# $W^{2,\delta}$ ESTIMATES FOR SOLUTION SETS OF FULLY NONLINEAR ELLIPTIC INEQUALITIES ON $C^{1,\alpha}$ DOMAINS

DONGSHENG LI AND XUEMEI LI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we establish boundary  $W^{2,\delta}$  estimates for  $u \in S(\lambda, \Lambda, f)$  on  $C^{1,\alpha}$  domains with  $f \in L^p$  as  $n and <math>C^{1,\alpha}$  boundary values. Instead of straightening out the boundary, our main idea is to obtain boundary  $W^{2,\delta}$  estimates from interior  $W^{2,\delta_0}$  estimates and Whitney decomposition for some  $\delta \leq \delta_0$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we establish boundary  $W^{2,\delta}$  estimates for  $u \in S(\lambda, \Lambda, f)$  on  $C^{1,\alpha}$  domains. Some notions and notations concerning  $S(\lambda, \Lambda, f)$  are listed as follows. We refer to [2] and [3] for more details.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $0 < \lambda \leq \Lambda < \infty$ . For  $M \in S(n)$  (the space of real  $n \times n$  symmetric matrices), the Pucci's extremal operators  $\mathcal{M}^-$  and  $\mathcal{M}^+$  are defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{M}^{-}(M,\lambda,\Lambda) = \lambda \sum_{e_i>0} e_i + \Lambda \sum_{e_i<0} e_i,$$
$$\mathcal{M}^{+}(M,\lambda,\Lambda) = \Lambda \sum_{e_i>0} e_i + \lambda \sum_{e_i<0} e_i,$$

where  $e_i = e_i(M)$  are the eigenvalues of M.

**Definition 1.2.** Let p > n/2 and  $f \in L^p_{loc}(\Omega)$ . A function  $u \in C(\Omega)$  satisfies  $\mathcal{M}^-(D^2u, \lambda, \Lambda) \leq f(x)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{M}^+(D^2u, \lambda, \Lambda) \geq f(x)$ ) in  $\Omega$  in the viscosity sense if  $x_0 \in \Omega$ ,  $\varphi \in W^{2,p}_{loc}(\Omega)$  and  $u - \varphi$  has a local minimum (resp. maximum) at  $x_0$  imply

$$ess \liminf_{x \to x_0} \{ M^-(D^2\varphi, \lambda, \Lambda) - f(x) \} \le 0,$$
  
(resp. ess 
$$\limsup_{x \to x_0} \{ M^+(D^2\varphi, \lambda, \Lambda) - f(x) \} \ge 0 ).$$

**Definition 1.3.** Let p > n/2 and  $f \in L^p_{loc}(\Omega)$ . We denote by  $S(\lambda, \Lambda, f)$  the space of continuous functions u in  $\Omega$  such that

$$\mathcal{M}^{-}(D^{2}u,\lambda,\Lambda) \leq f(x) \leq \mathcal{M}^{+}(D^{2}u,\lambda,\Lambda)$$

in the viscosity sense in  $\Omega$ .

Our main result is as follows.

Key words and phrases. Fully Nonlinear Elliptic Equations,  $W^{2,\delta}$  Estimates, Whitney decomposition,  $C^{1,\alpha}$  Domains.

**Theorem 1.4.** Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a bounded domain with  $C^{1,\alpha}$  boundary portion  $T \subset \partial \Omega$ . Suppose that u satisfies

$$\begin{cases} u \in S(\lambda, \Lambda, f) & \text{in } \Omega; \\ u = g & \text{on } T, \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where

 $f \in L^p(\Omega)$  for  $n and <math>g \in C^{1,\alpha}(T)$ .

Then there exists a positive constant  $\delta$  depending on n,  $\lambda$ ,  $\Lambda$ ,  $\alpha$  and p such that for any domain  $\Omega' \subset \subset \Omega \cup T$ , we have

 $||u||_{W^{2,\delta}(\Omega')} \le C\left(||u||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} + ||f||_{L^{p}(\Omega)} + ||g||_{C^{1,\alpha}(T)}\right),$ (1.2)

where C depends on n,  $\lambda$ ,  $\Lambda$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\delta$ , p, T,  $\Omega'$  and  $\Omega$ .

**Remark 1.5.** (i) When  $T = \partial \Omega$  in Theorem 1.4, we may obtain a global  $W^{2,\delta}(\Omega)$  estimate on the bounded  $C^{1,\alpha}$  domain.

(ii) Interior  $W^{2,\delta_0}$  estimates and boundary Hölder gradient estimates are used in the proof of Theorem 1.4, where  $\delta_0$  depends on  $n, \lambda$  and  $\Lambda$ . Interior  $W^{2,\delta_0}$  estimates need  $p > n - \epsilon$  with  $\epsilon$  depending on  $n, \lambda$  and  $\Lambda$ . (See [5] for more details.) Boundary Hölder gradient estimates need p > n. (See the following Corollary 3.4 for more details.)

 $W^{2,p}$  regularity for fully nonlinear elliptic equations has been studied extensively during recent decades. For  $u \in S(\lambda, \Lambda, f)$  and  $f \in L^n$ , Caffarelli [2] proved interior  $W^{2,\delta_0}$  estimates with  $\delta_0 > 0$  depending on  $n, \lambda$  and  $\Lambda$ , which was first discovered by Lin [12] for linear elliptic equations in nondivergence form. Then, interior  $W^{2,p}$ estimates for fully nonlinear elliptic equations  $F(D^2u, x) = f(x)$  with  $f \in L^p$  and n were established under the following two assumptions: The homogeneous equations with constants coefficients  $F(D^2w, x_0)$  have interior  $C^{1,1}$  estimates for any  $x_0 \in B_1$  and the oscillation of F(M, x) in x is small in  $L^n$  sense. (See [2].) Escauriaza [5] extended the above estimates to the optimal range of exponents  $n-\epsilon with <math>\epsilon$  depending on  $n, \lambda$  and  $\Lambda$ . In [9], Li and Zhang relaxed the Caffarelli's assumptions of F to:  $F(D^2w, x_0)$  have interior  $W^{2,p_1}$  estimates for any  $x_0 \in B_1$  and the oscillation of F(M, x) in x is small in  $L^{p_2}$  sense, where  $n-\epsilon < p_0 < p < p_1 \le \infty$  and  $1/p_1 + 1/p_2 = 1/p_0$ . Winter [14] extended Caffarelli's interior  $W^{2,p}$  regularity to boundary and established boundary  $W^{2,p}$  estimates on flat domains and then on  $C^{1,1}$  domains alongside a flattening argument. For oblique boundary value problem for  $F(D^2u, x) = f(x)$ , based on pointwise boundary  $C^{2,\alpha}$ regularity in [11], Byun and Han [1] derived the boundary  $W^{2,p}$  estimates on flat domains and then on  $C^{2,\alpha}$  domains by straightening out boundary.

The technique of straightening out boundary is widely applied to deal with boundary regularity. However, it is no longer applicable for the  $C^{1,\alpha}$  domains. The reason is as follows: If the technique of straightening out boundary is used, then for any  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ , one should find a neighborhood  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $x_0$  and a diffeomorphism  $\psi$  from  $\mathcal{N}$  onto  $B_1$  such that  $\psi(\mathcal{N} \cap \Omega) = B_1^+$  and  $\psi(\mathcal{N} \cap \partial\Omega) = B_1 \cap \{x^n = 0\}$ . Writing  $y = \psi(x), \tilde{u}(y) = u(x)$  and  $\tilde{f}(y) = f(x)$  for  $x \in \mathcal{N} \cap \Omega$  and  $y \in B_1^+$ , we calculate

 $D^2 u = D\psi^T (D^2 \tilde{u} \circ \psi) D\psi + ((D\tilde{u} \circ \psi) D_{ij} \psi)_{1 \le i,j \le n}.$ 

Then  $\psi$  need to be  $C^{1,1}$  in view of the second term.

In this paper, instead of straightening out the boundary, we establish boundary  $W^{2,\delta}$  estimates for  $u \in S(\lambda, \Lambda, f)$  on  $C^{1,\alpha}$  domains from interior  $W^{2,\delta_0}$  estimates and Whitney decomposition. Whitney decomposition is an effective tool for obtaining boundary estimates from interior estimates. For instance, Cao, Li and Wang [4] utilized it to prove the optimal weighted  $W^{2,p}$  estimates for elliptic equations with non-compatible conditions; Li, Li and Zhang [8] utilized it to prove boundary  $W^{2,p}$ estimates for linear elliptic equations on  $C^{1,\alpha}$  domains.

We illustrate our idea as follows. Let  $\{Q_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be Whitney decomposition of  $\Omega_1$ (Suppose  $0 \in \partial \Omega$  and denote  $\Omega_r = \Omega \cap B_r$ ) and  $\widetilde{Q}_k = \frac{6}{5}Q_k$  the  $\frac{6}{5}$ -dilation of  $Q_k$ with respect to its center. Let u satisfy (1.1). Deduce from Hölder's inequality and interior  $W^{2,\delta_0}$  estimates that for any  $\delta \leq \delta_0$ ,

$$\begin{split} ||D^{2}u||_{L^{\delta}(Q_{k})}^{\delta} &\leq Cd_{k}^{n(1-\frac{\delta}{\delta_{0}})}||D^{2}(u-l)||_{L^{\delta_{0}}(Q_{k})}^{\delta} \\ &\leq C\left(d_{k}^{n-2\delta}||u-l||_{L^{\infty}(\widetilde{Q}_{k})}^{\delta} + d_{k}^{n-\frac{\delta n}{p}}||f||_{L^{p}(\widetilde{Q}_{k})}^{\delta}\right) \end{split}$$

for some universal constant C and any affine function l, where  $d_k$  denotes the diameter of  $Q_k$ . By  $C^{1,\alpha_0}$  estimates up to the boundary, we can take l such that

$$|u(x) - l(x)| \le C \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)^{1+\alpha_0}, \ \forall x \in \Omega_{1/2}$$

for some positive constants C and proper  $0 < \alpha_0 < 1$ . It then follows from Whitney decomposition that

$$||u-l||_{L^{\infty}(\widetilde{Q}_k)}^{\delta} \le Cd_k^{(1+\alpha_0)\delta}$$

Combining the above estimates, we obtain

$$||D^2u||_{L^{\delta}(Q_k)}^{\delta} \le C\left(d_k^{-\delta+\alpha_0\delta+n} + d_k^{n-\frac{\delta n}{p}}||f||_{L^p(\Omega_1)}^{\delta}\right)$$

By taking sum on both sides with respect to k, we obtain the desired boundary  $W^{2,\delta}$ estimate for any  $\delta < \min\{1/(1-\alpha_0), p/n\}$  that guarantees  $\sum_k (d_k^{-\delta+\alpha_0\delta+n} + d_k^{n-\frac{\delta n}{p}})$ is convergent.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, Whitney decomposition and its relevant properties are concluded. In Section 3, we demonstrate some basic estimates for  $u \in S(\lambda, \Lambda, f)$  concerning interior  $W^{2,\delta_0}$  estimates and pointwise boundary Hölder gradient estimates. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.4.

We end this section by introducing some notations.

#### Notation.

- 1.  $e_i = (0, ..., 0, 1, ..., 0) = i^{th}$  standard coordinate vector. 2.  $x' = (x^1, x^2, ..., x^{n-1})$  and  $x = (x', x^n)$ .
- 3.  $\mathbb{R}^n_+ = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x^n > 0 \}.$
- 4.  $B_r(x_0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x x_0| < r\}$  and  $B_r^+(x_0) = B_r(x_0) \cap \mathbb{R}^n_+$ . 5.  $B_r' = \{x' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} : |x'| < r\}$  and  $T_r = \{(x', 0) : x' \in B_r'\}$ .
- 6.  $\Omega_r(x_0) = \Omega \cap B_r(x_0)$  and  $(\partial \Omega)_r(x_0) = \partial \Omega \cap B_r(x_0)$ . We omit  $x_0$  when  $x_0 = 0$ .
- 7. diam $E = \sup\{|x y|, x, y \in E\}, \forall E \subset \mathbb{R}^n.$
- 8. dist $(E, F) = \inf\{|x y|, x \in E, y \in F\}, \forall E, F \subset \mathbb{R}^n.$

#### 2. WHITNEY DECOMPOSITION

In what follows, by a cube we mean a closed cube in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , with sides parallel to the axes. We say two such cubes are disjoint if their interiors are disjoint.

**Lemma 2.1.** (Whitney decomposition) Let  $\Omega$  be a non-empty open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then there exist two sequences of cubes  $Q_k$  (called the Whitney cubes of  $\Omega$ ) and  $\tilde{Q}_k = \frac{6}{5}Q_k$  $\left(\frac{6}{5} - dilation \text{ of } Q_k \text{ with respect to center of } Q_k\right)$  such that

- (i)  $\Omega = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} Q_k = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \widetilde{Q}_k;$ (ii) The  $Q_k$  are mutually disjoint;
- (iii)  $d_k \leq \text{dist} (Q_k, \partial \Omega) \leq 4d_k$ , where  $d_k = diamQ_k$ ;
- (iv) Each point of  $\Omega$  is contained in at most  $12^n$  of the cubes  $Q_k$ .

For the proof of the above lemma, we refer to Theorem 1 and Proposition 3 in Section VI.1 in [13].

From now on, we make the following assumption on  $\Omega$ : (A)  $0 \in \partial \Omega$  and there exists a continuous function  $\varphi : B'_1 \to \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\Omega_1 = \{x^n > \varphi(x'), |x| < 1\} \text{ and } (\partial \Omega)_1 = \{x^n = \varphi(x'), |x| < 1\}.$$

Recall that  $\Omega_1 = \Omega \cap B_1$  and  $(\partial \Omega)_1 = \partial \Omega \cap B_1$ .

Let  $\{Q_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be Whitney decomposition of  $\Omega_1$ ,  $d_k = \text{diam}Q_k$  and  $\widetilde{Q}_k = \frac{6}{5}Q_k$ . The following lemmas were first proved in [8] and we give their proofs here for completeness.

**Lemma 2.2.** Suppose that  $\Omega$  satisfies Assumption (A). Then

$$\Omega_{1/12} \subset \bigcup_{\widetilde{Q}_k \subset \Omega_{1/4}} Q_k.$$
(2.1)

*Proof.* If not, there exist a point  $x \in \Omega_{1/12}$  and a cube  $Q_k$  such that  $x \in Q_k$  but  $\widetilde{Q}_k \not\subset \Omega_{1/4}$ . It follows that there exists a point  $y \in \widetilde{Q}_k$  with  $|y| \ge 1/4$ . Then we deduce from Lemma 2.1 (iii) that

$$\operatorname{dist}(Q_k, \partial \Omega_1) \ge \operatorname{diam}Q_k = 5\operatorname{diam}Q_k/6 \ge 5(|y| - |x|)/6 \ge 5/36 > 1/12.$$

On the other hand, since  $x \in Q_k \cap \Omega_{1/12}$  and  $0 \in \partial \Omega$ ,

$$\operatorname{dist}(Q_k, \partial \Omega_1) \le |x| \le 1/12.$$

Thus we get a contradiction.

**Lemma 2.3.** Suppose that  $\Omega$  satisfies Assumption (A) with  $\varphi \in C^{0,1}(B'_1)$ . If q > n-1, then

$$\sum_{\widetilde{Q}_k \subset \Omega_{1/4}} d_k^q \le C,\tag{2.2}$$

where C depends on n, q and  $||\varphi||_{C^{0,1}(B'_1)}$ .

Proof. Set

$$\mathcal{F}^{s} = \bigcup_{k} \{ Q_{k} : 2^{-s-1} < d_{k} \le 2^{-s}, \ \widetilde{Q}_{k} \subset \Omega_{1/4} \}, \ s = 1, 2, \dots$$
(2.3)

For any  $Q_k \in \mathcal{F}^s$ , since  $\mathcal{F}^s \subset \Omega_{1/4}$ , there exists  $y_k \in (\partial \Omega)_{1/2}$  such that

$$\operatorname{dist}(Q_k, y_k) = \operatorname{dist}(Q_k, (\partial \Omega)_1) = \operatorname{dist}(Q_k, \partial \Omega_1) \le 4d_k \le 2^{-s+2},$$

where we have used Lemma 2.1 (iii). (Recall that  $(\partial \Omega)_r = \partial \Omega \cap B_r$  for r > 0.) It follows that

$$dist(x, (\partial \Omega)_1) \le d_k + dist(Q_k, y_k) \le 2^{-s} + 2^{-s+2} \le 2^{-s+3}$$

for any  $x \in Q_k$  and  $Q_k \in \mathcal{F}^s$ , which implies

$$\mathcal{F}^s \subset \Omega_{1/4} \cap \{ \operatorname{dist}(x, (\partial \Omega)_1) \le 2^{-s+3} \}.$$
(2.4)

By Assumption (A), we have

$$\begin{split} \Omega_{1/4} &\cap \{ \operatorname{dist}(x, (\partial \Omega)_1) \leq 2^{-s+3} \} \\ &\subset \{ |x'| \leq 1/4, \varphi(x') \leq x^n \leq \varphi(x') + (||\varphi||_{C^{0,1}(B_1')} + 1) 2^{-s+3} \}. \end{split}$$

Since

$$|\{|x'| \le 1/4, \varphi(x') \le x^n \le \varphi(x') + (||\varphi||_{C^{0,1}(B_1')} + 1)2^{-s+3}\}| \le C2^{-s},$$

we have

$$|\Omega_{1/4} \cap { \text{dist}(x, (\partial \Omega)_1) \le 2^{-s+3} } | \le C2^{-s},$$

where C depends on n and  $||\varphi||_{C^{0,1}(B'_1)}$ . Therefore, by (2.4),

$$|\mathcal{F}^s| \le C2^{-s}.\tag{2.5}$$

Observe that

$$\bigcup_{\widetilde{Q}_k \subset \Omega_{1/4}} Q_k = \bigcup_{s=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{Q_k \in \mathcal{F}^s} Q_k.$$

If q > n - 1, we derive from (2.3) and (2.5) that

$$\sum_{\widetilde{Q}_k \subset \Omega_{1/4}} d_k^q \le \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{Q_k \in \mathcal{F}^s} \left( d_k^{q-n} \cdot d_k^n \right) \right\} \le C \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left\{ 2^{-s(q-n)} \cdot \sum_{Q_k \in \mathcal{F}^s} d_k^n \right\}$$

$$\leq C \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} 2^{-s(q-n)} |\mathcal{F}^s| \leq C \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} 2^{-s(q-n+1)} \leq C,$$

where C depends on n, q and  $||\varphi||_{C^{0,1}(B'_1)}$ .

**Remark 2.4.** Lemma 2.3 is obvious as  $q \ge n$  since

$$\sum_{\widetilde{Q}_k \subset \Omega_{1/4}} d_k^q \leq \sum_{\widetilde{Q}_k \subset \Omega_{1/4}} d_k^n \leq C_n |\Omega_{1/4}|$$

for some dimensional constant  $C_n$ .

5

#### 3. Preliminary estimates

The following lemma concerns interior  $W^{2,\delta_0}$  regularity for  $u \in S(\lambda, \Lambda, f)$  and  $f \in L^n$ . We refer to [2], [3] and [12] for its proof.

**Theorem 3.1.** There exists a positive constant  $\delta_0$  depending on n,  $\lambda$  and  $\Lambda$  such that if

$$u \in S(\lambda, \Lambda, f)$$
 in  $B_1$  and  $f \in L^n(B_1)$ ,

then  $u \in W^{2,\delta_0}(B_{1/2})$  and

$$|u||_{W^{2,\delta_0}(B_{1/2})} \le C\left(||u||_{L^{\infty}(B_1)} + ||f||_{L^n(B_1)}\right),\tag{3.1}$$

where C depends on n,  $\lambda$  and  $\Lambda$ .

The following lemma concerns pointwise boundary  $C^{1,\bar{\alpha}}$  estimates for  $u \in S(\lambda, \Lambda, 0)$ on flat boundaries with zero boundary values. We owe it to Krylov [7] and see more details in Lian and Zhang [11].

Lemma 3.2. Let u satisfy

$$\begin{cases} u \in S(\lambda, \Lambda, 0) & \text{in } B_1^+; \\ u = 0 & \text{on } T_1. \end{cases}$$

Then there exists a constant  $0 < \bar{\alpha} < 1$  depending on  $n, \lambda$  and  $\Lambda$  such that u is  $C^{1,\bar{\alpha}}$  at 0, i.e., there exists a constant a such that

$$|u(x) - ax^{n}| \le C|x|^{1+\bar{\alpha}} ||u||_{L^{\infty}(B_{1}^{+})}, \ \forall x \in B_{1/2}^{+}$$

and

$$|Du(0)| = |a| \le C||u||_{L^{\infty}(B_{1}^{+})}$$

where C depends on n,  $\lambda$  and  $\Lambda$ .

Based on Lemma 3.2 and compactness method, Lian and Zhang [11] proved the following lemma concerning pointwise boundary  $C^{1,\alpha}$  estimates for  $u \in S(\lambda, \Lambda, f)$  on  $C^{1,\alpha}$  domains with  $0 < \alpha < \bar{\alpha}$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $\bar{\alpha}$  be as in Lemma 3.2 and  $0 < \alpha < \bar{\alpha}$ . Suppose that  $\Omega$  satisfies Assumption (A) with  $\varphi \in C^{1,\alpha}(B'_1)$  and u satisfies

$$\begin{cases} u \in S(\lambda, \Lambda, f) & \text{in } \Omega_1; \\ u = g & \text{on } (\partial \Omega)_1, \end{cases}$$
(3.2)

where  $g \in C^{1,\alpha}((\partial \Omega)_1)$  and  $f \in L^n(\Omega_1)$  satisfying for some constant  $K_f$ ,

$$||f||_{L^n(\Omega_r)} \le K_f r^\alpha \quad \text{for any } r \in (0,1]. \tag{3.3}$$

Then u is  $C^{1,\alpha}$  at 0, i.e., there exists an affine function l such that

$$|u(x) - l(x)| \le C|x|^{1+\alpha} (||u||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_1)} + K_f + ||g||_{C^{1,\alpha}((\partial\Omega)_1)}), \ \forall x \in \Omega_{r_0}$$

and

$$|Du(0)| = |Dl| \le C(||u||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_1)} + K_f + ||g||_{C^{1,\alpha}((\partial\Omega)_1)}),$$

where C and  $r_0$  depends on n,  $\lambda$ ,  $\Lambda$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $\bar{\alpha}$  and  $||\varphi||_{C^{1,\alpha}(B'_1)}$ .

If  $f \in L^p(\Omega_1)$  for  $n , by Hölder's inequality, there exists a constant <math>C_n$  depending only on n such that

$$||f||_{L^n(\Omega_r)} \le C_n r^{1-n/p} ||f||_{L^p(\Omega_r)}$$
 for any  $r \in (0,1]$ ,

which implies f satisfies (3.3) with  $\alpha = 1 - n/p$ . Then we have the following corollary:

**Corollary 3.4.** Under the hypotheses of Lemma 3.3 with (3.3) replaced by  $f \in L^p(\Omega_1)$  for  $n . Set <math>\alpha_0 = \min\{\alpha, 1 - n/p\}$ . Then u is  $C^{1,\alpha_0}$  at 0, i.e., there exists an affine function  $l_{x_0}$  such that

$$|u(x) - l(x)| \le C|x|^{1+\alpha_0} (||u||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_1)} + ||f||_{L^p(\Omega_1)} + ||g||_{C^{1,\alpha}((\partial\Omega)_1)}), \ \forall x \in \Omega_{r_0}$$

and

 $|Du(0)| = |Dl| \le C(||u||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_1)} + ||f||_{L^{p}(\Omega_1)} + ||g||_{C^{1,\alpha}((\partial\Omega)_1)}),$ where C and  $r_0$  depends on  $n, \lambda, \Lambda, \alpha, \bar{\alpha}$  and  $||\varphi||_{C^{1,\alpha}(B'_1)}.$ 

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.4

Theorem 1.4 follows easily from the following Theorem 4.1 which is proved by interior  $W^{2,\delta_0}$  estimates (Theorem 3.1), boundary  $C^{1,\alpha_0}$  estimates (Corollary 3.4) and Whitney decomposition (Lemma 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3).

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $\bar{\alpha}$  be as in Lemma 3.2 and  $0 < \alpha < \bar{\alpha}$ . Suppose that  $\Omega$  satisfies Assumption (A) with  $\varphi \in C^{1,\alpha}(B'_1)$  and u satisfies

$$\begin{cases} u \in S(\lambda, \Lambda, f) & \text{in } \Omega_1; \\ u = g & \text{on } (\partial \Omega)_1 \end{cases}$$

where

 $f \in L^p(\Omega_1)$  for  $n and <math>g \in C^{1,\alpha}((\partial \Omega)_1)$ . Let  $\delta_0$  be as in Theorem 3.1 and  $\alpha_0 = \min\{\alpha, 1 - n/p\}$ . Then for any

$$\delta \le \delta_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta < 1/(1 - \alpha_0), \tag{4.1}$$

we have

$$||u||_{W^{2,\delta}(\Omega_{1/12})} \le C(||u||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{1})} + ||f||_{L^{p}(\Omega_{1})} + ||g||_{C^{1,\alpha}((\partial\Omega)_{1})}), \qquad (4.2)$$

where C depends on  $n, \lambda, \Lambda, \alpha, \bar{\alpha}, \delta, p$  and  $||\varphi||_{C^{1,\alpha}(B'_1)}$ .

Proof. In the following, we write for simplicity

 $\mathcal{H} = ||u||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_1)} + ||f||_{L^p(\Omega_1)} + ||g||_{C^{1,\alpha}((\partial\Omega)_1)}.$ 

Let  $\{Q_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be Whitney decomposition of  $\Omega_1$ ,  $d_k = \operatorname{diam} Q_k$  and  $\widetilde{Q}_k = \frac{6}{5}Q_k$ . For any  $\widetilde{Q}_k \subset \Omega_{1/4}$ , let  $y_k \in (\partial \Omega)_{1/2}$  and  $\widetilde{x}_k \in \partial \widetilde{Q}_k$  such that

 $|\tilde{x}_k - y_k| = \operatorname{dist}(\tilde{Q}_k, \partial \Omega_1) < \operatorname{dist}(Q_k, \partial \Omega_1) \le 4d_k,$ 

where Lemma 2.1 (iii) is used in the last inequality. Consequently, we see that

 $|x - y_k| \le |x - \tilde{x}_k| + |\tilde{x}_k - y_k| \le 5d_k, \quad \forall x \in \widetilde{Q}_k.$ 

By Corollary 3.4, u is  $C^{1,\alpha_0}$  at  $y_k$  and then there exists an affine function  $l_{y_k}$  (written by l for simplicity in the following) such that

$$|u(x) - l(x)| \le C|x - y_k|^{1 + \alpha_0} \mathcal{H} \le C d_k^{1 + \alpha_0} \mathcal{H}, \ \forall x \in \widetilde{Q}_k,$$

$$(4.3)$$

where C depends on  $n, \lambda, \Lambda, \alpha, \bar{\alpha}$  and  $||\varphi||_{C^{1,\alpha}(B'_1)}$ .

Since  $u - l \in S(\lambda, \Lambda, f)$  in  $\widetilde{Q}_k$ , we deduce from interior  $W^{2,\delta_0}$  estimate, (4.3) and Hölder's inequality that

$$\begin{split} \int_{Q_k} |D^2(u-l)|^{\delta_0} dx &\leq C \left\{ d_k^{n-2\delta_0} ||u-l||_{L^{\infty}(\widetilde{Q}_k)}^{\delta_0} + d_k^{n-\delta_0} \left( \int_{\widetilde{Q}_k} |f|^n dx \right)^{\delta_0/n} \right\} \\ &\leq C \left\{ d_k^{n-(1-\alpha_0)\delta_0} \mathcal{H}^{\delta_0} + d_k^{n-\delta_0 n/p} \left( \int_{\widetilde{Q}_k} |f|^p dx \right)^{\delta_0/p} \right\}, \end{split}$$

where C depends on  $n, \lambda, \Lambda, \alpha, \bar{\alpha}, p$  and  $||\varphi||_{C^{1,\alpha}(B'_1)}$ .

For any  $\delta \leq \delta_0$ , we deduce from Hölder's inequality and the above estimate that

$$\int_{Q_k} |D^2 u|^{\delta} dx \le C d_k^{n(1-\delta/\delta_0)} \left( \int_{Q_k} |D^2 (u-l)|^{\delta_0} dx \right)^{\delta/\delta_0} \\ \le C \left\{ d_k^{n-(1-\alpha_0)\delta} \mathcal{H}^{\delta} + d_k^{n-\delta n/p} \left( \int_{\widetilde{Q}_k} |f|^p dx \right)^{\delta/p} \right\}.$$

Taking sum on both sides with respect to k, we obtain

$$\sum_{\widetilde{Q}_k \subset \Omega_{1/4}} \int_{Q_k} |D^2 u|^{\delta} dx \le C \left\{ \mathcal{H}^{\delta} \sum_{\widetilde{Q}_k \subset \Omega_{1/4}} d_k^{n-(1-\alpha_0)\delta} + ||f||_{L^p(\Omega_1)}^{\delta} \sum_{\widetilde{Q}_k \subset \Omega_{1/4}} d_k^{n-\delta n/p} \right\}.$$

Since  $\alpha_0 = \min\{\alpha, 1 - n/p\}$  and  $\delta < 1/(1 - \alpha_0)$ , we have

 $n - \delta n/p \ge n - (1 - \alpha_0)\delta > n - 1.$ 

Consequently, by Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, for any  $\delta \leq \delta_0$  and  $\delta < 1/(1 - \alpha_0)$ ,

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega_{1/12}} |D^2 u|^{\delta} dx &\leq \sum_{\widetilde{Q}_k \subset \Omega_{1/4}} \int_{Q_k} |D^2 u|^{\delta} dx \\ &\leq C \left( ||u||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_1)}^{\delta} + ||f||_{L^p(\Omega_1)}^{\delta} + ||g||_{C^{1,\alpha}((\partial\Omega)_1)}^{\delta} \right), \end{split}$$

where C depends on  $n, \lambda, \Lambda, \alpha, \bar{\alpha}, \delta, p$  and  $||\varphi||_{C^{1,\alpha}(B'_1)}$ . The desired estimate (4.2) then follows easily from the above estimate.

Theorem 1.4 then follows from Theorem 4.1.

### Proof of Theorem 1.4.

Since  $T \subset \partial \Omega$  is of  $C^{1,\alpha}$ , for any  $x \in T$ , there exist  $r_x > 0$  and  $\varphi_x \in C^{1,\alpha}(B'_{r_x}(x'))$  such that

$$\Omega_{r_x}(x) = \{x^n > \varphi_x(x')\} \cap B_{r_x}(x) \text{ and } (\partial \Omega)_{r_x}(x) = \{x^n = \varphi_x(x')\} \cap B_{r_x}(x).$$

Since  $\bigcup_{x \in T} B_{r_x/12}(x)$  cover  $T \cap \overline{\Omega}'$  and  $T \cap \overline{\Omega}'$  is compact, we choose a finite subset  $B_{r_i/12}(x_i), i = 1, 2, ..., N$ , of  $\{B_{r_x/12}(x) : x \in T\}$  that still covers  $T \cap \overline{\Omega}'$ .

Let  $\delta_0$  be as in Theorem 3.1,  $\bar{\alpha}$  be as in Lemma 3.2 and  $\alpha_0 = \min\{\bar{\alpha}, \alpha, 1 - n/p\}$ . Utilizing the scaled version of Theorem 4.1 in  $\Omega_{r_i}(x_i) = \Omega \cap B_{r_i}(x_i)$ , we obtain that for any  $\delta \leq \delta_0$  and  $\delta < 1/(1 - \alpha_0)$ ,

$$r_{i}^{-n/\delta-2} ||u||_{L^{\delta}(\Omega_{r_{i}/12}(x_{i}))} + r_{i}^{-n/\delta-1} ||Du||_{L^{\delta}(\Omega_{r_{i}/12}(x_{i}))} + r_{i}^{-n/\delta} ||D^{2}u||_{L^{\delta}(\Omega_{r_{i}/12}(x_{i}))}$$

$$\leq C_{i} \left( r_{i}^{-2} ||u||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega_{r_{i}}(x_{i}))} + r_{i}^{-n/p} ||f||_{L^{p}(\Omega_{r_{i}}(x_{i}))} + r_{i}^{-2} ||g||_{C^{1,\alpha}((\partial\Omega)_{r_{i}}(x_{i}))} \right)$$

for i = 1, 2, ..., N, where  $C_i$  depends on  $n, \lambda, \Lambda, \alpha, \bar{\alpha}, \delta, p$  and  $||\varphi_{x_i}||_{C^{1,\alpha}(B'_{r_i}(x'_i))}$ .

Finally, we sum the above inequalities along with the interior  $W^{2,\delta_0}$  estimate, to find  $u \in W^{2,\delta}(\Omega')$  for  $\Omega' \subset \subset \Omega \cup T$ , with the desired estimate (1.2).

#### Acknowledgement

This work is supported by NSFC 12071365.

#### References

- Byun, S.; Han, J. W<sup>2,p</sup>-estimates for fully nonlinear elliptic equations with oblique boundary conditions. J. Differential Equations. 268 (2020), 2125-2150.
- [2] Caffarelli, L. A.; Cabré, X. Fully nonlinear elliptic equations, Colloquium Publications, 43. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1995.
- [3] Caffarelli, L. A.; Crandall, M.G.; Kocan, A. Świech, M. On viscosity solutions of fully nonlinear equations with measurable ingredients. Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 49 (1996), 365-397.
- [4] Cao, Y.; Li, D. S.; Wang, L. H. The optimal weighted W<sup>2,p</sup> estimates of elliptic equation with non-compatible conditions. Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 10 (2011), 561-570.
- [5] Escauriaza, L. Z. W<sup>2,n</sup> a priori Estimates for Solutions to Fully Nonlinear Equations, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 42 (1993), 413-423.
- [6] Gilbarg, D.; Trudinger, N. S. *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*. Reprint of the 1998 edition. Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [7] Krylov, N. V. Boundedly inhomogeneous elliptic and parabolic equations in a domain. (Russian) Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 47 (1983), 75-108.
- [8] Li, D. S.; Li X. M.; Zhang, K.  $W^{2,p}$  estimates for elliptic equations on  $C^{1,\alpha}$  domains. Preprint.
- [9] Li, D. S.; Zhang, K. W<sup>2,p</sup> interior estimates of fully nonlinear elliptic equations. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 47 (2015), 301-314.
- [10] Li, D. S.; Zhang, K. Regularity for fully nonlinear elliptic equations with oblique boundary conditions. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 228 (2018), 923-967.
- [11] Lian, Y. Y.; Zhang, K. Boundary pointwise C<sup>1,α</sup> and C<sup>2,α</sup> regularity for fully nonlinear elliptic equations. J. Differ. Equ. 269 (2020), 1172-1191.
- [12] Lin, F. H. Second derivative L<sup>p</sup>-estimates for elliptic equations of nondivergent type. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 96 (1986), 447-451.
- [13] Stein, E. M. Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions. Princeton Math. Ser., vol. 30, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970.
- [14] Winter, N. W<sup>2,p</sup> and W<sup>1,p</sup>-estimates at the boundary for solutions of fully nonlinear, uniformly elliptic equations. Z. Anal. Anwend. 28 (2009), 129-164.

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, P.R.China 710049.

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, P.R.China 710049.

Email address: lidsh@mail.xjtu.edu.cn Email address: pwflxm@stu.xjtu.edu.cn