

# Degenerate operators on the half-line

G. Metafune <sup>\*</sup>   L. Negro <sup>†</sup>   C. Spina <sup>‡</sup>

## Abstract

We study elliptic and parabolic problems governed by the singular elliptic operators

$$y^\alpha \left( D_{yy} + \frac{c}{y} D_y \right) - V(y), \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$

in  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , where  $V$  is a potential having non-negative real part.

Mathematics subject classification (2020): 35K67, 35B45, 47D07, 35J70, 35J75.

Keywords: degenerate elliptic operators, boundary degeneracy, vector-valued harmonic analysis, maximal regularity.

## 1 Introduction

In this paper we study solvability and regularity of elliptic and parabolic problems associated to the degenerate operators

$$L = y^\alpha \left( D_{yy} + \frac{c}{y} D_y \right) - V \quad \text{and} \quad D_t - L$$

in the half-line  $\mathbb{R}_+$ .

Here  $c, \alpha$  are real numbers and  $V \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+, y^{c-\alpha})$  is a potential having non-negative real part. The operator  $B = D_{yy} + \frac{c}{y} D_y$  is a Bessel operator and satisfies the scaling property

$$I_s^{-1} B I_s = s^{2-\alpha} B, \quad I_s u(y) = u(sy).$$

We study  $L$  in the weighted spaces  $L^p_m := L^p(\mathbb{R}^+, y^m dy)$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ , and we characterize all  $m$  such that  $L$  generates a  $C_0$ -semigroup. When  $V \geq 0$  we also prove that the generated semigroup is analytic and we show that it has maximal regularity, which means that both  $D_t v$  and  $Lv$  have the same regularity as  $(D_t - L)v$ . In the case  $V(y) = y^\alpha$  we finally characterize the domain of  $L$ .

We observe that the results already available for  $B$ , see [11, Section 3] and also [10, 9, 8, 14, 7] for the  $N$ -d version of  $B$ , imply the corresponding ones for  $y^\alpha B$  in  $L^p_m$  by a change of variables, as described in Section 3. The change of variables varies the underlying measure and explains why need the full scale of  $L^p_m$  spaces.

---

<sup>\*</sup>Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica “Ennio De Giorgi”, Università del Salento, C.P.193, 73100, Lecce, Italy.  
-mail: giorgio.metafune@unisalento.it

<sup>†</sup>Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica “Ennio De Giorgi”, Università del Salento, C.P.193, 73100, Lecce, Italy.  
email: luigi.negro@unisalento.it

<sup>‡</sup>Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica “Ennio De Giorgi”, Università del Salento, C.P.193, 73100, Lecce, Italy.  
e-mail: chiara.spina@unisalento.it

More effort is needed to add the potential term. We consider first  $B - V$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; y^c dy)$ . We use form methods to construct an analytic semigroup, we prove kernel bounds for complex times via Davies–Gaffney estimates and provide a core. Then, with the methods of Section 3, we deduce similar results for  $y^\alpha B - V$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; y^{c-\alpha} dy)$ . Next we prove that the semigroup can be extended to  $L_m^p$  under sharp conditions on  $p$  and  $m$ . Finally we prove that for every  $\epsilon > 0$  the family of operators

$$\left\{ e^{z(y^\alpha B - V)} : z \in \Sigma_{\frac{\pi}{2} - \epsilon}, 0 \leq V \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^+, y^{c-\alpha}) \right\}$$

is  $\mathcal{R}$ -bounded in  $L_m^p$ , which implies the maximal regularity of the semigroup when  $V \geq 0$ .

As a motivation for our investigation, we point out that, in the special case  $V(y) = y^\alpha$ , all the results above play a crucial role in [13] in the investigation of the degenerate operators

$$\mathcal{L} = y^{\alpha_1} \Delta_x + y^{\alpha_2} \left( D_{yy} + \frac{c}{y} D_y - \frac{b}{y^2} \right).$$

Let us suppose, for simplicity,  $b = 0$ ,  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 := \alpha$ . Assuming that  $y^\alpha(\Delta_x u + B_y u) = f$  and taking the Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}u$  or  $\hat{u}$  with respect to  $x$  we obtain  $y^\alpha |\xi|^2 \hat{u}(\xi, y) = -y^\alpha |\xi|^2 (y^\alpha |\xi|^2 - y^\alpha B_y)^{-1} \hat{f}(\xi, y)$ . Therefore

$$y^\alpha \Delta_x \mathcal{L}^{-1} = \mathcal{F}^{-1} (y^\alpha |\xi|^2 (y^\alpha |\xi|^2 - y^\alpha B_y)^{-1}) \mathcal{F}$$

and the boundedness of  $y^\alpha \Delta_x \mathcal{L}^{-1}$  is equivalent to that of the multiplier

$$\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow y^\alpha |\xi|^2 (y^\alpha |\xi|^2 - y^\alpha B_y)^{-1}.$$

For this reason we prove in Section 8 that certain multiplier associated to  $y^\alpha B - V$  satisfy a vector valued Mikhlin theorem. These results rely on square function estimates which we deduce from kernel bounds and the following equality, which allows to treat  $\lambda$  or  $|\xi|^2$  as spectral parameters simultaneously

$$(\lambda - y^\alpha B + |\xi|^2 y^\alpha)^{-1} = \left( |\xi|^2 - B + \frac{\lambda}{y^\alpha} \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{y^\alpha}.$$

We restrict ourselves to  $\alpha < 2$  and consider  $y^\alpha B$  with Neumann boundary condition at 0, namely  $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^c D_y u(y) = 0$ . This is equivalent to require  $y^{\alpha-1} D_y u \in L_m^p$ , see [12, Proposition 5.11]. The restriction  $\alpha < 2$  is not really essential since one can deduce from it the case  $\alpha > 2$ , which requires a boundary condition at  $\infty$ , using the change of variables described in Section 3.

Besides this, our strategy can be easily adapted to different boundary conditions and to more general operators  $y^\alpha \left( D_{yy} + \frac{c}{y} D_y - \frac{b}{y^2} \right) - V$ . We do this (in much more generality) in [13, Sections 7,8].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we briefly recall the harmonic analysis background needed in the paper, as square function estimates,  $\mathcal{R}$ -boundedness and a vector valued multiplier theorem.

In Section 3, we exploit an elementary change of variables, in a functional analytic setting, to reduce our operators to the simpler case where  $\alpha = 0$ .

Section 4 is devoted to the study of the Bessel operator  $y^\alpha B$ . In Sections 5, 6 and 7 we perturb the Bessel operator by adding the potential  $V$  and we prove real and complex kernel estimates,

generation results and maximal regularity for  $y^\alpha B - V$ . Finally in Section 8 we treat the case  $V(y) = y^\alpha$  and characterize the domain of  $y^\alpha B - y^\alpha$ .

**Notation.** For  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  we consider the measure  $y^m dy$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+$  and we write  $L_m^p$  for  $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, y^m dy)$ . Similarly  $W_m^{k,p} = \{u \in L_m^p : \partial^\alpha u \in L_m^p \mid |\alpha| \leq k\}$ . When we write  $V \in L_{loc}^q(\mathbb{R}^+, y^m dy)$ , we mean that  $V \in L^q([0, b], y^m dy)$  for every  $b < \infty$ .

We use  $\mathbb{C}^+ = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} \lambda > 0\}$  and, for  $|\theta| \leq \pi$ , we denote by  $\Sigma_\theta$  the open sector  $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda \neq 0, |\operatorname{Arg}(\lambda)| < \theta\}$ .

## 2 Harmonic analysis and maximal regularity

The study of maximal regularity of parabolic problems of the form  $u_t = Au + f, u(0) = 0$ , where  $A$  is the generator of an analytic semigroup on a Banach space  $X$ , consists in proving estimates like

$$\|u_t\|_p + \|Au\|_p \leq \|f\|_p$$

where the  $L^p$  norm is that of  $L^p([0, T]; X)$ . This can be interpreted as closedness of  $D_t - A$  on the intersection of the respective domains or, equivalently, boundedness of the operator  $A(D_t - A)^{-1}$  in  $L^p([0, T]; X)$ .

Nowadays this strategy is well established and relies on Mihlin vector-valued multiplier theorems. Let us state the relevant definitions and main results we need, referring the reader to [5], [16] or [6].

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a subset of  $B(X)$ , the space of all bounded linear operators on a Banach space  $X$ .  $\mathcal{S}$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ -bounded if there is a constant  $C$  such that

$$\left\| \sum_i \varepsilon_i S_i x_i \right\|_{L^p(\Omega; X)} \leq C \left\| \sum_i \varepsilon_i x_i \right\|_{L^p(\Omega; X)}$$

for every finite sum as above, where  $(x_i) \subset X, (S_i) \subset \mathcal{S}$  and  $\varepsilon_i : \Omega \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  are independent and symmetric random variables on a probability space  $\Omega$ . The smallest constant  $C$  for which the above definition holds is the  $\mathcal{R}$ -bound of  $\mathcal{S}$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})$ . It is well-known that this definition does not depend on  $1 \leq p < \infty$  (however, the constant  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})$  does) and that  $\mathcal{R}$ -boundedness is equivalent to boundedness when  $X$  is an Hilbert space. When  $X$  is an  $L^p$  space (with respect to any  $\sigma$ -finite measure), testing  $\mathcal{R}$ -boundedness is equivalent to proving square functions estimates, see [6, Remark 2.9].

**Proposition 2.1** *Let  $\mathcal{S} \subset B(L^p(\Sigma)), 1 < p < \infty$ . Then  $\mathcal{S}$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ -bounded if and only if there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that for every finite family  $(f_i) \in L^p(\Sigma), (S_i) \in \mathcal{S}$*

$$\left\| \left( \sum_i |S_i f_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\Sigma)} \leq C \left\| \left( \sum_i |f_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\Sigma)}.$$

The best constant  $C$  for which the above square functions estimates hold satisfies  $\kappa^{-1}C \leq \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S}) \leq \kappa C$  for a suitable  $\kappa > 0$  (depending only on  $p$ ). The proposition above  $\mathcal{R}$ -boundedness follows from domination.

**Corollary 2.2** *Let  $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T} \subset B(L^p(\Sigma)), 1 < p < \infty$  and assume that  $\mathcal{T}$  is  $\mathcal{R}$  bounded and that for every  $S \in \mathcal{S}$  there exists  $T \in \mathcal{T}$  such that  $|Sf| \leq |Tf|$  pointwise, for every  $f \in L^p(\Sigma)$ . Then  $\mathcal{S}$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ -bounded.*

Let  $(A, D(A))$  be a sectorial operator in a Banach space  $X$ ; this means that  $\rho(-A) \supset \Sigma_{\pi-\phi}$  for some  $\phi < \pi$  and that  $\lambda(\lambda + A)^{-1}$  is bounded in  $\Sigma_{\pi-\phi}$ . The infimum of all such  $\phi$  is called the spectral angle of  $A$  and denoted by  $\phi_A$ . Note that  $-A$  generates an analytic semigroup if and only if  $\phi_A < \pi/2$ . The definition of  $\mathcal{R}$ -sectorial operator is similar, substituting boundedness of  $\lambda(\lambda + A)^{-1}$  with  $\mathcal{R}$ -boundedness in  $\Sigma_{\pi-\phi}$ . As above one denotes by  $\phi_A^{\mathcal{R}}$  the infimum of all  $\phi$  for which this happens; since  $\mathcal{R}$ -boundedness implies boundedness, we have  $\phi_A \leq \phi_A^{\mathcal{R}}$ .

The  $\mathcal{R}$ -boundedness of the resolvent characterizes the regularity of the associated inhomogeneous parabolic problem, as we explain now.

An analytic semigroup  $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$  on a Banach space  $X$  with generator  $-A$  has *maximal regularity of type  $L^q$*  ( $1 < q < \infty$ ) if for each  $f \in L^q([0, T]; X)$  the function  $t \mapsto u(t) = \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A} f(s) ds$  belongs to  $W^{1,q}([0, T]; X) \cap L^q([0, T]; D(B))$ . This means that the mild solution of the evolution equation

$$u'(t) + Au(t) = f(t), \quad t > 0, \quad u(0) = 0,$$

is in fact a strong solution and has the best regularity one can expect. It is known that this property does not depend on  $1 < q < \infty$  and  $T > 0$ . A characterization of maximal regularity is available in UMD Banach spaces, through the  $\mathcal{R}$ -boundedness of the resolvent in a suitable sector  $\omega + \Sigma_\phi$ , with  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\phi > \pi/2$  or, equivalently, of the scaled semigroup  $e^{-(A+\omega')t}$  in a sector around the positive axis. In the case of  $L^p$  spaces it can be restated in the following form, see [6, Theorem 1.11]

**Theorem 2.3** *Let  $(e^{-tA})_{t \geq 0}$  be a bounded analytic semigroup in  $L^p(\Sigma)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , with generator  $-A$ . Then  $T(\cdot)$  has maximal regularity of type  $L^q$  if and only if the set  $\{\lambda(\lambda + A)^{-1}, \lambda \in \Sigma_{\pi/2+\phi}\}$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ -bounded for some  $\phi > 0$ . In an equivalent way, if and only if there are constants  $0 < \phi < \pi/2$ ,  $C > 0$  such that for every finite sequence  $(\lambda_i) \subset \Sigma_{\pi/2+\phi}$ ,  $(f_i) \subset L^p$*

$$\left\| \left( \sum_i |\lambda_i(\lambda_i + A)^{-1} f_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\Sigma)} \leq C \left\| \left( \sum_i |f_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\Sigma)}$$

or, equivalently, there are constants  $0 < \phi' < \pi/2$ ,  $C' > 0$  such that for every finite sequence  $(z_i) \subset \Sigma_{\phi'}$ ,  $(f_i) \subset L^p$

$$\left\| \left( \sum_i |e^{-z_i A} f_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\Sigma)} \leq C' \left\| \left( \sum_i |f_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{L^p(\Sigma)}.$$

Finally we state a version of the operator-valued Mihlin multiplier theorem in the  $N$ -dimensional case, see [5, Theorem 3.25] or [6, Theorem 4.6].

**Theorem 2.4** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $M \in C^N(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}; B(L^p(\Sigma)))$  be such that the set*

$$\left\{ |\xi|^{|\alpha|} D_\xi^\alpha M(\xi) : \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}, |\alpha| \leq N \right\}$$

*is  $\mathcal{R}$ -bounded. Then the operator  $T_M = \mathcal{F}^{-1} M \mathcal{F}$  is bounded in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^N, L^p(\Sigma))$ , where  $\mathcal{F}$  denotes the Fourier transform.*

We end this section with the following lemma on radially symmetric multipliers.

**Lemma 2.5** Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $m \in C^N(\mathbb{R}_+; B(L^p(\Sigma)))$  be such that the set

$$\left\{ s^k m^{(k)}(s) : s \in \mathbb{R}_+, k \leq N \right\}$$

is  $\mathcal{R}$ -bounded. For  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  let  $M(\xi) = m(|\xi|^a)$ . Then  $M \in C^N(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}; B(L^p(\Sigma)))$  and

$$\left\{ |\xi|^{|\alpha|} D_\xi^\alpha M(\xi) : \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}, |\alpha| \leq N \right\}$$

is  $\mathcal{R}$ -bounded and

$$\mathcal{R} \left\{ |\xi|^{|\alpha|} D_\xi^\alpha M(\xi) : \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}, |\alpha| \leq N \right\} \leq C(N) \mathcal{R} \left\{ s^k m^{(k)}(s) : s \in \mathbb{R}_+, k \leq N \right\}.$$

PROOF. Let us observe preliminarily that for any multi-index  $\alpha$  with  $0 < |\alpha| \leq N$  one has

$$D_\xi^\alpha M(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^{|\alpha|} h_{i,\alpha}(\xi) m^{(i)}(|\xi|^a) \quad (1)$$

where  $h_{i,\alpha} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$  are homogeneous functions of degree  $ia - |\alpha|$ . Obviously (1) is valid for  $|\alpha| = 1$  since  $\nabla M(\xi) = a m'(|\xi|^a) |\xi|^{a-2} \xi$  and follows by induction, since the derivatives of  $h_{i,\alpha}$  are homogeneous of degree  $ia - |\alpha| - 1$ .

The proof of the lemma now follows by Corollary (2.2) since from (1) one has for  $f \in L^p(\Sigma)$

$$|\xi|^{|\alpha|} |D_\xi^\alpha M(\xi) f| \leq |\xi|^{|\alpha|} \sum_{i=1}^{|\alpha|} |h_{i,\alpha}(\xi)| |m^{(i)}(|\xi|^a) f| \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{|\alpha|} |\xi|^{ia} |m^{(i)}(|\xi|^a) f|.$$

□

### 3 Degenerate operators and similarity transformations

We investigate when the operators

$$B = D_{yy} + \frac{c}{y} D_y, \quad y^\alpha B = y^\alpha \left( D_{yy} + \frac{c}{y} D_y \right)$$

can be transformed one into the other by means of change of variables. Here  $\alpha, c$  are unrestricted real coefficients.

For  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\beta \neq -1$  let

$$T_\beta u(y) := |\beta + 1|^{\frac{1}{p}} u(y^{\beta+1}), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}_+. \quad (2)$$

Observe that

$$T_\beta^{-1} = T_{-\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}}.$$

**Proposition 3.1** Let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $k, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\beta \neq -1$ . The following properties hold.

(i) For every  $m \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $T_\beta$  maps isometrically  $L_m^p$  onto  $L_{\tilde{m}}^p$  where

$$\tilde{m} = \frac{m - \beta}{\beta + 1}.$$

(ii) For every  $u \in W_{loc}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  one has

1.  $y^\alpha T_\beta u = T_\beta (y^{\frac{\alpha}{\beta+1}} u)$ , for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ;

2.  $D_y T_\beta u = T_\beta \left( (\beta + 1) y^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}} D_y u \right)$ ,

$$D_{yy} (T_\beta u) = T_\beta \left( (\beta + 1)^2 y^{\frac{2\beta}{\beta+1}} D_{yy} u + (\beta + 1) \beta y^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta+1}} D_y u \right).$$

PROOF. The proof of (i) follows after observing the Jacobian of  $y \mapsto y^{\beta+1}$  is  $|1 + \beta|y^\beta$ . Then we compute

$$D_y T_\beta u(y) = |\beta + 1|^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( (\beta + 1) y^\beta D_y u(y^{\beta+1}) \right) = T_\beta \left( (\beta + 1) y^{\frac{\beta}{\beta+1}} D_y u \right)$$

and similarly

$$D_{yy} T_\beta u(y) = T_\beta \left( (\beta + 1)^2 y^{\frac{2\beta}{\beta+1}} D_{yy} u + (\beta + 1) \beta y^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta+1}} D_y u \right).$$

□

**Proposition 3.2** Let  $T_\beta$  be the isometry above defined. The following properties holds.

For every  $u \in W_{loc}^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}_+)$  one has

$$T_\beta^{-1} \left( y^\alpha B \right) T_\beta u = \left( (\beta + 1)^2 y^{\frac{\alpha+2\beta}{\beta+1}} \tilde{B} \right) u$$

where  $\tilde{B}$  is the operator defined as in (1) with parameter  $c$  replaced, respectively, by

$$\tilde{c} = \frac{c + \beta(c + 1 + \beta)}{(\beta + 1)^2}.$$

PROOF. Using Proposition 3.1 we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} BT_\beta u(y) &= T_\beta \left[ (\beta + 1)^2 y^{\frac{2\beta}{\beta+1}} D_{yy} u + (\beta + 1) \beta y^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta+1}} D_y u + c(\beta + 1) y^{\frac{\beta-1}{\beta+1}} D_y u - b y^{-\frac{2}{\beta+1}} u \right] \\ &= T_\beta \left[ y^{\frac{2\beta}{\beta+1}} \left( (\beta + 1)^2 D_{yy} u + \frac{(\beta + 1)(\beta + c)}{y} D_y u - b \frac{u}{y^2} \right) \right] = T_\beta \left( y^{\frac{2\beta}{\beta+1}} \tilde{B} u \right) \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$T_\beta^{-1} \left( y^\alpha B \right) T_\beta u = y^{\frac{\alpha+2\beta}{\beta+1}} \tilde{B} u.$$

□

## 4 The Bessel operator $y^\alpha B^n$

In this section we consider for  $\alpha < 2$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  the operator

$$y^\alpha B = y^\alpha \left( D_{yy} + \frac{c}{y} D_y \right)$$

in the space  $L_m^p$  under Neumann boundary conditions.

According to Proposition 3.2, we use the isometry

$$T_{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} : L_{\tilde{m}}^p \rightarrow L_m^p \quad T_{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} u(y) = \left| 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right|^{\frac{1}{p}} u(y^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}),$$

$\tilde{m} = \frac{m+\frac{\alpha}{2}}{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ , under which  $y^\alpha B$  becomes isometrically equivalent to  $T_{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{-1} \left( y^\alpha B \right) T_{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} = \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right)^2 \tilde{B}$  where  $\tilde{B} = D_{yy} + \frac{\tilde{c}}{y} D_y$  and  $\tilde{c} = \frac{c-\frac{\alpha}{2}}{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ .

All the results for  $y^\alpha B$  in  $L_m^p$  are then immediate consequence of those of  $\tilde{B}$  in  $L_{\tilde{m}}^p$  already proved in [11, Section 3] (see also [10, 9, 8, 14] for some analogous results for the  $N$ -d version of  $\tilde{B}$ ).

If  $1 < p < \infty$ , we define

$$W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha, m) = \left\{ u \in W_{loc}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}_+) : u, y^\alpha D_{yy} u, y^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} D_y u, y^{\alpha-1} D_y u \in L_m^p \right\}$$

and refer to [12] where these spaces are studied in detail in  $\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}$ . The Neumann boundary condition is enclosed in the requirement  $y^{\alpha-1} D_y u \in L_m^p$ . This last is redundant when  $(m+1)/p > 1 - \alpha$  and equivalent to  $D_y u(y) \rightarrow 0$  as  $y \rightarrow 0$ , when  $(m+1)/p < 1 - \alpha$ , see [12, Proposition 4.3]. Consequently we write  $y^\alpha B^n$  or, more pedantically  $y^\alpha B_{m,p}^n$  if necessary, for its realization in  $L_m^p$ .

**Remark 4.1** *The restriction  $\alpha < 2$  is not really essential since one can deduce from it the case  $\alpha > 2$ , which requires boundary condition at  $\infty$ , using the change of variables described in Section 3 or directly from the equality  $T_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{-1} \left( y^\alpha B \right) T_{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} = \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right)^2 \tilde{B}$  which is valid for any  $\alpha \neq 2$ . However, here and in what follows, we keep to it in order to simplify the exposition.*

**Theorem 4.2** *If  $0 < \frac{m+1}{p} < c + 1 - \alpha$ , then  $y^\alpha B^n$  endowed with domain  $W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha, m)$  generates a bounded positive analytic semigroup of angle  $\pi/2$  on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+, y^m dy)$ .*

PROOF. We use the identity  $T_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{-1} \left( y^\alpha B^n \right) T_{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} = \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right)^2 \tilde{B}^n$  and apply [11, Proposition 3.3] in  $L_{\tilde{m}}^p$ . Note that  $D(y^\alpha B_{m,p}^n) = T_{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} D(\tilde{B}_{\tilde{m},p}^n)$  which means

$$u \in D(y^\alpha B_{m,p}^n) \quad \iff \quad v(y) := u(y^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}}) \in D(\tilde{B}_{\tilde{m},p}^n).$$

□

Under the hypothesis of Theorem 4.2, the domain of  $y^\alpha B^n$  consists of all functions in the maximal domain satisfying a Neumann condition at 0, see [12, Proposition 4.6, 4.7], that is

$$D(y^\alpha B_{m,p}^n) = \left\{ u \in W_{loc}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}_+) : u, y^\alpha B u \in L_m^p \text{ and } \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^c D_y u = 0 \right\}$$

(the condition  $\lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^c D_y u = 0$  can be deleted in the range  $0 < \frac{m+1}{p} \leq c - 1$ ). When  $c \geq 1$  the domain can also be described involving a Dirichlet, rather than Neumann, boundary condition

$$D(y^\alpha B_{m,p}^n) = \left\{ u \in W_{loc}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}_+) : u, y^\alpha B u \in L_m^p \text{ and } \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} y^{c-1} u = 0 \right\}, \quad \text{if } c > 1;$$

$$D(y^\alpha B_{m,p}^n) = \left\{ u \in W_{loc}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}_+) : u, y^\alpha B u \in L_m^p \text{ and } \lim_{y \rightarrow 0} u \in \mathbb{C} \right\}, \quad \text{if } c = 1.$$

We close this section by describing a core which does not depend on  $\alpha, m, p$  and on the coefficients of the operator.

**Proposition 4.3** *If  $0 < \frac{m+1}{p} < c + 1 - \alpha$ , then a core for  $y^\alpha B^n$  is*

$$\mathcal{D} = \{u \in C_c^\infty([0, \infty)) : u \text{ constant in a neighborhood of } 0\}.$$

PROOF. The proof immediately follows by observing that, by [11, Proposition 5.4],  $\mathcal{D}$  is a core when  $\alpha = 0$ , that is for  $\tilde{B}_{m,p}^n$ , and the isometry  $T_{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$  leaves invariant  $\mathcal{D}$  since  $\alpha < 2$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.4** *We point out that, by the proof of [11, Proposition 5.4] or by [12, Remark 4.14], it follows that if  $u \in D(y^\alpha B_{m,p}^n)$  has support in  $[0, b]$ , then there exists a sequence  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $\text{supp } u_n \subseteq [0, b]$  and  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $D(y^\alpha B_{m,p}^n)$ .*

## 5 The operator $B^n - V$

We start our investigation by adding a potential  $0 \leq V \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^+, y^c dy)$  to  $B^n$ . Here we prove kernel bounds and construct a core.

### 5.1 Kernel bounds

For  $c + 1 > 0$  and  $0 \leq V \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^+, y^c dy)$  we prove upper bounds for the heat kernel of  $B^n - V$ , following the method used in [3, Sections 3,4].

Setting  $H_c^1 = \{u \in L_c^2, u' \in L_c^2\}$ , we recall that from [11, Section 2] the operator  $B_{c,2}^n$  is associated to the non-negative, symmetric and closed form in  $L_c^2$

$$\mathbf{a}(u, v) := \int_0^\infty D_y u D_y \bar{v} y^c dy, \quad D(\mathbf{a}) = H_c^1.$$

We consider the perturbed form  $\mathbf{a}_V$  in  $L_c^2$  defined by

$$\mathbf{a}_V(u, v) = \mathbf{a}(u, v) + \langle Vu, v \rangle_{L_c^2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} (D_y u D_y \bar{v} + Vu \bar{v}) y^c dy$$

$$D(\mathbf{a}_V) = D(\mathbf{a}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, Vy^c dy) \tag{3}$$

and define  $B^n - V$  in  $L_c^2$  as the operator associated to the form  $\mathbf{a}_V$

$$D(B^n - V) = \{u \in D(\mathbf{a}_V) : \exists f \in L_c^2 \text{ such that } \mathbf{a}_V(u, v) = \int_0^\infty f \bar{v} y^c dy \text{ for every } v \in D(\mathbf{a}_V)\},$$

$$B^n u - Vu = -f.$$



The positivity of  $V$  implies that the norm induced by the form  $\mathfrak{a}_V$  is stronger than the one induced by  $\mathfrak{a}$ : as an immediate consequence one deduces that  $\mathfrak{a}_V$  is closed. By standard theory on sesquilinear forms we have the following result.

**Proposition 5.1** *If  $c+1 > 0$ ,  $0 \leq V \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+, y^c dy)$ , then  $\mathfrak{a}_V$  is a non-negative, symmetric and closed form in  $L^2_c$ . Its associated operator  $-B^n + V$  is non-negative and self-adjoint and  $B^n - V$  generates a contractive analytic semigroup  $\{e^{z(B^n - V)} : z \in \mathbb{C}_+\}$  in  $L^2_c$ . Moreover:*

(i) *The semigroup  $(e^{t(B^n - V)})_{t \geq 0}$  is sub-markovian (i.e. it is positive and  $L^\infty$ -contractive) and it is dominated by  $e^{tB^n}$ , that is*

$$|e^{t(B^n - V)} f| \leq e^{tB^n} |f|, \quad t > 0, \quad f \in L^2_c.$$

(ii)  *$(e^{t(B^n - V)})_{t \geq 0}$  is a semigroup of integral operators and its heat kernel  $p_V$ , taken with respect to the measure  $\rho^c d\rho$ , satisfies*

$$0 \leq p_V(t, y, \rho) \leq Ct^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho^{-c} \left( \frac{\rho}{t^{\frac{1}{2}}} \wedge 1 \right)^c \exp\left(-\frac{|y - \rho|^2}{\kappa t}\right).$$

PROOF. The first claim follows from the property of  $\mathfrak{a}_V$ .  $e^{t(B^n - V)}$  is sub-markovian from [15, Corollary 2.17]. The domination property follows from [15, Corollary 2.21]. (ii) is a consequence of [2, Proposition 1.9] since  $e^{t(B^n - V)}$  is dominated by the positive integral operator  $e^{tB^n}$  whose kernel satisfies the stated estimate, see [11, Proposition 2.8] where, however, the kernel is written with respect to the Lebesgue measure.  $\square$

To extend the above heat kernel estimates to the half plane  $\mathbb{C}_+$  we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.2** *Let  $c+1 > 0$  and for  $y_0, r > 0$*

$$Q_c(y_0, r) := \int_{[y_0, y_0+r]} y^c dy.$$

*Then one has*

$$Q_c(y_0, r) \simeq r^{c+1} \left( \frac{y_0}{r} \right)^c \left( \frac{y_0}{r} \wedge 1 \right)^{-c}, \quad r, y_0 > 0.$$

*In particular the function  $Q_c$  satisfies, for some constants  $C \geq 1$ , the doubling condition*

$$\frac{Q_c(y_0, s)}{Q_c(y_0, r)} \leq C \left( \frac{s}{r} \right)^{1 \vee (c+1)}, \quad \forall y_0 > 0, \quad 0 < r < s.$$

PROOF. A scaling argument immediately yields  $Q_c(y_0, r) = r^{c+1} Q_c\left(\frac{y_0}{r}, 1\right)$  and we may therefore assume  $r = 1$ . The local integrability of  $y^c$  implies that  $Q_c(y_0, 1)$  is continuous as a function of  $y_0$  and moreover  $Q_c(y_0, 1) \rightarrow \int_{(0,1)} y^c dy > 0$  as  $y_0 \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore if  $y_0 \leq 1$  then

$$Q_c(y_0, 1) \simeq 1.$$

On the other hand if  $y_0 > 1$  then  $y \simeq y_0$  for any  $y \in (y_0, y_0 + 1)$  which implies

$$Q_c(y_0, 1) = \int_{(y_0, y_0+1)} y^c dy \simeq y_0^c.$$

The last two inequalities yields  $Q_c(y_0, 1) \simeq (y_0)^c (y_0 \wedge 1)^{-c}$ . The doubling condition follows from the previous estimates and the fact that for  $0 < r < s$  one has

$$\frac{Q_c(y_0, s)}{Q_c(y_0, r)} \leq C \begin{cases} \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^{c+1}, & \text{if } \frac{y_0}{s} \leq \frac{y_0}{r} \leq 1; \\ \frac{s}{r} \left(\frac{s}{y_0}\right)^c, & \text{if } \frac{y_0}{s} \leq 1 < \frac{y_0}{r}; \\ \frac{s}{r}, & \text{if } 1 \leq \frac{y_0}{s} \leq \frac{y_0}{r} \end{cases}$$

(note that in the range  $\frac{y_0}{s} \leq 1 < \frac{y_0}{r}$  one has  $(\frac{s}{y_0})^c \leq 1$  if  $c < 0$  and  $(\frac{s}{y_0})^c \leq (\frac{s}{r})^c$  if  $c \geq 0$ ).  $\square$

**Proposition 5.3** *Let  $c + 1 > 0$ ,  $0 \leq V \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+, y^c dy)$ . The semigroup  $\{e^{z(B^n - V)} : z \in \mathbb{C}_+\}$  consists of integral operators*

$$e^{z(B^n - V)} f(y) = \int_0^\infty p_V(z, y, \rho) f(\rho) \rho^c d\rho, \quad f \in L^2_c, \quad y > 0.$$

Furthermore for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist  $k_\epsilon, C_\epsilon > 0$  such that, for every  $z \in \Sigma_{\frac{\pi}{2} - \epsilon}$  and  $y, \rho > 0$ ,

$$|p_V(z, x, y)| \leq C_\epsilon |z|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rho^{-c} \left( \frac{\rho}{|z|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \wedge 1 \right)^c \exp\left(-\frac{|y - \rho|^2}{\kappa_\epsilon |z|}\right).$$

PROOF. Using the previous lemma we rewrite Proposition 5.1 (ii) as

$$0 \leq p_V(t, y, \rho) \leq C \frac{1}{Q_c(\rho, \sqrt{t})} \exp\left(-\frac{|y - \rho|^2}{\kappa t}\right).$$

Furthermore by [4, Theorem 3.3],  $e^{t(B^n - V)}$  satisfies the Davies-Gaffney estimates

$$|\langle e^{t(B^n - V)} f_1, f_2 \rangle| \leq \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{4t}\right) \|f_1\|_{L^2_\epsilon} \|f_2\|_{L^2_\epsilon}$$

for all  $t > 0$ ,  $U_1, U_2$  open subsets of  $(0, +\infty)$ ,  $r := d(U_1, U_2) = \min\{|x - y| : x \in U_1, y \in U_2\}$  and  $f_i$  in  $L^2(U_i, y^c dy)$ . By [4, Corollary 4.4] and Lemma 5.2 we then obtain for  $z \in \Sigma_{\frac{\pi}{2} - \epsilon}$  and  $y, \rho > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} |p_V(z, y, \rho)| &\leq C_\epsilon \frac{1}{\left(Q_c(y, \sqrt{|z|})\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(Q_c(\rho, \sqrt{|z|})\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{|y - \rho|^2}{\kappa_\epsilon |z|}\right) \\ &\leq C'_\epsilon |z|^{\frac{c+1}{2}} \left(\frac{y}{\sqrt{|z|}}\right)^{-\frac{c}{2}} \left(1 \wedge \frac{y}{\sqrt{|z|}}\right)^{\frac{c}{2}} \left(\frac{\rho}{\sqrt{|z|}}\right)^{-\frac{c}{2}} \left(1 \wedge \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{|z|}}\right)^{\frac{c}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|y - \rho|^2}{\kappa_\epsilon |z|}\right). \end{aligned}$$

This is an equivalent form (after modifying the constant in the exponential) of the estimate in the statement, by [11, Lemma 10.2] with  $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = -\frac{c}{2}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 5.4** *We remark that in [4], the authors work in an abstract metric measure space  $(M, d, \mu)$  and assume that the heat kernel  $p$  associated with a semigroup  $e^{-zL}$ , where  $L$  is a non-negative self-adjoint operator on  $L^2(M, d\mu)$ , is continuous with respect to the space variables. In such a case, in fact,*

$$\sup_{x \in U_1, y \in U_2} |p(z, x, y)| = \sup_M \left\{ \int_M e^{-zL} f_1 \overline{f_2} d\mu, \quad \|f_1\|_{L^1(U_1, d\mu)} = \|f_2\|_{L^1(U_2, d\mu)} = 1 \right\}.$$

In our setting the continuity assumption on  $p$  can be avoided since the proofs of [4, Theorem 4.1, Corollary 4.4] hold only assuming that for a.e.  $x, y \in M$

$$p(z, x, y) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \int_M e^{-zL} f_1 \overline{f_2} d\mu = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, s))\mu(B(y, s))} \int_{B(x, s) \times B(y, s)} p(z, \bar{x}, \bar{y}), d\mu(\bar{x})d\mu(\bar{y}),$$

where  $f_1 = \frac{\chi_{B(x, s)}}{\mu(B(x, s))}$ ,  $f_2 = \frac{\chi_{B(y, s)}}{\mu(B(y, s))}$ . This holds, outside a set of zero measure, when the measure  $\mu$  is doubling, by the Lebesgue differentiation theorem.

## 5.2 A core for $B^n - V$

We prove that under mild hypotheses the set

$$\mathcal{D} = \{u \in C_c^\infty([0, \infty)) : u \text{ constant in a neighborhood of } 0\}$$

is a core for  $B^n - V$  in  $L_c^2$ . Note that this is true when  $V = 0$ , by Proposition 4.3. We need some elementary lemmas. Unless explicitly stated, we only assume that  $0 \leq V \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^+, y^c dy)$ .

**Lemma 5.5** *Assume that  $0 \leq V \in L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^+, y^c dy)$ . Then  $D(\mathfrak{a}_V) = H_c^1 \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, Vy^c dy)$  is dense in  $H_c^1$ .*

PROOF. By Proposition 4.3,  $\mathcal{D}$  is dense in  $D(B^n)$  with respect to the graph norm. Moreover, since  $V \in L_c^2$  locally,  $\mathcal{D} \subset D(\mathfrak{a}_V)$ . The claim follows from the density of  $D(B^n)$  in  $H_c^1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.6** *Let  $u \in H_c^1$  such that  $Vu \in L_c^2$ . Then  $u \in D(B^n)$  if and only if  $u \in D(B^n - V)$ . Moreover*

$$(B^n - V)u = Bu - Vu.$$

PROOF. Let  $u \in D(B^n)$ . Then  $u \in D(\mathfrak{a})$  and there exists  $f \in L_c^2$  such that

$$\mathfrak{a}(u, v) = \int_0^\infty D_y u D_y \overline{v} y^c dy = \int_0^\infty f \overline{v} y^c dy$$

for every  $v \in H_c^1$ . Setting  $g = f + Vu \in L_c^2$  we have

$$\mathfrak{a}_V(u, v) = \int_0^\infty (D_y u D_y \overline{v} + Vu \overline{v}) y^c dy = \int_0^\infty (f + Vu) \overline{v} y^c dy$$

for every  $v \in H_c^1$  and, in particular, for every  $v \in D(\mathfrak{a}_V) \subseteq H_c^1$ . Therefore  $u \in D(B^n - V)$ . Conversely, if  $u \in D(B^n - V)$ , then  $u \in D(\mathfrak{a}_V)$  and there exists  $g \in L_c^2$  such that

$$\mathfrak{a}_V(u, v) = \int_0^\infty (D_y u D_y \overline{v} + Vu \overline{v}) y^c dy = \int_0^\infty g \overline{v} y^c dy$$

for every  $v \in D(\mathfrak{a}_V)$ . Setting  $f = g - Vu \in L_c^2$  we have that

$$\mathfrak{a}(u, v) = \int_0^\infty f \overline{v} y^c dy$$

for every  $v \in D(\mathfrak{a}_V)$ , hence for every  $v \in H_c^1$ , by Lemma 5.5.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.7** Let  $u \in D(B^n - V)$  and  $\eta$  be a smooth function such that  $\eta = 1$  for  $0 \leq y \leq 1$  and  $\eta = 0$  for  $y \geq 2$ . Then  $\eta u \in D(B^n - V)$  and

$$(B^n - V)(\eta u) = \eta(B^n - V)u + 2D_y \eta D_y u + u D_{yy} \eta + cu \frac{D_y \eta}{y}.$$

PROOF. Let  $u \in D(B^n - V)$ , then  $\eta u \in D(\mathfrak{a}_V)$  and, setting  $f = (B^n - V)u$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{a}_V(\eta u, v) &= \int_0^\infty (D_y(\eta u) D_y \bar{v} + V \eta u \bar{v}) y^c dy = \\ &= \int_0^\infty (D_y u D_y(\eta \bar{v}) + V u \eta \bar{v} + u D_y \eta D_y \bar{v} - D_y u D_y \eta \bar{v}) y^c dy = \\ &= \int_0^\infty \eta f \bar{v} y^c dy - \int_0^\infty D_y u D_y \eta \bar{v} y^c dy + \int_0^\infty u D_y \eta D_y \bar{v} y^c dy \\ &= - \int_0^\infty \eta f \bar{v} y^c dy - \int_0^\infty D_y u D_y \eta \bar{v} y^c dy - \int_0^\infty \bar{v} D_y (u D_y \eta y^c) dy \\ &= - \int_0^\infty \eta f \bar{v} y^c dy - 2 \int_0^\infty D_y u D_y \eta \bar{v} y^c dy - \int_0^\infty \bar{v} u D_{yy} \eta y^c dy - \int_0^\infty \frac{cu}{y} \bar{v} D_y \eta y^c dy \end{aligned}$$

for every  $v \in D(\mathfrak{a}_V)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.8** Let  $u \in D(B^n - V)$ . Then there exists  $(u_k) \subseteq D(B^n - V)$  with compact support such that  $(u_k) \rightarrow u$  in  $D(B^n - V)$ .

PROOF. Let  $\eta$  be a smooth function such that  $\eta = 1$  for  $0 \leq y \leq 1$  and  $\eta = 0$  for  $y \geq 2$ . Setting  $\eta_k(y) = \eta(\frac{y}{k})$ , by Lemma 5.7,  $u_k = \eta_k u \in D(B^n - V)$  and

$$(B^n - V)(\eta_k u) = \eta_k(B^n - V)u + 2D_y \eta_k D_y u + u D_{yy} \eta_k + \frac{cu}{y} D_y \eta_k.$$

Then  $u_k \rightarrow u$ ,  $\eta_k(B^n - V)u \rightarrow (B^n - V)u$  in  $L_c^2$  by dominated convergence and, since  $D_y \eta_k = 0$  in  $[0, 1]$ ,

$$\left| D_y \eta_k D_y u + u D_{yy} \eta_k + \frac{cu}{y} D_y \eta_k \right| \leq C \left( \frac{|u|}{k} + \frac{|u|}{k^2} + \frac{|D_y u|}{k} \right) \chi_{[k, \infty[} \rightarrow 0.$$

$\square$

Lemma 5.7 shows that functions with compact support are a core for  $B^n - V$ . To show that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a core we need more information on the behavior near  $y = 0$  of functions in the domain of  $B^n - V$ .

We start by recalling some well-known facts about the modified Bessel functions  $I_\nu$  and  $K_\nu$ , which constitute a basis of solutions of the modified Bessel equation

$$z^2 \frac{d^2 v}{dz^2} + z \frac{dv}{dz} - (z^2 + \nu^2)v = 0, \quad \operatorname{Re} z > 0.$$

We recall that for  $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$  one has

$$I_\nu(z) = \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^\nu \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m! \Gamma(\nu + 1 + m)} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2m}, \quad K_\nu(z) = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{I_{-\nu}(z) - I_\nu(z)}{\sin \pi \nu},$$

where limiting values are taken for the definition of  $K_\nu$  when  $\nu$  is an integer. The basic properties of these functions we need are collected in the following lemma, see e.g., [1, Sections 9.6 and 9.7].

**Lemma 5.9** For  $\nu > -1$ ,  $I_\nu$  is increasing and  $K_\nu$  is decreasing (when restricted to the positive real half line). Moreover they satisfy the following properties if  $z \in \Sigma_{\pi/2-\varepsilon}$ .

(i)  $I_\nu(z) \neq 0$  for every  $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$ .

(ii)  $I_\nu(z) \approx \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^\nu$ , as  $|z| \rightarrow 0$ ,  $I_\nu(z) \approx \frac{e^z}{\sqrt{2\pi z}}(1 + O(|z|^{-1}))$ , as  $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ .

(iii) If  $\nu \neq 0$ ,  $K_\nu(z) \approx \frac{\nu}{|\nu|} \frac{1}{2} \Gamma(|\nu|) \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{-|\nu|}$ ,  $K_0(z) \approx -\log z$ , as  $|z| \rightarrow 0$

$K_\nu(z) \approx \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2z}} e^{-z}$ , as  $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ .

(iv)  $I'_\nu(z) = I_{\nu+1}(z) + \frac{z}{2} I_\nu(z)$ ,  $K'_\nu(z) = -K_{\nu+1}(z) + \frac{z}{2} K_\nu(z)$ , for every  $\operatorname{Re} z > 0$ .

Note that

$$|I_\nu(z)| \simeq C_{\nu,\varepsilon} (1 \wedge |z|)^{\nu+\frac{1}{2}} \frac{e^{\operatorname{Re} z}}{\sqrt{|z|}}, \quad z \in \Sigma_{\frac{\pi}{2}-\varepsilon} \quad (4)$$

for suitable constants  $C_{\nu,\varepsilon} > 0$  which may be different in lower and in the upper estimate.

The following estimates of the resolvent operator of  $B^n - V$  is a consequence of the domination property stated in Proposition 5.1.

**Proposition 5.10** Let  $c+1 > 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . Then, for every  $f \in L_c^2$ ,

$$(\lambda - B^n + V)^{-1} f = \int_0^\infty G(\lambda, y, \rho) f(\rho) \rho^c d\rho$$

with

$$0 \leq G(\lambda, y, \rho) \leq G^n(\lambda, y, \rho)$$

where

$$G^n(\lambda, y, \rho) := \begin{cases} y^{\frac{1-c}{2}} \rho^{\frac{1-c}{2}} I_{\frac{c-1}{2}}(\sqrt{\lambda} y) K_{|\frac{1-c}{2}|}(\sqrt{\lambda} \rho) & y \leq \rho \\ y^{\frac{1-c}{2}} \rho^{\frac{1-c}{2}} I_{\frac{c-1}{2}}(\sqrt{\lambda} \rho) K_{|\frac{1-c}{2}|}(\sqrt{\lambda} y) & y \geq \rho, \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

is the integral kernel (taken with respect to the measure  $\rho^c d\rho$ ) of the operator  $(\lambda - B^n)^{-1}$ .

PROOF. Writing  $(\lambda - B^n + V)^{-1} = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} e^{t(B^n - V)} dt$  and using property (i) of Proposition 5.1 we get that

$$|(\lambda - B^n + V)^{-1} f| \leq (\lambda - B^n)^{-1} |f|, \quad \lambda > 0, \quad f \in L_c^2.$$

This yields the domination  $G(\lambda, y, \rho) \leq G^n(\lambda, y, \rho)$  (the existence of the kernel follows by [2, Proposition 1.9] as in Proposition 5.1). Formula (5) is proved in [11, Proposition 2.4].  $\square$

We now prove local pointwise estimates for functions in the domain of  $B^n - V$ .

**Proposition 5.11** Let  $c+1 > 0$ . Then there exists  $C > 0$ , independent of  $V$ , such that for every  $u \in D(B^n - V)$  and  $0 < y < 1$

(i) if  $-1 < c < 3$

$$|u(y)| \leq C (\|u\|_{L_c^2} + \|(B - V)u\|_{L_c^2}),$$

(ii) if  $c = 3$

$$|u(y)| \leq C (\|u\|_{L_c^2} + \|(B - V)u\|_{L_c^2}) |\log y|^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

(iii) if  $c > 3$

$$|u(y)| \leq C (\|u\|_{L_c^2} + \|(B - V)u\|_{L_c^2}) y^{\frac{3-c}{2}}.$$

PROOF. Let  $u \in D(B^n - V)$  and  $f = u - (B^n - V)u \in L_c^2$  so that  $u = (I - B^n + V)^{-1}f$ . Let us distinguish between the following cases and always take  $0 < y < 1$ .

(i) If  $-1 < c < 1$ , Lemma 5.9 implies that for  $y \leq 1$

$$G(1, y, \rho) \simeq \begin{cases} 1, & \rho < 1, \\ \rho^{-\frac{c}{2}} e^{-\rho}, & 1 < \rho. \end{cases}$$

Then one has

$$\begin{aligned} |u(y)| &\leq \int_0^\infty G(1, y, \rho) |f(\rho)| \rho^c d\rho \leq C \left( \int_0^1 |f(\rho)| \rho^c d\rho + \int_1^\infty \rho^{-\frac{c}{2}} e^{-\rho} |f(\rho)| \rho^c d\rho \right) \\ &\leq C (\|f\|_{L_c^2((0,1))} + \|\rho^{-\frac{c}{2}} e^{-\rho}\|_{L_c^2((1,\infty))} \|f\|_{L_c^2((1,\infty))}) \leq C \|f\|_{L_c^2}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) If  $c = 1$ , Lemma 5.9 gives for  $y \leq 1$

$$G(1, y, \rho) \simeq \begin{cases} |\log y| \leq |\log \rho|, & \rho < y < 1, \\ |\log \rho|, & y < \rho < 1, \\ \rho^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\rho}, & 1 < \rho. \end{cases}$$

Then analogously

$$\begin{aligned} |u(y)| &\leq C \left( \int_0^1 |\log \rho| |f(\rho)| \rho d\rho + \int_1^\infty \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\rho} |f(\rho)| d\rho \right) \\ &\leq C \left( \|\log \rho\|_{L_c^2((0,1))} \|f\|_{L_c^2((0,1))} + \|\rho^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\rho}\|_{L_c^2((1,\infty))} \|f\|_{L_c^2((1,\infty))} \right) \leq C \|f\|_{L_c^2}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Let now  $1 < c$ . Then Lemma 5.9 implies that for  $y \leq 1$

$$G(1, y, \rho) \simeq \begin{cases} y^{1-c} \leq \rho^{1-c}, & \rho < y < 1, \\ \rho^{1-c}, & y < \rho < 1, \\ \rho^{-\frac{c}{2}} e^{-\rho}, & 1 < \rho. \end{cases}$$

If  $c < 3$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} |u(y)| &\leq C \left( \int_0^1 \rho^{1-c} |f(\rho)| \rho^c d\rho + \int_1^\infty \rho^{-\frac{c}{2}} e^{-\rho} |f(\rho)| \rho^c d\rho \right) \\ &\leq C (\|\rho^{1-c}\|_{L_c^2((0,1))} \|f\|_{L_c^2((0,1))} + \|\rho^{-\frac{c}{2}} e^{-\rho}\|_{L_c^2((1,\infty))} \|f\|_{L_c^2((1,\infty))}) \leq C \|f\|_{L_c^2}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $c = 3$  then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|u(y)| &\leq C \left( y^{-2} \int_0^y |f(\rho)|\rho^3 d\rho + \int_y^1 \rho^{-2}|f(\rho)|\rho^3 d\rho + \int_1^\infty \rho^{-\frac{3}{2}}e^{-\rho}|f(\rho)|\rho^3 d\rho \right) \\
&\leq C\|f\|_{L_c^2} \left( y^{-2} \left( \int_0^y \rho^3 d\rho \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left( \int_y^1 \rho^{-4}\rho^3 d\rho \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\rho^{-\frac{3}{2}}e^{-\rho}\|_{L_c^2((1,\infty))} \right) \\
&\leq C\|f\|_{L_c^2} \left( 1 + |\log y|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and finally if  $c > 3$

$$\begin{aligned}
|u(y)| &\leq C \left( y^{1-c} \int_0^y |f(\rho)|\rho^c d\rho + \int_y^1 \rho^{1-c}|f(\rho)|\rho^c d\rho + \int_1^\infty \rho^{-\frac{c}{2}}e^{-\rho}|f(\rho)|\rho^c d\rho \right) \\
&\leq C\|f\|_{L_c^2} \left( y^{1-c} \left( \int_0^y \rho^c d\rho \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left( \int_y^1 \rho^{2-2c}\rho^c d\rho \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\rho^{-\frac{c}{2}}e^{-\rho}\|_{L_c^2((1,\infty))} \right) \\
&\leq C\|f\|_{L_c^2} y^{\frac{3-c}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

We can now show that, under stronger assumptions, the potential term  $V$  can be seen as a perturbation of  $B^n$  near 0, that is  $Vu \in L_c^2$  for every  $u \in D(B_n)$  having compact support. In particular we prove that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a core for  $B^n - V$ .

**Proposition 5.12** *Let  $c + 1 > 0$  and assume that*

- (i)  $c < 3$  and  $V \in L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^+, y^c dy)$  or
- (ii)  $c = 3$  and  $V|\log y|^{\frac{1}{2}} \in L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^+, y^c dy)$  or
- (iii)  $c > 3$  and  $Vy^{\frac{3-c}{2}} \in L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^+, y^c dy)$ .

If  $\mathcal{C}_r := \{u \in L_c^2 : \text{supp } u \subseteq [0, r]\}$  then  $D(B^n - V) \cap \mathcal{C}_r = D(B^n) \cap \mathcal{C}_r$  with equivalence of norms

$$\|u\|_{D(B^n - V)} \simeq \|u\|_{D(B^n)}, \quad \forall u \in D(B^n) \cap \mathcal{C}_r.$$

Finally,

$$\mathcal{D} = \{u \in C_c^\infty([0, \infty)) : u \text{ constant in a neighborhood of } 0\}$$

is a core for  $B^n - V$ .

PROOF. Let  $u \in \mathcal{C}_r$ . Then the hypotheses on  $V$  and Proposition 5.11 imply that  $Vu \in L_c^2$  and  $\|Vu\|_{L_c^2} \leq C\|u - (B - V)u\|_{L_c^2}$ . Then by Lemma 5.6  $u \in D(B^n - V)$  if and only if  $u \in D(B^n)$ . This shows the equality  $D(B^n - V) \cap \mathcal{C}_r = D(B^n) \cap \mathcal{C}_r$ . Using Proposition 5.11 again we also have  $\|Vu\|_{L_c^2} \leq C_1\|u - Bu\|_{L_c^2}$  for any  $u \in D(B^n) \cap \mathcal{C}_r$ , which proves the equivalence of the graph norms. Finally, let  $u \in D(B^n - V)$ . We have to prove that  $u$  can be approximated in the graph norm with functions belonging to  $\mathcal{D}$ . Using Lemma 5.8 we may suppose, without any loss of generality, that  $\text{supp } u \subseteq (0, r)$ . Then, by Proposition 4.3, there exist  $(u_n) \subset \mathcal{D}$  such that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in the graph norm  $\|\cdot\|_{D(B^n)}$ . We may also assume, after multiplying by a suitable cut-off function, that  $\text{supp } u_n \subseteq (0, 2r)$  for every  $n$ . Then the previous point implies that  $Vu_n \rightarrow Vu$  in  $L_c^2$ , too. □

## 6 The operator $y^\alpha B^n - V$ in $L_{c-\alpha}^2$

We consider now for  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha < 2$ , and  $0 \leq V \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^+, y^{c-\alpha} dy)$  the operator

$$y^\alpha B^n - V = y^\alpha \left( D_{yy} + \frac{c}{y} D_y \right) - V$$

in the space  $L_{c-\alpha}^2$ . As in Section 4 we use the isometry  $T_{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} u(y) = \left| 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right|^{\frac{1}{p}} u(y^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}})$ ,

$$T_{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} : L_c^2 \rightarrow L_{c-\alpha}^2, \quad \tilde{c} = \frac{c - \frac{\alpha}{2}}{1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}},$$

under which  $y^\alpha B - V$  becomes similar to

$$T_{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{-1} (y^\alpha B - V) T_{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} = \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} \right)^2 (\tilde{B} - \tilde{V})$$

where  $\tilde{B} = D_{yy} + \frac{\tilde{c}}{y} D_y$  and  $\tilde{V}(y) = (1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})^{-2} V(y^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}}) \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^+, y^{\tilde{c}} dy)$ .

Defining

$$D(y^\alpha B^n - V) := T_{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left( D(\tilde{B}^n - \tilde{V}) \right)$$

one obtains that, when  $c > -1 + \alpha$ ,  $y^\alpha B^n - V$  generates a contractive analytic semigroup  $\{e^{z(y^\alpha B^n - V)} : z \in \mathbb{C}_+\}$  in  $L_{c-\alpha}^2$  which satisfies

$$e^{z(y^\alpha B^n - V)} = T_{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \left( e^{z(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})^2 (\tilde{B} - \tilde{V})} \right) T_{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{-1}. \quad (6)$$

We state the properties obtained so far, together with a density result which is a restating of Proposition 5.12 under the isometry  $T_{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ .

**Proposition 6.1** *Let  $c + 1 - \alpha > 0$  and  $0 \leq V \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^+, y^{c-\alpha})$ . Then the operator  $y^\alpha B^n - V$  generates a contractive analytic semigroup in  $L_{c-\alpha}^2$ . If, in addition,*

- (i)  $c < 3 - \alpha$  and  $V \in L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^+, y^{c-\alpha})$  or
- (ii)  $c = 3 - \alpha$  and  $V |\log y|^{\frac{1}{2}} \in L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^+, y^{c-\alpha})$  or
- (iii)  $c > 3 - \alpha$  and  $V y^{\frac{3-c-\alpha}{2}} \in L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^+, y^{c-\alpha})$

then

$$\mathcal{D} = \{u \in C_c^\infty([0, \infty)) : u \text{ constant in a neighborhood of } 0\}$$

is a core for  $y^\alpha B^n - V$  in  $L_{c-\alpha}^2$ .

**Remark 6.2** *If  $V(y) = y^\alpha$ , then  $V$  always satisfies (ii) and (iii) when  $c \geq 3 - \alpha$ . Instead, if  $c < 3 - \alpha$ , we need  $c + 1 - |\alpha| > 0$ .*

Let  $\mathbf{a}_{\tilde{V}}$  be the form in  $L_{\tilde{c}}^2$ , defined in (3), associated to  $\tilde{B}^n - \tilde{V}$ . In  $L_{c-\alpha}^2$  we introduce the form  $\mathbf{a}_{\alpha, V}$  which is the image of  $\mathbf{a}_{\tilde{V}}$  under the isometry  $T_{0, -\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ , that is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_{\alpha, V}(u, v) &:= \mathbf{a}_{\tilde{V}} \left( T_{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{-1} u, T_{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}^{-1} v \right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} (y^\alpha D_y u D_y \bar{v} + V u \bar{v}) y^{c-\alpha} dy, \\ D(\mathbf{a}_{\alpha, V}) &:= T_{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} D(\mathbf{a}_{\tilde{V}}) = \{u \in L_{c-\alpha}^2 : u' \in L_c^2\} \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, V y^{c-\alpha} dy). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$



To keep consistency of notation we often write  $\mathbf{a}_{0,V} = \mathbf{a}_V$ . By construction,  $y^\alpha B^n - V$  is the operator associated to the form  $\mathbf{a}_{\alpha,V}$  in  $L_{c-\alpha}^2$

$$D(y^\alpha B^n - V) = \{u \in D(\mathbf{a}_{\alpha,V}) : \exists f \in L_{c-\alpha}^2 \text{ such that}$$

$$\mathbf{a}_{\alpha,V}(u, v) = \int_0^\infty f \bar{v} y^{c-\alpha} dy \text{ for every } v \in D(\mathbf{a}_{\alpha,V})\},$$

$$y^\alpha B^n u - V u = -f.$$

The next lemma, which follows from the considerations above, will be used later to relate the resolvents of  $y^\alpha B^n - y^\alpha$  and  $B^n - y^{-\alpha}$ .

**Lemma 6.3** *Let  $\mathbf{a}_{\alpha,y^\alpha}$  and  $\mathbf{a}_{y^{-\alpha}}$  be the sesquilinear forms associated respectively to the operator  $y^\alpha B^n - y^\alpha$  in  $L_{c-\alpha}^2$  and  $B^n - y^{-\alpha}$  in  $L_c^2$ . Then*

$$\mathbf{a}_{\alpha,y^\alpha}(u, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} (D_y u D_y \bar{v} + u \bar{v}) y^c dy, \quad \mathbf{a}_{y^{-\alpha}}(u, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} (D_y u D_y \bar{v} + y^{-\alpha} u \bar{v}) y^c dy.$$

on the common form domain

$$D(\mathbf{a}_{\alpha,y^\alpha}) = D(\mathbf{a}_{y^{-\alpha}}) = \{u \in L_{c-\alpha}^2 \cap L_c^2 : u' \in L_c^2\}$$

Note that the above operators act in different Hilbert spaces; in particular their domains are different. However, the form domains coincide.

## 7 The operator $y^\alpha B^n - V$ in $L_m^p$

Here we investigate properties of  $y^\alpha B - V$ ,  $\alpha < 2$ , in  $L_m^p$  when  $0 < \frac{m+1}{p} < c + 1 - \alpha$ .

We introduce the family of integral operators  $(S_\alpha^\beta(t))_{t>0}$  on  $L_m^p$

$$S_\alpha^\beta(t)f(y) := t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \left( \frac{\rho}{t^{\frac{1}{2-\alpha}}} \wedge 1 \right)^{-\beta + \frac{\alpha}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|y^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} - \rho^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}|^2}{\kappa t}\right) f(\rho) \rho^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} d\rho$$

and note that

$$S_\alpha^\beta(t) = T_{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \circ S_0^{\tilde{\beta}}(t) \circ T_{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \tilde{\beta} = \frac{\beta - \frac{\alpha}{2}}{1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}}.$$

As usual  $T_{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} u(y) = |1 - \frac{\alpha}{2}|^{\frac{1}{p}} u(y^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}})$  is an isometry from  $L_m^p$  onto  $L_{\tilde{m}}^p$ ,  $\tilde{m} = \frac{m+\frac{\alpha}{2}}{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ . Here  $\kappa$  is a positive constant but we omit the dependence on it. The following result has been proved for  $\alpha = 0$  in [11].

**Lemma 7.1** *Let  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $p \in (1, \infty)$  such that  $0 < \frac{m+1}{p} < 1 - \alpha - \beta$ . The families  $(S_\alpha^\beta(t))_{t \geq 0}$  and  $\{\Gamma(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty \lambda e^{-\lambda t} S_\alpha^\beta(t) dt, \lambda > 0\}$  are  $\mathcal{R}$ -bounded in  $L_m^p$ .*

PROOF. Since the  $\mathcal{R}$ -boundedness is preserved under isometries, from  $S_\alpha^\beta(t) = T_{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \circ S_0^{\tilde{\beta}}(t) \circ T_{-\frac{1}{2}}$  we may assume that  $\alpha = 0$  (note that  $0 < \frac{m+1}{p} < -\beta + 1 - \alpha$  is equivalent to  $0 < \frac{\tilde{m}+1}{p} < -\tilde{\beta} + 1$ ). The first result is then a consequence of [11, Theorem 7.7]. The family

$$\Gamma(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty \lambda e^{-\lambda t} S_\alpha^\beta(t) dt, \quad \lambda > 0$$

is  $\mathcal{R}$ -bounded by [6, Corollary 2.14]. □

We can now prove our main results for the operator  $y^\alpha B - V$ .

**Theorem 7.2** *Let  $0 \leq V \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+, y^{c-\alpha} dy)$ . For any  $p \in (1, \infty)$  such that  $0 < \frac{m+1}{p} < c+1-\alpha$ , the semigroup  $e^{z(y^\alpha B^n - V)}$  initially defined on  $L^2_{c-\alpha}$  extends to a bounded analytic semigroup on  $L^p_m$  of angle  $\pi/2$  which consists of integral operators. Moreover the generated semigroup has maximal regularity and the following properties hold.*

(i) *For every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist  $C = C(\epsilon, \alpha) > 0$  (independent of  $V$ ) such that*

$$\left| e^{z(y^\alpha B^n - V)} f \right| \leq C S_\alpha^{-c}(|z|) |f|, \quad f \in L^p_m, \quad |\arg z| < \frac{\pi}{2} - \epsilon.$$

(ii) *For every  $\epsilon > 0$  the families of operators*

$$\left\{ e^{z(y^\alpha B^n - V)} : z \in \Sigma_{\frac{\pi}{2}-\epsilon}, 0 \leq V \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+, y^{c-\alpha}) \right\},$$

$$\left\{ \lambda (\lambda - y^\alpha B^n + V)^{-1} : \lambda \in \Sigma_{\pi-\epsilon} : 0 \leq V \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+, y^{c-\alpha}) \right\}$$

*are  $\mathcal{R}$ -bounded in  $L^p_m$ .*

PROOF. By Proposition 5.3 and (6), (i) holds for any  $f \in L^2_{c-\alpha}$ . The boundedness of  $e^{z(y^\alpha B^n - V)}$  in  $L^p_m$  follows from the previous lemma and (i) extends to  $L^p_m$ . The semigroup law is inherited from  $L^2_{c-\alpha}$  via a density argument and we have only to prove the strong continuity at 0. Using the isometry  $T_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}$ , we may suppose that  $\alpha = 0$ . Let  $f, g \in C_c^\infty(0, \infty)$ . Then as  $z \rightarrow 0$ ,  $z \in \Sigma_{\frac{\pi}{2}-\epsilon}$ ,

$$\int_0^\infty (e^{z(B^n - V)} f) g y^m dy = \int_0^\infty (e^{z(B^n - V)} f) g y^{m-c} y^c dy \rightarrow \int_0^\infty f g y^{m-c} y^c dy = \int_0^\infty f g y^m dy,$$

by the strong continuity of  $e^{z(B^n - V)}$  in  $L^2_c$ . By density and uniform boundedness of the family  $(e^{z(B^n - V)})_{z \in \Sigma_{\frac{\pi}{2}-\epsilon}}$  this holds for every  $f \in L^p_m, g \in L^{p'}_m$ . The semigroup is then weakly continuous, hence strongly continuous.

The  $\mathcal{R}$ -boundedness of  $e^{z(y^\alpha B^n - V)}$  follows then by domination from Lemma 7.1, see Corollary 2.2. To prove the  $\mathcal{R}$ -boundedness of the resolvent family, for  $\lambda \in \Sigma_{\pi-\epsilon} \setminus \{0\}$  let  $\theta = \frac{|\arg \lambda|}{\arg \lambda} (\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\epsilon}{2})$  so that  $\mu := e^{-i\theta} \lambda \in \Sigma_{\frac{\pi}{2}-\frac{\epsilon}{2}}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \lambda (\lambda - y^\alpha B^n + V)^{-1} f \right| &= \left| \mu (\mu - e^{-i\theta} (y^\alpha B^n - V))^{-1} f \right| = \left| \int_0^\infty \mu e^{-\mu t} e^{-i\theta t (y^\alpha B^n - V)} f dt \right| \\ &\leq C \int_0^\infty |\mu| e^{-\operatorname{Re} \mu t} S_\alpha^{-c}(t) |f| dt \leq C \int_0^\infty |\lambda| e^{-|\lambda| \sin \frac{\epsilon}{2} t} S_\alpha^{-c}(t) |f| dt. \end{aligned}$$

The  $\mathcal{R}$ -boundedness of the second family in (ii) now follows from [6, Corollary 2.14] and the maximal regularity of the semigroup from Theorem 2.3.  $\square$

In our investigation of degenerate Nd problems, see [13], we need also a weaker version of the result above for potentials having non-negative real part. We formulate it in the next proposition.

**Proposition 7.3** *Let  $V \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+, y^{c-\alpha} dy)$  be a potential having non-negative real part. Then for any  $1 < p < \infty$  such that  $0 < \frac{m+1}{p} < c+1-\alpha$ ,  $y^\alpha B^n - V$  generates a  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $L^p_m$ . The generated semigroup consists of integral operators and the following estimates hold*

$$\left| e^{t(y^\alpha B^n - V)} f \right| \leq e^{t y^\alpha B^n} |f|, \quad f \in L^p_m, \quad t \geq 0$$

In particular the families of operators

$$\left\{ e^{t(y^\alpha B^n - V)} : t \geq 0, V \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+, y^{c-\alpha}), \operatorname{Re} V \geq 0 \right\},$$

$$\left\{ \lambda (\lambda - y^\alpha B^n + V)^{-1} : \lambda > 0, V \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+, y^{c-\alpha}), \operatorname{Re} V \geq 0 \right\}$$

are  $\mathcal{R}$ -bounded in  $L^p_m$ .

PROOF. Using the isometry  $T_{0, -\frac{\alpha}{2}}$  we may assume that  $\alpha = 0$ . Let us treat first the symmetric case in  $L^2_c$ . The generation results can be proved as in Proposition 5.1 (where we assumed  $V \geq 0$ ). If  $\mathbf{a}$  is the form associated with  $B^n$ , then  $B^n - V$  is associated to  $\mathbf{a}_V := \mathbf{a}(u, v) + \langle Vu, v \rangle_{L^2_c}$  and, by the standard theory on sesquilinear forms,  $B^n - V$  generates a  $C_0$ -semigroup on  $L^2_c$ .

The domination properties follow from [15, Theorem 2.21]. Let  $u, v \in D(\mathbf{a}_V) = D(\mathbf{a}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^+, |V|y^c dy)$  such that  $u\bar{v} \geq 0$ . Since  $e^{tB^n}$  is positive one has  $\operatorname{Re} \mathbf{a}(u, v) \geq \mathbf{a}(|u|, |v|)$ . Moreover

$$\operatorname{Re} \mathbf{a}_V(u, v) = \operatorname{Re} \mathbf{a}(u, v) + \int_0^\infty \operatorname{Re} V u \bar{v} y^c dy \geq \operatorname{Re} \mathbf{a}(|u|, |v|)$$

which by [15, Theorem 2.21] again implies the stated domination of the generated semigroups (one easily verifies that  $D(\mathbf{a}_V)$  is an ideal of  $D(\mathbf{a})$  since this last is an ideal in itself, by the positivity of  $e^{tB^n}$ , see [15, Proposition 2.20]). The extrapolation on  $L^p_m$  follows as in Theorem 7.2. The domination of the resolvent is a straightforward consequence of that of the semigroup. The  $\mathcal{R}$ -boundedness of the semigroup follows by domination from the  $\mathcal{R}$ -boundedness of  $(e^{tB^n})_{t \geq 0}$  proved in Theorem 7.2. The  $\mathcal{R}$ -boundedness of the resolvent follows as in Theorem 7.2.  $\square$

## 8 The operator $y^\alpha B^n - y^\alpha$

We end the paper by thoroughly investigating the special case  $V(y) = y^\alpha$ , keeping  $\alpha < 2$ . We prove, in particular, that the domain of  $y^\alpha B - V$  is  $D(y^\alpha B) \cap D(V)$ , under slightly more restrictive hypotheses than those of Theorem 7.2.

As explained in the Introduction this case plays a crucial role in [13] in the investigation of the degenerate operators

$$\mathcal{L} = y^{\alpha_1} \Delta_x + y^{\alpha_2} \left( D_{yy} + \frac{c}{y} D_y - \frac{b}{y^2} \right), \quad \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{R}$$

in the spaces  $L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^{N+1}, y^m dx dy)$ . In particular we prove in Propositions 8.3 and 8.4 that the multipliers

$$\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow N_\lambda(\xi) = \lambda(\lambda - y^\alpha B y + y^\alpha |\xi|^2)^{-1},$$

$$\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow M_\lambda(\xi) = y^\alpha |\xi|^2 (\lambda - y^\alpha B y + y^\alpha |\xi|^2)^{-1}$$

satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 2.4.

We start with the following Lemma.

**Lemma 8.1** *Assume that  $c + 1 > 0$  and  $c + 1 - \alpha > 0$ , that is  $B^n$  generates a  $C_0$ -semigroup in  $L^2_c$  and  $y^\alpha B^n$  generates a  $C_0$ -semigroup in  $L^2_{c-\alpha}$ . If  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^+$  and  $\mu > 0$ , then*

$$(\lambda - y^\alpha B^n + \mu y^\alpha)^{-1} f = \left( \mu - B^n + \frac{\lambda}{y^\alpha} \right)^{-1} \left( \frac{f}{y^\alpha} \right), \quad \forall f \in C_c^\infty((0, \infty)).$$

PROOF. Under the assumptions  $y^\alpha B^n - \mu y^\alpha$  and  $B^n - \lambda y^{-\alpha}$  generate a semigroup on  $L_{c-\alpha}^2$  and  $L_c^2$ , respectively, see Theorem 7.2. Since  $Re \lambda > 0$ ,  $\mu > 0$  both resolvents are well defined but map to different spaces.

Let  $\mathfrak{a}_{\alpha, \mu y^\alpha}$ ,  $\mathfrak{a}_{\lambda y^{-\alpha}}$  be the forms associated to  $y^\alpha B^n - \mu y^\alpha$  in  $L_{c-\alpha}^2$  and  $B^n - \lambda y^{-\alpha}$  in  $L_c^2$

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\alpha, \mu y^\alpha}(u, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} (D_y u D_y \bar{v} + \mu u \bar{v}) y^c dy, \quad \mathfrak{a}_{\lambda y^{-\alpha}}(u, v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} (D_y u D_y \bar{v} + \lambda y^{-\alpha} u \bar{v}) y^c dy.$$

By Lemma 6.3 they are defined on the common domain

$$\mathcal{F} := \{u \in L_{c-\alpha}^2 \cap L_c^2 : u' \in L_c^2\}$$

Given  $f \in C_c^\infty((0, \infty))$  let  $u := \left(\mu - B^n + \frac{\lambda}{y^\alpha}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{f}{y^\alpha}\right)$ . In order to prove that the equality  $u = (\lambda - y^\alpha B^n + \mu y^\alpha)^{-1} f$  holds, we have to show that  $u \in \mathcal{F}$  and that for every  $v \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $u$  satisfies the weak equality

$$\int_0^\infty f \bar{v} y^{c-\alpha} dy = \int_0^\infty \lambda u \bar{v} y^{c-\alpha} dy + \mathfrak{a}_{\alpha, \mu y^\alpha}(u, v) = \int_0^\infty (\lambda y^{-\alpha} u \bar{v} + D_y u D_y \bar{v} + \mu u \bar{v}) y^c dy. \quad (8)$$

By construction  $u$  is in the domain of  $B^n - \lambda y^{-\alpha}$  which is contained in  $\mathcal{F}$  and satisfies

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{f}{y^\alpha} \bar{v} y^c dy = \int_0^\infty \mu u \bar{v} y^c dy + \mathfrak{a}_{\alpha, \lambda y^{-\alpha}}(u, v) = \int_0^\infty (\mu u \bar{v} + D_y u D_y \bar{v} + \lambda y^{-\alpha} u \bar{v}) y^c dy,$$

which is the same as (8).  $\square$

In the next results we relate the resolvent of  $y^\alpha B^n - y^\alpha$  with that of  $B^n - \frac{1}{y^\alpha}$ . We shall assume both the conditions  $0 < \frac{m+1}{p} < c+1-\alpha$  and  $-\alpha < \frac{m+1}{p} < c+1-\alpha$  (that is  $\alpha^- < \frac{m+1}{p} < c+1-\alpha$ ). The first guarantees that  $y^\alpha B^n$  is a generator in  $L_m^p$  and the second that  $B^n$  is a generator in  $L_{m+\alpha p}^p$ .

**Corollary 8.2** *Assume that  $\alpha^- < \frac{m+1}{p} < c+1-\alpha$ . If  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^+$  and  $\mu > 0$ , then*

(i) *for every  $f \in L_m^p$*

$$(\lambda - y^\alpha B^n + \mu y^\alpha)^{-1} f = \left(\mu - B^n + \frac{\lambda}{y^\alpha}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{f}{y^\alpha}\right) \in L_{m+\alpha p}^p \cap L_m^p;$$

(ii) *the operator  $y^\alpha (\lambda - y^\alpha B^n + \mu y^\alpha)^{-1}$  is bounded in  $L_m^p$ ;*

(iii) *the operator  $\frac{1}{y^\alpha} \left(\mu - B^n + \frac{\lambda}{y^\alpha}\right)^{-1}$  is bounded in  $L_{m+\alpha p}^p$ .*

PROOF. Equality (i) is proved in Lemma 8.1 for any  $f \in C_c^\infty((0, \infty))$ . Since  $(\lambda - y^\alpha B^n + \mu y^\alpha)^{-1}$  is bounded form  $L_m^p$  into itself and  $\left(\mu - B^n + \frac{\lambda}{y^\alpha}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\cdot}{y^\alpha}\right)$  is bounded from  $L_m^p$  to  $L_{m+\alpha p}^p$ , by density, (i) holds for every  $f \in L_m^p$ . Parts (ii), (iii) are consequence of (i).  $\square$

In the next propositions we prove the boundedness of the multipliers  $N_\lambda$  and  $M_\lambda$ . We start with  $M_\lambda$ , used in [13] to characterize the domain of  $\mathcal{L} = y^\alpha (\Delta_x + B_y)$ .

**Proposition 8.3** Assume that  $\alpha^- < \frac{m+1}{p} < c+1-\alpha$  and let for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^+$ ,  $\xi \neq 0$

$$M_\lambda(\xi) = |\xi|^2 y^\alpha (\lambda - y^\alpha B^n + |\xi|^2 y^\alpha)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(L_m^p).$$

Then the family  $\left\{ |\xi|^{|\beta|} D_\xi^\beta (M_\lambda)(\xi) : \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}, |\beta| \leq N, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^+ \right\}$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ -bounded in  $L_m^p$ .

PROOF. Let  $m_\lambda(\mu) = \mu y^\alpha (\lambda - y^\alpha B^n + \mu y^\alpha)^{-1}$ ,  $\mu > 0$ .

Using Lemma 2.5 it suffices to show that the family  $\{\mu^k D_\mu^k(m_\lambda)(\mu) : \mu > 0, k \leq N, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^+\}$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ -bounded in  $L_m^p$ .

The map  $Tf = f/y^\alpha$  is an isometry of  $L_m^p$  onto  $L_{m+\alpha p}^p$  and by Corollary 8.2,

$$m_\lambda(\mu) = T^{-1} \mu \left( \mu - B^n + \frac{\lambda}{y^\alpha} \right)^{-1} T.$$

The family

$$\left\{ \mu^k D_\mu^k(\Gamma_\lambda)(\mu) : \mu > 0, k \leq N, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^+ \right\}, \quad \Gamma_\lambda(\mu) = \mu \left( \mu - B^n + \frac{\lambda}{y^\alpha} \right)^{-1}$$

is  $\mathcal{R}$ -bounded in  $L_{m+\alpha p}^p$ . Indeed,

$$\Gamma_\lambda(\mu) = \int_0^\infty \mu e^{-\mu t} e^{t(B^n - \frac{\lambda}{y^\alpha})} dt$$

and  $\left\{ e^{t(B^n - \frac{\lambda}{y^\alpha})} : t \geq 0, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^+ \right\}$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ -bounded in  $L_{m+\alpha p}^p$ , by Theorem 7.3. The  $\mathcal{R}$ -boundedness of the derivatives follows either by the resolvent equation or by differentiating the last equation under the integral and using [6, Corollary 2.14]. In fact, if  $h(\mu, t) = \mu e^{-\mu t}$ , then

$$\mu^k \int_0^\infty |D_\mu^k h(\mu, t)| dt \leq C_k, \quad \mu > 0.$$

□

Next we deal with  $N_\lambda$  which is crucial in [13] for the proof that  $\mathcal{L} = y^\alpha(\Delta_x + B_y)$  generates an analytic semigroup.

**Proposition 8.4** Assume that  $\alpha^- < \frac{m+1}{p} < c+1-\alpha$  and let for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^+$ ,  $\xi \neq 0$

$$N_\lambda(\xi) = (\lambda - y^\alpha B^n + |\xi|^2 y^\alpha)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(L_m^p).$$

Then the family

$$\left\{ |\xi|^{|\beta|} D_\xi^\beta (N_\lambda)(\xi) : \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}, |\beta| \leq N, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^+ \right\}$$

is  $\mathcal{R}$ -bounded in  $L_m^p$ .

PROOF. For  $\mu > 0$  let  $n_\lambda(\mu) = (\lambda - y^\alpha B^n + \mu y^\alpha)^{-1}$ . Using Lemma 2.5 we have to show that the family

$$\left\{ \mu^k D_\mu^k(n_\lambda)(\mu) : \mu > 0, k \leq N, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^+ \right\} \tag{9}$$

is  $\mathcal{R}$ -bounded in  $L_m^p$ .

Theorem 7.2 with  $V(y) = \mu y^\alpha$  and Proposition 8.3 imply that the families

$$\{\lambda n_\lambda(\mu) : \mu > 0, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^+\}, \quad \{\mu y^\alpha n_\lambda(\mu) : \mu > 0, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^+\} \quad (10)$$

are  $\mathcal{R}$ -bounded in  $L_m^p$ .

We have that  $n_\lambda(\cdot) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{B}(L_m^p))$  and

$$D_\mu(n_\lambda(\mu)) = -n_\lambda(\mu)y^\alpha n_\lambda(\mu). \quad (11)$$

Indeed setting  $A = \lambda - y^\alpha B_y^n$ ,  $V = y^\alpha$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n_\lambda(\mu+h) - n_\lambda(\mu)}{h} &= \frac{(A + (\mu+h)V)^{-1} - (A + \mu V)^{-1}}{h} \\ &= (A + \mu V)^{-1} \frac{(A + \mu V)(A + (\mu+h)V)^{-1} - I}{h} \\ &= -(A + \mu V)^{-1} V (A + (\mu+h)V)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

which tends to  $-n_\lambda(\mu)y^\alpha n_\lambda(\mu)$  as  $h \rightarrow 0$  in the norm of  $\mathcal{B}(L_m^p)$  since, by Corollary 8.2,

$$\mu \mapsto V(A + \mu V)^{-1} = \mu y^\alpha \left( \mu - B^n + \frac{\lambda}{y^\alpha} \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{y^\alpha}$$

is continuous from  $(0, \infty)$  to  $\mathcal{B}(L_m^p)$ . This shows (11) and then  $n_\lambda(\cdot) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{B}(L_m^p))$  and

$$D_\mu^k(n_\lambda(\mu)) = a_k n_\lambda(\mu) (y^\alpha n_\lambda(\mu))^k, \quad a_1 = -1, \quad a_{k+1} = -(k+1)a_k. \quad (12)$$

Formula (12) follows by induction after observing that, since  $y^\alpha n_\lambda(\mu)$  and its derivative  $D_\mu(y^\alpha n_\lambda) = -(y^\alpha n_\lambda(\mu))^2$  commute, then

$$D_\mu(y^\alpha n_\lambda(\mu))^k = k D_\mu(y^\alpha n_\lambda(\mu)) (y^\alpha n_\lambda(\mu))^{k-1} = -k (y^\alpha n_\lambda(\mu))^{k+1}.$$

The  $\mathcal{R}$ -boundedness of the family (9) then follows from the  $\mathcal{R}$ -boundedness of the families (10) since

$$\mu^k D_\mu^k(\lambda n_\lambda(\mu)) = a_k \lambda n_\lambda(\mu) (\mu y^\alpha n_\lambda(\mu))^{k+1}.$$

□

In order to characterize the domain of  $y^\alpha B^n - y^\alpha$ , we denote by

$$D(y^\alpha) = \{u \in L_m^p : y^\alpha u \in L_m^p\}$$

the domain of the potential  $V = y^\alpha$  in  $L_m^p$ . Recalling that Theorem 4.2 assures that  $D(y^\alpha B^n) = W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha, m)$ , we consider, for  $0 < \frac{m+1}{p} < c+1-\alpha$ , the Banach space

$$W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha, m) \cap D(y^\alpha) = \left\{ u \in W_{loc}^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}_+) : u, y^\alpha u, y^\alpha D_{yy} u, y^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} D_y u, y^{\alpha-1} D_y u \in L_m^p \right\}$$

endowed with norm  $\|y^\alpha B u\|_{L_m^p} + \|y^\alpha u\|_{L_m^p} + \|u\|_{L_m^p}$ .

**Theorem 8.5** *Let  $\alpha < 2$ ,  $\mu > 0$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then for any  $1 < p < \infty$  such that  $\alpha^- < \frac{m+1}{p} < c+1-\alpha$  the operator  $L = y^\alpha B^n - \mu y^\alpha$  with domain  $W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha, m) \cap D(y^\alpha)$  generates a bounded analytic semigroup in  $L_m^p$  which has maximal regularity. Moreover,*

$$\mathcal{D} = \{u \in C_c^\infty([0, \infty)) : u \text{ constant in a neighborhood of } 0\}$$

*is a core for  $y^\alpha B^n - \mu y^\alpha$ .*

PROOF. The generation properties as well as the maximal regularity follows from Theorem 7.2. Without any loss of generality we may assume that  $\mu = 1$ . We prove preliminarily that  $\mathcal{D}$  is dense in  $W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha, m) \cap D(y^\alpha) = D(y^\alpha B^n) \cap D(y^\alpha)$ . Let  $u \in W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha, m) \cap D(y^\alpha)$ ; up to using a standard cut-off argument we may suppose that  $\text{supp } u \subseteq [0, b]$  for some  $b > 0$ . Using Remark 4.4, let  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$  such that  $\text{supp } u_n \subseteq [0, b]$  and  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(\alpha, m)$ . Then by [12, Proposition 3.2 (ii)]

$$\|y^\alpha(u_n - u)\|_{L_m^p} \leq C\|y^{\alpha+1}(D_y u_n - D_y u)\|_{L_m^p} \leq Cb^2\|y^{\alpha-1}D_y(u_n - u)\|_{L_m^p}$$

which tends to 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This proves the density of  $\mathcal{D}$ .

Let us now characterize the domain. By definition  $D(y^\alpha B^n - y^\alpha) = (1 - y^\alpha B^n + y^\alpha)^{-1}(L_m^p)$ . Let  $u = (1 - y^\alpha B^n + y^\alpha)^{-1}f$  with  $f \in L_m^p$ . Using Corollary 8.2 (ii) we obtain

$$\|y^\alpha u\|_{L_m^p} + \|y^\alpha B^n u\|_{L_m^p} \leq C(\|(y^\alpha B^n - y^\alpha)u\|_{L_m^p} + \|u\|_{L_m^p}) \quad (13)$$

which proves the inclusion  $D(y^\alpha B^n - y^\alpha) \subseteq D(y^\alpha B^n) \cap D(y^\alpha)$ . To prove the reverse property we observe that, since the graph norm of  $y^\alpha B^n - y^\alpha$  is clearly weaker than the norm of  $D(y^\alpha B^n) \cap D(y^\alpha)$ , inequality (13) again shows that they are equivalent on  $D(y^\alpha B^n - y^\alpha)$ , in particular on  $\mathcal{D}$  which is dense in  $D(y^\alpha B^n) \cap D(y^\alpha)$ , by the previous step. Therefore  $D(y^\alpha B^n - y^\alpha) = D(y^\alpha B^n) \cap D(y^\alpha)$  and in particular  $\mathcal{D}$  is a core.  $\square$

We remark that Theorem 7.2 assures that  $y^\alpha B^n - y^\alpha$  generates a semigroup on  $L_m^p$  under the milder assumption  $0 < \frac{m+1}{p} < c+1-\alpha$  and  $c+1 > 0$ . However, the hypothesis  $(m+1)/p + \alpha > 0$  must be added when  $\alpha < 0$  in order that  $\mathcal{D} \subset D(y^\alpha)$ .

The same method yields the domain of  $B^n - \frac{1}{y^\alpha}$ , using Corollary 8.2 (iii) with  $m$  replaced by  $m - \alpha p$ .

**Corollary 8.6** *If  $\alpha^+ < \frac{m+1}{p} < c+1$ , then the domain of  $B^n - \frac{1}{y^\alpha}$  is  $W_{\mathcal{N}}^{2,p}(0, m) \cap D(\frac{1}{y^\alpha})$ .*

## References

- [1] ABRAMOWITZ, M., AND STEGUN, I. A. *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*, tenth printing ed. National Bureau of Standards Applied Mathematics Series 55, Dover, New York City, 1964.
- [2] ARENDT, W., AND BUKHVALOV, A. V. Integral representations of resolvents and semigroups. *Forum Mathematicum 6* (1994), 111–136.
- [3] CALVARUSO, G., METAFUNE, G., NEGRO, L., AND SPINA, C. Optimal kernel estimates for elliptic operators with second order discontinuous coefficients. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 485, 1 (2020), 123763.

- [4] COULHON, T., AND SIKORA, A. Gaussian heat kernel upper bounds via the phragmén–lindelöf theorem. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* 96, 2 (2008), 507–544.
- [5] DENK, R., HIEBER, M., AND PRÜSS, J.  $\mathcal{R}$ -boundedness, Fourier multipliers and problems of elliptic and parabolic type, vol. 166 (n.788) of *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society*. Amer. Math. Soc., 2003.
- [6] KUNSTMANN, P. C., AND WEIS, L. Maximal  $L^p$ -regularity for parabolic equations, fourier multiplier theorems and  $H^\infty$ -functional calculus. In *Iannelli M., Nagel R., Piazzera S. (eds) Functional Analytic Methods for Evolution Equations*, vol. 1855 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Berlin, 2004.
- [7] METAFUNE, G., NEGRO, L., SOBAJIMA, M., AND SPINA, C. Rellich inequalities in bounded domains. *Mathematische Annalen* 379 (2021), 765–824.
- [8] METAFUNE, G., NEGRO, L., AND SPINA, C. Sharp kernel estimates for elliptic operators with second-order discontinuous coefficients. *Journal of Evolution Equations* 18 (2018), 467–514.
- [9] METAFUNE, G., NEGRO, L., AND SPINA, C. Gradient estimates for elliptic operators with second-order discontinuous coefficients. *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics* 16, 138 (2019).
- [10] METAFUNE, G., NEGRO, L., AND SPINA, C. Maximal regularity for elliptic operators with second-order discontinuous coefficients. *Journal of Evolution Equations* (2020).
- [11] METAFUNE, G., NEGRO, L., AND SPINA, C.  $L^p$  estimates for the Caffarelli-Silvestre extension operators. *Submitted* (2021). Online preprint: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.10314v1>.
- [12] METAFUNE, G., NEGRO, L., AND SPINA, C. Anisotropic sobolev spaces with weights. *Submitted* (2022). Online preprint on arxiv.
- [13] METAFUNE, G., NEGRO, L., AND SPINA, C. A unified approach to degenerate problems in the half-space. *Submitted* (2022). Online preprint on arxiv.
- [14] NEGRO, L., AND SPINA, C. Asymptotic behaviour for elliptic operators with second-order discontinuous coefficients. *Forum Mathematicum* 32, 2 (2020), 399–415.
- [15] OUHABAZ, E. M. *Analysis of Heat Equations on Domains*. Princeton University Press, 2009.
- [16] PRÜSS, J., AND SIMONETT, G. *Moving Interfaces and Quasilinear Parabolic Evolution Equations*, vol. 105. Springer-Verlag, 2016.