

# Universality of Descendent Integrals over Moduli Spaces of Stable Sheaves on $K3$ Surfaces

Georg OBERDIECK

*Mathematisches Institut, Universität Bonn, Endenicher Allee 60, D-53115 Bonn, Germany*

E-mail: [georgo@math.uni-bonn.de](mailto:georgo@math.uni-bonn.de)

Received January 23, 2022, in final form October 06, 2022; Published online October 13, 2022

<https://doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.2022.076>

**Abstract.** We interpret results of Markman on monodromy operators as a universality statement for descendent integrals over moduli spaces of stable sheaves on  $K3$  surfaces. This yields effective methods to reduce these descendent integrals to integrals over the punctual Hilbert scheme of the  $K3$  surface. As an application we establish the higher rank Segre–Verlinde correspondence for  $K3$  surfaces as conjectured by Göttsche and Kool.

*Key words:* moduli spaces of sheaves;  $K3$  surfaces; descendent integrals

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 14D20; 14J28; 14J80; 14J60

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Descendent integrals

Let  $M$  be a proper and fine<sup>1</sup> moduli space of Gieseker stable sheaves  $F$  on a  $K3$  surface  $S$  with Mukai vector

$$v(F) := \mathrm{ch}(F)\sqrt{\mathrm{td}_S} = v \in H^*(S, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Let  $\pi_M, \pi_S$  be the projections of  $M \times S$  to the factors and let  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{Coh}(M \times S)$  be a universal family. We define the  $k$ -th descendent of a class  $\gamma \in H^*(S, \mathbb{Q})$  by

$$\tau_k(\gamma) = \pi_{M*}(\pi_S^*(\gamma)\mathrm{ch}_k(\mathcal{F})) \in H^*(M). \quad (1.1)$$

Let  $P(c_1, c_2, c_3, \dots)$  be a polynomial and consider an arbitrary integral of descendents and Chern classes of the tangent bundle over the moduli space:

$$\int_M \tau_{k_1}(\gamma_1) \cdots \tau_{k_\ell}(\gamma_\ell) P(c_r(T_M)). \quad (1.2)$$

The goal of this paper is to explain the following application of Markman’s work [13] on monodromy operators:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Any integral of the form (1.2) can be effectively reconstructed from the set of all integrals (1.2), where  $M$  is replaced by the Hilbert scheme of  $n$  points of a  $K3$  surface, with  $n = \dim M/2$ .*

We refer to Section 2 for the precise form the reconstruction of the theorem takes. In particular, Theorem 2.9 is a universality statement for descendent integrals over  $M$ , that immediately implies Theorem 1.1.

---

This paper is a contribution to the Special Issue on Enumerative and Gauge-Theoretic Invariants in honor of Lothar Göttsche on the occasion of his 60th birthday. The full collection is available at <https://www.emis.de/journals/SIGMA/Gottsche.html>

<sup>1</sup>See Remark 2.1 for the extension to the case where only a quasi-universal family exists.

## 1.2 Segre numbers

As a concrete application of Theorem 1.1 we prove a conjecture of Göttsche and Kool which was made in [3, Conjecture 5.1]: Consider the decomposition of  $v \in H^*(S, \mathbb{Z})$  according to degree

$$v = (\mathrm{rk}(v), c_1(v), v_2) \in H^0(S, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H^2(S, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus H^4(S, \mathbb{Z}),$$

and assume that

$$\mathrm{rk}(v) > 0.$$

For any topological  $K$ -theory class  $\alpha \in K(S)$  define

$$\alpha_M = \mathrm{ch}(-\pi_{M*}(\pi_S^*(\alpha) \otimes \mathcal{F} \otimes \det(\mathcal{F})^{-1/\mathrm{rk}(v)}))$$

whenever a  $\mathrm{rk}(v)$ -th root of  $\det(\mathcal{F})$  exists. Otherwise we define  $\alpha_M$  by a formal application of the Grothendieck–Riemann–Roch formula. Let  $c(\alpha_M)$  be the Chern class corresponding to  $\alpha_M$ , see Remark 2.5.

For  $\sigma \in H^*(S)$  consider, with the same convention if the root does not exist, the class

$$\mu_M(\sigma) = -\pi_{M*}(\mathrm{ch}_2(\mathcal{F} \otimes \det(\mathcal{F})^{-1/\mathrm{rk}(v)})\pi_S^*(\sigma)).$$

We will usually drop the subscript  $M$  from the notation.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $n = \frac{1}{2} \dim M$  and let  $\mathbf{p} \in H^4(S, \mathbb{Z})$  be the class of a point. For any  $\alpha \in K(S)$ , class  $L \in H^2(S)$  and  $u \in \mathbb{C}$  we have*

$$\int_M c(\alpha_M) e^{\mu(L) + u\mu(\mathbf{p})} = \int_{S^{[n]}} c(\beta_{S^{[n]}}) e^{\mu(L) + u\mathrm{rk}(v)\mu(\mathbf{p})},$$

where  $\beta \in K(S)$  is any  $K$ -theory class such that

$$\mathrm{rk}(\beta) = \frac{\mathrm{rk}(\alpha)}{\mathrm{rk}(v)}, \quad c_1(\alpha)^2 = c_1(\beta)^2, \quad c_1(\alpha) \cdot L = c_1(\beta) \cdot L, \quad v_2(\beta) = \mathrm{rk}(v)v_2(\alpha). \quad (1.3)$$

As explained in [3, Corollary 5.2] this implies the following closed evaluation of the Segre numbers of  $M$ :

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $\rho = \mathrm{rk}(v)$ ,  $s = \mathrm{rk}(\alpha)$ ,  $n = \frac{1}{2} \dim M$ . Then we have*

$$\int_M c(\alpha_M) = \mathrm{Coeff}_{z^n}(V_s^{c_2(\alpha)} W_s^{c_1(\alpha)^2} X_s^2),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V_s(z) &= \left(1 + \left(1 - \frac{s}{\rho}\right)t\right)^{1-s} \left(1 + \left(2 - \frac{s}{\rho}\right)t\right)^s \left(1 + \left(1 - \frac{s}{\rho}\right)t\right)^{\rho-1}, \\ W_s(z) &= \left(1 + \left(1 - \frac{s}{\rho}\right)t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}s-1} \left(1 + \left(2 - \frac{s}{\rho}\right)t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(1-s)} \left(1 + \left(1 - \frac{s}{\rho}\right)t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\rho}, \\ X_s(z) &= \left(1 + \left(1 - \frac{s}{\rho}\right)t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}s^2-s} \left(1 + \left(2 - \frac{s}{\rho}\right)t\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}s^2+\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \times \left(1 + \left(1 - \frac{s}{\rho}\right)\left(2 - \frac{s}{\rho}\right)t\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 + \left(1 - \frac{s}{\rho}\right)t\right)^{-\frac{(\rho-1)^2}{2\rho}s} \end{aligned}$$

under the variable change  $z = t(1 + (1 - \frac{s}{\rho})t)^{1-\frac{s}{\rho}}$ .

The Segre numbers of the Hilbert scheme of  $n$  points on the  $K3$  surface  $S$  were determined by Marian, Oprea and Pandharipande [11]. In particular, they found the series  $V_s$ ,  $W_s$ ,  $X_s$ . All that Theorem 1.2 does here is move their result from Hilbert schemes to moduli spaces of sheaves of arbitrary rank. Earlier work on Segre numbers can be found in [1, 8, 9, 10, 14].

### 1.3 Segre/Verlinde correspondence

Göttsche and Kool conjectured that the Segre numbers of moduli spaces of stable sheaves on surfaces are related by an explicit correspondence to the *Verlinde numbers* of these moduli spaces. For  $K3$  surfaces the Verlinde numbers are known explicitly by

$$\chi(M, \mu(L) \otimes E^{\otimes r}) = \text{Coeff}_{w^n} (G_r^{\chi(L)} F_r^{\frac{1}{2}\chi(\mathcal{O}_S)}),$$

where

$$F_r(w) = (1+v)^{\frac{r^2}{\rho^2}} \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{\rho^2} v\right)^{-1}, \quad G_r(w) = 1+v$$

under the variable change  $w = v(1+v)^{r^2/\rho^2-1}$ , and we refer to [3, equation (4)] for the definition of the class  $\mu(L) \otimes E^{\otimes r} \in \text{Pic}(M)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . The Verlinde numbers of the Hilbert schemes of points of  $K3$  surfaces (and in particular the series  $F_r, G_r$ ) were first computed in [1]. The computation for moduli spaces of higher rank sheaves reduces to the Hilbert scheme case as shown in [4] using hyperkähler geometry, parallel to Theorem 1.2.

The functions  $F_r, G_r$  and  $V_s, W_s, X_s$  are related by the following variable change [3]:

$$\begin{aligned} F_r(w) &= V_s(z)^{\frac{s}{\rho}(\rho^{\frac{1}{2}} - \rho^{-\frac{1}{2}})^2} W_s(z)^{-\frac{4s}{\rho}} X_s(z)^2, \\ G_r(w) &= V_s(z) W_s(z)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $s = \rho + r$  and  $v = t(1 - \frac{r}{\rho}t)^{-1}$ .

Hence with Corollary 1.3 we have proven that the Segre and Verlinde numbers of moduli spaces of stable sheaves on  $K3$  surfaces are related by this variable change. This is the  $K3$  surface case of the higher-rank Segre–Verlinde correspondence conjectured by Göttsche–Kool [3, Conjecture 1.7].

**Corollary 1.4.** *The higher-rank Segre–Verlinde correspondence holds for  $K3$  surfaces.*

### 1.4 Plan

In Section 2, we use results from Markman’s beautiful article [13] to formulate a universality result for descendent integrals of moduli spaces of stable sheaves on  $K3$  surfaces, see Theorem 2.9. This immediately yields Theorem 1.1. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.2.

## 2 Markman’s universality

### 2.1 Basic definitions

Let  $S$  be a  $K3$  surface and consider the lattice  $\Lambda = H^*(S, \mathbb{Z})$  endowed with the Mukai pairing

$$(x, y) := - \int_S x^\vee y,$$

where, if we decompose an element  $x \in \Lambda$  according to degree as  $(r, D, n)$ , we have written  $x^\vee = (r, -D, n)$ . We will also write

$$\text{rk}(x) = r, \quad c_1(x) = D, \quad v_2(x) = n.$$

Given a sheaf or complex  $E$  on  $S$  the Mukai vector of  $E$  is defined by

$$v(E) = \sqrt{\text{td}_S} \cdot \text{ch}(E) \in \Lambda.$$

Let  $v \in \Lambda$  be an effective<sup>2</sup> vector,  $H$  be an ample divisor on  $S$  and let

$$M := M_H(v)$$

be the moduli space of  $H$ -stable sheaves with Mukai vector  $v$ . The moduli space is smooth and holomorphic-symplectic of dimension  $2 + (v, v)$ . We further assume that the Mukai vector  $v$  is primitive, and the polarization  $H$  is  $v$ -generic (see [7, Theorem 6.2.5]), so that  $M$  is also proper (in particular, semistability is equivalent to stability). We also assume that there exists a universal sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $M_H(v) \times S$ .

**Remark 2.1.** The results we state below also hold in the case where there exists only a twisted universal sheaf. More precisely, all statements below can be formulated in terms of the Chern character  $\text{ch}(\mathcal{F})$  alone and this class can be defined in the twisted case as well, see [12, Section 3]. The proofs carry over likewise since all ingredients hold in the twisted case as well.

**Remark 2.2.** More generally, one can also work with  $\sigma$ -stable objects for a Bridgeland stability condition in the distinguished component.

Assume from now on that<sup>3</sup>

$$\dim M = (v, v) + 2 > 2.$$

Consider the morphism  $\theta_{\mathcal{F}}: \Lambda \rightarrow H^2(M_H(v), \mathbb{Z})$  defined by

$$\theta_{\mathcal{F}}(x) = [\pi_{M*}(\text{ch}(\mathcal{F})\pi_S^*(\sqrt{\text{td}_S} \cdot x^\vee))]_2, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $[-]_k$  stands for taking the degree  $k$  component of a cohomology class. Then  $\theta_{\mathcal{F}}$  restricts to an isomorphism

$$\theta = \theta_{\mathcal{F}}|_{v^\perp}: v^\perp \xrightarrow{\cong} H^2(M_H(v), \mathbb{Z}) \quad (2.2)$$

which does not depend on the choice of universal family (use that the degree 0 component of the pushforward in (2.1) vanishes) and for which we hence have dropped the subscript  $\mathcal{F}$ . The isomorphism  $\theta$  is an isometry with respect to the Mukai pairing on the left, and the pairing given by the Beauville–Bogomolov–Fujiki form on the right. We will identify  $v^\perp \subset \Lambda$  with  $H^2(M_H(v), \mathbb{Z})$  under this isomorphism.

The universal sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  and hence its Chern character  $\text{ch}(\mathcal{F})$  is uniquely determined only up to tensoring by the pullback of a line bundle from  $M$ . Following [13], we can pick a canonical normalization as follows:

$$u_v := \exp\left(\frac{\theta_{\mathcal{F}}(v)}{(v, v)}\right) \cdot \text{ch}(\mathcal{F}) \cdot \sqrt{\text{td}_S} \in H^*(M \times S),$$

where we have suppressed the pullback by the projections to  $M$  and  $S$  in the first and last term on the right. We will follow similar conventions throughout. It is immediate to check that  $u_v$  is independent from the choice of universal family (replace  $\mathcal{F}$  by  $\mathcal{F} \otimes \pi_M^* \mathcal{L}$  and calculate, see [13, Lemma 3.1]).

**Example 2.3.** Let  $M = S^{[n]}$  be the Hilbert scheme of  $n$  points on  $S$ . We have  $v = 1 - (n-1)\mathbf{p}$ , and we always take  $\mathcal{F} = I_{\mathcal{Z}}$ , the ideal sheaf of the universal subscheme. If  $\alpha \in H^2(S)$  is the class of an effective divisor  $A \subset S$ , then

$$\theta(\alpha) = \pi_{S^{[n]}*}(\text{ch}_2(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}})\pi_S^*(\alpha))$$

<sup>2</sup>Following [13, Definition 1.1], this means that  $v \cdot v \geq -2$  and  $\text{rk}(v) \geq 0$ , and if  $\text{rk}(v) = 0$  then  $c_1(v)$  is effective or zero, and if  $\text{rk}(v) = c_1(v) = 0$  then  $v_2 > 0$ .

<sup>3</sup>We return to the case  $\dim M = 2$  in Section 2.4.

is the class of the locus of subschemes incident to  $A$ . If we denote

$$\delta := -\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{S^{[n]}} = c_1(\pi_{S^{[n]}\ast}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}) = \pi_{S^{[n]}\ast}\mathrm{ch}_3(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}}),$$

where  $\Delta_{S^{[n]}}$  is the class of the locus of non-reduced subschemes, then under the identification (2.2) we have  $\delta = -(1 + (n-1)\mathbf{p})$ . Because  $\theta_{\mathcal{F}}(v) = -\delta$  the canonical normalization of  $\mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{F})$  takes the form

$$u_v = \exp\left(\frac{-\delta}{2n-2}\right)\mathrm{ch}(I_{\mathcal{Z}})\sqrt{\mathrm{td}_S}.$$

## 2.2 Markman's operator

For  $i = 1, 2$  let  $(S_i, H_i, v_i)$  be the data defining proper fine moduli space of stable sheaves  $M_i = M_{H_i}(S_i, v_i)$ , and let  $\mathcal{F}_i$  be the universal family on  $M_i \times S_i$ . Consider an isometry of Mukai lattices

$$g: H^*(S_1, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(S_2, \mathbb{Z})$$

such that  $g(v_1) = v_2$ . Let  $K(S)$  be the topological  $K$ -group of  $S$  endowed with the Euler pairing  $(E, F) = -\chi(E^\vee \otimes F)$ . We identify  $g$  with an isometry

$$g: K(S_1) \rightarrow K(S_2)$$

through the lattice isometry  $K(S) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(S, \mathbb{Z})$  given by  $E \mapsto v(E)$ . Hence the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_{\mathrm{top}}(S_1) & \xrightarrow{g} & K_{\mathrm{top}}(S_2) \\ \downarrow v & & \downarrow v \\ H^*(S_1, \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{g} & H^*(S_2, \mathbb{Z}). \end{array}$$

Similar identification will apply to morphisms  $g$  defined over  $\mathbb{C}$ . The Markman operator associated to  $g$  is given by the following result:

**Theorem 2.4** (Markman). *For any isometry  $g: H^*(S_1, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^*(S_2, \mathbb{C})$  such that  $g(v_1) = v_2$  there exists a unique operator*

$$\gamma(g): H^*(M_1, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^*(M_2, \mathbb{C})$$

such that

- (a)  $\gamma(g)$  is a degree-preserving isometric<sup>4</sup> ring-isomorphism,
- (b)  $(\gamma(g) \otimes g)(u_{v_1}) = u_{v_2}$ .

The operator is called the Markman operator and given by

$$\gamma(g) = c_{\dim(M)} \left[ -\pi_{13\ast} \left( \pi_{12}^* ((1 \otimes g)u_{v_1})^\vee \cdot \pi_{23}^* u_{v_2} \right) \right], \quad (2.3)$$

where  $\pi_{ij}$  is the projection of  $M_1 \times S_2 \times M_2$  to the  $(i, j)$ -th factor. Moreover, we have

- (c)  $\gamma(g_1) \circ \gamma(g_2) = \gamma(g_1 g_2)$  and  $\gamma(g)^{-1} = \gamma(g^{-1})$  if it makes sense.
- (d)  $\gamma(g)c_k(T_{M_1}) = c_k(T_{M_2})$ .

---

<sup>4</sup>We endow  $H^*(M)$  with the Poincaré pairing:  $\langle x, y \rangle = \int_M xy$  for all  $x, y \in H^*(M)$ .

**Remark 2.5.** Here the Chern class  $c_m$  in (2.3) has the following definition: Let

$$\ell: \bigoplus_i H^{2i}(M, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_i H^{2i}(M, \mathbb{Q})$$

be the universal map that takes the exponential Chern character to Chern classes, so in particular  $c(E) = \ell(\text{ch}(E))$  for any vector bundle. Then given  $\alpha \in H^*(M)$  we write  $c_m(\alpha)$  for  $[\ell(\alpha)]_{2m}$ .

**Remark 2.6.** In Theorem 2.4, since the morphism  $\gamma(g)$  is a ring isomorphism we have  $\gamma(g)1 = 1$ . Since  $\gamma(g)$  preserves degree and is isometric, it hence sends the class of a point on  $M_1$  to the class of a point on  $M_2$ . For any  $\sigma \in H^*(M_1)$  we thus observe that

$$\int_{M_1} \sigma = \int_{M_2} \gamma(g)(\sigma).$$

**Proof of Theorem 2.4.** If  $g$  is an integral isometry, then the statement of the theorem is a combination of Theorems 1.2 and 3.10 of [13]. The proof is involved: Markman establishes that operators  $\gamma(g)$  satisfying (a) and (b) exists by considering arbitrary compositions of parallel transport operators and pushforwards by isomorphisms induced by auto-equivalences. Then a small computation starting from an expression for the diagonal class of  $M_1$  in terms of the universal sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  in [12], shows that conditions (a) and (b) for any homomorphism forces the expression (2.3). Hence those homomorphisms are uniquely determined. This last step holds even for homomorphisms defined over  $\mathbb{C}$  which satisfy (a) and (b).

In the general case, one defines the operator  $\gamma(g)$  by (2.3). Then (a) and (b) holds for a Zariski dense subset of all operators  $g$  (i.e., for the integral isometries). Hence it holds for all  $g$ . Then by the uniqueness statement one observes (c). Again (d) follows by the Zariski density argument from the integral case (which is [13, Theorem 1.2(6)]). We also refer to [2, Proposition 5.1] for more details on extending the Markman operator from integral isometries to isometries defined over more general coefficient rings.  $\blacksquare$

One can reinterpret the condition  $(f \otimes g)(u_{v_1}) = u_{v_2}$  in terms of generators of the cohomology ring. Following [13, equation (3.23)], consider the canonical morphism

$$B: H^*(S, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(M, \mathbb{Q})$$

defined by

$$B(x) = \pi_{M*}(u_v \cdot x^\vee).$$

We write  $B_k(x)$  for its component in degree  $2k$ . In particular,  $B_0(x) = -(x, v)$  and  $B_1(x) = \theta_{\mathcal{F}}(x)$  for all  $x \in v^\perp$ .

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $f: H^*(M_1, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(M_2, \mathbb{Q})$  be a degree-preserving isometric ring isomorphism. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $(f \otimes g)(u_{v_1}) = u_{v_2}$ ,
- (b)  $f(B(x)) = B(gx)$  for all  $x \in H^*(S_1, \mathbb{Q})$ .

**Proof.** Since  $g$  is an isometry of the Mukai lattice we have for  $x \in H^*(S_1)$  the following equality in  $H^*(M_2)$ :

$$\pi_{M_2*}(u_{v_2} \cdot (gx)^\vee) = \pi_{M_2*}((1 \otimes g^{-1})u_{v_2} \cdot x^\vee).$$

Indeed, if we write  $u_{v_2} = \sum_i a_i \otimes b_i$  under the Künneth decomposition, then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{M_2*}((1 \otimes g^{-1})(u_{v_2}) \cdot x^\vee) &= \sum_i a_i \int_{S_1} g^{-1}(b_i) x^\vee = \sum_i -a_i \cdot (g^{-1}(b_i) \cdot x) \\ &= \sum_i -a_i \cdot (b_i \cdot g(x)) = \sum_i a_i \int_{S_2} b_i g(x)^\vee \\ &= \pi_{M_2*}(u_{v_2} \cdot g(x)^\vee). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we see that:

$$\begin{aligned} (b) &\iff \forall x \in H^*(S_1, \mathbb{Z}): f\pi_{M_1*}(u_{v_1} \cdot x^\vee) = \pi_{M_2*}(u_{v_2} \cdot (gx)^\vee) \\ &\iff \forall x \in H^*(S_1, \mathbb{Z}): \pi_{M_2*}((f \otimes 1)u_{v_1} \cdot x^\vee) = \pi_{M_2*}((1 \otimes g^{-1})u_{v_2} \cdot x^\vee) \\ &\iff (f \otimes 1)(u_{v_1}) = (1 \otimes g^{-1})(u_{v_2}) \\ &\iff (a). \end{aligned}$$

■

**Corollary 2.8.** *In the setting of Theorem 2.4,  $\gamma(g)B(x) = B(gx)$ .*

### 2.3 Universality

We apply Theorem 2.4 to study descendent integrals over  $M$ . Let  $k \geq 0$  and let  $P(t_{ij}, u_r)$  be a polynomial depending on the variables

$$t_{j,i}, \quad j = 1, \dots, k, \quad i \geq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad u_r, \quad r \geq 1.$$

Let also  $A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=0}^k$  be a  $(k+1) \times (k+1)$ -matrix.

Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 2.9** (universality). *There exists  $I(P, A) \in \mathbb{Q}$  (depending only on  $P$  and  $A$ ) such that for any  $M = M_H(v)$  with  $\dim(M) > 2$  and for any  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \Lambda$  with*

$$\begin{pmatrix} v \cdot v & (v \cdot x_i)_{i=1}^k \\ (x_i \cdot v)_{i=1}^k & (x_i \cdot x_j)_{i,j=1}^k \end{pmatrix} = A \quad (2.4)$$

we have

$$\int_M P(B_i(x_j), c_r(T_M)) = I(P, A).$$

In other words, the integral

$$\int_M P(B_i(x_j), c_r(T_M))$$

depends upon the above data only through  $P$ , the dimension  $\dim M = 2n$ , and the pairings  $v \cdot x_i$  and  $x_i \cdot x_j$  for all  $i, j$ .

The proof of Theorem 2.9 will proceed in several steps. We begin with a general vanishing result.

**Proposition 2.10.** *Let  $M = M_H(v)$  be a moduli space of stable sheaves on  $S$  of dimension  $2n > 2$ , and let  $x_1, \dots, x_k, w \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$  be given with  $w \cdot y = 0$  for all  $y \in \{v, x_1, \dots, x_k, w\}$ . Then any integral of the form*

$$\int_M \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} B_{s_i}(w) \cdot (\text{monomial in } B_i(x_j) \text{ and } c_r(T_M)) \quad (2.5)$$

for some  $s_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  vanishes unless  $\ell = 0$ .

**Proof.** We give two proofs of this fact. For the first proof, choose an isometry  $g: \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$  such that

$$g(v) = 1 - (n-1)\mathfrak{p}, \quad w' := g(w) \in H^2(S, \mathbb{C}),$$

where  $v \cdot v = 2n - 2$ . Such an isometry exists since  $v \cdot v > 0$  and  $\mathrm{SO}(\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}})$  acts transitively on vectors of the same square. By Theorem 2.4(a) for the first and Corollary 2.8 and Theorem 2.4(d) for the second equation, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_M \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} B_{s_i}(w) \cdot (\text{monomial in } B_i(x_j) \text{ and } c_r(T_M)) \\ &= \int_{S^{[n]}} \gamma(g) \left( \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} B_{s_i}(w) \cdot (\text{monomial in } B_i(x_j) \text{ and } c_r(T_{S^{[n]}})) \right) \\ &= \int_{S^{[n]}} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} B_{s_i}(w') \cdot (\text{monomial in } B_i(gx_j) \text{ and } c_r(T_{S^{[n]}})). \end{aligned}$$

By [1, Theorem 4.1] (or more precisely, the induction method used in the proof), this last integral depends upon  $w'$  only through its intersection numbers against products of Chern classes of  $S$  and degree-components of  $gx_j$ .<sup>5</sup> Since these intersection numbers are all zero, we may replace  $w'$  by 0, in which case the claimed vanishing follows immediately. ■

**Alternative proof.** If  $w = 0$  there is nothing to prove, so let  $w \neq 0$ . Choose  $w' \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$  such that  $w \cdot w' = 1$  and  $w' \cdot w' = w' \cdot v = 0$ . Extend  $v, w, w'$  to a basis  $\{v, w, w'\} \cup \{e_i\}_{i=4}^{24}$  of  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$ . For any  $j$ , expand  $x_j$  in this basis:

$$x_j = a_1 v + a_2 w + a_3 w' + a_4 e_4 + \cdots + a_{24} e_{24}.$$

Because  $x_j \cdot w = 0$ , we must have  $a_3 = 0$ . By an induction on the number of classes  $x_j$ , we know the claim of Proposition 2.10 if  $x_j$  is a multiple of  $w$ .<sup>6</sup> Moreover, if we know the claim for  $x_j \in \{u_1, u_2\}$  for some  $u_1, u_2 \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$  then we know it for  $x_j = u_1 + u_2$  by expanding the monomial in (2.5). Hence we may replace  $x_j$  by  $x_j - a_2 w$ . In other words, we may assume that  $a_2 = 0$ . Doing so for all  $j$ , we hence see that  $w' \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$  satisfies

$$w' \cdot w = 1, \quad w' \perp \mathrm{Span}(w', v, x_1, \dots, x_k).$$

Consider the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(v^{\perp}) \cong \wedge^2(v^{\perp})$ . Theorem 2.4 induces a Lie algebra action  $\gamma: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathrm{End} H^*(M)$ . By Theorem 2.4(a)  $\gamma(\mathfrak{g})$  acts by derivations on  $H^*(M)$  and acts trivially on  $H^{4n}(M)$ . (This Lie algebra action is part of the Looijenga–Lunts–Verbitsky Lie algebra action, see [13, Lemma 4.13].) Take  $w \wedge w' \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Since the Lie algebra acts trivial on  $H^{4n}(M)$  we have

$$\int_M \gamma(w \wedge w') \left( \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} B_{s_i}(w) \cdot (\text{monomial in } B_i(x_j) \text{ and } c_r(T_M)) \right) = 0.$$

On the other hand, by Corollary 2.8 we have  $\gamma(w \wedge w') B_{s_i}(w) = B_{s_i}(w)$  and  $\gamma(w \wedge w') B_i(x_j) = 0$ , and by Theorem 2.4(d) we have  $\gamma(w \wedge w') c_r(T_M) = 0$ . Since  $\gamma(w \wedge w')$  acts by derivations, we also get

<sup>5</sup>Since  $w' \in H^2(S)$  we always have  $(w' \cdot [gx_j]_k) = 0$  for  $k = 0, 4$ . The vanishing in case  $k = 2$  follows from  $(w' \cdot gx_j) = 0$ .

<sup>6</sup>Because the term  $B_i(x_j)$  can be moved to the product  $\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} B_{s_i}(w)$  in (2.5).



$$\begin{aligned} & \int_M \gamma(w \wedge w') \left( \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} B_{s_i}(w) \cdot (\text{monomial in } B_i(x_j) \text{ and } c_r(T_M)) \right) \\ &= \ell \cdot \int_M \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} B_{s_i}(w) \cdot (\text{monomial in } B_i(x_j) \text{ and } c_r(T_M)). \end{aligned} \quad \blacksquare$$

**Lemma 2.11.** *In the situation of Theorem 2.9, there exists  $y_i \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$  which have the same intersection matrix as in (2.4), satisfy*

$$\int_M P(B_i(x_j), c_r(T_M)) = \int_M P(B_i(y_j), c_r(T_M))$$

and such that the span  $L = \text{Span}(v, y_1, \dots, y_k) \subset \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$  is non-degenerate (i.e., the restriction of the inner product of  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$  onto  $L$  is non-degenerate).

**Proof.** Let  $L = \text{Span}(v, x_1, \dots, x_k)$ . Assume that  $L$  is degenerate, i.e., there exists a non-zero  $w \in L$  such that  $w \cdot x_i = 0$  for all  $i$  and  $w \cdot v = 0$ . Since  $v \cdot v \geq 2$ , we have that  $v, w$  are linearly independent. Hence they can be extended to a basis  $u_0, \dots, u_d$  of  $L$  with  $u_0 = w$  and  $u_1 = v$ . For every  $i$  let  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$  be the unique scalar such that

$$x_i - \lambda_i w \in \text{Span}(u_1, \dots, u_d).$$

We hence obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M P(B_i(x_j), c_r(T_M)) &= \int_M P(B_i(x_j - \lambda_j w) + B_i(w), c_r(T_M)) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Proposition 2.10}}{=} \int_M P(B_i(x_j - \lambda_j w), c_r(T_M)). \end{aligned}$$

Set  $y_j = x_j - \lambda_j w$ . If  $\text{Span}(v, y_1, \dots, y_k)$  is non-degenerate, we are done, otherwise repeat the above process. This process has to stop, since the dimension of the span drops by one in each step.  $\blacksquare$

We also require two basic linear algebra lemmata:

**Lemma 2.12.** *Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -vectorspace with a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear inner product. Let  $v_1, \dots, v_k \in V$  be a list of vectors with Gram matrix*

$$g = (g_{ij})_{i,j=1}^k, \quad g_{ij} = \langle v_i, v_j \rangle.$$

*Then  $\text{rank}(g) \leq \dim(\text{Span}(v_1, \dots, v_k))$ . If moreover  $\text{Span}(v_1, \dots, v_k)$  is a non-degenerate subvectorspace of  $V$ , then  $\text{rank}(g) = \dim(\text{Span}(v_1, \dots, v_k))$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $w_1, \dots, w_{\ell} \in V$  be a list of vectors such that  $h_{ij} = \langle w_i, w_j \rangle$  is invertible. Pairing any linear relation between the  $w_i$ 's with  $w_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, \ell$ , and multiplying this system of equations by the inverse of  $h$  shows that the  $w_1, \dots, w_{\ell}$  are linearly independent. This proves the first claim. For the second claim, we can choose a subset  $\{w_1, \dots, w_d\} \subset \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$  which forms a basis of  $L = \text{Span}(v_1, \dots, v_k)$  and observe that the matrix of the isomorphism  $L \rightarrow L^{\vee}$  induced by the inner product with respect to the basis  $\{w_i\}$  and the dual basis  $\{w_i^*\}$  is the Gram matrix of the  $w_i$ . This shows that  $\text{rank}(g) \geq \dim L$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Lemma 2.13.** *Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -vectorspace with a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear inner product. Let  $v_1, \dots, v_k \in V$  and  $w_1, \dots, w_k \in V$  be lists of vectors such that*

- (i)  $L = \text{Span}(v_1, \dots, v_k)$  is non-degenerate,
- (ii)  $M = \text{Span}(w_1, \dots, w_k)$  is non-degenerate,
- (iii)  $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = \langle w_i, w_j \rangle$  for all  $i, j$ .

Then there exists an isometry  $\varphi: V \rightarrow V$  such that  $\varphi(v_i) = w_i$  for all  $i$ .

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.12 and assumptions (i) and (ii) we know that

$$\dim L = \text{rank}(\langle v_i, v_j \rangle)_{i,j=1}^k = \text{rank}(\langle w_i, w_j \rangle)_{i,j=1}^k = \dim M.$$

Choose a basis of  $L$  from the  $v_1, \dots, v_k$ , which we can assume is of the form  $v_1, \dots, v_d$ , where  $d = \dim(L)$ . By assumption (i) and Lemma 2.12 the gram matrix  $G := (\langle v_i, v_j \rangle)_{i,j=1}^d$  is invertible. But  $G$  is also the Gram matrix of  $w_1, \dots, w_d$  by assumption (iii), so the same lemma implies that  $w_1, \dots, w_d$  is linearly independent and hence a basis of  $M$ . Define an isometry

$$\varphi: V \rightarrow V$$

by setting  $\varphi(v_i) = w_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , and by letting  $\varphi_{L^\perp}: L^\perp \rightarrow M^\perp$  be an arbitrary isometry. It remains to show that  $\varphi(v_i) = w_i$  for  $i = d+1, \dots, k$ . For this observe that for any  $v \in L$  we have

$$v = \sum_{a=1}^d \langle v, v_a \rangle (G^{-1})_{ab} v_b$$

and similarly for any  $w \in M$ . The claim hence follows by writing every  $v_i$  in this form, applying  $\varphi$  and using assumption (iii).  $\blacksquare$

We are ready to prove Theorem 2.9.

**Proof.** Let  $(M(v), x_i)$  and  $(M(v'), x'_i)$  be two pairs with the same intersection matrix  $A$ . By Lemma 2.11, we may assume that  $v, x_1, \dots, x_k$  and  $v', x'_1, \dots, x'_k$  span a non-degenerate subspace of  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$ . Hence, by Lemma 2.13, there exists an isometry

$$g: H^*(S, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^*(S', \mathbb{C})$$

which takes  $(v, x_1, \dots, x_k)$  to  $(v', x'_1, \dots, x'_k)$ . We find that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{M(v)} P(B_i(x_j), c_r(T_{M(v)})) &\stackrel{(\text{Theorem 2.4})}{=} \int_{M(v')} \gamma(g) P(B_i(x_j), c_r(T_{M(v')})) \\ &\stackrel{(\text{Corollary 2.8})}{=} \int_{M(v')} P(B_i(gx_j), c_r(T_{M(v')})) \\ &= \int_{M(v')} P(B_i(x'_j), c_r(T_{M(v')})). \end{aligned} \quad \blacksquare$$

## 2.4 Case of dimension 2

We discuss how to evaluate integrals

$$\int_M \tau_{k_1}(\gamma_1) \cdots \tau_{k_\ell}(\gamma_\ell) P(c_r(T_M)), \tag{2.6}$$

whenever  $M = M_H(v)$  is a 2-dimensional moduli space of stable sheaves, and hence a  $K3$  surface. The universal family<sup>7</sup>  $\mathcal{F}$  in this case induces a derived auto-equivalence

$$\Phi: D^b(S) \rightarrow D^b(M), \quad \mathcal{E} \mapsto \pi_{M*}(\pi_S^*(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{F}).$$

<sup>7</sup>If only a twisted universal family exists, then we have an equivalence to the derived category of twisted sheaves on  $M$  with the corresponding twist, see [6].

The induced action on cohomology

$$\Phi_*: H^*(S, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(M, \mathbb{Z}), \quad \gamma \mapsto \pi_{M*}(v(\mathcal{F}) \cdot \pi_S^*(\gamma))$$

defines an isometry of Mukai lattices (in fact, a Hodge isometry), see [6, Chapter 16] for references for these well-known facts.

We specialize to the case where  $\text{rk}(v) > 0$ , which is the only one we consider in the applications. Consider the normalized action

$$\tilde{\Phi}: H^*(S, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(M, \mathbb{Q}), \quad \gamma \mapsto \pi_{M*}(e^{-c_1(\mathcal{F})/\text{rk}(v)} v(\mathcal{F}) \cdot \pi_S^*(\gamma)).$$

Let us write  $\text{ch}(\mathcal{F}) = \text{rk}(v) + \pi_M^*(\ell) + \pi_S^*(c_1(v)) + (\dots)$ , where  $\dots$  stands for terms of degree  $\geq 4$ . Then we have  $\tilde{\Phi} = e^{-\ell/\text{rk}(v)} \Phi_*(e^{-c_1(v)/\text{rk}(v)} \cup (-))$  which shows that  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is still a Hodge isometry. Using the fact that  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is a Hodge isometry implies<sup>8</sup>

$$\tilde{\Phi}(\mathbf{p}) = \text{rk}(v), \quad \tilde{\Phi}(L) = \varphi(L), \quad \tilde{\Phi}(1) = \frac{1}{\text{rk}(v)} \mathbf{p}, \quad (2.7)$$

where  $\varphi: H^2(S, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^2(S, \mathbb{Q})$  is a Hodge isometry.

## 2.5 Proof of Theorem 1.1

If  $\dim M > 2$ , the claim follows by Theorem 2.9 since (a) any descendent  $\tau_k(\gamma)$  defined as in (1.1) can be written as a polynomial in classes  $B_j(x)$ , and (b) for any list of vectors  $v, x_1, \dots, x_k \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$  after an isometry of  $\Lambda_{\mathbb{C}}$  we may assume that  $v$  is the Mukai vector which defines the Hilbert scheme of  $n$  points on a  $K3$  surface.<sup>9</sup>

If  $\dim M = 2$  and  $\text{rk}(v) > 0$ , as discussed in Section 2.4 any descendent  $\tau_{k_i}(\gamma)$  can be written in terms of polynomials in classes  $\tilde{\Phi}(\alpha)$ , where  $\alpha$  is effectively determined by  $\gamma$ . Since any integral (2.6) can involve at most two classes of positive degree, this integral can be written as linear combination of the Mukai pairing between classes  $\tilde{\Phi}(\alpha)$  and  $\tilde{\Phi}(\alpha')$  for various  $\alpha, \alpha'$ . Since  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is a Hodge isometry, these are just the Mukai pairings between  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha'$ . This effectively determines the integrals (1.2). We also refer to Section 3.1 for a concrete implementation of this algorithm.

The case where  $\dim(M) = 2$  and  $\text{rk}(v) = 0$  is similar to the  $\dim M = 2, \text{rk}(v) > 0$  case, and left to the reader. ■

## 3 The Göttsche–Kool conjecture

Let  $S$  be a  $K3$  surface and let  $M$  be a proper fine  $2n$ -dimensional moduli space of stable sheaves on  $S$  of Mukai vector  $v$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a universal family. We assume that  $\text{rk}(v) > 0$ . Our goal is to show that for any  $\alpha \in K(S)$ , class  $L \in H^2(S)$  and  $u \in \mathbb{C}$  we have

$$\int_M c(\alpha_M) e^{\mu(L) + u\mu(\mathbf{p})} = \int_{S^{[n]}} c(\beta_{S^{[n]}}) e^{\mu(L) + u\text{rk}(v)\mu(\mathbf{p})},$$

where  $\beta \in K(S)$  is as specified in Theorem 1.2.

In Section 3.1, we first tackle the case  $\dim M = 2$  separately, and then afterwards prove the  $\dim M > 2$  case.

<sup>8</sup>By direct computation, the degree zero component of  $\tilde{\Phi}(\gamma)$  is  $\text{rk}(v) \int_S \gamma$ . Then observe the degree 1 term of  $\tilde{\Phi}(\mathbf{p})$  vanishes by construction of  $\tilde{\Phi}$ . Hence,  $(\tilde{\Phi}(\mathbf{p}), \tilde{\Phi}(\mathbf{p})) = 0$  shows the first line. The others follow similarly.

<sup>9</sup>By Eichler's criterion [5, Lemma 7.5], this isometry can be defined over the integers.

### 3.1 Proof of Theorem 1.2 in case $\dim(M) = 2$

Observe that  $S^{[1]} \cong S$ , and for  $\beta \in K(S)$  and  $L \in H^2(S)$  we have

$$\beta_{S^{[1]}} = \text{ch}(\beta) - \chi(\beta), \quad \mu_{S^{[1]}}(L) = L \in H^2(S), \quad \mu_{S^{[1]}}(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p}.$$

Hence we need to prove

$$\int_M c(\alpha_M) e^{\mu(L) + u\mu(\mathfrak{p})} = \int_S c(\beta) e^{L + u \text{rk}(v)\mathfrak{p}}. \quad (3.1)$$

Recall from Section 2.4 the Hodge isometry  $\tilde{\Phi}: H^*(S, \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(M, \mathbb{Q})$  defined by the universal family  $\mathcal{F}$ . By comparing the definition of  $\alpha_M$  and  $\mu(\sigma)$  with the correspondence defining  $\tilde{\Phi}$  we find

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_M &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\text{td}_M}} \tilde{\Phi}(v(\alpha)), \\ \mu_M(\sigma) &= \left[ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\text{td}_M}} \tilde{\Phi}(\sigma / \sqrt{\text{td}_S}) \right]_{\deg(\sigma)}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, by (2.7) we have  $\mu_M(L) = -\tilde{\Phi}(L)$ . Using (2.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \mu_M(\mathfrak{p}) &= \int_M -(1 - \mathfrak{p}) \text{rk}(v) \cdot 1 = \text{rk}(v), \\ \int_M \mu_M(L)^2 &= \int_M (-\tilde{\Phi}(L))^2 = (\tilde{\Phi}(L), \tilde{\Phi}(L)) = (L, L) = \int_S L^2, \\ \int_M c_1(\alpha_M) \mu_M(L) &= \int_M \alpha_M \cup (-\tilde{\Phi}(L)) = \int_M \tilde{\Phi}(v(\alpha)) \cdot \tilde{\Phi}(L) = (\tilde{\Phi}(v(\alpha)), \tilde{\Phi}(L)) \\ &= (v(\alpha), L) = \int_S c_1(\alpha) \cdot L. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.7) again we moreover have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_M &= -(1 - \mathfrak{p}) \tilde{\Phi}(\text{rk}(v) + c_1(\alpha) + v_2(\alpha)) \\ &= -\text{rk}(v) \int_S v_2(\alpha) - \varphi(c_1(\alpha)) + \left( -\frac{\text{rk}(\alpha)}{\text{rk}(v)} + \text{rk}(v) \int_S v_2(\alpha) \right) \mathfrak{p}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence (with  $\alpha_{M,k}$  be the degree  $2k$  component of  $\alpha_M$ ) we get

$$\int_M c_2(\alpha_M) = \int_M -\alpha_{M,2} + \frac{\alpha_{M,1}^2}{2} = \frac{\text{rk}(\alpha)}{\text{rk}(v)} - \text{rk}(v) \int_S v_2(\alpha) + \frac{c_1(\alpha)^2}{2}.$$

By inspection one sees now that if  $\beta$  satisfies (1.3), then equation (3.1) holds. This completes the proof.  $\blacksquare$

### 3.2 Comparing normalizations

From now on assume that

$$\dim M > 2.$$

Let  $\alpha \in K(S)$  and consider the definition of  $\alpha_M$  using the Grothendieck–Riemann–Roch formula:

$$\alpha_M = -\pi_{M*} \left( v(\alpha) \text{ch}(\mathcal{F}) \sqrt{\text{td}_S} \exp \left( -\frac{c_1(\mathcal{F})}{\text{rk}(v)} \right) \right).$$

The class  $\alpha_M$  is easily expressed in terms of Markman's normalization:

**Lemma 3.1.** *We have*

$$\alpha_M = -B\left(v(\alpha^\vee) \exp\left(\frac{c_1(v)}{\text{rk}(v)}\right)\right) \exp\left(B_1\left(\frac{-\mathbf{p}}{\text{rk}(v)} - \frac{v}{v \cdot v}\right)\right).$$

**Proof.** Using that  $\text{Pic}(M \times S) = \text{Pic}(M) \oplus \text{Pic}(S)$  we can write

$$c_1(\mathcal{F}) = \pi^{M*}(\ell) + \pi_S^*(c_1(v))$$

for some  $\ell \in H^2(M)$ . By calculating  $\theta_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{p})$  one finds  $\ell = \theta_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{p})$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_M &= -\pi_{M*}\left(v(\alpha) \text{ch}(\mathcal{F}) \sqrt{\text{td}_S} \exp\left(-\frac{c_1(v)}{\text{rk}(v)}\right)\right) \exp(\theta_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{p})/(\mathbf{p} \cdot v)) \\ &= -B\left(v(\alpha^\vee) \exp\left(\frac{c_1(v)}{\text{rk}(v)}\right)\right) \exp\left(B_1\left(\frac{-\mathbf{p}}{\text{rk}(v)} - \frac{v}{v \cdot v}\right)\right). \end{aligned} \quad \blacksquare$$

For  $\sigma \in H^*(S)$  recall also the class

$$\mu(\sigma) = -\pi_{M*}(\text{ch}_2(\mathcal{F} \otimes \det(\mathcal{F})^{-1/\text{rk}(v)}) \pi_S^*(\sigma))$$

(defined by the GRR expression if only a semi-universal family exists).

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $\sigma \in H^*(S)$  is homogeneous, then  $\mu(\sigma)$  is the component of degree  $\deg(\sigma)$  of*

$$-\exp\left(B_1\left(\frac{p}{p \cdot v} - \frac{v}{v \cdot v}\right)\right) B\left(\sigma^\vee \exp\left(\frac{c_1(v)}{\text{rk}(v)}\right) \sqrt{\text{td}_S}^{-1}\right).$$

**Proof.** We have that  $\mu(\sigma)$  is the degree  $\deg(\sigma)$  component of

$$\begin{aligned} -\pi_{M*}(\text{ch}(\mathcal{F} \otimes \det(\mathcal{F})^{-1/\text{rk}(v)}) \pi_S^*(\sigma)) &= -\pi_{M*}(\text{ch}(\mathcal{F}) \exp(-c_1(\mathcal{F})/\text{rk}(v)) \pi_S^*(\sigma)) \\ &= -\exp\left(\frac{\theta_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{p})}{\mathbf{p} \cdot v} - \frac{\theta_{\mathcal{F}}(v)}{v \cdot v}\right) \exp\left(\frac{\theta_{\mathcal{F}}(v)}{v \cdot v}\right) \pi_{M*}(\text{ch}(\mathcal{F}) \pi_S^*(\sigma^\vee e^{c_1(v)/\text{rk}(v)} \sqrt{\text{td}_S}^{-1})^\vee \sqrt{\text{td}_S}) \\ &= -\exp\left(B_1\left(\frac{p}{p \cdot v} - \frac{v}{v \cdot v}\right)\right) B\left(\sigma^\vee \exp\left(\frac{c_1(v)}{\text{rk}(v)}\right) \sqrt{\text{td}_S}^{-1}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where we used again  $c_1(\mathcal{F}) = \pi_M^* \theta_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{p}) + \pi_S^* c_1(V)$ . \blacksquare

In particular, for  $L \in H^2(S)$  we have that

$$\mu(L) = B_1\left(L \exp\left(\frac{c_1(v)}{\text{rk}(v)}\right)\right) - B_1\left(\frac{p}{p \cdot v} - \frac{v}{v \cdot v}\right)$$

and that  $\mu(\mathbf{p})$  is a polynomial in  $B_1\left(\frac{p}{p \cdot v} - \frac{v}{v \cdot v}\right)$  and  $B_i(\mathbf{p})$ .

### 3.3 Dependence

By Theorem 2.9 we conclude that any integral

$$\int_M P(\alpha_{M,k}, \mu(L), \mu(u\mathbf{p})) \quad (3.2)$$

(such as the Segre number) only depends upon  $P$  and the intersection pairings in the Mukai lattice of the classes

$$v, \quad \mathbf{p}/\text{rk}(v), \quad v(\alpha)^\vee \exp\left(\frac{c_1(v)}{\text{rk}(v)}\right), \quad L \exp\left(\frac{c_1(v)}{\text{rk}(v)}\right), \quad u\mathbf{p}. \quad (3.3)$$

Explicitly, the interesting pairings for the first three classes are

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & v \cdot v(\alpha)^\vee \exp\left(\frac{c_1(v)}{\text{rk}(v)}\right) = -v_2(\alpha) \cdot \text{rk}(v) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\text{rk}(\alpha)}{\text{rk}(v)} (v \cdot v), \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & \mathbf{p} / \text{rk}(v) \cdot v(\alpha)^\vee \exp\left(\frac{c_1(v)}{\text{rk}(v)}\right) = -\frac{\text{rk}(\alpha)}{\text{rk}(v)}, \\ \text{(iii)} \quad & \left(v(\alpha)^\vee \exp\left(\frac{c_1(v)}{\text{rk}(v)}\right)\right)^2 = v(\alpha) \cdot v(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

The interesting intersections involving  $L$  are

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(iv)} \quad & v \cdot L \exp\left(\frac{c_1(v)}{\text{rk}(v)}\right) = L \cdot c_1(v) - L \cdot c_1(v) = 0, \\ & v(\alpha)^\vee \exp\left(\frac{c_1(v)}{\text{rk}(v)}\right) \cdot L \exp\left(\frac{c_1(v)}{\text{rk}(v)}\right) = v(\alpha)^\vee \cdot L = -c_1(\alpha) \cdot L, \\ & \left(L \exp\left(\frac{c_1(v)}{\text{rk}(v)}\right)\right)^2 = L^2. \end{aligned}$$

The pairings with  $u\mathbf{p}$  are  $u \text{rk}(v)$  times the pairings with  $\mathbf{p} / \text{rk}(v)$ .

### 3.4 Moving to the Hilbert scheme

Since (3.2) only depends on the intersection pairings of (3.3) we have that

$$\int_M P(\alpha_{M,k}, \mu(L), \mu(u\mathbf{p})) = \int_{S^{[n]}} P(\beta_{S^{[n]},k}, \mu(L), \mu(u'\mathbf{p}))$$

for any  $K$ -theory class  $\beta \in K(S)$  and  $u' \in \mathbb{C}$  such that the list

$$1 - (n-1)\mathbf{p}, \quad \mathbf{p}, \quad v(\beta)^\vee, \quad L, \quad u'\mathbf{p} \tag{3.4}$$

has the same intersection numbers as the list (3.3). (The list (3.4) is obtained from (3.3) by specializing to  $v = 1 - (n-1)\mathbf{p}$ , the Mukai vector of  $S^{[n]}$ .)

The interesting parts of the intersections of (3.4) are

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & v \cdot v(\beta)^\vee = -v_2(\beta) + \frac{1}{2} \text{rk}(\beta)(2n-2), \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & \mathbf{p} \cdot v(\beta)^\vee = -\text{rk}(\beta), \\ \text{(iii)} \quad & v(\beta)^\vee \cdot v(\beta)^\vee = v(\beta) \cdot v(\beta), \\ \text{(iv)} \quad & v(\beta)^\vee \cdot L = -c_1(\beta) \cdot L. \end{aligned}$$

Equating (i)–(iv) for  $M$  and  $S^{[n]}$  we hence get the system

$$\begin{aligned} -v_2(\alpha) \cdot \text{rk}(v) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\text{rk}(\alpha)}{\text{rk}(v)} (v \cdot v) &= -v_2(\beta) + \frac{1}{2} \text{rk}(\beta)(2n-2), \\ -\frac{\text{rk}(\alpha)}{\text{rk}(v)} &= -\text{rk}(\beta), \quad v(\alpha) \cdot v(\alpha) = v(\beta) \cdot v(\beta), \quad -c_1(\alpha) \cdot L = -c_1(\beta) \cdot L. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $v(\alpha)^2 = c_1(\alpha)^2 - 2 \text{rk}(\alpha) v_2(\alpha)$ , this is equivalent to the system:

$$\text{rk}(\beta) = \frac{\text{rk}(\alpha)}{\text{rk}(v)}, \quad v_2(\beta) = \text{rk}(v) v_2(\alpha), \quad c_1(\alpha)^2 = c_1(\beta)^2, \quad c_1(\alpha) \cdot L = c_1(\beta) \cdot L. \tag{3.5}$$

Moreover, we must have

$$-u' = u'\mathbf{p} \cdot (1 - (n-1)\mathbf{p}) = u\mathbf{p} \cdot v = -\text{rk}(v)u.$$

We have proven the following (which immediately implies Theorem 1.2):

**Theorem 3.3.** *For any polynomial  $P$ , we have*

$$\int_M P(\alpha_{M,k}, \mu(L), \mu(u\mathfrak{p})) = \int_{S^{[n]}} P(\beta_{S^{[n]},k}, \mu(L), \mu(u \operatorname{rk}(v)\mathfrak{p}))$$

for any  $K$ -theory class  $\beta \in K(S)$  such that (3.5) is satisfied.

## Acknowledgements

I thank Martijn Kool for bringing Conjecture 5.1 of [3] to my attention and Lothar Göttsche for useful comments. I am also indebted to the referees for careful reading of the paper and pointing out several issues in an earlier version. The author is partially funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) – OB 512/1-1.

## References

- [1] Ellingsrud G., Göttsche L., Lehn M., On the cobordism class of the Hilbert scheme of a surface, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **10** (2001), 81–100, [arXiv:math.AG/9904095](#).
- [2] Frei S., Moduli spaces of sheaves on  $K3$  surfaces and Galois representations, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **26** (2020), paper no. 6, 16 pages, [arXiv:1810.06735](#).
- [3] Göttsche L., Kool M., Virtual Segre and Verlinde numbers of projective surfaces, [arXiv:2007.11631](#).
- [4] Göttsche L., Nakajima H., Yoshioka K.,  $K$ -theoretic Donaldson invariants via instanton counting, *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* **5** (2009), 1029–1111, [arXiv:math.AG/0611945](#).
- [5] Gritsenko V., Hulek K., Sankaran G.K., Moduli of  $K3$  surfaces and irreducible symplectic manifolds, in Handbook of Moduli, Vol. I, *Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM)*, Vol. 24, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2013, 459–526, [arXiv:1012.4155](#).
- [6] Huybrechts D., Lectures on  $K3$  surfaces, *Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math.*, Vol. 158, [Cambridge University Press](#), Cambridge, 2016.
- [7] Huybrechts D., Lehn M., The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves, 2nd ed., *Cambridge Math. Lib.*, [Cambridge University Press](#), Cambridge, 2010.
- [8] Lehn M., Chern classes of tautological sheaves on Hilbert schemes of points on surfaces, *Invent. Math.* **136** (1999), 157–207, [arXiv:math.AG/9803091](#).
- [9] Marian A., Oprea D., Pandharipande R., Segre classes and Hilbert schemes of points, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér.* **50** (2017), 239–267, [arXiv:1507.00688](#).
- [10] Marian A., Oprea D., Pandharipande R., The combinatorics of Lehn’s conjecture, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **71** (2019), 299–308, [arXiv:1708.08129](#).
- [11] Marian A., Oprea D., Pandharipande R., Higher rank Segre integrals over the Hilbert scheme of points, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **24** (2022), 2979–3015, [arXiv:1712.02382](#).
- [12] Markman E., Generators of the cohomology ring of moduli spaces of sheaves on symplectic surfaces, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **544** (2002), 61–82, [arXiv:math.AG/0009109](#).
- [13] Markman E., On the monodromy of moduli spaces of sheaves on  $K3$  surfaces, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **17** (2008), 29–99, [arXiv:math.AG/0305042](#).
- [14] Voisin C., Segre classes of tautological bundles on Hilbert schemes of surfaces, *Algebr. Geom.* **6** (2019), 186–195, [arXiv:1708.06325](#).