}^{\Theta}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}$ [KSW2].

For a smooth compact connected $n$-manifold $Y$, put $Z={ }_{\text {def }} Y \times[0,1]$ and $Z^{\delta}={ }_{\text {def }}$ $\partial Y \times[0,1]$. We denote by $\mathcal{L}^{\bullet}$ the 1 -dimensional oriented foliation of $\mathbb{R} \times Z$, produced by the fibers of the obvious projection $\Pi: \mathbb{R} \times Z \rightarrow Z$.

In the Definition 3.7 below, central to our investigation, we start with a quite general set of combinatorial input data: $\left\{n, d, d^{\prime}, \Theta, \Theta^{\prime}, \Lambda\right\}$. We will gradually restrict them, as we develop the theory. Fig. 2 may help the reader to follow our unfortunately cumbersome notations.

Definition 3.7. Let us fix natural numbers $d \leq d^{\prime}, d \equiv d^{\prime} \bmod 2$, and a triple of closed subposets $\Theta^{\prime} \subset \Theta \subset \Lambda$ of the universal poset $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$. Let $Y$ be a fixed smooth compact $n$-manifold and $M_{0}, M_{1}$ be two smooth compact $n$-manifolds.

We say that two proper immersions/embeddings,
$\beta_{0}:\left(M_{0}, \partial M_{0}\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y)$ and $\beta_{1}:\left(M_{1}, \partial M_{1}\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y)$,
are $\left(d, d^{\prime} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$-quasitopic, if there exists a compact smooth $(n+1)$-manifold $N$ with corners $\partial M_{0} \coprod \partial M_{1}$ and the boundary $\left.\partial N=\left(M_{0} \coprod M_{1}\right) \cup \delta N\right]^{3}$ and a smooth proper immersion/embedding $B: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times Z$ such that:

- $\left.B\right|_{M_{0}}=\beta_{0},\left.B\right|_{M_{1}}=\beta_{1}$, and $B(\delta N) \subset \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y \times[0,1]:=\mathbb{R} \times Z^{\delta}$,
- for each $z \in Z$, the total multiplicity $m_{B}(z)$ (see (3.4)) of $B(N)$ with respect to the fiber $\mathcal{L}_{z}^{\bullet}$ is such that: $m_{B}(z) \leq d^{\prime}, m_{B}(z) \equiv d^{\prime} \bmod 2$, and the combinatorial tangency pattern $\omega^{B}(z)$ of $B(N)$ with respect to $\mathcal{L}_{z}^{\bullet}$ belongs to the poset $\mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}$,
- for each $z \in Y \times \partial[0,1]$, the total multiplicity of $B(N)$ with respect to $\mathcal{L}_{z}$ is such that: $m_{B}(z) \leq d, m_{B}(z) \equiv d \bmod 2$, and the combinatorial tangency pattern $\omega^{B}(z)$ of $B(N)$ with respect to $\mathcal{L}_{z}$ belongs to the poset $\mathbf{c} \Theta$,
- for each $z \in Z^{\delta}$, the multiplicity of $B(N)$ with respect to $\mathcal{L}_{z}^{\bullet}$ is such that: $m_{B}(z) \leq$ $d^{\prime}, m_{B}(z) \equiv d^{\prime} \bmod 2$, and the combinatorial tangency pattern $\omega^{B}(z)$ of $B(\delta N)$ with respect to $\mathcal{L}_{z}^{\bullet}$ belongs to the poset $\mathbf{c} \Lambda$.
We denote by $\mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{imm}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$ the set of quasitopy classes of such immersions $\beta:(M, \partial M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times(Y, \partial Y)$. We use the notation $\mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$ for the set of quasitopy classes of embeddings $\beta:(M, \partial M) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R} \times(Y, \partial Y)$. Finally, we use the neutral notation $\mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$ for both .

It is possible to build a parallel notion of quasitopies for oriented $M$ 's by insisting that the cobordism $N$ is oriented as well. We use the notation $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$ for these oriented quasitopy classes.

When $Y$ is a closed manifold, we get $\mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$, a simplification of our settings. Also, when $\Theta=\Theta^{\prime}$, we get another natural simplification: $\mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta)$. Both special cases, $d^{\prime}=d$ and $d^{\prime}=d+2$, have significant applications.

Remark 3.2. If in Definition 3.7 we would require that the pair $(N, \delta N)$ is diffeomorphic to the pair $\left(M_{0} \times[0,1], \partial M_{0} \times[0,1]\right)$, then a more recognizable definition of pseudo-isotopy would emerge. So the notion of quasitopy is more flexible than the one of pseudo-isotopy. It is closer to the notion of bordisms.

Remark 3.3. The quasitopy $\mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(\sim, \partial \sim ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$ is covarientely functorial under the regular embeddings $f:(Y, \partial Y) \hookrightarrow\left(Y^{\prime}, \partial Y^{\prime}\right)$ of equidimentional manifolds $Y, Y^{\prime}$. At the same time, $\mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(\sim, \partial \sim ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$ is contravariantly functorial under submersions $g$ : $\left(Y^{\prime}, \partial Y^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow(Y, \partial Y)$, provided that the fibers of $g$ are closed manifolds. The contravariance is delivered via the pull back construction which involves $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \times g$ and $\beta:(M, \partial M) \rightarrow$ $(\mathbb{R} \times Y, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y)$.

[^3]

Figure 2. The ingredients of Definition 3.7.

Consider the group $\operatorname{Diff}(\mathcal{L})$ of smooth diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R} \times Y$ that preserve the 1foliation $\mathcal{L}$ and its orientation. We denote by $\operatorname{Diff}_{0}(\mathcal{L})$ its subgroup, generated by the diffeomorphisms that are isotopic to the identity. Similar groups, $\operatorname{Diff}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\bullet}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Diff}_{0}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\bullet}\right)$ are available for the foliation $\mathcal{L}^{\bullet}$ on $\mathbb{R} \times Y \times[0,1]$. Note that the group $\operatorname{Diff}(Y)$ is, in the obvious way, a subgroup of $\operatorname{Diff}(\mathcal{L})$, and the group $\operatorname{Diff}_{0}(Y)$ is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Diff}_{0}(\mathcal{L})$.

If $\beta:(M, \partial M) \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y)$ is a proper immersion/embedding as in Definition 3.7, then, for any $h \in \operatorname{Diff}_{0}(\mathcal{L})$, the immersion/embedding $h \circ \beta$ is $\left(d, d^{\prime} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$-quasitopic to $\beta$. In a similar way, $\operatorname{Diff}_{0}\left(\mathcal{L}^{\bullet}\right)$ acts on quasitopies of immersion/embedding. Therefore, in what follows, we may ignore the dependence of our constructions on the isotopies of the base manifold $Y$.

Definition 3.8. For a given closed poset $\Theta_{\langle d]} \subset \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\langle d]}$, we denote by $\hat{m}\left(\mathbf{c} \Theta_{\langle d]}\right)$ the maximum of entries $\omega_{i}$ for all $\omega=\left(\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{i}, \ldots, \omega_{\ell}\right) \in \mathbf{c} \Theta_{\langle d]}$.

Proposition 3.1. We adopt the notations of Corollary 3.1. Any proper immersion $\beta$ : $(M, \partial M) \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y)$, as in Definition 3.7, which is $k$-normal for all $k$ in the interval $\left[2, \min \left\{n+1, \hat{m}\left(\mathbf{c} \Theta_{\langle d]}\right)\right\}\right]$, generates canonically smooth maps

$$
\left\{\pi \circ \beta \circ p_{1}:\left(\Sigma_{k}^{\beta}, \Sigma_{k}^{\beta^{\partial}}\right) \rightarrow(Y, \partial Y)\right\}_{k},
$$

where $\Sigma_{k}^{\beta}$ is the $k^{\text {th }}$ self-intersection manifold of $\beta$. The relative non-oriented bordism classes $\left[\Sigma_{k}^{\beta}\right] \in \mathbf{B}_{n-k+1}(Y, \partial Y)$ of these maps $\pi \circ \beta \circ p_{1}$ are invariants of the $\left(d, d^{\prime} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$ quasitopy class of $\beta$.

If $M, Y$ are oriented, then oriented bordism classes $\left[\Sigma_{k}^{\beta}\right] \in \mathbf{O B}_{n-k+1}(Y, \partial Y)$ are invariants of the oriented $\left(d, d^{\prime} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c}^{\prime}\right)$-quasitopy class of $\beta$. In particular, if $Y$ is closed, then the Pontryagin numbers of the manifold $\Sigma_{k}^{\beta}$ are invariants of the quasitopy class of $\beta$.
Proof. If $\beta$ is an immersion as in Definition 3.7, then its sufficiently small perturbation still has combinatorial tangency patterns which belong to $\mathbf{c} \Theta$, since $\Theta$ is a closed poset in $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\langle d]}$. This claim is based on the behavior of real divisors of real polynomials under their perturbations [K3]. Similarly, a sufficiently small perturbation of any cobordism $B$ between such immersions, still will have combinatorial tangency patterns which belong to $\mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}$, since $\Theta^{\prime}$ is a closed poset in $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\left\langle d^{\prime}\right]}$. Therefore, by [LS, we may assume that $\beta$, within its ( $d, d^{\prime} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{\mathbf { c } ^ { \prime }}$ )-quasitopy class, is $k$-normal for all $k \leq n+1$ and $B$ is $k$-normal for all $k \leq n+2$. Revisiting Definition 3.8, we notice that also $k \leq \hat{m}\left(\mathbf{c} \Theta_{\langle d]}\right)$ by the very definition of quasitopies. Now the claim follows directly from Corollary 3.1.

We are interested in two special cases of Definition 3.7 to be referred in what follows as the $\Lambda$-condition:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { (1) } & d^{\prime} \equiv d \equiv 0 & \bmod 2 \text { and } \mathbf{c} \Lambda=(\emptyset) \\
\text { (2) } & d^{\prime} \equiv d \equiv 1 & \bmod 2 \text { and } \mathbf{c} \Lambda=(1) \tag{3.9}
\end{array}
$$

Case (1) forces $\delta N=\emptyset$; so $M_{0}, M_{1}$ must be closed and $\partial N=M_{0} \amalg M_{1}$. This is evidently the case when $\partial Y=\emptyset$. Case (2) forces $\left.B\right|_{\delta N}$ to be transversal to the foliation $\left.\mathcal{L}^{\bullet}\right|_{\mathbb{R} \times \partial Y \times[0,1]} ;$ so we get diffeomorphisms $B(\delta N) \approx \partial Y \times[0,1]$ and $\beta_{i}\left(\partial M_{i}\right) \approx \partial Y$.

Given two compact connected $n$-manifolds with boundaries, let $Y_{1} \# Y_{2}$ denote their connected sum and $Y_{1} \#{ }_{\partial} Y_{2}$ their boundary connected sum. The 1-handle $H \approx D^{n-1} \times[0,1]$ that participates in the connected sum operation is attached to $Y_{1} \amalg Y_{2}$. In the special case $\operatorname{dim} Y_{1}=\operatorname{dim} Y_{2}=1$, the manifolds $Y_{1}, Y_{2}$ are closed segments and $Y_{1} \#_{\partial} Y_{2}$ is understood as a new segment, obtained by attaching one end of $Y_{1}$ to an end of $Y_{2}$.

If the boundaries of $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$ are connected, the smooth topological type of $Y_{1} \#_{\partial} Y_{2}$ does not depend on how the 1-handle $H$ is attached to $Y_{1} \amalg Y_{2}$ to form $Y_{1} \#{ }_{\partial} Y_{2}$. In general, to avoid ambiguity of the operation $\# \partial$, we pick some elements $\kappa_{1} \in \pi_{0}\left(\partial Y_{1}\right)$ and $\kappa_{2} \in \pi_{0}\left(\partial Y_{2}\right)$.

Definition 3.9. Under the $\Lambda$-condition (3.9), we simplify our notations as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{Q T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{def} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta,(\emptyset) ; \mathbf{c}^{\prime}\right), \text { for } d^{\prime} \equiv d \equiv 0 \quad \bmod 2,  \tag{3.10}\\
& \mathcal{T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)=_{\operatorname{def}} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta,(1) ; \mathbf{c}^{\prime}\right), \text { for } d^{\prime} \equiv d \equiv 1 \quad \bmod 2,  \tag{3.11}\\
& \mathrm{G}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)==_{\operatorname{def}} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(D^{n}, \partial D^{n} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta,(\emptyset) ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right), \text { for } d^{\prime} \equiv d \equiv 0 \quad \bmod 2,
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)==_{\operatorname{def}} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(D^{n}, \partial D^{n} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta,(1) ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right), \text { for } d^{\prime} \equiv d \equiv 1 \bmod 2, \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D^{n}$ stands for the standard $n$-ball.
Assuming the $\Lambda$-condition (3.9), for any the choice of $\kappa_{1} \in \pi_{0}\left(\partial Y_{1}\right)$ and $\kappa_{2} \in \pi_{0}\left(\partial Y_{2}\right)$, let us introduce an operation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\uplus: \mathrm{QT}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(Y_{1} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right) \times \mathrm{QT}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(Y_{2} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{QT}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(Y_{1} \#_{\partial} Y_{2} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right) . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $H \approx D^{n-1} \times D^{1}$ be a 1-handle attached to $Y_{1} \amalg Y_{2}$, to the preferred connected components $\kappa_{1}$ and $\kappa_{2}$ of $\partial Y_{1}$ and $\partial Y_{2}$. The result is the connected sum $Y_{1} \#_{\partial} Y_{2}$. From now and on, we assume that the handles are attached so that the corners are smoothened and the resulting manifold has a smooth boundary. Different attachments of $H$ produce diffeomorphc connected sums, provided that the disks $D^{n-1} \times\{0\} \subset H$ and $D^{n-1} \times\{1\} \subset H$ are placed in the same connected components $\left(\partial Y_{1}\right)_{\kappa_{1}}$ and $\left(\partial Y_{2}\right)_{\kappa_{2}}$ of the boundaries $\partial Y_{1}$ and $\partial Y_{2}$.

In case (1) from (3.9), $\mathbf{c} \Lambda=(\emptyset)$, thus forcing the two immersions $\beta_{i}: M_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times Y_{i}$ $(i=1,2)$ to be immersions of closed manifolds. With $\left(\partial Y_{1}\right)_{\kappa_{1}}$ and $\left(\partial Y_{2}\right)_{\kappa_{2}}$ being fixed, the $\operatorname{map} \beta_{1} \amalg \beta_{2}: M_{1} \amalg M_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times\left(Y_{1} \#_{\partial} Y_{2}\right)$ is a well-defined immersion within its quasitopy class. Note that by (1) from (3.9), $\left(\beta_{1} \amalg \beta_{2}\right)\left(M_{1} \amalg M_{2}\right)$ is disjoint from $\mathbb{R} \times \partial\left(Y_{1} \#{ }_{\partial} Y_{2}\right)$. Thus we put $\beta_{1} \uplus \beta_{2}={ }_{\operatorname{def}} \beta_{1} \amalg \stackrel{\beta_{2}}{2}$.

In case (2) from (3.9), by an action of a diffeomorphism from $\operatorname{Diff}_{0}\left(\mathcal{L}_{i}\right)$, we insure that $\beta_{i}\left(\partial M_{i}\right)=\{0\} \times \partial Y_{i}$, where $i=1,2$ and $\{0\} \in \mathbb{R}$. The $\operatorname{Diff}_{0}\left(\mathcal{L}_{i}\right)$-action does not change the quasitopy classes of $\left\{\beta_{i}\right\}$. As we attach a 1 -handle $H$ to $Y_{1} \amalg Y_{2}$ to form $Y_{1} \#_{\partial} Y_{2}$, we simultaneously attach the 1-handle $\tilde{H}=\{0\} \times H$ to $M_{1} \amalg M_{2}$ to form $M_{1} \#{ }_{\partial} M_{2}$ and extend $\beta_{1} \amalg \beta_{2}$ across $\tilde{H}$ to a new map $\beta: M_{1} \#_{\partial} M_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times\left(Y_{1} \#_{\partial} Y_{2}\right)$. On $\tilde{H}$, $\beta$ is the obvious diffeomorphism $\tilde{H} \rightarrow H$. If $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are oriented, the handle $\tilde{H}$ is attached so that the preferred orientations extend across the handle, and then $H$ is attached so that the map $\tilde{H} \rightarrow H$ is a regular embedding. The transversality of $\beta_{i}$ to $\mathbb{R} \times \partial Y_{i}$, together with the property (2) from (3.9), allows us to smoothen the immersed manifold $\beta\left(M_{1} \#{ }_{\partial} M_{2}\right)=\beta_{1}\left(M_{1}\right) \bigcup \tilde{H} \bigcup \beta_{2}\left(M_{2}\right)$ in the neighborhood of $\tilde{H}$. This smoothing construction again is well-defined within the relative quasitopy classes of $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$. So we put $\beta_{1} \uplus \beta_{2}={ }_{\text {def }} \beta$.

To summarize, the operation $\uplus$ is well-defined for the elements of the sets $\mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta$, $\left.(\emptyset) ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$, where $d \equiv 0 \bmod 2$, and for the elements of the sets $\mathcal{Q} \mathcal{i}_{d}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta,(1) ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$, where $d \equiv 1 \bmod 2$.

In particular, by fixing a diffeomorphism $\phi: D^{n} \#{ }_{\partial} D^{n} \approx D^{n}$, we get two interesting special cases of the operation $\uplus$ :

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\uplus: \mathrm{G}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right) \times \mathrm{G}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{G}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right),  \tag{3.15}\\
\uplus: \mathrm{OG}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right) \times \mathrm{OG}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{OG}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Proposition 3.2. For any two closed subposets $\Theta^{\prime} \subset \Theta \subset \boldsymbol{\Omega}$, where $(\emptyset) \notin \Theta$ for $d \equiv 0$ $\bmod 2$ and $(1) \notin \Theta$ for $d \equiv 1 \bmod 2$, the operation $\uplus$ introduces a group structure to the sets $\mathrm{G}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{OG}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$ (see 3.12) and (3.13). For $n>1$, all these groups are abelian.

Proof. In this proof, for a given map $\alpha: X \rightarrow X$ of a topological space $X$, we denote by $\tilde{\alpha}$ the product map id $\times \alpha: \mathbb{R} \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times X$.

The following arguments work equally well for $\mathrm{OG}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{G}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$, the oriented and non-oriented quasitopies over the $n$-ball $D^{n}$.

Let $B^{n}=D^{n} \#{ }_{\partial} D^{n}$ be a $n$-ball, represented as a connected sum of two standard balls $D^{n}$. Let $H \approx D^{n-1} \times I$ be the 1-handle that participates in the construction of the ball $B^{n}$ as a connected sum: $B^{n}=D^{n} \cup H \cup D^{n}$. We fix a diffeomorphism $\chi: D^{n} \subset B^{n}$, with identifies the ball $D^{n}$ with the first ball in the connected sum $B^{n}$, and a diffeomorphism $\phi: B^{n} \approx D^{n}$. Consider an isotopy $\left\{\psi_{t}: B^{n} \rightarrow B^{n}\right\}_{t \in[0,1]}$ that starts with the identity map and terminates with the diffeomorphism $\psi_{1}$ whose image is $\chi\left(D^{n}\right) \subset B^{n}$. With the help of $\phi^{-1}$, we transfer the isotopy $\psi_{t}$ to an isotopy $\left\{\eta_{t}: D^{n} \rightarrow D^{n}\right\}_{t \in[0,1]}$. The isotopy $\psi_{t}$ lifts to the isotopy $\tilde{\psi}_{t}=\mathrm{id} \times \psi_{t}$ of $\mathbb{R} \times B^{n}$, and the isotopy $\eta_{t}$ lifts to the isotopy $\tilde{\eta}_{t}=\mathrm{id} \times \eta_{t}$ of $\mathbb{R} \times D^{n}$.

For $d \equiv 0 \bmod 2$, the neutral element $\beta_{\star}$ is represented by $\beta_{\star}: \emptyset \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times D^{n}$ (by the "empty" cylinder). For $d \equiv 1 \bmod 2$, the neutral element $\beta_{\star}$ is represented by the obvious embedding $\beta_{\star}: D^{n} \rightarrow\{0\} \times D^{n} \subset \mathbb{R} \times D^{n}$.

We use $\tilde{\eta}_{t}$ to show that, for any immersion $\beta: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times D^{n}$ and $d \equiv 0 \bmod 2$, the immersion $\tilde{\phi} \circ\left(\beta \uplus \beta_{\star}\right): M \#_{\partial} \emptyset \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times D^{n}$ is isotopic (and thus quasitopic) to $\beta$ : $M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times D^{n}$. We identify $M \#{ }_{\partial} D^{n}$ with $M$ with the help of a diffeomorphism $\rho$. For $d \equiv 1 \bmod 2$ and any immersion $\beta: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times D^{n}$, with the help of $\tilde{\eta}_{t}$, the immersion $\tilde{\phi} \circ\left(\beta \uplus \beta_{\star}\right) \circ \rho^{-1}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times D^{n}$ is isotopic to $\beta$. This validates the existence of the neutral element $\beta_{\star}$ for the operation $\uplus$ within the ( $d, d ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta$ )-quasitopy classes. Of course, any ( $d, d ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta$ )-quasitopy is automatically a ( $\left.d, d^{\prime} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$-quasitopy.

Consider an involution $\tau: B^{n} \rightarrow B^{n}$ that flips the two copies of $D^{n}$ in $B^{n}$ and has the ball $B^{n-1}$ in the middle of 1-handle $H \approx B^{n-1} \times I$ as its fixed point set. Then, for any immersion $\beta: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times D^{n}$, the immersion $\tilde{\phi} \circ \tilde{\tau} \circ \tilde{\chi} \circ \beta$ plays the role of the inverse $\beta^{-1}$ with respect to $\uplus$. Indeed, consider the unit half-disk $D_{+}^{2}$ and the unit half-cylinder $C_{+}^{n+1}:=D_{+}^{2} \times B^{n-1}$, inscribed in the cylinder $B^{n} \times[0,1+\epsilon], \epsilon>0$. We will use the rotations $\tau(\theta)$ of $D^{2} \times B^{n-1} \supset C_{+}^{n+1}$ around the axis $B^{n-1}$ at the angles $\theta \in[0, \pi]$, so that $\tau(\pi)=\tau$. Let $N=M \times[0, \pi]$. In the case $d \equiv 0 \bmod 2$, we form an immersion

$$
A: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times C_{+}^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{R} \times B^{n} \times[0,1+\epsilon],
$$

defined by the formula $A(m, \theta)=\tilde{\tau}(\theta) \circ \beta(m)$.
Let $\{\underline{0}\} \in \mathbb{R}$ denote the origin. In the case $d \equiv 1 \bmod 2$, to satisfy Definition 3.7 for $\mathbf{c} \Lambda=(1)$, we need to insure that
$A(N) \cap\left\{\mathbb{R} \times\left(\partial\left(B^{n} \times[0,1+\epsilon]\right) \backslash\left(B^{n} \times\{0\}\right)\right)\right\}=\{\underline{0}\} \times\left\{\partial\left(B^{n} \times[0,1+\epsilon]\right) \backslash\left(B^{n} \times\{0\}\right)\right\}$.

Therefore, we further isotop $A$ radially in each of the multipliers $D_{+}^{2}$ onto the rectangle $D^{1} \times[0,1+\epsilon]$. The result is an isotopy of $A$ inside the cylinder $\{\underline{0}\} \times B^{n} \times[0,1+\epsilon]$.

Since $\{\tilde{\tau}(\theta)\}$ preserve the combinatorial $\mathcal{L}$-tangency patterns of $\beta(M)$ within to the ambient foliation $\mathcal{L}^{\bullet}$ on $\mathbb{R} \times B^{n} \times[0,1+\epsilon]$, we conclude that the cobordism $A: N \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R} \times B^{n} \times[0,1+\epsilon]$ delivers $(d, d ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta)$-quasitopy between $\beta \uplus \beta^{-1}$ and the neutral element $\beta_{\star}$, provided that $\mathbf{c} \Lambda$ is as in the hypotheses of the proposition.

Next, we need to verify the associativity of the operation $\uplus$. The argument is similar to the one that has validated that $\beta \uplus \beta_{\star}$ is $(d, d ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta)$-quasitopic to $\beta$. It uses diffeomorphisms $\phi_{21}: D^{n} \#{ }_{\partial} D^{n} \rightarrow D^{n}$ and $\phi_{32}: D^{n} \#{ }_{\partial} D^{n} \#{ }_{\partial} D^{n} \rightarrow D^{n} \#{ }_{\partial} D^{n}$, embeddings $\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}: D^{n} \rightarrow D^{n} \#_{\partial} D^{n}$ on the first and the second ball, and the embeddings $\chi_{12}, \chi_{23}$ : $D^{n} \#_{\partial} D^{n} \rightarrow D^{n} \#_{\partial} D^{n} \#_{\partial} D^{n}$ on the first and the second ball and on the second and third ball in $D^{n} \#{ }_{\partial} D^{n} \#{ }_{\partial} D^{n}$, respectively. We leave the rest of the argument to the reader.

The validation of the fact that $\uplus$ is commutative for $n>1$ is a bit more involved and similar to the classical proof of the fact that the homotopy groups $\pi_{n}(\sim)$ of spaces are commutative for $n>1$ (see Fig. 3). Let us sketch this validation.


Figure 3. Proving the commutativity of the operation $\uplus$ in the group $\mathrm{G}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$ for $n \geq 2$. The three bold arrows show the effects of isotopies in $\mathbb{R} \times B^{n}$.

As before, put $B^{n}=D_{1}^{n} \cup H \cup D_{2}^{n}$. We start with the case $d \equiv 0 \bmod 2$ and two immersions $\beta_{i}: M_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times B^{n}(i=1,2)$ such that the image of $\beta_{i}$ belongs to the cylinder $\mathbb{R} \times D_{i}^{n}$. Consider an equatorial hyperplane $K^{n-1} \subset B^{n}$ (the horizontal punctured line in Fig. 3), transversal to the ball $B^{n-1} \subset H$ and such that $K^{n-1}$ is the fixed point set of another involution $\lambda$ on $B^{n}$. So the pair of $(n-1)$-balls $K^{n-1}$ and $B^{n-1}$ divide $B^{n}$ in the
four regions ("quadrants"): $B_{I}^{n}, B_{I I}^{n}, B_{I I I}^{n}, B_{I V}^{n}$, ordered counterclockwise around the axle $K^{n-1} \cap B^{n-1}$. Each of these regions is homeomorphic to a $n$-ball.

First, we choose an isotopy $\eta_{I I I}^{t}$ to compress $D_{1}^{n}=B_{I I}^{n} \cup B_{I I I}^{n}$ in the interior of the region $B_{I I I}^{n}$ and an isotopy $\eta_{I}^{t}$ to compress $D_{2}^{n}=B_{I}^{n} \cup B_{I V}^{n}$ in the interior of the region $B_{I}^{n}$. We may assume that these isotopies are smooth in the interior of the relevant regions. We lift $\eta_{I I I}^{t}$ to an isotopy $\tilde{\eta}_{I I I}^{t}$ and compose it with $\beta_{1}$. We lift $\eta_{I}^{t}$ to an isotopy $\tilde{\eta}_{I}^{t}$ and compose it with $\beta_{2}$. Let us denote these compositions by $\beta_{1, I I I}$ and $\beta_{2, I}$, respectively. Next, we choose an isotopy $\zeta_{I I}^{t}$ to compress $B_{I}^{n} \cup B_{I I}^{n}$ in the interior of $B_{I I}^{n}$, and an isotopy $\zeta_{I V}^{t}$ to compress $B_{I I I}^{n} \cup B_{I V}^{n}$ in the interior of $B_{I V}^{n}$. The composition $\tilde{\zeta}_{I I}^{t} \circ \beta_{2, I}$ places the image of $M_{2}$ over the quadrant $B_{I I}^{n}$ and composition $\tilde{\zeta}_{I V}^{t} \circ \beta_{1, I I I}$ places the image $M_{1}$ over the quadrant $B_{I V}^{n}$. To complete the cycle that "switches" $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$, it remains to expand the image $\tilde{\zeta}_{I I}^{t} \circ \beta_{2, I}\left(M_{2}\right) \subset \mathbb{R} \times B_{I I}^{n}$ into $\tilde{\tau}\left(M_{2}\right)$ and the image $\tilde{\zeta}_{I V}^{t} \circ \beta_{1, I I I}\left(M_{1}\right) \subset \mathbb{R} \times B_{I V}^{n}$ into $\tilde{\tau}\left(M_{1}\right)$.

In the case $d \equiv 1 \bmod 2$, more careful arguments are needed to insure that $\beta_{1} \uplus \beta_{2}$ is $(d, d ; \mathbf{c} \Theta,(1) ; \mathbf{c} \Theta)$-quasitopic to $\left(\tilde{\tau} \circ \beta_{2}\right) \uplus\left(\tilde{\tau} \circ \beta_{1}\right)$. They are similar to the ones we used to prove that $\beta \uplus(\tilde{\tau} \circ \beta)$ is $(d, d ; \mathbf{c} \Theta,(1) ; \mathbf{c} \Theta)$-quasitopic to the neutral element $\beta_{\star}$.
Remark 3.4. We stress that the "1-dimensional" groups $\mathrm{G}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(1 ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$ typically are not commutative. There are quite a few examples (see Corollary 3.12 and Fig. 3) where, for $\Theta^{\prime}=\Theta$ and $d^{\prime}=d$, they are free groups with the number of free generators being bounded from above by some quadratic functions in $d$. In general, these groups form an interesting class, whose presentations are well-understood KSW1 and whose group theoretical properties deserve a study.

For a given group G, we denote by (G) ${ }^{r}$ its $r$-fold direct product.
Corollary 3.3. Let $\Theta^{\prime} \subset \Theta \subset \boldsymbol{\Omega}$ be two closed subposets, where $(\emptyset) \notin \Theta$ for $d \equiv 0 \bmod 2$ and $(1) \notin \Theta$ for $d \equiv 1 \bmod 2$.

For any compact connected smooth n-dimensional manifold $Y$ with boundary that has $r$ connected components, the group $\left(\mathrm{G}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)\right)^{r}$ acts, with the help of the operation $\uplus$ from 3.14), on the set $\mathrm{QT}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$. Similarly, $\left(\mathrm{OG}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{r}$ acts on $\mathrm{OQT}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$.
Proof. Let $\mathcal{G}:=\mathrm{G}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c}^{\prime}\right)$. By Proposition $3.2, \mathcal{G}$ is a group with respect to the $\uplus$ operation. By the arguments that follow (3.14), for each choice of a connected component $\partial_{\kappa} Y$ of $\partial Y$, the group $\mathcal{G}$ acts via formula 3.14 on the set $\mathrm{QT}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$. In the case of an even $d$ and $\mathbf{c} \Lambda=(\emptyset)$, for a pair $\beta: \bar{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times Y$, and $\beta^{\prime}: M^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times D^{n}$, the action is defined by the formula $\beta \amalg \beta^{\prime}: M \coprod M^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times\left(Y \# \partial_{\kappa} D^{n}\right) \approx \mathbb{R} \times Y$, where $\# \partial_{\kappa}$ is the boundary connected sum that employs the connected component $\partial_{\kappa} Y$. In the case of an odd $d$ and $\mathbf{c} \Lambda=(1)$, for a given pair $\beta: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times Y$ and $\beta^{\prime}: M^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times D^{n}$, the action is defined by the formula $\beta \# \partial_{\kappa} \beta^{\prime}: M \#_{\partial_{\kappa}^{\dagger}} M^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times\left(Y \# \partial_{\kappa} D^{n}\right)$, where $\# \partial_{\partial_{k}^{\dagger}}$ is a boundary connected sum using the single component of $\partial M$, embedded by $\beta$ in $\mathbb{R} \times \partial_{\kappa} Y$, and the single component of $\partial M^{\prime}$, embedded by $\beta^{\prime}$ in $\mathbb{R} \times \partial D^{n}$.

For different choices of elements $\kappa \in \pi_{0}(\partial Y)$, these $\mathcal{G}_{\kappa}$-actions evidently commute. Thus $\mathcal{G}^{r}$ acts on $\mathrm{QT}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c}^{\prime}\right)$, where $r=\#\left(\pi_{0}(\partial Y)\right)$. Similar arguments work for the oriented case.

Remark 3.5. We will see soon that the groups $\mathrm{G}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$ may have elements of infinite order, as well as some torsion, as complex as the torsion of the homotopy groups of spheres. As a result, the $\mathrm{G}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$-orbits in $\mathrm{QT}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$ may have complex and diverse periods. We have a limited understanding of the orbit-space of this action; however, for embeddings, this problem is reduced to the homotopy theory of the spaces of real polynomials with constrained real divisors. In some cases (see Proposition 3.12 and Example 3.5 , we can estimate the "size" of the orbit-space $\mathrm{QT}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right) / \mathrm{G}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$.

Given two pairs of spaces $X_{1} \supset A_{1}$ and $X_{2} \supset A_{2}$, we denote by $\left[\left(X_{1}, A_{1}\right),\left(X_{2}, A_{2}\right)\right]$ the set of homotopy classes of continuous maps $g: X_{1} \rightarrow X_{2}$ such that $g\left(A_{1}\right) \subset A_{2}$.

Definition 3.10. Given three pairs of spaces $X_{1} \supset A_{1}, X_{2} \supset A_{2}$, and $X_{3} \supset A_{3}$ and a fixed continuous map $\epsilon:\left(X_{2}, A_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(X_{3}, A_{3}\right)$, we denote by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left[\left(X_{1}, A_{1}\right), \epsilon:\left(X_{2}, A_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(X_{3}, A_{3}\right)\right]\right] \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

the set of homotopy classes $[g]$ of continuous maps $g:\left(X_{1}, A_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(X_{2}, A_{2}\right)$, modulo the following equivalence relation: $\left[g_{0}\right] \sim\left[g_{1}\right]$, where $g_{0}:\left(X_{1}, A_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(X_{2}, A_{2}\right)$ and $g_{1}:\left(X_{1}, A_{1}\right) \rightarrow$ $\left(X_{2}, A_{2}\right)$, if the compositions $\epsilon \circ g_{0}$ and $\epsilon \circ g_{1}$ are homotopic as maps from $\left(X_{1}, A_{1}\right)$ to $\left(X_{3}, A_{3}\right)$.

If all the spaces above are locally compact $C W$-complexes, then the set in 3.16) is countable or finite.

The next two propositions deliver new characteristic homotopy classes of immersions/embeddings against a fixed background of 1-foliations of the product type.

Proposition 3.3. Let $\Theta^{\prime} \subset \Theta \subset \Lambda \subset \boldsymbol{\Omega}$ be closed subposets. Let $M$, $Y$ be smooth compact n-manifolds.

- Any proper immersion/embedding $\beta:(M, \partial M) \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y)$, such that:
(1) $m^{\beta}(y) \leq d$ (see (3.4)) and $m^{\beta}(y) \equiv d \bmod 2$ for all $y \in Y$,
(2) the combinatorial patterns $\left\{\omega^{\beta}(y)\right\}_{y \in Y}$ belong to $\mathbf{c} \Theta$,
(3) the combinatorial patterns $\left\{\omega^{\beta}(y)\right\}_{y \in \partial Y}$, belong to $\mathbf{c} \Lambda$,
generates a continuous map $\Phi_{d}^{\beta}:(Y, \partial Y) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, \mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Lambda}\right)$, whose homotopy class $\left[\Phi^{\beta}\right]$ depends on $\beta$ only.
- Moreover, any such pair
$\beta_{0}:\left(M_{0}, \partial M_{0}\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y)$ and $\beta_{1}:\left(M_{1}, \partial M_{1}\right) \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y)$
of $\left(d, d^{\prime} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$-quasitopic immersions/embeddings generates homotopic composit maps
$\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}} \circ \Phi^{\beta_{0}}:(Y, \partial Y) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta^{\prime}}, \mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c} \Lambda}\right)$ and $\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}} \circ \Phi^{\beta_{1}}:(Y, \partial Y) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta^{\prime}}, \mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c} \Lambda}\right)$.

Thus we get a well-defined map

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\Phi_{d, d^{\prime}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c}^{\prime}\right): \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \\
\rightarrow\left[\left[(Y, \partial Y), \epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}:\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, \mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Lambda}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta^{\prime}}, \mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathbf{c} \Lambda}\right)\right]\right]
\end{array}
$$

from the (oriented) quasitopies of immersions/embeddings to the homotopy classes of triples of spaces, as introduced in Definition 3.10.

Proof. The two claims follow from Theorem [3.1, being applied first to proper immersions $\beta_{0}: M_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times Y$ and $\beta_{1}: \beta_{1}: M_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times Y$, and then to the proper immersion $B:(N, \delta N) \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y \times[0,1], \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y \times[0,1])$ of a relative cobordism $N$ between $M_{0}$ and $M_{1} \cup \delta N$. There is only one technical wrinkle in this application: $N$ is a compact manifold with corners $\partial M_{0} \amalg \partial M_{1}$; so Theorem 3.1 must be adjusted to such a cituation. Note that $B$ is transversal to both hypersurfaces, $\mathbb{R} \times Y \times \partial[0,1]$ and to $\mathbb{R} \times \partial Y \times[0,1]$. This fact allows to add a collar to $Y \times[0,1]$ and to extend the arguments of Theorem 3.1 to a new enlarged $(n+1)$-manifold $\hat{Z}$ whose interior contains $Y \times[0,1]$.

Thus the map $\Phi^{B}:(Y \times[0,1], \partial Y \times[0,1]) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta^{\prime}}, \mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c} \Lambda}\right)$ delivers a homotopy between the maps $\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}} \circ \Phi^{\beta_{0}}$ and $\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}} \circ \Phi^{\beta_{1}}$. Revisiting Definition 3.10, this implies that (3.17) is a well-defined map. In fact, similar map may be defined from the oriented quasitopies.

It will follow from formula (3.24) below that the map in (3.17) is surjective.
Corollary 3.4. Under the hypotheses of Proposition 3.3 and assuming the $\Lambda$-condition (3.9), we get a well-defined map

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{d, d^{\prime}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c}^{\prime}\right): \mathrm{QT}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \\
\rightarrow & {\left.\left[(Y, \partial Y), \epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}:\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta}, p t\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta^{\prime}}, p t^{\prime}\right)\right]\right] } \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

where the base point $p t \in \mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c} \Lambda}$ and $p t^{\prime}=\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}(p t)$. Similarly, a map from $\mathrm{OQT}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$ to the same target is available.
Proof. If $\mathbf{c} \Lambda=(\emptyset)$ or $\mathbf{c} \Lambda=(1)$, then the spaces $\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c} \Lambda}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathbf{c} \Lambda}$ are contractible. So the corollary follows from Proposition 3.3 .

Let $Y$ be a connected $n$-dimensional manifold with boundary. Let us pick a preferred component $\partial Y_{i}$ of $\partial Y$. Then the group $\pi_{n}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, p t\right) / \operatorname{ker}\left(\left(\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}\right)_{*}\right)$ acts naturally on the set $\left[\left[(Y, \partial Y), \epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}\right]\right]$ by forming the connected sum $g \# \partial Y_{i} f$ of maps $f:\left(D^{n}, \partial D^{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, p t\right)$ and $g:(Y, \partial Y) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, p t\right)$. As a result, we get an action of $\left(\pi_{n}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, p t\right) / \operatorname{ker}\left(\left(\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}\right)_{*}\right)\right)^{r}$ on $\left[\left[(Y, \partial Y), \epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}\right]\right]$, where $r=\#\left(\pi_{0}(\partial Y)\right)$. Let us denote this action by $h \# \partial_{1} f_{1} \# \partial_{2} \ldots \# \partial_{r} f_{r}$, where $h \in\left[\left[(Y, \partial Y), \epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}\right]\right], f_{i} \in \pi_{n}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, p t\right)$, and $\# \partial_{i} f_{i}$ stands for forming a boundary connected sum map $h \#{ }_{\partial} f_{i}$ with $h$ by using the $i^{\text {th }}$ component of $\partial Y$.

- Assuming the $\Lambda$-condition (3.9), in what follows, we use the abbreviation

$$
\Phi_{d, d^{\prime}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)=_{\operatorname{def}} \Phi_{d, d^{\prime}}\left(D^{n}, \partial D^{n} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Combining Proposition 3.2 with Proposition 3.3, leads to the following result.
Proposition 3.4. Under the $\Lambda$-condition (3.9),

- the map in (3.17) generates a group homomorphism

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\Phi_{d, d^{\prime}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right): \quad \mathrm{G}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \\
\rightarrow \pi_{n}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, p t\right) / \operatorname{ker}\left\{\left(\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}\right)_{*}: \pi_{n}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, p t\right) \rightarrow \pi_{n}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta^{\prime}}, p t^{\prime}\right)\right\}, \tag{3.19}
\end{array}
$$

where the group operation in $\mathrm{G}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$ is " $\uplus " \|^{4}$
For $d \equiv 0 \bmod 2$, the base points $p t \in \mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta}$ resides in the chamber $\mathrm{R}_{d}^{(\emptyset)}$ of positive monic polynomials, and for $d \equiv 1 \bmod 2$, in the chamber $\mathrm{R}_{d}^{(1)}$ of monic polynomials with a single simple real root. Similar choices of the base point are made for $p t^{\prime} \in \mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta^{\prime}}$.

- Let $r=\#\left(\pi_{0}(\partial Y)\right)$. The $r^{\text {th }}$ power of the homomorphism $\Phi_{d, d^{\prime}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$ from 3.19) generates a representation of the group $\left(\mathrm{G}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)\right)^{r}$ in the group

$$
\left(\pi_{n}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, p t\right) / \operatorname{ker}\left\{\left(\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}\right)_{*}: \pi_{n}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, p t\right) \rightarrow \pi_{n}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta^{\prime}}, p t^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right)^{r}
$$

With the help of this representation, the map in (3.17) is equivariant with respect to the $\left(\mathrm{G}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)\right)^{r}$-action on the set $\mathrm{QT}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c}^{\prime}\right)$ and with respect to the $\left(\pi_{n}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, p t\right) / \operatorname{ker}\left\{\left(\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}\right)_{*}: \pi_{n}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, p t\right) \rightarrow \pi_{n}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta^{\prime}}, p t^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right)^{r}$ - action on the set $\left[\left[(Y, \partial Y), \epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}:\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, \mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Lambda}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta^{\prime}}, \mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c} \Lambda}\right)\right]\right]$.

- Similar claims hold for the oriented quasitopies $\mathrm{OQT}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$ and the $\left(\mathrm{OG}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)\right)^{r}$-action on them.
Proof. By Proposition 3.3, we get a group representation $\Phi_{d, d^{\prime}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$ from (3.19). By Corollary 3.3, the group $\left(\mathrm{G}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{r}$ acts on $\mathrm{QT}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$. Employing Corollary 3.4, we get a well-defined map $\Phi(Y)=\operatorname{def} \Phi_{d, d^{\prime}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c}^{\prime}\right)$ from 3.17).

If a map $F:(Y, \partial Y) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, p t\right)$, being composed with $\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}$, becomes null-homotopic in $\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta^{\prime}}, p t^{\prime}\right)$, then for any map $G:\left(D^{n}, \partial D^{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, p t\right)$ such that $\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}} \circ G$ is null-homotopic in $\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta^{\prime}}, p t^{\prime}\right)$, the map $\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}} \circ\left(G \#{ }_{\partial} F\right)$ is null-homotopic in $\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta^{\prime}}, p t^{\prime}\right)$ as well. Thus the action of the group $\pi_{n}\left(\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, p t\right)\right) / \operatorname{ker}\left\{\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}\right\}$ on the set $\left[\left[(Y, \partial Y), \epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}:\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, \mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Lambda}\right) \rightarrow\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left(\mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta^{\prime}}, \mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c} \Lambda}\right)\right]\right]$ is well-defined. Now, thanks to Theorem 3.1, it is on the level of definitions to verify the equivariant property of $\Phi(Y)$, namely, that

$$
\Phi(Y)\left(\beta \uplus_{1} \gamma_{1} \uplus_{2} \gamma_{2} \ldots \uplus_{r} \gamma_{r}\right)=\Phi(Y)(\beta) \#_{\partial_{1}} \Phi\left(D^{n}\right)\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \ldots \#_{\partial_{r}} \Phi\left(D^{n}\right)\left(\gamma_{r}\right),
$$

where $\beta \in \operatorname{QT}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$ and $\gamma_{i} \in \mathrm{G}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$, or $\beta \in \mathrm{OQT}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$ and $\gamma_{i} \in \mathrm{OG}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$.
Definition 3.11. Let $\Theta^{\prime} \subset \Theta \subset \Lambda \subset \boldsymbol{\Omega}$ be closed subposets.
Consider the obvious map $\mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Lambda, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$.

[^4]We denote by ${ }^{\dagger} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$ its image. It is represented by immersions/ embeddings $\beta:(M, \partial M) \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y)$, whose combinatorial tangency patterns to the foliation $\mathcal{L}$ belong to the open subposet $\mathbf{c} \Lambda \subset \mathbf{c} \Theta$. We call such elements combinatorially trivial.

Then we introduce the quotient set by the formula:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{Q T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{def}  \tag{3.20}\\
\mathcal{Q T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c}^{\prime}\right) /{ }^{\dagger} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Lambda, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

Under the $\Lambda$-condition (3.9), we get

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathbf{Q T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c}^{\prime}\right)=  \tag{3.21}\\
=\mathcal{Q T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c}^{\prime}\right)={ }_{\operatorname{def}} \mathrm{QT}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c}^{\prime}\right)
\end{array}
$$

since ${ }^{\dagger} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Lambda, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c}^{\prime}\right)$ is represented by a single element, an empty embedding or the embedding id : $Y \rightarrow\{0\} \times Y \subset \mathbb{R} \times Y$. In particular, if $\partial Y=\emptyset$, then we get the bijection (3.21). When $Y$ is orientable, similar definitions/constructions of $\mathrm{OQT}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$ are available for the oriented quasitopies.
Lemma 3.6. Let $\Theta^{\prime} \subset \Theta \subset \Lambda \subset \boldsymbol{\Omega}$ be closed subposets, and let $Y$ be a compact manifold. We pick a pair of natural numbers $d \leq d^{\prime}$ of the same parity.

- Any smooth $\left(\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}\right)$-regular map $h:(Y, \partial Y) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, \mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Lambda}\right)$ produces a proper regular embedding $\beta_{h}:(M, \partial M) \hookrightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y)$ of some compact $n$-manifold $M$. The combinatorial patterns of $\beta_{h}$, relative to the foliation $\mathcal{L}$, belong to $\mathbf{c} \Theta$ and of its restriction to $\partial M$ belong to $\mathbf{c} \Lambda$. Moreover, $\Phi^{\beta_{h}}=h$.
- Any pair $h_{0}, h_{1}:(Y, \partial Y) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, \mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Lambda}\right)$ of $\left(\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}\right)$-regular maps such that $\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}} \circ h_{0}$ and $\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}} \circ h_{1}$ are linked by a smooth $\left(\partial \mathcal{E}_{d^{\prime}}\right)$-regular homotopy

$$
H:(Y \times[0,1], \partial Y \times[0,1]) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta^{\prime}}, \mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c} \Lambda}\right)
$$

gives rise to a $\left(d, d^{\prime} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$-quasitopy $B_{H}: N \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R} \times Y \times[0,1]$ between $\beta_{h_{0}}$ and $\beta_{h_{1}}$. Moreover, $\Phi^{B_{H}}=H$.

- If $Y$ is orientable, then so are $M$ and $N$.

Proof. The first claim of the lemma follows from Definition 3.4, since for a $\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}$-regular map, the preimage of the hypersurface $\partial \mathcal{E}_{d} \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{P}_{d}$ under id $\times h$ is a regularly embedded codimension 1 submanifold $M$ of $\mathbb{R} \times Y$, and the preimage of $\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}$ under the map id $\times\left. h\right|_{\partial Y}$, where $\left.h\right|_{\partial Y}$ is a $\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}$-regular map, is the boundary $\partial M$, regularly embedded in $\mathbb{R} \times \partial Y$. Recall that the map id $\times h$ pulls back the universal function $\wp(u, x): \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to the function (id $\times h)^{*}(\wp)$ on $\mathbb{R} \times Y$ for which 0 is a regular value. Using this function $(\text { id } \times h)^{*}(\wp)$, the arguments from Theorem 3.1 imply that $\Phi^{\beta_{h}}=h$.

Let us validate the second claim. Recall that $\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Lambda}\right) \subset \mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c} \Lambda}$, since $\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}$ preserves the combinatorial types $\omega$ of real divisors of real polynomials.

By definition of $\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}$, we get $\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}^{*}\left(\wp_{d^{\prime}}\right)=\left(u^{2}+1\right)^{\left(d^{\prime}-d\right) / 2} \cdot \wp_{d}$. Thus

$$
\left(\text { id } \times\left(\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}} \circ h\right)\right)^{*}\left(\wp_{d^{\prime}}\right)=(\mathrm{id} \times h)^{*}\left(\wp_{d}\right) \cdot Q,
$$

where $Q: \mathbb{R} \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$is a smooth positive function. So the zero loci

$$
\left\{\left(\mathrm{id} \times\left(\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}} \circ h\right)\right)^{*}\left(\wp_{d^{\prime}}\right)=0\right\} \text { and }\left\{(\mathrm{id} \times h)^{*}\left(\wp_{d}\right)=0\right\}
$$

coincide. Moreover, using that $Q>0$, if the 1 -jet of (id $\times h)^{*}\left(\wp_{d}\right)$ does not vanish along $\left\{(\mathrm{id} \times h)^{*}\left(\wp_{d}\right)=0\right\}$, then the 1 -jet of $(\mathrm{id} \times h)^{*}\left(\wp_{d}\right) \cdot Q$ does not vanish along the shared locus. Therefore, if $(\mathrm{id} \times h)^{*}\left(\wp_{d}\right)$ has 0 is a regular value, then $\left(\mathrm{id} \times\left(\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}} \circ h\right)\right)^{*}\left(\wp_{d^{\prime}}\right)$ has 0 as a regular value as well.

Now, given two $\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}$-regular maps $h_{0}, h_{1}:(Y, \partial Y) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, \mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Lambda}\right)$ such that $\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}} \circ h_{0}$ and $\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}} \circ h_{1}$ are linked by a smooth $\partial \mathcal{E}_{d^{\prime}}$-regular homotopy

$$
H:(Y \times[0,1], \partial Y \times[0,1]) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta^{\prime}}, \mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c} \Lambda}\right)
$$

we conclude that the preimage of $\partial \mathcal{E}_{d^{\prime}}$ under id $\times H$ is a regularly embedded codimension 1 submanifold $N \subset \mathbb{R} \times Y \times[0,1]$ with corners $\partial M_{0} \amalg \partial M_{1}$. Its boundary $\partial N=$ $\left(\partial M_{0} \amalg \partial M_{1}\right) \bigcup \delta N$, where $\delta N=H^{-1}\left(\partial \mathcal{E}_{d^{\prime}}\right) \cap(\mathbb{R} \times \partial Y \times[0,1])$. Moreover, for $i=0,1$, the transversality of id $\times H$ to $\partial \mathcal{E}_{d^{\prime}}$ implies the transversality of

$$
\beta_{i}: M_{i}=\left(\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}} \circ h_{i}\right)^{-1}\left(\partial \mathcal{E}_{d^{\prime}}\right) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R} \times Y
$$

to $\mathbb{R} \times \partial Y$, and the transversality of $B: N=H^{-1}\left(\partial \mathcal{E}_{d^{\prime}}\right) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R} \times Y \times[0,1]$ to $\mathbb{R} \times \partial Y \times[0,1]$. Using the pull-back (id $\times H)^{*}\left(\wp_{d^{\prime}}\right)$, again by the arguments from Theorem 3.1, we get that $\Phi^{B_{H}}=H$.

Finally, if $Y$ is oriented, then so are $\mathbb{R} \times Y$ and $\mathbb{R} \times Y \times[0,1]$. Then the pull-backs under id $\times h$ or id $\times H$ of the normal fields $n\left(\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}, \mathcal{E}_{d}\right)$ or $n\left(\partial \mathcal{E}_{d^{\prime}}, \mathcal{E}_{d^{\prime}}\right)$ help to orient $M$ or $N$.

Lemma 3.7 below is a natural generalization of Lemma 3.6 from the case $k=1$ to the case of a general $k$. It is based on Lemma 3.5. We skip the proof of Lemma 3.7, since we will not rely on it. However, its formulation may give a bit wider perspective of our effort.

Lemma 3.7. Let $\Theta^{\prime} \subset \Theta \subset \Lambda \subset \boldsymbol{\Omega}$ be closed sub-posets, and $Y$ a compact manifold. Let $k \leq d, k^{\prime} \leq d^{\prime}, d \leq d^{\prime}, k \leq k^{\prime}$, and $d \equiv d^{\prime} \bmod 2$.

- Any smooth $\left(\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}, k\right)$-regular map $h:(Y, \partial Y) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, \mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Lambda}\right)$ produces a proper immersion $\beta_{h}:(M, \partial M) \hookrightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y)$ of some compact $n$-manifold $M$. The combinatorial patterns of $\beta_{h}$, relative to the foliation $\mathcal{L}$, belong to $\mathbf{c} \Theta$ and of its restriction to $\partial M$ to $\mathbf{c} \Lambda$. Moreover, $\Phi^{\beta_{h}}=h$.
- Any pair $h_{0}, h_{1}:(Y, \partial Y) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, \mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Lambda}\right)$ of $\left(\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}, k\right)$-regular maps such that $\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}} \circ h_{0}$ and $\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}} \circ h_{1}$ are linked by a smooth $\left(\partial \mathcal{E}_{d^{\prime}}, k^{\prime}\right)$-regular homotopy

$$
H:(Y \times[0,1], \partial Y \times[0,1]) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta^{\prime}}, \mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c} \Lambda}\right)
$$

where $k \leq k^{\prime} \leq d^{\prime}$, gives rise to a $\left(d, d^{\prime} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c}^{\prime}\right)$-quasitopy $B_{H}: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times Y \times$ $[0,1]$ between the immersions $\beta_{h_{0}}$ and $\beta_{h_{1}}$. Moreover, $\Phi^{B_{H}}=H$.

The next theorem provides homotopy-theoretical invariants of the quasitopy classes of immersions and embeddings into $\mathbb{R} \times Y$. For embeddings, they compute the quasitopies.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\Theta^{\prime} \subset \Theta \subset \Lambda \subset \boldsymbol{\Omega}$ be closed subposets, and $Y$ be a smooth compact manifold. Assume that $d^{\prime} \geq d$ and $d^{\prime} \equiv d \bmod 2$.

- Then there is a canonicalal bijection

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\mathrm{emb}}: \mathbf{Q T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right) \stackrel{\approx}{\approx}\left[\left[(Y, \partial Y), \epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}:\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta}, \mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c} \Lambda}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}}, \mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathbf{c} \Lambda}\right)\right]\right] .
\end{array}
$$

- Assuming the $\Lambda$-condition (3.9), we get a bijection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{\mathrm{emb}}: \mathrm{QT}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\approx}\left[\left[(Y, \partial Y), \epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}:\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta}, \mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c} \Lambda}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta^{\prime}}, \mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathbf{c} \Lambda}\right)\right]\right] \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a surjection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{\mathrm{imm}}: \mathrm{QT}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{imm}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right) \longrightarrow\left[\left[(Y, \partial Y), \epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}:\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta}, \mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c} \Lambda}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}}, \mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathbf{c} \Lambda}\right)\right]\right] \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Proposition 3.5 below, $\Phi^{\mathrm{imm}}$ admits a right inverse.

- If $Y$ is orientable, the similar claims are valid for oriented quasitopies.

Proof. By Proposition 3.3 , the map $\Phi^{\mathrm{emb}}$ from $(3.23$ is well-defined.
First we show that the map $\Phi^{\mathrm{emb}}$ is surjective. Recall that $\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Lambda} \subset \mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}$ both are open subspaces of $\mathcal{P}_{d} \approx \mathbb{R}^{d}$, and $\mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathbf{c} \Lambda} \subset \mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta}$ are open subspaces of $\mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}} \approx \mathbb{R}^{d^{\prime}}$. Thus any continuous map $h:(Y, \partial Y) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{c \Theta}, \mathcal{P}_{d}^{c \Lambda}\right)$ may be approximated by a smooth map $\tilde{h}$ in the relative homotopy class of $h$. Similarly, any continuous map $H:(Y \times[0,1], \partial Y \times[0,1]) \rightarrow$ $\left(\mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}}, \mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathbf{c} \Lambda}\right)$ may be approximated by a smooth map $\tilde{H}$ which shares with $H$ its relative homotopy class.

By Corollary 3.2, $\tilde{h}$ may be approximated further by a $\left(\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}\right)$-regular map $h^{\dagger}$ which is in the relative homotopy class of $h$. By Lemma 3.6, $h^{\dagger}$ is realized by a proper imbedding of some compact $n$-manifold $\beta:(M, \partial M) \subset(\mathbb{R} \times Y, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y)$ whose tangency to $\mathcal{L}$ patterns belong to $\mathbf{c} \Theta$, while the tangency patterns of $\beta(\partial M)$ belong to $\mathbf{c} \Lambda$. Since the target set of the map $\Phi^{\mathrm{emb}}$ is a quotient of the set $\left[(Y, \partial Y),\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta}, \mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Lambda}\right)\right]$ by an equivalence relation, we conclude that $\Phi^{\mathrm{emb}}$ is surjective.

Now we show that the map $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\text {emb }}$ from $\sqrt{3.22}$ is well-defined and injective. Consider some proper $\left(\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}\right)$-regular embedding $\beta_{0}:(M, \partial M) \subset(\mathbb{R} \times Y, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y)$ such that the map $\psi_{0}={ }_{\operatorname{def}} \epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}} \circ \Phi^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(\beta_{0}\right)$ is homotopic to a map $\psi_{1}:(Y, \partial Y) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}}, \mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c} \Lambda}\right)$ whose image is contained in $\mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathbf{c} \Lambda}$. Again, by Corollary 3.2 , this homotopy $H:(Y, \partial Y) \times[0,1] \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}}, \mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathbf{c} \Lambda}\right)$ can be approximated by a $\left(\partial \mathcal{E}_{d^{\prime}}\right)$-regular homotopy $H^{\dagger}$ which coincides with $\psi_{0}$ on $Y \times\{0\}$. Let $N=\left(\mathrm{id} \times H^{\dagger}\right)^{-1}\left(\partial \mathcal{E}_{d^{\prime}}\right)$. By Lemma 3.6, $H^{\dagger}$ is produced by a cobordism

$$
B:(N, \delta N) \subset(\mathbb{R} \times Y \times[0,1], \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y \times[0,1])
$$

whose other end $\beta_{1}:(M, \partial M) \subset(\mathbb{R} \times Y \times\{1\}, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y \times\{1\})$ has tangency patters (to the fibration $\left.\mathcal{L}^{\bullet}\right)$ that belong $\mathbf{c} \Lambda$. In other words, $\beta_{0} \in{ }^{\dagger} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Lambda, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$, which
represents the trivial element of the quotient $\mathbf{Q T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$. Thus we get the bijection (3.22).

When $\mathbf{c} \Lambda=(\emptyset)$ or (1), the set ${ }^{\dagger} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Lambda, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$ consists of a single element. Hence we get the bijection in (3.23).

Finally, by Proposition 3.3, the map $\Phi^{\mathrm{imm}}$ in 3.24 is surjective, since the map $\Phi^{\mathrm{emb}}$ factors through it.

Assuming that $Y$ is oriented, the preimages of the loci $\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}$ and $\partial \mathcal{E}_{d^{\prime}}$ under regular maps are oriented manifolds. This remark validates the last claim.
Corollary 3.5. Under the hypotheses of Theorem [3.2, including the $\Lambda$-condition (3.9), the quasitopy set $\mathrm{QT}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c}^{\prime}\right)$ depends only on the homotopy type of the pair ( $Y, \partial Y$ ).

If $Y$ is orientable, then the orientation forgetting map

$$
\mathrm{OQT}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c}^{\prime}\right) \approx \mathrm{QT}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c}^{\prime}\right)
$$

is a bijection. In particular, $\mathrm{OG}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right) \approx \mathrm{G}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$ is a group isomorphism.
Proof. The first claim of the corollary follows instantly from formula (3.23) and its analogue for the oriented quasitopies.

The rest of the claims can be derived from the following already familiar observation. Since any element $\beta \in \operatorname{QT}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$ is represented by a map id $\times \Phi^{\beta}: \mathbb{R} \times(Y, \partial Y) \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R} \times\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, p t\right)$ which is transversal to $\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}$, the manifold $M:=\left(\mathrm{id} \times \Phi^{\beta}\right)^{-1}\left(\partial \mathcal{E}_{d}\right)$ has a trivial normal bundle in $\mathbb{R} \times Y$. Thus $M$ is orientable, when $Y$ is. The same argument applies to the cobordisms that connect quasitopic $\beta$ 's. Therefore, when $Y$ is orientable, the orientation forgetful map from the second claim is onto. For a similar reason, applied to cobordisms, the orientation forgetful map is injective.
Remark 3.6. The first claim of Corollary 3.5 is a bit surprising, since not any homotopy equivalence $h:(Y, \partial Y) \rightarrow\left(Y^{\prime}, \partial Y^{\prime}\right)$ of smooth $n$-dimensional pairs may be homotoped to a diffeomorphism. Thus it is not clear how to transfer directly a regular embedding $\beta:(M, \partial M) \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y)$ to a regular embedding $\beta^{\prime}:\left(M^{\prime}, \partial M^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{R} \times Y^{\prime}, \mathbb{R} \times\right.$ $\left.\partial Y^{\prime}\right)$ without using the bijection $\Phi^{\mathrm{emb}}$. Similarly, it is unclear whether $\mathrm{QT}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{imm}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$ depends only on the homotopy type of the pair $(Y, \partial Y)$. Conjecturally, it does.
Corollary 3.6. Assume that $d^{\prime} \geq d, d^{\prime} \equiv d \bmod 2$, and the $\Lambda$-condition (3.9) is in place.
Then the group homomorphism in (3.19) is a group isomorphism for embeddings and a split epimorphism for the immersions.

With the help of this group isomorphism (and by Theorem 3.4), the map in (3.23) is equivariant, and so is the map in (3.24) with the help of the epimorhism of the corresponding groups.
Proof. By Theorem 3.2, the map in 3.23 is bijective and the map in (3.24) is split surjective for any $Y$; in particular, this is the case for $Y=D^{n}$. By Theorem 3.4, all these maps are group homomorphisms. By Proposition 3.5 below, the map in (3.24) is a split epimorphism.

Letting $d^{\prime}=d$ and $\Theta^{\prime}=\Theta$ in Theorem 3.2, we obtain the following two corollaries.
Corollary 3.7. Let $\Theta \subset \Lambda \subset \Omega$ be a pair of closed subposets, and $Y$ be a compact manifold.

- Then there is a canonical bijection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{\Phi}^{\mathrm{emb}}: \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{T}_{d, d}^{\mathrm{emb}}(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta) \xrightarrow{\approx}\left[(Y, \partial Y),\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta}, \mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c} \Lambda}\right)\right] \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Assuming the $\Lambda$-condition (3.9), we get a bijection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{\mathrm{emb}}: \mathrm{QT}_{d, d}^{\mathrm{emb}}(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta) \xrightarrow{\approx}\left[(Y, \partial Y),\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta}, p t\right)\right] \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a surjection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{\mathrm{imm}}: \mathrm{QT}_{d, d}^{\mathrm{imm}}(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta) \longrightarrow\left[(Y, \partial Y),\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta}, p t\right)\right] \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 3.8. Let $\Theta \subset \Lambda \subset \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\langle d]}$ be a pair of closed sub-posets. There exists a group isomorphism (abelian for $n>1$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{\Phi}^{\mathrm{emb}}: \mathbf{Q T}_{d, d}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(D^{n}, \partial D^{n} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta\right) \stackrel{\approx}{\approx} \pi_{n}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta}, \mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c} \Lambda}\right) \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming the $\Lambda$-condition (3.9), we get a group isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{\mathrm{emb}}: \mathrm{OG}_{d, d}^{\mathrm{emb}}(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta) \approx \mathrm{G}_{d, d}^{\mathrm{emb}}(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta) \stackrel{\approx}{\Longrightarrow} \pi_{n}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta}, p t\right) \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The claim follows instantly from Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 3.2 .
The next proposition is an attempt "to reverse the direction" of the maps in (3.17), (3.19).

Proposition 3.5. Let $\Theta^{\prime} \subset \Theta \subset \Lambda \subset \boldsymbol{\Omega}$ be closed subposets, and $Y$ be a smooth compact manifold. Assume that $d^{\prime} \geq d$ and $d^{\prime} \equiv d \bmod 2$.

Then the obvious map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}: \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{imm}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

is injective. That is, if two embeddings $\beta_{1}:\left(M_{1}, \partial M_{1}\right) \hookrightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y)$ and $\beta_{2}$ : $\left(M_{2}, \partial M_{2}\right) \hookrightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y)$ are quaitopic via immersions, then they are quaitopic via embeddings.

Moreover, there exists a surjective "resolution map"

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}: \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{imm}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

that serves as the right inverse of $\mathcal{A}$. In other words, there exists a canonical resolution of any such immersion into an embedding, well-defined within the relevant quasitopy classes.

If $Y$ is orientable, similar claims hold for the oriented quasitopies and the analogues of maps $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R}$ that are available for them.

Proof. In the proof, to simplify the notations further, put

Using the map 3.17, we see that the map $\Phi^{\mathrm{emb}}(Y)$ is a composition of the $\operatorname{map} \mathcal{A}$ and the map

$$
\Phi^{\mathrm{imm}}(Y): \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{imm}}(Y) \rightarrow\left[\left[(Y, \partial Y), \epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}:\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, \mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Lambda}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta^{\prime}}, \mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c} \Lambda}\right)\right]\right]
$$

However, by formula 3.23 from Theorem 3.2 , we have a bijective map

$$
\Phi^{\mathrm{emb}}(Y): \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{emb}}(Y) \approx\left[\left[(Y, \partial Y), \epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}:\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, \mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Lambda}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta^{\prime}}, \mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c} \Lambda}\right)\right]\right]
$$

Hence we define the desired surjective map $\mathcal{R}$ by the formula $\mathcal{R}=\Phi^{\mathrm{emb}}(Y)^{-1} \circ \Phi^{\mathrm{imm}}(Y)$. It is on the level of definitions to check that $\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{A}=\mathrm{id}$.

If $Y$ is orientable, the same arguments hold for the oriented quasitopies since $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{emb}}(Y)$ $\approx \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{emb}}(Y)$ by Corollary 3.5 .

Remark 3.7. Of course, applying the resolution $\mathcal{R}$ to an immersion $\beta: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times Y$ produces an embedding $\beta^{\prime}: M^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times Y$, where the topology of $M^{\prime}$ is quite different from the topology of $M$. However, the sets $\beta^{\prime}\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ and $\beta(M)$ can be assumed to be arbitrary close in the Hausdorff distance in $\mathbb{R} \times Y$.

Corollary 3.9. Each fiber of the resolution map $\mathcal{R}$ consists of the elements that share the same $\Phi^{\mathrm{imm}}$-value in $\left[\left[(Y, \partial Y), \epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}:\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta}, \mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c} \Lambda}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}}, \mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathbf{c} \Lambda}\right)\right]\right]$.

In particular, for $Y=D^{n}$, we get a splittable groups' extension

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \rightarrow \mathrm{~K} \rightarrow \mathrm{QT}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{imm}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}} \mathrm{QT}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow 1 \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose kernel $\mathrm{K} \subset \mathrm{QT}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{imm}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$ consists of quasitopies of immersions that belong to the kernel of the map in (3.18) with $Y=D^{n}$. Via the operation $\uplus$, the subgroup K acts on the fibers of the map $\mathcal{R}$ from (3.31).

Proof. We use the notations from the previous proof. By Proposition 3.5, each fiber of $\mathcal{R}$ consists of the elements that share the same $\Phi^{\mathrm{imm}}$-value in $\left[\left[(Y, \partial Y), \epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}:\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, \mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Lambda}\right) \rightarrow\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\left(\mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}}, \mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathbf{c} \Lambda}\right)\right]\right]$. For $Y=D^{n}$, this implies that the group $\mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{imm}}\left(D^{n}\right)$ is a semidirect product $\mathrm{K} \bowtie \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(D^{n}\right)$ of the groups K and $\mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(D^{n}\right)$. Again, K is characterized by the property of having trivial $\Phi^{\text {imm }}$-values.

Since, for $\beta_{1} \in \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{imm}}(Y)$ and $\beta_{2} \in \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{imm}}\left(D^{n}\right)$, we have $\Phi^{\mathrm{imm}}\left(\beta_{1} \uplus \beta_{2}\right)=\Phi^{\mathrm{imm}}\left(\beta_{1}\right) \uplus$ $\Phi^{\mathrm{imm}}\left(\beta_{2}\right)$, we conclude that $\Phi^{\mathrm{imm}}\left(\beta_{1} \uplus \mathrm{~K}\right)=\Phi^{\mathrm{imm}}\left(\beta_{1}\right) \uplus 0=\Phi^{\mathrm{imm}}\left(\beta_{1}\right)$. Therefore K acts on fibers of the map $\mathcal{R}$.

Since $\beta \in \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{imm}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathcal{R}(\beta) \in \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$ share the same invariants $\Phi^{\mathrm{imm}}(\beta)=\Phi^{\mathrm{emb}}(\mathcal{R}(\beta)) \in\left[\left[(Y, \partial Y), \epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}:\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, \mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Lambda}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}}, \mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathbf{c} \Lambda}\right)\right]\right]$, we should be looking for new invariants that distinguish between $\beta$ and $\mathcal{R}(\beta)$.

Relying on Proposition 3.1, we may use the bordism classes $\left[\Sigma_{k}^{\beta}\right] \in \mathbf{B}_{n-k+1}(Y, \partial Y)$ (or $\left[\Sigma_{k}^{\beta}\right] \in \mathbf{O B}_{n-k+1}(Y, \partial Y)$ when $Y$ is oriented) of the the $k$-self-intersection manifolds $\left\{\Sigma_{k}^{\beta}\right\}_{k \geq 2}$ of $\beta$ to produce the desired distinguishing invariants. In a sense, these quasitopy invariants "ignore" the foliations $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}^{\bullet}$. Evidently, they vanish on $\mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$.

Therefore, for $\operatorname{dim}(Y)=n$, assuming that $\min \left\{n+1, \hat{m}\left(\mathbf{c} \Theta_{\langle d]}\right)\right\} \geq 2$ (see Definition 3.8), the correspondence $\beta \rightsquigarrow\left\{\pi \circ \beta \circ p_{1}:\left(\Sigma_{k}^{\beta}, \partial \Sigma_{k}^{\beta}\right) \rightarrow(Y, \partial Y)\right\}_{k}$ produces a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B B}: \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{imm}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right) / \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has a potential to discriminate between $\mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{imm}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{emb}}(Y, \partial Y$; $\left.\mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$. Lemma 3.8 provides the simplest example where it does.

Next, we "mix" the tangency patterns of immersions $\beta$ to $\mathcal{L}$ with the self-intersections of $\beta$ (which also influence the $\mathcal{L}$-tangency patterns). For simplicity, we assume the $\Lambda$-condition and that $Y$ is oriented.

Let $\beta:(M, \partial M) \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y)$ be an immersion whose quasitopy class belongs to $\mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{imm}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$. Let A be an abelian group. We pick a cohomology class $\theta \in$ $H^{n-k+1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta}, p t ; \mathrm{A}\right)$ and evaluate its pull-back $\left(\Phi^{\beta} \circ \pi \circ \beta \circ p_{1}\right)^{*}(\theta)$ on the relative fundamental class $\left[\Sigma_{k}^{\beta}, \partial \Sigma_{k}^{\beta}\right]$. This construction leads to the following proposition.
Proposition 3.6. Let $\Theta^{\prime} \subset \Theta \subset \boldsymbol{\Omega}$ be closed posets. We assume the $\Lambda$-condition. Let $Y$ be an oriented smooth compact manifold. Pick a positive integer $k \leq \min \left\{\hat{m}\left(\mathbf{c} \Theta_{\langle d]}, n+1\right\}\right.$.

For any cohomology class $\theta \in H^{n-k+1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{c \Theta}, p t ; A\right)$, the evaluation

$$
\chi_{k}(\theta, \beta):=\left\langle\left(\Phi^{\beta} \circ \pi \circ \beta \circ p_{1}\right)^{*}(\theta),\left[\Sigma_{k}^{\beta}, \partial \Sigma_{k}^{\beta}\right]\right\rangle \in \mathrm{A}
$$

is an invariant of the quasitopy class $[\beta] \in \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{imm}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$.
If $\beta$ is an embedding, then $\chi_{k}(\theta, \beta)=0$ for $k \geq 2$.
Proof. By Corollary 3.1, the bordism class of $\pi \circ \beta \circ p_{1}:\left(\Sigma_{k}^{\beta}, \partial \Sigma_{k}^{\beta}\right) \rightarrow(Y, \partial Y)$ is invariant under a change of $\beta$ within its quasitopy class. By Theorem 3.1, the cocycle $\left(\Phi^{\beta}\right)^{*}(\theta)$ is an invariant of the quasitopy class of $\beta$. By the topological Stokes' Theorem, the cocycle $\left(\Phi^{\beta}\right)^{*}(\theta)$, being evaluated on the cycle $\left(\pi \circ \beta \circ p_{1}\right)\left(\Sigma_{k}^{\beta}, \partial \Sigma_{k}^{\beta}\right)$ is an invariant of $[\beta] \in \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{imm}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$.

Here is the simplest example of an invariant $\rho_{\beta}:=\frac{1}{n+1}\left[\left(\pi \circ \beta \circ p_{1}\right): \Sigma_{n+1}^{\beta} \rightarrow Y\right]$, delivered by $\mathcal{B B}$ from (3.33), which does discriminate between the quasitopies of embeddings and immersions.

Lemma 3.8. Let $\operatorname{dim} M=n$ and let $\rho_{\beta}$ be the number of points in $Y$ where exactly $n+1$ branches of $\beta(M)$ meet transversally in $\mathbb{R} \times Y$. If $\rho_{\beta} \equiv 1 \bmod 2$, then $\beta \in$ $\mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{imm}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c}^{\prime}\right)$ is nontrivial, provided $\mathbf{c} \Lambda=(\emptyset),(1)$.
Proof. Let $F_{\beta}$ denote the finite set of points, where exactly $n+1$ branches of $\beta(M)$ meet transversally in $\mathbb{R} \times Y$. Assume that $\beta$ be quasitopic to trivial immersion with the help of a cobordism $B: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times Y \times[0,1]$. Then, in general, $B(N)$ may have points where exactly $n+2$ branches of $B(N)$ meet transversally. Let $E_{B}$ denote their set and let $\eta_{B}:=\#\left(E_{B}\right)$. The points, where exactly $n+1$ branches of $B(N)$ meet transversally, form
a graph $\Gamma_{B} \subset \mathbb{R} \times Y \times[0,1]$. If $\mathbf{c} \Lambda=(\emptyset),(1)$, then $\Gamma_{B}$ has $\rho_{\beta}$ univalent vertices and $\eta_{B}$ vertices of valency $n+2$. Every edge of $\Gamma_{B}$ that does not terminate at a valency one vertex from $F_{\beta}$ is attached to $E_{B}$. Thus counting the edges of $\Gamma_{B}$ we get $2(n+2) \eta_{B}-\rho_{\beta} \equiv 0$ $\bmod 2$. Therefore, when $\rho_{\beta} \equiv 1 \bmod 2$, we get a contradiction with the assumption that $\beta$ is quasitopic to trivial immersion. As a result, any immersion $\beta$ with an odd number $\rho_{\beta}$ is nontrivial in $\mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{imm}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$, provided $\mathbf{c} \Lambda=(\emptyset),(1)$.


Figure 4. Six generators $a, b, c, d, e, f$ of the free group $\mathrm{QT}_{6,6}^{\mathrm{emb}}(1 ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta)$, where $\Theta \subset \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\langle 6]}$ is the closed poset generated by the elements $\omega$ with two 2 's or one 3 . The vertical lines mark crossing the walls of the 6 -dimensional chambers in $\mathcal{P}_{6}^{\mathrm{ce}}$.

Example 3.1. Pick $n=1, d^{\prime}=d=6$. Let $\mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}=\mathbf{c} \Theta$ consist of $\omega \in \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\langle 6]}$ such that all their entries are 1's and 2's and a single 2 is present at most. Put $Y=D^{1}$. Then $\mathrm{QT}_{6,6}^{\mathrm{emb}}(1 ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta) \approx \pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{6}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta}, p t\right)$. By KSW1], Theorem 2.4, the latter group is a free group $\mathrm{F}_{6}$ in 6 generators (see Fig. 3). The group extension in (3.32) reduces to

$$
1 \rightarrow \mathrm{~K} \rightarrow \mathrm{QT}_{6,6}^{\mathrm{imm}}(1 ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}} \mathrm{F}_{6} \rightarrow 1 .
$$

The figure $\infty$, placed "horizontally" in $\mathbb{R} \times D^{1}$ ( $D^{1}$ being the horizontal direction) represents an immersion $\beta: S^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times D^{1}$. We claim that $\beta$ belongs to the kernel K from (3.32). Indeed, consider a flip $\tau: \mathbb{R} \times D^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times D^{1}$ whose fixed point set is the vertical line through the singularity of figure $\infty$. Due to the symmetry of $\infty$ with respect to $\tau$, the path $\Phi^{\text {imm }}\left(D^{1}, \partial D^{1}\right) \subset\left(\mathcal{P}_{6}^{\mathrm{c} \mathrm{\Theta}}, p t\right)$ is relatively contractible. Thus $\infty$ is indeed in the kernel K. By Lemma 3.8, $\beta$ is nontrivial in $\operatorname{QT}_{6,6}^{\mathrm{imm}}(1 ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta)$. By the same lemma, the quasitopy class of any collection of smooth curves with an odd number of crossings is nontrivial in $Q_{6,6}^{\mathrm{imm}}(1 ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta)$. At the same time, the immersion $\infty \uplus \infty \subset \mathbb{R} \times D^{1}$ is trivial in $\operatorname{QT}_{6,6}^{\mathrm{imm}}(1 ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta)$ since it is the boundary of a horseshoe surface $N \approx \infty \times I \subset \mathbb{R} \times D^{1} \times[0,1]$
with the $\mathcal{L}^{\bullet}$-tangency patterns that belong to $\mathbf{c} \Theta$. So the immersion $\beta$, such that $\beta\left(S^{1}\right)=$ $\infty$, is an element of order two in the kernel K. However, if we orient $S^{1}$, then $\infty$ becomes an element of infinite order in $\operatorname{ker}\left(\operatorname{OQT}_{6,6}^{\mathrm{imm}}(1 ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta) \rightarrow \mathrm{OQT}_{6,6}^{\mathrm{emb}}(1 ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta)\right)$.

In fact, any collection of curves $\beta(M)$ in $\mathbb{R} \times D^{1}$ with the tangency patterns in $\mathbf{c} \Theta$, with an odd number of transversal crossings, and which is symmetric under an involution $\tau: \mathbb{R} \times D^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times D^{1}$ which preserves the oriented foliation $\mathcal{L}$, belongs to K and has order 2 there. Based on a sparse evidence, we conjecture that $\mathrm{K} \approx \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. If this is true, then $\mathrm{QT}_{6,6}^{\mathrm{imm}}(1 ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta)$ is a semi-direct product $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \bowtie \mathrm{~F}_{6}$.

The next theorem describes one very general mechanism for generating characteristic cohomology classes for immersions/embeddings $\beta: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times Y$ with $\Theta$-restricted tangency patterns to $\mathcal{L}$.

Theorem 3.3. For a compact manifold $Y, d^{\prime}=d$, and $\Theta^{\prime}=\Theta$, assuming the $\Lambda$-condition, any immersion/embedding $\beta:(M, \partial M) \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y)$ as in Proposition 3.3 induces a characteristic homomorphism $\left(\Phi^{\beta}\right)^{*}$ from the (co)homology of the differential complex $\left\{\partial^{\#}: \mathbb{Z}\left[\Theta_{\langle d]}^{\#}\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\left[\Theta_{\langle d]}^{\#}\right]\right\}$ in ${ }_{2.6}$. to the cohomology $H^{*}(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbb{Z})$.

Quasitopic immersions/embeddings induce the same characteristic homomorphisms.
Proof. For any closed poset $\Theta \subset \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\langle d]}$, by Corollary 2.6 and Theorem 2.2 (KSW2]), the homology of the differential complex in 2.6 is isomorphic to $H^{*}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, p t ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$. By Proposition 3.3, any immersion $\beta:(M, \partial M) \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y)$ whose tangency patterns belong to $\mathbf{c} \Theta$ and the ones of $\left.\beta\right|_{\partial M}$ to $\mathbf{c} \Lambda=(\emptyset)$ or (1), produces a map $\Phi^{\beta}:(Y, \partial Y) \rightarrow$ $\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \mathrm{\Theta}}, p t\right)$ whose homotopy class is determined by $\beta$. This $\beta$ induces a natural map $\beta^{*}: H^{*}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}, p t ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbb{Z})$ in cohomology, and therefore, a characteristic homomorphism $\left(\tilde{\Phi}^{\beta}\right)^{*}: H^{*}\left(\mathbb{Z}\left[\Theta^{\#}\right], \partial^{\#}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbb{Z})$.

By Proposition 3.3, $(d, d ; \mathbf{c} \Theta,(\emptyset) ; \mathbf{c} \Theta)$-quasitopic immersions/embeddings $\beta$ induce homotopic maps $\tilde{\Phi}^{\beta}$ and hence the same characteristic homomorphisms ( $\left.\tilde{\Phi}^{\beta}\right)^{*}$.

Thus various homomorphisms $\left(\tilde{\Phi}^{\beta}\right)^{*}$ may distinguish between different quasitopy classes of immersions $\beta$. We will soon exhibit multiple examples, where they do.
3.4. Quasitopies of immersions/embeddings with special forbidden combinatorics $\Theta$ and the stabilization by $d$. In this subsection, we take advantage of a few results from [V] and KSW2, as formulated in Section 2, to advance computations of quasitopies of embeddings with special combinatorial patterns $\mathbf{c} \Theta$ and $\mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}$ of tangency to the foliations $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\bullet}$, respectively.

We start with the Arnold-Vassiliev case of real polynomials with, so called, moderate singularities [ Ar , $\left[\mathrm{V}\right.$. Let $\Theta_{\max \geq k} \subset \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\langle d]}$ be the closed poset consisting of $\omega$ 's with the maximal entry $\geq k$. For $k \geq 3$, the cohomology $H^{j}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\mathbf{c}} \Theta_{\max \geq k}, p t ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$ in each dimension $j$ of the form $(k-2) m$, where the integer $m \leq d / k$, and vanishes otherwise Ar .

Based on Vassiliev's computation of the cohomology ring $H^{*}\left(\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{c}} \Theta_{\max \geq k}, p t ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$ (see [V], Theorem 1 on page 87), consider the graded ring $\mathcal{V} a s s_{d, k}$, multiplicatively generated over
$\mathbb{Z}$ by the elements $\left\{e_{m}\right\}_{m \leq d / k}$ of the degrees $\operatorname{deg}\left(e_{m}\right)=m(k-2)$, subject to the relations

$$
\begin{gather*}
e_{l} \cdot e_{m}=\frac{(l+m)!}{l!\cdot m!} e_{l+m} \text { for } k \equiv 0 \bmod 2, \text { and the relations }  \tag{3.34}\\
e_{1} \cdot e_{1}=0, \quad e_{1} \cdot e_{2 m}=e_{2 m+1}, \\
e_{2 l} \cdot e_{2 m}=\frac{(l+m)!}{l!\cdot m!} e_{2 l+2 m} \text { for } k \equiv 1 \quad \bmod 2 \tag{3.35}
\end{gather*}
$$

Guided by Theorem 3.3 and employing Theorem 3.1, we get the following claim.
Proposition 3.7. Let $k \geq 3$. Consider an immersion $\beta:(M, \partial M) \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y)$ whose tangency patterns to the foliation $\mathcal{L}$ belong to $\mathbf{c} \Theta_{\max \geq k} \subset \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\langle d]}$ and the ones of $\left.\beta\right|_{\partial M}$ either form an empty set $(\emptyset)$ when $d \equiv 0 \bmod 2$, or the set $(1)$ when $d \equiv 1 \bmod 2$.

Then $\beta$ generates a characteristic ring homomorphism $\left(\Phi^{\beta}\right)^{*}: \mathcal{V}_{\text {ass }}^{d, k} ⿵ 冂 H^{*}(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbb{Z})$. The homomorphism $\left(\Phi^{\beta}\right)^{*}$ is an invariant of the quasitopy class of $\beta$. In other words, we get a map $\Phi_{d, k}^{*}: \mathrm{QT}_{d, d}^{\mathrm{imm}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{\max \geq k} ; \mathbf{c}_{\max \geq k}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\text {ring }}\left(\mathcal{V}_{\text {ass }}^{d, k}, \quad H^{*}(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbb{Z})\right)$.

Remark 3.8. Note that if some generator $e_{\ell} \in \mathcal{V} \operatorname{Vss}_{d, k}$ is mapped by $\left(\Phi^{\beta}\right)^{*}$ to zero in $H^{(k-2) \ell}(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbb{Z})$, then the images of all $e_{q}$ with $q>\ell$ must be torsion elements. Thus some cohomology rings $H^{*}(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbb{Z})$ may not be able to accommodate nontrivial images of the Vassiliev ring $\mathcal{V} a s s_{d, k}$ in positive degrees.

In contrast, here is a case when the accommodation seems possible: take $Y=\mathbb{C P}^{3}$, $k=4$, and $d=12$. Consider 9 -dimensional variety $\mathcal{P}_{12}^{\Theta_{\max \geq 4}}$, its compliment $\mathcal{P}_{12}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta_{\max \geq 4}}$, and the cohomology ring $\mathcal{V a s s}_{12,4} \approx H^{*}\left(\mathcal{P}_{12}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta_{\max \geq 4}} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$. Then the ring homomorphism $\Phi^{*}: \mathcal{V} \operatorname{ass}_{12,4} \rightarrow H^{*}\left(\mathbb{C P}^{3} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \approx \mathbb{Z}[a] /\left\{a^{4}=0\right\}$ that sends $\Phi^{*}\left(e_{1}\right):=6 a, \Phi^{*}\left(e_{2}\right):=18 a^{2}$, and $\Phi^{*}\left(e_{3}\right):=36 a^{3}$ embeds $\mathcal{V} a s s_{12,4}$ as a subring of $\mathbb{Z}[a] /\left\{a^{4}=0\right\}$. We speculate that there is an embedding $\Phi: \mathbb{C P}^{3} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{12}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta_{\max \geq 4}}$ that induces that $\Phi^{*}$.

Propositions 3.6, 3.7 lead to the following corollary.
Corollary 3.10. Let $M, Y$ be compact oriented smooth n-manifolds. For any generator $e_{\ell} \in \mathcal{V}$ ass $_{d, k}$ of degree $\ell(k-2)$ and an immersion $\beta:(M, \partial M) \rightarrow(\mathbb{R} \times Y, \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y)$ with $k$-moderate tangency patterns to $\mathcal{L}$, the integer

$$
\chi_{k}\left(e_{\ell}, \beta\right)=\left\langle\left(\Phi^{\beta} \circ \pi \circ \beta \circ p_{1}\right)^{*}\left(e_{\ell}\right),\left[\Sigma_{\ell(k-2)}^{\beta}, \partial \Sigma_{\ell(k-2)}^{\beta}\right]\right\rangle
$$

is an invariant of the quasitopy class $[\beta] \in \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\operatorname{imm}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{\max \geq k}, \mathbf{c} \Theta_{\max \geq k}\right)$. The invariant $\chi_{k}\left(e_{\ell}, \beta\right)=0$ when $\beta$ is an embedding and $k>1$, or when $H^{\ell(k-2)}(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbb{Z})=0$.

The next proposition is a stabilization result by the increasing $d^{\prime} \geq d$ for the embeddings with moderate tangencies to the foliation $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathbb{R} \times Y$.
Proposition 3.8. Let $k \geq 4$. If $\operatorname{dim} Y \leq(k-2)(\lceil d / k\rceil+1)-2$, then the classifying map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{\mathrm{emb}}: \mathrm{QT}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{\max \geq k} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{\max \geq k}\right) \xrightarrow{\approx}\left[(Y, \partial Y),\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{e} \Theta_{\max } \geq k}, p t\right)\right] \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a bijection, and the classifying map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{\mathrm{imm}}: Q \mathrm{~T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{imm}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{\max \geq k} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{\max \geq k}\right) \xrightarrow{\approx}\left[(Y, \partial Y),\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta_{\max \geq k}}, p t\right)\right] \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a surjection for any $d^{\prime} \geq d, d^{\prime} \equiv d \bmod 2$.
In particular, for a given $Y, \mathrm{Q}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{\max \geq k} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{\max \geq k}\right)$ stabilizes for all $d^{\prime} \geq d \geq$ $\frac{k}{k-2}(\operatorname{dim} Y+4-k)$, a linear function in $\operatorname{dim} Y$.
Proof. Let $q:=(k-2)(\lceil d / k\rceil+1)-2$. By $\left[\overline{\mathrm{V}}\right.$, Theorem 3 on page 88 , the $\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}$-induced homomorhpism $\left(\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}\right)_{*}: \pi_{i}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta_{\max \geq k}}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{i}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta_{\max } \geq k}\right)$ of homotopy groups is an isomorphism for all $i \leq q$. Thus the the two spaces $q$-connected. Therefore, if $\operatorname{dim} Y \leq q$, then no element of $\left[(Y, \partial Y),\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta_{\max \geq k}}, p t\right)\right]$ becomes, under the composition with $\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}$, nill-homotopic. Hence,

$$
\left[\left[(Y, \partial Y), \epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}: \mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta_{\max \geq k}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta_{\max \geq k}}\right]\right]=\left[(Y, \partial Y),\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta_{\max \geq k}}, p t\right)\right]
$$

In particular, if $\operatorname{dim} Y \leq q$, then $\left[(Y, \partial Y),\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta_{\max } \geq k}, p t\right)\right]$ is stable in $d$, provided $d \geq$ $\frac{k}{k-2}(\operatorname{dim} Y+4-k)$. Therefore, by Theorem 3.2, all the claims of the proposition are validated.

Example 3.2. Let $k=4$. By Proposition 3.8 and formula (3.36), for any compact connected surface $Y$, we get bijections:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{QT}_{4,4}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{\max \geq 4} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{\max \geq 4}\right) \xrightarrow{\approx} \pi^{2}(Y / \partial Y), \\
& \mathrm{QT}_{4,6}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{\max \geq 4} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{\max \geq 4}\right) \xrightarrow{\approx} \pi^{2}(Y / \partial Y), \\
& \mathrm{QT}_{6,6}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{\max \geq 4} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{\max \geq 4}\right) \xrightarrow{\approx} \pi^{2}(Y / \partial Y),
\end{aligned}
$$

whose target is the second cohomotopy group $\pi^{2}(Y / \partial Y) \approx \mathbb{Z}$, the latter isomorphism being generated by the degree of maps to $S^{2}$.

Let $Z$ be a simply-connected $C W$-complex whose cohomology ring, truncated in dimensions $\geq 5$, is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}\left[e_{1}, e_{2}\right] /\left\{e_{1}^{2}-2 e_{2}\right\}$, where $\operatorname{deg} e_{1}=2$, $\operatorname{deg} e_{2}=4$. Then by Proposition 3.8, for any compact smooth manifold $Y$ of dimension $\leq 4$, we get bijections:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{QT}_{8,8}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{\max \geq 4} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{\max \geq 4}\right) & \underset{\rightarrow}{ }[Y / \partial Y, Z], \\
\mathrm{QT}_{8,10}^{\operatorname{mb}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{\max \geq 4} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{\max \geq 4}\right) & \stackrel{\approx}{\rightrightarrows}[Y / \partial Y, Z], \\
\mathrm{QT}_{10,10}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{\max \geq 4} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{\max \geq 4}\right) & \stackrel{\approx}{\Longrightarrow}[Y / \partial Y, Z] . \diamond
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 3.11. Let $\Theta_{\max \geq k} \subset \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\langle d]}$ be the closed poset of $\omega$ 's with at least one entry $\geq k$. Assume that $k \leq d \leq 2 k-1$.

Then there is a group isomorphism

$$
\mathrm{OG}_{d, d}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{\max \geq k} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{\max \geq k}\right) \approx \mathrm{G}_{d, d}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{\max \geq k} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{\max \geq k}\right) \approx \pi_{n}\left(S^{k-2}\right) .
$$

In other words, the quasitopy group of embeddings $\beta: M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R} \times D^{n}$ into the cylinder $\mathbb{R} \times D^{n}$ with no vertical tangencies of $\beta(M)$ to $\mathcal{L}$ of the order $\geq k$ and with the total
multiplicities $\left\{\mu_{\beta}(y) \leq d\right\}_{y \in D^{n}}$ is isomorphic to the group $\pi_{n}\left(S^{k-2}\right)$, provided that $d \in$ [ $k, 2 k-1]$.

As a result, in this range of d's and for $n>1$, all the groups $\mathrm{G}_{d, d}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{\max \geq k} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{\max \geq k}\right)$ are finite abelian, except for $n=k-2$ and $n=2 k-5$, where they are infinite of rank one.
Proof. By Arnold's theorem [Ar], for $d \in[k, 2 k+1], \pi_{n}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta_{\max \geq k}}\right) \approx \pi_{n}\left(S^{k-2}\right)$. Applying Corollary 3.8, the claim follows by examining the tables of homotopy groups of spheres.
Example 3.3. For $k=4, d \in[4,9]$, and all $n$, we get a group isomorphism

$$
\mathrm{G}_{d, d}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{\max \geq 4} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{\max \geq 4}\right) \approx \pi_{n}\left(S^{2}\right) .
$$

In particular, for $d \in[4,9]$, visiting the table of homotopy groups of spheres, we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{G}_{d, d}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(3 ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{\max \geq 4} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{\max \geq 4}\right) & \approx \mathbb{Z}, \\
\mathrm{G}_{d, d}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(14 ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{\max \geq 4} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{\max \geq 4}\right) & \approx \mathbb{Z}_{84} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It would be very interesting to understand and describe, in the sprit of the Arnold's pink "kidneys" in Fig. 3 (see [Ar), the shapes of embeddings $\beta: M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R} \times D^{n}$ that produce such mysterious periods... In principle, Theorem 3.2 contains the instructions for such attempts. Perhaps, at least for $n=1,2$, one has a fighting chance...

Let $H, G$ be two groups, and $\operatorname{Hom}(H, G)$ their group of homomorphisms. Then $G$ acts on $\operatorname{Hom}(H, G)$ by the conjugation: for any $\phi: H \rightarrow G, h \in H$, and $g \in G$, we define $\left(A d_{g} \phi\right)(h)$ by the formula $g^{-1} \phi(h) g$. We denote by $\operatorname{Hom}^{\bullet}(H, G)$ the quotient $\operatorname{Hom}(H, G) / A d_{G}$.

The next corollary deals with special $\Theta$ 's for which $\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}$ is a $K(\pi, 1)$-space KSW1.
Corollary 3.12. Let $\mathbf{c} \Theta$ consist of all $\omega$ 's with entries 1 and 2 only and no more than a single entry 2. Put $\kappa(d):=\frac{d(d-2)}{4}$ for $d \equiv 0 \bmod 2$, and $\kappa(d):=\frac{(d-1)^{2}}{4}$ for $d \equiv 1 \bmod 2$. Let $\mathrm{F}_{\kappa(d)}$ be the free group in $\kappa(d)$ generators.

Assume that either $Y$ is a closed manifold, or $\partial Y \neq \emptyset$ and the $\Lambda$-condition is in place.
If $\partial Y \neq \emptyset$, then there is a bijection

$$
\Phi^{\mathrm{emb}}: \mathrm{QT}_{d, d}^{\mathrm{emb}}(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta) \xrightarrow{\approx} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\pi_{1}(Y), \mathrm{F}_{\kappa(d)}\right)
$$

and a surjection

$$
\Phi^{\mathrm{imm}}: \mathrm{QT}_{d, d}^{\mathrm{imm}}(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(\pi_{1}(Y), \mathrm{F}_{\kappa(d)}\right)
$$

When $Y$ is closed, then similar claims hold with the targets of $\Phi^{\mathrm{emb}}$ and $\Phi^{\mathrm{imm}}$ being replaced by the set $\operatorname{Hom}^{\bullet}\left(\pi_{1}(Y), \mathrm{F}_{\kappa(d)}\right)$.

In particular, $\Phi^{\mathrm{emb}}: \mathrm{QT}_{d, d}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(S^{1} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta\right) \xrightarrow{\approx} \mathrm{F}_{\kappa(d)} / A d_{\mathrm{F}_{\kappa(d)}}$, the free group of cyclic words in $\kappa(d)$ letters (see Fig. 3).

Thus, if $\pi_{1}(Y)$ has no nontrivial free images, then the group $\mathrm{QT}_{d, d}^{\mathrm{emb}}(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta)$ is trivial.
Proof. By Theorem 2.4, KSW1], for such a $\mathbf{c} \Theta$, the space $\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}$ is of the homotopy type of $K\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\kappa(d)}, 1\right)=\bigvee_{\sigma=1}^{\kappa(d)} S_{\sigma}^{1}$. Thus, when $Y$ is closed, by the obstruction theory, $\left[Y, \bigvee_{\sigma=1}^{\kappa(d)} S_{\sigma}^{1}\right] \approx$ $\operatorname{Hom}^{\bullet}\left(\pi_{1}(Y), \mathrm{F}_{\kappa(d)}\right)$ (see $\boxed{\mathrm{Hu}}$, Section VI, F). When $\partial Y \neq \emptyset$, the boundary of $Y$ is mapped
by $\Phi^{\beta}$ to the preferred point $p t \in \mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}$. This makes it possible to consider the based loops in $Y$, the base point $b$ being chosen in $\partial Y$, and the based loops in $\bigvee_{\sigma=1}^{\kappa(d)} S_{\sigma}^{1}$, the base point $p t$ being the apex $\star$ of the bouquet. Again, by the standard obstruction theory, we get $\left[(Y, \partial Y),\left(\bigvee_{\sigma=1}^{\kappa(d)} S_{\sigma}^{1}, \star\right)\right] \approx \operatorname{Hom}\left(\pi_{1}(Y, b), \mathrm{F}_{\kappa(d)}\right)$.

Now, by Corollary 3.8, the claim follows. In particular, if $\pi_{1}(Y)$ has no nontrivial free images (say, $\pi_{1}(Y)$ is a finite group), the group $\mathrm{QT}_{d, d}^{\mathrm{emb}}(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta)$ is trivial.

See Fig. 3 and [K4, KSW1] for more illustrations of the cases when $\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}$ is a $K(\pi, 1)$ space.

Proposition 3.9. Let $\Theta^{\prime}=\Theta=_{\operatorname{def}}\left\{\omega:|\omega|^{\prime} \geq k\right\}$, and $d^{\prime} \geq d>k>2$, $d^{\prime} \equiv d \bmod 2$.
Then, assuming the $\Lambda$-condition (3.9), we get a group homomorphism

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta): \mathrm{G}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta) \longrightarrow \pi_{n}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta}\right) / \operatorname{ker}\left(\left(\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}\right)_{*}\right) \approx \\
\approx & \pi_{n}\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{A(d, k)} S_{i}^{k-1}\right) / \operatorname{ker}\left\{\pi_{n}\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{A(d, k)} S_{i}^{k-1}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(\tilde{\epsilon}_{d, d^{\prime}}\right)_{*}} \pi_{n}\left(\bigvee_{j=1}^{A\left(d^{\prime}, k\right)} S_{j}^{k-1}\right)\right\}, \tag{3.38}
\end{align*}
$$

which is an isomorphism for the embeddings and an epimorphism for immersions. The homomorphism $\left(\tilde{\epsilon}_{d, d^{\prime}}\right)_{*}$ in 3.38) is induced by the embedding $\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}: \mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}$. The number $A(d, k):=A(d, k, 0)$ has been introduced in 2.12).

For $n<k-1$, the group $\mathrm{G}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{emb}}(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c} \Theta)$ is trivial.
Proof. By formula 3.19 from Theorem 3.4, we produce the map $\Phi_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta)$. By Corollary 3.8, it is a group epimomorphism for immersions and an isomorphism for the embeddings.

By Proposition 2.1 and the Alexander duality, the spaces $\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}$ have the homology types of bouquets of $(k-1)$-spheres. Recall that a simply-connected $C W$-complex whose torsion-free $\mathbb{Z}$-homology is concentrated in a single dimension $q$ is homotopy equivalent to a bouquet of $q$-spheres (see Ha, Theorem 4C.1). Therefore the spaces $\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}$ have the homotopy types of bouquets of $(k-1)$-spheres, provided $k>2$. With the help of these homotopy equivalences, the homomorphism $\left(\tilde{\epsilon}_{d, d^{\prime}}\right)_{*}: \pi_{n}\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{A(d, k)} S_{i}^{k-1}\right) \rightarrow$ $\pi_{n}\left(\bigvee_{j=1}^{A\left(d^{\prime}, k\right)} S_{j}^{k-1}\right)$ is induced by the embedding $\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}: \mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}$. This validates the first claim.

The last claim follows from the cellular approximation of a continuous map in its homotopy class.

For $d^{\prime}=d$, we are able to compute the quasitopy classes of $k$-flat (see Definition 3.6) embeddings into $\mathbb{R} \times Y$. They correspond to the case $q=0$ in the following proposition.

Proposition 3.10. For $k \in[3, d-1]$ and $q \in[0, d]$, consider the closed subposet $\Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)}:=$ $\left\{\omega:|\omega|^{\prime} \geq k,|\omega| \in[q, d]\right\}$. Assume the $\Lambda$-condition 3.9.

Then, for a compact smooth n-manifold $Y$ with boundary, the quasitopy set of embeddings is described by the formula

$$
\mathrm{QT}_{d, d}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)} ; \mathrm{c}_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)}\right) \approx\left[(Y, \partial Y),\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{A(d, k, q)} S_{i}^{k-1}, \star\right)\right],
$$

where $\star$ is the apex of the bouquet, and the integer $A(d, k, q)$ is defined by (2.12).
In particular, the quasitopic classes of embeddings in the cylinder $\mathbb{R} \times D^{n}$ with the combinatorial patterns $\omega$ such that $|\omega|^{\prime}<k$ and $|\omega|<q$ are described by the group isomorphism

$$
\mathrm{QT}_{d, d}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(D^{n} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)}\right) \approx \pi_{n}\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^{A(d, k, q)} S_{i}^{k-1}, \star\right)
$$

Proof. The arguments are similar to the ones used in the proof of Proposition 3.9. To simplify notations, temporarily, put $\Theta=\Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)}$.

By Theorem 2.1. $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{d}^{\Theta}$ has the integral homology type of a bouquet of $A(d, k, q)$ many $(d-k)$-dimensional spheres ( $[$ KSW2 $]$ ). Since the space $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_{d}^{\Theta}$ is $(d-k)$-dimensional $C W$ complex [KSW1], for $k>2$, the space $\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta}$ is simply-connected. By the Alexander duality, its integral homology is concentrated in the dimension $k-1$ and is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{A(d, k, q)}$. By Proposition 4C. 1 from Ha, $\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}$ has the homotopy type of the bouquet $\bigvee_{i=1}^{A(d, k, q)} S_{i}^{k-1}$. Now, by Theorem 3.2, the claim follows.

Theorem 3.4. Let $Y$ be either a closed smooth n-manifold or a manifold with boundary, in which case, we assume the $\Lambda$-condition. We denote by $\langle\omega\rangle$ the closed subposet of $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\langle d]}$, consisting of elements $\omega^{\prime} \preceq \omega$.

Then for all $d \leq 13$ and all $\omega$ such that $|\omega|^{\prime}>2$, the quasitopy $\mathrm{QT}_{d, d}^{\mathrm{emb}}(Y ; \mathbf{c}\langle\omega\rangle ; \mathbf{c}\langle\omega\rangle)$ either is trivial (consists of single element), or is isomorphic to the cohomotopy set $\pi^{k}(Y)$, where $k=k(\omega) \in\left[|\omega|^{\prime}-1, d-1\right]$.

For $d \leq 13$, the table (4.1) in Appendix lists all $\omega$ and the corresponding $k=k(\omega)$ for which $\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c}\langle\omega\rangle}$ is non-contractible (in fact, a homology $k$-sphere).

Proof. The claim follows by combining Theorem 3.2 with the table 4.1) in Appendix.
Example 3.4. Let $d=8$ and $\omega=$ (4). Then, for any closed $Y$, using the list (4.1) in Appendix, we get a bijection $\mathrm{QT}_{8,8}^{\mathrm{emb}}(Y ; \mathbf{c}\langle(4)\rangle ; \mathbf{c}\langle(4)\rangle) \approx \pi^{4}(Y)$, the 4 -cohomotopy set of $Y$. In particular, the we get the following group isomorphism:

$$
\operatorname{QT}_{8,8}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(S^{7} ; \mathbf{c}\langle(4)\rangle ; \mathbf{c}\langle(4)\rangle\right) \approx \pi_{7}\left(S^{4}\right) \approx \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{12}
$$

Let $d=12$ and $\omega=(11213)$. Then, for any closed $Y$, by 4.1), we get a bijection

$$
\mathrm{QT}_{12,12}^{\mathrm{emb}}(Y ; \mathbf{c}\langle(11213)\rangle ; \mathbf{c}\langle(11213)\rangle) \approx \pi^{6}(Y) .
$$

In particular, we get an isomorphism $\mathrm{QT}_{12,12}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(S^{9} ; \mathbf{c}\langle(11213)\rangle ; \mathbf{c}\langle(11213)\rangle\right) \approx \pi_{9}\left(S^{6}\right) \approx \mathbb{Z}_{24}$.
3.5. Relation between quasitopies and inner framed cobordisms. For a compact smooth $Y$ of dimension $n \geq k-1$, Proposition 3.10 below points to a peculiar connection between the quasitopies of embeddings $\mathrm{QT}_{d, d}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)}\right)$ and the inner framed cobordisms $\mathcal{F B}_{\Xi}^{k-1}(Y)$ of $Y$ (see the definition below).
Definition 3.12. The cobordisms $\mathcal{F B}_{\Xi}^{k-1}(Y)$ are based on codimension $k-1$ smooth closed submanifolds $Z$ of $Y$ of the form $Z=\coprod_{\sigma=1}^{A(d, k, q)} Z_{\sigma}$. The normal bundle $\nu(Z, Y)$ is framed. The disjoint "components" $\left\{Z_{\sigma}\right\}_{\sigma}$ of $Z$ are marked with different colors $\sigma$ from a pallet $\Xi$ of cardinality $A(k, d, q)$.

Two such submanifolds $Z_{0}, Z_{1} \subset Y$ are said to be inner cobordant if there exist codimension $k-1$ submanifold $W=\coprod_{\sigma=1}^{A(d, k, q)} W_{\sigma}$ of $Y \times[0,1]$, such that $\partial W=\left(Z_{0} \times\{0\}\right) \cup\left(Z_{1} \times\{1\}\right) ;$ moreover, $\partial W_{\sigma}=\left(\left(Z_{0}\right)_{\sigma} \times\{0\}\right) \amalg\left(\left(Z_{1}\right)_{\sigma} \times\{1\}\right)$ for each color $\sigma \in \Xi$. The normal bundle $\nu:=\nu(W, Y \times[0,1])$ is framed, the restrictions $\left.\nu\right|_{Y \times\{0\}} \approx \nu\left(Z_{0}, Y\right),\left.\nu\right|_{Y \times\{1\}} \approx \nu\left(Z_{1}, Y\right)$, and the framing of $\nu$ extends the framings of $\nu\left(Z_{0}, Y\right)$ and $\nu\left(Z_{1}, Y\right)$.

As with quasitopies of immersions, for any pair $Y_{1}, Y_{2}$ of compact smooth manifolds with boundary and any choice of $\kappa_{1} \in \pi_{0}\left(\partial Y_{1}\right), \kappa_{2} \in \pi_{0}\left(\partial Y_{2}\right)$, an operation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\uplus_{\mathcal{F}}: \mathcal{F} \mathcal{B}_{\Xi}^{k-1}\left(Y_{1}\right) \times \mathcal{F} \mathcal{B}_{\Xi}^{k-1}\left(Y_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \mathcal{B}_{\Xi}^{k-1}\left(Y_{1} \#_{\partial} Y_{2}\right) \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

may be defined in the obvious way as $Z_{1} \coprod Z_{2} \subset Y_{1} \#_{\partial} Y_{2}$. This operation $\uplus_{\mathcal{F}}$ converts the inner framed cobordisms $\mathcal{F B}_{\Xi}^{k-1}\left(D^{n}\right)$ into a group. Choosing $\kappa \in \pi_{0}(\partial Y)$, we get a group action

$$
\begin{equation*}
\uplus_{\mathcal{F}}: \mathcal{F} \mathcal{B}_{\Xi}^{k-1}\left(D^{n}\right) \times \mathcal{F} \mathcal{B}_{\Xi}^{k-1}(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \mathcal{B}_{\Xi}^{k-1}(Y) \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 3.11. Let a pallet $\Xi$ be of the cardinality $A(d, k, q)$. For a compact smooth manifold $Y$ of dimension $n \geq k-1$, where $k \in[3, d-1]$, there is a bijection

$$
T h: \mathcal{F} \mathcal{B}_{\Xi}^{k-1}(Y) \approx \mathrm{QT}_{d, d}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)}\right)
$$

delivered by the Thom construction on the trivialized normal bundle $\nu(Z, Y)$, where $[Z \hookrightarrow Y] \in \mathcal{F} \mathcal{B}_{\Xi}^{k-1}(Y)$. The bijection Th respects the operations $\uplus_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\uplus$ in framed inner bordisms and in the quasitopies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T h\left(Z_{1} \uplus_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{2}\right)=T h\left(Z_{1}\right) \uplus T h\left(Z_{2}\right) \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Given a framed embedding $Z:=\coprod_{\sigma=1}^{A(d, k, q)} Z_{\sigma} \hookrightarrow Y$ of a closed smooth $Z$ of codimension $k-1$, the Thom construction on $\nu(Z, Y)$ produces a continuous map $T_{Z}: Y \rightarrow$ $\bigvee_{\sigma=1}^{A(d, k, q)} S_{\sigma}^{k-1}$ to the bouquet. Since $Z$ is closed, $\partial Y$ is mapped to the base point $\star$ of the bouquet. The homotopy class of $T_{Z}$ depends only on the inner cobordism class of $Z \subset Y$ in $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{B}_{\Xi}^{k-1}(Y)$.

Let us fix a homotopy equivalence $\tau:\left(\mathcal{P}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)}}, p t\right) \sim\left(\bigvee_{\sigma=1}^{A(d, k, q)} S_{\sigma}^{k-1}, \star\right)$. By Corollary 3.7. the homotopy class of the map $\tilde{T}_{Z}:=\tau^{-1} \circ T_{Z}$ determines the quasitopy class of some embedding $\beta_{\tilde{T}_{Z}}: M \subset \mathbb{R} \times Y$, whose combinatorial tangency patterns to $\mathcal{L}$ belong to the
poset $\mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)}$ and the tangency patterns of $\beta_{\tilde{T}_{Z}}: \partial M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y$ to the poset $\mathbf{c} \Lambda=(\emptyset),(1)$. Thus the map $T h: Z \rightsquigarrow \beta_{\tilde{T}_{Z}}$ is well-defined.

To show that $T h$ is onto, we take any embedding $\beta: M \subset \mathbb{R} \times Y$ of a $n$-manifold $M$, whose tangency patterns reside in $\mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)}$ and such that the tangency patterns of $\beta: \partial M \subset \mathbb{R} \times \partial Y$ reside in $\mathbf{c} \Lambda$ as above. We use $\beta$ to produce a map $\Phi^{\beta}:(Y, \partial Y) \rightarrow$ $\left(\mathcal{P}^{\mathrm{c}} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)}, p t\right)$. Composing $\Phi^{\beta}$ with the homotopy equivalence $\tau$, we get a map $\tilde{\Phi}^{\beta}:=\tau \circ \Phi^{\beta}$ from $Y$ to the bouquet such that $\partial Y$ is mapped to the base point $\star$ of the bouquet. For each sphere $S_{\sigma}^{k-1}$ from the bouquet, we fix a point $b_{\sigma} \in S_{\sigma}^{k-1}$, different from $\star$, and a $(k-1)$-frame at $b_{\sigma}$. Perturbing $\tilde{\Phi}^{\beta}$ within its homotopy class, we may assume that $\tilde{\Phi}^{\beta}$ is transversal to each $b_{\sigma}$. Then the closed framed submanifold $Z_{\beta}:=\left(\tilde{\Phi}^{\beta}\right)^{-1}\left(\amalg_{\sigma \in \Xi} b_{\sigma}\right) \subset Y$ is an element of $\mathcal{F B} \mathcal{B}_{\Xi}^{k-1}(Y)$. Moreover, for a given $\beta$, the map $\tau^{-1} \circ \operatorname{Th}\left(Z_{\beta}\right)$ is in the homotopy class of $\Phi^{\beta}$.

The injectivity of $T h$ has a similar validation, using the $(n+1)$-dimensional cobordism $B: N \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times Y \times[0,1]$ that bounds an embedding $\beta: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times Y$ which is quasitopic to the trivial embedding. Again, we perturb $\tau \circ \Phi^{B}: Y \times[0,1] \rightarrow \bigvee_{\sigma=1}^{A(d, k, q)} S_{\sigma}^{k-1}$ to make it transversal to $\coprod_{\sigma \in \Xi} b_{\sigma}$, thus producing a framed cobordism $W$ in $Y \times[0,1]$ which bounds $Z_{\beta}$.

Finally, the validation of the property $\operatorname{Th}\left(Z_{1} \uplus_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{2}\right)=T h\left(Z_{1}\right) \uplus T h\left(Z_{2}\right)$ is on the level of definitions.

For $k>2$ and an oriented $n$-dimensional $Y$, where $\partial Y \neq \emptyset$, Proposition 3.11 gives a limited insight into the actions of the (abelian when $n \geq 2)$ group $\mathrm{G}_{d, d}^{e m b}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)}\right)$ on the set $\operatorname{QT}_{d, d}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)}\right)$.

Here is one useful observation: if $\beta: M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R} \times Y$ is represented, via $T h^{-1}$, by a closed normally framed submanifold $Z_{\beta}=\coprod_{\sigma \in \Xi} Z_{\beta, \sigma} \subset Y$, then the fundamental homology classes $\left\{\left[Z_{\beta, \sigma}\right] \in H_{n-k+1}(Y ; \mathbb{Z})\right\}_{\sigma \in \Xi}$ are constant along the $\mathrm{G}_{d, d}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)} ; \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)}\right)$ orbit through $T h\left(Z_{\beta}\right)$. Indeed, using the property $T h\left(Z_{1} \uplus_{\mathcal{F}} Z_{2}\right)=T h\left(Z_{1}\right) \uplus T h\left(Z_{2}\right)$, all the changes of $Z_{\beta}$, produced by the $\uplus_{\mathcal{F}}$-action, are incapsulated in a ball $B^{n} \subset Y$, and thus their fundamental classes are null-homologous in $Y$.

For a pallet $\Xi$ of cardinality $A(d, k, q)$, consider the subset $H_{n-k+1}^{[\Xi \sim f]}(Y ; \mathbb{Z})$ of the group $\left(H_{n-k+1}(Y ; \mathbb{Z})\right)^{A(d, k, q)}$ that is realized by $A(d, k, q)$ disjoint distinctly colored and normally framed closed submanifolds $\left\{Z_{\sigma}\right\}_{\sigma \in \Xi}$ of $Y$, $\operatorname{dim} Z_{\sigma}=n-k+1$. For $2 k-2>n$, by a general position argument, $H_{n-k+1}^{[\Xi \sim f r]}(Y ; \mathbb{Z})$ is a subgroup of $\left(H_{n-k+1}(Y ; \mathbb{Z})\right)^{A(d, k, q)}$.

Using Proposition 3.11 and tracing the correspondence $[\beta] \rightsquigarrow T h^{-1}([\beta]) \rightsquigarrow\left\{\left[Z_{\beta, \sigma}\right]\right\}_{\sigma}$, validates instantly to the following claim.
Proposition 3.12. Let $Y$ be a compact smooth oriented $n$-manifold $Y$, where $n \geq k-1$ and $k \in[3, d-1]$. Assume that $\partial Y \neq \emptyset$. Then the orbit-space of the $\mathrm{G}_{d, d}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{\mid \sim \sim^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)}\right)$ action on the set $\mathrm{QT}_{d, d}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)} ; \mathbf{c}_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)}\right)$ admits a surjection on the set $H_{n-k+1}^{[\Xi \sim f r]}(Y ; \mathbb{Z})$.

Example 3.5. Take $k=3, d=6, q=0$, and $n=3$. Then $A(6,3,0)=10$ and $\# \Xi=10$. Thus we get a homotopy equivalence $\tau: \mathcal{P}_{6}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq 3}} \sim \bigvee_{\sigma=1}^{10} S_{\sigma}^{2}$. For a compact oriented 3 -manifold $Y$, any normally framed submanifold $Z$ acquires an orientation. Using the isomorphism

$$
T h: \mathcal{F} \mathcal{B}_{\Xi}^{2}(Y) \approx \mathrm{QT}_{6,6}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq 3} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq 3}\right)
$$

from Proposition 3.11, any collection of at most ten disjoint framed closed 1-dimensional submanifolds $Z_{1}, \ldots Z_{10} \subset Y$ (that is, any framed link $Z \subset Y$, colored with 10 distinct colors at most) produces a quasitopy class of an embedding $\beta: M^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times Y$, where $M$ is closed. Its tangency patterns $\omega$, with the exception of ( $\emptyset$ ), have only entries from the list $\{1,2,3\}$ so that no more than one 3 and no more than two 2 's are present in $\omega$, while $|\omega| \leq 6$.

The orbit-space of the $\mathrm{G}_{6,6}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(3 ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq 3} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq 3}\right)$-action on $\mathrm{QT}_{6,6}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq 3} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq 3}\right)$ admits a surjection onto the group $\left(H_{1}(Y ; \mathbb{Z})\right)^{10}$. For example, for $Y=T^{3} \backslash \operatorname{int}\left(D^{3}\right)$, where $T^{3}$ is the 3 -torus, the orbit-space is mapped onto the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{30}$.

Corollary 3.13. We adopt the hypotheses of Proposition 3.10.

- For $\operatorname{dim} Y=k-1$ and any choice of $\kappa \in \pi_{0}(\partial Y)$, the group $\mathrm{G}_{d, d}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(k-1 ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)}\right.$; $\left.\mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)}\right)$ acts freely and transitively on the set $Q \mathrm{Q}_{d, d}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)}\right)$. Thus both sets are in a 1-to-1 correspondence.
- For a simply-connected $Y, \operatorname{dim} Y=k>4$, the group $\mathrm{G}_{d, d}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(k ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)}\right)$ acts freely and transitively on the set $\mathrm{QT}_{d, d}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)}\right)$. Again, both sets are in a 1-to-1 correspondence.
Proof. By Proposition 3.12, there exists a bijection

$$
T h: \mathcal{F} \mathcal{B}_{\Xi}^{k-1}(Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{QT}_{d, d}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)}\right) \text {. }
$$

When $\operatorname{dim} Y=k-1$, the elements of $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{B}_{\Xi}^{k-1}(Y)$ are represented by finite sets $Z$ of framed oriented singletons, marked with $\# \Xi$ colors at most. (We may assume that no two singletons of the same color have opposite orientations.) Evidently, any such finite set $Z$ can be incapsulated into a standard smooth ball $D^{k-1} \subset Y$ such that $\partial D^{k-1} \cap \partial Y=D^{k-2}$, a standard ( $k-2$ )-ball. Therefore $Z$ belongs to the $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{B}_{\Xi}^{k-1}\left(D^{k-1}\right)$-orbit of the empty collection $Z_{\emptyset}$. By $3.41, \operatorname{Th}(Z)$ belongs to the $\mathrm{G}_{d, d}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(k-1 ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)}\right)$-orbit of the trivial embedding (the empty one for $d \equiv 0 \bmod 2$ and the embedding $\beta_{\star}: Y \rightarrow\{0\} \times Y \subset \mathbb{R} \times Y$ for $d \equiv 1 \bmod 2)$. This validates the first claim.

For a simply-connected $Y, \operatorname{dim} Y=k>4$, again by Proposition 3.12, any element of $\mathrm{QT}_{d, d}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim| \geq k}^{(q)} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)}\right)$ is represented by a framed collection $Z$ of disjoint loops in $Y$, colored with $\# \Xi$ colors at most. Using that $\pi_{1}(Y)=1$ and $\operatorname{dim} Y=k>4$, we can bound each loop $Z_{\sigma}$ by a regularly embedded smooth 2-disk $W_{\sigma} \subset \operatorname{int}(Y)$ so that
all $W_{\sigma}$ 's are disjoint. Therefore each loop $Z_{\sigma}$ is contained in a standard ball $D_{\sigma}^{k}$, the regular neighborhood of $W_{\sigma}$. By performing 1 -surgeries on $\coprod_{\sigma} D_{\sigma}^{k}$, we construct a $k$-ball $\tilde{B}^{k}$ that contains all $Z_{\sigma}$ 's. Adding a 1-handle $H \subset Y \backslash \tilde{B}^{k}$ that connects $\partial \tilde{B}^{k}$ with $\partial Y$, we exhibit a ball $B^{k}:=\tilde{B}^{k} \cup H$ which contains all the loops and such that $\partial B^{k} \cap \partial Y$ is a ( $k-1$ )-dimensional ball. Therefore $Z$ is, once more, in the orbit of the empty collection $Z_{\emptyset}$ of loops. By 3.41|, Th( $Z$ ) belongs to the $\mathrm{G}_{d, d}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(k ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)} ; \mathbf{c} \Theta_{|\sim|^{\prime} \geq k}^{(q)}\right)$-orbit of the trivial embedding.

In fact, this conclusion is also valid for 3 -manifolds $Y$ with a spherical boundary, but its validation is not as direct as for the case $k>4$. If $\pi_{1}(Y)=1$, by the Perelman's solution of the Poincaré Conjecture [P1]-[P3], we conclude that $Y$ is the standard ball $D^{3}$. Thus any $\Xi$-colored and framed link $Z \subset Y$ is obviously contained in $D^{3}$.

As usual, the case $k=4$ seems to be challenging.
3.6. More about the stabilization of $\mathcal{Q} \mathcal{T}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(Y, \partial Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta, \mathbf{c} \Lambda ; \mathbf{c}^{\prime}\right)$ by the degrees $d, d^{\prime}$. We start with the embeddings of 1-manifolds in the product $\mathbb{R} \times D^{1}$ and the forbidden poset $\Theta$ that consists of $\omega$ 's with the reduced norms $\geq 2$.
Proposition 3.13. Let $d^{\prime} \geq d+2, d^{\prime} \equiv d \bmod 2$. For a closed subposet $\Theta \subset \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\langle d],|\sim|^{\prime} \geq 2}$, let $\hat{\Theta}_{\left\{d^{\prime}\right\}}$ be the smallest subposet in $\boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\left\langle d^{\prime}\right\}}$ that contains $\Theta$. Then we get a stabilization by $d^{\prime}$ :

$$
\mathrm{QT}_{d+2, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{emb}}\left(D^{1} ; \mathbf{c} \hat{\Theta}_{\{d+2\}} ; \mathbf{c} \hat{\Theta}_{\left\{d^{\prime}\right\}}\right) \approx \pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d+2}^{\mathbf{c} \hat{\Theta}_{\{d+2\}}}, p t\right) .
$$

Proof. The claim follows from Theorem 3.2 by combining it with Theorem 2.2 (see also Theorem 2.15 from [KSW1], which claims that $\left(\epsilon_{d+2, d^{\prime}}\right)_{*}: \pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d+2}^{\mathrm{c} \hat{\Theta}_{\{d+2\}}}\right) \approx \pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c}}{ }_{\left\{d^{\prime}\right\}}\right)$ is an isomorphism, induced by the embedding $\epsilon_{d+2, d^{\prime}}$ of the two spaces. See KSW1 for an explicit description of presentations of such fundamental groups $\pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d+2}^{\mathrm{c} \hat{\Theta}_{\{d+2\}}}\right)$.
Theorem 3.5. Let $\Theta$ be a closed poset such that $|\omega|^{\prime} \geq 3$ for any $\omega \in \Theta$. Let $d^{\prime} \geq d$ and $d^{\prime} \equiv d \bmod 2$. Assume the $\Lambda$-condition (3.9).

For any compact smooth $Y$ such that $\operatorname{dim} Y \leq d+2-\psi_{\Theta}(d+2)$ (see (2.9)) we get the classifying map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{d, d+2}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta): \mathrm{QT}_{d, d+2}^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{e}}(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta) \rightarrow\left[(Y, \partial Y),\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta}, p t\right)\right] \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is bijective for embeddings and surjective for immersions.
For any profinite (in the sense of Definition 2.2) poset $\Theta$ and any $Y$, the set $\mathrm{QT}_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{emb}}(Y ; \mathbf{c} \Theta$; $\mathbf{c} \Theta)$ stabilizes for all sufficiently big d.
Proof. The argument is a bit similar to the one we used in the proof of Proposition 3.9 .
Under the hypotheses, by Theorem 2.2 , the homomorphism $\left(\epsilon_{d, d^{\prime}}\right)_{*}: H_{j}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow$ $H_{j}\left(\mathcal{P}_{d+2}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$ is an isomorphism for all $j<d+2-\psi_{\Theta}(d+2)$. Since $|\omega|^{\prime} \geq 3$ for any $\omega \in \Theta$, all the spaces $\left\{\mathcal{P}_{d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}\right\}_{d^{\prime} \geq d}$ are simply connected. Hence, $\epsilon_{d, d+2}$ induces an isomorphism in the integral $j$-homology of $\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}$ and of $\mathcal{P}_{d+2}^{\mathrm{c} \Theta}$ for all $j<d+2-\psi_{\Theta}(d+2)$. By the Whitehead Theorem (the inverse Hurewicz Theorem) (see Theorem (7.13) in Wh]), $\epsilon_{d, d+2}$ induces
isomorphisms of the homotopy groups of $\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta}$ and of $\mathcal{P}_{d+2}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta}$ in dimensions $\leq d+2-\psi_{\Theta}(d+2)$. By standard application of the obstruction theory, if $\operatorname{dim} Y \leq d+2-\psi_{\Theta}(d+2)$, no nontrivial element of $\left[(Y, \partial Y),\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c}} \Theta, p t\right)\right]$ becomes, via $\epsilon_{d, d+2}$, null-homotopic in $\left[(Y, \partial Y),\left(\mathcal{P}_{d+2}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta}, p t^{\prime}\right)\right]$. Thus, for such $Y$, we get

$$
\left[\left[(Y, \partial Y), \epsilon_{d, d+2}:\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta}, p t\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{P}_{d+2}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}}, p t^{\prime}\right)\right]\right]=\left[(Y, \partial Y),\left(\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c} \Theta}, p t\right)\right]
$$

By Lemma 4.3 from [KSW2], for any closed profinite $\Theta, \lim _{d^{\prime} \rightarrow \infty} d^{\prime}-\psi_{\Theta}\left(d^{\prime}\right)=+\infty$. Hence, repeating the previous arguments, we get a similar conclusion for all $d^{\prime} \geq d \gg$ $\operatorname{dim} Y$.

Therefore, by Theorem 3.2 , the all the claims of Theorem 3.5 follow.

## 4. Appendix: Topology of $\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c}\langle\omega\rangle}$ for small $d$

In conclusion, let us state somewhat surprising results of one computer-assisted computation from [KSW2], Figures 6-8. The tables below are produced from these three figures with the help of the Alexander duality. For a given $\omega \in \boldsymbol{\Omega}$, we denote by $\langle\omega\rangle$ the minimal closed poset that contains $\omega$. In (4.1), for $d \leq 13$, we list all compositions $\omega$ for which the space $\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c}\langle\omega\rangle}$ is homologically nontrivial. In fact, for $d \leq 13$, every homologically nontrivial $\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c}\langle\omega\rangle}$ is a homology sphere! Moreover, at least for $\omega$ with $|\omega|^{\prime}>2$, all such spaces $\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c}\langle\omega\rangle}$ are homotopy spheres. Unfortunately, the reason for such phenomena is mysterious to us...

In the list below, we denote by " $\mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{k}}$ " the homology $k$-sphers. We use the notation " $\mathbf{S}_{\star}^{\mathbf{k}}$ " for the homotopy $k$-spheres. To make the writing of $\omega$ 's more compact, we adopt the following notation: for example, we abbreviate $\omega=(1132555)$ as $\left(1^{2} 325^{3}\right)$.

## List of nontrivial $\mathbb{Z}$-homology types of $\mathcal{P}_{d}^{\mathbf{c}\langle\omega\rangle}$ for $4 \leq d \leq 13$ :

$d=4$.
$|\omega|^{\prime}=0: \quad \omega=\left(1^{2}\right) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{0}}$
$|\omega|^{\prime}=1: \quad \omega=(2) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{0}}$
$|\omega|^{\prime}=3: \omega=(4) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{\star}^{2}$
$d=5$.
$\overline{|\omega|^{\prime}}=0: \omega=\left(1^{3}\right) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{0}}$
$|\omega|^{\prime}=4: \omega=(5) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{\star}^{\mathbf{3}}$
$\mathrm{d}=6$.
$|\omega|^{\prime}=0: \quad \omega=\left(1^{4}\right),\left(1^{2}\right) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{0}}$
$|\omega|^{\prime}=1: \quad \omega=(2) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{0}}$
$|\omega|^{\prime}=5: \omega=(6) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{\star}^{\mathbf{4}}$
$d=7$.
$|\omega|^{\prime}=0: \quad \omega=\left(1^{5}\right),\left(1^{3}\right) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{0}}$
$|\omega|^{\prime}=6: \omega=(7) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{\star}^{\mathbf{5}}$
$d=8$.
$|\omega|^{\prime}=0: \quad \omega=\left(1^{6}\right),\left(1^{4}\right),\left(1^{2}\right) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{0}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |\omega|^{\prime}=1: \omega=(1,2,1) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{4}} \quad \omega=(2) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{0}} \\
& |\omega|^{\prime}=2: \quad \omega=(1,3),(3,1) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{4} \\
& |\omega|^{\prime}=3: \omega=(4) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{\star}^{4} \\
& |\omega|^{\prime}=7: \omega=(8) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{\star}^{\boldsymbol{6}} \text {. } \\
& d=9 . \\
& |\omega|^{\prime}=0: \quad \omega=\left(1^{7}\right),\left(1^{5}\right),\left(1^{3}\right) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{0}} \text {; } \\
& |\omega|^{\prime}=1: \omega=\left(1^{2}, 2,1\right),\left(1,2,1^{2}\right), \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{4} \text {; } \\
& |\omega|^{\prime}=2: \omega=\left(1^{2}, 3\right),(1,3,1),\left(3,1^{2}\right) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{4} \text {; } \\
& |\omega|^{\prime}=8: \omega=(9) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{\star}^{7} \text {. } \\
& d=10 \text {. } \\
& |\omega|^{\prime}=0: \quad \omega=\left(1^{8}\right),\left(1^{6}\right),\left(1^{4}\right),\left(1^{2}\right) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{0}} \text {; } \\
& |\omega|^{\prime}=1: \omega=\left(1^{3}, 2,1\right),\left(1^{2}, 2,1^{2}\right),\left(1,2,1^{3}\right), \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{4} ; \quad \omega=(2) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{0}} \text {; } \\
& |\omega|^{\prime}=2: \omega=\left(1^{3}, 3\right),\left(1^{2}, 3,1\right),\left(1,3,1^{2}\right),\left(3,1^{3}\right) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{4}} ; \\
& |\omega|^{\prime}=9: \omega=(10) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{\star}^{8} . \\
& d=11 . \\
& |\omega|^{\prime}=0: \omega=\left(1^{9}\right),\left(1^{7}\right),\left(1^{5}\right),\left(1^{3}\right) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{0}} \text {; } \\
& |\omega|^{\prime}=1: \omega=\left(1^{4}, 2,1\right),\left(1^{3}, 2,1^{2}\right),\left(1^{2}, 2,1^{3}\right),\left(1,2,1^{4}\right) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{4} \text {; } \\
& |\omega|^{\prime}=2: \omega=\left(1^{4}, 3\right),\left(1^{3}, 3,1\right),\left(1^{2}, 3,1^{2}\right),\left(1,3,1^{3}\right),\left(3,1^{4}\right) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{4} \text {; } \\
& \omega=(1,2,1,2,1) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{6}} ; \\
& |\omega|^{\prime}=3: \quad \omega=(1,2,1,3),(3,1,2,1) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{\star}^{\mathbf{6}} ; \\
& |\omega|^{\prime}=4: \omega=(3,1,3) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{\star}^{\mathbf{6}} ; \\
& |\omega|^{\prime}=10: \omega=(11) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{\star}^{9} \text {. } \\
& d=12 \text {. } \\
& |\omega|^{\prime}=0: \omega=\left(1^{10}\right),\left(1^{8}\right),\left(1^{6}\right),\left(1^{4}\right),\left(1^{2}\right) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{0}} \text {; } \\
& |\omega|^{\prime}=1: \quad \omega=\left(1^{5}, 2,1\right),\left(1^{4}, 2,1^{2}\right),\left(1^{3}, 2,1^{3}\right),\left(1^{2}, 2,1^{4}\right),\left(1,2,1^{5}\right) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{4} \text {; } \\
& \omega=(1,2,1) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{6}} \text {; } \\
& \omega=(2) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{0}} ; \\
& |\omega|^{\prime}=2: \omega=\left(1^{5}, 3\right),\left(1^{4}, 3,1\right),\left(1^{3}, 3,1^{2}\right),\left(1^{2}, 3,1^{3}\right),\left(1,3,1^{4}\right),\left(3,1^{5}\right) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{4} \text {; } \\
& \omega=\left(1^{2}, 2,1,2,1\right),\left(1,2,1^{2}, 2,1\right),\left(1,2,1,2,1^{2}\right),(1,3),(3,1) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{6}} \text {; } \\
& \omega=\left(1,2^{2}, 1\right) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{8}} ; \\
& |\omega|^{\prime}=3: \quad \omega=\left(1^{2}, 2,1,3\right),\left(1,2,1^{2}, 3\right),(1,2,1,3,1),(1,3,1,2,1),\left(3,1^{2}, 2,1\right) \text {, } \\
& \left(3,1,2,1^{2}\right),(4) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{\star}^{6} \text {; } \\
& \omega=(1,2,3),(3,2,1),(1,4,1) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{\star}^{\mathbf{8}} ; \\
& |\omega|^{\prime}=4: \quad \omega=(1,3,1,3),(3,1,3,1) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{\star}^{\mathbf{6}} ; \\
& \omega=(1,5),(5,1),\left(3^{2}\right) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{\star}^{\mathbf{8}} \text {; } \\
& |\omega|^{\prime}=5: \omega=(6) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{\star}^{\mathbf{8}} ; \\
& |\omega|^{\prime}=11: \omega=(12) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{\star}^{10} . \\
& \mathrm{d}=13 \text {. } \\
& |\omega|^{\prime}=0: \quad \omega=\left(1^{11}\right),\left(1^{9}\right),\left(1^{7}\right),\left(1^{5}\right),\left(1^{3}\right) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{0}} \text {; } \\
& |\omega|^{\prime}=1: \omega=\left(1^{6}, 2,1\right),\left(1^{5}, 2,1^{2}\right),\left(1^{4}, 2,1^{3}\right),\left(1^{3}, 2,1^{4}\right),\left(1^{2}, 2,1^{5}\right),\left(1,2,1^{6}\right) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{4} ; \\
& \omega=\left(1^{2}, 2,1\right),\left(1,2,1^{2}\right) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{6}} \text {; } \\
& |\omega|^{\prime}=2: \quad \omega=\left(1^{6}, 3\right),\left(1^{5}, 3,1\right),\left(1^{4}, 3,1^{2}\right),\left(1^{2}, 1,3,1^{3}\right),\left(1^{2}, 3,1^{4}\right),\left(1,3,1^{5}\right),\left(3,1^{6}\right) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{4} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad \omega=\left(1^{2}, 3\right),(1,3,1),\left(3,1^{2}\right),\left(1^{3}, 2,1,2,1\right),\left(1^{2}, 2,1^{2}, 2,1\right),\left(1^{2}, 2,1,2,1^{2}\right),\left(1,2,1^{3}, 2,1\right) \\
& \left(1,2,1^{2}, 2,1^{2}\right),\left(1,2,1,2,1^{3}\right) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{6} ; \\
& \quad \omega=\left(1^{2}, 2^{2}, 1\right),\left(1,2^{2}, 1^{2}\right) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{8}} ; \\
& |\omega|^{\prime}=3: \quad \omega=\left(1^{3}, 2,1,3\right),\left(1^{2}, 2,1^{2}, 3\right),\left(1^{2}, 2,1,3,1\right),\left(1^{2}, 3,1,2,1\right),\left(1,2,1^{2}, 3,1\right) \\
& \left(1,2,1,3,1^{2}\right),\left(1,3,1^{2}, 2,1\right),\left(1,3,1,2,1^{2}\right),\left(3,1^{2}, 2,1^{2}\right),\left(3,1,2,1^{3}\right) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{\star}^{6} ; \\
& \quad \omega=\left(1^{2}, 2,3\right),(1,2,3,1),(1,3,2,1),\left(3,2,1^{2}\right),\left(1^{2}, 4,1\right),\left(1,4,1^{2}\right) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{\star}^{8} ; \\
& |\omega|^{\prime}=4: \quad \omega=\left(1^{2}, 3,1,3\right),(1,3,1,3,1),\left(3,1,3,1^{2}\right), \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{\star}^{6} ; \\
& \quad \omega=\left(1,3^{2}\right),\left(3^{2}, 1\right),\left(1^{2}, 5\right),(1,5,1),\left(5,1^{2}\right) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{\star}^{8} ; \\
& |\omega|^{\prime}=12: \quad \omega=(13) \Rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{\star}^{11} .
\end{align*}
$$
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[^0]:    Date: 01/07/2022.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ not necessary $k$-normal!

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ The branches are $q$-separable in the sense of Definition 3.2

[^3]:    ${ }^{3} \delta N$ is the closure of the complimentary to $M_{0} \amalg M_{1}$ portion of $\partial N$.

[^4]:    ${ }^{4}$ By Corollary 3.8. $\Phi_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\text {emb }}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$ is an isomorphism, and $\Phi_{d, d^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{imm}}\left(n ; \mathbf{c} \Theta ; \mathbf{c} \Theta^{\prime}\right)$ is an epimorphism.

