

SOME $L^q(\mathbb{R})$ -NORM DECAY ESTIMATES ($q \in [1, +\infty]$) FOR TWO CAUCHY SYSTEMS OF TYPE RAO-NAKRA SANDWICH BEAM WITH A FRICTIONAL DAMPING OR AN INFINITE MEMORY

AISSA GUESMIA

Institut Elie Cartan de Lorraine, UMR 7502, Université de Lorraine
3 Rue Augustin Fresnel, BP 45112, 57073 Metz Cedex 03, France

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider two systems of type Rao-Nakra sandwich beam in the whole line \mathbb{R} with a frictional damping or an infinite memory acting on the Euler-Bernoulli equation. When the speeds of propagation of the two wave equations are equal, we show that the solutions do not converge to zero when time goes to infinity. In the reverse situation, we prove some $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ -norm and $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ -norm decay estimates of solutions and their higher order derivatives with respect to the space variable. Thanks to interpolation inequalities and Carlson inequality, these $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ -norm and $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ -norm decay estimates lead to similar ones in the $L^q(\mathbb{R})$ -norm, for any $q \in [1, +\infty]$. In our both $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ -norm and $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ -norm decay estimates, we specify the decay rates in terms of the regularity of the initial data and the nature of the control.

Keywords. Rao-Nakra sandwich beam, frictional damping, infinite memory, unbounded domain, asymptotic behavior, $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ -norm and $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ -norm decay estimates, energy method, Fourier analysis.

AMS classification. 34B05, 34D05, 34H05, 35B40, 35L45, 74H40, 93D20, 93D15.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3, k_0, k_1, k_2, k_3, \gamma$ and l be real positive constants and $g : \mathbb{R}_+ := [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ satisfying $g \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$,

$$(1.1) \quad 0 < g_0 := \int_0^{+\infty} g(s) ds < k_3.$$

and, for some real positive constants β_1 and β_2 ,

$$(1.2) \quad -\beta_2 g \leq g' \leq -\beta_1 g.$$

Condition (1.2) implies that $g' \leq 0$, g is integrable over \mathbb{R}_+ and, by integrating,

$$(1.3) \quad g(0)e^{-\beta_2 s} \leq g(s) \leq g(0)e^{-\beta_1 s}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

So (1.1) is valid if $g(0) > 0$ and β_1 is big enough. For example, one can take $g(s) = d_1 e^{-d_2 s}$ with $d_1, d_2 > 0$ satisfying $\frac{d_1}{d_2} < k_3$, so (1.1) and (1.2) hold, for $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = d_2$.

This paper deals with the stability of two systems of type Rao-Nakra sandwich beam in the whole line \mathbb{R} with a control acting only on the Euler-Bernoulli equation. These systems consist of two wave equations for the longitudinal displacements of the top and bottom layers, and one Euler-Bernoulli equation for the transversal displacement. The considered control is provided through a frictional damping of size γ :

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{cases} \rho_1 \varphi_{tt} - k_1 \varphi_{xx} + k_0(\varphi + \psi + lw_x) = 0, \\ \rho_2 \psi_{tt} - k_2 \psi_{xx} + k_0(\varphi + \psi + lw_x) = 0, \\ \rho_3 w_{tt} + k_3 w_{xxxx} - lk_0(\varphi + \psi + lw_x)_x + \gamma w_t = 0, \\ \varphi(x, 0) = \varphi_0(x), \psi(x, 0) = \psi_0(x), w(x, 0) = w_0(x), \\ \varphi_t(x, 0) = \varphi_1(x), \psi_t(x, 0) = \psi_1(x), w_t(x, 0) = w_1(x) \end{cases}$$

E-mail addresses: aissa.guesmia@univ-lorraine.fr, ORCID: 0000 0003 4782 5053

or an infinite memory of kernel g :

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{cases} \rho_1 \varphi_{tt} - k_1 \varphi_{xx} + k_0(\varphi + \psi + lw_x) = 0, \\ \rho_2 \psi_{tt} - k_2 \psi_{xx} + k_0(\varphi + \psi + lw_x) = 0, \\ \rho_3 w_{tt} + k_3 w_{xxxx} - lk_0(\varphi + \psi + lw_x)_x - \int_0^{+\infty} g(s) w_{xxx}(x, t-s) ds = 0, \\ \varphi(x, 0) = \varphi_0(x), \psi(x, 0) = \psi_0(x), w(x, -t) = w_0(x, t), \\ \varphi_t(x, 0) = \varphi_1(x), \psi_t(x, 0) = \psi_1(x), w_t(x, 0) = w_1(x), \end{cases}$$

where $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ are, respectively, the spacial and time variables, $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \psi_0, \psi_1, w_0$ and w_1 are fixed initial data, and

$$(\varphi, \psi, w) : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$$

is the unknown of (1.4) and (1.5). A subscript r denotes the derivative with respect to r . Also, we use ∂_r^m or $\frac{d^m}{dr^m}$ to denote the differential operator of order m with respect to r ; i.e. $\frac{\partial^m}{\partial r^m}$. When a function has only one variable, its derivative is noted by ι .

Since the works [23, 31, 36], several layer laminated beam and plate models have been introduced during the last sixty years. The known generalized Rao-Nakra beam (composed of a top and a bottom face plate), presented in [21], takes into account the shear effect of the bottom and top layers, and it is given by

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{cases} \rho_1 h_1 u_{tt} - E_1 h_1 u_{xx} - \tau = 0, \\ \rho_3 h_3 v_{tt} - E_3 h_3 v_{xx} + \tau = 0, \\ \rho h w_{tt} + EI w_{xxxx} - G_1 h_1 (w_x + \phi_1)_x - G_3 h_3 (w_x + \phi_3)_x - h_2 \tau_x = 0, \\ \rho_1 I_1 \phi_{1,tt} - E_1 I_1 \phi_{1,xx} - \frac{1}{2} h_1 \tau + G_1 h_1 (w_x + \phi_1) = 0, \\ \rho_3 I_3 \phi_{3,tt} - E_3 I_3 \phi_{3,xx} - \frac{1}{2} h_3 \tau + G_3 h_3 (w_x + \phi_3) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\rho_j, h_j, E_j, G_j, I_j, EI$ and ρh are real positive constants representing some physical parameters and satisfying some relationships, u, ϕ_1, v and ϕ_3 are, respectively, the longitudinal displacement and shear angle of the top and bottom layers, w is the transverse displacement of the beam and τ is the shear stress in the core layer defined by

$$\tau = -u - \frac{1}{2} h_1 \phi_1 + h_2 w_x + v - \frac{1}{2} h_3 \phi_3.$$

By neglecting some components and/or parameters and/or considering some connections between them, several models were derived from (1.6) and studied in the literature like the Rao-Nakra sandwich beam [29]:

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{cases} \rho_1 h_1 u_{tt} - E_1 h_1 u_{xx} - k(-u + v + \alpha w_x) = 0, \\ \rho_3 h_3 v_{tt} - E_3 h_3 v_{xx} + k(-u + v + \alpha w_x) = 0, \\ \rho h w_{tt} + EI w_{xxxx} - \alpha k(-u + v + \alpha w_x)_x = 0, \end{cases}$$

the laminated beam [17]:

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{cases} \rho w_{tt} + G(\psi - w_x)_x = 0, \\ I_\rho (3s - \psi)_{tt} - D(3s - \psi)_{xx} - G(\psi - u_x) = 0, \\ 3I_\rho s_{tt} - 3Ds_{xx} + 3G(\psi - w_x) + 4\mu s + 4\delta s_t = 0, \end{cases}$$

the Bresse model [4]:

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{cases} \rho_1 u_{tt} - k_1(u_x + \psi + lw)_x - lk_3(w_x - lu) = 0, \\ \rho_2 \psi_{tt} - k_2 \psi_{xx} + k_1(u_x + \psi + lw) = 0, \\ \rho_3 w_{tt} - k_3(w_x - lu)_x + lk_1(u_x + \psi + lw) = 0, \end{cases}$$

the Mead-Markos model [23]:

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{cases} \rho h w_{tt} + E I w_{xxxx} - \alpha_1(-u + v + \alpha w_x) = 0, \\ (-u + v + \alpha w_x)_{xx} - \alpha_2(-u + v + \alpha w_x) - \alpha w_{xxx} = 0 \end{cases}$$

and the Timoshenko beam [35]:

$$(1.11) \quad \begin{cases} \rho_1 u_{tt} - k_1(u_x + \psi)_x = 0, \\ \rho_2 \psi_{tt} - k_2 \psi_{xx} + k_1(u_x + \psi) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where all the coefficients are real postive constants.

The study of long time behavior of (1.7)-(1.11) has been the subject of an active research and mathematical endeavor. Thereby, a huge number of research articles have been appeared. In order to highlight the main contribution and the novelty of the present paper, we will merely point out the articles whose content concerns the Rao-Nakra sandwich beam (1.7), and so their content is closely related to our problems (1.4) and (1.5). For (1.8)-(1.11) (in different contexts), we refer the reader, for example, to [1, 2, 5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 14, 21, 24, 32, 33] and the references therein.

In [20], the authors considered the Rao-Nakra sandwich beam with $x \in (0, 1)$ and an internal frictional damping acting either on the beam equation or one of the wave equations, and proved that the polynomial stability occurs. Similar polynomial stability results were proved in [19] under internal damping or Kelvin-Voigt damping working on two of the three equations.

The authors of [30] proved the well-posedness and exponential stability of Rao-Nakra sandwich beam in $(0, L)$, $L > 0$, under a heat conduction of second sound acting on the second wave equation (see [6, 22, 27] for details on the second sound mechanism). The same well-posedness and exponential stability results of [30] were proved in [25] using boundary feedbacks at $x = L$.

The (global or local) boundary controllability problems of the Rao-Nakra beam were also the subject of several studies in the literature; see, for example, [12, 13, 15, 16, 26, 28] and the references therein.

The subject of this paper is to treat the stability of the Rao-Nakra sandwich beam (1.7) in the whole line \mathbb{R} under a frictional damping (1.4) or an infinite memory (1.5) (where we denoted (u, v) by $(-\varphi, \psi)$ and simplified the notation of the coefficients). The frictinal damping and infinite memory terms generate the unique dissipation for (1.4) and (1.5) (see (2.15) and Remark 1 below). This dissipation is acting on the third equation of (1.4) and (1.5) (transversal displacement). To the best of our knowledge, these situations have never been considered in the literature.

The main objective of this paper is to get decay estimates of

$$(1.12) \quad t \mapsto \|\partial_x^j U(\cdot, t)\|_2 \quad \text{and} \quad t \mapsto \|\partial_x^j U(\cdot, t)\|_1,$$

where U is defined in (2.7) below, $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\|\cdot\|_q$ denotes the norm of $L^q(\mathbb{R})$, for $q \in [1, +\infty]$. We will prove the instability of (1.4) and (1.5) when

$$(1.13) \quad \frac{k_1}{\rho_1} = \frac{k_2}{\rho_2}.$$

However, when

$$(1.14) \quad \frac{k_1}{\rho_1} \neq \frac{k_2}{\rho_2},$$

we prove that the functions (1.12) satisfy some polynomial stability estimates with decay rates depending on the regularity of the initial data.

Our $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ -norm and $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ -norm decay estimates cover all the $L^q(\mathbb{R})$ -norm decay estimates of $\partial_x^j U$, for any $q \in [1, +\infty]$. Indeed, using interpolation inequalities, the decay estimates of the L^∞ -norm is obtained immediately from the inequality (δ_q denotes a real positive constant, which does not depend neither on U nor on j)

$$(1.15) \quad \|\partial_x^j U\|_\infty \leq \delta_\infty \|\partial_x^j U\|_2^{1/2} \|\partial_x^{j+1} U\|_2^{1/2}.$$

This eventually lead to the decay rate of the L^q -norm, $2 < q < +\infty$, through the interpolation inequality

$$(1.16) \quad \|\partial_x^j U\|_q \leq \delta_q \|\partial_x^j U\|_\infty^{1-2/q} \|\partial_x^j U\|_2^{2/q}.$$

To fill the gap $1 < q < 2$, we use the interpolation inequality

$$(1.17) \quad \|\partial_x^j U\|_q \leq \delta_q \|\partial_x^j U\|_2^{2(q-1)/q} \|\partial_x^j U\|_1^{(2-q)/q}.$$

To get the $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ -norm decay estimate, we treat the asymptotic behavior of $\|x\partial_x^j U\|_2$, and then we use the Carlson inequality (see [3] for instance)

$$(1.18) \quad \|\partial_x^j U\|_1 \leq \|\partial_x^j U\|_2^{1/2} \|x\partial_x^j U\|_2^{1/2}.$$

The proof is based on the energy method combined with the Fourier analysis (by using the transformation in the Fourier space).

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we formulate (1.4) and (1.5) in a first order Cauchy system. Section 3 will be devoted to the proof of the asymptotic behavior of $|\widehat{U}|$. In section 4, we prove our $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ -norm decay estimate. The asymptotic behavior of $|\partial_\xi \widehat{U}|$ will be treated in section 5. Finally, in section 6, we prove our $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ -norm decay estimate. The last section presents some concluding remarks.

2. ABSTRACT FORMULATION OF (1.4) AND (1.5)

To formulate (1.4) and (1.5) in an abstract first order system, we consider the following variables:

$$(2.1) \quad u = \varphi_t, \quad y = \psi_t, \quad \theta = w_t, \quad v = \varphi_x, \quad z = \psi_x, \quad \phi = w_{xx} \quad \text{and} \quad p = \varphi + \psi + lw_x.$$

We observe that (1.4)₁-(1.4)₃ can be written in the form

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} v_t - u_x = 0, \\ \rho_1 u_t - k_1 v_x + k_0 p = 0, \\ z_t - y_x = 0, \\ \rho_2 y_t - k_2 z_x + k_0 p = 0, \\ \phi_t - \theta_{xx} = 0, \\ \rho_3 \theta_t + k_3 \phi_{xx} - lk_0 p_x + \gamma \theta = 0, \\ p_t - u - y - l\theta_x = 0. \end{cases}$$

In case (1.5), we consider the additional variable introduced in [7]

$$(2.3) \quad \eta(x, t, s) = w(x, t) - w(x, t - s)$$

with its initial data $\eta_0(x, s) = \eta(x, 0, s)$. The variable η satisfies

$$(2.4) \quad \eta_t(x, t, s) + \eta_s(x, t, s) = w_t(x, t)$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \int_0^{+\infty} g(s) \eta_{xxxx}(x, t, s) ds = g_0 w_{xxxx}(x, t) - \int_0^{+\infty} g(s) w_{xxxx}(x, t - s) ds.$$

Then (1.5)₁-(1.5)₃ can be formulated in the form

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{cases} v_t - u_x = 0, \\ \rho_1 u_t - k_1 v_x + k_0 p = 0, \\ z_t - y_x = 0, \\ \rho_2 y_t - k_2 z_x + k_0 p = 0, \\ \phi_t - \theta_{xx} = 0, \\ \rho_3 \theta_t + (k_3 - \tau_2 g_0) \phi_{xx} - lk_0 p_x + \int_0^{+\infty} g(s) \eta_{xxxx} ds = 0, \\ p_t - u - y - l\theta_x = 0, \\ \eta_t + \eta_s - \theta = 0. \end{cases}$$

We define the variable U and its initial data U_0 by

$$(2.7) \quad U(x, t) = \begin{cases} (v, u, z, y, \phi, \theta, p)^T(x, t) & \text{in case (1.4),} \\ (v, u, z, y, \phi, \theta, p, \eta)^T(x, t) & \text{in case (1.5)} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad U_0(x) = U(x, 0).$$

Therefore, the systems (2.2) and (2.6) lead to

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{cases} U_t(x, t) + AU(x, t) = 0, \\ U(x, 0) = U_0(x), \end{cases}$$

where

$$AU = A_4 U_{xxxx} + A_2 U_{xx} + A_1 U_x + A_0 U$$

and the operators A_j are defined in case (2.2) by

$$\begin{cases} A_4 U_{xxxx} = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)^T, \\ A_2 U_{xx} = \left(0, 0, 0, 0, -\theta_{xx}, \frac{k_3}{\rho_3} \phi_{xx}, 0\right)^T, \\ A_1 U_x = \left(-u_x, -\frac{k_1}{\rho_1} v_x, -y_x, -\frac{k_2}{\rho_2} z_x, 0, -\frac{lk_0}{\rho_3} p_x, -l\theta_x\right)^T, \\ A_0 U = \left(0, \frac{k_0}{\rho_1} p, 0, \frac{k_0}{\rho_2} p, 0, \frac{\gamma}{\rho_3} \theta, -u - y\right)^T, \end{cases}$$

and A_j in case (2.6) are defined by

$$\begin{cases} A_4 U_{xxxx} = \left(0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{\rho_3} \int_0^{+\infty} g(s) \eta_{xxxx} ds, 0, 0\right)^T, \\ A_2 U_{xx} = \left(0, 0, 0, 0, -\theta_{xx}, \frac{k_3 - g_0}{\rho_3} \phi_{xx}, 0, 0\right)^T, \\ A_1 U_x = \left(-u_x, -\frac{k_1}{\rho_1} v_x, -y_x, -\frac{k_2}{\rho_2} z_x, 0, -\frac{lk_0}{\rho_3} p_x, -l\theta_x, 0\right)^T, \\ A_0 U = \left(0, \frac{k_0}{\rho_1} p, 0, \frac{k_0}{\rho_2} p, 0, 0, -u - y, \eta_s - \theta\right)^T. \end{cases}$$

For a given function $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we use the notations $Re h$, $Im h$, \bar{h} and \hat{h} to denote, respectively, the real part of h , the imaginary part of h , the conjugate of h and the Fourier transformation of h given by

$$(2.9) \quad \hat{h}(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-i\xi x} h(x) dx, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Applying the Fourier transformation (with respect to the space variable x) to (2.8), we obtain the following first-order Cauchy system in the Fourier space:

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{cases} \hat{U}_t(\xi, t) + \tilde{A}(\xi) \hat{U}(\xi, t) = 0, \\ \hat{U}(\xi, 0) = \hat{U}_0(\xi), \end{cases}$$

where $\tilde{A}(\xi) = \xi^4 A_4 - \xi^2 A_2 + i\xi A_1 + A_0$. The solution of (2.10) is given by

$$(2.11) \quad \hat{U}(\xi, t) = e^{-\tilde{A}(\xi)t} \hat{U}_0(\xi).$$

Computing the term $e^{-\tilde{A}(\xi)t}$ is a challenging problem, and in many situations, this cannot be done. Consequently, in order to show the asymptotic behavior of \hat{U} , it suffices to find a non negative function $f(\xi)$ and two positive constants \tilde{c} and c such that, for each $(\xi, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$(2.12) \quad \left| e^{-\tilde{A}(\xi)t} \right| \leq \tilde{c} e^{-cf(\xi)t}.$$

Let \hat{E} be the energy associated with (2.10) given by

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{E}(\xi, t) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[k_1 |\hat{v}|^2 + \rho_1 |\hat{u}|^2 + k_2 |\hat{z}|^2 + \rho_2 |\hat{y}|^2 + (k_3 - \tau_0 g_0) |\hat{\phi}|^2 + \rho_3 |\hat{\theta}|^2 + k_0 |\hat{p}|^2 \right] (\xi, t) \\ &+ \frac{\tau_0}{2} \xi^4 \int_0^{+\infty} g(s) |\hat{\eta}(\xi, t)|^2 ds, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.14) \quad \tau_0 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{in case (2.2),} \\ 1 & \text{in case (2.6).} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.1. *The energy functional \widehat{E} satisfies, for each $(\xi, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+$,*

$$(2.15) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \widehat{E}(\xi, t) = -(1 - \tau_0) \gamma |\widehat{\theta}(\xi, t)|^2 + \frac{\tau_0}{2} \xi^4 \int_0^{+\infty} g'(s) |\widehat{\eta}(\xi, t)|^2 ds.$$

Proof. The equation $(2.10)_1$ in case (2.2) is equivalent to

$$(2.16) \quad \begin{cases} \widehat{v}_t - i\xi \widehat{u} = 0, \\ \rho_1 \widehat{u}_t - ik_1 \xi \widehat{v} + k_0 \widehat{p} = 0, \\ \widehat{z}_t - i\xi \widehat{y} = 0, \\ \rho_2 \widehat{y}_t - ik_2 \xi \widehat{z} + k_0 \widehat{p} = 0, \\ \widehat{\phi}_t + \xi^2 \widehat{\theta} = 0, \\ \rho_3 \widehat{\theta}_t - k_3 \xi^2 \widehat{\phi} - il k_0 \xi \widehat{p} + \gamma \widehat{\theta} = 0, \\ \widehat{p}_t - \widehat{u} - \widehat{y} - il \xi \widehat{\theta} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Multiplying the equations in (2.16) by $k_1 \widehat{v}$, \widehat{u} , $k_2 \widehat{z}$, \widehat{y} , $k_3 \widehat{\phi}$, $\widehat{\theta}$ and $k_0 \widehat{p}$, respectively, adding the obtained equations, taking the real part of the resulting expression and using the identity, for $h, d : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ two differentiable functions,

$$(2.17) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Re} (h \bar{d}) = \operatorname{Re} (h_t \bar{d} + d_t \bar{h}),$$

we obtain (2.15) with $\tau_0 = 0$. Similarly, $(2.10)_1$ in case (2.6) is reduced to

$$(2.18) \quad \begin{cases} \widehat{v}_t - i\xi \widehat{u} = 0, \\ \rho_1 \widehat{u}_t - ik_1 \xi \widehat{v} + k_0 \widehat{p} = 0, \\ \widehat{z}_t - i\xi \widehat{y} = 0, \\ \rho_2 \widehat{y}_t - ik_2 \xi \widehat{z} + k_0 \widehat{p} = 0, \\ \widehat{\phi}_t + \xi^2 \widehat{\theta} = 0, \\ \rho_3 \widehat{\theta}_t - (k_3 - g_0) \xi^2 \widehat{\phi} - il k_0 \xi \widehat{p} + \xi^4 \int_0^{+\infty} g(s) \widehat{\eta} ds = 0, \\ \widehat{p}_t - \widehat{u} - \widehat{y} - il \xi \widehat{\theta} = 0, \\ \widehat{\eta}_t + \widehat{\eta}_s - \widehat{\theta} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Multiplying $(2.18)_1$ - $(2.18)_7$ by $k_1 \widehat{v}$, \widehat{u} , $k_2 \widehat{z}$, \widehat{y} , $(k_3 - g_0) \widehat{\phi}$, $\widehat{\theta}$ and $k_0 \widehat{p}$, respectively, multiplying $(2.18)_8$ by $\xi^4 g(s) \widehat{\eta}$ and integrating on \mathbb{R}_+ with respect to s , adding all the obtained equations, taking the real part of the resulting expression and using (2.17), we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \widehat{E}(\xi, t) = -\frac{1}{2} \xi^4 \int_0^{+\infty} g(s) \frac{d}{ds} |\widehat{\eta}|^2 ds,$$

therefore, by integrating with respect to s , we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \widehat{E}(\xi, t) = -\frac{1}{2} \left[\xi^4 \int_0^{+\infty} g(s) |\widehat{\eta}|^2 ds \right]_{s=0}^{s=+\infty} + \frac{1}{2} \xi^4 \int_0^{+\infty} g'(s) |\widehat{\eta}|^2 ds.$$

Because (1.3) and (2.3) imply that

$$(2.19) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} g(s) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\eta}(\xi, t, 0) = 0,$$

then we find (2.15) with $\tau_0 = 1$. □

Remark 1. *Notice that (2.15) implies that*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \widehat{E}(\xi, t) \leq 0,$$

since $\gamma > 0$ and $g' \leq 0$, so (2.10) is dissipative. If the frictional damping and infinite memory are not considered (i.e. $\gamma = g = 0$), then (2.10) is conservative; that is

$$\widehat{E}(\xi, t) = \widehat{E}(\xi, 0).$$

On the other hand, we put

$$|\widehat{U}(\xi, t)|^2 = \left[|\widehat{v}|^2 + |\widehat{u}|^2 + |\widehat{z}|^2 + |\widehat{y}|^2 + |\widehat{\phi}|^2 + |\widehat{\theta}|^2 + |\widehat{p}|^2 \right] (\xi, t) + \tau_0 \xi^4 \int_0^{+\infty} g(s) |\widehat{\eta}(\xi, t)|^2 ds.$$

So we deduce that, thanks to the right inequality in (1.1),

$$(2.20) \quad |\widehat{U}|^2 \sim \widehat{E}.$$

3. ESTIMATION OF $|\widehat{U}|$

This section is dedicated to the study of the asymptotic behavior of $\widehat{U}(\xi, t)$, when time t goes to infinity. We prove the next theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let \widehat{U} be the solution of (2.10).*

1. *In case (1.13) and for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, $|\widehat{U}(\xi, t)|$ doesn't converge to zero when t goes to infinity.*
2. *In case (1.14), there exist $c, \tilde{c} > 0$ (independent on ξ and t) such that*

$$(3.1) \quad |\widehat{U}(\xi, t)| \leq \tilde{c} e^{-c f(\xi) t} |\widehat{U}_0(\xi)|, \quad \forall (\xi, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+,$$

where

$$(3.2) \quad f(\xi) = \frac{\xi^{4+2\tau_0}}{\xi^{10} + 1}.$$

3.1. Case (1.13). We prove here, under (1.13) and for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, that $|\widehat{U}(\xi, t)|$ doesn't converge to zero when time t goes to infinity. It suffices to prove that, for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, $-\tilde{A}(\xi)$ has at least a pure imaginary eigenvalue (see [34]); that is

$$(3.3) \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*, \exists \widehat{U} \neq 0 : \quad i\lambda \widehat{U} + \tilde{A}(\xi) \widehat{U} = 0.$$

System (2.16). To get (3.3), it is enough to prove that

$$(3.4) \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^* : \quad \det \left(i\lambda I + \tilde{A}(\xi) \right) = 0,$$

where I denotes the identity matrix. We see that (1.13) implies, in case (2.16), that

$$i\lambda I + \tilde{A}(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} i\lambda & -i\xi & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -i\frac{k_1}{\rho_1}\xi & i\lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{k_0}{\rho_1} \\ 0 & 0 & i\lambda & -i\xi & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i\frac{k_1}{\rho_1}\xi & i\lambda & 0 & 0 & \frac{k_0}{\rho_2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & i\lambda & \xi^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{k_3}{\rho_3}\xi^2 & i\lambda + \frac{\gamma}{\rho_3} & -i\frac{l k_0}{\rho_3}\xi \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -il\xi & i\lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

A direct computation shows that

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \det \left(i\lambda I + \tilde{A}(\xi) \right) &= i\lambda \left(\lambda^2 - \frac{k_1}{\rho_1} \xi^2 \right) \left[\lambda^2 - k_0 \left(\frac{1}{\rho_1} + \frac{1}{\rho_2} \right) - \frac{k_1}{\rho_1} \xi^2 \right] \left[i\lambda \left(i\lambda + \frac{\gamma}{\rho_3} \right) + \frac{k_3}{\rho_3} \xi^4 \right] \\ &\quad + i \frac{l^2 k_0}{\rho_3} \lambda \xi^2 \left(\lambda^2 - \frac{k_1}{\rho_1} \xi^2 \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $\det \left(i\lambda I + \tilde{A}(\xi) \right) = 0$ for

$$(3.6) \quad \lambda = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{k_1}{\rho_1} \xi} & \text{if } \xi \neq 0, \\ \sqrt{k_0 \left(\frac{1}{\rho_1} + \frac{1}{\rho_2} \right)} & \text{if } \xi = 0. \end{cases}$$

Consequently, (3.4) holds.

System (2.18). For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^*$, we put

$$(3.7) \quad \hat{\eta}(s) = \frac{1}{i\lambda} (1 - e^{-i\lambda s}) \hat{\theta} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{g}(\lambda) = \int_0^{+\infty} (1 - e^{-i\lambda s}) g(s) ds.$$

We observe that $\tilde{g}(\lambda)$ is well defined (according to (1.1)) and $\hat{\eta}$ is the unique function satisfying (3.3)₈ and $\hat{\eta}(0) = 0$. On the other hand, (1.13) implies that the first seven equations of (3.3) are equivalent to $\tilde{B}(\xi) \begin{pmatrix} \hat{v}, \hat{u}, \hat{z}, \hat{y}, \hat{\phi}, \hat{p} \end{pmatrix}^T = 0$, where

$$\tilde{B}(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} i\lambda & -i\xi & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -i\frac{k_1}{\rho_1}\xi & i\lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{k_0}{\rho_1} \\ 0 & 0 & i\lambda & -i\xi & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i\frac{k_1}{\rho_1}\xi & i\lambda & 0 & 0 & \frac{k_0}{\rho_2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & i\lambda & \xi^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{k_3 - g_0}{\rho_3}\xi^2 & i\lambda + \frac{\tilde{g}(\lambda)}{\rho_3}\xi^4 & -i\frac{k_0}{\rho_3}\xi \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -i\lambda\xi & i\lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the problem (3.3) is reduced to prove that

$$(3.8) \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \exists \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^* : \det \tilde{B}(\xi) = 0.$$

A direct computation shows that (as for (3.5) with $k_3 - g_0$ and $\xi^4 \tilde{g}(\lambda)$ instead of k_3 and γ , respectively)

$$\begin{aligned} \det \tilde{B}(\xi) &= i\lambda \left(\lambda^2 - \frac{k_1}{\rho_1} \xi^2 \right) \left[\lambda^2 - k_0 \left(\frac{1}{\rho_1} + \frac{1}{\rho_2} \right) - \frac{k_1}{\rho_1} \xi^2 \right] \left[i\lambda \left(i\lambda + \frac{\tilde{g}(\lambda)}{\rho_3} \xi^4 \right) + \frac{k_3 - g_0}{\rho_3} \xi^4 \right] \\ &\quad + i \frac{l^2 k_0}{\rho_3} \lambda \xi^2 \left(\lambda^2 - \frac{k_1}{\rho_1} \xi^2 \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

We remark that $\det \tilde{B}(\xi) = 0$ for λ given by (3.6). Thus (3.8) holds. Consequently, the proof of the first result in Theorem 3.1 is ended.

3.2. Some differential equations. We start the proof of (3.1) by proving some useful differential equations. To simplify the computations, we put

$$(3.9) \quad G = (1 - \tau_0) \gamma \hat{\theta} + \tau_0 \xi^4 \int_0^{+\infty} g(s) \hat{\eta} ds,$$

where τ_0 is defined in (2.14). Let consider the system

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{cases} \hat{v}_t - i\xi \hat{u} = 0, \\ \rho_1 \hat{u}_t - ik_1 \xi \hat{v} + k_0 \hat{p} = 0, \\ \hat{z}_t - i\xi \hat{y} = 0, \\ \rho_2 \hat{y}_t - ik_2 \xi \hat{z} + k_0 \hat{p} = 0, \\ \hat{\phi}_t + \xi^2 \hat{\theta} = 0, \\ \rho_3 \hat{\theta}_t - (k_3 - \tau_0 g_0) \xi^2 \hat{\phi} - il k_0 \xi \hat{p} + G = 0, \\ \hat{p}_t - \hat{u} - \hat{y} - i\lambda \xi \hat{\theta} = 0, \\ \hat{\eta}_t + \hat{\eta}_s - \hat{\theta} = 0. \end{cases}$$

It is evident that (2.16) is identical to (3.10)₁-(3.10)₇ if $\tau_0 = 0$, and (2.18) coincides with (3.10) if $\tau_0 = 1$.

Multiplying (3.10)₄ and (3.10)₃ by $i\xi \bar{\hat{z}}$ and $-i\rho_2 \xi \bar{\hat{y}}$, respectively, adding the resulting equations, taking the real part and using (2.17), we obtain

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Re} \left(i\rho_2 \xi \hat{y} \bar{\hat{z}} \right) = \xi^2 (\rho_2 |\hat{y}|^2 - k_2 |\hat{z}|^2) + k_0 \operatorname{Re} \left(i\xi \hat{z} \bar{\hat{p}} \right).$$

Similarly, multiplying (3.10)₂ and (3.10)₁ by $i\xi \bar{\hat{v}}$ and $-i\rho_1 \xi \bar{\hat{u}}$, respectively, adding the resulting equations, taking the real part and using (2.17), we find

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Re} \left(i\rho_1 \xi \hat{u} \bar{\hat{v}} \right) = \xi^2 (\rho_1 |\hat{u}|^2 - k_1 |\hat{v}|^2) + k_0 \operatorname{Re} \left(i\xi \hat{v} \bar{\hat{p}} \right).$$

Also, multiplying (3.10)₆ and (3.10)₅ by $-\bar{\phi}$ and $-\rho_3\bar{\theta}$, respectively, adding the resulting equations, taking the real part and using (2.17), we get

$$(3.13) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Re} \left(-\rho_3 \hat{\theta} \bar{\phi} \right) = \xi^2 \left(\rho_3 |\hat{\theta}|^2 - (k_3 - \tau_0 g_0) |\hat{\phi}|^2 \right) + lk_0 \operatorname{Re} \left(i \xi \hat{\phi} \bar{p} \right) + \operatorname{Re} \left(\bar{\phi} G \right).$$

Multiplying (3.10)₄ and (3.10)₇ by $\xi^2 \bar{p}$ and $\rho_2 \xi^2 \bar{y}$, respectively, adding the resulting equations, taking the real part and using (2.17), we obtain

$$(3.14) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Re} \left(\rho_2 \xi^2 \hat{p} \bar{y} \right) = \xi^2 \left(\rho_2 |\hat{y}|^2 - k_0 |\hat{p}|^2 \right) + \rho_2 \xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\hat{y} \bar{u} \right) + l \rho_2 \xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(i \xi \hat{\theta} \bar{y} \right) + k_2 \xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(i \xi \hat{z} \bar{p} \right).$$

After, multiplying (3.10)₃ and (3.10)₆ by $\rho_3 \bar{\theta}$ and \bar{z} , respectively, adding the resulting equations, taking the real part and using (2.17), we entail

$$(3.15) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Re} \left(\rho_3 \hat{z} \bar{\theta} \right) = -\rho_3 \operatorname{Re} \left(i \xi \hat{\theta} \bar{y} \right) + (k_3 - \tau_0 g_0) \xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\hat{\phi} \bar{z} \right) - lk_0 \operatorname{Re} \left(i \xi \hat{z} \bar{p} \right) - \operatorname{Re} \left(\bar{z} G \right).$$

Multiplying (3.10)₅ and (3.10)₄ by $i \rho_2 \xi \bar{y}$ and $-i \xi \bar{\phi}$, respectively, adding the resulting equations, taking the real part and using (2.17), it follows that

$$(3.16) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Re} \left(i \rho_2 \xi \hat{\phi} \bar{y} \right) = -\rho_2 \xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(i \xi \hat{\theta} \bar{y} \right) + k_2 \xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\hat{\phi} \bar{z} \right) - k_0 \operatorname{Re} \left(i \xi \hat{\phi} \bar{p} \right).$$

Next, multiplying (3.10)₂ and (3.10)₃ by $i \xi \bar{z}$ and $-i \rho_1 \xi \bar{u}$, respectively, adding the resulting equations, taking the real part and using (2.17), it appears that

$$(3.17) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Re} \left(i \rho_1 \xi \hat{u} \bar{z} \right) = -k_1 \xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\hat{v} \bar{z} \right) + \rho_1 \xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\hat{y} \bar{u} \right) + k_0 \operatorname{Re} \left(i \xi \hat{z} \bar{p} \right).$$

Multiplying (3.10)₂ and (3.10)₅ by $i \xi \bar{\phi}$ and $-i \rho_1 \xi \bar{u}$, respectively, adding the resulting equations, taking the real part and using (2.17), we see that

$$(3.18) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Re} \left(i \rho_1 \xi \hat{u} \bar{\phi} \right) = -k_1 \xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\hat{\phi} \bar{v} \right) + \rho_1 \xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(i \xi \hat{\theta} \bar{u} \right) + k_0 \operatorname{Re} \left(i \xi \hat{\phi} \bar{p} \right).$$

Also, multiplying (3.10)₁ and (3.10)₄ by $i \rho_2 \xi \bar{y}$ and $-i \xi \bar{v}$, respectively, adding the resulting equations, taking the real part and using (2.17), we find

$$(3.19) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Re} \left(i \rho_2 \xi \hat{v} \bar{y} \right) = -\rho_2 \xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\hat{y} \bar{u} \right) + k_2 \xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\hat{v} \bar{z} \right) - k_0 \operatorname{Re} \left(i \xi \hat{v} \bar{p} \right).$$

Multiplying (3.10)₁ and (3.10)₆ by $\rho_3 \bar{\theta}$ and \bar{v} , respectively, adding the resulting equations, taking the real part and using (2.17), we get

$$(3.20) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Re} \left(\rho_3 \hat{v} \bar{\theta} \right) = -\rho_3 \operatorname{Re} \left(i \xi \hat{\theta} \bar{u} \right) + (k_3 - \tau_0 g_0) \xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\hat{\phi} \bar{v} \right) - lk_0 \operatorname{Re} \left(i \xi \hat{v} \bar{p} \right) - \operatorname{Re} \left(\bar{v} G \right).$$

Now, multiplying (3.10)₆ by $-\xi^2 \int_0^{+\infty} g(s) \bar{\eta} ds$, multiplying (3.10)₈ by $-\rho_3 \xi^2 g(s) \bar{\theta}$ and integrating over \mathbb{R}_+ with respect to s , adding the resulting equations, taking the real part and using (2.17), we infer that

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Re} \left(-\rho_3 \xi^2 \hat{\theta} \int_0^{+\infty} g(s) \bar{\eta} ds \right) &= -\rho_3 g_0 \xi^2 |\hat{\theta}|^2 - (k_3 - \tau_0 g_0) \xi^4 \operatorname{Re} \left(\hat{\phi} \int_0^{+\infty} g(s) \bar{\eta} ds \right) \\ &\quad - lk_0 \xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(i \xi \hat{p} \int_0^{+\infty} g(s) \bar{\eta} ds \right) - \rho_3 \xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\bar{\theta} \int_0^{+\infty} g'(s) \bar{\eta} ds \right) \\ &\quad + \xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(G \int_0^{+\infty} g(s) \bar{\eta} ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, multiplying (3.10)₄ by $i\xi \int_0^{+\infty} g(s)\bar{\eta} ds$, multiplying (3.10)₈ by $-\rho_2 \xi g(s)\bar{y}$ and integrating over \mathbb{R}_+ with respect to s , adding the resulting equations, taking the real part and using (2.17), we get

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Re} \left(i\rho_2 \xi \hat{y} \int_0^{+\infty} g(s)\bar{\eta} ds \right) &= -\rho_2 g_0 \operatorname{Re} \left(i\xi \hat{\theta} \bar{y} \right) - k_2 \xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\hat{z} \int_0^{+\infty} g(s)\bar{\eta} ds \right) \\ &\quad - k_0 \operatorname{Re} \left(i\xi \hat{p} \int_0^{+\infty} g(s)\bar{\eta} ds \right) - \rho_2 \operatorname{Re} \left(i\xi \bar{y} \int_0^{+\infty} g'(s)\hat{\eta} ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, multiplying (3.10)₂ by $i\xi \int_0^{+\infty} g(s)\bar{\eta} ds$, multiplying (3.10)₈ by $-\rho_1 \xi g(s)\bar{u}$ and integrating over \mathbb{R}_+ with respect to s , adding the resulting equations, taking the real part and using (2.17), we see that

$$(3.23) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Re} \left(i\rho_1 \xi \hat{u} \int_0^{+\infty} g(s)\bar{\eta} ds \right) &= -k_1 \xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\hat{v} \int_0^{+\infty} g(s)\bar{\eta} ds \right) - k_0 \operatorname{Re} \left(i\xi \hat{p} \int_0^{+\infty} g(s)\bar{\eta} ds \right) \\ &\quad - \rho_1 \operatorname{Re} \left(i\xi \bar{u} \int_0^{+\infty} g'(s)\hat{\eta} ds \right) - \rho_1 g_0 \operatorname{Re} \left(i\xi \hat{\theta} \bar{u} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{13}$ be real numbers to be chosen later (which do not depend on time t but may depend on ξ and the parameters of (1.4) and (1.5)). We define the functionals F_0 , F_1 , F_2 and F_3 as follows:

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{aligned} F_0(\xi, t) &= \operatorname{Re} \left(i\rho_2 \lambda_1 \xi \hat{y} \bar{z} + i\rho_1 \lambda_2 \xi \hat{u} \bar{v} - \rho_3 \lambda_3 \hat{\theta} \bar{\phi} + \rho_2 \lambda_4 \xi^2 \hat{p} \bar{y} + \rho_3 \lambda_5 \hat{z} \bar{\theta} \right) \\ &\quad + \operatorname{Re} \left(i\rho_2 \lambda_6 \xi \hat{\phi} \bar{y} + i\rho_1 \lambda_7 \xi \hat{u} \bar{z} + i\rho_1 \lambda_8 \xi \hat{u} \bar{\phi} + i\rho_2 \lambda_9 \xi \hat{v} \bar{y} + \rho_3 \lambda_{10} \hat{v} \bar{\theta} \right) \\ &\quad + \tau_0 \operatorname{Re} \left[\left(-\rho_3 \lambda_{11} \xi^2 \hat{\theta} + i\rho_2 \lambda_{12} \xi \hat{y} + i\rho_1 \lambda_{13} \xi \hat{u} \right) \int_0^{+\infty} g(s)\bar{\eta} ds \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.25) \quad F_1(\xi, t) = -\xi^2 \left(B_1 |\hat{z}|^2 + B_2 |\hat{v}|^2 + B_3 |\hat{\phi}|^2 + B_4 |\hat{u}|^2 + B_5 |\hat{p}|^2 + B_6 |\hat{y}|^2 + B_7 |\hat{\theta}|^2 \right),$$

$$(3.26) \quad F_2(\xi, t) = \operatorname{Re} \left[i\xi \left(A_1 \hat{z} + A_2 \hat{v} + A_3 \hat{\phi} \right) \bar{\hat{p}} + A_4 \hat{\phi} \bar{\hat{z}} + A_5 \hat{v} \bar{\hat{z}} + A_6 \hat{\phi} \bar{\hat{v}} + A_7 \xi^2 \hat{y} \bar{\hat{u}} + iA_8 \xi \hat{\theta} \bar{\hat{u}} + iA_9 \xi \hat{\theta} \bar{\hat{y}} \right]$$

and

$$(3.27) \quad \begin{aligned} F_3(\xi, t) &= \operatorname{Re} \left[\left(\lambda_3 \bar{\hat{\phi}} - \lambda_5 \bar{\hat{z}} - \lambda_{10} \bar{\hat{v}} \right) G \right] - \tau_0 \operatorname{Re} \left[\left(\rho_3 \lambda_{11} \xi^2 \bar{\hat{\theta}} + i\rho_2 \lambda_{12} \xi \bar{\hat{y}} + i\rho_1 \lambda_{13} \xi \bar{\hat{u}} \right) \int_0^{+\infty} g'(s)\hat{\eta} ds \right] \\ &\quad + \tau_0 \operatorname{Re} \left[\lambda_{11} \left[-(k_3 - \tau_0 g_0) \xi^4 \hat{\phi} - i l k_0 \xi^3 \hat{p} + \xi^2 G \right] \int_0^{+\infty} g(s)\bar{\eta} ds \right] \\ &\quad - \tau_0 \operatorname{Re} \left[\left[\lambda_{12} (k_2 \xi^2 \hat{z} + i k_0 \xi \hat{p}) + \lambda_{13} (k_1 \xi^2 \hat{v} + i k_0 \xi \hat{p}) \right] \int_0^{+\infty} g(s)\bar{\eta} ds \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$B_1 = k_2 \lambda_1, \quad B_2 = k_1 \lambda_2, \quad B_3 = (k_3 - \tau_0 g_0) \lambda_3, \quad B_4 = -\rho_1 \lambda_2,$$

$$B_5 = k_0 \lambda_4, \quad B_6 = -\rho_2 (\lambda_1 + \lambda_4), \quad B_7 = \rho_3 (\tau_0 g_0 \lambda_{11} - \lambda_3),$$

$$A_1 = k_0 \lambda_1 + k_2 \lambda_4 \xi^2 - l k_0 \lambda_5 + k_0 \lambda_7, \quad A_2 = k_0 (\lambda_2 - \lambda_9 - l \lambda_{10}), \quad A_3 = k_0 (l \lambda_3 - \lambda_6 + \lambda_8)$$

$$A_4 = (k_3 - \tau_0 g_0) \lambda_5 \xi^2 + k_2 \lambda_6 \xi^2, \quad A_5 = -k_1 \lambda_7 \xi^2 + k_2 \lambda_9 \xi^2, \quad A_6 = -k_1 \lambda_8 \xi^2 + (k_3 - \tau_0 g_0) \lambda_{10} \xi^2,$$

$$A_7 = \rho_1 \lambda_7 + \rho_2 (\lambda_4 - \lambda_9), \quad A_8 = \rho_1 (\lambda_8 \xi^2 - \tau_0 g_0 \lambda_{13}) - \rho_3 \lambda_{10} \quad \text{and} \quad A_9 = \rho_2 (l \lambda_4 - \lambda_6) \xi^2 - \rho_3 \lambda_5 - \tau_0 \rho_2 g_0 \lambda_{12}.$$

Multiplying (3.11)-(3.23) by $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{10}, \tau_0 \lambda_{11}, \tau_0 \lambda_{12}$ and $\tau_0 \lambda_{13}$, respectively, and adding the obtained equations, we deduce that

$$(3.28) \quad \frac{d}{dt} F_0(\xi, t) = F_1(\xi, t) + F_2(\xi, t) + F_3(\xi, t).$$

According to the notations (2.1), we have $p_x = v + z + l\phi$. Because (2.9) implies that $\widehat{p_x} = i\xi \hat{p}$, then

$$i\xi \bar{\hat{p}} = -\bar{\hat{v}} - \bar{\hat{z}} - l\bar{\hat{\phi}},$$

this identity allows to formulate the first term in F_2 as

$$(3.29) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left[i\xi \left(A_1 \widehat{z} + A_2 \widehat{v} + A_3 \widehat{\phi} \right) \overline{\widehat{p}} \right] = - \left(A_1 |\widehat{z}|^2 + A_2 |\widehat{v}|^2 + l A_3 |\widehat{\phi}|^2 \right) - (A_1 + A_2) \operatorname{Re} \left(\widehat{v} \overline{\widehat{z}} \right) \\ - (A_3 + l A_1) \operatorname{Re} \left(\widehat{\phi} \overline{\widehat{z}} \right) - (l A_2 + A_3) \operatorname{Re} \left(\widehat{\phi} \overline{\widehat{v}} \right).$$

By combining (3.28) and (3.29), we get

$$(3.30) \quad \frac{d}{dt} F_0(\xi, t) = F_5(\xi, t) + F_4(\xi, t) + F_3(\xi, t),$$

where

$$F_5(\xi, t) = - \left[(B_1 \xi^2 + A_1) |\widehat{z}|^2 + (B_2 \xi^2 + A_2) |\widehat{v}|^2 + (B_3 \xi^2 + l A_3) |\widehat{\phi}|^2 \right] \\ - \xi^2 \left[B_4 |\widehat{u}|^2 + B_5 |\widehat{p}|^2 + B_6 |\widehat{y}|^2 + B_7 |\widehat{\theta}|^2 \right]$$

and

$$F_4(\xi, t) = \operatorname{Re} \left[(A_4 - A_3 - l A_1) \widehat{\phi} \overline{\widehat{z}} + (A_5 - A_1 - A_2) \widehat{v} \overline{\widehat{z}} + (A_6 - l A_2 - A_3) \widehat{\phi} \overline{\widehat{v}} \right] \\ + \operatorname{Re} \left[A_7 \xi^2 \widehat{y} \overline{\widehat{u}} + i A_8 \xi \widehat{\theta} \overline{\widehat{u}} + i A_9 \xi \widehat{\theta} \overline{\widehat{y}} \right].$$

Now, we choose the different real numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{15}$ in order to have

$$(3.31) \quad A_4 - A_3 - l A_1 = A_5 - A_1 - A_2 = A_6 - l A_2 - A_3 = A_7 = 0,$$

$$(3.32) \quad B_1 \xi^2 + A_1 = \tilde{B}_1 \xi^2, \quad B_2 \xi^2 + A_2 = \tilde{B}_2 \xi^2, \quad B_3 \xi^2 + l A_3 = \tilde{B}_3 \xi^2,$$

$$(3.33) \quad \tilde{B}_1 > 0, \quad \tilde{B}_2 > 0, \quad \tilde{B}_3 > 0, \quad B_4 > 0, \quad B_5 > 0, \quad B_6 > 0$$

and

$$(3.34) \quad A_8 = A_9 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad B_7 > 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \tau_0 = 1.$$

We start by choosing λ_7, λ_8 and λ_9 as follows:

$$(3.35) \quad \lambda_7 = k_2 \xi^2 + l \lambda_5 - \lambda_1, \quad \lambda_8 = -\frac{2(k_3 - \tau_0 g_0)}{l} \xi^2 + \lambda_6 - l \lambda_3 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_9 = -k_1 \xi^2 + \lambda_2 - l \lambda_{10}.$$

The choices (3.35) guarantee (3.32) with

$$(3.36) \quad \tilde{B}_1 = B_1 + k_2 \lambda_4 + k_0 k_2, \quad \tilde{B}_2 = B_2 + k_0 k_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{B}_3 = B_3 - 2k_0(k_3 - \tau_0 g_0).$$

Also, we select $\lambda_1, \lambda_5, \lambda_6$ and λ_{10} by

$$(3.37) \quad \lambda_6 = \frac{1}{k_2} \left[l k_2 \lambda_4 - (k_3 - \tau_0 g_0) \lambda_5 + k_0 \left(l k_2 - \frac{2(k_3 - \tau_0 g_0)}{l} \right) \right],$$

$$(3.38) \quad \lambda_{10} = \frac{1}{l k_2} \left[-2k_1 k_2 \xi^2 + k_1 \lambda_1 + k_2 \lambda_2 - k_2 \lambda_4 - l k_1 \lambda_5 - k_0(k_1 + k_2) \right],$$

$$(3.39) \quad \lambda_1 = -\frac{k_2}{k_1} \lambda_2 - \frac{l^2 k_2}{k_3 - \tau_0 g_0} \lambda_3 + \left(\frac{l^2 k_2}{k_3 - \tau_0 g_0} + \frac{k_2}{k_1} \right) \lambda_4 + k_0 \left(\frac{2l^2 k_2}{k_3 - \tau_0 g_0} - 1 - \frac{k_2}{k_1} \right)$$

and

$$(3.40) \quad \lambda_5 = -\frac{k_2}{l} \xi^2 + \frac{1}{l} \lambda_1 - \frac{k_0 \rho_2 (k_1 + k_2)}{l(k_1 \rho_2 - k_2 \rho_1)}$$

(λ_5 and λ_1 are well defined thanks to (1.14) and the right inequality in (1.1), respectively). According to (3.35), the selections (3.37) and (3.38) imply $A_4 - A_3 - l A_1 = 0$ and $A_5 - A_1 - A_2 = 0$, respectively, (3.37)-(3.39) lead to $A_6 - l A_2 - A_3 = 0$, and (3.38) and (3.40) guarantee $A_7 = 0$, so (3.31) is satisfied. We put

$$(3.41) \quad B_0 = \min \left\{ \tilde{B}_1, \tilde{B}_2, \tilde{B}_3, B_4, B_5, B_6 \right\}.$$

Then, multiplying (3.30) by ξ^2 and exploiting (3.31) and (3.32), we obtain

$$(3.42) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} [\xi^2 F_0(\xi, t)] &\leq -B_0 \xi^4 \left[|\widehat{z}|^2 + |\widehat{v}|^2 + |\widehat{\phi}|^2 + |\widehat{u}|^2 + |\widehat{p}|^2 + |\widehat{y}|^2 \right] - B_7 \xi^4 |\widehat{\theta}|^2 \\ &\quad + \xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left[i A_8 \xi \widehat{\theta} \widehat{u} + i A_9 \xi \widehat{\theta} \widehat{y} \right] + \xi^2 F_3(\xi, t). \end{aligned}$$

In order to estimate $\xi^2 F_3(\xi, t)$, first, we notice the following evident inequality:

$$(3.43) \quad |\xi|^{m_2} \leq |\xi|^{m_1} + |\xi|^{m_3}, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \forall 0 \leq m_1 \leq m_2 \leq m_3.$$

Second, using Hölder's inequality and the right inequality in (1.2), we see that, for $\tilde{\eta} \in \{\widehat{\eta}, \overline{\widehat{\eta}}\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^{+\infty} g(s) \tilde{\eta}(\xi, s) ds \right|^2 &= \left| \int_0^{+\infty} \sqrt{g(s)} \sqrt{g(s)} \tilde{\eta}(\xi, s) ds \right|^2 \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^{+\infty} g(s) ds \right) \int_0^{+\infty} g(s) |\tilde{\eta}(\xi, s)|^2 ds \\ &\leq -\frac{g_0}{\beta_1} \int_0^{+\infty} g'(s) |\tilde{\eta}(\xi, s)|^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, using the limit in (2.19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^{+\infty} g'(s) \tilde{\eta}(\xi, s) ds \right|^2 &= \left| \int_0^{+\infty} \sqrt{-g'(s)} \sqrt{-g'(s)} \tilde{\eta}(\xi, s) ds \right|^2 \\ &\leq \left(-\int_0^{+\infty} g'(s) ds \right) \int_0^{+\infty} (-g'(s)) |\tilde{\eta}(\xi, s)|^2 ds \\ &\leq -g(0) \int_0^{+\infty} g'(s) |\tilde{\eta}(\xi, s)|^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Then, using these two inequalities and Young's inequality, we get, for any $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(3.44) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left(h(\xi) \int_0^{+\infty} g(s) \tilde{\eta}(\xi, s) ds \right) \leq \varepsilon |h(\xi)|^2 - \frac{g_0}{4\varepsilon\beta_1} \int_0^{+\infty} g'(s) |\tilde{\eta}(\xi, s)|^2 ds$$

and

$$(3.45) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left(h(\xi) \int_0^{+\infty} g'(s) \tilde{\eta}(\xi, s) ds \right) \leq \varepsilon |h(\xi)|^2 - \frac{g(0)}{4\varepsilon} \int_0^{+\infty} g'(s) |\tilde{\eta}(\xi, s)|^2 ds.$$

Third, in the sequel, we use C (sometimes C_1, C_2, \dots) to denote a generic real positive constant, and C_ε to denote a generic real positive constant depending on some real positive constant ε , where C and C_ε may be different from step to step. The constants C (C_1, C_2, \dots), ε and C_ε are independent on x, ξ and t .

Applying Young's inequality for all the terms in $\xi^2 F_3(\xi, t)$ and using (3.43), (3.44), (3.45) and the fact that $\tau_0^2 = \tau_0$ and $\tau_0(1 - \tau_0) = 0$, we get, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(3.46) \quad \begin{aligned} \xi^2 F_3(\xi, t) &\leq \varepsilon \xi^4 \left[|\widehat{z}|^2 + |\widehat{v}|^2 + |\widehat{\phi}|^2 + \tau_0 \left(|\widehat{u}|^2 + |\widehat{y}|^2 + |\widehat{\theta}|^2 + |\widehat{p}|^2 \right) \right] \\ &\quad + C_\varepsilon (\lambda_3^2 + \lambda_5^2 + \lambda_{10}^2) |G|^2 + \tau_0 |\lambda_{11}| \xi^4 |G| \left| \int_0^{+\infty} g(s) \tilde{\eta}(\xi, s) ds \right| \\ &\quad - \tau_0 C_\varepsilon \left[\lambda_{11}^2 \xi^4 (\xi^4 + 1) + (\lambda_{12}^2 + \lambda_{13}^2) \xi^2 (\xi^2 + 1) \right] \int_0^{+\infty} g'(s) |\tilde{\eta}(\xi, s)|^2 ds \end{aligned}$$

Now, we select $\lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_{11}, \lambda_{12}$ and λ_{13} in order to get (3.33) and (3.34) (and then, in particular, $B_0 > 0$ since (3.41)). To do so, we distinguish the two cases related to (2.14).

3.3. Case 1: $\tau_0 = 0$. Notice that, because $\tau_0 = 0$, the numbers λ_{11} , λ_{12} and λ_{13} are not used. On the other hand, we select λ_2 , λ_3 and λ_4 as follows:

$$(3.47) \quad -k_0 < \lambda_2 < 0,$$

$$(3.48) \quad 0 < \lambda_4 < \frac{lk_2}{k_1k_3 + k_2(l^2k_1 + k_3)} \left[\frac{k_3}{l}\lambda_2 + lk_1\lambda_3 + k_0 \left(\frac{k_1k_3}{lk_2} + \frac{k_3}{l} - 2lk_1 \right) \right],$$

$$(3.49) \quad \lambda_4 > \frac{k_1k_3}{k_1k_3 + k_2(l^2k_1 + k_3)} \left[\frac{k_2}{k_1}\lambda_2 + \frac{l^2k_2}{k_3}\lambda_3 + k_0 \left(\frac{k_2}{k_1} - \frac{2l^2k_2}{k_3} \right) \right]$$

and

$$(3.50) \quad \lambda_3 > \max \left\{ 2k_0, \frac{1}{l^2k_1k_2} [-k_2k_3\lambda_2 + k_0(2l^2k_1k_2 - k_1k_3 - k_2k_3)] \right\}.$$

We go back in the reverse order to select the numbers in the following manner:

1. Choose λ_2 by (3.47).
2. After, select λ_3 large enough in such a way that (3.50) holds.
3. Take λ_4 so that (3.48) and (3.49) are true. According to (3.50), λ_4 exists.
4. Now, it is possible to find λ_1 through (3.39).
5. After, take λ_5 as in (3.40).
6. Next, it is time to pick λ_6 and λ_{10} verifying (3.37) and (3.38), respectively.
7. Finally, we can select λ_7 , λ_8 and λ_9 by (3.35).

We observe that the left inequality in (3.47), (3.50), the right inequality in (3.47) and the left one in (3.48) imply that $\tilde{B}_2 > 0$, $\tilde{B}_3 > 0$, $B_4 > 0$ and $B_5 > 0$, respectively. Moreover, (3.49) and the right inequality in (3.48) combined with (3.39) imply $\tilde{B}_1 > 0$ and $B_6 > 0$, respectively. Therefore (3.33) holds. Thus (3.31)-(3.33) are satisfied.

On the other hand, because λ_1 , λ_2 , λ_3 and λ_4 do not depend on ξ ,

$$(3.51) \quad |\lambda_j| \leq C(\xi^2 + 1), \quad j = 5, \dots, 10, \quad \text{and} \quad |A_j| \leq C(\xi^4 + 1), \quad j = 8, 9$$

(since (3.43)), then, applying Young's inequality and using (3.43), we get, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(3.52) \quad \xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left[iA_8 \xi \widehat{\theta} \widehat{u} + iA_9 \xi \widehat{\theta} \widehat{y} \right] \leq \varepsilon \xi^4 (|\widehat{u}|^2 + |\widehat{y}|^2) + C_\varepsilon \xi^2 (\xi^8 + 1) |\widehat{\theta}|^2.$$

Moreover, we conclude from (3.9) and (3.46) (with $\tau_0 = 0$) that

$$(3.53) \quad \xi^2 F_3(\xi, t) \leq \varepsilon \xi^4 \left[|\widehat{z}|^2 + |\widehat{v}|^2 + |\widehat{\phi}|^2 \right] + C_\varepsilon (\xi^4 + 1) |\widehat{\theta}|^2.$$

By combining (3.42), (3.52) and (3.53), choosing $0 < \varepsilon < B_0$ (ε exists thanks to (3.33) and (3.41)), and using (2.13) (with $\tau_0 = 0$) and (3.43), we deduce that there exist real positive constants c_1 and c_2 such that (notice that B_7 does not depend on ξ)

$$(3.54) \quad \frac{d}{dt} [\xi^2 F_0(\xi, t)] \leq -c_1 \xi^4 \widehat{E}(\xi, t) + c_2 (\xi^{10} + 1) |\widehat{\theta}|^2.$$

Now, let λ be a real positive constant and

$$(3.55) \quad F(\xi, t) = \lambda \widehat{E}(\xi, t) + \frac{\xi^2}{\xi^{10} + 1} F_0(\xi, t).$$

From (2.15) (with $\tau_0 = 0$), (3.54) and (3.55), we find

$$(3.56) \quad \frac{d}{dt} F(\xi, t) \leq -c_1 f(\xi) \widehat{E}(\xi, t) - (\gamma \lambda - c_2) |\widehat{\theta}|^2,$$

where f is defined by (3.2) with $\tau_0 = 0$. Moreover, using the definitions of \widehat{E} , F_0 and F , and exploiting (3.43) and (3.51), we observe that there exists $c_3 > 0$ (not depend on λ) such that

$$(3.57) \quad |F(\xi, t) - \lambda \widehat{E}(\xi, t)| \leq \frac{c_3 \xi^2 (|\xi|^3 + 1)}{\xi^{10} + 1} \widehat{E}(\xi, t) \leq 2c_3 \widehat{E}(\xi, t).$$

Therefore, for λ satisfying $\lambda > \max\left\{\frac{c_2}{\gamma}, 2c_3\right\}$, we deduce from (3.56) and (3.57) that

$$(3.58) \quad \frac{d}{dt}F(\xi, t) \leq -c_1 f(\xi) \widehat{E}(\xi, t)$$

and $F \sim \widehat{E}$, since

$$(3.59) \quad (\lambda - 2c_3) \widehat{E}(\xi, t) \leq F(\xi, t) \leq (\lambda + 2c_3) \widehat{E}(\xi, t).$$

Consequently, a combination of (3.58) and the second inequality in (3.59) leads to, for $c = \frac{c_1}{2(\lambda + 2c_3)}$,

$$(3.60) \quad \frac{d}{dt}F(\xi, t) \leq -2c f(\xi) F(\xi, t).$$

Multiplying (3.60) by $e^{2cf(\xi)t}$, we find

$$(3.61) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \left(e^{2cf(\xi)t} F(\xi, t) \right) \leq 0,$$

then, by integration (3.61) with respect to t ,

$$(3.62) \quad F(\xi, t) \leq e^{-2cf(\xi)t} F(\xi, 0).$$

Finally, using (2.20) and (3.59), (3.1) in case $\tau_0 = 0$ follows from (3.62).

3.4. Case 2: $\tau_0 = 1$. We choose $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{10}$ as in case 1 but with $k_3 - g_0$ instead of k_3 ($k_3 - g_0 > 0$ thanks to the right inequality in (1.1)), and we get (3.31)-(3.33). Next, we select

$$(3.63) \quad \lambda_{13} = \frac{1}{g_0} \lambda_8 \xi^2 - \frac{\rho_3}{g_0 \rho_1} \lambda_{10},$$

$$(3.64) \quad \lambda_{12} = \frac{1}{g_0} (l\lambda_4 - \lambda_6) \xi^2 - \frac{\rho_3}{g_0 \rho_2} \lambda_5$$

and

$$(3.65) \quad \lambda_{11} > \frac{1}{g_0} \lambda_3$$

($g_0 > 0$ according to the left inequality in (1.1)). The choices (3.63)-(3.65) imply that $A_8 = A_9 = 0$ and $B_7 > 0$, respectively, thus (3.34) is satisfied. Therefore, (3.42) implies

$$(3.66) \quad \frac{d}{dt} [\xi^2 F_0(\xi, t)] \leq -\min\{B_0, B_7\} \xi^4 \left[|\widehat{z}|^2 + |\widehat{v}|^2 + |\widehat{\phi}|^2 + |\widehat{u}|^2 + |\widehat{p}|^2 + |\widehat{y}|^2 + |\widehat{\theta}|^2 \right] + \xi^2 F_3(\xi, t).$$

On the other hand, $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4$ and λ_{11} do not depend on ξ , then

$$(3.67) \quad |\lambda_j| \leq C (\xi^2 + 1), \quad j = 5, \dots, 10, \quad \text{and} \quad |\lambda_j| \leq C (\xi^4 + 1), \quad j = 12, 13,$$

therefore, applying Young's inequality and using (3.9) (with $\tau_0 = 1$) and (3.43), we conclude from (3.46), for any $\varepsilon > 0$, that

$$(3.68) \quad \begin{aligned} \xi^2 F_3(\xi, t) &\leq \varepsilon \xi^4 \left[|\widehat{z}|^2 + |\widehat{v}|^2 + |\widehat{\phi}|^2 + |\widehat{p}|^2 + |\widehat{u}|^2 + |\widehat{y}|^2 + |\widehat{\theta}|^2 \right] \\ &\quad - C_\varepsilon \xi^2 (\xi^{10} + 1) \int_0^{+\infty} g'(s) |\widehat{\eta}(\xi, s)|^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

By combining (3.66) and (3.68), choosing $0 < \varepsilon < \min\{B_0, B_7\}$, and using (2.13) and (3.43), we deduce that there exist real positive constants c_1 and c_2 such that

$$(3.69) \quad \frac{d}{dt} [\xi^2 F_0(\xi, t)] \leq -c_1 \xi^4 \widehat{E}(\xi, t) - c_2 \xi^2 (\xi^{10} + 1) \int_0^{+\infty} g'(s) |\widehat{\eta}(\xi, s)|^2 ds.$$

Now, let λ be a real positive constant and

$$(3.70) \quad F(\xi, t) = \lambda \widehat{E}(\xi, t) + \frac{\xi^4}{\xi^{10} + 1} F_0(\xi, t).$$

From (2.15) (with $\tau_0 = 1$), (3.69) and (3.70), we find

$$(3.71) \quad \frac{d}{dt} F(\xi, t) \leq -c_1 f(\xi) \widehat{E}(\xi, t) + \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} - c_2 \right) \xi^4 \int_0^{+\infty} g'(s) |\widehat{\eta}(\xi, s)|^2 ds,$$

where f is defined in (3.2) with $\tau_0 = 1$. Also, using the definitions of \widehat{E} , F_0 and F , and exploiting (3.43) and (3.67), we see that there exists $c_3 > 0$ (not depend on λ) such that

$$(3.72) \quad |F(\xi, t) - \lambda \widehat{E}(\xi, t)| \leq \frac{c_3 \xi^4 (|\xi|^5 + 1)}{\xi^{10} + 1} \widehat{E}(\xi, t) \leq 2c_3 \widehat{E}(\xi, t).$$

Therefore, choosing $\lambda > \max\{2c_2, 2c_3\}$ and using (3.71) and (3.72) and the fact that $g' \leq 0$, we find (3.58) and (3.59). Consequently, (3.58) and the second inequality in (3.59) lead to (3.60). Finally, by integration (3.60) with respect to t and using (2.20) and (3.59), we obtain (3.1) in case $\tau_0 = 1$. The proof of the second result in Theorem 3.1 is finished.

4. ESTIMATION OF $\|\partial_x^j U\|_2$

In this section, we use the second result in Theorem 3.1 to get some decay estimates on $\|\partial_x^k U\|_2$ when (1.14) is valid.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that (1.14) is satisfied. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$,*

$$(4.1) \quad U_0 \in H^N(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R})$$

and U be the solution of (2.8). Then, for any $\ell \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ and $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, N - \ell\}$, there exists $c_0 > 0$ such that

$$(4.2) \quad \|\partial_x^j U\|_2 \leq c_0 (1+t)^{-1/8-j/4} \|U_0\|_1 + c_0 (1+t)^{-\ell/6} \|\partial_x^{j+\ell} U_0\|_2, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+$$

if $\tau_0 = 0$, and

$$(4.3) \quad \|\partial_x^j U\|_2 \leq c_0 (1+t)^{-1/12-j/6} \|U_0\|_1 + c_0 (1+t)^{-\ell/4} \|\partial_x^{j+\ell} U_0\|_2, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+$$

if $\tau_0 = 1$.

Proof. For the proof of (4.2), we see that (3.2) with $\tau_0 = 0$ implies that (low and high frequencies)

$$(4.4) \quad f(\xi) \geq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \xi^4 & \text{if } |\xi| \leq 1, \\ \frac{1}{2} \xi^{-6} & \text{if } |\xi| > 1. \end{cases}$$

Applying Plancherel's theorem and (3.1), we entail

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\partial_x^j U\|_2^2 &= \left\| \widehat{\partial_x^j U}(x, t) \right\|_2^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi^{2j} |\widehat{U}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq \widetilde{c} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi^{2j} e^{-c f(\xi) t} |\widehat{U}_0(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq \widetilde{c} \int_{|\xi| \leq 1} \xi^{2j} e^{-c f(\xi) t} |\widehat{U}_0(\xi)|^2 d\xi + \widetilde{c} \int_{|\xi| > 1} \xi^{2j} e^{-c f(\xi) t} |\widehat{U}_0(\xi)|^2 d\xi := J_1 + J_2. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.3 of [8] and (4.4)₁, it follows, for the low frequency region,

$$(4.6) \quad J_1 \leq C \|\widehat{U}_0\|_\infty^2 \int_{|\xi| \leq 1} \xi^{2j} e^{-\frac{c}{2} t \xi^4} d\xi \leq C (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{4}(1+2j)} \|U_0\|_1^2.$$

For the high frequency region, using (4.4)₂, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &\leq C \int_{|\xi| > 1} |\xi|^{2j} e^{-\frac{c}{2} t \xi^{-6}} |\widehat{U}(\xi, 0)|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq C \sup_{|\xi| > 1} \left\{ |\xi|^{-2\ell} e^{-\frac{c}{2} t |\xi|^{-6}} \right\} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\xi|^{2(j+\ell)} |\widehat{U}(\xi, 0)|^2 d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

then, using Lemma 2.4 of [8],

$$(4.7) \quad J_2 \leq C (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{3}\ell} \|\partial_x^{j+\ell} U_0\|_2^2,$$

and so, by combining (4.5)-(4.7), we get

$$(4.8) \quad \|\partial_x^j U\|_2^2 \leq C \left[(1+t)^{-\frac{1}{4}(1+2j)} \|U_0\|_1^2 + (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{3}\ell} \|\partial_x^{j+\ell} U_0\|_2^2 \right].$$

Finally, by combining (4.8) and the inequality

$$(4.9) \quad \sqrt{a_1 + a_2} \leq \sqrt{a_1} + \sqrt{a_2}, \quad \forall a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

we find (4.2).

The proof of (4.3) is identical to the one of (4.2) where, instead of (4.4), we have (according to (3.2) with $\tau_0 = 1$)

$$(4.10) \quad f(\xi) \geq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \xi^6 & \text{if } |\xi| \leq 1, \\ \frac{1}{2} \xi^{-4} & \text{if } |\xi| > 1. \end{cases}$$

□

5. ESTIMATION OF $|\partial_\xi \widehat{U}|$

In this section, we study the asymptotic behavior (with respect to t) of $\partial_\xi \widehat{U}$. In order to simplify the computations, let us denote $\partial_\xi \widehat{U} = \widehat{\mathbf{U}}$, $\partial_\xi \widehat{U}_0 = \widehat{\mathbf{U}}_0$ and

$$\left(\partial_\xi \widehat{v}, \partial_\xi \widehat{u}, \partial_\xi \widehat{z}, \partial_\xi \widehat{y}, \partial_\xi \widehat{\phi}, \partial_\xi \widehat{\theta}, \partial_\xi \widehat{p}, \partial_\xi \widehat{\eta} \right) = \left(\widehat{\mathbf{v}}, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}, \widehat{\mathbf{z}}, \widehat{\mathbf{y}}, \widehat{\Phi}, \widehat{\Theta}, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}, \widehat{\Lambda} \right).$$

As (2.13), the energy associated to $\widehat{\mathbf{U}}$ is define by

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\xi, t) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[k_1 |\widehat{\mathbf{v}}|^2 + \rho_1 |\widehat{\mathbf{u}}|^2 + k_2 |\widehat{\mathbf{z}}|^2 + \rho_2 |\widehat{\mathbf{y}}|^2 + (k_3 - \tau_0 g_0) |\widehat{\Phi}|^2 + \rho_3 |\widehat{\Theta}|^2 + k_0 |\widehat{\mathbf{p}}|^2 \right] (\xi, t) \\ &+ \frac{\tau_0}{2} \xi^4 \int_0^{+\infty} g(s) |\widehat{\Lambda}(\xi, t)|^2 ds, \end{aligned}$$

where τ_0 is defined by (2.14). Applying the operator ∂_ξ to (3.10), we obtain the system

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{cases} \widehat{\mathbf{v}}_t - i\xi \widehat{\mathbf{u}} = i\widehat{u}, \\ \rho_1 \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_t - ik_1 \xi \widehat{\mathbf{v}} + k_0 \widehat{\mathbf{p}} = ik_1 \widehat{v}, \\ \widehat{\mathbf{z}}_t - i\xi \widehat{\mathbf{y}} = i\widehat{y}, \\ \rho_2 \widehat{\mathbf{y}}_t - ik_2 \xi \widehat{\mathbf{z}} + k_0 \widehat{\mathbf{p}} = ik_2 \widehat{z}, \\ \widehat{\Phi}_t + \xi^2 \widehat{\Theta} = -2\xi \widehat{\theta}, \\ \rho_3 \widehat{\Theta}_t - (k_3 - \tau_0 g_0) \xi^2 \widehat{\Phi} - il k_0 \xi \widehat{\mathbf{p}} + \mathbf{G} = G_0, \\ \widehat{\mathbf{p}}_t - \widehat{\mathbf{u}} - \widehat{\mathbf{y}} - il \xi \widehat{\Theta} = il \widehat{\theta}, \\ \widehat{\Lambda}_t + \widehat{\Lambda}_s - \widehat{\Theta} = 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$(5.3) \quad \mathbf{G} = (1 - \tau_0) \gamma \widehat{\Theta} + \tau_0 \xi^4 \int_0^{+\infty} g(s) \widehat{\Lambda} ds \text{ and } G_0 = 2(k_3 - \tau_0 g_0) \xi \widehat{\phi} + il k_0 \widehat{p} - 4\tau_0 \xi^3 \int_0^{+\infty} g(s) \widehat{\eta}(\xi, s) ds.$$

As for the proof of (2.15), multiplying (5.2)₁-(5.2)₇ by $k_1 \widehat{\mathbf{v}}, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}, k_2 \widehat{\mathbf{z}}, \widehat{\mathbf{y}}, (k_3 - \tau_0 g_0) \widehat{\Phi}, \widehat{\Theta}$ and $k_0 \widehat{\mathbf{p}}$, respectively, multiplying (5.2)₈ by $\tau_0 \xi^4 g(s) \widehat{\Lambda}$ and integrating on \mathbb{R}_+ with respect to s , adding all the obtained equations, taking the real part of the resulting expression and using (2.17), we have

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\xi, t) &= -(1 - \tau_0) \gamma |\widehat{\Theta}|^2 + \frac{\tau_0}{2} \xi^4 \int_0^{+\infty} g'(s) |\widehat{\Lambda}|^2 ds \\ &+ Re \left[ik_1 \widehat{u} \widehat{\mathbf{v}} + ik_1 \widehat{v} \widehat{\mathbf{u}} + ik_2 \widehat{y} \widehat{\mathbf{z}} + ik_2 \widehat{z} \widehat{\mathbf{y}} - 2(k_3 - \tau_0 g_0) \xi \widehat{\theta} \widehat{\Phi} + G_0 \widehat{\Theta} + il k_0 \widehat{\theta} \widehat{\mathbf{p}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This identity shows that $\widehat{\mathbf{E}}$ is not necessarily nonincreasing with respect to t . Because

$$|\widehat{\mathbf{U}}(\xi, t)|^2 = \left[|\widehat{\mathbf{v}}|^2 + |\widehat{\mathbf{u}}|^2 + |\widehat{\mathbf{z}}|^2 + |\widehat{\mathbf{y}}|^2 + |\widehat{\Phi}|^2 + |\widehat{\Theta}|^2 + |\widehat{\mathbf{p}}|^2 \right] (\xi, t) + \tau_0 \xi^4 \int_0^{+\infty} g(s) |\widehat{\Lambda}(\xi, t)|^2 ds,$$

then we see that, according to the right inequality in (1.1),

$$(5.5) \quad |\widehat{\mathbf{U}}|^2 \sim \widehat{\mathbf{E}}.$$

Theorem 5.1. Assume that (1.14) is satisfied. Let \widehat{U} be the solution of (2.10). Then there exist $\mathbf{c}, \widetilde{\mathbf{c}} > 0$ such that, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^*$,

$$(5.6) \quad |\widehat{U}(\xi, t)| \leq \widetilde{\mathbf{c}} e^{-\mathbf{c} f(\xi) t} \left[|\widehat{U}_0(\xi)| + \left(\xi^{7-2\tau_0} + \xi^{-(4+2\tau_0)} \right) |\widehat{U}_0(\xi)| \right],$$

where f is defined in (3.2).

Proof. We observe that the left hand sides of (5.2) are identical to the ones of (3.10) if we replace $\widehat{v}, \widehat{u}, \widehat{z}, \widehat{y}, \widehat{\phi}, \widehat{\theta}, \widehat{p}, \widehat{\eta}$ and G by $\widehat{\mathbf{v}}, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}, \widehat{\mathbf{z}}, \widehat{\mathbf{y}}, \widehat{\Phi}, \widehat{\Theta}, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}, \widehat{\Lambda}$ and \mathbf{G} , respectively. So, first, we use the same arguments to get similar differential identities to (3.11)-(3.23), and second, we treat the additional terms generated by the ones in the right hand sides of (5.2).

Multiplying (5.2)₄ and (5.2)₃ by $i\xi\widehat{\mathbf{z}}$ and $-i\rho_2\xi\widehat{\mathbf{y}}$, respectively, adding the resulting equations, taking the real part and using (2.17), we obtain

$$(5.7) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Re} \left(i\rho_2\xi\widehat{\mathbf{y}}\widehat{\mathbf{z}} \right) = \xi^2 (\rho_2|\widehat{\mathbf{y}}|^2 - k_2|\widehat{\mathbf{z}}|^2) + k_0 \operatorname{Re} \left(i\xi\widehat{\mathbf{z}}\widehat{\mathbf{p}} \right) + \operatorname{Re} \left(\rho_2\xi\widehat{\mathbf{y}}\widehat{\mathbf{y}} - k_2\xi\widehat{\mathbf{z}}\widehat{\mathbf{z}} \right).$$

Similarly, multiplying (5.2)₂ and (5.2)₁ by $i\xi\widehat{\mathbf{v}}$ and $-i\rho_1\xi\widehat{\mathbf{u}}$, respectively, adding the resulting equations, taking the real part and using (2.17), we find

$$(5.8) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Re} \left(i\rho_1\xi\widehat{\mathbf{u}}\widehat{\mathbf{v}} \right) = \xi^2 (\rho_1|\widehat{\mathbf{u}}|^2 - k_1|\widehat{\mathbf{v}}|^2) + k_0 \operatorname{Re} \left(i\xi\widehat{\mathbf{v}}\widehat{\mathbf{p}} \right) + \operatorname{Re} \left(\rho_1\xi\widehat{\mathbf{u}}\widehat{\mathbf{u}} - k_1\xi\widehat{\mathbf{v}}\widehat{\mathbf{v}} \right).$$

Also, multiplying (5.2)₆ and (5.2)₅ by $-\widehat{\Phi}$ and $-\rho_3\widehat{\Theta}$, respectively, adding the resulting equations, taking the real part and using (2.17), we get

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Re} \left(-\rho_3\widehat{\Theta}\widehat{\Phi} \right) &= \xi^2 \left(\rho_3|\widehat{\Theta}|^2 - (k_3 - \tau_0 g_0)|\widehat{\Phi}|^2 \right) + l k_0 \operatorname{Re} \left(i\xi\widehat{\Phi}\widehat{\mathbf{p}} \right) \\ &\quad + \operatorname{Re} \left(\widehat{\Phi}\mathbf{G} \right) + \operatorname{Re} \left(2\rho_3\xi\widehat{\Theta}\widehat{\Theta} - G_0\widehat{\Phi} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (5.2)₄ and (5.2)₇ by $\xi^2\widehat{\mathbf{p}}$ and $\rho_2\xi^2\widehat{\mathbf{y}}$, respectively, adding the resulting equations, taking the real part and using (2.17), we obtain

$$(5.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Re} \left(\rho_2\xi^2\widehat{\mathbf{p}}\widehat{\mathbf{y}} \right) &= \xi^2 (\rho_2|\widehat{\mathbf{y}}|^2 - k_0|\widehat{\mathbf{p}}|^2) + \rho_2\xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\widehat{\mathbf{y}}\widehat{\mathbf{u}} \right) + l\rho_2\xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(i\xi\widehat{\Theta}\widehat{\mathbf{y}} \right) \\ &\quad + k_2\xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(i\xi\widehat{\mathbf{z}}\widehat{\mathbf{p}} \right) + \operatorname{Re} \left(i k_2\xi^2\widehat{\mathbf{z}}\widehat{\mathbf{p}} + i l\rho_2\xi^2\widehat{\theta}\widehat{\mathbf{y}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

After, multiplying (5.2)₃ and (5.2)₆ by $\rho_3\widehat{\Theta}$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{z}}$, respectively, adding the resulting equations, taking the real part and using (2.17), we entail

$$(5.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Re} \left(\rho_3\widehat{\mathbf{z}}\widehat{\Theta} \right) &= -\rho_3 \operatorname{Re} \left(i\xi\widehat{\Theta}\widehat{\mathbf{y}} \right) + (k_3 - \tau_0 g_0) \xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\widehat{\Phi}\widehat{\mathbf{z}} \right) - l k_0 \operatorname{Re} \left(i\xi\widehat{\mathbf{z}}\widehat{\mathbf{p}} \right) \\ &\quad - \operatorname{Re} \left(\widehat{\mathbf{z}}\mathbf{G} \right) + \operatorname{Re} \left(i\rho_3\widehat{\mathbf{y}}\widehat{\Theta} + G_0\widehat{\mathbf{z}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying (5.2)₅ and (5.2)₄ by $i\rho_2\xi\widehat{\mathbf{y}}$ and $-i\xi\widehat{\Phi}$, respectively, adding the resulting equations, taking the real part and using (2.17), it follows that

$$(5.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Re} \left(i\rho_2\xi\widehat{\Phi}\widehat{\mathbf{y}} \right) &= -\rho_2\xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(i\xi\widehat{\Theta}\widehat{\mathbf{y}} \right) + k_2\xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\widehat{\Phi}\widehat{\mathbf{z}} \right) - k_0 \operatorname{Re} \left(i\xi\widehat{\Phi}\widehat{\mathbf{p}} \right) \\ &\quad + \operatorname{Re} \left(k_2\xi\widehat{\mathbf{z}}\widehat{\Phi} - 2i\rho_2\xi^2\widehat{\theta}\widehat{\mathbf{y}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Next, multiplying (5.2)₂ and (5.2)₃ by $i\xi\widehat{\mathbf{z}}$ and $-i\rho_1\xi\widehat{\mathbf{u}}$, respectively, adding the resulting equations, taking the real part and using (2.17), it appears that

$$(5.13) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Re} \left(i\rho_1\xi\widehat{\mathbf{u}}\widehat{\mathbf{z}} \right) = -k_1\xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\widehat{\mathbf{v}}\widehat{\mathbf{z}} \right) + \rho_1\xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\widehat{\mathbf{y}}\widehat{\mathbf{u}} \right) + k_0 \operatorname{Re} \left(i\xi\widehat{\mathbf{z}}\widehat{\mathbf{p}} \right) + \operatorname{Re} \left(\rho_1\xi\widehat{\mathbf{y}}\widehat{\mathbf{u}} - k_1\xi\widehat{\mathbf{v}}\widehat{\mathbf{z}} \right).$$

Multiplying (5.2)₂ and (5.2)₅ by $i\xi\widehat{\Phi}$ and $-\rho_1\xi\widehat{\mathbf{u}}$, respectively, adding the resulting equations, taking the real part and using (2.17), we see that

$$(5.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Re} \left(i\rho_1\xi\widehat{\mathbf{u}}\widehat{\Phi} \right) &= -k_1\xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\widehat{\Phi}\widehat{\mathbf{v}} \right) + \rho_1\xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(i\xi\widehat{\Theta}\widehat{\mathbf{u}} \right) + k_0 \operatorname{Re} \left(i\xi\widehat{\Phi}\widehat{\mathbf{p}} \right) \\ &\quad + \operatorname{Re} \left(2i\rho_1\xi^2\widehat{\theta}\widehat{\mathbf{u}} - k_1\xi\widehat{\mathbf{v}}\widehat{\Phi} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Also, multiplying (5.2)₁ and (5.2)₄ by $i\rho_2\xi\widehat{\mathbf{y}}$ and $-i\xi\widehat{\mathbf{v}}$, respectively, adding the resulting equations, taking the real part and using (2.17), we find

$$(5.15) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Re} \left(i\rho_2\xi\widehat{\mathbf{v}}\widehat{\mathbf{y}} \right) = -\rho_2\xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\widehat{\mathbf{y}}\widehat{\mathbf{u}} \right) + k_2\xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\widehat{\mathbf{v}}\widehat{\mathbf{z}} \right) - k_0 \operatorname{Re} \left(i\xi\widehat{\mathbf{v}}\widehat{\mathbf{p}} \right) + \operatorname{Re} \left(k_2\xi\widehat{\mathbf{z}}\widehat{\mathbf{v}} - \rho_2\xi\widehat{\mathbf{u}}\widehat{\mathbf{y}} \right).$$

Multiplying (5.2)₁ and (5.2)₆ by $\rho_3\widehat{\Theta}$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{v}}$, respectively, adding the resulting equations, taking the real part and using (2.17), we get

$$(5.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Re} \left(\rho_3\widehat{\mathbf{v}}\widehat{\Theta} \right) &= -\rho_3 \operatorname{Re} \left(i\xi\widehat{\Theta}\widehat{\mathbf{u}} \right) + (k_3 - \tau_0 g_0) \xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\widehat{\Phi}\widehat{\mathbf{v}} \right) - l k_0 \operatorname{Re} \left(i\xi\widehat{\mathbf{v}}\widehat{\mathbf{p}} \right) \\ &\quad - \operatorname{Re} \left(\widehat{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{G} \right) + \operatorname{Re} \left(i\rho_3\widehat{\mathbf{u}}\widehat{\Theta} + G_0\widehat{\mathbf{v}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, multiplying (5.2)₆ by $-\xi^2 \int_0^{+\infty} g(s)\widehat{\Lambda} ds$, multiplying (5.2)₈ by $-\rho_3\xi^2 g(s)\widehat{\Theta}$ and integrating over \mathbb{R}_+ with respect to s , adding the resulting equations, taking the real part and using (2.17), we infer that

$$(5.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Re} \left(-\rho_3\xi^2\widehat{\Theta} \int_0^{+\infty} g(s)\widehat{\Lambda} ds \right) &= -\rho_3 g_0 \xi^2 |\widehat{\Theta}|^2 - (k_3 - \tau_0 g_0) \xi^4 \operatorname{Re} \left(\widehat{\Phi} \int_0^{+\infty} g(s)\widehat{\Lambda} ds \right) \\ &\quad - l k_0 \xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(i\xi\widehat{\mathbf{p}} \int_0^{+\infty} g(s)\widehat{\Lambda} ds \right) - \rho_3 \xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\widehat{\Theta} \int_0^{+\infty} g'(s)\widehat{\Lambda} ds \right) \\ &\quad + \xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\mathbf{G} \int_0^{+\infty} g(s)\widehat{\Lambda} ds \right) - \xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(G_0 \int_0^{+\infty} g(s)\widehat{\Lambda} ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, multiplying (5.2)₄ by $i\xi \int_0^{+\infty} g(s)\widehat{\Lambda} ds$, multiplying (5.2)₈ by $-i\rho_2\xi g(s)\widehat{\mathbf{y}}$ and integrating over \mathbb{R}_+ with respect to s , adding the resulting equations, taking the real part and using (2.17), we arrive at

$$(5.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Re} \left(i\rho_2\xi\widehat{\mathbf{y}} \int_0^{+\infty} g(s)\widehat{\Lambda} ds \right) &= -\rho_2 g_0 \operatorname{Re} \left(i\xi\widehat{\Theta}\widehat{\mathbf{y}} \right) - k_2 \xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\widehat{\mathbf{z}} \int_0^{+\infty} g(s)\widehat{\Lambda} ds \right) \\ &\quad - k_0 \operatorname{Re} \left(i\xi\widehat{\mathbf{p}} \int_0^{+\infty} g(s)\widehat{\Lambda} ds \right) - \rho_2 \operatorname{Re} \left(i\xi\widehat{\mathbf{y}} \int_0^{+\infty} g'(s)\widehat{\Lambda} ds \right) \\ &\quad - k_2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\xi\widehat{\mathbf{z}} \int_0^{+\infty} g(s)\widehat{\Lambda} ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, multiplying (5.2)₂ by $i\xi \int_0^{+\infty} g(s)\widehat{\Lambda} ds$, multiplying (5.2)₈ by $-\rho_1\xi g(s)\widehat{\mathbf{u}}$ and integrating over \mathbb{R}_+ with respect to s , adding the resulting equations, taking the real part and using (2.17), we see that

$$(5.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \operatorname{Re} \left(i\rho_1\xi\widehat{\mathbf{u}} \int_0^{+\infty} g(s)\widehat{\Lambda} ds \right) &= -k_1\xi^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\widehat{\mathbf{v}} \int_0^{+\infty} g(s)\widehat{\Lambda} ds \right) - k_0 \operatorname{Re} \left(i\xi\widehat{\mathbf{p}} \int_0^{+\infty} g(s)\widehat{\Lambda} ds \right) \\ &\quad - \rho_1 \operatorname{Re} \left(i\xi\widehat{\mathbf{u}} \int_0^{+\infty} g'(s)\widehat{\Lambda} ds \right) - \rho_1 g_0 \operatorname{Re} \left(i\xi\widehat{\Theta}\widehat{\mathbf{u}} \right) \\ &\quad - k_1 \operatorname{Re} \left(\xi\widehat{\mathbf{v}} \int_0^{+\infty} g(s)\widehat{\Lambda} ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathbf{F}_0, \dots, \mathbf{F}_5$ as F_0, \dots, F_5 , respectively, with $\widehat{\mathbf{v}}, \widehat{\mathbf{u}}, \widehat{\mathbf{z}}, \widehat{\mathbf{y}}, \widehat{\Phi}, \widehat{\Theta}, \widehat{\mathbf{p}}, \widehat{\Lambda}$ and \mathbf{G} instead of $\widehat{v}, \widehat{u}, \widehat{z}, \widehat{y}, \widehat{\phi}, \widehat{\theta}, \widehat{p}, \widehat{\eta}$ and G , respectively. Exploiting (5.7)-(5.19), we find (instead of (3.30))

$$(5.20) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{F}_0(\xi, t) = \mathbf{F}_5(\xi, t) + \mathbf{F}_4(\xi, t) + \mathbf{F}_3(\xi, t) + R(\xi, t),$$

where R gathers the mixed products of the components of U and \mathbf{U} , and it is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(\xi, t) &= Re \left[-k_2 \lambda_1 \xi \widehat{z} \widehat{\mathbf{z}} + \rho_2 \lambda_1 \xi \widehat{y} \widehat{\mathbf{y}} - k_1 \lambda_2 \xi \widehat{v} \widehat{\mathbf{v}} + \rho_1 \lambda_2 \xi \widehat{u} \widehat{\mathbf{u}} - \lambda_3 G_0 \widehat{\Phi} + 2\rho_3 \lambda_3 \xi \widehat{\theta} \widehat{\Theta} \right] \\
 (5.21) \quad &+ Re \left[ik_2 \lambda_4 \xi^2 \widehat{z} \widehat{\mathbf{p}} + i\rho_2 l \lambda_4 \xi^2 \widehat{\theta} \widehat{\mathbf{y}} + i\rho_3 \lambda_5 \widehat{y} \widehat{\Theta} + \lambda_5 G_0 \widehat{\mathbf{z}} - 2i\rho_2 \lambda_6 \xi^2 \widehat{\theta} \widehat{\mathbf{y}} + k_2 \lambda_6 \xi \widehat{z} \widehat{\Phi} \right] \\
 &+ Re \left[-k_1 \lambda_7 \xi \widehat{v} \widehat{\mathbf{z}} + \rho_1 \lambda_7 \xi \widehat{y} \widehat{\mathbf{u}} - k_1 \lambda_8 \xi \widehat{v} \widehat{\Phi} + 2i\rho_1 \lambda_8 \xi^2 \widehat{\theta} \widehat{\mathbf{u}} - \rho_2 \lambda_9 \xi \widehat{u} \widehat{\mathbf{y}} + k_2 \lambda_9 \xi \widehat{z} \widehat{\mathbf{v}} \right] \\
 (5.22) \quad &+ Re \left[i\rho_3 \lambda_{10} \widehat{u} \widehat{\Theta} + \lambda_{10} G_0 \widehat{\mathbf{v}} + \tau_0 (-\lambda_{11} \xi^2 G_0 - k_2 \lambda_{12} \xi \widehat{z} - k_1 \lambda_{13} \xi \widehat{v}) \int_0^{+\infty} g(s) \widehat{\Lambda} ds \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Considering the same choices of $\lambda_1 \dots, \lambda_{13}$ and using (3.44) and (3.45) for $\tilde{\eta} \in \{\widehat{\Lambda}, \overline{\widehat{\Lambda}}\}$, we get (instead of (3.54) and (3.69))

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.23) \quad \frac{d}{dt} [\xi^{2+2\tau_0} \mathbf{F}_0(\xi, t)] &\leq -c_1 \xi^{4+2\tau_0} \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\xi, t) + \xi^{2+2\tau_0} R(\xi, t) \\
 &\quad + c_2 \xi^{2\tau_0} (\xi^{10} + 1) \left[(1 - \tau_0) |\widehat{\Theta}|^2 - \tau_0 \xi^2 \int_0^{+\infty} g'(s) |\widehat{\Lambda}(\xi, s)|^2 ds \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Using Young's inequality and exploiting (3.43), (3.51) and (3.67), we obtain, for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \xi^{2+2\tau_0} |R(\xi, t)| &\leq \epsilon \xi^{4+2\tau_0} \left(|\widehat{\mathbf{z}}|^2 + |\widehat{\mathbf{y}}|^2 + |\widehat{\mathbf{v}}|^2 + |\widehat{\mathbf{u}}|^2 + |\widehat{\Phi}|^2 + |\widehat{\Theta}|^2 + |\widehat{\mathbf{p}}|^2 \right) \\
 &\quad + \tau_0 \epsilon \xi^{6+4\tau_0} \int_0^{+\infty} g(s) |\widehat{\Lambda}(\xi, s)|^2 ds + C_\epsilon \xi^{2\tau_0} (\xi^8 + 1) |\widehat{U}|^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

thus, using (5.5), we obtain in both cases $\tau_0 = 0$ and $\tau_0 = 1$

$$(5.24) \quad \xi^{2+2\tau_0} |R(\xi, t)| \leq \epsilon C_1 \xi^{4+2\tau_0} \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\xi, t) + C_\epsilon \xi^{2\tau_0} (\xi^8 + 1) |\widehat{U}(\xi, t)|^2,$$

therefore, choosing $\epsilon = \frac{c_1}{2C_1}$, we deduce from (5.23) and (5.24) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.25) \quad \frac{d}{dt} [\xi^{2+2\tau_0} \mathbf{F}_0(\xi, t)] &\leq -\frac{c_1}{2} \xi^{4+2\tau_0} \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\xi, t) + C \xi^{2\tau_0} (\xi^8 + 1) |\widehat{U}(\xi, t)|^2 \\
 &\quad + c_2 \xi^{2\tau_0} (\xi^{10} + 1) \left[(1 - \tau_0) |\widehat{\Theta}|^2 - \tau_0 \xi^2 \int_0^{+\infty} g'(s) |\widehat{\Lambda}(\xi, s)|^2 ds \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, let $\lambda > 0$ and \mathbf{F} defined as F in (3.55) and (3.70); that is

$$\mathbf{F}(\xi, t) = \lambda \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\xi, t) + \frac{\xi^{2+2\tau_0}}{\xi^{10} + 1} \mathbf{F}_0(\xi, t).$$

By combining (5.4) and (5.25), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{F}(\xi, t) &\leq -\frac{c_1 \xi^{4+2\tau_0}}{2(\xi^{10} + 1)} \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\xi, t) + C \frac{\xi^{2\tau_0} (\xi^8 + 1)}{\xi^{10} + 1} |\widehat{U}(\xi, t)|^2 + R_1(\xi, t) \\
 (5.26) \quad &+ (1 - \tau_0) (c_2 \xi^{2\tau_0} - \gamma \lambda) |\widehat{\Theta}|^2 + \tau_0 \left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda \xi^4 - c_2 \xi^{2+2\tau_0} \right) \int_0^{+\infty} g'(s) |\widehat{\Lambda}(\xi, s)|^2 ds,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$R_1(\xi, t) = \lambda Re \left[ik_1 \widehat{u} \widehat{\mathbf{v}} + ik_1 \widehat{v} \widehat{\mathbf{u}} + ik_2 \widehat{y} \widehat{\mathbf{z}} + ik_2 \widehat{z} \widehat{\mathbf{y}} - 2(k_3 - \tau_0 g_0) \xi \widehat{\theta} \widehat{\Phi} + G_0 \widehat{\Theta} + il k_0 \widehat{\theta} \widehat{\mathbf{p}} \right].$$

On the other hand, as (3.57) and (3.72), we have

$$(5.27) \quad |\mathbf{F}(\xi, t) - \lambda \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\xi, t)| \leq 2c_3 \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\xi, t).$$

Hence, for $\lambda > \left\{ \frac{c_2}{\gamma}, 2c_3 \right\}$ in case $\tau_0 = 0$, and $\lambda > \{2c_2, 2c_3\}$ in case $\tau_0 = 1$, we deduce from (5.26) and (5.27) that

$$(5.28) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{F}(\xi, t) \leq -\frac{c_1 \xi^{4+2\tau_0}}{2(\xi^{10} + 1)} \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\xi, t) + C \frac{\xi^{2\tau_0} (\xi^8 + 1)}{\xi^{10} + 1} |\widehat{U}(\xi, t)|^2 + R_1(\xi, t)$$

and

$$(5.29) \quad \mathbf{F} \sim \widehat{\mathbf{E}}.$$

Applying Young's inequality and using the definitin of \widehat{U} , $\widehat{\mathbf{E}}$ and G_0 , we see that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(5.30) \quad \xi^{4+2\tau_0} R_1(\xi, t) \leq \frac{\varepsilon \xi^{2(4+2\tau_0)}}{\xi^{10} + 1} \widehat{\mathbf{E}}(\xi, t) + C_\varepsilon (\xi^2 + 1) (\xi^{10} + 1) |\widehat{U}(\xi, t)|^2,$$

then, by multiplying (5.28) by $\xi^{4+2\tau_0}$, combining with (5.30), choosing $\varepsilon = \frac{c_1}{4}$ and using (3.43) and (5.29), we obtain, for some $c_0 = \frac{c_1}{8}$,

$$(5.31) \quad \frac{d}{dt} [\xi^{4+2\tau_0} \mathbf{F}(\xi, t)] \leq -\frac{2c_0 \xi^{2(4+2\tau_0)}}{\xi^{10} + 1} \mathbf{F}(\xi, t) + C \frac{\xi^{22} + 1}{\xi^{10} + 1} |\widehat{U}(\xi, t)|^2,$$

therefore, by multiplying (5.31) by $e^{2c_0 f(\xi)t}$ (f is defined in (3.2)) and using (3.1), we find

$$\frac{d}{dt} [\xi^{4+2\tau_0} e^{2c_0 f(\xi)t} \mathbf{F}(\xi, t)] \leq C \frac{\xi^{22} + 1}{\xi^{10} + 1} e^{2(c_0 - c)f(\xi)t} |\widehat{U}_0(\xi)|^2,$$

then, by integrating the above inequality with respect to t , we find

$$(5.32) \quad \mathbf{F}(\xi, t) \leq e^{-2c_0 f(\xi)t} \mathbf{F}(\xi, 0) + C \frac{\xi^{22} + 1}{\xi^{2(4+2\tau_0)}} e^{-2cf(\xi)t} |\widehat{U}_0(\xi)|^2,$$

thus, according to (5.5) and (5.29) and using the inequality (4.9), the above inequality (5.32) implies (5.6). The proof of Theorem 5.1 is now achieved. \square

6. ESTIMATION OF $\|\partial_x^j U\|_1$

In this section, we show the decay estimate of $\|\partial_x^j U\|_1$ by exploiting (4.2), (4.3) and (5.6).

Theorem 6.1. *Assume that (1.14) is satisfied. Let $N \in \{5 + 2\tau_0, 6 + 2\tau_0, \dots\}$ and U be a solution of (2.8) corresponding to an initial data U_0 satisfying*

$$(6.1) \quad U_0 \in H^{N+7-2\tau_0}(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{U}_0 \in H^N(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}),$$

where $\tilde{U}_0(x) = xU_0(x)$. Then, for any $\ell \in \{1, 2, \dots, N - 4 - 2\tau_0\}$ and $j \in \{4 + 2\tau_0, 5 + 2\tau_0, \dots, N - \ell\}$, there exist $\mathbf{c}_0, \tilde{\mathbf{c}}_0 > 0$ such that, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\partial_x^j U\|_1 &\leq \tilde{\mathbf{c}}_0 \left[(1+t)^{-1/8-j/4} \|\tilde{U}_0\|_1 + (1+t)^{7/8-j/4} \|U_0\|_1 \right] \\ &\quad + \tilde{\mathbf{c}}_0 (1+t)^{-\ell/6} \left[\|\partial_x^{j+\ell} \tilde{U}_0\|_2 + \|\partial_x^{j+\ell+7} U_0\|_2 + \|\partial_x^{j+\ell} U_0\|_2 + \|\partial_x^{j+\ell-1} U_0\|_2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

if $\tau_0 = 0$, and

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\partial_x^j U\|_1 &\leq \tilde{\mathbf{c}}_0 \left[(1+t)^{-1/12-j/6} \|\tilde{U}_0\|_1 + (1+t)^{11/12-j/6} \|U_0\|_1 \right] \\ &\quad + \tilde{\mathbf{c}}_0 (1+t)^{-\ell/4} \left[\|\partial_x^{j+\ell} \tilde{U}_0\|_2 + \|\partial_x^{j+\ell+5} U_0\|_2 + \|\partial_x^{j+\ell} U_0\|_2 + \|\partial_x^{j+\ell-1} U_0\|_2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

if $\tau_0 = 1$.

Proof. First, using (1.18) and applying Young's inequality, it follows that

$$(6.4) \quad \|\partial_x^j U\|_1 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\partial_x^j U\|_2 + \frac{1}{2} \|x \partial_x^j U\|_2.$$

Because the term $\|\partial_x^j U\|_2$ has yet been estimated in (4.2) and (4.3), we have only to estimate the term $\|x \partial_x^j U\|_2$. Using the method in [18] and Plancherel's theorem, we may write

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^2 |\partial_x^j U(x, t)|^2 dx &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \partial_\xi \left(|\xi|^j \widehat{U}(\xi, t) \right) \right|^2 d\xi \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(|\xi|^{j-1} |\widehat{U}(\xi, t)| + |\xi|^j |\partial_\xi \widehat{U}(\xi, t)| \right)^2 d\xi \\ &\leq C \|\partial_x^{j-1} U\|_2^2 + C \int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi^{2j} |\widehat{U}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $\|\partial_x^{j-1}U\|_2$ can be easily estimated by using (4.2) and (4.3) (with $j-1$ instead of j). To estimate the last integral in (6.5), we use (5.6) and apply Plancherel's theorem, it appears that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6.6) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi^{2j} |\widehat{U}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^*} \xi^{2j} e^{-2c f(\xi) t} \left[|\widehat{U}_0(\xi)|^2 + \left(\xi^{14-4\tau_0} + \xi^{-(8+4\tau_0)} \right) |\widehat{U}_0(\xi)|^2 \right] d\xi \\
 &\leq C \int_{0 < |\xi| \leq 1} e^{-2c f(\xi) t} \left[\xi^{2j} |\widehat{U}_0(\xi)|^2 + \xi^{2(j-4-2\tau_0)} |\widehat{U}_0(\xi)|^2 \right] d\xi \\
 &\quad + C \int_{|\xi| > 1} e^{-2c f(\xi) t} \left[\xi^{2j} |\widehat{U}_0(\xi)|^2 + \xi^{2(j+7-2\tau_0)} |\widehat{U}_0(\xi)|^2 \right] d\xi \\
 &:= J_1 + J_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Case $\tau_0 = 0$: using (4.4)₁ and Lemma 2.3 of [8], we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6.7) \quad J_1 &\leq C \|\widehat{U}_0\|_{\infty}^2 \int_{|\xi| \leq 1} \xi^{2j} e^{-c t \xi^4} d\xi + C \|\widehat{U}_0\|_{\infty}^2 \int_{|\xi| \leq 1} \xi^{2(j-4)} e^{-c t \xi^4} d\xi \\
 &\leq C (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{4}(1+2j)} \|\tilde{U}_0\|_1^2 + C (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{4}[1+2(j-4)]} \|U_0\|_1^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

In the high frequency region, using (4.4)₂, we entail

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_2 &\leq C \int_{|\xi| > 1} \xi^{2j} e^{-c t \xi^{-6}} |\widehat{U}_0(\xi)|^2 d\xi + C \int_{|\xi| > 1} \xi^{2(j+7)} e^{-c t \xi^{-6}} |\widehat{U}_0(\xi)|^2 d\xi \\
 &\leq C \sup_{|\xi| > 1} \left\{ \xi^{-2\ell} e^{-c t \xi^{-6}} \right\} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi^{2(j+\ell)} |\widehat{U}_0(\xi)|^2 d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi^{2(j+\ell+7)} |\widehat{U}_0(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right] \\
 &\leq C \sup_{|\xi| > 1} \left\{ \xi^{-2\ell} e^{-c t \xi^{-6}} \right\} \left(\|\partial_x^{j+\ell} \tilde{U}_0\|_2^2 + \|\partial_x^{j+\ell+7} U_0\|_2^2 \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

thus, simple computations (see, for example, Lemma 2.4 of [8]) imply that

$$(6.8) \quad J_2 \leq C (1+t)^{-\frac{\ell}{3}} \left(\|\partial_x^{j+\ell} \tilde{U}_0\|_2^2 + \|\partial_x^{j+\ell+7} U_0\|_2^2 \right),$$

and so, by combining (6.6)-(6.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6.9) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi^{2j} |\widehat{U}(\xi, t)|^2 d\xi &\leq C (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{4}(1+2j)} \|\tilde{U}_0\|_1^2 + (1+t)^{-\frac{1}{4}[1+2(j-4)]} \|U_0\|_1^2 \\
 &\quad + C (1+t)^{-\frac{\ell}{3}} \left(\|\partial_x^{j+\ell} \tilde{U}_0\|_2^2 + \|\partial_x^{j+\ell+7} U_0\|_2^2 \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

thus, by combining (4.2), (6.4) and (6.9), and using the inequality (4.9), we get (6.2).

Case $\tau_0 = 1$: using (4.3), (4.10) and (5.6), and following the same arguments as for the proof of (6.2), we obtain (6.3). \square

7. CONCLUDING DISCUSSION

1. This article is concerned with the stability of two systems of type Rao-Nakra sandwich beam in the whole line \mathbb{R} under the presence of a frictional damping or an infinite memory acting on the Euler-Bernoulli equation. We prove the instability of both systems if the speeds of propagation of the two wave equations are equal. In the reverse situation, we are able, despite the presence of only one controle, to obtain the desired $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ -norm and $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ -norm decay estimates (4.2), (4.3), (6.2) and (6.3). The main ingredient of the proof is the energy method and the Fourier analysis.

2. The decay estimates (4.2), (4.3), (6.2) and (6.3) are still satisfied when (1.13) holds if we add $\tilde{\gamma}\varphi_t$ to (1.4)₁ and (1.5)₁, or $\tilde{\gamma}\psi_t$ to (1.4)₂ and (1.5)₂, where $\tilde{\gamma} > 0$. Indeed, in these cases, we do not need $A_7 = 0$ because either $|\widehat{u}|^2$ or $|\widehat{v}|^2$ will be directly controlled via the derivative of the energy functional \widehat{E} . Similarly, (4.2), (4.3), (6.2) and (6.3) hold even in case (1.13) if the added control is of memory type:

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \tilde{g}(s) \varphi_{xx}(x, t-s) ds \quad \text{or} \quad \int_0^{+\infty} \tilde{g}(s) \psi_{xx}(x, t-s) ds$$

instead of $\tilde{\gamma}\varphi_t$ and $\tilde{\gamma}\psi_t$, respectively, where \tilde{g} is as g .

3. Using the interpolation inequalities (1.15), (1.16) and (1.17), we see that our $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ -norm and $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ -norm decay estimates lead to similar $L^q(\mathbb{R})$ -norm ones, for any $q \in [1, +\infty]$. The L^q -norm decay estimates, for $1 \leq q < 2$, are based on the L^1 -norm decay estimate, and so they require initial data U_0 having the regularity (6.1) with $N \in \{5, 6, \dots\}$ in case (1.4), and $N \in \{7, 8, \dots\}$ in case (1.5). However, the L^q -norm decay estimates, for $q \in [2, +\infty]$ require the weaker regularity (4.1), where $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

4. In a future work, we aspire to treat the case where the control occurs on a one wave equation of systems. On the other hand, we think that similar results can be obtained with a control subject to a thermal effect like Fourier law, Cattaneo law and Gurtin-Pipkin law. These kinds of controls deserve to be treated and we aspire to do it in a future work.

Acknowledgment. The author thanks Belkacem Said-Houari for useful and fruitful discussions and exchanges on $L^q(\mathbb{R})$ -norm decay estimates for Cauchy PDEs.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Allen and S. Hansen, Analyticity of a multilayer mead-markus plate, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications*, 71 (2009), 1835-1842.
- [2] Allen and S. Hansen, Analyticity and optimal damping for a multilayer mead-markus sandwich beam, *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems - B*, 14 (2010), 1279-1292.
- [3] S. Barza, V. Burenkov, J. Pecarić and L. Persson, Sharp multidimensional multiplicative inequalities for weighted L_p spaces with homogeneous weights, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, 1 (1998), 53-67.
- [4] J. A. C. Bresse, *Cours de Mécanique Appliquée*, Mallet Bachelier, Paris, 1859.
- [5] M. M. Cavalcanti, V. N. Domingos Cavalcanti, F. A. Falcão Nascimento, I. Lasiecka and J. H. Rodrigues, Uniform decay rates for the energy of Timoshenko system with the arbitrary speeds of propagation and localized nonlinear damping, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, 65 (2014), 1189-1206.
- [6] D. S. Chandrasekharaiyah, Hyperbolic thermoelasticity: a review of recent literature, *Appl. Mech. Rev.*, 51 (1998), 705-729.
- [7] C. M. Dafermos, Asymptotic stability in viscoelasticity, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 37 (1970), 297-308.
- [8] A. Guesmia, On the stability of a laminated Timoshenko problem with interfacial slip in the whole space under frictional dampings or infinite memories, *Nonauton. Dyn. Syst.*, 7 (2020), 194-218.
- [9] A. Guesmia, Well-posedness and stability results for laminated Timoshenko beams with interfacial slip and infinite memory, *IMA J. Math. Cont. Info.*, 37 (2020), 300-350.
- [10] A. Guesmia, S. Messaoudi and A. Soufyane, On the stabilization for a linear Timoshenko system with infinite history and applications to the coupled Timoshenko-heat systems, *Elec. J. Diff. Equa.*, 2012 (2012), 1-45.
- [11] B. Feng, T. F. Ma, R. N. Monteiro and C. A. Raposo, Dynamics of laminated Timoshenko beams, *J. Dynam. Diff. Equa.*, 30 (2018), 1489-1507.
- [12] S. W. Hansen and O. Y. Imanuvilov, Exact controllability of a multilayer Rao-Nakra plate with free boundary conditions, *Math. Control Relat. Fields*, 1 (2011), 189-230.
- [13] S. W. Hansen and O. Y. Imanuvilov, Exact controllability of a multilayer Rao-Nakra Plate with clamped boundary conditions, *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.*, 17 (2011), 1101-1132.
- [14] S. Hansen and Z. Liu, Analyticity of Semigroup Associated with a Laminated Composite Beam, pages 47-54, Springer, Boston, MA, USA, 1999.
- [15] S. W. Hansen and R. Rajaram, Riesz basis property and related results for a Rao-Nakra sandwich beam, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 2005, 365-375.
- [16] S. W. Hansen and R. Rajaram, Simultaneous boundary control of a Rao-Nakra sandwich beam, In: *Proc., 44th IEEE Conference on Decision and Control and European Control Conference*, 2005, 3146-3151.
- [17] S. W. Hansen and R. Spies, Structural damping in a laminated beam due to interfacial slip, *J. Sound Vib.*, 204 (1997), 183-202.
- [18] L. I. Ignat and J. D. Rossi, Asymptotic expansions for nonlocal diffusion equations in L^q -norms for $1 \leq q \leq 2$, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 362 (2010), 190-199.
- [19] Y. Li, Z. Liu and Y. Whang, Weak stability of a laminated beam, *Math. Control Relat. Fields*, 8 (2018), 789-808.
- [20] Z. Liu, B. Rao and Q. Zheng, Polynomial stability of the Rao-Nakra beam with a single internal viscous damping, *J. Diff. Equa.*, 269 (2020), 6125-6162.
- [21] Z. Liu, S. A. Trogon and J. Yong, Modeling and analysis of a laminated beam, *Math. Comput. Model.*, 30 (1999), 149-167.
- [22] H. W. Lord and Y. A. Shulman, A generalized dynamical theory of thermoelasticity, *J. Mech. Phys. Solids*, 15 (1967), 299-309.
- [23] D. Mead and S. Markus, the forced vibration of a three-layer, damped sandwich beam with arbitrary boundary conditions, *J. Sound and Vibration*, 10 (1969), 163-175.
- [24] D. Ouchenane and A. Rahmoune, General decay result of the Timoshenko system in thermoelasticity of second sound, *Elect. J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 6 (2018), 45-64.
- [25] A. Özkan Özer and S. W. Hansen, Uniform stabilization of a multilayer Rao-Nakra sandwich beam, *Evol. Equ. Control Theory*, 2 (2013), 695-710.

- [26] A. Özkan Özer and S. W. Hansen, Exact boundary controllability results for a multilayer Rao-Nakra sandwich beam, *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 52 (2014), 1314-1337.
- [27] R. Racke, Thermoelasticity with second sound-exponential stability in linear and non-linear 1-d, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, 25 (2002), 409-441.
- [28] R. Rajaram, Exact boundary controllability result for a Rao-Nakra sandwich beam, *Systems Control Lett.*, 56 (2007), 558-567.
- [29] Y. V. K. S. Rao and B. C. Nakra, Vibrations of unsymmetrical sandwich beams and plates with viscoelastic cores, *J. Sound Vibr.*, 3 (1974), 309-326.
- [30] C. A. Raposo, O. P. Vera Villagran, J. Ferreira and E. Piskin, Rao-Nakra sandwich beam with second sound, Part. *Diff. Equa. Appl. Math.*, 4 (2021), 1-5.
- [31] Y. Sadasiva Rao and B. Nakra, Vibrations of unsymmetrical sandwich beams and plates with viscoelastic cores, *J. Sound and Vibration*, 34 (1974), 309-326.
- [32] B. Said-Houari and A. Soufyane, The effect of frictional damping terms on the decay rate of the Bresse system, *Evol. Equa. Cont. Theory*, 3 (2014), 713-738.
- [33] H. D. F. Sare and R. Racke, On the stability of damped Timoshenko systems - Cattaneo versus Fourier law, *Arch Ration Mech. Anal.*, 194 (2009), 221-251.
- [34] G. Teschl, Ordinary differential equations and dynamical systems, American Mathematical Soc., 140 (2012), ISBN 978-0-8218-8328-0.
- [35] S. P. Timoshenko, On the correction for shear of the differential equation for transverse vibrations of prismatic bars, *Lond Edinb Dublin Philos Mag*, 641 (1921), 744-746.
- [36] M. J. Yan and E. H. Dowell, Governing Equations for Vibrating Constrained-Layer Damping Sandwich Plates and Beams, *J. Appl. Mech.*, 39 (1972), 1041-1046.