arXiv:2201.05656v1 [math.DS] 14 Jan 2022

Perturbing Subshifts of Finite Type

Nick Ramsey *

DePaul University

Contents

1 Introduction 1
2 Parry measure and the weight function $w(\sigma)$ 2
3 Bounding entropy 4
4 Blocking 5
5 Growing words 6

1 Introduction

Let n be a positive integer and let T be an irreducible $n \times n$ matrix with entries in $\{0, 1\}$. This determines a subshift of finite type Σ defined as the collection of all bi-infinite strings (x_i) on an alphabet of n symbols (indexing the rows and columns of T) that are *admissible* in the sense that the (x_{i+1}, x_i) entry in T is equal to 1 for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. The goal of this note is to explore the entropy $h(\Sigma)$ of the shift map on Σ and how it is affected by perturbations obtained by forbidding various finite words from occurring in Σ. Recall that the largest eigenvalue of T is given by $\lambda = e^{h(\Sigma)}$, and we assume throughout that $\lambda > 1$.

Let S denote a finite set of finite admissible nonempty words none of which contains another, and let $\Sigma\langle S\rangle$ denote the subshift of Σ consisting of those elements of Σ in which none of the words in S appear. In [1], Lind addressed the problem of bounding the entropy of $\Sigma\langle S\rangle$ in case S consists of a single word. He proved that the entropy of $\Sigma\langle S\rangle$ approaches that of Σ as the length ℓ of the word tends to infinity, and showed moreover that the difference in entropy is of order $\lambda^{-\ell}$. In [3], the author adapted Lind's method to the case where S has more than one word, and in particular introduced a certain determinant of correlation polynomials whose size is closely tied to the entropy perturbation. Analyzing the size of this determinant gets complicated as S grows, and we were only able to effectively bound the entropy and show that it approximates that of Σ well in case S consists of two words of length tending to infinity. The entropy perturbation in this case is shown to be of order at most $\lambda^{-\ell}$ where ℓ is the length of the shorter word.

In [2], Miller introduced a different approach to the following related problem: given a finite set S of finite nonempty words in an alphabet, determine whether there exists a bi-infinite word in the alphabet that avoids S. Note that the ambient shift here is constrained to the full shift, while the set S is quite flexible. In this note, we adapt Miller's method to a general subshift Σ and refine it to get lower bounds on the entropy of the perturbations $\Sigma\langle S\rangle$. As in [2], we define

$$p(t) = \sum_{\tau \in S} t^{|\tau|}$$

Theorem 1. *There exists a constant C depending only on Σ such that if k is a positive integer, every element of S has length at least k, and there exists $t \in (1, \lambda^k)$ with*

$$r = \frac{1 + kC\lambda^{2k} p(t^{1/k}/\lambda)}{t} < 1$$

*nramsey@depaul.edu

then

$$h(\Sigma) - h(\Sigma\langle S\rangle) \leq -\frac{\log(1-r)}{k}$$

This theorem is shown to have consequences for Lind-type problems. A perturbation bound of $O(\lambda^{-\ell})$ seems beyond the method, but we can establish $O(\ell^{-3/4}\lambda^{-\ell/8})$ when S consists of any fixed number of words of minimal length ℓ. The method can also be applied to a growing set of words of increasing length with sufficient control over the growth, as illustrated by the following result. Suppose that $S_1, S_2, \ldots$ is a sequence of sets as above and let ℓ_i denote the minimal length in S_i.

Theorem 2. *Suppose there exists $\kappa < \lambda$ such that $|S_i| = O(\kappa^{\ell_i})$ as $i \to \infty$. Then*

$$h(\Sigma) = \lim_{i\to\infty} h(\Sigma\langle S_i\rangle)$$

2 Parry measure and the weight function $w(\sigma)$

Let u and v denote left and right λ-eigenvectors for T normalized so that $u^t v = 1$. The entries of these vectors measure the prominence of the corresponding symbols as a sink and source in Σ, respectively. More precisely, $u_i / \sum u_i$ is the fraction of paths on the directed graph associated to T that terminate at i, while $v_i / \sum v_i$ is the fraction of paths that begin at i. Let μ denote Parry measure on Σ. This is a shift-invariant measure of maximal entropy and can be characterized on cylinder sets by

$$\mu([ix_1x_2\cdots x_{k-1}j]) = \frac{u_i v_j}{\lambda^k}$$

The notation $[\sigma]$ only defines a cylinder set up to shifts. The shift-independence of μ often, as here, renders this ambiguity moot. Where it is important to have an actual set to work with (*e.g.* in defining f_σ below) we take σ to begin at coordinate 0 in forming $[\sigma]$. If σ fails to be admissible, then $[\sigma]$ is taken to be empty.

Let $N(\sigma, k)$ denote the number of words η of length k such that $\sigma\eta$ is admissible. Since T is irreducible, there exist positive constants A, B such that

$$A\lambda^k \leq N(\sigma, k) \leq B\lambda^k \tag{1}$$

for all words σ and all $k \geq 1$. Let D denote the maximum ratio among the v_i.

Lemma 1. *We have*

$$\mu([\sigma\tau]) \leq DA^{-1}\lambda^{-|\tau|}\mu([\sigma])$$

for all words σ, τ.

Proof. The explicit description of μ on cylinder sets implies that D is also the maximum ratio among the $\mu([\sigma\eta])$ as η varies among words of a given positive length. Thus


$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{|\tau|}\mu([\sigma\tau]) \leq A^{-1}N(\sigma,|\tau|)\mu([\sigma\tau]) &= A^{-1}\sum_{|\eta|=|\tau|}\mu([\sigma\tau]) \\
&\leq A^{-1}\sum_{|\eta|=|\tau|} D\mu([\sigma\eta]) \\
&= DA^{-1}\mu([\sigma])
\end{aligned}$$

□

Let σ be an admissible word and let $[\sigma]$ denote the associated cylinder set. Define a polynomial-valued function f_σ on $[\sigma]$ by

$$f_\sigma(\alpha) = \sum_{\tau\in S}\sum_j t^j$$

where the inner sum is over $j \geq 0$ such that τ occurs in α beginning within σ and ending j symbols beyond the end of σ. Observe that the function f_σ is locally constant on $[\sigma]$.



We define a weight function on admissible words by

$$w(\sigma) = \frac{1}{\mu([\sigma])} \int_{[\sigma]} f_\sigma$$

Note that the empty word σ_0 has cylinder set $[\sigma_0] = \Sigma$ and weight 0. Since no element of S contains another, for each $j \geq 0$ there is at most one element of S that ends j symbols after then of σ, so we may write

$$w(\sigma) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{\mu(S_{\sigma,j})}{\mu([\sigma])} t^j \tag{2}$$

where $S_{\sigma,j}$ denotes the subset of $[\sigma]$ containing an element $\tau \in S$ that begins in σ and ends j symbols after the end of σ. Observe that if σ ends in an element of S, then we have $S_{\sigma,0} = [\sigma]$ and hence $w(\sigma) \geq 1$. In general, the weight $w(\sigma)$ is a measure of how close σ is to ending in an element of S. The strategy here is study how w changes as you extend σ to the right by computing its weighted averages, and then use the results to bound from below the number of S-free extensions of σ and ultimately the entropy of $\Sigma\langle S \rangle$.

Define

$$p_\sigma = \sum_{\tau \in S} \frac{\mu([\sigma\tau])}{\mu([\sigma])} t^{|\tau|}$$

Lemma 1 furnishes the upper bound

$$p_\sigma \leq DA^{-1} \sum_{\tau \in S} (t/\lambda)^{|\tau|} = DA^{-1} p(t/\lambda) \tag{3}$$

which is independent of σ.

Lemma 2. *If σ does not end in an element of S, then*

$$\frac{1}{\mu([\sigma])} \sum_i \mu([\sigma i]) w(\sigma i) = \frac{w(\sigma) + p_\sigma}{t}$$

Proof. Using (2),

$$\frac{1}{\mu([\sigma])} \sum_i \mu([\sigma i]) w(\sigma i) = \frac{1}{\mu([\sigma])} \sum_i \sum_j \mu(S_{\sigma i,j}) t^j \tag{4}$$

An element of $S_{\sigma i,j}$ has a unique $\tau \in S$ ending j symbols after σi and beginning within σi. This τ can either begin within σ or begin at the final symbol i, and accordingly we may decompose $S_{\sigma i,j} = A_{\sigma i,j} \sqcup B_{\sigma i,j}$. Now

$$\bigsqcup_i A_{\sigma i,j} = S_{\sigma,j+1}$$

and

$$\bigsqcup_i B_{\sigma i,j} = \bigsqcup_{\substack{\tau \in S \\ |\tau| = j+1}} [\sigma\tau]$$

are both clear from the definitions. Thus (4) is equal to

$$\sum_j \left(\frac{\mu(S_{\sigma,j+1})}{\mu([\sigma])} + \sum_{\substack{\tau \in S \\ |\tau| = j+1}} \frac{\mu([\sigma\tau])}{\mu([\sigma])} \right) t^j = \frac{w(\sigma) + p_\sigma}{t}$$

Note that the last equality relies on the fact that σ does not end in an element of S, so the apparently missing $\mu(S_{\sigma,0})$ in the sum on the left vanishes. □

3 Bounding entropy

Fix some $t > 1$ for the moment and let σ be an S-free word with $w(\sigma) < 1$. We say that a word η is *good* if $\sigma\eta$ is admissible and every intermediate word between σ and $\sigma\eta$ (inclusive) has weight $w < 1$. In particular, $\sigma\eta$ is S-free if η is good, since words ending in an element of S have weight ≥ 1. For a positive integer m, set

$$G(\sigma, m) = \bigsqcup_{\substack{\eta \text{ good} \\ |\eta|=m}} [\sigma\eta]$$

Lemma 3. *Suppose $\frac{1+p_\rho}{t} < r < 1$ for all words ρ, and let σ be S-free with $w(\sigma) < 1$. We have*

$$\frac{\mu(G(\sigma, m))}{\mu([\sigma])} \geq (1-r)^m$$

for all $m \geq 1$.

Proof. Since extensions σi that end in an element of S have $w(\sigma i) \geq 1$, we have

$$\sum_i \mu([\sigma i]) w(\sigma i) \geq \mu([\sigma]) - \mu(G(\sigma, 1))$$

Thus

$$\frac{\mu(G(\sigma,1))}{\mu([\sigma])} \geq 1 - \frac{1}{\mu([\sigma])} \sum_i \mu([\sigma i]) w(\sigma i) = 1 - \left(\frac{w(\sigma) + p_\sigma}{t} \right) \geq 1 - r$$

which establishes the case $m = 1$.

Suppose the statement holds for some $m \geq 1$ and all σ. Observe that

$$G(\sigma, m+1) = \bigsqcup_{\substack{\eta \text{ good} \\ |\eta|=m}} G(\sigma\eta, 1)$$

For good η, the word $\sigma\eta$ is S-free and has $w(\sigma\eta) < 1$, so the base case and induction hypothesis give

$$\mu(G(\sigma, m+1)) = \sum_{\substack{\eta \text{ good} \\ |\eta|=m}} \mu(G(\sigma\eta, 1)) \geq \sum_{\substack{\eta \text{ good} \\ |\eta|=m}} (1-r)\mu([\sigma\eta]) = (1-r)G(\sigma, m) \geq (1-r)^{m+1}\mu([\sigma])$$

which establishes case $m + 1$. □

Proposition 1. *Suppose $r = \frac{1+DA^{-1}p(t/\lambda)}{t} < 1$. We have $h(\Sigma) - h(\Sigma\langle S\rangle) < -\log(1-r)$*

Proof. Using (3), we may apply Lemma 3 to the empty word σ_0 and conclude $\frac{\mu(G(\sigma_0,m))}{\mu([\sigma_0])} \geq (1-r)^m$. The set $[\sigma_0]$ is simply Σ, but we retain σ_0 below for clarity. If g denotes the number of good η of length m, then we have

$$\frac{G(\sigma_0, m)}{\mu([\sigma_0])} = \sum_{\eta \text{ good}} \frac{\mu([\sigma_0\eta])}{\mu([\sigma_0])} \leq gDA^{-1}\lambda^{-m}$$

by Lemma 1. Thus we have produced for every $m \geq 1$ at least

$$g \geq AD^{-1}\lambda^m(1-r)^m$$

words of length m that are S-free, which implies that the entropy of $\Sigma\langle S\rangle$ is at least

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{\log(AD^{-1}\lambda^m(1-r)^m)}{m} = \log(\lambda) + \log(1-r)$$

Since Σ has entopy $\log(\lambda)$, this is the desired result. □


4 Blocking

The condition $r = \frac{1+DA^{-1}p(t/\lambda)}{t} < 1$ in Proposition 1 implies $t > 1$ but also effectively limits t from above to roughly λ. This in turn bounds r from below, limiting the direct utility of Proposition 1. The solution is to work with blocks of elements in Σ. For each $k \geq 1$ let Σ_k denote the SFT on the alphabet of admissible words of length k in Σ, where the transition $[x_1 \cdots x_k][y_1 \cdots y_k]$ is admissible in Σ_k if and only if $x_k y_1$ is admissible in Σ. Concatenating blocks furnishes a natural bijection $\Sigma_k \longrightarrow \Sigma$ that intertwines the shift map on Σ_k with the kth power of the shift map on Σ. Accordingly, we have $h(\Sigma_k) = kh(\Sigma)$.

To use the technique of the previous section, we must translate the collection S of forbidden words into an equivalent collection S_k of words in Σ_k - that is, one that cuts out the same subshift under the above bijection. In the process, we will also bound the associated polynomial

$$p_k(t) = \sum_{\zeta \in S_k} t^{|\zeta|}$$

Let $\tau \in S$ have length ℓ, suppose that $k \leq \ell$, and write $\ell = kq + r$ according to the division algorithm. To determine a collection of words in Σ_k that forbids τ in Σ, we must consider each of the k ways of tiling over τ by blocks of length k, according to the k possible positions of the beginning of τ in the first block. Of these k positions, $r+1$ require $b = \lceil \ell/k \rceil$ blocks to tile over τ. Here, $kb - \ell$ coordinates remain unspecified by τ, which means that we have at most $B\lambda^{kb-\ell}$ words to consider at this position by (1). The remaining $k - r - 1$ positions require $b+1$ blocks to tile over τ and leave $k(b+1) - \ell$ free coordinates.



The total contribution to $p_k(t)$ of the words associated to τ is thus at most

$$(r+1)B\lambda^{kb-\ell}t^b + (k-r-1)B\lambda^{k(b+1)-\ell}t^{b+1}$$

Assuming that $1 \leq t \leq \lambda^k$, the contribution of τ to $p_k(t/\lambda^k)$ is then at most

$$\begin{aligned}(r+1)B\lambda^{-\ell}t^b + (k-r-1)B\lambda^{-\ell}t^{b+1} &\leq (r+1)B\lambda^{k-\ell}t^{b-1} + (k-r-1)B\lambda^{2k-\ell}t^{b-1} \\ &\leq kB\lambda^{2k-\ell}t^{\frac{\ell}{k}}\end{aligned}$$

Summing over $\tau \in S$, we have

$$p_k(t/\lambda^k) \leq kB\lambda^{2k} \sum_{\tau \in S} \left(\frac{t^{1/k}}{\lambda}\right)^{|\tau|} = kB\lambda^{2k} p\left(\frac{t^{1/k}}{\lambda}\right) \tag{5}$$

Proof of Theorem 1. The constants A, B, and D depend on the underlying shift Σ but do not change upon replacing Σ by Σ_k. Set $C = DA^{-1}B$ and suppose that

$$r = \frac{1 + kC\lambda^{2k}p(t^{1/k}/\lambda)}{t} < 1$$

We may apply Lemma 3 as in the previous section but now to Σ_k to create at least $AD^{-1}\lambda^{km}(1-r)^m$ words of length m in Σ_k, and thus words of length km in Σ, that avoid S. The entropy of $\Sigma\langle S \rangle$ is therefore at least

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{\log(AD^{-1}\lambda^{km}(1-r)^m)}{km} = \log(\lambda) + \frac{\log(1-r)}{k}$$

as desired. ∎

5 Growing words

Let ℓ denote the minimal length of an element of S. Then

$$p(t^{1/k}/\lambda) \leq |S|(t^{1/k}/\lambda)^{\ell}$$

for $t \in (1, \lambda^k)$, so we consider

$$r = \frac{1 + kC|S|\lambda^{2k-\ell}t^{\ell/k}}{t}$$

This function is minimized at

$$t_{\min} = \lambda^k \frac{\lambda^{-2k^2/\ell}}{((\ell-k)C|S|)^{k/\ell}}$$

and has minimum

$$r_{\min} = C^{k/\ell}|S|^{k/\ell}\ell^{k/\ell}\left(1 - \frac{k}{\ell}\right)^{k/\ell-1}\lambda^{-k+2k^2/\ell}$$

Note that $t_{\min} \in (1, \lambda^k)$ as long as

$$1 < (\ell - k)C|S| < \lambda^{\ell-2k} \tag{6}$$

Let $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$ and set $k = \lfloor \alpha\ell \rfloor$. Simple estimates show

$$r_{\min} = O(|S|^{\alpha}\ell^{\alpha}\lambda^{-\ell\alpha(1-2\alpha)})$$

Since $\lambda^{\ell-2k} \geq \lambda^{\ell(1-2\alpha)}$, the condition (6) is satisfied as long as

$$1 < (\ell - k)C|S| < \lambda^{\ell(1-2\alpha)} \tag{7}$$

Suppose that $|S|$ is bounded as $\ell \to \infty$. Then (7) holds for ℓ sufficiently large, and we have $r_{\min} \to 0$. Since $-\log(1-x) = O(x)$ for small x, Theorem 1 gives

$$h(\Sigma) - h(\Sigma\langle S\rangle) = O(\ell^{\alpha-1}\lambda^{-\ell\alpha(1-2\alpha)})$$

Setting $\alpha = 1/4$ gives the best such bound, namely $O(\ell^{-3/4}\lambda^{-\ell/8})$, though we note that it is possible to improve this result slightly by using more refined estimates for p_k in the previous section.

Now suppose that $|S|$ may be growing but subject to $|S| = O(\kappa^{\ell})$ for some $\kappa < \lambda$. If we choose α small enough so that $\kappa < \lambda^{1-2\alpha}$, then condition (7) is satisfied for ℓ sufficiently large. We have

$$r_{\min} = O(\kappa^{\alpha\ell}\ell^{\alpha}\lambda^{-\ell\alpha(1-2\alpha)}) = O\left(\left(\frac{\kappa}{\lambda^{1-2\alpha}}\right)^{\alpha\ell}\ell^{\alpha}\right) \to 0$$

as $\ell \to \infty$, so we may once again apply Theorem 1 to obtain

$$h(\Sigma) - h(\Sigma\langle S\rangle) = O\left(\left(\frac{\kappa}{\lambda^{1-2\alpha}}\right)^{\alpha\ell}\ell^{\alpha-1}\right) \to 0$$

thereby establishing Theorem 2.

References

[1] D. A. Lind. Perturbations of shifts of finite type. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 2(3):350–365, 1989.

[2] Joseph S. Miller. Two notes on subshifts. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 140(5):1617–1622, 2012.

[3] Nick Ramsey. Perturbing subshifts of finite type: two words. *unpublished*, 2018.