

EXISTENCE AND ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF POSITIVE SOLUTIONS FOR A CLASS OF LOCALLY SUPERLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION

SHINJI ADACHI, NORIHISA IKOMA, AND TATSUYA WATANABE

ABSTRACT. This paper treats the existence of positive solutions of $-\Delta u + V(x)u = \lambda f(u)$ in \mathbb{R}^N . Here $N \geq 1$, $\lambda > 0$ is a parameter and $f(u)$ satisfies conditions only in a neighborhood of $u = 0$. We shall show the existence of positive solutions with potential of trapping type or \mathcal{G} -symmetric potential where $\mathcal{G} \subset O(N)$. Our results extend previous results [AW22, CW05, DMS08] as well as we also study the asymptotic behavior of a family $(u_\lambda)_{\lambda \geq \lambda_0}$ of positive solutions as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider the following nonlinear Schrödinger equation:

$$(P_\lambda) \quad -\Delta u + V(x)u = \lambda f(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

where $N \geq 1$ and $\lambda > 0$ is a parameter. Problem (P_λ) appears in the study of standing waves $\psi(t, x) = e^{-i\omega t}u(x)$ of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation:

$$i\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \Delta \psi - \tilde{V}(x)\psi + \lambda f(\psi) = 0$$

where $\tilde{V}(x) = V(x) - \omega$ and f is assumed to satisfy $f(e^{i\theta}u) = e^{i\theta}f(u)$ for any u , $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. The existence of positive solutions for (P_λ) has been considered intensively since the work of Rabinowitz [Ra92] and plays an important role in the study of the stability of standing waves. For more information, we refer to [AS21, BWW05, Ca03, DBGRS15, St08] and references therein. Although huge number of results has been obtained, there still remains a gap of sufficient conditions on f for the existence of positive solutions between (P_λ) and the autonomous problem (see [BL83, BGK83]):

$$-\Delta u = g(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

When we employ the variational method to find solutions of (P_λ) , we usually require the nonlinear term f to have at most H^1 -critical growth at infinity. The existence result for (P_λ) with $V(x) \equiv 0$ on a bounded domain Ω in the H^1 -supercritical case was studied in [CW05]. More precisely, in [CW05], for a bounded domain Ω with smooth boundary, the authors considered the equation

$$(1.1) \quad -\Delta u = \lambda f(u) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega$$

under the following conditions on $f(s)$: there exist $\delta > 0$ and $\beta, \gamma, \mu \in (2, 2^*)$ where $2^* := 2N/(N-2)$ for $N \geq 3$ and $2^* := \infty$ for $N = 1, 2$ such that $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies

$$(1.2) \quad \limsup_{|s| \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(s)}{|s|^\gamma} < \infty, \quad \liminf_{|s| \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(s)}{|s|^\beta} > 0, \quad 0 < \mu F(s) \leq s f(s) \quad \text{for } 0 < |s| < \delta,$$

where $F(s) := \int_0^s f(t) dt$. Assuming some further conditions on β and γ , they proved the existence of positive, negative and sign-changing solution of (1.1) for sufficiently large λ . See also [CL05] for a multiplicity result with more restricted nonlinearity.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J20, 35B40, 35J61, 58E40.

Key words and phrases. Variational method, a priori estimate, asymptotic behavior, interaction estimate.

On the other hand, (P_λ) was studied in [DMS08] and the authors obtained the same result to [CW05] for (P_λ) by assuming the following conditions: $N \geq 3$, $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies (1.2) and $V(x)$ satisfies (V1) and one of (V4'a) and (V4'b) below:

- (V1) $V \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and there exists $V_0 > 0$ such that $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} V(x) = V_0$.
(V4'a) For each $M > 0$, $\mathcal{L}^N(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid V(x) \leq M\}) < \infty$ where $\mathcal{L}^N(A)$ stands for the N -dimensional Lebesgue measure of $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$.
(V4'b) $V^{-1} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Recently, in [AW22], the existence of \mathcal{G} -symmetric solutions of (P_λ) was established for $N \geq 2$. For the precise result in [AW22], see a paragraph after Theorem 1.5.

This paper is motivated by [CW05, DMS08, AW22]. Our first aim is to relax the conditions in (1.2). Especially, we refine the last condition in (1.2), which is called the *local Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition*. Here we concentrate on the existence of positive solutions of (P_λ) and treat the case where V is of *trapping type*. Our second aim is to investigate the behavior of positive solutions (u_λ) of (P_λ) as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. To the best of the authors' knowledge, this problem is not studied yet. Thirdly, we also relax the conditions in [AW22] on f and V for the existence of \mathcal{G} -symmetric solution. In particular, in [AW22], conditions on $\nabla V(x)$ are imposed, however, in this paper we do not require any condition on $\nabla V(x)$. In addition, we shall treat the case $N = 1$ where we need some different analysis from the case $N \geq 2$. To the best of our knowledge, in case of $N = 1$, the existence of even positive solutions of (P_λ) with locally superlinear nonlinearity is not clear in the literature.

In what follows, we state our main results. For the potential V , through out this paper, we always assume (V1) above. As for the nonlinear term f , we impose the following conditions.

- (f1) $f \in C(\mathbb{R})$ and $f(s) = 0$ for any $s \leq 0$.
(f2) $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(s)}{s} = 0$.
(f3) There exists $p \in (2, 2^*)$ such that $\liminf_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{F(s)}{s^p} > 0$.
(f4) There exists $s_1 > 0$ such that $\frac{1}{2}f(s)s - F(s) > 0$ for all $s \in (0, s_1]$.

We first consider the case where the potential V is of *trapping type* and prove the following result:

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose (V1) and (f1)–(f4). Furthermore, we assume either (i) or (ii) below holds:*

(i)(V2) *There exists $V_\infty > 0$ such that $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} V(x) = V_\infty$.*

(V3) $V(x) \leq V_\infty$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

(ii)(V4) *There exists $r_0 > 0$ such that for any $M > 0$*

$$\lim_{|y| \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}^N(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid |x - y| \leq r_0, V(x) \leq M\}) = 0.$$

Then there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that for $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$, (P_λ) admits a positive solution u_λ .

Remark 1.2. (i) The conditions (f1)–(f4) are derived from (1.2) and an example which satisfies (f1)–(f4) but does not (1.2) is

$$F(s) = \frac{s^2}{-\log s}, \quad f(s) = \frac{2s}{-\log s} + \frac{s}{(\log s)^2} \quad \text{for } 0 < s \ll 1.$$

See [AW22] for other typical examples of f satisfying (f1)–(f4).

(ii) The condition (V4) can be found in [BWW05, Section 3] and it is used for compact embedding (see [BWW05, Lemma 3.1] and Lemma 3.7 below). Remark also that

(V4'a) or (V4'b) implies (V4). Hence, our result is a generalization of [DMS08] for the existence of positive solutions.

- (iii) Using ideas of proof of [Theorem 1.1](#), we may prove the existence of positive solutions of (1.1) under (f1)–(f4). Hence, we may extend the result of [CW05] regarding the existence of positive solutions. See [Subsection 3.3](#).

Next we investigate the asymptotic behavior of $(u_\lambda)_{\lambda \geq \lambda_0}$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. For this purpose, we introduce the following condition:

- (f5) There exists $p \in (2, 2^*)$ such that $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(s)}{s^{p-1}} = 1$.

We remark that (f5) implies (f2), (f3) and (f4). Then we obtain the following result.

Theorem 1.3. *Assume (V1)–(V3), (f1) and (f5). Let $(u_\lambda)_{\lambda \geq \lambda_0}$ be a family of positive solutions of (P_λ) obtained in [Theorem 1.1](#).*

- (a) *If $V(x) \not\equiv V_\infty$, then for any $(\lambda_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ with $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$, there exists a subsequence $(\lambda_{n_k})_{k=1}^\infty$ such that*

$$v_k(x) := \lambda_{n_k}^{1/(p-2)} u_{\lambda_{n_k}}(x) \rightarrow v_\infty(x) \quad \text{strongly in } H^1(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

where $v_\infty \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a positive solution of

$$-\Delta v + V(x)v = v^{p-1} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

- (b) *If $V(x) \equiv V_\infty$, then there exists $(x_\lambda)_{\lambda \geq 1} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $\|v_\lambda(\cdot + x_\lambda) - \omega_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ where $v_\lambda(x) := \lambda^{1/(p-2)} u_\lambda(x)$ and $\omega_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the unique positive radial solution of*

$$(1.3) \quad -\Delta u + V_\infty u = u^{p-1} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Furthermore, assertion (a) holds true if we replace (V2) and (V3) by (V4).

Remark 1.4. As in [Theorem 1.1](#), we may also prove similar results for (1.1).

Next we study the existence of \mathcal{G} -symmetric solution of (P_λ) . Let us denote by $O(N)$ the orthogonal group in \mathbb{R}^N and suppose

- (g1) $\begin{cases} \mathcal{G} \subset O(N) \text{ is a finite subgroup,} \\ \text{for any } x \in S^{N-1} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid |x| = 1\} \text{ there exists } g \in \mathcal{G} \text{ such that } gx \neq x. \end{cases}$

Set

$$(1.4) \quad k_0 := \min_{x \in S^{N-1}} \text{card} \{gx \mid g \in \mathcal{G}\} \geq 2$$

and choose $x_0 \in S^{N-1}$ such that $\text{card} \{gx_0 \mid g \in \mathcal{G}\} = k_0$. Put also

$$(1.5) \quad \{gx_0 \mid g \in \mathcal{G}\} = \{e_1, \dots, e_{k_0}\} \subset S^{N-1} \text{ and } \alpha_0 := \min_{i \neq j} |e_i - e_j| \in (0, 2].$$

For $V(x)$, in addition to (V1), we assume

- (V5) $V(gx) = V(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $g \in \mathcal{G}$.

- (V6) There exist $\alpha \in (\alpha_0 \sqrt{V_\infty}, \infty)$ and $\kappa > 0$ such that

$$V(x) \leq V_\infty + \kappa e^{-\alpha|x|} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

For the nonlinearity f , we impose slightly stronger assumptions:

- (f2') There exists $\nu > 0$ such that $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(s)}{s^{1+\nu}} = 0$.

- (f6) There exists $s_2 > 0$ such that $\frac{f(s)}{s}$ is nondecreasing in $(0, s_2)$.

Under these assumptions, we can show the following result.

Theorem 1.5. *Suppose $N \geq 2$, (g1), (V1), (V2), (V5), (V6), (f1), (f2'), (f3), (f4) and (f6). Then there exists $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that (P_λ) admits a \mathcal{G} -symmetric positive solution u_λ for $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$.*

In [AW22], the existence of \mathcal{G} -symmetric solution of (P_λ) was obtained under $N \geq 3$, (g1), (V1), (V2), (V5), (V6), (f1), (f6),

$$(1.6) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(t)}{t^{\gamma-1}} = 0, \quad 0 < \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{F(t)}{t^\beta}$$

for some $2 < \gamma \leq \beta < 2^*$ and one of the following conditions:

(i) There exists $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\|(\nabla V(x) \cdot x)_+\|_{L^{N/2}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq 2\alpha S_N$$

where $a_+ := \max\{0, a\}$ and S_N denotes the best constant of Sobolev's inequality:

$$\|u\|_{L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

(ii) There exists $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$(\nabla V(x) \cdot x)_+ \leq \frac{(N-2)^2}{2|x|^2} \alpha \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}.$$

[AW22] also dealt with the case $N = 2$ and the existence result was shown by assuming (g1), (V1), (V2), (V5), (V6), (f1), (f6) and (1.2) with $\gamma \leq \beta$. Notice that (1.6) and (f6) (or (1.2) and (f6)) yield the existence of $\tilde{s} > 0$ such that $f(s) > 0$ on $(0, \tilde{s}]$ and for every $s \in (0, \tilde{s}]$,

$$f(s)s - 2F(s) = f(s)s - 2 \int_0^s \frac{f(\tau)}{\tau} \tau d\tau > f(s)s - 2 \frac{f(s)}{s} \int_0^s \tau d\tau = 0.$$

Therefore, (f1), (f2'), (f3), (f4) and (f6) in Theorem 1.5 are weaker than those in [AW22]. In addition, we succeed to drop the conditions on $\nabla V(x)$. Thus, Theorem 1.5 extends [AW22].

Finally we investigate the existence of even positive solutions when $N = 1$. As an application of Theorem 1.3, we prove the following result.

Theorem 1.6. *Suppose $N = 1$, (V1), (V2), (f1), (f5) and (f6). In addition, we assume that $V(x)$ satisfies*

(V5') *For all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $V(-x) = V(x)$.*

(V6') *There exist $\alpha \in (2\sqrt{V_\infty}, \infty)$ and $\kappa > 0$ such that*

$$V(x) \leq V_\infty + \kappa e^{-\alpha|x|} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then there exist $\lambda_0 = \lambda_0(V, f) > 0$, $C_0 = C_0(V_\infty, p) > 0$ and $\tilde{C}_0 = \tilde{C}_0(V_\infty, p) > 0$ such that if the inequalities $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ and

$$(1.7) \quad -C_0 + \frac{\tilde{C}_0 \kappa \alpha}{\alpha^2 - 4V_\infty} < 0$$

hold, then (P_λ) admits an even positive solution u_λ .

Remark 1.7. It is worth noting that the constants C_0 and \tilde{C}_0 in Theorem 1.6 depend only on V_∞ and p , not on V itself where p appears in (f5). Hence, after fixing a constant V_∞ and a function f satisfying (f1), (f5) and (f6), we may verify (1.7) provided either $\alpha \in (2\sqrt{V_\infty}, \infty)$ is large or $\kappa > 0$ is small. Therefore, for given V_∞ and f , and for any $\alpha \in (2\sqrt{V_\infty}, \infty)$ and $\kappa > 0$ satisfying (1.7), we may find $V(x)$ which enjoys (V1), (V2), (V5') and (V6'), and Theorem 1.6 can be applied.

Here we explain an outline and ideas of proofs. Since the nonlinear term f may have the H^1 -supercritical growth, following [AW22, CW05, DMS08], we modify f and write g for the

modification of f . Then we aim to show the existence of a family $(u_\lambda)_\lambda$ of positive solutions of the modified problem

$$-\Delta u + V(x)u = \lambda g(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N$$

satisfying $\|u_\lambda\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. Since we do not suppose the local Ambrosetti–Rabinowitz condition, the boundedness of Palais–Smale sequences is an issue. For this issue, in [AW22], the monotonicity trick (see [Je99]) was employed and some conditions on ∇V were necessary to exploit the Pohozaev identity. In this paper, we shall use Palais–Smale–Cerami sequences instead of Palais–Smale sequences and our approach is similar to [AS21] for the existence of positive solutions. See the comments after (3.3) for the relation between [AS21] and our argument. After the boundedness of Palais–Smale–Cerami sequences is established, we show the existence of positive solution via the concentration compactness argument due to Lions [Li84a, Li84b]. In this paper, we will use a version in [Wi96, Chapter 8].

In order to prove $\|u_\lambda\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, in [AW22, CW05, DMS08], we point out that the local Ambrosetti–Rabinowitz condition or the Pohozaev identity was also used. Therefore, to obtain $\|u_\lambda\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0$ without using these conditions, some ideas are necessary. Here we use a slightly different modification of f to those in [AW22, CW05, DMS08] and require g to satisfy the following estimate: each $\tau > 0$ there exists a $c_\tau > 0$ such that

$$(1.8) \quad \frac{1}{2}g(s)s - G(s) \geq c_\tau s^p \quad \text{for all } s \in [\tau, \infty) \quad \text{where } G(s) := \int_0^s g(t) dt.$$

See Lemma 2.1 for more precise information. We remark that (1.8) is also useful to obtain the boundedness of Palais–Smale–Cerami sequences. To prove $\|u_\lambda\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0$ through (1.8), we focus on the superlevel set of u_λ and first derive the decay estimate in λ of $\|(u_\lambda - \tau)_+\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ for each fixed $\tau > 0$. After that, we may get the decay estimate of $\|(u_\lambda - \tau)_+\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ and $\|(u_\lambda - \tau)_+\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}$, which yields $\|u_\lambda\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0$ due to the arbitrariness of $\tau > 0$. These will be done in Proposition 3.6.

Finally we emphasize that the case $N = 1$ is different from the case $N \geq 2$. Indeed, according to [GS16, Sa18], (P_λ) may not have any positive solutions in some case. We will see the difference between $N = 1$ and $N \geq 2$ from technical point of view in the end of Section 5. We also mention that a sufficient condition for the existence of even positive solutions becomes complicated and implicit. For instance, the existence of nontrivial solution was studied in [ES09, EGS10] and a key assumption in [ES09, EGS10] is [EGS10, (A₂)]. In this paper, we will perform a refined interaction estimate when $N = 1$ to prove a strict inequality which is similar to [EGS10, (A₂)] (see Proposition 6.2 below). In this procedure, the asymptotic behavior of positive solutions u_λ of (P_λ) as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, which is obtained in Theorem 1.3, plays an important role.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce a modified nonlinearity which possesses several global properties. Section 3 is devoted to the study of the existence of a positive solution of (P_λ) and the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 4, we investigate the asymptotic behavior of positive solutions of (P_λ) as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ and give the proof of Theorem 1.3. Section 5 deals with \mathcal{G} -invariant case, and Section 6 is dedicated to one-dimensional case.

2. MODIFICATION OF THE NONLINEAR TERM

In this section, we introduce a modified nonlinearity g_{m_0} which satisfies several global properties. First by (f3) and (f4), we can find $s_0 > 0$ and $c_0 > 0$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad F(s) \geq c_0 s^p, \quad \frac{1}{2}f(s)s - F(s) > 0 \quad \text{for all } s \in (0, 4s_0].$$

We remark that (2.1) implies

$$(2.2) \quad f(s) > 0 \quad \text{for all } s \in (0, 4s_0].$$

Next, we fix $\xi_1, \eta_1 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ so that

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \xi_1 &\equiv 1 \quad \text{on } [0, 3s_0], & \xi_1 &\equiv 0 \quad \text{on } [4s_0, \infty), & \xi_1' &\leq 0 \quad \text{on } [0, \infty), \\ \eta_1 &\equiv 0 \quad \text{on } [0, s_0], & \eta_1 &\equiv 1 \quad \text{on } [2s_0, \infty), & \eta_1' &\geq 0 \quad \text{in } [0, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

For $m > 0$, we define $g_m(s)$ by

$$(2.4) \quad g_m(s) := \xi_1(s)f(s) + m\eta_1(s)s^{p-1} \quad \text{for } s \geq 0, \quad g_m(s) := 0 \quad \text{for } s \leq 0$$

and set $G_m(s) := \int_0^s g_m(t) dt$. As mentioned in the introduction, (f5) implies (f3) and (f4), and hence, (2.1) and (2.2) still hold under (f5) only.

Lemma 2.1. *Assume (f1)–(f4). Then there exists $m_0 > 0$ such that g_{m_0} satisfies the following properties:*

(i) *For any $\tau \in (0, s_0)$, there exists a constant $c_\tau > 0$ such that*

$$(2.5) \quad c_\tau s^p \leq \frac{1}{2}g_{m_0}(s)s - G_{m_0}(s) \quad \text{for all } s \in [\tau, \infty),$$

$$(2.6) \quad 0 < \frac{1}{2}g_{m_0}(s)s - G_{m_0}(s) \quad \text{for all } s \in (0, \infty).$$

(ii) *There exists a constant $\tilde{c} > 0$ such that*

$$(2.7) \quad \tilde{c}s^p \leq G_{m_0}(s) \quad \text{for all } s \in [0, \infty).$$

(iii) *Assume further (f5). Then by taking $s_0 > 0$ smaller if necessary, there exist $\mu \in (2, p)$ and $0 < C_1 \leq C_2 < \infty$ such that*

$$(2.8) \quad 0 < \mu G_{m_0}(s) \leq g_{m_0}(s)s \quad \text{for all } s \in (0, \infty),$$

$$(2.9) \quad C_1 s^{p-1} \leq g_{m_0}(s) \leq C_2 s^{p-1} \quad \text{for all } s \in (0, \infty).$$

(iv) *If (f6) holds, then $s^{-1}g_{m_0}(s)$ is nondecreasing in $(0, \infty)$.*

Proof. We prove (i). First we claim that there exists $m_0 > 0$ such that

$$(2.10) \quad m \geq m_0, \quad s \geq 3s_0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{2}g_m(s)s - G_m(s) \geq s^p.$$

Indeed when $s \geq 3s_0$, one finds from (2.3) that

$$(2.11) \quad \sup_{s \geq 0} \int_0^s \xi_1(t)f(t) dt =: M_0 < \infty.$$

Moreover writing $\tilde{g}(s) := \eta_1(s)s^{p-1}$ and $\tilde{G}(s) := \int_0^s \tilde{g}(t) dt$, by (2.3) and $p > 2$, we also have

$$(2.12) \quad 0 \leq 2\tilde{G}(s) \leq p\tilde{G}(s) \leq p\eta_1(s) \int_0^s t^{p-1} dt = \eta_1(s)s^p = \tilde{g}(s)s \quad \text{for any } s \in [0, \infty).$$

Thus, from (2.2), (2.3) and (2.12), it follows that for $s \geq 3s_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}g_m(s)s - G_m(s) &= \left\{ \frac{1}{2}\xi_1(s)f(s)s - \int_0^s \xi_1(t)f(t) dt \right\} + m \left\{ \frac{1}{2}\tilde{g}(s)s - \tilde{G}(s) \right\} \\ &\geq -M_0 + m \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} \right) \tilde{g}(s)s \\ &= -M_0 + m \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p} \right) s^p, \end{aligned}$$

from which we deduce (2.10).

Next we show (2.5) and (2.6). We choose $\tau \in (0, s_0)$ arbitrarily and suppose that $\tau \leq s \leq 3s_0$. Then from $g_m(s) = f(s) + m\tilde{g}(s)$, (2.1) and (2.12), it follows that

$$\frac{1}{2}g_m(s)s - G_m(s) = \frac{1}{2}f(s)s + \frac{m}{2}\tilde{g}(s)s - F(s) - m\tilde{G}(s) \geq \frac{1}{2}f(s)s - F(s) > 0.$$

Hence, we can find a constant $c_\tau > 0$ such that

$$c_\tau s^p \leq \frac{1}{2}g_m(s)s - G_m(s) \quad \text{for any } m > 0 \text{ and } s \in [\tau, 3s_0].$$

Then (2.10) and (2.12) yield (2.5). Moreover since $g_{m_0} \equiv f$ on $[0, \tau]$, (2.6) follows from (f4).

Next, we prove (ii). By (2.1) and (2.3), we have $c_0 s^p \leq F(s) \leq G_{m_0}(s)$ for all $s \in [0, 3s_0]$. On the other hand if $3s_0 < s$, choosing $\tilde{c} \in (0, c_0]$ sufficiently small, we see from $\eta_1(s) = 1$ and $\xi_1(s)f(s) \geq 0$ that

$$\frac{d}{ds} \{G_{m_0}(s) - \tilde{c}s^p\} \geq m_0 s^{p-1} - p\tilde{c}s^{p-1} > 0, \quad G_{m_0}(3s_0) - \tilde{c}(3s_0)^p > 0.$$

Thus, it follows that $G_{m_0}(s) \geq \tilde{c}s^p$ for all $s \in [3s_0, \infty)$ and hence (ii) holds.

We show (iii). By (f5), one finds that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(s)}{s^{p-1}} = 1, \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{F(s)}{s^p} = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{s^p} \int_0^s \frac{f(t)}{t^{p-1}} t^{p-1} dt = \frac{1}{p}.$$

For $\mu \in (2, p)$, by taking s_0 smaller if necessary, we have

$$0 < \mu \frac{F(s)}{s^p} \leq \frac{f(s)}{s^{p-1}} \quad \text{for each } s \in (0, 4s_0],$$

and hence

$$0 < \mu F(s) \leq f(s)s \quad \text{for any } s \in (0, 4s_0].$$

Since $\mu \in (2, p)$, by (2.11), (2.12) and replacing m_0 by larger one if necessary, we see for $s \in (0, 3s_0]$

$$g_{m_0}(s)s - \mu G_{m_0}(s) = f(s)s - \mu F(s) + m_0 (\tilde{g}(s)s - \mu \tilde{G}(s)) \geq 0$$

and for $s \in (3s_0, \infty)$

$$g_{m_0}(s)s - \mu G_{m_0}(s) \geq -\mu M_0 + m_0 \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{p}\right) \tilde{g}(s)s \geq 0.$$

Moreover by (2.3), (f5) and the definition of $g_{m_0}(s)$, we can verify (2.9) easily.

Finally, we prove (iv). Without loss of generality, we may assume $4s_0 \leq s_2$. By (f6) and (2.3), it suffices to show that $s^{-1}g_{m_0}(s)$ is nondecreasing in $[3s_0, 4s_0]$. Let $3s_0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq 4s_0$ and set

$$M_1 := \max_{3s_0 \leq t \leq 4s_0} \left| \xi'(t) \frac{f(t)}{t} \right| < \infty.$$

Then (2.3) and (f6) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{g_{m_0}(t_2)}{t_2} - \frac{g_{m_0}(t_1)}{t_1} &= \xi(t_2) \left(\frac{f(t_2)}{t_2} - \frac{f(t_1)}{t_1} \right) + (\xi(t_2) - \xi(t_1)) \frac{f(t_1)}{t_1} + m_0 (t_2^{p-2} - t_1^{p-2}) \\ &\geq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \xi'(\tau) \frac{f(t_1)}{t_1} + (p-2)m_0 \tau^{p-3} d\tau \\ &\geq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (p-2)m_0 \tau^{p-3} - M_1 d\tau \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

provided $m_0 > 0$ is large. Hence, (iv) holds. ■

Remark 2.2. From the proof of Lemma 2.1 (i) under (f1)–(f4), for $\mu \in (2, p)$, it is also possible to verify $0 < \mu G_{m_0}(s) \leq g_{m_0}(s)s$ for all $s \in [4s_0, \infty)$ if m_0 is sufficiently large.

3. EXISTENCE OF A POSITIVE SOLUTION OF (P_λ) : PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 1.1. Here we mainly treat case (i), namely, we assume (V2) and (V3) since case (ii) is simpler than case (i).

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1 under (i). Through out this subsection, we always assume (V1) and (V2). Hereafter, let $m_0 > 0$ be a constant in Lemma 2.1 and consider the following auxiliary problem:

$$(3.1) \quad -\Delta u + V(x)u = \lambda g_{m_0}(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

If a solution u of (3.1) satisfies $\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq s_0$, then by the definition of g_{m_0} in (2.4), u is also a solution of (P_λ) . Therefore, we aim to find such a solution of (3.1).

To find solutions of (3.1), we define $I_\lambda(u)$ by

$$(3.2) \quad I_\lambda(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 + V(x)u^2 \, dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_{m_0}(u) \, dx.$$

From (2.4) and (f2), it is clear that $I_\lambda \in C^1(H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \mathbb{R})$ and critical points of I_λ are solutions of (3.1).

For later use, we also define the scaled functional $J_\lambda(u)$ by

$$J_\lambda(v) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2 + V(x)v^2 \, dx - \lambda^{p/(p-2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_{m_0}(\lambda^{-1/(p-2)}v) \, dx \in C^1(H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \mathbb{R}).$$

Then one finds that

$$(3.3) \quad J_\lambda(v) = \lambda^{2/(p-2)} I_\lambda(\lambda^{-1/(p-2)}v).$$

Even though it is possible to apply the argument of [AS21, Theorem 1.1] due to Remark 2.2, the case where V satisfies (V1)–(V3) is not explicitly treated in [AS21] (notice that [AS21, Class 3] is slightly different from our case). Therefore, for the sake of clarity and readers' convenience we include a proof of the existence of nontrivial critical point of I_λ .

We first show that I_λ has a mountain pass geometry:

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose (V1)–(V2) and (f1)–(f4) hold. Then for every $\lambda > 0$, there exist $\delta_\lambda > 0$ and $\varphi_\lambda \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that*

$$\inf_{\|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \delta_\lambda} I_\lambda(u) > 0, \quad \delta_\lambda < \|\varphi_\lambda\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}, \quad I_\lambda(\varphi_\lambda) < 0.$$

Proof. By (f2) and the definition of g_{m_0} , we may find $C_\lambda > 0$ so that

$$|g_{m_0}(s)| \leq \frac{V_0}{2\lambda}|s| + C_\lambda|s|^{p-1} \quad \text{for each } s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Hence, it holds that

$$I_\lambda(u) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{4} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{V(x)}{4} u^2 \, dx - \frac{\lambda C_\lambda}{p} \|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \geq \frac{1}{4} \min\{1, V_0\} \|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}^2 - \tilde{C}_\lambda \|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p$$

and the existence of δ_λ is easily deduced.

Next, let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfy $\varphi \equiv 1$ on $B_1(0)$, $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ in \mathbb{R}^N and $\text{supp } \varphi \subset B_2(0)$. By (2.7), for each $t \geq 0$, one has

$$I_\lambda(t\varphi) \leq \frac{t^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \varphi|^2 + V(x)\varphi^2 \, dx - \tilde{c}_\lambda t^p \|\varphi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p.$$

Thus, for sufficiently large t , we obtain $\delta_\lambda < \|t\varphi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ and $I_\lambda(t\varphi) < 0$. ■

According to (3.3) and Proposition 3.1, J_λ also has a mountain pass geometry. For I_λ and J_λ , we define the mountain pass values by

$$(3.4) \quad c_\lambda := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{I_\lambda}} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} I_\lambda(\gamma(t)) > 0, \quad \Gamma_{I_\lambda} := \{ \gamma \in C([0, 1], H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)) \mid \gamma(0) = 0, I_\lambda(\gamma(1)) < 0 \},$$

$$d_\lambda := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{J_\lambda}} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} J_\lambda(\gamma(t)), \quad \Gamma_{J_\lambda} := \{ \gamma \in C([0, 1], H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)) \mid \gamma(0) = 0, J_\lambda(\gamma(1)) < 0 \}.$$

From (3.3), it follows that for each $\lambda > 0$,

$$(3.5) \quad d_\lambda = \lambda^{2/(p-2)} c_\lambda.$$

It is well-known that at the mountain pass level, there exists a Palais–Smale–Cerami sequence $(u_{\lambda,n})_n$ (see, for example, [Ce78, Ek90, Ra12, St11]), that is,

$$(3.6) \quad I_\lambda(u_{\lambda,n}) \rightarrow c_\lambda, \quad \left(1 + \|u_{\lambda,n}\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}\right) \|I'_\lambda(u_{\lambda,n})\|_{(H^1(\mathbb{R}^N))^*} \rightarrow 0.$$

Proposition 3.2. *Let (V1)–(V2) and (f1)–(f4) hold. Then for each $\lambda > 0$, the Palais–Smale–Cerami sequence $(u_{\lambda,n})_n$ in (3.6) is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

Proof. We first claim that

$$(3.7) \quad \text{for every } \tau > 0, \left(\|u_{\lambda,n}\|_{L^p([u_{\lambda,n} \geq \tau])}\right)_n \text{ is bounded,}$$

where $[u \geq c] := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N \mid u(x) \geq c\}$. Let $\tau > 0$ be given. By (3.6), one has

$$c_\lambda + o(1) = I_\lambda(u_{\lambda,n}) - \frac{1}{2} I'_\lambda(u_{\lambda,n}) u_{\lambda,n} = \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{2} g_{m_0}(u_{\lambda,n}) u_{\lambda,n} - G_{m_0}(u_{\lambda,n}) \, dx.$$

Recalling (2.5), (2.6) and noting $g_{m_0} \equiv 0$ in $(-\infty, 0]$, we obtain

$$\lambda c_\tau \int_{[u_{\lambda,n} \geq \tau]} u_{n,\lambda}^p \, dx \leq \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{2} g_{m_0}(u_{\lambda,n}) u_{\lambda,n} - G_{m_0}(u_{\lambda,n}) \, dx = c_\lambda + o(1),$$

which yields (3.7).

Next by (f2) and the definition of g_{m_0} , there exist $\tau > 0$ and $C_{\lambda,\tau} > 0$ such that

$$|g_{m_0}(s)| \leq \frac{V_0}{2\lambda} |s| + C_{\lambda,\tau} \chi_{[\tau,\infty)}(s) s_+^{p-1} \quad \text{for each } s \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $\chi_A(s)$ stands for the characteristic function of A . The above inequality implies that

$$|G_{m_0}(s)| \leq \frac{V_0}{4\lambda} s^2 + \frac{C_{\lambda,\tau}}{p} \chi_{[\tau,\infty)}(s) s_+^p \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Therefore, one finds that

$$c_\lambda + o(1) = I_\lambda(u_{\lambda,n}) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u_{\lambda,n}|^2 + \frac{1}{4} V(x) u_{\lambda,n}^2 \, dx - \frac{\lambda C_{\lambda,\tau}}{p} \|u_{\lambda,n}\|_{L^p([u_{\lambda,n} \geq \tau])}^p.$$

Then by (3.7), we obtain the desired result. \blacksquare

To show that $(u_{\lambda,n})_n$ contains a strongly convergent subsequence in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we need some known facts.

Lemma 3.3. *Assume (V1), (V2) and (f1)–(f4). Let $\lambda > 0$ and $(u_n)_n \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a bounded Palais–Smale sequence of I_λ . Then up to subsequences, there exist $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $k \geq 0$, $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and sequences $(y_{1,n})_n, \dots, (y_{k,n})_n \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ if $k \geq 1$ such that*

- (i) for each i , $|y_{i,n}| \rightarrow \infty$ and for each $i \neq j$, $|y_{i,n} - y_{j,n}| \rightarrow \infty$;
- (ii) $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$ weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $u_n(x + y_{i,n}) \rightharpoonup \omega_i \neq 0$ weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, u_0 is a solution of (3.1) and ω_i satisfies

$$(3.8) \quad -\Delta \omega_i + V_\infty \omega_i = \lambda g_{m_0}(\omega_i) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N;$$

$$(iii) \quad \left\| u_n - u_0 - \sum_{i=1}^k \omega_i(\cdot - y_{i,n}) \right\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } c_\lambda = I_\lambda(u_0) + \sum_{i=1}^k I_{\lambda,\infty}(\omega_i), \text{ where}$$

$$(3.9) \quad I_{\lambda,\infty}(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 + V_\infty u^2 \, dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_{m_0}(u) \, dx.$$

In particular, when $k = 0$, $\|u_n - u_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0$.

Since [Lemma 3.3](#) is well-known (we may argue as in [[Wi96](#), Chapter 8]), we omit the proof.

Next, we remark that $I_{\lambda,\infty}$ also admits a mountain pass geometry and let us denote by $c_{\lambda,\infty}$ its mountain pass value. In addition, it is known that [\(3.8\)](#) admits a positive least energy solution w_λ , that is, $w_\lambda > 0$ in \mathbb{R}^N and

$$(3.10) \quad \inf \{ I_{\lambda,\infty}(u) \mid u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}, I'_{\lambda,\infty}(u) = 0 \} = c_{\lambda,\infty} = I_{\lambda,\infty}(w_\lambda) > 0.$$

For the existence, see [[BGK83](#), [BL83](#)] and for [\(3.10\)](#), we refer to [[JT03a](#), [JT03b](#)]. Furthermore, according to [[JT03a](#), Lemma 2.1] and [[JT03b](#), Section 3], there exist $\gamma_{\lambda,\infty} \in C([0, 1], H^1(\mathbb{R}^N))$ such that

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & w_\lambda \in \gamma_{\lambda,\infty}([0, 1]), \quad \gamma_{\lambda,\infty}(t)(x) > 0 \quad \text{for each } t \in (0, 1] \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ & I_{\lambda,\infty}(\gamma_{\lambda,\infty}(1)) < 0, \quad c_{\lambda,\infty} = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} I_{\lambda,\infty}(\gamma_{\lambda,\infty}(t)) > I_{\lambda,\infty}(\gamma_{\lambda,\infty}(s)) \quad \text{if } \gamma_{\lambda,\infty}(s) \neq w_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Under these preparations, we prove the following.

Proposition 3.4. *Suppose (V1)–(V3) and (f1)–(f4). Then, up to subsequences, there exists $u_\lambda \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\|u_{\lambda,n} - u_\lambda\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, u_λ is a positive solution of [\(3.1\)](#).*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume $V(x) \not\equiv V_\infty$. Otherwise, the existence of the mountain pass solutions of [\(3.1\)](#) follows from [[JT03a](#), [JT03b](#)]. By (V1)–(V3), we have

$$I_\lambda(u) \leq I_{\lambda,\infty}(u) \quad \text{for all } u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

In particular, $I_\lambda(\gamma_{\lambda,\infty}(1)) \leq I_{\lambda,\infty}(\gamma_{\lambda,\infty}(1)) < 0$ thanks to [\(3.11\)](#). Thus, it holds that $\gamma_{\lambda,\infty} \in \Gamma_{I_\lambda}$. Let $t_\lambda \in (0, 1)$ satisfy $I_\lambda(\gamma_{\lambda,\infty}(t_\lambda)) = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} I_\lambda(\gamma_{\lambda,\infty}(t))$. Then (V3) with $V \not\equiv V_\infty$ and [\(3.11\)](#) give

$$(3.12) \quad c_{\lambda,\infty} = I_{\lambda,\infty}(w_\lambda) \geq I_{\lambda,\infty}(\gamma_{\lambda,\infty}(t_\lambda)) > I_\lambda(\gamma_{\lambda,\infty}(t_\lambda)) \geq c_\lambda.$$

We apply [Lemma 3.3](#) to $(u_{\lambda,n})$ and let $k \geq 0$, u_0 , ω_i and $(y_{i,n})$ be as in [Lemma 3.3](#). We prove $k = 0$ and assume by contradiction that $k \geq 1$. Since $\omega_i \not\equiv 0$ and $I'_{\lambda,\infty}(\omega_i) = 0$, [\(3.10\)](#) yields $I_{\lambda,\infty}(\omega_i) \geq c_{\lambda,\infty}$. Therefore, one has

$$c_\lambda = I_\lambda(u_0) + \sum_{i=1}^k I_{\lambda,\infty}(\omega_i) \geq I_\lambda(u_0) + kc_{\lambda,\infty}.$$

On the other hand, since $I'_\lambda(u_0) = 0$ and $0 \leq \frac{1}{2}g_{m_0}(s)s - G_{m_0}(s)$ for each $s \in \mathbb{R}$ in view of [\(2.6\)](#), we see that

$$I_\lambda(u_0) = I_\lambda(u_0) - \frac{1}{2}I'_\lambda(u_0)u_0 = \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{2}g_{m_0}(u_0)u_0 - G_{m_0}(u_0) \, dx \geq 0,$$

which leads to a contradiction by [\(3.12\)](#) and $c_{\lambda,\infty} > 0$ as follows:

$$c_{\lambda,\infty} > c_\lambda \geq kc_{\lambda,\infty} \geq c_{\lambda,\infty}.$$

Hence, $k = 0$ and there exists $u_\lambda \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\|u_{\lambda,n} - u_\lambda\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0$.

By $g_{m_0}(s) \equiv 0$ on $(-\infty, 0]$ and $I_\lambda(u_\lambda) = c_\lambda > 0$, the weak maximum principle yields that $u_\lambda \geq 0$ in \mathbb{R}^N and $u_\lambda \not\equiv 0$. From the growth condition on g_{m_0} , due to Moser's iteration and elliptic regularity, we can verify that $u_\lambda \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and hence $u_\lambda \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for each $p \in (1, \infty)$. Noting that

$$-\Delta u_\lambda + \left(V(x) - \lambda \frac{g_{m_0}(u_\lambda)}{u_\lambda} \right) u_\lambda = 0,$$

we infer from the weak Harnack inequality ([\[GT01, Theorem 8.20\]](#)) that $u_\lambda > 0$ in \mathbb{R}^N . Thus, we complete the proof. \blacksquare

Our next aim is to show $\|u_\lambda\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. To this end, we first estimate $(c_\lambda)_\lambda$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let (V1)–(V2) and (f1)–(f4) hold. Then there exists $M > 0$ such that for all $\lambda > 0$, $c_\lambda \leq M\lambda^{-2/(p-2)}$ holds.*

Proof. From (3.5), it suffices to show $d_\lambda \leq M$ for any $\lambda > 0$. Recalling (2.7), we set

$$K_0(v) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2 + V(x)v^2 \, dx - \tilde{c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_+^p \, dx \in C^1(H^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \mathbb{R}).$$

Then $J_\lambda(v) \leq K_0(v)$ holds for every $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover, it is easy to show that K_0 has a mountain pass geometry and hence we can define

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d}_0 &:= \inf_{\gamma \in \bar{\Gamma}_0} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} K_0(\gamma(t)) \in (0, \infty), \\ \bar{\Gamma}_0 &:= \{ \gamma \in C([0, 1], H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)) \mid \gamma(0) = 0, K_0(\gamma(1)) < 0 \}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\bar{\Gamma}_0 \subset \Gamma_{J_\lambda}$ due to $J_\lambda(v) \leq K_0(v)$, we obtain $d_\lambda \leq \bar{d}_0$ and this completes the proof. \blacksquare

Next, we show that any family $(u_\lambda)_{\lambda>0}$ of solutions of (3.1) with $I_\lambda(u_\lambda) \leq M\lambda^{-2/(p-2)}$ satisfies $\|u_\lambda\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

Proposition 3.6. *Suppose (V1)–(V2) and (f1)–(f4). Let $(u_\lambda)_{\lambda>0}$ be a family of solutions of (3.1) satisfying $I_\lambda(u_\lambda) \leq M\lambda^{-2/(p-2)}$. Then $\|u_\lambda\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. Let $\tau \in (0, s_0)$ be an arbitrary number. By the assumption on (u_λ) , we have

$$\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{2} g_{m_0}(u_\lambda) u_\lambda - G_{m_0}(u_\lambda) \, dx = I_\lambda(u_\lambda) - \frac{1}{2} I'_\lambda(u_\lambda) u_\lambda = I_\lambda(u_\lambda) \leq M\lambda^{-2/(p-2)} \quad \text{for any } \lambda > 0.$$

Hence, (2.5) and $0 \leq \frac{1}{2} g_{m_0}(s)s - G_{m_0}(s)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ give

$$c_\tau \int_{[u_\lambda \geq \tau]} u_\lambda^p \, dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{2} g_{m_0}(u_\lambda) u_\lambda - G_{m_0}(u_\lambda) \, dx \leq M\lambda^{-p/(p-2)}.$$

On the other hand, testing $(u_\lambda - \tau)_+ \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to (3.1) and noting that $g_{m_0}(s)(s - \tau)_+ \leq C_\tau s^p \chi_{[\tau, \infty)}(s)$ due to (2.4) and that

$$\nabla u_\lambda \cdot \nabla (u_\lambda - \tau)_+ + V(x) u_\lambda (u_\lambda - \tau)_+ \geq |\nabla (u_\lambda - \tau)_+|^2 + V(x) (u_\lambda - \tau)_+^2 \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (3.13) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla (u_\lambda - \tau)_+|^2 + V(x) (u_\lambda - \tau)_+^2 \, dx &\leq \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_{m_0}(u_\lambda) (u_\lambda - \tau)_+ \, dx \\ &\leq C_\tau \lambda \int_{[u_\lambda \geq \tau]} u_\lambda^p \, dx \leq M \tilde{C}_\tau \lambda^{-2/(p-2)}. \end{aligned}$$

When $N = 1$, since $\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\|u\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}$, (3.13) yields $\|(u_\lambda - \tau)_+\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\tau \in (0, s_0)$ is arbitrary, we conclude that $\|u_\lambda\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

Next, we consider the case $N \geq 2$. By $u_+ = (|u| + u)/2$, Kato's inequality [Ka72, Lemma A] and $V(x) \geq 0$, $(u_\lambda - \tau)_+ \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfies the following inequality in a weak sense:

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta((u_\lambda - \tau)_+) &\leq \{-\Delta(u_\lambda - \tau)\} \operatorname{sgn}^+((u_\lambda - \tau)_+) \\ &\leq \lambda \frac{g_{m_0}(u_\lambda)}{u_\lambda} \chi_{[u_\lambda \geq \tau]} \{(u_\lambda - \tau)_+ + \tau\} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{aligned}$$

where $\operatorname{sgn}^+(a) = 1$ if $a > 0$, $\operatorname{sgn}^+(0) = 1/2$ and $\operatorname{sgn}^+(a) = 0$ if $a < 0$. Writing

$$\phi_\lambda(x) := (u_\lambda(x) - \tau)_+, \quad h_\lambda(x) := \lambda \frac{g_{m_0}(u_\lambda(x))}{u_\lambda(x)} \chi_{[u_\lambda \geq \tau]}(x),$$

we get

$$(3.14) \quad -\Delta\phi_\lambda \leq h_\lambda\phi_\lambda + \tau h_\lambda \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

By $|g_{m_0}(s)| \leq C_\tau s^{p-1}$ for $s \geq \tau$, one has

$$0 \leq h_\lambda(x) \leq C_\tau \lambda u_\lambda^{p-2}(x) \chi_{[u_\lambda \geq \tau]}(x).$$

Moreover, from $p-2 < 4/(N-2)$, we may find $q_1 < q_2$ so that

$$\frac{p-2}{2^*} < \frac{1}{q_2} < \frac{1}{q_1} < \min \left\{ \frac{2}{N}, \frac{p-2}{2} \right\}.$$

Since $\|(u_\lambda - \tau/2)_+\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq M\tilde{C}_{\tau/2}\lambda^{-1/(p-2)}$ by (3.13), it follows from $s^{(p-2)q_1}\chi_{[s \geq \tau]}(s) \leq C_\tau(s - \tau/2)_+^{(p-2)q_2}$ and $2 < q_2(p-2) < 2^*$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \lambda u_\lambda^{p-2} \chi_{[u_\lambda \geq \tau]} \right\|_{L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{q_1} &= \lambda^{q_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_\lambda^{(p-2)q_1} \chi_{[u_\lambda \geq \tau]} dx \\ &\leq \lambda^{q_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} C_\tau \left(u_\lambda - \frac{\tau}{2} \right)_+^{(p-2)q_2} dx \\ &\leq C_\tau \lambda^{q_1} C_0 \left\| \left(u_\lambda - \frac{\tau}{2} \right)_+ \right\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{(p-2)q_2} \leq \tilde{C}_\tau \lambda^{q_1 - q_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\|h_\lambda\|_{L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. By (3.14), $q_1 > N/2$ and Moser's iteration ([GT01, Theorem 8.15] or [HL01, Theorem 4.1]), there exists $C > 0$, which is independent of λ , such that for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^N$,

$$\|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^\infty(B_1(z))} \leq C \left\{ \|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2(B_2(z))} + \|\tau h_\lambda\|_{L^{q_1}(B_2(z))} \right\}.$$

Since $\|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq M\tilde{C}_{\tau/2}\lambda^{-1/(p-2)}$, we obtain

$$\limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}^N} \|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^\infty(B_1(z))} = 0.$$

Recalling that $\tau \in (0, s_0)$ is arbitrary, we have $\|u_\lambda\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. \blacksquare

Now we prove Theorem 1.1 (i).

Proof of Theorem 1.1 (i). Let $(u_\lambda)_{\lambda > 0}$ be a family of positive solutions of (3.1) obtained in Proposition 3.4. By Lemma 3.5 and Proposition 3.6, we have $\|u_\lambda\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, we can select $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that $\|u_\lambda\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq s_0$ if $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$. By (2.4), one finds that $g_{m_0}(u_\lambda) = f(u_\lambda)$ and u_λ is a positive solution of (P_λ) . Therefore, under (i) Theorem 1.1 holds. \blacksquare

3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1 under (ii). Here we give a sketch of proof of Theorem 1.1 under (ii). Assume that (V1), (V4) and (f1)–(f4). In this case, we define H_V by

$$\begin{aligned} H_V &:= \left\{ u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)u^2 dx < \infty \right\}, \\ \langle u, v \rangle_{H_V} &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v + V(x)uv dx, \quad \|u\|_{H_V} := \sqrt{\langle u, u \rangle_{H_V}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $(H_V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H_V})$ is a Hilbert space. Moreover, for I_λ in (3.2), we may verify $I_\lambda \in C^1(H_V, \mathbb{R})$ and critical points of I_λ in H_V are solutions of (3.1) in H_V .

As in Proposition 3.1, it is not difficult to prove that I_λ admits a mountain pass geometry in H_V . Therefore, we may define the mountain pass value of I_λ in H_V by:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{V,\lambda} &:= \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{V,\lambda}} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} I_\lambda(\gamma(t)) \in (0, \infty), \\ \Gamma_{V,\lambda} &:= \{ \gamma \in C([0, 1], H_V) \mid \gamma(0) = 0, I_\lambda(\gamma(1)) < 0 \}. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, we may find a Palais–Smale–Cerami sequence of $(u_{\lambda,n})_n \subset H_V$ at level $c_{V,\lambda}$, that is,

$$I_\lambda(u_{\lambda,n}) \rightarrow c_{V,\lambda}, \quad (1 + \|u_{\lambda,n}\|_{H_V}) \|I'_\lambda(u_{\lambda,n})\|_{H_V^*} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then the argument of [Proposition 3.2](#) is still valid in this case and we find that $(u_{\lambda,n})_n$ is bounded in H_V . Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $u_{\lambda,n} \rightharpoonup u_\lambda$ weakly in H_V and $u_{\lambda,n}(x) \rightarrow u_\lambda(x)$ a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Next, we recall the compactness embedding of H_V into $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $2 \leq q < 2^*$, which was proved in [[BWW05](#), Lemma 3.1].

Lemma 3.7. *Under (V1) and (V4), the embedding $H_V \subset L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is compact for all $q \in [2, 2^*)$.*

Exploiting [Lemma 3.7](#), (f1), (f2) and [Lemma 2.1](#), we may show that $u_{\lambda,n} \rightarrow u_\lambda$ strongly in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for each $q \in [2, 2^*)$ and $g_{m_0}(u_{\lambda,n}) \rightarrow g_{m_0}(u_\lambda)$ strongly in H_V^* . By $I'_\lambda(u_{\lambda,n}) \rightarrow 0$ strongly in H_V^* and the isomorphism between H_V and H_V^* , we observe that $u_{\lambda,n} \rightarrow u_\lambda$ strongly in H_V .

Finally, we show $\|u_\lambda\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. For [Lemma 3.5](#), considering K_0 on H_V leads to $c_{V,\lambda} \leq C\lambda^{-2/(p-2)}$ for each $\lambda > 0$. Furthermore, the argument in [Proposition 3.6](#) also works by replacing the $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ -norm by the H_V -norm. Thus, $\|u_\lambda\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ holds and [Theorem 1.1](#) follows under (ii).

3.3. The existence of positive solution of (1.1) under (f1)–(f4). When $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a bounded domain, we suppose $V(x) \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ and $V(x) \geq 0$, and consider the functional

$$\tilde{I}_\lambda(u) := \int_\Omega \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + V(x)u^2 dx - \lambda \int_\Omega G_{m_0}(u) dx \in C^1(H_0^1(\Omega), \mathbb{R}).$$

We equip $H_0^1(\Omega)$ with the norm $\|\nabla \cdot\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$. Then it is easily seen that \tilde{I}_λ has a mountain pass geometry on $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and the mountain pass value is well-defined:

$$c_{\Omega,\lambda} := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\Omega,\lambda}} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \tilde{I}_\lambda(\gamma(t)) \in (0, \infty),$$

$$\Gamma_{\Omega,\lambda} := \left\{ \gamma \in C([0, 1], H_0^1(\Omega)) \mid \gamma(0) = 0, \tilde{I}_\lambda(\gamma(1)) < 0 \right\}.$$

Thus, there exists a Palais–Smale–Cerami sequence $(u_{\lambda,n})_n$ at level $c_{\Omega,\lambda}$ and as in the above we may show $\|u_{\lambda,n} - u_\lambda\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ after taking a subsequence. [Lemma 3.5](#) remains true in this case and we obtain $c_{\Omega,\lambda} \leq C\lambda^{-2/(p-2)}$. Finally, for the assertion $\|u_\lambda\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, we remark that $\phi_\lambda(x) := (u_\lambda - \tau)_+$ with $0 < \tau < s_0$ satisfies

$$-\Delta \phi_\lambda \leq h_\lambda \phi_\lambda + \tau h_\lambda \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad h_\lambda(x) := \lambda \frac{g_{m_0}(u_\lambda(x))}{u_\lambda(x)} \chi_{[u_\lambda \geq \tau]}(x).$$

As in the previous case, we can show $\|h_\lambda\|_{L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ for some $q_1 > N/2$. Since $\phi_\lambda \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, we may apply Moser’s iteration without cut-off function in x and get

$$\|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C \left\{ \|\phi_\lambda\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\tau h_\lambda\|_{L^{q_1}(\Omega)} \right\},$$

where $C > 0$ is independent of λ . Therefore, we have $\|u_\lambda\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ and u_λ becomes a solution of (P_λ) when λ is sufficiently large.

4. ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF POSITIVER SOLUTIONS AS $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$: PROOF OF [THEOREM 1.3](#)

In this section, we aim to prove [Theorem 1.3](#). As in [Section 3](#), we mainly consider the case (V1)–(V3), (f1) and (f5) hold since other cases can be shown similarly. Recalling that (f1) and (f5) imply (f2)–(f4), we notice that the mountain pass values c_λ and d_λ in [\(3.4\)](#) are well-defined and they are critical values of I_λ and J_λ respectively. Let u_λ be a critical point of I_λ

corresponding to c_λ and ω_0 be the unique positive radial solution of (1.3). We choose $T_0 > 0$ so that

$$J_{\infty, \infty}(T_0\omega_0) < 0, \quad \text{where } J_{\infty, \infty}(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 + V_\infty u^2 \, dx - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_+^p \, dx.$$

We also set

$$\gamma_\infty(t) := T_0 t \omega_0 \in C([0, 1], H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)), \quad J_\infty(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 + V(x)u^2 \, dx - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_+^p \, dx.$$

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose (V1)–(V3), (f1) and (f5), and set $v_\lambda(x) := \lambda^{1/(p-2)}u_\lambda(x)$. Then it holds*

$$0 < \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{2/(p-2)}c_\lambda \leq \limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{2/(p-2)}c_\lambda \leq \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} J_\infty(\gamma_\infty(t))$$

and $(v_\lambda)_{\lambda \geq 1}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proof. To show $0 < \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{2/(p-2)}c_\lambda$, let $C_2 > 0$ be the constant in (2.9) and set

$$\underline{J}(v) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla v|^2 + V(x)v^2 \, dx - \frac{C_2}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v_+^p \, dx.$$

Then we have

$$(4.1) \quad \underline{J}(v) \leq J_\lambda(v) \quad \text{for each } v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ and } \lambda > 0.$$

It is easily seen that \underline{J} has a mountain pass geometry and we set

$$\underline{d} := \inf_{\gamma \in \underline{\Gamma}} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \underline{J}(\gamma(t)) \in (0, \infty), \quad \underline{\Gamma} := \{ \gamma \in C([0, 1], H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)) \mid \gamma(0) = 0, \underline{J}(\gamma(1)) < 0 \}.$$

From (4.1), it follows that

$$\Gamma_{J_\lambda} \subset \underline{\Gamma}, \quad 0 < \underline{d} \leq d_\lambda \quad \text{for all } \lambda > 0.$$

Hence, (3.5) yields $\underline{d} \leq c_\lambda \lambda^{2/(p-2)}$ and hence $0 < \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{2/(p-2)}c_\lambda$ follows.

Next, since $G_{m_0}(s) = F(s)$ on $[0, s_0]$, we notice that for sufficiently large λ and all $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} J_\lambda(\gamma_\infty(t)) &= \frac{T_0^2 t^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \omega_0|^2 + V(x)\omega_0^2 \, dx - \lambda^{p/(p-2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_{m_0} \left(\lambda^{-1/(p-2)} T_0 t \omega_0(x) \right) \, dx \\ &= \frac{T_0^2 t^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \omega_0|^2 + V(x)\omega_0^2 \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{F(\lambda^{-1/(p-2)} T_0 t \omega_0(x))}{(\lambda^{-1/(p-2)} T_0 t \omega_0(x))^p} (T_0 t \omega_0(x))^p \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by (f5), one finds that

$$J_\lambda(\gamma_\infty(t)) \rightarrow J_\infty(\gamma_\infty(t)) \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty \text{ uniformly with respect to } t \in [0, 1].$$

Due to $J_\infty(u) \leq J_{\infty, \infty}(u)$ and $J_{\infty, \infty}(T_0\omega_0) < 0$, we deduce that

$$J_\lambda(\gamma_\infty(1)) < 0 \quad \text{for sufficiently large } \lambda, \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} J_\lambda(\gamma_\infty(t)) = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} J_\infty(\gamma_\infty(t)).$$

Hence, it follows that $\gamma_\infty \in \Gamma_{J_\lambda}$ for sufficiently large λ and

$$\limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} d_\lambda \leq \limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} J_\lambda(\gamma_\infty(t)) = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} J_\infty(\gamma_\infty(t)).$$

Then from (3.5), $\limsup_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda^{2/(p-2)}c_\lambda \leq \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} J_\infty(\gamma_\infty(t))$ holds.

For the boundedness of (v_λ) , let $\mu \in (2, p)$ be the constant in (2.8). Then (2.8) gives

$$c_\lambda = I_\lambda(u_\lambda) = I_\lambda(u_\lambda) - \frac{1}{\mu} I'_\lambda(u_\lambda)u_\lambda \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_\lambda|^2 + V(x)u_\lambda^2 \, dx.$$

Multiplying $\lambda^{2/(p-2)}$ and noting that $(\lambda^{2/(p-2)}c_\lambda)$ is bounded, we obtain the desired result. \blacksquare

Now we are ready to prove [Theorem 1.3](#).

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $(\lambda_n)_n \subset (0, \infty)$ be any sequence satisfying $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$ and set $v_n(x) := \lambda_n^{1/(p-2)} u_{\lambda_n}(x)$. By (3.3), one finds that

$$(4.2) \quad J_{\lambda_n}(v_n) = \lambda_n^{2/(p-2)} I_{\lambda_n} \left(\lambda_n^{-1/(p-2)} v_n \right) = \lambda_n^{2/(p-2)} c_{\lambda_n}, \quad J'_{\lambda_n}(v_n) = 0.$$

Notice that in view of (2.9),

$$-\Delta v_n + V(x)v_n = \lambda_n^{(p-1)/(p-2)} g_{m_0} \left(\lambda_n^{-1/(p-2)} v_n \right) \leq C_2 v_n^{p-1} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Since $(v_n)_n$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ thanks to Lemma 4.1, elliptic regularity theory implies that $(v_n)_n$ is bounded in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and hence in $W_{\text{loc}}^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for each $q \in (1, \infty)$.

We first show the following claim:

Claim: *There exist $v_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $k \geq 0$ and $(y_{1,n})_n, \dots, (y_{k,n})_n \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ if $k \geq 1$ such that*

- (i) *for each i , $|y_{i,n}| \rightarrow \infty$ and for each $i \neq j$, $|y_{i,n} - y_{j,n}| \rightarrow \infty$.*
- (ii) *$v_n \rightharpoonup v_0 \geq 0$ and $v_n(x + y_{i,n}) \rightharpoonup \omega_0 \not\equiv 0$ weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ where ω_0 is the unique positive radial solution of (1.3) and v_0 satisfies*

$$-\Delta v_0 + V(x)v_0 = v_0^{p-1} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

- (iii) $\left\| v_n - v_0 - \sum_{i=1}^k \omega_0(\cdot - y_{i,n}) \right\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{\lambda_n}(v_n) = J_\infty(v_0) + kJ_{\infty, \infty}(\omega_0)$.

Proof of the claim. We recall that $v_n > 0$ in \mathbb{R}^N and $(v_n)_n$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Hence, for any $(z_n)_n \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with $\|v_n\|_{L^2(z_n+Q)} \rightarrow c > 0$ and $Q := [0, 1]^N$, $(v_n(\cdot + z_n))_n$ is bounded in $W_{\text{loc}}^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for each $q < \infty$. Let $v_n(x + z_n) \rightharpoonup \omega \geq 0$ weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $W_{\text{loc}}^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then it holds that $v_n(x + z_n) \rightarrow \omega \not\equiv 0$ in $C_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and hence (f5) yields

$$\lambda_n^{(p-1)/(p-2)} g_{m_0} \left(\lambda_n^{-1/(p-2)} v_n(x) \right) = \frac{g_{m_0} \left(\lambda_n^{-1/(p-2)} v_n(x) \right)}{\left(\lambda_n^{-1/(p-2)} v_n(x) \right)^{p-1}} v_n^{p-1}(x) \rightarrow \omega^{p-1}(x) \quad \text{in } C_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

$$\lambda_n^{p/(p-2)} G_{m_0} \left(\lambda_n^{-1/(p-2)} v_n(x) \right) \rightarrow \frac{1}{p} \omega^p(x) \quad \text{in } C_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

This implies that when $|z_n| \rightarrow \infty$, ω is a nontrivial, nonnegative solution of $-\Delta \omega + V_\infty \omega = \omega^{p-1}$ in \mathbb{R}^N , and thus $\omega(x) = \omega_0(x - z_0)$ for some $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ thanks to the strong maximum principle and the uniqueness of positive solution up to translations ([Kw89]). Replacing z_n by $z_n + z_0$, we observe that $v_n(x + z_n) \rightharpoonup \omega_0$ weakly in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ provided that $(z_n)_n$ is unbounded. Therefore, we can prove Claim similarly as Lemma 3.3. \blacksquare

Now we shall complete the proof. We first treat the case $V(x) \not\equiv V_\infty$. Let $t_0 \in (0, 1)$ satisfy $J_\infty(\gamma_\infty(t_0)) = \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} J_\infty(\gamma_\infty(t))$. Since $\omega_0(x) > 0$ and $V(x) \not\equiv V_\infty$, Lemma 4.1 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{\lambda_n}(v_n) &\leq \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} J_\infty(\gamma_\infty(t)) = J_\infty(\gamma_\infty(t_0)) < J_{\infty, \infty}(\gamma_\infty(t_0)) \\ &\leq \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} J_{\infty, \infty}(\gamma_\infty(t)) = J_{\infty, \infty}(\omega_0). \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $J_{\infty, \infty}(\omega_0) > 0$ and $J_\infty(v) \geq 0$ provided that $J'_\infty(v) = 0$, we see from Claim that $k = 0$ and $\|v_n - v_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0$. If $v_0 \equiv 0$, then (4.2), Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 4.1 lead to a contradiction as follows:

$$0 < \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n^{2/(p-2)} c_{\lambda_n} = \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} J_{\lambda_n}(v_n) \leq \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{2} (|\nabla v_n|^2 + V(x)v_n^2) - \frac{C_1}{p} v_n^p \, dx = 0.$$

Hence, $v_0 \not\equiv 0$ and we complete the proof in the case $V(x) \not\equiv V_\infty$.

Finally, we assume that $V(x) \equiv V_\infty$. Then $J_\infty(v) = J_{\infty,\infty}(v)$ in this case. Hence, in Claim, it follows that either $v_0 \equiv 0$ or $v_0 = \omega_0$. In a similar way to the case $V(x) \not\equiv V_\infty$, we can prove that the case $k = 0$ and $v_0 = 0$ does not occur. Therefore, either $k = 0$, $v_0 = \omega_0$ or $k = 1$, $v_0 = 0$, which implies $\|v_n(\cdot + z_n) - \omega_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0$ for some $(z_n)_n \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. Summarizing, we have proved that if $\lambda_n \rightarrow \infty$, then by taking a subsequence if necessary, $\|v_n(\cdot + z_n) - \omega_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0$ for some $(z_n)_n \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. Since ω_0 is independent of choices of subsequences and $(\lambda_n)_n$ is arbitrary, we get $\|v_\lambda(\cdot + z_\lambda) - \omega_0\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ for some $(z_\lambda)_{\lambda \geq 1} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$. Hence, we complete the proof. \blacksquare

When we assume (V4) instead of (V2) and (V3), we first remark that $J_\infty \in C^1(H_V, \mathbb{R})$ and it is not difficult to show the existence of critical point w_0 of J_∞ corresponding to the mountain pass value of J_∞ . In this case, we use $\tilde{\gamma}_\infty(t) := T_0 t w_0 \in C([0, 1], H_V)$ instead of $\gamma_\infty(t)$ where $T_0 > 1$ is chosen so that $J_\infty(\tilde{\gamma}_\infty(1)) < 0$, and we do not need $J_{\infty,\infty}$. Then we may prove Lemma 4.1 and let $v_n \rightharpoonup v_\infty$ weakly in H_V and $v_n(x) \rightarrow v_\infty(x)$ a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Recalling Lemma 3.7 and noting that v_n satisfies $-\Delta v_n + V(x)v_n = \lambda_n^{(p-1)/(p-2)} g_{m_0}(u_{\lambda_n})$ in \mathbb{R}^N and $\lambda_n^{(p-1)/(p-2)} g_{m_0}(u_{\lambda_n}) \rightarrow v_\infty^{p-1}$ strongly in H_V^* , we observe that $v_n \rightarrow v_\infty$ strongly in H_V and v_∞ is a solution of $-\Delta v_\infty + V(x)v_\infty = v_\infty^{p-1}$ in \mathbb{R}^N . Moreover, by $0 < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{\lambda_n}(v_n) = J_\infty(v_\infty)$, v_∞ is nontrivial. Hence, Theorem 1.3 also holds in this case.

Finally, when $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is bounded, the argument is similar to the above and we may verify that Theorem 1.3 also holds in this case.

5. \mathcal{G} -INVARIANT CASE: PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5

In this section, we study (P_λ) when $V(x)$ is not of trapping type. In this case, the main difficulty is to recover the compactness of bounded Palais-Smale sequences because we are not able to argue as in Proposition 3.4.

To overcome this difficulty, we assume that $\mathcal{G} \subset O(N)$ and $V(x)$ satisfy (g1) and (V5), and define

$$H_{\mathcal{G}}^1(\mathbb{R}^N) := \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \mid u(gx) = u(x) \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{G}\}.$$

Notice that I_λ is \mathcal{G} -invariant on $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, that is,

$$I_\lambda(u(g \cdot)) = I_\lambda(u(\cdot)) \quad \text{for any } u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \text{ and } g \in \mathcal{G}.$$

By the principle of symmetric criticality due to Palais [Pa79] (see also [Wi96, Theorem 1.28]), we see that if the restriction $I_\lambda|_{H_{\mathcal{G}}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ has a critical point, then it is in fact a critical point of I_λ . Thus it is sufficient to work in the restricted space $H_{\mathcal{G}}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Next, due to the \mathcal{G} -symmetry, we have the following:

Proposition 5.1. *Suppose that (V1), (V2), (V5) and (f1)–(f4) hold. For $c < k_0 c_{\lambda,\infty}$ where $k_0 \geq 2$ is defined in (1.4), let $(u_n)_n \subset H_{\mathcal{G}}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a sequence such that*

$$I_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow c, \quad I'_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } (H_{\mathcal{G}}^1(\mathbb{R}^N))^* \text{ and } (\|u_n\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)})_n \text{ is bounded.}$$

Then $(u_n)_n$ has a convergent subsequence in $H_{\mathcal{G}}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Proof. Let $(u_n)_n \subset H_{\mathcal{G}}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfy the assumption. We may find $u_0 \in H_{\mathcal{G}}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $k \geq 0$ and ω_j ($1 \leq j \leq k$) satisfying Lemma 3.3. From the \mathcal{G} -symmetry and (1.4), if $k > 0$, then $k \geq k_0$. Since we may show that $I'_\lambda(u) = 0$ implies $I_\lambda(u) \geq 0$, if $k > 0$, then

$$I_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow c = I_\lambda(u_0) + \sum_{j=1}^k I_{\lambda,\infty}(\omega_j) \geq k_0 c_{\lambda,\infty},$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, $k = 0$ and $(u_n)_n$ has a convergent subsequence in $H_{\mathcal{G}}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. \blacksquare

To use [Proposition 5.1](#), we next define the mountain pass value $c_{\lambda, \mathcal{G}}$ in $H_{\mathcal{G}}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ by

$$c_{\lambda, \mathcal{G}} := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{I_{\lambda, \mathcal{G}}}} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} I_{\lambda}(\gamma(t)),$$

$$\Gamma_{I_{\lambda, \mathcal{G}}} := \{ \gamma \in C([0, 1], H_{\mathcal{G}}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)) \mid \gamma(0) = 0 \text{ and } I_{\lambda}(\gamma(1)) < 0 \}.$$

Then, we may find a Palais–Smale–Cerami sequence $(u_{\lambda, n})$ at level $c_{\lambda, \mathcal{G}}$. Since $(u_{\lambda, n})_n$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ due to [Proposition 3.2](#), our next purpose is to show

$$c_{\lambda, \mathcal{G}} < k_0 c_{\lambda, \infty}.$$

To this end, we construct a suitable path $\gamma \in \Gamma_{I_{\lambda, \mathcal{G}}}$. Let w_{λ} be a positive least energy solution of [\(3.8\)](#) and recall $\{e_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq k_0}$ in [\(1.5\)](#). For $\ell \geq 1$ and $T > 0$, our choice of the path is

$$\gamma_{\ell}(t) := tT \sum_{i=1}^{k_0} w_{\lambda}(x - \ell e_i) \in H_{\mathcal{G}}^1(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Then arguing as in [Proposition 3.1](#), one finds $T > 0$ such that $\gamma_{\ell} \in \Gamma_{I_{\lambda, \mathcal{G}}}$ for all $\ell \geq 1$.

Proposition 5.2. *Suppose $N \geq 2$, [\(g1\)](#), [\(V1\)](#), [\(V2\)](#), [\(V5\)](#), [\(V6\)](#), [\(f1\)](#), [\(f2'\)](#), [\(f3\)](#), [\(f4\)](#) and [\(f6\)](#). Then for each $\lambda > 0$ there exists $\ell_0 > 0$ such that if $\ell \geq \ell_0$,*

$$(5.1) \quad c_{\lambda, \mathcal{G}} \leq \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} I_{\lambda}(\gamma_{\ell}(t)) < k_0 c_{\lambda, \infty}.$$

To prove [Proposition 5.2](#), some preliminaries are necessary. We first remark that there exist $0 < c_{\lambda} \leq C_{\lambda} < \infty$ such that

$$(5.2) \quad c_{\lambda} (1 + |x|)^{-\frac{N-1}{2}} e^{-\sqrt{V_{\infty}}|x|} \leq w_{\lambda}(x) \leq C_{\lambda} (1 + |x|)^{-\frac{N-1}{2}} e^{-\sqrt{V_{\infty}}|x|} \quad \text{for every } x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

In fact, by [\(f2'\)](#) and $w_{\lambda}(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, we may prove that for all $\eta \in (0, \sqrt{V_{\infty}})$ there exists $C_{\eta} > 0$ such that $w_{\lambda}(x) \leq C_{\eta} e^{-\eta|x|}$ due to the comparison theorem (see the proof of [Proposition 6.1](#) below). Let G be the Green function for $-\Delta + V_{\infty}$. Then

$$w_{\lambda}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(x - y) \lambda g_{m_0}(w_{\lambda}(y)) dy.$$

Using [\(f2'\)](#) and arguing as in [[GNN81](#), Proposition 4.1] or [[BL90](#), Proposition 1.2], we see that for some $C_{\lambda} > 0$,

$$w_{\lambda}(x) \leq C_{\lambda} (1 + |x|)^{-\frac{N-1}{2}} e^{-\sqrt{V_{\infty}}|x|}.$$

On the other hand, notice that $g_{m_0}(s) \geq 0$ and $-\Delta w_{\lambda} + V_{\infty} w_{\lambda} \geq 0$. Hence, by the comparison with G on $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1(0)$, there exists $c_{\lambda} > 0$ such that $c_{\lambda} G(x) \leq w_{\lambda}(x)$ for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_1(0)$. Therefore, [\(5.2\)](#) holds.

Next, for notational simplicity, we write $u_i(x) = w_{\lambda}(x - \ell e_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, k_0$. By [Lemma 2.1](#) and [\(f6\)](#), $\frac{g_{m_0}(s)}{s}$ is nondecreasing on $(0, \infty)$. Therefore, we are able to apply the following lemma whose proof can be found in [[Hi08](#), Lemma 4.4].

Lemma 5.3. *Assume [\(f1\)](#), [\(f2'\)](#), [\(f6\)](#) and let k be any integer with $k \geq 2$.*

(i) *If $u_i \in [0, \infty)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$, then*

$$G_{m_0} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k u_i \right) - \sum_{i=1}^k G_{m_0}(u_i) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^k g_{m_0}(u_i) u_j \geq 0.$$

(ii) *For any compact subset $K \subset (0, \infty)$, there exist $C_K > 0$ and $s_K > 0$ such that if $u_i \in K$ and $u_j \in (0, s_K]$ for each j with $j \neq i$, then*

$$G_{m_0} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k u_i \right) - \sum_{i=1}^k G_{m_0}(u_i) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^k g_{m_0}(u_i) u_j \geq C_K \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^k u_j.$$

Next we use the following interaction estimates which can be shown from (5.2) and [BL90, Proposition 1.2] (see also [Ad02, Hi07, Hi08, AW11]):

Lemma 5.4. *Let $1 \leq i, j \leq k_0$ with $i \neq j$.*

(i) *For any $\nu > 0$, there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_i^{1+\nu} u_j \, dx \leq C e^{-\sqrt{V_\infty}|e_i - e_j|} \ell^{-\frac{N-1}{2}} \quad \text{for all } \ell \geq 1.$$

(ii) *There exist $C_1 > 0$ such that*

$$C_1 e^{-\sqrt{V_\infty}|e_i - e_j|} \ell^{-\frac{N-1}{2}} \leq \int_{B_1(\ell e_i)} u_j \, dx \quad \text{for all } \ell \geq 1.$$

Remark 5.5. Since w_λ is a least energy solution of (3.8) and the nonlinear term in (3.8) depends on λ , constants in Lemma 5.4 may also depend on λ . When $N \geq 2$, this fact does not affect the proof of Proposition 5.2, however, when $N = 1$, this causes a serious problem as we will observe in the end of this section.

Under these preparations, we are ready to prove Proposition 5.2. Although its proof is essentially same as in [Ad02, Hi07, AW11], we give the proof for the sake of completeness.

Proof of Proposition 5.2. Let $\lambda > 0$ be arbitrarily fixed. For every $s \in (0, \infty)$ and $v \in H_G^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $v > 0$ in \mathbb{R}^N , notice that

$$(5.3) \quad \frac{I'_\lambda(sv)v}{s} = \|\nabla v\|_2^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)v^2 \, dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{g_{m_0}(sv)}{sv} v^2 \, dx$$

Thus, by $I_\lambda(\gamma_\ell(1)) < 0$ and the fact that $\frac{g_{m_0}(s)}{s}$ is nondecreasing in $(0, \infty)$, the function $[0, 1] \ni t \mapsto I_\lambda(\gamma_\ell(t)) \in \mathbb{R}$ attains its maximum on $[t_{\ell,1}, t_{\ell,2}] \subset (0, 1)$. In addition, by (5.3) and $s^{-1}g_{m_0}(s) \rightarrow 0$ as $s \rightarrow 0^+$ thanks to (f2'), we have $t_{\ell,1} = t_{\ell,2} =: t_\ell$ and the maximum point is unique. This holds true for $[0, 1] \ni t \mapsto I_{\lambda,\infty}(Ttw_\lambda)$ and the maximum is attained only at $t = 1/T$ since $I'_{\lambda,\infty}(w_\lambda) = 0$. From $I_\lambda(\gamma_\ell(t)) \rightarrow k_0 I_{\lambda,\infty}(Ttw_\lambda)$ uniformly in $t \in [0, 1]$ as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$, we see that

$$\tilde{t}_\ell := t_\ell T \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } \ell \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, in order to prove (5.1), it suffices to show that for sufficiently large $\ell \geq 1$,

$$I_\lambda(\gamma_\ell(t_\ell)) < k_0 c_{\lambda,\infty}.$$

We divide its proof into 4 steps.

Step 1: [Decomposition of the energy].

By direct calculations, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & I_\lambda(\gamma_\ell(t_\ell)) \\ &= I_{\lambda,\infty} \left(\tilde{t}_\ell \sum_{i=1}^{k_0} u_i \right) + \frac{\tilde{t}_\ell^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (V(x) - V_\infty) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k_0} u_i \right)^2 \, dx \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k_0} I_{\lambda,\infty}(\tilde{t}_\ell u_i) + \frac{\tilde{t}_\ell^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (V(x) - V_\infty) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k_0} u_i \right)^2 \, dx \\ &\quad + \frac{\tilde{t}_\ell^2}{2} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^{k_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u_i \cdot \nabla u_j + V_\infty u_i u_j \, dx - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left\{ G_{m_0} \left(\tilde{t}_\ell \sum_{i=1}^{k_0} u_i \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{k_0} G_{m_0}(\tilde{t}_\ell u_i) \right\} \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $u_i(x) = w_\lambda(x - \ell e_i)$ are critical points of $I_{\lambda, \infty}$, it follows that

$$\sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^{k_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u_i \cdot \nabla u_j + V_\infty u_i u_j \, dx = \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^{k_0} \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g_{m_0}(u_i) u_j \, dx.$$

Recalling $\max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} I_{\lambda, \infty}(tT w_\lambda) = c_{\lambda, \infty}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_\lambda(\gamma_\ell(t_\ell)) &\leq k_0 c_{\lambda, \infty} + \frac{\tilde{t}_\ell^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (V(x) - V_\infty) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k_0} u_i \right)^2 \, dx \\ &\quad - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left\{ G_{m_0} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k_0} \tilde{t}_\ell u_i \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{k_0} G_{m_0}(\tilde{t}_\ell u_i) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^{k_0} g_{m_0}(\tilde{t}_\ell u_i) \tilde{t}_\ell u_j \right\} \, dx \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^{k_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \{ \tilde{t}_\ell^2 g_{m_0}(u_i) u_j - g_{m_0}(\tilde{t}_\ell u_i) \tilde{t}_\ell u_j \} \, dx \\ &=: k_0 c_{\lambda, \infty} + \frac{\tilde{t}_\ell^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (V(x) - V_\infty) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k_0} u_i \right)^2 \, dx - L_1(\mathbb{R}^N) + L_2(\mathbb{R}^N). \end{aligned}$$

By (V6), it holds that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (V(x) - V_\infty) w_\lambda(x - \ell e_i)^2 \, dx \leq \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\alpha|x|} w_\lambda(x - \ell e_i)^2 \, dx.$$

Moreover in (V6) without loss of generality we may assume $\alpha \in (\alpha_0 \sqrt{V_\infty}, 2\sqrt{V_\infty})$ if $\alpha_0 \in (0, 2)$ and $\alpha \in (2\sqrt{V_\infty}, \infty)$ if $\alpha_0 = 2$. Then we are able to apply [BL90, Proposition 1.2] (cf. [Ad02, Lemma 2.4], [Hi07, (4.5)]) to deduce that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (V(x) - V_\infty) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k_0} u_i \right)^2 \, dx \leq C_0 \max \left\{ e^{-\alpha \ell}, e^{-2\sqrt{V_\infty} \ell} \ell^{-(N-1)} \right\} \quad \text{for all } \ell \geq 1.$$

This yields that

$$(5.4) \quad I_\lambda(\gamma_\ell(t_\ell)) \leq k_0 c_{\lambda, \infty} + \frac{C_0 \tilde{t}_\ell^2}{2} \max \left\{ e^{-\alpha \ell}, e^{-2\sqrt{V_\infty} \ell} \ell^{-(N-1)} \right\} - L_1(\mathbb{R}^N) + L_2(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Step 2: [Estimate of $L_1(\mathbb{R}^N)$].

Choose $\tilde{\ell} = \tilde{\ell}(\mathcal{G}) \geq 1$ so that $B_1(\ell e_i) \cap B_1(\ell e_j) = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$ and $\ell \geq \tilde{\ell}$. We decompose

$$\mathbb{R}^N = B_1(\ell e_1) \cup B_1(\ell e_2) \cup \cdots \cup B_1(\ell e_{k_0}) \cup \Omega, \quad \Omega := \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{k_0} B_1(\ell e_i)$$

and write $B_i = B_1(\ell e_i)$. Then one has

$$L_1(\mathbb{R}^N) = L_1(B_1) + L_1(B_2) + \cdots + L_1(B_{k_0}) + L_1(\Omega).$$

Next, set

$$K := \overline{\bigcup_{\ell \geq 1} \{ \tilde{t}_\ell w_\lambda(x) \mid x \in B_1(0) \}}.$$

By $\tilde{t}_\ell \rightarrow 1$ as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$, we see that $K \subset (0, \infty)$ is compact. Furthermore, for each i and j with $i \neq j$, we may suppose $\tilde{t}_\ell u_j(x) \leq s_K$ on B_i provided $\ell \geq \tilde{\ell}$ where $s_K > 0$ is the constant in

Lemma 5.3 (ii). Then by **Lemma 5.3** (ii) and **Lemma 5.4** (ii), we get

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(B_i) &= \lambda \int_{B_i} \left\{ G_{m_0} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k_0} \tilde{t}_\ell u_i \right) - \sum_{i=1}^{k_0} G_{m_0}(\tilde{t}_\ell u_i) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^{k_0} g_{m_0}(\tilde{t}_\ell u_i) \tilde{t}_\ell u_j \right\} dx \\ &\geq \lambda C_K \tilde{t}_\ell \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{k_0} \int_{B_i} u_j dx \\ &\geq \lambda C_K C_1 \tilde{t}_\ell \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{k_0} e^{-\sqrt{V_\infty} |e_i - e_j| \ell} \ell^{-\frac{N-1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k_0} L_1(B_i) \geq \lambda C_K C_1 \tilde{t}_\ell \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^{k_0} e^{-\sqrt{V_\infty} |e_i - e_j| \ell} \ell^{-\frac{N-1}{2}}.$$

Now **Lemma 5.3** (i) implies $L_1(\Omega) \geq 0$, from which we conclude that

$$(5.5) \quad -L_1(\mathbb{R}^N) \leq -\lambda C_K C_1 \tilde{t}_\ell \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^{k_0} e^{-\sqrt{V_\infty} |e_i - e_j| \ell} \ell^{-\frac{N-1}{2}} \quad \text{for } \ell \geq \tilde{\ell}.$$

Step 3: [Estimate of $L_2(\mathbb{R}^N)$].

First we claim that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\tilde{\ell}_\varepsilon \geq \tilde{\ell}$ such that

$$(5.6) \quad \tilde{t}_\ell g_{m_0}(w_\lambda(x)) - g_{m_0}(\tilde{t}_\ell w_\lambda(x)) \leq \varepsilon w_\lambda(x)^{1+\nu} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and } \ell \geq \tilde{\ell}_\varepsilon.$$

Indeed from (f2') and (f6), it follows that $g_{m_0}(s) \geq 0$ in $(0, \infty)$ and

$$\tilde{t}_\ell g_{m_0}(w_\lambda(x)) - g_{m_0}(\tilde{t}_\ell w_\lambda(x)) \leq \tilde{t}_\ell g(w_\lambda(x)) \leq \varepsilon w_\lambda(x)^{1+\nu} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{r_\varepsilon}(0) \text{ and } \ell \geq \tilde{\ell},$$

by taking sufficiently large $r_\varepsilon > 0$. On the other hand since $\tilde{t}_\ell g_{m_0}(w_\lambda) - g_{m_0}(\tilde{t}_\ell w_\lambda) \rightarrow 0$ as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$, there exist $\tilde{\ell}_\varepsilon \geq \tilde{\ell}$ such that

$$\tilde{t}_\ell g_{m_0}(w_\lambda(x)) - g_{m_0}(\tilde{t}_\ell w_\lambda(x)) \leq \varepsilon w_\lambda(x)^{1+\nu} \quad \text{for any } x \in B_{r_\varepsilon}(0) \text{ and } \ell \geq \tilde{\ell}_\varepsilon,$$

yielding (5.6).

From (5.6) and **Lemma 5.4** (i), for every $\ell \geq \tilde{\ell}_\varepsilon$, we have

$$(5.7) \quad L_2(\mathbb{R}^N) \leq \frac{\lambda \tilde{t}_\ell \varepsilon}{2} \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^{k_0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_i^{1+\nu} u_j dx \leq \lambda C \tilde{t}_\ell \varepsilon \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^{k_0} e^{-\sqrt{V_\infty} |e_i - e_j| \ell} \ell^{-\frac{N-1}{2}}.$$

Step 4: [Conclusion].

From (5.4), (5.5) and (5.7), it holds that for $\ell \geq \tilde{\ell}_\varepsilon$,

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} c_{\lambda, \mathcal{G}} \leq I_\lambda(\gamma_\ell(t_\ell)) &\leq k_0 c_{\lambda, \infty} + \frac{C_0 \tilde{t}_\ell^2}{2} \max \left\{ e^{-\alpha \ell}, e^{-2\sqrt{V_\infty} \ell} \ell^{-(N-1)} \right\} \\ &\quad + \lambda \tilde{t}_\ell (C\varepsilon - C_K C_1) \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^{k_0} e^{-\sqrt{V_\infty} |e_i - e_j| \ell} \ell^{-\frac{N-1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

By (1.5), choose $i_0 \neq j_0$ so that $|e_{i_0} - e_{j_0}| = \alpha_0$. Taking a small $\varepsilon > 0$, we see from (5.8) that for each $\ell \geq \tilde{\ell}_\varepsilon$,

$$c_{\lambda, \mathcal{G}} \leq k_0 c_{\lambda, \infty} + \frac{C_0 \tilde{t}_\ell^2}{2} \max \left\{ e^{-\alpha \ell}, e^{-2\sqrt{V_\infty} \ell} \ell^{-(N-1)} \right\} - \frac{\lambda \tilde{t}_\ell C_K C_1}{2} e^{-\sqrt{V_\infty} \alpha_0 \ell} \ell^{-\frac{N-1}{2}}.$$

From $\alpha > \alpha_0\sqrt{V_\infty}$ and $\tilde{t}_\ell \rightarrow 1$ as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$, we may find ℓ_0 such that if $\ell \geq \ell_0$, then

$$c_{\lambda, \mathcal{G}} \leq I_\lambda(\gamma_\ell(t_\ell)) < k_0 c_{\lambda, \infty},$$

which completes the proof. \blacksquare

Now we prove [Theorem 1.5](#):

Proof of Theorem 1.5. By [Propositions 5.1](#) and [5.2](#), the auxiliary problem [\(3.1\)](#) admits a \mathcal{G} -invariant positive solution u_λ corresponding to $c_{\lambda, \mathcal{G}}$. Since [Lemma 3.5](#) holds for $c_{\lambda, \infty}$ by choosing $V \equiv V_\infty$, from $c_{\lambda, \mathcal{G}} < k_0 c_{\lambda, \infty}$ and [Proposition 3.6](#), we verify that u_λ satisfies (P_λ) for sufficiently large λ and [Theorem 1.5](#) holds. \blacksquare

Finally, as noted in [Remark 5.5](#), we explain the reason why we need the assumption $N \geq 2$ to prove [\(5.1\)](#). When $N = 1$, it follows that $\mathcal{G} = \{\text{id}, -\text{id}\}$ and $\alpha_0 = 2 = k_0$, and [\(5.8\)](#) reduces to

$$I_\lambda(\gamma_\ell(t_\ell)) \leq 2c_{\lambda, \infty} + \left\{ \frac{C_0 \tilde{t}_\ell^2}{2} + \lambda \tilde{t}_\ell (C_\varepsilon - C_K C_1) \right\} e^{-2\sqrt{V_\infty} \ell}.$$

Notice that C_0 , C_K and C_1 are determined by w_λ as mentioned in [Remark 5.5](#), and hence, they depend on λ . Thus, it is not clear whether or not the following inequality holds:

$$\frac{C_0 \tilde{t}_\ell^2}{2} + \lambda \tilde{t}_\ell (C_\varepsilon - C_K C_1) < 0.$$

From this reason, to exploit the same idea when $N = 1$, we need to look at the dependence of C_0, C_K, C_1 on λ more precisely. This will be an issue of next section.

6. PROOF OF [THEOREM 1.6](#)

In this section, we suppose $N = 1$, [\(V1\)](#), [\(V2\)](#), [\(V5'\)](#), [\(V6'\)](#), [\(f1\)](#), [\(f5\)](#) and [\(f6\)](#), and prove [Theorem 1.6](#). To this end, as mentioned in the end of [Section 5](#), we shall study the behavior of (w_λ) as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, where w_λ is the least energy solution of [\(3.8\)](#).

As in [Section 5](#), we observe that for each $\lambda > 0$, I_λ has the mountain pass geometry in $H_E^1(\mathbb{R}) := \{u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}) \mid u(-x) = u(x)\}$ and we can define $c_{\lambda, E} \in (0, \infty)$ by

$$c_{\lambda, E} := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{I_\lambda, E}} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} I_\lambda(\gamma(t)), \quad \Gamma_{I_\lambda, E} := \{ \gamma \in C([0, 1], H_E^1(\mathbb{R})) \mid \gamma(0) = 0, I_\lambda(\gamma(1)) < 0 \}.$$

Let $(u_{\lambda, n})_n \subset H_E^1(\mathbb{R})$ be a Palais–Smale–Cerami sequence of I_λ at level $c_{\lambda, E}$. Then $(u_{\lambda, n})_n$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ due to [Proposition 3.2](#). Therefore, we aim to prove that there exists $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1(V_\infty, f)$ such that

$$(6.1) \quad \lambda \geq \lambda_1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \exists u_\lambda \in H_E^1(\mathbb{R}), \exists (u_{\lambda, n_k})_k \text{ s.t. } \|u_{\lambda, n_k} - u_\lambda\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \rightarrow 0.$$

Once [\(6.1\)](#) is established, since we may prove $c_{\lambda, E} \leq M\lambda^{-2/(p-2)}$ for some $M > 0$ as in [Lemma 3.5](#), by [Proposition 3.6](#), there exists $\lambda_0 = \lambda_0(V, f) \geq \lambda_1$ such that u_λ is a solution of (P_λ) provided $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$. Therefore [Theorem 1.6](#) holds.

In what follows, our aim is to prove [\(6.1\)](#). For this purpose, recall $I_{\lambda, \infty}$ in [\(3.9\)](#) and define the mountain pass value of $I_{\lambda, \infty}$ as in [\(3.4\)](#):

$$c_{\lambda, \infty} := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{I_{\lambda, \infty}}} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} I_{\lambda, \infty}(\gamma(t)), \quad \Gamma_{I_{\lambda, \infty}} := \{ \gamma \in C([0, 1], H^1(\mathbb{R})) \mid \gamma(0) = 0, I_{\lambda, \infty}(\gamma(1)) < 0 \}.$$

From the definition of g_{m_0} and [\(f6\)](#), there exists $\lambda_2 = \lambda_2(V_\infty, f) > 0$ such that if $\lambda \geq \lambda_2$, then we may find $\tilde{s}_\lambda \in (0, s_0)$ so that

$$\lambda G_{m_0}(s) - \frac{V_\infty}{2} s^2 < 0 = \lambda G_{m_0}(\tilde{s}_\lambda) - \frac{V_\infty}{2} (\tilde{s}_\lambda)^2 \quad \text{for any } s \in (0, \tilde{s}_\lambda), \quad \lambda g_{m_0}(\tilde{s}_\lambda) - V_\infty \tilde{s}_\lambda > 0.$$

Hence, when $\lambda \geq \lambda_2$, we can apply the result in [JT03b] to find a unique positive solution $w_\lambda \in H_E^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\begin{cases} -w_\lambda'' + V_\infty w_\lambda = \lambda g_{m_0}(w_\lambda) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}, \quad w_\lambda(0) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} w_\lambda(x), \quad w_\lambda'(x) < 0 \quad \text{in } (0, \infty), \\ w_\lambda(-x) = w_\lambda(x) & \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad I_{\lambda, \infty}(w_\lambda) = c_{\lambda, \infty}. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, by Theorem 1.3, we have

$$(6.2) \quad v_\lambda(x) := \lambda^{1/(p-2)} w_\lambda(x) \rightarrow \omega_0(x) \quad \text{strongly in } H^1(\mathbb{R}) \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty,$$

where ω_0 is a unique positive solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\omega_0'' + V_\infty \omega_0 = \omega_0^{p-1} & \text{in } \mathbb{R}, \quad \omega_0(0) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \omega_0(x), \quad \omega_0'(x) < 0 \quad \text{in } (0, \infty), \\ \omega_0(-x) = \omega_0(x) & \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

We first derive a uniform decay of (v_λ) as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$:

Proposition 6.1. *There exist $\lambda_3 = \lambda_3(V_\infty, f) \geq \lambda_2$, $C_i = C_i(V_\infty, p) > 0$ ($i = 1, 2$) such that if $\lambda \geq \lambda_3$, then*

$$C_1 \exp\left(-\sqrt{V_\infty} |x|\right) \leq v_\lambda(x) \leq C_2 \exp\left(-\sqrt{V_\infty} |x|\right) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. Since $v_\lambda(-x) = v_\lambda(x)$, it suffices to prove the inequalities in $[0, \infty)$. By the definition of v_λ , one has

$$-v_\lambda'' + V_\infty v_\lambda = \lambda^{(p-1)/(p-2)} g_{m_0}\left(\lambda^{-1/(p-2)} v_\lambda\right) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}.$$

From (6.2) and (f5), we may find $\lambda_3 = \lambda_3(V_\infty, f) \geq \lambda_2$ such that if $\lambda \geq \lambda_3$, then

$$(6.3) \quad g_{m_0}\left(\lambda^{-1/(p-2)} v_\lambda(x)\right) \leq 2 \left(\lambda^{-1/(p-2)} v_\lambda(x)\right)^{p-1} \quad \text{for every } x \in \mathbb{R},$$

and hence,

$$(6.4) \quad -v_\lambda'' + V_\infty v_\lambda \leq 2v_\lambda^{p-1} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}.$$

Next, we fix $\delta_{V_\infty, p} > 0$ and $R_{V_\infty, p} > 0$ so that

$$(6.5) \quad (p-1)\sqrt{V_\infty - \delta_{V_\infty, p}} > \sqrt{V_\infty}, \quad V_\infty - 2\omega_0^{p-2}(R_{V_\infty, p}) \geq V_\infty - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{V_\infty, p}.$$

Noting (6.2) and enlarging λ_3 if necessary, we may assume that

$$V_\infty - 2v_\lambda^{p-2}(R_{V_\infty, p}) \geq V_\infty - \delta_{V_\infty, p} \quad \text{for each } \lambda \geq \lambda_3.$$

Then by $v_\lambda'(x) < 0$ in $(0, \infty)$, one obtains

$$V_\infty - 2v_\lambda^{p-2}(x) \geq V_\infty - \delta_{V_\infty, p} \quad \text{for each } x \geq R_{V_\infty, p} \text{ and } \lambda \geq \lambda_3.$$

Combining this inequality with (6.4), we observe that for $\lambda \geq \lambda_3$,

$$-v_\lambda'' + (V_\infty - \delta_{V_\infty, p}) v_\lambda \leq 0 \quad \text{in } (R_{V_\infty, p}, \infty).$$

Since $v_\lambda(R_{V_\infty, p}) \rightarrow \omega_0(R_{V_\infty, p})$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, by taking λ_3 larger if necessary, we may suppose that there exists $C(V_\infty, p) > 0$ such that

$$v_\lambda(R_{V_\infty, p}) \leq C \exp\left(-\sqrt{V_\infty - \delta_{V_\infty, p}} R_{V_\infty, p}\right) \quad \text{provided that } \lambda \geq \lambda_3.$$

Noting that $v_\lambda(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ and that $W(x) := C \exp\left(-\sqrt{V_\infty - \delta_{V_\infty, p}} x\right)$ is a solution of $-w'' + (V_\infty - \delta_{V_\infty, p})w = 0$ in $(R_{V_\infty, p}, \infty)$, we see from the comparison theorem that

$$v_\lambda(x) \leq C \exp\left(-\sqrt{V_\infty - \delta_{V_\infty, p}} x\right) \quad \text{for any } x \in (R_{V_\infty, p}, \infty) \text{ and } \lambda \geq \lambda_3.$$

Thus by (6.2), we conclude that for some $\tilde{C} = \tilde{C}(V_\infty, p) > 0$,

$$(6.6) \quad \lambda \geq \lambda_3 \quad \Rightarrow \quad v_\lambda(x) \leq \tilde{C} \exp\left(-\sqrt{V_\infty - \delta_{V_\infty, p}} |x|\right) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Next, we recall that

$$G(x) := \frac{1}{2\sqrt{V_\infty}} \exp\left(-\sqrt{V_\infty} |x|\right)$$

is the Green function of $-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V_\infty$, from which we deduce that

$$v_\lambda(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(x-y) \lambda^{(p-1)/(p-2)} g_{m_0} \left(\lambda^{-1/(p-2)} v_\lambda(y) \right) dy.$$

Thus, (6.3) and (6.6) yield

$$v_\lambda(x) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(x-y) 2v_\lambda^{p-1}(y) dy \leq 2\tilde{C}^{p-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} G(x-y) \exp\left(-(p-1)\sqrt{V_\infty - \delta_{V_\infty, p}} |y|\right) dy.$$

By (6.5) and [BL90, Proposition 1.2], there exist $C = C(V_\infty, p) > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} G(x-y) \exp\left(-(p-1)\sqrt{V_\infty - \delta_{V_\infty, p}} |y|\right) dy \leq C \exp\left(-\sqrt{V_\infty} |x|\right) \quad \text{for each } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Hence, for some $C_2 = C_2(V_\infty, p) > 0$, we have

$$\lambda \geq \lambda_3 \quad \Rightarrow \quad v_\lambda(x) \leq C_2 \exp\left(-\sqrt{V_\infty} |x|\right) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For the lower estimate, since $g_{m_0}(s) \geq 0$ in $[0, \infty)$, we see that

$$-v_\lambda'' + V_\infty v_\lambda \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}.$$

By $v_\lambda(0) \rightarrow \omega_0(0) > 0$ as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, by enlarging λ_3 if necessary, we find $C_1(V_\infty, p) > 0$ so that

$$\lambda \geq \lambda_3 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 0 < C_1 \leq v_\lambda(0).$$

Applying the comparison theorem for v_λ and $C_1 \exp(-\sqrt{V_\infty} x)$ on $(0, \infty)$, we get

$$C_1 \exp\left(-\sqrt{V_\infty} x\right) \leq v_\lambda(x) \quad \text{for each } x \in [0, \infty) \text{ and } \lambda \geq \lambda_3.$$

From $v_\lambda(-x) = v_\lambda(x)$, we complete the proof. ■

In order to estimate the mountain pass value $c_{\lambda, E}$, we use the functional $J_{\infty, \infty}(u)$:

$$J_{\infty, \infty}(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u')^2 + V_\infty u^2 dx - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_+^p dx.$$

We choose $T_0 > 0$ so that

$$J_{\infty, \infty}(T_0 \omega_0) < -1$$

and set

$$v_{\lambda, n}(x) := v_\lambda(x+n), \quad w_{\lambda, n}(x) := w_\lambda(x+n), \quad \omega_{0, n}(x) := \omega_0(x+n), \quad \gamma_{\lambda, n}(t) := t(w_{\lambda, n} + w_{\lambda, -n}).$$

Since (6.2) and (f5) imply that as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^{2/(p-2)} I_{\lambda, \infty}(\gamma_{\lambda, n}(T_0 t)) &= \lambda^{2/(p-2)} I_{\lambda, \infty} \left(\lambda^{-1/(p-2)} \lambda^{1/(p-2)} \gamma_{\lambda, n}(T_0 t) \right) \\ &\rightarrow J_{\infty, \infty}(tT_0(\omega_{0, n} + \omega_{0, -n})) \quad \text{uniformly in } t \in [0, 1] \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N} \end{aligned}$$

and $J_{\infty, \infty}(tT_0(\omega_{0, n} + \omega_{0, -n})) \rightarrow 2J_{\infty, \infty}(tT_0\omega_0)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we may find $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda_4 = \lambda_4(V_\infty, f) \geq \lambda_3$ such that

$$\lambda \geq \lambda_4, \quad n \geq n_0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lambda^{2/(p-2)} I_{\lambda, \infty}(\gamma_{\lambda, n}(T_0)) < -1.$$

We also notice that for each $\lambda \geq \lambda_4$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \lambda^{2/(p-2)} I_{\lambda, \infty}(\gamma_{\lambda, n}(T_0)) - \lambda^{2/(p-2)} I_{\lambda, \infty}(\gamma_{\lambda, n}(T_0)) \right| = 0 \quad \text{uniformly in } \lambda \geq \lambda_4.$$

Therefore, by enlarging n_0 if necessary, we have for $n \geq n_0$ and $\lambda \geq \lambda_4$,

$$\gamma_{\lambda,n}(T_0 \cdot) \in \Gamma_{I_{\lambda,E}}, \quad c_{\lambda,E} \leq \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} I_{\lambda}(\gamma_{\lambda,n}(T_0 t)).$$

Our next aim is to prove the following.

Proposition 6.2. *Let $\kappa > 0$ and $\alpha \in (2\sqrt{V_{\infty}}, \infty)$ be the constants in (V6'). Then there exist $\lambda_5 = \lambda_5(V_{\infty}, f) \geq \lambda_4$, $C_0 = C_0(V_{\infty}, p) > 0$ and $\tilde{C}_0 = \tilde{C}_0(V_{\infty}, p) > 0$ such that if*

$$(6.7) \quad \lambda \geq \lambda_5, \quad -C_0 + \frac{\tilde{C}_0 \kappa \alpha}{\alpha^2 - 4V_{\infty}} < 0,$$

then for sufficiently large $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it holds that

$$(6.8) \quad c_{\lambda,E} \leq \max_{0 \leq s \leq T_0} I_{\lambda}(\gamma_{\lambda,n}(s)) < 2I_{\lambda,\infty}(w_{\lambda}) = 2c_{\lambda,\infty}.$$

Proof. By (6.2) and (f5), for some $\lambda_5 = \lambda_5(V_{\infty}, f) \geq \lambda_4$, we may assume that for each $\lambda \geq \lambda_5$,

$$(6.9) \quad \begin{aligned} T_0 \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} (w_{\lambda,n}(x) + w_{\lambda,-n}(x)) &< s_0, \quad 4 \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}} w_{\lambda}(x) < s_0, \\ \frac{1}{2}s^{p-1} \leq f(s) \leq 2s^{p-1} &\text{ for each } s \in [0, 4\|w_{\lambda}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, one has

$$G_{m_0}(s(w_{\lambda,n}(x) + w_{\lambda,-n}(x))) = F(s(w_{\lambda,n}(x) + w_{\lambda,-n}(x))) \quad \text{for every } s \in [0, T_0] \text{ and } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By using

$$\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(w_{\lambda,-n})w_{\lambda,n} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} w'_{\lambda,n}w'_{\lambda,-n} + V_{\infty}w_{\lambda,n}w_{\lambda,-n} dx = \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(w_{\lambda,n})w_{\lambda,-n} dx,$$

we can compute $I_{\lambda}(\gamma_{\lambda,n}(s))$ as

$$(6.10) \quad \begin{aligned} &I_{\lambda}(\gamma_{\lambda,n}(s)) \\ &= I_{\lambda,\infty}(\gamma_{\lambda,n}(s)) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (V(x) - V_{\infty}) s^2 (w_{\lambda,n} + w_{\lambda,-n})^2 dx \\ &= I_{\lambda,\infty}(sw_{\lambda,n}) + I_{\lambda,\infty}(sw_{\lambda,-n}) + s^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} w'_{\lambda,n}w'_{\lambda,-n} + V_{\infty}w_{\lambda,n}w_{\lambda,-n} dx \\ &\quad - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}} F(s(w_{\lambda,n} + w_{\lambda,-n})) - F(sw_{\lambda,n}) - F(sw_{\lambda,-n}) dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (V(x) - V_{\infty}) s^2 (w_{\lambda,n} + w_{\lambda,-n})^2 dx \\ &= 2I_{\lambda,\infty}(sw_{\lambda,n}) \\ &\quad - \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ F(s(w_{\lambda,n} + w_{\lambda,-n})) - F(sw_{\lambda,n}) - F(sw_{\lambda,-n}) \right. \\ &\quad \quad \left. - \frac{1}{2}f(sw_{\lambda,n})sw_{\lambda,-n} - \frac{1}{2}f(sw_{\lambda,-n})sw_{\lambda,n} \right\} dx \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} s^2 f(w_{\lambda,n})w_{\lambda,-n} + s^2 f(w_{\lambda,-n})w_{\lambda,n} - f(sw_{\lambda,n})sw_{\lambda,-n} - f(sw_{\lambda,-n})sw_{\lambda,n} dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (V(x) - V_{\infty}) s^2 (w_{\lambda,n} + w_{\lambda,-n})^2 dx \\ &=: 2I_{\lambda,\infty}(sw_{\lambda,n}) - L_1(n, s) + L_2(n, s) + L_3(n, s). \end{aligned}$$

Let $s_{\lambda,n} \in (0, T_0)$ satisfy

$$\max_{0 \leq s \leq T_0} I_{\lambda}(\gamma_{\lambda,n}(s)) = I_{\lambda}(\gamma_{\lambda,n}(s_{\lambda,n})).$$

We remark that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_\lambda(\gamma_{\lambda,n}(s)) = 2I_{\lambda,\infty}(sw_\lambda) \quad \text{uniformly in } s \in [0, T_0].$$

Furthermore, by the same reason as in the beginning of proof of [Proposition 5.2](#), it follows from (6.9) and (f6) that

$$(6.11) \quad I_{\lambda,\infty}(sw_\lambda) < I_{\lambda,\infty}(w_\lambda) \quad \text{for every } s \in [0, T_0] \setminus \{1\}.$$

Therefore, for each $\lambda \geq \lambda_5$, we obtain

$$(6.12) \quad s_{\lambda,n} \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

To prove (6.8), from (6.10) and (6.11), it is enough to show that for sufficiently large n ,

$$(6.13) \quad \lambda^{2/(p-2)} \{ -L_1(n, s_{\lambda,n}) + L_2(n, s_{\lambda,n}) + L_3(n, s_{\lambda,n}) \} < 0.$$

We first treat $\lambda^{2/(p-2)}L_3(n, s_{\lambda,n})$. By (6.12), we may assume $s_{\lambda,n} \leq 2$. It follows from (V6'), [Proposition 6.1](#) and $\alpha > 2\sqrt{V_\infty}$ that

$$(6.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \lambda^{2/(p-2)}L_3(n, s_{\lambda,n}) \\ & \leq \frac{s_{\lambda,n}^2}{2}\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(-\alpha|x|) (v_{\lambda,n} + v_{\lambda,-n})^2 dx \\ & \leq 4\kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(-\alpha|x|) (v_{\lambda,n}^2 + v_{\lambda,-n}^2) dx \\ & \leq 8C_2^2\kappa \int_0^\infty \exp(-\alpha x) \left\{ \exp(-2\sqrt{V_\infty}|x-n|) + \exp(-2\sqrt{V_\infty}(x+n)) \right\} dx \\ & = 8C_2^2\kappa \left\{ \int_0^n \exp(-\alpha x - 2\sqrt{V_\infty}(n-x)) dx \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_n^\infty \exp(-(\alpha + 2\sqrt{V_\infty})x) \exp(2\sqrt{V_\infty}n) dx + \frac{\exp(-2\sqrt{V_\infty}n)}{\alpha + 2\sqrt{V_\infty}} \right\} \\ & = 8C_2^2\kappa \left[\frac{\exp(-2\sqrt{V_\infty}n)}{\alpha - 2\sqrt{V_\infty}} \left\{ 1 - \exp(-(\alpha - 2\sqrt{V_\infty})n) \right\} + \frac{\exp(-\alpha n)}{\alpha + 2\sqrt{V_\infty}} + \frac{\exp(-2\sqrt{V_\infty}n)}{\alpha + 2\sqrt{V_\infty}} \right] \\ & \leq 8C_2^2\kappa \left\{ \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha^2 - 4V_\infty} \exp(-2\sqrt{V_\infty}n) + \frac{\exp(-\alpha n)}{\alpha + 2\sqrt{V_\infty}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we consider $\lambda^{2/(p-2)}L_2(n, s_{\lambda,n})$. By (f5) and (6.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_{\lambda,n}^2 f(w_{\lambda,\pm n}(x)) &= (1 + o_{\lambda,n}(1)) (1 + o_\lambda(1)) w_{\lambda,\pm n}^{p-1}(x), \\ s_{\lambda,n} f(s_{\lambda,n}w_{\lambda,\pm n}(x)) &= (1 + o_{\lambda,n}(1)) (1 + o_\lambda(1)) s_{\lambda,n}^{p-1} w_{\lambda,\pm n}^{p-1}(x) \\ &= (1 + o_{\lambda,n}(1)) (1 + o_\lambda(1)) w_{\lambda,\pm n}^{p-1}(x) \end{aligned}$$

uniformly with respect to $x \in \mathbb{R}$ where for each fixed λ , $o_{\lambda,n}(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, [Proposition 6.1](#) and [[BL90](#), Proposition 1.2] give

$$(6.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \lambda_n^{2/(p-2)}L_2(n, s_{\lambda,n}) \right| \\ & \leq \lambda_n^{p/(p-2)} \{o_{\lambda,n}(1) + o_\lambda(1)\} \int_{\mathbb{R}} w_{\lambda,n}^{p-1} w_{\lambda,-n} + w_{\lambda,-n}^{p-1} w_{\lambda,n} dx \\ & \leq \{o_{\lambda,n}(1) + o_\lambda(1)\} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(-(p-1)\sqrt{V_\infty}|x-n|) \exp(-\sqrt{V_\infty}|x+n|) dx \\ & \leq \{o_{\lambda,n}(1) + o_\lambda(1)\} \exp(-2\sqrt{V_\infty}n). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we consider $\lambda^{2/(p-2)} L_1(n, s_{\lambda,n})$. By (6.9), (f5) and (f6), we can apply Lemma 5.3 (i) to get

$$\begin{aligned} & F(s_{\lambda,n}(w_{\lambda,n} + w_{\lambda,-n})) - F(s_{\lambda,n}w_{\lambda,n}) - F(s_{\lambda,n}w_{\lambda,-n}) \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2} \{f(s_{\lambda,n}w_{\lambda,n})s_{\lambda,n}w_{\lambda,-n} + f(s_{\lambda,n}w_{\lambda,-n})s_{\lambda,n}w_{\lambda,n}\} \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & -\lambda^{2/(p-2)} L_1(n, s_{\lambda,n}) \\ & \leq -\lambda^{p/(p-2)} \int_{|x-n| \leq 1} \left[F(s_{\lambda,n}(w_{\lambda,n} + w_{\lambda,-n})) - F(s_{\lambda,n}w_{\lambda,n}) - F(s_{\lambda,n}w_{\lambda,-n}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \{f(s_{\lambda,n}w_{\lambda,n})s_{\lambda,n}w_{\lambda,-n} + f(s_{\lambda,n}w_{\lambda,-n})s_{\lambda,n}w_{\lambda,n}\} \right] dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since we may assume $s_{\lambda,n} \leq 2$ by taking a large n , we see from (6.9) that for each $x \in [n-1, n+1]$

$$f(s_{\lambda,n}w_{\lambda,-n}(x)) \leq 2^p w_{\lambda,-n}^{p-1}(x), \quad F(s_{\lambda,n}w_{\lambda,-n}(x)) \leq \frac{2^{p+1}}{p} w_{\lambda,-n}^p(x).$$

Hence, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} (6.16) \quad & -\lambda^{2/(p-2)} L_1(n, s_{\lambda,n}) \\ & \leq -\lambda^{p/(p-2)} \int_{|x-n| \leq 1} F(s_{\lambda,n}(w_{\lambda,n} + w_{\lambda,-n})) - F(s_{\lambda,n}w_{\lambda,n}) - \frac{1}{2} f(s_{\lambda,n}w_{\lambda,n})s_{\lambda,n}w_{\lambda,-n} dx \\ & \quad + \int_{|x-n| \leq 1} 2^{p+1} (v_{\lambda,-n}^p + v_{\lambda,-n}^{p-1}v_{\lambda,n}) dx. \end{aligned}$$

For the last term, Proposition 6.1 yields that

$$\begin{aligned} (6.17) \quad & \int_{|x-n| \leq 1} 2^{p+1} (v_{\lambda,-n}^p + v_{\lambda,-n}^{p-1}v_{\lambda,n}) dx \\ & \leq 2^{p+1} C_2^p \int_{|x-n| \leq 1} \left\{ \exp(-p\sqrt{V_\infty}|x+n|) + \exp(-(p-1)\sqrt{V_\infty}|x+n|) \right\} dx \\ & \leq C \exp(-2(p-1)\sqrt{V_\infty}n), \end{aligned}$$

for some $C = C(V_\infty, p) > 0$.

On the other hand, by (f5), (f6) and (6.9) with (6.12), for sufficiently large n , one has

$$\begin{aligned} & F(s_{\lambda,n}(w_{\lambda,n} + w_{\lambda,-n})) - F(s_{\lambda,n}w_{\lambda,n}) - \frac{1}{2} f(s_{\lambda,n}w_{\lambda,n})s_{\lambda,n}w_{\lambda,-n} \\ & = \int_0^1 \left\{ f(s_{\lambda,n}w_{\lambda,n} + \theta s_{\lambda,n}w_{\lambda,-n}) - \frac{1}{2} f(s_{\lambda,n}w_{\lambda,n}) \right\} s_{\lambda,n}w_{\lambda,-n} d\theta \\ & \geq \frac{1}{2} f(s_{\lambda,n}w_{\lambda,n})s_{\lambda,n}w_{\lambda,-n} \geq \frac{1}{4} (s_{\lambda,n}w_{\lambda,n})^{p-1} s_{\lambda,n}w_{\lambda,-n}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, Proposition 6.1 and (6.12) give

$$\begin{aligned} (6.18) \quad & -\lambda^{p/(p-2)} \int_{|x-n| \leq 1} F(s_{\lambda,n}(w_{\lambda,n} + w_{\lambda,-n})) - F(s_{\lambda,n}w_{\lambda,n}) - \frac{1}{2} f(s_{\lambda,n}w_{\lambda,n})s_{\lambda,n}w_{\lambda,-n} dx \\ & \leq -\frac{C_1^p}{4} \int_{|x-n| \leq 1} (1 + o_{\lambda,n}(1)) \exp(-(p-1)\sqrt{V_\infty}|x-n|) \exp(-\sqrt{V_\infty}|x+n|) dx \\ & \leq -c_1 (1 + o_{\lambda,n}(1)) \exp(-2\sqrt{V_\infty}n) \end{aligned}$$

for some $c_1 = c_1(V_\infty, p) > 0$. From (6.16), (6.17) and (6.18), it follows that

$$(6.19) \quad -\lambda^{2/(p-2)} L_1(n, s_{\lambda,n}) \leq -c_1 \exp\left(-2\sqrt{V_\infty} n\right) + C \exp\left(-2(p-1)\sqrt{V_\infty} n\right).$$

Now by (6.14), (6.15) and (6.19), we obtain

$$(6.20) \quad \begin{aligned} & \lambda^{2/(p-2)} \{-L_1(n, s_{\lambda,n}) + L_2(n, s_{\lambda,n}) + L_3(n, s_{\lambda,n})\} \\ & \leq 8C_2^2 \kappa \left\{ \frac{2\alpha}{\alpha^2 - 4V_\infty} \exp\left(-2\sqrt{V_\infty} n\right) + \frac{\exp(-\alpha n)}{\alpha + 2\sqrt{V_\infty}} \right\} + \{o_{\lambda,n}(1) + o_\lambda(1)\} \exp\left(-2\sqrt{V_\infty} n\right) \\ & \quad - c_1 (1 + o_{\lambda,n}(1)) \exp\left(-2\sqrt{V_\infty} n\right) + C \exp\left(-2(p-1)\sqrt{V_\infty} n\right) \\ & = \left\{ \frac{16C_2^2 \kappa \alpha}{\alpha^2 - 4V_\infty} + o_{\lambda,n}(1) + o_\lambda(1) - c_1 \right\} \exp\left(-2\sqrt{V_\infty} n\right) \\ & \quad + C \left\{ \exp(-\alpha n) + \exp\left(-2(p-1)\sqrt{V_\infty} n\right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Choose C_0 and \tilde{C}_0 in (6.7) as

$$C_0(V_\infty, p) := c_1(V_\infty, p), \quad \tilde{C}_0(V_\infty, p) := 16C_2^2.$$

Next, take a $\lambda_5(V_\infty, f)$ such that if $\lambda \geq \lambda_5$, then

$$|o_\lambda(1)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ C_0 - \frac{\tilde{C}_0 \kappa \alpha}{\alpha^2 - 4V_\infty} \right\}.$$

After fixing $\lambda \geq \lambda_5$, by taking a large n and noting that $\alpha > 2\sqrt{V_\infty}$ and that $p-1 > 1$, we see from (6.20) and (6.7) that (6.13) holds. Therefore, (6.8) holds and we complete the proof. ■

Now Theorem 1.6 can be proved in a similar way to Theorem 1.5 with the help of Proposition 6.2. Therefore, we omit the details.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP19K03590, JP19H01797, JP18K03362, JP21K03317 and by JSPS-NSFC joint research project ‘‘Variational study of nonlinear PDEs’’ and by the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, an International Joint Usage/Research Center located in Kyoto University.

REFERENCES

- [Ad02] S. Adachi, *A positive solution of a nonhomogeneous elliptic equation in \mathbb{R}^N with G -invariant nonlinearity*. Comm. PDE **27** (2002), 1–22. [18](#), [19](#)
- [AW11] S. Adachi and T. Watanabe, *G -invariant positive solutions for a quasilinear Schrödinger equation*. Adv. Diff. Eqns. **16** (2011), 289–324. [18](#)
- [AW22] S. Adachi and T. Watanabe, *G -invariant positive solutions for a class of locally superlinear Schrödinger equations*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **507** (2022), 125765. [1](#), [2](#), [4](#), [5](#)
- [AS21] C. Alves and M. Souto, *Existence of solution for a class of problem in whole \mathbb{R}^N without the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition*. Manuscripta Math. **165** (2021), 453–468. [1](#), [5](#), [8](#)
- [BL90] A. Bahri and Y. Y. Li, *On a min–max procedure for the existence of a positive solution for certain scalar field equations in \mathbb{R}^N* . Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **6** (1990), no. 1–2, 1–15. [17](#), [18](#), [19](#), [23](#), [25](#)
- [BWW05] T. Bartsch, Z.-Q. Wang and M. Willem, *The Dirichlet problem for superlinear elliptic equations*. Stationary partial differential equations. Vol. II, 1–55, Handb. Differ. Equ., Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2005. [1](#), [2](#), [13](#)
- [BL83] H. Berestycki and P. L. Lions, *Nonlinear scalar field equations. I. Existence of a ground state*. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **82** (1983), no. 4, 313–345. [1](#), [10](#)
- [BGK83] H. Berestycki, T. Gallouët and O. Kavian, *Équations de champs scalaires euclidiens non linéaires dans le plan*. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **297** (1983), no. 5, 307–310. [1](#), [10](#)
- [Ca03] T. Cazenave, *Semilinear Schrödinger equations*, Courant Lecture notes in Mathematics, AMS 2003. [1](#)

- [Ce78] G. Cerami, *Un criterio di esistenza per i punti critici su varietà illimitate*. Istit. Lombardo Accad. Sci. Lett. Rend. A **112** (1978), no. 2, 332–336. [9](#)
- [CL05] S. Chen and S. Li, *On a nonlinear elliptic eigenvalue problem*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **307** (2005), no. 2, 691–698. [1](#)
- [CW05] D. G. Costa and Z. Q. Wang, *Multiplicity results for a class of superlinear elliptic problems*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (2005), no. 3, 787–794. [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [5](#)
- [DBGRS15] S. De Bièvre, F. Genoud and S. Rota Nodari, *Orbital stability: analysis meets geometry*. Nonlinear optical and atomic systems, 147–273, Lecture Notes in Math., **2146**, CEMPI Ser., Springer, Cham, 2015. [1](#)
- [DMS08] J. M. do Ó, E. Medeiros and U. Severo, *On the existence of signed and sign-changing solutions for a class of superlinear Schrödinger equations*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **342** (2008), 432–445. [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [5](#)
- [Ek90] I. Ekeland, *Convexity methods in Hamiltonian mechanics*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], **19**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990. [9](#)
- [ES09] R. Enguiça and S. L. Ricardo; Sanchez, *A second order non-autonomous problem on the half-line: a variational approach*. Mathematical models in engineering, biology and medicine, 119–128, AIP Conf. Proc., **1124**, Amer. Inst. Phys., Melville, NY, 2009. [5](#)
- [EGS10] R. Enguiça, A. Gavioli and L. Sanchez, *Solutions of second-order and fourth-order ODEs on the half-line*. Nonlinear Anal. **73** (2010), 2968–2979. [5](#)
- [GS16] A. Gavioli and L. Sanchez, *Positive homoclinic solutions to some Schrödinger type equations*. Diff. Int. Eqns. **29** (2016), 665–682. [5](#)
- [GNN81] B. Gidas, W. M. Ni and L. Nirenberg, *Symmetry of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations in \mathbf{R}^n* . Mathematical analysis and applications, Part A, pp. 369–402, Adv. in Math. Suppl. Stud., **7a**, Academic Press, New York-London, 1981. [17](#)
- [GT01] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*. Reprint of the 1998 edition. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. [10](#), [12](#)
- [HL01] Q. Han and F. Lin, *Elliptic partial differential equations*. Second edition. Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1**. Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011. [12](#)
- [Hi07] J. Hirata, *A positive solution of a nonlinear elliptic equation in \mathbf{R}^N with G -symmetry*. Adv. Diff. Eqns. **12** (2007), 173–199. [18](#), [19](#)
- [Hi08] J. Hirata, *A positive solution of a nonlinear Schrödinger equation with G -symmetry*. Nonlinear Anal. **69** (2008), no. 9, 3174–3189. [17](#), [18](#)
- [Je99] L. Jeanjean, *On the existence of bounded Palais–Smale sequences and application to a Landesman–Lazer-type problem set on \mathbf{R}^N* . Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. **A 129** (1999), no. 4, 787–809. [5](#)
- [JT03a] L. Jeanjean and K. Tanaka, *A remark on least energy solutions in \mathbf{R}^N* . Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), no. 8, 2399–2408. [10](#)
- [JT03b] L. Jeanjean and K. Tanaka, *A note on a mountain pass characterization of least energy solutions*. Adv. Nonlinear Stud. **3** (2003), no. 4, 445–455. [10](#), [22](#)
- [Ka72] T. Kato, *Schrödinger operators with singular potentials*. Israel J. Math. **13** (1972), 135–148. [11](#)
- [Kw89] M. K. Kwong, *Uniqueness of positive solutions of $\Delta u - u + u^p = 0$ in \mathbf{R}^n* . Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **105** (1989), no. 3, 243–266. [15](#)
- [Li84a] P.-L. Lions, *The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case. I*. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **1** (1984), no. 2, 109–145. [5](#)
- [Li84b] *The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case. II*. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **1** (1984), no. 4, 223–283. [5](#)
- [Pa79] R. S. Palais, *The principle of symmetric criticality*. Comm. Math. Phys. **69** (1979), 19–30. [16](#)
- [Ra12] P. J. Rabier, *On the Ekeland-Ghoussoub-Preiss and Stuart criteria for locating Cerami sequences*. Ric. Mat. **61** (2012), no. 1, 19–29. [9](#)
- [Ra92] P. H. Rabinowitz, *On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations*. Z. Angew. Math. Phys. **43** (1992), no. 2, 270–291. [1](#)
- [Sa18] Y. Sato, *The existence and non-existence of solutions for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation in one dimension*. Nonlinear Anal. RWA. **43** (2018), 477–494. [5](#)
- [St08] C. A. Stuart, *Lectures on the orbital stability of standing waves and application to the nonlinear Schrödinger equation*. Milan J. Math. **76** (2008), 329–399. [1](#)
- [St11] C. A. Stuart, *Locating Cerami sequences in a mountain pass geometry*. Commun. Appl. Anal. **15** (2011), no. 2-4, 569–588. [9](#)
- [Wi96] M. Willem, *Minimax theorems*. Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, **24**. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1996. [5](#), [10](#), [16](#)

(S. Adachi)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL AND SYSTEMS ENGINEERING,
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, SHIZUOKA UNIVERSITY,
3-5-1 JOHOKU, NAKA-KU, HAMAMATSU, 432-8561, JAPAN
Email address: `adachi@shizuoka.ac.jp`

(N. Ikoma)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, KEIO UNIVERSITY,
YAGAMI CAMPUS: 3-14-1 HIYOSHI, KOHOKU-KU, YOKOHAMA, KANAGAWA, 223-8522, JAPAN
Email address: `ikoma@math.keio.ac.jp`

(T. Watanabe)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KYOTO SANGYO UNIVERSITY,
MOTOYAMA, KAMIGAMO, KITA-KU, KYOTO-CITY, 603-8555, JAPAN
Email address: `tatsuw@cc.kyoto-su.ac.jp`