

ON THE POHOZAEV IDENTITY FOR QUASILINEAR FINSLER ANISOTROPIC EQUATIONS

LUIGI MONTORO* AND BERARDINO SCIUNZI*

ABSTRACT. In this paper we derive the Pohozaev identity for quasilinear equations

$$(E) \quad -\operatorname{div}(B'(H(\nabla u))\nabla H(\nabla u)) = g(x, u) \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

involving the anisotropic Finsler operator $-\operatorname{div}(B'(H(\nabla u))\nabla H(\nabla u))$. In particular, by means of fine regularity results on the vectorial field $B'(H(\nabla u))\nabla H(\nabla u)$, we prove the identity for weak solutions and in a direct way.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

In this paper we derive the Pohozaev identity for the Finsler anisotropic operator, defined for suitable smooth functions as

$$(1.1) \quad \operatorname{div}(B'(H(\nabla u))\nabla H(\nabla u)),$$

where the functions B, H are defined below.

As well known, in 1965 Pohozaev in his seminal paper [14] considered the following Dirichlet problem

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u = g(u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

with Ω a bounded smooth domain of \mathbb{R}^N and g a continuous function on \mathbb{R} . He proved that, for classical solutions $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ to (1.2), the following identity holds

$$(1.3) \quad (2 - N) \int_{\Omega} u g(u) dx + 2N \int_{\Omega} G(u) dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla u|^2(x, \eta) dx,$$

where $G' = g$ with $G(0) = 0$ and η is the outward unit normal vector to the boundary $\partial\Omega$. Important extensions and further developments can be found in the literature. We recall here the first result in the quasilinear setting [15] where the authors obtained a corresponding identity for smooth C^2 extremals of general variational problems, including results for systems and higher order operators. Since in general, e.g. for the p -Laplacian, solutions are not of class C^2 , we may consider very important the improvement of the results in [15] obtained in [8], where the authors proved the Pohozaev identity for C^1 solutions of general quasilinear Dirichlet problems, by mean of a suitable and technical approximation argument. See also the contribution in [11]. Although to our purposes we could also try to follow the technique of [8], we have to consider the fact that the adaptation to the anisotropic case of such a technique is completely non trivial. On the contrary, by means of fine regularity results, we shall follow a more simple a direct proof.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35A23, 35A01.

Key words and phrases. Pohozaev identity, Finsler anisotropic operator, quasilinear equations.

The main consequence of (1.3) is the nonexistence of nontrivial solution to (1.2) when g satisfies suitable assumptions and Ω is star-shaped with respect to the origin. This is quite a delicate issue that we will discuss for the anisotropic case in Section 2. The typical nonexistence result for the critical case is contained in Corollary 2.5.

From now on we consider the following quasilinear anisotropic elliptic equation

$$(E) \quad -\operatorname{div}(B'(H(\nabla u))\nabla H(\nabla u)) = g(x, u) \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ is a smooth domain and g is a nonlinearity satisfying the following assumption

(h_g) $g : \overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a C^1 function on the domain $\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$.

From the mathematical point of view, the anisotropy is responsible for a more richer geometric structure than the classical Euclidean case. On the other hand different applications come from several real phenomena where anisotropic media naturally arise.

In all the paper we suppose the following classical structural assumptions on the anisotropic operator. We assume that the functions B and H satisfy

$$(h_B) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{(i) } B \in C_{loc}^{3,\beta}((0, +\infty)) \cap C^1([0, +\infty)), \text{ with } \beta \in (0, 1); \\ & \text{(ii) } B(0) = B'(0) = 0, B(t), B'(t), B''(t) > 0 \quad \forall t \in (0, +\infty); \\ & \text{(iii) There exists } p > 1, \kappa \in [0, 1], \gamma > 0, \Gamma > 0 \text{ such that} \\ & \quad \gamma(\kappa + t)^{p-2}t \leq B'(t) \leq \Gamma(\kappa + t)^{p-2}t \\ & \quad \gamma(\kappa + t)^{p-2} \leq B''(t) \leq \Gamma(\kappa + t)^{p-2} \end{aligned}$$

for any $t > 0$;

and

$$(h_H) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{(i) } H \in C_{loc}^{3,\beta}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}) \text{ and such that } H(\xi) > 0 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}; \\ & \text{(ii) } H(s\xi) = |s|H(\xi) \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}, \forall s \in \mathbb{R}; \\ & \text{(iii) } H \text{ is uniformly elliptic, that means the set} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_1^H := \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N : H(\xi) < 1\}$$

is uniformly convex, i.e.

$$(1.5) \quad \exists \Lambda > 0 : \quad \langle D^2 H(\xi)v, v \rangle \geq \Lambda |v|^2 \quad \forall \xi \in \partial \mathcal{B}_1^H, \forall v \in \nabla H(\xi)^\perp.$$

Every anisotropy H having the unit ball \mathcal{B}_1^H uniformly convex is called uniformly elliptic. Indeed, the second fundamental form of $\partial \mathcal{B}_1^H$ at a point $\xi \in \partial \mathcal{B}_1^H$ is given by

$$\frac{\langle D^2 H(\xi)l, v \rangle}{|\nabla H(\xi)|} \quad \forall l, v \in \nabla H(\xi)^\perp.$$

Since $\partial \mathcal{B}_1^H$ is compact, the uniform ellipticity of H is equivalent to ask (1.5). For details we refer to [3, 4].

Under our assumptions the natural function space for solutions to equation (E) is $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$. Indeed the anisotropic operator $-\operatorname{div}(B'(H(\nabla u))\nabla H(\nabla u))$, when $\kappa = 0$ in (h_B) , becomes degenerate ($p > 2$) or singular ($1 < p < 2$) in the critical set

$$(1.6) \quad \mathcal{Z} = \{x \in \Omega : \nabla u(x) = 0\}$$

and solutions are not classical in general. We remark at this stage that, as a particular special case, the anisotropic operator contains the well known p -laplace operator: as well known [9, 16], already in this case the optimal regularity of solutions to quasilinear equations with the $-\Delta_p$ operator is $C^{1,\alpha}$.

In [4] the authors show that it is possible to apply the results in [9, 16] to show that weak solutions to (E) are $C^{1,\alpha}(\Omega) \cap C^2(\Omega \setminus Z)$ for some $0 < \alpha < 1$.

We notice that, in general, the optimal regularity for solutions to this type of problems is known to be $C^{1,\alpha}$, see the recent paper [1] about the interior regularity for weak solutions to anisotropic quasilinear equations. Regarding the regularity of the second derivatives we mention that first results have been obtained in [2]. In particular it has been shown in [2] that the stress field $B'(H(\nabla u))\nabla H(\nabla u) \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ among some very stronger regularity estimates that lead to the optimal summability of the second derivatives. In the case $B(t) = \frac{t^p}{p}$ the fact that $H^{p-1}(\nabla u)\nabla H(\nabla u) \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ has been also proved recently in [1] under suitable more general assumptions on the source term.

Taking into account these facts, the goal of this paper is twofold: on one hand is to get a Pohozaev identity for quasilinear problems involving the general anisotropic operator (1.1); on the other hand is to get a general Pohozaev identity for C^1 weak solutions to (E) and then avoid some possible restriction coming eventually from asking more regularity on the solution to (E). To the best of our knowledge this identity is new for quasilinear equations driven by a general Finsler anisotropic operator in the framework of weak solutions.

We mention [17] where the authors proved a Pohozaev identity for a quasilinear problem involving the anisotropic p -Laplace operator ($B(t) = t^p/p$) and assuming C^2 regularity of the solutions.

We are ready now to say what we mean for C^1 weak solutions to (E). More specifically we give the following

Definition 1.1. *Let us assume that $(h_g), (h_B)$ and (h_H) hold. Then $u \in C^1(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of (E) if*

$$(1.7) \quad \int_{\Omega} B'(H(\nabla u)) \langle \nabla(H(\nabla u)), \nabla \varphi \rangle dx = \int_{\Omega} g(x, u) \varphi dx \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega).$$

In the following we use $\eta(x) = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)(x)$ to denote the unit outward normal vector to $\partial\Omega$ at a point $x \in \partial\Omega$. We will also use the notation

$$u_\eta(x) := \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial \eta}.$$

Through the paper we define the functions G and G_{x_i} on the domain $\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$ as

$$(1.8) \quad G(x, t) = \int_0^t g(x, s) ds \quad G_{x_i}(x, t) = \int_0^t g_{x_i}(x, s) ds,$$

where $g_{x_i}(x, s) = \partial g(x, s) / \partial x_i$.

Here below we state our main results

Theorem 1.2 (Pohozaev identity). *Let us assume that (h_g) , (h_B) and (h_H) hold. Let Ω a bounded smooth domain and let $u \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ be a weak solution to (E). Then we have*

$$(1.9) \quad \int_{\Omega} NG(x, u) + (x \cdot \nabla_x G(x, u)) - NB(H(\nabla u)) + B'(H(\nabla u))H(\nabla u) dx \\ = \int_{\partial\Omega} G(x, u)(x \cdot \eta) - B(H(\nabla u))(x \cdot \eta) + B'(H(\nabla u))(x \cdot \nabla u)(\nabla H(\nabla u) \cdot \eta) ds,$$

We observe that if we take $B(t) = t^p/p$ and $H(\xi) = |\xi|$, $p > 1$, i.e. the case of the p -Laplacian operator and $g(x, u) = g(u)$, we recover the well known classical Pohozaev identity (see [7, 8, 11, 12]) given in the following equation

$$N \int_{\Omega} G(u) dx - \frac{N-p}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx \\ = \int_{\partial\Omega} \left(G(u)(x \cdot \eta) - \frac{1}{p} |\nabla u|^p (x \cdot \eta) + |\nabla u|^{p-2} (x \cdot \nabla u) u_{\eta} \right) ds.$$

Having proved Theorem 1.9 we can exploit it to deduce a result in the whole \mathbb{R}^N . We have the following

Theorem 1.3. *Let us assume that (h_g) , (h_B) and (h_H) hold and let $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ a weak solution to*

$$-\operatorname{div}(B'(H(\nabla u))\nabla H(\nabla u)) = g(x, u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

with $\kappa = 0$ in (h_B) . Let us assume that

$$(1.10) \quad B(H(\nabla u)), G(x, u), x \cdot \nabla_x G(x, u) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} NG(x, u) + (x \cdot \nabla_x G(x, u)) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} NB(H(\nabla u)) - B'(H(\nabla u))H(\nabla u) dx.$$

The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof of our main results and other consequences.

2. THE POHOZAEV IDENTITY

Notation. Generic fixed and numerical constants will be denoted by C (with subscript in some case) and they will be allowed to vary within a single line or formula.

The first step to show Theorem 1.2 is recover a stronger formulation for weak solutions to (E). We do it in the next proposition. We also collect there some regularity results on the weak solution to (E) that are interesting in itself. We have the following

Proposition 2.1. *Let us assume that (h_g) , (h_B) and (h_H) hold. Let $u \in C^1(\Omega)$ be a weak solution to (E). Then*

(i) *The vector field $B'(H(\nabla u))\nabla H(\nabla u)$ satisfies*

$$(2.11) \quad B'(H(\nabla u))\nabla H(\nabla u) \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\Omega)$$

and

$$(2.12) \quad -\operatorname{div}(B'(H(\nabla u))\nabla H(\nabla u)) = g(x, u) \text{ a.e. in } \Omega,$$

that is u fulfills (E) in the classic sense for almost every $x \in \Omega$.

(ii) The weak solution u has second distributional derivatives and it holds

$$|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla u|^{p-1} \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\Omega).$$

Moreover

$$u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2,2}(\Omega), \quad \text{if } 1 < p < 3$$

and

$$u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{2,m}(\Omega), \quad 1 \leq m < (p-1)/(p-2), \quad \text{if } p \geq 3 \quad \text{and} \quad g(x, s) > 0.$$

Proof. We prove part (i) of the statement. By [4], a C^1 weak solution u to (E), is actually regular outside the critical set \mathcal{Z} , see (1.6). That is $u \in C^2(\Omega \setminus \mathcal{Z})$. In what follows, we denote by ∇u_{x_i} and $u_{x_i x_j}$ the second derivatives of u outside the set Z . We mean them extended to zero on Z . At the end of the proof we will see that these derivatives coincide with the distributional ones in Ω .

For all $n > 0$, let us define $J_n : \mathbb{R}_0^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by setting

$$(2.13) \quad J_n(t) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } t \geq \frac{2}{n} \\ 2t - \frac{2}{n} & \text{if } \frac{1}{n} \leq t \leq \frac{2}{n} \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{n}. \end{cases}$$

and let $h_n : \mathbb{R}_0^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$(2.14) \quad h_n(t) = \frac{J_n(t)}{t} \quad \text{and} \quad h_n(0) = 0.$$

Let us set

$$\varphi_n(x) = B'(H(\nabla u)) H_{\xi_j}(\nabla u) h_n(|\nabla u|).$$

Using the definition of h_n (the function h_n is actually zero in a neighborhood of \mathcal{Z}) we have that since $u \in C^2(\Omega \setminus \mathcal{Z})$, then $h_n \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Moreover

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \varphi_n}{\partial x_i} &= B''(H(\nabla u)) \langle \nabla_{\xi} H(\nabla u), \nabla u_{x_i} \rangle H_{\xi_j}(\nabla u) h_n(|\nabla u|) \\ &+ B'(H(\nabla u)) \langle \nabla_{\xi} H_{\xi_j}(\nabla u), \nabla u_{x_i} \rangle h_n(|\nabla u|) \\ &+ (B'(H(\nabla u)) H_{\xi_j}(\nabla u)) h'_n(|\nabla u|) \frac{\langle \nabla u, \nabla u_{x_i} \rangle}{|\nabla u|} \\ &= A_{n,1} + A_{n,2} + A_{n,3}. \end{aligned}$$

for $i = \{1, \dots, N\}$ that is well defined since $u \in C^2(\Omega \setminus \mathcal{Z})$. Now we want to show that, for $E \subset\subset \Omega$, it holds that $\|\varphi_n\|_{W^{1,2}(E)} \leq C$, for some positive constant $C = C(E)$ not depending on n . To do this in the following we will use a local weighted estimate for the hessian of the solution u . Under our hypotheses, for all $E \subset\subset \Omega$ and $0 \leq \kappa \leq 1$, a solution u to (E) satisfies the estimate

$$(2.16) \quad \int_{E \setminus Z} (\kappa + |\nabla u|)^{p-2-\beta} \|D^2 u\|^2 dx,$$

with $\beta \in [0, 1)$ and where $D^2 u$ denotes the hessian of the solution u . The estimates we use here, are contained in the regularity results proved in [2] and in a forthcoming paper [13] in a more general setting.

First of all recalling that H is 1-homogeneous, we have that $\nabla_\xi H$ is 0-homogeneous and therefore we obtain

$$\nabla_\xi H(\xi) = \nabla_\xi H \left(|\xi| \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \right) = \nabla_\xi H \left(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \right),$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$. For a similar argument, we get the next estimate for the hessian of H , i.e.

$$D^2 H(\xi) = D^2 H \left(|\xi| \frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \right) = \frac{1}{|\xi|} D^2 H \left(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|} \right),$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$.

Then there exists a positive constant M such that $|\nabla_\xi H(\xi)| \leq M$, $|D^2 H(\xi)| \leq M/|\xi|$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$, since $\nabla_\xi H, D^2 H$ are continuous. We will use such estimates in the following computations.

Hence, by (h_B) -iii) and the fact that $H(\xi)$ is a norm equivalent to the euclidian one, i.e. there exist $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that

$$(2.17) \quad c_1 |\xi| \leq H(\xi) \leq c_2 |\xi|,$$

we obtain that

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{n,1}^2 &= (B''(H(\nabla u)) \langle \nabla_\xi H(\nabla u), \nabla u_i \rangle H_{\xi_j}(\nabla u) h_n(|\nabla u|))^2 \\ &\leq C(\kappa + |\nabla u|)^{2(p-2)} \|D^2 u\|^2 \chi_{E \setminus \mathcal{Z}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used that $h_n(t) \leq 1$ for all $t \geq 0$, where χ_A is the characteristic function of a measurable set A .

For $1 < p < 2$ we also have

$$(2.19) \quad \int_E (\kappa + |\nabla u|)^{2(p-2)} \|D^2 u\|^2 \chi_{E \setminus \mathcal{Z}} dx \leq \|(\kappa + |\nabla u|)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{p-2+\beta} \int_{E \setminus \mathcal{Z}} (\kappa + |\nabla u|)^{p-2-\beta} \|D^2 u\|^2 dx.$$

For $p \geq 2$ we have

$$(2.20) \quad \int_E (\kappa + |\nabla u|)^{2(p-2)} \|D^2 u\|^2 \chi_{E \setminus \mathcal{Z}} dx \leq C(\kappa, p, \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}) \|D^2 u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \int_{E \setminus \mathcal{Z}} (\kappa + |\nabla u|)^{p-2} \|D^2 u\|^2 dx.$$

Therefore using (2.16), from (2.19) and (2.20) we deduce that $\|A_{n,1}\|_{L^2(E)} \leq C$, with C not depending on n .

The second term $A_{n,2}$ can be estimated as follows

$$(2.21) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{n,2}^2 &= (B'(H(\nabla u)) \langle \nabla_\xi H_{\xi_j}(\nabla u), \nabla u_{x_i} \rangle h_n(|\nabla u|))^2 \\ &\leq (B'(H(\nabla u)) |\nabla_\xi H_{\xi_j}(\nabla u)| |\nabla u_{x_i}| h_n(|\nabla u|))^2 \\ &\leq C(\kappa + |\nabla u|)^{2(p-2)} |\nabla u|^2 |\nabla u|^{-2} \|D^2 u\|^2 \chi_{E \setminus \mathcal{Z}} \\ &\leq (\kappa + |\nabla u|)^{2(p-2)} \|D^2 u\|^2 \chi_{E \setminus \mathcal{Z}}. \end{aligned}$$

As for the case of $A_{n,1}$, we get that $\|A_{n,2}\|_{L^2(E)} \leq C$.

For the last term $A_{n,3}$ we have the following

$$(2.22) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{n,3}^2 &= \left((B'(H(\nabla u))H_{\xi_j}(\nabla u))h'_n(|\nabla u|) \frac{\langle \nabla u, \nabla u_{x_i} \rangle}{|\nabla u|} \right)^2 \\ &\leq C(\kappa + |\nabla u|)^{2(p-2)} |\nabla u|^2 (h'_n(|\nabla u|))^2 \|D^2 u\|^2 \chi_{E \setminus \mathcal{Z}}. \end{aligned}$$

Exploiting (2.14), by a straightforward computation

$$h'_n(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t > \frac{2}{n} \\ \frac{2}{nt^2} & \text{if } \frac{1}{n} < t < \frac{2}{n} \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq t < \frac{1}{n}, \end{cases}$$

and then we have $|\nabla u|h'_n(|\nabla u|) \leq 2$. Therefore from (2.22)

$$(2.23) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{n,3}^2 &\leq C(\kappa + |\nabla u|)^{2(p-2)} (|\nabla u|h'_n(|\nabla u|))^2 \|D^2 u\|^2 \chi_{E \setminus \mathcal{Z}} \\ &\leq C(\kappa + |\nabla u|)^{2(p-2)} \|D^2 u\|^2 \chi_{E \setminus \mathcal{Z}} \end{aligned}$$

and therefore, as above, we deduce that $\|A_{n,3}\|_{L^2(E)} \leq C$. Hence taking in to account the previous estimates from (2.15) we get

$$(2.24) \quad \|\varphi_n\|_{W^{1,2}(E)} \leq C,$$

with C not depending on n . Therefore $\varphi_n \rightharpoonup \varphi_n \in W^{1,2}(E)$. Moreover because of the compact embedding in $L^2(E)$, up to a subsequence, $\varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi$ a.e. in E . On the other hand

$$\varphi_n \rightarrow B'(H(\nabla u))H_{\xi_j}(\nabla u)$$

and hence

$$B'(H(\nabla u))H_{\xi_j}(\nabla u) \equiv \varphi \in W^{1,2}(E).$$

Since ξ_j , $j = 1, \dots, N$ is arbitrary, we have that $B'(H(\nabla u))\nabla_\xi H(\nabla u) \in W^{1,2}(E, \mathbb{R}^N)$, namely (2.11).

Once we have (2.11) integrating by parts the left hand side of (1.7) we get (2.12).

The part (ii) of the statement follows exploiting arguments contained in [6]. So we skip it. \square

Remark 2.2. *Note that, if the nonlinearity g allows to exploit the Hopf Boundary Lemma (see [2, Theorem 4.5]), then the regularity results of Proposition 2.1 can be extended to the clousure of Ω . Moreover if $g(x, s) > 0$ the critical set \mathcal{Z} has zero Lebesgue measure, that is $|\mathcal{Z}| = 0$. In this case the second distributional $u_{x_i x_j}$ coincide a.e. with the classical ones.*

In the proof of Theorem 1.2 we shall use a very fine version of the divergence theorem [5, Lemma A.1.]. For the reader's convenience we state it.

Lemma 2.3. *Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N with a C^2 -boundary $\partial\Omega$. Assume that $\mathbf{a} : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ satisfies $\mathbf{a} \in [C^0(\overline{\Omega})]^N$ and $\operatorname{div} \mathbf{a} = f \in L^1(\Omega)$ in the sense of distributions in Ω . Then we have*

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \mathbf{a} \cdot \eta \, ds = \int_{\Omega} f(x) \, dx.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Proposition 2.1 we have that

$$-\operatorname{div}(B'(H(\nabla u))\nabla H(\nabla u)) = g(x, u) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega.$$

Thus using the multiplier $(x \cdot \nabla u)$ in both side of this equation and integrating we obtain

$$(2.25) \quad \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(B'(H(\nabla u))\nabla H(\nabla u))(x \cdot \nabla u) dx = \int_{\Omega} -g(x, u)(x \cdot \nabla u) dx.$$

Recalling (1.8) we note now that

$$(2.26) \quad \begin{aligned} - \int_{\Omega} g(x, u)(x \cdot \nabla u) dx &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} -x_i \partial_{x_i} G(x, u) dx + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} x_i, G_{x_i}(x, u) dx \\ &= N \int_{\Omega} G(x, u) dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} G(x, u)(x \cdot \eta) ds + \int_{\Omega} (x \cdot \nabla_x G(x, u)) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we have used the divergence theorem, Lemma 2.3.

We point out that integration in the left hand side of (2.25), in particular the divergence theorem, can be applied thanks to the regularity result of the Proposition 2.1, in particular (2.11). Hence, taking also into account the Euler's theorem for homogeneous functions, we obtain

$$(2.27) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(B'(H(\nabla u))\nabla H(\nabla u))(x \cdot \nabla u) dx \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} B'(H(\nabla u))\langle \nabla H(\nabla u), \nabla(x \cdot \nabla u) \rangle dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} B'(H(\nabla u))(x \cdot \nabla u)\nabla H(\nabla u) \cdot \eta ds \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} B'(H(\nabla u))H(\nabla u) dx - \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} B'(H(\nabla u))\langle \nabla H(\nabla u), \nabla u_{x_i} \rangle x_i dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial\Omega} B'(H(\nabla u))(x \cdot \nabla u)\nabla H(\nabla u) \cdot \eta ds \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} B'(H(\nabla u))H(\nabla u) dx - \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\Omega} \partial_{x_i} B(H(\nabla u))x_i dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial\Omega} B'(H(\nabla u))(x \cdot \nabla u)\nabla H(\nabla u) \cdot \eta ds \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} B'(H(\nabla u))H(\nabla u) dx + N \int_{\Omega} B(H(\nabla u)) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\partial\Omega} B(H(\nabla u))(x \cdot \eta) ds + \int_{\partial\Omega} B'(H(\nabla u))(x \cdot \nabla u)\nabla H(\nabla u) \cdot \eta ds, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we applied the divergence theorem one more time. From (2.25), collecting together (2.26) and (2.27) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &N \int_{\Omega} G(u) dx + \int_{\Omega} (x \cdot \nabla_x G(x, u)) dx - N \int_{\Omega} B(H(\nabla u)) dx + \int_{\Omega} B'(H(\nabla u))H(\nabla u) dx \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} G(u)(x \cdot \eta) ds - \int_{\partial\Omega} B(H(\nabla u))(x \cdot \eta) ds + \int_{\partial\Omega} B'(H(\nabla u))(x \cdot \nabla u)\nabla H(\nabla u) \cdot \eta ds, \end{aligned}$$

namely our thesis. \square

As well known, the domain is called star-shaped with respect to the origin if for every $x \in \Omega$ the line segment $\overline{0x}$ is contained in Ω . Moreover it is easy to see that $x \cdot \eta \geq 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ and that in particular

$$x \cdot \eta > 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega_1 \subseteq \partial\Omega,$$

where $\partial\Omega_1$ is some subset of the boundary of positive measure. Finally we call a domain strictly star-shaped with respect to the origin, if $x \cdot \eta > 0$ on the whole boundary $\partial\Omega$.

Thanks to Theorem 1.2 we can state a general Pohozaev's non-existence type theorem in the spirit of [15, Theorem 1], for the anisotropic Finsler operator. We have the following

Theorem 2.4 (Pohozaev-Pucci-Serrin non-existence type theorem). *Let us assume that (h_g) , (h_B) and (h_H) hold and that Ω is smooth, bounded and star-shaped with respect to the origin. Suppose that*

$$(2.28) \quad G(x, 0) - B(H(\xi)) + B'(H(\xi))H(\xi) \geq 0 \\ \text{for all } x \in \partial\Omega \text{ and } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$$

so that

$$(2.29) \quad \int_{\Omega} NG(x, u) + (x \cdot \nabla_x G(x, u)) - NB(H(\nabla u)) + B'(H(\nabla u))H(\nabla u) dx \geq 0.$$

Finally assume that (2.29) implies either $u = 0$ or $\nabla u = 0$. Then the equation (E) has no nontrivial weak solution $u \in C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ with zero Dirichlet boundary condition.

Proof. Applying Theorem 1.9, any weak C_1 solution to (E) with zero Dirichlet boundary condition satisfies the following

$$(2.30) \quad \int_{\Omega} NG(x, u) + (x \cdot \nabla_x G(x, u)) - NB(H(\nabla u)) + B'(H(\nabla u))H(\nabla u) dx \\ = \int_{\partial\Omega} G(x, 0)(x \cdot \eta) - B(H(\nabla u))(x \cdot \eta) + B'(H(\nabla u))(x \cdot \nabla u)(\nabla H(\nabla u) \cdot \eta) ds.$$

Using the fact that $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ and therefore $\nabla u(x) = u_{\eta}(x)\eta$ there, we get

$$(2.31) \quad \int_{\partial\Omega} B'(H(\nabla u))(x \cdot \nabla u)(\nabla H(\nabla u) \cdot \eta) ds \\ = \int_{\partial\Omega} B'(H(\nabla u))(x \cdot \eta)u_{\eta}(\nabla H(u_{\eta}\eta) \cdot \eta) ds \\ = - \int_{\partial\Omega} B'(H(\nabla u))(x \cdot \eta)u_{\eta}(\nabla H(\eta) \cdot \eta) ds \\ = \int_{\partial\Omega} B'(H(\nabla u))H(\nabla u)(x \cdot \eta) ds,$$

where (see hypotheses (h_H)) we used Euler's theorem and that for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $\nabla H(s\xi) = \text{sign}(s)\nabla H(\xi)$ (i.e. ∇H is absolutely 0-homogeneous function). Hence the right hand side of (2.30) reads as

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (G(x, 0) - B(H(\nabla u)) + B'(H(\nabla u))H(\nabla u))(x \cdot \eta) ds.$$

Then, since the domain is star-shaped, by (2.28) the right hand side of (2.30) is indeed non-negative and therefore (2.29) holds true. By assumption, either $u = 0$ or $\nabla u = 0$ in

Ω . Therefore in any case, by Stampacchia's theorem (see for instance [10, Lemma 7.7]) we deduce that $\nabla u = 0$ a.e. in Ω . Therefore, since $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ we have that $u \equiv 0$ in Ω . \square

The hypothesis of Theorem 2.4, namely that (2.29) implies either $u = 0$ or $\nabla u = 0$ may not always be applicable. This is the case of the critical problem (2.32) here below: indeed, for such a problem, the left hand side of (2.29) is identically zero. Nevertheless as a further and important consequence of Theorem 1.2, we get the non existence of nontrivial solutions in bounded smooth star-shaped domain Ω , of the critical anisotropic p-Laplacian problem, i.e.

$$(2.32) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p^H u = |u|^{p^*-2}u & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $-\Delta_p^H$ is the anisotropic operator defined for suitable smooth functions u by

$$(2.33) \quad -\Delta_p^H u := -\operatorname{div}(H^{p-1}(\nabla u)\nabla H(\nabla u)),$$

and $p^* = Np/(N-p)$, $1 < p < N$. We have

Corollary 2.5. *Let us assume that (h_g) and (h_H) hold. Let Ω a bounded smooth domain strictly star-shaped with respect to the origin. Any $C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ solution to*

$$(2.34) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_p^H u = g(u) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

such that $\nabla u \not\equiv 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, satisfies

$$(2.35) \quad N \int_{\Omega} G(u) dx - \frac{N-p}{p} \int_{\Omega} g(u)u dx > 0.$$

In particular, in the special case of $g(u) = |u|^{m-1}u$, $m > 0$ and $1 < p < N$, then (2.35) still holds and we obtain

$$(2.36) \quad \left(\frac{N}{m+1} - \frac{N-p}{p} \right) \int_{\Omega} |u|^{m+1} dx > 0.$$

Therefore $u \not\equiv 0$ in Ω implies

$$m < \frac{N(p-1)+p}{N-p} := p^* - 1.$$

Proof. Using (1.8) in the special case $g(x, t) = g(t)$ and $B(t) = t^p/p$, $p > 1$, since $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, from (1.9) we obtain

$$(2.37) \quad \begin{aligned} & N \int_{\Omega} G(u) dx - \frac{N}{p} \int_{\Omega} H^p(\nabla u) dx + \int_{\Omega} H^p(\nabla u) dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial\Omega} H^p(\nabla u)(x \cdot \eta) ds + \int_{\partial\Omega} H^{p-1}(\nabla u)(x \cdot \nabla u)(\nabla H(\nabla u) \cdot \eta) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, on the boundary $\partial\Omega$, ($u = 0$ there) we have that $\nabla u(x) = u_\eta(x)\eta$. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 2.4, the last term on the right hand side of (2.37) reads as

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.38) \quad & \int_{\partial\Omega} H^{p-1}(\nabla u)(x \cdot \nabla u)(\nabla H(\nabla u) \cdot \eta) ds \\
 &= \int_{\partial\Omega} H^{p-1}(u_\eta(x)\eta)(x \cdot u_\eta(x)\eta)(\nabla H(u_\eta(x)\eta) \cdot \eta) ds \\
 &= - \int_{\partial\Omega} H^{p-1}(u_\eta(x)\eta)u_\eta(x)(x \cdot \eta)(\nabla H(\eta) \cdot \eta) ds \\
 &= - \int_{\partial\Omega} H^{p-1}(u_\eta(x)\eta)u_\eta(x)(x \cdot \eta)H(\eta) ds \\
 &= \int_{\partial\Omega} H^p(u_\eta(x)\eta)(x \cdot \eta) ds \\
 &= \int_{\partial\Omega} H^p(\nabla u)(x \cdot \eta) ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore since Ω is strictly star-shaped with respect to the origin, i.e. $(x, \eta) > 0$ on the boundary $\partial\Omega$, exploiting (2.38), we deduce that the right hand side of (2.37) is indeed positive, namely

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.39) \quad & -\frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial\Omega} H^p(\nabla u)(x \cdot \eta) ds + \int_{\partial\Omega} H^{p-1}(\nabla u)(x \cdot \nabla u)(\nabla H(\nabla u) \cdot \eta) ds \\
 &= -\frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial\Omega} H^p(\nabla u)(x \cdot \eta) ds + \int_{\partial\Omega} H^p(\nabla u)(x \cdot \eta) ds \\
 &= \frac{p-1}{p} \int_{\partial\Omega} H^p(\nabla u)(x \cdot \eta) ds > 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we used assumptions (h_H) and that $\nabla u \not\equiv 0$ on $\partial\Omega$.

Using the solution u as test function in the problem (2.34), the right hand side of (2.37) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.40) \quad & N \int_{\Omega} G(u) dx - \frac{N}{p} \int_{\Omega} H^p(\nabla u) dx + \int_{\Omega} H^p(\nabla u) dx \\
 &= N \int_{\Omega} G(u) dx - \frac{N-p}{p} \int_{\Omega} g(u)u dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Putting together (2.39) and (2.40) in (2.37) we get (2.35).

Readily from (2.36), we deduce that $u \not\equiv 0$ in Ω implies $0 < m < p^* - 1$. \square

Finally we give the

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Using polar coordinates and (1.10), we infer there exists a sequence of radii $\{r_n\}$ such that for $n \rightarrow +\infty$ we have

$$(2.41) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} r_n \int_{\partial B(0, r_n)} (B(H(\nabla u)) + |G(x, u)|) dS = 0.$$

By Theorem 1.2 with $\Omega = B(0, r_n)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.42) \quad & \int_{B(0, r_n)} NG(x, u) - (x \cdot \nabla_x G(x, u)) \, dx - \int_{B(0, r_n)} NB(H(\nabla u)) - B'(H(\nabla u))H(\nabla u) \, dx \\
 &= \int_{\partial B(0, r_n)} G(x, u)(x \cdot \eta) \, ds - \int_{\partial B(0, r_n)} B(H(\nabla u))(x \cdot \eta) \, ds \\
 &+ \int_{\partial B(0, r_n)} B'(H(\nabla u))(x \cdot \nabla u)(\nabla H(\nabla u) \cdot \eta) \, ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

Exploiting hypotheses in (h_B) we deduce that $B'(t)t \leq CB(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$ and with $C = C(p, \gamma, \Gamma)$ a positive constant. Then, recalling also (2.17) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \int_{\partial B(0, r_n)} B'(H(\nabla u))(x \cdot \nabla u)(\nabla H(\nabla u) \cdot \eta) \, ds \right| \\
 & \leq Cr_n \int_{\partial B(0, r_n)} B'(H(\nabla u))H(\nabla u) \, ds \leq Cr_n \int_{\partial B(0, r_n)} B(H(\nabla u)) \, ds = o(1),
 \end{aligned}$$

for $n \rightarrow +\infty$, because of (2.41).

The other terms in the right hand side of (2.42) clearly go to zero as well. Therefore, passing to the limit in (2.42), by the dominate convergence theorem we end the proof. \square

Acknowledgements

L. Montoro and B. Sciunzi are partially supported by PRIN project 2017JPCAPN (Italy): Qualitative and quantitative aspects of nonlinear PDEs, and L. Montoro by Agencia Estatal de Investigación (Spain), project PDI2019-110712GB-I00.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. A. ANTONINI, G. CIRAOLO, A. FARINA. Interior regularity results for inhomogeneous anisotropic quasilinear equations. *arXiv:2112.09087*
- [2] D. CASTORINA, G. RIEY AND B. SCIUNZI. Hopf Lemma and regularity results for quasilinear anisotropic elliptic equations. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 58 (2019), no. 3, Paper No. 95, 18 pp.
- [3] M. COZZI, A. FARINA AND E. VALDINOCI. Gradient bounds and rigidity results for singular, degenerate, anisotropic partial differential equations. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 331(1), 2014, pp. 189–214.
- [4] M. COZZI, A. FARINA AND E. VALDINOCI. Monotonicity formulae and classification results for singular, degenerate, anisotropic PDEs. *Adv. Math.* 293, 2016, pp. 343–381.
- [5] M. CUESTA AND P. TAKÁČ. A strong comparison principle for positive solutions of degenerate elliptic equations. *Differential Integral Equations*, 13(4-6), 2000, pp. 721–746.
- [6] L. DAMASCELLI AND B. SCIUNZI. Regularity, monotonicity and symmetry of positive solutions of m -Laplace equations. *J. Differential Equations*, 206(2), 2004, pp. 483–515.

- [7] L. DAMASCELLI, A. FARINA, B. SCIUNZI AND E. VALDINOCI. Liouville results for m -Laplace equations of Lane-Emden-Fowler type. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 26 (4), 2009, pp. 1099–1119.
- [8] M. Degiovanni, A. Musesti and M. Squassina. On the regularity of solutions in the Pucci-Serrin identity. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* 18(3), 2003, pp. 317–334.
- [9] E. DI BENEDETTO. $C^{1+\alpha}$ local regularity of weak solutions of degenerate elliptic equations. *Nonlinear Anal.* 7(8), 1983, pp. 827–850.
- [10] D. Gilbarg, N. S. Trudinger: Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order. Springer, Reprint of the 1998 Edition.
- [11] M. Guedda and L. Véron. Quasilinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents *Nonlinear Anal.*, 13 (8), 1989, pp. 879–902.
- [12] S. Merchán and L. Montoro. Remarks on the existence of solutions to some quasilinear elliptic problems involving the Hardy-Leray potential. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, 193(2), 2014, pp. 609–632.
- [13] L. Montoro and B. Sciunzi. On the regularity of second derivatives of solutions to quasilinear anisotropic problem. *Preprint*.
- [14] S. I. Pohožaev., On the eigenfunctions of the equation $\Delta u + \lambda f(u) = 0$. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 165, 1965, pp. 36–39.
- [15] P. PUCCI AND J. SERRIN. A general variational identity. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 35(3), 1986, pp. 681–703.
- [16] P. TOLKSDORF. Regularity for a more general class of quasilinear elliptic equations. *J. Differential Equations*, 51(1), 1984, pp. 126–150.
- [17] Q. WANG AND C. XIA The Pohozaev identity for the anisotropic p -Laplacian and estimates of the torsion function. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*, 36(4), 2020, pp. 1257–1278.

*DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA E INFORMATICA, UNICAL, PONTE PIETRO BUCCI 31B, 87036 ARCAVACATA DI RENDE, COSENZA, ITALY

Email address: montoro@mat.unical.it, sciunzi@mat.unical.it