

Random Nilpotent Groups of Maximal Step

Phillip Harris

ABSTRACT. Let G be a random torsion-free nilpotent group generated by two random words of length ℓ in $U_n(\mathbb{Z})$. Letting ℓ grow as a function of n , we analyze the step of G , which is bounded by the step of $U_n(\mathbb{Z})$. We prove a conjecture of Delp, Dymarz, and Schafer-Cohen, that the threshold function for full step is $\ell = n^2$.

A group G is nilpotent if its lower central series

$$G = G_0 \geq G_1 \geq \cdots \geq G_r = \{0\}$$

defined by $G_{i+1} = [G, G_i]$, eventually terminates. The first index r for which $G_r = 0$ is called the *step* of G . One may ask what a generic nilpotent group looks like, including its step. Questions about generic properties of groups can be answered with *random groups*, first introduced by Gromov [4]. Since Gromov's original *few relators* and *density* models are nilpotent with probability 0, they cannot tell us about generic properties of nilpotent groups. Thus there is a need for new random group models that are nilpotent by construction.

Delp et al [1] introduced a model for random nilpotent groups, motivated by the observation that any finitely generated torsion-free nilpotent group can be embedded in the group $U_n(\mathbb{Z})$ of $n \times n$ upper triangular integer matrices with ones on the diagonal [3]. Note that, since any finitely generated nilpotent group contains a torsion-free subgroup of finite index, we are not losing much by restricting our attention to torsion-free groups. (Another model is considered in [2]).

We construct a random subgroup of $U_n(\mathbb{Z})$ as follows. Let $E_{i,j}$ be the elementary matrix with 1's on the diagonal, a 1 at position (i, j) and 0's elsewhere. Then $S = \{E_{i,i+1}^{\pm 1} : 1 \leq i < n\}$ forms the standard generating set for $U_n(\mathbb{Z})$. We call the entries at positions $(i, i+1)$ the *superdiagonal* entries. Define a *random walk* of length ℓ to be a product

$$V = V_1 V_2 \cdots V_\ell$$

where each V_i is chosen independently and uniformly from S . Let V and W be two independent random walks of length ℓ . Then $G = \langle V, W \rangle$ is a random subgroup of $U_n(\mathbb{Z})$. We have $\text{step}(G) \leq \text{step}(U_n(\mathbb{Z}))$, and it is not hard to check that $\text{step}(U_n(\mathbb{Z})) = n - 1$. If $\text{step}(G) = n - 1$ we say G has *full step*.

Now let $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\ell = \ell(n)$ grow as a function of n . We say a proposition P holds *asymptotically almost surely* (a.a.s.) if $\mathbb{P}[P] \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Delp et al. gave results on the step of G , depending on the growth rate of ℓ with respect to n .

Theorem 1 (Delp-Dymarz-Schafer-Cohen). *Let $n, \ell(n) \rightarrow \infty$ and $G = \langle V, W \rangle$ where V, W are independent random walks of length ℓ . Then:*

- (1) *If $\ell \in o(\sqrt{n})$ then a.a.s. $\text{step}(G) = 1$, i.e. G is abelian.*
- (2) *If $\ell \in o(n^2)$ then a.a.s. $\text{step}(G) < n - 1$.*
- (3) *If $\ell \in \omega(n^3)$ then a.a.s. $\text{step}(G) = n - 1$, i.e. G has full step.*

In this paper we close the gap between cases 2 and 3.

Theorem 2. *Let $n, \ell(n) \rightarrow \infty$ and $G = \langle V, W \rangle$. If $\ell \in \omega(n^2)$ then a.a.s. G has full step.*

To prove this requires a careful analysis of the nested commutators that generate G_{n-1} . In Section 1, we give a combinatorial criterion for a nested commutator of V 's and W 's to be nontrivial. In Section 2, we show this criterion is satisfied asymptotically almost surely when V, W are random walks.

1. Nested Commutators

Let $G = G_0 \geq G_1 \geq \dots$ be the lower central series of G . We have

$$G_i = [G, G_{i-1}] = [G, [G, \dots, [G, G] \dots]]$$

In particular, G_i includes all $i + 1$ -fold nested commutators of elements of G . We restrict our attention to commutators where each factor is V or W .

Let $\{0, 1\}^d$ be the d -dimensional cube, or the set of all length d binary vectors. For $x \in \{0, 1\}^d, y \in \{0, 1\}^e$ we define the norm $|x| = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} x_i$ and the concatenation $xy \in \{0, 1\}^{d+e}$. For example if $x = (1, 0, 0)$ and $y = (0, 1)$ then $xy = (1, 0, 0, 0, 1) = 10^31$.

We define a family of maps $C_d : \{0, 1\}^d \rightarrow G_d$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & C_1(1) = V \\ (2) \quad & C_1(0) = W \\ (3) \quad & C_d(1x) = [V, C_{d-1}(x)] \\ (4) \quad & C_d(0x) = [W, C_{d-1}(x)] \end{aligned}$$

Thus for example $C_5(10^31) = C_5(10001) = [V, [W, [W, [W, V]]]]$. We omit the subscript d when it is obvious. To prove G has full step it suffices to find an $x \in \{0, 1\}^{n-1}$ such that $C(x)$ is nontrivial. We begin with Lemma 2.3 from [1], which gives a recursive formula for the entries of a nested commutator.

Lemma 1. *Let $a \in \{0, 1\}, x \in \{0, 1\}^{d-1}$. Then $C(ax) \in G_d$ and we have*

$$(5) \quad C(ax)_{i,i+d} = C(a)_{i,i+1} C(x)_{i+1,i+d} - C(a)_{i+d-1,i+d} C(x)_{i,i+d-1}$$

and furthermore $C(ax)_{i,j} = 0$ for $j < i + d$.

In particular, for $d = n - 1$ only the upper rightmost entry $C(ax)_{1,n}$ can be nonzero. From the formula it is clear that $C(ax)_{i,i+d}$ is a degree- d polynomial in the superdiagonal entries of V and W . Let us state this more precisely and analyze the coefficients of the polynomial.

Lemma 2. *Let $d \geq 1$. There exists a function $K_d : \{0, 1\}^d \times \{0, 1\}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that for $1 \leq i \leq n - d$ we have*

$$(6) \quad C(x)_{i,i+d} = \sum_{\substack{y \in \{0,1\}^d \\ |y|=|x|}} K_d(x, y) \prod_{i \leq j < i+d} V_{j,j+1}^{y_j} W_{j,j+1}^{1-y_j}$$

Furthermore, setting $K_d(x, y) = 0$ for $|x| \neq |y|$ we have a recursion

$$(7) \quad K_d(ax, byc) = K_1(a, b) K_{d-1}(x, yc) - K_1(a, c) K_{d-1}(x, by)$$

with base cases

$$\begin{aligned} K_1(0, 0) &= K_1(1, 1) = 1 \\ K_1(0, 1) &= K_1(1, 0) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Note that $K_d(x, y)$ does not depend on i . We also drop the subscript d since it can be inferred from x and y .

Proof. Abbreviate

$$U(i, d, y) := \prod_{i \leq j < i+d} V_{j,j+1}^{y_j} W_{j,j+1}^{1-y_j}$$

We first prove inductively that there exist coefficients $K_d : \{0, 1\}^d \times \{0, 1\}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$C(x)_{i,i+d} = \sum_{y \in \{0,1\}^d} K_d(x, y) U(i, d, y)$$

The case $d = 1$ is trivial. Assume it holds for $d - 1$. Let $a \in \{0, 1\}, x \in \{0, 1\}^{d-1}$, then we have

$$C(ax)_{i,i+d} = C(a)_{i,i+1} C(x)_{i+1,i+d} - C(a)_{i+d-1,i+d} C(x)_{i,i+d-1}$$

Expanding $C(a)_{i,i+1}$ and $C(x)_{i+1,i+d}$, the first term is

$$\begin{aligned} &= [K_1(a, 1)V_{i,i+1} + K_1(a, 0)W_{i,i+1}] \left[\sum_{y \in \{0,1\}^{d-1}} K_{d-1}(x, y) U(i+1, d-1, y) \right] \\ &= \sum_{y \in \{0,1\}^{d-1}} K_1(a, 1) K_{d-1}(x, y) U(i, d, 1y) + K_1(a, 0) K_{d-1}(x, y) U(i, d, 0y) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{b, c \in \{0,1\} \\ y' \in \{0,1\}^{d-2}}} K_1(a, b) K_{d-1}(x, y'c) U(i, d, by'c) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly the second term is

$$= \sum_{\substack{b, c \in \{0,1\} \\ y' \in \{0,1\}^{d-2}}} K_1(a, c) K_{d-1}(x, by') U(i, d, by'c)$$

Combining we get

$$C(ax)_{i,i+d} = \sum_{\substack{b, c \in \{0,1\} \\ y \in \{0,1\}^{d-2}}} [K_1(a, b) K_{d-1}(x, yc) - K_1(a, c) K_{d-1}(x, by)] U(i, d, byc)$$

And setting $K_d(ax, byc) = K_1(a, b) K_{d-1}(x, yc) - K_1(a, c) K_{d-1}(x, by)$ the lemma is proved for d . It is also easy to see inductively that $K_d(x, y) = 0$ for $|x| \neq |y|$, so we may add the condition $|x| = |y|$ under the sum to get Equation 6. $\square$

We now have a strategy for choosing $x \in \{0, 1\}^{n-1}$ such that $C(x)$ is nontrivial. In the random model, it may happen that $V_{i,i+1} = 0$ for some i . Define the vector

$v \in \{0, 1\}^{n-1}$ by $v_i = 1$ if $V_{i,i+1} \neq 0$ and $v_i = 0$ otherwise. For now assume $0 < |v| < n-1$. If we choose x such that $|x| = |v|$, then Equation 6 simplifies to

$$(8) \quad C_{n-1}(x)_{1,n} = K_d(x, v) \prod_{1 \leq i < n} V_{i,i+1}^{v_i} W_{i,i+1}^{1-v_i}$$

If we assume there is no i such that $V_{i,i+1} = W_{i,i+1} = 0$, the product of matrix entries is nonzero. So we just need to choose x such that $K_d(x, v) \neq 0$. We can do this with some additional conditions on v .

Lemma 3. *Let $v \in \{0, 1\}^{n-1}$ with $0 < |v| < n-1$. Write $v = 1^{a_1} 0 1^{a_2} \dots 1^{a_{k-1}} 0 1^{a_k}$. Assume that $a_i \geq 1$ for all i , i.e., there are no adjacent 0's, and that $a_1 \neq a_k$. Then there exists $x \in \{0, 1\}^{n-1}$ such that $K(x, v) \neq 0$.*

We will prove in section 2 that all assumptions used hold asymptotically almost surely.

Proof. Using Equation 7, the following identities are easily verified by induction:

(1) If $a, b \geq 0$, then

$$K(1^{a+b} 0, 1^a 0 1^b) = \binom{a+b}{a} (-1)^b$$

(2) If $a, b \geq 1, c \geq 0$ with $c < \min(a, b)$, then

$$K(1^c 0 x, 1^a y 1^b) = 0$$

(3) Let $a, b \geq 0$. If $a < b$ then

$$K(1^a 0 x, 1^a 0 y 1^b) = K(x, y 1^b)$$

If $b < a$ then

$$K(1^a 0 x, 1^a y 0 1^b) = K(x, 1^a y)$$

(4) If $a, b \geq 0$ then

$$K(1^{a+b} 0^2 x, 1^a 0 1 y 1 0 1^b) = 2 \binom{a+b}{a} (-1)^b K(x, 1 y 1)$$

Let $v = 1^{a_1} 0 1^{a_2} \dots 0 1^{a_k}$. First assume $k = 2\ell$ is even. Applying identity 4 repeatedly we reduce to the case $v = 1^{a_\ell} 0 1^{a_{\ell+1}}$, then apply identity 1. Explicitly we have

$$(9) \quad x = 1^{a_1+a_{2\ell}} 0^{21^{a_2+a_{2\ell-1}}} 0^2 \dots 1^{a_\ell+a_{\ell+1}} 0$$

$$(10) \quad K(x, v) = 2^\ell (-1)^{a_{2\ell}+a_{2\ell-1}+\dots+a_{\ell+1}} \binom{a_1+a_{2\ell+1}}{a_1} \binom{a_2+a_{2\ell}}{a_2} \dots \binom{a_\ell+a_{\ell+1}}{a_\ell}$$

If k is odd, apply identity 3 once and proceed as before. $\square$

2. Asymptotics

In Section 1 we derived a combinatorial condition on the superdiagonal entries of V and W sufficient for G to have full step. Define

$$\mathcal{V} = \{i : 1 \leq i < n, V_{i,i+1} = 0\}$$

$$\mathcal{W} = \{i : 1 \leq i < n, W_{i,i+1} = 0\}$$

Then to apply Lemma 3 we need that

(1) $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{W}$ are nonempty.

- (2) $\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{W} = \emptyset$.
- (3) $\mathcal{V}$ has no adjacent elements.
- (4) $\min \mathcal{V} \neq n - \max \mathcal{V}$.

If condition (1) does not hold, then Theorem 2 follows by a modification of Lemma 5.4 in [1]. We now show that in the random model, the superdiagonal entries satisfy conditions (2)-(4) asymptotically almost surely. Recall that V and W are random walks

$$\begin{aligned} V &= V_1 V_2 \dots V_\ell \\ W &= W_1 W_2 \dots W_\ell \end{aligned}$$

where each V_i, W_i is chosen independently and uniformly from the generating set $S = \{E_{i,i+1}^{\pm 1} : 1 \leq i < n\}$. Define

$$(11) \quad \sigma_j(Z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } Z = E_{j,j+1} \\ -1 & \text{if } Z = E_{j,j+1}^{-1} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$(12) \quad V_{i,i+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \sigma_j(V_j)$$

When $\ell \gg n$, the superdiagonal entries $V_{i,i+1}$ behave roughly like independent random walks on $\mathbb{Z}$. We restate Corollary 3.2 from [1].

Lemma 4. *Suppose $\ell = \omega(n)$. Then uniformly for $(k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ we have*

$$\mathbb{P}[k_i \in \mathcal{V} \text{ for all } i] \sim \left(\frac{n}{2\pi\ell} \right)^{d/2}$$

Since $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{W}$ are i.d.d, we have $\mathbb{P}[i \in \mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{W}] \ll n/\ell$, so by the union bound we have $\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{V} \cap \mathcal{W} \neq \emptyset] \ll n^2/\ell \rightarrow 0$. Thus condition (2) holds a.s. For conditions (3) and (4) we will need a bound on the size of $\mathcal{V}$.

Lemma 5. *Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Then $\mathbb{P}[|\mathcal{V}| > \epsilon\sqrt{n}] \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. Define random variables

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & V(i, i+1) = 0 \\ 0 & V(i, i+1) \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

So $|\mathcal{V}| = \sum_i X_i$. From Lemma 4 we have $\mathbb{E}[X_i] \ll \sqrt{n/\ell}$ and $\mathbb{E}[X_i X_j] \ll n/\ell$ for $1 \leq i < j < n$. Hence $\mathbb{E}[|\mathcal{V}|] \ll \sqrt{n^3/\ell}$ and $\text{Var}[|\mathcal{V}|] \ll n^3/\ell$. By Chebyshev's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[|\mathcal{V}| \geq \epsilon\sqrt{n}] &\leq \mathbb{P}\left[|\mathcal{V}| - \sqrt{n^3/\ell} \geq \sqrt{n}(\epsilon - \sqrt{n^2/\ell})\right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(\epsilon - \sqrt{n^2/\ell})^2(\ell/n^2)} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

□

Observe that the distribution of $\mathcal{V}$ is invariant under permutation. In other words, for a fixed set $\mathcal{S} \subset \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ and a permutation π on $\{1, \dots, n-1\}$ we have

$$\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{S}] = \mathbb{P}[\mathcal{V} = \pi\mathcal{S}]$$

and hence

$$\mathbb{P}[\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{S}] = \frac{1}{\binom{n-1}{|\mathcal{S}|}} \mathbb{P}[|V| = |\mathcal{S}|]$$

Let $A(k)$ be the number of sets $\mathcal{S} \subset \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ of size k with at least one pair of adjacent elements. We have

$$A(k) \leq (n-2) \binom{n-3}{k-2}$$

Let $B(k)$ be the number of sets $\mathcal{S}$ for which $\min \mathcal{S} = n - \max \mathcal{S}$. Summing over the possible values of $\min \mathcal{S}$ we have

$$B(k) \leq \sum_{1 \leq a \leq n/2} \binom{n-1-2a}{k-2}$$

One easily checks

$$\frac{A(k) + B(k)}{\binom{n-1}{k}} \leq \frac{2k^2}{n}$$

For $k \leq \epsilon\sqrt{n}$ this is $\leq 2\epsilon^2$. On the other hand $\mathbb{P}[|V| > \epsilon\sqrt{n}] \rightarrow 0$, so we are done.

Acknowledgements. We thank Tullia Dymarz for suggesting this problem and for many helpful discussions.

References

- [1] DELP, KELLY ; DYMARZ, TULLIA; SCHAFER-COHEN, ANSCHEL. A matrix model for random nilpotent groups. *International Mathematics Research Notices*. **1** (2019) 201–230. <https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnx128>
- [2] CORDES, MATTHEW, DUCHIN, MOON, DUONG, YEN, AND SÁNCHEZ, ANDREW P. Random nilpotent groups 1. *To appear in International Mathematics Research Notices* (2016).
- [3] HALL, PHILIP. The Edmonton notes on nilpotent groups. *Queen Mary College Mathematics Notes, Mathematics Department, Queen Mary College, London*. (1969)
- [4] OLLIVIER, YANN. Invitation to random groups. *Ensaïos Matemáticos [Mathematical Surveys], Sociedade Brasileira de Matemática, Rio de Janeiro*. **10** (2005).
- [5] BAUMSLAG, GILBERT. Lectures on nilpotent groups. *Regional Conference Series in Mathematics American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I.* **2** (1971).