

PARABOLIC FREQUENCY ON RICCI FLOWS

JULIUS BALDAUF*

DAIN KIM

MIT DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
CAMBRIDGE, MA

Abstract

This paper defines a parabolic frequency for solutions of the heat equation on a Ricci flow and proves its monotonicity along the flow. Frequency monotonicity is known to have many useful consequences; here it is shown to provide a simple proof of backwards uniqueness. For solutions of more general parabolic equations on a Ricci flow, this paper provides bounds on the derivative of the frequency, which similarly imply backwards uniqueness.

0. INTRODUCTION

Growth bounds for solutions of partial differential equations provide vital information and have many useful consequences. For a harmonic function u on \mathbb{R}^n , Almgren [A] first proved the monotonicity of the frequency function

$$(0.1) \quad N(r) = \frac{r \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B_r(p))}^2}{\|u\|_{L^2(\partial B_r(p))}^2}.$$

This function measures the rate of growth of u near the point p . The name *frequency* stems from the fact that a harmonic function which is homogeneous of degree k has $N(r) \equiv k$.

Since its introduction by Almgren, the elliptic frequency has been considerably generalized. Garofalo-Lin [GL1] extended it to harmonic functions on Riemannian manifolds, as well as to solutions of more general elliptic equations. For a harmonic function on a Riemannian manifold, there exist constants $\Lambda, R > 0$ such that $e^{\Lambda r^2} N(r)$ is monotone increasing for $r \in (0, R)$ [GL1, M].

Monotonicity of the frequency has been applied fruitfully in various contexts; for example, to study the nodal and critical sets of solutions to elliptic and parabolic equations and to prove unique continuation [GL1, GL2, HL, HHL, Li, E, EFV, Lo]. Additionally, Colding-Minicozzi used frequency monotonicity to prove finite dimensionality of the space of polynomial growth harmonic functions on manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature and Euclidean volume growth [CM1]. More recently, Taubes defined and studied versions of the elliptic frequency in the context of gauge theory [T].

The parabolic frequency generalizes Almgren's original elliptic frequency for harmonic functions. On static manifolds, the parabolic frequency was first defined by Poon [P]. Poon's frequency monotonicity theorem holds for manifolds with non-negative sectional curvature and parallel Ricci

*Supported in part by the National Science Foundation. *E-mail:* juliusbl@mit.edu

curvature, which are the assumptions necessary for Hamilton's matrix Harnack inequality to hold [H1, H2]. Using the drift Laplacian, [CM3] circumvented using Hamilton's matrix Harnack inequality and proved monotonicity of the parabolic frequency for arbitrary static manifolds.

This paper is the first to study notions of parabolic frequency on general evolving manifolds, having previously been investigated only in two special contexts: on 2-dimensional Ricci flows by Li-Wang [LW], and on shrinking Ricci solitons of arbitrary dimension by Colding-Minicozzi [CM3]. This paper's definition of frequency (0.3) generalizes Colding-Minicozzi's to arbitrary Ricci flows.

Let $(M^n, g(t))_{t \in I}$ be a Ricci flow, let τ denote backwards time, and let $K = (4\pi\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}}e^{-f}$ be the conjugate heat kernel centered at some space-time point (see Section 1 for precise definitions). Assume that along the flow there holds a Bakry-Émery bound $\text{Ric}_f := \text{Ric} + \text{Hess}_f \leq \frac{\kappa}{2\tau}g$, for some time-dependent constant $\kappa = \kappa(t)$. Such a bound always exists when M^n is compact, for example. This paper's definition of the parabolic frequency employs the conjugate heat kernel measure $d\nu = K dV$, as well as the associated drift Laplacian \mathcal{L}_f , which is the operator defined by

$$(0.2) \quad \mathcal{L}_f u = \Delta u - \langle \nabla f, \nabla u \rangle = e^f \text{div}(e^{-f} \nabla u).$$

The *parabolic frequency* $U(t)$ of a solution u of the heat equation along the Ricci flow, with¹ $u, \partial_t u \in W^{2,2}(d\nu)$ for each time $t \in I$, is defined by

$$(0.3) \quad U(t) = -\frac{\tau \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(d\nu)}^2}{\|u\|_{L^2(d\nu)}^2} e^{-\int \frac{1-\kappa}{\tau}} = \frac{\tau \langle \mathcal{L}_f u, u \rangle_{L^2(d\nu)}}{\|u\|_{L^2(d\nu)}^2} e^{-\int \frac{1-\kappa}{\tau}}.$$

The exponential involving κ is a correction term depending on the geometry of the flow; it is the parabolic analogue of the error term $e^{\Lambda r^2}$ appearing in the above elliptic frequency. By further analogy with the elliptic case, a caloric polynomial of degree k has $U(t) \equiv -\frac{k}{2}$; see Appendix A.

Theorem 0.4 (Frequency monotonicity). *The frequency U is monotone increasing along the Ricci flow, and $U'(t) = 0$ only if u is an eigenfunction of \mathcal{L}_f satisfying $\mathcal{L}_f u = c(t)u$, where $c(t) = e^{-\int \frac{1-\kappa}{\tau}} \frac{U}{\tau}$.*

Part of the strength of frequency monotonicity is the simplicity with which it can be applied.

Corollary 0.5 (Backwards uniqueness). *If $a < b$ and $u(\cdot, b) = 0$, then $u \equiv 0$ for all $t \in [a, b]$.*

The results of this paper also extend to more general parabolic equations. In this case, though U need no longer be monotone, its derivative can be bounded suitably to imply backwards uniqueness.

Theorem 0.6 (More general heat operators). *Let $u : M \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy $|(\partial_t - \Delta)u| \leq C(t)(|\nabla u| + |u|)$ along the Ricci flow $(M^n, g(t))_{t \in I}$. If $u(\cdot, b) = 0$, then $u \equiv 0$ for all $t \in [a, b]$.*

This paper is organized as follows: Section 1 defines the parabolic frequency and proves Theorem 0.4 and Corollary 0.5; Section 2 proves Theorem 0.6, concerning more general parabolic equations.

Acknowledgements

The authors are indebted to William Minicozzi for his continual guidance and support, as well as to Tristan Ozuch for useful discussions about Ricci flow. Part of this work was completed while the first author was funded by a National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship.

¹Some growth assumption is necessary to rule out the classical Tychonoff example [J, Chapter 7].

1. THE HEAT EQUATION

To define the parabolic frequency, let $(M^n, g(t))$ for $t \in [t_0, t_1]$ be a Ricci flow evolving by

$$(1.1) \quad \partial_t g = -2\text{Ric},$$

and let $\tau(t) = t_1 - t$ denote backwards time. As in the definition of Almgren's elliptic frequency as well as Poon's parabolic frequency on Euclidean space, fix a point $x_1 \in M$ at which the frequency function is centered. Let

$$(1.2) \quad K = (4\pi\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-f}$$

be the positive solution of the conjugate heat equation,

$$(1.3) \quad \partial_t K = -\Delta K + RK,$$

approaching a δ -function centered at $x_1 \in M$ as $t \nearrow t_1$. Here and throughout, R denotes the scalar curvature. Note that K is simply the conjugate heat kernel centered at (x_1, t_1) . As a consequence of the definition of K , the function f evolves by the following backwards heat-type equation

$$(1.4) \quad \partial_t f = -\Delta f - R + |\nabla f|^2 + \frac{n}{2\tau},$$

which is the same equation Perelman used in the proof of the monotonicity of his \mathcal{W} -functional along Ricci flow [P, §3]. For the parabolic frequency on Ricci flows, the conjugate heat kernel is the correct generalization of the backwards heat kernel on Euclidean space, which Poon used in his definition of parabolic frequency [P].

Assume that $(M^n, g(t), f(t))$ satisfies a time-dependent Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature bound

$$(1.5) \quad \text{Ric}_f \leq \frac{\kappa}{2\tau} g,$$

for some constant $\kappa = \kappa(t)$. If M^n is compact, such a function κ always exists and depends only on the geometry of the flow at each time [Hu]. Note that if $(M^n, g(t), f(t))$ is a subsolution of the shrinking Ricci soliton equation (compact or not), then (1.5) holds with $\kappa \equiv 1$.

Let

$$(1.6) \quad d\nu = K dV = (4\pi\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-f} dV$$

denote the corresponding conjugate heat kernel measure and let \mathcal{L}_f be the corresponding drift Laplacian, defined by

$$(1.7) \quad \mathcal{L}_f u = \Delta u - \langle \nabla f, \nabla u \rangle = e^f \text{div} (e^{-f} \nabla u).$$

The drift Laplacian \mathcal{L}_f is self-adjoint on the weighted Sobolev space $W^{1,2}(d\nu)$, satisfying

$$(1.8) \quad \int_M (\mathcal{L}_f u) v d\nu = - \int_M \langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle d\nu,$$

which follows directly from the definition of \mathcal{L}_f and $d\nu$ via integration by parts.

Differential operators like \mathcal{L}_f which are naturally associated to weighted measures, have proven to be invaluable in analysis and geometry; they have been used in Ricci and mean curvature flow to analyze solitons [CM2, CZ, MW], by Witten in his study of Morse theory [W], and recently to generalize the positive mass theorem to weighted manifolds and derive a new monotonicity formula for spinors in the Ricci flow [BO]. See [BH] for a study of eigenvalue estimates of drift Laplacians.

The crucial property satisfied by the conjugate heat kernel measure is its evolution along the Ricci flow. Since under the Ricci flow equation (1.1) the volume form dV evolves by

$$(1.9) \quad \partial_t(dV) = -R dV,$$

the conjugate heat kernel measure evolves by,

$$(1.10) \quad \partial_t(d\nu) = -(\Delta K)dV = -\frac{\Delta K}{K}d\nu.$$

Finally, the parabolic frequency can be defined: given $[a, b] \subset [t_0, t_1)$ and a function $u : M \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $u, \partial_t u \in W^{2,2}(d\nu)$ for each time $t \in [a, b]$, define

$$(1.11) \quad I(t) = \int_M |u|^2 d\nu,$$

$$(1.12) \quad D(t) = -\tau \int_M |\nabla u|^2 d\nu = \tau \int_M \langle u, \mathcal{L}_f u \rangle d\nu,$$

$$(1.13) \quad U(t) = e^{\int \frac{1-\kappa(t)}{\tau(t)} dt} \frac{D(t)}{I(t)}.$$

With this paper's convention, U is always non-positive. The integral $\int \frac{1-\kappa(t)}{\tau(t)} dt$ in the exponential term is an error term which vanishes if $(M^n, g(t), f(t))$ is a subsolution of the shrinking Ricci soliton equation, since in this case, one may choose $\kappa \equiv 1$.

The following lemma is used in the computation of the derivative of D .

Lemma 1.14. *If $u \in W^{2,2}(d\nu)$ for each time, then*

$$(1.15) \quad \int_M |\text{Hess}_u|^2 d\nu = \int_M (|\mathcal{L}_f u|^2 - \text{Ric}_f(\nabla u, \nabla u)) d\nu.$$

Proof. The drift Bochner formula gives

$$(1.16) \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_f |\nabla u|^2 = |\text{Hess}_u|^2 + \langle \nabla u, \nabla \mathcal{L}_f u \rangle + \text{Ric}_f(\nabla u, \nabla u).$$

Self-adjointness of \mathcal{L}_f with respect to the conjugate heat kernel measure and integration by parts give

$$(1.17) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_M (\mathcal{L}_f |\nabla u|^2) d\nu \\ &= \int_M (|\text{Hess}_u|^2 + \langle \nabla u, \nabla \mathcal{L}_f u \rangle + \text{Ric}_f(\nabla u, \nabla u)) d\nu \\ &= \int_M (|\text{Hess}_u|^2 - |\mathcal{L}_f u|^2 + \text{Ric}_f(\nabla u, \nabla u)) d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 0.4. The monotonicity of U is proven by computing I' and D' , and then using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to deduce that the difference $I^2U' = ID' - DI'$ is nonnegative.

On a Ricci flow evolving by (1.1), the norm of the gradient of a function $u(x, t)$ evolves by (see [CM3, Lemma 4.8] for a computation):

$$(1.18) \quad \partial_t |\nabla u|^2 = 2\text{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u) + 2\langle \nabla u, \nabla \partial_t u \rangle.$$

Combined with the Bochner formula, it follows that if u solves the heat equation, then

$$(1.19) \quad \begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \Delta) |\nabla u|^2 &= 2\text{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u) + 2\langle \nabla u, \nabla \partial_t u \rangle \\ &\quad - 2|\text{Hess}_u|^2 - 2\langle \nabla u, \nabla \Delta u \rangle - 2\text{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u) \\ &= -2|\text{Hess}_u|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence if u solves the heat equation, then (1.10), integration by parts, and the formula $\Delta u^2 = 2u\Delta u + 2|\nabla u|^2$ imply

$$(1.20) \quad \begin{aligned} I'(t) &= \int_M \left(2u\partial_t u - u^2 \frac{\Delta K}{K} \right) d\nu \\ &= \int_M (2u\Delta u - 2u\Delta u - 2|\nabla u|^2) d\nu \\ &= -2 \int_M |\nabla u|^2 d\nu \\ &= \frac{2}{\tau(t)} D(t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $I'(t) = \frac{2D(t)}{\tau(t)}$. To compute the derivative of D , use the evolution equation of the conjugate heat kernel measure (1.10), integration by parts, equation (1.19) and Lemma 1.14 to obtain

$$(1.21) \quad \begin{aligned} D'(t) &= -\tau \int_M \left(\frac{\tau'}{\tau} |\nabla u|^2 + \partial_t |\nabla u|^2 - |\nabla u|^2 \frac{\Delta K}{K} \right) d\nu \\ &= -\tau \int_M \left(-\frac{1}{\tau} |\nabla u|^2 + (\partial_t - \Delta) |\nabla u|^2 \right) d\nu \\ &= -\tau \int_M \left(-\frac{1}{\tau} |\nabla u|^2 - 2|\text{Hess}_u|^2 \right) d\nu \\ &= -\tau \int_M \left(-\frac{1}{\tau} |\nabla u|^2 - 2|\mathcal{L}_f u|^2 + 2\text{Ric}_f(\nabla u, \nabla u) \right) d\nu \\ &= 2\tau \int_M |\mathcal{L}_f u|^2 d\nu - 2\tau \int_M \left(\text{Ric}_f(\nabla u, \nabla u) - \frac{1}{2\tau} |\nabla u|^2 \right) d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Bakry-Émery curvature bound (1.5) to the last term above gives

$$(1.22) \quad \begin{aligned} D'(t) &\geq 2\tau \int_M |\mathcal{L}_f u|^2 d\nu - (\kappa - 1) \int_M |\nabla u|^2 d\nu \\ &= 2\tau \int_M |\mathcal{L}_f u|^2 d\nu + \frac{(\kappa - 1)}{\tau} D(t). \end{aligned}$$

Using this bound for D' allows the derivative of the frequency function to be bounded as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
(1.23) \quad I^2 U' &= I^2 \left(\frac{1-\kappa}{\tau} e^{\int \frac{1-\kappa}{\tau}} \frac{D}{I} + e^{\int \frac{1-\kappa}{\tau}} \frac{ID' - I'D}{I^2} \right) \\
&= e^{\int \frac{1-\kappa}{\tau}} \left(\frac{1-\kappa}{\tau} ID + ID' - \frac{2}{\tau} D^2 \right) \\
&\geq e^{\int \frac{1-\kappa}{\tau}} \left(\frac{1-\kappa}{\tau} ID + 2\tau I \int_M |\mathcal{L}_f u|^2 d\nu + \frac{\kappa-1}{\tau} ID - \frac{2}{\tau} D^2 \right) \\
&= e^{\int \frac{1-\kappa}{\tau}} \left(2\tau I \int_M |\mathcal{L}_f u|^2 d\nu - \frac{2}{\tau} D^2 \right) \\
&= e^{\int \frac{1-\kappa}{\tau}} \left(2\tau \left(\int_M |u|^2 d\nu \right) \left(\int_M |\mathcal{L}_f u|^2 d\nu \right) - \frac{2}{\tau} \left(\tau \int_M (u \mathcal{L}_f u) d\nu \right)^2 \right) \\
&= 2\tau e^{\int \frac{1-\kappa}{\tau}} \left(\left(\int_M |u|^2 d\nu \right) \left(\int_M |\mathcal{L}_f u|^2 d\nu \right) - \left(\int_M (u \mathcal{L}_f u) d\nu \right)^2 \right) \\
&\geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. If $U'(t) = 0$, then equality in the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality implies $\mathcal{L}_f u = c(t)u$. The function $c(t)$ can be determined by noting that if $\mathcal{L}_f u = c(t)u$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
(1.24) \quad I(t)U(t) &= e^{\int \frac{1-\kappa}{\tau}} D(t) \\
&= \tau e^{\int \frac{1-\kappa}{\tau}} \int_M \langle u, \mathcal{L}_f u \rangle d\nu \\
&= \tau e^{\int \frac{1-\kappa}{\tau}} c(t) \int_M |u|^2 d\nu \\
&= \tau e^{\int \frac{1-\kappa}{\tau}} c(t) I(t),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $c(t) = e^{-\int \frac{1-\kappa}{\tau}} \frac{U(t)}{\tau}$. □

Proof of Corollary 0.5. The proof of Theorem 0.4 shows that $I' = \frac{2}{\tau} D$. Hence

$$(1.25) \quad \log(I)' = \frac{I'}{I} = \frac{2}{\tau} \frac{D}{I} = 2e^{-\int \frac{1-\kappa}{\tau}} \frac{U}{\tau}.$$

Integration and monotonicity of U yields

$$(1.26) \quad \log I(b) - \log I(a) \geq 2 \int_a^b e^{-\int_a^t \frac{1-\kappa(s)}{\tau(s)} ds} \frac{U(t)}{\tau(t)} dt \geq 2U(a) \int_a^b e^{-\int_a^t \frac{1-\kappa(s)}{\tau(s)} ds} \frac{1}{\tau(t)} dt.$$

Note that the latter integral is finite since $0 < t_1 - b \leq \tau \leq t_1 - a$ on $[a, b] \subset [t_0, t_1)$. Thus, exponentiating gives

$$(1.27) \quad I(b) \geq I(a) \exp \left(2U(a) \int_a^b e^{-\int_a^t \frac{1-\kappa(s)}{\tau(s)} ds} \tau^{-1}(t) dt \right),$$

from which backwards uniqueness follows immediately. □

2. MORE GENERAL PARABOLIC EQUATIONS

This section extends the results of the previous section to solutions of more general parabolic equations on Ricci flows; see [P, ESS, CM3] for the static case.

In this section, again assume that $(M^n, g(t))$, for $t \in [t_0, t_1]$, is a Ricci flow evolving by (1.1) with a bound on curvature and its first derivative. As before, let $\tau(t) = t_1 - t$ denote backwards time and let

$$(2.1) \quad K = (4\pi\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-f}$$

be the conjugate heat kernel centered at some point (x_1, t_1) in spacetime. Again assume there exist time-dependent constants $\kappa = \kappa(t)$ such that on $M \times [t_0, t_1]$ the bound (1.5) holds. Let $d\nu = K dV$ denote the corresponding conjugate heat kernel measure and \mathcal{L}_f is the corresponding drift Laplacian, which is self-adjoint on the weighted Sobolev space $W^{1,2}(d\nu)$.

Given $[a, b] \subset [t_0, t_1]$ and a function $u : M \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $u, \partial_t u \in W^{2,2}(d\nu)$ for each time $t \in [a, b]$, define the functions I, D, U as in (1.11)-(1.13).

Theorem 2.2. *Let u satisfy*

$$(2.3) \quad |(\partial_t - \Delta)u| \leq C(t)(|\nabla u| + |u|)$$

along the Ricci flow $(M, g(t))$ for $t \in [a, b]$. Then

$$(2.4) \quad \log(I)' \geq (2 + C)e^{-\int \frac{1-\kappa}{\tau}} \frac{U}{\tau} - 3C$$

$$(2.5) \quad U' \geq C^2(U - \tau)$$

$$(2.6) \quad C^2 \geq \log(\tau(a) - U)'.$$

Proof. Differentiating $I(t)$ and using the elementary inequality $2ab \leq a^2 + b^2$ gives

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} I' &= \int_M \left(2u\partial_t u - u^2 \frac{\Delta K}{K} \right) d\nu \\ &= \int_M (2u\partial_t u - 2u\Delta u - 2|\nabla u|^2) d\nu \\ &= \frac{2}{\tau} D + 2 \int_M u(\partial_t - \Delta)u d\nu \\ &\geq \frac{2}{\tau} D - 2C \int_M |u|(|\nabla u| + |u|) d\nu \\ &= \frac{2}{\tau} D - 2CI - 2C \int_M |u||\nabla u| d\nu \\ &\geq \frac{2}{\tau} D - 3CI + \frac{C}{\tau} D \\ &= \frac{2+C}{\tau} D - 3CI \\ &= (2+C)e^{-\int \frac{1-\kappa}{\tau}} I \frac{U}{\tau} - 3CI, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the first claim.

On a Ricci flow evolving by (1.1), the norm of the gradient of a function $u(x, t)$ evolves by (1.18). Combined with the Bochner formula, this implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.8) \quad (\partial_t - \Delta)|\nabla u|^2 &= 2\text{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u) + 2\langle \nabla u, \nabla \partial_t u \rangle \\
 &\quad - 2|\text{Hess}_u|^2 - 2\langle \nabla u, \nabla \Delta u \rangle - 2\text{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u) \\
 &= -2|\text{Hess}_u|^2 + 2\langle \nabla u, \nabla (\partial_t - \Delta)u \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

Write D as

$$(2.9) \quad D = \tau \int_M u \left(\mathcal{L}_f + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_t - \Delta) \right) u \, d\nu - \frac{\tau}{2} \int_M u(\partial_t - \Delta)u \, d\nu.$$

Then by the integration by parts formula (1.8) for \mathcal{L}_f , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 I'(t) &= \int_M \left(2u\partial_t u - u^2 \frac{\Delta K}{K} \right) d\nu \\
 &= \int_M (2u\partial_t u - 2u\Delta u - 2|\nabla u|^2) d\nu \\
 &= 2 \int_M u(\mathcal{L}_f + \partial_t - \Delta)u \, d\nu \\
 (2.10) \quad &= 2 \int_M u \left(\mathcal{L}_f + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_t - \Delta) \right) u \, d\nu + \int_M u(\partial_t - \Delta)u \, d\nu.
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.10) and (2.9) yields

$$(2.11) \quad I'D = 2\tau \left(\int_M u \left(\mathcal{L}_f + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_t - \Delta) \right) u \, d\nu \right)^2 - \frac{\tau}{2} \left(\int_M u(\partial_t - \Delta)u \, d\nu \right)^2.$$

By (2.8),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.12) \quad D'(t) &= -\tau \int_M \left(\frac{\tau'}{\tau} |\nabla u|^2 + \partial_t |\nabla u|^2 - |\nabla u|^2 \frac{\Delta K}{K} \right) d\nu \\
 &= -\tau \int_M \left(-\frac{1}{\tau} |\nabla u|^2 + (\partial_t - \Delta) |\nabla u|^2 \right) d\nu \\
 &= -\tau \int_M \left(-\frac{1}{\tau} |\nabla u|^2 - 2|\text{Hess}_u|^2 + 2\langle \nabla u, \nabla (\partial_t - \Delta)u \rangle \right) d\nu.
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying the integration by parts formula (1.8) for \mathcal{L}_f to the last term of the integrand, as well as

Lemma 1.14, gives

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.13) \quad D'(t) &= -\tau \int_M \left(-\frac{1}{\tau} |\nabla u|^2 - 2|\mathcal{L}_f u|^2 + 2\text{Ric}_f(\nabla u, \nabla u) - 2(\mathcal{L}_f u)(\partial_t - \Delta)u \right) d\nu \\
&= 2\tau \int_M (|\mathcal{L}_f u|^2 + (\mathcal{L}_f u)(\partial_t - \Delta)u) d\nu - 2\tau \int_M \left(\text{Ric}_f(\nabla u, \nabla u) - \frac{1}{2\tau} |\nabla u|^2 \right) d\nu, \\
&\geq 2\tau \int_M (|\mathcal{L}_f u|^2 + (\mathcal{L}_f u)(\partial_t - \Delta)u) d\nu - (\kappa - 1) \int_M |\nabla u|^2 d\nu, \\
&= \frac{(\kappa - 1)}{\tau} D(t) + 2\tau \int_M \left(\left| \left(\mathcal{L}_f + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_t - \Delta) \right) u \right|^2 - \frac{1}{4} |(\partial_t - \Delta)u|^2 \right) d\nu,
\end{aligned}$$

where the divergence theorem, integration by parts, Bochner's formula, and the Bakry-Émery curvature bound (1.5), and completion of the square have been used. Using this bound for D' , (2.11), the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, and the bound $(a + b)^2 \leq 2(a^2 + b^2)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.14) \quad I^2 U' &= e^{\int \frac{1-\kappa}{\tau}} \left(\frac{1-\kappa}{\tau} ID + ID' - I'D \right) \\
&\geq e^{\int \frac{1-\kappa}{\tau}} \left[\frac{1-\kappa}{\tau} ID + \frac{\kappa-1}{\tau} ID \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2\tau \left[I \left(\int_M \left| \left(\mathcal{L}_f + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_t - \Delta) \right) u \right|^2 d\nu \right) - \left(\int_M u \left(\mathcal{L}_f + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_t - \Delta) \right) u d\nu \right)^2 \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\tau}{2} \left(\int_M u(\partial_t - \Delta)u d\nu \right)^2 - \frac{\tau}{2} I \int_M |(\partial_t - \Delta)u|^2 d\nu \right] \\
&\geq -\frac{\tau}{2} e^{\int \frac{1-\kappa}{\tau}} I \int_M |(\partial_t - \Delta)u|^2 d\nu \\
&\geq -\frac{\tau}{2} e^{\int \frac{1-\kappa}{\tau}} C^2 I \int_M (|\nabla u| + |u|)^2 d\nu \\
&\geq e^{\int \frac{1-\kappa}{\tau}} C^2 I (D - \tau I).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using that $\kappa \geq 1$ and $\tau' < 0$,

$$(2.15) \quad U' \geq C^2(U - \tau e^{\int \frac{1-\kappa}{\tau}}) \geq C^2(U - \tau) \geq C^2(U - \tau(a)),$$

which proves the second and third claims in the Theorem. \square

Corollary 2.16 (Theorem 0.6 restated). *Let $u : M \times [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy $|(\partial_t - \Delta)u| \leq C(t)(|\nabla u| + |u|)$ along the Ricci flow $(M, g(t))$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.17) \quad I(b) &\geq I(a) \exp \left[\left(2 + \sup_{[a,b]} C \right) \left((U(a) - \tau(a)) \exp \left(\int_a^b C^2 dt \right) + \tau(a) \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \left(\int_a^b e^{-\int_a^t \frac{1-\kappa(s)}{\tau(s)} ds} \tau^{-1}(t) dt \right) - 3(b-a) \sup_{[a,b]} C \right].
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $u(\cdot, b) = 0$, then $u \equiv 0$ for all $t \in [a, b]$.

Proof. Integrating the first claim in Theorem 2.2 gives

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \log(I(b)) - \log(I(a)) &\geq \int_a^b (2 + C) e^{-\int_a^t \frac{1-\kappa(s)}{\tau(s)} ds} \frac{U(t)}{\tau(t)} dt - 3 \int_a^b C dt \\ &\geq \left(2 + \sup_{[a,b]} C\right) \int_a^b e^{-\int_a^t \frac{1-\kappa(s)}{\tau(s)} ds} \frac{U(t)}{\tau(t)} dt - 3(b-a) \sup_{[a,b]} C. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating the third claim in Theorem 2.2 gives, for any $t \in [a, b]$,

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \log(\tau(a) - U(t)) &\leq \log(\tau(a) - U(a)) + \int_a^t C^2 ds \\ &\leq \log(\tau(a) - U(a)) + \int_a^b C^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(2.20) \quad U(t) \geq (U(a) - \tau(a)) \exp\left(\int_a^b C^2 ds\right) + \tau(a).$$

Inserting this lower bound into (2.18) and exponentiating proves the first part of the Corollary. The second part follows immediately from the first. (Note as in the previous section that the integral $\int_a^b e^{-\int_a^t \frac{1-\kappa(s)}{\tau(s)} ds} \tau^{-1}(t) dt$ is finite since τ is uniformly positive on $[a, b] \subset [t_0, t_1]$.) \square

A. THE GAUSSIAN SOLITON

Consider a shrinking Ricci soliton flow $(M^n, g(t), f(t))_{t < 0}$ with

$$(A.1) \quad \text{Ric} + \text{Hess}_f = \frac{1}{2\tau} g,$$

where $\tau(t) = -t$ denotes backwards time. Then f evolves by (1.4) and consequently the function $(4\pi\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-f}$ satisfies the conjugate heat equation. Thus, on a shrinking Ricci soliton, the function $(4\pi\tau)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-f}$ shares many formal properties with the fundamental solution of the conjugate heat equation centered at some point. These properties were used by Colding-Minicozzi [CM3] to define a fruitful notion of parabolic frequency on shrinking Ricci solitons, and inspired the results of this paper. For a detailed analysis of the fundamental solution of the conjugate heat equation on shrinking Ricci solitons, and in particular its relationship with the soliton potential f , see [LW2].

As a special case, consider the shrinking Gaussian soliton flow $(\mathbb{R}^n, g(t), f(t))_{t < 0}$, with

$$(A.2) \quad g(t) = g_{\text{euc}}, \quad f(x, t) = \frac{|x|^2}{4\tau},$$

where $\tau(t) = -t$ again denotes backwards time. See [CLN, §4.2] for a detailed description of the shrinking Gaussian soliton. The corresponding drift Laplacian is given by

$$(A.3) \quad \mathcal{L}_f = \Delta - \frac{1}{2\tau} \langle x, \nabla(\cdot) \rangle,$$

By Proposition A.7, its spectrum is given by

$$(A.4) \quad \text{Spec} \left(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{|x|^2}{4\tau}} \right) = \left\{ -\frac{k}{2\tau} \mid k \in \mathbb{N} \right\},$$

with the $(-\frac{k}{2\tau})$ -eigenfunctions being polynomials of degree k .

Below, the equality case of Theorem 0.4 is analyzed on the shrinking Gaussian soliton flow. That is, the solutions of the heat equation on \mathbb{R}^n for which the frequency is constant are determined, and the value of their frequency is computed. Let $u : \mathbb{R}^n \times (-\infty, 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ solve the heat equation along the shrinking Gaussian soliton flow and suppose that $U(t) = U(-1)$ is constant. Since κ can be chosen to equal 1 for shrinking soliton flows, the equality statement in Theorem 0.4 implies that

$$(A.5) \quad \mathcal{L}_f u = e^{-\int \frac{1-\kappa}{\tau}} \frac{U(t)}{\tau(t)} u = \frac{U(-1)}{\tau(t)} u,$$

for all $t < 0$. By (A.4), it follows that there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that,

$$(A.6) \quad U(t) \equiv -\frac{k}{2},$$

and that u is a polynomial of degree k . Hence u is a caloric polynomial of degree k .

A.1. SPECTRAL THEORY OF THE ORNSTEIN-UHLENBECK OPERATOR

The drift Laplacian \mathcal{L}_f associated with the shrinking Gaussian soliton is also called the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator; this appendix computes the spectrum of this operator.

Proposition A.7 (Spectrum of shrinking Gaussian drift Laplacian). *Let $\tau > 0$. The spectrum of the shrinking Gaussian drift Laplacian $\mathcal{L}_{\frac{|x|^2}{4\tau}}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4\tau}} dx)$ is given by*

$$(A.8) \quad \text{Spec} \left(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{|x|^2}{4\tau}} \right) = \left\{ -\frac{k}{2\tau} \mid k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Moreover, if $u \in L^2(e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4\tau}} dx)$ is an eigenfunction with $\mathcal{L}_{\frac{|x|^2}{4\tau}} u = -\frac{k}{2\tau} u$, then u is a polynomial of degree k .

Proof. The result is standard, see e.g. [MPP, Prop. 3.1] for a proof using parabolic methods. For the convenience of the reader, an elementary proof is given here based on the commutator formula

$$(A.9) \quad \left[\mathcal{L}_{\frac{|x|^2}{4\tau}}, \partial_i \right] u = \frac{1}{2\tau} \partial_i u,$$

which may be verified as follows: setting $f = \frac{|x|^2}{4\tau}$ for the remainder of this proof, it follows that

$\nabla f = \frac{x}{2\tau}$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 (A.10) \quad \mathcal{L}_f \partial_i u &= \Delta \partial_i u - \frac{1}{2\tau} \langle x, \nabla \partial_i u \rangle \\
 &= \partial_i \Delta u - \frac{1}{2\tau} \langle x_i e_i, (\partial_j \partial_i u) e_j \rangle \\
 &= \partial_i \Delta u - \partial_i \left(\frac{1}{2\tau} \langle x_i e_i, (\partial_j u) e_j \rangle \right) + \frac{1}{2\tau} \langle e_i, (\partial_j u) e_j \rangle \\
 &= \partial_i \mathcal{L}_f u + \frac{1}{2\tau} \partial_i u.
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if u is a \mathcal{L}_f -eigenfunction with $\mathcal{L}_f u = \lambda u$, the commutator formula implies that

$$(A.11) \quad \mathcal{L}_f(\partial_i u) = \left(\frac{1}{2\tau} + \lambda \right) \partial_i u,$$

and iteration gives, for any multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, that

$$(A.12) \quad \mathcal{L}_f u_\alpha = \left(\frac{|\alpha|}{2\tau} + \lambda \right) u_\alpha,$$

where $u_\alpha = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} u}{\partial x^\alpha}$. In other words, u_α is an \mathcal{L}_f -eigenfunction with eigenvalue $\frac{|\alpha|}{2\tau} + \lambda$. Choosing $|\alpha| \geq -2\tau\lambda$, forces the eigenvalue $\frac{|\alpha|}{2\tau} + \lambda$ to be nonnegative. The integration by parts formula (1.8) then gives that

$$(A.13) \quad 0 \leq \left(\frac{|\alpha|}{2\tau} + \lambda \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u_\alpha|^2 e^{-f} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\mathcal{L}_f u_\alpha) u_\alpha e^{-f} dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u_\alpha|^2 e^{-f} dx \leq 0.$$

Hence $\nabla u_\alpha = 0$, meaning u_α is constant. Moreover, if u_α is not identically zero, then $\lambda = -\frac{|\alpha|}{2\tau}$. The fundamental theorem of calculus then implies that u is necessarily a polynomial of degree $|\alpha|$. This proves the inclusion $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{L}_f) \subset \{-\frac{k}{2\tau} \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

The opposite inclusion, $\{-\frac{k}{2\tau} \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \text{Spec}(\mathcal{L}_f)$, is shown using the Lemma below: by Lemma A.14, there exists a Hermite polynomial $u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\mathcal{L}_{x_1^2/4\tau} u = -\frac{k}{2\tau} u$. Extending u trivially to a function on \mathbb{R}^n then yields $\mathcal{L}_f u = -\frac{k}{2\tau} u$. \square

Lemma A.14 (1-dimensional spectrum). *Let $\tau > 0$. The spectrum of the 1-dimensional shrinking Gaussian drift Laplacian $\mathcal{L}_{\frac{x^2}{4\tau}}$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}, e^{-\frac{x^2}{4\tau}} dx)$ is given by*

$$(A.15) \quad \text{Spec} \left(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{x^2}{4\tau}} \right) = \left\{ -\frac{k}{2\tau} \mid k \in \mathbb{N} \right\},$$

and the eigenfunctions are precisely the Hermite polynomials.

Proof. Here the case $\tau = 1$ is proven; the general case follows by scaling. In 1 dimension, the drift Laplace eigenvalue equation (for $\tau = 1$) reduces to the following ODE:

$$u''(x) - \frac{x}{2} u'(x) - \lambda u(x) = 0.$$

Setting $v(x) = u(2x)$, the ODE is equivalent to Hermite's differential equation:

$$v''(x) - 2xv'(x) - 4\lambda v(x) = 0.$$

Now it is shown that for $\lambda = -\frac{k}{2}$ where k is a non-negative integer, the k -th Hermite polynomial is a solution to the ODE. Let $D^k = \frac{d^k}{dx^k}$ and define $v(x) = e^{x^2} D^k e^{-x^2}$, which is the k -th Hermite polynomial up to sign. Then

$$\begin{aligned} v'(x) &= e^{x^2} D^{k+1} e^{-x^2} + 2xe^{x^2} D^k e^{-x^2} \\ v''(x) &= e^{x^2} D^{k+2} e^{-x^2} + 4xe^{x^2} D^{k+1} e^{-x^2} + (4x^2 + 2)e^{x^2} D^k e^{-x^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} v''(x) - 2xv'(x) + 2kv(x) &= e^{x^2} D^{k+2} e^{-x^2} + 2xe^{x^2} D^{k+1} e^{-x^2} + (2k+2)e^{x^2} D^k e^{-x^2} \\ &= -2e^{x^2} D^{k+1}(xe^{-x^2}) + 2xe^{x^2} D^{k+1} e^{-x^2} + (2k+2)e^{x^2} D^k e^{-x^2} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

since $D^{k+1}(xe^{-x^2}) = xD^{k+1}e^{-x^2} + (k+1)D^k e^{-x^2}$. Hence, the k -th Hermite polynomial is an eigenfunction when $\lambda = -\frac{k}{2}$. To show the Hermite polynomials are the only solutions, the argument from the first part of the previous proof can be applied. \square

REFERENCES

- [A] Almgren, F. J. "Dirichlet's problem for multiple valued functions and the regularity of mass minimizing integral currents." *Minimal submanifolds and geodesics (Proc. Japan-United States Sem., Tokyo, 1977)*, pp. 1–6, North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York, 1979.
- [BO] Baldauf, J. and T. Ozuch. "Spinors and mass on weighted manifolds." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.04475*.
- [BH] Branding, V. and G. Habib. "Eigenvalue estimates on weighted manifolds." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.06375*.
- [CZ] Cao, H. D. and M. Zhu. "On second variation of Perelman's Ricci shrinker entropy." *Math. Ann.* 353 (2012), no. 3, 747–763.
- [CLN] Chow, B., P. Lu, and L. Ni. "Hamilton's Ricci flow." *American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI*, 2006.
- [CM1] Colding, T. H. and W. P. Minicozzi. "Harmonic functions with polynomial growth." *J. Differential Geom.* 46 (1997), no. 1, 1–77.
- [CM2] Colding, T. H. and W. P. Minicozzi. "Generic mean curvature flow I: generic singularities." *Ann. of Math.* (2) 175 (2012), no. 2, 755–833.
- [CM3] Colding, T. H. and W. P. Minicozzi. "Parabolic frequency on manifolds." *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* (2021).
- [E] Escauriaza, L. "Carleman inequalities and the heat operator." *Duke Math. J.* 104 (2000), no. 1, 113–127.
- [EFV] Escauriaza, L., F. J. Fernández, and S. Vessella. "Doubling properties of caloric functions." *Appl. Anal.* 85 (2006), no. 1–3, 205–223.
- [ESS] Escauriaza, L., G. Seregin, and V. Šverák. "Backward uniqueness for parabolic equations." *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 169 (2003), no. 2, 147–157.
- [GL1] Garofalo, N. and F. H. Lin. "Monotonicity properties of variational integrals, A_p weights and unique continuation." *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 35 (1986), no. 2, 245–268.
- [GL2] Garofalo, N. and F. H. Lin. "Unique continuation for elliptic operators: a geometric-variational approach." *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 40 (1987), no. 3, 347–366.

- [H1] Hamilton, R. S. “A matrix Harnack estimate for the heat equation.” *Comm. Anal. Geom.* 1 (1993), no. 1, 113–126.
- [H2] Hamilton, R. S. “Monotonicity formulas for parabolic flows on manifolds.” *Comm. Anal. Geom.* 1 (1993), no. 1, 127–137.
- [HL] Han, Q. and F. H. Lin. “Nodal sets of solutions of parabolic equations: II.” *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 47 (1994), no. 9, 1219–1238.
- [HHL] Han, Q., R. Hardt, and F. Lin. “Geometric measure of singular sets of elliptic equations.” *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 51 (1998), no. 11-12, 1425–1443.
- [Hu] Huang, H. “Hessian estimates for the conjugate heat equation coupled with the Ricci flow.” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.04761*.
- [J] John, F. “Partial differential equations.” Third edition. *Springer, New York*, 1978.
- [LW] Li, X. and K. Wang. “Parabolic frequency monotonicity on compact manifolds.” *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 58 (2019), no. 6, Paper No. 189, 18 pp.
- [LW2] Li, Y. and B. Wang. “Heat kernel on Ricci shrinkers.” *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 59 (2020), no. 6, Paper No. 194, 84 pp.
- [Li] Lin, F. H. “Nodal sets of solutions of elliptic and parabolic equations.” *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 44 (1991), no. 3, 287–308.
- [Lo] Logunov, A. “Nodal sets of Laplace eigenfunctions: polynomial upper estimates of the Hausdorff measure.” *Ann. of Math. (2)* 187 (2018), no. 1, 221–239.
- [M] Mangoubi, D. “The effect of curvature on convexity properties of harmonic functions and eigenfunctions.” *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)* 87 (2013), no. 3, 645–662.
- [MPP] Metafune, G., D. Pallara, and E. Priola. “Spectrum of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operators in L^p spaces with respect to invariant measures.” *J. Funct. Anal.* 196 (2002), no. 1, 40–60.
- [MW] Munteanu, O. and J. Wang. “Analysis of weighted Laplacian and applications to Ricci solitons.” *Comm. Anal. Geom.* 20 (2012), no. 1, 55–94.
- [P] Poon, C. C. “Unique continuation for parabolic equations.” *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 21 (1996), no. 3-4, 521–539.
- [T] Taubes, C. H. “The zero loci of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ harmonic spinors in dimension 2, 3 and 4.” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1407.6206*.
- [W] Witten, E. “Supersymmetry and Morse theory.” *J. Differential Geometry* 17 (1982), no. 4, 661–692.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
 77 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE
 CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139

Correspondence to be sent to: juliusbl@mit.edu