

# Geometric Rank and Linear Determinantal Varieties

Runshi Geng

January 12, 2022

## Abstract

There are close relations between tripartite tensors with bounded geometric ranks and linear determinantal varieties with bounded codimensions. We study linear determinantal varieties with bounded codimensions, and prove upper bounds of the dimensions of the ambient spaces. Using those results, we classify tensors with geometric rank 3, find upper bounds of multilinear ranks of primitive tensors with geometric rank 4, and prove the existence of such upper bounds in general. We extend results of tripartite tensors to  $n$ -part tensors, showing the equivalence between geometric rank 1 and partition rank 1.

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Geometric Rank

Geometric rank of tensors is introduced in [11] as an extension of analytic rank from finite fields to algebraically closed fields, and as a tool to find upper bounds on border subrank and lower bounds on slice rank. [9] took a step further studying geometric rank systematically, giving results on tensors with geometric rank at most 3. [6] showed that the partition rank is at most  $2^{n-1}$  times of the geometric rank for  $n$ -part tensors.

Any tensor  $T \in A \otimes B \otimes C := \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{a}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{b}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{c}}$  can be regarded as a trilinear function  $T : A^* \times B^* \times C^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Its geometric rank is defined to be:

$$\text{GR}(T) := \text{codim}\{(\alpha, \beta) \in A^* \times B^* \mid T(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = 0, \forall \gamma \in C^*\}.$$

$T$  may also be regarded as a linear map  $T_A : A^* \rightarrow B \otimes C$ . Omitting the subscripts when there is no ambiguity,  $T(A^*) \subset B \otimes C$  is an  $\mathbf{a}$ -dimensional space of  $\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{c}$  matrices. Let  $A_i^* := \{\alpha \in A^* \mid \text{rank}T(\alpha) \leq i\}$ , and  $B_i^*$  and  $C_i^*$  are defined similarly. An alternative definition/proposition [11] of geometric rank is:

$$\text{GR}(T) = \min\{\text{codim}A_i^* + i\} = \min\{\text{codim}B_i^* + i\} = \min\{\text{codim}C_i^* + i\} \quad (\text{A})$$

which shows close relations of geometric rank with spaces of matrices of bounded rank and more generally determinantal varieties.

Given  $r \leq \min\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}\}$ , let  $\mathcal{GR}_r$  be the set of tensors with geometric rank at most  $r$ . As  $\mathcal{GR}_r$  is Zariski closed [11], our goal is to give geometric interpretations of those varieties, and classify the tensors in  $\mathcal{GR}_r$  up to changes of bases and permutations of  $A, B$  and  $C$  if possible.

## 1.2 Determinantal Variety

For a linear space of matrices  $E \subset A \otimes B := \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{a}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{b}}$ , let  $E_r$  be the locus of matrices of rank at most  $r$ , for  $r \leq \min\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}$ . In other words,  $\mathbb{P}E_r = \mathbb{P}E \cap \sigma_r(\text{Seg}(\mathbb{P}A \times \mathbb{P}B))$ , the intersection of  $\mathbb{P}E$  with the  $r$ -th secant variety of the Segre variety.  $E_r$  is cut out by all  $(r+1) \times (r+1)$  minors set theoretically, and is called a **linear determinantal variety** (see, e.g., [2, Ch. II]).

Let  $H := A \otimes B$ , then  $H_r$  is the affine cone of  $\sigma_r(\text{Seg}(\mathbb{P}A \times \mathbb{P}B))$  and is called a generic determinantal variety.  $I(H_r)$  is prime and generated by all  $(r+1) \times (r+1)$  minors [14], and  $\text{codim}H_r = (\mathbf{a}-r)(\mathbf{b}-r)$  [10]. Since  $E_r = H_r \cap E$  is a linear section of  $H_r$ ,  $\text{codim}_E E_r \leq (\mathbf{a}-r)(\mathbf{b}-r)$ .

To study  $\mathcal{GR}_r$ , note that by definition  $T(A_i^*) = T(A^*)_i$ , so  $T(A_i^*) \subset B \otimes C$  is a linear determinantal variety. Since  $\text{codim}_{T(A^*)} T(A_i^*) = \text{codim}_{A^*} A_i^*$ , by (A) we need to find all linear  $E \subset B \otimes C$  satisfying  $\text{codim}_E E_i \leq r - i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq r$ .

## 1.3 Space of Matrices of Bounded Rank

A linear space of matrices  $E \subset A \otimes B := \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{a}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{b}}$  is said to have **bounded rank  $r$**  if  $E_r = E$ . There are two important classes of spaces of bounded rank – primitive spaces [4] and compression spaces [8].  $E$  is **compression** if there exist  $A' \subset A$  and  $B' \subset B$  of dimension  $p$  and  $q$ , such that  $E \subset A' \otimes B + A \otimes B'$  and  $p + q = r$ .  $E$  is **primitive** if for any subspaces  $A' \subset A$  or  $B' \subset B$  of codimension 1,  $E \not\subset A' \otimes B$  or  $A \otimes B'$ , and neither  $E \cap (A' \otimes B)$  nor  $E \cap (A \otimes B')$  has bounded rank  $r - 1$ .

Atkinson and Lloyd showed that every space of bounded rank  $r$  that is not compression equals to a "sum" of compression space of bounded rank  $i$  and a primitive space of bounded rank  $r - i$  for some  $i$  in [4]. Later all primitive spaces of bounded rank 2 and 3 were classified in [3]. [8] recasted the study with sheaves and gave geometric interpretations of all primitive spaces of bounded rank 3 as matrices.

The alternative definition (A) shows  $\text{GR}(T) \leq r$  if at least one of  $T(A^*), T(B^*)$  and  $T(C^*)$  has bounded rank  $r$ . In fact, when  $r = 1$  and 2 this condition is necessary [9]. But it fails to be necessary when  $r = 3$  as there are two exceptions (see Theorem 15).

## 1.4 Main Results

For  $T \in A \otimes B \otimes C = \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{a}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{b}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{c}}$ , the **multilinear ranks** are  $\text{ml}_A := \text{rank}T_A$ ,  $\text{ml}_B := \text{rank}T_B$  and  $\text{ml}_C := \text{rank}T_C$ . And the **slice rank** [13] is  $\text{SR}(T) := \min\{\text{ml}_A(T_1) + \text{ml}_B(T_2) + \text{ml}_C(T_3) \mid T = T_1 + T_2 + T_3\}$ .

**Definition 1.**  $T$  is **compression of geometric rank  $r$**  if  $\text{GR}(T) = \text{SR}(T) = r$ .  $T$  is **primitive of geometric rank  $r$**  if it cannot be written as  $T = X + Y$  with  $\text{GR}(X) = r - 1$  and  $\text{GR}(Y) = 1$ .

For tripartite tensors  $T \in A \otimes B \otimes C$ , our main results are:

- **Theorem 15.** The primitive tensors of geometric rank 3 are (up to changes of bases and permutations of  $A, B$  and  $C$ ) either the matrix multiplication tensor  $M_{(2)}$  or the tensors such that  $T(A^*)$  is  $4 \times 4$  skew-symmetric of dimension 4, 5 or 6.

In other words,  $\text{GR}(T) \leq 3$  if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

1.  $T(A^*)$ ,  $T(B^*)$  or  $T(C^*)$  is of bounded rank 3, or
  2.  $\text{SR}(T) \leq 3$ , or
  3. up to changes of bases  $T = M_{(2)}$ .
- **Theorem 17.** If  $T$  is primitive of geometric rank 4, then either at least 2 of  $\text{ml}_A(T)$ ,  $\text{ml}_B(T)$  and  $\text{ml}_C(T)$  are at most 6, or all of them are at most 8.
  - **Theorem 19.** For all  $r$ , there exists  $M$ , such that if  $T$  is primitive of geometric rank  $r$ , then at least 2 of  $\text{ml}_A$ ,  $\text{ml}_B$  and  $\text{ml}_C$  are at most  $M$ .

For  $n \geq 3$  and  $n$ -part tensors  $T \in A^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes A^{(n)}$ , we have:

- **Proposition 21.** For  $r < n$ ,  $\text{GR}(T) \leq r$  if and only if there exists  $i$  such that  $T(A^{(i)*})$  has bounded geometric rank  $r$  as a space of  $(n-1)$ -part tensors.
- **Proposition 22.**  $T$  has geometric rank 1 if and only if it has partition rank 1.

Although we assume all tensors are defined over complex field, all results from this paper hold for any algebraically closed field with characteristic zero.

## 1.5 Overview

We begin with discussion of primitive and compression tensors in section §2. Lemma 2 gives a criterion to determine if a tensor is primitive, and Corollary 3 shows the matrix multiplication tensors are either primitive or compression. Lemma 4 shows any tensor with degenerate geometric rank can be decomposed as a sum of a primitive tensor and a compression tensor.

In section §3 we study the subspaces  $E \subset A \otimes B$  whose determinantal varieties  $E_k$  have bounded codimensions, especially finding the upper bounds of the dimensions of  $A$  and  $B$  when  $E$  is concise. Proposition 11 gives the classification of spaces whose  $3 \times 3$  minors all have a common quadratic factor. Proposition 13 proves the existence of the upper bounds on the dimensions of  $A$  and  $B$  in general. In our study of determinantal varieties, we observed an error in Proposition 1 of [5], see Remark 12 for details.

Using the results on linear determinantal varieties, in section §4 and 5 we conclude the classification of tensors in  $\mathcal{GR}_3$  in Theorem 15, find upper bounds of multilinear ranks of primitive tensors with geometric rank 4 in Theorem 17, and obtain the existence of such upper bounds for tensors with bounded geometric rank in general.

In sections §6 we shift our study from tripartite tensors to  $n$ -part tensors. Proposition 20 generalizes the alternative definition A. Proposition 21 shows that  $N$ -part tensors with small geometric ranks always correspond to spaces of  $(N-1)$ -part tensors of bounded geometric ranks. Finally we conclude the equivalence between partition rank 1 and geometric rank (1) in Proposition 22.

## Acknowledgements

I appreciate my advisor Joseph Landsberg for massive instructions on my research on geometric ranks, and lots of comments and corrections to this paper. I also thank Giorgio Ottaviani for useful conversations, and Guy Moshkovitz for useful questions.

## 2 Primitive and Compression Tensors

The following lemma gives a direct way to determine whether a tensor is primitive in general.

**Lemma 2.** *Given  $T$  with  $1 < \text{GR}(T) = r < \text{SR}(T)$ , then  $T$  is not primitive if and only if  $\exists i < r$  such that by a permutation in  $A, B$  and  $C$ ,  $\text{codim}A_i^* = r - i$  and  $A_i^*$  has a component of maximal dimension that is contained in a hyperplane of  $A^*$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{\mathbf{a}}$  be a basis of  $A$ , and  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^{\mathbf{a}}$  be the dual basis of  $A^*$ . Write  $A' := \langle a_2, \dots, a_{\mathbf{a}} \rangle$ , so  $A'^* = \langle \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{\mathbf{a}} \rangle$ .

( $\Rightarrow$ )  $T$  is not primitive if and only if we can decompose  $T = X + Y$  with  $\text{GR}(X) = r - 1$  and  $\text{GR}(Y) = 1$ . Since  $\text{GR}(Y) = 1$  if and only if  $\text{SR}(Y) = 1$ , by permuting  $A, B$  and  $C$  assume  $\text{ml}_A(Y) = 1$ , and by changing basis of  $A$  assume  $Y \in \langle a_1 \rangle \otimes B \otimes C$ .

Then  $T = X' + Y'$  where  $X' := T|_{A' \otimes B \otimes C}$  and  $Y' := T|_{\langle a_1 \rangle \otimes B \otimes C}$ . Since  $X' = X|_{A' \otimes B \otimes C}$ ,  $\text{GR}(X') \leq \text{GR}(X) = r - 1$ . By subadditivity of geometric rank and  $\text{SR}(Y') = \text{GR}(Y') = 1$ ,  $\text{GR}(X') = r - 1$ . By (A) there exists  $i \leq r - 1$  such that  $\text{codim}\{\alpha \in A^* \mid \text{rank}X'(\alpha) \leq i\} \leq r - 1 - i$ . Then  $\{\alpha \in A^* \mid \text{rank}X'(\alpha) \leq i\} \cap A'^* \subset A_i^*$  has codimension  $r - i$  in  $A^*$  and is contained in a hyperplane.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume  $\text{codim}A_i^* = r - i$  and  $A_i^*$  has a component  $Z$  of maximal dimension contained in  $A^*$ . Let  $X'$  and  $Y'$  be defined the same as above. By definition  $\{\alpha \in A'^* \mid \text{rank}X'(\alpha) \leq i\} \supset Z$  so has codimension at most  $r - i$  in  $A^*$ , then its codimension is at most  $r - 1 - i$  in  $A'^*$ . Since  $X' \in A' \otimes B \otimes C$ ,  $\text{GR}(X') \leq r - 1$ . By  $T = X' + Y'$  and subadditivity of geometric rank,  $\text{GR}(X') = r - 1$  and  $\text{GR}(Y') = 1$ .  $\square$

For positive integers  $e \leq h \leq l$ , put  $A = \mathbb{C}^{e \times h}$ ,  $B = \mathbb{C}^{h \times l}$  and  $C = \mathbb{C}^{l \times e}$ . Then the **matrix multiplication tensor**  $M_{\langle e, h, l \rangle}$  is defined by  $M_{\langle e, h, l \rangle}(x, y, z) = \text{Tr}(xyz)$  for  $x \in A^*$ ,  $y \in B^*$  and  $z \in C^*$ . With proper choices of bases,  $M_{\langle e, h, l \rangle}$  may be written as the block form:

$$M_{\langle e, h, l \rangle}(A^*) = \begin{pmatrix} D & & & \\ & D & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & D \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $D$  is a  $e \times h$  block consisting of linearly independent entries and there are  $l$  copies of  $D$  in  $M_{\langle e, h, l \rangle}(A^*)$ .

**Corollary 3.** *For positive integers  $e \leq h \leq l$ ,  $M_{\langle e, h, l \rangle}$  is primitive if  $e \geq 2$  and  $e + h \geq l$ , and it is compression otherwise.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 6.1 of [11],  $\text{GR}(M_{(e,h,l)}) = eh$  if  $e + h \leq l$  or  $e = 1$ . Since  $\text{GR}(M_{(e,h,l)}) \leq \text{SR}(M_{(e,h,l)}) \leq \text{ml}_A(M_{(e,h,l)}) = eh$ , we have  $\text{GR}(M_{(e,h,l)}) = \text{SR}(M_{(e,h,l)}) = eh$  and therefore  $M_{(e,h,l)}$  is compression.

Assume  $e \geq 2$  and  $e + h \geq l$ . The component of the maximal dimension  $Z \subset A_i$  is determined by all  $k \times k$  minors of  $D$ , where  $k = \min\{e, \lceil \frac{i+1}{l} \rceil\}$ . By Theorem 9,  $\text{codim} A_i = \text{codim} Z = (e + 1 - k)(h + 1 - k)$ . So (A) achieves minimum only at  $i = \lceil \frac{e+h-l}{2} \rceil l$  and  $\lfloor \frac{e+h-l}{2} \rfloor l$ . Then  $k > 1$  and  $Z$  is not contained in any hyperplane.  $\square$

Although we define the primitive and compression tensors as analogues of primitive and compression spaces of matrices, their relations are subtle.

By definition  $T$  is compression of  $\text{GR}(T) = r$  if at least one of  $T(A^*), T(B^*)$  or  $T(C^*)$  is a compression space of bounded rank  $r$  and none has bounded rank  $r - 1$ . The converse is true only for  $r \leq 2$ , as  $T := \sum_{i=1}^m (a_1 \otimes b_i \otimes c_i + a_i \otimes b_1 \otimes c_i + a_i \otimes b_i \otimes c_1)$  is compression of  $\text{GR}(T) = 3$  but  $T(A^*), T(B^*)$  and  $T(C^*)$  contain elements of full rank.

If  $T$  is primitive of  $\text{GR}(T) = r$  and  $T(A^*)$  has bounded rank  $r$ , then  $T(A^*)$  is primitive of bounded rank  $r$  (after deleting zero rows and columns). Similarly for  $T(B^*)$  and  $T(C^*)$ . However  $T$  could be primitive when  $T(A^*), T(B^*)$  and  $T(C^*)$  do not have bounded rank  $r$ . For example,  $M_{(2)} := M_{(2,2,2)}$  is primitive of  $\text{GR}(M_{(2)}) = 3$  but none of  $T(A^*), T(B^*)$  and  $T(C^*)$  has bounded rank 3.

For  $r \leq 3$ , if  $T(A^*)$  is primitive of bounded rank  $r$ , then  $T$  is primitive. It is not known if this property persists when  $r > 3$ .

**Lemma 4.** *If  $\text{GR}(T) < \text{SR}(T)$ , then there exist a primitive tensor  $T_p$  and a compression tensor  $T_c$ , such that  $T = T_p + T_c$  and  $\text{GR}(T_p) + \text{GR}(T_c) = \text{GR}(T)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $T$  is primitive, set  $T_p = T$  and  $T_c = 0$ .

If  $T$  is not primitive, assume  $\text{GR}(T) = r$ , then we can write  $T = X_1 + Y_1$  such that  $\text{GR}(X_1) = r - 1$  and  $\text{GR}(Y_1) = 1$ . Whenever  $X_i$  is not primitive we can write  $X_i = X_{i+1} + Y_{i+1}$ . By subadditivity of geometric rank, all  $X_i \neq 0$ . So there exists  $n < r$  such that  $X_n$  is primitive, then we obtain  $T = T_p + T_c$  where  $T_p := X_n$  and  $T_c := Y_1 + \dots + Y_n$ . Since  $\text{GR}(T_p) = r - n$  and  $\sum \text{GR}(Y_i) = \sum \text{SR}(Y_i) = n$ . By subadditivity of geometric rank and slice rank,  $\text{GR}(T_c) = \text{SR}(T_c) = r - n$ . Therefore  $T_c$  is compression.  $\square$

**Example 5** (Above decomposition is not unique). Let  $T \in A \otimes B \otimes C = \mathbb{C}^5 \otimes \mathbb{C}^5 \otimes \mathbb{C}^6$  be defined as

$$T := a_1 \otimes (b_2 \otimes c_1 + b_3 \otimes c_2 + b_4 \otimes c_3) + a_2 \otimes (b_1 \otimes c_1 - b_3 \otimes c_4 - b_4 \otimes c_5) \\ + a_3 \otimes (b_1 \otimes c_2 + b_2 \otimes c_4 - b_4 \otimes c_6) + a_4 \otimes (b_1 \otimes c_3 + b_2 \otimes c_5 + b_3 \otimes c_6) + a_5 \otimes b_5 \otimes c_6$$

where  $\{a_i\}, \{b_j\}$  and  $\{c_k\}$  are bases of  $A, B$  and  $C$  respectively. So

$$T(A^*) = \begin{pmatrix} x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ x_1 & 0 & 0 & x_3 & x_4 & 0 \\ 0 & x_1 & 0 & -x_2 & 0 & x_4 \\ 0 & 0 & x_1 & 0 & -x_2 & -x_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $X_1 := T|_{A \otimes B \otimes \langle c_1, \dots, c_5 \rangle}$ ,  $Y_1 := T|_{A \otimes B \otimes \langle c_6 \rangle}$ ,  $X_2 := T|_{A \otimes \langle b_1, \dots, b_4 \rangle \otimes C}$  and  $Y_2 := T|_{A \otimes \langle b_5 \rangle \otimes C}$ . Since  $X_1(A^*)$  consists of the first 5 columns of  $T(A^*)$  and  $X_2(A^*)$  consists of the first 4 rows of  $T(A^*)$ , they are primitive spaces of bounded rank 3 (after deleting the zero columns and rows). So  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are primitive of geometric 3, and  $T = X_1 + Y_1 = X_2 + Y_2$  gives two different decompositions satisfying the conditions in Lemma 4.

By Lemma 4, to classify the set of tensors of geometric rank at most  $r$ , it suffices to find all primitive tensors of geometric rank at most  $r$ . In terms of these notations, the classification of tensors of geometric rank at most 1 and 2 from [9] can be rephrased as:

- There are no primitive tensors of geometric rank 1.
- The only primitive tensor of geometric rank 2 is (up to changes of bases) the skew-symmetric  $3 \times 3 \times 3$  tensor.

### 3 Determinantal Varieties of Bounded Codimensions

Let  $E \subset \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{a}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{b}} =: A \otimes B$  be a linear subspace of dimension  $\mathbf{c}$ . Fix a basis  $\{e_i, 1 \leq i \leq q\}$  of  $E$  and bases of  $A$  and  $B$ , then each  $e_i$  can be written as an  $\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}$  matrix. We represent  $E$  as the matrix corresponding to a general point  $\sum_i x_i e_i$  of  $E$ , i.e.,  $E = (y_j^i)_{1 \leq i \leq \mathbf{a}, 1 \leq j \leq \mathbf{b}}$ , where each  $y_j^i$  is a linear form in the variables  $x_1, \dots, x_{\mathbf{c}}$ . For two subspaces  $F, F' \subset E$ , let  $F + F'$  denote the sum of the two corresponding matrices of linear forms.

Denote the  $(i_1, \dots, i_k) \times (j_1, \dots, j_k)$  minor of  $E$  as  $\Delta_{j_1, \dots, j_k}^{i_1, \dots, i_k}$  and  $\Delta_k := \Delta_{12 \dots k}^{12 \dots k}$ . Unless otherwise stated, the codimension of a subset always refers to the codimension in  $E$  or  $\mathbb{P}E$ .

#### 3.1 Case $\text{codim} E_r = 1$

This subsection studies the case  $\text{codim} E_r = 1$ , i.e. all nonzero  $(r+1) \times (r+1)$  minors of  $E$  has a common polynomial factor of degree at least 1.

Lemma 6 is a more detailed version of Lemma 6.4 of [9], and Lemma 7 generalizes Lemma 6.5 of [9].

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $E \subset \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{a}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{b}}$ ,  $r < \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$  and  $E_r \neq E$ . If there exists a degree  $r+1$  polynomial  $P$  dividing all  $(r+1) \times (r+1)$  minors of  $E$ , then either  $P$  factors into a product of linear forms, or  $E \subset \mathbb{C}^{r+1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{r+1}$ .*

*Proof.* The hypothesis that all  $(r+1) \times (r+1)$  minors of  $E$  are equal up to scale is invariant under changes of bases in  $A$  and  $B$ , so we are allowed to perform invertible row and column operations.

Since  $E_r \neq E$ , there exists a nonzero  $(r+1) \times (r+1)$  minor of  $E$ . By changes of bases we can assume  $\Delta_{r+1} = P$ . We further assume  $\Delta_r, \dots, \Delta_2, y_1^1$  are nonzero.

Write  $E = (y_j^i)_{1 \leq i \leq \mathbf{a}, 1 \leq j \leq \mathbf{b}}$ . Consider the the block consisting of the first  $r+1$  rows and the first

$r + 2$  columns:

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1^1 & \cdots & y_{r+1}^1 & y_{r+2}^1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ y_1^{r+1} & \cdots & y_{r+1}^{r+1} & y_{r+2}^{r+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $I := (1, 2, \dots, r + 1)$ . For  $j \leq r + 1$ , expand the minor consisting all columns except the  $j$ -th along the last column, then we have

$$c_j P = \Delta_{I \setminus j, r+2}^I = \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} (-1)^{i+(r+2)-1} y_{r+2}^i \Delta_{I \setminus j}^{I \setminus i}$$

for some  $c_j \in \mathbb{C}$ . Thus,

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_{r+1} \end{pmatrix} P = (-1)^{r+1} \left( (-1)^i \Delta_{I \setminus j}^{I \setminus i} \right)_{j,i=1}^{r+1} \begin{pmatrix} y_{r+2}^1 \\ \vdots \\ y_{r+2}^{r+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For every  $j \leq r + 1$ , multiply  $(-1)^j$  to the  $j$ -th row,

$$(-1)^{r+1} \begin{pmatrix} (-1)^1 c_1 \\ \vdots \\ (-1)^{r+1} c_{r+1} \end{pmatrix} P = \left( (-1)^{i+j} \Delta_{I \setminus j}^{I \setminus i} \right)_{j,i=1}^{r+1} \begin{pmatrix} y_{r+2}^1 \\ \vdots \\ y_{r+2}^{r+1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{B})$$

Now  $((-1)^{i+j} \Delta_{I \setminus j}^{I \setminus i})_{j,i=1}^{r+1}$  is the cofactor matrix of the transpose of  $(y_j^i)_{i,j=1}^{r+1}$ , whose determinant is  $\Delta_{r+1} = P$  by assumption. So

$$(-1)^{r+1} \begin{pmatrix} y_1^1 & \cdots & y_{r+1}^1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ y_1^{r+1} & \cdots & y_{r+1}^{r+1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -c_1 \\ \vdots \\ (-1)^{r+1} c_{r+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_{r+2}^1 \\ \vdots \\ y_{r+2}^{r+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore the column vector  $(y_{r+2}^1, \dots, y_{r+2}^{r+1})^t$  is a linear combination of all column vectors appearing in the upper left  $(r + 1) \times (r + 1)$  block of  $E$ , i.e.  $(y_j^1, \dots, y_j^{r+1})^t, 1 \leq j \leq r + 1$ . By adding linear combinations of the first  $r + 1$  columns to the  $(r + 2)$ -th, we may make the first  $r + 1$  entries of the  $(r + 2)$ -th column zero. Similarly, we may make the all last  $\mathbf{b} - r - 1$  entries in the first  $r + 1$  rows zero. By the same argument, we may do the same for the first  $r + 1$  columns. Then the matrix  $E$  becomes:

$$E' = \begin{pmatrix} y_1^1 & \cdots & y_{r+1}^1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ y_1^{r+1} & \cdots & y_{r+1}^{r+1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \tilde{y}_{r+2}^{r+2} & \cdots & \tilde{y}_{\mathbf{b}}^{r+2} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \tilde{y}_{r+2}^{\mathbf{a}} & \cdots & \tilde{y}_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{a}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{C})$$

If  $\tilde{y}_{r+1+j}^{r+1+i} = 0, \forall i, j > 0$ , let  $A'$  be the space corresponding to the first  $r + 1$  rows of  $E'$  and  $B$  the first  $r + 1$  columns, then  $E \subset A' \otimes B' = \mathbb{C}^{r+1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{r+1}$ .

If there exists a nonzero  $\tilde{y}_{r+1+j}^{r+1+i}$ , by changes of bases assume it is  $\tilde{y}_{r+2}^{r+2}$ . For  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq r+1$ ,  $1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_r \leq r+1$ , the  $(r+1) \times (r+1)$  minor  $\Delta_{j_1, \dots, j_r, r+2}^{i_1, \dots, i_r, r+2} = \Delta_{j_1, \dots, j_r}^{i_1, \dots, i_r} \tilde{y}_{r+2}^{r+2}$  is a multiple of  $\Delta_{r+1}$ . Hence all  $r \times r$  minors of the upper left  $(r+1) \times (r+1)$  block equal up to scale.

By assumption  $\Delta_r \neq 0$ . Adding a linear combination of the first  $r$  columns to the  $(r+1)$ -th column and a linear combination of the first  $r$  rows to the  $(r+1)$ -th row, we can set all entries in  $(r+1)$ -th column and row zero except the  $(r+1, r+1)$ -th entry. Since  $\Delta_{r+1} \neq 0$ , the  $(r+1, r+1)$ -th entry is nonzero, written as  $\tilde{y}_{r+1}^{r+1}$ . Then  $E'$  becomes:

$$E'' = \begin{pmatrix} y_1^1 & \dots & y_r^1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & 0 & \vdots & & \vdots \\ y_1^r & \dots & y_r^r & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \tilde{y}_{r+1}^{r+1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \tilde{y}_{r+2}^{r+2} & \dots & \tilde{y}_{\mathbf{b}}^{r+2} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & 0 & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \tilde{y}_{r+2}^{\mathbf{a}} & \dots & \tilde{y}_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{a}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Repeat the above process on the upper left  $k \times k$  blocks consecutively for  $k = r-1, r-2, \dots, 2$ , then  $E''$  becomes:

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1^1 & & & & & & \\ & \tilde{y}_2^2 & & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & & \\ & & & \tilde{y}_{r+1}^{r+1} & & & \\ & & & & \tilde{y}_{r+2}^{r+2} & \dots & \tilde{y}_{\mathbf{b}}^{r+2} \\ & & & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & & & & \tilde{y}_{r+2}^{\mathbf{a}} & \dots & \tilde{y}_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{a}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore  $\Delta_{r+1} = y_1^1 \tilde{y}_2^2 \dots \tilde{y}_{r+1}^{r+1}$  which factors into a product of linear forms.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $E \subset \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{a}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{b}}$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq \min\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\} - 2$  and  $E \neq E_{r+1}$ . If there exists a polynomial  $P$  of degree  $k$  dividing all  $(r+1) \times (r+1)$  minors, then:*

- (1) *if  $k > r/2 + 1$  and for any nonzero  $(r+1) \times (r+1)$  minor  $\Delta$ ,  $P$  and  $\Delta/P$  are coprime, then  $P$  is a product of linear forms;*
- (2) *if  $r$  is even,  $k = r/2 + 1$  and for any nonzero  $(r+1) \times (r+1)$  minor  $\Delta$ ,  $P$  and  $\Delta/P$  are coprime, then either  $P$  is a product of linear forms or  $E \subset \mathbb{C}^{r+2} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{r+2}$ ;*
- (3) *if  $r \geq 3$  is odd,  $k = (r+1)/2$  and  $P$  is irreducible, then either  $E \subset \mathbb{C}^{r+2} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{b}}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{a}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{r+2}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}^{r+3} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{r+3}$ , or up to changes of bases  $E$  has a nonsingular  $(r+1) \times (r+1)$  block such that all  $r \times r$  minors of it are multiples of  $P$ .*

*Proof.* (1) and (2): Proof by induction on  $r$ : assume (1) and (2) holds if replacing  $r$  with  $r-1, r-2, \dots, 1$ . The base case  $r = 1$  is trivial. Assume  $r > 1$ .

Given any nonzero  $(r+2) \times (r+2)$  minor of  $E$ , by changes of bases we can assume it is  $\Delta_{r+2}$ , and we further assume  $\Delta_{r+1} \neq 0$ .



Write  $\Delta_{r+1} =: PQ$  and for  $j \leq r+1$ ,  $\Delta_{I \setminus j, r+2}^I =: PQ_j$ , where each of the polynomials  $Q$  and  $Q_j$ 's either is zero or has degree  $r+1-k$ . Then similar to Lemma B, we have

$$(-1)^{r+1} \begin{pmatrix} -Q_1 \\ \vdots \\ (-1)^{r+1}Q_{r+1} \end{pmatrix} P = \left( (-1)^{i+j} \Delta_{I \setminus j}^{I \setminus i} \right)_{j,i=1}^{r+1} \begin{pmatrix} y_{r+2}^1 \\ \vdots \\ y_{r+2}^{r+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using the cofactor matrix, we obtain:

$$\frac{(-1)^{r+1}}{Q} \begin{pmatrix} y_1^1 & \cdots & y_{r+1}^1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ y_1^{r+1} & \cdots & y_{r+1}^{r+1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -Q_1 \\ \vdots \\ (-1)^{r+1}Q_{r+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_{r+2}^1 \\ \vdots \\ y_{r+2}^{r+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By adding a rational combination (where the coefficients are  $(-1)^j Q_j/Q$ 's) of the first  $r+1$  columns to the  $(r+2)$ -th column, we can put the first  $r+1$  entries of the  $(r+2)$ -th column zero. By the same argument, put the first  $r+1$  entries of the last  $\mathbf{b} - r - 1$  columns zero. And we can do the similar rational row operations to eliminate first  $r+1$  entries of the last  $\mathbf{a} - r - 1$  rows. Then  $E$  becomes  $E'$  of the form (C).

Since the  $(1, \dots, r+1, r+2) \times (1, \dots, r+1, r+2)$  minor is not changed by adding rational multiples of the first  $r+1$  rows and columns to the  $(r+2)$ -th row and  $(r+2)$ -th column respectively,  $\tilde{y}_{r+2}^{r+2} = \frac{\Delta_{r+2}}{\Delta_{r+1}}$ . On the other hand,  $\tilde{y}_{r+2}^{r+2}$  has the form  $T/Q$  for some polynomial  $T$  of degree  $k+1$  if not zero, because all coefficients appearing in the row and column operations above are  $(-1)^j Q_j/Q$ 's. Thus,

$$\frac{T}{Q} = \tilde{y}_{r+2}^{r+2} = \frac{\Delta_{r+2}}{\Delta_{r+1}} = \frac{\Delta_{r+2}}{PQ} = \frac{(\Delta_{r+2}/P)}{Q} \quad (\text{D})$$

and  $T = \Delta_{r+2}/P$ .

Since  $P$  and  $Q$  are coprime, the fact  $P$  divides all  $(r+1) \times (r+1)$  minors is preserved after performing the above rational row and column operations.

If there exists an  $r \times r$  minor of the upper left  $(r+1) \times (r+1)$  block that is not a multiple of  $P$ , by changes of bases assume this minor is  $\Delta_r$ .  $P$  divides the minor  $\Delta_{1 \dots r, r+2}^{1 \dots r, r+2} = \tilde{y}_{r+2}^{r+2} \Delta_r = T \Delta_r / Q$ , so  $T$  is a multiple of  $P$ . Hence  $P^2$  divides  $\Delta_{r+2} = TP$ . If  $k > r/2 + 1$ ,  $P^2$  has degree  $> r+2$ , then we must have  $\Delta_{r+2} = 0$ , contradicting to the assumption  $\Delta_{r+2} \neq 0$ . If  $r$  is even and  $k = r/2 + 1$ ,  $\Delta_{r+2}$  is a multiple of  $P^2$ . By the arbitrariness of the choice of the nonzero  $(r+2) \times (r+2)$  minor of  $E$ , all  $(r+2) \times (r+2)$  minors equal to  $P^2$  up to scale. By Lemma 6,  $P$  factors completely or  $E \subset \mathbb{C}^{r+2} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{r+2}$ .

If all  $r \times r$  minors of the upper left  $(r+1) \times (r+1)$  block are multiples of  $P$ . By induction, apply (1) by replacing  $r$  with  $r-1$  so  $P$  factors into a product of linear forms.

(3): Similar to above let  $\Delta_{r+1} =: PQ$  and  $\Delta_{r+2}$  are nonzero. Since  $P$  is irreducible of degree  $k = (r+1)/2$ , either  $P$  and  $Q$  are coprime, or  $Q$  equals to  $P$  up to scale. In the latter case, we can choose another nonzero  $(r+1) \times (r+1)$  minor from the top left  $(r+2) \times (r+2)$  block such that  $P$  and  $Q$  are coprime, unless all  $(r+1) \times (r+1)$  minors in the top left  $(r+2) \times (r+2)$  block are multiples of  $P^2$ .

If all  $(r+1) \times (r+1)$  minors in the top left  $(r+2) \times (r+2)$  block are multiples of  $P^2$ , applying Lemma 6 to the top left  $(r+2) \times (r+2)$  block we can put  $E$  as

$$E' = \begin{pmatrix} y_1^1 & \cdots & y_{r+1}^1 & 0 & y_{r+3}^1 & \cdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\ y_1^{r+1} & \cdots & y_{r+1}^{r+1} & 0 & y_{r+3}^{r+1} & \cdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & y_{r+2}^{r+2} & y_{r+3}^{r+2} & \cdots \\ y_1^{r+3} & \cdots & y_{r+1}^{r+3} & y_{r+2}^{r+3} & y_{r+3}^{r+3} & \cdots \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider the  $(r+1) \times (r+1)$  minors involving  $y_{r+2}^{r+2}$  and  $r \times r$  minors of the upper left  $(r+1) \times (r+1)$  block:  $P$  dividing all  $(r+1) \times (r+1)$  minors implies  $P$  dividing all  $r \times r$  minors from the first  $r+1$  rows. Apply (2) by replacing  $r$  with  $r-1$  to the submatrix consisting of the first  $r+1$  rows. Since  $P$  is irreducible of degree  $k > 1$ , this submatrix is in some  $\mathbb{C}^{r+1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{r+1}$ , we can put  $y_j^i$  zero for  $i \leq r+1$  and  $j \geq r+3$  by changing basis of  $A$ . For the same reason all  $y_i^j$  for  $i \leq r+1$  and  $j \geq r+3$  can be put zero too. Then  $E'$  becomes  $E'' = \text{diag}(B_1, B_2)$  where  $B_1$  is a  $(r+1) \times (r+1)$  block. If  $B_2$  has a nonzero  $2 \times 2$  minor, consider the  $(r+1) \times (r+1)$  minors consisting of it and any  $(r-1) \times (r-1)$  minor of  $B_1$ , applying (1) replacing  $r$  with  $r-2$  we see  $P$  factors into linear forms which contradicts the irreducibility. Therefore  $B_2$  has bounded rank 1, then  $E \subset \mathbb{C}^{r+2} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{b}}$  or  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{a}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{r+2}$ .

Now assume  $P$  and  $Q$  are coprime. Similar to the proof above, if there exists an  $r \times r$  minor of the upper left  $(r+1) \times (r+1)$  block that is not a multiple of  $P$ ,  $P^2$  divides  $\Delta_{r+2}$ . By the arbitrariness of choice of nonzero  $(r+2) \times (r+2)$  minors,  $P^2$  divides all  $(r+2) \times (r+2)$  minors. As  $k = (r+1)/2$  and  $P$  is irreducible,  $P^2$  divides all  $(r+2) \times (r+2)$  minors and we can apply (1) by replacing  $r$  with  $r+1$ , then we conclude  $E \subset \mathbb{C}^{r+3} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{r+3}$ .

Otherwise all  $r \times r$  minors of the upper left  $(r+1) \times (r+1)$  block are multiples of  $P$ . □

**Corollary 8.** *Let  $E \subset \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{a}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{b}}$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq \min\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\} - 2$ , and  $\text{codim} E_r = 1$  and  $E \neq E_{r+1}$ . Then:*

1.  $E_r$  does not contain any irreducible hypersurface of degree  $k > r/2 + 1$ ;
2. if  $r$  is even and  $E_r$  contains an irreducible hypersurface of degree  $r/2 + 1$ , then  $E \subset \mathbb{C}^{r+2} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{r+2}$ .

### 3.2 Case $\text{codim} E_1 = n$

Let  $E^\perp := \{f \in A^* \otimes B^* \mid f(E) = 0\}$ . Define the **index of degeneracy** of  $E$  to be one plus the maximum dimension of a linear space contained in  $\mathbb{P}E^\perp \cap \text{Seg}(\mathbb{P}A^* \times \mathbb{P}B^*)$ , denoted as  $\kappa$ . Equivalently,  $\kappa$  is the largest number of entries in the same row or column of  $E$  that can be simultaneously put zero by changing bases of  $A$  and  $B$ .

The subspace  $E$  is called 1-generic if  $\kappa = 0$  by Eisenbud [7]. To differentiate the Eisenbud's 1-generic for spaces of matrices with the definition of 1-generic of tensor notation, we will call Eisenbud's as **E1-generic**. We list two results of E1-generic spaces of our interest below.

**Theorem 9** ([7]). *Let  $m = \min\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}$ . If  $E \subset A \otimes B$  is  $E_1$ -generic, then:*

1. for  $k \leq m - 1$ ,  $\text{codim}E_k \geq \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} - 2k - 1$ ;
2. if  $F \subset E$  is a subspace with  $\text{codim}F \leq m - 1$ , then  $\text{codim}_F F_{m-1} = (\mathbf{a} - m + 1)(\mathbf{b} - m + 1)$ .

For generic determinantal varieties, i.e. when  $E = A \otimes B$ , one expects  $E_k$  has codimension  $(\mathbf{a} - k)(\mathbf{b} - k)$ .  $E_1$ -generic does not mean generic but implies the genericity to some extent –  $E_{m-1}$  has the expected codimension, and the codimension of  $E_k$  has a lower bound  $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} - 2k - 1$ .

**Proposition 10.** *Let  $n := \text{codim}E_1$ , then there exist  $\exists 0 \leq j \leq n$  and a linear subspace  $F \subset E$  of codimension  $j$ , such that either  $F \subset \mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^l$  for some  $k + l \leq n + 3 - j$  and  $k, l \geq 2$ , or  $j = n$  and  $F$  has bounded rank 1.*

*Proof.* First assume all nonzero  $2 \times 2$  minor of  $E$  are irreducible. So if there is an entry  $y_j^i = 0$ , then either all entries in the  $i$ -th row or all entries in the  $j$ -th column are zero. By changes of bases in  $A$  and  $B$ , there exist integers  $k, l \geq 2$ , such that  $y_j^i \neq 0$  if and only if  $i \leq k, j \leq l$ .

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} y_1^1 & \cdots & y_l^1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ y_1^k & \cdots & y_l^k & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the upper left  $k \times l$  block of  $E$  is 1-generic. By Theorem 9 if  $k, l \geq 2$ ,  $\text{codim}E_1 \geq k + l - 3$ , so  $k + l \leq n + 3$ .

If there is a  $2 \times 2$  minor of  $M$  that factors into the product of two linear forms  $l_1, l_2$ , write  $F := \{l_1 = 0\}$  and  $F' := \{l_2 = 0\}$ , then  $E_1 = F_1 \cup F'_1$ . At least one of the two components has codimension  $n$  in  $E$ . Say it is  $F_1$ , then  $\text{codim}_F F_1 \leq n - 1$ .

Together with the irreducible case, we conclude that at least one of the following holds:

1. there exists a hyperplane  $F \subset E$  such that  $\text{codim}_F F_1 = n - 1$ ;
2.  $E \subset \mathbb{C}^k \otimes \mathbb{C}^l$  such that  $k + l \leq n + 3$  and  $k, l \geq 2$ .

Using induction on  $\dim E$ , we conclude. □

### 3.3 Case $\text{codim}E_2 = 1$

If  $\text{codim}E_2 = 1$ , then there must exist an irreducible polynomial  $P$  of degree  $k \leq 3$  dividing all  $3 \times 3$  minors of  $E$ . For  $k = 3$ , by Lemma 6  $E \subset \mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3$ . For  $k = 2$ , by Lemma 8  $E \subset \mathbb{C}^4 \otimes \mathbb{C}^4$ . For  $k = 1$ , then  $E$  contains a hyperplane  $\{P = 0\}$  which has bounded rank 2.

The following lemma finds all spaces of the  $k = 2$  case up to changes of bases in  $E, A$  and  $B$ .

**Proposition 11.**  $E \subset A \otimes B$ . If there exists an irreducible polynomial  $S$  of degree 2 dividing all  $3 \times 3$  minors of  $E$ , then at least one of the following holds:

1.  $E$  has bounded rank 3;
2. up to changes of bases in  $E, A$  and  $B$ ,  $E$  is either skew-symmetric, or has the form  $\text{diag}(X, X)$  where

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

depending on the rank of  $S$ .

We defer the proof to §7.

**Remark 12.** In light of Proposition 1 in [5] and Corollary 6.8 in [1], one might hope to weaken the hypothesis to the zero set of  $\det(E) = 0$  is a quadric hypersurface. However Proposition 1 is incorrect, as the following counter-example shows: let  $E \subset \mathbb{C}^4 \otimes \mathbb{C}^4$  be defined as

$$E := \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & 0 & 0 \\ x_3 & x_4 & 0 & x_1 \\ 0 & 0 & x_1 & x_2 \\ 0 & 0 & x_3 & x_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $\det(E) = (x_1x_4 - x_2x_3)^2$  but the line bundle morphism  $E : \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(-1)^4 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}^4$  fails to have constant rank on  $\{x_1x_4 - x_2x_3 = 0\}$ .

### 3.4 Case $\text{codim}E_r \leq n$

A subspace  $E \subset A \otimes B$  is said to be **concise** if the associated tensor  $T \in E^* \otimes A \otimes B$  is concise. Equivalently, there does not exist changes of bases in  $A$  or  $B$  such that any column or row of  $E$  consists of only zero entries. This section studies upper bounds of  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$  for concise spaces  $E$  satisfying  $\text{codim}E_r \leq n$ .

**Proposition 13.**  $\forall r, n \geq 1, \exists M_1, M_2$ , such that if there exists a concise space  $E \subset A \otimes B := \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{a}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{b}}$  with  $\text{codim}E_r \leq n$ , then at least one of the following holds:

- (1)  $\mathbf{a}$  or  $\mathbf{b} \leq M_1$ ;
- (2)  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \leq M_2$ ;
- (3)  $\exists$  a hyperplane  $F \subset E$  such that  $\text{codim}_F F_r \leq n - 1$ ;
- (4)  $\exists 1 \leq i \leq r$  such that  $E = H + H'$  where  $\text{codim}H'_{r-i} \leq n$  and  $H' \subset \mathbb{C}^i \otimes B$  or  $A \otimes \mathbb{C}^i$

*Proof.* Proof by induction on  $r$ . For  $r = 1$ , by Proposition 10 we can set  $M_1 = 1$  and  $M_2 = n + 1$ . For  $r \geq 2$  we divide the problem into different cases by the value of  $\kappa$ .

1. Case  $\kappa = 0$ .

$\kappa = 0$  if and only if  $E$  is E1-generic. Since  $E_r \neq E$ ,  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \geq r + 1$ . By Theorem 9,  $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} \leq n + 2r + 1$ .

2. Case  $\kappa = 1$ .

We can put  $y_1^1 = 0$  by changing bases. Then the  $(\mathbf{a} - 1) \times (\mathbf{b} - 1)$  submatrix consisting of entries in the last  $\mathbf{a} - 1$  rows and the last  $\mathbf{b} - 1$  columns is either 1-generic, or has  $\kappa = 1$  so we can put  $y_2^2 = 0$ . Repeat this procedure until the bottom right  $(\mathbf{a} - k) \times (\mathbf{b} - k)$  submatrix is 1-generic. Then  $E = \begin{pmatrix} C_{k \times k} & * \\ * & D_{s \times t} \end{pmatrix}$  where  $D$  is 1-generic,  $s = \mathbf{a} - k, t = \mathbf{b} - k$  and

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & * & \cdots & * & * \\ * & 0 & * & \cdots & * & * \\ * & * & 0 & \cdots & * & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ * & * & * & \cdots & 0 & * \\ * & * & * & \cdots & * & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If  $k \geq r + 1$ , consider the submatrix  $C'$  consisting entries in the first  $r + 1$  rows and the last  $k - 1$  columns of  $C$ :

$$C'_{(r+1) \times (k-1)} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & \cdots & * & * \\ 0 & * & \cdots & * & * \\ * & 0 & \cdots & * & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ * & * & \cdots & * & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

By the definition of  $\kappa$ , all nonzero entries in the same row or column of  $C$  are linearly independent. Therefore  $C'$  is a codimension  $r - 1$  subspace of some 1-generic space in  $\mathbb{C}^{k-1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{r+1}$ . By 2 of Theorem 9, all  $(r + 1) \times (r + 1)$  minors of  $C'$  determines a subvariety of codimension  $\geq k - r - 1$ , so  $k \leq n + r + 1$ .

Now to find upper bounds for  $s$  and  $t$ . If  $s = r$  and  $t \geq r$ , the submatrix consisting of entries in the last  $s + 1$  rows and the last  $t + 1$  columns is a codimension 1 subspace of a 1-generic space in  $\mathbb{C}^{s+1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{t+1}$ . So by 2 of Theorem 9 again  $t \leq n + r - 1$ . Similarly if  $t = r$  then  $s \leq n + r - 1$ .

If  $s, t \geq r + 1$ , the submatrix consisting of entries in the last  $s$  rows and the last  $t + 1$  columns is 1-generic. By 1 of Theorem 9,  $n \geq 2$  and  $s + t \leq n + 2r$ .

To put everything together, either  $\mathbf{a} \leq n + 2r, \mathbf{b} \leq n + 2r$  or  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \leq 2n + 2r$ .

3. Case  $2 \leq \kappa \leq \max\{M_1(r - 1, n), M_2(r - 1, n)\}$ .

Claim: there exist  $M_i(r, n, g), i = 1, 2$  such that if  $E$  has  $\kappa = g$  and satisfies the hypothesis of the proposition, then either  $\mathbf{a}$  or  $\mathbf{b} \leq M_1(r, n, g)$ , or  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \leq M_2(r, n, g)$ , or the condition (3) holds.

We will find  $M_i = M_i(r, n, g)$  by induction on  $g$ . By the last case, we can set  $M_1(r, n, 1) = n + 2r$  and  $M_2(r, n, 1) = 2n + 2r$ . Assume claim is true for spaces of  $\kappa < g$ .

Write  $E = \begin{pmatrix} C_{k \times k} & * \\ * & D_{s \times t} \end{pmatrix}$  such that  $C$  has zeros on the diagonal and  $D$  is 1-generic. Let  $M(r, n, g - 1) := \max\{M_1(r, n, g - 1), M_2(r, n, g - 1)\}$ . If  $k > 2M(r, n, g - 1) + 1$ , then the submatrix  $C'$  consisting

of entries in the first  $M(r, n, g - 1) + 1$  rows and the last  $M(r, n, g - 1) + 1$  columns of  $C$  is a space of  $\kappa = g - 1$ . However by the definitions of  $M_i(r, n, g - 1)$ 's, all  $(r + 1) \times (r + 1)$  minors of  $C'$  determine of codimension  $> n$  subset. Therefore  $k \leq 2M(r, n, g - 1) + 1$ .

Now  $s$  and  $t$  has the same upper bound as the last case. So we can set  $M_1(r, n, g) := 2M(r, n, g - 1) + r$  and  $M_1(r, n, g) := 2M(r, n, g - 1) + r + n$  which proves the claim.

4. Case  $\kappa \geq \max\{M_1(r - 1, n), M_2(r - 1, n)\} + 1$ .

Choose bases and possibly take transpose so that all entries in the top left  $\kappa \times \kappa'$  block of  $E$  are zero for some  $1 \leq \kappa' \leq \kappa$ . So

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} O_{\kappa \times \kappa'} & H \\ G & D_{s \times t} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{E})$$

We take the largest  $\kappa'$  so that the submatrix  $H$  is concise in  $\mathbb{C}^\kappa \otimes \mathbb{C}^t$ . By the definition of  $\kappa$ ,  $G$  is 1-generic.

Consider the  $(r + 1) \times (r + 1)$  minors consisting of any single entry of  $G$  and any  $r \times r$  minor of  $H$ . We must have  $\text{codim}_H H_{r-1} \leq n$  unless condition (3) holds. Since  $\kappa \geq \max\{M_1(r - 1, n), M_2(r - 1, n)\} + 1$ ,  $t \leq M_1(r - 1, n)$ .

If  $\kappa' \leq r$ , then  $\mathbf{b} \leq M_1(r - 1, n) + r$ .

If  $\kappa' \geq r + 1 > s$ , consider the  $(r + 1) \times (r + 1)$  minors that are a product of an  $s \times s$  minor of  $G$  and a  $(r + 1 - s) \times (r + 1 - s)$  minor of  $H$ . Then either  $\text{codim}_G G_{s-1} \leq n$  or  $\text{codim}_H H_{r-s} \leq n$ . The latter inequality implies condition (4) holds. The former inequality implies  $\kappa' \leq n + s - 1 \leq n + r - 1$ , then  $\mathbf{b} \leq n + r - 1 + M_1(r - 1, n)$ .

If  $\kappa', s \geq r + 1$ , then  $\text{codim}_G G_r \leq n$ . By Theorem 9  $s + \kappa' \leq n + 2r + 1$ . So  $\mathbf{b} \leq n + r + M_1(r - 1, n)$ .

Since  $M_i(r, n, g + 1) \geq M_i(r, n, g)$  for  $g \geq 0$ ,  $M_i(r, n, g)$  takes the maximum at  $g = g' := \max\{M_1(r - 1, n), M_2(r - 1, n)\}$ . So we can put  $M_1(n, r) = \max\{M_1(r, n, g'), n + r + M_1(r - 1, n)\}$  and  $M_2 = M_1(r, n, g')$  which proves the proposition.  $\square$

**Corollary 14.** *Let  $E \subset A \otimes B$  be concise and satisfy  $\text{codim} E_2 = 2$ . Then at least one of the following holds:*

1.  $\mathbf{a}$  or  $\mathbf{b} \leq 6$ ;
2.  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \leq 8$ ;
3.  $\exists$  a hyperplane  $F \subset E$  such that  $\text{codim}_F F_2 \leq 1$ ;
4.  $E$  has bounded rank 2.

*Proof.* For  $\kappa = 0$  or  $1$ , by the proof of Proposition 13 either  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \leq 6$ , or  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \leq 8$ .

For  $\kappa = 2$  or  $3$ , put  $E$  into the form E. If the condition (3) does not hold,  $G$  and  $H$  are both 1-generic. Then by Theorem 9,  $\mathbf{a}$  or  $\mathbf{b} \leq 6$ .

For  $\kappa \geq 4$ ,  $H$  must have bounded rank 1 and  $t = 1$ . If  $\kappa \leq 3$ , then  $\mathbf{b} \leq 5$ . If  $\kappa \geq 4$ ,  $G$  must have bounded rank 1 and  $s = 1$ , then  $E$  has bounded rank 2.  $\square$

## 4 Geometric Rank 3

This section studies the structure of the set of tensors with geometric rank at most 3.

**Theorem 15.** *The primitive tensors of geometric rank 3 are (up to changes of bases and permutations of  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $C$ ) either  $M_{\langle 2 \rangle}$  or the tensors such that  $T(A^*)$  is  $4 \times 4$  skew-symmetric of dimension 4, 5 or 6.*

*In other words,  $\text{GR}(T) \leq 3$  if and only if one of the following conditions holds:*

1.  $T(A^*)$ ,  $T(B^*)$  or  $T(C^*)$  is of bounded rank 3, or
2.  $\text{SR}(T) \leq 3$ , or
3. up to changes of bases  $T = M_{\langle 2 \rangle}$ .

*Proof.* By (A),  $\text{GR}(T) \leq 3$  if and only if at least one of the following three cases holds:

- (i)  $\text{codim}A_3^* = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $\text{codim}A_2^* \leq 1$ ;
- (iii)  $\text{codim}A_1^* \leq 2$ .

Case (i):  $\text{codim}A_3^* = 0 \iff T(A^*)$  has bounded rank 3.

Case (ii): If  $\text{codim}A_2^* = 0$ , then  $\text{GR}(T) = 2$ , so  $T(A^*)$ ,  $T(B^*)$  or  $T(C^*)$  is of bounded rank 2.

When  $\text{codim}A_2^* = 1$ , according to the discussion in §3.3 and Proposition 11, at least one of the following holds:

1.  $T = T' + T''$  where  $T'(A^*)$  is a space of bounded rank 2 and  $\text{ml}_A(T'') = 1$ , so  $T$  is not primitive.
2.  $T(A^*)$ ,  $T(B^*)$  or  $T(C^*)$  has bounded rank 3;
3. up to changes of bases  $T = M_{\langle 2 \rangle}$ .

By classification of  $\mathcal{GR}_2$ , any non-primitive tensor of  $\text{GR} = 3$  is either compression or at least one of  $T(A^*)$ ,  $T(B^*)$  and  $T(C^*)$  has bounded rank 3.

Case (iii): By the discussion in §3.2, if there is a nonzero  $2 \times 2$  minor that is a product of 2 linear forms,  $T$  is not primitive. If all nonzero  $2 \times 2$  minors are irreducible,  $T(A^*) \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3$  or  $\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ , so has bounded rank 2.

By classification of primitive spaces of bounded rank 3 [8], if  $T(A^*)$  is primitive spaces of bounded rank 3, then either  $T(B^*)$  or  $T(C^*)$  is  $4 \times 4$  skew-symmetric.  $\square$

By classifications of  $\mathcal{GR}_r$  for  $r = 1, 2$  and 3, we summarize the following relations between geometric rank and slice rank:

**Corollary 16.** 1.  $\text{GR}(T) = 1 \iff \text{SR}(T) = 1$ .





classification of such  $4 \times 4$  matrix. Since the determinant does not vanish, the submatrix cannot have bounded rank 3, so the submatrix is either skew-symmetric or has the form  $\text{diag}(X, X)$ .

(2.2.i) Case  $\text{diag}(X, X)$ : write  $T(A^*)$  as the block form:

$$T(A^*) = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & 0 & E_1 \\ 0 & X_2 & E_2 \\ D_1 & D_2 & F \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $X_1 = X_2$  having determinant  $S$ , and  $E_i$  and  $F_j$  are  $2 \times (m-4)$  and  $(m-4) \times 2$  blocks.

For  $1 \leq i \leq 2, 3 \leq k \leq 4, 5 \leq j, l \leq m$ , the minor  $\Delta_{12kl}^{i34j} = \Delta_{12}^{ij} \Delta_{kl}^{34}$  is divisible by the irreducible quadratic polynomial  $S$ . Therefore either  $S | \Delta_{12}^{ij}$  or  $S | \Delta_{kl}^{34}$ . By Lemma 6, either  $D_1$  or  $E_2$  can be put 0 by adding first 2 rows or columns to the rest. By the same argument, either  $D_2$  or  $E_1$  can be put 0.

If  $D_1 = D_2 = 0$  or  $E_1 = E_2 = 0$ ,  $S$  divides  $\Delta_{13ij} = (y_1^1)^2 \Delta_{kl}^{ij}$  for  $i, j, k, l \geq 5$ . So  $S$  divides all  $2 \times 2$  minors of  $F$ . By Lemma 6 either  $F \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$  or  $F$  has bounded rank 1. Therefore  $\text{ml}_B(T)$  or  $\text{ml}_C(T) \leq 6$  and  $T$  is not concise.

If  $D_1 = E_1 = 0$  or  $D_2 = E_2 = 0$ , WLOG assume  $D_1 = E_1 = 0$ . Consider the minors  $\Delta_{1lst}^{1ijk} = y_1^1 \Delta_{lst}^{ijk}$  for  $i, j, k, l, s, t \geq 3$ . So  $S$  divides all  $3 \times 3$  minors of  $G := \begin{pmatrix} X_2 & E_2 \\ D_2 & F \end{pmatrix}$ . By Lemma 11 either  $G \subset \mathbb{C}^4 \otimes \mathbb{C}^4$  or  $G$  has bounded rank 2. Therefore  $\text{ml}_B(T)$  or  $\text{ml}_C(T) \leq 6$  and  $T$  is not concise.

(2.2.ii) Case skew-symmetric: permute the first 4 rows and columns to put  $T(A^*)$  into the following form

$$T(A^*) = \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc|c} x_1 & 0 & a & d & E_1 \\ 0 & x_1 & c & b & \\ \hline b & -d & e & 0 & E_2 \\ -c & a & 0 & e & \\ \hline D_1 & & D_2 & & F \end{array} \right).$$

Adding the first two rows and columns to the rest, so that  $y_i^1, y_i^2, y_1^i, y_2^i$  do not contain  $x_1$  in their expression, for all  $i, j$ .  $S = x_1 e - ab + cd$  divides all  $4 \times 4$  minors of  $T(A^*)$ . Restricting to the subspace  $\{x_1 = 0\}$ , then  $S' := -ab + cd$  divides all  $4 \times 4$  minors of  $T(A^*)|_{x_1=0}$ .

If  $S'$  is irreducible, for  $3 \leq i, k \leq 4$  and  $j, l \geq 5$ , consider the minors  $\Delta_{12kl}^{12ij} = \Delta_{kl}^{12} \Delta_{12}^{ij}$  of  $T(A^*)|_{x_1=0}$ . Similar to case (i), either  $D_1|_{x_1=0}$  or  $E_1|_{x_1=0}$  can be put 0. Without loss of generality assume  $D_1|_{x_1=0}$ .

Now working on  $T(A^*)$ , entries in  $D_1$  are multiples of  $x_1$ . Then by adding multiples of first two rows to the last  $m-4$  rows we can put  $D_1 = 0$ .  $S$  dividing  $\Delta_{12kl}^{12ij} = (x_1)^2 \Delta_{kl}^{ij}$  for  $i, j \geq 5, k, l \geq 3$  implies it divides all  $2 \times 2$  minors of the  $(m-4) \times (m-2)$  block  $(D_2 F)$ . So either  $(D_2 F)$  has bounded rank 2 or  $(D_2 F) \subset \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ . If by changing bases  $(D_2 F)$  has nonzero entries only in the first 2 rows,  $\text{ml}_B(T) \leq 6$ . Otherwise, by changing bases we can put all nonzero entries of  $(D_2 F)$  in its first 2 or 3 column. Then consider the  $4 \times 4$  minors involving one entry of  $(D_2 F)$  and  $3 \times 3$  minors from the first 4 rows of  $T(A^*)$ . By Proposition 11, either  $\text{ml}_C(T) \leq 6$ , or  $\text{ml}_B, \text{ml}_C \leq 7$ .

If  $S' = -ab + cd$  is reducible, by changing bases we can put the block  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  as  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix}$  and the

same for  $\begin{pmatrix} b & -d \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}$ . Then the upper left  $4 \times 4$  block of  $T(A^*)$  becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 & 0 & d' \\ 0 & x_1 & c' & b' \\ b' & -d' & e & 0 \\ -c' & 0 & 0 & e \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then permuting rows and columns we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & x_1 & d' \\ 0 & 0 & -c' & b' \\ b' & -d' & e & 0 \\ c' & x_1 & 0 & e \end{pmatrix}.$$

By the same argument, we can put  $D_1 = 0$ . Then all  $3 \times 3$  minors of  $(D_2 F)$  are divisible by  $S$ . By Proposition 11 either  $(D_2 F)$  has bounded rank 2 or  $(D_2 F) \subset \mathbb{C}^4 \otimes \mathbb{C}^4$ . By the same argument as the previous case, either  $\text{ml}_B \leq 6$ , or  $\text{ml}_B, \text{ml}_C \leq 8$ .

(2.3)  $\deg P = 3$ : by Lemma 7, either  $P$  factors into linear forms so  $T$  is not primitive, or  $T(A^*)$  has bounded rank 4.

(3) By Proposition 14, if  $T$  is primitive then either  $\text{ml}_B \leq 6$ ,  $\text{ml}_C \leq 6$  or  $\text{ml}_B, \text{ml}_C \leq 8$ .

(4) By Proposition 10, if  $T$  is primitive then  $\text{ml}_B + \text{ml}_C \leq 6$ .

(5)  $\iff \dim(T(A^*)) \leq 4 \Rightarrow \text{SR}(T) \leq 4$ .

Putting everything together, either  $\text{ml}_B \leq 8$ , or  $\text{ml}_C \leq 8$ , or  $\text{ml}_B, \text{ml}_C \leq 6$ . Since geometric rank is invariant by permuting  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $C$ , we also have:

- either  $\text{ml}_A \leq 8$ , or  $\text{ml}_C \leq 8$ , or  $\text{ml}_A, \text{ml}_C \leq 6$ ;
- either  $\text{ml}_A \leq 8$ , or  $\text{ml}_B \leq 8$ , or  $\text{ml}_A, \text{ml}_B \leq 6$ .

By inclusion-exclusion argument, we conclude the theorem. □

**Corollary 18.** *If  $\text{ml}_A(T)$ ,  $\text{ml}_B(T)$  and  $\text{ml}_C(T) > 8$ , then  $\text{GR}(T) \leq 4$  if and only if either  $\text{SR}(T) \leq 4$ , or up to changes of bases  $T = T' + T''$  where  $T'$  is the  $3 \times 3 \times 3$  skew-symmetric tensor and  $\text{SR}(T'') = 2$ .*

As a consequence of Proposition 13, we draw a general conclusion for primitive tensors of geometric rank  $r$ .

**Theorem 19.** *For all  $r$ , there exists  $M$ , such that if  $T \in A \otimes B \otimes C$  is primitive of geometric rank  $r$ , then at least two of  $\text{ml}_A(T)$ ,  $\text{ml}_B(T)$  and  $\text{ml}_C(T) \leq M$ .*

## 6 Geometric Rank of n-part Tensors

Let  $n > 2$  and  $A^{(i)} := \mathbb{C}^{m_i}$  for  $i \leq n$ . For a tensor  $T \in A^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes A^{(n)}$ , let

$$\hat{\Sigma}_T^{A^{(1)} \cdots A^{(n-1)}} := \{(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in A^{(1)*} \times \cdots \times A^{(n-1)*} \mid \forall x_n \in A^{(n)*}, T(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0\}$$

The **geometric rank** of  $T$  is defined to be  $\text{GR}(T) := \text{codim} \hat{\Sigma}_T^{A^{(1)} \cdots A^{(n-1)}}$ .

Regard  $T$  as a linear map  $A^{(1)*} \rightarrow A^{(2)*} \otimes \cdots \otimes A^{(n-1)*}$ . Define  $A_j^{(1)*} := \{x_1 \in A^{(1)*} \mid \text{GR}(T(x_1)) \leq j\}$  where  $T(x_1)$  is an  $(n-1)$ -part tensor. For any  $i \leq n$ ,  $A_j^{(i)*}$  is defined similarly. Similar to the alternative definition (A) for tripartite tensors, the following proposition gives an alternative definition of geometric rank for  $n$ -part tensors.

**Proposition 20.** *For  $T \in A^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes A^{(n)}$ ,  $\text{GR}(T)$  is invariant under the any permutation of  $A^{(1)*}, \dots, A^{(n)*}$ . For any  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $\text{GR}(T) = \min\{\text{codim} A_j^{(i)*} + j \mid 0 \leq j \leq \min\{m_1, \dots, \hat{m}_i, \dots, m_n\}\}$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the first projection  $\pi : \hat{\Sigma}_T^{A^{(1)} \cdots A^{(n-1)}} \rightarrow A^{(1)*}$ . For any  $1 \leq j \leq \min\{m_2, \dots, m_n\}$  and  $x_1 \in A_j^{(1)*} \setminus A_{j-1}^{(1)*}$ , the fiber

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^{-1}(x_1) &= \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}) \mid \forall x_n, T(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0\} \\ &= \{x_1\} \times \{(x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}) \mid \forall x_n, T(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 0\} \\ &= \{x_1\} \times \{(x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}) \mid \forall x_n, T(x_1)(x_2, \dots, x_n) = 0\} \\ &= \{x_1\} \times \hat{\Sigma}_{T(x_1)}^{A^{(2)} \cdots A^{(n-1)}} \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\dim \pi^{-1}(x_1) = \dim \hat{\Sigma}_{T(x_1)}^{A^{(2)} \cdots A^{(n-1)}} = m_2 + \cdots + m_{n-1} - j$ . And for  $x_1 \in A_0^{(1)*}$ ,  $\pi^{-1}(x_1) = A^{(2)} \times \cdots \times A^{(n-1)}$  which has dimension  $m_2 + \cdots + m_{n-1}$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \hat{\Sigma}_T^{A^{(1)} \cdots A^{(n-1)}} &= \max\{\dim \pi^{-1}(A_j^{(1)*}) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \min\{m_2, \dots, m_n\}\} \\ &= \max\{\dim A_j^{(1)*} + \dim \pi^{-1}(A_j^{(1)*}) \mid 0 \leq j \leq \min\{m_2, \dots, m_n\}\} \\ &= \max\{\dim A_j^{(1)*} + m_2 + \cdots + m_{n-1} - j \mid 0 \leq j \leq \min\{m_2, \dots, m_n\}\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\text{GR}(T) = \text{codim} \hat{\Sigma}_T^{A^{(1)} \cdots A^{(n-1)}} = \min\{\text{codim} A_j^{(1)*} + j \mid 0 \leq j \leq \min\{m_2, \dots, m_n\}\}$ . This proves the case  $i = 1$  and implies  $\text{GR}(T)$  is invariant under any permutation of the last  $n-1$  factors  $A^{(2)*}, \dots, A^{(n)*}$ . By definition  $\text{GR}(T)$  is invariant under any permutation of the first  $n-1$  factors, so it is invariant under any permutation of all  $n$  factors. And the cases when  $i > 1$  follow by permuting the factors.  $\square$

A linear subspace  $E \subset A^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes A^{(n)}$  has **bounded geometric rank  $r$**  if every element has geometric rank at most  $r$ .

**Proposition 21.** *Let  $n \geq 3$  and  $T \in A^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes A^{(n)}$ . For all  $r < n$ ,  $\text{GR}(T) \leq r$  if and only if there exists  $i$  such that  $T(A^{(i)*})$  has bounded geometric rank  $r$  as a space of  $(n-1)$ -part tensors.*

*Proof.*  $\Leftarrow$  direction is obvious by Proposition 20. Prove  $\Rightarrow$  by induction on  $n$ .

The base case is  $n = 3$ , then  $\text{GR}(T) \leq 1 \iff \text{SR}(T) \leq 1 \iff \exists i, T(A_i)$  has bounded geometric rank 1;  $\text{GR}(T) \leq 2 \iff \exists i, T(A_i)$  has bounded rank 2, and matrix rank coincides with geometric rank for 2-tensors.

Assume the proposition is true for all  $n < N$  and  $T \in A^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes A^{(N)}$ . By Proposition 20,  $\text{GR}(T) \leq r$  if and only if  $\exists 0 \leq k \leq r$ , such that  $\text{codim}(A_k^{(1)*}) \leq r - k$ . If  $k = r$ , then  $A_k^{(1)*} = A^{(1)*}$  and  $T(A^{(1)*})$  has bounded geometric rank  $r$ .

If  $k < r$ , for  $x_1 \in A_k^{(1)*}$  consider  $T(x_1)$  is as an  $(N - 1)$ -part tensor. By assumption  $\text{GR}(T(x_1)) \leq k$  if and only if  $\exists i > 1, T(x_1)(A^{(i)*})$  has bounded geometric rank  $k$ . Therefore we have  $\forall x_i \in A^{(i)*}, \forall x_1 \in A_k^{(1)*}, \text{GR}(T(x_1)(x_i)) \leq k$

$$\Rightarrow \forall x_i \in A^{(i)*}, \{x_1 \in A^{(1)*} \mid \text{GR}(T(x_i)(x_1)) \leq k\} \supset A_k^{(i)*}$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall x_i \in A^{(i)*}, \text{codim}\{x_1 \in A^{(1)*} \mid \text{GR}(T(x_i)(x_1)) \leq k\} \leq r - k$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall x_i \in A^{(i)*}, \text{GR}(T) \leq k$$

$$\Rightarrow T(A^{(i)*}) \text{ has bounded rank } k. \quad \square$$

$T$  is said to have **partition rank 1** if there exists a partition  $[n] = I \sqcup J$  such that  $T = T_1 \otimes T_2$  for some nonzero  $T_1 \in \bigotimes_{i \in I} A^{(i)}$  and  $T_2 \in \bigotimes_{j \in J} A^{(j)}$ . Regard  $T$  as a multilinear function  $T : A^{(1)*} \times \cdots \times A^{(n)*} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , then  $T$  has partition rank 1 if and only if  $T$  is a product of two non-constant multilinear functions. The **partition rank** of  $T$  is the smallest integer  $r$  such that  $T$  can be written as a sum of  $r$  partition rank 1 tensors, denoted as  $\text{PR}(T)$ .

Partition rank was introduced in [12] as a more general version of slice rank. By definition  $\text{GR}(T) \leq \text{PR}(T) \leq \text{SR}(T)$ . And [6] showed that the partition rank is at most  $2^{n-1}$  times of the geometric rank for  $n$ -part tensors.

**Proposition 22.** *For  $n \geq 3$ ,  $T \in A^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes A^{(n)}$  has geometric rank 1 if and only if it has partition rank 1.*

*Proof.* By definition  $\text{PR}(T) = 1$  implies  $\text{GR}(T) = 1$ . We prove the other direction by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 3$ , by Remark 2.6 of [9]  $\text{GR}(T) = 1$  if and only if  $\text{SR}(T) = 1$ , and slice rank agree with partition rank for tripartite tensors.

Assume the statement is true for  $n < N$  and  $T \in A^{(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes A^{(N)}$ . By Proposition 21 there exists  $i$  such that  $T(A^{(i)})$  consists of  $(N - 1)$ -part tensors with geometric rank at most 1. Without loss of generality assume  $i = N$ .

Let  $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^{m_N}$  be a basis of  $A^{(N)*}$ . By assumption  $\text{PR}(T(z_j)) \leq 1$  for all  $j$ , so we can write  $T(z_j) =: f_j g_j$  for some multilinear function  $f_j, g_j$ . By the definition of geometric rank,  $\{T(z_j) = 0, \forall j\} \subset A^{(1)} \times \cdots \times A^{(N-1)}$  has codimension 1. By possibly swapping  $f_j$  and  $g_j$ , assume  $\{f_j = 0, \forall j\}$  has codimension 1. Thus  $f_j$ 's have a common factor of positive degree, denoted as  $f$ . Then we can write  $f_j =: f h_j$  for some  $h_j$ , so  $T(z_j) = f h_j g_j$ .

Say  $f$  is a multilinear function defined on  $\prod_{j \in I} A^{(j)}$  for some  $I \subset [N - 1]$ , then  $h_j g_j$  is defined on  $\prod_{j \in [N-1] \setminus I} A^{(j)}$ . Define  $g : (\prod_{j \in [N-1] \setminus I} A^{(j)}) \times A^{(N)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $g(x, z_j) := (h_j g_j)(x)$ . Therefore  $T = f g$  and has partition rank 1.  $\square$

## 7 Proof of Proposition 11

Before proving the proposition, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 23.** *Let  $E \subset A \otimes B := \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$  be a matrix of linear forms in variables  $x_1, \dots, x_c$ . Define*

$$X_1 := \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}, X_2 := \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

1. *If  $\det E = \det X_1$ , then  $E = X_1$  up to changes of bases in  $A$  and  $B$ .*
2. *If  $\det E = \det X_2$ , then either  $E = X_2$  or  $X_2^t$  up to changes of bases in  $A$  and  $B$ .*

*Proof of Proposition 11.* By Corollary 8, hypothesis implies  $E \subset \mathbb{C}^4 \otimes \mathbb{C}^4$ .

Say  $\Delta_3 = x_1 S$ , then the upper left  $3 \times 3$  submatrix must be of the form  $x_1 Z + U$  where  $Z$  is a  $3 \times 3$  matrix of complex numbers and  $U$  has bounded rank 2. Therefore up to changes of bases,  $U$  is either compression or skew-symmetric. Since  $\Delta_3 \neq 0$ , taking transpose if necessary, we can write the upper left  $3 \times 3$  submatrix as one of the following forms:

$$(i) \begin{pmatrix} y_1^1 & y_2^1 & y_3^1 \\ y_1^2 & y_2^2 & y_3^2 \\ 0 & 0 & x_1 \end{pmatrix}, (ii) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 & y_3^1 \\ 0 & x_1 & y_3^2 \\ y_1^3 & y_2^3 & y_3^3 \end{pmatrix}, (iii) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & y_2^1 & y_3^1 \\ -y_2^1 & x_1 & y_3^2 \\ -y_3^1 & -y_2^2 & x_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Case (i):  $S = \Delta_2 = y_1^1 y_2^2 - y_2^1 y_1^2$  is irreducible, hence has rank 3 or 4. Changing basis in  $E$  assume  $S = x_1 x_3 - (x_2)^2$  or  $x_1 x_4 - x_2 x_3$  depending on  $\text{rank} S$ . By Lemma 23 we can put the top left  $2 \times 2$  block as the form  $X_1$  or  $X_2$ .

$S$  divides  $\Delta_{123}^{134} = x_1 \Delta_{12}^{14}$  and  $\Delta_{123}^{234} = x_1 \Delta_{12}^{24}$ , therefore  $(y_1^4, y_2^4) \in \text{span}\{(y_1^1, y_2^1), (y_1^2, y_2^2)\}$ . Adding multiples of the first and the second row to the 4-th, we can set  $y_1^4$  and  $y_2^4$  to zeros.

If  $\Delta_{34}^{34} = 0$ , the right bottom  $2 \times 2$  submatrix has bounded rank 1, then  $E$  has bounded rank 3.

Assume  $\Delta_{34}^{34} \neq 0$ . Since  $S$  divides  $\Delta_{234}^{234} = y_2^2 \Delta_{34}^{34} \neq 0$ ,  $\Delta_{34}^{34}$  is a non-zero multiple of  $S$ , hence can be normalized to  $S$ . Apply Lemma 23 again,  $E$  has one of the following forms:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & y_3^1 & y_4^1 \\ x_2 & x_3 & y_3^2 & y_4^2 \\ 0 & 0 & x_1 & x_2 \\ 0 & 0 & x_2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix} \text{ if } \text{rank}(S) = 3, \text{ or } \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & y_3^1 & y_4^1 \\ x_3 & x_4 & y_3^2 & y_4^2 \\ 0 & 0 & x_1 & y_4^3 \\ 0 & 0 & y_3^4 & x_4 \end{pmatrix} \text{ if } \text{rank}(S) = 4$$

where  $(y_4^3, y_3^4) = (x_2, x_3)$  or  $(x_3, x_2)$ .

(i.1) Subcase  $\text{rank}(S) = 3$ : Write  $y_4^1 = l_2 + l'_2$ ,  $y_3^2 = l_3 + l'_3$ ,  $y_4^2 = l_4 + l'_4$ , and  $\Delta_{234}^{123} = (l + l')S$  where  $l, l_i \in \text{span}\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  and  $l', l'_i \in \text{span}\{x_4, \dots, x_m\}$ . Then

$$l'S = l'(x_1 x_3 - (x_2)^2) = (x_3 l'_2 - x_2 l'_4)x_1 + (x_2 l'_3 - x_3 l'_1)x_2.$$

Comparing terms that are multiples of  $(x_1)^2$ , we see  $l' = 0$ , which forces all  $l'_i = 0$ , so  $y_j^i \in \text{span}\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ . Adding multiples of the first two rows and columns to the last two rows and columns, we can put  $y_4^1 = y_3^2 = 0$ .

Write  $l = a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + a_3x_3$ . Then

$$(a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + a_3x_3)(x_1x_3 - (x_2)^2) = lS = \Delta_{234}^{123} = -x_2x_3y_3^1 - x_1x_2y_4^2.$$

Comparing the terms of multiples of  $(x_1)^2x_3, x_1(x_3)^2$  and  $(x_2)^3$ , we see all  $a_i = 0$  so  $l = 0$ . Comparing the coefficients of the rest cubic monomials, we obtain  $a_1 + b_3 = 0$  and  $a_2 = a_3 = b_1 = b_2 = 0$ . If  $a_1 = 0$ ,  $E$  has the form  $\text{diag}(X, X)$ . If  $a_1 \neq 0$ , multiply  $a_1$  to the first two column and the last two rows, subtract the 1st row from the 3rd, and add the 4th row to the 2nd, then  $E$  again has the form  $\text{diag}(X, X)$ .

(i.2) Subcase  $\text{rank}(S) = 4$ :

(i.2.1) If  $(y_4^3, y_3^4) = (x_2, x_3)$ : using the notations in (i.1), write  $y_j^i = l_k + l'_k$  and  $\Delta_{234}^{123} = (l + l')S$ . Then  $l'S = x_2(l'_3x_2 - l'_4x_1) - x_4(l'_1x_2 - l'_2x_1)$ . Comparing terms we see all  $l'_i = 0$ . Then by adding multiples of the first two columns to the third and fourth, then adding multiples of the first two rows to the first and second, we can make  $y_3^1 \in \text{span}\{x_2, x_4\}, y_3^2 \in \text{span}\{x_2\}, y_3^1 \in \text{span}\{x_1, x_2, x_4\}$ .

Since  $\Delta_{234}^{123} = lS = x_2(y_3^2x_2 - y_4^2x_1) - x_4(y_3^1x_2 - y_4^1x_1)$ , writing  $y_j^i$  into linear combinations of  $x_k$ 's, we see that there is no  $x_2x_3$ . Thus  $l = 0$ , then comparing terms of the above equation, we have  $y_3^1 = y_3^2 = 0$ . By  $\Delta_{134}^{123}$  and  $\Delta_{234}^{123}$ ,  $(y_4^1, y_4^2)^t \in \text{span}\{(x_1, x_3)^t, (x_2, x_4)^t\}$ , so we can set  $(y_4^1, y_4^2)^t = 0$  by adding multiples of the first two columns to the fourth.

Therefore, by changing bases  $E$  has the form  $\text{diag}(X, X)$ .

(i.2.2) If  $(y_4^3, y_3^4) = (x_3, x_2)$ : using the notations in (i.1), write  $y_j^i = l_k + l'_k$  and  $\Delta_{234}^{123} = (l + l')S$ . Then  $l'S = x_2(l'_3x_3 - l'_4x_1) - x_4(l'_1x_3 - l'_2x_1)$ . Comparing terms we see  $l' = -l'_3 = l'_2$  and  $l'_1 = l'_4 = 0$ . Then by adding multiples of the first two columns to the third and fourth, then adding multiples of the first two rows to the first and second, we can write  $y_4^1 = l', y_3^2 = -l', y_3^1 = \sum_{i=1}^4 a_i x_i, y_4^2 = \sum_{i=1}^4 d_i x_i$ .

$S$  divides other  $3 \times 3$  minors, which implies  $y_3^1 = y_4^2 = 0$ . Therefore the only nonzero entries of  $E$  are in the upper left  $4 \times 4$  block of the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_3 & 0 & l' \\ x_2 & x_4 & -l' & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_1 & x_2 \\ 0 & 0 & x_3 & x_4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Swapping the first two rows and the last two rows, then multiply -1 to the first 2 rows.  $E$  becomes skew-symmetric.

Case (ii): Here  $S = x_1y_3^3 - y_3^2y_2^3 - y_3^1y_1^3$ . Modify  $y_j^1, y_j^2, y_1^i, y_2^i, 3 \leq i, j \leq 4$  such that their expressions (as linear forms in  $x_i$ 's) do not contain  $x_1$ .

If  $y_3^3 = 0$  and  $\exists i, j > 2$ , such that  $y_j^i \neq 0$ , we may change bases such that  $y_3^3 \neq 0$ , so we have two cases  $y_3^3 \neq 0$  or  $y_j^i = 0$  for all  $i, j > 2$ .

If  $y_3^3 \neq 0$ , then consider  $\Delta_{12j}^{12i} = x_1(x_1y_j^i - y_1^i y_j^1 - y_2^i y_j^2)$ . We obtain  $y_j^i = c_j^i y_3^3$  for all  $i, j > 2$  and constants  $c_j^i$ . Changing bases again, we may set  $y_4^3 = y_3^4 = 0$  and  $y_4^4 = y_3^3$  or 0.

(ii.1) Subcase  $y_4^4 = y_3^3 \neq 0$ :  $\Delta_{\rho 34}^{\rho 34} = y_3^3(x_1y_3^\rho - y_3^\rho y_3^1 - y_4^\rho y_4^1), \forall \rho = 1, 2$ . Together with  $\Delta_{12i}^{12i}$ 's we get

$$y_3^3 y_3^1 + y_4^4 y_4^1 = y_2^3 y_3^2 + y_2^4 y_4^2 = y_1^3 y_3^1 + y_2^3 y_3^2 = y_1^4 y_4^1 + y_2^4 y_4^2$$

Hence  $y_1^3 y_3^1 = y_2^4 y_4^2$ ,  $y_1^4 y_4^1 = y_2^3 y_3^2$ .

$\Delta_{\sigma 34}^{\rho 34} = y_3^3 (-y_\sigma^3 y_3^\rho - y_\sigma^4 y_4^\rho) = 0$  for  $(\rho, \sigma) = (0, 1)$  or  $(1, 0)$ , and  $\Delta_{12j}^{12i} = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . We get

$$y_1^3 y_4^1 + y_2^3 y_4^2 = y_1^4 y_3^1 + y_2^4 y_3^2 = y_1^3 y_3^2 + y_1^4 y_4^2 = y_2^3 y_3^1 + y_2^4 y_4^1 = 0$$

In other words, denoting  $Q := y_1^3 y_3^1 + y_1^4 y_4^1$ , the following equations hold:

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1^3 & y_2^3 \\ y_1^4 & y_2^4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_3^1 & y_4^1 \\ y_3^2 & y_4^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_3^1 & y_4^1 \\ y_3^2 & y_4^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1^3 & y_2^3 \\ y_1^4 & y_2^4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Q & 0 \\ 0 & Q \end{pmatrix}$$

Then by changing bases  $E$  equals to the matrix whose upper left  $4 \times 4$  block is one of the following, and all other entries are zeros:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 & a & d \\ 0 & x_1 & c & b \\ b & -d & y_3^3 & 0 \\ -c & a & 0 & y_3^3 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some linear forms or zeros  $a, b, c, d, y_3^3$ .

(ii.2) Subcase  $y_4^4 = 0, y_3^3 \neq 0$  in order to  $\Delta_4 \neq 0$ , there exist  $\rho, \sigma = 1, 2$ , such that  $y_\sigma^4$  and  $y_4^\rho \neq 0$ . Then  $\Delta_{\sigma 34}^{124}$  and  $\Delta_{124}^{\rho 34}$  implies  $\Delta_{34}^{12} = \Delta_{12}^{34} = 0$ . Then change bases in the first two rows and columns, we get:

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_1 x_1 & c_2 x_1 & y_3^1 & y_4^1 \\ c_3 x_1 & c_4 x_1 & 0 & 0 \\ y_3^3 & 0 & y_3^3 & 0 \\ y_4^4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some constants  $c_i$ .  $\Delta_{234}^{123} = -c_4 x_1 y_4^1 y_3^3$  implies  $c_4 = 0$ . Then  $\Delta_{123}^{123} = -c_2 c_3 (x_1)^2 y_3^3$  implies either  $c_2$  or  $c_3 = 0$ , contradicting the hypothesis  $\Delta_3 \neq 0$ .

(ii.4) Subcase  $y_3^3 = y_4^4 = 0$ :  $S = y_1^3 y_3^1 + y_2^3 y_3^2$  is irreducible so  $y_1^3, y_2^3$  are linearly independent, and so are  $y_3^1, y_3^2$ . Choose bases such that  $y_1^1$  and  $y_2^2$  are not necessary  $x_1$ , and  $y_1^3 = x_1, y_2^3 = x_2$ . Since  $\text{rank}(S) \geq 3$ , at least one of  $y_3^1, y_3^2$  is linearly independent with  $x_1, x_2$ . WLOG assume  $x_1, x_2, y_3^1$  are linearly independent, then choose bases such that  $y_3^1 = x_3$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1^1 & 0 & x_3 & y_4^1 \\ 0 & y_1^1 & y_3^2 & y_4^2 \\ x_1 & x_2 & 0 & 0 \\ y_4^4 & y_4^4 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If  $\Delta_{34}^{12} = 0$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} x_3 & y_4^1 \\ y_3^2 & y_4^2 \end{pmatrix}$  has bounded rank 1 so we can set either the fourth column to zero (then  $E \subset \mathbb{C}^4 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3$ ), or  $y_3^2 = y_4^2 = 0$  (then  $\Delta_{123}^{123}$  is a product of linear forms, contradicting to irreducibility of  $S$ ).

If  $\Delta_{34}^{12} \neq 0$ , by linear independence of  $y_3^1 = x_3$  and  $y_3^2$ , and  $\Delta_{34}^{12}$  is a nonzero multiple of  $S = x_1 y_3^1 + x_2 y_3^2$ , we can normalize the fourth column such that  $(y_4^1, y_4^2)^t = (x_2, -x_1)^t$  and  $y_j^\rho = 0, \forall j > 4, \rho = 1, 2$ . By the same argument, we can set  $(y_4^1, y_4^2)^t = (y_3^2, -x_3)^t$  and  $y_\sigma^i = 0, \forall i > 4, \sigma = 1, 2$ .

Then  $E$  has the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1^1 & 0 & x_3 & x_2 \\ 0 & y_1^1 & y_3^2 & -x_1 \\ x_1 & x_2 & 0 & 0 \\ y_3^2 & -x_3 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Case (iii):  $S = x_1^2 + (y_2^1)^2 + (y_3^1)^2 + (y_3^2)^2$  is irreducible, so  $\text{rank}(S) > 2$ .

(iii.1) Subcase when  $x_1, y_2^1, y_3^1, y_3^2$  are linearly independent: we can choose basis of  $E$  such that  $y_2^1 = x_2, y_3^1 = x_3, y_3^2 = x_4$ . In order that  $S$  divides all  $3 \times 3$  minors,  $(y_4^1, y_4^2, y_4^3)^t$  must be a linear combination of  $(x_1, -x_2, -x_3)^t, (x_2, x_1, -x_4)^t, (x_3, x_4, x_1)^t$ , and  $(x_4, -x_3, x_2)^t$ . Changing the basis we can put  $(y_4^1, y_4^2, y_4^3)^t = (x_4, -x_3, x_2)^t$ . By the same argument,  $(y_1^4, y_2^4, y_3^4) = (-x_4, +x_3, -x_2)$ . Consider the  $3 \times 3$  minors involving  $y_4^4$ , we see  $y_4^4 = -x_1$ .

Hence  $E$  has the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 \\ -x_2 & x_1 & x_4 & -x_3 \\ -x_3 & -x_4 & x_1 & x_2 \\ -x_4 & x_3 & -x_2 & x_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $E$  is the the complex quaternion algebra  $\text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\mathbf{1}, I, J, K\}/(I^2 + \mathbf{1}, J^2 + \mathbf{1}, K^2 + \mathbf{1}, IJK + \mathbf{1})$  and the associated tensor of  $E$  is the structure tensor of the complex quaternion. Since the complex quaternion algebra is isomorphic to the matrix algebra  $\text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}$ , their structure tensors equal up to changes of bases. So  $E$  equals to  $M_{(2)}$  up to changes of bases in  $E, A$  and  $B$ .

(iii.2) Subcase when  $x_1, y_2^1, y_3^1, y_3^2$  are linearly dependent: irreducibility of  $S$  implies three of them are linearly independent.  $x_1 \neq 0$  since  $\Delta_3 \neq 0$ . By changing bases assume  $y_2^1 = x_2, y_3^1 = x_3, y_3^2 = a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + a_3x_3$  for  $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then  $S = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + (a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + a_3x_3)^2$ . If  $\dim\langle x_i, y_4^i \mid i = 1, 2, 3 \rangle \geq 5$ , the submatrix consisting of the first 3 rows is a subspace of a 1-generic space of codimension  $\leq 2$ , then Theorem 9 implies contradiction. So  $\dim\langle x_i, y_4^i \mid i = 1, 2, 3 \rangle \leq 4$ .

Adding first 3 columns to the 4th, we can set  $y_4^1 = 0$  or  $x_4$ . Write  $y_4^2 = \sum_i b_i x_i$  and  $y_4^3 = \sum_i c_i x_i$ ,  $\Delta_{124}^{123} = LS, \Delta_{134}^{123} = MS$  and  $\Delta_{224}^{123} = NS$  for some linear forms  $L = \sum_i l_i x_i, M, N$ .

If  $y_4^1 = x_4$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{124}^{123} = & ((a_1b_4 + c_4)x_1^2 + (a_2 + c_4)x_2^2 + (1 + a_3b_4)x_1x_3 + (a_2b_4 + a_1)x_1x_2 + (a_3 - b_4)x_2x_3)x_4 \\ & + (c_1 + a_1b_1)x_1^3 + c_2x_2^3 + (c_3 - b_2)x_2^2x_3 - b_3x_2x_3^2 + (c_1 + a_2b_2)x_1x_2^2 \\ & + (c_2 + a_1b_2 + a_2b_1)x_1^2x_2 + (c_3 + a_1b_3 + a_3b_1)x_1^2x_3 + a_3b_3x_1x_3^2 + (a_2b_3 + a_3b_2 - b_1)x_1x_2x_3. \end{aligned}$$

Note that there is no  $x_3^2x_4$  in  $\Delta_{124}^{123}$ . This implies either  $a_3^2 + 1 = 0$  or  $l_4 = 0$ . If  $l_4 = 0$ , those terms divisible by  $x_4$  have the sum zero:

$$(a_1b_4 + c_4)x_1^2 + (a_2 + c_4)x_2^2 + (1 + a_3b_4)x_1x_3 + (a_2b_4 + a_1)x_1x_2 + (a_3 - b_4)x_2x_3 = 0$$

which implies  $a_3^2 + 1 = 0$ . Hence  $a_3^2 + 1 = 0$  no matter if  $l_4 = 0$ .

There is no  $x_2^2x_4$  in  $\Delta_{134}^{123}$ , thus by the same argument, we must have  $a_2^2 + 1 = 0$ .

Compare the coefficients of  $x_2^3$  in equality  $\Delta_{124}^{123} = LS$  and  $x_3^3$  in  $\Delta_{134}^{123} = MS$ , we get

$$c_2 = l_2(1 + a_2^2) = 0 \text{ and } -b_3 = m_3(1 + a_3^2) = 0.$$



Compare the coefficients of  $x_2^2x_4$  in  $\Delta_{124}^{123} = LS$  and  $x_3^2x_4$  in  $\Delta_{134}^{123} = MS$ , we get

$$(a_2 + c_4) = l_4(1 + a_2^2) = 0 \text{ and } (a_3 - b_4) = m_4(1 + a_3^2) = 0.$$

Compare the coefficients of  $x_2x_3x_4$  in  $\Delta_{124}^{123} = LS$  and  $\Delta_{134}^{123} = MS$ , we get

$$2a_2a_3l_4 = (a_3 - b_4) = 0 \text{ and } 2a_2a_3m_4 = a_2 + c_4 = 0.$$

Therefore  $l_4 = m_4 = 0$ . Then the coefficients of every monomial divisible by  $x_4$  in  $\Delta_{124}^{123}$  and  $\Delta_{134}^{123}$  equals zero. We get  $a_1 = a_2/a_3$  from  $\Delta_{124}^{123}$  but  $a_1 = a_2a_3$  contradicting  $a_2^2 = -1$ .

If  $y_4^1 = 0$ : since there is no  $x_3^2x_4$  in  $\Delta_{124}^{123}$ , either  $a_3^2 + 1 = 0$  or  $l_4 = 0$ .

If  $l_4 = 0$ , then the coefficients of every monomial divisible by  $x_4$  in  $\Delta_{124}^{123}$  equal zero, which implies  $b_4 = c_4 = 0$ . Therefore there is no  $x_4$  appearing in the first 3 rows, and by the same argument  $x_4$  does not appear in the first 3 columns. If  $\exists i, j > 3$ , such that  $y_j^i \notin \text{span}\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ , then we can change basis in  $E$  to set  $y_j^i = x_4$ . Write  $\Delta_{12j}^{12i} = x_4(x_1^2 + x_2^2) + p(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  for some polynomial  $p$ .  $S = S(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  dividing  $\Delta_{12j}^{12i} \neq 0$  implies that  $S$  divides  $x_1^2 + x_2^2$ , contradicting to the irreducibility of quadratic polynomial  $S$ . If there is no such  $y_j^i$ , then  $\dim E = 3$ .

Therefore  $a_3^2 + 1 = 0$ . And by the same argument, since there is no  $x_2^2x_4$  in  $\Delta_{134}^{123}$ ,  $a_2^2 + 1 = 0$ . Compare the coefficients of  $x_2^2x_4$  in  $\Delta_{124}^{123} = LS$  and  $x_3^2x_4$  in  $\Delta_{134}^{123} = MS$ , we get  $c_4 = b_4 = 0$ .

Then by the same argument as in the case  $l_4 = 0$  we obtain  $\dim E = 3$ . □

## References

- [1] Vincenzo Ancona and Giorgio Ottaviani, *Some applications of beilinson's theorem to projective spaces and quadrics*, (1991).
- [2] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P. Griffiths, J.D. Harris, and J.R. Harris, *Geometry of algebraic curves: Volume i*, Geometry of Algebraic Curves, Springer, 1985.
- [3] MD Atkinson, *Primitive spaces of matrices of bounded rank. ii*, Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society **34** (1983), no. 3, 306–315.
- [4] MD Atkinson and S Lloyd, *Primitive spaces of matrices of bounded rank*, Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society **30** (1981), no. 4, 473–482.
- [5] Arnaud Beauville, *An introduction to ulrich bundles*, European Journal of Mathematics **4** (2018), no. 1, 26–36.
- [6] Alex Cohen and Guy Moshkovitz, *An optimal inverse theorem*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2102.10509 (2021).
- [7] David Eisenbud, *Linear sections of determinantal varieties*, American Journal of Mathematics **110** (1988), no. 3, 541–575.
- [8] David Eisenbud and Joe Harris, *Vector spaces of matrices of low rank*, Advances in Mathematics **70** (1988), no. 2, 135–155.

- [9] Runshi Geng and JM Landsberg, *On the geometry of geometric rank*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.04679 (2020).
- [10] Melvin Hochster and John A Eagon, *Cohen-macaulay rings, invariant theory, and the generic perfection of determinantal loci*, American Journal of Mathematics **93** (1971), no. 4, 1020–1058.
- [11] Swastik Kopparty, Guy Moshkovitz, and Jeroen Zuiddam, *Geometric rank of tensors and subrank of matrix multiplication*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.09472 (2020).
- [12] Eric Naslund, *The partition rank of a tensor and  $k$ -right corners in  $fqn$* , Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A **174** (2020), 105190.
- [13] Terence Tao, *Notes on the “slice rank” of tensors*, <https://terrytao.wordpress.com/2016/08/24/notes-on-the-slice-rank-of-tensors/>, 2016, Accessed: 2016-08-24.
- [14] Hermann Weyl, *The classical groups, their invariants and representations, by hermann weyl...[with supplement.]*, Princeton university press, 1946.