

Bounce corrections to gravitational lensing, quasinormal spectral stability and gray-body factors of Reissner-Nordström black holes

Yang Guo* and Yan-Gang Miao†

School of Physics, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China

Gravitational lensing in the weak field limit, quasinormal spectra, and gray-body factors are investigated in the Reissner-Nordström spacetime corrected by bounce parameters. Using the Gauss-Bonnet theorem, we analyze the effects of bounce corrections to the weak gravitational deflection angle and find that the divergence of the deflection angle can be suppressed by a bounce correction in the Reissner-Nordström spacetime. We also notice that the bounce correction plays the same role as the Morse potential in the deflection angle. Moreover, we derive the perturbation equations with the spin-dependent Regge-Wheeler potential and discuss the quasinormal spectral stability. We observe that the quasinormal spectra decrease for both the massless scalar and electromagnetic field perturbations. We further study the transmission probability of particles scattered by the Regge-Wheeler potential and reveal that the bounce correction introduced into the Reissner-Nordström spacetime increases the gray-body factors of perturbation fields.

I. INTRODUCTION

The singularity problem of a spacetime has always been a topic of great concerns in general relativity (GR) and black hole physics. The singularity theorem established [1] by Hawking and Penrose claims that the singularities are an inevitable feature of Einstein's theory. However, it is commonly believed that such singularities are indeed nonphysical objects occurred in classical gravity theories and the occurrence of singularities is considered to be an indicator that GR should be modified and generalized to a quantum theory. Following the early quantum arguments of Sakharov [2] and Gliner [3] that the singularities could be avoided by the quantum influence of matter sources, i.e., replacing the black hole singularity with a de Sitter core, Bardeen et al. proposed [4–9] various modifications of the Schwarzschild black hole, see, for instance, some comprehensive review articles [10, 11] on regular black holes. In addition, some excellent and lively arguments have been suggested [12–15] in the construction of regular black holes in GR.

More recently, there has been a renewed interest for the search of alternatives to classical black holes in GR. The research originates from a bounce parameter associated with the Planck scale introduced [16] by Visser et al. in the modification of the Schwarzschild black hole. A great variety of solutions based on bounce and quantum corrections have been obtained [17–21], which provides us with the treatment for the singularities of black holes. All of these black hole mimickers are globally free from curvature singularities. Especially, the black-bounce family passes all weak-field observational tests, and it smoothly interpolates regular black holes and traversable wormholes. In this paper, we focus our attention on the bounce corrections at the interface between the Reissner-Nordström black hole and a regular black hole.

It is well known that GR describes how matter distorts the spacetime around it. The gravitational lensing occurs when a huge amount of matter creates a gravitational field distorting the light from a source. As a significant phenomenon, the gravitational lensing can reflect the distribution of matter, such as galaxy clusters [22–25], dark matter [26–28], dark energy [29–31], black holes [32–38], and wormholes [39–42]. Gibbons and Werner applied [43] the Gauss-Bonnet theorem to develop an alternative approach with a global feature to gravitational lensing theories. With the help of this feature, we consider the weak deflection limit and treat light rays as spatial geodesics of the optical geometry. One of our main aims is to clarify the effects of bounce parameters on the gravitational deflection angle in the Reissner-Nordström black hole.

Additionally, quasinormal modes (QNMs) have been studied [44–48] in a wide range of issues in the context of GR and alternative theories of gravity. QNMs are usually used to depict the stability of black holes perturbed by an external field, and also contain the information of gravitational waves. The fundamental mode is the least damped and long lived mode in a ringdown signal and is more likely to be used to test the (in)stability of black holes. On the other hand, the gray-body factors encode [49] information about the horizon structure of black holes theoretically and modify the quasinormal spectra experimentally. For estimating effectively the transmission probability of radiations from a black hole's event horizon to its asymptotic region, we need to investigate the gray-body factors of perturbations. We

* guoy@mail.nankai.edu.cn

† Corresponding author: miaoyg@nankai.edu.cn

derive the perturbation field equation with a spin-dependent Regge-Wheeler potential and determine the quasinormal spectra numerically. Moreover, we calculate the gray-body factors of scattered waves by effective potentials. Here we focus on the effects of bounce parameters introduced in the Reissner-Nordström black hole.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Sec. II we review briefly the properties of the black-bounce-Reissner-Nordström geometry and describe the main aspects of bounce corrections. Next we apply in Sec. III the Gauss-Bonnet theorem to the gravitational lensing in the weak field limit and investigate the gravitational deflection angle corrected by bounce parameters. We derive the master equation with a spin-dependent Regge-Wheeler potential under the massless scalar and electromagnetic field perturbations in Sec. IV. We then calculate the quasinormal spectra and discuss the spectral stability in Sec. V. The bounce corrections to the gray-body factors of perturbation fields are computed in Sec. VI. Finally, we give our conclusions in Sec. VII.

II. REISSNER-NORDSTRÖM GEOMETRY CORRECTED BY BOUNCE PARAMETERS

A regularizing procedure has recently been introduced [19] into Reissner-Nordström black holes, which does not generate [8, 9, 50] a traditional regular black hole, such as the Bardeen's or Hayward's. Instead, it gives either a charged regular black hole or a traversable wormhole called a *black-bounce-Reissner-Nordström* or *charged black-bounce*,

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{f(r)} + h^2(r)(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2), \quad (1)$$

where $f(r)$ and $h^2(r)$ take the forms,

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{2m}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2 + a^2}, \quad (2)$$

$$h^2(r) = r^2 + a^2, \quad (3)$$

and m is the mass, Q the charge, and a the bounce parameter of the charged black-bounce, respectively.

Several properties of the black-bounce family have been well tested [51–53]: (i) The black-bounce family is globally free from curvature singularities; (ii) It passes all weak-field observational tests. Here we shall make a further analysis: It interpolates smoothly between charged regular black holes and traversable wormholes depending on the value of charge Q and bounce parameter a . We note that the radial coordinate expands to the entire real domain, $r \in (-\infty, +\infty)$, so a coordinate speed of light can be defined [16, 46] in terms of the radial null curves ($ds^2 = d\theta = d\varphi = 0$),

$$c(r) = \left| \frac{dr}{dt} \right| = 1 - \frac{2m}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2 + a^2}, \quad (4)$$

and the area of a sphere at radial coordinate r takes the following form in this spacetime,

$$A(r) = 4\pi h^2(r). \quad (5)$$

The area is minimized at the wormhole throat and one can find the location of the throat by the condition,

$$A'(r_0) = 0, \quad (6)$$

where r_0 is the location of the throat. Then $h_0 \equiv h(r_0)$ corresponds to the radius of the wormhole throat. Now we classify this geometry into three types:

- $a < m \pm \sqrt{m^2 - Q^2}$ and $|Q| < m$, there exists one outer/inner horizon at $r_h = \pm \sqrt{(m \pm \sqrt{m^2 - Q^2})^2 - a^2}$. In this case, we obtain

$$\exists r_h \in \mathbb{R}^* : c(r_h) = 0. \quad (7)$$

The coordinate speed of light is zero and the light cannot escape from the horizon. This geometry is clearly a charged regular black hole with a standard outer/inner horizon.

- $a = m \pm \sqrt{m^2 - Q^2}$ and $|Q| < m$, there exists one extremal horizon at $r_h = 0$. Hence, we know

$$\exists r_h = 0 : c(r_h) = 0. \quad (8)$$

The geometry in this case corresponds to one extremal charged regular black hole. Alternatively, it is called a one-way charged traversable wormhole with one extremal null throat located at $r_0 = 0$.

- $a > m \pm \sqrt{m^2 - Q^2}$ and $|Q| < m$ or $|Q| > m$, there exist no horizons. We have

$$\forall r \in (-\infty, +\infty) : c(r) \neq 0. \quad (9)$$

The light can travel across the entire domain. So this geometry is a two-way charged traversable wormhole with the radius, $h_* = a$.

III. GRAVITATIONAL LENSING IN THE WEAK FIELD LIMIT

In this section, we present an investigation to the gravitational lensing in a charged black-bounce using the Gauss-Bonnet theorem. The fact that the Gauss-Bonnet theorem can be used for characterising lensing features has been demonstrated [43] by Gibbons and Werner who applied the Gauss-Bonnet theorem to a static, spherically symmetric, and perfect non-relativistic fluid in the weak deflection limit as a simple model of gravitational lens. The intrinsic geometric and topological properties of a surface are linked by the Gauss-Bonnet theorem,

$$\iint_D K dS + \int_{\partial D} \kappa dt + \sum_i \alpha_i = 2\pi\chi(D). \quad (10)$$

Here the first term represents the integral of Gaussian curvature K over a compact oriented surface D with Euler characteristic number $\chi(D)$. The second term is the integral of geodesic curvature κ over the boundary of D , and α_i denotes the exterior angle at the i th vertex. In the center of lens without singularity the Euler characteristic number equals one, $\chi(D) = 1$. The optical metric of the charged black-bounce can be derived from the null geodesic, $ds^2 = 0$, in the equatorial plane ($\theta = \pi/2$),

$$dt^2 = \frac{dr^2}{\left(1 - \frac{2m}{\sqrt{r^2+a^2}} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2+a^2}\right)^2} + \frac{(r^2+a^2)d\varphi^2}{1 - \frac{2m}{\sqrt{r^2+a^2}} + \frac{Q^2}{r^2+a^2}}. \quad (11)$$

The Gaussian curvature of the optical metric can be calculated,

$$K \approx -\frac{2m}{r^3} + \frac{-a^2 + 3m^2 + 3Q^2}{r^4} + \frac{10ma^2 - 6mQ^2}{r^5} + \frac{2a^4 - 15a^2m^2 - 12a^2Q^2 + 2Q^4}{r^6} \\ + \frac{-85ma^4 + 112ma^2Q^2}{4r^7} + \frac{36m^2a^4 + 27a^4Q^2 - 10a^2Q^4}{r^8} - \frac{287ma^4Q^2}{4r^9} + \frac{28a^4Q^4}{r^{10}} + \mathcal{O}(a^5). \quad (12)$$

The specific domain D denoting the weak deflection lensing geometry is bounded by a geodesic γ from the source to an observer and a circular curve C_R . So its boundary ∂D consists of two parts: The geodesic γ and a circular curve C_R . We note that the geodesic curvature along the geodesics vanishes, i.e., $\kappa(\gamma) = 0$. If the source and observer are located at an infinite distance from the lens, for the circular curve $C_R := r(\varphi) = R = \text{const.}$, the geodesic curvature can be defined as

$$\kappa(C_R) = |\nabla_{\dot{C}_R} \dot{C}_R|, \quad (13)$$

where \dot{C}_R is the tangent vector of C_R . The integral over the boundary can reduce to

$$\int_{\partial D} \kappa dt = \int_{\gamma} \kappa(\gamma) dt + \int_{C_R} \kappa(C_R) dt \\ = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{C_R} \kappa(C_R) dt. \quad (14)$$

In the limit of $R \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\kappa(C_R)dt = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} [\kappa(C_R)dt] = d\varphi$. The Gauss-Bonnet theorem can be rewritten as

$$\iint_D K dS + \int_0^{\pi+\alpha} d\varphi = \pi. \quad (15)$$

In the weak field deflection limit, the zeroth order light ray with impact parameter b is given by $r(t) = b/\sin\varphi$. Therefore, the weak gravitational deflection angle for the charged black-bounce can be determined by

$$\alpha_{\text{charged black-bounce}} = -\iint_D K dS = -\int_0^\pi \int_{b/\sin\varphi}^\infty K dS \\ \approx \underbrace{\frac{4m}{b}}_{\alpha_{\text{Schwarzschild}}} - \underbrace{\frac{3\pi Q^2}{4b^2} + \frac{8mQ^2}{3b^3}}_{\delta_{\text{electrodynamics}}} + \overbrace{\frac{\pi a^2}{4b^2} - \frac{40ma^2}{9b^3} + \frac{9\pi a^2 Q^2}{8b^4} - \frac{448ma^2 Q^2}{75b^5}}^{\delta_{\text{bounce}}} + \mathcal{O}(m^2, a^4, Q^4). \quad (16)$$

The leading order in Eq. (16) is known [43] as the deflection angle for the Schwarzschild black hole. The second and third terms are the contributions [54, 55] from the pure electric sources. It is clear that there exist extra correction terms associated with the bounce parameter labeled δ_{bounce} . In general, for a traditional black hole the deflection angle increases [56, 57] continuously with the decrease of the impact parameter b and it eventually diverges. The deflection angle for the Reissner-Nordström black hole as a special case (corresponding to $a = 0$ in the charged black-bounce) is shown in the left panel of Fig. 1. However, for $a > 0$, we can observe that the deflection angle is finite due to the bounce correction when the impact parameter reduces. The deflection angle is suppressed by the bounce correction δ_{bounce} similar in shape to the Morse potential,¹

$$V_{\text{Morse}}(x) = \tilde{D} \left[e^{-2\tilde{\gamma}(x-x_0)} - 2e^{-\tilde{\gamma}(x-x_0)} \right], \quad (17)$$

where x is the distance between atoms, x_0 is the location of the minimum potential, \tilde{D} is the well depth, and $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a length parameter related to the width of the well. We find that the bounce correction to the deflection angle for the Reissner-Nordström black hole has the same form as the Morse potential if we identify the distance between atoms with the impact parameter, which is shown in the right panel of Fig. 1. On the other hand, since the Morse potential is asymptotically flat (corresponding to δ_{bounce} asymptotically zero), the deflection angle for different bounce parameters is almost the same when b increases. That is, the effect of increasing b on the deflection angle is negligible. Finally, we point out that the deflection angle for the charged black-bounce is composed of two parts, one is the Reissner-Nordström deflection angle and the other is the bounce correction term,

$$\alpha_{\text{charged black-bounce}} = \alpha_{\text{Reissner-Nordström}} + \delta_{\text{bounce}}. \quad (18)$$




FIG. 1. Deflection angle (left) in the weak field limit and bounce parameter correction (right) in the charged black-bounce spacetime with $m = 1$, $Q = 1/2$, and $a = 1/2$. In the left panel, the black dashed curve with a zero bounce correction ($a = 0$) corresponds to the deflection angle of the Reissner-Nordström black hole. In the right panel, the solid black curve corresponds to the bounce correction with $m = 1$, $Q = 1/2$, and $a = 1/2$, and the Morse potential (dashed black curve) is chosen for comparison when $x_0 = 1$, $\tilde{D} = 1/3$, and $\tilde{\gamma} = 2$ are set.

IV. REGGE-WHEELER ANALYSIS

In a spherically symmetric background, the evolution of linearized perturbation fields of spin s is described [61–63] by the Regge-Wheeler equation,

$$\frac{d^2 \Psi_s}{dr_*^2} + (\omega^2 - V_s) \Psi_s = 0, \quad (19)$$

¹ The Morse potential proposed [58] by Phillip M. Morse in 1929 describes an interaction model that consists of diatomic molecules. It has the form, $V_{\text{Morse}} = \tilde{D} (1 - e^{-\tilde{\gamma}x})^2$ or $V_{\text{Morse}} = \tilde{D} [e^{-2\tilde{\gamma}(x-x_0)} - 2e^{-\tilde{\gamma}(x-x_0)}]$. For a comprehensive introduction and a recent application to quasinormal spectral problems, see Ref. [59] and Ref. [60], respectively.

where r_* is "tortoise" coordinate defined by the relation, $dr_*/dr = 1/f(r)$. To simplify the notation of the equation, we have taken the s -subscript Ψ_s and V_s , where Ψ_s denotes the scalar or vector field oscillating and decaying at a complex frequency ω , and V_s is the spin-dependent Regge-Wheeler potential.

Consider a massless scalar ($s = 0$) perturbation field propagating in a curved spacetime, its wave equation satisfies,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\partial_\mu(\sqrt{-g}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu\phi) = 0, \quad (20)$$

where g and $g^{\mu\nu}$ denote the determinant and inverse of $g_{\mu\nu}$, respectively. In the spacetime equipped with a time-independent and spherically symmetric metric Eq. (1), we can decompose $\phi(t, r, \theta, \varphi)$ into Fourier modes,

$$\phi(t, r, \theta, \varphi) = \sum_{\ell, m} e^{-i\omega t} \frac{\Psi_{s=0}(r)}{h(r)} Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \varphi), \quad (21)$$

and redefine $\Psi_{s=0}(r)$ as the perturbation field, where $Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \varphi)$ stands for the spherical harmonics. Substituting the decomposition Eq. (21) into Eq. (20), we can get the master equation Eq. (19) for $\Psi_{s=0}(r)$ with the Regge-Wheeler potential,

$$V_{s=0} = f(r) \left\{ \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{h^2(r)} + \frac{1}{h(r)} \frac{d}{dr} \left[f(r) \frac{dh(r)}{dr} \right] \right\}. \quad (22)$$

For a linearized Maxwell ($s = 1$) field perturbation in the curved spacetime, we can determine the Regge-Wheeler potential in a similar way to the scalar field. Alternatively, the Regge-Wheeler potential of spin one field can be obtained based on the formalism developed in Ref. [64],

$$V_{s=1} = f(r) \left[\frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{h^2(r)} \right]. \quad (23)$$

Now we summarize the above discussion. In the four-dimensional background with a wormhole-like metric Eq. (1), the massless scalar ($s = 0$) and electromagnetic ($s = 1$) field perturbations can be described by the master equation Eq. (19) with the spin-dependent Regge-Wheeler potential,

$$V_s = f(r) \left\{ \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{h^2(r)} + \frac{(1-s)}{h(r)} \frac{d}{dr} \left[f(r) \frac{dh(r)}{dr} \right] \right\}. \quad (24)$$

V. QUASINORMAL MODES

In the previous section, we derive the Regge-Wheeler potential for the massless scalar and electromagnetic field perturbations in the four-dimensional background with a worm-like metric Eq. (1). Now we apply the shape function Eq. (2) into the spin-dependent potential Eq. (24) to compute the quasinormal spectrum in a charged black-bounce spacetime.

In order to obtain a complete and accurate spectrum, we use the sixth order WKB approach [65, 66] to extract the quasinormal frequencies which are shown in Table I for the spin zero perturbation and Table II for the spin one perturbation in the unit of $m = 1$. In the special case of $a = 0$, the quasinormal frequencies for both spin zero and spin one perturbations recover the Reissner-Nordström quasinormal frequencies. For instance, $0.5056 - 0.0980i$ calculated in terms of the third WKB approach in Ref. [67] is coincident with the data of $Q = 0.5, s = 0, \ell = 2$ in Table I, and more specially, $0.4836 - 0.0968i$ given with the sixth order WKB approach for the Schwarzschild quasinormal frequencies ($a = 0$ and $Q = 0$) in Ref. [66] is coincident with the data of $Q = 0, s = 0, \ell = 2$ in Table I. From the two tables, we can see that the frequencies begin to decrease when the bounce parameter a increases, and that they stabilize approximately at a same value for different charges when $a \gg Q$.

| a | $Q = 0$ | $Q = 0.3$ | $Q = 0.5$ | $Q = 0.7$ | 0.9 |
|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 0 | 0.483642 - 0.096766 i | 0.491179 - 0.097226 i | 0.505966 - 0.097949 i | 0.532561 - 0.098574 i | 0.581954 - 0.096632 i |
| $1 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 0.483642 - 0.096766 i | 0.491179 - 0.097226 i | 0.505966 - 0.097949 i | 0.532561 - 0.098574 i | 0.581954 - 0.096632 i |
| 0.1 | 0.483641 - 0.096712 i | 0.491178 - 0.097169 i | 0.505964 - 0.097888 i | 0.532557 - 0.098503 i | 0.581946 - 0.096541 i |
| 0.5 | 0.483616 - 0.095409 i | 0.491145 - 0.095805 i | 0.505914 - 0.096398 i | 0.532466 - 0.096774 i | 0.581730 - 0.094340 i |
| 1 | 0.483471 - 0.091219 i | 0.490971 - 0.091414 i | 0.505672 - 0.091594 i | 0.532064 - 0.091174 i | 0.580869 - 0.087116 i |
| 5 | 0.402812 - 0.045177 i | 0.402523 - 0.046475 i | 0.402539 - 0.048543 i | 0.403471 - 0.051202 i | 0.405755 - 0.054186 i |
| 10 | 0.227885 - 0.038004 i | 0.227991 - 0.038084 i | 0.228182 - 0.038224 i | 0.228468 - 0.038434 i | 0.228853 - 0.038711 i |
| 50 | 0.049900 - 0.009739 i | 0.049901 - 0.009739 i | 0.049902 - 0.009740 i | 0.049904 - 0.009742 i | 0.049907 - 0.009744 i |
| 100 | 0.025210 - 0.005002 i | 0.025210 - 0.005002 i | 0.025210 - 0.005002 i | 0.025211 - 0.005002 i | 0.025211 - 0.005002 i |
| $1 \cdot 10^3$ | 0.002544 - 0.000512 i | 0.002544 - 0.000512 i | 0.002544 - 0.000512 i | 0.002544 - 0.000512 i | 0.002544 - 0.000512 i |

TABLE I. The fundamental ($n = 0, \ell = 2$) quasinormal spectrum of the spin zero field perturbation. These modes are calculated by the sixth order WKB approach for various values of the bounce parameter and charge. The settings of a and Q are shown in the leftmost column and the top row, respectively.

| a | $Q = 0$ | $Q = 0.3$ | $Q = 0.5$ | $Q = 0.7$ | 0.9 |
|-------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 0 | 0.457593 - 0.095011 i | 0.465009 - 0.095502 i | 0.479598 - 0.096288 i | 0.505983 - 0.097026 i | 0.555594 - 0.095231 i |
| $1 \cdot 10^{-3}$ | 0.457593 - 0.095011 i | 0.465009 - 0.095502 i | 0.479598 - 0.096288 i | 0.505982 - 0.097027 i | 0.555611 - 0.095228 i |
| 0.1 | 0.457609 - 0.094958 i | 0.465025 - 0.095446 i | 0.479615 - 0.096227 i | 0.506001 - 0.096956 i | 0.555627 - 0.095137 i |
| 0.5 | 0.457977 - 0.093676 i | 0.465403 - 0.094101 i | 0.480011 - 0.094753 i | 0.506423 - 0.095234 i | 0.556064 - 0.092914 i |
| 1 | 0.459051 - 0.089513 i | 0.466501 - 0.089729 i | 0.481149 - 0.089950 i | 0.507608 - 0.089590 i | 0.557203 - 0.085525 i |
| 5 | 0.378857 - 0.047372 i | 0.379306 - 0.048183 i | 0.380270 - 0.049532 i | 0.382003 - 0.051381 i | 0.384650 - 0.053618 i |
| 10 | 0.213687 - 0.036410 i | 0.213776 - 0.036478 i | 0.213936 - 0.036597 i | 0.214176 - 0.036777 i | 0.214496 - 0.037015 i |
| 50 | 0.046242 - 0.009158 i | 0.046242 - 0.009159 i | 0.046243 - 0.009160 i | 0.046245 - 0.009161 i | 0.046247 - 0.009163 i |
| 100 | 0.023325 - 0.004696 i | 0.023325 - 0.004696 i | 0.023325 - 0.004696 i | 0.023325 - 0.004696 i | 0.023326 - 0.004697 i |
| $1 \cdot 10^3$ | 0.002351 - 0.000480 i | 0.002350 - 0.000480 i | 0.002350 - 0.000480 i | 0.002350 - 0.000480 i | 0.002351 - 0.000480 i |

TABLE II. The fundamental ($n = 0, \ell = 2$) quasinormal spectrum of the spin one field perturbation. These modes are calculated by the sixth order WKB approach for various values of the bounce parameter and charge. The settings of a and Q are shown in the leftmost column and the top row, respectively.

VI. GRAY-BODY FACTORS

In order to investigate the bounce corrections to the transmission probability of particles scattered by the Regge-Wheeler potential, we should analyze the gray-body factors of perturbation fields. We need to solve the wave equation Eq. (19) with the scattering boundary conditions,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi &= \mathcal{T} e^{-i\omega r_*}, & r_* &\rightarrow -\infty, \\ \Psi &= e^{-i\omega r_*} + \mathcal{R} e^{i\omega r_*}, & r_* &\rightarrow +\infty, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{R} are the transmission and reflection coefficients, respectively. The boundary conditions allow the incoming wave from infinity. For a given multipole number ℓ , one has [68]

$$|A_\ell|^2 = 1 - |\mathcal{R}_\ell|^2 = |\mathcal{T}_\ell|^2, \quad |\mathcal{R}_\ell|^2 = (1 + e^{-2i\pi K})^{-1}, \quad (26)$$

where K is determined by

$$K = i \frac{\omega^2 - V_0}{\sqrt{-2V_0''}} - \sum_{i=2}^{i=6} \Lambda_i(K), \quad (27)$$

and V_0 is the maximum of the potential, V_0'' is the second derivative with respect to the tortoise coordinate at the location where the potential takes its maximum, and Λ_i 's denote [66, 69, 70] the higher WKB corrections. Fig. 2 shows the fact that a particle with a larger frequency (larger energy) is more likely to pass through the potential barrier, i.e. it has a higher gray-body factor. Additionally, a large bounce parameter a also leads to a higher gray-body factor, which can be seen from Fig. 2. That is, the contour of gray-body factors moves left when a increases from zero. It is worth noting that it seems somewhat difficult to distinguish the contours when $a < 2$, which shows that

the gray-body factors are almost independent of a small bounce parameter. However, when a increases, especially up to $a > m \pm \sqrt{m^2 - Q^2}$ (the geometry is a traversable wormhole), we can clearly observe the increased gray-body factors. In this sense, we can conclude that the corrections of bounce parameters to the Reissner-Nordström black hole lead to increasing in gray-body factors.




FIG. 2. Gray-body factors of spin zero (left) and spin one (right) fields with $\ell = 2$, $m = 1$, and $Q = 0.5$. The bounce parameter takes seven different values: $a = 0$ (blue), $a = 0.5$ (yellow), $a = 1$ (pink), $a = 1.5$ (green), $a = 2$ (red), $a = 5$ (orange), and $a = 7$ (gray).

VII. CONCLUSIONS

In this work we have investigated the weak gravitational lensing, quasinormal spectrum, and gray-body factors of the Reissner-Nordström spacetime corrected by bounce parameters. By applying the Gauss-Bonnet theorem to the optical geometry, we find that there exists a bounce correction of the Morse potential to suppress the divergence of the deflection angle in the Reissner-Nordström spacetime. Moreover, we derive the Regge-Wheeler equation with the spin-dependent potential for massless scalar and electromagnetic field perturbations. We then observe a significant decrease in the quasinormal spectra when the bounce parameter increases, especially when $a \gg Q$, the quasinormal spectra stabilize approximately at a same value for different values of charges. Finally, the results of scattering problems suggest that the corrections of bounce parameters introduced into the Reissner-Nordström spacetime lead to increasing in the gray-body factors of perturbation fields.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 11675081 and 12175108.

-
- [1] S. W. Hawking and G. F. R. Ellis, *The large scale structure of space-time*, Vol. 1 (Cambridge university press, 1973).
 - [2] A. D. Sakharov, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **22**, 241 (1966).
 - [3] E. B. Gliner, *Soviet Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics* **22**, 378 (1966).
 - [4] J. Bardeen, *Proceedings of GR5, Tbilisi, USSR* (1968).
 - [5] D. I. Kazakov and S. N. Solodukhin, *Nucl. Phys. B* **429**, 153 (1994), arXiv:hep-th/9310150.
 - [6] A. Borde, *Phys. Rev. D* **55**, 7615 (1997), arXiv:gr-qc/9612057.
 - [7] I. Dymnikova, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **19**, 725 (2002), arXiv:gr-qc/0112052.
 - [8] S. A. Hayward, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 031103 (2006), arXiv:gr-qc/0506126.
 - [9] V. P. Frolov and A. Zelnikov, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 124028 (2017), arXiv:1704.03043 [hep-th].
 - [10] S. Ansoldi, in *Conference on Black Holes and Naked Singularities* (2008) arXiv:0802.0330 [gr-qc].
 - [11] V. P. Frolov, *JHEP* **05**, 049 (2014), arXiv:1402.5446 [hep-th].

- [12] Z.-Y. Fan and X. Wang, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 124027 (2016), arXiv:1610.02636 [gr-qc].
- [13] K. A. Bronnikov, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 128501 (2017), arXiv:1712.04342 [gr-qc].
- [14] B. Toshmatov, Z. Stuchlík, and B. Ahmedov, *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, 028501 (2018), arXiv:1807.09502 [gr-qc].
- [15] A. Bonanno, A.-P. Khosravi, and F. Saueressig, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 124027 (2021), arXiv:2010.04226 [gr-qc].
- [16] A. Simpson and M. Visser, *JCAP* **02**, 042 (2019), arXiv:1812.07114 [gr-qc].
- [17] T. Berry, A. Simpson, and M. Visser, *Universe* **7**, 165 (2021), arXiv:2102.02471 [gr-qc].
- [18] H. Huang and J. Yang, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 124063 (2019), arXiv:1909.04603 [gr-qc].
- [19] E. Franzin, S. Liberati, J. Mazza, A. Simpson, and M. Visser, *JCAP* **07**, 036 (2021), arXiv:2104.11376 [gr-qc].
- [20] J. Mazza, E. Franzin, and S. Liberati, *JCAP* **04**, 082 (2021), arXiv:2102.01105 [gr-qc].
- [21] Z. Xu and M. Tang, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, 863 (2021), arXiv:2109.13813 [gr-qc].
- [22] H. Hoekstra, M. Bartelmann, H. Dahle, H. Israel, M. Limousin, and M. Meneghetti, *Space Sci. Rev.* **177**, 75 (2013), arXiv:1303.3274 [astro-ph.CO].
- [23] M. M. Brouwer *et al.*, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **481**, 5189 (2018), arXiv:1805.00562 [astro-ph.CO].
- [24] F. Bellagamba *et al.*, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **484**, 1598 (2019), arXiv:1810.02827 [astro-ph.CO].
- [25] H. Hoekstra, H. K. C. Yee, and M. D. Gladders, *Astrophys. J.* **606**, 67 (2004), arXiv:astro-ph/0306515.
- [26] S. Jung and C. S. Shin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 041103 (2019), arXiv:1712.01396 [astro-ph.CO].
- [27] K. E. Andrade, Q. Minor, A. Nierenberg, and M. Kaplinghat, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **487**, 1905 (2019), arXiv:1901.00507 [astro-ph.GA].
- [28] B. Turimov, B. Ahmedov, A. Abdujabbarov, and C. Bambi, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **28**, 2040013 (2019), arXiv:1802.03293 [gr-qc].
- [29] R. A. Vanderveld, M. J. Mortonson, W. Hu, and T. Eifler, *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 103518 (2012), arXiv:1203.3195 [astro-ph.CO].
- [30] S. Cao, G. Covone, and Z.-H. Zhu, *Astrophys. J.* **755**, 31 (2012), arXiv:1206.4948 [astro-ph.CO].
- [31] D. Huterer and D. L. Shafer, *Rept. Prog. Phys.* **81**, 016901 (2018), arXiv:1709.01091 [astro-ph.CO].
- [32] A. Bhadra, *Phys. Rev. D* **67**, 103009 (2003), arXiv:gr-qc/0306016.
- [33] S.-b. Chen and J.-l. Jing, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 024036 (2009), arXiv:0905.2055 [gr-qc].
- [34] E. F. Eiroa, G. E. Romero, and D. F. Torres, *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 024010 (2002), arXiv:gr-qc/0203049.
- [35] K. S. Virbhadra and G. F. R. Ellis, *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 084003 (2000), arXiv:astro-ph/9904193.
- [36] M. Okyay and A. Övgün, (2021), arXiv:2108.07766 [gr-qc].
- [37] Y. Guo and Y.-G. Miao, (2021), arXiv:2112.01747 [gr-qc].
- [38] Z. Zhang, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **39**, 015003 (2022), arXiv:2112.04149 [gr-qc].
- [39] K. K. Nandi, Y.-Z. Zhang, and A. V. Zakharov, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 024020 (2006), arXiv:gr-qc/0602062.
- [40] K. S. Virbhadra and G. F. R. Ellis, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 103004 (2002).
- [41] M. Sharif and S. Iftikhar, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **357**, 85 (2015).
- [42] A. Övgün, *Turk. J. Phys.* **44**, 465 (2020), arXiv:2011.04423 [gr-qc].
- [43] G. W. Gibbons and M. C. Werner, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **25**, 235009 (2008), arXiv:0807.0854 [gr-qc].
- [44] E. Berti and K. D. Kokkotas, *Phys. Rev. D* **67**, 064020 (2003), arXiv:gr-qc/0301052.
- [45] Y. Guo and Y.-G. Miao, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 084057 (2020), arXiv:2007.08227 [hep-th].
- [46] K. A. Bronnikov, R. A. Konoplya, and T. D. Pappas, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 124062 (2021), arXiv:2102.10679 [gr-qc].
- [47] Y. Guo and Y.-G. Miao, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 064049 (2020), arXiv:2005.07524 [hep-th].
- [48] R. A. Konoplya, *Phys. Lett. B* **804**, 135363 (2020), arXiv:1912.10582 [gr-qc].
- [49] P. Kanti and J. March-Russell, *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 024023 (2002), arXiv:hep-ph/0203223.
- [50] E. Ayon-Beato and A. Garcia, *Phys. Lett. B* **493**, 149 (2000), arXiv:gr-qc/0009077.
- [51] F. S. N. Lobo, M. E. Rodrigues, M. V. d. S. Silva, A. Simpson, and M. Visser, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 084052 (2021), arXiv:2009.12057 [gr-qc].
- [52] A. Simpson, P. Martin-Moruno, and M. Visser, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **36**, 145007 (2019), arXiv:1902.04232 [gr-qc].
- [53] S. Chakrabarti and S. Kar, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 024071 (2021), arXiv:2106.14761 [gr-qc].
- [54] K. Jusufi, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **361**, 24 (2016), arXiv:1510.08526 [gr-qc].
- [55] Q.-M. Fu, L. Zhao, and Y.-X. Liu, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 024033 (2021), arXiv:2101.08409 [gr-qc].
- [56] W. Javed, A. Hamza, and A. Övgün, *Universe* **7**, 385 (2021), arXiv:2110.11397 [gr-qc].
- [57] K. Jusufi and A. Övgün, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 024042 (2018), arXiv:1708.06725 [gr-qc].
- [58] P. M. Morse, *Phys. Rev.* **34**, 57 (1929).
- [59] R. N. Costa Filho, G. Alencar, B.-S. Skagerstam, and J. S. Andrade Jr, *EPL (Europhysics Letters)* **101**, 10009 (2013).
- [60] Y. Hatsuda and M. Kimura, (2021), arXiv:2111.15197 [gr-qc].
- [61] E. Berti, V. Cardoso, and A. O. Starinets, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **26**, 163001 (2009), arXiv:0905.2975 [gr-qc].
- [62] R. A. Konoplya and A. Zhidenko, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **83**, 793 (2011), arXiv:1102.4014 [gr-qc].
- [63] K. D. Kokkotas and B. G. Schmidt, *Living Reviews in Relativity* **2**, 1 (1999).
- [64] P. Boonserm, T. Ngampitipan, and M. Visser, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 041502 (2013), arXiv:1305.1416 [gr-qc].
- [65] J. Matyjasek and M. Opala, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 024011 (2017), arXiv:1704.00361 [gr-qc].
- [66] R. A. Konoplya, *Phys. Rev. D* **68**, 024018 (2003), arXiv:gr-qc/0303052.
- [67] R. A. Konoplya, *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 084007 (2002), arXiv:gr-qc/0207028.
- [68] S. Iyer and C. M. Will, *Phys. Rev. D* **35**, 3621 (1987).
- [69] B. F. Schutz and C. M. Will, *The Astrophysical Journal* **291**, L33 (1985).
- [70] R. A. Konoplya, A. Zhidenko, and A. F. Zinhailo, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **36**, 155002 (2019), arXiv:1904.10333 [gr-qc].