

On flat pullbacks for Chow groups

Nitin Nitsure

Retired Professor, School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai 400 005, India. email: nitsure@gmail.com

Abstract

It is a fundamental property of the Chow groups of algebraic schemes that they are contra-functorial with respect to flat morphisms between schemes. While the pullback homomorphism is easy to define at the level of algebraic cycles, the crucial step is to show that the pullback of cycles preserves rational equivalence, so that it descends to the Chow groups. The purpose of this note is to give a natural sheaf theoretic proof of the preservation of rational equivalence under flat pullback on cycles.

Key words: Algebraic cycles, flat morphisms, rational equivalence, Chow groups.
Math. Subj. Class. MSC2010 : 14C15 (Primary), 14C25, 14A15 (Secondary).

1. Introduction

If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a flat morphism of algebraic schemes over a base field k , such that f is of constant relative dimension d , then it induces a graded homomorphism $f^* : Z_*(Y) \rightarrow Z_*(X)$ of degree d between the respective \mathbb{Z} -graded groups $Z_*(Y)$ and $Z_*(X)$ of algebraic cycles, defined by sending the generator $[V] \in Z_n(Y)$ corresponding to an n -dimensional closed subvariety $V \subset Y$ to the fundamental cycle $[f^{-1}V] \in Z_{n+d}(X)$ of the closed subscheme $f^{-1}V \subset X$, which is of pure dimension $n + d$ if non-empty. These pullback homomorphisms can be easily seen to satisfy (i) id_X^* is the identity on $Z_*(X)$, and (ii) if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ are flat morphisms of constant relative dimensions then $(g \circ f)^* = f^* \circ g^*$. This makes Z_* a contravariant functor from the category of algebraic schemes and flat morphisms of constant relative dimensions to the category of graded abelian groups and graded homomorphisms. What is more difficult is to show that if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a flat morphism of constant relative dimension d , then $f^* : Z_*(Y) \rightarrow Z_*(X)$ maps the graded subgroup $\text{Rat}_*(Y) \subset Z_*(Y)$ formed by algebraic cycles on Y that are rationally equivalent to 0, into the corresponding graded subgroup $\text{Rat}_*(X) \subset Z_*(X)$, thereby inducing a graded homomorphism $f^* : A_*(Y) \rightarrow A_*(X)$ of degree d between the respective graded Chow groups, which are the quotients $A_*(Y) = Z_*(Y)/\text{Rat}_*(Y)$ and $A_*(X) = Z_*(X)/\text{Rat}_*(X)$. This is the flat pullback for Chow groups in the title of this note.

The proof of the preservation of rational equivalence by flat morphisms, given by Fulton in Chapter 1 of [3], is based on three main ingredients. The first ingredient is the fundamental theorem of Chevalley [1] that the push-forward of algebraic cycles by proper morphisms preserves rational equivalence. The second ingredient is an alternative description of rational equivalence of algebraic cycles on a variety V by representing a rational function on V via a flat morphism $\tilde{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ on a suitable

variety \tilde{V} that is proper birational over V , and then taking the push-forward on V of the pullback on \tilde{V} of the algebraic cycle $[P_0] - [P_\infty]$ on \mathbb{P}^1 . The third ingredient is a lemma on how the push-forwards of algebraic cycles by proper morphisms commute with the pullbacks of algebraic cycles by flat morphisms of constant relative dimensions on algebraic cycles, in a cartesian square.

The purpose of this note is to give a more ‘natural’ proof of the preservation of rational equivalence by flat morphisms, by using the sheaf theoretic properties of algebraic cycles and of invertible meromorphic functions. The sheaf of meromorphic functions \mathcal{K}_X on a scheme X is a somewhat neglected topic in most textbooks of algebraic geometry, hence we recall some necessary basics in Section 2 (the experienced reader can skip this section). In Section 3, we introduce the site \mathcal{C} of algebraic schemes and flat morphisms of constant relative dimensions, equipped with the big Zariski topology. Invertible meromorphic functions, and algebraic cycles, form sheaves \mathcal{K}^\times and \mathcal{Z}_* on \mathcal{C} . In Section 4, we introduce the sheaf \mathcal{W} of Weil divisors on the full sub site \mathcal{C}^{pure} of \mathcal{C} consisting of pure dimensional schemes, and a homomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{K}^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ over \mathcal{C}^{pure} , using which we complete the proof of the preservation of rational equivalence.

2. Sheaves of meromorphic functions

For any ring R , let $S(R) \subset R$ denote set of all non-zero divisors, and let $Q(R)$ denote the total quotient ring $R[S(R)^{-1}]$ of R . For any locally ringed space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) there is a subsheaf of sets $\mathcal{S}_X \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ whose sections over an open subset $U \subset X$ are all $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ such that for each $x \in U$ the germ f_x lies in $S(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})$ (in particular, $\mathcal{S}_X(U) \subset S(\mathcal{O}_X(U))$, but the inclusion can sometimes be proper). The sheaf \mathcal{K}_X of meromorphic functions on X is the sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -algebras which is the localization $\mathcal{K}_X = \mathcal{O}_X[\mathcal{S}_X^{-1}]$ of \mathcal{O}_X obtained by inverting \mathcal{S}_X (see EGA IV.20 [2]). If X is a locally noetherian scheme, then the sections of \mathcal{S}_X and \mathcal{K}_X over any affine open subscheme $U = \text{Spec } A \subset X$, and the stalks $\mathcal{S}_{X,x}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{X,x}$ at any $x = \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$, have the following direct algebraic description (see Mumford [5] Chapter 9, Section 1): $\mathcal{S}_X(U) = S(A)$, $\mathcal{S}_{X,x} = S(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}) = S(A_{\mathfrak{p}})$, $\mathcal{K}_X(U) = Q(A)$ and $\mathcal{K}_{X,x} = Q(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}) = Q(A_{\mathfrak{p}})$. If $\text{Spec } B \subset \text{Spec } A$ are affine open subschemes of X , then the corresponding ring homomorphism $A \rightarrow B$ is flat, so it maps $S(A)$ into $S(B)$ and induces a homomorphism $Q(A) \rightarrow Q(B)$. These are the restriction maps from $\text{Spec } A$ to $\text{Spec } B$ for the sections of the sheaves \mathcal{S}_X and \mathcal{K}_X . Let \mathcal{K}_X^\times denote the sheaf of multiplicative groups formed by the units in \mathcal{K}_X . It satisfies $\mathcal{K}_X^\times(\text{Spec } A) = Q(A)^\times$ and $(\mathcal{K}_X^\times)_x = \mathcal{K}_{X,x}^\times = Q(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})^\times = Q(A_{\mathfrak{p}})^\times$ for any affine open subscheme $\text{Spec } A \subset X$ and point $x = \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } A$.

If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a flat morphism of locally noetherian schemes, then as flat homomorphisms of rings preserve non zero-divisors, the homomorphism $f^\# : f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ induces homomorphisms $f^\# : f^{-1}\mathcal{S}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_X$, $f^\# : f^{-1}\mathcal{K}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_X$ and $f^\# : f^{-1}\mathcal{K}_Y^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_X^\times$. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ are both flat then $f^\# \circ (f^{-1}(g^\#)) = (g \circ f)^\#$ on \mathcal{S} , \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{K}^\times .

The above description of the sections and stalks of \mathcal{S}_X , \mathcal{K}_X and \mathcal{K}_X^\times does not in general extend to non locally noetherian schemes (see Kleiman [4]).

Support $|r|$ and restriction map $res_{X,Y}$. The quotient sheaf $\mathcal{K}_X^\times/\mathcal{O}_X^\times$ is called the sheaf of Cartier divisors on X . The image of any $r \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_X^\times)$ in $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_X^\times/\mathcal{O}_X^\times)$ is called the Cartier divisor of r , and is denoted by $\text{div}(r)$. The set-topological support of $\text{div}(r)$, which is a closed subset of the underlying topological space $|X|$ of X , will be called as the support of r , and will be denoted by $|r|$ (the support $\text{supp}(r)$ of the section $r \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_X^\times)$ in the usual sheaf theoretic sense, which is by definition the set of all points x for which the germ $r_x \neq 1 \in \mathcal{K}_{X,x}^\times$, is contained in $|r|$ but $\text{supp}(r) \neq |r|$ in general). If $U = X - |r|$ is regarded as an open subscheme of X , then $r|_U \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U^\times)$. If $X = \text{Spec } A$ where A is noetherian, then we can write $r = s/t$ where $s, t \in S(A)$, and hence $|r| \subset V(a) \cup V(b)$ where $V(a), V(b)$ are the closed subsets defined by $a = 0$ and $b = 0$. If \mathfrak{p} is a minimal prime of A , then $\mathfrak{p} \subset \text{Zerodiv}(A)$, and so $a \notin \mathfrak{p}, b \notin \mathfrak{p}$, showing that $\mathfrak{p} \in U = X - |r|$. For any $r \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_X^\times)$ for a locally noetherian scheme X , the above argument shows that $|r|$ does not contain any height 0 points of X . Hence if $Y \subset X$ is an irreducible component of X regarded as a closed integral subscheme, then $U \cap Y \neq \emptyset$, and therefore the regular function $r|_U \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_U^\times)$ further restricts to a section $r_Y \in \Gamma(Y \cap U, \mathcal{O}_Y^\times)$. As Y is integral, $\Gamma(Y \cap U, \mathcal{O}_Y^\times) \subset K(Y)^\times$ where $K(Y)$ denotes the function field of Y , which in turn equals $\mathcal{K}_Y(Y)$. Hence we have a restriction homomorphism $res_{X,Y} : \mathcal{K}_X^\times(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_Y^\times(Y) : r \mapsto r_Y$ for any irreducible component Y of X . If $U \subset X$ is an open subscheme such that $Y \cap U \neq \emptyset$, then $Y \cap U$ is an irreducible component of U , and it follows from the above definition of restrictions that $r_Y|_{Y \cap U} = (r|_U)|_{Y \cap U} \in K_{Y \cap U}(Y \cap U)$, an equality that will be useful later. We will denote $r_Y|_{Y \cap U}$ and $(r|_U)|_{Y \cap U}$ by the common symbol $r|_{Y \cap U}$.

For completeness, we include the following algebraic lemma which is used in proving the above statements about sections and stalks of \mathcal{S}_X and \mathcal{K}_X .

Lemma 1. (1) For any ring A , let the subset $\mathcal{S}(A) \subset A$ consist of all $a \in A$ such that for every prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$, the image of a under the localization homomorphism $A \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a non zero-divisor in $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then $\mathcal{S}(A) \subset S(A)$. If the ring A is noetherian, then $\mathcal{S}(A) = S(A)$.

(2) If A is noetherian and $I \subset A$ an ideal such that $\text{Ann}(I) = 0$ then $S(A) \cap I \neq \emptyset$, where $\text{Ann}(I) \subset A$ denotes the annihilator ideal of I .

(3) Let f_1, \dots, f_n generate the unit ideal in A . If A is noetherian then the diagrams

$$S(A) \rightarrow \prod_i S(A_{f_i}) \rightrightarrows \prod_{(j,k)} S(A_{f_j f_k}) \text{ and } Q(A) \rightarrow \prod_i Q(A_{f_i}) \rightrightarrows \prod_{(j,k)} Q(A_{f_j f_k}),$$

obtained by applying the functors S and Q to the exact diagram $A \rightarrow \prod_i A_{f_i} \rightrightarrows \prod_{(j,k)} A_{f_j f_k}$ of rings and flat homomorphisms, are exact.

Proof. (1) The inclusion $\mathcal{S}(A) \subset S(A)$ is obvious. If A is noetherian, its set of associated prime ideals $\text{Ass}(A)$ is finite and the set $\text{Zerodiv}(A)$ of all zero divisors in A equals $\cup \text{Ass}(A)$. If \mathfrak{q} is any prime ideal in A , then $\text{Ass}(A_{\mathfrak{q}}) = \{\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{q}} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(A) \text{ and } \mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{q}\}$. Hence if $a \in S(A) = A - \cup \text{Ass}(A)$, then $a/1 \in S(A_{\mathfrak{q}})$, showing $S(A) \subset \mathcal{S}(A)$.

(2) Suppose to the contrary that $I \subset \text{Zerodiv}(A) = \cup \text{Ass}(A)$. As $\text{Ass}(A)$ is a finite set of prime ideals, by prime avoidance there exists $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(A)$ such that $I \subset \mathfrak{p}$. Being an associated prime, \mathfrak{p} equals $\text{Ann}(x)$ the annihilator ideal of some nonzero element $x \in A$. Hence $x \in \text{Ann}(I)$ showing that $\text{Ann}(I) \neq 0$.

(3) A proof of this, assuming (2), is given on the page 61 of Mumford [5]. \square

3. Sheaves of algebraic cycles

We will follow the notation and terminology used in Fulton's *Intersection Theory* [3], and we will assume that the reader is familiar with the material covered in the Chapter 1 of that book. From here onwards 'schemes' will mean algebraic schemes over a chosen base field k , and all morphisms will be assumed to be over k . The phrase 'flat morphism' will mean a flat morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of schemes which is of a constant relative dimension. A 'variety' will mean an integral scheme, not assumed to be separated. If V is a variety, $R(V)$ will denote the field of rational functions over V . In terms of the sheaf of meromorphic functions on V , note that $R(V) = \mathcal{K}_V(V)$, and $R(V)^\times = \mathcal{K}_V^\times(V)$.

Let $\mathcal{C}_{k,flat}$ denote the category whose objects are all algebraic k -scheme, and morphisms are all flat k -morphisms of constant relative dimensions. We give it the big Zariski topology, in other words, an open cover of an object X is a Zariski open cover of X . This defines a site $\mathcal{C}_{k,flat,Zar}$ which we will simply denote by \mathcal{C} . We define a sheaf \mathcal{K}^\times of abelian groups on this site as follows. For any object X of \mathcal{C} we define $\mathcal{K}^\times(X) = \mathcal{K}_X^\times(X)$, and for any morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$, we define the restriction homomorphism $\mathcal{K}^\times(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^\times(X)$ to be the group homomorphism induced by $f^\sharp : f^{-1}\mathcal{K}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_X$ (it matters here that f is flat, but it does not matter here whether f has a constant relative dimension or not).

We next define a presheaf \mathcal{Z}_* of \mathbb{Z} -graded abelian groups and graded homomorphisms on \mathcal{C} as follows. For any object X , we define $\mathcal{Z}_*(X) = Z_*(X)$, and for any morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{C} , which is by definition a flat k -morphism of a constant relative dimension say d , we define the restriction homomorphism $\mathcal{Z}_*(Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}_*(X)$ to be the graded homomorphism of degree d induced by the flat pullbacks $f^* : Z_n(Y) \rightarrow Z_{n+d}(X)$ under f . If $V \subset X$ is a closed subvariety of dimension n , then $f^{-1}(V)$ is a closed subscheme of X of pure dimension $n + d$, and by definition $f^*[V]$ is the fundamental cycle $[f^{-1}(V)] \in Z_{n+d}(X)$. Here, recall that if X is a scheme and $W \subset X$ is a closed subscheme of pure dimension m , then the fundamental cycle $[W] \in Z_m(W) \subset Z_m(X)$ is defined by

$$[W] = \sum_{W_i} \ell(\mathcal{O}_{W,W_i})[W_i]$$

where the sum is indexed by all irreducible components W_i of W , and \mathcal{O}_{W,W_i} denotes the stalk of \mathcal{O}_W at the generic point of W_i , which is an artin local ring, with length denoted by $\ell(\mathcal{O}_{W,W_i})$. The map f^* so defined on the generators $[V]$ extends uniquely to the free abelian group $Z_n(Y)$ to define a homomorphism $f^* : Z_n(Y) \rightarrow Z_{n+d}(X)$. By [3] Lemma 1.7.1, for any closed subscheme W of Y of pure dimension n , we have $f^*[W] = [f^{-1}W]$. From this, it follows that for any two arrows $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ in \mathcal{C} , we have $(g \circ f)^* = f^* \circ g^*$, and it is clear that $\text{id}_X^* = \text{id}_{Z^*(X)}$. Hence \mathcal{Z}_* is a presheaf on \mathcal{C} .

Proposition 2. *The presheaf \mathcal{Z}_* is a sheaf on the site \mathcal{C} .*

Proof. Let $\alpha = \sum_i m_i[V_i] \in Z_n(X)$ where V_i are closed subvarieties of X of dimension n , with $V_i \neq V_j$ for $i \neq j$, and with $m_i \neq 0$ for each i . Let (U_λ) be a

Zariski open cover of X . If $\alpha|_{U_\lambda} = 0$ for each λ , then we will show that $\alpha = 0$. If $V_i \cap U_\lambda \neq \emptyset$, then V_i is uniquely recovered from $V_i \cap U_\lambda$ as its closure. Hence as the V_i are distinct, if $V_i \cap U_\lambda = V_j \cap U_\lambda$ for $i \neq j$ then we must have $V_i \cap U_\lambda = V_j \cap U_\lambda = \emptyset$. Hence there can be no cancellations amongst the coefficients m_i , and so if $\alpha|_{U_\lambda} = 0$ then each $V_i \cap U_\lambda = \emptyset$. If $\alpha|_{U_\lambda} = 0$ for each λ , then as (U_λ) is a cover of X , it follows that $\alpha = 0$ (the empty sum). This shows that \mathcal{Z}_* is a separated presheaf on \mathcal{C} .

As \mathcal{Z}_* is a separated presheaf and as any object X of \mathcal{C} is quasicompact, to verify the gluing condition for \mathcal{Z}_* , it is enough to consider a union $X = U' \cup U''$ of two open subschemes, together with n -cycles $\alpha' = \sum_{i=1}^p m'_i [V'_i] \in Z_n(U')$ and $\alpha'' = \sum_{j=1}^q m''_j [V''_j] \in Z_n(U'')$ where $p, q \geq 0$, V'_i are distinct closed subvarieties of U' , V''_j are distinct closed subvarieties of U'' , and each m'_i and m''_j is nonzero, such that $\alpha'|_{U'} = \alpha''|_{U''}$, and glue α' and α'' together. By re indexing the V'_i and the V''_j , we can assume that for $i \leq r$, $V'_i \cap U' \cap U'' \neq \emptyset$ and for $i > r$, $V'_i \cap U' \cap U'' = \emptyset$. Similarly, for $j \leq s$, $V''_j \cap U' \cap U'' \neq \emptyset$ and for $j > s$, $V''_j \cap U' \cap U'' = \emptyset$. Note that V'_i can be uniquely recovered from $V'_i \cap U' \cap U''$ if $i \leq r$, and similarly, V''_j can be uniquely recovered from $V''_j \cap U' \cap U''$ if $j \leq s$. It follows that $r = s$, and as closed subvarieties of X , and after re-indexing V'_1, \dots, V''_r , we have $\overline{V'_i} = \overline{V''_i}$ for $i \leq r$, and $m'_i = m''_i$ for $i \leq r$ (where \overline{V} denotes the closure of V in X). On the other hand, if $i > r$ so that $V'_i \cap U'' = \emptyset$, then V'_i is already closed in X . Similarly, if $j > r$ then V''_j is already closed in X . Now consider the cycle

$$\alpha = \sum_{a=1}^r m'_a [\overline{V'_a}] + \sum_{b=r+1}^r m'_b [V'_b] + \sum_{c=r+1}^q m''_c [V''_c] \in Z_n(X).$$

It is immediate from its definition that $\alpha|_{U'} = \alpha'$ and $\alpha|_{U''} = \alpha''$. \square

4. The sheaf homomorphism from \mathcal{K}^\times to \mathcal{W}

Let \mathcal{C}^{pure} denote the full subcategory of the category \mathcal{C} of all algebraic k -schemes and flat k -morphisms of constant relative dimensions, for which the objects are all algebraic k -schemes X of pure dimension (which means any two irreducible component of X have the same dimension). We regard \mathcal{C}^{pure} as a site under the big Zariski topology.

The sheaf \mathcal{Z}_* of graded abelian groups on \mathcal{C}^{pure} , which is the restriction of the sheaf \mathcal{Z}_* on \mathcal{C} defined in the previous section, has a subsheaf \mathcal{W} , which we call as the sheaf of Weil divisors. This is defined as follows. For any $X \in \mathcal{C}^{pure}$,

$$\mathcal{W}(X) = Z_{\dim(X)-1}(X)$$

regarded as a graded abelian group concentrated in the degree $\dim(X) - 1$. We also regard \mathcal{W} simply as a sheaf of abelian groups on \mathcal{C}^{pure} , defined by the above displayed formula.

For any $X \in \mathcal{C}^{pure}$ and any $r \in \mathcal{K}^\times(X)$, let $[r]_X$ denote the Weil divisor of r , that is, $[r]_X = [\text{div}(r)]$ where $\text{div}(r)$ is the Cartier divisor of r . By definition,

$$[r]_X = [\text{div}(r)] = \sum_i \text{ord}_{V_i}(r) [V_i]$$

where the sum (which is actually a finite sum as $\text{ord}_{V_i}(r) = 0$ for all but finitely many V_i) is over all closed subvarieties V_i of X of codimension 1, and $\text{ord}_{V_i}(r) \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the order of zero (or pole if < 0) of r at V_i , defined as follows. The germ $r_i \in \mathcal{K}_{X, V_i}^\times$ of r at the generic point of V_i can be expressed as a ratio a_i/b_i where $a_i, b_i \in S(\mathcal{O}_{X, V_i})$ are non zero-divisors, and by definition $\text{ord}_{V_i}(r) = \ell(\mathcal{O}_{X, V_i}/(a_i)) - \ell(\mathcal{O}_{X, V_i}/(b_i))$ where $\ell(R)$ denotes the length of any artin local ring R . This is well-defined, independent of the choice of a_i, b_i , as ord_{V_i} is an additive function on $S(\mathcal{O}_{X, V_i})$. As the support $|r|$ is closed and of codimension ≥ 1 in X (see Section 1), it contains the generic points of at most finitely many codimension 1 subvarieties V_i of X , so the above sum that defines $[r]_X$ is finite.

Proposition 3. *Let $X \in \mathcal{C}^{pure}$ have pure dimension n , and let X_1, \dots, X_p be the irreducible components of X , each regarded as a closed subvariety of X of dimension n . Then the following statements hold.*

(1) *For any $s \in \mathcal{S}(X)$, we have $[s]_X = [X/(s)] \in Z_{n-1}(X)$ where $[X/(s)]$ is the fundamental cycle of the closed subscheme $X/(s) \subset X$ defined by the ideal sheaf $(s) \subset \mathcal{O}_X$.*

(2) *Let $r \in \mathcal{K}^\times(X)$, and let $r_i \in \mathcal{K}^\times(X_i)$ be its restriction to X_i (see Section 1). Then we have $[r]_X = \sum_i \ell(\mathcal{O}_{X, X_i}) [r_i]_{X_i} \in \text{Rat}_{n-1}(X) \subset Z_{n-1}(X)$.*

Proof. (1) If $s \in \mathcal{S}(X)$ then the support $|s|$ of s is the underlying closed subset $|X/(s)|$ of the closed subscheme $X/(s)$ of X . Let V_j be the irreducible components of $X/(s)$. By definition, $[s]_X = \sum_{V_j} \text{ord}_{\mathcal{O}_{X, V_j}}(s) [V_j] = \sum_{V_j} \ell(\mathcal{O}_{X, V_j}/(s)) [V_j]$. On the other hand, the fundamental cycle $[X/(s)]$ of the scheme $X/(s)$ is given by $[X/(s)] = \sum_{V_j} \ell(\mathcal{O}_{X/(s), V_j}) [V_j]$. As $\mathcal{O}_{X, V_j}/(s) \cong \mathcal{O}_{X/(s), V_j}$, the numerical coefficients of each V_j on the two sides are equal, hence (1) holds.

(2) Now let $r \in \mathcal{K}^\times(X)$. Let X_1, \dots, X_p , where $p \geq 1$ be the irreducible components of X , each regarded as a closed subvariety of X of dimension n . As the support $|r|$ does not contain any height 0 point (see Section 1), there are only finitely many closed subvarieties V_1, \dots, V_q of dimension $n-1$ of X (where $q \geq 0$) that are contained in $|r|$. If V is a closed subvariety of dimension $n-1$ whose generic point does not lie in $|r|$ then $\text{ord}_V(r) = 0$. Hence $[r]_X = \sum_{j=1}^q \text{ord}_{\mathcal{O}_{X, V_j}}(r) [V_j]$ (however, it is possible that $\text{ord}_{\mathcal{O}_{X, V_j}}(r) = 0$ for some V_j , even though $V_j \subset |r|$, as the local ring \mathcal{O}_{X, V_j} may not be regular).

The cycle $[r_i]_{X_i}$ is by definition the sum $[r_i]_{X_i} = \sum_{\{i, j \mid V_j \subset X_i\}} \text{ord}_{\mathcal{O}_{X_i, V_j}}(r_i) [V_j]$. Hence $[r]_X = \sum_i \ell(\mathcal{O}_{X, X_i}) [r_i]_{X_i}$ if and only if

$$\sum_j \text{ord}_{\mathcal{O}_{X, V_j}}(r) [V_j] = \sum_{\{(i, j) \mid V_j \subset X_i\}} \ell(\mathcal{O}_{X, X_i}) \text{ord}_{\mathcal{O}_{X_i, V_j}}(r_i) [V_j].$$

Comparing the numerical coefficients of $[V_j]$ on both sides, the above holds if and only if for each j we have

$$\text{ord}_{\mathcal{O}_{X, V_j}}(r) = \sum_{\{i \mid V_j \subset X_i\}} \ell(\mathcal{O}_{X, X_i}) \text{ord}_{\mathcal{O}_{X_i, V_j}}(r_i). \quad \dots (3)$$

Let $A = \mathcal{O}_{X, V_j}$, which is noetherian local ring of dimension 1. Let $\mathfrak{m} \subset A$ be its maximal ideal and let $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_k \subset A$ be its minimal prime ideals (these are defined

by the irreducible components X_i which contain V_j). For any $a \in S(A)$ the rings $A/(a)$, $A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ and $A/(\mathfrak{p}_i + (a))$ are artinian. By [3] Lemma A.2.7 (applied by taking $M = A$ in the statement of that lemma), for any $a \in S(A)$ we have

$$\ell(A/(a)) = \sum_{\mathfrak{p}_i} \ell(A_{\mathfrak{p}_i}) \ell(A/(\mathfrak{p}_i + (a))).$$

In other words, for any V_j and any $a \in S(\mathcal{O}_{X, V_j})$ we have

$$\text{ord}_{\mathcal{O}_{X, V_j}}(a) = \sum_{\{i \mid V_j \subset X_i\}} \ell(\mathcal{O}_{X, X_i}) \text{ord}_{\mathcal{O}_{X_i, V_j}}(a|_{X_i}). \quad \dots (4)$$

If r' denotes the germ of r in $\mathcal{K}_{X, V_j}^\times$ then $r' = s/t$ for some $s, t \in S(\mathcal{O}_{X, V_j})$. Applying (4) to s and t and subtracting the results, we get the desired equality (3).

As each r_i is a non-zero rational function on the variety X_i , by definition $[r_i]_{X_i}$ belongs to $\text{Rat}_{n-1}(X)$, and hence $[r]_X \in \text{Rat}_{n-1}(X)$. \square

Proposition 4. *The maps $\Phi_X : \mathcal{K}^\times(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(X)$ defined by $\Phi_X(r) = [r]_X$, as X varies over $\mathcal{C}^{\text{pure}}$, define a homomorphism of sheaves of abelian groups $\Phi : \mathcal{K}^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ on $\mathcal{C}^{\text{pure}}$. The homomorphism Φ factors via the sub presheaf $\text{Rat} \subset \mathcal{W}$ defined on $\mathcal{C}^{\text{pure}}$ by $X \mapsto \text{Rat}_{\dim(X)-1}(X) \subset \mathcal{Z}_{\dim(X)-1}(X)$.*

Proof. We just have to show that Φ commutes with restrictions, that is, if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a flat homomorphism of constant relative dimension d between pure dimensional schemes X and Y of dimensions $n+d$ and n respectively, then the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{K}^\times(Y) & \xrightarrow{f^\sharp} & \mathcal{K}^\times(X) \\ \Phi_Y \downarrow & & \downarrow \Phi_X \\ \mathcal{Z}_{n-1}(Y) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & \mathcal{Z}_{n+d-1}(X) \end{array}$$

If $f : X \hookrightarrow Y$ is an open embedding, then the commutativity of the above diagram is immediate from the definitions of the objects and the arrows in it.

So now we consider the general case. Let $r \in \mathcal{K}^\times(Y)$. Then we have two sections

$$f^* \Phi_Y(r), \Phi_X(f^\sharp r) \in \mathcal{Z}_{n+d-1}(X) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{Z}_{n+d-1})$$

and we have to show that these two are equal, that is, the following equality holds:

$$f^*([r]_Y) = [f^\sharp r]_X \quad \dots (5)$$

As \mathcal{Z}_{n+d-1} restricts to a sheaf (in particular, a separated presheaf) on the small Zariski site of X , to check the equality (5), by applying the special case of open embeddings, it is enough to check that the restrictions of its two sides are equal affine locally on X .

Given any $x \in X$, let $j : V = \text{Spec } B \hookrightarrow Y$ be an affine open subscheme with $f(x) \in V$. Let $i : U = \text{Spec } A \hookrightarrow X$ be an affine open subscheme with $x \in U$ and $f(U) \subset V$. Let $\varphi = f|_U : U \rightarrow V$, and let $\varphi^\sharp : B \rightarrow A$ be the corresponding k -algebra homomorphism. Note that U and V have pure dimensions $n+d$ and n , and φ is flat of constant relative dimension d .

It follows from the description of meromorphic functions on a noetherian affine scheme given in Section 1, that $\mathcal{K}^\times(V) = Q(B)^\times$ and $\mathcal{K}^\times(U) = Q(A)^\times$, and the restriction map $\varphi^\sharp : \mathcal{K}^\times(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^\times(U)$ is the ring homomorphism $\varphi^\sharp : Q(A) \rightarrow Q(B)$ induced by the flat homomorphism $\varphi^\sharp : B \rightarrow A$. The restriction $r|_V = j^\sharp r$ of $r \in \mathcal{K}^\times(Y)$ to $\mathcal{K}^\times(V) = Q(B)^\times$ can be expressed as a quotient $r|_V = b_1/b_2$ where $b_1, b_2 \in S(B)$. We want to show that the restriction of (5) holds on U , that is,

$$\varphi^*([b_1/b_2]_V) = [\varphi^\sharp(b_1/b_2)]_U \in Z_{n+d-1}(U) \quad \dots (6)$$

Now, $[b_1/b_2]_V = [b_1]_V - [b_2]_V$ and so $\varphi^*([b_1/b_2]_V) = \varphi^*([b_1]_V) - \varphi^*([b_2]_V)$. On the other hand, $[\varphi^\sharp(b_1/b_2)]_U = [(\varphi^\sharp b_1)/(\varphi^\sharp b_2)]_U = [\varphi^\sharp b_1]_U - [\varphi^\sharp b_2]_U$. Hence to prove (6), we just have to show that for any $b \in S(B)$ the following equality holds:

$$\varphi^*([b]_V) = [\varphi^\sharp b]_U \in Z_{n+d-1}(U) \quad \dots (7)$$

As $b \in S(B) = \mathcal{S}(V)$, by Proposition 3.(1) we have $[b]_V = [\text{Spec } B/(b)] \in Z_{n-1}(V)$, and so $\varphi^*([b]_V) = \varphi^*[\text{Spec } B/(b)]$. By definition of flat pullback on cycles, $\varphi^*[\text{Spec } B/(b)] = [\varphi^{-1}(\text{Spec } B/(b))]$, which is the fundamental cycle of the closed subscheme $\varphi^{-1}(\text{Spec } B/(b))$ of $U = \text{Spec } A$. The schematic inverse image $\varphi^{-1}(\text{Spec } B/(b))$ is the closed subscheme $\text{Spec } A/(\varphi^\sharp b)$ of $\text{Spec } A$. The element $\varphi^\sharp b$ lies in $S(A) = \mathcal{S}(U)$ as $\varphi^\sharp : B \rightarrow A$ is flat. Hence by Proposition 3.(1) we have $[\varphi^\sharp b]_U = [\text{Spec } A/(\varphi^\sharp b)]$. Hence we have the sequence of equalities

$$\varphi^*([b]_V) = \varphi^*[\text{Spec } B/(b)] = [\varphi^{-1}(\text{Spec } B/(b))] = [\text{Spec } A/(\varphi^\sharp b)] = [\varphi^\sharp b]_U$$

in $Z_{n+d-1}(U)$. This proves the equation (7), and hence completes the proof that $\Phi : \mathcal{K}^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ is a homomorphism of sheaves. The final statement that Φ factors via the sub presheaf $\text{Rat} \subset \mathcal{W}$ follows from the equality $[r]_X = \sum_i \ell(\mathcal{O}_{X, X_i}) [r_i]_{X_i}$ given by Proposition 3.(2), because by its definition each $[r_i]_{X_i}$ lies in $\text{Rat}_{\dim(X_i)-1}(X_i) \subset \text{Rat}_{\dim(X)-1}(X)$. \square

Remark 5. We do not need to explicitly use the morphism $\tilde{b} : \text{Spec } B \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1 = \text{Spec } k[t]$ defined by the k -homomorphism $k[t] \rightarrow B : t \mapsto b$. Note that $\tilde{b}^{-1}(P_0) = \text{Spec } B/(b)$ where $P_0 \in \mathbb{A}^1$ is the closed point defined by $t = 0$. As $b \in S(B)$, the local criterion for flatness implies that \tilde{b} is flat in an open neighbourhood of $\text{Spec } B/(b)$. If B is a domain then \tilde{b} is flat if and only if b is transcendental over k , as follows from the nullstellensatz. The morphism \tilde{b} , and implicitly the above flatness condition when B is a domain, are implicitly used in the proof of the preservation of rational equivalence under flat morphisms in [3].

Theorem 6. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a flat morphism of constant relative dimension d . Then for any n , under the homomorphism $f^* : Z_n(Y) \rightarrow Z_{n+d}(X)$, the image of $\text{Rat}_n(Y)$ lies in $\text{Rat}_{n+d}(X)$.*

Proof. By definition, $\text{Rat}_n(Y)$ is generated by elements of the form $[r]_V = \Phi_V(r)$ where $V \subset Y$ is a closed subvariety of dimension $n + 1$ and $r \in \mathcal{K}(V)^\times$. Hence we just have to show that $f^*\Phi_V(r)$ lies in $\text{Rat}_{n+d}(X)$. Let $W = f^{-1}(V) \subset X$ be the

schematic inverse image of V . Hence $g = f|_W : W \rightarrow V$ is again flat and of constant relative dimension d . As V is a variety hence of pure dimension n , this implies that W is a scheme of pure dimension $n + d + 1$, and so both $V, W \in \mathcal{C}^{pure}$, and hence g is an arrow in \mathcal{C}^{pure} , which is a full subcategory of \mathcal{C} . Hence by Proposition 4,

$$g^* \Phi_V(r) = \Phi_W(g^\# r)$$

where $g^\# : \mathcal{K}^\times(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}^\times(W)$ is the restriction homomorphism under g for the sheaf \mathcal{K}^\times over \mathcal{C}^{pure} . By Proposition 3.(2) applied to the scheme W and to $g^\# r \in \mathcal{K}^\times(W)$, the cycle $\Phi_W(g^\# r) = [g^\# r]_W$ lies in the subgroup $Rat_{n+d}(W) \subset Rat_{n+d}(X)$. Hence the theorem. \square

Remark 7. The following rough analogy partly inspired our sheaf theoretic approach. The sub presheaf $Rat \subset \mathcal{W}$ on the site \mathcal{C}^{pure} , defined by $X \mapsto Rat_{\dim(X)-1}(X)$, is not a sheaf because a locally principal Weil divisor need not be rationally equivalent to 0 globally. This means that the presheaf $X \mapsto A_*(X)$ on \mathcal{C} is not separated, and hence not a sheaf. The situation is similar to that for differential forms on manifolds. Let \mathcal{M} be the site formed by all C^∞ manifolds and C^∞ maps, equipped with the big Euclidean topology. For any integer n , differential n -forms form a sheaf Ω^n on the site \mathcal{M} , and closed differential n -forms form a subsheaf \mathcal{Z}^n of Ω^n . The exact n -forms only form a sub presheaf $\mathcal{B}^n \subset \mathcal{Z}^n$, which however is not a sheaf as a closed form is locally exact but not necessarily globally exact. The de Rham cohomology H_{dR}^* forms a presheaf which is not separated, so not a sheaf. Just as we can define C^∞ -pullbacks on H_{dR}^* using the sheaf homomorphism $d : \Omega^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^n$, one should be able to define flat pullbacks on A_* using the sheaf homomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{K}^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ on \mathcal{C}^{pure} , with Rat playing the role of \mathcal{B}^n . This appears to work.

Postscript. It was brought to my attention that our sheaf theoretic approach to Chow groups has certain similarities with the viewpoint of Rost [6], which can also be used to derive a parallel proof of the preservation of rational equivalence under flat pullbacks.

References

- [1] C. Chevalley, Les classes d'équivalence rationnelle, I, II. Séminaire C. Chevalley, 2^e année, *Anneaux de Chow et Applications*, Secr. Math. Paris, 1958.
- [2] A. Grothendieck, A. and J. Dieudonne, *Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique - IV*₄, Publ. Math. IHES 32 (1967).
- [3] W. Fulton, *Intersection Theory*, Springer Verlag, 1984.
- [4] S. Kleiman, Misconceptions about K_X , Enseign. Math. 25 (1979) 203-206.
- [5] D. Mumford, *Lectures on Curves on an Algebraic Surface*, Princeton University Press, 1966.
- [6] M. Rost, *Chow groups with coefficients*, Doc. Math. J. DMV 1 (1996) 319-393.