

MINIMUM QUANTUM DEGREES WITH MAYA DIAGRAMS

RYAN M. SHIFLER

ABSTRACT. We use Maya diagrams to refine the formula by Fulton and Woodward for the smallest powers of the quantum parameter q that occur in a product of Schubert classes in the (small) quantum cohomology of partial flags. Our approach using Maya diagrams yields a combinatorial proof that the minimal quantum degrees are unique for partial flags.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Fl be a partial flag. Let $\text{QH}^*(\text{Fl})$ be the small quantum cohomology with Schubert classes σ_w , $w \in W^{PI}$. The set W^{PI} is defined in Section 2. The small quantum cohomology ring $\text{QH}^*(\text{Fl})$ is a graded $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -module. The multiplication is given by

$$\sigma^v \star \sigma_w = \sum_{u, d \geq 0} c_{v^\vee, w}^{u, d} q^d \sigma_u$$

where $c_{v^\vee, w}^{u, d}$ is the Gromov-Witten invariant that enumerates the degree d rational curves that intersect general translates of σ^v , σ_w , and σ_u where σ^v is the Poincare dual of σ_v . See [Buc05] for details. Given any element $\tau \in \text{QH}^*(\text{Fl})$, we say that q^d **occurs** in τ if the coefficient of $q^d \sigma_w$ is not zero for some w .

The purpose of the article is to use Maya diagrams to refine a formula by Fulton and Woodward in [FW04] for the smallest power of the quantum parameter q that occur in a product of Schubert classes in the (small) quantum cohomology of partial flags. The results in this article are combinatorial in the sense that we use Maya diagrams to describe the chains that Fulton and Woodward defined in [FW04] (see Proposition 2.1). Minimum quantum degrees are also studied in [Pos05b, Pos05, Buc03, Yon03, Bel04, Bär16, BCLM19, SW20].

Postnikov proved that the minimum quantum degree is unique for G/B in [Pos05b, Corollary 3]. This result was later extended to general homogeneous space G/P in [BCLM19] using geometric techniques. Our approach using Maya diagrams yields a combinatorial proof that the minimal quantum degrees are unique for partial flags. We will briefly discuss the utility of Maya diagrams next which are defined in Definition 3.1.

Maya diagrams for partial flags give a manifest characterization of the Bruhat order by slightly modifying a theorem by Proctor in [Pro82, Theorem 5A] and stated herein as Proposition 3.5. Furthermore, Maya diagrams provide a good picture to generalize the notion of a rim hook for Grassmannians to partial flags as stated in Definition 4.2. With these two key notions, there is a canonical lower bound for the minimal quantum degrees as stated in Lemma 4.10. We then show that this lower bound is achieved in Theorem 5.1. Next we begin with preliminaries to state and prove our main results.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Hiroshi Naruse for very useful correspondences.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $I = \{i_0 := 0 < i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k < i_{k+1} := n\}$. Let $\text{Fl} := \text{Fl}(I; n)$ denote the partial flag given by

$$\text{Fl}(I; n) := \{0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \cdots \subset V_k \subset \mathbb{C}^n : \dim V_j = i_j\}.$$

Consider the root system of type A_{n-1} with positive roots $R^+ = \{e_a - e_b : 1 \leq a < b \leq n\}$ and the subset of simple roots $\Delta = \{\alpha_i := e_a - e_{a-1} : 1 \leq a \leq n-1\}$. The associated Weyl group W is S_n . For $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ denote by s_i the simple reflection corresponding to the root $e_i - e_{i+1}$. Each $I = \{i_0 := 0 < i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k < i_{k+1} := n\}$ determines a parabolic subgroup P_I with Weyl group $W_P = \langle s_i : i \neq i_j \rangle$ generated by reflections with indices not in I . Let $\Delta_P := \{\alpha_{i_s} : i_s \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_r\}\}$ and $R_P^+ := \text{Span} \Delta_P \cap R^+$; these are the positive roots of P_I . Let $\ell(W) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be the length function and denote by W^{P_I} the set of minimal length representatives of the cosets of W/W_{P_I} . The length function descends to W/W_{P_I} by $\ell(uW_{P_I}) = \ell(u')$ where $u' \in W^P$ is the minimal length representative for the coset uW_{P_I} . We have a natural ordering $1 < 2 < \cdots < n$. Then the minimal length representatives W^{P_I} have the form

$$(w(1) < \cdots < w(i_1) | w(i_1+1) < \cdots < w(i_2) | \cdots | w(i_{k-1}+1) < \cdots < w(i_k) | w(i_k+1) < \cdots < w(n)).$$

Since $w(i_k+1) < \cdots < w(n)$ are determined then we will identify the elements of W^{P_I} with

$$(w(1) < \cdots < w(i_1) | w(i_1+1) < \cdots < w(i_2) | \cdots | w(i_{k-1}+1) < \cdots < w(i_k)).$$

2.1. Chains. Here will follow the exposition of [FW04] and specialize to the case of partial flags. We will say that two unequal elements v and w in W^{P_I} are **adjacent** if there is a reflection $s_{e_a - e_b} \in W$ such that $w = vs_{e_a - e_b}$. If $i_{q-1} + 1 \leq a \leq i_q$ and $i_{t-1} + 1 \leq b \leq i_t$ then define

$$d(v, w) = e_a - e_b + \Delta_P = (e_{i_q} - e_{i_q+1}) + \cdots + (e_{i_{t-1}} - e_{i_{t-1}+1}) + \Delta_P = 0^{q-1} 1^{t-q} 0^{k+1-t}.$$

Define a **chain** \mathcal{C} from w to v in W^{P_I} to be a sequence u_0, u_1, \dots, u_r in W^{P_I} such that u_{i-1} and u_i are adjacent for $1 \leq i \leq r$, and, in addition $u_0 \leq v$ and $w \leq u_r$. For any chain u_0, u_1, \dots, u_r we define the **degree** of the chain \mathcal{C} , denoted $\text{deg}_{\mathcal{C}}(v, w)$, to be the component-wise sum of the degrees $d(u_{i-1}, u_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Note that there is a chain of degree 0 between v and w exactly when $w \leq v$.

2.2. Quantum Cohomology. Let $\text{QH}^*(\text{Fl})$ denote the quantum cohomology ring of Fl . The Schubert classes σ_w , $w \in W^{P_I}$, form a basis. Let $\sigma^w := \sigma_w^\vee$ be the Poincare dual of σ_w for any $w \in W^{P_I}$. Take a variable q_j for each $i_j \in I$ with $1 \leq j \leq k$, and let $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ be the polynomial ring with these q_j as indeterminants where $\text{deg } q_j = i_{j+1} - i_{j-1}$. For a degree $d = \sum_{j=1}^k d_j \sigma_{s_{i_j}} \in H_2(\text{Fl})$, we write $q^d = \prod_{j=1}^k q_j^{d_j}$. The small quantum cohomology ring $\text{QH}^*(\text{Fl})$ is a graded $\mathbb{Z}[q]$ -module. The multiplication is given by

$$\sigma^v \star \sigma_w = \sum_{u, d \geq} c_{v^\vee, w}^{u, d} q^d \sigma_u$$

where $c_{v^\vee, w}^{u, d}$ is the Gromov-Witten invariant that enumerates the degree d rational curves that intersect general translates of σ^v , σ_w , and σ_u . See [Buc05] for details.

2.3. Fulton and Woodward's formula for minimal quantum degrees. Given any element $\tau \in \text{QH}^*(\mathbb{F}1)$, we say that q^d **occurs** in τ if the coefficient of $q^d \sigma_w$ is not zero for some w . The following is from [FW04, Theorem 9.1]

Proposition 2.1. *Let $v, w \in W^{Pr}$, and let d be a degree. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *There is a degree $c \leq d$ such that q^c occurs in $\sigma^v \star \sigma_w$.*
- (2) *There is a chain of degree $c \leq d$ between v and w .*

2.4. Hecke Product. The Weyl group W admits a partial ordering \leq given by the **Bruhat order**. Its covering relations are given by $w < ws_\alpha$ where $\alpha \in R^+$ is a root and $\ell(w) < \ell(ws_\alpha)$. We will use the **Hecke product** on the Weyl group W . For a simple reflection s_i the product is defined by

$$w \cdot s_i = \begin{cases} ws_i & \text{if } \ell(ws_i) > \ell(w); \\ w & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The Hecke product gives W a structure of an associative monoid; see e.g. [BM15, §3] for more details. For any parabolic group P , the Hecke product determines a left action $W \times W/W_P \rightarrow W/W_P$ defined by

$$u \cdot (wW_P) = (u \cdot w)W_P.$$

We will need the technical lemma from [BM15, Proposition 3.1(e)].

Lemma 2.2. *Let $u, v \in W$. Then $(u \cdot v)v^{-1} \leq u$.*

3. MAYA DIAGRAMS

We begin this section by defining Maya diagrams in Definition 3.1. Then give the Bruhat order in terms of Maya diagrams in Subsection 3.1.

Definition 3.1. Let $w \in W^{Pr}$. The Maya diagram M^w corresponding to w is an $(k+1) \times n$ grid with an x in the $(j, w(i))$ positions for $1 \leq i \leq i_j$ and $1 \leq j \leq k+1$. We choose the southwest corner to be $(1,1)$ box. We color the bottom x of each column black with all other x 's blue. We denote the row indexed by j as m_j^w .

We will need the following technical lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $w \in W^{Pr}$ and let $1 \leq j \leq k$. Let $\pi_j : W^{Pr} \rightarrow W^{P_{i_j}}$ denote the natural projection. Then $M^{\pi_j(w)}$ is Maya diagram with two rows and n columns with the top row having an x in each position and the second row is m_j^w .*

Proof. The result is clear. □

3.1. Bruhat order with Maya diagrams. We begin the Subsection with technical definitions.

Definition 3.3. Let M^w be the Maya diagram that corresponds to $w \in W^{Pr}$. Define

$$f(M^w, a, b) = \begin{cases} x & a = j, b = w(i), \text{ for some } i, j \text{ with } 1 \leq i \leq i_j \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq k+1; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Define $S_j(M^w, b) = \#\{q : f(M^w, j, q) = x \text{ for } 1 \leq q \leq b\}$.

Definition 3.4. Let $w, v \in W^{Pt}$. We say that $M^w \leq M^v$ if and only if

$$S_j(M^w, b) \geq S_j(M^v, b) \text{ for all } j \text{ and } b \text{ such that } 1 \leq b \leq n \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq k + 1.$$

The next proposition is a modification of [Pro82, Theorem 5A].

Proposition 3.5. Let $w, v \in W^{Pt}$. Then $w \leq v$ if and only if $M^w \leq M^v$.

Example 3.6. The minimal length representatives of $w = (1|5 < 9|10 < 11|4 < 6|2 < 7)$ and $v = (2|7 < 11|10 < 12|8 < 9|1 < 5)$ corresponds to the tableaux

$$M^w = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x \\ \hline x & x & & x & x & x & x & & x & x & x & \\ \hline x & & & x & x & x & & & x & x & x & \\ \hline x & & & & x & & & & x & x & x & \\ \hline x & & & & x & & & & x & & & \\ \hline x & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad M^v = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x \\ \hline x & x & & & x & & x & x & x & x & x & x \\ \hline & x & & & & & x & x & x & x & x & x \\ \hline & x & & & & & x & & & x & x & x \\ \hline & x & & & & & x & & & & & x \\ \hline & x & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Here $M^w \leq M^v$.

4. MAYA DIAGRAMS COMBINATORICS

In Subsection 4.1 we give the definition and two examples of the generalized rim hook rule. In Subsection 4.2 we use Maya diagrams apply Proposition 2.1.

4.1. Generalized rim hook rule. Maya diagrams give a way to see a generalized rim hook rule manifestly. We begin with two definitions to define this generalized rim hook rule.

Definition 4.1. Let $v \in W^{Pt}$ and let M^v be the corresponding Maya diagram. Let $1 \leq q \leq t \leq k$. For $1 \leq r \leq k$ define

$$\phi(M^v, r) = \min\{b : f(M^v, r, b) = x \text{ and } f(M^v, r-1, b) = 0\}$$

and

$$\psi(M^v, r) = \max\{b : f(M^v, r+1, b) = x \text{ and } f(M^v, r, b) = 0\}.$$

Definition 4.2. The generalized qt -rim hook rule is given as follows. Let M^v be a Maya diagram corresponding to $v \in w^{Pt}$.

- (1) Let $M_{\uparrow q}^v := M^v$.
- (2) For $q \leq j \leq t-1$, define $M_{\uparrow j+1}^v$ from $M_{\uparrow j}^v$ by removing the x in position $(j, \phi(M_{\uparrow j}^v, j))$.
- (3) Let $M_{\downarrow t}^v := M_{\uparrow t}^v$.
- (4) For $t-1 \geq j \geq q$, define $M_{\downarrow j}^v$ from $M_{\downarrow j+1}^v$ by adding an x to position $(j, \psi(M_{\downarrow j+1}^v, j))$.
- (5) The completed generalized qt -rim hook is given by $M_{\downarrow q}^v$.

Example 4.3. Definition 4.2 corresponds to the rim hook for Grassmannians. This example is in $\text{Fl}(8; 12)$ with $v = (1 < 2 < 3 < 5 < 8 < 9 < 11 < 12)$. The symbols \uparrow and \downarrow describe the movement of the x 's.

$$M^v = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x \\ \hline x & x & x & & x & & & x & x & & x & x \\ \hline \end{array} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x & \downarrow & x & x \\ \hline \uparrow & x & x & & & & & x & x & x & x & x \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x \\ \hline & x & x & & x & & & x & x & x & x & x & x \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Example 4.4. The following is an example of a 26-generalized rim hook in $\text{Fl}(1, 3, 5, 7, 9; 12)$. Here $v = (2|3 < 8|10 < 12|9 < 11|1 < 5)$.

$$M^v = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x \\ \hline x & x & x & & x & & & x & x & x & x & x & x \\ \hline & x & x & & & & & x & x & x & x & x & x \\ \hline & x & x & & & & & x & & x & & & x \\ \hline & x & x & & & & & x & & & & & \\ \hline & x & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline x & x & x & x & x & x & \downarrow & x & x & x & x & x & x \\ \hline \uparrow & x & x & & x & & \downarrow & x & x & x & x & x & x \\ \hline & x & \uparrow & & & & x & x & x & x & \downarrow & x & x \\ \hline & x & \uparrow & & & & & x & & x & x & \downarrow & x \\ \hline & x & \uparrow & & & & & x & & & & & x \\ \hline & x & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x & x \\ \hline & x & x & & x & & & x & x & x & x & x & x \\ \hline & x & & & & & & x & x & x & x & x & x \\ \hline & x & & & & & & x & & x & x & x & x \\ \hline & x & & & & & & x & & & & & x \\ \hline & x & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline \end{array}$$

4.2. Chains in terms of Maya diagrams. The first lemma connects the generalized rim hook to the Hecke product and gives the existence of an appropriate chain.

Lemma 4.5. *Let M^v be a Maya diagram that corresponds to $v \in W^{P_I}$. Apply the qt -rim hook rule to M^v and call the resulting Maya diagram M^w where $w \in W^{P_I}$. Then we have the follow:*

(1) *The following Hecke product holds:*

$$w = v \cdot s_{i_{q-1}+1} s_{i_{q-1}+2} \cdots s_{i_t-2} s_{i_t-1} s_{i_t-2} \cdots s_{i_{q-1}+2} s_{i_{q-1}+1}.$$

(2) *We also have that*

$$s_{e_{i_{q-1}+1}-e_{i_t}} = s_{i_{q-1}+1} s_{i_{q-1}+2} \cdots s_{i_t-2} s_{i_t-1} s_{i_t-2} \cdots s_{i_{q-1}+2} s_{i_{q-1}+1}.$$

(3) *There is a chain \mathcal{C} from v to w where*

$$\deg_{\mathcal{C}}(v, w) \leq 0^{q-1} 1^{t-q} 0^{k+1-t}.$$

Proof. We will first prove part (1) Let M^v be a Maya diagram that corresponds to $v \in W^{P_I}$. Then $M^a = M_{\uparrow j}^v$ and $M^b = M_{\uparrow j+1}^v$ for some $a, b \in W^{P_I}$. Then

$$b = a \cdot s_{i_{j-1}+1} s_{i_{j-1}+2} \cdots s_j.$$

Similarly, $M^a = M_{\downarrow j}^v$ and $M^b = M_{\downarrow j+1}^v$ for some $a, b \in W^{P_I}$. Then

$$b = a \cdot s_{i_{j+1}-1} s_{i_{j+1}-2} \cdots s_j.$$

Part (1) follows.

Next we prove Part (2).¹ Let \mathcal{C} be a chain from v to w with degree $\deg_{\mathcal{C}}(v, w)$. Let $\alpha = e_{i_{q-1}+1} - e_{i_t}$. Set $u = (v \cdot s_{\alpha})s_{\alpha}$. By Lemma 2.2 we have that $u \leq v$. Also, u and $v \cdot s_{\alpha}$ are adjacent and

$$d(u, v \cdot s_{\alpha}) = 0^{q-1}1^{t-q}0^{k+1-t}.$$

The result follows. \square

The next two definitions will give conditions on with generalized rim hooks to apply when we produce a chain of minimum degree.

Definition 4.6. Let $v, w \in W^{PI}$ with corresponding Maya diagrams M^v and M^w . We say that position (a, b) in M^v is Bruhat order incompatible with M^w if $S_a(M^v, b) > S_a(M^w, b)$. Otherwise, we say position (a, b) in M^v is Bruhat order compatible with M^w . We say that row m_j^v is Bruhat order incompatible with M^w if at least one position in M_j^v is Bruhat order incompatible with M^w .

Definition 4.7. Let M^v and M^w be Maya diagrams with $v, w \in W^{PI}$. Abusing notation let

$$R_{(v,w)} := 0^{q-1}1^{t-q}0^{k+1-t}$$

denote the generalized qt -rim hook where

- (1) we have that rows

$$m_q^v, m_{q+1}^v, \dots, m_{t-1}^v$$

are Bruhat order incompatible with M^w ;

- (2) and $t - q$ is maximum.

The next lemma gives an upper bound of a particular chain produced using the two previous definitions.

Lemma 4.8. Let M^v and M^w be a Maya diagram corresponding to $v, w \in W^{PI}$. Let $v_0 := v$. Then there is a chain in W^{PI} in terms of Maya diagrams given by

$$\mathcal{C} : M^{v_0} \xrightarrow{R_{(v_0,w)}} M^{v_1} \xrightarrow{R_{(v_1,w)}} M^{v_2} \xrightarrow{R_{(v_2,w)}} \dots \xrightarrow{R_{(v_{r-1},w)}} M^{v_r}$$

where $M^{v_j} \not\geq M^w$ for $1 \leq j \leq r-1$ and $M^{v_r} \geq M^w$. Finally,

$$\deg_{\mathcal{C}}(v, w) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} R_{(v_j,w)}.$$

Proof. This follows from r applications of Lemma 4.5. \square

The next definition is necessary to state a Lemma 4.10 with is a lower bound for the minimal degrees of appropriate chains.

Definition 4.9. Let M^v and M^w be a Maya diagram corresponding to $v, w \in W^{PI}$. Let $1 \leq j \leq k$ and let $\pi_j : W^{PI} \rightarrow W^{PI_j}$ be the natural projection. Let $v_0 = v$. Let \mathcal{C} be a chain in terms Maya diagrams given by

$$\mathcal{C} : M^{\pi_j(v_0)} \xrightarrow{R_{(\pi_j(v_0), \pi_j(w))}} M^{\pi_j(v_1)} \xrightarrow{R_{(\pi_j(v_1), \pi_j(w))}} M^{\pi_j(v_2)} \xrightarrow{R_{(\pi_j(v_2), \pi_j(w))}} \dots \xrightarrow{R_{(\pi_j(v_{r-1}), \pi_j(w))}} M^{\pi_j(v_r)}$$

where $M^{\pi_j(v_j)} \not\geq M^{\pi_j(w)}$ for $1 \leq j \leq r-1$ and $M^{\pi_j(v_r)} \geq M^{\pi_j(w)}$ and r is minimum. Then define $\deg_j(v, w) := r$.

¹This is inspired by the proof of [BM15, Theorem 5.1].

Lemma 4.10. *Let M^w and M^v be a Maya diagram corresponding to $w, v \in W^{P_t}$. Let \mathcal{C} be any chain from M^v to M^w . Then*

$$(\deg_1(v, w), \dots, \deg_k(v, w)) \leq \deg_{\mathcal{C}}(v, w).$$

Proof. This is clear by Definition 4.9. \square

Lemmas 4.11, 4.12, and 4.13 address the technicality that generalized rim hooks do not necessarily remove the first x in a row and place an x in the last open position in a particular row.

Lemma 4.11. *Let M^v and M^w be a Maya diagram corresponding to $v, w \in W^{P_t}$. Let $v_0 = v$. Consider*

$$M^{v_0} \xrightarrow{R_{(v_0, w)}} M^{v_1}$$

where

$$R_{(v, w)} = 0^{q-1} 1^{t-q} 0^{k+1-t}.$$

Consider the j th rows where $q \leq j \leq t-1$. Suppose that the new x in $m_j^{v_1}$ that is not in $m_j^{v_0}$ is in position (j, b_0) . It follows that $S_j(M^{v_1}, b) \leq S_j(M^w, b)$ for $b \geq b_0$.

Proof. For a contradiction assume that $S_j(M^{v_1}, b) > S_j(M^w, b)$ for $b \geq b_0$. Then by the qt -rim hook rule we must have that

$$S_{j+1}(M^{v_1}, b) = S_j(M^{v_1}, b) + (i_{j+1} - i_j).$$

Also,

$$S_j(M^w, b) + (i_{j+1} - i_j) \geq S_{j+1}(M^w, b).$$

It follows that $S_{j+1}(M^{v_1}, b) > S_{j+1}(M^w, b)$. Therefore $S_t(M^{v_1}, b) > S_t(M^w, b)$ by repeating the previous argument. This is a contradiction since $m_t^{v_1} \geq m_t^w$. It follows that $S_j(M^{v_1}, b) \leq S_j(M^w, b)$ for $b \geq b_0$. \square

Lemma 4.12. *Let M^v and M^w be a Maya diagram corresponding to $v, w \in W^{P_t}$. Let $v_0 := v$. Consider*

$$M^{v_0} \xrightarrow{R_{(v_0, w)}} M^{v_1}$$

where

$$R_{(v, w)} = 0^{q-1} 1^{t-q} 0^{k+1-t}.$$

Consider the j th rows where $q \leq j \leq t-1$. Suppose that the x in $m_j^{v_0}$ that is not in $m_j^{v_1}$ is in position (j, b_0) . If $f(M^{v_0}, j, b) = x$ for some $b < b_0$ then $S_j(M^{v_1}, b) \leq S_j(M^w, b)$.

Proof. Let $f(M^{v_0}, j, b) = x$ for some $b < b_0$. It follows that $q > 1$. Then we have the following that follows from $t-q$ being maximum:

- (1) We have that $f(M^{v_0}, q-1, b) = x$;
- (2) We have that $S_j(M^{v_1}, b) = S_{q-1}(M^{v_1}, b)$;
- (3) We have that $S_{q-1}(M^{v_1}, b) \leq S_{q-1}(M^w, b)$.

The result follows since $S_{q-1}(M^w, b) \leq S_j(M^w, b)$. \square

We use Lemmas 4.11, 4.12 to produce the following result.

Then we have the following where ! indicate the Bruhat order incompatible positions and \uparrow and \downarrow describe the movement of the x 's.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 M^v = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
 \triple{1}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x} \\
 \triple{2}{x}{x}{x}{ }{x}{ }{ }{x}{x}{x}{x}{ }{x} \\
 \triple{3}{ }{x}{x!}{ }{ }{ }{ }{x}{x}{x}{x}{ }{x} \\
 \triple{4}{ }{x}{x!}{ }{ }{ }{ }{x!}{ }{x}{ }{ }{ }{x} \\
 \triple{5}{ }{x}{x!}{ }{ }{ }{ }{x!}{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ } \\
 \triple{6}{ }{x}{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ } \\
 \end{array}
 \xrightarrow{0^1 1^3 0^1}
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
 \triple{1}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x} \\
 \triple{2}{x}{x}{x}{ }{ }{ }{ }{x}{x}{x}{x}{ }{ }{x} \\
 \triple{3}{ }{x}{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{x}{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{x} \\
 \triple{4}{ }{x}{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{x} \\
 \triple{5}{ }{x}{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ } \\
 \triple{6}{ }{x}{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ } \\
 \end{array}
 \\
 \\
 = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
 \triple{1}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x} \\
 \triple{2}{x}{x}{x}{ }{x}{ }{ }{x}{x}{x}{x}{ }{ }{x} \\
 \triple{3}{ }{x}{ }{ }{x}{ }{ }{x}{x}{x}{x}{ }{ }{x} \\
 \triple{4}{ }{x}{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{x}{ }{x}{x}{ }{ }{x} \\
 \triple{5}{ }{x}{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{x!}{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{x} \\
 \triple{6}{ }{x}{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ } \\
 \end{array}
 \xrightarrow{0^1 1^1 0^3}
 \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
 \triple{1}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x} \\
 \triple{2}{x}{x}{x}{ }{x}{ }{ }{x}{x}{x}{x}{ }{ }{x} \\
 \triple{3}{ }{x}{ }{ }{x}{ }{ }{x}{x}{x}{x}{ }{ }{x} \\
 \triple{4}{ }{x}{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{x} \\
 \triple{5}{ }{x}{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ } \\
 \triple{6}{ }{x}{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ } \\
 \end{array}
 \\
 \\
 = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
 \triple{1}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x}{x} \\
 \triple{2}{x}{x}{x}{ }{x}{ }{ }{x}{x}{x}{x}{ }{ }{x} \\
 \triple{3}{ }{x}{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{x}{x}{x}{x}{ }{ }{x} \\
 \triple{4}{ }{x}{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{x}{ }{x}{x}{ }{ }{x} \\
 \triple{5}{ }{x}{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{x} \\
 \triple{6}{ }{x}{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ }{ } \\
 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

Thus the minimum quantum degree of $\sigma^v \star \sigma_w$ is $0^1 1^3 0^1 + 0^1 1^1 0^3 = 0^1 2^1 1^2 0^1$.

REFERENCES

- [Bär16] Christoph Bärligea, *Curve neighborhoods and minimal degrees in quantum products* (2016).
- [BCLM19] Anders S. Buch, Sjuvon Chung, Changzheng Li, and Leonardo C. Mihalea, *Euler characteristics in the quantum k -theory of flag varieties*, 2019.
- [Bel04] P. Belkale, *Transformation formulas in quantum cohomology*, *Compositio Mathematica* **140** (2004), no. 3, 778–792.
- [BM15] Anders S. Buch and Leonardo C. Mihalea, *Curve neighborhoods of Schubert varieties*, *J. Differential Geom.* **99** (2015), no. 2, 255–283. MR3302040
- [Buc03] Anders Skovsted Buch, *Quantum cohomology of Grassmannians*, *Compositio Math.* **137** (2003), no. 2, 227–235. MR1985005
- [Buc05] ———, *Quantum cohomology of partial flag manifolds*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **357** (2005), no. 2, 443–458. MR2095617
- [FW04] W. Fulton and C. Woodward, *On the quantum product of Schubert classes*, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **13** (2004), no. 4, 641–661. MR2072765
- [Pos05a] Alexander Postnikov, *Affine approach to quantum Schubert calculus*, *Duke Math. J.* **128** (2005), no. 3, 473–509. MR2145741
- [Pos05b] ———, *Quantum Bruhat graph and Schubert polynomials*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **133** (2005), no. 3, 699–709. MR2113918
- [Pro82] Robert A. Proctor, *Classical Bruhat orders and lexicographic shellability*, *Journal of Algebra* **77** (1982), 104–126.

- [SW20] Ryan M. Shifler and Camron Withrow, *Minimum quantum degrees for isotropic Grassmannians in Types B and C*, Arxiv: 2004.00084 (2020).
- [Yon03] A. Yong, *Degree bounds in quantum Schubert calculus*, Proceedings of the AMS **131** (2003), no. 9, 2649–2655.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, HENSON SCIENCE HALL, SALISBURY UNIVERSITY, SALISBURY, MD 21801

Email address: `rmshifler@salisbury.edu`