

Thermodynamic properties of Bardeen black holes in dRGT massive gravity

R P Singh,^{1,*} B K Singh,^{1,†} B R K Gupta,^{1,‡} and Shobhit Sachan^{2,§}

¹*Department of Physics, Institute of Applied Sciences and Humanities,
GLA University, Mathura 281406, Uttar Pradesh, India*

²*Department of Physics, Sri Ram Swaroop Memorial
University, Barabanki, Uttar Pradesh, India,*

²*Daulat Ram College, University of Delhi, India.*

Abstract

The Bardeen black hole solution is the first spherically symmetric regular black hole based on the Sakharov and Gliner proposal which is a modification of the Schwarzschild black hole. We present the Bardeen black hole solution in the presence of the de Rham, Gabadaadze, and Tolley (dRGT) massive gravity, which is regular everywhere in the presence of a nonlinear source. The obtained solution reduces to the Bardeen black hole in the absence of a massive gravity parameter, and the Schwarzschild black hole when magnetic charge $g = 0$. We investigated the thermodynamic quantities, that is, mass (M), temperature (T), entropy (S), and free energy (F), in terms of the horizon radius for both canonical and grand canonical ensembles. We checked the local and global stability of the obtained solution by studying the heat capacity and free energy. The heat capacity changes sign at $r = r_c$. The black hole is thermodynamically stable with a positive heat capacity $C > 0$ (i.e., globally preferred with negative free energy $F < 0$). In addition, we studied the phase structure of the obtained solution in both ensembles.

*Electronic address: rpsinghmathura@gmail.com

†Electronic address: bksingh100@yahoo.com

‡Electronic address: brk.gupta@gla.ac.in

§Electronic address: shobhitsachan@gmail.com

I. INTRODUCTION

Einstein's general relativity is a unique theory of gravity, and black holes are one of its exact solutions, and are characterised by the no-hair theorem. The boundary of a black hole is known as the event horizon, which is a one-way surface, meaning that nothing can escape from it, including electromagnetic radiation. The existence of a singularity means that space-time ceases to exist, signalling the breakdown of general relativity, requiring modifications in said theory. Sakharov [1] and Gliner [2] proposed a method to resolve the singularity problem by considering a de Sitter core with equation of state $P = -\rho$ or to obtain a regular model without singularities. This model could provide proper discrimination at the final stage of gravitational collapse, replacing the future singularity. Using this idea, Bardeen [3] gave the first black hole solution that shows that there are horizons, but there is no singularity. These solutions are an exact solution of general relativity coupled with nonlinear electrodynamics (NLED) proposed by Ayon-Beato and Garcia [4–6]. Subsequently, significant efforts have been made to investigate regular black holes [7–10] (more recently refs. [11–21]), but most of these solutions are fundamentally based on Bardeen's proposal. Regular black holes are also found in Einstein–Gauss–Bonnet gravity [22–25], $f(r)$ gravity [26], quadratic gravity [27], $f(T)$ gravity [28], noncommutative geometry [29], rotating black hole solution [30, 31], and P-V criticality [32–36].

The Einstein–Hilbert action in space-time coupled with NLED is expressed as [5, 19],

$$I = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} [\mathcal{R} + \mathcal{L}(F)], \quad (1)$$

where \mathcal{R} is the Ricci scalar and $\mathcal{L}(F)$ is the Lagrangian density of the nonlinear field which is given by [5, 19, 21]

$$\mathcal{L}(F) = \frac{3}{2sg^2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{2g^2F}}{1 + \sqrt{2g^2F}} \right)^{5/2}, \quad (2)$$

where F is a function of $F_{ab}F^{ab}$, F_{ab} is the electromagnetic field tensor and s is the parameter which is related to the mass and charge via $s = g/2M$. For spherically symmetric space-times, the only non-vanishing component of F_{ab} is $F_{\theta\phi}$.

Variation of the action in Eq. (1) with respect to the metric tensor g_{ab} and the electro-

magnetic potential A_a leads to

$$R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}g_{ab}R + \Lambda g_{ab} = T_{ab} \equiv 2 \left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})}{\partial F} F_{ac} F_b^c - g_{ab} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}) \right], \quad (3)$$

$$\nabla_a \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})}{\partial F} F^{ab} \right) = 0, \quad \nabla_\mu (*F^{ab}) = 0, \quad (4)$$

The spherically symmetric black hole admits the following black hole solution

$$ds^2 = - \left(1 - \frac{2Mr^2}{(r^2 + g^2)^{3/2}} \right) dt^2 + \frac{1}{\left(1 - \frac{2Mr^2}{(r^2 + g^2)^{3/2}} \right)} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_2^2, \quad (5)$$

where $d\Omega_2^2 = d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta$ denotes the metric on a $2D$ sphere, g is a magnetic charge and M is the integration constant which is related to the black hole mass. The solution becomes Schwarzschild black hole solution in the absence of a magnetic charge.

The General relativity is generalized into a more effective theory which can provide mass and is known as dRGT massive gravity. It was introduced in de Rham, Gabadaadze and Tolley model [37–41], which added a potential contribution to the Einstein-Hilbert action. The dRGT massive gravity is formulated such that the equation of motion does not contain a higher derivative term, consequently the ghost field vanishes. But the formulation of exact solutions in this theory is arduous due to the nonlinear term which leads to intricacy in calculations. Nevertheless considerable efforts have been made to procure spherically symmetric black holes in distinct massive gravity [42–54]. Modification of the dRGT model is based on the definition of the reference metric and the most successful reference metric was suggested by Vegh [55].

It is believed that dRGT massive gravity may provide a possible explanation for the accelerated expansion of the universe that does not require cosmological constant and has received significant attention including searches for black holes. Motivated by the work of Sakhrov, Gliner and Bardeen we present an exact black hole solution in the presence of dRGT massive gravity coupled to NLED[4–6]. The NLED theory is richer than the Maxwell theory, and in the weak-field limit it reduces to Maxwell electrodynamics. It was shown that coupling gravity to NLED can remove black hole singularities. The obtained solution is regular everywhere including $r \rightarrow 0$ and it reduces to the Schwarzschild massive black hole in the absence of magnetic charge. We studied the thermodynamics of black hole including the phase transition and the effect of massive gravity parameter in it. We also studied the thermodynamic behaviour including phase transition by observing the nature of free energy in canonical and grand canonical ensembles.

The remainder of this paper is organised as follows: The Bardeen black hole solution in dRGT massive gravity is obtained in Section 2. This section also contains the relevant equations of Einstein theory coupled with NLED. The structure and location of the horizons of the Bardeen massive black holes are investigated in Section 3. Section 4 is devoted to the study of the thermodynamic properties of Bardeen massive black holes. We adopt the signature $(-, +, +, +)$ for metric and use the units $8\pi G = c = 1$.

II. BARDEEN BLACK HOLES SOLUTION IN MASSIVE GRAVITY

The Einstein-Hilbert action in the presence of the cosmological constant coupled with the dRGT massive gravity and NLED is given by

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} [R + \mathcal{L}(F) + m_g^2 \mathcal{U}(g, \phi^a)], \quad (6)$$

where R , \mathcal{U} and ϕ^a are the Ricci scalar, potential for the graviton and the Stückelberg scalar respectively. The potential \mathcal{U} modifies the gravitational field with the variation of graviton mass m_g . The effective potential \mathcal{U} in four-dimensional spacetime is given as

$$\mathcal{U}(g, \phi^a) = \mathcal{U}_2 + \alpha_3 \mathcal{U}_3 + \alpha_4 \mathcal{U}_4 \quad (7)$$

here α_3 and α_4 are dimensionless free parameters [37–40, 54]

$$\mathcal{U}_2 \equiv [\mathcal{K}]^2 - [\mathcal{K}^2] \quad (8)$$

$$\mathcal{U}_3 \equiv [\mathcal{K}]^3 - [\mathcal{K}][\mathcal{K}^2] + 2[\mathcal{K}^3] \quad (9)$$

$$\mathcal{U}_4 \equiv [\mathcal{K}]^4 - 6[\mathcal{K}]^2[\mathcal{K}^2] + 8[\mathcal{K}][\mathcal{K}^3] + 3[\mathcal{K}^2]^2 - 6[\mathcal{K}^4] \quad (10)$$

where $\mathcal{K}_b^a = \delta_b^a - \sqrt{g^{a\sigma} f_{ab} \partial_\sigma \phi^a \partial_b \phi^b}$, f_{ab} is a reference metric and square brackets represent the traces, i.e., $[\mathcal{K}] = \mathcal{K}_a^a$ and $[\mathcal{K}^n] = (\mathcal{K}^n)_a^a$. The Stückelberg scalars ϕ^a are the four scalar fields which are initiated to restore general covariance of the theory. It is observed that the interacting terms are symmetric polynomials of \mathcal{K} . The equation of motion does not contain higher order derivative term because of the chosen possible coefficients. We use unitary gauge $\phi^a = x^\mu \delta_\mu^a$ [55]. In the chosen gauge, the tensor observable metric describes the five degrees of freedom of the massive graviton. It is noted that once the scalars are fixed, the Stückelberg scalars transform according to the coordinate transformation. As the unitary gauge is preferred, employing a coordinate transform it will break the gauge condition and

then incite further change in the Stückelberg scalars. The gravitational potential parameters α_3 and α_4 used in Eq. (7) are described as follows,

$$\alpha_3 = \frac{\alpha - 1}{3}, \quad \alpha_4 = \frac{\beta}{4} + \frac{1 - \alpha}{12} \quad (11)$$

The equation of motion is obtained by varying the action (6) with respect to g_{ab} , which is given by

$$R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}g_{ab}R + m_g^2 X_{ab} = T_{ab} \equiv 2 \left[\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})}{\partial F} F_{ac} F_b^c - g_{ab} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}) \right],$$

$$\nabla_a \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F})}{\partial F} F^{ab} \right) = 0, \quad (12)$$

where X_{ab} is the energy-momentum tensor which can be determined by varying the potential \mathcal{U} term w.r.t. g_{ab} [54]

$$X_{ab} = \mathcal{K}_{ab} - \mathcal{K}g_{ab} - \alpha \left\{ \mathcal{K}_{ab}^2 - \mathcal{K}\mathcal{K}_{ab} + \frac{[\mathcal{K}]^2 - [\mathcal{K}^2]}{2} g_{ab} \right\}$$

$$+ 3\beta \left\{ \mathcal{K}_{ab}^3 - \mathcal{K}\mathcal{K}_{ab}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{K}_{ab} \{ [\mathcal{K}]^2 - [\mathcal{K}^2] \} - \frac{1}{6}g_{ab} \{ [\mathcal{K}]^3 - 3[\mathcal{K}][\mathcal{K}^2] + 2[\mathcal{K}^3] \} \right\} \quad (13)$$

An additional constraint besides modified Einstein equations can be implied by using the Bianchi identities $\nabla^a X_{ab} = 0$. The line element of the spherically symmetric space-time is

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + \frac{1}{f(r)}dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega_2^2, \quad (14)$$

with the following metric ansatz [54]

$$f_{ab} = \text{diag}(0, 0, c^2, c^2 \sin^2 \theta) \quad (15)$$

where c is the constant with the choice of preceding metric, the action remain finite since it only contains the non-negative power of f_{ab} [54].

The (r, r) component of the modified Einstein equations (12) is

$$\frac{rf'(r) + f(r)}{r^2} - \frac{1}{r^2} - m_g^2 \left(\frac{\alpha(3r - c)(r - c)}{r^2} + \frac{3\beta(r - c)^2}{r^2} + \frac{3r - 2c}{r} \right) = \frac{3Me^2 r^2}{(r^2 + e^2)^{5/2}}, \quad (16)$$

The Equation (16) admits the following solution for the metric function $f(r)$,

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{2Mr^2}{(r^2 + g^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{\Lambda}{3}r^2 + \gamma r + \zeta, \quad (17)$$

with

$$\Lambda = 3m_g^2(1 + \alpha + \beta), \quad (18)$$

$$\gamma = -cm_g^2(1 + 2\alpha + 3\beta), \quad (19)$$

$$\zeta = c^2m_g^2(\alpha + 3\beta). \quad (20)$$

In the obtained solution (17), the cosmological constant Λ occurs naturally in the theory in terms of the graviton mass m_g which serves as the cosmological constant. The solution (16) reduced to Bardeen black hole solution in the absence of massive gravity parameter ($m_g = 0$) [15, 19, 20] and it is reduced Schwarzschild black hole in the absence of massive gravity parameter and magnetic charge.

The horizon of the black hole can be obtained when $f(r) = 0$.

$$1 - \frac{2Mr^2}{(r^2 + g^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{\Lambda}{3}r^2 + \gamma r + \zeta = 0 \quad (21)$$

This is transcendental equation it can not be solved analytically. Numerical analysis of $f(r) = 0$ is being done by varying the magnetic charge (g) with fixed value of massive gravity parameter $m_g^2c^2 = 1$, is depicted in the Fig. 1. The numerical analysis of $f(r) = 0$ reveals that it is possible to find non-vanishing value of g , α , β and $m_g^2c^2$ for which metric function $f(r)$ is minimum, i.e, $f(r) = 0$, this will give three real roots which correspond to the Cauchy horizon, event horizon and cosmological horizon. The cosmological horizon (r_c) related to the graviton mass.

It is clear from Fig. 1, the size of the black hole increases with decrease in magnetic charge. The black hole has three horizons at $g > 0.40$ viz. Cauchy, event, and cosmological horizon, the two horizons for $g = 0.40$ viz event and cosmological horizon and only cosmological horizon when $g > 0.40$.

Now, Let us study the nature of singularity of Bardeen massive black hole. It becomes useful to consider the curvature invariants of Ricci square ($R_{ab}R^{ab}$) and Kretshmann scalars ($R_{abcd}R^{abcd}$). The invariants are

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} R_{ab}R^{ab} &= -12\Lambda + \frac{144M}{g^3} \left(\frac{\Lambda}{3} + \frac{M}{g^3} + \frac{m^2\gamma}{2} \right) + \frac{42m^2}{g^2} \left(\frac{m^2\zeta^2}{g^2} - \frac{2\Lambda\zeta}{3} + \frac{5\gamma^2}{12} + \frac{\zeta^2}{g^2} \right), \\ \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} R_{abcd}R^{abcd} &= \frac{4\Lambda^2}{3} + \frac{48M}{g^3} \left(\frac{\Lambda}{3} + \frac{M}{g^3} + \frac{5m^2\zeta}{6g^2} \right) + \frac{7m^4}{g^2} \left(\gamma^2 + \frac{6\zeta^2}{g^2} + \frac{2g^2\zeta^2}{7} - \frac{4\zeta\Lambda}{3m^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$




FIG. 1: Plot of $f(r)$ vs r for different value of magnetic charge g with $\alpha = 2$, $\beta = 0.7$ and $m_g^2 c^2 = 1$.

These invariants show that the black hole solution (17) is regular everywhere including origin ($r = 0$). The singularity of the solution is removed due to the presence of Bardeen source (2).

III. THERMODYNAMICS OF THE BLACK HOLE

A. Canonical Ensemble

We investigate the thermodynamic properties of the Bardeen massive black hole in canonical ensemble by considering a fixed charge g of the black hole. One can determine the mass of a black hole by $f(r) = 0$. The mass of the black hole in terms of horizon radius r is given by

$$M = \frac{(g^2 + r^2)^{3/2} (1 + r\gamma + r^2\Lambda + \zeta)}{2r^2}, \quad (23)$$

substituting the values of Λ , γ and ζ from Eq. (18), (19) and Eq. (20) into Eq. (23), the mass of Bardeen massive black hole becomes

$$M = \frac{(g^2 + r^2)^{3/2}}{2r^2} (1 + m_g^2 r^2 (1 + \alpha + \beta) + c^2 m_g^2 (\alpha + 3\beta) - c m_g^2 r (1 + 2\alpha + 3\beta)). \quad (24)$$

The mass of the Bardeen massive black hole reduces to mass of Bardeen black hole in the limit of $m_g = 0$ [20] and the mass of Schwarzschild massive black hole when $g = 0$ [56]. The black hole mass reduces to *AdS* Schwarzschild black hole in the limit of $g = 0$ and $m_g = 0$.

For convenience, one can take $m_g^2 c^2 = 1$, under this condition of dimensionless parameters one can have for positive values of black hole mass

$$\alpha > -\frac{r^2(1+\beta) - r(1+3\beta) + (1+3\beta)}{(r-1)^2} \quad \text{for } r \neq 1 \quad (25)$$

$$\beta > -1 \quad \text{and } \alpha \text{ is arbitrary for } r = 1. \quad (26)$$

The temperature of the black hole is known as Hawking temperature which is related to the surface gravity κ by the relation $T = \kappa/2\pi$ [61?]. The temperature T of the black hole is

$$T = \frac{f'(r)}{4\pi} = \frac{r^2(1+2r\gamma+r^2\Lambda+\zeta) - g^2(2+r\gamma+2\gamma)}{4\pi r(g^2+r^2)} \quad (27)$$

The temperature of the Bardeen massive black hole can be recovered in the limit of graviton




FIG. 2: Plots of temperature of the Bardeen massive black hole T vs horizon radius r for the different value of magnetic charge g with $\alpha = 2$, $\beta = 0.7$ and $m_g^2 c^2 = 1$. The dotted curve shows the temperature of the Schwarzschild massive black hole.

mass $m_g = 0$. The plot of temperature is displayed in Fig. 2, which shows that the temperature of Bardeen massive black hole increase and attains the maximum value and then decreases with increase in the horizon radius r and attains the minimum values T^{min} for all the values of magnetic magnetic charge which is different for the different value of

magnetic e charge. After this, the temperature of the black hole increases monotonically with horizon radius and coincide with the Schwarzschild massive black hole at $r = 1.1$.

Next, let us focus our attention to an important thermodynamic quantity entropy S of the black hole in term of horizon radius by using the first law of thermodynamics $dM = TdS + \phi_g dg$, where the charge is fixed. The entropy of the Bardeen massive black hole is

$$S = \int \frac{dM}{T} = \pi r^2 \left[\left(1 - \frac{g^2}{r^2} \right) \sqrt{1 + \frac{g^2}{r^2}} + \frac{3}{2} \frac{g^2}{r^2} \log(r + \sqrt{g^2 + r^2}) \right], \quad (28)$$

This entropy does not follow the area law in the presence of magnetic charge, when $g = 0$ it follow the standard area law which resembles with the Bekenstein-Hawking area law.

Wald [57] has demonstrated that the black hole entropy obeys the area law, but in the case of regular black holes one does not obtain correct form of the using the first law of thermodynamics. Using the thermodynamic quantities associates with the black hole (mass, charge, temperature and entropy), one can easily show that these quantities does not follow the first law of thermodynamics

$$dM \neq TdS + \phi_g dg \quad (29)$$

Ma *et al* [58] modified the first law black hole thermodynamics. When the black hole mass parameter M is included in the energy momentum tensor, the conventional form of the first law gets modified with an extra factor. The corrected temperature is obtained from the modified first law of thermodynamics [58–60]

$$C_M dM = T dS + \phi_g dg, \quad (30)$$

The thermodynamics variables appearing in the above expression are given by,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_g &= \frac{(g^2 + r^2)^{3/2}(3 + 3r\gamma + r^2\Lambda + 3\zeta)}{6r^2} \\ C_M &= 4\pi \int r^2 \frac{\partial T_0^0}{\partial M} = 1 - \frac{r^3}{(r^2 + g^2)^{3/2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

The entropy of the black hole

$$S = \int C_M \frac{dM}{T} = \pi r^2 = \frac{A}{4}. \quad (32)$$

One can also determine local thermodynamically stable state by verifying the sign of heat capacity. The heat capacity of the black hole at constant volume is defined as

$$C = T \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial T} \right) \quad (33)$$

Substituting the expression of temperature and entropy in Eq. (33) and on solving it the expression for the heat capacity becomes

$$C = \frac{2\pi(g^2 + r^2)^{5/2} (r^2(1 + 2r\gamma + r^2\Lambda + \zeta) - g^2(2 + r\gamma + 2\zeta))}{r(r^4(-1 + r^2\Lambda - \zeta) + 2g^4(1 + c_1) + g^2r^2(7 + 6r\gamma + 3r^2\Lambda + 7\zeta))}. \quad (34)$$

To analyse it, we plot the heat capacity in Fig. 3 for different values of magnetic charge which clearly exhibits that the heat capacity for a given value of magnetic charge is discontinuous exactly at the critical radius r_{c1} and r_{c2} . Further, it is noticeable that the black hole is thermodynamically stable for $r < r_{c1}$ and $r > r_{c2}$ whereas it is thermodynamical unstable for $r_{c1} < r < r_{c2}$. Moreover, divergence of the heat capacity at critical radius $r = r_{c1}$ and r_{c2} indicates the occurrence of a phase transition [56]. The heat capacity is discontinuous at $r_{c1} = 0.252$ and $r_{c2} = 0.68$ for $g = 0.10$.




FIG. 3: Plots of specific heat (C) vs horizon radius r of the black hole for the different value of magnetic charge g with $\alpha = 2$, $\beta = 0.7$ and $m_g^2 c^2 = 1$. The dotted curve shows the free energy of Schwarzschild massive black hole.

In case of canonical ensemble where there is no exchange of particles and the charge is fixed, one can consider the black hole to be a closed system. For this, we turn to calculate the Helmholtz free energy [54, 61]

$$F = M - TS \quad (35)$$

. Substituting the expression of M , T and S in Eq. (35) the Helmholtz free energy of the

Bardeen massive black hole becomes

$$F = \frac{(g^2 + r^2)^{3/2}(3 + 3r\gamma + r^2\Lambda + 3\zeta)}{6r^2} - \frac{r(r^2(1 + 2r\gamma + r^2\Lambda + \zeta))}{4(g^2 + r^2)} - \frac{r(-g^2(2 + r\gamma + 2\zeta))}{4(g^2 + r^2)}, \quad (36)$$

The condition of globally thermodynamically stable black hole is give by $F \leq 0$. We analyse the stability of the black hole by studying the nature of free energy which is plotted in Fig. 4, for the different values of magnetic charge g . Here we see that the free energy have a local minimum and a local maximum corresponding to the extremal points of the Hawking temperature (see Fig. 2). At these points the heat capacity flip the sign (see Fig. 3) . For $r > r_{c1}$, the free energy is the increasing function of horizon radius r and becomes positive at large value of r and attains the maximum value at r_{c2} . At $r = r_{c2}$ the slope of free energy turn negative and the theory naturally provides the Hawking-Page phase transition.




FIG. 4: Plots of free energy (F) vs horizon radius r of the black hole for the different value of magnetic charge g with $\alpha = 2$, $\beta = 0.7$ and $m_g^2 c^2 = 1$, and the point shows that the local minima and maxima. The dotted curve shows the Helmholtz free energy of Schwarzschild massive black hole and second plot is for $g = 0.10$.

Now we study the phase transition of the Bardeen massive black hole in the $T - S$ plane for fixed valued of massive gravity parametere. The critical values can be obtained by solving the following equation

$$\left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial S}\right)_{m_g} = \left(\frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial S^2}\right)_{m_g} = 0 \quad (37)$$

The temperature of Bardeen massive black hole in term of entropy is written as

$$T = \frac{1}{4\sqrt{\pi}(g^2\pi + S)} \left(\frac{3m_g^2 S^{3/2}(1 + \alpha + \beta)}{\pi} + \frac{cm_g^2 \sqrt{\pi S}(1 + 2\alpha + 3\beta)(\pi g^2 - 2S)}{\sqrt{\pi}} - \frac{1 + c^2 m_g^2(\alpha + 3\beta)(S - 2g^2\pi)}{S^{1/2}} \right) \quad (38)$$

Substitution Eq. (38) into Eq. (37), we find the critical points and the numerical results are presented in Tab I.

m_g	S_c	g_c	T_c
1	0.768	0.156	0.017
2	0.670	0.149	0.025
3	0.651	0.148	0.072
4	0.645	0.148	0.131

TABLE I: The table for critical temperature T_c , critical entropy S_c and critical magnetic charge g_c corresponding different value of m_g with fixed value of $\alpha = 2$, $\beta = 0.7$.

In order to obtained the phase transition of the black holes, we can identify the free energy of the black hole to see the effect of massive gravity parameter on the phase structure.

In $F - T$ plot we see that for $g < g_c$ for the Bardeen massive black hole the small and large black hole are stable but intermediate black hole is unstable, since the heat capacity is negative (see the Fig. 3). In $F - T$ plot the appearance of characteristic swallow tail shows that the obtained values are critical ones in which the phase transition take place for $g < g_c$. It is worthwhile to mention that the critical value of entropy, magnetic charge decreases and temperature increases with the massive gravity parameter (see the Tab. I).

B. Grand Canonical ensemble

Let us consider the Bardeen massive black hole in a grand canonical ensemble, where the black hole exchange the charge with the surrounding and chemical potential ϕ_g can be held fixed. In this way, the system is being considered in the grand canonical ensemble. Its chemical potential μ is specified as follows

$$\mu = \frac{(g^2 + r^2)^{3/2}(3 + 3r_+\gamma + r^2\Lambda + 3\zeta)}{6r^2} \quad (39)$$




FIG. 5: The plots of free energy vs temperature for $g < g_c$ with fixed value of $\alpha = 2$, $\beta = 0.7$.

The temperature of Bardeen massive black hole in terms of chemical potential μ is written as

$$T = \frac{1 + 2r\gamma + r_+^2\Lambda + \zeta + (2 + r\gamma + 2\zeta)\left(1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{16\mu^2}{(3+3r_+\gamma+r^2\Lambda+3\zeta)^2}}\right)}{4\pi r\left(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{1 + \frac{16\mu^2}{(3+3r\gamma+r^2\Lambda+3\zeta)^2}}\right)} \quad (40)$$

In Fig. 6 we show the variation of the temperature of Bardeen massive black hole at different value of chemical potential μ . From the Fig. 6 it is obvious that temperature is decreasing with increasing the horizon radius r and attains the minimum value for the fixed value of chemical potential μ . The minimum value of the temperature will occur at $r = r_c$ where the heat capacity diverges (see Fig. 7 (right)). We have chosen $\alpha = 2$, $\beta = 0.7$ as a simple choice of the parameters in this region and adopt the condition $m^2 c^2 = 1$.

In the case of Grand canonical ensemble the corresponding free energy is the Gibbs free energy G which is expressed as $G = M - TS - \mu g$. It can be seen from Fig. 7 that the value of expression $(1 - \frac{\Lambda}{3}r^2 + \zeta - \mu^2)$ will decide the sign of free energy that is globally thermodynamic stability exists when the following condition is satisfied.

$$\Lambda r^2 \geq 3(1 + \zeta - \mu^2) \quad (41)$$




FIG. 6: Plot of temperature T as the function of horizon radius r for different value of chemical potential μ . The dotted line shows the temperature of the Schwarzschild massive black hole.




FIG. 7: Plots of Gibbs free energy and heat capacity vs horizon radius r for different value of chemical potential μ with $\alpha = 2$, $\beta = 0.7$ and $m_g^2 c^2 = 1$. The dotted curve shows the free energy of the Bardeen black hole.

In addition locally thermodynamic stability can also be verified by analysing the heat capacity C , which is shown in Fig. (7). The condition of locally thermodynamic stability is given by $\Lambda r^2 > (1 + \zeta - \mu^2)$. In this grand canonical aspect both global and local stability depend on the parameters Λ , c_1 and also on μ unlike canonical case where stability conditions depends only upon Λ and ζ . Once again both these parameters depend upon α and β .

The plot of Gibbs free energy with the function of temperature is plotted in the Fig 8.

In this figure we notice that the Bardeen massive black hole is stable above the Hawking temperature, where the Gibbs free energy is negative.




FIG. 8: The plots of Gibbs free energy vs. temperature for $\mu < \mu_c$ with fixed value of $\alpha = 2$, $\beta = 0.7$ and $\mu_c = 1.028$.

For further analysis of the stability of the black hole as shown in Fig. 7 for the different values of chemical potential μ , it is shown that heat capacity for a given value of chemical potential μ is discontinuous exactly at the critical radius r_c . Further, we note that there is a flip of sign in the heat capacity around r_c . Thus Bardeen massive black hole is thermodynamically stable for $r > r_c$ whereas it is thermodynamically unstable for $r < r_c$ and there is a phase transition at $r = r_c$ from the unstable to stable phase.

IV. CONCLUSION

The dRGT massive gravity describes non-linear interaction terms as a correction of the Einstein-Hilbert action and reduces to general relativity as a particular limit. The dRGT massive gravity has received significant attention including searches for the solutions of black holes. We note that because of the inclusion of the massive gravity term in the action, the Bardeen black hole solution is modified and the corresponding thermodynamic

quantities are also changed. The temperature decreases with increasing the horizon radius and attains a minimum value and negative at the larger value of magnetic charge. The temperature of the Bardeen massive black hole coincide with the Schwarzschild massive black hole at $r = 1.1$ for canonical ensemble and $r = 1.4$ for grand canonical ensemble. However, the black hole entropy do not obey the area law, a new quantity C_M is defined which is required for the consistency of the first law of thermodynamics and area law. We studied the black hole thermodynamics in both canonical and grand canonical ensembles to analyse the thermodynamic quantities including the phase transition. The stability of the black hole has been studied by observing the behaviour of heat capacity and the free energy. The slope of free energy becomes negative after the heat capacity diverges and the Hawking-Page phase transition occurs naturally in both the ensembles.

The free energy turns negative slope where the heat capacity is diverges and the Hawking-Page phase transition occur in both ensembles.

In this study we constructed the exact solution of Bardeen black holes in the presence dRGT massive gravity which reduces to Bardeen black holes when $m_g = 0$ and *AdS* massive black hole in the absence of charge. The resulting black hole solution is characterized by analysing horizons, which at most could be threefold, so that inner, outer and cosmological horizons. We have also analysed the thermodynamic quantities like the black hole mass, Hawking temperature, entropy and free energy at event horizon in terms of magnetic charge g and the massive gravity parameter m_g in both canonical and grand canonical ensemble. The thermodynamics of the black hole is modified due to the presence of non-linear source. The local and global stability of the black hole for the case of grand canonical ensemble have been studied by investigating the heat capacity and Gibbs free energy. The heat capacity flip the sign at $r = r_c$, where the temperature is minimum. The positive heat capacity $C > 0$ for $r < r_c$ allowing the black hole to become thermodynamically stable and the black holes are globally preferred with negative free energy.

We further analysed the stability of canonical ensemble by studying the nature of Helmholtz free energy. Free energy has a local minima and local maxima corresponding to the horizon radius where the specific heat diverges (see Fig.4 b) and these points can be identified as the extremal points of the Hawking temperature (see Fig.2). However, at very small horizon radius the Hawking temperature is negative and hence not physical for global stability. This is in accordance with the Hawking-Page phase transition in general relativity [62].

Acknowledgement

Authors would like to thank Dr. Dharm Veer Singh for useful discussions.

- [1] A.D. Sakharov, Sov. Phys. JETP, **22**, 241 (1966).
- [2] E.B. Gliner, Sov. Phys. JETP, **22**, 378 (1966).
- [3] J. Regular, in *Proceedings of GR5* (Tiflis, U.S.S.R., 1968).
- [4] E. Ayon-Beato and A. Garcia, Gen. Rel. Grav. **31**, 629 (1999).
- [5] E. Ayon-Beato and A. Garcia, Gen. Rel. Grav. **37**, 635 (2005).
- [6] E. Ayon-Beato, A. Garcia, Phys. Lett. B **493**, 149 (2000).
- [7] S. Ansoldi, arXiv:0802.0330 [gr-qc].
- [8] K.A. Bronnikov, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 044005 (2001)
- [9] O.B. Zaslavskii, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 064034 (2009)
- [10] J.P.S. Lemos and V.T. Zanchin, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 124005 (2011) .
- [11] H. Culetu, arXiv:1408.3334v1 [gr-qc].
- [12] L. Balart and E. C. Vagenas, Phys. Lett. B **730**, 14 (2014)
- [13] L. Balart and E. C. Vagenas, Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 12, 124045 (2014).
- [14] L. Xiang, Y. Ling and Y. G. Shen, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **22**, 1342016 (2013).
- [15] D. V. Singh and N. K. Singh, Annals Phys. **383**, 600 (2017).
- [16] A. Kumar, D. V Singh and S. G. Ghosh, Eur. Phys. J. C **79**, 275 (2019).
- [17] D. V. Singh, S. G. Ghosh and S. D. Maharaj, Annals Phys. **412**, 168025 (2020).
- [18] A. Kumar, D. V. Singh and S. G. Ghosh, Annals Phys. **419** (2020), 168214.
- [19] D. V. Singh and S. Siwach, arXiv: 1909.11529 [hep-th].
- [20] A. G. Tzikas, Phys. Lett. B **788** (2019), 219-224.
- [21] D. V. Singh and S. Siwach, Phys. Lett. B **808** (2020), 135658
- [22] S. G. Ghosh, A. Kumar and D. V. Singh, Phys. Dark Univ. **30** (2020), 100660.
- [23] D. V. Singh, S. G. Ghosh and S. D. Maharaj, Phys. Dark Univ. **30** (2020), 100730.
- [24] S. G. Ghosh, D. V. Singh, R. Kumar and S. D. Maharaj, Annals Phys. **424**, 168347 (2021).
- [25] S. G. Ghosh, D. V. Singh and S. D. Maharaj, Phys. Rev. D **97**, no.10, 104050 (2018).
- [26] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rev. D **96**, 104008 (2017).

- [27] W. Berej, J. Matyjasek, D. Tryniecki, and M. Woronowicz, Gen. Rel. Grav. **38** 885 (2006).
- [28] E. L. B. Junior, M. E. Rodrigues, and M. J. S. Houndjo, JCAP 1510, 060 (2015).
- [29] D. V. Singh, M. S. Ali and S. G. Ghosh, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **27** (2018) no.12, 1850108
- [30] F. Ahmed, D. V. Singh and S. G. Ghosh, [arXiv:2008.10241 [gr-qc]].
- [31] F. Ahmed, D. V. Singh and S. G. Ghosh, [arXiv:2002.12031 [gr-qc]].
- [32] . S. Soroushfar, S. Upadhyay, Phys. Lett. B 804, 135360 (2020).
- [33] S. Soroushfar, R. Saffari, S. Upadhyay, Gen. Rel. Grav. 51, 130 (2019).
- [34] Y. H. Khan, P. A. Ganai, S. Upadhyay, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 103B06, 1 (2020).
- [35] P. A. Ganai, N.-ul-islam, S. Upadhyay, arXiv:1912.00767.
- [36] B. Pourhassan, S. Upadhyay, Eur. Phys. J. Plus 136, 311 (2021).
- [37] C. de Rham, G. Gabadadze, A. J. Tolley, Physical Review Letters. **106** (23) 231101.
- [38] T. M. Nieuwenhuizen, Phys. Rev. D **84** (2011) 024038.
- [39] R. Brito, V. Cardoso and P. Pani, Phys. Rev. D **88** (2013) 064006.
- [40] L. Berezhiani, G. Chkareuli, C. de Rham, G. Gabadadze and A. J. Tolley, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 044024 (2012).
- [41] Y. F. Cai, D. A. Easson, C. Gao and E. N. Saridakis, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 064001 (2013).
- [42] R. G. Cai, Y. P. Hu, Q. Y. Pan and Y. L. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 024032 (2015).
- [43] A. Adams, D. A. Roberts and O. Saremi, Phys. Rev. D **91**, no. 4, 046003 (2015)
- [44] J. Xu, L. M. Cao and Y. P. Hu, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 124033 (2015).
- [45] T. M. Nieuwenhuizen, Phys. Rev. D 84 024038 (2011).
- [46] E. Babichev and A. Fabbri, JHEP 1407, 016 (2014).
- [47] M. S. Volkov, Class. Quant. Grav. **30**, 184009 (2013).
- [48] G. Tasinato, K. Koyama and G. Niz, Class. Quant. Grav. **30**, 184002 (2013).
- [49] E. Babichev and R. Brito, Class. Quant. Grav. **32**, 154001 (2015).
- [50] F. Capela and P. G. Tinyakov, JHEP 1104, 042 (2011).
- [51] E. Babichev and C. Deffayet, Class. Quant. Grav. **30**, 184001 (2013).
- [52] A. Salam and J. A. Strathdee, Phys. Rev. D **16** 2668 (1977).
- [53] D. Comelli, M. Crisostomi, F. Nesti and L. Pilo, Phys. Rev. D **85** 024044 (2012).
- [54] S. G. Ghosh, L. Tannukij and P. Wongjun Eur, Phys. j. C **76** 119 (2016).
- [55] D. Vegh, " Holography without translational symmetry", arXiv: 1301.0537.
- [56] S.H.Hendi, B. Eslam Panah. S.Panahiyan, H.Liu, X.-H.Meng, Phys. Lett. B **781**, 40 (2018).

- [57] R. M. Wald, Phys. Rev. D **43**, R3427 (1993).
- [58] Mang-Sen Ma and Ren Zhao, Class. Quantun Grav. **31** 245014 (2014).
- [59] R. V. Maluf and J. C. S. Neves, Phys. Rev. D **97**, 104015 (2018).
- [60] B. K. Singh, R. P. Singh and D. V. Singh, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **136**, 575 (2021). D. V. Singh, M. S. Ali and S. G. Ghosh,
- [61] B. K. Singh, R. P. Singh and D. V. Singh, Eur. Phys. J. Plus **135** (2020) 862.
- [62] R. Cai, L. Cao, L. Li and R. Yang, JHEP 09, 005 (2013); R. Cai, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 084014 (2002).