

# Generating sustained coherence in a quantum memory for retrieval at the times of quantum revival

Tavshabad Kaur,<sup>1</sup> Maninder Kaur,<sup>1</sup> Arvind,<sup>2,3</sup> and Bindiya Arora<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Physics, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar, Punjab-143005, India*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Physical Sciences, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER) Mohali, PO Manauli, Punjab, 140306, India*

<sup>3</sup>*Vice Chancellor, Punjabi University Patiala, 147002, Punjab, India*

We study the time degradation of quantum information stored in a quantum memory device under a dissipative environment in a parameter range which is experimentally relevant. The quantum memory under consideration comprises of an optomechanical system with additional Kerr non-linearity in the optical mode and an anharmonic mechanical oscillator with quadratic non-linearity. Time degradation is monitored, both in terms of loss of coherence which is analyzed with the help of Wigner functions, as well as in terms of loss of amplitude of the original state studied as a function of time. While our time trajectories explore the degree to which the stored information degrades depending upon the variation in values of various parameters involved, we suggest a set of parameters for which the original information can be retrieved without degradation. We come across a highly attention seeking situation where the role played by the non-linearity is insignificant and the system behaves as if the information is stored in a linear medium. For this case, the information retrieval is independent of the coherence revival time and can be retrieved at any instant during the time evolution.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum memory is a device that stores quantum information in the form of quantum states for later retrieval [1, 2]. Due to an upsurge in the field of quantum computation and quantum communication there has been an increasing demand of devices that could store quantum information. However the storage of quantum information is a challenging task due to the presence of quantum decoherence effects. These effects render the stored information unsuitable for further use. The need of the hour is to devise quantum memories that not only can preserve coherence but also can store the information for longer durations. Criteria for assessing the performance of quantum memory include fidelity [3], efficiency [4], transfer coefficient [5], conditional variance [6], multi-mode capacity [7, 8] and storage time [9]. Optomechanical systems are highly recommended to store and retrieve quantum states. They provide a promising mechanism for a high-fidelity quantum memory that is faithful to a given temporal mode structure, and can be recovered synchronously. Teh et al. [10] carried out quantum simulations using a non-linear optomechanical system to give a complete model for the storage of a coherent state and to increase the fidelity beyond the quantum threshold. Recently, quantum memory protocol for the creation, storage and retrieval of Schrödinger cat states in optomechanical system was proposed by Teh et al. [11]. Quantum state formation through system anharmonicities as well as decoherence due to interactions with the environment in terms of Wigner current was studied by Braasch et al. [12]. Chakraborty et al. [13] presented a scheme to enhance the steady-state quantum correlations in an optomechanical system by incorporating an additional cross-Kerr-type coupling between the optical and the mechanical modes. He et al. [14] proposed a dynam-

ical approach for quantum memories using an oscillator-cavity model to overcome the known difficulties of achieving high quantum input-output fidelity with long storage times.

Quantum memories are an indispensable part of quantum information processing and long distance communication where the long distance is divided into shorter elementary links and the quantum state is stored independently for each link. It finds numerous applications in quantum networks [15], quantum repeaters [16, 17] and linear quantum computing [18, 19]. Quantum memory can be used to enhance the sensitivity of precision measurements where the sensitivity is limited by the time over which phase can be accumulated and hence storage of quantum states is required [20].

In the present work we study an optomechanical system with non-linearities to make it useful for the purpose of a quantum memory. The quantum information is stored in the long-lived mechanical mode of the optomechanical system as a quantum state which is initially chosen to be a coherent state obtained by displacing the vacuum state by a certain amount [21]. The mechanical mode interacts with the optical mode through radiation pressure in such a way that state transfer between both the modes is achievable [22-24]. We study the time evolution of our quantum state under the combined effect of non-linearity and dissipation using the Master equation method. The dissipation is modeled by assuming that the system interacts with a constant temperature bath. During the evolution highly non-classical superpositions of coherent states, i.e. multi-component Schrödinger cat states (Kitten states) are formed [25]. Revival of an initial quantum state occurs when it evolves in time to a state that reproduces its original coherent form. The characteristic time scale over which this phenomenon happens is called the coherence revival time

[26]. The periodic revival of coherence at the coherence revival times makes the retrieval of the quantum information at these times possible.

We use the Wigner function in our analysis which provides a particularly useful geometric representation of quantum states as a real valued function in the two-dimensional system phase-space [12, 27–30]. The time evolution of the Wigner function gives us quantum phase-space dynamics. We notice that the presence of non-linearity causes the initial coherent state with associated positive Wigner functions to evolve into non-classical states associated with negative Wigner functions [12, 31]. As expected the coherent state resurrects itself maintaining its coherence at coherence revival time with certain amount of degradation due to the presence of the environmental effects caused by the bath.

Further, since quantum information is stored in the form of a coherent state with certain amplitude that should be maintained at coherence revival time, we compute the amplitude of the coherent state as a function of time and study the effect of decoherence on the amplitude at different periods of time during the evolution. On varying the values of bath temperature, dissipation and non-linearity we gain insight into the extent to which coherences for the evolved quantum state can be sustained. In addition, we propose the optimum parameters for optomechanical system which can be used to retrieve quantum information at longer times with minimum loss.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section II we present the theory and the model used in our calculations, with the Hamiltonian and relevant quantum states and their dynamics defined in Section IIA, the master equation and phase space dynamics described in Section IIB and the numerical simulation techniques described in Section IIC. In Section III we discuss our results. The results are first presented in terms of Wigner function to study the effect of decoherence on the quantum information at different periods of time during evolution thereafter temporal evolution of the amplitude is presented as function of dissipation, non-linearity bath temperature and initial amplitude. Section IV contains some concluding remarks.

## II. QUANTUM MEMORY MODEL

A typical quantum optomechanical system consists of a Fabry-Perot cavity with one of the movable mirrors acting as a mechanical oscillator [10]. The optical mode trapped inside the Fabry-Perot cavity is coupled to the mechanical mode via a generic coupling represented by  $g_0$  [13]. The optical mode and the mechanical mode have the frequencies  $\omega_c$  and  $\omega_m$ , respectively. Additionally, Kerr non-linearity of strength  $k_c$  is present in the optical mode and  $k_m$  being the strength of the quadratic non-linearity in the anharmonic mechanical oscillator. Practically, the optomechanical system always interacts with its environment resulting in decoherence through damping and fluc-




FIG. 1: Schematic diagram of optomechanical system taken as quantum memory model. An optical mode (with frequency  $\omega_c$ ) couples with the mechanical mode (with frequency  $\omega_m$ ) via the radiation-pressure coupling  $g_0$ . Additionally, Kerr non-linearity of strength  $k_c$  and quadratic non-linearity of strength  $k_m$  are included in optical and mechanical modes, respectively.

Here,  $\gamma_c$  is the optical decay rate and  $\gamma_m$  is the mechanical damping rate of the respective modes.

tuations [10]. While interacting with their corresponding reservoirs, the cavity decay rate is represented by  $\gamma_c$  and the mechanical damping rate is given by  $\gamma_m$ . As a result of such dissipation, the quantum information begins to lose its quality during the time evolution.

### A. Hamiltonian for Quantum Information dynamics

With the above considerations, the total Hamiltonian of the optomechanical system can be written as

$$H_{total} = H_c + H_m + H_{coupling} \quad (1)$$

where  $H_c$ ,  $H_m$  and  $H_{coupling}$  are the Hamiltonian corresponding to the cavity, the mechanical oscillator and the coupling between them, respectively and are given by the following expressions:

$$\begin{aligned} H_c &= \hbar\omega_c a^\dagger a + \hbar k_c (a^\dagger a)^2 \\ H_m &= \hbar\omega_m b^\dagger b + \hbar k_m (b^\dagger b)^2 \\ H_{coupling} &= -\hbar g_0 a^\dagger a (b + b^\dagger). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Here  $a(a^\dagger)$  and  $b(b^\dagger)$  are the annihilation(creation) operators for optical and mechanical modes, respectively. The terms  $\hbar\omega_c a^\dagger a$  and  $\hbar\omega_m b^\dagger b$  correspond to the energy of the optical cavity with frequency  $\omega_c$  and mechanical oscillator with frequency  $\omega_m$  [10].  $H_{coupling}$  describes the interaction between the optical mode and the mechanical mode while  $\hbar k_c (a^\dagger a)^2$  and  $\hbar k_m (b^\dagger b)^2$  are the non-linear terms present in the optical mode and the mechanical oscillator, respectively.

In quantum information processing, quantum states are prepared, stored and retrieved on-demand using cer-

tain protocols. A unitary displacement operator  $\mathcal{D}$  generates a coherent state  $|\alpha\rangle$  by a phase-space displacement of the vacuum state  $|0\rangle$  [32, 33] as

$$|\alpha\rangle = \mathcal{D}(\alpha)|0\rangle, \quad (3)$$

where  $\alpha$  is a complex parameter and the Displacement operator is given by

$$\mathcal{D}(\alpha) = \exp(\alpha a^\dagger - \alpha^* a) \quad (4)$$

and  $|\alpha\rangle$  can be expanded in terms Fock or number states as [29, 34]:

$$|\alpha\rangle = e^{-\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle, \quad (5)$$

This initially prepared quantum coherent state is then allowed to evolve under system-environment dynamics to study the affect of dissipation and non-linearity on the stored information. For non-linear oscillators, after few cycles quantum interference takes over leading to a significant spread of the coherent state. The original state is no longer recognizable and is said to have ‘‘collapsed’’. As time advances, it resurrects itself leading to its ‘‘revival’’. The time at which this revival takes place and initial coherent state also regains its coherence is referred to as ‘coherence revival time’  $T_{rev}$  [35]. In optomechanical system we have two modes each with non-linearity such that both the modes behave as non-linear harmonic oscillators coupled with one another. Instead of considering these non-linear modes individually, we work with the combined system as a whole. Therefore, the collapses and revivals occur for the two mode system as a whole [35]. Consequently, we define coherence revival time for our system as a whole

$$T_{rev} = \frac{2\pi}{k_c + k_m}. \quad (6)$$

The entire numerical simulations under system-environment dynamics are performed to bring forth the retrieval phenomenon of stored quantum information at coherence revival time in the presence of environmental interactions.

## B. Master Equation and Phase-space representation of quantum states

We develop the theoretical description to model the decoherence effects on our two mode open system. The environment-system interactions are assumed to be Markovian. In this formalism the time derivative of the density operator  $\rho$  describing the quantum state of the non-linear optomechanical system is given by the Master equation

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = \mathcal{L}\rho \quad (7)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}$  is the Lindblad operator acting on the density matrix  $\rho$ . It is convenient to decompose  $\mathcal{L}$  into

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_c + \mathcal{L}_m + \mathcal{L}_{int} \quad (8)$$

where the operators  $\mathcal{L}_c$  and  $\mathcal{L}_m$  act on the optical mode and mechanical mode, respectively while the operator  $\mathcal{L}_{int}$  describes coupling in the optomechanical system.

On the account of  $H_c$  in equation (2), the total Lindblad operator for optical cavity is given as:

$$\mathcal{L}_c\rho = -\frac{\iota}{\hbar}[\omega_c a^\dagger a + k_c (a^\dagger a)^2, \rho] + L_c\rho, \quad (9)$$

where  $L_c$  describes the collapse operator as

$$L_c\rho = \gamma_c(n_c + 1)(a\rho a^\dagger - \frac{1}{2}(a^\dagger a\rho + \rho a^\dagger a)) + \gamma_c(n_c)(a^\dagger \rho a - \frac{1}{2}(a\rho a^\dagger + \rho a a^\dagger)). \quad (10)$$

Here  $n_c$  is the mean photon occupation number of the surrounding thermal reservoir kept at temperature  $T$  associated with optical mode and is given by

$$n_c = \frac{1}{\exp(\frac{\hbar\omega_c}{K_B T}) - 1}. \quad (11)$$

In analogy to equation (9), for the mechanical mode  $b$  associated with mechanical oscillator, the total Lindblad operator is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_m\rho = -\frac{\iota}{\hbar}[\omega_m b^\dagger b + k_m (b^\dagger b)^2, \rho] + L_m\rho, \quad (12)$$

where

$$L_m\rho = \gamma_m(n_m + 1)(b\rho b^\dagger - \frac{1}{2}(b^\dagger b\rho + \rho b^\dagger b)) + \gamma_m(n_m)(b^\dagger \rho b - \frac{1}{2}(b\rho b^\dagger + \rho b b^\dagger)) \quad (13)$$

and the mean thermal phonon occupation number of the bath (at temperature  $T$ ) corresponding to mechanical mode is

$$n_m = \frac{1}{\exp(\frac{\hbar\omega_m}{K_B T}) - 1}. \quad (14)$$

Eventually, the coupling Hamiltonian  $H_{coupling}$  in equation (2) couples the number of photons  $\hat{n} = a^\dagger a$  of the optical mode to the position  $\hat{x} = b + b^\dagger$  of the mechanical mode. Its Lindblad operator is denoted as

$$\mathcal{L}_{int}\rho = -\frac{\iota}{\hbar}[-g_0 a^\dagger a (b^\dagger + b), \rho]. \quad (15)$$

As the Lindblad Master equation (equation (7)) describes the quantum dynamics of the system state characterized by density operator  $\rho(t)$ , we use Wigner function to describe the quantum state in phase space [10]. Wigner function is a phase-space representation whose integration with respect to the system position coordinate  $x$




FIG. 2: Snapshots in term of contour plot of the Wigner function of the evolving coherent state prepared inside optomechanical quantum memory. for  $N=10$ . The optical cavity decay rate  $\gamma_c$  and the mechanical damping rate  $\gamma_m = 0.00001$  a.u. where the bath temperature corresponding to each mode is  $T = 0$ . Non-linearities present in both the modes take the value  $k_c = k_m = 0.01$  a.u..




FIG. 3: The expectation value  $\langle a(t) \rangle$  plotted as a function of time for coherent quantum state in the presence of dissipation  $\gamma_c = \gamma_m = 0.00001$  a.u. and nonlinearities  $k_c = k_m = 0.01$  a.u. . The bath temperature corresponding to each mode is  $T = 0$ .

gives the marginal probability density in the momentum coordinate  $p$  (and vice versa) [12]. The Wigner function representation of the quantum state  $\rho(t)$  on phase-space is defined as [12, 27, 28, 36, 37]

$$\begin{aligned} W(x, p, t) &= \frac{1}{\pi\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dy e^{-2ipy/\hbar} \langle x+y | \rho(t) | x-y \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dp' e^{+2ip'x/\hbar} \langle p+p' | \rho(t) | p-p' \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where the normalized wave function in momentum space is proportional to Fourier transform of the wave function in position space.

Further, to locate the time instant at which it is most appropriate to retrieve the stored information, we would like to calculate the expectation values of amplitude  $\langle a(t) \rangle$  for the stored coherent states as function of time. The solution of equation (7) for optomechanical system can be written as

$$\rho(t) = \exp[\mathcal{L}(t)]\rho(t_0). \quad (17)$$

From the above equation one can compute the time evolved density operator  $\rho(t)$  which is further used to calculate the expectation value of the amplitude via the relation given by

$$\langle a(t) \rangle = \langle a | \rho(t) | a \rangle \quad (18)$$

We calculate and display the snapshots of Wigner function at different times and plots of the expectation of the amplitude as two indicators in our analysis.

### C. Details of numerical simulations

All numerical simulations were carried out using QuTiP [38] which is an open source software package

written in Python. The numerical results are obtained by first solving the Lindblad master equation (equation (7)) to get the time evolved coherent state density matrix. The density evolution is further extended to Wigner function evolution after adopting the complete parameters of the corresponding phase space. Further, the expectation value of the amplitude, representing quantum information is obtained by solving equation (18). The evolution of the stored information has been observed until  $2T_{rev}$  where  $T_{rev}$  is given by equation (6).

To choose the parameters for our system that show experimental consistency, we follow the work done by [13]. They numerically illustrated the effect of cross-Kerr coupling on the steady-state behavior and stability condition of the optomechanical system by considering the experimentally accessible frequencies of optical and mechanical modes as  $\omega_c = 2\pi \times 370$  THz and  $\omega_m = 2\pi \times 10$  MHz respectively. To embody the strong coupling regime between the two modes, the value of  $g_0$  is taken as 13.47 THz. All the physical quantities are evaluated in atomic units (a.u.) by substituting  $\hbar$  and  $K_B$  equal to 1. Accordingly, our corresponding parameters in a.u. are  $\omega_c = 2\pi \times 0.056233$ ,  $\omega_m = 2\pi \times 0.151983 \times 10^{-8}$  and  $g_0 = 0.20472 \times 10^{-2}$ .

## III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### A. Visualization of the time evolution through Wigner representation

We plot the Wigner function corresponding to the quantum state at different times in order to see the transformations caused in the state due to nonlinearity and system-environment coupling. We consider an initial bit of quantum information embedded in a coherent state of amplitude  $\alpha = 1.5$ . The effective non-linearity of both the optical and mechanical modes is taken as 0.01 a.u. The bath is maintained at 0K such that  $n_c = n_m = 0$ . The overall dissipation resulting from this system-bath interaction is selected to be  $\gamma_c = \gamma_m = 0.00001$  a.u. It is observed that during the time evolution, coherent state undergoes series of alterations as is obvious in the contour plots of the Wigner function displayed at different times in Figure 2. In these plots, (Figure 2(a)- 2(o)) regions color coded blue depict positive Wigner function which correspond to conventional probability density while red regions depict negative Wigner function which correspond to a non-classical states. representation [12].

The Wigner plot for the initial coherent state at  $t = 0$  a.u., an elliptical blue region is shown in Figure 2(a). As time advances the coherent state loses its classicality and the non-classical red regions appear. The resulting state is marked by a tail of interference fringes as portrayed by snapshot at  $t = 10$  a.u. (Figure 2(b)). Further evolution is marked by the appearance of highly non-classical multi-component Schrödinger cat states, which are also called kitten states as shown in Figures 2(c)




FIG. 4: Collapse and revival of a coherent quantum state inside an optomechanical oscillator with non linearities  $k_c = k_m = 0.01$  a.u. for four different values of dissipation. (a)  $\gamma_c = \gamma_m = 0.00001$  a.u., (b)  $\gamma_c = \gamma_m = 0.0001$  a.u., (c)  $\gamma_c = \gamma_m = 0.001$  a.u. and (d)  $\gamma_c = \gamma_m = 0.01$  a.u..

and 2(d). Two component Schrödinger cat states are also observed at  $t = \frac{T_{rev}}{4} = 79$  a.u. as depicted in Figure 2(e). During the evolution, appearance of non-classicality in evolving coherent state is due to natural dispersion. However, the presence of non-linearities compensate the dispersion and make the coherent state to revive. Eventually, initial classical coherent state reappears at  $t = \frac{T_{rev}}{2} = 157$  a.u. given in Figure 2(i) with nonclassicality disappearing! As we further observe the time evolution of Wigner function we notice that the coherence revival states (coherent states) arise at multiples of  $\frac{T_{rev}}{2}$  time as illustrated by Figures 2(i), 2(k), 2(m) and 2(o). The analysis above shows that the coherences underlying the coherent state, collapse and revive periodically which plays an important role in deciding when to retrieve the information.

## B. Evolution of the expectation value of the amplitude

In order to elucidate the loss of amplitude at coherence collapse time (cat states) and regain of the amplitude at coherence revival time (coherent states), we calculate the

expectation value of coherent state amplitude using the Master equation. The time evolution of  $\langle a(t) \rangle$  shown in Figure 3 endorses the loss in amplitude odd at multiples of  $\frac{T_{rev}}{4}$  time during collapsed state and regain in amplitude at multiples of  $\frac{T_{rev}}{2}$  during revived state.

Further to study the extent of degradation of quantum information, we extend our results to find the evolution of the expectation value of the amplitude under various circumstances. In particular we study (1) the effect of environment, (2) the effect of non-linearities in system, (3) the effect of bath temperature and (4) the effect of initial amplitude of coherent state. After this study we will be able to draw out the best suited combinations for information retrieval.

### 1. The effect of environment

We begin with a coherent quantum state and study the effect of environment on expectation value of its amplitude as a function of time in the presence of non-linearity. We analyze the system in the parameter region in which the strength of non-linearity in the optical mode ( $k_c$ ) as well as mechanical mode ( $k_m$ ) is 0.01 a.u. The results




FIG. 5: Effect of strong and weak non-linearities in the optical and mechanical mode represented by  $k_c$  and  $k_m$ , respectively, on the revival behavior for a coherent quantum state. The dissipations inside the optomechanical oscillator are  $\gamma_c = \gamma_m = 0.00001 a.u.$ . The values of non-linearity are (a)  $k_c = k_m = 0.5$  a.u., (b)  $k_c = k_m = 0.05$  a.u., (c)  $k_c = k_m = 0.005$  a.u. and (d)  $k_c = k_m = 0.0005$  a.u.

are displayed in Figure 4 where we have plotted  $\langle a(t) \rangle$  as a function of time and the effect of environment is studied for different values of dissipation dignified in terms of  $\gamma_c$  and  $\gamma_m$  values which are chosen to be 0.00001 a.u., 0.0001 a.u., 0.001 a.u. and 0.01 a.u. The dissipation caused by the environment is evident through the damping and ultimate decay of the expectation value of amplitude  $\langle a(t) \rangle$  as time evolves. As we scan through different values of  $\gamma$ s we find there is a threshold value of dissipation upto which revivals survive as illustrated below.

As shown in Figure 4(a), for finite but small  $\gamma_c$  and  $\gamma_m$  values (both equal to 0.00001 a.u.), revivals are clearly noticeable although due to dissipation a reduction in revived amplitude does take place. Hence, although the revivals are never complete in the presence of dissipation, their signatures are present which can be experimentally identified. As the value of  $\gamma_c$  and  $\gamma_m$  increases the revivals become weaker and weaker as seen in Figures 4(b) and 4(c). From Figure 4(d), one can conclude that for a certain value of  $\gamma_c$  and  $\gamma_m$  equal to 0.01 a.u., the revivals disappear altogether. Hence beyond this threshold value we do not expect to see revivals in our quantum state.

## 2. The effect of non-linearity in system

Next we study the effect of strong and weak non-linearity on the revival behavior of the quantum state. In this case, the dissipation parameters  $\gamma_c$  and  $\gamma_m$  for optical and mechanical modes are chosen to be 0.00001 a.u. and magnitude of Kerr non-linearity  $k_c$  of the optical mode as well as quadratic anharmonicity  $k_m$  of the mechanical mode is varied. For Figure 5(a) the value of the non-linearity parameter is chosen to be large ( $k_c = k_m = 0.5$  a.u.). The value of revival time for this non-linearity as calculated from equation (6) is 6.4 a.u. and indicates that revivals should appear at these times. However, from Figure 5(a) one can notice that, the revival of quantum state is absent at this value of non-linearity and the pattern is irregular. Similar behavior is observed for non-linearity values of 0.05 a.u. (Figure 5(b)). Hence, we conclude that high values of non-linearity do not support the phenomenon of collapse and revival of quantum state. For a comparatively smaller value of non-linearity, 0.005 a.u. (Figure 5(c)), the constructive and destructive interference among multi-component Schrödinger cat states makes the collapse and revival to occur at fixed




FIG. 6: Effect of bath temperature on quantum state stored inside optomechanical oscillator with non linearities  $k_c = k_m = 0.01$  a.u. and dissipation  $\gamma_c = \gamma_m = 0.00001$  a.u.. The amplitude of revived states at their revival time are recorded for various values of bath temperature  $T$ .

time without irregularities. Further decrease in non-linearity value by a factor of 10 (Figure 5(d)) decreases the successive amplitude of revived states. This happens due to the large value of revival time i.e. 6400 a.u. which slows down the process of collapse and revival. As a consequence the interaction time with the dissipative environment increases leading to substantial fall in amplitude. Hence, we conclude that information can be successfully retrieved when the non-linearities in both the modes is of the order of  $10^{-3}$  a.u..

### 3. The effect of bath temperature

The fact that collapse and revival of a quantum state show dependence on bath temperature (Equations(11) and (14)), provides an opportunity to explore the revival pattern for various values of  $T$ . The results of this study are shown in Figures 6(a) - 6(d). Temperatures in  $\mu\text{K}$  and  $\text{mK}$  range are insignificant for optical mode, however, these temperature range are notable for mechanical mode of the optomechanical system. At these very small temperatures effect on the collapse and revival pattern arises from mechanical mode due to variation in the num-

ber of phonons involved in the interaction. As shown in Figure 6(a) at  $\mu\text{K}$  range the system behaves in similar manner as it does at  $0\text{K}$  (Figure 3). At  $\text{mK}$  range (Figure 6(b)) the revival amplitude starts decreasing with subsequent revival period. This successive decrease in revival amplitude is due to the increase in mean thermal phonon number of the bath which creates hindrance for complete revival in amplitude while interacting with the system. Further increase in the value of temperature to  $0.3 \text{ K}$  as indicated in Figure 6(c) shows exceedingly large dissipation of the amplitude of quantum state. At  $3 \text{ K}$ , collapses and revivals in the quantum state disappear entirely owing to noticeable effect of the bath temperature.

### 4. The effect of initial amplitude of coherent state

Initial amplitude of coherent state measures the extent to which the displacement operator displaces the vacuum state while constructing the initial coherent state. Our findings highlight the effect of initial amplitude on the behavior of collapses and revivals in Figures 7(a) - 7(d). Here the value of non-linearities in both the modes is  $0.01$  a.u. and dissipation is  $0.00001$  a.u.. The bath tem-




FIG. 7: Collapse and revival pattern of  $\langle a(t) \rangle$  of quantum state being plotted as a function of time with non-linearities ( $k_c$  and  $k_m$ ) and dissipation ( $\gamma_c$  and  $\gamma_m$ ) of optical and mechanical modes equal to 0.01 a.u. and 0.00001 a.u., respectively. The pattern is shown for states with initial amplitude= 0.1, 0.5, 1.0 and 2.0.

perature is maintained at 0K. Behavior of the quantum information is studied at various values of initial amplitude taken as approximately 0.1, 0.5, 1.0 and 2.0. For very small values of the amplitude there is no perceptible effect on the pattern as seen in Figure 7(a), where we have chosen the amplitude to be 0.1. For such small amplitude, the system-environment interaction and non-linearity does not effect the quantum state inside the optomechanical system and its time evolution is harmonic in nature. We note that the revival behavior of the quantum state in this situation is similar to the revival behavior of a simple harmonic oscillator without dissipation. We term such revivals as perfect revivals which support retrieval of quantum state without any loss in amplitude. As the value of amplitude increases to 0.5 (Figure 7(b)), the process of collapses and revivals is seen in its budding state. Further surge in the amplitude to 1.0 (Figure 7(c)) results in an erratic energy spectrum leading to well distinguished collapse and subsequent revival of the quantum state. At amplitude of 1.5 (Figure 3), the collapses are pre-eminent. With further increase in amplitude, the collapses and revivals are accompanied by widened collapsed phase as shown by loss in amplitude of the quantum state for a longer period of time(Figure 7(d)). Thus,

the retrieval of the information must be carried out at that time instant where revivals are prominent.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

We considered a quantum memory device comprising of an optical mode interacting with a mechanical oscillator with nonlinearities present both in the optical as well the mechanical mode. The time degradation of quantum information stored in this quantum memory device was studied under a dissipative environment and with respect to nonlinearity parameters. The quantum master equation approach was used to analyze the dissipative dynamics of coherent quantum states which were used for information storage. The parameters chosen to monitor the time degradation of the quantum states were the loss of coherence as well as the loss of amplitude in an originally coherent quantum state with a well defined amplitude. The nature of coherence was analyzed in terms of Wigner function which yields useful visual insights of progression of quantum states through system non-linearities as well as decoherences due to interaction with the environment. We further evaluated the

expectation value of amplitude of the stored quantum information as a function of time to mark the extent of overall sustainability of information. The time evolution of amplitude is studied for a wide range of parameters. Effect of the environment, non-linearity, bath tempera-

ture and initial amplitude on the revival behavior of the quantum state is determined. We also presented the best suited parameters for the quantum state retrieval. This work has potential applications in quantum information processing and long distance communication.

- 
- [1] A. Lvovsky, B. Sanders, and W. Tittel, *Nat. photonics* **3**, 706 (2009) (2009).
- [2] F. Bussi eres, N. Sangouard, M. Afzelius, H. de Riedmatten, C. Simon, and W. Tittel, *J.Mod Opt.* **60**, 1519 (2013), <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500340.2013.856482>, URL <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500340.2013.856482>.
- [3] M. Lobino, C. Kupchak, E. Figueroa, and A. I. Lvovsky, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 203601 (2009), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.203601>.
- [4] E. Bimbard, R. Boddeda, N. Vitrant, A. Grankin, V. Parigi, J. Stanojevic, A. Ourjoumtsev, and P. Grangier, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 033601 (2014), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.033601>.
- [5] M. Cao, F. Hoffet, S. Qiu, A. S. Sheremet, and J. Laurat, *Optica* **7**, 1440 (2020), URL <http://www.osapublishing.org/optica/abstract.cfm?URI=optica-7-10-1440>.
- [6] G. H etet, A. Peng, M. T. Johnsson, J. J. Hope, and P. K. Lam, *Phys. Rev. A* **77**, 012323 (2008), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.77.012323>.
- [7] M. Afzelius, C. Simon, H. de Riedmatten, and N. Gisin, *Phys. Rev. A* **79**, 052329 (2009), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.79.052329>.
- [8] J. Nunn, K. Reim, K. C. Lee, V. O. Lorenz, B. J. Sussman, I. A. Walmsley, and D. Jaksch, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 260502 (2008), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.101.260502>.
- [9] V. C. Vivoli, N. Sangouard, M. Afzelius, and N. Gisin, *New Journal of Physics* **15**, 095012 (2013), URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/15/9/095012>.
- [10] R. Y. Teh, S. Kiesewetter, M. D. Reid, and P. D. Drummond, *Phys. Rev. A* **96**, 013854 (2017), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.96.013854>.
- [11] R. Y. Teh, S. Kiesewetter, P. D. Drummond, and M. D. Reid, *Phys. Rev. A* **98**, 063814 (2018), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.98.063814>.
- [12] W. F. Braasch, O. D. Friedman, A. J. Rimberg, and M. P. Blencowe, *Phys. Rev. A* **100**, 012124 (2019), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.100.012124>.
- [13] S. Chakraborty and A. K. Sarma, *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **34**, 1503 (2017), URL <http://www.osapublishing.org/josab/abstract.cfm?URI=josab-34-7-1503>.
- [14] Q. Y. He, M. D. Reid, E. Giacobino, J. Cviklinski, and P. D. Drummond, *Phys. Rev. A* **79**, 022310 (2009), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.79.022310>.
- [15] H. J. Kimble, *Nature* **453**, 1023 (2008).
- [16] H.-J. Briegel, W. D ur, J. I. Cirac, and P. Zoller, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 5932 (1998), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.81.5932>.
- [17] N. Sangouard, C. Simon, H. De Riedmatten, and N. Gisin, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **83**, 33 (2011).
- [18] E. Knill, R. Laflamme, and G. J. Milburn, *nature* **409**, 46 (2001).
- [19] P. Kok, W. J. Munro, K. Nemoto, T. C. Ralph, J. P. Dowling, and G. J. Milburn, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **79**, 135 (2007), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/RevModPhys.79.135>.
- [20] S. Zaiser, T. Rendler, I. Jakobi, T. Wolf, S.-Y. Lee, S. Wagner, V. Bergholm, T. Schulte-Herbr uggen, P. Neumann, and J. Wrachtrup, *Nature communications* **7**, 1 (2016).
- [21] R. Teh, S. Kiesewetter, P. Drummond, and M. Reid, *Physical Review A* **98**, 063814 (2018).
- [22] T. Palomaki, J. Harlow, J. Teufel, R. Simmonds, and K. W. Lehnert, *Nature* **495**, 210 (2013).
- [23] A. Reed, K. Mayer, J. Teufel, L. Burkhardt, W. Pfaff, M. Reagor, L. Sletten, X. Ma, R. Schoelkopf, E. Knill, et al., *Nature Physics* **13**, 1163 (2017).
- [24] Y.-D. Wang and A. A. Clerk, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 153603 (2012), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.153603>.
- [25] G. Kirchmair, B. Vlastakis, Z. Leghtas, S. E. Nigg, H. Paik, E. Ginossar, M. Mirrahimi, L. Frunzio, S. M. Girvin, and R. J. Schoelkopf, *Nature* **495**, 205 (2013).
- [26] M. Rohith and C. Sudheesh, *Physical Review A* **92**, 053828 (2015).
- [27] E. P. Wigner, in *Part I: Physical Chemistry. Part II: Solid State Physics* (Springer, 1997), pp. 110–120.
- [28] M. Hillery, R. F. O’Connell, M. O. Scully, and E. P. Wigner, *Physics reports* **106**, 121 (1984).
- [29] H. Jeong and T. C. Ralph, *Schrodinger Cat States for Quantum Information Processing* (Imperial College Press, 2007).
- [30] T. L. Curtright, D. B. Fairlie, and C. K. Zachos, *A Concise Treatise on Quantum Mechanics in Phase Space* (WORLD SCIENTIFIC, 2014), <https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/pdf/10.1142/8870>, URL <https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/abs/10.1142/8870>.
- [31] I. Katz, R. Lifshitz, A. Retzker, and R. Straub, *New Journal of Physics* **10**, 125023 (2008), URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/10/12/125023>.
- [32] R. J. Glauber, *Phys. Rev.* **130**, 2529 (1963), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.130.2529>.
- [33] E. C. G. Sudarshan, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **10**, 277 (1963), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.10.277>.
- [34] K. E. Cahill and R. J. Glauber, *Phys. Rev.* **177**, 1857 (1969), URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.177.1857>.
- [35] M. Kaur, B. Arora, and Arvind, *The European Physical Journal D* **72**, 1 (2018).
- [36] W. B. Case, *American Journal of Physics* **76**, 937 (2008).
- [37] T. L. Curtright, D. B. Fairlie, and C. K. Zachos, *A concise treatise on quantum mechanics in phase space* (World Scientific Publishing Company, 2013).

[38] J. Johansson, P. Nation, and F. Nori, *Computer Physics Communications* **183**, 1760 (2012), ISSN 0010-4655, URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/>

[article/pii/S0010465512000835](https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0010465512000835).