

ADMISSIBLE METRICS ON COMPACT KÄHLER VARIETIES

WENHAO OU

ABSTRACT. Let X be a normal compact Kähler variety, and \mathcal{F} a coherent reflexive sheaf on X . We investigate the existence of admissible Hermitian metrics on \mathcal{F} . If moreover \mathcal{F} is slope stable, we also study the existence of admissible Hermitian-Yang-Mills metrics on it. The existence will hold if one can prove a uniform Sobolev inequality on singular spaces.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminary	4
3. Construction of an initial metric	9
4. Heat kernels of Kähler varieties	13
5. Existence of admissible metrics	22
6. Existence of admissible Hermitian-Yang-Mills metrics	32
Reference	38

1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of holomorphic vector bundles is a central object in algebraic geometry and analytic geometry. The notion of stable vector bundles on complete curves was introduced by Mumford in [Mum63]. Such notion of stability was then extended to torsion-free sheaves on any projective manifolds (see [Tak72], [Gie77]), and is now known as slope stability, or Gieseker stability. An important property of stable bundles is the following Bogomolov-Gieseker inequality, involving the Chern classes of the bundle.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a projective manifold of dimension n , and let H be an ample divisor. Let \mathcal{F} be a H -stable vector bundle of rank r on X . Then*

$$\left(c_2(\mathcal{F}) - \frac{r-1}{2r}c_1(\mathcal{F})^2\right) \cdot H^{n-2} \geq 0.$$

When X is a surface, the inequality was proved in [Bog78]. In higher dimension, one may apply Mehta-Ramanathan theorem in [MR82] to reduce to the case of surfaces, by taking hyperplane sections. Later in [Kaw92], as a part of the proof for the three-dimensional abundance theorem, Kawamata extended the inequality to reflexive sheaves on projective surfaces with quotient singularities. The same technique then allows us to deduce the Bogomolov-Gieseker inequality for reflexive sheaves on projective varieties which has quotient singularities in codimension two.

On the analytic side, let (X, ω) be a compact Kähler manifold, and (\mathcal{F}, H) a Hermitian holomorphic vector bundle on X . Lübke proved that if H satisfies the Einstein condition, then the following inequality holds (see [Lüb82]),

$$\int_X \left(c_2(\mathcal{F}, H) - \frac{r-1}{2r} c_1(\mathcal{F}, H)^2 \right) \wedge \omega^{n-2} \geq 0.$$

We recall that H satisfies the Einstein condition if its Chern curvature F satisfies

$$\sqrt{-1}\Lambda F = \lambda \text{Id}_{\mathcal{F}},$$

where Λ is the contraction with ω and λ is a constant. In this case, H is called a Hermitian-Einstein metric, or a Hermitian-Yang-Mills metric. It is now well understood that if \mathcal{F} is ω -stable, then it admits a Hermitian-Yang-Mills metric. The case when X is a complete curve was proved by Narasimhan-Seshadri in [NS65], the case of projective surfaces was proved by Donaldson in [Don85], and the case of arbitrary compact Kähler manifolds was proved by Uhlenbeck-Yau in [UY86]. As a consequence, one deduces a metric version for the proof of the previous Bogomolov-Gieseker inequality. An advantage of the analytic method is to give an insight to the equality condition. Simpson extended the existence of Hermitian-Yang-Mills metric to stable Higgs bundles, on compact and certain non compact Kähler manifolds, in [Sim88]. Furthermore, in [BS94], Bando-Siu introduced the notion of admissible metrics and prove the existence of admissible Hermitian-Yang-Mills metrics on stable reflexive sheaves.

In [Don85], Donaldson considered the following heat equation in metrics H_t on a vector bundle \mathcal{F} .

$$H_t^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} H_t \right) = -(\sqrt{-1}\Lambda F_t - \lambda(\mathcal{F})\text{Id}_{\mathcal{F}}) \quad (1.1)$$

where $\mu(\mathcal{F})$ is the slope of \mathcal{F} , $\lambda(\mathcal{F}) = \mu(\mathcal{F})\text{Vol}(X)^{-1}$ and F_t is the Chern curvature of H_t . He proved this equation admits a solution until infinite time, when X is a smooth projective surface. Then by using Yang-Mills theory, it was shown that, up to gauge transforms, there is a sequence $\{F_t\}$ which converges to a Hermitian-Yang-Mills connection F . Thanks to the theorem of removable singularities, the connection F defines a holomorphic structure on the entire smooth vector bundle \mathcal{F} . The stability condition then imposes that the new holomorphic structure coincides with the initial one, and hence F is a Hermitian-Yang-Mills connection for the holomorphic bundle \mathcal{F} .

The method of Uhlenbeck-Yau in [UY86] is different. For a fixed initial metric H_0 on the bundle \mathcal{F} , they considered the following perturbed equations in metrics $H = H_0 h$,

$$\sqrt{-1}\Lambda F_H - \lambda(\mathcal{F})\text{Id}_{\mathcal{F}} - \epsilon \log h = 0.$$

Such an equation always admits a solution h_ϵ for $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$. Then they argued by contradiction that, if h_ϵ are not uniformly L^1 -bounded, then there is a L^2_1 -subbundle (or weakly holomorphic subbundle) of \mathcal{F} , which induces a destabilizer for \mathcal{F} . Once the uniform boundedness is obtained, one can extract a sequence $\{H_0 h_{\epsilon_i}\}$ which converges to a Hermitian-Yang-Mills metric.

The method of Simpson in [Sim88] is a combination of both methods above. He proved the heat solution (1.1) admits a solution H_t until infinite time. Then arguing as in [UY86], he deduced a sequence $\{H_t\}$ which converges to a Hermitian-Yang-Mills metric.

The main objective of this note is to study this problem for singular compact Kähler varieties X , with the methods of Bando-Siu and of Simpson. The idea is as follows. We take a desingularization $r: \widehat{X} \rightarrow X$ such that $\widehat{\mathcal{F}} = (r^*(\mathcal{F}^*))^*$ becomes locally free. Then we are able to solve the heat equations in \widehat{X} for $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$, with respect to small perturbations ω_ϵ of $r^*\omega$. When X is smooth, Bando-Siu showed that these solutions H_ϵ have a limit point H when ϵ tends to zero, and such H is a solution for the initial heat equation on (X, ω) . This relies on the next two ingredients. First, the solutions H_ϵ admit estimates involving the heat kernels K_ϵ of (X, ω_ϵ) . Furthermore, Bando-Siu proved a uniform Sobolev inequality for (X, ω_ϵ) , which implies a uniform estimate on the heat kernels K_ϵ .

There would be some difficulties when one tries to adapt this method on singular varieties. Actually, the arguments involving local elliptic/parabolic estimates always remain valid. But one needs to be careful while getting global estimates. The first problem is the uniform Sobolev inequalities for singular varieties. We conjecture that it holds.

Conjecture 1.2. *Let (X, ω) be a compact Kähler variety of dimension n . Let $p: Y \rightarrow X$ be a blowup at smooth center, and η a Kähler form on Y . For each $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, we consider the following Kähler form on Y .*

$$\omega_\epsilon = r^*\omega + \epsilon\eta.$$

Then (Y, ω_ϵ) has a uniform Sobolev constant for all $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$. That is, there exists a constant C_S , independent of ϵ , such that for any C^1 function $f \geq 0$, compactly supported in the smooth locus of Y , the following inequality holds,

$$\left(\int_Y |f|^{\frac{2n}{n-1}} \omega_\epsilon^n \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq C_S \int_Y (|\nabla_{\omega_\epsilon} f|^2 + |f|^2) \omega_\epsilon^n.$$

Another difficulty is that, when X has singularities, the heat kernel and the maximum principal are less trivial. To deal with this issue, we will investigate heat kernels on singular compact Kähler varieties in Section 4. Particularly, we prove the following assertion (see Corollary 4.6), extending a result of Li-Tian in [LT95]. It also implies [DNGG20, Conjecture 3.1] (see Corollary 4.8).

Theorem 1.3. *Let $X^\circ \subseteq X$ be a smooth Zariski open dense subset of a compact Kähler variety (X, ω) , and K the heat kernel of (X°, ω) . Then the following properties hold.*

- (1) K is bounded on $X^\circ \times X^\circ \times [t_1, t_2]$ for any fixed $0 < t_1 < t_2$.
- (2) $K(x, \cdot, t)$ belongs to L^2_1 .
- (3)

$$\int_{y \in X^\circ} |\Delta_y K(x, y, t)|^2 dy < \infty.$$

(4)

$$\int_{y \in X^\circ} K(x, y, t) dy = 1.$$

Furthermore, instead of getting estimates of the limit solution H directly from (X, ω) , we will converge the estimates of H_ϵ . For this reason, additional uniform estimates on H_ϵ are needed in our arguments.

After we obtain the solution of the heat equation, Simpson's method does not apply immediately yet. Three assumptions were made for the non compact manifolds in [Sim88], and it was proved that any Zariski open dense subset of a compact Kähler manifold satisfies the assumptions. It is not trivial to us that this still holds while singularities are present. We will handle this problem in Section 6.

After all, if Conjecture 1.2 holds, we can deduce the existence of admissible metrics on normal compact Kähler varieties.

Theorem 1.4. *Assume Conjecture 1.2. Let (X, ω) be a compact normal Kähler variety. Let \mathcal{F} be a reflexive sheaf on X . Then there is some initial Hermitian metric H_0 on \mathcal{F} , such that the heat equation (1.1) admits a solution until infinite time. Moreover, H_t are admissible for all $t > 0$.*

Theorem 1.5. *Assume Conjecture 1.2. Let (X, ω) be a normal compact Kähler variety. Let \mathcal{F} be a reflexive stable sheaf on X . Then there exists an admissible Hermitian-Yang-Mills metric on \mathcal{F} .*

Theorem 1.6. *Assume Conjecture 1.2. Let (X, ω) be a normal compact Kähler variety of dimension n . Let \mathcal{F} be a coherent reflexive stable sheaf of rank r on X . It admits an admissible Hermitian-Yang-Mills metric H , and we have the following inequality*

$$\int_X \left(c_2(\mathcal{F}, H) - \frac{r-1}{2r} c_1(\mathcal{F}, H)^2 \right) \wedge \omega^{n-2} \geq 0.$$

The equality holds if and only if the Chern connection is projectively flat.

This note is organized as follows. We will first recall some basic notion in Section 2. In Section 3, we will construct an initial metric for the heat equation. Such a metric also enable us to define the slope of a reflexive sheaf. In Section 4, we will study heat kernels on compact Kähler varieties. We will prove Theorem 1.4 in Section 5. Finally in Section 6, we will complete the proofs of Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.6.

Acknowledgment. The author is indebted to Junyan Cao and Mihai Păun for enormous help. He is grateful to Chenjie Fan for sharing his analysis knowledge. He would thank Mitchell Faulk for sending him his PhD thesis. He would thank Henri Guenancia and Hans-Joachim Hein for pointing out Corollary 4.8 to him. He would also thank Omprokash Das, Andreas Höring and Burt Totaro for discussions and remarks.

2. PRELIMINARY

In this section, we will fix some conventions for the paper, and recall some elementary results. Throughout this paper, we use the symbol \mathcal{C}^p (respectively $\mathcal{C}^{p,\alpha}$) for functions whose p -th derivatives exist and are continuous (respectively α -Hölder continuous). We use the symbol L^p for functions whose p -th power is integrable, L^∞ for bounded functions, and L_i^p for functions whose derivatives, up to order i , are L^p . We denote the L^p -norm by $\|\cdot\|_p$ for any $0 < p \leq \infty$.

2.A. Possibly singular varieties. For a complex space X , we denote its smooth locus by X_{reg} . After [Gra62], a Kähler variety (X, ω) is an integral complex space X , together with a real closed $(1, 1)$ -form ω , such that for any point $x \in X$, there is an open analytic neighbourhood U of x such that U is isomorphic to a analytic

subvariety of some open domain V of \mathbb{C}^N , and $\omega|_U$ is the restriction of some Kähler form on V . By a L_i^p function f on X , we mean a function f belonging to $L_i^p(X_{reg})$.

When X is smooth, then we can define the gradient operator ∇_ω and the Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_ω , with respect to the Riemannian metric induced by ω on X . More precisely, we use the convention

$$\Delta_\omega = 2\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega\partial\bar{\partial},$$

where Λ_ω is the contraction with ω . In particular, the Laplace-Beltrami operator has its sign as the one of $\frac{\partial^2}{(\partial x)^2}$.

The following lemma was established in the proof of [LT95, Theorem 4.1]. We will use it to replace [Sim88, Assumption 2] in our demonstration on the existence of Hermitian-Yang-Mills metric.

Lemma 2.1. *Let M be a compact real variety without boundary, and $M^\circ \subseteq M$ a smooth open subset. Assume that the real codimension of $M \setminus M^\circ$ is at least two. Then there exists a sequence of smooth cut-off functions $\{\varphi_i\}$ on M° , with limit equal to the constant function 1, such that $\|\nabla\varphi_i\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ when $i \rightarrow \infty$.*

As a consequence, we have the following two formulas of integration by parts.

Corollary 2.2. *Let M be a compact real variety without boundary, and $M^\circ \subseteq M$ a smooth open subset. Assume that the real codimension of $M \setminus M^\circ$ is at least two. Then for bounded functions $u, v \in L_1^2(M^\circ)$ such that Δv exists and is integrable, we have*

$$\int_M \nabla u \cdot \nabla v = - \int_M u \Delta v.$$

Proof. We choose a sequence of smooth cut-off functions $\{\varphi_i\}$ as in Lemma 2.1. Then we have

$$\int_M \varphi_i \nabla u \cdot \nabla v + \int_M u \nabla \varphi_i \cdot \nabla v = - \int_M \varphi_i u \Delta v.$$

Since $u, v \in L_1^2(M)$ are assumed to be bounded, and since Δv is integrable, we can take the limit for $i \rightarrow \infty$ in the previous equality, and deduce the corollary. \square

Corollary 2.3. *Let M be a compact real variety without boundary, and $M^\circ \subseteq M$ a smooth open subset. Assume that the real codimension of $M \setminus M^\circ$ is at least two. Then for a smooth 1-form ξ on M° with $\|\xi\|_\omega^2$ and $d\xi$ integrable, we have*

$$\int_M d\xi \wedge \omega^{n-1} = 0.$$

Proof. We choose a sequence of smooth cut-off functions $\{\varphi_i\}$ as in Lemma 2.1. Then we have

$$0 = \int_M d(\varphi_i \xi) \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \int_M d\varphi_i \wedge \xi \wedge \omega^{n-1} + \int_M \varphi_i d\xi \wedge \omega^{n-1}$$

Since $\|\xi\|_\omega^2$ is integrable and since $\|\nabla\varphi_i\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ when $i \rightarrow \infty$, we see that

$$\int_M d\varphi_i \wedge \xi \wedge \omega^{n-1} \rightarrow 0.$$

Moreover, since $d\xi$ is integrable, we have

$$\int_M \varphi_i d\xi \wedge \omega^{n-1} \rightarrow \int_M d\xi \wedge \omega^{n-1}.$$

The corollary then follows. \square

2.B. Hermitian sheaves. Let (\mathcal{F}, H) be a Hermitian vector bundle on a complex manifold X . We regard H as a selfadjoint conjugate-linear morphism from \mathcal{F} to its dual bundle $\mathcal{F}^* = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_X)$. We note that the adjoint morphism is the *conjugate* of the dual morphism. In particular, for any smooth section h of $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F})$, for any complex number λ , we have $(\lambda h)^* = \bar{\lambda} h^*$ for the adjoint operation $*$. We note that the trace $\text{tr } h$ is a well-defined smooth function on X . We can hence define a Hermitian pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H$ on $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F})$ with respect to H as follows. For any smooth sections h and g of $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F})$, we set

$$\langle h, g \rangle_H = \text{tr}(h \circ H^{-1} \circ g^* \circ H) = \text{tr}(h H^{-1} g^* H).$$

We denote the corresponding norm by $\|h\|_H = \sqrt{\langle h, h \rangle_H}$. We say that h is bounded or integrable if $\|h\|_H$ is.

If H' is another Hermitian metric on H , and if we write $h = H^{-1}H'$, then it follows that h is selfadjoint with respect to both H and H' . That is

$$h^*H = Hh \text{ and } h^*H' = H'h.$$

In particular, we have

$$\|h\|_H = \|h\|_{H'} = \sqrt{\text{tr } h^2}.$$

We will denote by ∂_H the $(1,0)$ -part of the Chern connection, on \mathcal{F} or on $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F})$. We define

$$\Delta_H = -2\sqrt{-1}\Lambda\bar{\partial}\partial_H.$$

The following computations can be found in [Sim88, Lemma 3.1]

Lemma 2.4. *Let (\mathcal{F}, H) be a Hermitian vector bundle on a Kähler manifold (X, ω) . Assume that s and h are smooth sections of $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F})$, selfadjoint with respect to H . Assume that h is definite positive. We denote by F_H and F_{Hh} the corresponding Chern curvatures. The following properties hold.*

- (1) $\|(\bar{\partial}s)h\|_{H,\omega}^2 = -\sqrt{-1}\Lambda\text{tr}(\bar{\partial}s)h^2\partial_H s$.
- (2) $\Delta_H h = 2\sqrt{-1}h(\Lambda F_H - \Lambda F_{Hh}) - 2\sqrt{-1}\Lambda(\bar{\partial}h)h^{-1}\partial_H h$.
- (3) $\Delta \log(\text{tr } h) \geq -2(\|\Lambda F_H\|_H + \|\Lambda F_{Hh}\|_{Hh})$.

Thanks to item (1) of the previous lemma, we say that s is L_1^2 if $\|(\bar{\partial}s)\|_{H,\omega}^2$ is integrable. The following estimate was essentially obtained in [UY86, Lemma 2.1].

Lemma 2.5. *Let (\mathcal{F}, H) be a Hermitian vector bundle on a Kähler manifold (X, ω) . Let s be a smooth section of $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F})$, selfadjoint with respect to H . We write $h = e^s$. Assume that $\|s\|_H \leq C$ for some positive constant C . Then there are two positive constants $0 < C_1 \leq C_2$, depending only on C , such that*

$$C_1\|\bar{\partial}s\|_{H,\omega} \leq \|\bar{\partial}h\|_{H,\omega} \leq C_2\|\bar{\partial}s\|_{H,\omega}.$$

Proof. Let (\mathbf{e}_α) be a smooth H -orthonormal basis of \mathcal{F} , which diagonalizes s , and hence h . Let (\mathbf{e}_α^*) be the dual basis of \mathcal{F}^* . Then $h = e^{\lambda_\alpha} \mathbf{e}_\alpha^* \otimes \mathbf{e}_\alpha$, and $s = \lambda_\alpha \mathbf{e}_\alpha^* \otimes \mathbf{e}_\alpha$, for real-valued functions λ_α . We write $\bar{\partial}\mathbf{e}_\alpha = A_\alpha^\beta \mathbf{e}_\beta$. It follows that $\bar{\partial}\mathbf{e}_\alpha^* = -A_\beta^\alpha \mathbf{e}_\beta^*$. Then we have

$$\bar{\partial}h = e^{\lambda_\alpha} \bar{\partial}\lambda_\alpha \mathbf{e}_\alpha^* \otimes \mathbf{e}_\alpha + (e^{\lambda_\alpha} - e^{\lambda_\beta}) A_\alpha^\beta \mathbf{e}_\alpha^* \otimes \mathbf{e}_\beta,$$

and

$$\bar{\partial}s = \bar{\partial}\lambda_\alpha \mathbf{e}_\alpha^* \otimes \mathbf{e}_\alpha + (\lambda_\alpha - \lambda_\beta) A_\alpha^\beta \mathbf{e}_\alpha^* \otimes \mathbf{e}_\beta.$$

Hence

$$\|\bar{\partial}h\|_{H,\omega}^2 = e^{2\lambda_\alpha} \|\bar{\partial}\lambda_\alpha\|_\omega^2 + (e^{\lambda_\alpha} - e^{\lambda_\beta})^2 \|A_\alpha^\beta\|_\omega^2,$$

and

$$\|\bar{\partial}s\|_{H,\omega}^2 = \|\bar{\partial}\lambda_\alpha\|_\omega^2 + (\lambda_\alpha - \lambda_\beta)^2 \|A_\alpha^\beta\|_\omega^2,$$

Since $\|s\|_H$ is bounded by C , the $|\lambda_\alpha|$ are bounded by some constant C' , depending only on C . We also remark that $z \mapsto z^{-1}(e^z - 1)$ can be extended to a smooth positive function on $z \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence there are two positive constants $C_1 \leq C_2$, depending only on C , such that

$$C_1 \|\bar{\partial}s\|_{H,\omega} \leq \|\bar{\partial}h\|_{H,\omega} \leq C_2 \|\bar{\partial}s\|_{H,\omega}.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Now we assume that (X, ω) is Kähler variety, and \mathcal{F} a coherent sheaf on X . We will denote by

$$X_{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq X$$

the largest open subset over which \mathcal{F} is locally free and X is smooth. In the remainder of the paper, by a smooth section of \mathcal{F} on X , we mean a smooth section of \mathcal{F} on $X_{\mathcal{F}}$. We also call a Hermitian metric H on $\mathcal{F}|_{X_{\mathcal{F}}}$ a Hermitian metric on \mathcal{F} . Let F_H be the Chern curvature of (\mathcal{F}, H) , more precisely, of $(\mathcal{F}|_{X_{\mathcal{F}}}, H)$. Such a metric is called admissible, after [BS94], if the following two conditions hold.

- (1) $\|\Lambda_\omega F_H\|_H$ is locally bounded on X .
- (2) $\|F_H\|_{H,\omega}^2$ is locally integrable on X .

In the remainder of the paper, by a smooth section of \mathcal{F} on X , we mean a smooth section of \mathcal{F} on $X_{\mathcal{F}}$. We also recall the following fact, and borrow the proof from [UY86, Section 7].

Lemma 2.6. *Let X be a normal complex variety and \mathcal{F} a reflexive coherent sheaf. Let $X^\circ \subseteq X$ be Zariski open subset whose complement has codimension at least two, and $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{F}|_{X^\circ}$ a saturated coherent subsheaf. Then \mathcal{E} extends to a coherent saturated subsheaf of \mathcal{F} , globally on X .*

Proof. It is enough to prove the extension locally on X . Hence by embedding \mathcal{F} in a free coherent sheaf as a saturated subsheaf, we may assume that \mathcal{F} is free. Furthermore, by shrinking X° , we may assume that \mathcal{E} is a subbundle of $\mathcal{F}|_{X^\circ}$. Then we have a morphism $f: X^\circ \rightarrow G$, where $G = G(n, m)$ is the Grassmannian variety, with $n = \text{rank } \mathcal{F}$ and $m = \text{rank } \mathcal{E}$. Let $\Gamma \subseteq X \times G$ be the closure of the graph of f . By applying [Siu75, Main Theorem] to normal varieties, as explained in [Siu75, page 441], we obtain that Γ is a closed analytic subvariety of $X \times G$. We denote by $p_1: \Gamma \rightarrow X$ and $p_2: \Gamma \rightarrow G$.

Let U be the universal vector bundle on G . By pulling back to Γ via p_2 , we get a subbundle \mathcal{G} of $p_1^* \mathcal{F}$ on Γ . Since p_1 is proper, the direct image $(p_1)_* \mathcal{G}$ is a coherent sheaf on X . Furthermore, it is a subsheaf of \mathcal{F} on X , extending \mathcal{E} . This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

2.C. Slope stability. We will first introduce two different ways to define the degree of a reflexive sheaf \mathcal{F} on a compact normal Kähler variety (X, ω) . We note that the definitions rely on the Hermitian metric constructed in Section 3 below.

The first one was considered in [Sim88, page 877], which *a priori* depends on the Hermitian metric. Let (M, ω) be a Kähler manifold of dimension n , and (\mathcal{F}, H) a

Hermitian vector bundle on M , with Chern curvature F . Assume that $\text{tr}(\Lambda_\omega F)$ is integrable on (M, ω) . Then we define the degree of (\mathcal{F}, H) as

$$\deg_\omega(\mathcal{F}, H) = \sqrt{-1} \int_X \text{tr}(\Lambda_\omega F) \omega^n.$$

If $\mathcal{F}' \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ is a non zero saturated coherent analytic subsheaf, then H induces a Hermitian metric on \mathcal{F}' by restriction. By using Chern-Weil formula, we can define the degree $\deg(\mathcal{F}', H|_{\mathcal{F}'})$, which belongs to $[-\infty, \infty)$.

We consider the following coherent situation. Let (X, ω) be a normal compact Kähler variety, and \mathcal{F} a reflexive coherent sheaf on X . Let H be a metric constructed by Lemma 3.3. Then $\text{tr}(\Lambda_\omega F)$ is integrable on X by construction (see Remark 3.5). Thus we can define $\deg_\omega(\mathcal{F}, H)$ as in the previous paragraph, by taking $M = X_{\mathcal{F}}$.

Now we introduce a second definition of $\deg_\omega \mathcal{F}$. Let $r: \widehat{X} \rightarrow X$ be any desingularization such that the torsion-free quotient $r^*(\mathcal{F}^*)/(\text{torsion})$ is locally free. We then define

$$\deg_\omega \mathcal{F} = -2\pi n c_1\left(r^*(\mathcal{F}^*)/(\text{torsion})\right) \wedge [r^*\omega]^{n-1},$$

where $[r^*\omega]$ is the corresponding cohomology class. This definition does not involve metrics. It is also independent of the choice of resolution, and appears more intrinsic. To see this, we only need to observe the following fact. If $p: W \rightarrow \widehat{X}$ is a modification with W smooth, then

$$p^*\left(r^*(\mathcal{F}^*)/(\text{torsion})\right) \cong \left((r \circ p)^*(\mathcal{F}^*)\right)/(\text{torsion}).$$

We remark that if X is projective and ω is induced by an ample divisor, then this definition of degree coincides with the usual one in algebraic geometry.

We claim that $\deg_\omega \mathcal{F} = \deg_\omega(\mathcal{F}, H)$. That is, the previous two definitions coincide. Indeed, By Lemma 3.3, there is a desingularization $r: \widehat{X} \rightarrow X$ such that $r^*(\mathcal{F}^*)/(\text{torsion})$ is locally free and H extends to a smooth Hermitian metric on $(r^*(\mathcal{F}^*)/(\text{torsion}))^*$. This implies that $\deg_\omega \mathcal{F} = \deg(\mathcal{F}, H)$. We notice that, for any saturated coherent subsheaf $\mathcal{F}' \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, we have $\deg(\mathcal{F}', H|_{\mathcal{F}'}) = \deg_\omega \mathcal{F}'$.

We then define the slope of \mathcal{F} , with respect to ω , as

$$\mu_\omega(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{\deg_\omega \mathcal{F}}{\text{rank } \mathcal{F}}.$$

We say that \mathcal{F} is (slope) stable if for any proper non zero saturated coherent analytic subsheaf $\mathcal{F}' \subseteq \mathcal{F}$, we have

$$\mu_\omega(\mathcal{F}') < \mu_\omega(\mathcal{F}).$$

2.D. Hermitian-Yang-Mills metrics. Let (X, ω) be a compact normal Kähler variety of dimension n , and \mathcal{F} a reflexive sheaf on X . A metric H on \mathcal{F} is called Hermitian-Yang-Mills, or Hermitian-Einstein, if its Chern curvature F satisfies

$$\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega F = \lambda_\omega(\mathcal{F})\text{Id}_{\mathcal{F}},$$

where $\lambda_\omega(\mathcal{F}) = \mu_\omega(\mathcal{F})\text{Vol}_\omega(X)^{-1}$.

We fix a Hermitian metric H_0 on \mathcal{F} , with Chern curvature F_0 . For any Hermitian metric H on \mathcal{F} , we write $h = H_0^{-1}H$. Then h is smooth section of $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F})$, which is self-adjoint with respect to H_0 and H . The curvatures satisfy

$$F_H = F_0 + \bar{\partial}((h^{-1}\partial_{H_0}h)).$$

To obtain a Hermitian-Yang-Mills metric on \mathcal{F} , we will consider a family of metrics

$$H_t = H_0 h(t) = H_0 h_t = H_0 h$$

subject to the following heat equation, with $h_0 = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{F}}$,

$$\begin{cases} h_t^{-1}(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} h_t) = -(\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_{\omega} F_t - \lambda_{\omega}(\mathcal{F})\text{Id}_{\mathcal{F}}) \\ \det h_t \equiv 1 \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where F_t is the Chern curvature of H_t .

Lemma 2.7. *Assume that the heat equation above admits a solution around some time $t > 0$. Then*

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \|\Lambda F_t\|_{H_t} \geq 0$$

whenever $\|\Lambda F_t\|_{H_t} \neq 0$.

Proof. For simplicity, we write $u = \sqrt{-1}\Lambda F_t$. The following computation is local and we may hence assume that $u \neq 0$. As in the proof of [Sim88, Lemma 6.1], we get

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \|u\|_{H_t}^2 = 2\|\bar{\partial}u\|_{H_t}^2.$$

We set $m = \|u\|_{H_t}^2$, then

$$\Delta \|u\|_{H_t} = \frac{\Delta m}{2\|u\|_{H_t}} - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\|u\|_{H_t}^3} \Lambda \partial m \bar{\partial} m,$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \|u\|_{H_t} = \frac{1}{2\|u\|_{H_t}} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} m.$$

We thus deduce that

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \|u\|_{H_t} = \frac{\|\bar{\partial}u\|_{H_t}^2}{\|u\|_{H_t}} - \frac{1}{4\|u\|_{H_t}^3} \|\bar{\partial}m\|^2.$$

We note that

$$\|\bar{\partial}m\|^2 = 4\sqrt{-1}\Lambda \text{tr}(u\bar{\partial}u) \text{tr}(u\partial_{H_t}u).$$

Since u is selfadjoint with respect to H_t , by taking a local H_t -orthonormal basis of \mathcal{F} which diagonalizes u , we see that $4\|\bar{\partial}u\|_{H_t}^2 \|u\|_{H_t}^2 \geq \|\bar{\partial}m\|^2$. Hence we get $(\frac{1}{2}\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t})\|u\|_{H_t} \geq 0$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

3. CONSTRUCTION OF AN INITIAL METRIC

The goal of this section is to construct an initial metric H_0 for the heat equation (2.1), see Lemma 3.3 below. Such a metric will guarantee that ΛF is integrable, where F is the Chern curvature. We will follow the lines of [BS94, Section 3]. The idea is to construct a metric which is locally the restriction of a smooth metric on a vector bundle. The first step is to construct an appropriate partition of the unity.

Proposition 3.1. *Let X be an analytic variety. Let $\{U_i\}$ be a finite open covering of X . We assume that each U_i can be identified as a closed analytic subvariety of a domain $V_i \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{N_i}$. Then there is a partition of the unity, subordinate to $\{U_i\}$, which satisfies the following property. For $x \in X$, there is an open neighbourhood U of x , such that*

- (1) U is contained in U_i whenever $x \in U_i$,

(2) if $U \subseteq U_i$, then there is an open subset $W_i \subseteq V_i$ containing U such that $\rho_i|_U$ is the restriction of some smooth function $\eta_i: W_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Since a partition of the unity can be constructed by taking linear combinations of products of bump functions, we will construct bump functions on U_i which satisfy the property in the proposition.

Without loss of generality, we take a bump function γ_1 on V_1 , which restricts to a bump function ρ_1 on U_1 . Let $x \in U_1$ be any point. Assume that $x \in U_i$. Then there exists open subsets $x \in W_1 \subseteq V_1 \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{N_1}$ and $x \in W_i \subseteq V_i \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{N_i}$, such that the identity map of $U_i \cap U_1$ induces a transition function

$$\varphi_{i,1}: W_i \rightarrow W_1,$$

which is holomorphic. We set $\eta_i = \gamma_1 \circ \varphi_{i,1}$. Let U be an open neighbourhood x which is contained both in W_1 and W_i . Then $\rho_1|_U = \eta_i|_U$. By taking account of all U_i , we complete the proof of the lemma. \square

We will also need the following local estimate.

Lemma 3.2. *Let (X, ω) be a Kähler subvariety of a Kähler manifold (V, ω) . Let*

$$\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X^a$$

be a saturated coherent subsheaf. Let g be a Hermitian metric on \mathcal{O}_V^a and h its restriction on \mathcal{O}_X^a . We denote by $h_{\mathcal{F}}$ the restriction of h on \mathcal{F} . Let $F_{h_{\mathcal{F}}}$ be the Chern curvature. Then for any precompact open subset U of X , there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\sqrt{-1}F \leq C\omega \cdot \text{Id}_{\mathcal{F}}$$

Proof. We denote by F_h and F_g the corresponding Chern curvatures. Then we have

$$F_h = F_g|_X.$$

Furthermore, we denote by ∇_h and $\nabla_{h_{\mathcal{F}}}$ the corresponding Chern connections. Then there is a $(1, 0)$ -form A on X , with values in $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}^{\perp h})$, such that, for any smooth section s of \mathcal{F} on X , we have

$$\nabla_h s = \nabla_{h_{\mathcal{F}}} s + As.$$

Then

$$\sqrt{-1}F_{h_{\mathcal{F}}} = \sqrt{-1}F_h|_{\mathcal{F}} - \sqrt{-1}A \wedge A^* \leq \sqrt{-1}F_h|_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

For a precompact open set U , then there is a precompact open subset $W \subseteq V$, which contains U . Then there is some constant C , such that, on W , we have

$$\sqrt{-1}F_g \leq C\omega \cdot \text{Id}_{\mathcal{O}_V^a}.$$

Thus $\sqrt{-1}F_{h_{\mathcal{F}}} \leq C\omega \cdot \text{Id}_{\mathcal{F}}$ on U . This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Now we are ready to construct the desire metric.

Lemma 3.3. *Let (X, ω) be a normal compact Kähler variety and \mathcal{F} a coherent reflexive sheaf on X . Then there exists a Hermitian metric H on \mathcal{F} with Chern curvature F such that*

$$\sqrt{-1}F \leq C\omega \cdot \text{Id}_{\mathcal{F}}$$

for some positive constant C .

Moreover, let $r: \widehat{X} \rightarrow X$ be a desingularization by successively blowing up smooth centers. Assume that the torsion-free quotient $r^(\mathcal{F}^*)/(\text{torsion})$ is locally free, then H extends to a smooth Hermitian metric \widehat{H} on the locally free sheaf $(r^*(\mathcal{F}^*))^*$.*

Proof. There is a finite open covering $\{U_i\}$ of X such that the following properties hold.

- (1) Every U_i is precompact and can be identified with an analytic subvariety of a domain $V_i \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{N_i}$.
- (2) There is a surjective morphism

$$\mathcal{E}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^*|_{U_i} \rightarrow 0$$

such that \mathcal{E}_i is a free coherent sheaf on U_i .

Let $\mathcal{E}_i^{V_i}$ be a free coherent sheaf on V_i such that $\mathcal{E}_i = \mathcal{E}_i^{V_i}|_{U_i}$. Let g_i be a Hermitian metric on $(\mathcal{E}_i^{V_i})^*$. By restriction, it induces a Hermitian metric on h_i on $\mathcal{F}|_{U_i}$. Let $\{\rho_i\}$ be a partition of the unity subordinate to $\{U_i\}$, as in Proposition 3.1. We define the Hermitian metric $H = \sum \rho_i h_i$ on \mathcal{F} . We denote by F its Chern curvature. We will show that H has the properties of the lemma.

Let $x \in X$ be a point. Then there is an open neighbourhood $x \in U$ such that

- (1) U is contained in U_i whenever $x \in U_i$.
- (2) if $x \in U \subseteq U_i$, then there is an open subset $W_i \subseteq V_i$ containing U such that $\rho_i|_U$ is the restriction of some smooth function $\eta_i: W_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Now we consider the diagonal embedding, followed by the product inclusion,

$$U \hookrightarrow \prod_{x \in U_i} U_i \hookrightarrow \prod_{x \in U_i} W_i.$$

Let $\iota: U \rightarrow \prod_{x \in U_i} W_i$ be the composition of this sequence. Then it realizes U as a Kähler subvariety of the manifold $\prod_{x \in U_i} W_i$, up to scaling the Kähler form by the cardinality of $\{U_i \mid x \in U_i\}$.

We denote by $p_i: \prod_{x \in U_i} W_i \rightarrow W_i$ the natural projections. By abuse of notation, we still denote by p_i the projection from $\iota(U)$ to $U \subseteq U_i \subseteq W_i$. For the reflexive sheaf $\mathcal{F}|_U$, we have the following sequence of sheaves supported in $\iota(U) \subseteq \prod_{x \in U_i} W_i$, such that the first one is the diagonal map, and the second one is the direct sum embedding,

$$\iota_*(\mathcal{F}|_U) \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_i p_i^*(\mathcal{F}|_U) \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_i p_i^*(\mathcal{E}_i^*|_U).$$

We remark that

$$\bigoplus_i p_i^*(\mathcal{E}_i^*|_U) = \left(\bigoplus_i p_i^*((\mathcal{E}_i^{V_i})^*|_{W_i}) \right) \Big|_{\iota(U)}.$$

The vector bundle $\bigoplus_i p_i^*((\mathcal{E}_i^{V_i})^*|_{W_i})$ can be equipped with the orthogonal direct sum metric $g' = \sum \eta_i p_i^* g_i$. Then the metric H on $\mathcal{F}|_U$ coincides exactly the restriction of g' on $\iota_*(\mathcal{F}|_U)$. Hence by Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\sqrt{-1}F \leq C_x \omega \cdot \text{Id}_{\mathcal{F}}$$

over some open neighbourhood of x , for some positive number C_x .

Since X is compact, it follows that there is a positive constant C such that

$$\sqrt{-1}F \leq C \omega \cdot \text{Id}_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

For the second part of the lemma, we will first work locally. Let $\widehat{U}_i = r^{-1}(U_i)$. Since r is obtained by blowing smooth centers, we can blow up V_i with the same

centers and obtain a manifold $q: \widehat{V}_i \rightarrow V_i$, such that \widehat{U}_i is the strict transform of U_i in \widehat{V}_i . We have the following exact sequence,

$$r^* \mathcal{E}_i \rightarrow r^* \mathcal{F}^*|_{\widehat{U}_i} \rightarrow 0.$$

By taking the dual morphism, we see that $(r^* \mathcal{F}^*)^*|_{\widehat{U}_i}$ is a subsheaf of $(r^* \mathcal{E}_i)^*$. By the assumption of freeness, $(r^* (\mathcal{F}^*))^*|_{\widehat{U}_i}$ is indeed a subbundle of $(r^* \mathcal{E}_i)^*$. The metric $q^* g_i$ on $q^* (\mathcal{E}^{V_i})^*$ then induces a metric \widehat{h}_i on $(r^* \mathcal{F}^*)^*|_{\widehat{U}_i}$ by restriction. We note that \widehat{h}_i is an extension of h_i . By taking account of all U_i , we see that H extends to a smooth Hermitian metric \widehat{H} on the locally free sheaf $(r^* \mathcal{F}^*)^*$. \square

For such a metric, we have the following statement on integrability.

Corollary 3.4. *With the notation above, let $n = \dim X$. Let $Z \subseteq X$ be the locus where r^{-1} is not an isomorphism. For any Kähler form η on \widehat{X} , we still denote by η its restriction on $X \setminus Z$. Then on $X \setminus Z$, we have*

$$\|\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\eta F\|_H \eta^n \leq \text{tr} \left(2nC\omega \text{Id}_{\mathcal{F}} - n \text{tr}(\sqrt{-1} F) \right) \wedge \eta^{n-1}.$$

As a consequence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{X \setminus Z} \|\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\eta F\|_H \eta^n &\leq 2nC \cdot \text{rank } \mathcal{F} \cdot [r^* \omega] \wedge [\eta^{n-1}] \\ &\quad - 2n\pi \cdot c_1 \left((r^* (\mathcal{F}^*))^* \right) \wedge [\eta^{n-1}] \end{aligned}$$

is bounded, where $[\eta]$ and $[r^* \omega]$ are the corresponding cohomology classes on \widehat{X} .

Proof. We have

$$\|\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\eta F\|_H \leq \|\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\eta (C\omega \text{Id}_{\mathcal{F}} - F)\|_H + \|C\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\eta \omega \text{Id}_{\mathcal{F}}\|_H.$$

For simplicity, we set $g = \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\eta (C\omega \text{Id}_{\mathcal{F}} - F)$. Since F is the Chern curvature of H , we see that g is selfadjoint with respect to H . Thus $\|g\|_H = \sqrt{\text{tr}(g^2)}$. Since g is positive by Lemma 3.3, it follows that

$$\|g\|_H \leq \text{tr } g = \sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\eta \text{tr}((C\omega \text{Id}_{\mathcal{F}} - F)).$$

Thus

$$\|\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\eta F\|_H \eta^n \leq n \text{tr} (2C\omega \text{Id}_{\mathcal{F}} - F) \eta^{n-1}.$$

This proves the first inequality.

For the estimate on the integral, we note that F can be viewed as the restriction of \widehat{F} on $X \setminus Z$, where \widehat{F} is the Chern connection of \widehat{H} on $(r^* \mathcal{F}^*)^*$. It follows that

$$\int_{X \setminus Z} \text{tr}(\sqrt{-1} F) \wedge \eta^{n-1} = \int_{\widehat{X}} \text{tr}(\sqrt{-1} \widehat{F}) \wedge \eta^{n-1} = 2\pi c_1 \left((r^* (\mathcal{F}^*))^* \right) \wedge [\eta^{n-1}].$$

This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.5. With the notation above, we assume that ω_ϵ is a family of Kähler metric on $X \setminus Z$, bounded from above by η . Then the corollary indeed implies that $\int_{X \setminus Z} \|\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} F\|_H \omega_\epsilon^n$ are uniformly integrable, independent of ϵ , in the following sense. We fix an exhaustion $\{X_j\}$ of $X \setminus Z$, consisting of precompact subsets. Let

$T_j = X \setminus (Z \cup X_j)$. Then for each $\zeta > 0$, there is a positive number m_0 such that for any $j \geq m_0$, we have

$$\int_{T_j} \|\Lambda_{\omega_\varepsilon} F\|_H \omega_\varepsilon^n \leq \zeta.$$

Indeed, as in Corollary 3.4, we have $\|\Lambda_{\omega_\varepsilon} F\|_H \omega_\varepsilon^n \leq \Theta \wedge \omega_\varepsilon^{n-1}$, where we denote $\Theta = \text{tr} \left(2nC\omega \text{Id}_{\mathcal{F}} - n \text{tr}(\sqrt{-1}F) \right)$. Lemma 3.3 implies that Θ is positive. Hence $0 \leq \Theta \wedge \omega_\varepsilon^{n-1} \leq \Theta \wedge \eta^{n-1}$. Since $\Theta \wedge \eta^{n-1}$ is integrable, there is some m_0 such that for any $j \geq m_0$, we have

$$\int_{T_j} \Theta \wedge \eta^{n-1} \leq \zeta.$$

This implies the estimate.

4. HEAT KERNELS OF KÄHLER VARIETIES

In this section, we will investigate the heat kernels of compact Kähler varieties. We will start by recalling some basic properties on heat kernels.

4.A. Heat kernel of Riemannian manifolds. Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold, which is not necessarily compact. We denote by Δ the Laplace-Beltrami operator induced by g . Let $\Omega \subseteq M$ be any non empty precompact open subset, with possibly empty smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. We consider the following heat equation on Ω , with Dirichlet boundary condition, on $u(x, t)$, with variables $x \in \Omega$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$,

$$\begin{cases} (\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t})u(x, t) = 0 & \text{for } t > 0 \\ u(x, 0) = f(x) \\ u(x, t)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 & \text{for } t > 0 \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

The initial condition f is assume to be bounded continuous. This equation admits a smooth fundamental solution $K_\Omega(x, y, t)$, which is called the heat kernel of (Ω, g) , in the following sense. One solution of the heat equation (4.1) is the convolution

$$u(x, t) = \int_{y \in \Omega} K_\Omega(x, y, t) f(y) dy.$$

We now pass to the following heat equation on M , with f continuous and bounded,

$$\begin{cases} (\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t})u(x, t) = 0 & \text{for } t > 0 \\ u(x, 0) = f(x) \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

Such an equation also admits a smooth fundamental solution in the previous sense. One can be obtained as follows (see [Dod83, Theorem 3.6]). Let $\{\Omega_i\}$ be an exhaustion of X by precompact open subsets, and we set

$$K(x, y, t) = \limsup_i K_{\Omega_i}(x, y, t).$$

Then it is the smallest positive fundamental solution, and we call it the heat kernel of (X, g) .

We have the following properties on the heat kernels K and K_Ω . For simplicity, we omit the lower index Ω for K_Ω in the following list.

- (1) $K(x, y, t) \geq 0$.

- (2) $K(x, y, t) = K(y, x, t)$.
- (3) $K(x, y, t + s) = \int K(x, z, t)K(z, y, s)dz$.
- (4) $K(x, y, t) \leq \sqrt{K(x, x, t)K(y, y, t)}$.
- (5) $\int K(x, z, t)dz \leq 1$.

We can derive the following estimate after the maximum principal. For the reader's convenience, we recall the proof here.

Proposition 4.1. *Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold without boundary, and K its heat kernel. Let $v(x, t) \geq 0$ be a continuous function on $M \times [0, \infty)$. Assume that, whenever $v(x, t) \neq 0$, it is C^2 in x , C^1 in t , and*

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)v \geq 0.$$

Then for any $t > 0$, we have

$$v(x, t) \leq \int_{y \in M} K(x, y, \frac{1}{2}t)v(y, 0)dy.$$

Proof. We set

$$u(x, t) = \int_{y \in M} K(x, y, \frac{1}{2}t)v(y, 0)dy \geq 0.$$

Then u is a smooth solution of the equation $(\frac{1}{2}\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t})u = 0$, with initial data $u(x, 0) = v(x, 0)$. Let $w = v - u$, then

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)w \geq 0.$$

We will show that $w \leq 0$ over $M \times [0, \infty)$. Assume by contradiction that w admits strictly positive values at some time $T > 0$. For some $0 < \delta \ll 1$, we set

$$w_\delta(x, t) = w(x, t) - \delta t.$$

Then w_δ also admits strictly positive values at T . By continuity of w_δ , it admits a maximum at some point $(x_0, t_0) \in M \times [0, T]$. Since $w_\delta(x_0, t_0) > 0$, we get $t_0 > 0$. Moreover, both Δw_δ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}w_\delta$ are well defined.

Since w_δ has maximum at (x_0, t_0) , we get

$$\Delta w_\delta(x_0, t_0) \leq 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}w_\delta(x_0, t_0) \leq -\delta + \frac{1}{2}\Delta w_\delta(x_0, t_0) \leq -\delta.$$

It follows that $w_\delta(x_0, \cdot)$ is strictly decreasing around t_0 . Since $t_0 \neq 0$, this contradicts that w_δ has maximum at (x_0, t_0) . \square

We will show the next two results on upper bounds for the heat kernels.

Proposition 4.2 ([CKS87, Theorem 2.1]). *Let K be the heat kernel of a Riemannian manifold (M, g) of real dimension m . Then for any $t \geq t' > 0$, we have $K(x, x, 2t) \leq K(x, x, 2t')$. Assume further that we have a Sobolev type inequality as follows. For any compactly supported C^1 function $f \geq 0$,*

$$\left(\int_M |f|^{\frac{2m}{m-2}}\right)^{\frac{m-2}{m}} \leq C_S \int_M (|\nabla f|^2 + |f|^2).$$

Then $K(x, x, 2t) \leq \left(\frac{mC_S}{4}\right)^{\frac{m}{2}} e^{2t} t^{-\frac{m}{2}}$.

Proof. We note that $K = \limsup K_{\Omega_i}$, where $\{\Omega_i\}$ is an exhaustion of M consisting of precompact open subsets, with smooth boundary. In order to prove the proposition, it is enough to prove the same statement for each K_{Ω_i} . For simplicity, we omit the index Ω_i in the following argument, and assume that K is one of the K_{Ω_i} . In particular, it has compact support in $M \times M$.

Let $J(x, t) = \int_{y \in M} K(x, y, t)^2 dy$. Then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} J(x, t) = 2 \int_{y \in M} K(x, y, t) \Delta_y K(x, y, t) dy = -2 \int_{y \in M} |\nabla_y K(x, y, t)|^2 dy.$$

In particular, we see that $J(x, t) \leq J(x, t')$ if $t \geq t'$.

The Sobolev inequality implies that

$$\int_{y \in M} |\nabla_y K(x, y, t)|^2 dy \geq \frac{1}{C_S} \left(\int_{y \in M} K(x, y, t)^{\frac{2m}{m-2}} dy \right)^{\frac{m-2}{m}} - \int_{y \in M} K(x, y, t)^2 dy.$$

The Hölder inequality implies that

$$\int_{y \in M} K(x, y, t)^{\frac{2m}{m-2}} dy \geq \left(\int_{y \in M} K(x, y, t)^2 dy \right)^{\frac{m+2}{m-2}} \left(\int_{y \in M} K(x, y, t) dy \right)^{-\frac{4}{m-2}}.$$

We note that

$$\int_{y \in M} K(x, y, t) dy \leq 1,$$

thus we have

$$\int_{y \in M} |\nabla_y K(x, y, t)|^2 dy \geq \frac{1}{C_S} J(x, t)^{\frac{m+2}{m}} - J(x, t).$$

Therefore

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial t} J(x, t) \geq \frac{2}{C_S} J(x, t)^{\frac{m+2}{m}} - 2J(x, t).$$

Let $I(x, t) = J(x, t)e^{-2t}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} I(x, t) &= e^{-2t} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} J(x, t) - 2J(x, t) \right) \\ &\geq \frac{2e^{-2t}}{C_S} J(x, t)^{\frac{m+2}{m}} \\ &\geq \frac{2}{C_S} I(x, t)^{\frac{m+2}{m}}. \end{aligned}$$

We remark that $I(x, t)$ tends to infinity when t tends to zero. Hence we have $I(x, t) \leq \left(\frac{mC_S}{4} \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} t^{-\frac{m}{2}}$, and thus $J(x, t) \leq \left(\frac{mC_S}{4} \right)^{\frac{m}{2}} e^{2t} t^{-\frac{m}{2}}$. \square

Following the method of [Gri97], we obtain a Gaussian upper bound for the heat kernel.

Corollary 4.3. *With the assumptions in Proposition 4.2, we have the following estimate for $K(x, y, t)$. There are constants $t_0 > 0$, $\mu > 0$, depending only on m such that*

$$K(x, y, t) \leq \frac{2^{m+2} e^{t_0}}{\sigma(\mu t)} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2(x, y)}{5t}\right),$$

where $r(x, y)$ is the distance between x and y , and the function σ is defined by

$$\sigma(t) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{2}{mC_S}\right)^{\frac{m}{2}} e^{-t} t^{\frac{m}{2}} & \text{for } 0 < t < t_0 \\ \left(\frac{2}{mC_S}\right)^{\frac{m}{2}} e^{-t_0} t_0^{\frac{m}{2}} & \text{for } t \geq t_0 \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.2, we see that $K(x, x, t) \leq \left(\frac{mC_S}{2}\right)^{\frac{m}{2}} e^t t^{-\frac{m}{2}}$ for all $t > 0$.

There is some $t_0 > 0$, depending only on m , such that $e^{-t} t^{\frac{m}{2}}$ is increasing on $t \in (0, t_0)$. Hence the function σ is a regular function in the sense of [Gri97, page 37], with $\gamma = 2$ and $A = 2^m e^{t_0}$. Moreover, $K(x, x, t) \leq \frac{1}{\sigma(t)}$ for all $t > 0$ since $K(x, x, t)$ is decreasing in t by Proposition 4.2. Hence we can apply [Gri97, Theorem 3.1], and deduce that, there is a constant $\mu > 0$, depending on m (and t_0) such that

$$K(x, y, t) \leq \frac{2^{m+2} e^{t_0}}{\sigma(\mu t)} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2(x, y)}{5t}\right).$$

This completes the proof of the corollary. \square

For further study on the heat kernels, we will need the following parabolic interior Schauder estimate. For more details, see for example [Kry96, Theorem 8.12.1].

Lemma 4.4. *Let $B_R \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ be the ball of radius R with center at the origin. Let Δ be a linear operator of the following shape,*

$$\Delta = a^{ij}(x, t) \partial_i \partial_j + b^i(x, y) \partial_i + c(x, t),$$

where $a^{ij} = a^{ji}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ is the spatial parameter and t is the time parameter. Assume that $u(x, t)$ is a bounded smooth function on $B_{2R} \times (0, 2R)$, such that

$$\left(\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)u = 0.$$

Assume that there is a positive number λ such that

$$-\lambda |\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j} a^{ij}(x, t) \xi_i \xi_j \leq \lambda |\xi|^2$$

for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $x \in B_{2R}$ and $t \in [0, 2R]$. Let $k \geq 2$ be an integer. Assume furthermore that all the partial derivatives of the functions a^{ij}, b^i, c , of order at most $k+1$ with respect to the spatial parameter, of order at most 2 with respect to the time parameter, are bounded by λ over B_{2R} . Then there is a constant C , depending only on N, R, k, λ , such that

$$\|\partial^s u\|_{\infty, B_R \times (0, R)} \leq C \|u\|_{\infty, B_{2R} \times [0, 2R]},$$

for any partial derivative $\partial^s u$, of order at most k , with respect to the spatial parameter.

4.B. Heat kernels of compact Kähler varieties. We will study the heat kernel of a Zariski dense open subset of a compact Kähler variety. We first prove a Sobolev type inequality.

Lemma 4.5. *Let (X, ω) be a compact Kähler variety of dimension n . Then there exists a constant C_S , such that for any C^1 function $f \geq 0$, compactly supported in the smooth locus of X , the following inequality holds,*

$$\left(\int_X |f|^{\frac{2n}{n-1}} \omega^n\right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq C_S \int_X (\|\nabla f\|^2 + |f|^2) \omega^n.$$

Proof. Since X is compact, by using a partition of the unity, it is enough to prove the problem locally on X . Hence we may assume that X is a subvariety of \mathbb{B}^p , where \mathbb{B}^p is the unit balls of \mathbb{C}^p and \mathbb{C}^q respectively.

We note that, for two Kähler forms ω_a, ω_b on X with $0 < c_1\omega_a \leq \omega_b \leq c_2\omega_a$, if C_a is a Sobolev constant for ω_a , then $(\frac{c_2+1}{c_1})^n C_a$ is a Sobolev constant for ω_b . Therefore, we may assume that the Kähler form ω on X is the restriction of the Euclidean Kähler form on $\widehat{\mathbb{B}}^p$. Then X is a minimal subvariety of \mathbb{B}^p after [Sim68, Theorem 3.1.2]. We can then conclude by applying [MS73, Theorem 2.1]. \square

We can then deduce the following result, which extends [LT95, Lemma 3.1]. It includes Theorem 1.3 in the introduction.

Corollary 4.6. *Let $X^\circ \subseteq X$ be a smooth Zariski open dense subset of a compact Kähler variety (X, ω) , and K the heat kernel of (X°, ω) . Then the following properties hold.*

- (1) K is bounded on $X^\circ \times X^\circ \times [t_1, t_2]$ for any fixed $0 < t_1 < t_2$.
- (2) $K(x, \cdot, t)$ belongs to L^2_1 .
- (3)

$$\int_{y \in X^\circ} |\Delta_y K(x, y, t)|^2 dy < \infty.$$

(4)

$$\int_{y \in X^\circ} K(x, y, t) dy = 1.$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.5, a Sobolev type inequality holds on X° . Hence we get the boundedness of K by applying Corollary 4.3.

The remainder part of the corollary follows from the argument of [LT95, Lemma 3.1]. Indeed, the assumption in [LT95, Lemma 3.1] that X is a closed algebraic subvariety of \mathbb{P}^N serves to get the boundedness of K in [LT95, Theorem 2.1]. This is item (1) we proved in the previous paragraph. \square

The last property in the previous corollary is also called the conservation property. It implies that the heat kernels are the same for Zariski open dense subsets.

Corollary 4.7. *With the assumption of Corollary 4.6, if $X' \subseteq X^\circ$ is a Zariski open dense subset. Then the heat kernel $K_{X'}$ of X' is equal to the restriction of K , the heat kernel of X° , on $X' \times X' \times (0, \infty)$.*

Proof. From the construction of heat kernels, we see that $K \geq K_{X'}$ over $X' \times X' \times (0, \infty)$. By applying Corollary 4.6 to $K_{X'}$, we get

$$\int_{y \in X'} K_{X'}(x, y, t) dy = 1 = \int_{y \in X'} K(x, y, t) dy.$$

Hence $K_{X'} = K$ on $X' \times X' \times (0, \infty)$. \square

Thanks to this lemma, we will not distinguish the heat kernels $K_{X'}$ and K in the remainder of the paper.

We would like to thank Henri Guenancia and Hans-Joachim Hein for pointing out that Corollary 4.6 implies [DNGG20, Conjecture 3.1]. To end this section, we will explain it in the following corollary. As a consequence, [DNGG20, Theorem F] still holds without assuming Assumption 3.2 therein.

Corollary 4.8. *Let (\mathcal{X}, ω) be a Kähler space and $\pi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ a proper holomorphic fibration over the unit disk. Let Θ be a smooth closed $(1,1)$ -form on \mathcal{X} . Assume that every fiber X_t of π is reduced and irreducible of dimension n . Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{X_t} \varphi_t - C \leq \frac{1}{V} \int_{X_t} \varphi_t \omega_t^n \leq \sup_{X_t} \varphi_t,$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{D}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\varphi_t \in \text{PSH}(X_t, \theta_t)$. Here $\mathbb{D}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ is the disk of radius $\frac{1}{2}$, θ_t is the restriction $\Theta|_{X_t}$, and V is the volume of X_t .

Proof. Thanks to Corollary 4.6, we may prove [DNGG20, Lemma 3.11] for any compact Kähler variety. Then we can apply the argument of [DNGG20, Section 3.7] to conclude the corollary. \square

4.C. Conjecture on uniform Sobolev inequalities. To apply the method of Bando-Siu in the setting of singular varieties, one needs a uniform Sobolev inequality as Conjecture 1.2. As in the proof of Lemma 4.5, thanks to the compactness assumption, we can reduce it to the following local version.

Conjecture 4.9. *Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^k = N$ be an m -dimensional integral complex analytic subvariety defined around the origin o . Let (\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) be a coordinates system of $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^k$. We consider the Kähler forms for $0 \leq \delta \leq 1$,*

$$\omega_\delta = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} (|\mathbf{z}|^2 + \delta^2 \log (|\mathbf{z}|^2) + |\mathbf{w}|^2).$$

Then there is a neighbourhood U of o in N , a constant C , independent of δ , such that for any positive C^1 function, compactly supported in the smooth locus of $U \cap X \setminus \{o\}$, we have

$$\int_X h \omega_\delta^m \leq C \int_X |\nabla_{X_\delta} h| \omega_\delta^m.$$

Here we denote by ∇_{X_δ} the gradient operator on X induced by ω_δ . We note that ω_δ can be viewed as a smooth Kähler form of the complex manifold $\widehat{\mathbb{C}^n} \times \mathbb{C}^k$, where $\widehat{\mathbb{C}^n}$ is the blow up of \mathbb{C}^n at the origin. Furthermore, we observe that there is a constant $A > 0$ such that

$$\omega_0 \leq \omega_1 \leq \frac{A}{|\mathbf{z}|^2} \omega_0.$$

We will denote by $\mathbf{B}_r(o)$ and $\mathbf{S}_r(o)$ the closed unit ball and the closed unit sphere in \mathbb{C}^n . We will prove Conjecture 4.9 in the following cases.

Proposition 4.10. *Conjecture 4.9 holds if $k = 0$.*

Corollary 4.11. *Conjecture 4.9 holds if $X = \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^k$.*

Proof. Let $Y = \mathbb{C}^n$. Then there is a uniform Sobolev constant C_1 for

$$(Y, \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} (|\mathbf{z}|^2 + \delta^2 \log (|\mathbf{z}|^2)))$$

by Proposition 4.10. Hence there is a uniform Sobolev constant C for (X, ω_δ) , depending on C_1 and k .

To see this, we only need to apply the following argument several times. Let (M, g) is a Riemannian manifold of real dimension d , with a Sobolev constant D , then $M' = M \times \mathbb{R}$ admit Sobolev inequalities with Sobolev constant $D' =$

$D^{\frac{d}{d+1}}$. Indeed, for any compactly supported positive C^1 function h on M' , we apply Hölder's inequality and get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{M'} h^{\frac{d+1}{d}} &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(\int_{M_s} h^{\frac{d+1}{d}} \right) ds \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(\left(\int_{M_s} h \right)^{\frac{1}{d}} \left(\int_{M_s} h^{\frac{d}{d-1}} \right)^{\frac{d-1}{d}} \right) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where $M_s = M \times \{s\}$ for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$. By assumption, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M h^{\frac{d+1}{d}} &\leq D \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(\int_{M_s} h \right)^{\frac{1}{d}} \left(\int_{M_s} |\nabla_{M_s} h| \right) ds \\ &\leq D \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left(\int_{M_s} h \right)^{\frac{1}{d}} \left(\int_{M_s} |\nabla_{M'} h| \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

We also note that, for any $x \in M$, we have

$$h(x, s) \leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\nabla_{M'} h(x, t)| dt.$$

Hence

$$\int_{M_s} h \leq \int_{M'} |\nabla_{M'} h|$$

for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$. In the end, we conclude that

$$\int_M h^{\frac{d+1}{d}} \leq D \left(\int_{M'} |\nabla_{M'} h| \right)^{\frac{d+1}{d}}.$$

This completes the proof of the Corollary. \square

The idea for Proposition 4.10 is to decompose the space \mathbb{C}^n into two pieces, depending on δ . One of them is $\mathbf{B}_{\delta\zeta}(o)$, so that we can apply [HS74, Theorem 2.1] inside. On its complement, ω_δ equivalent to ω_0 , with estimates depending only on ζ . To carry out this method, we will need the following lemmas.

Lemma 4.12. *Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ be a analytic subvariety of pure dimension m , defined around the origin $o \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Then for $k \in [0, 2m)$, there is a constant C_k , such that for $\rho > 0$, we have the following inequality*

$$\int_{\mathbf{B}_\rho(o) \cap X} |z|^{-k} \leq C_k \rho^{2m-k}.$$

Proof. The co-area formula shows that

$$\int_{\mathbf{B}_\rho(o) \cap X} |z|^{-k} = \int_0^\rho |z|^{-k} d\text{Vol}((\mathbf{B}_x(r) \cap X)).$$

There is a constant $D > 0$ such that that

$$\text{Vol}(\mathbf{B}_r(x) \cap X) \leq Dr^{2m}.$$

Hence, by Lemma 4.13 below, there is a constant C_k , depending only on k and D , such that

$$\int_{\mathbf{B}_\rho(o) \cap X} |z|^{-k} \leq C_k \rho^{2m-k}.$$

\square

Lemma 4.13. *Let $\rho_0 > 0$ and $F: [0, \rho_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ an increasing function. Assume that there is a constant C and an integer $d \geq 3$ such that $F(r) \leq Cr^d$ for all $r \in [0, \rho_0]$. Then for $k \in [0, d)$, there is a constant C_k such that for any $\rho \in (0, \rho_0]$, we have*

$$\int_0^\rho r^{-k} dF(r) \leq C_k \rho^{d-k}.$$

Proof. We fix some $\rho \in (0, \rho_0]$. For any $0 < \epsilon \leq \rho$, the integration by parts for Riemann–Stieltjes integral implies that

$$\int_\epsilon^\rho r^{-k} dF(r) = \left[r^{-k} F(r) \right]_\epsilon^\rho + k \int_\epsilon^\rho r^{-k-1} F(r) dr.$$

By assumption, the right-hand-side in the previous equality is bounded from above by

$$C\rho^{d-k} + \frac{kC}{d-k} \rho^{d-k}.$$

We can hence deduce the lemma by tending ϵ to zero. \square

As a consequence, we deduce the following lemma.

Lemma 4.14. *For any $S > 0$, there is some $\zeta > 0$ such that, for any $0 < \delta \leq 1$, the following inequality holds,*

$$\int_{X \cap \mathbf{B}_{\delta\zeta}(o)} \omega_\delta^m \leq S\delta^{2m}.$$

Proof. We observe that

$$\omega_\delta^m|_X \leq \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \binom{m}{j} A^j \delta^{2j} |\mathbf{z}|^{-2j} \right) \omega_0^m|_X + \delta^{2m} \omega_1^m|_X.$$

On the one hand, by applying Lemma 4.12, there is a constant D_1 such that, for any $\rho > 0$,

$$\int_{X \cap \mathbf{B}_{\delta\rho}(o)} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} c_j \delta^{2j} |\mathbf{z}|^{-2j} \right) \omega_0^m \leq D_1 \rho^2 \delta^{2m}.$$

On the other hand, we remark that $(\mathbf{B}_{\delta\rho}(o) \setminus \{o\}, \omega_1)$ is the $\delta\rho$ -tubular neighbourhood of E in $(\widehat{\mathbf{C}}^n, \omega_1)$, where E is the exceptional divisor of $\widehat{\mathbf{C}}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^n$. Hence there is a constant D_2 such that

$$\int_{X \cap \mathbf{B}_{\delta\rho}(o)} \omega_1^m|_X \leq D_2 \rho^2 \delta^2.$$

Therefore, there is a constant D_3 such that

$$\delta^{-2m} \int_{X \cap \mathbf{B}_{\delta\rho}(x)} \omega_\delta^m \leq D_3 \rho^2.$$

This implies the existence of ζ . \square

In order to glue the estimates of the two regions, we will require the following results.

Lemma 4.15. *There is an open neighbourhood U of o in N , and a number $\gamma > 0$, such that for any smooth point x of $X \cap U$, different from o , we have the following inequality*

$$\langle \mathbf{e}_x, \mathbf{e}_x^t \rangle \geq \gamma,$$

where \mathbf{e}_x is the real unit directional vector pointing from o to x , \mathbf{e}_x^t is its orthogonal projection onto the real tangent space of X at x , and the inner product is taken with respect to the canonical Euclidean product.

Proof. It follows from the Whitney condition (b) of Whitney stratifications, whose existence follows from [Whi65, Theorem 19.2]. \square

Lemma 4.16. *With the open neighbourhood U in Lemma 4.15, for any $\rho > 0$, for any positive \mathcal{C}^1 function h , compactly supported in the smooth locus of $U \cap X \setminus \{o\}$, we have*

$$\int_{X \cap \mathbf{S}_\rho(o)} h \leq \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \int_{X \setminus \mathbf{B}_\rho(o)} |\nabla_X h|.$$

Here the Riemannian metric on X is the one induced by the Euclidean metric on N .

Proof. Let r be the distance function to the origin o in \mathbb{C}^n . Then, as shown in [HS74, Equation (3.6)], outside the origin o , we have

$$|\nabla_X r| \leq |\nabla_N r| = 1 \text{ and } \operatorname{div}_X(r \nabla_X r) = 2m.$$

As a consequence, we deduce that

$$\operatorname{div}_X(\nabla_X r) = \frac{1}{r}(\operatorname{div}_X(r \nabla_X r) - |\nabla_X r|^2) \geq 0.$$

Since X is a minimal subvariety by [Sim68, Theorem 3.1.2], the divergence formula as in [HS74, Equation (3.2)] implies that

$$0 \leq \int_{X \setminus \mathbf{B}_\rho(o)} h \cdot \operatorname{div}_X(\nabla_X r) = - \int_{X \setminus \mathbf{B}_\rho(o)} \langle \nabla_X h, \nabla_N r \rangle - \int_{X \cap \mathbf{S}_\rho(o)} h |\nabla_X r|^2.$$

We note that, at any point $x \in X \setminus \{o\}$, we have $\nabla_X r(x) = \mathbf{e}_x^t$. Thus by Lemma 4.15, we deduce that $|\nabla_X r|^2 \geq \gamma^2$. The lemma then follows. \square

Now we can conclude the proof of Proposition 4.10.

Proof of Proposition 4.10. Let U be as in Lemma 4.15. By scaling, we see that there are constant $b, R > 0$, such that the (real) sectional curvatures of $(\widehat{\mathbb{C}}^n, \omega_\delta)$ is bounded from above by $\frac{b^2}{\delta^2}$, and the injectivity radius of $(\widehat{\mathbb{C}}^n, \omega_\delta)$ is at least δR . Let S be small enough so that the following inequality is well-defined and valid,

$$b^{-1} \sin^{-1}(b(2V_{2m}^{-1}S)^{\frac{1}{2m}}) \leq \frac{R}{2},$$

where V_{2m} is the volume of Euclidean $2m$ -dimensional unit ball. By Lemma 4.14, there is some $\zeta > 0$ such that for any $0 < \delta \leq 1$, the following inequality holds,

$$\int_{X \cap \mathbf{B}_{\delta\zeta}(o)} \omega_\delta^m \leq S \delta^{2m}.$$

Then by [HS74, Theorem 2.1], there is a constant $K > 0$ such that for any positive \mathcal{C}^1 function g , compactly supported in the smooth locus of $X \cap \mathbf{B}_{\delta\zeta}(o) \setminus \{o\}$, we have

$$\left(\int_{X \cap \mathbf{B}_{\delta\zeta}(o)} g^{\frac{2m}{2m-1}} \omega_\delta^m \right)^{\frac{2m-1}{2m}} \leq K \int_{X \cap \mathbf{B}_{\delta\zeta}(o)} |\nabla_{X_\delta} g| \omega_\delta^m.$$

Let h be a positive C^1 function, compactly supported in the smooth locus of $X \cap U \setminus \{o\}$. By multiplying h by smooth cut-off functions, which approximate to the characteristic function of $\mathbf{B}_{\delta\zeta}(o)$, the previous inequality implies that

$$\left(\int_{X \cap \mathbf{B}_{\delta\zeta}(o)} h^{\frac{2m}{2m-1}} \omega_\delta^m \right)^{\frac{2m-1}{2m}} \leq K \int_{X \cap \mathbf{B}_{\delta\zeta}(o)} |\nabla_{X_\delta} h| \omega_\delta^m + K \int_{X \cap \mathbf{S}_{\delta\zeta}(o)} h dA_\delta,$$

where dA_δ is the measure induced by ω_δ .

We note that $\omega_0 \leq \omega_\delta \leq (1 + \frac{A}{\zeta})^2 \omega_0$ over $\{|z| \geq \delta\zeta\}$. By multiplying h cut-off functions which approximate to the characteristic function of $\mathbf{C}^n \setminus \mathbf{B}_{\delta\zeta}(o)$, and then by applying [MS73, Theorem 2.1], we deduce that there is a constant K_1 , depending only on m, A, ζ , such that

$$\left(\int_{X \setminus \mathbf{B}_{\delta\zeta}(o)} h^{\frac{2m}{2m-1}} \omega_\delta^m \right)^{\frac{2m-1}{2m}} \leq K_1 \int_{X \setminus \mathbf{B}_{\delta\zeta}(o)} |\nabla_{X_\delta} h| \omega_\delta^m + K_1 \int_{X \cap \mathbf{S}_{\delta\zeta}(o)} h dA_\delta,$$

We also note that

$$\int_{X \cap \mathbf{S}_{\delta\zeta}(o)} h dA_\delta \leq \left(1 + \frac{A}{\zeta}\right)^{2m-1} \int_{X \cap \mathbf{S}_{\delta\zeta}(o)} h dA_0.$$

By Lemma 4.16,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{X \cap \mathbf{S}_{\delta\zeta}(o)} h dA_0 &\leq \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \int_{X \setminus \mathbf{B}_{\delta\zeta}(o)} |\nabla_{X_0} h| \omega_0^m \\ &\leq \frac{(1 + \frac{A}{\zeta})}{\gamma^2} \int_{X \setminus \mathbf{B}_{\delta\zeta}(o)} |\nabla_{X_\delta} h| \omega_\delta^m. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we obtain that

$$\left(\int_X h^{\frac{2m}{2m-1}} \omega_\delta^m \right)^{\frac{2m-1}{2m}} \leq (K + K_1) \left(1 + \left(1 + \frac{A}{\zeta}\right)^{2m} \gamma^{-2}\right) \int_X |\nabla_{X_\delta} h| \omega_\delta^m.$$

This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

5. EXISTENCE OF ADMISSIBLE METRICS

In this section, we will assume Conjecture 1.2 and then prove Theorem 1.4. That is, the existence of admissible solutions until infinite time of the heat equation (2.1),

$$\begin{cases} h_t^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} h_t \right) = -(\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega F_t - \lambda_\omega(\mathcal{F}) \text{Id}_{\mathcal{F}}) \\ \det h_t \equiv 1 \end{cases}$$

with an initial metric H_0 constructed as in Section 3. The method goes back to [BS94]. We will first solve the heat equation on a desingularization $r: \widehat{X} \rightarrow (X, \omega)$, with respect to small perturbation of $r^* \omega$. Then we will try to converge the solutions and get the solution on (X, ω) . In order to guarantee the convergence, we will prove some uniform estimates on the solutions with respect to perturbed metrics, in Section 5.A. Once we get the uniform estimates, we will use induction to conclude Theorem 1.4 in Section 5.B. In the last subsection, we will deduce some estimate on the shifted solution $g(t) = h(1)^{-1} h(t)$. It will serve the proof on existence of Hermitian-Yang-Mills metric in Section 6.

5.A. **Uniform estimates.** Let (X, ω) be a compact Kähler variety of complex dimension n . Assume that we have the following Sobolev inequality

$$\left(\int_X |f|^{\frac{2n}{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq C_S \int_X (\|\nabla f\|^2 + |f|^2)$$

for any C^1 function f , compactly supported in the smooth locus of X . Let \mathcal{F} be a coherent sheaf on X . Let X° be any smooth Zariski open dense subset of X over which \mathcal{F} is locally free. Let H_0 be a Hermitian metric on \mathcal{F} , with Chern curvature F_0 such that

$$\int_{z \in X^\circ} \|\Lambda_\omega F_0(z)\|_{H_0} \omega^n \leq I,$$

for some constant I . Assume that h_t is a solution of the heat equation (2.1) for $\mathcal{F}|_{X^\circ}$ with initial metric H_0 . We also suppose that

$$\|\Lambda_\omega F(x, t)\|_{H_t} \leq \int_{z \in X^\circ} K(x, z, \frac{1}{2}t) \|\Lambda_\omega F_0(z)\|_{H_0} \omega^n,$$

where K is the heat kernel of (X°, ω) .

Lemma 5.1. *We have the following two estimate for $\|\Lambda_\omega F(x, t)\|_{H_t}$.*

(1) *If $\|\Lambda_\omega F_0(x)\|_{H_0} \leq B$ for some positive constant B , then*

$$\|\Lambda_\omega F(x, t)\|_{H_t} \leq B$$

for all $(x, t) \in X^\circ \times [0, \infty)$.

(2) *Let $U \subseteq V$ be two precompact open subset of X° . Assume that there are strictly positive numbers $S = S(V)$ and $\delta = \delta(U, V)$ such that*

$$\text{dist}(U, X \setminus V) \geq \delta,$$

and that

$$\sup_{x \in V} \|\Lambda F_0(x)\|_{H_0} \leq S.$$

Then there exists a positive constant M , depending on δ, S, n, C_S, I such that

$$\|\Lambda_\omega F(x, t)\|_{H_t} \leq M$$

for all $(x, t) \in U \times (0, \infty)$.

Proof. For (1), we use the fact that $\int_{z \in X^\circ} K(x, z, \frac{1}{2}t) \omega^n \leq 1$ to deduce that

$$\|\Lambda_\omega F(x, t)\|_{H_t} \leq \int_{z \in X^\circ} K(x, z, \frac{1}{2}t) \|\Lambda_\omega F_0(z)\|_{H_0} \omega^n \leq B.$$

For (2), we follow the idea of [LZZ17, Lemma 2.2]. We fix (x, t) and split the integral $\int_{z \in X^\circ} K(x, z, \frac{1}{2}t) \|\Lambda_\omega F_0(z)\|_{H_0} \omega^n = I_1 + I_2$, where

$$I_1 = \int_{z \in V} K(x, z, \frac{1}{2}t) \|\Lambda_\omega F_0(z)\|_{H_0} \omega^n$$

and

$$I_2 = \int_{z \in X \setminus V} K(x, z, \frac{1}{2}t) \|\Lambda_\omega F_0(z)\|_{H_0} \omega^n.$$

Then we have

$$I_1 \leq S \int_{z \in X^\circ} K(x, z, \frac{1}{2}t) \omega^n \leq S.$$

For I_2 , we see that $r(x, z) \geq \delta$ for any $z \in X \setminus V$. Thus by Corollary 4.3, for such $z \in X \setminus V$, we have

$$K(x, z, \frac{1}{2}t) \leq \frac{2^{2n+2}e^{t_0}}{\sigma(\frac{\mu}{2}t)} \exp(-\frac{2\delta^2}{5t}),$$

where $t_0 > 0$ and $\mu > 0$ depends only on n and

$$\sigma(t) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{nC_S}\right)^n e^{-t} t^n & \text{for } 0 < t < t_0 \\ \left(\frac{1}{nC_S}\right)^n e^{-t_0} t_0^n & \text{for } t \geq t_0 \end{cases}$$

In particular, the right-hand-side of the last inequality is a continuous function of t , whose coefficients depends only on δ , n and C_S . Moreover, it is bounded for $t \in (0, \infty)$. Hence there is a positive constant M' depending only on δ , n and C_S , such that $K(x, z, \frac{1}{2}t) \leq M'(\delta, n, C_S)$ for $z \in X \setminus V$. Therefore, we have $I_2 \leq M'I$. Let

$$M = M'I + S.$$

Then we get the desired estimate. \square

Lemma 5.2. *With the notation above, assume that $|\lambda_\omega(\mathcal{F})| = \left|\frac{\mu_\omega(\mathcal{F})}{\text{Vol}_\omega X}\right| \leq \lambda$ for some positive number λ . We have the following two estimate for $\text{tr } h_t$.*

(1) *If $\|\Lambda_\omega F_0(x)\|_{H_0} \leq B$ for some positive number B , then there is a positive constant \widehat{C} depending on B, λ , such that*

$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \text{tr } h\right| \leq \widehat{C} \text{tr } h.$$

As a consequence, for all $(x, t) \in X^\circ \times [0, \infty)$, we have

$$\text{tr } h_t \leq (\text{rank } \mathcal{F}) \exp(\widehat{C}t).$$

(2) *Let $U \subseteq V$ be two precompact open subset of X° . Assume that there are strictly positive numbers $S = S(V)$ and $\delta = \delta(U, V)$ such that*

$$\text{dist}(U, X \setminus V) \geq \delta,$$

and that

$$\sup_{x \in V} \|\Lambda F_0(x)\|_{H_0} \leq S.$$

Then there is a positive constant C depending on $\delta, S, n, C_S, I, \lambda$, such that

$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \text{tr } h\right| \leq C \text{tr } h.$$

As a consequence, for all $(x, t) \in U \times [0, \infty)$, we have

$$\text{tr } h_t \leq (\text{rank } \mathcal{F}) \exp(Ct).$$

Proof. From the heat equation (2.1), we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \text{tr } h_t = -\text{tr} \left(h_t (\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega F_t - \lambda_\omega(\mathcal{F}) \text{Id}_{\mathcal{F}}) \right).$$

For simplicity we set $g = \Lambda_\omega F_t$. Then we have $\text{tr}(h_t g) = \text{tr}(g h_t) = \text{tr}(g H_t^{-1} H_t h_t)$. Since h_t is selfadjoint with respect to H_t , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{tr}(h_t g)| &= |\text{tr}(g H_t^{-1} h_t^* H_t)| \\ &\leq \sqrt{2 |\text{tr}(g H_t^{-1} g^* H_t)| |\text{tr}(h_t H_t^{-1} h_t^* H_t)|} \\ &= \sqrt{2} \|g\|_{H_t} \|h\|_{H_t}. \end{aligned}$$

We note that $\|h_t\|_{H_t} = \sqrt{\text{tr}(h_t^2)}$. Moreover, since all eigenvalues of h_t are positive real numbers, we deduce that $\sqrt{\text{tr}(h_t^2)} \leq \text{tr} h_t$. Hence we get

$$\left| \text{tr} \left(h_t (\sqrt{-1} \Lambda_\omega F_t - \lambda_\omega(\mathcal{F}) \text{Id}_{\mathcal{F}}) \right) \right| \leq (\|\sqrt{2} \Lambda_\omega F_t\|_{H_t} + \lambda) \text{tr} h_t.$$

We will prove both cases of the lemma at the same time. By Lemma 5.1, there is a constant C' , depending on B, λ for case (1), and depending on $\delta, S, n, C_S, I, \lambda$ for case (2), such that, on the corresponding domains,

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \text{tr} h \right| \leq C' \text{tr} h.$$

It follows that

$$\text{tr} h_t \leq (\text{tr} h_0) \exp(C't) = (\text{rank } \mathcal{F}) \exp(C't).$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

We will also need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.3. *The integrals*

$$\int_X \|\Lambda_\omega F_t\|_{H_t}$$

are uniformly integrable when $t \rightarrow 0$, and converge to

$$\int_X \|\Lambda_\omega F_0\|_{H_0}.$$

Proof. For any positive number η , we denote

$$X_\eta = \{x \in X^\circ \mid \text{dist}(x, X \setminus X^\circ) \geq \eta\}.$$

Fix some $\epsilon > 0$, it is enough to show that there is some $\delta > 0$, such that

$$\int_{x \in X \setminus X_{\frac{1}{2}\delta}} \int_{y \in X} K(x, y, \frac{1}{2}t) \|\Lambda_\omega F_0(y)\|_{H_0} \leq \epsilon.$$

for all t small enough.

We choose δ so that $\int_{y \in X \setminus X_\delta} \|\Lambda_\omega F_0(y)\|_{H_0} \leq \frac{1}{2}\epsilon$. Then we decompose

$$\int_{x \in X \setminus X_{\frac{1}{2}\delta}} \int_{y \in X} K(x, y, \frac{1}{2}t) \|\Lambda_\omega F_0(y)\|_{H_0} = I_t + J_t,$$

such that

$$I_t = \int_{x \in X \setminus X_{\frac{1}{2}\delta}} \int_{y \in X_\delta} K(x, y, \frac{1}{2}t) \|\Lambda_\omega F_0(y)\|_{H_0},$$

and that

$$J_t = \int_{x \in X \setminus X_{\frac{1}{2}\delta}} \int_{y \in X \setminus X_\delta} K(x, y, \frac{1}{2}t) \|\Lambda_\omega F_0(y)\|_{H_0}.$$

From the Gaussian upper bound of Corollary 4.3 on $K(x, y, \frac{1}{2}t)$, there are positive constants τ and C small enough, such that $K(x, y, \frac{1}{2}t) \leq C$ for $t \leq \tau$, $x \notin X_{\frac{1}{2}\delta}$ and $y \in X_\delta$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} I_t &\leq \int_{x \in X \setminus X_{\frac{1}{2}\delta}} \int_{y \in X_\delta} C \|\Lambda_\omega F_0(y)\|_{H_0} \\ &\leq \text{Vol}_\omega X \cdot C \cdot I \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_t &\leq \int_{y \in X \setminus X_\delta} \left(\|\Lambda_\omega F_0(y)\|_{H_0} \int_{x \in X} K(x, y, \frac{1}{2}t) \right) \\ &= \int_{y \in X \setminus X_\delta} \|\Lambda_\omega F_0(y)\|_{H_0} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

5.B. Solutions of the heat equations. The following local estimate is crucial for the proof Theorem 1.4. It is a refined version of [BS94, Proposition 1]. The proof remains the same, and follows essentially [Hil85, Section 6]. The proof employs the Green functions, which admit estimates depending only λ and μ below, see [GW82, Theorem 1.1].

Lemma 5.4. *Let (X, ω) be a Kähler manifold of dimension n and let $x \in X$. We write $B_R(x)$ as the ball of radius R with center x . We assume that $B_R(x)$ is a regular ball and contained in a local chart around x . Assume that the sectional curvature of (X, ω) on $B_R(x)$ is contained in $[-C, C]$ for some constant $C > 0$. Assume that h and f are smooth functions on $B_R(x)$ with values in $m \times m$ Hermitian matrices, such that*

$$\sqrt{-1}\Lambda\bar{\partial}(h^{-1}(\partial h)) = f.$$

We write $\sqrt{-1}\Lambda(dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j) = a^{ij}$ and assume that $\lambda|\xi|^2 \leq a^{ij}(y)\xi_i\bar{\xi}_j \leq \mu|\xi|^2$ for any $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $y \in B_R(x)$.

Then h is $C^{1,\alpha}$ in a neighbourhood U_x of x . Moreover, there is a number N , depending on $R, C, n, m, \|h\|_\infty, \|f\|_\infty, \lambda, \mu$, such that $\|h\|_{C^{1,\alpha}} \leq N$ on U_x .

As mentioned earlier, the proof of Theorem 1.4 is by inductively converging solutions with respect to perturbed metrics. We will first prove a single induction step. We know that a desingularization can be obtained by successively blowing up smooth centers.

Let $p: Y \rightarrow X$ be a blowup at smooth center, where (X, ω) is a compact Kähler variety of dimension n . Let η be a Kähler form on Y and we set

$$\omega_\epsilon = p^*\omega + \epsilon\eta$$

for $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$. We assume Conjecture 1.2. Then there is a uniform Sobolev constant C_S for all ω_ϵ .

Lemma 5.5. *Assume Conjecture 1.2 holds. Let $X^\circ \subseteq X$ be a Zariski open dense subset, disjoint from the center of the blowup. Let $Y^\circ = p^{-1}(X^\circ) \cong X^\circ$, and let K_ϵ be the heat*

kernel of $(Y^\circ, \omega_\epsilon)$. Then we may extract a sequence $\{\epsilon_i\}$ converging to zero, such that K_{ϵ_i} converge, smoothly over any compact subset of $X^\circ \times X^\circ \times (0, \infty)$, to the heat kernel K of (X°, ω) .

Proof. Let Δ_ϵ and Δ be the Laplace-Beltrami operator of ω_ϵ and ω respectively. Then Δ_ϵ converges smoothly to Δ on every compact subset of X° . We remark that K_ϵ is a solution of the heat equation on $X^\circ \times X^\circ$, with respect to the operator

$$\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_\epsilon \oplus \Delta_\epsilon) - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}.$$

We also note that, for any fixed $0 < t_1 < t_2$, the heat kernels K_ϵ are uniformly bounded on $X^\circ \times X^\circ \times [t_1, t_2]$ by Conjecture 1.2 and Corollary 4.3. Hence by applying Lemma 4.4, we may extract a sequence $\{\epsilon_i\}$ converging to zero, such that K_{ϵ_i} converge, smoothly over any compact subset of $X^\circ \times X^\circ \times (0, \infty)$, to some smooth function K . We note that K is bounded on $X^\circ \times X^\circ \times [t_1, t_2]$.

It remains to show that K is the heat kernel of (X°, ω) . We first show that it is a fundamental solution for the heat equation. Let g be a bounded continuous function on X° . Then the convolution

$$G_\epsilon(x) = \int_{y \in (Y^\circ, \omega_\epsilon)} g(y) K_\epsilon(x, y, t) dy$$

is a solution of the heat equation on $(Y^\circ, \omega_\epsilon)$. By the same argument as in the previous paragraph, by replacing $\{\epsilon_i\}$ with a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that G_{ϵ_i} converge to some function G on $X^\circ \times [0, \infty)$, smoothly over any compact subset of $X^\circ \times [0, \infty)$. As a consequence, G is a solution of the heat equation on (X°, ω) , with initial data g . Hence we can deduce that K is a fundamental solution if we can prove

$$\lim_{\epsilon_i \rightarrow 0} \int_{y \in (Y^\circ, \omega_{\epsilon_i})} g(y) K_{\epsilon_i}(x, y, t) dy = \int_{y \in (X^\circ, \omega)} g(y) K(x, y, t) dy.$$

However, by Conjecture 1.2 and Corollary 4.3, for any fixed x, t , $K_{\epsilon_i}(x, \cdot, t)$ are uniformly bounded on Y° . Hence the last equality holds.

We will now show that K is the heat kernel of (X°, ω) . We note that

$$\int_{X^\circ} K(x, y, t) dy \leq \lim_{\epsilon_i \rightarrow 0} \int_{y \in Y^\circ} K_{\epsilon_i}(x, y, t).$$

The right-hand-side above is equal to 1 by item (4) of Corollary 4.6. Since the heat kernel of (X°, ω) also has the conservation property, it follows from the minimality of the heat kernel that K is the heat kernel of (X°, ω) . \square

Let \mathcal{F} be coherent sheaf on X . We denote by X° the largest open subset of $X_{\mathcal{F}}$ over which p is an isomorphism, and we set $Y^\circ = p^{-1}(X^\circ)$. We will make the following assumptions for Lemma 5.8 below.

Assumption 5.6. *Suppose that H_0 is a Hermitian metric on \mathcal{F} , with Chern curvature F_0 such that*

$$\int_{Y^\circ} \|\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} F_0\|_{H_0} \omega_\epsilon^n$$

are uniformly bounded and uniformly integrable (see Remark 3.5). In particular,

$$|\lambda_{\omega_\epsilon}(\mathcal{F})| = \left| \frac{\mu_{\omega_\epsilon}(\mathcal{F})}{\text{Vol}_{\omega_\epsilon} Y} \right|$$

are uniformly bounded as well.

Assumption 5.7. Assume that the heat equation (2.1) for $p^*\mathcal{F}$ admits a solution h_ϵ over $(Y^\circ, \omega_\epsilon) \times (0, \infty)$, with initial metric H_0 , such that for every $x \in Y^\circ$, we have

$$\|\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} F_\epsilon(x, t)\|_{H_\epsilon} \leq \int_{z \in Y^\circ} K_\epsilon(x, z, \frac{1}{2}t) \|\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} F_0(z)\|_{H_0} \omega_\epsilon^n,$$

where F_ϵ is the Chern curvature for the metrics $H_\epsilon = H_0 h_\epsilon$, and K_ϵ is the heat kernel of $(Y^\circ, \omega_\epsilon)$.

We note that the norm in the left-hand-side above is with respect to the metric $H_\epsilon(t)$. Then by Lemma 5.5, there exists a sequence $\{\epsilon_i\}$ converging to zero, such that K_{ϵ_i} converge to the heat kernel K of (X°, ω) , smoothly on any compact subset of $X^\circ \times X^\circ \times (0, \infty)$. We have the following lemma on convergence.

Lemma 5.8. With the assumptions above, by replacing $\{\epsilon_i\}$ with a subsequence if necessary, h_{ϵ_i} converge, smoothly on any compact subset of $X^\circ \times (0, \infty)$, to a solution h of the heat equation (2.1) over $(X^\circ, \omega) \times (0, \infty)$, with initial metric H_0 . Moreover, we have

$$\|\Lambda_\omega F(x, t)\|_H \leq \int_{z \in X^\circ} K(x, z, \frac{1}{2}t) \|\Lambda_\omega F_0(z)\|_{H_0} \omega^n,$$

where F is the Chern curvature for the metrics $H = H_0 h$.

Proof. The assumption of the item (2) of Lemma 5.2 are satisfied. Thus we deduce that h_ϵ are uniformly bounded on any compact subset of $X^\circ \times [0, \infty)$. Hence by Lemma 5.4, and by local parabolic estimate, by replacing $\{\epsilon_i\}$ with a subsequence if necessary, h_{ϵ_i} converge to a limit h , smoothly on any compact subset of $X^\circ \times (0, \infty)$. In particular, h is a solution of the heat equation (2.1) over $(X^\circ, \omega) \times (0, \infty)$, with initial metric H_0 .

Since the integrals

$$\int_{z \in Y^\circ} \|\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} F_0(z)\|_{H_0} \omega_\epsilon^n$$

are uniformly bounded and uniformly integrable, we can conclude the estimate on $\|\Lambda_\omega F(x, t)\|_H$ by taking limits. \square

The following lemma will guarantee the integrability of $\|F\|^2$.

Lemma 5.9. With the notation above, assume that for any fixed $t > 0$, there is a constant L such that

$$\int_Y \|F_\epsilon\|_{H_\epsilon, \omega_\epsilon}^2 \omega_\epsilon^n \leq L + \int_Y \|\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} F_\epsilon\|_{H_\epsilon}^2 \omega_\epsilon^n,$$

then

$$\int_X \|F\|_{H, \omega}^2 \omega^n \leq L + \int_X \|\Lambda_\omega F\|_H^2 \omega^n,$$

Proof. For any fixed $t > 0$, from the uniform boundedness of the heat kernels K_ϵ of Corollary 4.3, we obtain that the terms $\|\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} F_\epsilon\|_{H_\epsilon, 0}^2$ are uniformly bounded. Hence we can deduce the lemma by taking limits. \square

Now we are ready to conclude Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let H_0 be a metric constructed in Lemma 3.3, and we consider the heat equation (2.1). We will prove that it admits a solution until infinite time.

Let $\widehat{X} = X_k \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_0 = X$ be a sequence of blowups, at smooth centers disjoint from $X_{\mathcal{F}}$, such that $r: \widehat{X} \rightarrow X$ is a desingularization and $r^*(\mathcal{F}^*) / (\text{torsion})$

is locally free. In particular, H_0 extends to a smooth Hermitian metric \widehat{H}_0 on the locally free sheaf $\widehat{\mathcal{F}} = (r^*(\mathcal{F}^*))^*$ by Lemma 3.3.

Let η_i be a Kähler form on X_i . By abuse of notation, we still denote by η_i its pullback on \widehat{X} . For $0 < \epsilon_i \leq 1$, we set

$$\omega_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_k} = \omega + \epsilon_1 \eta_1 + \dots + \epsilon_k \eta_k.$$

Then we can solve the heat equation (2.1) with respect to the compact Kähler manifold $(\widehat{X}, \omega_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_k})$, for the locally free sheaf $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}$, with initial metric \widehat{H}_0 . By Lemma 2.7 and Proposition 4.1, we have an estimate for the Chern curvatures of the solutions as follows (we omit the lower index i for simplicity),

$$\|\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} F_\epsilon(x, t)\|_{H_\epsilon} \leq \int_{z \in \widehat{X}} K_\epsilon(x, z, \frac{1}{2}t) \|\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} F_0(z)\|_{H_0} \omega_\epsilon^n,$$

where K_ϵ is the corresponding heat kernel, and F_0 is the Chern curvature of \widehat{H}_0 . Moreover, we have

$$\int_{\widehat{X}} \|F_\epsilon\|^2 \omega_\epsilon^n = \int_{\widehat{X}} \|\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} F_\epsilon\|^2 \omega_\epsilon^n + 4\pi^2 n(n-1) \left(2c_2(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}) - c_1(\widehat{\mathcal{F}})^2 \right) \wedge [\omega_\epsilon]^{n-2}.$$

We set

$$L = \sup_{0 < \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_k \leq 1} \left| 4\pi^2 n(n-1) \left(2c_2(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}) - c_1(\widehat{\mathcal{F}})^2 \right) \wedge [\omega_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_k}]^{n-2} \right|,$$

which is a real number since \widehat{X} is a compact manifold. Then we have

$$\int_{\widehat{X}} \|F_\epsilon\|^2 \omega_\epsilon^n \leq \int_{\widehat{X}} \|\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} F_\epsilon\|^2 \omega_\epsilon^n + L.$$

Now by Lemma 5.8, for any $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{k-1}$ fixed, we may take a limit of a sequence of solutions $h_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_k}$ by letting ϵ_k tend to zero. Then we get a solution of the heat equation on X_{k-1} , with respect to the metrics

$$\omega + \epsilon_1 \eta_1 + \dots + \epsilon_{k-1} \eta_{k-1}.$$

By abuse of notation, we still denote the limit solutions by h_ϵ . Then for solutions h_ϵ on X_{k-1} , we still have

$$\|\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} F_\epsilon(x, t)\|_{H_\epsilon} \leq \int_{z \in X_{k-1}} K_\epsilon(x, z, \frac{1}{2}t) \|\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} F_0(z)\|_{H_0} \omega_\epsilon^n.$$

Moreover, after Lemma 5.9, we get

$$\int_{X_{k-1}} \|F_\epsilon\|^2 \omega_\epsilon^n \leq \int_{X_{k-1}} \|\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} F_\epsilon\|^2 \omega_\epsilon^n + L.$$

We remark that the Assumption 5.6 is always guaranteed, as explained in Remark 3.5. Therefore, we can continue carrying out the induction steps of Lemma 5.8, and finally obtain a solution h on (X, ω) . Furthermore, Lemma 5.9 shows that, for any $t > 0$, the metric Hh_t is admissible. \square

5.C. Shifted solutions of the heat equations. In Simpson's method in [Sim88], the initial metric for the heat equation is assumed to have bounded ΛF . This might not be the case for a metric constructed in Lemma 3.3, which we used in Theorem 1.4. Thus, in order to prove the existence of admissible Hermitian-Yang-Mills metric, we will consider following shifted solution

$$g(x, t) = h(x, 1)^{-1}h(x, t + 1) \text{ and } G_0 = H(x, 1) = H_0h_1$$

on (X, ω) . In another word, g is the solution of the heat equation (2.1) with initial metric G_0 . Now G_0 is admissible by Theorem 1.4. Let $G = G_0g$ and let F_G be the corresponding Chern curvature. We have the following estimates on g .

Proposition 5.10. *With the notation above, the following properties hold.*

- (1) *The metrics $G(t)$ are admissible for all $t \geq 0$, with uniform upper bounds on $\|\Lambda F_{G(t)}\|_{G(t)}$ and on $\int_X \|F_{G(t)}\|_{G(t)}^2$.*
- (2) *The norm $\|g\|_{G_0} = \|g\|_G$ is bounded over $X^\circ \times [0, T]$ for any $T \geq 0$.*
- (3) *For $t \geq 0$, we have*

$$\int_X \|(\bar{\partial}g(t))g(t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{G_0, \omega}^2 \omega^n \leq \int_X |\text{tr}(g\Lambda F_G - g\Lambda F_{G_0})| \omega^n.$$

- (4) *$\Delta_{G_0}g(t)$ is integrable for any fixed $t \geq 0$.*

Proof. Since $G(t) = H(t + 1)$, it is admissible from Theorem 1.4. The uniform bounds for item (1) can be deduced from Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.9. For item (2), since G_0 is admissible, by item (1) of Lemma 5.2, we see that $\text{tr } g$ is bounded over $X^\circ \times [0, T]$ for any $T > 0$. Since g is selfadjoint and definite positive with respect to G_0 , this implies that $\|g\|_{G_0} = \|g\|_G$ is bounded. We observe that, after Lemma 2.4, item (4) follows from item (1) and (3).

Hence it remains to prove item (3). First, we notice that, if X is smooth and \mathcal{F} is locally free, then by taking the trace of item (2) of Lemma 2.4, we can deduce that

$$\int_X \|(\bar{\partial}g)g^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{G_0, \omega}^2 \omega^n \leq \int_X |\text{tr}(g\Lambda F_G - g\Lambda F_{G_0})| \omega^n,$$

as in this case, the integral of

$$\text{tr}(\Delta_{G_0}g) = \Delta(\text{tr } g)$$

is zero. For the general case, since the solution g is obtained by converging successively the solutions on blowups of X , by induction, we only need to prove the following lemma. \square

Lemma 5.11. *With the notation of Lemma 5.8, we set*

$$g_\epsilon(x, t) = h_\epsilon(x, 1)^{-1}h_\epsilon(x, t + 1), \quad G_{\epsilon, 0} = H_\epsilon(1) \text{ and } G_\epsilon = G_{\epsilon, 0}g_\epsilon.$$

Assume that

$$\int_{Y^\circ} \|(\bar{\partial}g_\epsilon)g_\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{G_{\epsilon, 0}, \omega_\epsilon}^2 \omega_\epsilon^n \leq \int_{Y^\circ} |\text{tr}(g_\epsilon \Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} F_{G_\epsilon} - g_\epsilon \Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} F_{G_{\epsilon, 0}})| \omega_\epsilon^n.$$

Then for the shifted solution $g(t) = h(1)^{-1}h(t + 1)$, we have

$$\int_{X^\circ} \|(\bar{\partial}g)g^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{G_0, \omega}^2 \omega^n \leq \int_{X^\circ} |\text{tr}(g \Lambda_\omega F_G - g \Lambda_\omega F_{G_0})| \omega^n.$$

Proof. We note that g_{ϵ_i} converge to g smoothly on any compact subset of $X^\circ \times (0, \infty)$. Thus it is sufficient to show that

$$\int_{Y^\circ} |\mathrm{tr}(g_\epsilon \Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} F_{G_\epsilon} - g_\epsilon \Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} F_{G_{\epsilon,0}})| \omega_\epsilon^n.$$

are uniformly integrable. We fix some $t \geq 0$.

By Proposition 4.2, the heat kernels $K_\epsilon(\cdot, \cdot, \frac{1}{2})$ are uniformly bounded. It follows by assumption that

$$\|\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} F_{G_{\epsilon,0}}(z)\|_{G_{\epsilon,0}} = \|\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} F_{H_\epsilon}(z, 1)\|_{H_\epsilon(1)} \leq \int_{z \in Y^\circ} K_\epsilon(x, z, \frac{1}{2}) \|\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} F_0(z)\|_{H_0} \omega_\epsilon^n$$

are uniformly bounded, independent of ϵ . Hence by item (1) of Lemma 5.1, $\|\Lambda_{\omega_\epsilon} F_{G_\epsilon}\|_{G_\epsilon}$ are uniformly bounded. By item (1) of Lemma 5.2, $\|g_\epsilon\|_{G_\epsilon} = \|g_\epsilon\|_{G_{\epsilon,0}}$ are uniformly bounded. Hence we get the desire uniform integrability of the previous paragraph. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

The following lemma says that if \mathcal{F} is stable, then the stability persists during the heat flow.

Lemma 5.12. *Assume that \mathcal{F} is stable with respect to ω . Let $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ be a proper non zero saturated coherent subsheaf, and let π be the G_0 -orthogonal projection from \mathcal{F} to \mathcal{E} . Assume that $\|\bar{\partial}\pi\|_{G_0}^2$ is integrable. Then*

$$\frac{\mathrm{deg}_\omega(\mathcal{E}, G_0|_\mathcal{E})}{\mathrm{rank} \mathcal{E}} < \frac{\mathrm{deg}_\omega(\mathcal{F}, G_0)}{\mathrm{rank} \mathcal{F}}.$$

Proof. We write $G_t = H_{t+1}$ for $t \geq -1$. In particular, the initial metric H_0 is just G_{-1} . We first note that $\mathrm{deg}_\omega(\mathcal{F}, G_t)$ is constant since $\det g_t \equiv 1$ for all t . In the following argument, we will let t tend to -1 .

For any $t \geq -1$, we denote by π_t the G_t -orthogonal projection from $\mathcal{F}|_{X_\mathcal{F}}$ to \mathcal{E} . Then from the Chern-Weil formula,

$$\mathrm{deg}_\omega(\mathcal{E}, G_t|_\mathcal{E}) = \sqrt{-1} \int_X \mathrm{tr} \pi_t \Lambda F_{G_t} - \int_X \|\bar{\partial}\pi_t\|_{G_t}^2. \quad (5.1)$$

Let $p_t = \pi g_t \pi$. Then by taking local G_0 -orthonormal basis, which diagonalizes π , we see that

$$G_t|_\mathcal{E} = (G_0 g_t \pi)|_\mathcal{E} = G_0|_\mathcal{E} p_t|_\mathcal{E}.$$

The corresponding Chern curvatures satisfy

$$F_{G_t|_\mathcal{E}} = F_{G_0|_\mathcal{E}} + \bar{\partial} \left((p_t|_\mathcal{E})^{-1} (\partial_{G_0|_\mathcal{E}} p_t|_\mathcal{E}) \right).$$

By taking the trace, we get

$$\mathrm{deg}_\omega(\mathcal{E}, G_t|_\mathcal{E}) = \mathrm{deg}_\omega(\mathcal{E}, G_0|_\mathcal{E}) + n \sqrt{-1} \int_X \bar{\partial} \mathrm{tr} \left((p_t|_\mathcal{E})^{-1} (\partial_{G_0|_\mathcal{E}} p_t|_\mathcal{E}) \right) \omega^{n-1}.$$

For any $t > -1$, we see that $\|\bar{\partial}(p_t|_\mathcal{E})\|_{G_0|_\mathcal{E}}^2 = \|\bar{\partial}p_t\|_{G_0}^2$, which is integrable, since π and g_t are bounded and L^1_2 , as discussed in Proposition 5.10. Furthermore $\|(p_t|_\mathcal{E})^{-1}\|_{G_0|_\mathcal{E}}$ is bounded, as g_t is bounded with determinant equal to 1. Thus

$$\mathrm{tr} \left((p_t|_\mathcal{E})^{-1} (\partial_{G_0|_\mathcal{E}} p_t|_\mathcal{E}) \right)$$

is square integrable. By Corollary 2.3, we deduce that, for $t > -1$,

$$\mathrm{deg}_\omega(\mathcal{E}, G_t|_\mathcal{E}) = \mathrm{deg}_\omega(\mathcal{E}, G_0|_\mathcal{E}).$$

Now we assume by contradiction that

$$\frac{\deg_\omega(\mathcal{E}, G_0|\mathcal{E})}{\text{rank } \mathcal{E}} \geq \frac{\deg_\omega(\mathcal{F}, G_0)}{\text{rank } \mathcal{F}} = \frac{\deg_\omega(\mathcal{F}, H_0)}{\text{rank } \mathcal{F}}.$$

Then from the discussion above, for all $t > -1$,

$$\frac{\deg_\omega(\mathcal{E}, G_t|\mathcal{E})}{\text{rank } \mathcal{E}} \geq \frac{\deg_\omega(\mathcal{F}, H_0)}{\text{rank } \mathcal{F}}.$$

We let t tend to -1 in the equation (5.1) above. We note that $\|\pi_t\|_{G_t}^2$ is always equal to the rank of \mathcal{E} . By Lemma 5.3, and by Fatou's lemma, we deduce that

$$\deg_\omega(\mathcal{E}, G_{-1}|\mathcal{E}) \geq \lim_{t \rightarrow -1} \deg_\omega(\mathcal{E}, G_t|\mathcal{E}).$$

It follows that

$$\frac{\deg_\omega(\mathcal{E}, H_0|\mathcal{E})}{\text{rank } \mathcal{E}} \geq \frac{\deg_\omega(\mathcal{F}, H_0)}{\text{rank } \mathcal{F}}.$$

This contradicts the stability condition. \square

6. EXISTENCE OF ADMISSIBLE HERMITIAN-YANG-MILLS METRICS

In this section, we will assume Conjecture 1.2 and prove the existence of admissible Hermitian-Yang-Mills metrics for stable reflexive sheaves on a compact normal Kähler variety. We will follow the method of [Sim88].

We first recall the following Donaldson's functional $M(\cdot, \cdot)$, as defined in [Sim88, Section 4]. Let (\mathcal{F}, H) be a Hermitian reflexive sheaf on a compact normal Kähler variety (X, ω) . Let s be a smooth section of $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F})$, selfadjoint with respect to H . Locally on $X_{\mathcal{F}}$, let (\mathbf{e}_α) be an H -orthonormal basis of \mathcal{F} , which diagonalizes s . In particular, we have $s(\mathbf{e}_\alpha) = \lambda_\alpha \mathbf{e}_\alpha$ for real-valued functions λ_α . Let (\mathbf{e}_α^*) the dual basis of \mathcal{F}^* . Let

$$\Psi(a, b) = \frac{e^{a-b} - 1 - (a-b)}{(a-b)^2}$$

be a smooth positive function defined on \mathbb{R}^2 . For any section A of $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F})|_{X_{\mathcal{F}}}$ with local expression

$$A = A_{\alpha, \beta} \mathbf{e}_\alpha^* \otimes \mathbf{e}_\beta,$$

we define

$$\Psi(s)(A) = \Psi(\lambda_\alpha, \lambda_\beta) A_{\alpha, \beta} \mathbf{e}_\alpha^* \otimes \mathbf{e}_\beta.$$

The following lemma follows directly from the definition of Ψ .

Lemma 6.1. *With the notation above, assume that $\|s\|_H \leq C$ for some constant C , then there exists some constant $C' > 0$, depending only on C , such that for any smooth $(0, 1)$ -form A with values in $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F})$, we have*

$$0 \leq -\sqrt{-1}\Lambda \langle \Psi(s)A, A \rangle_H \leq -C' \sqrt{-1}\Lambda \langle A, A \rangle_H = C' \|A\|_{H, \omega}^2.$$

Now we assume that ΛF_H is integrable, where F_H is the Chern curvature of H . Let s be a bounded L_1^2 smooth section of $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F})$. Then the Donaldson's functional $M(H, He^s)$ is defined as

$$M(H, He^s) = \int_X \text{tr}(s \sqrt{-1} \Lambda F_H) + \int_X -\sqrt{-1} \Lambda \langle \Psi(s)(\bar{\partial}s), \bar{\partial}s \rangle_H.$$

We will write $M(H, He^s) = \int_X N_1(H, He^s) + \int_X N_2(H, He^s)$ according to this expression.

Lemma 6.2. *With the assumption above, assume that s_1 and s_2 are bounded L_1^2 smooth section of $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F})$, with $\Lambda F_{He^{s_1}}$ integrable. Let $\{\varphi_i\}$ be a sequence of cut-off functions as in Lemma 2.1, and we set $u_{1,i} = \varphi_i s_1$ and $u_{2,j} = \varphi_j s_2$. Then we have the following statements.*

(1) *If s_2 has compact support, then*

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} M(He^{u_{1,i}}, He^{u_{1,i}} e^{s_2}) = M(He^{s_1}, He^{s_1} e^{s_2}).$$

(2)

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} M(H, He^{u_{1,i}}) = M(H, He^{s_1}).$$

(3)

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} M(H, He^{u_{1,i}} e^{s_2}) = M(H, He^{s_1} e^{s_2}).$$

(4)

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} M(H, He^{s_1} e^{u_{2,j}}) = M(H, He^{s_1} e^{s_2}).$$

(5)

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} M(He^{u_{1,i}}, He^{u_{1,i}} e^{u_{2,j}}) = M(He^{s_1}, He^{s_1} e^{s_2}).$$

Proof. We will only give detailed proofs for item (1) and item (3). The proof for item (4) is analogue to the one for item (3). Item (2) follows from item (4) by taking $s_2 = 0$. Item (5) follows by applying item (1) and then item (2).

For item (1), since s_2 has compact support, there is some i_0 such that, if $i \geq i_0$, then $s_1 = u_{1,i}$ on the support of s_2 . Hence (2) holds.

In the remainder of the proof, we focus on item (3). Let $T_i = \{\varphi_i \neq 1\}$. Then the volumes of T_i tend to zero when $i \rightarrow \infty$. We use the notation that $s_1 = u_{1,\infty}$ and $\varphi_\infty \equiv 1$. We write $e^{v_i} = h_i = e^{u_{1,i}} e^{s_2}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. Then $\|v_i\|_\infty$ are bounded, and v_i converge to v_∞ , smoothly on any compact subset of $X_{\mathcal{F}}$. We pick a constant C such that $\|v_i\|_\infty, \|s_1\|_\infty, \|s_2\|_\infty, \|\bar{\partial} s_1\|_2$ and $\|\bar{\partial} s_2\|_2$ are bounded by C .

First, since ΛF_H is integrable, and since $\|v_i\|_\infty \leq C$ for all i , we get

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_X N_1(H, He^{v_i}) = \int_X N_1(H, He^{v_\infty}).$$

For the other integral in $M(\cdot, \cdot)$, we observe that

$$\int_X N_2(H, He^{v_\infty}) - \int_X N_2(H, He^{v_i}) = \int_{T_i} N_2(H, He^{v_\infty}) - \int_{T_i} N_2(H, He^{v_i})$$

By Lemma 6.1, we see that there is a constant C_1 , depending only on C , such that $N_2(H, He^{v_i}) \leq C_1 \|\bar{\partial} v_i\|_H^2$ for $i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$.

We have the following computation, for $i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$,

$$\bar{\partial} h_i = \bar{\partial}(e^{u_{1,i}} e^{s_2}) = \bar{\partial} e^{u_{1,i}} e^{s_2} + e^{u_{1,i}} \bar{\partial} e^{s_2}.$$

By Lemma 2.5, there is a constant C_2 , depending only on C , such that

$$\|\bar{\partial} e^{u_{1,i}}\|_{H,\omega}^2 \leq C_2 \|\bar{\partial} u_{1,i}\|_{H,\omega}^2 \text{ and } \|\bar{\partial} e^{s_2}\|_{H,\omega}^2 \leq C_2 \|\bar{\partial} s_2\|_{H,\omega}^2.$$

We note that

$$\|\bar{\partial} u_{1,i}\|_{H,\omega}^2 = \|\bar{\partial} \varphi_i s_1 + \varphi_i \bar{\partial} s_1\|_{H,\omega}^2 \leq 2 \|\nabla \varphi_i\|^2 \|s_1\|_{H,\omega}^2 + 2 \varphi_i^2 \|\bar{\partial} s_1\|_{H,\omega}^2.$$

Thus there is a constant C_3 , depending only on C , such that

$$\|\bar{\partial} h_i\|_{H,\omega}^2 \leq C_3 (\|\bar{\partial} s_1\|_{H,\omega}^2 + \|\bar{\partial} s_2\|_{H,\omega}^2 + \|\nabla \varphi_i\|_\omega^2).$$

By Lemma 2.5 again, this implies that there is a constant C_4 , depending only on C , such that $\|\bar{\partial}v_i\|_{H,\omega}^2 \leq C_4(\|\bar{\partial}s_1\|_{H,\omega}^2 + \|\bar{\partial}s_2\|_{H,\omega}^2 + \|\nabla\varphi_i\|_{\omega}^2)$. In conclusion,

$$N_2(H, He^{v_i}) \leq C_1 C_4 (\|\bar{\partial}s_1\|_{H,\omega}^2 + \|\bar{\partial}s_2\|_{H,\omega}^2 + \|\nabla\varphi_i\|_{\omega}^2).$$

Since $\|\nabla\varphi_i\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ when $i \rightarrow \infty$, and since s_1, s_2 are L_1^2 , we obtain that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{T_i} N_2(H, He^{v_\infty}) - \int_{T_i} N_2(H, He^{v_i}) \right) = 0.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 6.3. *We have*

$$M(H, He^{s_1}) + M(He^{s_1}, He^{s_1}e^{s_2}) = M(H, He^{s_1}e^{s_2}).$$

Proof. We first assume that $\Delta_H s_1$ and $\Delta_H s_2$ are integrable. Then we can apply the argument of [Sim88, Proposition 5.1], by just replacing the use of [Sim88, Lemma 5.2] by Corollary 2.3. For the general case, we can apply Lemma 6.2. \square

Remark 6.4. We note that, for the proof of the previous lemma, in [Sim88, Proposition 5.1], the integrability of $\Delta_H s_1$ is mandatory. However, for the solution $h = e^s$ of our heat equation, we can only prove the integrability for $\Delta_H h$. It is not obvious to us that this implies the integrability of $\Delta_H s$. For this reason, we prove Lemma 6.2.

We replace [Sim88, Assumption 3] by the following property on normal compact Kähler varieties.

Lemma 6.5. *Let (X, ω) be a normal compact Kähler variety of complex dimension n , and B a positive number. Then there exist constants C_1 and C_2 such that the following property holds. Let f be a smooth bounded positive function, defined over some smooth Zariski open dense subset of X , such that*

$$\Delta f \geq -B,$$

and that

$$\int_X \|\nabla f\|^2 < \infty, \quad \int_X |\Delta f| < \infty.$$

Then we have

$$\|f\|_\infty \leq C_1 \|f\|_1 + C_2.$$

Proof. We follow the idea of [LZZ17, Lemma 5.2]. By Lemma 4.5, we have a Sobolev inequality as follows. There is a positive constant C_S such that for any C^1 function $g \geq 0$, compactly supported in the smooth locus of X ,

$$\left(\int_X |g|^{\frac{2n}{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq C_S \int_X (\|\nabla g\|^2 + |g|^2).$$

We claim that the same inequality holds for any bounded smooth L_1^2 function $g \geq 0$, defined over some smooth Zariski open dense subset X° of X . Indeed, we can take a sequence of cut-off functions $\{\varphi_i\}$ on X° as in Lemma 2.1, such that $\|\nabla\varphi_i\|_2 \rightarrow 0$. By considering the previous inequality for $\varphi_i g$, and by letting i to ∞ , we deduce the claim.

On the one hand, by taking $g = (f+1)^{\frac{q+1}{2}}$, where $q \geq 1$, we obtain that

$$\left(\int_X |f+1|^{\frac{n(q+1)}{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq C_S \int_X \left(\frac{(q+1)^2}{4} |f+1|^{q-1} \|\nabla f\|^2 + |f+1|^{q+1} \right).$$

On the other and, by assumption, we have

$$(f+1)^q \Delta f \geq -B(f+1)^q.$$

By integrating both sides, we get

$$\int_X (f+1)^q \Delta f \leq B \int_X (f+1)^q.$$

By the assumption of integrability, we can apply Corollary 2.2 and obtain that

$$\int_X (f+1)^q \Delta f = \int_X \nabla((f+1)^q) \cdot (\nabla f) = \int_X q(f+1)^{q-1} \|\nabla f\|^2.$$

Since f is positive, we get $\int_X (f+1)^q \leq \int_X (f+1)^{q+1}$. Therefore we have

$$\left(\int_X |f+1|^{\frac{n(q+1)}{n-1}} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \leq C_S \left(\frac{(q+1)^2 B}{4q} + 1 \right) \int_X |f+1|^{q+1}.$$

By taking the $(q+1)$ -root, we obtain that

$$\|f+1\|_{\frac{n(q+1)}{n-1}} \leq \left(\frac{(q+1)^2 B C_S}{4q} + C_S \right)^{\frac{1}{q+1}} \|f+1\|_{q+1}.$$

By using Moser's iteration procedure, we can conclude the lemma. \square

The following statement is a variant of [Sim88, Proposition 5.3].

Lemma 6.6. *Let \mathcal{F} be a reflexive sheaf on a normal compact Kähler variety (X, ω) . We fix a positive number B . Assume that H is a Hermitian metric on \mathcal{F} with Chern curvature F_H such that*

$$\|\Delta F_H\|_H \leq B.$$

Assume furthermore that, for any proper non zero saturated coherent subsheaf $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ with orthogonal projection π , which is L_1^2 , we have

$$\frac{\deg_\omega(\mathcal{E}, H|_{\mathcal{E}})}{\text{rank } \mathcal{E}} < \frac{\deg_\omega(\mathcal{F}, H)}{\text{rank } \mathcal{F}}.$$

Then there exist two positive constant D_1, D_2 such that

$$\|s\|_H \leq D_1 + D_2 M(H, He^s),$$

for any smooth section s of $\mathcal{E}nd(\mathcal{F})|_{X_{\mathcal{F}}}$ which satisfies the following properties,

- (1) s is selfadjoint with respect to H ,
- (2) $\text{tr } s = 0$,
- (3) $\|s\|_H$ is bounded,
- (4) s is L_1^2 ,
- (5) $|\Delta \log(\text{tr } e^s)|$ is integrable,
- (6) $\|\Delta F_s\|_{He^s} \leq B$, where F_s is the Chern curvature of the metric He^s .

Proof. Let $h = e^s$. By Lemma 2.4, we deduce that

$$\Delta \log(\text{tr } h) \geq -2(\|\Delta F_H\|_H + \|\Delta F_s\|_{Hh}) \geq -4B.$$

Moreover, since s is bounded and L_1^2 , by Lemma 2.5, we see that $\|\nabla \log(\text{tr } h)\|^2$ is integrable. Hence by Lemma 6.5, there are positive constants C_1, C_2 such that

$$\|\log(\text{tr } h)\|_\infty \leq C_1 \|\log(\text{tr } h)\|_1 + C_2.$$

By taking a local H -orthonormal basis of \mathcal{F} which diagonalizes s , we see that there are positive constants A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 , depending only on the rank of \mathcal{F} , such that

$$A_1 \|s\|_H - A_2 \leq \log(\operatorname{tr} h) \leq A_3 \|s\|_H + A_4.$$

Hence there are positive constants C'_1, C'_2 such that

$$\sup_X \|s\|_H \leq C'_1 \int_X \|s\|_H \omega^n + C'_2.$$

Now we can argue as in [Sim88, Proposition 5.3]. Assume by contradiction that lemma does not hold. Then using the previous inequality, we can show that there is a L^2_1 -subbundle $\pi \in L^2_1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})$ on $X_{\mathcal{F}}$. More precisely, π is selfadjoint with respect to H , $\pi^2 = \pi$, $(\operatorname{Id}_{\mathcal{F}} - \pi)\bar{\partial}\pi = 0$, and

$$\|\bar{\partial}\pi\|_{\omega, H}^2 = -\sqrt{-1}\Lambda\operatorname{tr}(\bar{\partial}\pi\partial_H\pi)$$

is integrable on X . As in [UY86, Section 7] (or [Pop05, Theorem 0.1.1] for an alternative reasoning), π defines a saturated coherent analytic subsheaf $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{F}|_{X_{\mathcal{F}}}$. Moreover, by construction, we have $\mathcal{E} \subsetneq \mathcal{F}|_{X_{\mathcal{F}}}$, and

$$\frac{\deg_{\omega}(\mathcal{E}, H|_{\mathcal{E}})}{\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{E}} \geq \frac{\deg_{\omega}(\mathcal{F}, H)}{\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{F}}.$$

By Lemma 2.6, \mathcal{E} extends to a coherent subsheaf of \mathcal{F} , globally on X . We thus obtain a contradiction. \square

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let H_0 be a metric constructed as in Section 3. Then by Theorem 1.4, the heat equation (2.1) admits a solution with initial metric H_0 . We consider the shifted solution $G = G_t = G_0 g_t$ as in Proposition 5.10. We recall the following properties.

- (1) The metrics G_t are admissible for all $t \geq 0$, with uniform upper bounds on $\|\Lambda F_{G_t}\|_{G_t}$ and on $\int_X \|F_{G_t}\|_{G_t}^2$.
- (2) The norm $\|g\|_{G_0}$ is bounded over $X^\circ \times [0, T]$ for any $T \geq 0$.
- (3) For $t \geq 0$, we have

$$\int_X \|(\bar{\partial}g(t))g(t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{G_0, \omega}^2 \omega^n \leq \int_X |\operatorname{tr}(g\Lambda F_G - g\Lambda F_{G_0})| \omega^n.$$

- (4) $\Delta_{G_0} g_t$ is integrable for any fixed $t \geq 0$.

We set $g_t = e^{s_t} = e^s$. Then the Donaldson's functional $M(G_t, G_{t'})$ is well defined for any $t, t' \geq 0$. We claim that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} M(G_0, G_t) = - \int_X \|\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_{\omega} F_{G_t} - \lambda_{\omega}(\mathcal{F})\operatorname{Id}_{\mathcal{F}}\|_{G_t}^2. \quad (6.1)$$

Admitting this equality at the moment. With Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 5.12, we verify that the conditions of Lemma 6.6 are satisfied for s_t . Thus the lemma shows that $\|s\|_{G_0}$ is bounded on $X_{\mathcal{F}} \times [0, \infty)$. Hence $\|g\|_{G_0}$ is bounded as well. Thanks to Lemma 5.4 and local elliptic estimate, we may extract a sequence $\{t_i\}$ diverging to ∞ such that g_{t_i} converge to a section g_{∞} , smoothly on any compact subset of $X_{\mathcal{F}}$. It follows that $\det g_{\infty} \equiv 1$ and the metric $G_{\infty} = G_0 g_{\infty}$ is admissible.

By Lemma 2.5, and the properties (1) and (3) of g , we see that the integrals

$$\int_{X^\circ} \|(\bar{\partial}s_t)\|_{G_0, \omega}^2 \omega^n$$

are uniformly bounded. From Lemma 6.1, we obtain that $M(G_0, G)$ is a bounded function on $[0, \infty)$. Therefore, the equation (6.1) implies that the integrals

$$\int_X \|\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega F_{G_t} - \lambda_\omega(\mathcal{F})\text{Id}_{\mathcal{F}}\|_{G_t}^2$$

converge to zero when t diverges to ∞ . Thus $\Lambda_\omega F_{G_\infty} = \lambda_\omega(\mathcal{F})\text{Id}_{\mathcal{F}}$, and G_∞ is a Hermitian-Yang-Mills metric.

It remains to prove the equality (6.1) above. We will adapt the method of [Sim88, Lemma 7.1]. By Lemma 6.3, we only need to prove the equality at $t = 0$. We recall that

$$M(G_0, G_0 e^s) = \int_X \text{tr}(s\sqrt{-1}\Lambda F_{G_0}) + \int_X -\sqrt{-1}\Lambda \langle \Psi(s)(\bar{\partial}s), \bar{\partial}s \rangle_{G_0}.$$

The heat equation implies that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} s_t = -(\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega F_{G_0} - \lambda_\omega(\mathcal{F})\text{Id}_{\mathcal{F}}).$$

Item (1) of Lemma 5.2 implies that there is a constant C such that

$$\|\frac{1}{t}s_t\|_{G_0} \leq C$$

for all $0 < t \leq 1$. Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} \int_X \text{tr}(s_t \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega F_{G_0}) \\ &= \int_X \text{tr} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} s_t \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega F_{G_0} \right) \\ &= - \int_X \text{tr} \left((\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega F_{G_0} - \lambda_\omega(\mathcal{F})\text{Id}_{\mathcal{F}}) \sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega F_{G_0} \right) \\ &= - \int_X \|\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega F_{G_0} - \lambda_\omega(\mathcal{F})\text{Id}_{\mathcal{F}}\|_{G_0}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we only need to show that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \int_X -\sqrt{-1}\Lambda_\omega \langle \Psi(s)(\bar{\partial}s), \bar{\partial}s \rangle_{G_0} = 0.$$

We note that s is bounded on $X \times [0, 1]$ after property (2) of g . Thus by Lemma 6.1, it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \int_X \|\bar{\partial}s\|_{G_0}^2 = 0.$$

By Lemma 2.5, it is enough to show that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \int_X \|(\bar{\partial}g(t))g(t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{G_0}^2 = 0.$$

Thanks to property (3) of g , we will show that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \int_X |\text{tr}(g\Lambda_\omega F_G - g\Lambda_\omega F_{G_0})| \omega^n = 0.$$

From the derivative estimate of item (1) of Lemma 5.2, we get a constant C_1 such that, for $t \in [0, 1]$,

$$\|g - \text{Id}_{\mathcal{F}}\|_{G_0} \leq C_1 t.$$

We also remark that, since $\det g \equiv 1$, the trace of $\Lambda F_G - \Lambda F_{G_0}$ is zero. Therefore, there is a constant C_2 , such that for $0 < t \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{t} \int_X |\operatorname{tr}(g \Lambda_\omega F_G - g \Lambda_\omega F_{G_0})| &= \frac{1}{t} \int_X \left| \operatorname{tr} \left((g - \operatorname{Id}_{\mathcal{F}}) (\Lambda_\omega F_G - \Lambda_\omega F_{G_0}) \right) \right| \omega^n \\ &\leq \frac{1}{t} C_2 C_1 t \int_X \|\Lambda_\omega F_G - \Lambda_\omega F_{G_0}\|_{G_0} \omega^n. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Lambda_\omega F_G$ are uniformly bounded and converge to $\Lambda_\omega F_{G_0}$, smoothly on any compact subset of $X_{\mathcal{F}}$, we deduce that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \int_X \|\Lambda_\omega F_G - \Lambda_\omega F_{G_0}\|_{G_0} \omega^n = 0.$$

Thus $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} \int_X |\operatorname{tr}(g \Lambda_\omega F_G - g \Lambda_\omega F_{G_0})| \omega^n = 0$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

As an application, we deduce Theorem 1.6.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. By Theorem 1.5, there exists an admissible Hermitian-Yang-Mills metric H on \mathcal{F} . Let F be its Chern curvature and

$$T = F - \frac{1}{nr} \omega(\sqrt{-1} \Lambda F).$$

Then on $X_{\mathcal{F}}$, we have the following inequality (see for example [Kob14, Section 4.4]),

$$\left(c_2(\mathcal{F}, H) - \frac{r-1}{2r} c_1(\mathcal{F}, H)^2 \right) \wedge \omega^{n-1} = \frac{\|T\|_H^2}{8\pi^2 n(n-1)} \omega^n \geq 0.$$

Since H is admissible, by taking the integration, we obtain the inequality. Furthermore, the equality holds if and only if $\|T\|_H \equiv 0$, which means that the Chern connection is projectively flat. \square

REFERENCE

- [Bog78] F. A. Bogomolov. Holomorphic tensors and vector bundles on projective manifolds. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 42(6):1227–1287, 1439, 1978.
- [BS94] Shigetoshi Bando and Yum-Tong Siu. Stable sheaves and Einstein-Hermitian metrics. In *Geometry and analysis on complex manifolds*, pages 39–50. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1994.
- [CKS87] E. A. Carlen, S. Kusuoka, and D. W. Stroock. Upper bounds for symmetric Markov transition functions. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.*, 23(2, suppl.):245–287, 1987.
- [DNGG20] Eleonora Di Nezza, Vincent Guedj, and Henri Guenancia. Families of singular kähler-einstein metrics. *to appear in J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, arXiv:2003.08178, 2020.
- [Dod83] Jozef Dodziuk. Maximum principle for parabolic inequalities and the heat flow on open manifolds. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 32(5):703–716, 1983.
- [Don85] Simon Kirwan Donaldson. Anti self-dual Yang-Mills connections over complex algebraic surfaces and stable vector bundles. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 50(1):1–26, 1985.
- [Gie77] D. Gieseker. On the moduli of vector bundles on an algebraic surface. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 106(1):45–60, 1977.
- [Gra62] Hans Grauert. Über Modifikationen und exzeptionelle analytische Mengen. *Math. Ann.*, 146:331–368, 1962.
- [Gri97] Alexander Grigor’yan. Gaussian upper bounds for the heat kernel on arbitrary manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.*, 45(1):33–52, 1997.
- [GW82] Michael Grüter and Kjell-Ove Widman. The Green function for uniformly elliptic equations. *Manuscripta Math.*, 37(3):303–342, 1982.
- [Hil85] Stefan Hildebrandt. Harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds. In *Harmonic mappings and minimal immersions (Montecatini, 1984)*, volume 1161 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 1–117. Springer, Berlin, 1985.

- [HS74] David Hoffman and Joel Spruck. Sobolev and isoperimetric inequalities for Riemannian submanifolds. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 27:715–727, 1974.
- [Kaw92] Yujiro Kawamata. Abundance theorem for minimal threefolds. *Invent. Math.*, 108(2):229–246, 1992.
- [Kob14] Shoshichi Kobayashi. *Differential geometry of complex vector bundles*. Princeton Legacy Library. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2014.
- [Kry96] N. V. Krylov. *Lectures on elliptic and parabolic equations in Hölder spaces*, volume 12 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.
- [LT95] Peter Li and Gang Tian. On the heat kernel of the Bergmann metric on algebraic varieties. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 8(4):857–877, 1995.
- [Lüb82] Martin Lübke. Chernklassen von Hermite-Einstein-Vektorbündeln. *Math. Ann.*, 260(1):133–141, 1982.
- [LZZ17] Jiayu Li, Chuanjing Zhang, and Xi Zhang. Semi-stable Higgs sheaves and Bogomolov type inequality. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 56(3):Paper No. 81, 33, 2017.
- [MR82] Vikram Bhagvandas Mehta and Annamalai Ramanathan. Semistable sheaves on projective varieties and their restriction to curves. *Math. Ann.*, 258(3):213–224, 1981/82.
- [MS73] J. H. Michael and L. M. Simon. Sobolev and mean-value inequalities on generalized submanifolds of R^n . *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 26:361–379, 1973.
- [Mum63] David Mumford. Projective invariants of projective structures and applications. In *Proc. Internat. Congr. Mathematicians (Stockholm, 1962)*, pages 526–530. Inst. Mittag-Leffler, Djursholm, 1963.
- [NS65] Mudumbai S. Narasimhan and Conjeeveram S. Seshadri. Stable and unitary vector bundles on a compact Riemann surface. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 82:540–567, 1965.
- [Pop05] Dan Popovici. A simple proof of a theorem by Uhlenbeck and Yau. *Math. Z.*, 250(4):855–872, 2005.
- [Sim68] James Simons. Minimal varieties in riemannian manifolds. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 88:62–105, 1968.
- [Sim88] Carlos T. Simpson. Constructing variations of Hodge structure using Yang-Mills theory and applications to uniformization. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1(4):867–918, 1988.
- [Siu75] Yum Tong Siu. Extension of meromorphic maps into Kähler manifolds. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 102(3):421–462, 1975.
- [Tak72] Fumio Takemoto. Stable vector bundles on algebraic surfaces. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 47:29–48, 1972.
- [UY86] K. Uhlenbeck and S.-T. Yau. On the existence of Hermitian-Yang-Mills connections in stable vector bundles. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 39(S, suppl.):S257–S293, 1986.
- [Whi65] Hassler Whitney. Tangents to an analytic variety. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 81:496–549, 1965.

WENHAO OU, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEMS SCIENCE, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING, 100190, CHINA
Email address: wenhaoou@amss.ac.cn