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#### Abstract

We obtain a lower estimate for the Hilbert series of Jacobi algebras and their completions by providing analogue of the Golog-Shafarevich-Vinberg theorem for potential case. We especially treat non-homogeneous situation. This estimate allows to answer number of questions arising in the work of Wemyss-Donovan-Brown on noncommutative singularities and deformation theory. In particular, we prove that the only case when a potential algebra or its completion could be finite dimensional or of linear growth, is the case of two variables and potential having terms of degree three.
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## 1 Introduction

The Golod-Shafarevich theorem provides a lower estimate for the Hilbert series of an algebra given by generators and relations in terms of degrees of generators and defining relations [3]. Vinberg generalised the theorem for the case when relations are not homogeneous [7].

We work here in the situation when relations are not arbitrary, but obtained as (noncommutative) derivatives of one polynomial, called the potential. Such algebras are called potential or Jacobi and they frequently appear in various contexts, for example, in physics. This additional constrain allows to improve the estimate, and as a consequence, obtain important results on possible dimensions, on conditions of finite-dimensionality, other finiteness conditions, on conditions of linearity of the growth of potential algebras, which are needed in the study of contraction algebras [2, 1]. Contraction algebras serve as a noncommutative invariants of curve contractions and appear to be, as shown by Van den Bergh, potential algebras. Thus, it is important to know conditions when potential algebras are finite dimensional or of linear growth. We provide an answer to these questions in the theorem below.

The methods we develop and apply in this section work for many varieties of twisted potential algebras as well. We restrict ourselves to potential algebras for the sake of clarity.

Denote by $F_{k}$ the $k$ th graded component of the potential $F$, and by $A^{(n)}=\mathbb{K}\langle X\rangle /\left(I+J^{n}\right)$, where $A=\mathbb{K}\langle X\rangle / I$ and $J^{n}$ is an ideal generated by all monomials of degree strictly bigger than $n$.

We also denote here by $P_{A}=\sum \operatorname{dim} A^{(n)} t^{n}$ the generating function of dimensions of truncated algebras $A^{(n)}$, in stead of usual Poincare series of dimensions of components of the filtration.

We obtain a lower estimate for the Hilbert series of $A$ by estimating this series $P_{A}$, which is obviously componentwise smaller than the Hilbert series of $A$ :

$$
H_{A} \geqslant P_{A} \geqslant(1-t)^{-1}\left(1-n t+n t^{k-1}-t^{k}\right)^{-1} .
$$

Note that the series $P_{A}$ does coincide with the Hilbert series $H_{\bar{A}}$ of the completion $\bar{A}$ of algebra $A$.

Here we give two equivalent definitions of the completion of an ideal and of an algebra (??).

Definition. Consider the decreasing sequence of ideals $I^{(n)}=I+J^{n}$, where $J^{n}$ as above is an ideal generated by monomials of degree strictly bigger than $n$ :

$$
I^{n} \supset I^{(n+1)} \supset \ldots
$$

Call $\bar{I}=\cap I^{(n)}$ a completion of the ideal $I$, and corresponding algebra $\bar{A}=\mathbb{K}\langle X\rangle / \bar{I}$, the completion of an algebra $A$.

In spite of what the term of completion of an algebra should naively suggest, we have $A \supset \bar{A}$, however for an ideal the situation is not counterintuitive: $\bar{I} \supset I$.

It is easy to see that we get the same algebra, if we take quotient of the formal power series $\mathbb{K}\langle\langle X\rangle\rangle$ by the ideal generated by $I$ in $\mathbb{K}\langle\langle X\rangle\rangle$.

Definition. $\bar{A}=\mathbb{K}\langle\langle X\rangle\rangle / i d_{\mathbb{K}\langle\langle X\rangle\rangle} I$.
One could find these definitions for example in [2].
Theorem 1.1. Let $F \in \mathbb{K}^{\text {cyc }}\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$ be such that $F_{0}=\ldots=F_{k-1}=0$, and let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $n \geqslant 2, k \geqslant 3$ and $(n, k) \neq(2,3)$. Then $\bar{A}_{F}$ and thereof $A_{F}$ are infinite dimensional.

Furthermore, $\bar{A}_{F}$ and $A_{F}$ have at least cubic growth if $(n, k)=(2,4)$ or $(n, k)=(3,3)$ with cubic growth being possible in both cases, and they have exponential growth otherwise.

For $n, k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \geqslant 2$ and $m \geqslant k \geqslant 3$, denote

$$
\mathcal{P}_{n, k}^{(m)}=\left\{F \in \mathbb{K}^{\mathrm{cyc}}\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle: F_{j}=0 \text { for } j<k \text { and for } j>m\right\} .
$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{P}_{n, k}^{(m)}$ is a vector space and $\mathcal{P}_{n, k}^{(k)}=\mathcal{P}_{n, k}$. Recall that for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$and $F \in \mathcal{P}_{n, k}^{(m)}, A_{F}^{(j)}$ is the quotient of $A_{F}$ by the ideal generated by the monomials of degree $j+1$.

Then the main lemma about zero divisors state.
Lemma 1.2. Let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}, n \geqslant 2$, $m \geqslant k \geqslant 3$ and $(n, k) \neq(2,3)$, then for generic $F \in \mathcal{P}_{n, k}^{(m)}$, $x_{1} a \neq 0$ in $\bar{A}_{F}$ for every non-zero $a \in \bar{A}_{F}$.

Using this lemma and analogue of Golod-Shafarevich-Vinberg theorem for potential algebras we give a lower estimate, which implies the statements of the main theorem above.

Lemma 1.3. Let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}, n \geqslant 2, m \geqslant k \geqslant 3$ and $(n, k) \neq(2,3), F \in \mathcal{P}_{n, k}^{(m)}$ and $A=A_{F}$. Then $P_{A} \geqslant(1-t)^{-1}\left(1-n t+n t^{k-1}-t^{k}\right)^{-1}$.

Proof given here is a little more detailed version of the argument appeared in [4].

## 2 Preliminary homogeneous results

We will need two examples. We say that potential algebra is exact if associated potential complex is exact [5].

Example 2.1. Let $n$ and $k$ be integers such that $k \geqslant n \geqslant 2, k \geqslant 3$ and $(n, k) \neq(2,3)$. Consider the potential $F \in \mathcal{P}_{n, k}$ given by

$$
F=\sum_{\sigma \in S_{n-1}} x_{n}^{k-n+1} x_{\sigma(1)} \ldots x_{\sigma(n-1)}^{\circlearrowleft}
$$

where the sum is taken over all bijections from the set $\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ to itself. Then the potential algebra $\bar{A}_{F}=A_{F}$ is exact. Furthermore, $x_{1} u \neq 0$ for every non-zero $u \in A$.

Example 2.2. Let $n$ and $k$ be integers such that $n>k \geqslant 3$. Order the generators by $x_{n}>x_{n-1}>\ldots>x_{1}$ and consider the left-to-right degree-lexicographical ordering on the monomials. Consider the set $M$ of degree $k-2$ monomials in $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}$ in which each letter $x_{j}$ features at most once. Let $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n-1}$ be the top $n-1$ monomials in $M$ enumerated in such a way that $m_{n-k+1}=x_{n-1} \ldots x_{n-k+2}$ (the biggest one). Now define the potential $F \in \mathcal{P}_{n, k}$ by

$$
F=x_{n} x_{n-1} \ldots x_{n-k+1}^{\circlearrowleft}+\sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant j \leqslant n-1 \\ j \neq n-k+1}} x_{j} x_{n} m_{j}^{\circlearrowleft}
$$

Then the potential algebra $A=A_{F}$ is exact. Furthermore, $x_{1} u \neq 0$ for every non-zero $u \in A$.
Lemma 2.3. Let $\mathbb{K}$ be uncountable field, $n, k \in \mathbb{N}, n \geqslant 2, k \geqslant 3$ and $(n, k) \neq(2,3)$. Then for a generic $F \in \mathcal{P}_{n, k}, x_{1} a \neq 0$ in $A_{F}$ for every non-zero $a \in A_{F}$.

Proof. Let $F_{0}$ be the potential provided by the appropriate (depending on whether $k \geqslant n$ or $k<n$ ) Example 2.1 or Example 2.2. Then $x_{1} a \neq 0$ in $A_{F_{0}}$ for every non-zero $a \in A_{F_{0}}$ and $H_{A_{F_{0}}}=\left(1-n t+n t^{k-1}-t^{k}\right)^{-1}$. As was noticed by Ufnarovskij, the generic Hilbert series is minimal, hence $H_{A_{F}}=\left(1-n t+n t^{k-1}-t^{k}\right)^{-1}$ for generic $F \in \mathcal{P}_{n, k}$. Applying Lemma 3.9 from [5] to the map $a \mapsto x_{1} a$ from $A_{F}$ to $A_{F}$, we now see that $\operatorname{dim} x_{1}\left(A_{F}\right)_{j} \geqslant \operatorname{dim} x_{1}\left(A_{F_{0}}\right)_{j}$ for all $j$ for generic $F \in \mathcal{P}_{n, k}$. Since $\operatorname{dim} x_{1}\left(A_{F_{0}}\right)_{j}=\operatorname{dim}\left(A_{F_{0}}\right)_{j}=\operatorname{dim}\left(A_{F}\right)_{j}$ for generic $F$, the map $a \mapsto x_{1} a$ from $A_{F}$ to itself is injective for generic $F$.

## 3 Main Lemma about zero divisors

Lemma 3.1. Let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}, n \geqslant 2, m \geqslant k \geqslant 3$ and $(n, k) \neq(2,3)$, then for generic $F \in \mathcal{P}_{n, k}^{(m)}$, $x_{1} a \neq 0$ in $\bar{A}_{F}$ for every non-zero $a \in \bar{A}_{F}$.

Proof. Assume the contrary. Then there exist $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$and $a \in \mathbb{K}\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$ such that $a \neq 0$ in $A_{F}^{(j)}$ and $x_{1} a=0$ in $A_{F}^{(j+1)}$. The latter means that

$$
x_{1} a=\sum_{j \in N} u_{j} r_{s(j)} v_{j}\left(\bmod J^{(j+1)}\right),
$$

where $r_{j}=\delta_{x_{j}} F, N$ is a finite set, $s$ is a map from $N$ to $\{1, \ldots n\}, u_{j}, v_{j}$ are non-zero homogeneous elements of $\mathbb{K}\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$ such that the degree of each $u_{j} v_{j}$ does not exceed $j-k+2$, and the equality $f=g(\bmod J)$ means $f-g \in J$. Let $m$ be the lowest degree of $u_{j} v_{j}$ and $N^{\prime}=\left\{j \in N: \operatorname{deg} u_{j} v_{j}=m\right\}$. Then the smallest degree part of the above display reads

$$
x_{1} a_{m+k-2}=\sum_{j \in N^{\prime}} u_{j} \rho_{s(j)} v_{j} \quad \text { in } \mathbb{K}\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle,
$$

where $\rho_{j}=\delta_{x_{j}} F_{k}$. Note that automatically $a_{q}=0$ for $q<m+k-2$.
Consider two cases, depending on whether the left hand side of the lower degree term is zero or not.

Case I. If $x_{1} a_{m+k-2}=0$, then we have an equality

$$
0=\sum u_{j} \rho_{s(j)} v_{j},
$$

which means that it is a syzygy of $\rho_{i}$ th. We will use the following fact.
Definition. We say that $F \in \mathbb{K}^{\text {cyc }}\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$ is $S$-trivial if the module of syzygies of $A_{F}$ presented by generators $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ and relations $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}$ with $r_{j}=\delta_{x_{j}} F$ is generated by trivial syzygies and the syzygy $\sum\left[x_{j}, r_{j}\right]$.
Proposition 3.2. Any homogeneous potential in general position is $S$-trivial.
Proof. First, we observe that any 'extra' syzygy will 'drop' the dimension of the corresponding component of the ideal of relations thus increasing the dimension of the component of the algebra compared to the minimal Hilbert series. This follows from the fact that the module of syzygies of relations is generated by polynomials obtained from the resolutions of ambiguities of the Gröbner basis [6].

Thus, if Hilbert series is minimal, all syzygies must be generated by one syzygy. Now we remind the fact observed, for example, by Ufnarovskij [8], that generic series is minimal.

Due to the above proposition we have

$$
\sum_{j \in N} u_{j} \rho_{s(j)} v_{j}=\sum_{k} \alpha_{k} \sum_{i}\left[\rho_{i}, x_{i}\right] \beta_{k}
$$

We can rewrite the initial equality

$$
x_{1} a=\sum_{j \in N} u_{j} r_{s(j)} v_{j}\left(\bmod J^{(j+1)}\right),
$$

adding the appropriate combination of syzygies (which is zero) to the right hand side:

$$
x_{1} a=\sum u_{j} r_{s(j)} v_{j}-\sum \alpha_{k} \sum_{i}\left[r_{i}, x_{i}\right] \beta_{k}\left(\bmod J^{(j+1)}\right)
$$

Now the lowest term of the right hand side become bigger. Indeed, the lowest terms cancel, since the lowest degree term of $\sum_{j \in N} u_{j} r_{s(j)} v_{j}$ coincides with the lowest degree term of $\sum_{j \in N} u_{j} \rho_{s(j)} v_{j}$, and the lowest degree term of $\sum \alpha_{k}\left[r_{i}, x_{i}\right] \beta_{k}$ coincides with the lowest degree term of $\sum \alpha_{k}\left[\rho_{i}, x_{i}\right] \beta_{k}$.

Now for newly rewritten equality we again write down the lowest terms of the left and right hand side. If for the left hand side it is again zero: $x_{1} a_{m^{\prime}+k-2}=0$, we continue the process of lifting up the lowest degree on the right hand side, as described in Case I.

Case II. Otherwise we are in Case II, when the left hand side $x_{1} a_{m^{\prime}+k-2} \neq 0$. The lowest term is from the left hand side now. We will find a presentation, where the lower degree of the left hand side is bigger.

Due to the fact that the statement of our lemma 3.1 holds true in the case of homogeneous potential, which is proved in Lemma 2.3, we can deduce that

$$
a_{m^{\prime}+k-2}=\sum_{p \in M} f_{p} \rho_{t(p)} g_{p}
$$

where $M$ is a finite set, $t$ is a map from $M$ to $\{1, \ldots n\}, f_{p}, g_{p}$ are non-zero homogeneous elements of $\mathbb{K}\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$, such that the degree of each $f_{p} g_{p}$ is $m-1$. Now we replace $a$ by

$$
a^{\prime}=a-\sum_{p \in M} f_{p} r_{t(p)} g_{p}
$$

Note that $a=a^{\prime}$ in $\bar{A}_{F}$ and therefore $a=a^{\prime}$ in $A_{F}^{(j)}$ and $x_{1} a=x_{1} a^{\prime}$ in $A_{F}^{(j+1)}$. So $a^{\prime}$ satisfies the same properties as $a$ with the only essential difference being that $a_{m^{\prime}+k-2}^{\prime}=0$.

Now we can repeat the process chipping off the homogeneous degree-components of left and right hand sides of equality from bottom up one by one until at the final step we arrive to a contradiction with $a \neq 0$ in $A_{F}^{(j)}$.

## 4 Proof of the main estimate using Golod-ShafarevichVinberg type argument

Lemma 4.1. Let $n, k \in \mathbb{N}, n \geqslant 2, m \geqslant k \geqslant 3$ and $(n, k) \neq(2,3), F \in \mathcal{P}_{n, k}^{(m)}$ and $A=\bar{A}_{F}$. Then $P_{A} \geqslant(1-t)^{-1}\left(1-n t+n t^{k-1}-t^{k}\right)^{-1}$.

Proof. First, observe that exchanging the ground field $\mathbb{K}$ for a field extension does not affect the series $P_{A}$. Thus we can without loss of generality assume that $\mathbb{K}$ is uncountable. For $j \in$ $\mathbb{Z}_{+}$, let $b_{j}$ be Taylor coefficients of the rational function $Q(t)=(1-t)^{-1}\left(1-n t+n t^{k-1}-t^{k}\right)^{-1}$ (that is, $Q(t)=\sum b_{j} t^{j}$ ) and $a_{j}=\min \left\{\operatorname{dim} A_{G}^{(j)}: G \in \mathcal{P}_{n, k}^{(m)}\right\}$. The proof will be complete if we show that $a_{j}=b_{j}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$. Denote $P=\sum a_{j} t^{j}$. First, note that Examples 2.1 and 2.2, provide $G \in \mathcal{P}_{n, k} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{n, k}^{(m)}$ for which $H_{G}=\left(1-n t+n t^{k-1}-t^{k}\right)^{-1}$. It immediately follows that $P_{G}=Q$. By definition of $P$ (minimality of $a_{j}$ ), we then have $P \leqslant Q$, that is, $a_{j} \leqslant b_{j}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$.

For a generic $G \in \mathcal{P}_{n, k}^{(m)} P_{A_{G}}=P$ according to nonhomogeneous generalisation of Ufnarovskij's observation. Moreover, by lemma 3.1, for a generic $G \in \mathcal{P}_{n, k}^{(m)}$, we have that
$x_{1} a \neq 0$ in $\bar{A}_{G}$ for every non-zero $a \in \bar{A}_{G}$. In particular, we can pick a single $G \in \mathcal{P}_{n, k}^{(m)}$ such that for $B=\bar{A}_{G}, P_{B}=P$ and $x_{1} a \neq 0$ in $\bar{A}_{G}$ for every non-zero $a \in \bar{A}_{G}$.

According to Lemma 3.1, we then have that for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}, x_{1} b \neq 0$ in $B^{(j+1)}$ for every $b \in \mathbb{K}\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$ such that $b \neq 0$ in $B^{(j)}$. This property allows us to pick inductively (starting with $M_{0}=\{1\}$ ) sets $M_{j}$ of monomials of degree $j$ such that $M_{j+1} \supseteq x_{1} M_{j}$ and $N_{j}=M_{0} \cup \ldots \cup M_{j}$ is a linear basis in $B^{(j)}$ for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$. For every $j$, let $B_{j}^{+}$be the linear span of $N_{j}$ and $B_{j}^{++}$be the linear span of $N_{j} \backslash x_{1} N_{j-1}$ in $\mathbb{K}\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$. Clearly $P_{B}=\sum\left(\operatorname{dim} B_{j}^{+}\right) t^{j}$ and therefore $a_{j}=\operatorname{dim} B_{j}^{+}$for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$.

Let also $\pi^{(j)}$ be the natural projection of $\mathbb{K}\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$ onto the linear span of monomials of length $\leqslant j$ along $J^{(j)}$ (in fact, $\pi^{(j)}: \mathbb{K}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[X]^{(j)}$ ). As usual, let $V$ be the linear span of $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, r_{j}=\delta_{x_{j}} G, R$ be the linear span of $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}$ and $I$ be the ideal generated by $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}$ (=the ideal of relations of $B$ ). For the sake of brevity denote $\Phi=\mathbb{K}\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$.

Now we argue in a way similar to the Golod-Shafarevich-Vinberg theorem ??, but incorporating at some point the syzygy $\sum\left[x_{j}, r_{j}\right]=0$ which holds for any potential algebra.

Obviously, $I=V I+R \Phi$. Then $\pi^{(j+1)}(I)=V \pi^{(j)}(I)+\pi^{(j+1)}(R \Phi)$ for every $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$. Using the definition of $B_{j}^{+}$and the fact that each $r_{j}$ starts at degree $\geqslant k-1$, we obtain

$$
\pi^{(j+1)}(I)=V \pi^{(j)}(I)+\pi^{(j+1)}\left(R B_{j+2-k}^{+}\right) .
$$

Since $\sum\left[x_{j}, r_{j}\right]=0$ in $\Phi$, we can get rid of $r_{1} x_{1}$ :

$$
V \pi^{(j)}(I)+\pi^{(j+1)}\left(R B_{j+2-k}^{+}\right)=V \pi^{(j)}(I)+\pi^{(j+1)}\left(R^{\prime} B_{j+2-k}^{+}+r_{1} B_{j+2-k}^{++}\right)
$$

where $R^{\prime}$ is the linear span of $r_{2}, \ldots, r_{n}$. Thus

$$
\pi^{(j+1)}(I)=V \pi^{(j)}(I)+\pi^{(j+1)}\left(R^{\prime} B_{j+2-k}^{+}+r_{1} B_{j+2-k}^{++}\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{dim} \pi^{(j+1)}(I) \leqslant \operatorname{dim} V \pi^{(j)}(I)+\operatorname{dim} R^{\prime} B_{j+2-k}^{+}+\operatorname{dim} r_{1} B_{j+2-k}^{++} \\
=n \operatorname{dim} \pi^{(j)}(I)+(n-1) \operatorname{dim} B_{j+2-k}^{+}+\operatorname{dim} B_{j+2-k}^{++} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Plugging the equalities $\operatorname{dim} B_{j}^{+}=a_{j}, \operatorname{dim} B_{j}^{++}=a_{j}-a_{j-1}$ (since multiplication by $x_{1}$ is injective, we assume also $a_{s}=0$ for $s<0$ ), and $\operatorname{dim} \pi^{(j)}(I)=1+n+\ldots+n^{j}-a_{j}$ into the inequality in the above display, we get

$$
1+\ldots+n^{j+1}-a_{j+1} \leqslant n+\ldots+n^{j+1}-n a_{j}+n a_{j+2-k}-a_{j+1-k} .
$$

Hence $a_{j+1} \geqslant n a_{j}-n a_{j+2-k}+a_{j+1-k}-1$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that the Taylor coefficients $b_{j}$ of $Q$ satisfy $b_{j+1}=n b_{j}-n b_{j+2-k}+b_{j+1-k}-1$ for $j \geqslant k-1$. It is also elementary to verify that $a_{j}=b_{j}$ for $0 \leqslant j \leqslant k-1$. Now for $c_{j}=b_{j}-a_{j}$, we have $c_{j}=0$ for $0 \leqslant j \leqslant k-1, c_{j} \geqslant 0$ for $j \geqslant k$ and $c_{j+1} \leqslant n c_{j}-n c_{j+2-k}+c_{j+1-k}$ for $j \geqslant k-1$. The only sequence satisfying these conditions is easily seen to be the zero sequence. Hence $a_{j}=b_{j}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$, which completes the proof.

Now Theorem 1.1 is a direct consequence of Lemma 4.1. Indeed, every potential $F$ on $n$ variables starting in degree $\geqslant k$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}_{n, k}^{(m)}$ for $m$ large enough and Lemma 4.1 provides at least cubic growth of $\bar{A}_{F}$ in the case $(n, k)=(3,3)$ or $(n, k)=(2,4)$ and exponential growth otherwise.
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