

VANISHING VISCOSITY LIMITS FOR THE FREE BOUNDARY PROBLEM OF COMPRESSIBLE VISCOELASTIC FLUIDS WITH SURFACE TENSION

XUMIN GU AND YU MEI

ABSTRACT. We consider the free boundary problem of compressible isentropic neo-Hookean viscoelastic fluid equations with surface tension. Under the physical kinetic and dynamic conditions proposed on the free boundary, we investigate regularities of classical solutions to viscoelastic fluid equations in Sobolev spaces which are uniform in viscosity and justify the corresponding vanishing viscosity limits. The key ingredient of our proof is that the deformation gradient tensor in Lagrangian coordinates can be represented as a parameter in terms of flow map so that the inherent structure of the elastic term improves the uniform regularity of normal derivatives in the limit of vanishing viscosity. This result indicates that the boundary layer does not appear in the free boundary problem of compressible viscoelastic fluids which is different to the case studied by the second author for the free boundary compressible Navier-Stokes system.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Formulation in Eulerian coordinates. Consider the motion of compressible isentropic neo-Hookean viscoelastic fluids in a time-dependent domain $\Omega^\varepsilon(t)$, whose exterior is assumed to be the atmosphere of a given constant pressure $p_e > 0$. The boundary of domain $\Gamma^\varepsilon(t)$ is free to move and subject to physical kinetic and dynamic conditions under the effect of surface tension. The governing equations of such a free boundary problem can be written as follows

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho^\varepsilon + \operatorname{div}(\rho^\varepsilon \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega^\varepsilon(t), \\ \partial_t(\rho^\varepsilon \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon) + \operatorname{div}(\rho^\varepsilon \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon) + \nabla p(\rho^\varepsilon) - \operatorname{div} \mathbf{T}^\varepsilon = \operatorname{div}(\rho^\varepsilon \mathbf{F}^\varepsilon \mathbf{F}^{\varepsilon \top}), & \text{in } \Omega^\varepsilon(t), \\ \partial_t \mathbf{F}^\varepsilon + \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \mathbf{F}^\varepsilon = \nabla \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon \mathbf{F}^\varepsilon, & \text{in } \Omega^\varepsilon(t), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where ρ^ε is the density, $\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon = (u_1^\varepsilon, \dots, u_d^\varepsilon)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is the velocity, $\mathbf{F}^\varepsilon = (F_{ij}^\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{M}^{d \times d}$ is the deformation gradient. Here $\top$ denotes the transpose of matrix. The pressure $p(\rho^\varepsilon)$ only depends on the density ρ^ε in the isentropic case which is assumed here to satisfy

$$p(\rho^\varepsilon) = A(\rho^\varepsilon)^\gamma, \quad \gamma > 1. \quad (1.2)$$

For simplicity, we take $A = 1$ here and afterwards. The viscous stress tensor $\mathbf{T}^\varepsilon$ is given by

$$\mathbf{T}^\varepsilon = 2\mu\varepsilon \mathbf{S}\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon + \lambda\varepsilon \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon \mathbf{I}, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\mathbf{S}\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon = (\nabla \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon + (\nabla \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon)^\top)/2$ is the symmetric part of $\nabla \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon$, and $\mu\varepsilon, \lambda\varepsilon$ are viscosity coefficients satisfying the physical constrain $\mu > 0, 2\mu + d\lambda > 0$. To study this free boundary problem, we impose the following two boundary conditions on $\Gamma^\varepsilon(t)$. On the one hand, the kinetic boundary condition, which states that the free boundary moves along the fluid particles, reads

$$\mathbf{V}^\varepsilon(\Gamma^\varepsilon(t)) = \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{n}^\varepsilon, \quad \text{on } \Gamma^\varepsilon(t), \quad (1.4)$$

where $\mathbf{V}^\varepsilon(\Gamma^\varepsilon(t))$ is the normal velocity of $\Gamma^\varepsilon(t)$ and $\mathbf{n}^\varepsilon$ is the outward unit normal vector of $\Gamma(t)$. On the other hand, the dynamic boundary condition, when the surface tension is considered, can be written as

$$(-p(\rho^\varepsilon) \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{T}^\varepsilon + (\rho^\varepsilon \mathbf{F}^\varepsilon \mathbf{F}^{\varepsilon \top} - \mathbf{I})) \mathbf{n}^\varepsilon + p_e \mathbf{n}^\varepsilon = \sigma H \mathbf{n}^\varepsilon, \quad \text{on } \Gamma^\varepsilon(t), \quad (1.5)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q35, 35R35, 76A10, 76N10, 76N20.

Key words and phrases. Free boundary; Viscoelastic fluids; Vanishing viscosity; Compressible fluids; Elastodynamics.

which represents the balance of stress tensors on both sides of the free boundary. Here H is the twice mean curvature of $\Gamma^\varepsilon(t)$, $\sigma > 0$ is the surface tension coefficient and $p_e > 0$ is a given constant pressure outside $\Omega(t)$ which can guarantee the non-vacuum density of viscoelastic fluid if initially. We also impose the following initial data for (1.1)

$$(\rho^\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon, \mathbf{F}^\varepsilon)(x, t = 0) = (\rho_0^\varepsilon, \mathbf{u}_0^\varepsilon, \mathbf{F}_0^\varepsilon), \quad x \in \Omega^\varepsilon(0). \quad (1.6)$$

When the fluid is inviscid, the free boundary problem (1.1)-(1.6) can reduce to the one of compressible isentropic inviscid elastic fluid, through formally taking $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, which reads as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega(t), \\ \partial_t(\rho \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) + \nabla p(\rho) = \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{F} \mathbf{F}^\top), & \text{in } \Omega(t), \\ \partial_t \mathbf{F} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{F} = \nabla \mathbf{u} \mathbf{F}, & \text{in } \Omega(t), \\ \mathcal{V}(\Gamma(t)) = \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n}, & \text{on } \Gamma(t), \\ (-p\mathbf{I} + (\rho \mathbf{F} \mathbf{F}^\top - \mathbf{I}))\mathbf{n} + p_e \mathbf{n} = \sigma H \mathbf{n}, & \text{on } \Gamma(t), \\ (\rho, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{F})(x, t = 0) = (\rho_0, \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{F}_0), & \text{in } \Omega(0). \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

In this paper, we will investigate the vanishing viscosity limits of the free boundary problem of compressible isentropic viscoelastic fluid equations (1.1)-(1.6), that is, whether solutions of (1.1)-(1.6) converge to ones of the free boundary elastodynamic equations (1.7) modeling inviscid compressible isentropic flows as viscosity tends to zero.

1.2. Motivations and Related Results. The vanishing viscosity limit for viscous fluid is one of the most fundamental problems in the mathematical theory of fluid mechanics. It has been extensively studied by many mathematicians in various settings of domains and physical boundary conditions as well as different kinds of fluids. For the incompressible homogeneous Newtonian fluids, the justification of vanishing viscosity limits in the whole space have been proved in [20, 30, 40] for smooth solutions and in [3, 5, 6, 13] for irregular ones. However, in the presence of physical boundaries, such a problem becomes much more complicated due to the possible appearance of boundary layers. When the Dirichlet boundary condition is imposed, the boundary layer, as illustrated by the Prandtl theory, must appear and be very strong so that the verification of vanishing viscosity limit for incompressible Newtonian fluids becomes one of the most challenging open problems in mathematical fluid mechanics. Some important progress on, but still far from completely solving, this issue are made in [11, 27, 32, 34, 35, 42] for the analytic initial data at least near the boundary. Whereas, when the Navier-slip boundary condition is imposed, the boundary layer becomes weaker so that the vanishing viscosity limit holds even if vorticity is produced at the boundary. We can refer to [4, 12, 18, 19, 28, 49] and references therein for considerable progress. For the compressible Newtonian fluids, the vanishing viscosity limit problems are discussed in [33, 39, 44, 46–48, 50]. Precisely, Xin-Yanagisawa [50] studied the vanishing viscosity limit of the linearized compressible Navier-Stokes system with the no-slip boundary condition in the 2-D half plane. Wang-Williams [47] constructed a boundary layer solution of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations with Navier-slip boundary conditions in 2-D half plane. Wang-Xin-Yong [46] obtained an uniform regularity for the solutions of the compressible Navier-Stokes with general Navier-slip boundary conditions in 3-D domains with curvature, especially, the vanishing viscosity limit of viscous solution to the corresponding inviscid one was also obtained with rate of convergence in L^∞ . It is also shown that the boundary layer for density is weaker than the one for velocity fields. Very recently, Wang-Xie [43] justified the vanishing viscosity limit of solutions for the compressible viscoelastic flows under the no-slip boundary condition governed by the viscoelastic equations, based on the uniform conormal regularity estimates.

Although much literature exists for the vanishing viscosity limit problems on a fixed domain, less discussions are available for viscous surface waves. To our knowledge, the first result of the vanishing viscosity limit for viscous surface waves due to Masmoudi and Rousset [29], who proved the local existence of solutions to the free boundary incompressible Navier-Stokes system with

uniform in viscosity regularity in conormal Sobolev and Lipschitz spaces. Later, the vanishing viscosity and surface tension limits were established in [10, 45] for the incompressible viscous surface waves with surface tension. For compressible surface waves, the second author, Wang and Xin in [31] established uniform regularities of both density and velocity in conormal Sobolev and Lipschitz spaces and justified the vanishing viscosity and surface tension limits.

In the results mentioned above for the vanishing viscosity limits of viscous surface waves, we can only expect uniform Lipschitz bounds, but not higher Sobolev norms H^k ($k \geq 2$), since a boundary layer generally appears near the free boundary for viscous surface waves and uniform bounds of many normal derivatives break down as viscosity tends to zero. This motivate us to study whether there exists a mechanism to prevent the appearance of boundary layers for viscous surface waves. Recently, the first author and Lei in [14] proved the local well-posedness of free-boundary incompressible elastodynamics with surface tension by establishing uniform in viscosity Sobolev regularity of a modified viscoelastic surface waves. This result indicates that the inherent structure of the elastic term on the boundary may prevent the appearance of boundary layers and enable us to justify the vanishing viscosity limit in standard Sobolev spaces. Our aim in this paper is further to discuss this smoothing effect of elasticity for compressible isentropic viscoelastic in the limit of vanishing viscosity. Precisely, we will strictly prove that solutions of free boundary problem (1.1)-(1.6) converges to ones of (1.7) in the H^3 -sense.

Let us also review here the well-posedness results of viscoelastic and elastic fluids. For the Cauchy problem, we refer to [2, 23, 25, 26] for the local and global well-posedness of the incompressible viscoelastic fluid system. Sideris and Thomases [36, 37] established the global well-posedness of the three-dimensional incompressible neo-Hookean elastodynamic system with small initial data. Taking advantage of the strong null structure in Lagrangian coordinate, Lei [22] obtained the two-dimensional result by using Klainerman vector field and Alinhac's ghost weight methods. We also refer to Cai et al. [1] for the vanishing viscosity limit for global in time solutions to incompressible viscoelasticity in $\mathbb{R}^2$. For free boundary problems, the local and global well-posedness of incompressible viscoelastic flows in an infinite strip with surface tension are respectively established in [21] for large initial data and [51] for small ones. Recently, Di Iorio, Marcati and Spirito [7, 8] proved the existence of splash singularities for incompressible viscoelastic flows. The well-posedness theory of the free boundary problem for incompressible neo-Hookean elastodynamics is more complicated. A priori estimates and local well-posedness are obtained in [15–17, 24] under some special boundary conditions, such as $\mathbf{F}^\top \mathbf{n} = 0, p = 0$ or $p = 0, (\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}^\top - \mathbf{I})\mathbf{n} = (\mathbf{F}^\top - \mathbf{I})\mathbf{n} = 0$. Recently, the first author and Lei in [14] established the local well-posedness result for free boundary problems being subject to the natural force balance law, which is consistent with (1.7)₅ in the compressible case here. Regarding to the compressible neo-Hookean elastodynamics, under the special boundary condition $\mathbf{F}^\top \mathbf{n} = 0, p = 0$, Trakhinin [41] proved the local in time existence of a unique smooth solution of the free boundary problem by the Nash-Moser iteration approach, if the non-collinearity of $\mathbf{F}$ or the Rayleigh–Taylor sign condition is satisfied. Very recently, Zhang [52] obtained the local well-posedness in Sobolev spaces by the combination of classical energy method and hyperbolic approach and also established the incompressible limit.

1.3. Reformulation in Lagrangian coordinates. To study the free boundary problem (1.1)-(1.6), we use the Lagrangian flow map to transform it into the corresponding problem in a fixed reference domain Ω . Let $\boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon(x, t) \in \Omega^\varepsilon(t)$ be the “position” of compressible viscoelastic fluid particle x at time t , i.e.

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon(x, t) = \mathbf{u}(\boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon(x, t), t) & \text{for } t > 0, x \in \Omega \\ \boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon(x, 0) = \boldsymbol{\eta}_0^\varepsilon(x), & \text{for } x \in \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\eta}_0^\varepsilon$ is a diffeomorphism from the reference domain Ω to the initial domain $\Omega^\varepsilon(0)$ satisfying

$$\mathbf{F}_0^\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\eta}_0^\varepsilon) = \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}_0^\varepsilon. \quad (1.9)$$

We set the following Lagrangian variables

$$f^\varepsilon(x, t) = \rho^\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon(x, t), t), \quad \mathbf{v}^\varepsilon(x, t) = \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon(x, t), t), \quad \mathbf{G}^\varepsilon(x, t) = \mathbf{F}^\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon(x, t), t)$$

and introduce

$$\mathbf{A}^\varepsilon = (\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon)^{-\top}, \quad J^\varepsilon = \det \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon, \quad \mathbf{a}^\varepsilon = J \mathbf{A}^\varepsilon, \quad q(f^\varepsilon) = p(f^\varepsilon) + 1 - p_e.$$

Then, utilizing the chain rule and Einstein's summation convention for repeated indexes, the free boundary compressible viscoelastic fluid equations (1.1)-(1.6) can be written by components of Lagrangian variables in Ω as follows

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \eta_i^\varepsilon = v_i^\varepsilon, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \partial_t f^\varepsilon + f^\varepsilon A_{kj}^\varepsilon \partial_j v_k^\varepsilon = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ f^\varepsilon \partial_t v_i^\varepsilon + A_{ik}^\varepsilon \partial_k q - 2\mu\varepsilon A_{kl}^\varepsilon \partial_l (S_A v)_{ik}^\varepsilon - \lambda\varepsilon A_{ij}^\varepsilon \partial_j (\operatorname{div}_A v)^\varepsilon - A_{kl}^\varepsilon \partial_l (f^\varepsilon G_{ij}^\varepsilon G_{kj}^\varepsilon) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \partial_t G_{ij}^\varepsilon = A_{kl}^\varepsilon \partial_l v_i^\varepsilon G_{kj}^\varepsilon, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ -q a_{ij}^\varepsilon N_j + f^\varepsilon G_{ij}^\varepsilon G_{jk}^\varepsilon a_{kl}^\varepsilon N_l + 2\mu\varepsilon S_A (v)_{ik}^\varepsilon a_{kl}^\varepsilon N_l + \lambda\varepsilon \operatorname{div}_A (v)^\varepsilon a_{ij}^\varepsilon N_j = \sigma \sqrt{g} \Delta_g (\eta_i^\varepsilon) & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ (f^\varepsilon, \mathbf{v}^\varepsilon, \mathbf{G}^\varepsilon, \boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon)(x)|_{t=0} = (\rho_0^\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\eta}_0^\varepsilon(x)), \mathbf{u}_0^\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\eta}_0^\varepsilon(x)), \mathbf{F}_0^\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\eta}_0^\varepsilon(x)), \boldsymbol{\eta}_0^\varepsilon(x)) & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.10)$$

where $(S_A v)_{ik}^\varepsilon = (A_{kj}^\varepsilon \partial_j v_i^\varepsilon + A_{ij}^\varepsilon \partial_j v_k^\varepsilon)/2$, $(\operatorname{div}_A v)^\varepsilon = A_{kl}^\varepsilon \partial_l v_k^\varepsilon$, $\mathbf{N} = (N_1, \dots, N_d)^\top$ is the unit outward normal vector to $\Gamma := \partial\Omega$, and Δ_g is the Laplacian-Beltrami operator on the curve $\boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon(t, \Gamma)$ given by

$$\Delta_g (\eta_i^\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \partial_\alpha (\sqrt{g} g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\beta \eta_i^\varepsilon), \quad g^{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha \eta_j^\varepsilon \partial_\beta \eta_j^\varepsilon, \quad g = \det(g^{\alpha\beta}).$$

Without loss of generality, we consider the two-dimensional problem (i.e. $d = 2$) and the reference domain is given by

$$\Omega = \mathbb{T} \times (0, 1),$$

where $\mathbb{T}$ denotes the 1-torus. The boundary of Ω is then given by the horizontally flat bottom and top

$$\Gamma = \mathbb{T} \times (\{0\} \cup \{1\}).$$

In such a case, $\sqrt{g} \Delta_g (\eta_i^\varepsilon) = \partial_1 \left(\frac{\partial_1 \eta_i^\varepsilon}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon|} \right)$ and

$$\mathbf{N} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{e}_2, & x_2 = 1 \\ -\mathbf{e}_2, & x_2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, from Jacobi's formula

$$J_t^\varepsilon = J^\varepsilon A_{kj}^\varepsilon \partial_j v_k^\varepsilon = a_{kj}^\varepsilon \partial_j v_k^\varepsilon, \quad (1.11)$$

one can solve the first equation in (1.10) to obtain $\partial_t (f^\varepsilon J^\varepsilon) = 0$, which implies

$$f^\varepsilon = \tilde{\rho}_0^\varepsilon (J^\varepsilon)^{-1}, \quad \text{with } \tilde{\rho}_0^\varepsilon := \rho_0^\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\eta}_0^\varepsilon) J_0^\varepsilon. \quad (1.12)$$

Next, since $\partial_t A_{ij}^\varepsilon = -A_{ik}^\varepsilon \partial_k v_l^\varepsilon A_{lj}^\varepsilon$, it is not difficult to derive from the fourth equation of (1.10) that $\partial_t (\mathbf{A}^{\varepsilon\top} \mathbf{G}^\varepsilon) = 0$, which yields

$$\mathbf{G}^\varepsilon = \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon (\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}_0^\varepsilon)^{-1} \mathbf{F}_0^\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\eta}_0) = \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon \quad (1.13)$$

Note that $\mathbf{a}^\varepsilon$ is the cofactor of $\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon$, we have the following Piola identity

$$\partial_l a_{kl}^\varepsilon = \partial_l (J^\varepsilon A_{kl}^\varepsilon) = 0 \quad (1.14)$$

which implies that

$$-A_{kl}^\varepsilon \partial_l (f^\varepsilon G_{ij}^\varepsilon G_{kj}^\varepsilon) = -(J^\varepsilon)^{-1} \partial_l (A_{kl}^\varepsilon f^\varepsilon J^\varepsilon G_{ij}^\varepsilon G_{kj}^\varepsilon)$$

Therefore, substituting (1.12) and (1.13) into remainder equations in (1.10), we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \eta_i^\varepsilon = v_i^\varepsilon, & \text{in } \Omega \\ \tilde{\rho}_0^\varepsilon \partial_t v_i^\varepsilon + a_{ik}^\varepsilon \partial_k q - 2\mu^\varepsilon a_{kl}^\varepsilon \partial_l (S_A v)_{ik}^\varepsilon - \lambda^\varepsilon a_{ij}^\varepsilon \partial_j (\operatorname{div}_A v)^\varepsilon - \partial_j (\tilde{\rho}_0^\varepsilon \partial_j \eta_i^\varepsilon) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \\ -q a_{i2}^\varepsilon + 2\mu^\varepsilon (S_A v)_{ik}^\varepsilon a_{k2}^\varepsilon + \lambda^\varepsilon (\operatorname{div}_A v)^\varepsilon a_{i2}^\varepsilon + \tilde{\rho}_0^\varepsilon \partial_2 \eta_i^\varepsilon = \sigma \partial_1 \left(\frac{\partial_1 \eta_i^\varepsilon}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon|} \right), & \text{on } \Gamma \\ (\mathbf{v}^\varepsilon, \boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon)(x)|_{t=0} = (\mathbf{u}_0^\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{\eta}_0^\varepsilon(x)), \boldsymbol{\eta}_0^\varepsilon(x)), & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1.15)$$

If formally taking $\varepsilon = 0$, then we have the following free boundary elastodynamic equations in Lagrangian coordinates

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \eta_i = v_i, & \text{in } \Omega \\ \tilde{\rho}_0 \partial_t v_i + a_{ik} \partial_k q - \partial_j (\tilde{\rho}_0 \partial_j \eta_i) = 0, & \text{in } \Omega \\ -q a_{i2} + \tilde{\rho}_0 \partial_2 \eta_i = \sigma \partial_1 \left(\frac{\partial_1 \eta_i}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|} \right), & \text{on } \Gamma \\ (\mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\eta})(x)|_{t=0} = (\mathbf{u}_0(\boldsymbol{\eta}_0(x)), \boldsymbol{\eta}_0(x)) & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1.16)$$

From now on, we will focus on the free boundary viscoelastic and elastic fluid systems (1.15), (1.16) in the Lagrangian coordinates.

1.4. Notation Conventions and Function spaces. Before stating our main results, we make the following notation conventions. We use Einstein's summation convention for repeated indexes throughout the paper. The bold font, such as $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}, \mathbf{A}$ ect., are used to denote a vector or matrix, while the non-bold font, $u_i, v_i, F_{ij}, G_{ij}, A_{ij}$ ect., stand for corresponding each elements. We use $\bar{\partial} := \partial_1, \partial_t$ to denote the tangential derivatives and ∂ also including ∂_2 . The usual L^p spaces, Sobolev spaces $W^{m,p}$ and $H^m = W^{m,2}$ on both the domain Ω and the boundary Γ are used. For notational simplifications, the norms of these spaces defined on Ω are denoted by $\|\cdot\|_{L^p}, \|\cdot\|_{W^{m,p}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_m$, and the norms of these spaces defined on Γ are denoted by $|\cdot|_{L^p}, |\cdot|_{W^{m,p}}$ and $|\cdot|_m$. For real $s \geq 0$, the Hilbert space $H^s(\Gamma)$ and the boundary norm $|\cdot|_s$ (or $|\cdot|_{H^s(\Gamma)}$) is defined by interpolation. The negative-order Sobolev space $H^{-s}(\Gamma)$ are defined via duality: for real $s \geq 0$, $H^{-s}(\Gamma) := [H^s(\Gamma)]'$. Moreover, $\|u\|_{H_{tan}^m}^2 := \sum_{\ell \leq m} \|\partial_1^\ell u\|_0^2$ is introduced for a function

$u \in L^2$. We also introduce the spatial-temporal Sobolev norms of any function $u \in L^2$ on Ω at the instantaneous time t as:

$$\|u(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}^m}^2 := \sum_{\ell \leq m} \|\partial_t^\ell u\|_{m-\ell}^2, \quad \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_{tan}^{m,k}} := \sum_{\ell \leq m-k} \|\partial_t^\ell \partial_1^{m-\ell} u\|_0^2, \quad \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_{tan}^m} := \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_{tan}^{m,0}},$$

and the spatial-temporal Sobolev norms on Γ as:

$$|u(t)|_{\mathcal{H}^m}^2 := \sum_{\ell \leq m} |\partial_t^\ell u|_{m-\ell}^2.$$

$\|\cdot\|_{L_t^p(\mathbf{X})}$ is used as the norm of the space $L^p([0, t]; \mathbf{X})$. C is used to denote generic constants, which only depends on the domain Ω and the boundary Γ , and $f \lesssim g$ is used to denote $f \leq Cg$. $P(\cdot)$ denotes a generic polynomial function of its arguments, and the polynomial coefficients are generic constants C .

1.5. Main Theorems. The aim of this paper is to get a local well-posedness result for classical solutions to (1.15) in a temporal interval independent of the viscosity $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$. This result will also imply the local existence of strong solutions to the free boundary elastodynamic equations (1.16) with surface tension. To obtain the uniform regularities, we define the energy functional

for the free boundary viscoelastic fluid equations (1.15) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{E}^\varepsilon(t) = & \|\boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{H}^m}^2(t) + \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{H}_{tan}^{m,1}}^2(t) + |\bar{\partial}^{m-1} \partial_1^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{n}^\varepsilon|_0^2(t) + \varepsilon \|\nabla^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{H}^{m-1}}^2(t) \\ & + \int_0^t \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{H}^m}^2 + \|\partial_t^m \mathbf{v}^\varepsilon\|_0^4 + \|\partial_t^m \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon\|_0^4 + |\partial_1 \partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{n}^\varepsilon|_0^4 d\tau \\ & + \int_0^t \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{v}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{H}^m}^2 + \varepsilon \|\nabla \mathbf{v}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{H}_{tan}^{m,1}}^2 d\tau + \varepsilon^2 \left(\int_0^t \|\partial_t^m \nabla \mathbf{v}^\varepsilon\|_0^2 d\tau \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (1.17)$$

The corresponding energy for the free boundary elastodynamics equations (1.16) are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{E}(t) = & \|\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{\mathcal{H}^m}^2(t) + \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{\mathcal{H}_{tan}^{m,1}}^2(t) + |\bar{\partial}^{m-1} \partial_1^2 \boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot \mathbf{n}|_0^2(t) \\ & + \int_0^t \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{\mathcal{H}^m}^2 + \|\partial_t^m \mathbf{v}\|_0^4 + \|\partial_t^m \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0^4 + |\partial_1 \partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot \mathbf{n}|_0^4 d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (1.18)$$

We also require that the initial data satisfy the compatibility condition on the boundary. That is, the high order temporal initial data $(\partial_t^\ell \mathbf{v}^\varepsilon(0), \partial_t^\ell \boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon(0), \partial_t^\ell q(0))$ can be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t^\ell v_i^\varepsilon(0) &= \tilde{\rho}_0^{-1} \partial_t^{\ell-1} (-a_{ik}^\varepsilon \partial_k q + 2\mu \varepsilon a_{kl}^\varepsilon \partial_l S_A(v)_{ik}^\varepsilon + \lambda \varepsilon a_{ij}^\varepsilon \partial_j \operatorname{div}_A(v)^\varepsilon + \partial_j (\tilde{\rho}_0^\varepsilon \partial_j \eta_i^\varepsilon))|_{t=0} \\ \partial_t^\ell \eta_i^\varepsilon(0) &= \partial_t^{\ell-1} v_i^\varepsilon(0), \quad \partial_t^\ell q^\varepsilon(0) = \tilde{\rho}_0^\varepsilon \partial_t^\ell (J^\varepsilon)^{-\gamma}|_{t=0} \end{aligned}$$

inductively for $\ell = 1, \dots, m$. And these data should satisfy

$$\left. \partial_t^\ell (-q a_{i2}^\varepsilon + 2\mu \varepsilon (S_A v)_{ik}^\varepsilon a_{k2}^\varepsilon + \lambda \varepsilon (\operatorname{div}_A v)^\varepsilon a_{i2}^\varepsilon + \tilde{\rho}_0^\varepsilon \partial_2 \eta_i^\varepsilon) \right|_{t=0} = \sigma \partial_t^\ell \left(\partial_1 \left(\frac{\partial_1 \eta_i^\varepsilon}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon|} \right) \right) \Big|_{t=0} \quad \text{on } \Gamma \quad (1.19)$$

for $\ell = 1, \dots, m-1$.

The uniform regularity theorem states as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $m \geq 4$. Suppose that the initial data $(\rho_0^\varepsilon, \boldsymbol{\eta}_0^\varepsilon, \mathbf{v}_0^\varepsilon)$ satisfy the compatibility conditions (1.19), and have the following uniform bounds*

$$c_0 \leq \tilde{\rho}_0^\varepsilon \leq C_0, \quad (1.20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\boldsymbol{\eta}_0^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{H}^m}^2 + \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}_0^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{H}_{tan}^{m,1}}^2 + \|\partial_t^m \mathbf{v}_0^\varepsilon\|_0^2 + \|\partial_t^m \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}_0^\varepsilon\|_0^2 \\ & + |\bar{\partial}^{m-1} \partial_1^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}_0^\varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{n}_0^\varepsilon|_0 + |\bar{\partial}^m \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}_0^\varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{n}_0^\varepsilon|_0 + \varepsilon \|\nabla^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}_0^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{H}^{m-1}}^2 \leq C_0, \end{aligned} \quad (1.21)$$

for some generic constants c_0, C_0 . Then there exists a $T_0 > 0$, independent of ε , and a unique solution $(\boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon, \mathbf{v}^\varepsilon)$ to the free boundary problem (1.15) on the time interval $[0, T_0]$ such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T_0]} \mathfrak{E}^\varepsilon(t) \leq C_1, \quad (1.22)$$

where C_1 is a generic constant depending only on c_0, C_0 .

Remark 1.1. *The regularities of solutions implies that the flow map $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ is at least Lipschitzian so that we can get the corresponding classical solutions in Eulerian coordinates.*

Remark 1.2. *The inherent structure of the elastic term enable us to perform uniform regularities in standard Sobolev spaces, which indicates that the boundary layer does not appear in the free boundary problem of compressible viscoelastic fluids. This is different to the case studied by the second author for the free boundary compressible Navier-Stokes system.*

Based on the uniform regularities in Theorem 1.1, we can justify the vanishing viscosity of limit for the free boundary problem of compressible viscoelastic fluid and obtain the local existence of classical solutions to the free boundary elastodynamic equations.

Theorem 1.2. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, if we further assume that there exist $(\rho_0, \boldsymbol{\eta}_0, \mathbf{v}_0)$ such that*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|\tilde{\rho}_0^\varepsilon - \rho_0\|_0 + \|\boldsymbol{\eta}_0^\varepsilon - \boldsymbol{\eta}_0\|_0 + \|\mathbf{v}_0^\varepsilon - \mathbf{v}_0\|_0 = 0. \quad (1.23)$$

Then, there exists $(\boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{v})(t, \cdot)$ on the time interval $[0, T_0]$ such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T_0]} \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{E}}(t) \leq C_1 \quad (1.24)$$

and

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{t \in [0, T_0]} (\|\boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon(t) - \boldsymbol{\eta}(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{m-1}} + \|\mathbf{v}^\varepsilon(t) - \mathbf{v}(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{m-2}}) = 0. \quad (1.25)$$

Moreover, $(\boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{v})$ is the unique classical solution to the free boundary elastodynamic equations (1.16).

1.6. Sketch of proof. We give some comments on the difficulties and ideas in the proof of main theorems. The crucial step is to derive uniform *a priori* estimates of local classical solutions to (1.15) in a small time interval independent of ε . Although the idea in [14] can be adopted here to deal with elastic stress in some sense, we have to propose some new ideas to handle the compressibility of fluid.

First, the estimate of J now depends on the regularity of $\boldsymbol{\eta}$, and the pressure q in compressible fluid is no longer a Lagrangian multiplier, whose estimates follows from a elliptic equation with Dirichlet or Neumann boundary condition for incompressible fluid. We derive the $L_T^2(\mathcal{H}^m)$ estimates of J and q from a geometric identity, the Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Möser-type inequality and the equation $q = \tilde{\rho}_0^{-\gamma} J^{-\gamma}$, which further gives the $L_T^\infty(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})$ ones by using the fundamental theorem of calculus. (c.f. Lemma 3.3).

Next, for the tangential derivative estimates, we use the L^2 -type energy estimate to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (\tilde{\rho}_0 |\bar{\partial}^\alpha \mathbf{v}|^2 + \tilde{\rho}_0 |\bar{\partial}^\alpha \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2) dx - \int_{\Omega} \bar{\partial}^\alpha q \bar{\partial}^\alpha (a_{ik} \partial_k v_i) dx + \text{dissipative terms} \\ & + \int_{\Gamma} (\bar{\partial}^\alpha q a_{i2} - \bar{\partial}^\alpha (2\mu \varepsilon a_{k2} (S_A v)_{ik} + \lambda \varepsilon a_{i2} (\operatorname{div}_A v) + \tilde{\rho}_0 \partial_2 \eta_i)) \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i = \dots \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the transport equation satisfied by pressure in compressible fluid, we can obtain

$$- \int_{\Omega} \bar{\partial}^\alpha q \bar{\partial}^\alpha (a_{ik} \partial_k v_i) dx = \frac{1}{2\gamma} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\rho}_0^{-\gamma} J^{\gamma+1} |\bar{\partial}^\alpha q|^2 dx + \dots$$

which provides the L^2 -type energy of pressure. For the boundary integral in the tangential derivative estimates, we plug in (1.15)₃ and adopt the similar idea as [14] to have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Gamma} (\bar{\partial}^\alpha q a_{i2} - \bar{\partial}^\alpha (2\mu \varepsilon a_{k2} (S_A v)_{ik} + \lambda \varepsilon a_{i2} (\operatorname{div}_A v) + \tilde{\rho}_0 \partial_2 \eta_i)) \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i \\ & = -\sigma \int_{\Gamma} \bar{\partial}^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial_1^2 \eta_k a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) a_{i2} \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i - \int_{\Gamma} \mathfrak{B} \bar{\partial}^\alpha a_{i2} \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathfrak{B} = q + \partial_1 \eta_k a_{k2} / |\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3$. Then, the first term on the right hand side of the above equation, which is related to the surface tension, provides the regularity of the boundary i.e.

$$-\sigma \int_{\Gamma} \bar{\partial}^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial_1^2 \eta_k a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) a_{i2} \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i = \frac{\sigma}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{|\bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot \mathbf{n}|}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|} + \dots$$

While, by using the fact $a_{\cdot 2} = (-\partial_1 \eta_2, \partial_1 \eta_1)^\top = \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}^\perp$ and the anti-symmetric property of $\boldsymbol{\eta}^\perp$ and $\boldsymbol{\eta}$, the second term can reduce to

$$- \int_{\Gamma} \mathfrak{B} \bar{\partial}^\alpha a_{i2} \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Gamma} \mathfrak{B} \bar{\partial}^\alpha a_{i2} \bar{\partial}^\alpha \eta_i + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \partial_1 \mathfrak{B} (\bar{\partial}^\alpha v_2 \bar{\partial}^\alpha \eta_1 - \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_1 \bar{\partial}^\alpha \eta_2) + \dots$$

For the second term on the right hand side above in the case $\bar{\partial}^\alpha = \partial_t^m$, by using the decomposition (3.58), we can write it into a troublesome term of form $\int_{\Gamma} f a_{i2} \partial_t^m v_i$. Whereas, we can not use the duality argument as in [14] to control it, because the term $\|a_{ij} \partial_j \partial_t^m v_i\|_0$, which follows from

the normal trace estimate $|\partial_t^m v_i a_{i2}|_{-\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L^\infty} (\|\partial_t^m \mathbf{v}\|_0 + \|a_{ij} \partial_j \partial_t^m v_i\|_0)$, can not be bounded for compressible fluids. Instead, we use integration by parts to get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \int_\Gamma f a_{i2} \partial_t^m v_i dx_1 d\tau &= \int_0^t \int_\Omega f a_{ij} \partial_j \partial_t^m v_i dx d\tau + \int_0^t \partial_j f a_{ij} \partial_t^m v_i dx d\tau \\ &= \int_\Omega f a_{ij} \partial_j \partial_t^m v_i dx d\tau \Big|_0^t + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

and bound it by $M_0 + \delta \|\nabla \partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}(t)\|_0^2 + P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right)$.

Finally, for the normal derivative estimates, the approach used in [52], based on the Hodge-type estimate and structure of wave equations of enthalpy, will be invalid for the physical dynamic boundary conditions (1.5) studied here, because the boundary conditions for the equations of vorticity and enthalpy become much worse due to the elastic term $\rho \mathbf{F} \mathbf{F}^\top$ on the boundary. Moreover, we also can not directly use the same argument as in [14], in which the elastic term provides a Laplacian equation of $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ with source terms involving only tangential derivatives. In our case, the elastic term provides a semi-linear elliptic equation of $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ which further reduce to the following equation

$$-\mathcal{A}_{ij} \partial_2^2 \eta_j - \mu \varepsilon a_{k2} a_{k2} \partial_2^2 v_i - (\mu + \lambda) \varepsilon a_{i2} a_{j2} \partial_2^2 v_j = \text{l.o.t.},$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{ij} = \tilde{\rho}_0 J \delta_{ij} + \gamma (\tilde{\rho}_0 J^{-1})^\gamma a_{i2} a_{j2}$ is positively symmetric. Then, we can perform L^2 -type energy estimates and control the normal derivatives inductively.

2. PRELIMINARY

In this section, we recall some inequalities and derive some identities and elementary estimates

2.1. General inequalities. The following Gagliardo-Nirenberg-Möser-type inequality will be used repeatedly in the paper.

Lemma 2.1. *The following product and commutator estimates hold:*

- (i) *For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $|\alpha| + |\beta| = m$, and $g, h \in L^\infty(\Omega \times [0, t]) \cap L^2([0, t]; \mathcal{H}^m)$, it holds that*

$$\|\partial^\alpha g \partial^\beta h\|_{L_t^2(L^2(\Omega))} \lesssim \|g\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)} \|h\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^m)} + \|h\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)} \|g\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^m)} \quad (2.1)$$

- (ii) *For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m$, $h \in L^\infty(\Omega \times [0, t]) \cap L^2([0, t]; \mathcal{H}^{m-1})$, and $g \in L^2([0, t]; \mathcal{H}^m)$ such that $\partial g \in L^\infty(\Omega \times [0, t])$, it holds that*

$$\|[\partial^\alpha, g] h\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} \lesssim \|h\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)} \|\partial g\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})} + \|\partial g\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)} \|h\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}, \quad (2.2)$$

where $[\partial^\alpha, g] h := \partial^\alpha(gh) - g \partial^\alpha h$.

- (iii) *For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $2 \leq |\alpha| \leq 3$, and $g, h \in L^\infty(\Omega \times [0, t]) \cap L^2([0, t]; \mathcal{H}^{m-1})$, it holds that*

$$\|[\partial^\alpha, g, h]\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} \lesssim \|\partial g\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)} \|\partial h\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-2})} + \|\partial h\|_{L_t^\infty(L^\infty)} \|\partial g\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-2})} \quad (2.3)$$

where $[\partial^\alpha, g, h] := \partial^\alpha(gh) - \partial^\alpha gh - g \partial^\alpha h$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $g \in H^1([0, t]; L^2)$. Then, we have*

$$\|g(t)\|_0^2 \lesssim t \|\partial_t g\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 + \|g(0)\|_0^2. \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. Since $g \in H^1([0, t]; L^2)$, then $g(t, x) \in C([0, t]; L^2)$. The fundamental theorem of calculus gives

$$g(t, x) = g(0, x) + \int_0^t g_t(\tau, x) d\tau$$

Then, by applying Minkowski and Hölder's inequalities, one can get (2.4). $\square$

Lemma 2.3. *We have the anisotropic Sobolev embedding:*

$$\|g\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2 \lesssim \|\nabla g\|_{H_{tan}^1}^2 + \|g\|_{H_{tan}^2}^2 \quad (2.5)$$

As a consequence, we also have

$$\|g\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}^2 \lesssim \|\nabla g\|_{L_t^\infty(H_{tan}^1)}^2 + \|g\|_{L_t^\infty(H_{tan}^2)}^2. \quad (2.6)$$

We will also use the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *For $g \in H^1(\Gamma)$, and $h \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$ or $h \in H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$, it holds that*

$$|gh|_{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |g|_1 |h|_{\frac{1}{2}}, |gh|_{-\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |g|_1 |h|_{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.7)$$

Proof. It is direct to check that $|gh|_s \lesssim |g|_1 |h|_s$ for $s = 0, 1$ with the help of the Sobolev embedding $|f|_{L^\infty} \lesssim |f|_1$. Then the estimate (2.7) follows by the interpolation. The second inequality follows by the dual estimate. $\square$

The next lemma recall the embedding of fractional Sobolev spaces, refer to [9] for the proof.

Lemma 2.5. *Let Γ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then, for any $g \in H^s(\Gamma)$, we have the following Sobolev embedding:*

$$|g|_{L^r} \lesssim |g|_s, \quad (2.8)$$

for any $r \in [1, \frac{2d}{d-2s}]$, if $2s < d$; for any $r \in [1, \infty)$, if $2s = d$. In particular, for $d = 1, 2$, we have

$$|g|_{L^4} \lesssim |g|_{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.9)$$

2.2. Trace estimates. First, we have the trace estimates, whose proof is given in [14]

Lemma 2.6. *For $g \in H^1(\Omega)$, it holds that*

$$|g|_0^2 \lesssim \|g\|_0^2 + \|g\|_0 \|\nabla g\|_0 \quad (2.10)$$

Next, we have the following normal trace estimates.

Lemma 2.7. *It holds that*

$$|\omega_i a_{i2}|_{-\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \|\nabla \eta\|_{L^\infty} \|\omega\|_0 + \|a_{ij} \partial_j \omega_i\|_0. \quad (2.11)$$

Proof. We refer the reader to [45, Section 5.9]. $\square$

We also need the following lemma

Lemma 2.8. *For any $g \in H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)$, it holds that*

$$|\partial_1 g|_{-\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |g|_{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (2.12)$$

2.3. Korn's inequality. We refer to [14, 29] for the following Korn's type inequality.

Lemma 2.9. *For any $\mathbf{f} \in H^1(\Omega)$, it holds that*

$$\|\nabla \mathbf{f}\|_0^2 \lesssim P(\|\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_2^2)(\|\mathbf{S}_A(\mathbf{f})\|_0^2 + \|\mathbf{f}\|_0^2). \quad (2.13)$$

2.4. Geometric identities. Differentiating J , $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{a}$, we obtain

$$\partial J = a_{ij} \partial_j \partial \eta_i, \quad \partial A_{kj} = -A_{kl} \partial_l \partial \eta_i A_{ij}, \quad \partial a_{kj} = a_{li} \partial_i \partial \eta_l A_{kj} - a_{kl} \partial_l \partial \eta_i A_{ij} \quad (2.14)$$

3. VISCOSITY-INDEPENDENT A PRIORI ESTIMATES

In this section, we derive the ε -independent estimates of smooth solutions to (1.15), which is stated in the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $(\boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon, \mathbf{v}^\varepsilon)$ be a solution to (1.15). Then there exists a time T independent of ε such that*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}^\varepsilon(t) \leq 2M_0, \quad (3.1)$$

where $M_0 = P(\mathfrak{E}(0))$.

The proof of proposition can be divided into proofs of the following lemmas. For notational simplification, we only address the superscript ε of $\mathbf{v}^\varepsilon, \boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon, \mathbf{a}^\varepsilon$, etc. in the statements of lemmas but omit it in the proof without causing any confusion. Since

$$\tilde{\rho}_0^\varepsilon \geq c_0, \quad \frac{1}{c_0} > J_0^\varepsilon \geq c_0 > 0, \quad (3.2)$$

for some $c_0 > 0$, we can assume that there exist a sufficiently small T_ε such that, for $t \in [0, T_\varepsilon]$,

$$|J^\varepsilon(t) - J_0^\varepsilon| \leq \frac{1}{8}c_0, \quad |\partial_j \eta_i^\varepsilon(t) - \partial_j \eta_{0i}^\varepsilon| \leq \frac{1}{8}c_0. \quad (3.3)$$

We now derive ε -independent estimates of smooth solutions $(\mathbf{v}^\varepsilon, \boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon)$ to (1.15) under the a priori assumption (3.3). We start from the basic energy estimates as follows.

3.1. Basic energy estimates.

Lemma 3.2. *For any $t \in [0, T_\varepsilon]$, it holds that*

$$\|\mathbf{v}^\varepsilon(t)\|_0^2 + \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon(t)\|_0^2 + \|Q(f^\varepsilon)(t)\|_{L^1} + \sigma |\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon(t)|_{L^1} \lesssim M_0 + T_\varepsilon \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. Taking the $L^2(\Omega)$ inner product of (1.15)₂ with v_i gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\rho}_0 |\mathbf{v}|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} a_{ik} \partial_k q v_i dx - 2\mu\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} a_{kl} \partial_l (S_A v)_{ik} v_i dx \\ & - \lambda\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} a_{ij} \partial_j (A_{kl} \partial_l v_k) v_i - \int_{\Omega} \partial_j (\tilde{\rho}_0 \partial_j \eta_i) v_i dx = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By the integration by parts and using (1.14), (1.15)₃, (1.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} a_{ik} \partial_k q v_i dx - 2\mu\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} a_{kl} \partial_l (S_A v)_{ik} v_i dx - \lambda\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} a_{ij} \partial_j (A_{kl} \partial_l v_k) v_i - \int_{\Omega} \partial_j (\tilde{\rho}_0 \partial_j \eta_i) v_i dx \\ & = - \int_{\Omega} a_{ik} \partial_k v^i q dx + 2\mu\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} (S_A v)_{ik} a_{kl} \partial_l v_i dx + \lambda\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} J(A_{ij} \partial_j v_i)^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\rho}_0 \partial_j \eta_i \partial_j v_i dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\Gamma} (q a_{i2} - 2\mu\varepsilon (S_A v)_{ik}^\varepsilon a_{k2} - \lambda\varepsilon A_{kl}^\varepsilon \partial_l v_k^\varepsilon a_{i2} - \tilde{\rho}_0 \partial_2 \eta_i) v_i \\ & = - \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \partial_1 \left(\frac{\partial_1 \eta_i}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|} \right) v_i - \int_{\Omega} J_t q dx + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\rho}_0 |\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2 dx \\ & \quad + 2\mu\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} (S_A v)_{ik} a_{kl} \partial_l v_i dx + \lambda\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} J(A_{ij} \partial_j v_i)^2 dx \\ & = \sigma \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial_1 \eta_i \partial_1 v_i}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|} + \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\rho}_0 f_t f^{-2} q(f) dx - \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} J dx + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\rho}_0 |\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2 dx \\ & \quad + 2\mu\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} (S_A v)_{ik} a_{kl} \partial_l v_i dx + \lambda\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} J(A_{ij} \partial_j v_i)^2 dx \\ & = \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Gamma} \sigma |\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}| + \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\rho}_0 Q(f) dx + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\rho}_0 |\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2 dx - \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} J dx \\ & \quad + 2\mu\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} (S_A v)_{ik} a_{kl} \partial_l v_i dx + \lambda\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} J(A_{ij} \partial_j v_i)^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $Q(f) = \int_1^f q(\mu)\mu^{-2}d\mu$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\rho}_0(|\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2 + 2Q(f)) + \int_{\Gamma} \sigma |\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}| - \int_{\Omega} J dx \right) \\ & + 2\mu\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} J |S_A v|^2 dx + \lambda\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} J |\operatorname{div}_A v|^2 dx = 0 \end{aligned}$$

which, following integration in time, yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\rho}_0(|\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2 + 2Q(f)) + \int_{\Gamma} \sigma |\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}| \right) (t) \\ & + 2\mu\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} J |S_A v|^2 dx + \lambda\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} J |\operatorname{div}_A v|^2 dx \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\rho}_0(|\mathbf{v}_0|^2 + |\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}_0|^2 + 2Q(f_0)) + \int_{\Gamma} \sigma |\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}_0| + \int_{\Omega} (J(t) - J_0) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Noticing that by straightforward calculation,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\mu |S_A(\mathbf{f})|^2 + \lambda |\operatorname{div}_A(\mathbf{f})|^2 &= (2\mu + 2\lambda) (S_A(\mathbf{f})_{11}^2 + S_A(\mathbf{f})_{22}^2) + 2\mu (S_A(\mathbf{f})_{12}^2 + S_A(\mathbf{f})_{21}^2) \\ &\quad - \lambda (A_{1\ell} \partial_{\ell} \mathbf{f}_1 - A_{2\ell} \partial_{\ell} \mathbf{f}_2)^2, \end{aligned}$$

by $2\mu + 2\lambda > 0$, $\mu > 0$, we have

$$2\mu |S_A(\mathbf{f})|^2 + \lambda |\operatorname{div}_A(\mathbf{f})|^2 \geq c |S_A(\mathbf{f})|^2. \quad (3.5)$$

Thus, in view of (3.2), (3.3) and Korn's inequality (2.13), (3.4) holds true. $\square$

3.2. Estimates of J and q . Before performing the higher-order estimates, we first prove the following key lemma for the estimate of velocity divergence and pressure.

Lemma 3.3. *For any $t \in [0, T_{\varepsilon}]$, $m \geq 3$, it holds that*

$$\|J^{\varepsilon}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^m)}^2 + \|q^{\varepsilon}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^m)}^2 \lesssim T_{\varepsilon} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T_{\varepsilon}]} \mathfrak{E}^{\varepsilon}(t) \right), \quad (3.6)$$

$$\|J^{\varepsilon}\|_{L_t^{\infty}(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2 + \|q^{\varepsilon}\|_{L_t^{\infty}(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2 \lesssim M_0 + T_{\varepsilon}^2 P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T_{\varepsilon}]} \mathfrak{E}^{\varepsilon}(t) \right). \quad (3.7)$$

Proof. By using (2.14), (3.3) and (2.1), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|J\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^m)}^2 &\lesssim \|J\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m-1} \|\partial^{\alpha}(a_{ij} \partial_j \partial \eta_i)\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 \\ &\lesssim c_0 t + \sum_{|\beta|+|\nu|=|\alpha| \leq m-1} \|\partial^{\beta} a_{ij} \partial^{\nu} \partial \partial_j \eta_i\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 \\ &\lesssim c_0 t + \|a_{ij}\|_{L_{t,x}^{\infty}}^2 \|\partial_j \eta_i\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^m)}^2 + \|\partial_j \eta_i\|_{L_{t,x}^{\infty}}^2 \|a_{ij}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^m)}^2 \\ &\lesssim c_0 t + \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_{t,x}^{\infty}}^2 \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^m)}^2 \lesssim T P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

where we have used

$$\|\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_{t,x}^{\infty}} \lesssim \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})} \lesssim T^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^{\infty}(\mathcal{H}^m)} \quad (3.9)$$

Since J is bounded from below and above in (3.3), direct calculations yield that, for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|\partial^{\alpha}(J^s)| \lesssim \sum_{|\beta_1|+\dots+|\beta_k|=|\alpha|} \left| \partial^{\beta_1} J \dots \partial^{\beta_k} J \right|$$

Then, it follows from Minkowski, Hölder and Gagliardo-Nirenberg's inequalities in $\Omega \times [0, t]$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|J^s\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^m)}^2 &\lesssim \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|\partial^\alpha(J^s)\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 \lesssim \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \sum_{|\beta_1| + \dots + |\beta_k| = |\alpha|} \|\partial^{\beta_1} J \dots \partial^{\beta_k} J\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 \\
&\lesssim \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \sum_{|\beta_1| + \dots + |\beta_k| = |\alpha|} \|\partial^{\beta_1} J\|_{L_{t,x}^{r_1}}^2 \dots \|\partial^{\beta_k} J\|_{L_{t,x}^{r_k}}^2 \\
&\lesssim \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \sum_{|\beta_1| + \dots + |\beta_k| = |\alpha|} \prod_{i=1}^k \left(\|\partial^{|\alpha|} J\|_{L_{t,x}^{2\theta_i}}^{2\theta_i} \|J\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}^{2(1-\theta_i)} + \|J\|_{L_{t,x}^2}^2 \right) \\
&\lesssim \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|\partial^{|\alpha|} J\|_{L_{t,x}^2}^2 + P \left(\|J\|_{L_{t,x}^2}^2 \right) \lesssim \|J\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^m)}^2 + P \left(\|J\|_{L_{t,x}^2}^2 \right) \\
&\lesssim TP \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

where r_i and θ_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$ satisfy

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{r_i} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \frac{1}{r_i} = \frac{|\beta_i|}{3} + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{|\alpha|}{3} \right) \theta_i + \frac{1 - \theta_i}{\infty}, \text{ so that } \sum_{i=1}^k \theta_i = 1.$$

By using (2.4), one can get

$$\|J\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2 \lesssim \|J_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^m}^2 + t \|\partial_t J\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2 \lesssim M_0 + T^2 P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right).$$

For the pressure q , we get from (1.2) and (1.12) that

$$q = A f^\gamma + 1 - p_e = A \tilde{\rho}_0^\gamma J^{-\gamma} + 1 - p_e.$$

Then, it follows from (2.1) and (3.10) that

$$\|q\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^m)}^2 \lesssim \|\tilde{\rho}^\gamma\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}^2 \|J^{-\gamma}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^m)}^2 + \|J^{-\gamma}\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}^2 \|\tilde{\rho}^\gamma\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^m)}^2 + T \lesssim TP \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right).$$

By using (2.4) that

$$\|q\|_{L_t^\infty(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2 \lesssim \|q_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^{m-1}}^2 + t \|\partial_t q\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2 \lesssim M_0 + T^2 P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right).$$

□

3.3. Tangential derivative estimates with at least one spatial derivative. We now derive the high order estimates of tangential derivatives. We have to separate the estimate of fully temporal derivative from other tangential ones since we lose some key estimates for the fully temporal derivative case. The following lemma states the estimate of tangential derivatives with at least one spatial one.

Lemma 3.4. *For any $t \in [0, T_\varepsilon]$, $m \geq 4$, and any $\beta = (\beta_0, \beta_1)$ with $0 \leq \beta_0 + \beta_1 \leq m - 1$, it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|v^\varepsilon\|_{L_t^\infty(\mathcal{H}_{tan}^{m,1})}^2 + \|q^\varepsilon\|_{L_t^\infty(\mathcal{H}_{tan}^{m,1})}^2 + \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon\|_{L_t^\infty(\mathcal{H}_{tan}^{m,1})}^2 + \sum_{|\beta| \leq m-1} |\bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_1^2 \eta_1^\varepsilon a_{i2}|_0^2 + \varepsilon \|\nabla v^\varepsilon\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}_{tan}^{m,1})}^2 \\
&\lesssim M_0 + \delta \sup_{t \in [0, T_\varepsilon]} \mathfrak{E}(t) + T_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Proof. For $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq m$, where $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ with $\alpha_1 \geq 1$, applying $\bar{\partial}^\alpha$ to the second equation of (1.15), and then taking the $L^2(\Omega)$ inner product with $\bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i$ yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\rho}_0 |\bar{\partial}^\alpha \mathbf{v}|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} a_{ik} \partial_k \bar{\partial}^\alpha q \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i dx - 2\mu\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \bar{\partial}^\alpha (a_{kl} \partial_l (S_A v)_{ik}) \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i dx \\ & - \lambda\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \bar{\partial}^\alpha (a_{ij} \partial_j \operatorname{div}_A v) \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i dx - \int_{\Omega} \partial_j (\bar{\partial}^\alpha (\tilde{\rho}_0 \partial_j \eta_i)) \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i dx \\ & = - \underbrace{\int_{\Omega} [\bar{\partial}^\alpha, \tilde{\rho}_0] \partial_t v_i \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i dx}_{R_\eta^1} - \underbrace{\int_{\Omega} [\bar{\partial}^\alpha, a_{ik}] \partial_k q \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i dx}_{R_q^1}. \end{aligned}$$

By integration by parts and using (1.14), the third equation of (1.15), (1.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} a_{ik} \partial_k \bar{\partial}^\alpha q \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i dx - 2\mu\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \bar{\partial}^\alpha (a_{kl} \partial_l (S_A v)_{ik}) \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i dx \\ & - \lambda\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \bar{\partial}^\alpha (a_{ij} \partial_j \operatorname{div}_A v) \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i dx - \int_{\Omega} \partial_j (\bar{\partial}^\alpha (\tilde{\rho}_0 \partial_j \eta_i)) \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i dx \\ & = - \int_{\Omega} a_{ik} \bar{\partial}^\alpha q \bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_k v_i dx + 2\mu\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \bar{\partial}^\alpha ((S_A v)_{ik} a_{kl}) \partial_l \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i dx \\ & + \lambda\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \bar{\partial}^\alpha (a_{ij} \operatorname{div}_A v) \partial_j \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i dx + \int_{\Omega} \bar{\partial}^\alpha (\tilde{\rho}_0 \partial_j \eta_i) \partial_j \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i dx \\ & + \int_{\Gamma} (\bar{\partial}^\alpha q a_{i2} - \bar{\partial}^\alpha (2\mu\varepsilon a_{k2} (S_A v)_{ik} + \lambda\varepsilon a_{i2} (\operatorname{div}_A v) + \tilde{\rho}_0 \partial_2 \eta_i)) \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i \\ & = - \int_{\Omega} \bar{\partial}^\alpha q \bar{\partial}^\alpha (a_{ik} \partial_k v_i) dx + \underbrace{\int_{\Omega} \bar{\partial}^\alpha q [\bar{\partial}^\alpha, a_{ik}] \partial_k v_i dx}_{R_\eta^2} + 2\mu\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} J |\mathbf{S}_A(\bar{\partial}^\alpha \mathbf{v})|^2 dx \\ & + \lambda\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} J (\operatorname{div}_A(\bar{\partial}^\alpha v))^2 dx + \underbrace{2\mu\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} [\bar{\partial}^\alpha, a_{kl}] (S_A v)_{ik} \partial_l \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i dx}_{R_\varepsilon^1} \\ & + \lambda\varepsilon \underbrace{\int_{\Omega} [\bar{\partial}^\alpha, a_{ij}] (\operatorname{div}_A v) \partial_j \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i dx}_{R_\varepsilon^2} + \underbrace{2\mu\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} [\bar{\partial}^\alpha, A_{ij}] \partial_j v_k J S_A(\bar{\partial}^\alpha v)_{ik} dx}_{R_\varepsilon^3} \\ & + \lambda\varepsilon \underbrace{\int_{\Omega} [\bar{\partial}^\alpha, A_{ij}] \partial_j v_i J \operatorname{div}_A(\bar{\partial}^\alpha v) dx}_{R_\varepsilon^4} + \underbrace{\int_{\Omega} \tilde{\rho}_0 \partial_j \bar{\partial}^\alpha \eta_i \partial_j \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i dx}_{R_\eta^3} + \underbrace{\int_{\Omega} [\bar{\partial}^\alpha, \tilde{\rho}_0] \partial_j \eta_i \partial_j \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i dx}_{R_\eta^3} \\ & + \int_{\Gamma} (\bar{\partial}^\alpha q a_{i2} - \bar{\partial}^\alpha (2\mu\varepsilon a_{k2} (S_A v)_{ik} + \lambda\varepsilon a_{i2} (\operatorname{div}_A v) + \tilde{\rho}_0 \partial_2 \eta_i)) \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i \\ & = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\rho}_0 |\bar{\partial}^\alpha \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} \bar{\partial}^\alpha q \bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_t J dx + 2\mu\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} J |\mathbf{S}_A(\bar{\partial}^\alpha \mathbf{v})|^2 dx + \lambda\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} J (\operatorname{div}_A(\bar{\partial}^\alpha v))^2 dx \\ & + \int_{\Gamma} (\bar{\partial}^\alpha q a_{i2} - \bar{\partial}^\alpha (2\mu\varepsilon a_{k2} (S_A v)_{ik} + \lambda\varepsilon a_{i2} (\operatorname{div}_A v) + \tilde{\rho}_0 \partial_2 \eta_i)) \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i + R_\eta^2 + R_\eta^3 + \sum_{i=1}^4 R_\varepsilon^i. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, (1.12) implies

$$\partial_t J = \partial_t (\tilde{\rho}_0 f^{-1}) = -\tilde{\rho}_0 \frac{\partial_t f}{f^2} = -\tilde{\rho}_0 \frac{\partial_t q}{q'(f) f^2} = -\frac{\tilde{\rho}_0 \partial_t q}{\gamma A f^{\gamma+1}} = -\frac{J^{\gamma+1}}{\gamma A \tilde{\rho}_0^\gamma} \partial_t q. \quad (3.12)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_{\Omega} \bar{\partial}^{\alpha} q \bar{\partial}^{\alpha} \partial_t J dx = \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{\Omega} \bar{\partial}^{\alpha} q \bar{\partial}^{\alpha} (\tilde{\rho}_0^{-\gamma} J^{\gamma+1} \partial_t q) dx \\
& = \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\rho}_0^{-\gamma} J^{\gamma+1} \bar{\partial}^{\alpha} q \partial_t \bar{\partial}^{\alpha} q dx + \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{\Omega} \bar{\partial}^{\alpha} q [\bar{\partial}^{\alpha}, \tilde{\rho}_0^{-\gamma} J^{\gamma+1}] \partial_t q dx \\
& = \frac{1}{2\gamma} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\rho}_0^{-\gamma} J^{\gamma+1} |\bar{\partial}^{\alpha} q|^2 dx - \underbrace{\frac{\gamma+1}{2\gamma} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\rho}_0^{-\gamma} J^{\gamma} J_t |\bar{\partial}^{\alpha} q|^2 dx}_{R_q^2} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{\Omega} \bar{\partial}^{\alpha} q [\bar{\partial}^{\alpha}, \tilde{\rho}_0^{-\gamma} J^{\gamma+1}] \partial_t q dx}_{R_q^3}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, combining the above equations, we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\rho}_0 (|\bar{\partial}^{\alpha} \mathbf{v}|^2 + |\bar{\partial}^{\alpha} \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2) dx + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\rho}_0^{-\gamma} J^{\gamma+1} |\bar{\partial}^{\alpha} q|^2 dx \\
& + 2\mu\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} J |\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{A}}(\bar{\partial}^{\alpha} \mathbf{v})|^2 dx + \lambda\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} J (\operatorname{div}_A(\bar{\partial}^{\alpha} v))^2 dx \\
& + \underbrace{\int_{\Gamma} (\bar{\partial}^{\alpha} q a_{i2} - \bar{\partial}^{\alpha} (2\mu\varepsilon a_{k2} (S_A v)_{ik} + \lambda\varepsilon a_{i2} (\operatorname{div}_A v) + \tilde{\rho}_0 \partial_2 \eta_i)) \bar{\partial}^{\alpha} v_i}_{R_b} \\
& = - \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 R_{\eta}^i + \sum_{i=1}^3 R_q^i + \sum_{i=1}^4 R_{\varepsilon}^i \right). \tag{3.13}
\end{aligned}$$

We now estimate the terms R_{η}^i , R_q^i and R_{ε}^i one by one. It follows from (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_0^t R_{\eta}^1 \right| & \lesssim \|[\bar{\partial}^{\alpha}, \tilde{\rho}_0] \partial_t v_i\|_{L_{t,x}^2} \|\bar{\partial}^{\alpha} v_i\|_{L_{t,x}^2} \\
& \lesssim (\|\partial \tilde{\rho}_0\|_{L_{t,x}^{\infty}} \|\partial_t \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})} + \|\partial_t \mathbf{v}\|_{L_{t,x}^{\infty}} \|\partial \tilde{\rho}_0\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-2})}) \|\bar{\partial}^{\alpha} \mathbf{v}\|_{L_{t,x}^2} \\
& \lesssim \|\mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^m)} t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\bar{\partial}^{\alpha} \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^{\infty} L_x^2} \lesssim T^{\frac{1}{2}} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}^{\varepsilon}(t),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

where we have used $\|\partial_t \mathbf{v}\|_{L_{t,x}^{\infty}} \lesssim \|\partial_t \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}$ from Sobolev's embedding. Similarly, we have

$$\left| \int_0^t R_q^1 \right| \lesssim \|\bar{\partial} a_{ik}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})} \|\partial_k q\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})} t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\bar{\partial}^{\alpha} v_i\|_{L_t^{\infty} L_x^2} \lesssim TP \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}^{\varepsilon}(t) \right) \tag{3.15}$$

$$\left| \int_0^t R_{\eta}^2 \right| \lesssim \|\bar{\partial} a_{ik}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})} \|\partial_k v_i\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})} t^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\bar{\partial}^{\alpha} q\|_{L_t^{\infty} L_x^2} \lesssim TP \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}^{\varepsilon}(t) \right), \tag{3.16}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_0^t R_{\eta}^3 \right| & \lesssim \left| \int_{\Omega} [\bar{\partial}^{\alpha}, \tilde{\rho}_0] \partial_j \eta_i \partial_j \bar{\partial}^{\alpha} \eta_i \right|_0^t + \left| \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} [\bar{\partial}^{\alpha}, \tilde{\rho}_0] \partial_t \partial_j \eta_i \partial_j \bar{\partial}^{\alpha} \eta_i dx d\tau \right| \\
& \lesssim M_0 + \delta \|\bar{\partial}^{\alpha} \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}(t)\|_0^2 + T \|\partial_t \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2 + \|\partial_t \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})} \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}_{tan}^{m,1})} \\
& \lesssim M_0 + \delta \|\bar{\partial}^{\alpha} \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}(t)\|_0^2 + T^{\frac{1}{2}} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}^{\varepsilon}(t),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

where, in (3.17), we have used $\|\tilde{\rho}_0\|_{H^m} \leq C$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_{\Omega} [\bar{\partial}^\alpha, \tilde{\rho}_0] \partial_j \eta_i(t) \partial_j \bar{\partial}^\alpha \eta_i(t) \right| = \left| \int_{\Omega} \sum_{|\beta| \geq 1, |\beta|+|\nu|=m} C_\alpha^\beta \bar{\partial}^\beta \tilde{\rho}_0 \bar{\partial}^\nu \partial_j \eta_i \bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_j \eta_i \right| \\
& \lesssim \delta \|\bar{\partial}^\alpha \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}(t)\|_0^2 + C_\delta (\|\partial \tilde{\rho}_0\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\bar{\partial}^{m-1} \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}(t)\|_0^2 + \|\partial^m \tilde{\rho}_0\|_0^2 \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}(t)\|_{L^\infty}^2) \\
& \quad + C_\delta \sum_{|\beta| \geq 2, |\beta|+|\nu|=m} \|\partial^\beta \tilde{\rho}_0\|_{L^4}^2 \|\bar{\partial}^\nu \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}(t)\|_{L^4}^2 \\
& \lesssim \delta \|\bar{\partial}^\alpha \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}(t)\|_0^2 + C_\delta \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{m-1}}^2 \\
& \lesssim \delta \|\bar{\partial}^\alpha \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}(t)\|_0^2 + M_0 + T \|\partial_t \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

In view of (3.2), (3.3), it is obvious that

$$\left| \int_0^t R_q^2 \right| \lesssim T \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}^\epsilon(t) \quad (3.18)$$

It follows from (2.2) and (3.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_0^t R_q^3 \right| & \lesssim \|\bar{\partial}^\alpha q\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} \|[\bar{\partial}^\alpha, \tilde{\rho}_0^{-\gamma} J^{\gamma+1}] \partial_t q\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} \\
& \lesssim \|\bar{\partial}^\alpha q\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} (\|\bar{\partial}(\tilde{\rho}_0^{-\gamma} J^{\gamma+1})\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_t q\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})} + \|\partial_t q\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty} \|\bar{\partial}(\tilde{\rho}_0^{-\gamma} J^{\gamma+1})\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}) \\
& \lesssim \|\bar{\partial}^\alpha q\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} \|\partial_t q\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})} \|\bar{\partial}(\tilde{\rho}_0^{-\gamma} J^{\gamma+1})\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})} \lesssim T^{\frac{1}{2}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}^\epsilon(t) \right). \quad (3.19)
\end{aligned}$$

In view of (3.3), Sobolev interpolation inequalities and the following estimate from (2.6)

$$\|\bar{\partial} \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty} \lesssim \|\bar{\partial} \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^\infty(H_{tan}^2)} + \|\bar{\partial} \nabla^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^\infty(H_{tan}^1)} \quad (3.20)$$

one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_0^t R_\epsilon^1 + R_\epsilon^2 \right| & \lesssim \varepsilon \|[\bar{\partial}^\alpha, \mathbf{a}](\mathbf{A} \nabla \mathbf{v})\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} \|\nabla \bar{\partial}^\alpha \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} \\
& \lesssim \varepsilon \left(\|\mathbf{A} \nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial \mathbf{a}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})} + \|\partial \mathbf{a}\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty} \|\mathbf{A} \nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})} \right) \|\nabla \bar{\partial}^\alpha \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} \quad (3.21) \\
& \lesssim \varepsilon \left(\|\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty} \|\partial \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty} \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^m)} + \|\partial \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}^2 \|\mathbf{A}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})} \right) \|\nabla \bar{\partial}^\alpha \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} \\
& \lesssim \delta \varepsilon \|\nabla \bar{\partial}^\alpha \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 + TP \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (3.9) and

$$\|\partial \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty} \lesssim \|\partial \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})} \lesssim \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^m)} \quad (3.22)$$

Similarly, it follows from (2.2) and (3.20) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_0^t R_\epsilon^3 + R_\epsilon^4 \right| & \lesssim \varepsilon \|[\bar{\partial}^\alpha, \mathbf{A}] \nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} (\|\sqrt{J} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{A}(\bar{\partial}^\alpha \mathbf{v})\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} + \|\sqrt{J} \operatorname{div}_A(\bar{\partial} v)\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}) \\
& \lesssim \delta \varepsilon (\|\sqrt{J} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{A}(\bar{\partial}^\alpha \mathbf{v})\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 + \|\sqrt{J} \operatorname{div}_A(\bar{\partial}^\alpha v)\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2) + TP \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \quad (3.23)
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we estimate the boundary term R_b . Since

$$\sigma \partial_1 \left(\frac{\partial_1 \eta_i}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|} \right) = \sigma \frac{\partial_1^2 \eta_k a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} a_{i2},$$

then one gets from (1.15)₃ that

$$\mathfrak{B} := \sigma \frac{\partial_1^2 \eta_k a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} + q = 2\mu\varepsilon \frac{a_{k2} A_{il} \partial_l v_k a_{i2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2} + \lambda \varepsilon \operatorname{div}_A v + \frac{\tilde{\rho}_0 J}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2}. \quad (3.24)$$

then, we can obtain from (1.15)₃ and integration by parts that

$$\begin{aligned} R_b &= - \int_{\Gamma} \sigma \bar{\partial}^\alpha \left(\frac{\partial_1^2 \eta_k a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) a_{i2} \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i - \underbrace{\int_{\Gamma} \mathfrak{B} \bar{\partial}^\alpha a_{i2} \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i}_{R_b^1} - \underbrace{\int_{\Gamma} [\bar{\partial}^\alpha, \mathfrak{B}, a_{i2}] \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i}_{R_b^2} \\ &= - \sigma \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_1^2 \eta_k a_{k2} a_{i2} \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i - \underbrace{\sigma \int_{\Gamma} \bar{\partial}^\alpha \left(\frac{a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) \partial_1^2 \eta_k a_{i2} \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i}_{R_b^3} \\ &\quad - \underbrace{\sigma \int_{\Gamma} \left[\bar{\partial}^\alpha, \partial_1^2 \eta_k, \frac{a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right] a_{i2} \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i}_{R_b^4} + R_b^1 + R_b^2 \\ &= \sigma \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_1 \eta_k a_{k2} a_{i2} \bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_t \partial_1 \eta_i + \underbrace{\sigma \int_{\Gamma} \partial_1 \left(\frac{a_{k2} a_{i2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) \bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_1 \eta_k \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i}_{R_b^5} + R_b^1 + \dots + R_b^4 \\ &= \underbrace{\frac{\sigma}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{|\bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_1 \eta_k a_{k2}|^2}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3}}_{R_b^6} - \underbrace{\frac{\sigma}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \partial_t \left(\frac{a_{k2} a_{i2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) \bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_1 \eta_k \bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_1 \eta_i}_{R_b^6} + R_b^1 + \dots + R_b^5. \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

Before controlling the terms R_b^i , $i = 1, \dots, 6$, we first derive estimate of $\mathfrak{B}$ as follows. By using (3.24), (2.1) and (3.3), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathfrak{B}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^m)}^2 &\lesssim \varepsilon^2 \left(\left\| \frac{a_{k2} A_{il} \partial_l v_k a_{i2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2} \right\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^m)}^2 + \|\operatorname{div}_A v\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^m)}^2 \right) + \left\| \frac{\tilde{\rho}_0 J}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2} \right\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^m)}^2 \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon^2 \left(\|\mathbf{A} \nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^m)}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty} \left(\|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^m)} + \left\| \frac{1}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2} \right\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^m)}^2 \right) \right) \\ &\quad + \|J\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^m)} + \left\| \frac{1}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2} \right\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^m)}^2 + \|\tilde{\rho}_0\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^m)} \\ &\lesssim P \left(\|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^m)}^2 \right) (\varepsilon^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty} + 1) + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^m)} \\ &\lesssim P \left(\|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^m)}^2 \right) (\varepsilon^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^m)} + 1) \lesssim P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

where we have used

$$\|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|^{-2} \| \cdot \|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^m)}^2 \lesssim \|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^m)}^2 + P \left(\|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_{t,x}^2}^2 \right)$$

Then, it follows from (2.4) that

$$\|\mathfrak{B}\|_{L_t^\infty(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})} \lesssim M_0 + T \|\partial_t \mathfrak{B}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2 \lesssim M_0 + TP \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{B}(t) \right). \quad (3.27)$$

As a consequence, the terms R_b^i , $i = 1, \dots, 6$ can be bounded as follows. For R_b^1 , direct calculations yield that

$$\begin{aligned} R_b^1 &= -\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Gamma} \mathfrak{B} \bar{\partial}^\alpha a_{i2} \bar{\partial}^\alpha \eta_i + \int_{\Gamma} \partial_t \mathfrak{B} \bar{\partial}^\alpha a_{i2} \bar{\partial}^\alpha \eta_i + \int_{\Gamma} \mathfrak{B} \bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_t a_{i2} \bar{\partial}^\alpha \eta_i \\ &= -\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Gamma} \mathfrak{B} \bar{\partial}^\alpha a_{i2} \bar{\partial}^\alpha \eta_i + \int_{\Gamma} \partial_t \mathfrak{B} \bar{\partial}^\alpha a_{i2} \bar{\partial}^\alpha \eta_i + \int_{\Gamma} \partial_1 \mathfrak{B} (\bar{\partial}^\alpha v_2 \bar{\partial}^\alpha \eta_1 - \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_1 \bar{\partial}^\alpha \eta_2) \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\int_{\Gamma} \mathfrak{B} (\bar{\partial}^\alpha v_2 \bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_1 \eta_1 - \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_1 \bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_1 \eta_2)}_{-R_b^1} \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$R_b^1 = -\underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Gamma} \mathfrak{B} \bar{\partial}^\alpha a_{i2} \bar{\partial}^\alpha \eta_i}_{R_b^{1,1}} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \partial_t \mathfrak{B} \bar{\partial}^\alpha a_{i2} \bar{\partial}^\alpha \eta_i + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \partial_1 \mathfrak{B} (\bar{\partial}^\alpha v_2 \bar{\partial}^\alpha \eta_1 - \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_1 \bar{\partial}^\alpha \eta_2)}_{R_b^{1,2}}. \quad (3.28)$$

To estimate $R_b^{1,1}$, we use the following identities

$$a_{i2} a_{j2} + \partial_1 \eta_i \partial_1 \eta_j = |\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2 \delta_{ij}, \quad \bar{\partial}^\alpha a_{i2} a_{i2} = \bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_1 \eta_i \partial_1 \eta_i, \quad \bar{\partial}^\alpha a_{i2} \partial_1 \eta_i = -\bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2}. \quad (3.29)$$

to write

$$\begin{aligned} R_b^{1,1} &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Gamma} \mathfrak{B} \frac{1}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2} \bar{\partial}^\alpha a_{i2} (a_{i2} a_{j2} + \partial_1 \eta_i \partial_1 \eta_j) \bar{\partial}^\alpha \eta_j \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Gamma} \mathfrak{B} \frac{\bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_1 \eta_i \partial_1 \eta_i}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2} a_{j2} \bar{\partial}^\alpha \eta_j + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Gamma} \mathfrak{B} \frac{\bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2} \partial_1 \eta_j \bar{\partial}^\alpha \eta_j \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Gamma} \mathfrak{B} \frac{\bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2} \partial_1 \eta_j \bar{\partial}^\alpha \eta_j + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Gamma} \partial_1 \left(\frac{\mathfrak{B} \partial_1 \eta_i a_{j2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2} \right) \bar{\partial}^\alpha \eta_i \bar{\partial}^\alpha \eta_j \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by using (2.9), (2.10) and (3.26), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^t R_b^{1,1} \right| &\lesssim \left| \int_{\Gamma} \mathfrak{B} \frac{\bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2} \partial_1 \eta_j \bar{\partial}^\alpha \eta_j \right|_0^t + \left| \int_{\Gamma} \partial_1 \left(\frac{\mathfrak{B} \partial_1 \eta_i a_{j2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2} \right) \bar{\partial}^\alpha \eta_i \bar{\partial}^\alpha \eta_j \right|_0^t \\ &\lesssim M_0 + |\bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2}|_0 |\bar{\partial}^\alpha \boldsymbol{\eta}|_0 |\mathfrak{B}|_1 + |\partial_1 \mathfrak{B}|_{L^4} |\bar{\partial}^\alpha \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{L^4} |\bar{\partial}^\alpha \boldsymbol{\eta}|_0 + |\mathfrak{B}|_1 |\partial_1^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{L^4} |\bar{\partial}^\alpha \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{L^4} |\bar{\partial}^\alpha \boldsymbol{\eta}|_0 \\ &\lesssim M_0 + \delta (|\bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2}|_0^2 + \|\bar{\partial}^\alpha \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0^2) + C_\delta (\|\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{\mathcal{H}^m}^2 + \|\mathfrak{B}\|_{H^2}^2)^2 \\ &\lesssim M_0 + \delta \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) + TP \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

For $R_b^{1,2}$, since $\alpha_1 \geq 1$, it follows from the dual estimate, (2.7) and (3.26) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^t R_b^{1,2} \right| &\lesssim \int_0^t |\bar{\partial} \mathfrak{B} \bar{\partial}^\alpha \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{\frac{1}{2}} |\bar{\partial}^\alpha \bar{\partial} \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{-\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \int_0^t |\bar{\partial} \mathfrak{B}|_1 |\bar{\partial}^\alpha \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{\frac{1}{2}} |\bar{\partial}^m \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \int_0^t (\|\mathfrak{B}\|_{\mathcal{H}^2} + \|\nabla \mathfrak{B}\|_{\mathcal{H}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathfrak{B}\|_{\mathcal{H}^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}) \|\bar{\partial}^\alpha \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_1 \|\bar{\partial}^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_1 \\ &\lesssim (\|\mathfrak{B}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^2)} + \|\nabla \mathfrak{B}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^2)}) \|\bar{\partial}^\alpha \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^4(H^1)} \|\bar{\partial}^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^4(H^1)} \\ &\lesssim T^{\frac{1}{4}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.31)$$

which combining with (3.30) implies that

$$\left| \int_0^t R_b^1 \right| \lesssim M_0 + \delta \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) + T^{\frac{1}{4}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \quad (3.32)$$

For R_b^2 , it follows from duality and trace estimate that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^t R_b^2 \right| &\lesssim \int_0^t \|[\bar{\partial}^\alpha, \mathfrak{B}, a_{i2}]\|_{\frac{1}{2}} |\bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i|_{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \|[\bar{\partial}^\alpha, \mathfrak{B}, a_{i2}]\|_{L_t^2(H^1)} \|\bar{\partial}^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^4(H^1)} t^{\frac{1}{4}} \lesssim T^{\frac{1}{4}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

where we have used the following estimate, which is obtained by using (2.1) and (3.26)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla[\bar{\partial}^\alpha, \mathfrak{B}, a_{i2}]\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 &\lesssim \sum_{1 \leq |\beta| \leq m-1, |\beta|+|\nu|=m} \int_0^t \|\bar{\partial}^\beta \nabla \mathfrak{B} \bar{\partial}^\nu \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0^2 + \|\bar{\partial}^\beta \mathfrak{B} \bar{\partial}^\nu \nabla \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0^2 \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla \mathfrak{B}\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty} \|\nabla \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})} + \|\nabla \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty} \|\nabla \mathfrak{B}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})} \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla \mathfrak{B}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})} \|\nabla \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})} \lesssim P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \end{aligned}$$

For R_b^3 , noticing $\bar{\partial}^\alpha a_{k2} \partial_1^2 \eta_k = -\bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_1 \eta_k \partial_1 a_{k2}$, we can write it as

$$\begin{aligned} R_b^3 &= \sigma \int_\Gamma \frac{1}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_1 \eta_k \partial_1 a_{k2} a_{i2} \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i + \sigma \int_\Gamma \left[\bar{\partial}^\alpha, \frac{1}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right] \partial_1 \eta_k \partial_1 a_{k2} a_{i2} \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i \\ &= \underbrace{\sigma \frac{d}{dt} \int_\Gamma \frac{1}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_1 \eta_k \partial_1 a_{k2} a_{i2} \bar{\partial}^\alpha \eta_i}_{R_b^{3,1}} + \underbrace{\sigma \int_\Gamma \frac{1}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_k \partial_1 a_{k2} a_{i2} \bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_1 \eta_i}_{R_b^{3,2}} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\sigma \int_\Gamma \left(\bar{\partial}^\alpha v_k \partial_1 \left(\frac{1}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \partial_1 a_{k2} a_{i2} \right) - \bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_1 \eta_k \partial_t \left(\frac{1}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \partial_1 a_{k2} a_{i2} \right) \right) \bar{\partial}^\alpha \eta_i}_{R_b^{3,3}} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\sigma \int_\Gamma \left[\bar{\partial}^\alpha, \frac{1}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right] \partial_1 \eta_k \partial_1 a_{k2} a_{i2} \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i}_{R_b^{3,4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, utilizing integration by parts in x_1 , (2.9), (2.10) and (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^t R_b^{3,1} \right| &\lesssim \left| \sigma \int_\Gamma \frac{1}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_1 \eta_k \partial_1 a_{k2} a_{i2} \bar{\partial}^\alpha \eta_i \right|_0^t = \left| \sigma \int_\Gamma \bar{\partial}^\alpha \eta_k \partial_1 \left(\frac{1}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \partial_1 a_{k2} a_{i2} \bar{\partial}^\alpha \eta_i \right) \right|_0^t \\ &\lesssim M_0 + \sigma |\bar{\partial}^\alpha \eta_k|_0 \left| \frac{\partial_1 a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right|_{L^\infty} |\bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2}|_0(t) \\ &\quad + \sigma |\bar{\partial}^\alpha \eta_k|_0 \left| \partial_1 \left(\frac{\partial_1 a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) \right|_0 |\bar{\partial}^\alpha \eta_i a_{i2}|_1(t) + \sigma |\bar{\partial}^\alpha \eta_k|_0 \left| \frac{\partial_1 a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \partial_1 a_{i2} \right|_{L^4} |\bar{\partial}^\alpha \eta_i|_{L^4}(t) \\ &\lesssim M_0 + \sigma (|\partial_1^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}|_1 + |\partial_1^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{L^4}^2) |\bar{\partial}^\alpha \boldsymbol{\eta}|_0 |\bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2}|_0(t) \\ &\quad + \sigma (|\partial_1^3 \boldsymbol{\eta}|_0 + |\partial_1^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{L^4}^2) |\bar{\partial}^\alpha \boldsymbol{\eta}|_0 (|\bar{\partial}^\alpha \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{L^4} |\partial_1^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{L^4} + |\bar{\partial}^\alpha \boldsymbol{\eta}|_0) \\ &\quad + \sigma |\bar{\partial}^\alpha \eta_k|_0 |\partial_1 a_{k2} \partial_1 a_{i2}|_{\frac{1}{2}} |\bar{\partial}^\alpha \eta_k|_{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim M_0 + \sigma \|\partial_1^3 \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0 \|\bar{\partial}^\alpha \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\bar{\partial}^\alpha \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0^{\frac{1}{2}} |\bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2}|_0 \\ &\quad + \sigma \|\partial_1^3 \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0 \|\bar{\partial}^\alpha \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\bar{\partial}^\alpha \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_1^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_1 + P(\|\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{\mathcal{H}^m}^2) \\ &\lesssim M_0 + \delta \sigma |\bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2}|_0^2 + \delta \|\bar{\partial}^\alpha \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0^2 + TP \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.34)$$

From (3.24), one has

$$\sigma \partial_1^2 \eta_k a_{k2} = -|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3 q + \tilde{\rho}_0 |\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}| J + 2\mu \varepsilon a_{k2} A_{il} \partial_l v_k a_{i2} |\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}| + \lambda \varepsilon \operatorname{div}_A v |\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3.$$

Then, for any β with $|\beta| \leq m-1$

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_1^2 \eta_k a_{k2}|_{L_t^2(H^{\frac{1}{2}})}^2 &\lesssim |[\bar{\partial}^\beta, a_{k2}] \partial_1^2 \eta_k|_{L_t^2(H^{\frac{1}{2}})}^2 + |\bar{\partial}^\beta (\tilde{\rho}_0 |\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}| J)|_{L_t^2(H^{\frac{1}{2}})}^2 + |\bar{\partial}^\beta (|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3 q)|_{L_t^2(H^{\frac{1}{2}})}^2 \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^2 |\bar{\partial}^\beta (a_{k2} A_{il} \partial_l v_k a_{i2} |\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|)|_{L_t^2(H^{\frac{1}{2}})}^2 + \varepsilon^2 |\bar{\partial}^\beta (\operatorname{div}_A v |\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3)|_{L_t^2(H^{\frac{1}{2}})}^2 \\ &\lesssim \|[\bar{\partial}^\beta, a_{k2}] \partial_1^2 \eta_k\|_{L_t^2(H^1)}^2 + \|\bar{\partial}^\beta (\tilde{\rho}_0 |\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}| J)\|_{L_t^2(H^1)}^2 + \|\bar{\partial}^\beta (|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3 q)\|_{L_t^2(H^1)}^2 \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^2 \|\bar{\partial}^\beta (a_{k2} A_{il} \partial_l v_k a_{i2} |\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|)\|_{L_t^2(H^1)}^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\bar{\partial}^\beta (\operatorname{div}_A v |\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3)\|_{L_t^2(H^1)}^2 \\ &\lesssim \int_0^t \|\nabla \eta\|_{\mathcal{H}^m}^2 + \|\nabla q\|_{\mathcal{H}^{m-1}}^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla v\|_{\mathcal{H}^m}^2 \lesssim P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

which combining with (2.7) yields that, for $\alpha_1 \geq 1$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^t R_b^{3,2} \right| &\lesssim \sigma \int_0^t |\bar{\partial}^\alpha v|_{-\frac{1}{2}} |\partial_1^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}|_1 |a_{i2} \bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_1 \eta_i|_{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \sigma |a_{i2} \bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_1 \eta_i|_{L_t^2(H^{\frac{1}{2}})} |\bar{\partial}^{m-1} v|_{L_t^4(H^{\frac{1}{2}})} |\partial_1^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{L_t^4(H^1)} \\ &\lesssim T^{\frac{1}{4}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

It follows from (2.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^t R_b^{3,3} \right| &\lesssim \int_0^t |\bar{\partial}^\alpha \bar{\partial} \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{-\frac{1}{2}} |\partial_1^2 \bar{\partial} \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{\frac{1}{2}} |\bar{\partial}^\alpha \eta_i a_{i2}|_1 + \int_0^t |\bar{\partial}^\alpha \bar{\partial} \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{-\frac{1}{2}} |\partial_1^2 \boldsymbol{\eta} \partial_1 \bar{\partial} \boldsymbol{\eta}|_1 |\bar{\partial}^\alpha \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\lesssim \int_0^t \|\bar{\partial}^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_1 P(\|\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{\mathcal{H}^m}) (|\bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2}|_0 + \|\bar{\partial}^\alpha \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_1) \lesssim T^{\frac{1}{4}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

and

$$\left| \int_0^t R_b^{3,4} \right| \lesssim \int_0^t \left| \left[\bar{\partial}^\alpha, \frac{1}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right] \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta} \right|_{\frac{1}{2}} |\partial_1^2 \boldsymbol{\eta} \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|_1 |\bar{\partial}^\alpha v|_{-\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim T^{\frac{1}{4}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \quad (3.38)$$

Thus, (3.34)-(3.38) yields

$$\left| \int_0^t R_b^3 \right| \lesssim M_0 + \delta \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) + T^{\frac{1}{4}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \quad (3.39)$$

For R_{b4} , we can obtain from (2.7), trace estimate and (2.3) that

$$\left| \int_0^t R_b^4 \right| \lesssim \int_0^t \left| \left[\bar{\partial}^\alpha, \partial_1^2 \eta_k, \frac{a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right] \right|_{\frac{1}{2}} |a_{i2}|_1 |\bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i|_{-\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim T^{\frac{1}{4}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \quad (3.40)$$

The term R_{b5} can be bounded by similar arguments in (3.34) and (3.35) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^t R_b^5 \right| &\lesssim \int_0^t \sigma |\bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_1 \eta_k a_{k2}|_{\frac{1}{2}} |\partial_1^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}|_1 |\bar{\partial}^\alpha v|_{-\frac{1}{2}} + \left| \int_0^t \int_\Gamma \sigma \bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_1 \eta_k \frac{\partial_1 a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} a_{i2} \bar{\partial}^\alpha v_i \right| \\ &\lesssim M_0 + \delta \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) + T^{\frac{1}{4}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.41)$$

For R_{b6} , we utilize (3.35), (2.7) and (2.10) to yield that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^t R_{b6}^6 \right| &\lesssim \left| \sigma \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial_t a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_1 \eta_k \bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2} \right| + \left| \sigma \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial_1 \eta_i \partial_t \partial_1 \eta_i}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^5} |\bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_1 \eta_k a_{k2}|^2 \right| \\ &\lesssim \sigma \int_0^t |\bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{-\frac{1}{2}} \left| \frac{\partial_t a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right|_1 |\bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2}|_{\frac{1}{2}} + \sigma |\bar{\partial}^\alpha \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2}|_{L_t^\infty(L_x^2)} \int_0^t |\partial_t \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim T^{\frac{1}{2}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.42)$$

Therefore, plugging (3.25) into (3.13), integrating the resulted equation over $[0, t]$, and then substituting (3.14)-(3.17), (3.18), (3.19), (3.21), (3.23), (3.32), (3.33), (3.39)-(3.42), and using the estimate (3.5) and Korn's inequality (2.13), we can complete the proof of this lemma. $\square$

3.4. Fully temporal derivative estimates. In view of argument in the proof of lemma 3.4, we used the duality argument taking advantage of the estimate $|\bar{\partial}^\alpha \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{-\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim |\bar{\partial}^{m-1} \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{\frac{1}{2}}$ if $|\alpha| = m$ and $\alpha_1 \geq 1$, which is invalid for $|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Moreover, we are lack of the estimate of $|\partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_k a_{k2}|_{L_t^2(H^{\frac{1}{2}})}$, while the similar estimate (3.35) is the key to derive (3.42) in the proof of lemma 3.13. To overcome these difficulties, we introduce the following Alinhac's good unknowns

$$\mathcal{V}_i^\varepsilon = \partial_t^m v_i^\varepsilon - \partial_t^m \eta_k^\varepsilon A_{kl}^\varepsilon \partial_l v_i^\varepsilon, \quad \mathcal{Q}^\varepsilon = \partial_t^m q^\varepsilon - \partial_t^m \eta_k^\varepsilon A_{kl}^\varepsilon \partial_l q^\varepsilon, \quad (3.43)$$

which can be used to cancel the highest nonlinear term in the proof of fully temporal derivative estimates. In order to derive the equation of $\mathcal{V}_i$ and $\mathcal{Q}$, we take the fully temporal derivative of $a_{ij}^\varepsilon \partial_j q^\varepsilon$ and $a_{ij}^\varepsilon \partial_j v_i^\varepsilon$ and get from (2.14) that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t^m (a_{ij}^\varepsilon \partial_j q^\varepsilon) &= a_{ij}^\varepsilon \partial_j \mathcal{Q}^\varepsilon + [\partial_t^m, a_{ij}^\varepsilon, \partial_j q^\varepsilon] + \mathcal{C}_i(q^\varepsilon), \\ \partial_t^m (a_{ij}^\varepsilon \partial_j v_i^\varepsilon) &= a_{ij}^\varepsilon \partial_j \mathcal{V}_i^\varepsilon + [\partial_t^m, a_{ij}^\varepsilon, \partial_j v_i^\varepsilon] + \mathcal{C}_i(v_i^\varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{C}_i(f)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{C}_i(f) = \partial_t^m \eta_k^\varepsilon a_{ij}^\varepsilon \partial_j (A_{kl}^\varepsilon \partial_l f) - J^\varepsilon [\partial_t^{m-1}, A_{il}^\varepsilon A_{kj}^\varepsilon] \partial_l \partial_t \eta_k^\varepsilon \partial_j f + [\partial_t^m, J^\varepsilon] A_{ij}^\varepsilon \partial_j f. \quad (3.44)$$

Then, by applying ∂_t^m to (1.15)₂ and the equation

$$a_{ij} \partial_j v_i = J_t = -\frac{J^{\gamma+1}}{\gamma \tilde{\rho}_0^\gamma} \partial_t q,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{\rho}_0^\varepsilon \partial_t \mathcal{V}_i^\varepsilon + a_{ij}^\varepsilon \partial_j \mathcal{Q}^\varepsilon - 2\mu\varepsilon \partial_t \partial_t^m ((S_A v)_{ik}^\varepsilon a_{kl}^\varepsilon) - \lambda\varepsilon \partial_j \partial_t^m (a_{ij}^\varepsilon (\operatorname{div}_A v)^\varepsilon) - \partial_j (\tilde{\rho}_0^\varepsilon \partial_j \partial_t^m \eta_i^\varepsilon) \\ &= -\tilde{\rho}_0^\varepsilon \partial_t (\partial_t^m \eta_k^\varepsilon A_{kl}^\varepsilon \partial_l v_i^\varepsilon) - [\partial_t^m, a_{ij}^\varepsilon, \partial_j q^\varepsilon] - \mathcal{C}_i(q^\varepsilon), \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

and

$$a_{ij}^\varepsilon \partial_j \mathcal{V}_i^\varepsilon = -\frac{(J^\varepsilon)^{\gamma+1}}{\gamma (\tilde{\rho}_0^\varepsilon)^\gamma} \partial_t \mathcal{Q}^\varepsilon + \frac{(J^\varepsilon)^{\gamma+1}}{\gamma (\tilde{\rho}_0^\varepsilon)^\gamma} \partial_t (\partial_t^m \eta_k^\varepsilon A_{kl}^\varepsilon \partial_l q^\varepsilon) - \left[\partial_t^m, \frac{(J^\varepsilon)^{\gamma+1}}{\gamma (\tilde{\rho}_0^\varepsilon)^\gamma} \right] \partial_t q^\varepsilon - [\partial_t^m, a_{ij}^\varepsilon, \partial_j v_i^\varepsilon] - \mathcal{C}_i(v_i^\varepsilon). \quad (3.46)$$

Before giving the full temporal derivative estimates, we first derive the following estimate of the commutator $\mathcal{C}_i(q^\varepsilon)$ and $\mathcal{C}_i(v_i^\varepsilon)$.

Lemma 3.5. *For any $m \geq 4$ and $t \in [0, T^\varepsilon]$, it holds that*

$$\int_0^t \|\mathcal{C}_i(q^\varepsilon)\|_0^2 + \|\mathcal{C}_i(v_i^\varepsilon)\|_0^2 ds \lesssim T^\varepsilon P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T^\varepsilon]} \mathfrak{E}^\varepsilon(t) \right). \quad (3.47)$$

Proof: We only need to give the estimate of $\mathcal{C}_i(q)$ since the other is the same. By using (1.14), (2.1), the Sobolev embedding and (3.3), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t^m \eta_k a_{ij} \partial_j (A_{kl} \partial_l q)\|_{L_{t,x}^2}^2 &= \|\partial_t^m \eta_k \partial_j (a_{ij} A_{kl} \partial_l q)\|_{L_{t,x}^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim \|\partial_t^2 \eta_k\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}^2 \|a_{ij} A_{kl} \partial_l q\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2 + \|\partial_t^2 \eta_k\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2 \|a_{ij} A_{kl} \partial_l q\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}^2 \\ &\lesssim \|\partial_t^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2 (\|\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}^2 \|\nabla q\|_{L^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2 + \|\nabla q\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}^2 \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2) \\ &\lesssim TP \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, it follows from (3.3) and (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|J[\partial_t^{m-1}, A_{il} A_{kj}] \partial_l \partial_t \eta_k \partial_j q\|_{L_{t,x}^2}^2 &\lesssim \|\nabla q\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}^2 \|[\partial_t^{m-1}, A_{il} A_{kj}] \partial_l \partial_t \eta_k\|_{L_{t,x}^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla q\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}^2 \|\partial_l \partial_t \eta_k\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}^2 \|\partial_t (A_{il} A_{kl})\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-2})}^2 + \|\nabla q\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}^2 \|\partial_t (A_{il} A_{kl})\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\partial_l \partial_t \eta_k\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-2})}^2 \\ &\lesssim TP \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|[\partial_t^m, J] A_{ij} \partial_j q\|_{L_{t,x}^2}^2 &\lesssim \|\partial_j q\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}^2 \|[\partial_t^m, J] A_{ij}\|_{L_{t,x}^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim \|\partial_j q\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}^2 (\|A_{ij}\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}^2 \|\partial_t J\|_{L^2(\mathcal{H}^2)}^2 + \|\partial_t J\|_{L^\infty}^{m-1} \|A_{ij}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2) \\ &\lesssim TP \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, combining the above estimates, we have

$$\|\mathcal{C}_i(q)\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} \lesssim TP \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right).$$

□

Now, we derive the following estimate of fully temporal derivatives.

Lemma 3.6. *For any $m \geq 4$, $t \in [0, T_\varepsilon]$, it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t \|\partial_t^m \mathbf{v}^\varepsilon\|_0^4 + \|\partial_t^m q^\varepsilon\|_0^4 + \|\nabla \partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon\|_0^4 + |\partial_t^m \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{n}^\varepsilon|_0^4 + \varepsilon^2 \int_0^t \left(\int_0^s \|\nabla \partial_t^m \mathbf{v}^\varepsilon\|_0^2 \right)^2 \\ &\lesssim M_0 + \delta \sup_{t \in [0, T_\varepsilon]} \mathfrak{E}^\varepsilon(t) + T_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T_\varepsilon]} \mathfrak{E}^\varepsilon(t) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.48)$$

Proof: Multiplying (3.45) by $\mathcal{V}_i$ and integrating over Ω yield

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega \tilde{\rho}_0 |\mathcal{V}|^2 dx + \int_\Omega a_{ij} \partial_j \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{V}_i dx - \int_\Omega \partial_j (\tilde{\rho}_0 \partial_j \partial_t^3 \eta_i) \mathcal{V}_i \\ &\quad - 2\mu\varepsilon \int_\Omega \partial_l \partial_t^m (S_A(v)_{ik} a_{kl}) \mathcal{V}_i - \lambda\varepsilon \int_\Omega \partial_j \partial_t^m (a_{ij} \operatorname{div}_A v) \mathcal{V}_i \\ &= - \underbrace{\int_\Omega \tilde{\rho}_0 \partial_t (\partial_t^m \eta_k A_{kl} \partial_l v_i) \mathcal{V}_i dx}_{\mathcal{R}_\eta^1} - \underbrace{\int_\Omega [\partial_t^m, a_{ij}, \partial_j q] \mathcal{V}_i dx}_{\mathcal{R}_\eta^2} - \underbrace{\int_\Omega \mathcal{C}_i(q) \mathcal{V}_i dx}_{\mathcal{R}_c^1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.49)$$

By integration by parts and (3.46), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} a_{ij} \partial_j \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{V}_i dx - \int_{\Omega} \partial_j (\tilde{\rho}_0 \partial_j \partial_t^m \eta_i) \mathcal{V}_i - 2\mu\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \partial_l \partial_t^m (S_A(v)_{ik} a_{kl}) \mathcal{V}_i - \lambda\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \partial_j \partial_t^m (a_{ij} \operatorname{div}_A v) \mathcal{V}_i \\
&= \int_{\Gamma} (a_{i2} \mathcal{Q} - \tilde{\rho}_0 \partial_t^m \partial_2 \eta_i - 2\mu\varepsilon \partial_t^m (S_A(v)_{ik} a_{k2}) - \lambda\varepsilon \partial_t^m (a_{i2} \operatorname{div}_A v)) \mathcal{V}_i - \int_{\Omega} a_{ij} \mathcal{Q} \partial_j \mathcal{V}_i dx \\
&\quad + \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\rho}_0 \partial_j \partial_t^m \eta_i \partial_j \mathcal{V}_i dx + 2\mu\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} J |\mathbf{S}_A(\partial_t^m \mathbf{v})|^2 dx + \lambda\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} J (\operatorname{div}_A (\partial_t^m v))^2 dx \\
&\quad + 2\mu\varepsilon \underbrace{\int_{\Omega} [\partial_t^m, a_{kl}] (S_A v)_{ik} \partial_l \mathcal{V}_i dx}_{-\mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}^1} + \lambda\varepsilon \underbrace{\int_{\Omega} [\partial_t^m, a_{ij}] (\operatorname{div}_A v) \partial_j \mathcal{V}_i dx}_{-\mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}^2} \\
&\quad + 2\mu\varepsilon \underbrace{\int_{\Omega} [\partial_t^m, A_{ij}] \partial_j v_k J S_A(\mathcal{V})_{ik} dx}_{-\mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}^3} + \lambda\varepsilon \underbrace{\int_{\Omega} [\partial_t^m, A_{ij}] \partial_j v_i J \operatorname{div}_A(\mathcal{V})}_{-\mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}^4} \\
&\quad + 2\mu\varepsilon \underbrace{\int_{\Omega} S_A(\partial_t^m v)_{ik} a_{kl} \partial_l (\partial_t^m \eta_r A_{rs} \partial_s v_i) dx}_{-\mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}^5} + \lambda\varepsilon \underbrace{\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}_A (\partial_t^m v) a_{ij} \partial_j (\partial_t^m \eta_r A_{rs} \partial_s v_i) dx}_{-\mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}^6} \\
&= \int_{\Gamma} (a_{i2} \mathcal{Q} - \tilde{\rho}_0 \partial_t^m \partial_2 \eta_i - 2\mu\varepsilon \partial_t^m (S_A(v)_{ik} a_{k2}) - \lambda\varepsilon \partial_t^m (a_{i2} \operatorname{div}_A v)) \mathcal{V}_i - \int_{\Omega} a_{ij} \mathcal{Q} \partial_j \mathcal{V}_i dx \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\rho}_0 |\partial_t^m \nabla \eta|^2 dx + 2\mu\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} J |\mathbf{S}_A(\partial_t^m \mathbf{v})|^2 dx + \lambda\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} J (\operatorname{div}_A (\partial_t^m v))^2 dx \\
&\quad - \underbrace{\int_{\Omega} \tilde{\rho}_0 \partial_t^m \partial_j \eta_i \partial_j (\partial_t^m \eta_k A_{kl} \partial_l v_i) dx}_{\mathcal{R}_{\eta}^3} - \sum_{i=1}^6 \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}^i.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.50}$$

It follows from (3.46) that

$$\begin{aligned}
- \int_{\Omega} a_{ij} \mathcal{Q} \partial_j \mathcal{V}_i dx &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{J^{\gamma+1}}{\gamma \tilde{\rho}_0^{\gamma}} \partial_t \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{Q} dx - \underbrace{\int_{\Omega} \frac{J^{\gamma+1}}{\gamma \tilde{\rho}_0^{\gamma}} \partial_t (\partial_t^m \eta_k A_{kl} \partial_l q) \mathcal{Q} dx}_{\mathcal{R}_q^1} + \underbrace{\int_{\Omega} \left[\partial_t^m, \frac{J^{\gamma+1}}{\gamma \tilde{\rho}_0^{\gamma}} \right] \partial_t q \mathcal{Q} dx}_{-\mathcal{R}_q^2} \\
&\quad + \underbrace{\int_{\Omega} [\partial_t^m, a_{ij}, \partial_j v_i] \mathcal{Q} dx}_{-\mathcal{R}_q^3} + \underbrace{\int_{\Omega} C_i(v_i) \mathcal{Q} dx}_{-\mathcal{R}_c^2} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \frac{J^{\gamma+1}}{\gamma \tilde{\rho}_0^{\gamma}} \mathcal{Q}^2 - \underbrace{\frac{\gamma+1}{2\gamma} \int_{\Omega} \frac{J^{\gamma} \partial_t J}{\tilde{\rho}_0^{\gamma}} \mathcal{Q}^2}_{\mathcal{R}_q^4} - \mathcal{R}_q^1 - \mathcal{R}_q^2 - \mathcal{R}_q^3 - \mathcal{R}_c^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.51}$$

Plugging (3.50) and (3.51) into (3.49) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \left(\tilde{\rho}_0 |\mathcal{V}|^2 + \frac{J^{\gamma+1}}{\gamma \tilde{\rho}_0^{\gamma}} \mathcal{Q}^2 + \tilde{\rho}_0 |\partial_t^m \nabla \eta|^2 \right) dx + 2\mu\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} J |\mathbf{S}_A(\partial_t^m \mathbf{v})|^2 dx + \lambda\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} J (\operatorname{div}_A (\partial_t^m v))^2 dx \\
&\quad + \underbrace{\int_{\Gamma} (a_{i2} \mathcal{Q} - \tilde{\rho}_0 \partial_t^m \partial_2 \eta_i - 2\mu\varepsilon \partial_t^m (S_A(v)_{ik} a_{k2}) - \lambda\varepsilon \partial_t^m (a_{i2} \operatorname{div}_A v)) \mathcal{V}_i}_{\mathcal{R}_b} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{R}_{\eta}^i + \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathcal{R}_q^i + \mathcal{R}_c^1 + \mathcal{R}_c^2 + \sum_{i=1}^6 \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}^i.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.52}$$

Similar to (3.25), it follows from the third equation of (1.15) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_b &= -\sigma \int_{\Gamma} \partial_t^m \left(\frac{\partial_1^2 \eta_k a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) a_{i2} \mathcal{V}_i - \int_{\Gamma} \mathfrak{B} \partial_t^m a_{i2} \mathcal{V}_i - \int_{\Gamma} [\partial_t^m, \mathfrak{B}, a_{i2}] \mathcal{V}_i - \int_{\Gamma} \partial_t^m \eta_k A_{kl} \partial_l q a_{i2} \mathcal{V}_i \\
&= -\sigma \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial_t^m \partial_1^2 \eta_k a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} a_{i2} \mathcal{V}_i - \int_{\Gamma} \partial_t^m \left(\frac{a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) \partial_1^2 \eta_k a_{i2} \mathcal{V}_i - \int_{\Gamma} \mathfrak{B} \partial_t^m a_{i2} \mathcal{V}_i \\
&\quad - \int_{\Gamma} [\partial_t^m, \mathfrak{B}, a_{i2}] \mathcal{V}_i - \int_{\Gamma} \left[\partial_t^m, \partial_1^2 \eta_k, \frac{a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right] a_{i2} \mathcal{V}_i - \int_{\Gamma} \partial_t^m \eta_k A_{kl} \partial_l q a_{i2} \mathcal{V}_i \\
&= \sigma \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_k a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} a_{i2} \partial_1 \mathcal{V}_i - \int_{\Gamma} \mathfrak{B} \partial_t^m a_{i2} \mathcal{V}_i - \int_{\Gamma} [\partial_t^m, \mathfrak{B}, a_{i2}] \mathcal{V}_i - \int_{\Gamma} \left[\partial_t^m, \partial_1^2 \eta_k, \frac{a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right] a_{i2} \mathcal{V}_i \\
&\quad + \int_{\Gamma} \partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_k \partial_1 \left(\frac{a_{k2} a_{i2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) \mathcal{V}_i - \int_{\Gamma} \partial_t^m \left(\frac{a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) \partial_1^2 \eta_k a_{i2} \mathcal{V}_i - \int_{\Gamma} \partial_t^m \eta_k A_{kl} \partial_l q a_{i2} \mathcal{V}_i \\
&= \sigma \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_k a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \partial_t (a_{i2} \partial_1 \partial_t^m \eta_i) - \sigma \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_k a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} (\partial_t a_{i2} \partial_1 \partial_t^m \eta_i + a_{i2} \partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_k A_{kl} \partial_l v_i) \\
&\quad - \int_{\Gamma} \mathfrak{B} \partial_t^m a_{i2} \mathcal{V}_i - \int_{\Gamma} [\partial_t^m, \mathfrak{B}, a_{i2}] \mathcal{V}_i - \int_{\Gamma} \left[\partial_t^m, \partial_1^2 \eta_k, \frac{a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right] a_{i2} \mathcal{V}_i \\
&\quad - \int_{\Gamma} \left(\partial_t^m \left(\frac{a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) \partial_1^2 \eta_k a_{i2} - \partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_k \partial_1 \left(\frac{a_{k2} a_{i2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) \right) \mathcal{V}_i \tag{3.53} \\
&\quad - \sigma \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_k a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} a_{i2} \partial_t^m \eta_k \partial_1 (A_{kl} \partial_l v_i) - \int_{\Gamma} \partial_t^m \eta_k A_{kl} \partial_l q a_{i2} \mathcal{V}_i \\
&= \frac{\sigma}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{|\partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_k a_{k2}|^2}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} - \int_{\Gamma} \mathfrak{B} \partial_t^m a_{i2} \mathcal{V}_i - \int_{\Gamma} [\partial_t^m, \mathfrak{B}, a_{i2}] \mathcal{V}_i \\
&\quad - \int_{\Gamma} \left[\partial_t^m, \partial_1^2 \eta_k, \frac{a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right] a_{i2} \mathcal{V}_i - \int_{\Gamma} \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_t \left(\frac{1}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) + \frac{\partial_t J}{J} \right) |\partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_k a_{k2}|^2 \\
&\quad - \int_{\Gamma} \left(\partial_t^m \left(\frac{a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) \partial_1^2 \eta_k a_{i2} - \partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_k \partial_1 \left(\frac{a_{k2} a_{i2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) \right) \mathcal{V}_i \\
&\quad - \sigma \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_k a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} a_{i2} \partial_t^m \eta_k \partial_1 (A_{kl} \partial_l v_i) - \int_{\Gamma} \partial_t^m \eta_k A_{kl} \partial_l q a_{i2} \mathcal{V}_i \\
&= \frac{\sigma}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{|\partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_k a_{k2}|^2}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} - \sum_{i=1}^7 \mathcal{R}_b^i,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact

$$\partial_t a_{i2} \partial_1 \partial_t^m \eta_i + a_{i2} \partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_k A_{kl} \partial_l v_i = \partial_t J J^{-1} a_{i2} \partial_1 \partial_t^m \eta_i.$$

Substituting (3.53) into (3.52) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \left(\tilde{\rho}_0 |\mathcal{V}|^2 + \frac{J^{\gamma+1}}{\gamma \tilde{\rho}_0^{\gamma}} \mathcal{Q}^2 + \tilde{\rho}_0 |\partial_t^m \nabla \eta|^2 \right) dx + \frac{\sigma}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{|\partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_k a_{k2}|^2}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \\
&\quad + 2\mu\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} J |\mathbf{S}_A(\partial_t^m \mathbf{v})|^2 dx + \lambda\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} J (\operatorname{div}_A(\partial_t^m \mathbf{v}))^2 dx \tag{3.54} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^7 \mathcal{R}_b^i + \sum_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{R}_{\eta}^i + \sum_{i=1}^4 \mathcal{R}_q^i + \mathcal{R}_c^1 + \mathcal{R}_c^2 + \sum_{i=1}^6 \mathcal{R}_{\varepsilon}^i.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we estimate boundary terms $\mathcal{R}_b^i$ on the right hand side of (3.54) one by one. For $\mathcal{R}_b^1$, similarly to (3.28), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_b^1 = & \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Gamma} \mathfrak{B} \partial_t^m a_{i2} \partial_t^m \eta_i}_{\mathcal{R}_b^{1,1}} - \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \partial_t \mathfrak{B} \partial_t^m a_{i2} \partial_t^m \eta_i - \int_{\Gamma} \mathfrak{B} \partial_t^m a_{i2} \partial_t^m \eta_k A_{kl} \partial_l v_i}_{\mathcal{R}_b^{1,2}} \\ & - \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \partial_1 \mathfrak{B} (\partial_t^m v_2 \partial_t^m \eta_1 - \partial_t^m v_1 \partial_t^m \eta_2)}_{\mathcal{R}_b^{1,3}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.55)$$

It follows from the same argument as (3.30) that

$$\left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^{1,1} \right| \lesssim M_0 + \delta(|\partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2}(t)|_0^2 + \|\partial_t^m \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}(t)\|_0^2) + TP \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \quad (3.56)$$

By using (2.7), (3.24) and (3.26), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^{1,2} \right| & \lesssim \int_0^t |\partial_t^m \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{-\frac{1}{2}} |\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{\frac{1}{2}} (|\partial_t \mathfrak{B}|_1 + |\mathfrak{B} A_{kl} \partial_l v_i|_1) \\ & \lesssim \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^4(H^1)}^2 \left(|\partial_t \mathfrak{B}|_{L_t^2(H^1)} + |\mathfrak{B} A_{kl} \partial_l v_i|_{L_t^2(H^1)} \right) \\ & \lesssim P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.57)$$

Since that $\mathcal{R}_b^{1,3}$ involves only time derivatives of highest order, we can not use the duality argument as (3.31) and (3.57). Instead, we use the following decomposition,

$$\frac{-a_{i2} \partial_1 \eta_j + \partial_1 \eta_i a_{j2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.58)$$

which is different to (3.29), and use integration by parts to write the troublesome term into an integral in Ω . That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_b^{1,3} & = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial_1 \mathfrak{B}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2} (-a_{i2} \partial_1 \eta_j + \partial_1 \eta_i a_{j2}) \partial_t^m v_i \partial_t^m \eta_j \\ & = - \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial_1 \mathfrak{B}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2} \partial_1 \eta_j \partial_t^m \eta_j a_{i2} \partial_t^m v_i + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial_1 \mathfrak{B}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2} \partial_1 \eta_i \partial_t^m \eta_i a_{j2} \partial_t^m \eta_j \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \partial_t \left(\frac{\partial_1 \mathfrak{B}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2} \partial_1 \eta_i a_{j2} \right) \partial_t^m \eta_i \partial_t^m \eta_j \\ & = - \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial_1 \mathfrak{B}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2} \partial_1 \eta_j \partial_t^m \eta_j a_{ik} \partial_t^m \partial_k v_i - \int_{\Omega} \partial_k \left(\frac{\partial_1 \mathfrak{B}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2} \partial_1 \eta_j \partial_t^m \eta_j \right) a_{ik} \partial_t^m v_i \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial_1 \mathfrak{B}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2} \partial_1 \eta_i \partial_t^m \eta_i a_{j2} \partial_t^m \eta_j - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \partial_t \left(\frac{\partial_1 \mathfrak{B}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2} \partial_1 \eta_i a_{j2} \right) \partial_t^m \eta_i \partial_t^m \eta_j \\ & = - \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial_1 \mathfrak{B}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2} \partial_1 \eta_j \partial_t^m \eta_j a_{ik} \partial_t^m \partial_k \eta_i dx + \int_{\Omega} \partial_t \left(\frac{\partial_1 \mathfrak{B}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2} \partial_1 \eta_j \partial_t^m \eta_j a_{ik} \right) \partial_t^m \partial_k \eta_i dx \quad (3.59) \\ & \quad - \int_{\Omega} \partial_k \left(\frac{\partial_1 \mathfrak{B}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2} \partial_1 \eta_j \partial_t^m \eta_j \right) a_{ik} \partial_t^m v_i dx + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial_1 \mathfrak{B}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2} \partial_1 \eta_i \partial_t^m \eta_i a_{j2} \partial_t^m \eta_j \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma} \partial_t \left(\frac{\partial_1 \mathfrak{B}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2} \partial_1 \eta_i a_{j2} \right) \partial_t^m \eta_i \partial_t^m \eta_j =: \sum_{i=1}^5 \mathcal{R}_b^{1,3,i} \end{aligned}$$

By using Hölder's inequality and (3.27), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^{1,3,1} \right| &= \left| \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial_1 \mathfrak{B}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2} \partial_1 \eta_j \partial_t^m \eta_j a_{ik} \partial_t^m \partial_k \eta_i \right|_0^t \lesssim M_0 + \|\partial_t^m \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}(t)\|_0 \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}(t)\|_0 \|\partial_1 \mathfrak{B}(t)\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\lesssim M_0 + \delta \|\partial_t^m \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}(t)\|_0^2 + \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}(t)\|_0^2 \|\partial_1 \mathfrak{B}(t)\|_2 \\
&\lesssim M_0 + \delta \|\partial_t^m \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}(t)\|_0^2 + P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the Sobolev embedding theorem and (3.27) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^{1,3,2} + \mathcal{R}_b^{1,3,3} \right| &\lesssim \int_0^t \|\partial \partial_1 \mathfrak{B}\|_{L^4} \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L^4} \|\partial_t^m \partial \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0 + \int_0^t \|\partial_1 \mathfrak{B}\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_t^m \partial \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0^2 \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \|\partial_1 \mathfrak{B}\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L^4} \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L^4} \|\partial_t^m \partial \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0 \\
&\lesssim \left(\|\partial_1 \mathfrak{B}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^2)} + \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^\infty(\mathcal{H}^2)} \|\partial_1 \mathfrak{B}\|_{L_t^2(H^2)} \right) \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^4(\mathcal{H}^1)}^2 \\
&\lesssim P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

In view of (3.3), (3.26) and (2.10), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^{1,3,4} \right| &\lesssim \left| \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial_1 \mathfrak{B}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2} \partial_1 \eta_i \partial_t^m \eta_i a_{j2} \partial_t^m \eta_j \right|_0^t \\
&\lesssim M_0 + \|\partial_1 \mathfrak{B}\|_{L^4} \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L^4} \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0 \lesssim M_0 + \|\partial_1 \mathfrak{B}\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0 \\
&\lesssim M_0 + \|\partial_1 \mathfrak{B}\|_1 \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_1 (\|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_t^m \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0) \\
&\lesssim M_0 + \delta \|\partial_t^m \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}(t)\|_0^2 + P(\|\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{\mathcal{H}^m}^2, \|\mathfrak{B}\|_2^2) \\
&\lesssim M_0 + \delta \|\partial_t^m \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}(t)\|_0^2 + TP \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^{1,3,5} \right| &\lesssim \int_0^t (\|\partial_t \partial_1 \mathfrak{B}\|_{L^4} + \|\partial_1 \mathfrak{B} \partial_t \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L^4}) \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L^4} \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0 \\
&\lesssim \int_0^t \left(\|\partial_t \partial_1 \mathfrak{B}\|_{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\partial_1 \mathfrak{B} \partial_t \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0 \\
&\lesssim \int_0^t (\|\partial_t \partial_1 \mathfrak{B}\|_1 + \|\partial_1 \mathfrak{B} \partial_t \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_1) \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_1 (\|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_t^m \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0) \\
&\lesssim TP \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, we have

$$\left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^{1,3} \right| \lesssim M_0 + \delta \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}(t)\|_0^2 + P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \quad (3.60)$$

which, combining with (3.56) and (3.57), yields that

$$\left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^1 \right| \lesssim M_0 + \delta (\|\partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2}(t)\|_0^2 + \|\partial_t^m \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}(t)\|_0^2) + P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right) \quad (3.61)$$

For $\mathcal{R}_b^2$, we also can not use the duality argument as in (3.33) since it only involves time derivatives of highest order. Instead, we use integration by parts to get that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_b^2 &= \int_{\Omega} [\partial_t^m, \mathfrak{B}, a_{ij}] \partial_j \mathcal{V}_i + \int_{\Omega} \partial_j [\partial_t^m, \mathfrak{B}, a_{ij}] \mathcal{V}_i \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} [\partial_t^m, \mathfrak{B}, a_{ij}] \partial_j \partial_t^m \eta_i - \int_{\Omega} \partial_t [\partial_t^m, \mathfrak{B}, a_{ij}] \partial_j \partial_t^m \eta_i + \int_{\Omega} \partial_j [\partial_t^m, \mathfrak{B}, a_{ij}] \mathcal{V}_i \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} [\partial_t^m, \mathfrak{B}, a_{ij}] \partial_j (\partial_t^m \eta_k A_{kl} \partial_l v_i) =: \mathcal{R}_b^{2,1} + \mathcal{R}_b^{2,1} + \mathcal{R}_b^{2,3} + \mathcal{R}_b^{2,4}.\end{aligned}\quad (3.62)$$

By using the Sobolev embedding, (3.27) and (2.4), one has

$$\begin{aligned}\left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^{2,1} \right| &\lesssim \left| \int_{\Omega} [\partial_t^m, \mathfrak{B}, a_{ij}] \partial_j \partial_t^m \eta_i \Big|_0^t \right| \\ &\lesssim M_0 + (\|\partial_t \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_{t,x}^{\infty}} \|\partial_t^{m-1} \mathfrak{B}\|_0 + \|\partial_t \mathfrak{B}\|_{L^{\infty}} \|\partial_t^{m-1} \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0) \|\partial_t^m \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0 \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell=2}^{m-2} \|\partial_t^{m-\ell} \mathfrak{B}\|_{L^4} \|\partial_t^{\ell} \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L^4} \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0 \\ &\lesssim M_0 + \delta \|\partial_t^m \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}(t)\|_0^2 + C_{\delta} \|\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{\mathcal{H}^m}^2 \|\mathfrak{B}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{m-1}}^2 \\ &\lesssim M_0 + \delta \|\partial_t^m \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}(t)\|_0^2 + TP \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right).\end{aligned}\quad (3.63)$$

By using Hölder's inequality, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^{2,2} + \mathcal{R}_b^{2,3} \right| &\lesssim \int_0^t (\|[\partial_t^m, \partial \mathfrak{B}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}]_0 + \|[\partial_t^m, \mathfrak{B}, \partial \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}]_0\|) (\|\partial_t^m \partial \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0 + \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0 \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L_{t,x}^{\infty}}) \\ &\lesssim T^{\frac{1}{4}} (\|[\partial_t^m, \partial \mathfrak{B}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}]\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} + \|[\partial_t^m, \mathfrak{B}, \partial \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}]\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}) \\ &\quad \cdot (\|\partial_t^m \partial \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^4(L^2)} + \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^{\infty}(L^2)} \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^4(H^2)}) \\ &\lesssim T^{\frac{1}{4}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right).\end{aligned}\quad (3.64)$$

where we have used

$$\begin{aligned}\|[\partial_t^m, \partial \mathfrak{B}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}]\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} &\lesssim \|\partial^2 \mathfrak{B}\|_{L_{t,x}^{\infty}} \|\partial \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-2})} + \|\partial \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_{t,x}^{\infty}} \|\partial^2 \mathfrak{B}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-2})} \\ &\lesssim \|\partial^2 \mathfrak{B}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-2})} \|\partial \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-2})} \lesssim P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right).\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|[\partial_t^m, \mathfrak{B}, \partial \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}]\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} &\lesssim \sum_{1 \leq \ell \leq m-1} \|\partial_t^{m-\ell} \mathfrak{B} \partial_t^{\ell} \partial \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} \\ &\lesssim \|\partial_t \mathfrak{B}\|_{L_{t,x}^{\infty}} \|\partial \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})} + \|\partial \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_{t,x}^{\infty}} \|\partial_t \mathfrak{B}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})} \lesssim P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right).\end{aligned}$$

Similar,

$$\left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^{3,4} \right| \lesssim T^{\frac{1}{4}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right).\quad (3.65)$$

As a consequence,

$$\left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^2 \right| \lesssim M_0 + \delta \|\partial_t^m \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}(t)\|_0^2 + T^{\frac{1}{4}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right).\quad (3.66)$$

To control $\mathcal{R}_b^3$, we can not use either the duality argument as (3.40) due to loss of spatial derivatives, or the similar idea as (3.62) since that $[\partial_t^m, \partial_1^2 \eta_k, a_{k2}/|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3]$ has one more derivative than $[\partial_t^m, \mathfrak{B}, a_{i2}]$. Instead, we deal with the troublesome term involving

$$\partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1^2 \eta_k \partial_t (a_{k2}/|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3)$$

through combining it with $\mathcal{R}_q^3$. In view of (3.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_q^3 &= -m \int_{\Omega} \partial_t^m q \partial_t a_{ij} \partial_t^{m-1} \partial_j v_i dx - \sum_{2 \leq \ell \leq m-1} C_m^\ell \int_{\Omega} \partial_t^m q \partial_t^\ell a_{ij} \partial_t^{m-\ell} \partial_j v_i dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} [\partial_t^m, a_{ij}, \partial_j v_i] \partial_t^m \eta_k A_{kl} \partial_l q dx \\ &= -m \int_{\Gamma} \partial_t^m q \partial_t a_{i2} \partial_t^{m-1} v_i + m \int_{\Omega} \partial_j \partial_t^m q \partial_t a_{ij} \partial_t^{m-1} v_i dx \\ &\quad - \sum_{2 \leq \ell \leq m-1} C_m^\ell \int_{\Omega} \partial_t^m q \partial_t^\ell a_{ij} \partial_t^{m-\ell} \partial_j v_i dx + \int_{\Omega} [\partial_t^m, a_{ij}, \partial_j v_i] \partial_t^m \eta_k A_{kl} \partial_l q dx \\ &= m \int_{\Gamma} \partial_t^m \left(\frac{\partial_1^2 \eta_k a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) \partial_t a_{i2} \partial_t^{m-1} v_i - m \int_{\Gamma} \partial_t^m \mathfrak{B} \partial_t a_{ij} \partial_t^{m-1} v_i \\ &\quad + m \int_{\Omega} \partial_j \partial_t^m q \partial_t a_{ij} \partial_t^{m-1} v_i dx - \sum_{2 \leq \ell \leq m-1} C_m^\ell \int_{\Omega} \partial_t^m q \partial_t^\ell a_{ij} \partial_t^{m-\ell} \partial_j v_i dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega} [\partial_t^m, a_{ij}, \partial_j v_i] \partial_t^m \eta_k A_{kl} \partial_l q dx =: \mathcal{R}_q^{3,1} + \dots + \mathcal{R}_q^{3,5} \end{aligned}$$

which, summing with $-\mathcal{R}_b^3$, yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_b^3 + \mathcal{R}_q^{3,1} &= m \int_{\Gamma} \partial_t \left(\frac{a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) \partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1^2 \eta_k a_{i2} \mathcal{V}_i + \sum_{2 \leq \ell \leq m-1} C_m^\ell \int_{\Gamma} \partial_t^{m-\ell} \partial_1^2 \eta_k \partial_t^\ell \left(\frac{a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) a_{i2} \mathcal{V}_i \\ &\quad + m \int_{\Gamma} \partial_t a_{i2} \partial_t^{m-1} v_i \frac{\partial_t^m \partial_1^2 \eta_k a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} + m \int_{\Gamma} \left[\partial_t^m, \frac{a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right] \partial_1^2 \eta_k \partial_t a_{i2} \partial_t^{m-1} v_i \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{m}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1^2 \eta_k a_{k2} \partial_t^{m-1} v_i \partial_t a_{i2} - m \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1^2 \eta_k \partial_t^m v_i}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} (\partial_t a_{i2} a_{k2} - a_{i2} \partial_t a_{k2}) \\ &\quad - m \int_{\Gamma} \partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1^2 \eta_k \partial_t^{m-1} v_i \partial_t \left(\frac{\partial_t a_{i2} a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) + m \int_{\Gamma} \partial_t \left(\frac{1}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) \partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1^2 \eta_k a_{k2} \partial_t^m v_i a_{i2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{2 \leq \ell \leq m-1} C_m^\ell \int_{\Gamma} \partial_t^{m-\ell} \partial_1^2 \eta_k \partial_t^\ell \left(\frac{a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) a_{i2} \mathcal{V}_i + m \int_{\Gamma} \left[\partial_t^m, \frac{a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right] \partial_1^2 \eta_k \partial_t a_{i2} \partial_t^{m-1} v_i \\ &\quad - m \int_{\Gamma} \partial_t \left(\frac{a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) \partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1^2 \eta_k a_{i2} \partial_t^m \eta_j A_{jl} \partial_l v_i \\ &= \mathcal{R}_b^{3,1} + \dots + \mathcal{R}_b^{3,7}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.67}$$

By using Hölder's inequality, (2.9), trace theorem and (3.11), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^{3,1} \right| &\lesssim M_0 + |\partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1^2 \eta_k a_{k2}|_0 |\partial_t^{m-1} v|_{L^4} |\partial_t \partial_1 \eta|_{L^4} \\ &\lesssim M_0 + |\partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1^2 \eta_k a_{k2}|_0 \|\partial_t^m \eta\|_1 \|\partial_t \partial_1 \eta\|_1 \\ &\lesssim M_0 + \delta \|\nabla \partial_t^m \eta\|_0 + P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.68}$$

The term $\mathcal{R}_b^{3,2}$ vanishes when $i = k$, but reduces to the following equation, when $i \neq k$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_b^{3,2} &= -m \int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} (\partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1^2 \eta_2 \partial_t^m v_1 - \partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1^2 \eta_1 \partial_t^m v_2) (\partial_1 a_{12} a_{22} - a_{12} \partial_t a_{22}) \\ &= -m \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial_t \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1 a_{k2} \partial_t^m \eta_k + m \int_{\Gamma} \partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1 a_{k2} \partial_t^m \eta_k \partial_t \left(\frac{\partial_t \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) \\ &\quad - m \int_{\Gamma} \partial_t^m a_{k2} \partial_t^m \eta_k \partial_1 \left(\frac{\partial_t \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) = \mathcal{R}_b^{3,2,1} + \mathcal{R}_b^{3,2,2} + \mathcal{R}_b^{3,2,3}.\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the following identities

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1^2 \eta_2 \partial_t^m v_1 - \partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1^2 \eta_1 \partial_t^m v_2 &= -\partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1 a_{k2} \partial_t^m v_k, \\ \partial_1 a_{12} a_{22} - a_{12} \partial_t a_{22} &= -\partial_t \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2}, \quad \partial_t^m a_{k2} \partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_k = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Then, it follows from (2.7), (2.9) and trace theorem and (3.11) that

$$\begin{aligned}\left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^{3,2,1} \right| &\lesssim M_0 + |\partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1 a_{k2}|_{-\frac{1}{2}} |\partial_t^m \eta_k|_{\frac{1}{2}} |\partial_t \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2}|_1 \\ &\lesssim M_0 + |\partial_t^{m-1} a_{k2}|_{\frac{1}{2}} |\partial_t^m \eta_k|_{\frac{1}{2}} (|\partial_t \partial_1^2 \eta_i a_{i2}|_0 + |\partial_t \partial_1 \eta_i \partial_1 a_{i2}| + |\partial_t \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2}|_0) \\ &\lesssim M_0 + \|\partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_1 \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_1 (|\partial_t \partial_1^2 \eta_i a_{i2}|_0 + |\partial_t \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2}|_0 + \|\bar{\partial} \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_1^2) \\ &\lesssim M_0 + \delta \|\nabla \partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0^2 + P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^{3,2,2} + \mathcal{R}_b^{3,2,3} \right| &\lesssim \int_0^t \|\partial_t^{m-1} \bar{\partial} \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_1 \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_1 (|\bar{\partial}^2 \partial_1^2 \eta_i a_{i2}|_0 + |\bar{\partial}^3 \boldsymbol{\eta} \bar{\partial}^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}|_0 + |\bar{\partial}^2 \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2}|_0 + |\bar{\partial}^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{L^4}^2) \\ &\lesssim T^{\frac{1}{2}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^{3,2} \right| \lesssim M_0 + \delta \|\nabla \partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0 + P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \quad (3.69)$$

It follows from (2.7), (2.9) and trace theorem and (3.11) that

$$\begin{aligned}\left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^{3,3} \right| &\lesssim \int_0^t |\partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{-\frac{1}{2}} |\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{\frac{1}{2}} |\partial_1 \mathbf{v} \partial_1 \mathbf{v}|_1 + |\partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1^2 \eta_k a_{k2}|_0 |\partial_t^{m-1} \mathbf{v}|_{L^4} |\partial_t^2 \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{L^4} \\ &\lesssim \int_0^t \|\partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_1 \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_1 (\|\partial_1 \mathbf{v}\|_1 + \|\partial_1^2 \mathbf{v}\|_1) \|\partial_1 \mathbf{v}\|_1 + |\partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1^2 \eta_k a_{k2}|_0 \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_1 \|\partial_t^2 \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_1 \\ &\lesssim T^{\frac{1}{4}} (\|\partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^\infty(H^1)} \|\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^\infty(\mathcal{H}^3)} + |\partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1^2 \eta_k a_{k2}|_{L^\infty(L^2)}) \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^4(H^1)} \|\partial_1 \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^2)} \\ &\lesssim T^{\frac{1}{4}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right).\end{aligned} \quad (3.70)$$

For $\mathcal{R}_b^{3,4}$, we can not use the same argument as (3.70) since we can not bound $|\partial_t^m \mathbf{v}|_{\frac{1}{2}}$. Instead, we use integration by parts to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_b^{3,4} &= -m \int_{\Gamma} \partial_t \left(\frac{1}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) \partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1 \eta_k a_{k2} \partial_t^{m+1} \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2} \\
&\quad - m \int_{\Gamma} \partial_1 \left(\partial_t \left(\frac{1}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) a_{k2} a_{i2} \right) \partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1 \eta_k \partial_t^m v_i \\
&= -m \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Gamma} \partial_t \left(\frac{1}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) \partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1 \eta_k a_{k2} \partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2} + m \int_{\Gamma} \partial_t \left(\frac{1}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) |\partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_k a_{k2}|^2 \\
&\quad + m \int_{\Gamma} \partial_t \left(\partial_t \left(\frac{1}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) a_{k2} a_{i2} \right) \partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1 \eta_k \partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_i \\
&\quad - m \int_{\Gamma} \partial_1 \left(\partial_t \left(\frac{1}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) a_{k2} a_{i2} \right) \partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1 \eta_k \partial_t^m v_i \\
&= \mathcal{R}_b^{3,4,1} + \dots \mathcal{R}_b^{3,4,4}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we can obtain from Hölder's inequality, (2.9) and the trace theorem that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^{3,4,1} \right| &\lesssim M_0 + |\partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2}|_0 |\partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{L^4} |\partial_t \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{L^4} \\
&\lesssim M_0 + |\partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2}|_0 \|\partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_1 \|\partial_t \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_1 \\
&\lesssim M_0 + \delta |\partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2}|_0^2 + P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.71}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^{3,4,2} \right| &\lesssim \int_0^t |\partial_t \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{L^\infty} |\partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2}|_0^2 \\
&\lesssim |\partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2}|_{L_t^4(L^2)}^2 \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})} \\
&\lesssim T^{\frac{1}{2}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.72}$$

Moreover, it follows from Hölder's inequality, (2.9), (2.7) and the trace theorem that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^{3,4,3} \right| &\lesssim \left| \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma} \partial_t \left(\partial_t \left(\frac{1}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) a_{k2} \right) \partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1 \eta_k \partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2} \right| \\
&\quad + \left| \int_0^t \int_{\Gamma} \partial_t \left(\frac{1}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) \partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1 \eta_k a_{k2} \partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_i \partial_t a_{i2} \right| \\
&\lesssim \int_0^t |\partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2}|_0 |\partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{L^4} (|\partial_t^2 \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{L^4} + |\partial_t \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta} \partial_t \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{L^4}) \\
&\quad + \int_0^t |\partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2}|_1 |\partial_t^m \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{-\frac{1}{2}} |\partial_t \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta} \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{\frac{1}{2}} |\partial_t \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta} \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|_1 \\
&\lesssim \int_0^t |\partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2}|_0 \|\partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_1 (\|\partial_t^2 \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_1 + \|\partial_t \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta} \partial_t \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_1) \\
&\quad + \int_0^t |\partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2}|_1 \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_1 \|\partial_t \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta} \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_1 |\partial_t \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta} \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|_1 \\
&\lesssim T^{\frac{1}{4}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.73}$$

To bound $\mathcal{R}_b^{3,4,4}$, similar to (3.62), we use integration by parts to reduce this boundary integral to the volume one as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_b^{3,4,4} &= -m \int_{\Omega} \partial_1 \left(\partial_t \left(\frac{1}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) a_{k2} a_{ij} \right) \partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1 \eta_k \partial_t^m \partial_j v_i \\
&\quad + m \int_{\Omega} \partial_1 \left(\partial_t \left(\frac{1}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) a_{k2} a_{ij} \right) \partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1 \partial_j \eta_k \partial_t^m v_i \\
&\quad + m \int_{\Omega} \partial_j \partial_1 \left(\partial_t \left(\frac{1}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) a_{k2} a_{ij} \right) \partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1 \eta_k \partial_t^m v_i \\
&= -m \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \partial_1 \left(\partial_t \left(\frac{1}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) a_{k2} a_{ij} \right) \partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1 \eta_k \partial_t^m \partial_j \eta_i \\
&\quad + m \int_{\Omega} \partial_t \partial_1 \left(\partial_t \left(\frac{1}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) a_{k2} a_{ij} \right) \partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1 \eta_k \partial_t^m \partial_j \eta_i \\
&\quad + m \int_{\Omega} \partial_j \partial_1 \left(\partial_t \left(\frac{1}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) a_{k2} a_{ij} \right) \partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1 \eta_k \partial_t^m v_i \\
&\quad + m \int_{\Omega} \partial_1 \left(\partial_t \left(\frac{1}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) a_{k2} a_{ij} \right) \partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1 \partial_j \eta_k \partial_t^m v_i \\
&=: \mathcal{R}_b^{3,4,4,1} + \dots + \mathcal{R}_b^{3,4,4,4}
\end{aligned}$$

By using Hölder's inequality, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^{3,4,4,1} \right| &\lesssim \left| \int_{\Omega} \partial_1 \left(\partial_t \left(\frac{1}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right) a_{k2} a_{ij} \right) \partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1 \eta_k \partial_t^m \partial_j \eta_i \right|_0^t \\
&\lesssim M_0 + (\|\partial_t \partial_1^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L^4} + \|\partial_t \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta} \partial_1 \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L^4}) \|\partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L^4} \|\partial_t^m \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0 \\
&\lesssim M_0 + \delta \|\partial_t^m \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}(t)\|_0 + P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^{3,4,4,2} + \mathcal{R}_b^{3,4,4,3} \right| &\lesssim \int_0^t (\|\partial_t \partial_1^2 \partial \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L^4} + \|\partial^3 \boldsymbol{\eta} \partial^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L^4} + \|\partial^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L^6}^3) \|\partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L^4} \|\partial_t^m \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0 \\
&\lesssim \|\partial_t^m \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^4(L^2)} \|\partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^4(H^1)} (\|\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^4)} + T^{\frac{1}{2}} P(\|\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^\infty(\mathcal{H}^m)})) \\
&\lesssim T^{\frac{1}{4}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^{3,4,4,4} \right| &\lesssim \int_0^t (\|\partial_t \partial_1^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\bar{\partial} \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L^\infty}^2) \|\partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1 \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0 \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{v}\|_0 \\
&\lesssim \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{v}\|_{L_t^4(L^2)} \|\partial_t^{m-1} \partial_1 \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^4(L^2)} (\|\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^4)} + T^{\frac{1}{2}} P(\|\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^\infty(\mathcal{H}^4)})) \\
&\lesssim T^{\frac{1}{4}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, we obtain that

$$\left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^{3,4,4} \right| \lesssim M_0 + \delta \|\partial_t^m \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}(t)\|_0^2 + P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \quad (3.74)$$

Plugging (3.71)-(3.74) yields that

$$\left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^{3,4} \right| \lesssim M_0 + \delta (\|\partial_t^m \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}(t)\|_0^2 + |\partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2}(t)|_0^2) + P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \quad (3.75)$$

The remaining terms $\mathcal{R}_b^{3,5}, \mathcal{R}_b^{3,6}, \mathcal{R}_b^{3,7}$ are easy to be bounded by the similar argument used for $\mathcal{R}_b^{3,3}, \mathcal{R}_b^{3,4}$. We omit the details and conclude that

$$\left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^{3,5} + \mathcal{R}_b^{3,6} + \mathcal{R}_b^{3,7} \right| \lesssim M_0 + \delta(\|\partial_t^m \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0^2 + |\partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2}|_0^2) + P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \quad (3.76)$$

Substituting (3.68), (3.69), (3.70), (3.75), (3.76) into (3.67) yields that

$$\left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^3 + \mathcal{R}_q^{3,1} \right| \lesssim M_0 + \delta(\|\partial_t^m \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}(t)\|_0^2 + |\partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2}(t)|_0^2) + P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right).$$

Moreover, it is not difficult to prove that

$$\left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_q^{3,2} + \dots + \mathcal{R}_q^{3,5} \right| \lesssim P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right).$$

So that, one gets

$$\left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^3 + \mathcal{R}_q^3 \right| \lesssim M_0 + \delta(\|\partial_t^m \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}(t)\|_0^2 + |\partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_i a_{i2}(t)|_0^2) + P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \quad (3.77)$$

For $\mathcal{R}_b^4$, it follows from (2.10) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^4 \right| &\lesssim \int_0^t |\partial_t \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{L^\infty} |\partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_k a_{k2}|_0^2 \lesssim \int_0^t |\partial_t \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|_1 |\partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_k a_{k2}|_0^2 \\ &\lesssim |\partial_t \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{L_t^2(H^1)} |\partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_k a_{k2}|_{L_t^4(L^2)}^2 \lesssim T^{\frac{1}{2}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.78)$$

For $\mathcal{R}_b^5$, by tedious but not difficult calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_b^5 &= \int_\Gamma \frac{\partial_t^m a_{k2} \partial_1^2 \eta_k - \partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_k \partial_1 a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} a_{i2} \mathcal{V}_i - \int_\Gamma \frac{\partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_k a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \partial_1 a_{i2} \mathcal{V}_i \\ &\quad + 3 \int_\Gamma \frac{\partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_k \partial_1 a_{j2} a_{j2} a_{k2} - \partial_t^m a_{j2} a_{j2} a_{k2} \partial_1^2 \eta_k}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^5} a_{i2} \mathcal{V}_i \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\int_\Gamma \left(\left[\partial_t^m, a_{k2}, \frac{1}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \right] - 3 \left[\partial_t^{m-1}, \partial_t a_{j2}, \frac{a_{j2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^5} \right] a_{k2} \right) \partial_1^2 \eta_k a_{i2} \mathcal{V}_i}_{\mathcal{R}_b^{5,2}} \\ &\quad - 3 \underbrace{\int_\Gamma \partial_t a_{j2} \partial_t^{m-1} \left(\frac{a_{j2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^5} \right) \partial_1^2 \eta_k a_{k2} a_{i2} \mathcal{V}_i}_{\mathcal{R}_b^{5,3}} \\ &= \underbrace{\int_\Gamma \frac{\partial_1^2 \eta_k a_{k2}}{|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^3} \partial_t^m a_{i2} \mathcal{V}_i}_{\mathcal{R}_b^{5,1}} + \mathcal{R}_b^{5,2} + \mathcal{R}_b^{5,3}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (3.29) and the following identity

$$\partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_k \partial_1 a_{j2} a_{j2} a_{k2} - \partial_t^m a_{j2} a_{j2} a_{k2} \partial_1^2 \eta_k = |\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2 \partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_k \partial_1 a_{k2}.$$

By the similar argument as in the estimate of $\mathcal{R}_b^1$, one has

$$\left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^{5,1} \right| \lesssim \delta \|\nabla \partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}(t)\|_0^2 + P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right).$$

By the similar argument as in the estimate of $\mathcal{R}_b^2$ and $\mathcal{R}_b^3$, it is not difficult to get

$$\left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^{5,2} \right| + \left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^{5,3} \right| \lesssim P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right).$$

Thus, we obtain that

$$\left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^5 \right| \lesssim \delta \|\nabla \partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0^2 + P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \quad (3.79)$$

For $\mathcal{R}_b^6$, it follows from (2.10) that

$$\left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^6 \right| \lesssim \int_0^t |\partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_k a_{k2}|_0 |\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}|_0 (|\partial_1 \nabla \mathbf{v}|_{L^\infty} + |\partial_1 \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{L^\infty} |\nabla \mathbf{v}|_{L^\infty}) \lesssim P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \quad (3.80)$$

For $\mathcal{R}_b^7$, we obtain from integration by parts and the Piola identity (1.14) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_b^7 &= \int_{\Gamma} \partial_t^m \eta_k A_{kl} \partial_l q a_{i2} \partial_t^m v_i - \int_{\Gamma} \partial_t^m \eta_k A_{kl} \partial_l q a_{i2} \partial_t^m \eta_k A_{kl} \partial_l v_i \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \partial_t^m \eta_k A_{kl} \partial_l q a_{ij} \partial_j \partial_t^m v_i dx ds + \int_{\Omega} \partial_j (\partial_t^m \eta_k A_{kl} \partial_l q) a_{ij} \partial_t^m v_i dx ds \\ &\quad - \int_{\Gamma} \partial_t^m \eta_k A_{kl} \partial_l q a_{i2} \partial_t^m \eta_k A_{kl} \partial_l v_i \\ &= \underbrace{\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \partial_t^m \eta_k A_{kl} \partial_l q a_{ij} \partial_j \partial_t^m \eta_i dx}_{\mathcal{R}_b^{7,1}} - \underbrace{\int_{\Omega} \partial_t (\partial_t^m \eta_k A_{kl} \partial_l q a_{ij}) \partial_j \partial_t^m \eta_i dx ds}_{\mathcal{R}_b^{7,2}} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\int_{\Omega} \partial_j (\partial_t^m \eta_k A_{kl} \partial_l q) a_{ij} \partial_t^m v_i dx ds}_{\mathcal{R}_b^{7,3}} - \underbrace{\int_{\Gamma} \partial_t^m \eta_k A_{kl} \partial_l q a_{i2} \partial_t^m \eta_k A_{kl} \partial_l v_i}_{\mathcal{R}_b^{7,4}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.81)$$

By interpolation inequality, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^{7,1} \right| &\lesssim M_0 + (\|\nabla \partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0) (\|\nabla^2 q\|_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla q\|_0^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|\nabla q\|_0) \|\nabla \partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0 \\ &\lesssim \delta \|\nabla \partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0^2 + M_0 + P(\|\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{\mathcal{H}^m}^2, \|q\|_{\mathcal{H}^2}^2) \\ &\lesssim \delta \|\nabla \partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0^2 + M_0 + TP \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.82)$$

In view of (3.3) and the Sobolev embedding, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^{7,2} \right| + \left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^{7,3} \right| &\lesssim \int_0^t \|\partial_t^m \mathbf{v}\|_0 \|\nabla q\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial_t^m \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0 \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L^4} \|A\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial \nabla q\|_{L^4} (\|\partial_t^m \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0 + \|\partial_t^m \mathbf{v}\|_0) \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L^4} \|\nabla q\|_{L^\infty} \|\partial \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L^4} (\|\partial_t^m \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0 + \|\partial_t^m \mathbf{v}\|_0) \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{H}^2)} (\|\partial_t^m \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^4(L_x^2)} + \|\partial_t^m \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^4(L_x^2)}) \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^4(H_x^1)} \|\nabla q\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^2)} \\ &\lesssim P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.83)$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^{7,4} \right| &\lesssim \int_0^t |\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}|_{L^4}^2 |A_{kl} \partial_l q a_{i2} A_{jk} \partial_k v_i|_0 \lesssim \int_0^t \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{H^1}^2 \|\partial_l q \partial_k v_i\|_{H^1} \\ &\lesssim \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^4(H^1)}^2 \|\nabla q\|_{L^2(\mathcal{H}^2)} \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2(\mathcal{H}^2)} \lesssim P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.84)$$

Plugging (3.82)-(3.84) into (3.81) yields that

$$\left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_b^7 \right| \lesssim \delta \|\nabla \partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0^2 + M_0 + TP \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \quad (3.85)$$

Finally, the volume integral terms, $\mathcal{R}_\eta^i$, $\mathcal{R}_q^i$, $\mathcal{R}_c^i$ and $\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon^i$ can be controlled as follows. It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_\eta^1 \right| &\lesssim \int_0^t \|\partial_t^m \mathbf{v}\|_0^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty} + \|\partial_t^m \mathbf{v}\|_0 \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0 \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty}^2 + \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty}^3 \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L^4} \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{v}\|_{L^4} (\|\partial_t^m \mathbf{v}\|_0 + \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0 \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L^\infty}) \\ &\lesssim \|\partial_t^m \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^4(L^2)}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(H^2)} + \|\partial_t^m \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^4(L^2)} \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^4(L^2)} \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(H^2)}^2 \\ &\quad + \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^4(L^2)}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^6(H^2)}^3 + \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^4(H^1)} \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(H^1)} \|\partial_t^m \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^4(L^2)} \\ &\quad + \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^4(H^1)} \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(H^1)} \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)} \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^4(H^2)} \\ &\lesssim T^{\frac{1}{2}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.86)$$

It follows from (2.3), the Sobolev embedding, and (3.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_\eta^2 \right| &\lesssim \|[\partial_t^m, a_{ik}, \partial_k q]\|_{L_t^2(L_x^2)} \|\mathcal{V}_i\|_{L_t^2(L_x^2)} \\ &\lesssim (\|\partial \nabla q\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty} \|\partial \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-2})} + \|\partial \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty} \|\partial \nabla q\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-2})}) \\ &\quad \cdot (\|\partial_t^m \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^4(L^2)} T^{\frac{1}{4}} + \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L^4(L^2)} \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L^4(L^\infty)}) \\ &\lesssim T^{\frac{1}{4}} \|\nabla q\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})} \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^m)} \|\partial_t^m \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^4(L^2)} \lesssim T^{\frac{3}{4}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.87)$$

Similar to (3.86), one has

$$\left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_\eta^3 + \mathcal{R}_q^1 \right| \lesssim T^{\frac{1}{2}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \quad (3.88)$$

Similar to (3.87), we can obtain from (2.2), the Sobolev embedding, and (3.6) that

$$\left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_q^2 \right| \lesssim T^{\frac{3}{4}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right).$$

It is obvious that

$$\left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_q^4 \right| \lesssim \|J_t\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty} \|\partial_t^m q\|_{L_t^2(L_x^2)} \lesssim \|J_t\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})} \|\partial_t^m q\|_{L_t^4(L_x^2)} T^{\frac{1}{4}} \lesssim T^{\frac{3}{4}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \quad (3.89)$$

By using (3.47), one has

$$\left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_c^1 + \mathcal{R}_c^2 \right| \lesssim \|\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{v})\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} \|\mathcal{Q}\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} + \|\mathcal{C}(q)\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} \|\mathcal{V}\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} \lesssim TP \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \quad (3.90)$$

Similar to (3.21) and (3.23), one has

$$\sum_{i=1}^6 \left| \int_0^t \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon^i \right| \lesssim \delta \varepsilon \|\nabla \partial_t^m \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 + TP \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right) \quad (3.91)$$

Therefore, integrating (3.54) with respect to time, substituting the above estimates at hand into the resultant equation, using the estimate (3.5) and Korn's inequality (2.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{V}(t)\|_0^2 + \|\mathcal{Q}(t)\|_0^2 + \|\nabla \partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}(t)\|_0^2 + |\partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_k a_{k2}(t)|_0^2 + \varepsilon \int_0^t \|\nabla \partial_t^m \mathbf{v}\|_0^2 \\ & \lesssim M_0 + \delta \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) + P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Squaring the above inequality and integrating over time once again yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \|\mathcal{V}\|_0^4 + \|\mathcal{Q}\|_0^4 + \|\nabla \partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0^4 + |\partial_t^m \partial_1 \eta_k a_{k2}|_0^4 + \varepsilon^2 \int_0^t \left(\int_0^s \|\nabla \partial_t^m \mathbf{v}\|_0^2 \right)^2 \\ & \lesssim M_0 + TP \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{Q}$ and the fundamental theorem of calculus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \|\partial_t^m \mathbf{v}\|_0^4 + \|\partial_t^m q\|_0^4 \lesssim \int_0^t \|\mathcal{V}\|_0^4 + \|\mathcal{Q}\|_0^4 + \int_0^t \|\partial_t^m \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0^4 (\|A_{jk} \partial_k v\|_{L^\infty}^4 + \|A_{jk} \partial_k q\|_{L^\infty}^4) \\ & \lesssim M_0 + TP \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we can complete the proof. $\square$

3.5. Normal derivative estimates. To derive normal derivative estimate, we can first obtain from (1.15)₂, (1.12) and (2.14) that

$$\begin{aligned} & -\tilde{\rho}_0 J \Delta \eta_i - \gamma (\tilde{\rho}_0 J^{-1})^\gamma a_{ik} a_{rs} \partial_k \partial_s \eta_r - \mu \varepsilon a_{kl} a_{kj} \partial_j \partial_l v_i - (\mu + \lambda) \varepsilon a_{kl} a_{ij} \partial_l \partial_j v_k \\ & = -\gamma (\tilde{\rho}_0 J^{-1})^{\gamma-1} a_{ik} + \partial_j \tilde{\rho}_0 J \partial_j \eta_i - \tilde{\rho}_0 J \partial_t v_i + \mu \varepsilon J a_{kl} \partial_l A_{kj} \partial_j v_i \\ & \quad + \mu \varepsilon J a_{kl} \partial_l A_{kj} \partial_j v_i + \lambda \varepsilon J a_{ij} \partial_j A_{kl} \partial_l v_k, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the following fact $\partial_k f = -\tilde{\rho}_0 J^{-2} a_{rs} \partial_k \partial_s \eta_r + \partial_k \tilde{\rho}_0 J^{-1}$. As a consequence, we have

$$-\mathcal{A}_{ij} \partial_2^2 \eta_j - \mu \varepsilon a_{k2} a_{k2} \partial_2^2 v_i - (\mu + \lambda) \varepsilon a_{i2} a_{j2} \partial_2^2 v_j = \mathcal{F}_i + \mathcal{G}_i, \quad (3.92)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{ij} &= \tilde{\rho}_0 J \delta_{ij} + \gamma (\tilde{\rho}_0 J^{-1})^\gamma a_{i2} a_{j2} \\ \mathcal{F}_i &= \sum_{l \neq 2, \text{ or } j \neq 2} (\mu \varepsilon a_{kl} a_{kj} \partial_j \partial_l v_i + (\mu + \lambda) \varepsilon a_{kl} a_{ij} \partial_l \partial_j v_k) \\ & \quad + \mu \varepsilon J a_{kl} \partial_l A_{kj} \partial_j v_i + \mu \varepsilon J a_{kl} \partial_l A_{kj} \partial_j v_i + \lambda \varepsilon J a_{ij} \partial_j A_{kl} \partial_l v_k \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_i &= \gamma (\tilde{\rho}_0 J^{-1})^\gamma (a_{i1} a_{r1} \partial_1^2 \eta_r + a_{i1} a_{r2} \partial_2^2 \eta_r + a_{i2} a_{r1} \partial_2^2 \eta_r) \\ & \quad - \tilde{\rho}_0 J \partial_t v_i - \tilde{\rho}_0 J \partial_1^2 \eta_i - \gamma (\tilde{\rho}_0 J^{-1})^{\gamma-1} a_{ik} \partial_k \tilde{\rho}_0 + J \nabla \tilde{\rho}_0 \cdot \nabla \eta_i. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that

$$\det \mathcal{A} = \tilde{\rho}_0 J + \gamma (\tilde{\rho}_0 J^{-1})^\gamma (a_{12}^2 + a_{22}^2) > \tilde{\rho}_0 J > 0,$$

so that $\mathcal{A}$ is invertible. Moreover, it is obvious that $\mathcal{A}$ is also symmetric. These imply that we can estimate the normal derivatives of η by using (3.92) so that we have the following lemma

Lemma 3.7. *For any $t \in [0, T_\varepsilon]$, $m \geq 4$, it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}^m}^2 + \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{m-1}}^2 + \|\sqrt{\varepsilon} \nabla^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{m-1}}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{H}^m}^2 + \|\mathbf{v}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{H}^m}^2 + \|\varepsilon \nabla \mathbf{v}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{H}^m}^2 \\ & \lesssim M_0 + \delta \sup_{t \in [0, T_\varepsilon]} \mathfrak{E}^\varepsilon(t) + T_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T_\varepsilon]} \mathfrak{E}^\varepsilon(t) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.93)$$

Proof: Applying $\bar{\partial}^\beta$ with $|\beta| \leq m-1$ to (3.92) yields that

$$\begin{aligned} & -\mathcal{A}_{ij} \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_2^2 \eta_j - \mu \varepsilon a_{k2} a_{k2} \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_2^2 v_i - (\mu + \lambda) \varepsilon a_{i2} a_{j2} \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_2 v_j \\ & = [\bar{\partial}^\beta, \mathcal{A}_{ij}] \partial_2^2 \eta_j + \mu \varepsilon [\bar{\partial}^\beta, a_{k2} a_{k2}] \partial_2^2 v_i + (\mu + \lambda) \varepsilon [\bar{\partial}^\beta, a_{i2} a_{j2}] \partial_2^2 v_j + \bar{\partial}^\beta \mathcal{F}_i + \bar{\partial}^\beta \mathcal{G}_i. \end{aligned} \quad (3.94)$$

Then, by taking inner product between the above equation with $-\partial_t^\beta \partial_2^2 \eta_i$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_\Omega \mathcal{A}_{ij} \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_2^2 \eta_j \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_2^2 \eta_i + \frac{\mu}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega \varepsilon |a_{.2}|^2 |\bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_2^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2 + \frac{\mu + \lambda}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega \varepsilon |\bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_2^2 \eta_i a_{i2}|^2 \\ & = \int_\Omega \mu \varepsilon a_{k2} \partial_t a_{k2} |\bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_2^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}|^2 + (\mu + \lambda) \varepsilon a_{i2} \partial_t a_{j2} \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_2^2 \eta_i \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_2^2 \eta_j \\ & \quad - \int_\Omega [\bar{\partial}^\beta, \mathcal{A}_{ij}] \partial_2^2 \eta_j \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_2^2 \eta_i - \mu \int_\Omega \varepsilon [\bar{\partial}^\beta, a_{k2} a_{k2}] \partial_2^2 v_i \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_2^2 \eta_i \\ & \quad - (\mu + \lambda) \int_\Omega \varepsilon [\bar{\partial}^\beta, a_{i2} a_{j2}] \partial_2^2 v_j \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_2^2 \eta_i - \int_\Omega \bar{\partial}^\beta \mathcal{F}_i \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_2^2 \eta_i - \int_\Omega \bar{\partial}^\beta \mathcal{G}_i \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_2^2 \eta_i. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we can obtain from integrating over time and using the fact $\mu > 0, \mu + \lambda > 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_2^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 + \|\sqrt{\varepsilon} \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_2^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0^2(t) & \lesssim \int_0^t \|\partial_t \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L^\infty} \|\sqrt{\varepsilon} \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_2^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0^2 + \|[\bar{\partial}^\beta, \mathcal{A}_{.j}] \partial_2^2 \eta_j\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 \\ & \quad + \varepsilon^2 \|[\bar{\partial}^\beta, a_{.2} a_{.2}] \partial_2^2 \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 + \|\bar{\partial}^\beta \mathcal{F}\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 + \|\bar{\partial}^\beta \mathcal{G}\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.95)$$

It follows from Sobolev embedding and Hölder's inequality that

$$\int_0^t \|\partial_t \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L^\infty} \|\sqrt{\varepsilon} \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_2^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_0^2 \lesssim \|\sqrt{\varepsilon} \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_2^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^2 T^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^3)} \lesssim T^{\frac{1}{2}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right) \quad (3.96)$$

It follows from (2.1), (3.10) and (3.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|[\bar{\partial}^\beta, \mathcal{A}_{.j}] \partial_2^2 \eta_j\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 & \lesssim \|\bar{\partial} \mathcal{A}_{.j}\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}^2 \|\partial_2 \eta_j\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2 + \|\partial_2 \eta_j\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}^2 \|\bar{\partial} \mathcal{A}_{.j}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2 \\ & \lesssim \|\partial_2 \eta_j\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2 \|\bar{\partial} \mathcal{A}_{.j}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2 \\ & \lesssim T \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^\infty(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})} (\|J\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^m)} + \|\partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^m)}) \\ & \lesssim TP \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.97)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^2 \|[\bar{\partial}^\beta, a_{.2} a_{.2}] \partial_2^2 \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 & \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \left(\|\bar{\partial}(a_{.2} a_{.2})\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}^2 \|\partial_2^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2 + \|\partial_2^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}^2 \|\bar{\partial}(a_{.2} a_{.2})\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2 \right) \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon \|\bar{\partial} \partial_1 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2 T \|\sqrt{\varepsilon} \nabla^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^\infty(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2 \\ & \lesssim TP \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.98)$$

Similarly, by using (2.1), (3.3) and (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\bar{\partial}^2 \mathcal{F}\|_{L_t^2(L^2)} &\lesssim \varepsilon^2 \left(\|\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}^2 \|\bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_1 \nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 + \|[\bar{\partial}^\beta, \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}] \partial_1 \nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 \right) \\
&\quad + \varepsilon^2 \left(\|\nabla^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}^2 \|\nabla^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2 \right) \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon^2 \|\bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_1 \nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 + \varepsilon \|\bar{\partial} \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2 T \|\sqrt{\varepsilon} \nabla^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^\infty(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2 \\
&\lesssim M_0 + \delta \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) + T^{\frac{1}{4}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.99}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\bar{\partial}^\beta \mathcal{G}\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 &\lesssim \|\bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_1 \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 + \|\bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_t \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 + \left\| \left[\bar{\partial}^\beta, (\tilde{\rho}_0 J^{-1})^\gamma \mathbf{a}\mathbf{a} \right] \partial_1 \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta} \right\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 \\
&\quad + \left\| \left[\bar{\partial}^\beta, \tilde{\rho}_0 J^{-1} \right] \partial_t \nabla \mathbf{v} \right\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 + \left\| \left[\bar{\partial}^\beta, \tilde{\rho}_0 J^{-1} \right] \partial_1^2 \boldsymbol{\eta} \right\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 \\
&\quad + \left\| (\tilde{\rho}_0 J^{-1})^{\gamma-1} \right\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}^2 \|a_{ik} \partial_k \tilde{\rho}_0\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2 + \|a_{ik} \partial_k \tilde{\rho}_0\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}^2 \left\| (\tilde{\rho}_0 J^{-1})^{\gamma-1} \right\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2 \\
&\quad + \|J \nabla \tilde{\rho}_0\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}^2 \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2 + \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}^2 \|J \nabla \tilde{\rho}_0\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2 \\
&\lesssim T \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^\infty(\mathcal{H}_{tan}^{m,1})}^2 + T^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^4(\mathcal{H}_{tan}^m)}^2 + \|J\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2 + \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2 \\
&\quad + \|\bar{\partial} \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_{t,x}^\infty}^2 \left(\|\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^m)}^2 + \|J\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2 \right) + \|\nabla \tilde{\rho}_0\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2 \\
&\lesssim T^{\frac{1}{2}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.100}$$

Therefore, plugging (3.96)-(3.100) into (3.95) yields

$$\|\partial_2^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}_{tan}^{m-1})}^2 + \|\sqrt{\varepsilon} \partial_2^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^\infty(\mathcal{H}_{tan}^{m-1})}^2 \lesssim M_0 + \delta \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) + T^{\frac{1}{4}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \tag{3.101}$$

On the other hand, by taking inner product between (3.94) with $-\varepsilon \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_2^2 v_i$, integrating over $\Omega \times [0, t]$ and using (3.97)-(3.101), we can obtain that

$$\varepsilon^2 \|\partial_2^2 \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}_{tan}^{m-1})}^2 \lesssim M_0 + \delta \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) + T^{\frac{1}{4}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \tag{3.102}$$

Next, for any $|\beta| \leq m-1-\ell, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$, applying $\bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_2^\ell$ to (3.92), taking inner product with $-\bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_2^{\ell+2} \boldsymbol{\eta}_i$, and using the same argument as in the proof of (3.101) and (3.102), we can successively obtain the following estimate for $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_2^{\ell+2} \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 + \|\sqrt{\varepsilon} \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_2^{\ell+2} \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^\infty(L^2)}^2 + \|\varepsilon \bar{\partial}^\beta \partial_2^{\ell+2} \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(L^2)}^2 \\
&\lesssim M_0 + \delta \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) + T^{\frac{1}{4}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\partial_2^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2 + \|\sqrt{\varepsilon} \partial_2^2 \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^\infty(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2 + \|\varepsilon \partial_2^2 \mathbf{v}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2 \\
&\lesssim M_0 + \delta \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) + T^{\frac{1}{4}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

which, combining with (3.11) and (3.48), implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\sqrt{\varepsilon}\nabla^2\boldsymbol{\eta}(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{m-1}}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{\mathcal{H}^m}^2 + \|\mathbf{v}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathcal{H}^m}^2 + \|\varepsilon\nabla\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathcal{H}^m}^2 \\ & \lesssim M_0 + \delta \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) + T^{\frac{1}{4}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by using (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\boldsymbol{\eta}(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}^m}^2 + \|\nabla\boldsymbol{\eta}(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}^{m-1}}^2 & \lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\eta}_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^m}^2 + \|\nabla\boldsymbol{\eta}_0\|_{\mathcal{H}^{m-1}}^2 + T \left(\|\partial_t\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^m)}^2 + \|\nabla\partial_t\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L_t^2(\mathcal{H}^{m-1})}^2 \right) \\ & \lesssim M_0 + \delta \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) + T^{\frac{1}{4}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}(t) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we complete the proof of lemma. $\square$

3.6. Proof of Proposition 3.1. We now collect the estimates derived above and verify the *a priori* assumption (3.3). Indeed, we obtain from lemmas 3.2, 3.4, 3.6 and 3.7 that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T_\varepsilon]} \mathfrak{E}^\varepsilon(t) \leq M_0 + \delta \sup_{t \in [0, T_\varepsilon]} \mathfrak{E}^\varepsilon(t) + T_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{4}} P \left(\sup_{t \in [0, T_\varepsilon]} \mathfrak{E}^\varepsilon(t) \right).$$

As a result, it holds for any $t \in [0, T_\varepsilon]$

$$|J^\varepsilon(t) - J_0^\varepsilon| \leq \left| \int_0^t J_t^\varepsilon \right| \leq T_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \|J_t^\varepsilon\|_{L_T^2(L^\infty)} \lesssim T_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \sup_{t \in [0, T_\varepsilon]} \mathfrak{E}^\varepsilon(t).$$

Similarly, we also have

$$|\partial_j \eta_i^\varepsilon(t) - \partial_j \eta_{0i}^\varepsilon| \lesssim T_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \sup_{t \in [0, T_\varepsilon]} \mathfrak{E}^\varepsilon(t).$$

Therefore, by taking δ sufficiently small, there exist a T independent of ε such that (3.3) is satisfied and

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}^\varepsilon(t) \leq 2M_0.$$

4. PROOF OF THEOREMS

4.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1. For any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, we can construct the local classical solutions $(\boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon, q^\varepsilon, \mathbf{v}^\varepsilon)$ to the free boundary problem of compressible viscoelastic fluid system (1.15) by the approach similar to [51] if the initial data $(\tilde{\rho}_0^\varepsilon, \boldsymbol{\eta}_0^\varepsilon, \mathbf{v}_0^\varepsilon)$ satisfy (1.19)-(1.21). We omit the detail here for simplicity. From the uniform estimates of $(\boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon, q^\varepsilon, \mathbf{v}^\varepsilon)$ obtained in Proposition 3.1, we can find a $T_0 > 0$ independent of ε such that $(\boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon, q^\varepsilon, \mathbf{v}^\varepsilon)$ satisfy $\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathfrak{E}^\varepsilon(t) \leq C_1$, which complete the proof of theorem 1.1.

4.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2. It follows from Theorem 1.1 that $\boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon$ is uniformly bounded in $L^\infty(0, T_0; \mathcal{H}^m)$, $\nabla\boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon$ is uniformly bounded in $L^2(0, T_0; \mathcal{H}^m)$, and $\partial_t\boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon$ is uniformly bounded in $L^\infty(0, T_0; \mathcal{H}^{m-1}) \cap L^2(0, T_0; \mathcal{H}^{m-1})$. Then, by using Aubin-Lions compactness theorem (c.f. [38]), we have $\boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon$ is compact in $C([0, T_0]; \mathcal{H}^{m-1})$. In particular, there exist a sequence $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$ and a $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ such that $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\eta}$ in $C([0, T_0]; \mathcal{H}^{m-1})$ and $\mathbf{v}^{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow \mathbf{v}$ in $C([0, T_0]; \mathcal{H}^{m-2})$ as $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$. These convergence allow us to pass the limit in (1.15) and obtain $(\boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{v})$ solving the elastodynamic equations (1.16). Moreover, by applying the lower semi-continuity of norms to bounds in Theorem 1, we can obtain that $(\boldsymbol{\eta}, \mathbf{v})$ satisfy the following uniform regularities of

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L^\infty(0, T_0; \mathcal{H}^m(\Omega))} + \|\nabla\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L^\infty(0, T_0; \mathcal{H}_{tan}^{m,1}(\Omega))} + |\bar{\partial}^{m-1}\partial_1\boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{L^\infty(0, T_0; L^2(\Gamma))} + \|\nabla\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L^2(0, T_0; \mathcal{H}^m(\Omega))} \\ & + \|\partial_t^m\mathbf{v}\|_{L^4(0, T_0; L^2(\Omega))} + \|\partial_t^m\nabla\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{L^4(0, T_0; L^2(\Omega))} + |\partial_1\partial_t^m\boldsymbol{\eta} \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{L^4(0, T_0; L^2(\Gamma))} \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

By standard energy method, it is not difficult to prove the uniqueness of classical solutions $(\boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{v})$ to (1.16) with these regularities. Therefore, we have that the whole family $(\boldsymbol{\eta}^\varepsilon, \boldsymbol{v}^\varepsilon)$ converge to $(\boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{v})$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Y. Cai, Z. Lei, F. Lin, and N. Masmoudi. Vanishing Viscosity Limit for Incompressible Viscoelasticity in Two Dimensions. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, 72(10):2063–2120, 2019.
- [2] Y. Chen and P. Zhang. The Global Existence of Small Solutions to the Incompressible Viscoelastic Fluid System in 2 and 3 Space Dimensions. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 31(12):1793–1810, 2006.
- [3] G. Ciampa, G. Crippa, and S. Spirito. Strong Convergence of the Vorticity for the 2D Euler Equations in the Inviscid Limit. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 240(1):295–326, 2021.
- [4] T. Clopeau, A. Mikelić, and R. Robert. On the vanishing viscosity limit for the 2D incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with the friction type boundary conditions. *Nonlinearity*, 11(6):1625–1636, 1998.
- [5] P. Constantin, T. D. Drivas, and T. M. Elgindi. Inviscid Limit of Vorticity Distributions in the Yudovich Class. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, 2020.
- [6] P. Constantin and J. Wu. Inviscid limit for vortex patches. *Nonlinearity*, 8(5):735–742, 1995.
- [7] E. Di Iorio, P. Marcati, and S. Spirito. Splash singularities for a 2D Oldroyd-B model with nonlinear Piola-Kirchhoff stress. *Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl.*, 24(6):60, 2017.
- [8] E. Di Iorio, P. Marcati, and S. Spirito. Splash singularity for a free-boundary incompressible viscoelastic fluid model. *Adv. Math.*, 368:107124, 2020.
- [9] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci, and E. Valdinoci. Hitchhiker’s guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces. *Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques*, 136(5):521–573, 2012.
- [10] T. Elgindi and D. Lee. Uniform regularity for free-boundary Navier-Stokes equations with surface tension. *J. Hyper. Differential Equations*, 15(01):37–118, 2018.
- [11] M. Fei, T. Tao, and Z. Zhang. On the zero-viscosity limit of the Navier-Stokes equations in $\mathbb{R}^3$ without analyticity. *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, 112:170–229, 2018.
- [12] M. C. L. Filho, H. J. N. Lopes, and G. Planas. On the Inviscid Limit for Two-Dimensional Incompressible Flow with Navier Friction Condition. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 36(4):1130–1141, 2005.
- [13] T. Gallay. Interaction of Vortices in Weakly Viscous Planar Flows. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 200(2):445–490, 2011.
- [14] X. Gu and Z. Lei. Local Well-posedness of the Free Boundary Incompressible Elastodynamics with Surface Tension. *ArXiv200813354*, 2020.
- [15] X. Gu and F. Wang. Well-posedness of the free boundary problem in incompressible elastodynamics under the mixed type stability condition. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 482(1):123529, 2020.
- [16] C. Hao and D. Wang. A priori estimates for the free boundary problem of incompressible neo-Hookean elastodynamics. *J. Differential Equations*, 261(1):712–737, 2016.
- [17] X. Hu and Y. Huang. Well-posedness of the free boundary problem for incompressible elastodynamics. *J. Differential Equations*, 266(12):7844–7889, 2019.
- [18] D. Iftimie and G. Planas. Inviscid limits for the Navier-Stokes equations with Navier friction boundary conditions. *Nonlinearity*, 19(4):899–918, 2006.
- [19] D. Iftimie and F. Sueur. Viscous boundary layers for the Navier-Stokes equations with the Navier slip conditions. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 199(1):145–175, 2011.
- [20] T. Kato. Nonstationary flows of viscous and ideal fluids in $\mathbb{R}^3$. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 9(3):296–305, 1972.
- [21] H. V. J. Le Meur. Well-Posedness of Surface Wave Equations Above a Viscoelastic Fluid. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, 13(4):481–514, 2011.
- [22] Z. Lei. Global Well-Posedness of Incompressible Elastodynamics in Two Dimensions. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 69(11):2072–2106, 2016.
- [23] Z. Lei, C. Liu, and Y. Zhou. Global Solutions for Incompressible Viscoelastic Fluids. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 188(3):371–398, 2008.
- [24] H. Li, W. Wang, and Z. Zhang. Well-posedness of the free boundary problem in incompressible elastodynamics. *J. Differential Equations*, 267(11):6604–6643, 2019.
- [25] F. Lin and P. Zhang. On the initial-boundary value problem of the incompressible viscoelastic fluid system. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 61(4):539–558, 2008.
- [26] F.-H. Lin, C. Liu, and P. Zhang. On hydrodynamics of viscoelastic fluids. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 58(11):1437–1471, 2005.
- [27] Y. Maekawa. On the Inviscid Limit Problem of the Vorticity Equations for Viscous Incompressible Flows in the Half-Plane. *Commun. Pur. Appl. Math.*, 67(7):1045–1128, 2014.

- [28] N. Masmoudi and F. Rousset. Uniform Regularity for the Navier–Stokes Equation with Navier Boundary Condition. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 203(2):529–575, 2012.
- [29] N. Masmoudi and F. Rousset. Uniform Regularity and Vanishing Viscosity Limit for the Free Surface Navier–Stokes Equations. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 223(1):301–417, 2017.
- [30] F. J. McGrath. Nonstationary plane flow of viscous and ideal fluids. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 27(5):329–348, 1968.
- [31] Y. Mei, Y. Wang, and Z. Xin. Uniform regularity for the free surface compressible Navier–Stokes equations with or without surface tension. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, 28(02):259–336, 2018.
- [32] T. T. Nguyen and T. T. Nguyen. The Inviscid Limit of Navier–Stokes Equations for Analytic Data on the Half-Space. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 230(3):1103–1129, 2018.
- [33] M. Paddick. The strong inviscid limit of the isentropic compressible Navier–Stokes equations with Navier boundary conditions. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 36(5):2673, 2016.
- [34] M. Sammartino and R. E. Caflisch. Zero Viscosity Limit for Analytic Solutions, of the Navier–Stokes Equation on a Half-Space. ¶I. Existence for Euler and Prandtl Equations. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 192(2):433–461, 1998.
- [35] M. Sammartino and R. E. Caflisch. Zero Viscosity Limit for Analytic Solutions of the Navier–Stokes Equation on a Half-Space. ¶II. Construction of the Navier–Stokes Solution. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 192(2):463–491, 1998.
- [36] T. C. Sideris and B. Thomases. Global existence for three-dimensional incompressible isotropic elastodynamics via the incompressible limit. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 58(6):750–788, 2005.
- [37] T. C. Sideris and B. Thomases. Global existence for three-dimensional incompressible isotropic elastodynamics. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 60(12):1707–1730, 2007.
- [38] J. Simon. Compact sets in the space $L^p(0, T; B)$. *Annali di Matematica pura ed applicata*, 146(1):65–96, 1986.
- [39] F. Sueur. On the Inviscid Limit for the Compressible Navier–Stokes System in an Impermeable Bounded Domain. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, 16(1):163–178, 2014.
- [40] H. S. G. Swann. The Convergence with Vanishing Viscosity of Nonstationary Navier–Stokes Flow to Ideal Flow in $\mathbb{R}^3$. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 157:373, 1971.
- [41] Y. Trakhinin. Well-posedness of the free boundary problem in compressible elastodynamics. *J. Differential Equations*, 264(3):1661–1715, 2018.
- [42] C. Wang, Y. Wang, and Z. Zhang. Zero-Viscosity Limit of the Navier–Stokes Equations in the Analytic Setting. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 224(2):555–595, 2017.
- [43] D. Wang and F. Xie. Inviscid Limit of Compressible Viscoelastic Equations with the No-Slip Boundary Condition. *ArXiv210608517*, 2021.
- [44] Y. Wang. Uniform Regularity and Vanishing Dissipation Limit for the Full Compressible Navier–Stokes System in Three Dimensional Bounded Domain. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 221(3):1345–1415, 2016.
- [45] Y. Wang and Z. Xin. Vanishing Viscosity and Surface Tension Limits of Incompressible Viscous Surface Waves. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 53(1):574–648, 2021.
- [46] Y. Wang, Z. Xin, and Y. Yong. Uniform Regularity and Vanishing Viscosity Limit for the Compressible Navier–Stokes with General Navier–Slip Boundary Conditions in Three-Dimensional Domains. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 47(6):4123–4191, 2015.
- [47] Y.-G. Wang and M. Williams. The inviscid limit and stability of characteristic boundary layers for the compressible Navier–Stokes equations with Navier–friction boundary conditions. *Ann. inst. Fourier*, 62(6):2257–2314, 2012.
- [48] Y.-G. Wang and Z. Xin. Zero-Viscosity Limit of the Linearized Compressible Navier–Stokes Equations with Highly Oscillatory Forces in the Half-Plane. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 37(4):1256–1298, 2005.
- [49] Y. Xiao and Z. Xin. On the vanishing viscosity limit for the 3D Navier–Stokes equations with a slip boundary condition. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 60(7):1027–1055, 2007.
- [50] Z. Xin and T. Yanagisawa. Zero-viscosity limit of the linearized Navier–Stokes equations for a compressible viscous fluid in the half-plane. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, 52(4):0479–0541, 1999.
- [51] L. Xu, P. Zhang, and Z. Zhang. Global Solvability of a Free Boundary Three-Dimensional Incompressible Viscoelastic Fluid System with Surface Tension. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 208(3):753–803, 2013.
- [52] J. Zhang. Local Well-posedness and Incompressible Limit of the Free-Boundary Problem in Compressible Elastodynamics. *ArXiv210207979*, 2021.

(Xumin Gu) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, SHANGHAI UNIVERSITY OF FINANCE AND ECONOMICS, SHANGHAI 200433, CHINA

Email address: gu.xumin@shufe.edu.cn

(Yu Mei) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, NORTHWESTERN POLYTECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, XI’AN 710129, CHINA

Email address: yu.mei@nwpu.edu.cn