

On the numerical solution of a hyperbolic inverse boundary value problem in bounded domains

Roman Chapko* and Leonidas Mindrinos†

Abstract

We consider the inverse problem of reconstructing the boundary curve of a cavity embedded in a bounded domain. The problem is formulated in two dimensions for the wave equation. We combine the Laguerre transform with the integral equation method and we reduce the inverse problem to a system of boundary integral equations. We propose an iterative scheme that linearizes the equation using the Fréchet derivative of the forward operator. The application of special quadrature rules results to an ill-conditioned linear system which we solve using Tikhonov regularization. The numerical results show that the proposed method produces accurate and stable reconstructions.

Keywords: boundary reconstruction; Laguerre transform; modified single layer potential; non-linear boundary integral equation; quadrature rules; Tikhonov regularization.

1 Introduction

The inverse problem of reconstructing part of a boundary of an object from overdetermined measurements on the accessible part of the boundary has attracted much attention in different research areas because of its importance in various applications [4, 5, 19, 20]. This problem is related to the solution of partial differential equations (PDEs) and because of its non-linearity and ill-posedness is rather complicated in both theoretical and numerical aspects.

Most numerical methods for such kind of problems provide iterative methods with regularization techniques. However, the use of integral equations for the numerical solution of the boundary reconstruction problem is still possible in various ways. One possibility is to reduce the boundary value problem directly to a system of non-linear integral equations using Green's theorem [2, 3, 17]. Another approach is to reduce the inverse problem for

*Faculty of Applied Mathematics and Informatics, Ivan Franko National University of Lviv, 79000 Lviv, Ukraine

†Faculty of Mathematics, University of Vienna, Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1, 1090 Vienna, Austria




Figure 1: The domain geometry and the notation used throughout this paper.

the PDE to a system of non-linear integral equations and then apply iterative methods [6, 8, 9, 14, 21].

In the case of time-dependent inverse problems there exist additional difficulties because of the presence of the independent time variable. Clearly the methods described above can be applied also to non-stationary problems [7, 10, 11, 12, 13]. Here, there exist different variants for the discretization of the problem with respect to time.

In [13] the authors used the Laguerre transform for the semi-discretization of an inverse boundary problem for a parabolic PDE. This resulted to a sequence of inverse boundary problems for an elliptic PDE. Then, a special potential representation of the solution led to a sequence of non-linear integral equations. In this paper, we extend this approach to an inverse boundary problem for a hyperbolic PDE.

Problem formulation The domain Ω is doubly connected in \mathbb{R}^2 with smooth boundary Γ of class C^2 . We assume that Γ consists of two disjoint curves Γ_1 and Γ_2 , meaning $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$, with $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2 = \emptyset$, such that Γ_1 is contained in the interior of Γ_2 (see Fig.1).

We consider the following initial boundary value problem for the wave equation

$$\frac{1}{a^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = \Delta u, \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \quad (1.1)$$

subject to the homogeneous initial conditions

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(\cdot, 0) = u(\cdot, 0) = 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (1.2)$$

and the boundary conditions

$$u = 0, \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1 \times [0, \infty), \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = g \quad \text{on } \Gamma_2 \times [0, \infty). \quad (1.3)$$

Here a represents the wave speed, ν denotes the outward unit normal vector to Γ and g is a given and sufficiently smooth function. We refer to [18] for the well-posedness of the direct problem, to find the solution given the domain and the flux g .

In this work, we are interested in the numerical solution of the inverse problem to determine the interior boundary curve Γ_1 from the knowledge of the Cauchy data on the exterior boundary Γ_2 , meaning given g and

$$u = f, \quad \text{on } \Gamma_2 \times (0, \infty). \quad (1.4)$$

An outline of the paper follows: In Section 2, we describe the combination of the Fourier-Laguerre transform with the non-linear boundary integral equation method for the hyperbolic inverse boundary problem. We derive a sequence of systems of non-linear boundary integral equations, which are transformed into 2π -periodic integral equations. Then, we present an iterative scheme to recover the unknown boundary shape.

In Section 3, we discuss the numerical implementation of the proposed scheme. Given an initial approximation of the unknown boundary curve, we solve the system of equations on the boundary using a quadrature method. The correction of the boundary of the cavity is the solution of the linearized integral equation on the exterior boundary, which we discretize with a trigonometrical collocation method. The Tikhonov regularization is applied to the derived system of linear equations.

Numerical results are presented in Section 4 confirming that the outlined approach is a feasible way of reconstructing the boundary shape of a cavity.

2 A two-step approach for dimension reduction

We first describe the solution u of (1.1)–(1.4) using a scaled Fourier expansion with respect to the Laguerre polynomials. Then, we represent the solution of the stationary problem using a single-layer ansatz.

2.1 Semi-discretization in time

We consider the expansion

$$u(x, t) = \kappa \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n(x) L_n(\kappa t),$$

where

$$u_n(x) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\kappa t} L_n(\kappa t) u(x, t) dt, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

for $\kappa > 0$, using the Laguerre polynomials L_n of order n .

It is easy to show (see for example [11, 13]) that u (sufficiently smooth) is the solution of the time-dependent problem (1.1)–(1.4) if and only if its Fourier-Laguerre coefficients

u_n satisfy the following sequence of mixed problems

$$\Delta u_n - \gamma^2 u_n = \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \beta_{n-m} u_m, \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (2.1)$$

with boundary conditions

$$u_n = 0, \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1 \quad \text{and} \quad u_n = f_n, \quad \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial \nu} = g_n, \quad \text{on } \Gamma_2. \quad (2.2)$$

Here $\beta_k = (k+1)\kappa^2/a^2$, $\gamma^2 = \beta_0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(x) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\kappa t} L_n(\kappa t) f(x, t) dt, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \\ g_n(x) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\kappa t} L_n(\kappa t) g(x, t) dt, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

In order to apply the non-linear integral equation method we need the sequence of fundamental solutions of the equations (2.1).

Definition 2.1 *The sequence of functions Φ_n , for $n = 0, 1, \dots$ is called fundamental solution for sequence of equations (2.1), if it satisfies*

$$\Delta_x \Phi_n(x, y) - \sum_{m=0}^n \beta_{n-m} \Phi_m(x, y) = -\delta(|x - y|). \quad (2.3)$$

We consider the modified Bessel functions

$$I_0(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n!)^2} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2n}, \quad I_1(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!(n+1)!} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2n+1} \quad (2.4)$$

and the modified Hankel functions

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(z) &= -\left(\ln \frac{z}{2} + C\right) I_0(z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(n)}{(n!)^2} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2n}, \\ K_1(z) &= \frac{1}{z} + \left(\ln \frac{z}{2} + C\right) I_1(z) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\psi(n+1) + \psi(n)}{n!(n+1)!} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2n+1} \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

of order zero and one, respectively. Here, we set $\psi(0) = 0$ and

$$\psi(n) = \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{1}{m}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

and $C = 0.57721\dots$ denotes the Euler constant [1]. We define the polynomials v_n and w_n by

$$v_n(r) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} a_{n,2k} r^{2k}, \quad w_n(r) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} a_{n,2k+1} r^{2k+1},$$

with the convention $w_0(r) = 0$. The coefficients are given by the relations

$$\begin{aligned} a_{n,0} &= 1, \\ a_{n,n} &= -\frac{1}{2\gamma n} \beta_1 a_{n-1,n-1}, \\ a_{n,k} &= \frac{1}{2\gamma k} \left\{ 4 \left[\frac{k+1}{2} \right]^2 a_{n,k+1} - \sum_{m=k-1}^{n-1} \beta_{n-m} a_{m,k-1} \right\}, \quad k = n-1, \dots, 1, \end{aligned}$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Then, following [7, 11], we see that the sequence of functions

$$\Phi_n(x, y) = K_0(\gamma|x-y|) v_n(|x-y|) + K_1(\gamma|x-y|) w_n(|x-y|), \quad x \neq y, \quad (2.6)$$

is a fundamental solution of (2.1) in a sense of Definition 2.1.

2.2 A boundary integral equation method

A modified single-layer approach is proposed for solving the sequence of stationary problems. We represent the solutions u_n of the problem (2.1) – (2.2) in the doubly-connected domain Ω using the following single layer potential form

$$u_n(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\ell=1}^2 \sum_{m=0}^n \int_{\Gamma_\ell} \phi_m^\ell(y) \Phi_{n-m}(x, y) ds(y), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (2.7)$$

with the unknown densities ϕ_m^1 and ϕ_m^2 , $m = 0, 1, \dots$, defined on the boundary curves Γ_1 and Γ_2 , respectively, and Φ_n is given by (2.6).

We let x tend to the boundary Γ and using the boundary conditions (2.2) and the standard jump relations we obtain the following system of equations

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\ell=1}^2 \int_{\Gamma_\ell} \phi_n^\ell(y) \Phi_0(x, y) ds(y) = F_{1,n}(x), \quad x \in \Gamma_1, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\phi_n^2(x) + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\ell=1}^2 \int_{\Gamma_\ell} \phi_n^\ell(y) \frac{\partial \Phi_0}{\partial \nu(x)}(x, y) ds(y) = G_n(x), \quad x \in \Gamma_2, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\ell=1}^2 \int_{\Gamma_\ell} \phi_n^\ell(y) \Phi_0(x, y) ds(y) = F_{2,n}(x), \quad x \in \Gamma_2, \quad (2.10)$$

for the right-hand sides

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{1,n}(x) &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\ell=1}^2 \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \int_{\Gamma_\ell} \phi_m^\ell(y) \Phi_{n-m}(x, y) ds(y), \\
G_n(x) &= g_n(x) - \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \phi_m^2(x) - \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\ell=1}^2 \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \int_{\Gamma_\ell} \phi_m^\ell(y) \frac{\partial \Phi_{n-m}}{\partial \nu(x)}(x, y) ds(y), \\
F_{2,n}(x) &= f_n(x) - \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\ell=1}^2 \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \int_{\Gamma_\ell} \phi_m^\ell(y) \Phi_{n-m}(x, y) ds(y).
\end{aligned}$$

This is a system of three equations for the three unknowns: the two densities ϕ_n^1, ϕ_n^2 and the boundary curve Γ_1 . The integral operators are singular, linear on the densities but act non-linearly on the boundary curve. We will consider the Fréchet derivative of the integral operators for linearizing them.

Before presenting the iterative method, we consider the parametrization of the system (2.8)–(2.10). We assume the following parametric representation of the boundary

$$\Gamma_\ell = \{x_\ell(s) = (x_{1\ell}(s), x_{2\ell}(s)), s \in [0, 2\pi]\}, \quad \ell = 1, 2$$

and we define $\varphi_n^\ell(s) = \phi_n^\ell(x_\ell(s)) |x_\ell'(s)|$.

Then, the system (2.8)–(2.10) takes the form

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\ell=1}^2 \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi_n^\ell(\sigma) H_0^{1,\ell}(s, \sigma) d\sigma = \tilde{F}_{1,n}(s), \quad s \in [0, 2\pi], \quad (2.11)$$

$$\frac{\varphi_n^2(s)}{|x_2'(s)|} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\ell=1}^2 \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi_n^\ell(\sigma) Q_0^{2,\ell}(s, \sigma) d\sigma = \tilde{G}_n(s), \quad s \in [0, 2\pi], \quad (2.12)$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\ell=1}^2 \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi_n^\ell(\sigma) H_0^{2,\ell}(s, \sigma) d\sigma = \tilde{F}_{2,n}(s), \quad s \in [0, 2\pi], \quad (2.13)$$

for $n = 0, \dots, N$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, with the right-hand sides

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{F}_{1,n}(s) &= -\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\ell=1}^2 \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi_m^\ell(\sigma) H_{n-m}^{1,\ell}(s, \sigma) d\sigma, \\
\tilde{G}_n(s) &= g_n(x_2(s)) - \frac{1}{|x_2'(s)|} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \varphi_m^2(s) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\ell=1}^2 \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi_m^\ell(\sigma) Q_{n-m}^{2,\ell}(s, \sigma) d\sigma, \\
\tilde{F}_{2,n}(s) &= f_n(x_2(s)) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\ell=1}^2 \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi_m^\ell(\sigma) H_{n-m}^{2,\ell}(s, \sigma) d\sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

The kernels are given by

$$H_n^{k,\ell}(s, \sigma) = 2\Phi_n(x_k(s), x_\ell(\sigma)), \quad Q_n^{k,\ell}(s, \sigma) = 2 \frac{\partial \Phi_n}{\partial \nu(x)}(x_k(s), x_\ell(\sigma)), \quad (2.14)$$

for $s \neq \sigma$, $k, \ell = 1, 2$, and $n = 0, \dots, N$. The functions Φ_n are defined in (2.6).

2.3 The iterative scheme

We solve the derived systems of equations iteratively by splitting them to their well- and ill-posed parts. Following [15], we first solve the well-posed subsystem to obtain the corresponding densities and then we linearize (with respect to the boundary) the ill-posed subsystem to be solved for the update of the radial function.

In the following, we assume for simplicity a star-like interior curve with parametrization

$$x_1(s) = \{r(s)(\cos s, \sin s) : s \in [0, 2\pi]\}, \quad (2.15)$$

where $r : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a 2π -periodic function representing the radial distance from the origin.

We propose to solve the system of equations (2.11)–(2.13) using the iterative scheme:

Step 1 Given an initial approximation of Γ_1 , we solve the sequence of well-posed systems of integral equations (2.11)–(2.12) for $\varphi_n^1, \varphi_n^2, n = 0, \dots, N$.

Step 2 Keeping now the densities fixed, we linearize the ill-posed integral equation (2.13) resulting to

$$\sum_{m=0}^n \mathcal{D}_{n-m}[\varphi_m^1, r; q](s) = f_n(x_2(s)) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\ell=1}^2 \sum_{m=0}^n \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi_m^\ell(\sigma) H_{n-m}^{2,\ell}(s, \sigma) d\sigma, \quad (2.16)$$

where q is the radial function of the perturbed boundary. We solve the N equations for the radial function q of the perturbed Γ_1 , and we update as $r + q$.

The equation (2.16) contains the Fréchet derivative \mathcal{D}_n of the integral operator with kernel $H_n^{2,\ell}$ with respect to x_1 . This is a linear operator on q and its form is obtained by formal differentiation of the kernel $H_n^{2,\ell}$ with respect to x_1 . We get

$$\mathcal{D}_n[\varphi, r; q](s) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} q(\sigma) \varphi(\sigma) D_n(s, \sigma) d\sigma,$$

with kernel

$$D_n(s, \sigma) = -\frac{(x_2(s) - x_1(\sigma)) \cdot (\cos \sigma, \sin \sigma)}{|x_2(s) - x_1(\sigma)|} \tilde{\Phi}_n(|x_2(s) - x_1(\sigma)|),$$

where

$$\tilde{\Phi}_n(r) = K_1(\gamma r) \tilde{v}_n(r) + K_0(\gamma r) \tilde{w}_n(r),$$

for the polynomials

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{v}_n(r) &= \gamma \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} a_{n,2m} r^{2m} - 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} m a_{n,2m+1} r^{2m}, \\ \tilde{w}_n(r) &= \gamma \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} a_{n,2m+1} r^{2m+1} - 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} m a_{n,2m} r^{2m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the Fréchet derivative operator $\mathcal{D}_n[\tilde{\varphi}, r; q]$ is injective at the exact solution [13].

3 Numerical implementation

The numerical implementation of the iterative scheme has been well examined in [13] for a system similar to (2.11)–(2.13). Thus, in this section we give just a briefly description of it. We refer to (2.11) as the “field” equations and to (2.12) as the “data” equations.

With the given current approximation of the interior boundary Γ_1 we consider the “field” equations (2.11). Firstly, we handle the singularity of the parametrized kernels. More precisely, the kernel $H_n^{\ell,\ell}$ in (2.14) admits logarithmic singularity. After lengthy but straightforward calculations, we derive the following decomposition

$$H_n^{\ell,\ell}(s, \sigma) = H_{n,1}^{\ell,\ell}(s, \sigma) \ln \left(\frac{4}{e} \sin^2 \frac{s - \sigma}{2} \right) + H_{n,2}^{\ell,\ell}(s, \sigma),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_{n,1}^{\ell,\ell}(s, \sigma) &= -I_0(\gamma|x_\ell(s) - x_\ell(\sigma)|)v_n(|x_\ell(s) - x_\ell(\sigma)|) \\ &+ I_1(\gamma|x_\ell(s) - x_\ell(\sigma)|)w_n(|x_\ell(s) - x_\ell(\sigma)|) \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$H_{n,2}^{\ell,\ell}(s, \sigma) = H_n^{\ell,\ell}(s, \sigma) - H_{n,1}^{\ell,\ell}(s, \sigma) \ln \left(\frac{4}{e} \sin^2 \frac{s - \sigma}{2} \right)$$

with diagonal terms

$$H_{n,2}^{\ell,\ell}(s, s) = -2C - 1 - 2 \ln \left(\frac{\gamma|x'_\ell(s)|}{2} \right) + \frac{2a_{n,1}}{\gamma}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

Also the kernels $Q_{\ell,\ell}^n$ have logarithmic singularities

$$Q_n^{\ell,\ell}(s, \sigma) = Q_{n,1}^{\ell,\ell}(s, \sigma) \ln \left(\frac{4}{e} \sin^2 \frac{s - \sigma}{2} \right) + Q_{n,2}^{\ell,\ell}(s, \sigma),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{n,1}^{\ell,\ell}(s, \sigma) &= h^{\ell,\ell}(s, \sigma) \{ I_1(\gamma|x_\ell(s) - x_k(\sigma)|)\tilde{v}_n(|x_\ell(s) - x_k(\sigma)|) \\ &- I_0(\gamma|x_\ell(s) - x_k(\sigma)|)\tilde{w}_n(|x_\ell(s) - x_k(\sigma)|) \} \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$Q_{n,2}^{\ell,\ell}(s, \sigma) = Q_{\ell,\ell}^n(s, \sigma) - Q_{n,1}^{\ell,\ell}(s, \sigma) \ln \left(\frac{4}{e} \sin^2 \frac{s - \sigma}{2} \right)$$

with diagonal terms

$$Q_{n,2}^{\ell,\ell}(s, s) = \frac{x'_{\ell,2}(s)x''_{\ell,1}(s) - x'_{\ell,1}(s)x''_{\ell,2}(s)}{|x'_\ell(s)|^3}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, N.$$

Here we introduced the function

$$h^{\ell,k}(s, \sigma) = \frac{(x_{\ell,1}(s) - x_{k,1}(\sigma))x'_{\ell,2}(s) - (x_{2,\ell}(s) - x_{k,2}(\sigma))x'_{\ell,1}(s)}{|x_k(\sigma) - x_\ell(s)|}.$$

Clearly the kernels $H_n^{k,\ell}$ and $Q_n^{k,\ell}$ are smooth for $k \neq \ell$, $k, \ell = 1, 2$.

Thus, we have to solve the sequence of systems of well-posed 2π periodical integral equations (2.11) with logarithmic singularities. We use for it the Nyström method with trigonometrical quadrature rules (see for details [13, 16]).

For the “data” equations (2.12) we apply the collocation method and due to its ill-posedness the received sequence of linear systems is solved by Tikhonov regularization.

4 Numerical results

We approximate the function q by a trigonometric polynomial of the form

$$q(s) \approx \sum_{j=0}^{2J} q_j \tau_j(s), \quad \mathbb{N} \ni J \ll M, \quad (4.1)$$

with

$$\tau_j(s) = \begin{cases} \cos(js), & \text{for } j = 0, \dots, J, \\ \sin((j - J)s), & \text{for } j = J + 1, \dots, 2J. \end{cases}$$

We substitute (4.1) in the linearized “data” equations and at the nodal points $\{s_k\}$ we obtain a linear system, which is ill-posed. We apply Tikhonov regularization. The regularization parameter is chosen initially by trail and error and decreases at every iteration step.

We simulate the Cauchy data by solving the sequence (2.1) with boundary conditions

$$u_n = f_{1,n}, \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1, \quad \text{and} \quad u_n = f_{2,n}, \quad \text{on } \Gamma_2,$$

for given boundary functions $f_{\ell,n}$, $\ell = 1, 2$. To avoid an inverse crime, we consider double amount of nodal points for the direct problem and afterwards we add noise to the Cauchy data on the boundary Γ_2 with respect to the L^2 norm. We use the boundary functions

$$f_{1,n} = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad f_{2,n} = \frac{e(2 + \kappa n(\kappa(n - 1) - 4))}{4(\kappa + 1)^{n+3}}, \quad n = 0, \dots, N.$$

We consider two examples with different boundary curves:

Example 1 The interior boundary curve Γ_1 is a rounded rectangle with radial function

$$r_1(s) = (\cos^{10} s + \sin^{10} s)^{-0.1}$$

and Γ_2 is a circle with center $(0, 0)$ and radius 1.




Figure 2: Reconstructions of the boundary Γ_1 of the rounded rectangle for exact data (left) and data with 3% noise (right).

Example 2 Here, both boundary curves are apple-shaped with parametrizations

$$x_1(s) = r_1(s)(\cos s, \sin s), \quad \text{and} \quad x_2(s) = (r_2(s) \cos s - 0.4, r_2(s) \sin s),$$

for the radial functions

$$r_1(s) = \frac{0.45 + 0.3 \cos s - 0.1 \sin 2s}{1.2 + 0.9 \cos s}, \quad \text{and} \quad r_2(s) = \frac{1 + 0.9 \cos s + 0.1 \sin 2s}{0.8 + 0.6 \cos s}.$$

In both examples, the initial guess is a circle with center $(0, 0)$ and radius r_0 . We set $\alpha = 1$ and $\kappa = 1$, we use $N = 10$ Fourier coefficients and we solve at the nodal points with $M = 64$. In the following figures, the brown solid line represents the boundary Γ_2 , the green dotted line shows the initial guess, the red dashed line is the exact boundary Γ_1 and its reconstruction is the blue solid line.

In the first example, the initial radius is given by $r_0 = 0.8$ and we use $J = 13$. In [Figure 2](#), we see the reconstructions for exact (left) and noisy (right) data. The presented results are, with initial regularization parameter $\lambda = 0.01$, after 21 and 12 iterations, respectively.

For the second example, we set $J = 5$ and $r_0 = 0.6$. We consider $\lambda = 0.001$ for the reconstructions presented in [Figure 3](#). The algorithm terminated after 10 and 7 iterations, for the noise-free and noisy data, respectively.

We observe that we obtain accurate and relative stable reconstructions of the boundary curve. However, we have to stress that the results are sensitive with respect to the initial guess.

5 Conclusions

We extended a non-linear integral equations approach for the inverse hyperbolic problem related to the reconstruction of the interior boundary from the knowledge of the Cauchy




Figure 3: Reconstructions of the apple-shaped boundary Γ_1 for exact data (left) and data with 3% noise (right).

data on the exterior boundary of a doubly connected planar domain. The use of Laguerre transform in time leads to a sequence of stationary inverse boundary problems for the Helmholtz equation. Next with the help of the modified single layer potentials these problems were reduced to a sequence of non-linear boundary integral equations. Then, a Newton-type iteration method was applied. The well-posed system of linear integral equations is solved by the Nyström method and the ill-posed linear integral equations by the collocation method with Tikhonov regularization, at every iteration step. Our approach can be extended to the case of three-dimensional domains for similar but more involved fundamental sequences.

6 Acknowledgements

The work of LM was supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) in the project F6801-N36 within the Special Research Programme SFB F68: “Tomography Across the Scales”.

References

- [1] Abramowitz, M. and Stegun, I. A., *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*, National Bureau of Standards Applied Mathematics Series, Washington, D. C., 1972. 4
- [2] Alves, C. J. S., Kress, R. and Silvestre, A. L., Integral equations for an inverse boundary value problem for the two-dimensional Stokes equations, *Journal of Inverse and Ill-Posed Problems* 15(5), 461–481 (2007). 1

- [3] Cakoni, F., Cristo, M. D. and Sun, J., A multistep reciprocity gap functional method for the inverse problem in a multilayered medium, *Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations* 57(2-4), 261–276 (2012). [1](#)
- [4] Cakoni, F. and Haddar, H., Analysis of two linear sampling methods applied to electromagnetic imaging of buried objects, *Inverse Problems* 22(3), 845 (2006). [1](#)
- [5] Caorsi, S., Massa A., Pastorino M., Raffetto, M. and Randazzo, A., Detection of buried inhomogeneous elliptic cylinders by a memetic algorithm, *IEEE Trans Antennas Propag.* 51(10), 2878–2884 (2003). [1](#)
- [6] Chapko, R., Gintides, D. and Mindrinos, L., The inverse scattering problem by an elastic inclusion, *Advances in Computational Mathematics* 44, 453–476 (2018). [2](#)
- [7] Chapko, R. and Johansson, B. T., A boundary integral equation method for numerical solution of parabolic and hyperbolic Cauchy problems, *Applied Numerical Mathematics* 129, 104–119 (2018). [2](#), [5](#)
- [8] Chapko, R., Ivanyshyn Yaman, O. and Vavrychuk V., On the non-linear integral equation method for the reconstruction of an inclusion in the elastic body, *Journal of Numerical and Applied Mathematics* 1(130), 7–17 (2019). [2](#)
- [9] Chapko, R., Ivanyshyn Yaman, O. and Kanafotskyi, T. S., On the non-linear integral equation approaches for the boundary reconstruction in double-connected planar domains, *Journal of Numerical and Applied Mathematics* 122, 7–20 (2016). [2](#)
- [10] Chapko, R. and Kress, R., Rothe’s method for the heat equation and boundary integral equations, *J. Integral Equations Appl.* 9, 47–69 (1997). [2](#)
- [11] Chapko, R. and Kress, R., On the numerical solution of initial boundary value problems by the Laguerre transformation and boundary integral equations, In Eds. R. P. Agarwal, O’Regan *Series in Mathematical Analysis and Application*, Vol. 2, Integral and Integrodifferential Equations: Theory, Methods and Applications, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Amsterdam, 55–69 (2000). [2](#), [3](#), [5](#)
- [12] Chapko, R., Kress, R. and Yoon, J. R., On the numerical solution of an inverse boundary value problem for the heat equation, *Inverse Problems* 14(4), 853 (1998). [2](#)
- [13] Chapko, R. and Mindrinos, L., On the non-linear integral equation approach for an inverse boundary value problem for the heat equation. *Journal of Engineering Mathematics* 119, 255–268 (2019). [2](#), [3](#), [7](#), [8](#), [9](#)
- [14] Gintides, D. and Mindrinos, L., The inverse electromagnetic scattering problem by a penetrable cylinder at oblique incidence, *Applicable Analysis* 98(4), 781–798 (2019). [2](#)

- [15] Johansson, B. T. and Sleeman, B. D., Reconstruction of an acoustically sound-soft obstacle from one incident field and the far-field pattern, *IMA Journal of Applied Mathematics* 72, 96–112 (2007). [7](#)
- [16] Kress, R., *Linear Integral Equations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2014. [9](#)
- [17] Kress, R. and Rundell, W., Nonlinear integral equations and the iterative solution for an inverse boundary value problem, *Inverse Problems* 21, 1207–1223 (2005). [1](#)
- [18] Lions, J. L. and Magenes, E., *Non-homogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Applications I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972. [3](#)
- [19] Massa A., Pastorino, M. and Randazzo A., Reconstruction of two-dimensional buried objects by a differential evolution method, *Inverse Problems* 20(6), S135–S150 (2004). [1](#)
- [20] Naik, N., Eriksson, J., de Groen, P. and Sahli, H., A nonlinear iterative reconstruction and analysis approach to shape-based approximate electromagnetic tomography, *IEEE transactions on geoscience and remote sensing* 46(5), 1558–1574 (2008). [1](#)
- [21] Yaman, F., Location and shape reconstructions of sound-soft obstacles buried in penetrable cylinders, *Inverse Problems* 25(6), 065005 (2009). [2](#)