

The quarkyonic matter state of neutron stars

Gaoqing Cao*

School of Physics and Astronomy, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510275, China.

(Dated: January 12, 2022)

This work extends our previous study of isospin symmetric quarkyonic matter to quarkyonic neutron matter which might be relevant to the inner cores of neutron stars. The vector-isovector ρ mesons are introduced to the model mainly to account for isospin density interactions, just like ω meson for baryon density interactions. The modified Lagrangian still preserves approximate chiral symmetry which could be significantly broken at lower density. And new free parameters are fixed by adopting the experimental constraints on the symmetry energy and its slope at saturation density. Eventually, the pressure and mass-radius relation are explored in advance for the quarkyonic neutron stars: while the former is well consistent with experimental restrictions, the latter is unable to reproduce the observed two solar mass of PSR J0740+6620.

PACS numbers: 11.30.Qc, 05.30.Fk, 11.30.Hv, 12.20.Ds

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, neutron stars are widely and heatedly discussed due to several improvements of astronomy detections and intriguing theoretical proposals. First of all, the terrestrial gravitational wave (GW) observatories unlocked a new gate to explore the properties of neutron stars through the binary mergers [1–5]. The emission of GW mainly depends on the time evolution of quadrupole moment, thus tidal deformability of neutron stars, closely related to the equation of state (EOS), could be extracted from the GW spectrum [1–4]. And the radii and maximum masses of neutron stars could be further constrained by combining GW with electromagnetic signals [5]. Second, the advanced detector NICER, installed aboard the International Space Station in 2017, could help to precisely measure the radii of neutron stars [6–8] and accordingly further constrain the hardness of the EOS. Finally, besides the color superconductivity phase inside the inner cores of neutron stars [9], the possibility of quarkyonic matter state has inspired new interests in an even wider community [10–13].

Quarkyonic matter is a state where baryons coexist with deconfined quarks at high baryon density [14]: low-momentum quarks are free or quasi-free but high-momentum ones are mainly confined inside baryons. In our previous work [13], we tried to develop a complete field model for quarkyonic matter by considering baryons, quarks, and mesons in the same level and consistently taking chiral symmetry breaking and restoration into account. For the isospin symmetric case, we fixed free parameters by fitting to the saturation properties of stable nuclei and thus obtained pressure-density relation is consistent with the experimental constraints [15]. To complete the discussions, the study must be extended to isospin asymmetric case with neutron stars the most important natural correspondences. That would help us

to check if the model is good enough for the whole isospin range on one hand or give hints on the missing physics on the other hand.

The short paper is arranged as follows. In Sec.II, we present the whole theoretical framework for quarkyonic neutron matter with the fundamental Lagrangian density and state function given in Sec.II A and the corresponding gap equations and thermodynamic quantities derived in Sec.II B. In Sec.III, we fix the new free parameters, carry out numerical calculations, and demonstrate the whole results. Finally, we briefly conclude in Sec.IV.

II. AN EFFECTIVE MODEL FOR QUARKYONIC NEUTRON MATTER

A. Lagrangian and state function

Previously, we constructed a field theoretical model for the isospin symmetric quark-baryonic (or quarkyonic) matter (QBM) [13] by combining the quark-meson model [16] with the well-known Walecka model [17]. By definition, quarkyonic matter belongs to the canonical ensemble where total densities are fixed but total energy could fluctuate [18]. So the relevant chemical potentials should be determined according to the total densities and minimization of the Helmholtz free energy F with respect to quark ratios in principle. For isospin symmetric QBM, it is natural to set the chemical potential relations $\mu_p = \mu_n$, $\mu'_p = \mu'_n$, and $\mu_u = \mu_d$. Here, $\mu'_{p/n}$ reflects the blocking effect from quarks and thus should depend on $\mu_{u/d}$ [13]. Then, the total baryon density and minimization of F are enough to fix all the chemical potentials. For isospin asymmetric QBM, such as those in neutron stars, there are four independent chemical potentials in principle, μ_p , μ_n , μ_u , and μ_d . Similarly, they should be fixed by the constraints of total baryon and isospin densities and the corresponding minimization conditions. For neutron stars, the fraction of protons was usually found to be small when beta equilibrium was taken into account [19]. So to simplify our discussions, we neglect

* caogaoqing@mail.sysu.edu.cn

the protons degrees of freedom but require the chemical equilibria,

$$\mu_n = 2\mu_d + \mu_u, \quad \mu_d = \mu_u + \mu_e \quad (1)$$

by following our previous scheme [13]. One should note here that we choose to work in the grand canonical en-

semble for two reasons: it is more convenient to depict the blocking effect of quarks to nucleons and it better follows the philosophy of chiral symmetry breaking and restoration. As long as there is no real phase transition, the two ensembles should be equivalent.

Getting rid of the proton parts, the Lagrangian density for the quarkyonic neutron matter (QNM) can be modified from the previous one [13] as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_q = & \bar{q} \left[i \not{\partial} + \left(\frac{\mu_B}{N_c} + \frac{\mu_I}{2} \tau_3 \right) \gamma^0 - g_q (\sigma + i \gamma^5 \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}) \right] q, \\ \mathcal{L}_n = & \bar{n} \left[i \not{\partial} - \mu_n \gamma^0 - g_{Ns} (\sigma - i \gamma^5 \pi^0) - g_{N\omega} \not{\omega} + g_{N\rho} (\not{\rho}^3 - \gamma^5 A^3) \right] n, \\ \mathcal{L}_M = & \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \sigma \partial^\mu \sigma + D_\mu \boldsymbol{\pi} \cdot D^\mu \boldsymbol{\pi}) - \frac{\lambda}{4} (\sigma^2 + \boldsymbol{\pi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} - v^2)^2 + c \sigma - \frac{1}{4} (\omega_{\mu\nu} \omega^{\mu\nu} + \boldsymbol{\rho}_{\mu\nu} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}^{\mu\nu} + \mathbf{A}_{\mu\nu} \cdot \mathbf{A}^{\mu\nu}) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} g_{s\omega} (\sigma^2 + \boldsymbol{\pi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} - h_\omega^2) \omega_\mu \omega^\mu + \frac{1}{2} g_{s\rho} (\sigma^2 + \boldsymbol{\pi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} - h_\rho^2) (\boldsymbol{\rho}_\mu \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}^\mu + \mathbf{A}_\mu \cdot \mathbf{A}^\mu), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $\mu_n \equiv \mu_B - \frac{\mu_I}{2}$ with μ_B and μ_I the baryon and isospin chemical potentials, respectively. Here, the quantum fields are defined as the following: $q(x) = (u(x), d(x))^T$ denotes the two-flavor quark field with color degrees of freedom $N_c = 3$, $n(x)$ is the neutron field *outside* the Fermi spheres of quarks if exist; $\sigma(x)$ and $\boldsymbol{\pi}(x)$ are the scalar and pseudoscalar mesons, respectively; ω_μ is a vector-isoscalar meson; and $\boldsymbol{\rho}_\mu$ and \mathbf{A}_μ are vector-isovector and axial-isovector mesons, respectively. Compared to our naive presentation of the Lagrangian in the previous work, we note that the interactions involving ω_μ are not necessarily degenerate with those involving $\boldsymbol{\rho}_\mu$ since they belong to different isospin groups, though their masses are quite close to each other. The isospin matrices are

$$\boldsymbol{\tau} = \left(1, \frac{\tau_x - i\tau_y}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{\tau_x + i\tau_y}{\sqrt{2}}, \tau_z \right)$$

with τ_x, τ_y , and τ_z the Pauli matrices in flavor space. The

derivative operators are defined as $D_0 = \partial_0 \mp i\mu_I$ for the charged $\pi^\pm, \boldsymbol{\rho}_\mu^\pm$, and \mathbf{A}_μ^\pm , and $D_\mu = \partial_\mu$ for the others.

In the vacuum, only $\langle \sigma \rangle$ is expected to be nonzero and the thermodynamic potential is given by [16]

$$\Omega_v = \frac{\lambda}{4} (\langle \sigma \rangle^2 + \langle \boldsymbol{\pi} \rangle \cdot \langle \boldsymbol{\pi} \rangle - v^2)^2 - c \langle \sigma \rangle \quad (3)$$

in mean field approximation. We now turn to compute thermodynamics at finite temperature and chemical potentials, where both quarks and neutrons will give contributions. For the study of neutron stars, we confine ourselves to the case $\mu_B > -\mu_I/2 > 0$, which might involve two kinds of vector condensations, $\langle \omega_0 \rangle$ and $\langle \rho_0^3 \rangle$. Then, the vacuum term would be modified to

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_v = & \frac{\lambda}{4} (\langle \sigma \rangle^2 + \langle \boldsymbol{\pi} \rangle \cdot \langle \boldsymbol{\pi} \rangle - v^2)^2 - c \langle \sigma \rangle \\ & - \frac{g_{s\omega}}{2} (\langle \sigma \rangle^2 - h_\omega^2) \langle \omega_0 \rangle^2 - \frac{g_{s\rho}}{2} (\langle \sigma \rangle^2 - h_\rho^2) \langle \rho_0^3 \rangle^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

It is easy to work out the thermodynamic potentials for the quark and neutron parts according to the imaginary-time field theory [20], we have

$$\Omega_q = -2N_c T \sum_{l,t=\pm} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \ln \left(1 + e^{-[E_q(\mathbf{p}) + l(\frac{\mu_B}{N_c} + t\frac{\mu_I}{2})]/T} \right), \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_n = & -\frac{1}{2} g_{s\omega} (\langle \sigma \rangle^2 - h_\omega^2) \langle \omega_0 \rangle^2 - \frac{1}{2} g_{s\rho} (\langle \sigma \rangle^2 - h_\rho^2) \langle \rho_0^3 \rangle^2 - 2T \sum_{l=\pm} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \ln \left(1 + e^{-[E_n(\mathbf{p}) + l(\mu_n - (g_{N\omega} \langle \omega_0 \rangle - g_{N\rho} \langle \rho_0^3 \rangle))]/T} \right) \\ & + 2T \sum_{l=\pm} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \ln \left(1 + e^{-[E_n(\mathbf{p}) + l(\mu'_n - (g_{N\omega} \langle \omega_0 \rangle - g_{N\rho} \langle \rho_0^3 \rangle))]/T} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Here, μ'_n is the chemical potential for the blocked neutron

sea, and the dispersion relations are

$$E_{q/n}(\mathbf{p}) = \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m_{q/n}^2} \quad (7)$$

with $m_q = g_q \langle \sigma \rangle$ and $m_n = g_{Ns} \langle \sigma \rangle$, respectively. The vector condensates are subject to the physical constraint $0 \leq (g_{N\omega} \langle \omega_0 \rangle - g_{N\rho} \langle \rho_0^3 \rangle) \leq \mu_n$, which means that the neutron chemical potential is reduced by $\langle \omega_0 \rangle$ and $\langle \rho_0^3 \rangle$ but never changes sign.

The crucial step here is to implement the quarkyonic picture in the momentum space, in which the interior of the Fermi sea is filled up by quarks while the nucleons reside in an outside shell [14, 21]. As one can tell in Eq.(6), Ω_n is obtained by subtracting the supposed inner contribution (with μ'_n) out of the naive total one (with μ_n), that is, the neutrons only exist between the Fermi spheres stretched by μ'_n and μ_n . To carry out further calculations, we should find an appropriate scheme to determine the form of μ'_n . Based on comparison of the kinetic energy of a neutron with that of $2u + d$ quarks,

we choose the μ_n -linear form:

$$\mu'_n = \mu_n - (N_c m_q - m_n). \quad (8)$$

Compared to the non-linear form, the advantage of this scheme is that the blocked neutron density is in the same form as the naive neutron density [13], except for different chemical potentials, according to the thermodynamic relation $n_n = -\partial\Omega_n/\partial\mu_n$.

B. Gap equations and thermodynamics

In mean field approximation, the total thermodynamic potential is then $\Omega = \Omega_v + \Omega_q + \Omega_n$ and the gap equations can be obtained from the extremal conditions $\partial\Omega/\partial X = 0$ ($X = \langle \omega_0 \rangle, \langle \rho_0^3 \rangle, \langle \sigma \rangle$) as

$$\langle \omega_0 \rangle = -2 \sum_{l=\pm} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{l \frac{g_{N\omega}}{g_{s\omega}(\langle \sigma \rangle^2 - h_\omega^2)}}{1 + e^{[E_n(\mathbf{p}) + l(\mu_n - (g_{N\omega} \langle \omega_0 \rangle - g_{N\rho} \langle \rho_0^3 \rangle))/T]}} + 2 \sum_{l=\pm} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{l \frac{g_{N\omega}}{g_{s\omega}(\langle \sigma \rangle^2 - h_\omega^2)}}{1 + e^{[E_n(\mathbf{p}) + l(\mu'_n - (g_{N\omega} \langle \omega_0 \rangle - g_{N\rho} \langle \rho_0^3 \rangle))/T]}} \quad (9)$$

$$\langle \rho_0^3 \rangle = 2 \sum_{l=\pm} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{l \frac{g_{N\rho}}{g_{s\rho}(\langle \sigma \rangle^2 - h_\rho^2)}}{1 + e^{[E_n(\mathbf{p}) + l(\mu_n - (g_{N\omega} \langle \omega_0 \rangle - g_{N\rho} \langle \rho_0^3 \rangle))/T]}} - 2 \sum_{l=\pm} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{l \frac{g_{N\rho}}{g_{s\rho}(\langle \sigma \rangle^2 - h_\rho^2)}}{1 + e^{[E_n(\mathbf{p}) + l(\mu'_n - (g_{N\omega} \langle \omega_0 \rangle - g_{N\rho} \langle \rho_0^3 \rangle))/T]}} \quad (10)$$

$$\lambda (\langle \sigma \rangle^2 - v^2) \langle \sigma \rangle - c - \langle \sigma \rangle (g_{s\omega} \langle \omega_0 \rangle^2 + g_{s\rho} \langle \rho_0^3 \rangle^2) + 2N_c \sum_{l,t=\pm} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{g_q m_q / E_q(\mathbf{p})}{1 + e^{[E_q(\mathbf{p}) + l(\frac{\mu_B}{N_c} + t\frac{\mu_1}{2})/T]}} + 2 \sum_{l=\pm} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{g_{Ns} m_n / E_n(\mathbf{p})}{1 + e^{[E_n(\mathbf{p}) + l(\mu_n - (g_{N\omega} \langle \omega_0 \rangle - g_{N\rho} \langle \rho_0^3 \rangle))/T]}} - 2 \sum_{l=\pm} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{g_{Ns} m_n / E_n(\mathbf{p}) + l(g_{Ns} - g_q N_c)}{1 + e^{[E_n(\mathbf{p}) + l(\mu'_n - (g_{N\omega} \langle \omega_0 \rangle - g_{N\rho} \langle \rho_0^3 \rangle))/T]}} = 0. \quad (11)$$

Furthermore, the baryon number, isospin number and entropy densities can be derived directly according to the thermodynamic relationships $n_B = -\partial\Omega/\partial\mu_B$, $n_I = -\partial\Omega/\partial\mu_I$ and $s = -\partial\Omega/\partial T$ as

$$n_B = -2 \sum_{l,t=\pm} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} l \frac{1}{1 + e^{[E_q(\mathbf{p}) + l(\frac{\mu_B}{N_c} + t\frac{\mu_1}{2})/T]}} - 2 \sum_{l=\pm} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} l \left(\frac{1}{1 + e^{[E_n(\mathbf{p}) + l(\mu_n - (g_{N\omega} \langle \omega_0 \rangle - g_{N\rho} \langle \rho_0^3 \rangle))/T]}} - \frac{1}{1 + e^{[E_n(\mathbf{p}) + l(\mu'_n - (g_{N\omega} \langle \omega_0 \rangle - g_{N\rho} \langle \rho_0^3 \rangle))/T]}} \right), \quad (12)$$

$$n_I = -N_c \sum_{l,t=\pm} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} l t \frac{1}{1 + e^{[E_q(\mathbf{p}) + l(\frac{\mu_B}{N_c} + t\frac{\mu_1}{2})/T]}} + \sum_{l=\pm} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} l \left(\frac{1}{1 + e^{[E_n(\mathbf{p}) + l(\mu_n - (g_{N\omega} \langle \omega_0 \rangle - g_{N\rho} \langle \rho_0^3 \rangle))/T]}} - \frac{1}{1 + e^{[E_n(\mathbf{p}) + l(\mu'_n - (g_{N\omega} \langle \omega_0 \rangle - g_{N\rho} \langle \rho_0^3 \rangle))/T]}} \right), \quad (13)$$

$$s = 2N_c \sum_{l,t=\pm} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \left(\ln \left(1 + e^{-[E_q(\mathbf{p}) + l(\frac{\mu_B}{N_c} + t\frac{\mu_1}{2})/T]} \right) + \frac{E_q(\mathbf{p}) + l \left(\frac{\mu_B}{N_c} + t\frac{\mu_1}{2} \right)}{T \left(1 + e^{-[E_q(\mathbf{p}) + l(\frac{\mu_B}{N_c} + t\frac{\mu_1}{2})/T]} \right)} \right) + 2 \sum_{l=\pm} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \left(\ln \left(1 + e^{-[E_n(\mathbf{p}) + l(\mu_n - (g_{N\omega} \langle \omega_0 \rangle - g_{N\rho} \langle \rho_0^3 \rangle))/T]} \right) + \frac{E_n(\mathbf{p}) + l(\mu_n - (g_{N\omega} \langle \omega_0 \rangle - g_{N\rho} \langle \rho_0^3 \rangle))}{T \left(1 + e^{-[E_n(\mathbf{p}) + l(\mu_n - (g_{N\omega} \langle \omega_0 \rangle - g_{N\rho} \langle \rho_0^3 \rangle))/T]} \right)} - \ln \left(1 + e^{-[E_n(\mathbf{p}) + l(\mu'_n - (g_{N\omega} \langle \omega_0 \rangle - g_{N\rho} \langle \rho_0^3 \rangle))/T]} \right) - \frac{E_n(\mathbf{p}) + l(\mu'_n - (g_{N\omega} \langle \omega_0 \rangle - g_{N\rho} \langle \rho_0^3 \rangle))}{T \left(1 + e^{-[E_n(\mathbf{p}) + l(\mu'_n - (g_{N\omega} \langle \omega_0 \rangle - g_{N\rho} \langle \rho_0^3 \rangle))/T]} \right)} \right). \quad (14)$$

Thus, the energy density of the QNM is found to be

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &\equiv \Omega + \mu_B n_B + \mu_I n_I + sT - \epsilon_0 \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{4} (\langle \sigma \rangle^2 - v^2)^2 - c \langle \sigma \rangle + \frac{1}{2} g_{s\omega} (\langle \sigma \rangle^2 - h_\omega^2) \langle \omega_0 \rangle^2 + \frac{1}{2} g_{s\rho} (\langle \sigma \rangle^2 - h_\rho^2) \langle \rho_0^3 \rangle^2 + 2 \sum_{l,t=\pm} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{N_c E_q(\mathbf{p})}{1 + e^{[E_q(\mathbf{p}) + l(\frac{\mu_B}{N_c} + t\frac{\mu_I}{2})]/T}} \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{l=\pm} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \left(\frac{E_n(\mathbf{p})}{1 + e^{[E_n(\mathbf{p}) + l(\mu_n - (g_{N\omega} \langle \omega_0 \rangle - g_{N\rho} \langle \rho_0^3 \rangle)]/T}} - \frac{E_n(\mathbf{p}) - l(N_c m_q - m_n)}{1 + e^{[E_n(\mathbf{p}) + l(\mu'_n - (g_{N\omega} \langle \omega_0 \rangle - g_{N\rho} \langle \rho_0^3 \rangle)]/T}} \right) - \epsilon_0, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where ϵ_0 is the zero-point energy that must be excluded so that the detectable energy ϵ vanishes in the vacuum. Note that the gap equations Eqs.(9) and (10) has been used to get the final expression.

At zero temperature, the explicit forms of Eqs.(12) and (13) for the baryon and isospin densities become

$$n_B = n_B^q + n_B^n \equiv \frac{p_{uF}^3 + p_{dF}^3}{3\pi^2} + \frac{p_{nF}^3 - p_{nF}'^3}{3\pi^2}, \quad (16)$$

$$n_I = n_I^q + n_I^n \equiv N_c \frac{p_{uF}^3 - p_{dF}^3}{6\pi^2} - \frac{p_{nF}^3 - p_{nF}'^3}{6\pi^2}, \quad (17)$$

where $p_{u/dF}$ are the Fermi momenta of the occupied quark flavors, and p_{nF} and p_{nF}' are the Fermi momenta of the initially occupied and Pauli-blocked neutron states. The Fermi momenta are related to the chemical potentials through the Fermi energies as

$$\begin{aligned} E_{u/dF} &\equiv E_q(p_{u/dF}) = \frac{\mu_B}{N_c} \pm \frac{\mu_I}{2}, \quad E_{nF} \equiv E_n(p_{tF}) = \mu_n - (g_{N\omega} \langle \omega_0 \rangle - g_{N\rho} \langle \rho_0^3 \rangle), \\ E_{nF}' &\equiv E_n(p_{tF}') = \mu'_n - (g_{N\omega} \langle \omega_0 \rangle - g_{N\rho} \langle \rho_0^3 \rangle). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

As in the previous study [13], the momentum integrations involved in the gap equations Eqs.(9-11) and energy density Eq.(15) can be carried out explicitly with the help of Fermi momenta as

$$0 = g_{s\omega} (\langle \sigma \rangle^2 - h_\omega^2) \langle \omega_0 \rangle - g_{N\omega} n_B^n, \quad (19)$$

$$0 = g_{s\rho} (\langle \sigma \rangle^2 - h_\rho^2) \langle \rho_0^3 \rangle - 2g_{N\rho} n_I^n, \quad (20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lambda (\langle \sigma \rangle^2 - v^2) \langle \sigma \rangle - c - \langle \sigma \rangle (g_{s\omega} \langle \omega_0 \rangle^2 + g_{s\rho} \langle \rho_0^3 \rangle^2) + \frac{g_{Ns} m_n}{2\pi^2} \Delta \left[E_{nF} p_{nF} - m_n^2 \ln \left(\frac{E_{nF} + p_{nF}}{m_n} \right) \right] \\ &\quad + N_c \frac{g_q m_q}{2\pi^2} \sum_{t=u,d} \left[E_{tF} p_{tF} - m_q^2 \ln \left(\frac{E_{tF} + p_{tF}}{m_q} \right) \right] + (g_{Ns} - g_q N_c) \frac{p_{nF}'^3}{3\pi^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &= \frac{\lambda}{4} (\langle \sigma \rangle^2 - v^2)^2 - c \langle \sigma \rangle + \frac{1}{2} g_{s\omega} (\langle \sigma \rangle^2 - h_\omega^2) \langle \omega_0 \rangle^2 + \frac{1}{2} g_{s\rho} (\langle \sigma \rangle^2 - h_\rho^2) \langle \rho_0^3 \rangle^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \Delta \left[2E_{nF}^3 p_{nF} - m_n^2 E_{nF} p_{nF} - m_n^4 \ln \left(\frac{E_{nF} + p_{nF}}{m_n} \right) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{N_c}{8\pi^2} \sum_{t=u,d} \left[2E_{tF}^3 p_{tF} - m_q^2 E_{tF} p_{tF} - m_q^4 \ln \left(\frac{E_{tF} + p_{tF}}{m_q} \right) \right] - (N_c m_q - m_n) \frac{p_{nF}'^3}{3\pi^2} - \epsilon_0, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where the symbol "Δ" means excluding the corresponding one with $n \rightarrow n'$ for the energy and momentum.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

Most of the model parameters were fixed in our previous work already [13], that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda &= 30.56, \quad v = 89.59 \text{ MeV}, \quad c = (121.0 \text{ MeV})^3, \\ g_{N\omega} &= 7.232, \quad g_{s\omega} = 81.06, \quad h_\omega = 293.5 \text{ MeV}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

So here we only need to fix the new parameters relevant to ρ mesons, that is, $g_{N\rho}$, $g_{s\rho}$, and h_ρ , the latter

two of which are further connected by the vacuum mass $g_{s\rho} (f_\pi^2 - h_\rho^2) = (775 \text{ MeV})^2$. Then, by recalling constraints given in the review Ref. [22], $E_{\text{sym}}(n_0) = 31.6 \pm 2.7 \text{ MeV}$ and $L_{\text{sym}}(n_0) = 58.7 \pm 28.1 \text{ MeV}$, we will determine these parameters by requiring $E_{\text{sym}}(n_0) = 32 \text{ MeV}$ and $L_{\text{sym}}(n_0) = 60 \text{ MeV}$ for QNM at saturation density. In neutron stars, for a given μ_B , the variable μ_I is actually fixed by chemical equilibria Eq.(1) and electric charge neutrality through

$$2 \frac{p_{uF}^3}{3\pi^2} - \frac{p_{dF}^3}{3\pi^2} + \frac{\mu_I^3}{3\pi^2} = 0, \quad (24)$$

where the electric chemical potential of electrons is $-\mu_I$ and we have neglected electron mass. Eventually, the fitting gives the new parameters as the following:

$$g_{N\rho} = 3.491, g_{s\omega} = 92.49, h_\rho = 446.5 \text{ MeV}, \quad (25)$$

and the associated evolutions of $E_{\text{sym}}(n_B)$ and $L_{\text{sym}}(n_B)$ are illustrated in Fig.1




FIG. 1. The symmetry energy $E_{\text{sym}}(n_B)$ (upper panel) and its slope $L_{\text{sym}}(n_B) \equiv 3n_0 \frac{\partial E_{\text{sym}}(n_B)}{\partial n_B}$ (lower panel) as functions of the baryon density n_B for the quarkyonic neutron matter.

Next, by increasing the baryon chemical potential μ_B , we explore the features of the densities and order parameters. As shown in the upper panel of Fig.2, the baryon density and the ratio of quarks increase with μ_B , and we find $n_B \approx -2n_I$ as should be according to the definition of QNM. In the lower panel, correct responses of the order parameters to the densities are found: $\langle \sigma \rangle$ decreases with baryon densities due to chiral symmetry restoration, though only partially here; and $\langle \omega_0 \rangle$ and $|\langle \rho_0^3 \rangle|$ increase with neutron density. Moreover, we show the pressure and sound velocity as functions of the baryon density n_B in Fig.3. We are glad to see that the pressure is consistent with the experimental constraints very well [15], neither too soft nor too stiff. But the square of sound velocity gradually approaches the free quark limit $1/3$ from below without developing any peak structure, in contrast to other studies [11, 23] involving both nucleons and quarks (see the review Ref. [24]). We note that there is no true phase transition in the considered region of baryon density, which thus justifies the application of the grand canonical ensemble picture to the density most relevant to neutron stars.

Finally, we apply the energy density and pressure obtained above to explore the observational properties of




FIG. 2. Upper panel: the densities n_B, n_I , and n_B^q as functions of the baryon chemical potential μ_B ; lower panel: the order parameters $\langle \sigma \rangle, \langle \omega_0 \rangle$, and $\langle \rho_0^3 \rangle$ as functions of the corresponding baryon density n_B .

quarkyonic neutron stars, mainly the mass-radius relation. For ordinary isotropic neutron stars, gravity is the reason why they can exist as bound objects and functions through the well-known Tolman–Oppenheimer–Volkov (TOV) equation [25, 26],

$$\frac{dP(r)}{dr} = -G_N \frac{[P(r) + \epsilon(r)] [M(r) + 4\pi r^3 P(r)]}{r^2 - 2G_N r M(r)}. \quad (26)$$

Here, $G_N = 6.70 \times 10^{-45} \text{ MeV}^{-2}$ is the Newton's gravitational constant in natural unit and $M(r)$ is the core mass within radius r that can be determined from

$$\frac{dM(r)}{dr} = 4\pi r^2 \epsilon(r). \quad (27)$$

With the known equation of state $\epsilon(r) = \epsilon(P(r))$, the differential equations are actually coupled equations of $P(r)$ and $M(r)$. There are two obvious initial conditions, $M(0) = 0$ and $P(R) = 0$, where R is the radius of the neutron star. For practical calculations, it is more convenient to start with $M(0) = 0$ and a given $P(0) (> 0)$, work out $P(r)$, and find out the radius R according to $P(R) = 0$.

The numerical result for the mass-radius relation is illustrated together with that of pure neutron star [11] in Fig.4. The inflection point around $0.3M_\odot$ corresponds to $n \sim 0.56 n_0$ and indicates the emergence of quark degrees




FIG. 3. Pressure (red dotted in upper panel) and sound velocity (lower panel) as functions of baryon density ρ or n_B . The upper panel is adjusted from the plot given in Ref. [15].

of freedom in the cores of quarkyonic neutron stars. Compared to that of pure neutron star, the EOS employed here is a bit too soft to generate the $2 M_\odot$ mass of PSR J0740+6620 [27] – even when we vary $L_{\text{sys}}(n_0)$ within the uncertainty. As softness is always expected for the quark system, the drawback implies that the dominance of quarks should be postponed to higher baryon density; and thus a peak structure is inevitable for the sound velocity and should be located at a super-saturation density for the QNM. Nevertheless, the radius of $1.4 M_\odot$ neutron star is 12.94 km, well within the updated constraints from NICER, $R_{1.4} \in (11.39, 13.10)$ km [6–8].

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we extend our previous study of quarkyonic nuclear matter to quarkyonic neutron matter by introducing interactions with vector-isovector ρ mesons. The three new parameters are fixed by fitting to ρ me-

son mass in vacuum and the experimental constraints on the symmetry energy and its slope at saturation density. The features of chiral and vector condensates are obtained with increasing baryon density: while $\langle\sigma\rangle$ decreases slowly according to chiral symmetry restoration,




FIG. 4. Mass-radius relations of quarkyonic neutron stars (red dashed line) and pure neutron stars (black solid line).

$|\langle\omega_0\rangle|$ and $|\langle\rho_0^3\rangle|$ increases quickly due to the density interactions. Thermodynamic properties of the quarkyonic neutron matter are also explored, such as the pressure and sound velocity, which the former of which is well consistent with experimental restrictions. Finally, the obtained equation of state is applied to solve the TOV equation for the quarkyonic neutron stars. It is a pity that the EOS is not stiff enough to give rise to the maximal two solar masses observed. The defect origins from the early dominance of quark degrees of freedom in our model (see the upper panel in Fig.2) and is closely related to the absence of any peak in the sound velocity (see the lower panel in Fig.3).

In the future, the model should be improved by effectively suppressing quark fraction at baryon density $n_B \lesssim 5 n_0$ [24]. Since the mean distance between two neutrons is still comparable to their own size at $n_B = 5 n_0$, quarks' contribution must be secondary due to the preservation of strong confinement effect. At this point, it might be important to take into account gluon degrees of freedom which give rise to confinement, probably through a potential of Polyakov loop [28]. However, such a kind of potential has never been reliably obtained in the first-principle lattice QCD simulations mainly due to the notorious sign problem. We hope the development of functional renormalization group and tensor network could help us to get an insight into the high density region.

Acknowledgments— G.C. is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China with Grant No. 11805290.

[1] B. P. Abbott *et al.* [LIGO Scientific and Virgo], “GW170817: Measurements of neutron star radii and

equation of state,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, no.16, 161101

- (2018).
- [2] E. Annala, T. Gorda, A. Kurkela and A. Vuorinen, “Gravitational-wave constraints on the neutron-star-matter Equation of State,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, no.17, 172703 (2018).
- [3] S. De, D. Finstad, J. M. Lattimer, D. A. Brown, E. Berger and C. M. Biwer, “Tidal Deformabilities and Radii of Neutron Stars from the Observation of GW170817,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, no.9, 091102 (2018) [erratum: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, no.25, 259902 (2018)].
- [4] I. Tews, J. Margueron and S. Reddy, “Critical examination of constraints on the equation of state of dense matter obtained from GW170817,” *Phys. Rev. C* **98**, no.4, 045804 (2018).
- [5] B. Margalit and B. D. Metzger, “Constraining the Maximum Mass of Neutron Stars From Multi-Messenger Observations of GW170817,” *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **850**, no.2, L19 (2017).
- [6] T. E. Riley, *et al.* “A NICER View of the Massive Pulsar PSR J0740+6620 Informed by Radio Timing and XMM-Newton Spectroscopy,” *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **918**, no.2, L27 (2021).
- [7] M. C. Miller, *et al.* “The Radius of PSR J0740+6620 from NICER and XMM-Newton Data,” *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **918**, no.2, L28 (2021).
- [8] G. Raaijmakers, *et al.* “Constraints on the Dense Matter Equation of State and Neutron Star Properties from NICER’s Mass–Radius Estimate of PSR J0740+6620 and Multimessenger Observations,” *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **918**, no.2, L29 (2021).
- [9] M. G. Alford, A. Schmitt, K. Rajagopal and T. Schäfer, “Color superconductivity in dense quark matter,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80**, 1455–1515 (2008).
- [10] K. Fukushima and T. Kojo, “The Quarkyonic Star,” *Astrophys. J.* **817**, no.2, 180 (2016).
- [11] L. McLerran and S. Reddy, “Quarkyonic Matter and Neutron Stars,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, no. 12, 122701 (2019).
- [12] C. J. Xia, S. S. Xue and S. G. Zhou, “Nuclear Matter, Quarkyonic Matter, and Phase Transitions in Hybrid Stars,” *JPS Conf. Proc.* **20**, 011010 (2018).
- [13] G. Cao and J. Liao, “A field theoretical model for quarkyonic matter,” *JHEP* **10**, 168 (2020).
- [14] L. McLerran and R. D. Pisarski, “Phases of cold, dense quarks at large $N(c)$,” *Nucl. Phys. A* **796**, 83 (2007).
- [15] P. Danielewicz, R. Lacey and W. G. Lynch, “Determination of the equation of state of dense matter,” *Science* **298**, 1592–1596 (2002).
- [16] B. J. Schaefer and J. Wambach, “Susceptibilities near the QCD (tri)critical point,” *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 085015 (2007).
- [17] J. D. Walecka, “A Theory of highly condensed matter,” *Annals Phys.* **83**, 491–529 (1974).
- [18] D. C. Duarte, S. Hernandez-Ortiz, K. S. Jeong and L. D. McLerran, “Quarkyonic effective field theory, quark-nucleon duality, and ghosts,” *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, no.9, L091901 (2021).
- [19] B. A. Li, L. W. Chen and C. M. Ko, “Recent Progress and New Challenges in Isospin Physics with Heavy-Ion Reactions,” *Phys. Rept.* **464**, 113–281 (2008).
- [20] J.I. Kapusta and C. Gale, *Finite-temperature field theory: principles and applications*, 2nd edition, Cambridge University Press (Cambridge U.K., 2006).
- [21] L. McLerran, K. Redlich and C. Sasaki, “Quarkyonic Matter and Chiral Symmetry Breaking,” *Nucl. Phys. A* **824**, 86–100 (2009).
- [22] M. Oertel, M. Hempel, T. Klähn and S. Typel, “Equations of state for supernovae and compact stars,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **89**, no.1, 015007 (2017).
- [23] T. Kojo, G. Baym and T. Hatsuda, “QHC21 equation of state of neutron star matter - in light of 2021 NICER data,” [arXiv:2111.11919 [astro-ph.HE]].
- [24] G. Baym, T. Hatsuda, T. Kojo, P. D. Powell, Y. Song and T. Takatsuka, “From hadrons to quarks in neutron stars: a review,” *Rept. Prog. Phys.* **81**, no.5, 056902 (2018).
- [25] R. C. Tolman, *Relativity, Thermodynamics and Cosmology* (Oxford, 1934).
- [26] J. R. Oppenheimer and G. M. Volkoff, “On massive neutron cores,” *Phys. Rev.* **55**, 374–381 (1939).
- [27] E. Fonseca, *et al.* “Refined Mass and Geometric Measurements of the High-mass PSR J0740+6620,” *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **915**, no.1, L12 (2021).
- [28] K. Fukushima and V. Skokov, “Polyakov loop modeling for hot QCD,” *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **96**, 154–199 (2017).