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#### Abstract

Using techniques for Caccioppoli inequality, on a fairly general class of complete non-compact Kähler manifolds with sub-quadratic volume growth, we show uniqueness of bounded $C^{1,1}$ solution to Monge-Ampere equation. This does not a priori require any decay of the solution.


## 1 Introduction

In an arbitrary Kähler class on a closed Kähler manifold with vanishing $c_{1}$, uniqueness of Ricci flat metric can be proved via integration by parts [2, 18]. On a non-compact complete Kähler manifold, we show that under subquadratic volume growth and other mild conditions, even without decay, there is still an integration by parts argument for uniqueness of bounded solution to Monge-Ampere equation. The technique follows Caccioppoli inequality on regularity for elliptic equations. For example, see [1, 7, 8, 14].

A sub-harmonic function on a compact manifold must be a constant. In contrast and in general, the same fails on Euclidean domains even if we prescribe constant boundary value. For example, the function $|X|^{2}-1$ is subharmonic and vanishes on the boundary of the unit ball centered at the origin. Via this viewpoint, a complete non-compact Riemannian manifold is in between: it is not compact, but it is complete as a metric space and has no boundary. Our result shows, under the volume growth condition, a subsolution to Monge-Ampere equation with constant density 1 is still a constant.

Theorem 1.1. Let $(M, \omega)$ be a complete non-compact Kähler manifold of complex dimension $n \geq 2$ and sub-quadratic volume growth. Let $f$ be a continuous function bounded from above on $M$.

1. Suppose $\omega$ has strictly sub-quadratic volume growth. Then any bounded $C^{1,1}$ sub-solution, super-solution, or solution $\phi$ to

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\omega+i \partial \bar{\partial} \phi)^{n}=\omega^{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a constant.

[^0]2. Suppose $e^{f}-1$ is integrable i.e. $\int_{M}\left|e^{f}-1\right| \omega^{n}<+\infty$. Then any bouned $C^{1,1}$ solution $\phi$ to
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\omega+i \partial \bar{\partial} \phi)^{n}=e^{f} \omega^{n} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

has bounded Dirichlet energy i.e. $\int_{M}\left|\nabla_{\omega} \phi\right|^{2} \omega^{n} \leq c_{D E}<+\infty$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{D E}=1000 n^{4 n+4}|\phi|_{C^{0}(M)}\left[(1+K)^{2 n} c_{\text {vol }}+\left|e^{f}-1\right|_{L^{1}\left(M, \omega^{n}\right)}\right] . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. Suppose additionally that $\omega$ satisfies weak Neumann Poincare inequality on annulus. Then any bounded $C^{1,1}$ solution $\phi$ to (1) is a constant.

The constant (3) might not be optimal, but is effective. The terms involved are defined below.

Definition 1.2. A bounded $C^{1,1}$ solution, sub-solution, or super-solution to (2) is a real-valued function $\phi$ with the following properties.

- $\phi$ is twice continuously differentiable under the holomorphic (smooth) manifold structure (not necessarily with norm bound).
- $\phi$ satisfies (2), $(\omega+i \partial \bar{\partial} \phi)^{n} \geq e^{f} \omega^{n}$, or $(\omega+i \partial \bar{\partial} \phi)^{n} \leq e^{f} \omega^{n}$ respectively.
- There is a positive number $K(<\infty)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\phi|_{C^{0}(M)}=\sup _{M}|\phi|<\infty \text { and } 0<\omega_{\phi} \leq K \omega \text { on the whole } M . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix a point $o \in M$ as distance origin and center of balls. We say a complete non-compact Kähler metric $\omega$ has sub-quadratic volume growth, if there is a positive sequence $\rho_{i} \rightarrow+\infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left[B\left(2 \rho_{i}\right) \backslash B\left(\rho_{i}\right)\right]}{\rho_{i}^{2}}<+\infty . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value of the existing limit superior is denoted by $c_{v o l}$. We say such an $\omega$ has strictly sub-quadratic volume growth if $c_{v o l}=0$ i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left[B\left(2 \rho_{i}\right) \backslash B\left(\rho_{i}\right)\right]}{\rho_{i}^{2}}=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, we say it satisfies weak Neumann Poincare inequality on annulus if there is $\underline{\rho}_{i} \rightarrow \infty$ and $\mu_{i}$, such that $\underline{\rho}_{i} \leq \rho_{i}$ when $i$ is large, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B\left(2 \rho_{i}\right) \backslash B\left(\rho_{i}\right)}\left|\phi-\mu_{i}\right|^{2} \leq c_{P} \rho_{i}^{2} \int_{\left\{r \geq \underline{\rho}_{i}\right\}}|\nabla \phi|^{2}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{P}$ is independent of $i$ or $\phi$, as long as $\phi$ is twice continuously differentiable. Our argument (19) below is independent of $\mu_{i}$.

Suppose we have two solutions $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ to the general volume form equation (2). Fo uniqueness, as long as the conditions hold, we can apply Theorem 1.1. 1 or 1.1. 3 with reference metric being $\omega+i \partial \bar{\partial} \phi_{1}$ or $\omega+i \partial \bar{\partial} \phi_{2}$, and $\phi$ being $\pm\left(\phi_{2}-\phi_{1}\right)$ respectively.

Theorem 1.1 partially addresses the uniqueness of Tian-Yau solutions [17, Theorem 1.1]. This particular result is under sub-quadratic volume growth, and their solution is bounded $C^{1,1}$. Let "unique...(up to constant)" abbreviates "unique...up to addition by a real constant".

Corollary 1.3. Let $2>\alpha \geq 1$. If the $(K, 2, \beta)-$ polynomial growth condition is strengthened to $(K, \alpha, \beta)$, the Tian-Yau solution $\varphi$ in 17, Theorem 1.1] is the unique bounded $C^{1,1}$ solution (up to constant) to the Monge-Ampere equation [17, (1.1)]. The solution $u$ in Hein's version [10, Prop 4.1], under $S O B(\beta)$-condition, $\beta \leq 2$, is the unique bounded $C^{1,1}$ solution (up to constant) to the Monge-Ampere equation therein. Consequently, the following holds.

- The Tian-Yau Ricci-flat space [17, Theorem 4.1] of volume growth $O\left(r^{\frac{2 n}{n+1}}\right)$ is the unique solution (up to constant) to the Monge-Ampere equation 17 , (1.1)] with reference metric $\omega_{N}$ [17, (4.4)].
- All the gravitational instantons in Hein's construction [11, Theorem 1.5] are unique solutions (up to constant) to corresponding Monge-Ampere equations in [10, Prop 4.1].

Our sub-quadratic volume growth is a sequential condition, and is apparently implied by that ball of radius $R$ (centered at the base point) has volume $\leq C R^{2}$, for large $R$ cf. [17, Definition 1.1]. Suppose the weak Poincare inequality (7) on annulus is implied by $(K, 2, \beta)$-polynomial growth and other conditions in [17, Theorem 1.1]. Then uniqueness of Tian-Yau solution [17, Theorem 1.1] holds in full generality. Nevertheless, for Hein's version [10, Prop 4.1], we do have Poincare inequality [10, Prop 3.4]. Therefore uniqueness also holds for rigorous quadratic volume growth i.e. $S O B(2)$-case.

Under faster than quadratic volume growth, uniqueness of Monge-Ampere solutions is implied by certain decay on the Kähler potential $\phi$. See [13, 8.5 Theorem A4] for example. Geometric uniqueness of Ricci flat metrics, as in [5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 13, 16, usually involves both Monge-Ampere uniqueness and $i \partial \bar{\partial}$-lemma under decay conditions. For recent work on Liouville theorem of Monge-Ampere equations on product manifolds, see Hein [12] for example. For recent work on Liouville theorem of metric Laplacians on certain noncompact complete manifolds, see Sun-Zhang [15] and Carron [3] for examples. For earlier work on rigidity of harmonic functions on non-compact complete Riemannian manifolds, see Cheng-Yau [4] for example.

## 2 Proof

Convention: Unless otherwise specified, the metric for gradient is $\omega$. The integrals and volumes are with respect to the top degree form $\omega^{n}$.

## For Theorem 1.1.1

Write $\omega_{\phi}$ for $\omega+i \partial \bar{\partial} \phi$. We have the difference

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\phi}^{n}-\omega^{n}=i \partial \bar{\partial} \phi \wedge Q \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q=\omega_{\phi}^{n-1}+\omega_{\phi}^{n-2} \wedge \omega+\ldots+\omega^{n-1}$. By positivity and $C^{1,1}-$ condition (4), we verify

$$
\omega^{n-1} \leq Q \leq n^{2 n}(1+K)^{n} \omega^{n-1}
$$

Actually, any constant depending only on the data in Theorem 1.1 and Definition 1.2 suffices, but we want explicit constant, though not necessarily optimal. Moreover,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
i \partial \bar{\partial} \phi \wedge Q \geq 0 \text { for subsolution, and }  \tag{9}\\
i \partial \bar{\partial} \phi \wedge Q \leq 0 \text { for super-solution. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Because $\phi$ is bounded,

- if it is a sub-solution, by adding a constant if necessary, we assume $\min \phi \geq 1 ;$
- if it is a super-solution, by adding a constant if necessary, we assume $\max \phi \leq-1$.

Let $\chi$ be compactly supported Lipschitz function. We multiply both hand sides in (9) by $\chi^{2} \phi$. In either case, because of the definite sign of $\chi^{2} \phi$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi^{2} \phi \cdot i \partial \bar{\partial} \phi \wedge Q \geq 0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We integrate (10) by parts:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} \chi^{2} i \partial \phi \wedge \bar{\partial} \phi \wedge Q \leq-2 \int_{M} \phi \chi \cdot i \partial \chi \wedge \bar{\partial} \phi \wedge Q \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The left side of (11) is bounded from below by

$$
\int_{M} \chi^{2} i \partial \phi \wedge \bar{\partial} \phi \wedge Q \geq \int_{M} \chi^{2} i \partial \phi \wedge \bar{\partial} \phi \wedge \omega^{n-1} \geq \frac{1}{2 n} \int_{M} \chi^{2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} .
$$

On the other hand, Cauchy-Schwartz yields an upper bound on the right side of (11):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|-2 \int_{M} \phi \chi i \partial \chi \wedge \bar{\partial} \phi \wedge Q\right| \leq 2 n^{2 n}(1+K)^{n} \int_{M}|\phi||\chi\|\nabla \chi\| \nabla \phi| \\
\leq & 2 n^{2 n}(1+K)^{n}\left(\int_{M} \chi^{2}|\nabla \phi|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{M} \phi^{2}|\nabla \chi|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The above two inequalities and (11) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} \chi^{2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} \leq 4 n^{2 n+1}(1+K)^{n}\left(\int_{M} \chi^{2}|\nabla \phi|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{M} \phi^{2}|\nabla \chi|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Either $\int_{M} \chi^{2}|\nabla \phi|^{2}$ is 0 or not, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} \chi^{2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} \leq 16 n^{4 n+2}(1+K)^{2 n} \int_{M} \phi^{2}|\nabla \chi|^{2} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $\chi$ be the following piece-wise linear function in the distance $r$ from the base point $o$, and $\rho>0$.

$$
\chi(r)=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
1 \text { when } r \leq \rho,  \tag{14}\\
2-\frac{r}{\rho} \text { when } \rho \leq r \leq 2 \rho, \\
0 \text { when } r \geq 2 \rho .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Apparently, $\chi$ is Lipschitz. We find

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{B(\rho)}|\nabla \phi|^{2} \leq \frac{16 n^{4 n+2}(1+K)^{2 n}}{\rho^{2}} \int_{B(2 \rho) \backslash B(\rho)}|\phi|^{2} \\
\leq & 16 n^{4 n+2}(1+K)^{2 n}|\phi|_{C^{0}(M)} \cdot \frac{V o l[B(2 \rho) \backslash B(\rho)]}{\rho^{2}} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\rho=\rho_{i}$ and $i \rightarrow \infty$. Monotone convergence theorem implies $|\nabla \phi|^{2}$ is integrable on $M$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}|\nabla \phi|^{2} \leq 16 n^{4 n+2}(1+K)^{2 n}|\phi|_{C^{0}(M)} \cdot \limsup _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left[B\left(2 \rho_{i}\right) \backslash B\left(\rho_{i}\right)\right]}{\rho_{i}^{2}}=0 . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means $\phi$ is a constant.

## For Theorem 1.1. 2

In this case we do not add any constant to $\phi$. It is a solution by assumption. The equality still holds if we multiply $i \partial \bar{\partial} \phi \wedge Q=\left(e^{f}-1\right) \omega^{n}$ by $\chi^{2} \phi$. The same argument (8) -(12) with $e^{f} \omega^{n}$ instead of $\omega^{n}$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{M} \chi^{2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} \\
\leq & 4 n^{2 n+1}(1+K)^{n}\left(\int_{M} \chi^{2}|\nabla \phi|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{M} \phi^{2}|\nabla \chi|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+\int_{M} \chi^{2}\left|\phi\left(e^{f}-1\right)\right| \\
\leq & 4 n^{2 n+1}(1+K)^{n}\left(\int_{M} \chi^{2}|\nabla \phi|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{M} \phi^{2}|\nabla \chi|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+|\phi|_{C^{0}(M)} \cdot\left|e^{f}-1\right|_{L^{1}(M)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The following elementary claim is proved by completing square.

Claim 2.1. Let $a, b, c, d$ be non-negative numbers such that

$$
a \leq 2 d \sqrt{a} \cdot \sqrt{b}+c .
$$

Then $a \leq 2 d^{2} b+c+2 \sqrt{d^{2} b\left(d^{2} b+c\right)} \leq 4 d^{2} b+3 c$.
We then find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} \chi^{2}|\nabla \phi|^{2} \leq 64 n^{4 n+2}(1+K)^{2 n} \int_{M} \phi^{2}|\nabla \chi|^{2}+3|\phi|_{C^{0}(M)} \cdot\left|e^{f}-1\right|_{L^{1}(M)} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Still let $\rho=\rho_{i}$ and $i \rightarrow \infty$. Same argument as (15) and (16) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}|\nabla \phi|^{2} \leq 64 n^{4 n+2}(1+K)^{2 n} c_{v o l}|\phi|_{C^{0}(M)}+3|\phi|_{C^{0}(M)} \cdot\left|e^{f}-1\right|_{L^{1}(M)} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We enlarge the constant to (3).

## For Theorem 1.1. 3

By monotone convergence theorem, the established Dirichlet energy bound implies

$$
\lim _{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\{r \geq \rho\}}|\nabla \phi|^{2}=0 .
$$

We apply the argument last section to $\phi-\mu_{i}$, which is also a solution. Multiplying $i \partial \bar{\partial} \phi \wedge Q=0$ by $\chi^{2}\left(\phi-\mu_{i}\right)$, we still have equality. The assumed Poincaré inequality (7) and derivation of (15) shows

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{B\left(\rho_{i}\right)}|\nabla \phi|^{2}=\int_{B\left(\rho_{i}\right)}\left|\nabla\left(\phi-\mu_{i}\right)\right|^{2} \leq \frac{16 n^{4 n+2}(1+K)^{2 n}}{\rho_{i}^{2}} \int_{B\left(2 \rho_{i}\right) \backslash B\left(\rho_{i}\right)}\left|\phi-\mu_{i}\right|^{2} \\
\leq & 16 n^{4 n+2}(1+K)^{2 n} c_{P} \int_{\left\{r \geq \underline{\rho}_{i}\right\}}|\nabla \phi|^{2} \text { which } \longrightarrow 0 \text { as } i \rightarrow \infty . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

This again says $\int_{M}|\nabla \phi|^{2}=0$ and $\phi$ is a constant.

## For Corollary 1.3

It is obvious from the general uniqueness 1.1 and the volume growth condition. Because the density data $f$ in [17, Theorem 1.1] and [10, Proposition 3.4] are bounded on the whole $M$ i.e. there exists a positive (finite) number $c_{0}$ such that $|f| \leq c_{0}$, any bounded $C^{1,1}$-solution $v$ yields $\omega_{0}+i \partial \bar{\partial} v$ quasi-isometric to the reference metric $\omega_{0}$ i.e. there is a $c_{1}>0$ possibly depending on $v$ and $f$ such that

$$
\frac{\omega_{0}}{c_{1}} \leq \omega_{0}+i \partial \bar{\partial} v \leq c_{1} \omega_{0}
$$

In conjunction with the remark above Corollary 1.3, if there are two solutions $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$, use $\omega_{\phi_{1}}$ as the new reference metric and denote it by $\omega$, and denote $\phi_{2}-\phi_{1}$ by $\phi$. The volume form of $\omega$ and $\omega_{\phi}$ coincide. We apply Theorem 1.1.

When $\alpha<2$ in the $(K, \alpha, \beta)$-condition [17, Definition 1.1], or $\beta<2$ in Hein's $S O B(\beta)$-condition, by quasi-isometry, $\omega$ has strict sub-quadratic volume growth. Then Theorem [1.1, 1 yields the result.

We elaborate more for $S O B(2)$. We do not know whether the solution $\omega$ is $S O B(2)$, though $\omega_{0}$ is by assumption. Nevertheless, the interior Neumann Poincare inequality [10, Proposition 3.4] for SOB(2)-reference metric $\omega_{0}$ and the quasi-isometry

$$
\frac{\omega}{c_{2}} \leq \omega_{\phi} \leq c_{2} \omega
$$

still implies the weak Neumann Poincare inequality (7) for $\omega$. Namely, fix a single base point $o$ for both $\omega_{0}$ and $\omega$. For large enough $c_{3}$ independent of $i$ such that the ball $B\left(4 \rho_{i}\right)$ with respect to $\omega$ is contained in the ball $B\left(c_{3} \rho_{i}\right)$ with respect to $\omega_{0}$, and the ball $B\left(\frac{\rho_{i}}{2}\right)$ with respect to $\omega$ contains the ball $B\left(\frac{\rho_{i}}{c_{3}}\right)$ with respect to $\omega_{0}$, we assign the data

$$
r_{1}=\frac{\rho_{i}}{c_{3}}, s=\frac{\rho_{i}}{1000 c_{3}}, r_{2}=c_{3} \rho_{i}, \kappa=0
$$

on radius and other to the ball and annuli in [10, Proposition 3.4] for the $S O B(2)$-reference metric $\omega_{0}$. When $i$ is large, [10, (3.4)] implies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{B\left(2 \rho_{i}\right) \backslash B\left(\rho_{i}\right)}\left|h-h_{A\left(\frac{\rho_{i}}{c_{3}}, c_{3} \rho_{i}\right)}\right|^{2} \omega^{n} \\
& \leq c_{3}^{\prime} \\
& \int_{B\left(c_{3} \rho_{i}\right) \backslash B\left(\frac{\rho_{i}}{c_{3}}\right)}\left|h-h_{A\left(\frac{\rho_{i}}{c_{3}}, c_{3} \rho_{i}\right)}\right|^{2} \omega_{0}^{n} \leq c_{4} \rho_{i}^{2} \int_{\left\{r \geq \frac{\rho_{i}}{10 c_{3}}\right\}}\left|\nabla_{\omega_{0}} f\right|^{2} \omega_{0}^{n}  \tag{20}\\
& \leq c_{5} \rho_{i}^{2} \int_{\left\{r \geq \frac{\rho_{i}}{c_{5}}\right\}}|\nabla f|^{2} \omega^{n},
\end{align*}
$$

where the large enough positive constants $c_{3}^{\prime}, c_{4}, c_{5}$ are independent of $\rho_{i}$ or the arbitrary twice differentiable function $h$, and $h_{A\left(\frac{\rho_{i}}{c_{3}}, c_{3} \rho_{i}\right)}$ is the $\omega_{0}^{n}$-average of $h$ on the closed annulus therein. Note $h_{A\left(\frac{\rho_{i}, c_{3}}{c_{3}} \rho_{i}\right)}$ is also applied in the first line of (20) in the integration against $\omega^{n}$. Related to $c_{4}$, the volume ratio $N$ in [10, (3.4)] is bounded (from above) by the volume constants in $S O B(2)$ condition [10, Definition 3.1] (including the Ricci lower bound). This fulfills requirement (7) because $\frac{\rho_{i}}{c_{5}}$ still approaches $\infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Then apply Theorem 1.1.3.
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