

Revisiting superradiant stability of Kerr-Newman black holes under a charged massive scalar

Yun Soo Myung^{a,b*}

^aInstitute of Basic Sciences and Department of Computer Simulation, Inje University
Gimhae 50834, Korea

^bAsia Pacific Center for Theoretical Physics, Pohang 37673, Korea

Abstract

We revisit the superradiant stability of Kerr-Newman black holes under a charged massive scalar perturbation. We obtain a newly suitable potential which is not singular at the outer horizon when a radial equation is expressed the Schrödinger-type equation in terms of the tortoise coordinate. From the potential analysis, we could not derive two conditions for the superradiant stability of Kerr-Newman black holes.

arXiv:2201.06706v1 [gr-qc] 18 Jan 2022

*e-mail address: ysmyoung@inje.ac.kr

1 Introduction

For an asymptotically flat Kerr black hole, if the incoming scalar wave has a non-zero mass μ , its mass would act as a natural mirror. In this case, one might find a superradiant instability of the black hole when the parameters of black holes and scalar field are in certain parameter spaces [1]. Here, a trapping well of the massive scalar potential plays the important role in making superradiant instability because superradiant modes are localized in the trapping well. If there is no trapping well, this black hole seems to be superradiantly stable.

Recently, the superradiant stability of Kerr-Newman (KN) black holes under a charged massive scalar perturbation can be achieved if two conditions of $qQ/(\mu M) \geq 1$ and $r_-/r_+ \leq 1/3$ are obtained from the potential analysis [2], in addition that the superradiance condition and the bound-state condition hold. Actually, the disappearance of a trapping well is a necessary condition for superradiant stability.

However, the potential determined the above two conditions seems to be inappropriate for analyzing the superradiant stability because $V(r \rightarrow r_+)$ is singular and thus, it does not lead to $\omega^2 - (\omega - \omega_c)^2$ for imposing the superradiance condition. Furthermore, a defining equation for the potential (written by r) does not look like the Schrödinger-type (one-dimensional) equation since it was not written by making use of a tortoise coordinate r_* . The importance of r_* arises from the fact that its range from $-\infty$ to ∞ exhausts the entire part of spacetime which is accessible to an observer outside the outer horizon [3]. If one uses r -coordinate, it covers a small region of $r \in [r_+, \infty)$ only.

Importantly, we wish to point out that such a potential was originated from the derivation of a wave equation expressed by r [Eq.(15) in Ref. [4]] where a famous condition for a trapping well was derived as $\mu^2/2 < \omega^2 < \mu^2$ (or, $\mu/\sqrt{2} < \omega < \mu$) in the study of Kerr black hole under a massive scalar perturbation. A potential derived in this way was used to determine the superradiant instability regime of the KN black hole [5]. Also, a similar potential was employed subsequently in deriving the conditions for superradiant stability of Kerr black holes [6]. Very recently, a similar approach was applied to testing the extremal rotating black holes under a charged massive scalar perturbation [7], dyonic Reissner-Nordström black holes under a charged massive scalar perturbation [8], higher-dimensional non-extremal Reissner-Nordström black holes under a massive scalar perturbation [9], and D-dimensional extremal Reissner-Nordström black holes under a charged

massive scalar perturbation [10]. It may not be valid to adopt such potentials to analyzing the superradiant (in)stability of a massive scalar propagating around rotating black holes.

In this work, we wish to revisit the superradiant stability of KN black holes under a charged massive scalar perturbation. We obtain an appropriate potential $V_{KN}(r \rightarrow r_+) \sim \omega^2 - (\omega - \omega_c)^2$ when writing the Schrödinger-type equation in terms of the tortoise coordinate $r_* = \int [(r^2 + a^2)/\Delta] dr$. For $Q = 0$, this reduces to the well-known potential for a massive scalar perturbation propagating around the Kerr black hole background [1]. From the analysis based on $V_{KN}(r)$, we could not derive the two conditions of $qQ/(\mu M) \geq 1$ and $r_-/r_+ \leq 1/3$ for the superradiant stability of a charged massive scalar propagating around the KN black holes.

2 A charged massive scalar on the KN black holes

First of all, we introduce the Boyer-Lindquist coordinates to represent the KN black hole with mass M , charge Q , and angular momentum J

$$\begin{aligned} ds_{\text{KN}}^2 &= \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu \\ &= -\frac{\Delta}{\rho^2} \left(dt - a \sin^2 \theta d\phi \right)^2 + \frac{\rho^2}{\Delta} dr^2 + \rho^2 d\theta^2 + \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\rho^2} \left[(r^2 + a^2) d\phi - a dt \right]^2 \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

with

$$\Delta = r^2 - 2Mr + a^2 + Q^2, \quad \rho^2 = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta, \quad \text{and} \quad a = \frac{J}{M}. \quad (2)$$

In addition, the electromagnetic potential is given by

$$\bar{A}_\mu = \frac{Qr}{\rho^2} \left(-1, 0, 0, a \sin^2 \theta \right). \quad (3)$$

The outer and inner horizons are determined by imposing $\Delta = (r - r_+)(r - r_-) = 0$ as

$$r_\pm = M \pm \sqrt{M^2 - a^2 - Q^2}. \quad (4)$$

So, it is clear that $\Delta \rightarrow 0$, as $r \rightarrow r_\pm$.

A charged massive scalar perturbation Φ on the background of KN black holes is described by

$$(\bar{\nabla}^\mu - iq\bar{A}^\mu)(\bar{\nabla}_\mu - iq\bar{A}_\mu)\Phi - \mu^2\Phi = 0. \quad (5)$$




Figure 1: (Left) Potential $V(r)$ as function of r with $M = 1, Q = 0.6, \omega = 0.02, a = 0.3, m = 1, q = 0.1, \lambda = 12, \mu = 0.05$ for $r \in [r_+ = 1.741, 4]$. The potential is negatively singular (-1.324×10^{13}) at $r = r_+$. (Right) Potential $V(r)$ and its asymptotic potential $V_a(r)$ as functions of $r \in [r_+, 200]$. $V_a(r)$ mimics $V(r)$ for $r \geq 150$.

Reminding the axis-symmetric background (1), it is convenient to separate the scalar perturbation into modes

$$\Phi(t, r, \theta, \phi) = \sum_{lm} e^{-i\omega t + im\phi} S_{lm}(\theta) R_{lm}(r), \quad (6)$$

where $S_{lm}(\theta)$ is spheroidal harmonics with $-m \leq \ell \leq m$ and $R_{lm}(r)$ satisfies a radial part of the wave equation. Plugging (6) into (5), one has the angular equation for $S_{lm}(\theta)$ and the radial Teukolsky equation for $R_{lm}(r)$ as [11]

$$\frac{1}{\sin\theta} \partial_\theta \left(\sin\theta \partial_\theta S_{lm}(\theta) \right) + \left[\lambda_{lm} + a^2(\mu^2 - \omega^2) \sin^2\theta - \frac{m^2}{\sin^2\theta} \right] S_{lm}(\theta) = 0, \quad (7)$$

$$\Delta \partial_r \left(\Delta \partial_r R_{lm}(r) \right) + U(r) R_{lm}(r) = 0, \quad (8)$$

where

$$U(r) = [\omega(r^2 + a^2) - am - qQr]^2 + \Delta[2am\omega - \mu^2(r^2 + a^2) - \lambda_{lm}]. \quad (9)$$

Eq.(8) could be used directly for computing absorption cross-section and quasinormal modes of the scalar, and scalar clouds on the background of KN black holes.

Introducing $\Psi_{lm}(r) = \sqrt{\Delta} R_{lm}(r)$, one finds that the radial equation (8) leads to

$$\frac{d^2 \Psi_{lm}(r)}{dr^2} + [\omega^2 - V(r)] \Psi_{lm}(r) = 0, \quad (10)$$

where an effective potential $V(r)$ is given by [5, 2]

$$V(r) = \omega^2 - \frac{1}{\Delta^2} \left[\left(\omega(r^2 + a^2) - am - qQr \right)^2 + \Delta \left(2am\omega - \mu^2(r^2 + a^2) - \lambda_{lm} \right) + M^2 - a^2 - Q^2 \right]. \quad (11)$$

We note that $V(r)$ was obtained just by imposing on the disappearance of Ψ'_{lm} in Eq.(10). Here, it is important to point out that Eq.(10) is not a proper Schrödinger-type (one-dimensional) equation expressed in terms of a tortoise coordinate r_* . As is shown in (Left) Fig. 1, $V(r)$ is not a suitable potential to analyze the superradiant stability because $V(r \rightarrow r_+)$ is negatively singular like -1.324×10^{13} . This arises because $\Delta \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow r_+$ in the denominators. Instead, to find the superradiance condition, one must have $V(r \rightarrow r_+) \sim \omega^2 - (\omega - \omega_c)^2$ where ω_c the critical frequency is given by

$$\omega_c = m\Omega_H + q\Phi_H \quad (12)$$

with $\Omega_H = a/(r_+^2 + a^2)$ and $\Phi_H = Qr_+/(r_+^2 + a^2)$ near the outer horizon.

To obtain a condition for superradiant stability (no trapping well), one has introduced an asymptotic form of $V(r)$ given by [2]

$$V_a(r) = \mu^2 + \frac{2M\mu^2 + 2qQ\omega - 4M\omega^2}{r} \quad (13)$$

whose first derivative takes the form

$$V'_a(r) = -\frac{2M\mu^2 + 2qQ\omega - 4M\omega^2}{r^2}. \quad (14)$$

It was found that a condition for no trapping well leads to ($V'_a(r) < 0 \rightarrow 2M\mu^2 + 2qQ\omega - 4M\omega^2 > 0$), which implies one condition of $qQ/(\mu M) > 1$. However, from (Right) Fig. 1, $V_a(r)$ may represent $V(r)$ well for $r \geq 150$. So, the bound of $qQ/(\mu M) > 1$ might not be regarded as a sufficient condition for no trapping well. It suggests that the asymptotic potential $V_a(r)$ should include $\mathcal{O}(1/r^2)$ -terms to represent $V(r)$ for $r \geq 20$ appropriately. The other condition of $r_-/r_+ \leq 1/3$ was derived from the potential analysis under the condition of $qQ/(\mu M) > 1$. However, this expression should be replaced by

$$\frac{r_-}{r_+} \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\left(\frac{a}{r_+}\right)^2 + 1} - 1}. \quad (15)$$

Up to now, we have briefly reviewed how two conditions for superradiant stability are derived from the potential $V(r)$ in (11). At this stage, we wish to mention that all analyses based on $V(r)$ may lead to the undesirable results.

3 Superradiance analysis with a new potential

Let us introduce the tortoise coordinate r_* implemented by $dr_* = \frac{r^2+a^2}{\Delta}dr$ to derive the Schrödinger-type equation. In this case, our interesting region of $r \in [r_+, \infty]$ could be mapped into the whole region of $r_* \in [-\infty, \infty]$, which implies that the inner region of $r < r_+$ is irrelevant to analyzing the superradiant stability. Then, the radial equation (8) takes a form of the Schrödinger-type equation when setting $\Psi_{lm} = \sqrt{a^2 + r^2}R_{lm}$

$$\frac{d^2\Psi_{lm}(r_*)}{dr_*^2} + [\omega^2 - V_{KN}(r)]\Psi_{lm}(r_*) = 0, \quad (16)$$

where the well-defined potential $V_{KN}(r)$ is found to be [12]

$$\begin{aligned} V_{KN}(r) = \omega^2 & - \frac{3\Delta^2 r^2}{(a^2 + r^2)^4} + \frac{\Delta[\Delta + 2r(r - M)]}{(a^2 + r^2)^3} \\ & + \frac{\Delta\mu^2}{a^2 + r^2} - \left[\omega - \frac{am}{a^2 + r^2} - \frac{qQr}{a^2 + r^2} \right]^2 \\ & - \frac{\Delta}{(a^2 + r^2)^2} [2am\omega - \lambda_{lm}]. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Here we observe that all Δ are located at the numerators, in compared to the denominators of $V(r)$ in (11). Replacing λ_{lm} by $\tilde{\lambda}_{lm} + a^2(\omega^2 - \mu^2)$, one finds that $\omega^2 - V_{KN}(r) = V_{\omega lm}(r)$ in Ref. [13].

It is noted that for $Q = 0$, $V_{KN}(r)$ reduces to the potential $V_K(r)$ around the Kerr black hole [1] whose angular equation takes the form

$$\frac{1}{\sin\theta}\partial_\theta\left(\sin\theta\partial_\theta S_{lm}(\theta)\right) + \left[\tilde{\lambda}_{lm} + a^2(\omega^2 - \mu^2)\cos^2\theta - \frac{m^2}{\sin^2\theta}\right]S_{lm}(\theta) = 0, \quad (18)$$

which implies a relation of $\lambda_{lm} = \tilde{\lambda}_{lm} + a^2(\omega^2 - \mu^2)$ ($\lambda_{lm} < \tilde{\lambda}_{lm}$) with $\tilde{\lambda}_{lm} \sim l(l+1) + \dots$. In this case, the last line of Eq.(17) is replaced by

$$- \frac{\Delta}{(a^2 + r^2)^2} [2am\omega - a^2(\omega^2 - \mu^2) - \tilde{\lambda}_{lm}] \quad (19)$$

which leads to potentials found in Refs. [1, 14, 15, 16] for studying the superradiant instability. In the non-rotating limit of $a \rightarrow 0$, we could recover the scalar potential from Eqs.(17) and (19) when studying superradiance in the Reissner-Nordström black hole spacetimes under a charged massive scalar propagation [17, 18, 19, 20].

Before we proceed, let us explain a superradiant scattering by the KN black holes. We find two limits that $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} V_{KN}(r) \rightarrow \mu^2$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow r_+} V_{KN}(r) \rightarrow \omega^2 - (\omega - \omega_c)^2$. The

latter limit is obviously achieved because $\Delta \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow r_+$. In this case, we have standard scattering forms of plane waves as [21]

$$\Psi_{lm} \sim \mathcal{I}e^{-i\sqrt{\omega^2-\mu^2}r_*}(\leftarrow) + \mathcal{R}e^{+i\sqrt{\omega^2-\mu^2}r_*}(\rightarrow), \quad r_* \rightarrow +\infty(r \rightarrow \infty), \quad (20)$$

$$\Psi_{lm} \sim \mathcal{T}e^{-i(\omega-\omega_c)r_*}(\leftarrow), \quad r_* \rightarrow -\infty(r \rightarrow r_+) \quad (21)$$

with the $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{R})$ the transmission (reflection) amplitudes. The Wronskian $W(\Psi, \Psi^*)$ of the complex conjugate solutions Ψ and Ψ^* satisfies

$$i\frac{d}{dr_*}W(\Psi, \Psi^*) = 0, \quad (22)$$

which implies that

$$|\mathcal{R}|^2 = |\mathcal{I}|^2 - \frac{\omega - \omega_c}{\sqrt{\omega^2 - \mu^2}}|\mathcal{T}|^2. \quad (23)$$

This means that only waves with $\omega > \mu$ propagate to infinity and the superradiant scattering occurs (\rightarrow , $|\mathcal{R}|^2 > |\mathcal{I}|^2$) whenever $\omega < \omega_c$. Actually, the superradiance is associated to having a negative absorption cross section ($\sigma < 0$) [13]. However, it turned out that the scalar absorption cross section is always positive for plane waves. For the KN black hole, the total absorption cross section becomes negative for co-rotating spherical waves at low frequencies. The superradiance can occur for massive scalar waves as long as the superradiance condition

$$\omega < \omega_c \quad (24)$$

is satisfied.

Now, we wish to describe the superradiant instability explicitly. The interaction between a rotating black hole and a massive scalar field will prevent low frequency modes with $\omega < \mu$ from escaping to spatial infinity. It is well known that if a massive scalar with mass μ is scattered off by a rotating black hole, then for $\omega < \mu$, the superradiance with $\omega < \omega_c$ might have unstable modes because the mass term works effectively as a reflecting mirror. In this case, a potential shape between ergo-region and mirror-region has a local maximum (potential barrier) as well as a local minimum (trapping well) away from the outer horizon which generates a secondary reflection of the wave that was reflected from the potential barrier [1, 15, 16]. The secondary reflected wave will be reflected again in the far region. Since each scattering off the barrier in the superradiant region increases the amplitude of the wave, the process of reflections will continue with the increased energy of waves,




Figure 2: Resonant amplitudes $A(\tilde{\omega})$ of classical harmonic oscillator near the natural frequency $\tilde{\omega}_0 = 5$ depend on the damping factor b . $b = 0, 0.01, 0.1$ correspond to undamped, small, and large damped cases. This resonance is analogous to a quasi-bound state.

leading to an instability. This implies a quasi-bound state which is an approximate energy eigen-state localized in the scattering region. When an incident wave enters the scattering region with the right energy $E = \omega^2$, it spends a long time trapped in the quasi-bound state before eventually escaping back to infinity. Curiously, this is analogous to the phenomena of the resonance in the classical harmonic oscillator (see Fig. 2). When a damped harmonic oscillator with $\tilde{\omega}_0$ natural frequency and b damping coefficient is subjected to an oscillator deriving force, it settles into a steady-state oscillatory motion at the the deriving frequency $\tilde{\omega}$. If $\tilde{\omega}$ matches $\tilde{\omega}_0$, the amplitude of oscillation becomes large and the system is said to be resonant. In the context of superradiant instability, the incident wave plays the role of a deriving force, the incident wave energy $E = \omega^2$ is the deriving frequency $\tilde{\omega}$, and the energy of a quasi-bound state is like a natural frequency $\tilde{\omega}_0$. The corresponding boundary conditions imply an exponentially decaying wave away from trapping well and a purely outgoing wave near the outer horizon for $\omega < \omega_c$:

$$\Psi \sim e^{-\sqrt{\mu^2 - \omega^2}r}(\text{bound - state}), \quad r_* \rightarrow \infty (r \rightarrow \infty), \quad (25)$$

$$\Psi \sim e^{-i(\omega - \omega_c)r_*}(\rightarrow: \text{superradiance}), \quad r_* \rightarrow -\infty (r \rightarrow r_+). \quad (26)$$

Considering a time-dependance $e^{-i\omega t}$ in (6), the outgoing wave could be achieved when satisfying $\omega < \omega_c$. To obtain a decaying mode at spatial infinity, one needs to have a bound-state condition of $\omega < \mu$. Therefore, one condition for the superradiant instability




Figure 3: (Left) Potential without trapping well $V_{KN}(r)$ as function of $r \in [r_+ = 1.741, 40]$ with $M = 1, Q = 0.6, \omega = 0.02, a = 0.3, m = 1, q = 0.1, \lambda_{lm} = 12, \mu = 0.05$. $V_{aKN}(r)$ mimics $V_{KN}(r) \geq \mu^2 = 0.0025$ for $r \geq 20$. (Right) $V_{KN}(r)$ is finite like as $\omega^2 - (\omega - \omega_c)^2 = -0.0115$ at $r = r_+$. $V_{aaKN}(r)$ could imitate $V_{KN}(r)$ for $r \geq 150$. We check the condition of $\omega < \omega_c = 0.129$ and $\omega < \mu$ in Eq. (27).

is given by

$$\omega < \omega_c, \quad \omega < \mu. \quad (27)$$

Importantly, the other (necessary) condition comes from the existence of a local minimum (a positive trapping well). The stable/unstable nature is selected by the shape of the potential. If there is no trapping well, it corresponds to a superradiant stability. As is shown in Fig. 3, V_{KN} is finite at $r = r_+$, in compared to a singular $V(r \rightarrow r_+)$ in Fig. 1. It seems that this case corresponds to a superradiantly stable potential because we could not find a trapping well for $\omega < \omega_c = 0.129$ and $\omega < \mu$. In this case, we observe that $\lim_{r \rightarrow 9225.94} V_{KN} \rightarrow [\mu^2 \searrow]$. However, we find that a tiny well is located at $r = 9225.94$ but it does not affect the superadiant stability.

To find out the existence of a local minimum, we may consider the large r -behavior of $V_{KN}(r)$ given by

$$V_{aKN}(r) = \mu^2 - \frac{2(M\mu^2 - qQ\omega)}{r} + \frac{\lambda_{lm} + \mu^2(a^2 + Q^2) - q^2Q^2}{r^2}, \quad (28)$$

where the third term plays the important role in making a trapping well because λ_{lm} may take a large value. From Fig. 3, we know that $V_{aKN}(r)$ mimics $V_{KN}(r)$ well for $r \geq 20$. However, $V_{aaKN}(r)$ defined as

$$V_{aaKN}(r) = \mu^2 - \frac{2(M\mu^2 - qQ\omega)}{r} \quad (29)$$




Figure 4: Asymptotic forms of $V_{aKN}(r)$ and V_{aaKN} . $V_{aKN}(r)$ shows a tiny well located at $r = 9228.87$ and it approaches V_{aaKN} for $r > 9228.87$. All coefficients go together with Fig. 3.

could represent $V_{KN}(r)$ for $r \geq 150$. This implies that $V_{aaKN}(R)$ is not sufficient to derive the condition for no trapping well. In this case, we stress that it is dangerous to derive a condition for no trapping well from $V_{aaKN}(r)$ only. If the condition of no trapping well is given by $V'_{aaKN}(r) < 0$, it implies

$$\omega > \omega_d \text{ with } \omega_d = \frac{M\mu^2}{qQ}. \quad (30)$$

However, we point out that this condition is not satisfied even for a superradiantly stable potential in Fig. 3 because of $\omega (= 0.02) < \omega_d (= 0.042)$. As is shown in Fig. 4, $V_{aKN}(r)$ has a tiny well at $r = 9228.87$ and it approaches $V_{aaKN}(r)$ for $r > 9228.87$. This explains why $\omega < \omega_d$ holds at asymptotic region.

At this stage, it is worth noting that $V_{aKN}(r)$ with $a = 0$ and $V_{aaKN}(r)$ could be found exactly from the scalar potential obtained when charged massive scalar modes are impinging on the Reissner-Nordström black hole [17, 18, 19, 20].

Now, we are in a position to introduce a potential with trapping well which is a necessary condition for the superradiant instability. Firstly, we consider a massive scalar propagation on the Kerr black hole background. In this case, we find a positive potential shown in Fig. 5, which involves a trapping well (local minimum) located at $r = 9.5999$. Plotting V_K in terms of the tortoise coordinate r_* , V_K is invariant in the depth but its near-horizon region is stretched from $-\infty$ (the horizon) to 0. In this case, one may recover Fig. 2 in Ref. [1] with the regions I (ergo-region: near-horizon), II (barrier-region), III (well-region), and IV




Figure 5: Positive Potential $V_K(r) = V_{KN}^{Q=0}(r)$ and its asymptotic potential $V_{aK}(r) = V_{aKN}^{Q=0}(r)$ around Kerr background as function of $r \in [r_+ = 1.063, 100]$ with $M = 1, Q = 0.0, \omega = 3.85, a = 0.998, m = 13, q = 0.0, \lambda_{lm} = 180, \mu = 4$. The potential is finite (9.754) at $r = r_+$ and it has a trapping well (local minimum) at $r = 9.599$. $V_{aK}(r)$ mimics $V_K(r)$ well for $r \geq 20$, while $V_{aaK}(r)$ mimics $V_K(r)$ for $r \geq 50$.

(mirror-region: far-region) [Fig. 7 in Ref. [15] and Fig. 15 in Ref. [16]]. Three (II, III, IV) are essential for realizing superradiant instability and these regions are divided by imposing the turning point condition of $V_K = \omega^2$. Here, we note that there are quasi-bound states but there are no bound states because the potential is purely repulsive ($V_K > 0$, everywhere). On the other hand, the bound state could be generated only for the case of $\omega^2 < 0$ in the negative potential well ($V_K < 0$).

In the case of $V(r)$ in Eq.(11), we display a case of potential with trapping well mentioned in Ref. [2] in Fig. 6. However, it is not suitable for representing an example for the superradiant instability because the bound state condition ($\omega < \mu$) is not satisfied and there is no turning point (because of $V \ll \omega^2$). Furthermore, $V(r)$ is negatively singular at $r = r_+$ and it has a negative trapping well.

Considering a charged massive scalar on the KN black hole with $a = 0.998$ (a rapidly rotating black hole), we find a positive potential (see Fig. 7), which involves a trapping well (local minimum) located at $r = 9.61$. Here, we have no bound states because of whole positive potential. We note that $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} V_{KN}(r) \rightarrow [\mu^2 \nearrow]$ for trapping well, compared to $\lim_{r \rightarrow 9225.94} V_{KN}(r) \rightarrow [\mu^2 \searrow]$ for no trapping well in Fig. 3. This induces a quasi-bound state, leading to the superradiant instability. Comparing Fig. 7 with Fig. 3, it




Figure 6: Potential $V(r)$ as function of r with $M = 1, Q = 0.2, \omega = 0.3, a = 0.1, m = 1, q = 0.1, \lambda_{lm} = 2, \mu = 0.1$ for $r \in [r_+ = 1.975, 100]$. The potential is negatively singular at $r = r_+$ with a negative well. $V_a(r)$ mimics $V(r)$ for $r \geq 40$ but $V(r) < \omega^2$ and $\omega > \mu$.




Figure 7: Positive potential $V_{KN}(r)$ and its asymptotic potential $V_{aKN}(r)$ as functions of $r \in [r_+ = 1.062, 100]$ with $M = 1, Q = 0.01, \omega = 3.85, a = 0.998, m = 13, q = 0.2, \lambda_{lm} = 180, \mu = 4$. $V_{KN}(r)$ is finite (9.728) at $r = r_+$ and it has a trapping well located at $r = 9.61$. Also, $V_{aKN}(r)$ imitates $V_{KN}(r) \leq \mu^2$ well for $r \geq 20$ whereas $V_{aaKN}(r)$ mimics $V_{KN}(r)$ for $r \geq 50$. We note $\omega < \omega_c = 5.6398$ and $\omega < \mu$ as one condition for superradiant instability.

is worth noting that $V_{aKN}(r)$ determines the presence of local minimum (trapping well), but $V_{aaKN}(r)$ does not include a trapping well. This implies that it is not valid to derive a condition for no trapping well directly from $V_{aaKN}(r)$. In this case, we note that the condition for no trapping well ($\omega > \omega_d$) violates because of $\omega (= 3.85) < \omega_d = 8000$.

To obtain stationary bound-state resonances, one has two conditions of $\omega = \omega_c$ and




Figure 8: Stationary resonance potential $V_{KN}(r)$ as function of $r \in [r_+ = 1.4243, 100]$ with $M = 1, Q = 0.9, \omega = 0.1245, a = 0.1, m = 1, q = 0.12, \lambda_{lm} = 2, \mu = 0.14$. $V_{KN}(r)$ is finite ($\omega^2 = 0.0155$) at $r = r_+$. We note $\omega = \omega_c$ and $\omega < \mu$ to meet the condition for stationary bound-state resonances.

$\omega < \mu$. They correspond to marginally stable modes of the scalar field with $\text{Im}[\omega]=0$, providing scalar clouds. In fact, such resonances saturate the superradiance condition Eq.(24). However, even for weakly bound stationary resonances ($\omega = \omega_c \leq \mu$), there exist several distinct physical regimes [11]. We display a potential of stationary bound-state resonances in Fig. 8, which does not include a trapping well [12]. This potential is similar to Fig. 3 except $\omega = \omega_c$.

Finally, we summarize four conditions for a massive scalar propagating around the KN black holes:

- (i) superradiant scattering $\rightarrow \omega < \omega_c$ and $\omega > \mu$.
- (ii) stationary bound-state resonances $\rightarrow \omega = \omega_c$ and $\omega < \mu$.
- (iii) superradiant instability $\rightarrow \omega < \omega_c$ and $\omega < \mu$ with a positive trapping well.
- (iv) superradiant stability $\rightarrow \omega < \omega_c$ and $\omega < \mu$ without a positive trapping well.

In conclusion, it is not easy to achieve the condition for no trapping well.



Figure 9: Radial modes Ψ_{lm} as function of r with trapping well: (Left) quasi-bound state with $V_{aKN}(r)$ and (Right) oscillation with $V_{aaKN}(r)$. All coefficients go together with Fig. 7.

4 Scalar waves in the far-region and near-horizon

It is important to know the scalar wave forms in the far-region and near-horizon to distinguish between trapping well and no trapping well. In this direction, we wish to derive wave functions in the far-region and near-horizon.

In the large r -region where we have $r_* \sim r$ approximately, we obtain an equation from Eq. (16) as

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \omega^2 - V_{aKN}(r) \right] \Psi_{lm} = 0 \quad (31)$$

whose solution is given by the Kummer confluent Hypergeometric function $U[a, b, x]$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{lm} &= c_1 e^{-\sqrt{\mu^2 - \omega^2} r} \left(\sqrt{\mu^2 - \omega^2} r \right)^{\frac{1}{2} + \tilde{m}} \\ &\times U \left[\frac{1 + 2\tilde{m}}{2} - \frac{M\mu^2 - qQ\omega}{\sqrt{\mu^2 - \omega^2}}, 1 + 2\tilde{m}, 2\sqrt{\mu^2 - \omega^2} r \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

with

$$\tilde{m} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 + 4[\lambda_{lm} + \mu^2(a^2 + Q^2) - q^2 Q^2]}. \quad (33)$$

Here we find a bound state of $e^{-\sqrt{\mu^2 - \omega^2} r}$ appeared in (25).

If one uses $V_{aaKN}(r)$ with $\tilde{m} = 1/2$, its solution is given by

$$\Psi_{lm} = c_2 e^{-\sqrt{\mu^2 - \omega^2} r} \left(\sqrt{\mu^2 - \omega^2} r \right) U \left[1 - \frac{M\mu^2 - qQ\omega}{\sqrt{\mu^2 - \omega^2}}, 2, 2\sqrt{\mu^2 - \omega^2} r \right]. \quad (34)$$

Let us observe radial modes Ψ_{lm} for the case of superradiant instability (see Fig. 7). As is shown in Fig. 9, Eq.(32) shows a quasi-bound state followed by exponentially decaying



Figure 10: Radial modes Ψ_{lm} as function of r without trapping well: (Left) exponentially decaying function with V_{aKN} and (Right) exponentially decaying function with V_{aaKN} . All coefficients go together with Fig. 3.




Figure 11: (Left) Real part of Ψ_{lm} as function of $r \in [10, 200]$ where all coefficients go together with Fig. 7 except $\omega = 4 > \mu = 3.85$. (Right) Real part of Ψ_{lm} as function of $r \in [10, 400]$ where all coefficients go together with Fig. 3 except $\omega = 0.05 > \mu = 0.02$.

mode like as in Fig. 2, whereas Eq.(34) indicates an oscillation followed by exponentially decaying mode without quasi-bound state. Contrastively, we consider radial modes for the potential without trapping well (shown in Fig. 3), implying superradiant stability. As is shown in Fig. 10, Eqs.(32) and (34) show exponentially decaying modes. They develop neither quasi-bound state nor oscillation.

On the other hand, it is interesting to observe superradiant scattering pictures for $\omega > \mu$. As is shown in Fig. 11, they are plane waves but their differences appear in the wave number and amplitude when adopting $V_{aKN}(r)$. These pictures are compared to (Left) Fig. 9 and (Left) Fig. 10. However, it turned out that the scalar absorption cross section is always positive for plane waves. For the KN black hole, the total absorption cross section becomes negative for co-rotating spherical waves at low frequencies [13].



Figure 12: (Left) Real part of Ψ_{lm}^{out} as function of $r_* \in [-120, -60]$ with trapping well where all coefficients go together with Fig. 7. (Right) Real part of Ψ_{lm}^{out} as function of $r_* \in [-120, -60]$ without trapping well where all coefficients go together with Fig. 3.

Finally, we wish to derive the near-horizon wave equation by introducing $r_* \simeq \alpha \ln(r - r_+)$

$$\frac{d^2 \Psi_{lm}}{dr_*^2} + \left[(\omega - \omega_c)^2 - \beta e^{\frac{r_*}{\alpha}} \right] \Psi_{lm} = 0, \quad (35)$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{r_+^2 + a^2}{2\sqrt{M^2 - a^2 - Q^2}}, \quad (36)$$

$$\beta = \frac{(r_+ - r_-)}{(r_+^2 + a^2)^3} \left[\mu^2 (r_+^2 + a^2)^2 + (\lambda_{lm} - 2ma\omega)(r_+^2 + a^2) + 2r_+(r_+ - M) \right]. \quad (37)$$

In deriving Eq.(35), we neglect all Δ^2 -terms in Eq.(17). The outgoing wave-solution is given by the first-kind Bessel function $I_n(z)$ because of $\omega < \omega_c$. One case going with trapping well (Fig. 7) takes the form

$$\Psi_{lm}^{\text{out}}(r_*) \simeq (21.9176 + 1.54504i) I_{(-76.8349i)}(60.4804\sqrt{2.71828^{0.0587535r_*}}), \quad (38)$$

whereas the other case without trapping well (Fig. 3) is given by

$$\Psi_{lm}^{\text{out}}(r_*) \simeq (1.70488 + 0.400417i) I_{(-0.461204i)}(5.88045\sqrt{2.71828^{0.475233r_*}}). \quad (39)$$

From Fig. 12, we observe the plane waves, but we note the difference between trapping well and no trapping well: wave number and amplitude. In case of $\beta = 0$, their solution is $e^{-i(\omega - \omega_c)r_*}$ in Eq. (21). In the case of $\omega = \omega_c$ and $\beta \neq 0$ (stationary bound-state resonances), there is no plane waves in the near-horizon but an exponentially decaying mode like Fig. 10 may appear in the far-region.

5 Discussions

It was reported that the superradiant stability of the KN black hole can be achieved if $qQ/(\mu M) \geq 1$ and $r_-/r_+ \leq 1/3$ are satisfied when analyzing the potential $V(r)$ in Eq.(11) [2]. Honestly, one could not regard $V(r)$ as a correct potential because it is negatively singular at the outer horizon and it was derived from a radial wave equation (8) without introducing the tortoise coordinate r_* . We note that it was obtained just by imposing on the disappearance of Ψ'_{lm} in Eq.(10) from Eq.(8).

In this work, we have found a correct potential $V_{KN}(r)$ in Eq.(17) to discuss the condition for superradiant stability (no trapping well). To show the non-existence of a trapping well is a necessary condition for the superradiant stability because superradiant modes are localized in the trapping well [15]. However, we could not derive two specific conditions of $qQ/(\mu M) \geq 1$ and $r_-/r_+ \leq 1/3$, in addition to two boundary conditions: outgoing waves ($\omega < \omega_c$) near the outer horizon and bound state ($\omega < \mu$) at infinity. Actually, the condition for no trapping well is determined by $V_{aKN}(r)$ [but not $V_{aaKN}(r)$]. In other words, it is difficult to obtain any analytic conditions for no trapping well from $V_{aKN}(r)$.

An apparent difference is just between $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} V_{KN}(r) \rightarrow [\mu^2 \nearrow]$ for superradiant instability and $\lim_{r \rightarrow 9225.94} V_{KN}(r) \rightarrow [\mu^2 \searrow]$ for superradiant stability. The former implies that ‘a trapping well’ sets an upper bound of the potential, while the latter means that ‘no trapping well’ sets a lower bound of the potential. As is shown in Fig. 9 (superradiant instability), Eq.(32) shows a quasi-bound state followed by exponentially decaying mode, whereas Eq.(34) indicates an oscillation followed by exponentially decaying mode. On the other hand, for the case of superradiant stability (Fig. 10), Eqs.(32) and (34) show exponentially decaying modes only. They develop neither quasi-bound state nor oscillation before appearing exponentially decaying modes. In the near-horizon, the outgoing scalar waves are the plane waves, whereas their difference exits in wave number and amplitude (Fig. 12).

Consequently, we point out that it is not easy to obtain any analytical conditions for no trapping well from V_{KN} in Eq.(17).

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by a grant from Inje University for the Research in 2021.

References

- [1] T. J. M. Zouros and D. M. Eardley, *Annals Phys.* **118**, 139-155 (1979)
doi:10.1016/0003-4916(79)90237-9
- [2] J. H. Xu, Z. H. Zheng, M. J. Luo and J. H. Huang, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, no.5, 402 (2021) doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-09180-y [arXiv:2012.13594 [gr-qc]].
- [3] S. Chandrasekar, *The Mathematical Theory of Black holes*, (Oxford Press, New York, 1983).
- [4] S. Hod, *Phys. Lett. B* **708**, 320-323 (2012) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2012.01.054 [arXiv:1205.1872 [gr-qc]].
- [5] Y. Huang and D. J. Liu, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, no.6, 064030 (2016)
doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.94.064030 [arXiv:1606.08913 [gr-qc]].
- [6] J. H. Huang, W. X. Chen, Z. Y. Huang and Z. F. Mai, *Phys. Lett. B* **798**, 135026 (2019) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2019.135026 [arXiv:1907.09118 [gr-qc]].
- [7] J. M. Lin, M. J. Luo, Z. H. Zheng, L. Yin and J. H. Huang, *Phys. Lett. B* **819**, 136392 (2021) doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2021.136392 [arXiv:2105.02161 [gr-qc]].
- [8] Y. F. Zou, J. H. Xu, Z. F. Mai and J. H. Huang, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, no.9, 855 (2021)
doi:10.1140/epjc/s10052-021-09642-3 [arXiv:2105.14702 [gr-qc]].
- [9] J. H. Huang, R. D. Zhao and Y. F. Zou, *Phys. Lett. B* **823**, 136724 (2021)
doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2021.136724 [arXiv:2109.04035 [gr-qc]].
- [10] J. H. Huang, [arXiv:2201.00725 [gr-qc]].
- [11] S. Hod, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, no.2, 024051 (2014) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.90.024051 [arXiv:1406.1179 [gr-qc]].
- [12] C. L. Benone, L. C. B. Crispino, C. Herdeiro and E. Radu, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, no.10, 104024 (2014) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.90.104024 [arXiv:1409.1593 [gr-qc]].
- [13] C. L. Benone and L. C. B. Crispino, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, no. 4, 044009 (2019)
doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.99.044009 [arXiv:1901.05592 [gr-qc]].

- [14] S. R. Dolan, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 084001 (2007) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.76.084001 [arXiv:0705.2880 [gr-qc]].
- [15] A. Arvanitaki and S. Dubovsky, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 044026 (2011) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.83.044026 [arXiv:1004.3558 [hep-th]].
- [16] R. A. Konoplya and A. Zhidenko, Rev. Mod. Phys. **83**, 793-836 (2011) doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.83.793 [arXiv:1102.4014 [gr-qc]].
- [17] C. A. R. Herdeiro, J. C. Degollado and H. F. Rúnarsson, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 063003 (2013) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.88.063003 [arXiv:1305.5513 [gr-qc]].
- [18] J. C. Degollado and C. A. R. Herdeiro, Phys. Rev. D **89**, no.6, 063005 (2014) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.89.063005 [arXiv:1312.4579 [gr-qc]].
- [19] L. Di Menza and J. P. Nicolas, Class. Quant. Grav. **32**, no.14, 145013 (2015) doi:10.1088/0264-9381/32/14/145013 [arXiv:1411.3988 [math-ph]].
- [20] C. L. Benone and L. C. B. Crispino, Phys. Rev. D **93**, no.2, 024028 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.93.024028 [arXiv:1511.02634 [gr-qc]].
- [21] R. Brito, V. Cardoso and P. Pani, Physics,” Lect. Notes Phys. **906**, pp.1-237 (2015) doi:10.1007/978-3-319-19000-6 [arXiv:1501.06570 [gr-qc]].