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#### Abstract

In this paper, we establish boundary $W^{2, \delta}$ estimates for $u \in$ $S(\lambda, \Lambda, f)$ on $C^{1, \alpha}$ domains with $f \in L^{p}$ as $n<p<\infty$ and $C^{1, \alpha}$ boundary values. Instead of straightening out the boundary, our main idea is to obtain boundary $W^{2, \delta}$ estimates from interior $W^{2, \delta_{0}}$ estimates and Whitney decomposition for some $\delta \leq \delta_{0}$.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we establish boundary $W^{2, \delta}$ estimates for $u \in S(\lambda, \Lambda, f)$ on $C^{1, \alpha}$ domains. Some notions and notations concerning $S(\lambda, \Lambda, f)$ are listed as follows. We refer to [2] and [3] for more details.

Defnition 1.1. Let $0<\lambda \leq \Lambda<\infty$. For $M \in \mathcal{S}(n)$ (the space of real $n \times n$ symmetric matrices), the Pucci's extremal operators $\mathcal{M}^{-}$and $\mathcal{M}^{+}$are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}^{-}(M, \lambda, \Lambda) & =\lambda \sum_{e_{i}>0} e_{i}+\Lambda \sum_{e_{i}<0} e_{i}, \\
\mathcal{M}^{+}(M, \lambda, \Lambda) & =\Lambda \sum_{e_{i}>0} e_{i}+\lambda \sum_{e_{i}<0} e_{i},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $e_{i}=e_{i}(M)$ are the eigenvalues of $M$.
Defnition 1.2. Let $p>n / 2$ and $f \in L_{l o c}^{p}(\Omega)$. A function $u \in C(\Omega)$ satisfies $\mathcal{M}^{-}\left(D^{2} u, \lambda, \Lambda\right) \leq f(x)$ (resp. $\left.\mathcal{M}^{+}\left(D^{2} u, \lambda, \Lambda\right) \geq f(x)\right)$ in $\Omega$ in the viscosity sense if $x_{0} \in \Omega, \varphi \in W_{l o c}^{2, p}(\Omega)$ and $u-\varphi$ has a local minimum (resp. maximum) at $x_{0}$ imply

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { ess } \liminf _{x \rightarrow x_{0}}\left\{M^{-}\left(D^{2} \varphi, \lambda, \Lambda\right)-f(x)\right\} \leq 0 \\
\text { (resp. ess } \left.\limsup _{x \rightarrow x_{0}}\left\{M^{+}\left(D^{2} \varphi, \lambda, \Lambda\right)-f(x)\right\} \geq 0\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Defnition 1.3. Let $p>n / 2$ and $f \in L_{\text {loc }}^{p}(\Omega)$. We denote by $S(\lambda, \Lambda, f)$ the space of continuous functions $u$ in $\Omega$ such that

$$
\mathcal{M}^{-}\left(D^{2} u, \lambda, \Lambda\right) \leq f(x) \leq \mathcal{M}^{+}\left(D^{2} u, \lambda, \Lambda\right)
$$

in the viscosity sense in $\Omega$.
Our main result is as follows.
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Theorem 1.4. Let $0<\alpha<1$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a bounded domain with $C^{1, \alpha}$ boundary portion $T \subset \partial \Omega$. Suppose that $u$ satisfies

$$
\begin{cases}u \in S(\lambda, \Lambda, f) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\ u=g & \text { on } T\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
f \in L^{p}(\Omega) \text { for } n<p<\infty \text { and } g \in C^{1, \alpha}(T)
$$

Then there exists a positive constant $\delta$ depending on $n, \lambda, \Lambda, \alpha$ and $p$ such that for any domain $\Omega^{\prime} \subset \subset \Omega \cup T$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{W^{2, \delta}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)} \leq C\left(\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}+\|f\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}+\|g\|_{C^{1, \alpha}(T)}\right), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ depends on $n, \lambda, \Lambda, \alpha, \delta, p, T, \Omega^{\prime}$ and $\Omega$.

Remark 1.5. (i) When $T=\partial \Omega$ in Theorem 1.4, we may obtain a global $W^{2, \delta}(\Omega)$ estimate on the bounded $C^{1, \alpha}$ domain.
(ii) Interior $W^{2, \delta_{0}}$ estimates and boundary Hölder gradient estimates are used in the proof of Theorem 1.4, where $\delta_{0}$ depends on $n, \lambda$ and $\Lambda$. Interior $W^{2, \delta_{0}}$ estimates need $p>n-\epsilon$ with $\epsilon$ depending on $n, \lambda$ and $\Lambda$. (See [5] for more details.) Boundary Hölder gradient estimates need $p>n$. (See the following Corollary 3.4 for more details.)
$W^{2, p}$ regularity for fully nonlinear elliptic equations has been studied extensively during recent decades. For $u \in S(\lambda, \Lambda, f)$ and $f \in L^{n}$, Caffarelli 2] proved interior $W^{2, \delta_{0}}$ estimates with $\delta_{0}>0$ depending on $n, \lambda$ and $\Lambda$, which was first discovered by Lin [12] for linear elliptic equations in nondivergence form. Then, interior $W^{2, p}$ estimates for fully nonlinear elliptic equations $F\left(D^{2} u, x\right)=f(x)$ with $f \in L^{p}$ and $n<p<\infty$ were established under the following two assumptions: The homogeneous equations with constants coefficients $F\left(D^{2} w, x_{0}\right)$ have interior $C^{1,1}$ estimates for any $x_{0} \in B_{1}$ and the oscillation of $F(M, x)$ in $x$ is small in $L^{n}$ sense. (See [2].) Escauriaza [5] extended the above estimates to the optimal range of exponents $n-\epsilon<p<\infty$ with $\epsilon$ depending on $n, \lambda$ and $\Lambda$. In 9, Li and Zhang relaxed the Caffarelli's assumptions of $F$ to: $F\left(D^{2} w, x_{0}\right)$ have interior $W^{2, p_{1}}$ estimates for any $x_{0} \in B_{1}$ and the oscillation of $F(M, x)$ in $x$ is small in $L^{p_{2}}$ sense, where $n-\epsilon<p_{0}<p<p_{1} \leq \infty$ and $1 / p_{1}+1 / p_{2}=1 / p_{0}$. Winter [14] extended Caffarelli's interior $W^{2, p}$ regularity to boundary and established boundary $W^{2, p}$ estimates on flat domains and then on $C^{1,1}$ domains alongside a flattening argument. For oblique boundary value problem for $F\left(D^{2} u, x\right)=f(x)$, based on pointwise boundary $C^{2, \alpha}$ regularity in [11], Byun and Han [1] derived the boundary $W^{2, p}$ estimates on flat domains and then on $C^{2, \alpha}$ domains by straightening out boundary.

The technique of straightening out boundary is widely applied to deal with boundary regularity. However, it is no longer applicable for the $C^{1, \alpha}$ domains. The reason is as follows: If the technique of straightening out boundary is used, then for any $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$, one should find a neighborhood $\mathcal{N}$ of $x_{0}$ and a diffeomorphism $\psi$ from $\mathcal{N}$ onto $B_{1}$ such that $\psi(\mathcal{N} \cap \Omega)=B_{1}^{+}$and $\psi(\mathcal{N} \cap \partial \Omega)=B_{1} \cap\left\{x^{n}=0\right\}$. Writing $y=\psi(x), \tilde{u}(y)=u(x)$ and $\tilde{f}(y)=f(x)$ for $x \in \mathcal{N} \cap \Omega$ and $y \in B_{1}^{+}$, we calculate

$$
D^{2} u=D \psi^{T}\left(D^{2} \tilde{u} \circ \psi\right) D \psi+\left((D \tilde{u} \circ \psi) D_{i j} \psi\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} .
$$

Then $\psi$ need to be $C^{1,1}$ in view of the second term.

In this paper, instead of straightening out the boundary, we establish boundary $W^{2, \delta}$ estimates for $u \in S(\lambda, \Lambda, f)$ on $C^{1, \alpha}$ domains from interior $W^{2, \delta_{0}}$ estimates and Whitney decomposition. Whitney decomposition is an effective tool for obtaining boundary estimates from interior estimates. For instance, Cao, Li and Wang 4] utilized it to prove the optimal weighted $W^{2, p}$ estimates for elliptic equations with non-compatible conditions; Li, Li and Zhang [8 utilized it to prove boundary $W^{2, p}$ estimates for linear elliptic equations on $C^{1, \alpha}$ domains.

We illustrate our idea as follows. Let $\left\{Q_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be Whitney decomposition of $\Omega_{1}$ (Suppose $0 \in \partial \Omega$ and denote $\Omega_{r}=\Omega \cap B_{r}$ ) and $\widetilde{Q}_{k}=\frac{6}{5} Q_{k}$ the $\frac{6}{5}$-dilation of $Q_{k}$ with respect to its center. Let $u$ satisfy (1.1). Deduce from Hölder's inequality and interior $W^{2, \delta_{0}}$ estimates that for any $\delta \leq \delta_{0}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|D^{2} u\right\|_{L^{\delta}\left(Q_{k}\right)}^{\delta} & \leq C d_{k}^{n\left(1-\frac{\delta}{\delta_{0}}\right)}\left\|D^{2}(u-l)\right\|_{L^{\delta_{0}}\left(Q_{k}\right)}^{\delta} \\
& \leq C\left(d_{k}^{n-2 \delta}\|u-l\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\widetilde{Q}_{k}\right)}^{\delta}+d_{k}^{n-\frac{\delta n}{p}}\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\widetilde{Q}_{k}\right)}^{\delta}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some universal constant $C$ and any affine function $l$, where $d_{k}$ denotes the diameter of $Q_{k}$. By $C^{1, \alpha_{0}}$ estimates up to the boundary, we can take $l$ such that

$$
|u(x)-l(x)| \leq C \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)^{1+\alpha_{0}}, \forall x \in \Omega_{1 / 2}
$$

for some positive constants $C$ and proper $0<\alpha_{0}<1$. It then follows from Whitney decomposition that

$$
\|u-l\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\widetilde{Q}_{k}\right)}^{\delta} \leq C d_{k}^{\left(1+\alpha_{0}\right) \delta}
$$

Combining the above estimates, we obtain

$$
\left\|D^{2} u\right\|_{L^{\delta}\left(Q_{k}\right)}^{\delta} \leq C\left(d_{k}^{-\delta+\alpha_{0} \delta+n}+d_{k}^{n-\frac{\delta n}{p}}\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}^{\delta}\right)
$$

By taking sum on both sides with respect to $k$, we obtain the desired boundary $W^{2, \delta}$ estimate for any $\delta<\min \left\{1 /\left(1-\alpha_{0}\right), p / n\right\}$ that guarantees $\sum_{k}\left(d_{k}^{-\delta+\alpha_{0} \delta+n}+d_{k}^{n-\frac{\delta n}{p}}\right)$ is convergent.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, Whitney decomposition and its relevant properties are concluded. In Section 3, we demonstrate some basic estimates for $u \in S(\lambda, \Lambda, f)$ concerning interior $W^{2, \delta_{0}}$ estimates and pointwise boundary Hölder gradient estimates. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.4,

We end this section by introducing some notations.

## Notation.

1. $e_{i}=(0, \ldots, 0,1, \ldots, 0)=i^{\text {th }}$ standard coordinate vector.
2. $x^{\prime}=\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, \ldots, x^{n-1}\right)$ and $x=\left(x^{\prime}, x^{n}\right)$.
3. $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: x^{n}>0\right\}$.
4. $B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\left|x-x_{0}\right|<r\right\}$ and $B_{r}^{+}\left(x_{0}\right)=B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right) \cap \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$.
5. $B_{r}^{\prime}=\left\{x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}:\left|x^{\prime}\right|<r\right\}$ and $T_{r}=\left\{\left(x^{\prime}, 0\right): x^{\prime} \in B_{r}^{\prime}\right\}$.
6. $\Omega_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)=\Omega \cap B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $(\partial \Omega)_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)=\partial \Omega \cap B_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)$. We omit $x_{0}$ when $x_{0}=0$.
7. $\operatorname{diam} E=\sup \{|x-y|, x, y \in E\}, \forall E \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
8. $\operatorname{dist}(E, F)=\inf \{|x-y|, x \in E, y \in F\}, \forall E, F \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$.

## 2. Whitney decomposition

In what follows, by a cube we mean a closed cube in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, with sides parallel to the axes. We say two such cubes are disjoint if their interiors are disjoint.

Lemma 2.1. (Whitney decomposition) Let $\Omega$ be a non-empty open set in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then there exist two sequences of cubes $Q_{k}$ (called the Whitney cubes of $\Omega$ ) and $\widetilde{Q}_{k}=\frac{6}{5} Q_{k}$ $\left(\frac{6}{5}\right.$ - dilation of $Q_{k}$ with respect to center of $\left.Q_{k}\right)$ such that
(i) $\Omega=\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} Q_{k}=\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \widetilde{Q}_{k}$;
(ii) The $Q_{k}$ are mutually disjoint;
(iii) $d_{k} \leq \operatorname{dist}\left(Q_{k}, \partial \Omega\right) \leq 4 d_{k}$, where $d_{k}=\operatorname{diam} Q_{k}$;
(iv) Each point of $\Omega$ is contained in at most $12^{n}$ of the cubes $\widetilde{Q}_{k}$.

For the proof of the above lemma, we refer to Theorem 1 and Proposition 3 in Section VI. 1 in 13.

From now on, we make the following assumption on $\Omega$ :
(A) $0 \in \partial \Omega$ and there exists a continuous function $\varphi: B_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\Omega_{1}=\left\{x^{n}>\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right),|x|<1\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad(\partial \Omega)_{1}=\left\{x^{n}=\varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right),|x|<1\right\} .
$$

Recall that $\Omega_{1}=\Omega \cap B_{1}$ and $(\partial \Omega)_{1}=\partial \Omega \cap B_{1}$.
Let $\left\{Q_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be Whitney decomposition of $\Omega_{1}, d_{k}=\operatorname{diam} Q_{k}$ and $\widetilde{Q}_{k}=\frac{6}{5} Q_{k}$. The following lemmas were first proved in [8 and we give their proofs here for completeness.

Lemma 2.2. Suppose that $\Omega$ satisfies Assumption (A). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{1 / 12} \subset \bigcup_{\widetilde{Q}_{k} \subset \Omega_{1 / 4}} Q_{k} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If not, there exist a point $x \in \Omega_{1 / 12}$ and a cube $Q_{k}$ such that $x \in Q_{k}$ but $\widetilde{Q}_{k} \not \subset \Omega_{1 / 4}$. It follows that there exists a point $y \in \widetilde{Q}_{k}$ with $|y| \geq 1 / 4$. Then we deduce from Lemma 2.1 (iii) that

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(Q_{k}, \partial \Omega_{1}\right) \geq \operatorname{diam} Q_{k}=5 \operatorname{diam} \widetilde{Q}_{k} / 6 \geq 5(|y|-|x|) / 6 \geq 5 / 36>1 / 12
$$

On the other hand, since $x \in Q_{k} \cap \Omega_{1 / 12}$ and $0 \in \partial \Omega$,

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(Q_{k}, \partial \Omega_{1}\right) \leq|x| \leq 1 / 12
$$

Thus we get a contradiction.

Lemma 2.3. Suppose that $\Omega$ satisfies Assumption $(A)$ with $\varphi \in C^{0,1}\left(B_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. If $q>n-1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\widetilde{Q}_{k} \subset \Omega_{1 / 4}} d_{k}^{q} \leq C \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ depends on $n, q$ and $\|\varphi\|_{C^{0,1}\left(B_{1}^{\prime}\right)}$.

Proof. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}^{s}=\bigcup_{k}\left\{Q_{k}: 2^{-s-1}<d_{k} \leq 2^{-s}, \widetilde{Q}_{k} \subset \Omega_{1 / 4}\right\}, s=1,2, \ldots \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $Q_{k} \in \mathcal{F}^{s}$, since $\mathcal{F}^{s} \subset \Omega_{1 / 4}$, there exists $y_{k} \in(\partial \Omega)_{1 / 2}$ such that

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(Q_{k}, y_{k}\right)=\operatorname{dist}\left(Q_{k},(\partial \Omega)_{1}\right)=\operatorname{dist}\left(Q_{k}, \partial \Omega_{1}\right) \leq 4 d_{k} \leq 2^{-s+2}
$$

where we have used Lemma 2.1 (iii). (Recall that $(\partial \Omega)_{r}=\partial \Omega \cap B_{r}$ for $r>0$.) It follows that

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(x,(\partial \Omega)_{1}\right) \leq d_{k}+\operatorname{dist}\left(Q_{k}, y_{k}\right) \leq 2^{-s}+2^{-s+2} \leq 2^{-s+3}
$$

for any $x \in Q_{k}$ and $Q_{k} \in \mathcal{F}^{s}$, which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}^{s} \subset \Omega_{1 / 4} \cap\left\{\operatorname{dist}\left(x,(\partial \Omega)_{1}\right) \leq 2^{-s+3}\right\} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Assumption $(A)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega_{1 / 4} \cap\left\{\operatorname{dist}\left(x,(\partial \Omega)_{1}\right) \leq 2^{-s+3}\right\} \\
& \quad \subset\left\{\left|x^{\prime}\right| \leq 1 / 4, \varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right) \leq x^{n} \leq \varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)+\left(\|\varphi\|_{C^{0,1}\left(B_{1}^{\prime}\right)}+1\right) 2^{-s+3}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\left|\left\{\left|x^{\prime}\right| \leq 1 / 4, \varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right) \leq x^{n} \leq \varphi\left(x^{\prime}\right)+\left(\|\varphi\|_{C^{0,1}\left(B_{1}^{\prime}\right)}+1\right) 2^{-s+3}\right\}\right| \leq C 2^{-s}
$$

we have

$$
\left|\Omega_{1 / 4} \cap\left\{\operatorname{dist}\left(x,(\partial \Omega)_{1}\right) \leq 2^{-s+3}\right\}\right| \leq C 2^{-s}
$$

where $C$ depends on $n$ and $\|\varphi\|_{C^{0,1}\left(B_{1}^{\prime}\right)}$. Therefore, by (2.4),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{F}^{s}\right| \leq C 2^{-s} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that

$$
\bigcup_{\widetilde{Q}_{k} \subset \Omega_{1 / 4}} Q_{k}=\bigcup_{s=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{Q_{k} \in \mathcal{F}^{s}} Q_{k}
$$

If $q>n-1$, we derive from (2.3) and (2.5) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\widetilde{Q}_{k} \subset \Omega_{1 / 4}} d_{k}^{q} & \leq \sum_{s=1}^{\infty}\left\{\sum_{Q_{k} \in \mathcal{F}^{s}}\left(d_{k}^{q-n} \cdot d_{k}^{n}\right)\right\} \leq C \sum_{s=1}^{\infty}\left\{2^{-s(q-n)} \cdot \sum_{Q_{k} \in \mathcal{F}^{s}} d_{k}^{n}\right\} \\
& \leq C \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} 2^{-s(q-n)}\left|\mathcal{F}^{s}\right| \leq C \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} 2^{-s(q-n+1)} \leq C
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ depends on $n, q$ and $\|\varphi\|_{C^{0,1}\left(B_{1}^{\prime}\right)}$.
Remark 2.4. Lemma 2.3 is obvious as $q \geq n$ since

$$
\sum_{\widetilde{Q}_{k} \subset \Omega_{1 / 4}} d_{k}^{q} \leq \sum_{\widetilde{Q}_{k} \subset \Omega_{1 / 4}} d_{k}^{n} \leq C_{n}\left|\Omega_{1 / 4}\right|
$$

for some dimensional constant $C_{n}$.

## 3. Preliminary estimates

The following lemma concerns interior $W^{2, \delta_{0}}$ regularity for $u \in S(\lambda, \Lambda, f)$ and $f \in L^{n}$. We refer to [2], 3] and [12] for its proof.

Theorem 3.1. There exists a positive constant $\delta_{0}$ depending on $n, \lambda$ and $\Lambda$ such that if

$$
u \in S(\lambda, \Lambda, f) \text { in } B_{1} \text { and } f \in L^{n}\left(B_{1}\right)
$$

then $u \in W^{2, \delta_{0}}\left(B_{1 / 2}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{W^{2, \delta_{0}}\left(B_{1 / 2}\right)} \leq C\left(\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{1}\right)}+\|f\|_{L^{n}\left(B_{1}\right)}\right), \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ depends on $n, \lambda$ and $\Lambda$.
The following lemma concerns pointwise boundary $C^{1, \bar{\alpha}}$ estimates for $u \in S(\lambda, \Lambda, 0)$ on flat boundaries with zero boundary values. We owe it to Krylov 7 and see more details in Lian and Zhang [11].

Lemma 3.2. Let u satisfy

$$
\begin{cases}u \in S(\lambda, \Lambda, 0) & \text { in } B_{1}^{+} \\ u=0 & \text { on } T_{1}\end{cases}
$$

Then there exists a constant $0<\bar{\alpha}<1$ depending on $n, \lambda$ and $\Lambda$ such that $u$ is $C^{1, \bar{\alpha}}$ at 0 , i.e., there exists a constant a such that

$$
\left|u(x)-a x^{n}\right| \leq C|x|^{1+\bar{\alpha}}\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{1}^{+}\right)}, \forall x \in B_{1 / 2}^{+}
$$

and

$$
|D u(0)|=|a| \leq C\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{1}^{+}\right)}
$$

where $C$ depends on $n, \lambda$ and $\Lambda$.
Based on Lemma 3.2 and compactness method, Lian and Zhang [11] proved the following lemma concerning pointwise boundary $C^{1, \alpha}$ estimates for $u \in S(\lambda, \Lambda, f)$ on $C^{1, \alpha}$ domains with $0<\alpha<\bar{\alpha}$.

Lemma 3.3. Let $\bar{\alpha}$ be as in Lemma 3.2 and $0<\alpha<\bar{\alpha}$. Suppose that $\Omega$ satisfies Assumption $(A)$ with $\varphi \in C^{1, \alpha}\left(B_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $u$ satisfies

$$
\begin{cases}u \in S(\lambda, \Lambda, f) & \text { in } \quad \Omega_{1}  \tag{3.2}\\ u=g & \text { on }(\partial \Omega)_{1}\end{cases}
$$

where $g \in C^{1, \alpha}\left((\partial \Omega)_{1}\right)$ and $f \in L^{n}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$ satisfying for some constant $K_{f}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{L^{n}\left(\Omega_{r}\right)} \leq K_{f} r^{\alpha} \text { for any } r \in(0,1] \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $u$ is $C^{1, \alpha}$ at 0, i.e., there exists an affine function $l$ such that

$$
|u(x)-l(x)| \leq C|x|^{1+\alpha}\left(\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}+K_{f}+\|g\|_{C^{1, \alpha}\left((\partial \Omega)_{1}\right)}\right), \forall x \in \Omega_{r_{0}}
$$

and

$$
|D u(0)|=|D l| \leq C\left(\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}+K_{f}+\|g\|_{C^{1, \alpha}\left((\partial \Omega)_{1}\right)}\right)
$$

where $C$ and $r_{0}$ depends on $n, \lambda, \Lambda, \alpha, \bar{\alpha}$ and $\|\varphi\|_{C^{1, \alpha}\left(B_{1}^{\prime}\right)}$.

If $f \in L^{p}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$ for $n<p<\infty$, by Hölder's inequality, there exists a constant $C_{n}$ depending only on $n$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{L^{n}\left(\Omega_{r}\right)} \leq C_{n} r^{1-n / p}\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{r}\right)} \text { for any } r \in(0,1]
$$

which implies $f$ satisfies (3.3) with $\alpha=1-n / p$. Then we have the following corollary:

Corollary 3.4. Under the hypotheses of Lemma 3.3 with (3.3) replaced by $f \in$ $L^{p}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$ for $n<p<\infty$. Set $\alpha_{0}=\min \{\alpha, 1-n / p\}$. Then $u$ is $C^{1, \alpha_{0}}$ at 0, i.e., there exists an affine function $l_{x_{0}}$ such that

$$
|u(x)-l(x)| \leq C|x|^{1+\alpha_{0}}\left(\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}+\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}+\|g\|_{C^{1, \alpha}\left((\partial \Omega)_{1}\right)}\right), \forall x \in \Omega_{r_{0}}
$$

and

$$
|D u(0)|=|D l| \leq C\left(\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}+\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}+\|g\|_{C^{1, \alpha}\left((\partial \Omega)_{1}\right)}\right)
$$

where $C$ and $r_{0}$ depends on $n, \lambda, \Lambda, \alpha, \bar{\alpha}$ and $\|\varphi\|_{C^{1, \alpha}\left(B_{1}^{\prime}\right)}$.

## 4. Proof of Theorem 1.4

Theorem 1.4 follows easily from the following Theorem 4.1 which is proved by interior $W^{2, \delta_{0}}$ estimates (Theorem 3.1), boundary $C^{1, \alpha_{0}}$ estimates (Corollary 3.4) and Whitney decomposition (Lemma 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3).

Theorem 4.1. Let $\bar{\alpha}$ be as in Lemma 3.2 and $0<\alpha<\bar{\alpha}$. Suppose that $\Omega$ satisfies Assumption $(A)$ with $\varphi \in C^{1, \alpha}\left(B_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $u$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
u \in S(\lambda, \Lambda, f) & \text { in } \quad \Omega_{1} \\
u=g & \text { on } \quad(\partial \Omega)_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
f \in L^{p}\left(\Omega_{1}\right) \text { for } n<p<\infty \text { and } g \in C^{1, \alpha}\left((\partial \Omega)_{1}\right)
$$

Let $\delta_{0}$ be as in Theorem 3.1 and $\alpha_{0}=\min \{\alpha, 1-n / p\}$. Then for any

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \leq \delta_{0} \quad \text { and } \quad \delta<1 /\left(1-\alpha_{0}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{W^{2, \delta}\left(\Omega_{1 / 12}\right)} \leq C\left(\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}+\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}+\|g\|_{C^{1, \alpha}\left((\partial \Omega)_{1}\right)}\right), \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ depends on $n, \lambda, \Lambda, \alpha, \bar{\alpha}, \delta, p$ and $\|\varphi\|_{C^{1, \alpha}\left(B_{1}^{\prime}\right)}$.
Proof. In the following, we write for simplicity

$$
\mathcal{H}=\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}+\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}+\|g\|_{C^{1, \alpha}\left((\partial \Omega)_{1}\right)} .
$$

Let $\left\{Q_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be Whitney decomposition of $\Omega_{1}, d_{k}=\operatorname{diam} Q_{k}$ and $\widetilde{Q}_{k}=\frac{6}{5} Q_{k}$. For any $\widetilde{Q}_{k} \subset \Omega_{1 / 4}$, let $y_{k} \in(\partial \Omega)_{1 / 2}$ and $\tilde{x}_{k} \in \partial \widetilde{Q}_{k}$ such that

$$
\left|\tilde{x}_{k}-y_{k}\right|=\operatorname{dist}\left(\widetilde{Q}_{k}, \partial \Omega_{1}\right)<\operatorname{dist}\left(Q_{k}, \partial \Omega_{1}\right) \leq 4 d_{k}
$$

where Lemma 2.1 (iii) is used in the last inequality. Consequently, we see that

$$
\left|x-y_{k}\right| \leq\left|x-\tilde{x}_{k}\right|+\left|\tilde{x}_{k}-y_{k}\right| \leq 5 d_{k}, \quad \forall x \in \widetilde{Q}_{k}
$$

By Corollary 3.4, $u$ is $C^{1, \alpha_{0}}$ at $y_{k}$ and then there exists an affine function $l_{y_{k}}$ (written by $l$ for simplicity in the following) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u(x)-l(x)| \leq C\left|x-y_{k}\right|^{1+\alpha_{0}} \mathcal{H} \leq C d_{k}^{1+\alpha_{0}} \mathcal{H}, \forall x \in \widetilde{Q}_{k} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ depends on $n, \lambda, \Lambda, \alpha, \bar{\alpha}$ and $\|\varphi\|_{C^{1, \alpha}\left(B_{1}^{\prime}\right)}$.
Since $u-l \in S(\lambda, \Lambda, f)$ in $\widetilde{Q}_{k}$, we deduce from interior $W^{2, \delta_{0}}$ estimate, 4.3) and Hölder's inequality that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{Q_{k}}\left|D^{2}(u-l)\right|^{\delta_{0}} d x & \leq C\left\{d_{k}^{n-2 \delta_{0}}\|u-l\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\widetilde{Q}_{k}\right)}^{\delta_{0}}+d_{k}^{n-\delta_{0}}\left(\int_{\widetilde{Q}_{k}}|f|^{n} d x\right)^{\delta_{0} / n}\right\} \\
& \leq C\left\{d_{k}^{n-\left(1-\alpha_{0}\right) \delta_{0}} \mathcal{H}^{\delta_{0}}+d_{k}^{n-\delta_{0} n / p}\left(\int_{\widetilde{Q}_{k}}|f|^{p} d x\right)^{\delta_{0} / p}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ depends on $n, \lambda, \Lambda, \alpha, \bar{\alpha}, p$ and $\|\varphi\|_{C^{1, \alpha}\left(B_{1}^{\prime}\right)}$.
For any $\delta \leq \delta_{0}$, we deduce from Hölder's inequality and the above estimate that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{Q_{k}}\left|D^{2} u\right|^{\delta} d x & \leq C d_{k}^{n\left(1-\delta / \delta_{0}\right)}\left(\int_{Q_{k}}\left|D^{2}(u-l)\right|^{\delta_{0}} d x\right)^{\delta / \delta_{0}} \\
& \leq C\left\{d_{k}^{n-\left(1-\alpha_{0}\right) \delta} \mathcal{H}^{\delta}+d_{k}^{n-\delta n / p}\left(\int_{\widetilde{Q}_{k}}|f|^{p} d x\right)^{\delta / p}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking sum on both sides with respect to $k$, we obtain

$$
\sum_{\widetilde{Q}_{k} \subset \Omega_{1 / 4}} \int_{Q_{k}}\left|D^{2} u\right|^{\delta} d x \leq C\left\{\mathcal{H}^{\delta} \sum_{\widetilde{Q}_{k} \subset \Omega_{1 / 4}} d_{k}^{n-\left(1-\alpha_{0}\right) \delta}+\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}^{\delta} \sum_{\widetilde{Q}_{k} \subset \Omega_{1 / 4}} d_{k}^{n-\delta n / p}\right\}
$$

Since $\alpha_{0}=\min \{\alpha, 1-n / p\}$ and $\delta<1 /\left(1-\alpha_{0}\right)$, we have

$$
n-\delta n / p \geq n-\left(1-\alpha_{0}\right) \delta>n-1
$$

Consequently, by Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 for any $\delta \leq \delta_{0}$ and $\delta<1 /\left(1-\alpha_{0}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega_{1 / 12}}\left|D^{2} u\right|^{\delta} d x & \leq \sum_{\widetilde{Q}_{k} \subset \Omega_{1 / 4}} \int_{Q_{k}}\left|D^{2} u\right|^{\delta} d x \\
& \leq C\left(\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}^{\delta}+\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)}^{\delta}+\|g\|_{C^{1, \alpha}\left((\partial \Omega)_{1}\right)}^{\delta}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ depends on $n, \lambda, \Lambda, \alpha, \bar{\alpha}, \delta, p$ and $\|\varphi\|_{C^{1, \alpha}\left(B_{1}^{\prime}\right)}$. The desired estimate (4.2) then follows easily from the above estimate.

Theorem 1.4 then follows from Theorem 4.1,

## Proof of Theorem 1.4,

Since $T \subset \partial \Omega$ is of $C^{1, \alpha}$, for any $x \in T$, there exist $r_{x}>0$ and $\varphi_{x} \in C^{1, \alpha}\left(B_{r_{x}}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)$ such that

$$
\Omega_{r_{x}}(x)=\left\{x^{n}>\varphi_{x}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\} \cap B_{r_{x}}(x) \text { and }(\partial \Omega)_{r_{x}}(x)=\left\{x^{n}=\varphi_{x}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\} \cap B_{r_{x}}(x) .
$$

Since $\bigcup_{x \in T} B_{r_{x} / 12}(x)$ cover $T \cap \bar{\Omega}^{\prime}$ and $T \cap \bar{\Omega}^{\prime}$ is compact, we choose a finite subset $B_{r_{i} / 12}\left(x_{i}\right), i=1,2, \ldots, N$, of $\left\{B_{r_{x} / 12}(x): x \in T\right\}$ that still covers $T \cap \bar{\Omega}^{\prime}$.

Let $\delta_{0}$ be as in Theorem 3.1, $\bar{\alpha}$ be as in Lemma 3.2 and $\alpha_{0}=\min \{\bar{\alpha}, \alpha, 1-n / p\}$. Utilizing the scaled version of Theorem4.1] in $\Omega_{r_{i}}\left(x_{i}\right)=\Omega \cap B_{r_{i}}\left(x_{i}\right)$, we obtain that for any $\delta \leq \delta_{0}$ and $\delta<1 /\left(1-\alpha_{0}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{i}^{-n / \delta-2}\|u\|_{L^{\delta}\left(\Omega_{r_{i} / 12}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)}+r_{i}^{-n / \delta-1}\|D u\|_{L^{\delta}\left(\Omega_{r_{i} / 12}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)}+r_{i}^{-n / \delta}\left\|D^{2} u\right\|_{L^{\delta}\left(\Omega_{r_{i} / 12}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)} \\
& \quad \leq C_{i}\left(r_{i}^{-2}\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{r_{i}}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)}+r_{i}^{-n / p}\|f\|_{L^{p}\left(\Omega_{r_{i}}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)}+r_{i}^{-2}\|g\|_{\left.C^{1, \alpha}\left((\partial \Omega)_{r_{i}}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)\right)}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

for $i=1,2, \ldots, N$, where $C_{i}$ depends on $n, \lambda, \Lambda, \alpha, \bar{\alpha}, \delta, p$ and $\left\|\varphi_{x_{i}}\right\|_{C^{1, \alpha}\left(B_{r_{i}}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right)}$.
Finally, we sum the above inequalities along with the interior $W^{2, \delta_{0}}$ estimate, to find $u \in W^{2, \delta}\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)$ for $\Omega^{\prime} \subset \subset \Omega \cup T$, with the desired estimate (1.2).
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