

Semileptonic Decay of $\Xi_c \rightarrow \Xi \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ From Light-Cone QCD Sum Rules

Hui-Hui Duan,* Yong-Lu Liu,† and Ming-Qiu Huang‡

*Department of Physics, College of Liberal Arts and Sciences,
National University of Defense Technology, Changsha 410073, Hunan, People's Republic of China*
(Dated: March 8, 2022)

Semileptonic decay processes of $\Xi_c \rightarrow \Xi \ell \nu_\ell$ are studied by light-cone QCD sum rules in this paper. The six form factors of $\Xi_c \rightarrow \Xi$ semileptonic transition matrix elements are calculated by this method with the light-cone distribution amplitudes of Ξ baryon up to twist six. With the six form factors, the absolute branching ratios of $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ and $\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Xi^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ are calculated by the helicity amplitudes formalism of semileptonic differential decay widths. The ratios of absolute branching ratios of electron and muon final states processes give the proof of lepton flavor universality. Our results are in accordance with the recently experimental and theoretical reports.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, ALICE collaboration and Belle collaboration reported the measurement of decay branching ratios of $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- e^+ \nu_e$ semileptonic decays, respectively. ALICE collaboration gave the relative branching ratio $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- e^+ \nu_e$ to $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- \pi^+$ result $\Gamma(\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- e^+ \nu_e)/\Gamma(\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- \pi^+) = 1.38 \pm 0.14(stat) \pm 0.22(syst)$ [1, 2]. The absolute branching ratio of $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- \pi^+$ has been first measured by Belle in 2019, with the suggestion value $(1.8 \pm 0.50 \pm 0.14)\%$ [3]. And the first measurement of absolute branching ratio of $\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Xi^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ was also given by Belle in 2019 [4]. With the combination of the relative branching ratio ALICE measured and the absolute branching ratio of $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- \pi^+$ which Belle measured, the ALICE collaboration's result of decay absolute branching ratio of $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- e^+ \nu_e$ is around 2.48%. The Belle collaboration reported the branching ratios of electron final state $\mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- e^+ \nu_e) = (1.31 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.38)\%$ and muon final state $\mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu) = (1.27 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.37)\%$ [5], and the third uncertainty above comes from the measurement of absolute branching ratio of $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- \pi^+$, while the first and second uncertainties are statistical and theoretical. In the early experiments, ARGUS and CLEO collaborations gave their measurement of relative branching ratio of $\mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- e^+ \nu_e)/\mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- \pi^+) = 0.96 \pm 0.43 \pm 0.18$ and $3.1 \pm 1.0_{-0.5}^{+0.3}$ in 1990s, respectively [6, 7]; and a review in 1990s sees reference [8]. Therefore, in the experiment expect, the semileptonic decay results of Ξ_c baryons have a wide range. It is worth investigating these processes in theoretical and experimental aspects by further studies.

With the phenomenology models, some articles have been investigated these processes, and still a wide range in these analyses. In the first lattice calculation recently [9], it indicated that the semileptonic decay branching ratios of $\Xi_c \rightarrow \Xi$ are about

$$\mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- e^+ \nu_e) = 2.38(0.30)_{stat.}(0.32)_{syst.}\%,$$

$$\mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu) = 2.29(0.29)_{stat.}(0.31)_{syst.}\%,$$

$$\mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Xi^0 e^+ \nu_e) = 7.18(0.90)_{stat.}(0.98)_{syst.}\%,$$

$$\mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Xi^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu) = 6.91(0.87)_{stat.}(0.93)_{syst.}\%.$$

This is in accordance with the ALICE results but larger than the Belle's. Many other phenomenology models such as the light-cone QCD sum rule method [10–13], SU(3) flavor symmetry model [14, 15], light-front quark model [16–18], relativistic quark model [19] and QCD sum rules [20] et al. give the branching ratio of $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ from 1.35% to $(7.26 \pm 2.54)\%$, and $\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Xi^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ from $3.38_{-2.26}^{+2.19}\%$ to $(11.9 \pm 1.3)\%$. These models also list a wide range of these processes, so it still leads a more charming calculation to pursuit.

In this article, we study the $\Xi_c \rightarrow \Xi$ semileptonic decay with the light-cone QCD sum rules. In our previous works the light-cone distribution amplitudes of octet baryon Ξ have been obtained, and the related parameters of Ξ_c and Ξ have been obtained from QCD sum rules too [10, 11]. With the Ξ baryon light-cone distribution amplitudes, decuplet baryon $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ decay branching ratio have also given in the same method [21]. Calculation of the form factors of the weak decay transition matrix element of heavy baryon to light baryon make ones know the information of heavy baryon properties, especially the decay branching ratios. Based on these, the weak semileptonic decays of $\Xi_c \rightarrow \Xi \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ are calculated in this work by the method and parameters mentioned above.

The theoretical framework of this work and the formalism results are presented in Sec. II, and the six form factors of Ξ_c to Ξ transition are calculated by light-cone QCD sum rules. Numerical analyses and conclusions of the semileptonic processes are given in Sec. III.

II. LIGHT-CONE SUM RULES OF $\Xi_c \rightarrow \Xi$ TRANSITION

Light-cone QCD sum rules are developed from the standard QCD sum rule proposed by M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein and V. I. Zakharov, which is often called SVZ QCD sum rules [22] and extended to light-cone by V. L.

* duanhuihui19@nudt.edu.cn

† yongluliu@nudt.edu.cn

‡ mqhuang@nudt.edu.cn (corresponding author)

Chernyak et al. [23]. They all start with the hadron correlation function, then parameterization by hadron states on the hadron level and expand it at QCD level by operator product expansion. What the difference is that the SVZ QCD sum rules make the operator product expansion at position point $x = 0$ with mass dimensions and light-cone QCD sum rules make the operator product expansion at light-cone ($x^2 = 0$) with increasing twists (dimension minus spin). And the basic input parameters in SVZ QCD sum rules are vacuum condensate operators, in light-cone QCD sum rules are light-cone distribution amplitudes. The application of light-cone QCD sum rules to semileptonic decay of heavy baryons transition to light

baryon with light-cone distribution amplitudes have two versions, one is using the final heavy baryon state light-cone distribution amplitudes and another is using the initial heavy baryon's. Light-cone QCD sum rules was first used in $\Lambda_b \rightarrow p \ell \bar{\nu}$ with light baryon distribution amplitude in reference [24], and with the heavy baryon version was first studied in the processes $\Lambda_b \rightarrow p, \Lambda$ semileptonic decay in reference [25]. The light baryon distribution amplitudes version is adopted in the following calculation.

To calculate the decay properties of heavy baryons one needs to know the decay matrix element. Matrix element of heavy baryon decay to light baryon $\Xi_c \rightarrow \Xi$ can be parameterized as six form factors by the following form,

$$\langle \Xi_c(P') | j_\nu | \Xi(p) \rangle = \bar{u}_{\Xi_c}(P') \{ f_1(q^2) \gamma_\nu + i \frac{f_2(q^2)}{M_{\Xi_c}} \sigma_{\nu\mu} q^\mu + \frac{f_3(q^2)}{M_{\Xi_c}} q_\nu - [g_1(q^2) \gamma_\nu + i \frac{g_2(q^2)}{M_{\Xi_c}} \sigma_{\nu\mu} q^\mu + \frac{g_3(q^2)}{M_{\Xi_c}} q_\nu] \gamma_5 \} u_\Xi(p), \quad (1)$$

where $f_i(q^2)/g_i(q^2)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) are the weak decay form factors, M_{Ξ_c} is the mass of Ξ_c baryon, $P' = p - q$, p is the momentum of Ξ , q is the momentum transfer and u_{Ξ_c} and u_Ξ are the spinors of Ξ_c and Ξ , respectively.

In order to obtain the light-cone sum rules of these form factors, one begins with the two-point correlation function sandwiched between vacuum and final Ξ baryon state

$$T_\nu(p, q) = i \int d^4x e^{iq \cdot x} \langle 0 | T \{ j_{\Xi_c}(0) j_\nu(x) \} | \Xi(p) \rangle \quad (2)$$

where the $j_{\Xi_c}(0)$ and $j_\nu(x)$ are heavy baryon Ξ_c current and weak decay current respectively. In this study, the

Ioffe-type current is chosen on the quark level for this computation

$$j_{\Xi_c}(x) = \epsilon_{ijk} [s^{iT}(x) C \gamma_\mu c^j(x)] \gamma_5 \gamma^\mu q^k(x) \quad (3)$$

and the weak decay current is

$$j_\nu(x) = \bar{c}(x) \gamma_\nu (1 - \gamma_5) s(x). \quad (4)$$

Using the completeness relation of Ξ_c

$$\int d^4p | \Xi_c(p) \rangle \langle \Xi_c(p) | = 1, \quad (5)$$

the hadronic representation of this transition process at hadronic level can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} T_\nu(P', q^2) = & \frac{f_{\Xi_c} M_{\Xi_c}}{M_{\Xi_c}^2 - P'^2} \{ [(M_{\Xi_c} - M_\Xi) f_1(q^2) + \frac{2p \cdot q - q^2}{M_{\Xi_c}} f_2(q^2)] \gamma_\nu + [\frac{M_{\Xi_c} + M_\Xi}{M_{\Xi_c}} (f_2(q^2) + f_3(q^2)) - 2f_1(q^2)] q_\nu \\ & + (f_1(q^2) - \frac{M_{\Xi_c} + M_\Xi}{M_{\Xi_c}} f_2(q^2)) \gamma_\nu \not{q} + 2f_1(q^2) p_\nu - \frac{2f_2(q^2)}{M_{\Xi_c}} p_\nu \not{q} + \frac{f_2(q^2) - f_3(q^2)}{M_{\Xi_c}} q_\nu \not{q} \\ & - [(M_{\Xi_c} + M_\Xi) g_1(q^2) + \frac{2p \cdot q - q^2}{M_{\Xi_c}} g_2(q^2)] \gamma_\nu \gamma_5 + [\frac{M_{\Xi_c} - M_\Xi}{M_{\Xi_c}} (g_2(q^2) + g_3(q^2)) + 2g_1(q^2)] q_\nu \gamma_5 \\ & + (\frac{M_{\Xi_c} - M_\Xi}{M_{\Xi_c}} g_2(q^2) - g_1(q^2)) \gamma_\nu \not{q} \gamma_5 - 2g_1(q^2) p_\nu \gamma_5 + \frac{2g_2(q^2)}{M_{\Xi_c}} p_\nu \not{q} \gamma_5 + \frac{g_3(q^2) - g_2(q^2)}{M_{\Xi_c}} q_\nu \not{q} \gamma_5 \} u_\Xi(p). \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

Where M_Ξ is the mass of Ξ baryon, and f_{Ξ_c} is the decay constant of Ξ_c baryon. The decay constant f_{Ξ_c} has been calculated in references [10–12, 26], we will adopt the value $f_{\Xi_c} = -0.011 \text{ GeV}^2$ which given by [11] in the following calculations.

On the theoretical side, the correlation function will be calculated by contracting the heavy charm quark, and be derived on the quark level with current quark model. The correlation function can be written as

$$T_\nu(p, q) = -i \int d^4x e^{iq \cdot x} [C \gamma_\mu S(-x) \gamma_\nu (1 - \gamma_5)]_{\alpha\tau} (\gamma_5 \gamma^\mu)_{\sigma\gamma} \langle 0 | \epsilon^{ijk} s_\alpha^i(0) s_\tau^j(x) u_\gamma^k(0) | u_\Xi(p) \rangle. \quad (7)$$

Where C is charge conjugation, and $S(-x)$ is the free charm quark propagator

$$S(-x) = i \int d^4k \frac{e^{ik \cdot x}}{\not{k} - m_c}. \quad (8)$$

In this calculation of correlation function, the matrix element $\langle 0 | \epsilon^{ijk} s_\alpha^i(0) s_\lambda^j(x) q_\sigma^k(0) | u_\Xi(p) \rangle$ can be expressed with the light-cone distribution amplitudes of octet baryon Ξ . It has been developed from nucleon distribution amplitudes from leading twist to twist six and the explicit expressions have also been given by our previous works [10, 27–29]. The standard procedure of light-

cone QCD sum rules calculations in theoretical side gives twelve structures, each of which corresponds the same structure of the hadronic side. For simplicity, the picking structures to give the six form factors are $\{p_\nu, p_\nu \not{q}, q_\nu \not{q}\}$ and $\{p_\nu \gamma_5, p_\nu \not{q} \gamma_5, q_\nu \not{q} \gamma_5\}$ and are corresponding with the form factors $f_i(q^2)$ and $g_i(q^2)$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) respectively.

In order to suppress the higher twists contribution, the Borel transform operation is made for every structure which is choosed. This introduces an Borel parameter M_B in the form factors, it will be discussed in numerical analysis section. After making the Borel transformation, the form factors can be written as the following form.

Form factor $f_1(q^2)$ with structure p_ν is

$$\begin{aligned} 2f_{\Xi_c} M_{\Xi_c} f_1(q^2) e^{-M_{\Xi_c}^2/M_B^2} &= - \int_{\alpha_{20}}^1 \frac{d\alpha_2}{\alpha_2} \rho_0^1(\alpha_2) e^{-s/M_B^2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{M_B^2} \int_{\alpha_{20}}^1 \frac{d\alpha_2}{\alpha_2^2} \rho_1^1(\alpha_2, q^2) e^{-s/M_B^2} + \frac{\rho_1^1(\alpha_{20}, q^2) e^{-s_0/M_B^2}}{\alpha_{20}^2 M_{\Xi}^2 - q^2 + m_c^2} \\ &- \frac{1}{2M_B^4} \int_{\alpha_{20}}^1 \frac{d\alpha_2}{\alpha_2^3} \rho_2^1(\alpha_2, q^2) e^{-s/M_B^2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\rho_2^1(\alpha_{20}, q^2) e^{-s_0/M_B^2}}{\alpha_{20} M_B^2 (\alpha_{20}^2 M_{\Xi}^2 - q^2 + m_c^2)} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha_{20}^2}{\alpha_{20}^2 M_{\Xi}^2 - q^2 + m_c^2} \left[\frac{d}{d\alpha_{20}} \frac{\rho_2^1(\alpha_{20}, q^2)}{\alpha_{20} (\alpha_{20}^2 M_{\Xi}^2 - q^2 + m_c^2)} \right] e^{-s_0/M_B^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Where $\rho_i^1(\alpha_2, q^2)$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$) are

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_0^1(\alpha_2) &= [2\alpha_2 D_0(\alpha_2) + 2D_1(\alpha_2) - 3\alpha_2 D_2(\alpha)] M_{\Xi} - 2m_c F_0(\alpha_2), \\ \rho_1^1(\alpha_2, q^2) &= - [2\alpha_2(\alpha_2 - 1) M_{\Xi}^2 - (2\alpha_2 - 1) q^2 + 2\alpha_2 M_{\Xi}^2] M_{\Xi} D_1(\alpha_2) \\ &\quad + \alpha_2 M_{\Xi}^3 [\alpha_2 D_3(\alpha_2) + \alpha_2 D_4(\alpha_2) + 4D_5] - \alpha_2 M_{\Xi}^2 m_c [F_3(\alpha_2) + 2F_4(\alpha_2)], \\ \rho_2^1(\alpha_2, q^2) &= 2M_{\Xi}^2 m_c [q^2 F_5(\alpha_2) + 2\alpha_2^2 M_{\Xi}^2 F_7(\alpha_2)] + 4\alpha_2 M_{\Xi}^3 [\alpha_2(\alpha_2 - 1) M_{\Xi}^2 + \alpha_2 M_{\Xi}^2 - (\alpha_2 - 1) q^2] D_5(\alpha_2). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Form factors $f_2(q^2)$ with structure $p_\nu \not{q}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} -2f_{\Xi_c} f_2(q^2) e^{-M_{\Xi_c}^2/M_B^2} &= \int_{\alpha_{20}}^1 \frac{d\alpha_2}{\alpha_2} \rho_0^2(\alpha_2) e^{-s/M_B^2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{M_B^2} \int_{\alpha_{20}}^1 \frac{d\alpha_2}{\alpha_2^2} \rho_1^2(\alpha_2) e^{-s/M_B^2} + \frac{\rho_1^2(\alpha_{20}) e^{-s_0/M_B^2}}{\alpha_{20}^2 M_{\Xi}^2 - q^2 + m_c^2} \\ &- \frac{1}{2M_B^4} \int_{\alpha_{20}}^1 \frac{d\alpha_2}{\alpha_2^3} \rho_2^2(\alpha_2) e^{-s/M_B^2} - \frac{\rho_2^2(\alpha_{20}) e^{-s_0/M_B^2}}{2\alpha_{20} M_B^2 (\alpha_{20}^2 M_{\Xi}^2 - q^2 + m_c^2)} \\ &+ \frac{\alpha_{20}^2}{2(\alpha_{20}^2 M_{\Xi}^2 - q^2 + m_c^2)} \left[\frac{d}{d\alpha_{20}} \frac{\rho_2^2(\alpha_{20})}{\alpha_{20} (\alpha_{20}^2 M_{\Xi}^2 - q^2 + m_c^2)} \right] e^{-s_0/M_B^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Where $\rho_i^2(\alpha_2)$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$) are

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_0^2(\alpha_2) &= D_0(\alpha_2), \\ \rho_1^2(\alpha_2) &= -\alpha_2 M_{\Xi}^2 [D_1(\alpha_2) + D_3(\alpha_2) + D_4(\alpha_2)] - M_{\Xi} m_c F_1(\alpha_2), \end{aligned}$$

$$\rho_2^2(\alpha_2) = 2\alpha_2 M_{\Xi}^3 m_c [F_5(\alpha_2) + 2F_7(\alpha_2)]. \quad (12)$$

Form factor $f_3(q^2)$ with the structure $q_\nu \not{q}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\Xi_c} [f_2(q^2) - f_3(q^2)] e^{-M_{\Xi_c}/M_B^2} &= \frac{1}{M_B^2} \int_{\alpha_{20}}^1 \frac{d\alpha_2}{\alpha_2^2} \rho_1^3(\alpha_2) e^{-s/M_B^2} + \frac{\rho_1^3(\alpha_{20}) e^{-s_0/M_B^2}}{\alpha_{20}^2 M_{\Xi}^2 - q^2 + m_c^2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2M_B^4} \int_{\alpha_{20}}^1 \frac{d\alpha_2}{\alpha_2^3} \rho_2^3(\alpha_2) e^{-s/M_B^2} + \frac{\rho_2^3(\alpha_{20}) e^{-s_0/M_B^2}}{2\alpha_{20} M_B^2 (\alpha_{20}^2 M_{\Xi}^2 - q^2 + m_c^2)} \\ &- \frac{\alpha_{20}^2}{2(\alpha_{20}^2 M_{\Xi}^2 - q^2 + m_c^2)} \left[\frac{d}{d\alpha_{20}} \frac{\rho_2^3(\alpha_{20})}{\alpha_{20} (\alpha_{20}^2 M_{\Xi}^2 - q^2 + m_c^2)} \right] e^{-s_0/M_B^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Where $\rho_i^3(\alpha_2)$ ($i = 1, 2$) is

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1^3(\alpha_2) &= M_{\Xi}^2 [D_1(\alpha_2) + D_3(\alpha_2) + D_4(\alpha_2)], \\ \rho_2^3(\alpha_2) &= 2M_{\Xi}^3 m_c [F_5(\alpha_2) + 2F_7(\alpha_2)]. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Form factor $g_1(q^2)$ with the structure $p_\nu \not{\gamma}_5$ is

$$\begin{aligned} -2f_{\Xi_c} M_{\Xi_c} g_1(q^2) e^{-M_{\Xi_c}^2/M_B^2} &= - \int_{\alpha_{20}}^1 \frac{d\alpha_2}{\alpha_2} \rho_0^{11}(\alpha_2) e^{-s/M_B^2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{M_B^2} \int_{\alpha_{20}}^1 \frac{d\alpha_2}{\alpha_2^2} \rho_1^{11}(\alpha_2, q^2) e^{-s/M_B^2} + \frac{\rho_1^{11}(\alpha_{20}, q^2) e^{-s_0/M_B^2}}{\alpha_{20}^2 M_{\Xi}^2 - q^2 + m_c^2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2M_B^4} \int_{\alpha_{20}}^1 \frac{d\alpha_2}{\alpha_2^3} \rho_2^{11}(\alpha_2, q^2) e^{-s/M_B^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\rho_2^{11}(\alpha_{20}, q^2)}{\alpha_{20} M_B^2 (\alpha_{20}^2 M_{\Xi}^2 - q^2 + m_c^2)} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha_{20}^2}{\alpha_{20}^2 M_{\Xi}^2 - q^2 + m_c^2} \left[\frac{d}{d\alpha_{20}} \frac{\rho_2^{11}(\alpha_{20}, q^2)}{\alpha_{20} (\alpha_{20}^2 M_{\Xi}^2 - q^2 + m_c^2)} \right] e^{-s_0/M_B^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Where $\rho_i^{11}(\alpha_2, q^2)$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$) are

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_0^{11}(\alpha_2) &= M_{\Xi} [2\alpha_2 E_0(\alpha_2) - 2E_1(\alpha_2) + 3\alpha_2 E_2(\alpha_2)], \\ \rho_1^{11}(\alpha_2, q^2) &= [2\alpha_2(\alpha_2 - 1)M_{\Xi}^3 - (\alpha_2 - 1)M_{\Xi} q^2 + 2\alpha_2 M_{\Xi} M_{\Xi_c}^2] E_1(\alpha_2) \\ &\quad - \alpha_2 M_{\Xi}^3 [2\alpha_2 E_4(\alpha_2) - \alpha_2 E_3(\alpha_2) + E_5(\alpha_2)], \\ \rho_2^{11}(\alpha_2, q^2) &= \alpha_2 M_{\Xi}^3 [\alpha_2(\alpha_2 - 1)M_{\Xi}^2 + \alpha_2 M_{\Xi_c}^2 - (\alpha_2 - 1)q^2] E_5(\alpha_2). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Form factor $g_2(q^2)$ with the structure $p_\nu \not{q} \gamma_5$ is

$$\begin{aligned} 2f_{\Xi_c} g_2(q^2) e^{-M_{\Xi_c}/M_B^2} &= - \int_{\alpha_{20}}^1 \frac{d\alpha_2}{\alpha_2} \rho_0^{22}(\alpha_2) e^{-s/M_B^2} \\ &- \frac{1}{M_B^2} \int_{\alpha_{20}}^1 \frac{d\alpha_2}{\alpha_2^2} \rho_1^{22}(\alpha_2) e^{-s/M_B^2} - \frac{\rho_1^{22}(\alpha_{20}) e^{-s_0/M_B^2}}{\alpha_{20}^2 M_{\Xi}^2 - q^2 + m_c^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Where $\rho_i^{22}(\alpha_2)$ ($i = 0, 1$) are

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_0^{22}(\alpha_2) &= E_0(\alpha_2), \\ \rho_1^{22}(\alpha_2) &= \alpha_2 M_{\Xi}^2 [E_1(\alpha_2) - E_3(\alpha_2) + 2E_4(\alpha_2)]. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Form factor $g_3(q^2)$ with the structure $q_\nu \not{q} \gamma_5$ is

$$f_{\Xi_c} [g_3(q^2) - g_2(q^2)] e^{-M_{\Xi_c}/M_B^2} = \frac{1}{M_B^2} \int_{\alpha_{20}}^1 \frac{d\alpha_2}{\alpha_2^2} \rho_1^{33}(\alpha_2) e^{-s/M_B^2} + \frac{\rho_1^{33}(\alpha_{20}) e^{-s_0/M_B^2}}{\alpha_{20}^2 M_{\Xi}^2 - q^2 + m_c^2}. \quad (19)$$

Where $\rho_1^{33}(\alpha_2)$ are

$$\rho_1^{33}(\alpha_2) = M_{\Xi}^2 [E_1(\alpha_2) - E_3(\alpha_2) + 2E_4(\alpha_2)]. \quad (20)$$

In the above form factors, the parameter s on the exponential is

$$s = (1 - \alpha_2)M_{\Xi}^2 - \frac{1 - \alpha_2}{\alpha_2}q^2 + \frac{m_c^2}{\alpha_2}. \quad (21)$$

And α_{20} which is related with the threshold s_0 is expressed as

$$\alpha_{20} = \frac{(q^2 - s_0 + M_{\Xi}^2) + \sqrt{(q^2 - s_0 + M_{\Xi}^2)^2 - 4M_{\Xi}^2(q^2 - m_c^2)}}{2M_{\Xi}^2}. \quad (22)$$

$\alpha_i (i = 1, 2, 3)$ are the components of quark coordinate.

The light-cone distribution amplitudes used above are defined as follows

$$D_0(\alpha_2) = \int_0^{1-\alpha_2} d\alpha_1 V_1(\alpha),$$

$$D_1(\alpha_2) = \int_0^{\alpha_2} d\alpha'_2 \int_0^{1-\alpha'_2} (V_1 - V_2 - V_3)(\alpha'),$$

$$D_2(\alpha_2) = \int_0^{1-\alpha_2} d\alpha_1 V_3(\alpha),$$

$$D_3(\alpha_2) = \int_0^{\alpha_2} d\alpha'_2 \int_0^{1-\alpha'_2} d\alpha_1 (-2V_1 + V_3 + V_4 + 2V_5)(\alpha'),$$

$$D_4(\alpha_2) = \int_0^{\alpha_2} d\alpha'_2 \int_0^{1-\alpha'_2} d\alpha_1 (V_4 - V_3)(\alpha'),$$

$$D_5(\alpha_2) = \int_0^{\alpha_2} d\alpha'_2 \int_0^{\alpha'_2} d\alpha''_2 \int_0^{1-\alpha''_2} d\alpha_1 (-V_1 + V_2 + V_3 + V_4 + V_5 - V_6)(\alpha''),$$

$$E_0(\alpha_2) = \int_0^{1-\alpha_2} d\alpha_1 A_1(\alpha),$$

$$E_1(\alpha_2) = \int_0^{\alpha_2} d\alpha'_2 \int_0^{1-\alpha'_2} d\alpha_1 (-A_1 + A_2 - A_3)(\alpha'),$$

$$E_2(\alpha_2) = \int_0^{1-\alpha_2} d\alpha_1 A_3(\alpha),$$

$$E_3(\alpha_2) = \int_0^{\alpha_2} d\alpha'_2 \int_0^{1-\alpha'_2} d\alpha_1 (-2A_1 - A_3 - A_4 + 2A_5)(\alpha'),$$

$$E_4(\alpha_2) = \int_0^{\alpha_2} d\alpha'_2 \int_0^{1-\alpha'_2} d\alpha_1 (A_3 - A_4)(\alpha'),$$

$$E_5(\alpha_2) = \int_0^{\alpha_2} d\alpha'_2 \int_0^{\alpha'_2} d\alpha''_2 \int_0^{1-\alpha''_2} d\alpha_1 (A_1 - A_2 + A_3 + A_4 - A_5 + A_6)(\alpha''),$$

$$F_0(\alpha_2) = \int_0^{1-\alpha_2} d\alpha_1 T_1(\alpha),$$

$$F_1(\alpha_2) = \int_0^{\alpha_2} d\alpha'_2 \int_0^{1-\alpha'_2} d\alpha_1 (T_1 + T_2 - 2T_3)(\alpha'),$$

$$F_2(\alpha_2) = \int_0^{1-\alpha_2} d\alpha_1 T_7(\alpha),$$

$$F_3(\alpha_2) = \int_0^{\alpha_2} d\alpha'_2 \int_0^{1-\alpha'_2} d\alpha_1 (T_1 - T_2 - 2T_7)(\alpha'),$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_4(\alpha_2) &= \int_0^{\alpha_2} d\alpha'_2 \int_0^{1-\alpha'_2} d\alpha_1 (-T_1 + T_5 + 2T_8)(\alpha'), \\ F_5(\alpha_2) &= \int_0^{\alpha_2} d\alpha'_2 \int_0^{\alpha'_2} d\alpha''_2 \int_0^{1-\alpha''_2} d\alpha_1 (2T_2 - 2T_3 - 2T_4 \\ &\quad + 2T_5 + 2T_7 + 2T_8)(\alpha''), \\ F_6(\alpha_2) &= \int_0^{\alpha_2} d\alpha'_2 \int_0^{1-\alpha'_2} d\alpha_1 (T_7 - T_8)(\alpha'), \\ F_7(\alpha_2) &= \int_0^{\alpha_2} d\alpha'_2 \int_0^{\alpha'_2} d\alpha''_2 \int_0^{1-\alpha''_2} d\alpha_1 (-T_1 + T_2 + T_5 \\ &\quad - T_6 + 2T_7 + 2T_8)(\alpha'') \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Where α , α' and α'' are $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, 1 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2)$, $(\alpha_1, \alpha'_2, 1 - \alpha_1 - \alpha'_2)$ and $(\alpha_1, \alpha''_2, 1 - \alpha_1 - \alpha''_2)$ respectively. The distribution amplitudes of Ξ baryon are listed in table I with the increasing twists to twist six.

III. NUMERICAL ANALYSIS AND CONCLUSIONS

In the numerical analysis, the parameters of baryons and leptons mass in the following analysis are adopted from PDG group. They are listed in table II. The parameters in table I of the light-cone distribution amplitudes have been given in reference [10] and list in the following,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_3^0 &= \phi_6^0 = f_{\Xi}, \\ \psi_4^0 &= \psi_5^0 = \frac{1}{2}(f_{\Xi} - \lambda_1), \\ \phi_4^0 &= \phi_5^0 = \frac{1}{2}(f_{\Xi} + \lambda_1), \\ \phi_3^{\prime 0} &= \phi_6^{\prime 0} = -\xi_5^0 = \frac{1}{6}(4\lambda_3 - \lambda_2), \\ \phi_4^{\prime 0} &= \xi_4^0 = \frac{1}{6}(8\lambda_3 - 3\lambda_2), \\ \phi_5^{\prime 0} &= -\xi_5^{\prime 0} = \frac{1}{6}\lambda_2, \\ \xi_4^{\prime 0} &= \frac{1}{6}(12\lambda_3 - 5\lambda_2). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

And where

$$f_{\Xi} = (9.9 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3} \text{GeV}^2,$$

TABLE I. Distribution amplitudes with increasing twists to six.

Twist-3	Twist-4	Twist-5	Twist-6
$V_1(x_i) = 120x_1x_2x_3\phi_3^0,$	$V_2(x_i) = 24x_1x_2\phi_4^0,$	$V_4(x_i) = 3(x_1 - x_3)\psi_5^0,$	$V_6(x_i) = 2\phi_6^0,$
$A_1(x_i) = 0,$	$A_2(x_i) = 0,$	$A_4(x_i) = 3(x_1 - x_2)\psi_5^0,$	$A_6(x_i) = 0,$
$T_1(x_i) = 120x_1x_2x_3\phi_3^{\prime 0}.$	$V_3(x_i) = 12x_3(x_1 - x_2)\psi_4^0,$	$V_5(x_i) = 6x_3\phi_5^0,$	$T_6(x_i) = 2\phi_6^{\prime 0}.$
	$A_3(x_i) = -12x_3(x_1 - x_2)\psi_4^0,$	$A_5(x_i) = 0,$	
	$T_2(x_i) = 24x_1x_2\phi_4^{\prime 0},$	$T_4(x_i) = -\frac{3}{2}(x_1 + x_2)(\xi_5^{\prime 0} + \xi_5^0),$	
	$T_3(x_i) = 6x_3(1 - x_3)(\xi_4^0 + \xi_4^{\prime 0}),$	$T_5(x_i) = 6x_3\phi_5^{\prime 0},$	
	$T_7(x_i) = 6x_3(1 - x_3)(\xi_4^{\prime 0} - \xi_4^0).$	$T_8(x_i) = \frac{3}{2}(x_1 + x_2)(\xi_5^{\prime 0} - \xi_5^0).$	

TABLE II. Mass parameters of baryons and leptons.

Parameters	PDG value
$M_{\Xi_c^0}$	2.471 GeV
$M_{\Xi_c^+}$	2.46771 GeV
M_{Ξ^-}	1.3217 GeV
M_{Ξ^0}	1.31486 GeV
m_c	1.27 GeV
m_e	0.51 MeV
m_μ	105.658 MeV

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_1 &= -(2.8 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-2} \text{GeV}^2, \\
\lambda_2 &= (5.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2} \text{GeV}^2, \\
\lambda_3 &= (1.7 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-2} \text{GeV}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

By using these parameters, the pictures of form factors $f_i(i = 1, 2, 3)$ and $g_i(i = 1, 2, 3)$ can be plotted by the expressions from equation (9) to (19). Because light-cone QCD sum rules are not suitable on the whole physical region $0 \leq q^2 \leq (M_{\Xi_c} - M_{\Xi})^2$. In this work, we adopt the light-cone QCD sum rules matching region $0 \leq q^2 \leq 0.6 \text{GeV}^2$. In the purpose to suppress the higher twists contribution, the Borel transformations have been made on the hadronic level and theoretical level. In that case, an addition Borel parameter M_B is introduced. The proper choice of Borel parameter will make the results reliable and stable. So the choice of M_B^2 in this work makes $10 \leq M_B^2 \leq 12 \text{GeV}^2$. The another parameter we need is the threshold of heavy baryon s_0 , it should be larger than the energy of Ξ_c baryon with the setting value in this work $7 \leq s_0 \leq 8 \text{GeV}^2$. With the basic parameters which set, the pictures of $\Xi_c \rightarrow \Xi$ transition form factors in the light-cone QCD sum rules region are plotted in fig 1.

The momentum transfer square pictured in figure 1 should be extrapolated on the whole physical regions. For this purpose, the dipole fitting formulas are used to achieve this aim. Which is

$$f_i(q^2)/g_i(q^2) = \frac{f_i(0)/g_i(0)}{a(q^2/M_{\Xi_c}^2)^2 + b(q^2/M_{\Xi_c}^2) + 1}. \tag{26}$$

Where a and b are two fitting parameters and are listed with $f_i(0)/g_i(0)$ in table III.

In figure 2 the semileptonic decays of Ξ_c^0 are explicated. The pictures and results of $\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Xi^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ semileptonic

TABLE III. Form factors at zero momentum transfer square and fitting parameters a and b in the correspondence dipole formulas at $s_0 = 7.5 \text{GeV}^2$ and $M_B^2 = 11 \text{GeV}^2$.

$f_i(q^2)$	$f_i(0)$	a	b	$g_i(q^2)$	$g_i(0)$	a	b
$f_1(q^2)$	-0.94	0.83	-2.88	$g_1(q^2)$	0.03	81.84	-16.39
$f_2(q^2)$	0.43	8.57	-4.81	$g_2(q^2)$	-0.20	2.83	-2.0
$f_3(q^2)$	-0.43	-2.64	-0.87	$g_3(q^2)$	1.15	5.23	-4.43

decays can be obtained with the same steps. Absolute branching ratios of $\Xi_c \rightarrow \Xi \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ rely on the mean lifetime of Ξ_c^0 and Ξ_c^+ , and the PDG average values give the suggestion that the two charm baryon lifetimes are $\tau_{\Xi_c^0} = (153 \pm 6) \times 10^{-15} \text{s}$ and $\tau_{\Xi_c^+} = (456 \pm 5) \times 10^{-15} \text{s}$.

With the helicity amplitude representation of semileptonic decay widths, we have the following equation of differential decay width which can be written as two polarized decay width

$$\frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2} = \frac{d\Gamma_L}{dq^2} + \frac{d\Gamma_T}{dq^2} \tag{27}$$

and the total decay width is

$$\Gamma = \int_{m_l^2}^{(M_{\Xi_c} - M_{\Xi})^2} dq^2 \frac{d\Gamma}{dq^2}, \tag{28}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\Gamma_L}{dq^2} &= \frac{G_F^2 |V_{cs}|^2 q^2 p (1 - \hat{m}_l^2)^2}{384\pi^3 M_{\Xi_c}^2} [(2 + \hat{m}_l^2)(|H_{\frac{1}{2},0}|^2 \\
&\quad + |H_{-\frac{1}{2},0}|^2) + 3\hat{m}_l^2(|H_{\frac{1}{2},t}|^2 + |H_{-\frac{1}{2},t}|^2)], \tag{29} \\
\frac{d\Gamma_T}{dq^2} &= \frac{G_F^2 |V_{cs}|^2 q^2 p (1 - \hat{m}_l^2)^2 (2 + \hat{m}_l^2)}{384\pi^3 M_{\Xi_c}^2} (|H_{\frac{1}{2},1}|^2 \\
&\quad + |H_{-\frac{1}{2},-1}|^2). \tag{30}
\end{aligned}$$

In the above equations, $p = \sqrt{Q_+ Q_-}/2M_{\Xi_c}$, $Q_\pm = (M_{\Xi_c} \pm M_{\Xi})^2 - q^2$ and $\hat{m}_l \equiv m_l/\sqrt{q^2}$, m_l are the mass of leptons. G_F is the fermi constant and $|V_{cs}|$ is the CKM matrix element, they are $G_F = 1.66 \times 10^{-5} \text{GeV}^{-2}$ and $|V_{cs}| = 0.987$ respectively [30]. The related expressions of helicity connected with form factors are given by

$$H_{\frac{1}{2},0}^V = -i \frac{\sqrt{Q_-}}{\sqrt{q^2}} [(M_{\Xi_c} + M_{\Xi})f_1(q^2) - \frac{q^2}{M_{\Xi_c}} f_2(q^2)], \tag{31}$$




FIG. 1. The form factors f_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) and g_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) vary from zero momentum transfer square to $q^2 = 0.6 \text{ GeV}^2$ with threshold $s_0 = 7.5 \text{ GeV}^2$




FIG. 2. Differential decay width of $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- e^+ \nu_e$ (left) and $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu$ (right) at $s_0 = 7.5 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $M_B^2 = 11 \text{ GeV}^2$.

$$H_{\frac{1}{2},1}^V = i\sqrt{2Q_-} \left[-f_1(q^2) + \frac{M_{\Xi_c} + M_{\Xi}}{M_{\Xi_c}} f_2(q^2) \right], \quad (32)$$

$$H_{\frac{1}{2},t}^V = -i \frac{\sqrt{Q_+}}{\sqrt{q^2}} \left[(M_{\Xi_c} - M_{\Xi}) f_1(q^2) + \frac{q^2}{M_{\Xi_c}} f_3(q^2) \right], \quad (33)$$

$$H_{\frac{1}{2},0}^A = -i \frac{\sqrt{Q_+}}{\sqrt{q^2}} \left[(M_{\Xi_c} - M_{\Xi}) g_1(q^2) + \frac{q^2}{M_{\Xi_c}} g_2(q^2) \right], \quad (34)$$

$$H_{\frac{1}{2},1}^A = i\sqrt{2Q_+} \left[-g_1(q^2) - \frac{M_{\Xi_c} - M_{\Xi}}{M_{\Xi_c}} g_2(q^2) \right], \quad (35)$$

$$H_{\frac{1}{2},t}^A = -i \frac{\sqrt{Q_-}}{\sqrt{q^2}} \left[(M_{\Xi_c} + M_{\Xi}) g_1(q^2) - \frac{q^2}{M_{\Xi_c}} g_3(q^2) \right]. \quad (36)$$

The negative helicity amplitudes can be given by the positive helicity amplitudes as

$$H_{-\lambda,-\lambda_W}^V = H_{\lambda,\lambda_W}^V,$$

$$H_{-\lambda,-\lambda_W}^A = -H_{\lambda,\lambda_W}^A. \quad (37)$$

λ and λ_W are the polarizations of the final Ξ baryon and W-Boson, respectively.

With the $V - A$ current, the total helicity amplitudes are expressed as

$$H_{\lambda,\lambda_W} = H_{\lambda,\lambda_W}^V - H_{\lambda,\lambda_W}^A. \quad (38)$$

Substituting the numerical value of every parameters to the differential decay width with helicity formalism, one can obtain the decay width at $s_0 = 7.5 \text{ GeV}^2$ and $M_B^2 = 11 \text{ GeV}^2$ the decay widths $\Gamma(\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- e^+ \nu_e) = 1.16 \times 10^{-13} \text{ GeV}^2$, and $\Gamma(\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu) = 1.14 \times 10^{-13} \text{ GeV}^2$. The charged charm baryon Ξ_c^+ decay widths $\Gamma(\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Xi^0 e^+ \nu_e) = 1.18 \times 10^{-13} \text{ GeV}^2$, and $\Gamma(\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Xi^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu) = 1.16 \times 10^{-13} \text{ GeV}^2$. With these decay widths and the lifetimes of Ξ_c^0 and Ξ_c^+ baryons, the absolute branching ratios give $\mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- e^+ \nu_e) = (2.69 \pm 0.33)\%$, $\mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu) = (2.65 \pm 0.32)\%$, $\mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Xi^0 e^+ \nu_e) = (8.15 \pm 0.99)\%$ and $\mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Xi^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu) = (8.04 \pm 0.95)\%$.

TABLE IV. Absolute branching ratios of semileptonic decay $\mathcal{B}(\Xi_c \rightarrow \Xi \ell^+ \nu_\ell) (\times 10^{-2})$.

Works	$\mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- e^+ \nu_e)$	$\mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu)$	$\mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Xi^0 e^+ \nu_e)$	$\mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Xi^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu)$
Exps	(2.48 ± 0.22) [2], (1.31 ± 0.38) [5]	(1.27 ± 0.37) [5]	-	-
This work	2.69 ± 0.33	2.65 ± 0.32	8.15 ± 0.99	8.04 ± 0.95
Lattice	(2.38 ± 0.32) [9]	(2.29 ± 0.31) [9]	(7.18 ± 0.98) [9]	(6.91 ± 0.93) [9]
LCSR	2.03 [10], $(1.43^{+0.52}_{-0.57})$ [11], (7.26 ± 2.54) [12], (1.85 ± 0.56) [13] (4.87 ± 1.74) [14], $(3.0 \pm 0.3,$ $4.10 \pm 0.46)$ [31]	(7.15 ± 2.50) [12], (1.79 ± 0.54) [13]	6.05 [10], $(4.27^{+1.55}_{-1.72})$ [11], (5.51 ± 1.65) [13]	(5.53 ± 1.61) [13]
SU(3)	$2.4 \pm 0.3, 2.7 \pm 0.2$ [15], (4.10 ± 0.46) [31]	(3.98 ± 0.57) [31]	$(3.38^{+2.19}_{-2.26})$ [14], $(11.9 \pm 1.3,$ $9.8 \pm 1.1, 10.7 \pm 0.9)$ [15]	-
LFQM	1.35 [16], (1.72 ± 0.35) [18], (3.49 ± 0.95) [17]	(3.34 ± 0.94) [17]	5.39 [16], (5.20 ± 1.02) [18], (11.3 ± 3.35) [17]	-
RQM	2.38 [19]	2.31 [19]	9.40 [19]	9.11 [19]
QCDSR	(3.4 ± 0.7) [20]	-	(10.2 ± 2.2) [20]	-
PDG	(1.8 ± 1.2) [30]	-	(7 ± 4) [30]	-

The errors come from the chosen range of threshold s_0 and Borel parameters M_B and about ten percentage, so the other parameters errors are all included. This gives the ratios $\mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- e^+ \nu_e)/\mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- \mu^+ \nu_\mu) = 1.01$, and $\mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Xi^0 e^+ \nu_e)/\mathcal{B}(\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Xi^0 \mu^+ \nu_\mu) = 1.01$. It's a good case to establish the lepton flavor universality.

In conclusion, the semileptonic decay of $\Xi_c \rightarrow \Xi$ are investigated in the framework of light-cone QCD sum rules. And the form factors of $\Xi_c \rightarrow \Xi$ weak decay are calculated by this method. Using these form factors and the helicity formalism differential decay width, the absolute branching ratios of semileptonic decay of $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ and $\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Xi^0 \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ are calculated and list in table IV. Our results are larger than what Belle collaboration reported but are consistent with ALICE collaboration's result, and are also in accordance with PDG

averaged. The comparisons with other theoretical works such as lattice calculation (Lattice), light-cone QCD sum rules (LCSR), SU(3) flavor symmetry (SU(3)), light-front quark model (LFQM), relativistic quark model (RQM), QCD sum rules (QCDSR) and experiments (Exps) are also listed in table IV. The ratios of electron final state and muon final state processes show that the lepton flavor universality is held and consistent with the experimental results.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Contract No. 11675263.

-
- [1] J. H. Zhu (ALICE), Hadronization studies at the LHC with ALICE, [PoS ICHEP2020, 524 \(2021\)](#), [arXiv:2104.11309 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [2] S. Acharya *et al.* (ALICE), Measurement of the Cross Sections of Ξ_c^0 and Ξ_c^+ Baryons and of the Branching-Fraction Ratio $\text{BR}(\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- e^+ \nu_e)/\text{BR}(\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- \pi^+)$ in pp collisions at 13 TeV, [Phys. Rev. Lett. **127**, 272001 \(2021\)](#), [arXiv:2105.05187 \[nucl-ex\]](#).
- [3] Y. B. Li *et al.* (Belle), First Measurements of Absolute Branching Fractions of the Ξ_c^0 Baryon at Belle, [Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 082001 \(2019\)](#), [arXiv:1811.09738 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [4] Y. B. Li *et al.* (Belle), First measurements of absolute branching fractions of the Ξ_c^+ baryon at Belle, [Phys. Rev. D **100**, 031101 \(2019\)](#), [arXiv:1904.12093 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [5] Y. B. Li *et al.* (Belle), Measurements of the Branching Fractions of the Semileptonic Decays $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ and the Asymmetry Parameter of $\Xi_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- \pi^+$, [Phys. Rev. Lett. **127**, 121803 \(2021\)](#), [arXiv:2103.06496 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [6] H. Albrecht *et al.* (ARGUS), Observation of Ξ_c^0 semileptonic decay, [Phys. Lett. B **303**, 368 \(1993\)](#).
- [7] J. P. Alexander *et al.* (CLEO), First observation of $\Xi_c^+ \rightarrow \Xi^0 e^+ \nu_e$ and an estimate of the Ξ_c^+/Ξ_c^0 lifetime ratio, [Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 3113 \(1995\)](#), [Erratum: [Phys.Rev.Lett. **75**, 4155 \(1995\)](#)].
- [8] J. D. Richman and P. R. Burchat, Leptonic and semileptonic decays of charm and bottom hadrons, [Rev. Mod. Phys. **67**, 893 \(1995\)](#), [arXiv:hep-ph/9508250](#).
- [9] Q. A. Zhang *et al.*, First lattice QCD calculation of semileptonic decays of charmed-strange baryons Ξ_c^* , [Chin. Phys. C **46**, 011002 \(2022\)](#), [arXiv:2103.07064 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [10] Y. L. Liu and M. Q. Huang, Light-cone Distribution Amplitudes of Ξ and their Applications, [Phys. Rev. D **80**, 055015 \(2009\)](#), [arXiv:0909.0372 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [11] Y. L. Liu and M. Q. Huang, A light-cone QCD sum rule approach for the Ξ baryon electromagnetic form factors and the semileptonic decay $\Xi_c \rightarrow \Xi e^+ \nu_e$, [J. Phys. G **37**, 115010 \(2010\)](#), [arXiv:1102.4245 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [12] K. Azizi, Y. Sarac, and H. Sundu, Light cone QCD sum

- rules study of the semileptonic heavy Ξ_Q and Ξ'_Q transitions to Ξ and Σ baryons, *Eur. Phys. J. A* **48**, 2 (2012), [arXiv:1107.5925 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [13] T. M. Aliev, S. Bilmis, and M. Savci, Semileptonic Ξ_c baryon decays in the light cone QCD sum rules, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 054030 (2021), [arXiv:2108.01378 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [14] C. Q. Geng, Y. K. Hsiao, C. W. Liu, and T. H. Tsai, Antitriplet charmed baryon decays with SU(3) flavor symmetry, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 073006 (2018), [arXiv:1801.03276 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [15] C. Q. Geng, C. W. Liu, T. H. Tsai, and S. W. Yeh, Semileptonic decays of anti-triplet charmed baryons, *Phys. Lett. B* **792**, 214 (2019), [arXiv:1901.05610 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [16] Z. X. Zhao, Weak decays of heavy baryons in the light-front approach, *Chin. Phys. C* **42**, 093101 (2018), [arXiv:1803.02292 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [17] C. Q. Geng, C. W. Liu, and T. H. Tsai, Semileptonic weak decays of antitriplet charmed baryons in the light-front formalism, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 054018 (2021), [arXiv:2012.04147 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [18] H. W. Ke, Q. Q. Kang, X. H. Liu, and X. Q. Li, Weak decays of $\Xi_c^{(\prime)}$ \rightarrow Ξ in the light-front quark model *, *Chin. Phys. C* **45**, 113103 (2021), [arXiv:2106.07013 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [19] R. N. Faustov and V. O. Galkin, Semileptonic Ξ_c baryon decays in the relativistic quark model, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79**, 695 (2019), [arXiv:1905.08652 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [20] Z.-X. Zhao, Semi-leptonic form factors of $\Xi_c \rightarrow \Xi$ in QCD sum rules, [arXiv:2103.09436 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [21] H. H. Duan, Y. L. Liu, and M. Q. Huang, Semileptonic decay of $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Xi^- l^+ \nu_l$ from light-cone sum rules, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, 168 (2021), [arXiv:2010.16176 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [22] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein, and V. I. Zakharov, QCD and Resonance Physics. Theoretical Foundations, *Nucl. Phys. B* **147**, 385 (1979).
- [23] V. L. Chernyak and A. R. Zhitnitsky, Asymptotic Behavior of Exclusive Processes in QCD, *Phys. Rept.* **112**, 173 (1984).
- [24] M. Q. Huang and D. W. Wang, Light cone QCD sum rules for the semileptonic decay $\Lambda_b \rightarrow p \ell \bar{\nu}$, *Phys. Rev. D* **69**, 094003 (2004), [arXiv:hep-ph/0401094](#).
- [25] Y. M. Wang, Y. L. Shen, and C. D. Lu, $\Lambda_b \rightarrow p, \Lambda$ transition form factors from QCD light-cone sum rules, *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 074012 (2009), [arXiv:0907.4008 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [26] Z. G. Wang, Analysis of the $\frac{1}{2}^\pm$ antitriplet heavy baryon states with QCD sum rules, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **68**, 479 (2010), [arXiv:1001.1652 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [27] V. L. Chernyak, A. A. Ogloblin, and I. R. Zhitnitsky, Wave Functions of Octet Baryons, *Yad. Fiz.* **48**, 1410 (1988).
- [28] V. Braun, R. J. Fries, N. Mahnke, and E. Stein, Higher twist distribution amplitudes of the nucleon in QCD, *Nucl. Phys. B* **589**, 381 (2000), [Erratum: *Nucl. Phys. B* 607, 433–433 (2001)], [arXiv:hep-ph/0007279](#).
- [29] Y. L. Liu and M. Q. Huang, Distribution amplitudes of Sigma and Lambda and their electromagnetic form factors, *Nucl. Phys. A* **821**, 80 (2009), [arXiv:0811.1812 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [30] P. Zyla *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Review of Particle Physics, *PTEP* **2020**, 083C01 (2020).
- [31] X. G. He, F. Huang, W. Wang, and Z. P. Xing, SU(3) symmetry and its breaking effects in semileptonic heavy baryon decays, *Phys. Lett. B* **823**, 136765 (2021), [arXiv:2110.04179 \[hep-ph\]](#).