

ON POLYNOMIAL REPRESENTATION OF FINITE GROUPS

LIZHONG WANG AND JIPING ZHANG

ABSTRACT. By generalizing Frobenius' polynomial method to good partition algebra, we will develop new character theories for a finite group G . A uniform defining equations are derived for these kinds of character theories. The new character theories leads to various factorizations of the group determinant. We will show that these new character theories are equivalent to the Frobenius polynomials of the correspondent good partition algebras. In particular, the character table of a finite group G can be replaced by the Frobenius polynomial of G which is a kind of degenerate of the group determinant. As applications, we find a new series of invariants p_{ijl} for a finite group. In particular, a finite simple group is determined by these invariants. As further applications, the degrees of all irreducible characters can also be realized as the solutions of a polynomial of G and we can combine the refined McKay conjecture and part of Galois conjecture of Navarro on degrees of irreducible characters into a new general conjecture.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Partition algebras and its representations	5
3. Polynomials of algebras	12
4. Defining equations of \mathcal{P} -characters	13
5. Realization of \mathcal{P} -characters	20
6. The power factors of Group Determinant	24
7. Orthogonal relations and invariants of \mathcal{P} -characters	28
8. Frobenius polynomial	33
9. On ordinary characters	37
10. On the degrees of k -characters	41

1. INTRODUCTION

The representation theory of finite groups was created by Frobenius in order to factor group determinant $\Theta_G(x)$ into irreducible factors over complex field. The characters was invented to derive the irreducible factors by

Key words and phrases. partition algebra, definition equations of characters, Frobenius polynomial, degree polynomial, McKay conjecture

2020 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 20C15, 20C20.

formulae(see [F1] and [F2]). After that, it was realized that characters are also powerful in investigating the structure of finite groups. In fact, a finite group is determined completely by its group determinant or its 1-, 2- and 3-characters (see [FS] and [HJ]). The theory of factorization of a group determinant has appeared in diverse areas. There are natural connections among the original character theory of Frobenius, geometry and analysis. The main purpose of this paper is to generalize character theory of Frobenius and study representations by polynomials.

Assume that $\Theta_G(x)$ has the following factorization over complex field:

$$\Theta_G(x) = \prod_{i=1}^s \Phi_i(x)^{e_i},$$

where $\Phi_1(x), \dots, \Phi_s(x)$ are all co-prime irreducible factors and e_i is the multiplicity of $\Phi_i(x)$. Let f_i be the degree of $\Phi_i(x)$. If g is not the identity of G , we denote the coefficient of the term $x_e^{f_i-1}x_g$ in $\Phi_i(x)$ by $\chi_i(g)$. If we set $\chi_i(1) = f_i$, $\chi_i : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a function of G . It is called a character of G by Frobenius and it is in fact an irreducible character of G over the field \mathbb{C} . It was shown in [F1] and [F2] that s is equal to the number of conjugate classes of G and χ_1, \dots, χ_s are all irreducible characters of G over \mathbb{C} .

A partition $\mathcal{P} = \cup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{P}_i$ of $\text{Cl}(G)$ is called good partition if it satisfies conditions in Definition 2.1. The \mathcal{P}_i is called a partition class. Assume that $\hat{C}_i \hat{C}_j = \sum_{l=1}^n a_{lij} \hat{C}_l$, where $\hat{C}_i = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{P}_i} g$. Given a good partition $\mathcal{P} = \cup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{P}_i$. Then we can define a function $\chi_i^{\mathcal{P}}$ on G for each $\Phi_i(x)$ such that $\chi_i^{\mathcal{P}}(g) = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{P}_j} \chi_i(y)$, where \mathcal{P}_j is the partition class to which g belongs. These functions are called \mathcal{P} -characters. The \mathcal{P} -characters are new ones not super characters defined in [A] and [IN] as we have shown in Section 5. We can prove that these characters are determined by the following series of equations.

Theorem A. *The \mathcal{P} -characters of a good partition \mathcal{P} of $\text{Cl}(G)$ are common roots of the following equations:*

$$x_i x_j = \frac{1}{\lambda_{\mathcal{P}}} x_1 \sum_l a_{lij} x_l, 1 \leq i, j, l \leq n,$$

where $\lambda_{\mathcal{P}}$ is the identity constant of $k\mathcal{P}$.

The above defining equations can afford almost all known characters. If we choose \mathcal{P} to be the trivial partition with each partition class containing only one conjugate class of G , then above equations are definition equations of characters defined by Frobenius in [F1].

The variety defined by the equations in Theorem A is called character variety with respect to the good partition and it is denoted by $V_{\mathcal{P}}(G)$. In fact, the variety $V_{\mathcal{P}}(G)$ is decided by the irreducible representations of the partition algebra $k\mathcal{P}$. Given a good partition $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{P}_n$. Let $\mathcal{L} : k\mathcal{P} \rightarrow M_n(k)(\hat{C}_j \mapsto \mathcal{A}_j)$ be the regular representation of $k\mathcal{P}$. Set $\mathfrak{A} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \mathcal{A}_i = (\alpha_{ij})$. Let $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ be all irreducible representations of $k\mathcal{P}$ and each γ_t is

written as $(\lambda_{1t}, \dots, \lambda_{nt})$. The representation variety $V_k(k\mathcal{P})$ of $k\mathcal{P}$ consists of irreducible representations and zero representation of $k\mathcal{P}$.

Theorem B *The character variety $V_{\mathcal{P}}(G)$ consists of all multiples of elements in $V_k(k\mathcal{P})$ i.e. $V_{\mathcal{P}}(G) = \{\alpha\gamma \mid \alpha \in k, \gamma \in V_k(k\mathcal{P})\}$. In particular, $V_{\mathcal{P}}(G)$ consists of n irreducible components and the dimension of $V_{\mathcal{P}}(G)$ is 1.*

The \mathcal{P} -characters are closely related to the decomposition of the group determinant. A formula to construct a power factor of the group determinant by its power function is presented in section 6. We also give transfer formulae among \mathcal{P} -character, super characters and power functions. So we can construct a factor of the group determinant by a \mathcal{P} -character.

With defining equations, we can prove the orthogonal relations and invariants of the \mathcal{P} -characters. In particular, we give a formula for the multiplicity of the factors in group determinant. Set $\gamma_t(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{it} x_i$ for $1 \leq t \leq n$. Assume that $x_A = x_B$ in $\Theta_G(x)$ whenever A and B belong to the same partition class of \mathcal{P} . Then $\Theta_G(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n \gamma_i^{m_i}(x)$, where m_i is a nonnegative integer. We call these m_i s squares of the degrees of characters. In the case \mathcal{P} is the trivial partition of $\text{Cl}(G)$, these m_i s are exactly squares of degrees of irreducible character of G indeed. Let $\varsigma_1, \dots, \varsigma_n$ be all solutions of the following equations:

$$(2) \quad x_i \gamma_t(x) = \sum_j a_{ij}(x) x_j,$$

where $a_{ij}(x)$ is the element on (ij) -entry of \mathfrak{A} and $1 \leq t \leq n$. Let $\mathcal{P}_{j'}$ consists of all inverse of elements in \mathcal{P}_j . Define p_{ij} to be the number of solutions of the equation

$$xy = g_i \text{ or } g_j$$

where $x \in \mathcal{P}_l, y \in \mathcal{P}_{l'}$ for $1 \leq l \leq n$ and g_i and g_j are representatives of \mathcal{P}_i and \mathcal{P}_i respectively.

Theorem C. *The polynomial $D_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = |xI - \text{Diag}(\ell\ell_1, \dots, \ell\ell_n)MSS'|$ has the following factorization: $D_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = (x - m_1) \cdots (x - m_n)$, where M the permutation matrix such that $(p_{ij'})M = (p_{ij})$ and $\mathcal{S} = (\varsigma_1, \dots, \varsigma_n)$.*

The polynomial $D_{\mathcal{P}}(x)$ is called the degree polynomial associate with \mathcal{P} . Let k be a subfield of \mathbb{C} . Let ξ be m -th primitive root of unity. Then $k(\xi)$ is a splitting field of G and its subgroups whenever m is the exponent of G . For any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(k(\xi)/k)$, there exists a unique $t \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\sigma(\omega) = \omega^t$ for such ω with $\omega^m = 1$. Two elements $x, y \in G$ are called k -conjugate if x is conjugate to y^t , where t is determined by some $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(k(\xi)/k)$. Let $\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n$ be all k -classes of G and let \hat{C}_i is the sum of elements in \mathcal{P}_i . Then $\mathcal{P} = \cup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{P}_i$ is a good partition. Consider $D_{\mathcal{P}}(x)$ as polynomial over a field F with characteristic p . Then $\overline{D}_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = x^e D_G^{k,p'}(x)$, where $(x^e, D_G^{k,p'}(x)) = 1$. The polynomial $D_G^{k,p'}(x)$ is called p' -degree polynomial of G with respect to k -classes and the prime p .

Conjecture D. *Let k be a field with characteristic zero and let N be the normalizer of some Sylow p -subgroup of G . Then $D_G^{k,p'}(x) = D_N^{k,p'}(x)$.*

If k is a splitting field of G , then k -classes are just conjugate classes of G . In this case, above conjecture is equivalent to the refined McKay conjecture in [IN]. If $\text{Gal}(k(\xi)/k)$ is a cyclic p -groups, then above conjecture is equivalent to Conjecture A in [N]. In [SL], $D_G(x), D_G^{k,p'}(x)$ and $D_N^{k,p'}(x)$ of G are calculated out for prime divisors of G in case that k is splitting field of G , where G is one of the following groups: $M_{11}, M_{12}, M_{22}, M_{23}, M_{24}, Sz(8), Sz(32)$. By comparing $D_G^{k,p'}(x)$ and $D_N^{k,p'}(x)$, the Isaacs-Navarro-McKay conjecture holds true for these groups.

Let \mathfrak{L} be the regular representation of an algebra \mathcal{A} over k . Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s$ be a basis of \mathcal{A} . Then the matrix $\lambda I - \sum_i x_i \mathfrak{L}(\alpha_i)$ is similar to

$$\text{Diag}(\epsilon_1(\lambda, x_1, \dots, x_r), \dots, \epsilon_r(\lambda, x_1, \dots, x_r)),$$

where $\epsilon_i(\lambda, x_1, \dots, x_r)$ is polynomial and $\epsilon_i(\lambda, x_1, \dots, x_r) \mid \epsilon_{i+1}(\lambda, x_1, \dots, x_r)$. The polynomial $\epsilon_r(0, x_1, \dots, x_r)$ is called the norm form of \mathcal{A} . Given an element $a = \sum_i l_i \alpha_i \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $|\lambda I - \sum_i l_i \mathfrak{L}(\alpha_i)|$ is called the characteristic polynomial of a . The norm form of the partition algebra $k\mathcal{P}$ is called Frobenius polynomial with the basis $\hat{C}_1, \dots, \hat{C}_n$. The Frobenius polynomial is equivalent to the character table. The advantage of the Frobenius polynomial is that the theory of forms can be applied on it. For example, as a form, the Frobenius polynomial can be determined by its first three kinds of coefficients. We can prove that the first three kinds coefficients are the generalized number p_{ijl} (see definition 9.1) of commutators of G .

Theorem E. *Let \mathcal{P} be the trivial partition of $Cl(G)$. Then*

- (i) *The Frobenius polynomial $F_G(x)$ of G and the ordinary characters table T_G are determined by each other.*
- (ii) *The Frobenius polynomial $F_G(x)$ is determined by the numbers p_{ijl} where $1 \leq i, j, l \leq n$.*

It is important to find which intrinsic constants of G can determine the group G , especially in the case that G is a finite simple group. There are also some conjectures on this direction such as Thompson conjecture and Huppert conjecture. As corollary of Theorem D, we find the generalized numbers of commutators can determine the normal series of G .

Corollary F. *Let G and H be finite groups. Let $p_{ijl}(G)$ be the generalized number of commutators of G .*

- (i). *If $p_{ijl}(G) = p_{ijl}(H)$ for all $1 \leq i, j, l \leq n$ then G and H have the same chief factors with multiplicity;*
- (ii). *A finite simple group G is determined by $p_{ijl}(G)$, $1 \leq i, j, l \leq n$, i.e. G is isomorphic to H if and only if $p_{ijl}(G) = p_{ijl}(H)$ for all $1 \leq i, j, l \leq n$.*

Here is the outline of sections. In section 2, we will define good partitions of $Cl(G)$ and present some useful examples of good partitions. We will also realize all irreducible representations of the partition algebra $k\mathcal{P}$ into the common solutions of a series equations. In section 3, we introduce various polynomials of an algebra and different forms of these polynomials under different basis

the algebra. In section 4, we will derive the uniform definition equations of various character theories. Many examples of simplifying the definition equations are also presented. In section 5, the characters of the defining equations in section 4 are decided. We also give a comparing formula for super characters and \mathcal{P} -characters. In section 3, we give a formula to construct power factors by power function. In section 7, we prove the orthogonal relations of \mathcal{P} -characters. We will also prove formulae of the degrees of \mathcal{P} -characters. In section 8, we will define Frobenius polynomial for each partition algebra and show the partition algebra is determined by its Frobenius polynomial. We will also define the generalized number of commutators and show how the numbers determine the Frobenius polynomial. In section 9, we focus on the ordinary characters corresponding to the trivial partition of $\text{Cl}(G)$. We show that $F_G(x)$ is determined by the numbers of commutators. In particular, a finite simple group is determined by those numbers. A sufficient and necessary condition is proved for two groups having the same character table. In section 10, we will use the degree polynomials for k -characters to combine various McKay-type conjectures on degrees of irreducible characters into a new conjecture.

The notions of this paper are standard. We refer to [I] and [S] for character theory of finite groups and [MA] for symmetric polynomials. For more on group determinants and representations, we refer to [J]. The terminologies and notations for basic algebraic geometry are as the same as in [G].

Acknowledgement. We are grateful to Jon F Carlson and Pham Huu Tiep for many corrections and helpful suggestions. We also thank Liu, Yanjun and Liao, pengcheng for reading through this paper.

2. PARTITION ALGEBRAS AND ITS REPRESENTATIONS

In this section we will introduce partition algebras as subalgebra of the center of the group algebra kG and give some useful examples of partition algebras.

Let G be a finite group with $\text{Cl}(G) = \{\mathcal{C}_i\}_{i=1}^s$, where \mathcal{C}_i is conjugate class of G for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Let $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_i = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{C}_i} g$. Let $\mathcal{C}_{i'} = \{g^{-1} | g \in \mathcal{C}_i\}$. Given a partition $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{P}_n$ of $\text{Cl}(G)$, where $\mathcal{P}_j = \{\mathcal{C}_{j_1}, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{j_l}\}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. We call \mathcal{P}_i a partition class of \mathcal{P} . Let $\mathcal{P}_{j'} = \{\mathcal{C}_{j'_1}, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{j'_l}\}$. We denote the sum $\sum_{\mathcal{C}_{j_s} \in \mathcal{P}_j} \hat{\mathcal{C}}_{j_s}$ of \mathcal{P}_j by $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_j$.

Definition 2.1. A partition $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{P}_n$ of a subset \mathcal{C} of $\text{Cl}(G)$ with the identity 1 belonging to \mathcal{P}_1 is called a good partition if

- (1) $\mathcal{P}_{j'}$ is a partition class of \mathcal{P} for $1 \leq j \leq n$,
- (2) There exist elements b_{ijl} in \mathbb{Z} such that $\hat{\mathcal{C}}_j \hat{\mathcal{C}}_l = \sum_{i=1}^n b_{ijl} \hat{\mathcal{C}}_i$ for $1 \leq j, l \leq n$,
- (3) there is an identity in the algebra $k\mathcal{P} = \oplus_{i=1}^n k\hat{\mathcal{C}}_i$.

The algebra $k\mathcal{P} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n k\hat{C}_i$ is called a partition algebra with respect to \mathcal{P} . If there exists $\lambda_{\mathcal{P}} \in k$ such that $\lambda_{\mathcal{P}}\hat{C}_1$ is the identity of $k\mathcal{P}$, then we say that $\lambda_{\mathcal{P}}$ is the identity constant of $k\mathcal{P}$.

Example 2.2. The trivial partition $\mathcal{P} = \{\{\mathcal{C}_1\}, \dots, \{\mathcal{C}_s\}\}$ is a good partition. The partition algebra with respect to this partition is the center of kG . The identity is the identity of the group algebra kG . This partition is called the trivial partition.

Example 2.3. Let N be a normal subgroup G . Let $Cl(G/N) = \{\bar{\mathcal{C}}_i\}_{i=1}^t$. Then $\mathcal{P} = \{\mathcal{C}_i N\}_{i=1}^t$ is a good partition whose identity of the partition algebra is $\frac{1}{|N|}\hat{N}$ and it is isomorphic to the center of $k(G/N)$.

Example 2.4. Let N be a normal subgroup of G . Then there exist a subset $\mathcal{C} = \{\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_r\}$ of $Cl(G)$ such that $N = \cup_{i=1}^r \mathcal{C}_i$. Then \mathcal{C} is a good partition of $Cl(N)$ and $k\hat{C}_1 + \dots + k\hat{C}_r$ is a partition algebra.

Example 2.5. [A][DI] Let $G = U_n(F)$ be the group of $n \times n$ unimodular upper triangular matrices over a finite field F of characteristic p . Let J be the algebra of strictly upper triangular $n \times n$ matrices over F . Then all subsets of the form $1 + GxG$ consist of a good partition of $Cl(G)$.

Next we will give a good partition of $Cl(G)$ with respect to a subfield k of \mathbb{C} .

Let k be a subfield of \mathbb{C} . Let $K = k(\xi)$ be an extension field of k by adjoining primitive m -th root ξ of unity to F , where $m = \exp(G)$. Then K is a splitting field of G and its subgroups. For any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/k)$, there exists a unique $t \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\sigma(\omega) = \omega^t$ for such ω with $\omega^m = 1$ (see [BO]). Hence each element $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/k)$ can define a map on G by $g^\sigma = g^t$. In this way $\text{Gal}(K/k)$ acts on G as permutations. Two elements $x, y \in G$ are called $\text{Gal}(K/k)$ -conjugate if x is conjugate to y^σ for some $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/k)$, i.e. $gxg^{-1} = y^\sigma$ for some $g \in G$. A $\text{Gal}(K/k)$ -conjugate class is called a F -class of G . Let $\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n$ be all F -classes of G and let \hat{C}_i is the sum of elements in \mathcal{P}_i .

Let $\text{Irr}_K(G) = \{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_s\}$ be the set of irreducible characters of G over K . Let $e_i = \frac{\chi_i(1)}{|G|} \sum_{j=1}^s \chi_i(g_j^{-1}) \hat{C}_j$ be the central primitive idempotent in $Z(KG)$ corresponding to χ_i . Then $\text{Gal}(K/k)$ acts on $\{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_s\}$ and $\{e_1, \dots, e_s\}$. For any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/k)$, $\chi_i^\sigma = \chi_i$ if and only if $e_i^\sigma = e_i$. Let $\mathcal{O}_1, \dots, \mathcal{O}_\nu$ be the orbits of $\text{Gal}(K/k)$ on $\{e_1, \dots, e_s\}$. Then $\{\varepsilon_j = \sum_{e_\ell \in \mathcal{O}_j} e_\ell\}_{j=1}^\nu$ are all central primitive idempotents of $Z(kG)$.

Since $\text{Gal}(K/k)$ is abelian, the inverse map is an automorphism of $\text{Gal}(K/k)$. Define the action of $\text{Gal}(K/k)$ on G by $g^\sigma = g^{t'}$, where $g \in G$, $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/k)$ and t' is the unique element in $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ corresponding to σ^{-1} . With this action on $Cl(G)$, we have $\chi_i^\sigma(g_j^\sigma) = \chi_i(g_j)$. By Brauer's permutation lemma, the numbers of orbits of the actions of $\text{Gal}(K/k)$ on $\text{Irr}_K(G)$ and $Cl(G)$ are equal, i.e. $n = \nu$.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $\varepsilon_k(G) = k\varepsilon_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus k\varepsilon_n$ be the subalgebra of $Z(KG)$. Then $\hat{C}_1, \dots, \hat{C}_n$ is a basis of $\varepsilon_k(G)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{O}_i = \{\chi_{i_1}, \dots, \chi_{i_\tau}\}$. Then $\chi_{i_1}(1) = \cdots = \chi_{i_\tau}(1)$ and $m_{\chi_{i_1}} = \cdots = m_{\chi_{i_\tau}} = m_i$, where $m_{\chi_{i_j}}$ is the Schur index of χ_{i_j} . Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_i &= e_{i_1} + \cdots + e_{i_\tau} \\ &= \frac{\chi_{i_1}(1)}{|G|} \sum_{j=1}^n (\chi_{i_1} + \cdots + \chi_{i_\tau})(g_j^{-1}) \hat{C}_j. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 6.2 of Chapter 2 in [NT], $m_i(\chi_{i_1} + \cdots + \chi_{i_\tau})$ is afforded by an irreducible module \tilde{V} of G over F . By Corollary 2 of Chapter 12.4 in [S], $m_i(\chi_{i_1} + \cdots + \chi_{i_\tau})$ is constant on F -classes of G , so does $(\chi_{i_1} + \cdots + \chi_{i_\tau})$. This implies that

$$\varepsilon_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \ell_i \hat{C}_i,$$

where $\ell_i \in k$. So $\varepsilon_i (i = 1, \dots, n)$ is in the vector space $\oplus_{i=1}^n k\hat{C}_i$. By comparing the dimension, we have $\varepsilon_k(G) = \oplus_{i=1}^n k\hat{C}_i$. □

Corollary 2.7. *For $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, we have*

$$\hat{C}_i \hat{C}_j = \sum_{l=1}^s a_{lij} \hat{C}_l,$$

where a_{lij} are rational integers.

Proof. Since \hat{C}_i, \hat{C}_j are in the subalgebra $\varepsilon_k(G)$ and $\hat{C}_1, \dots, \hat{C}_s$ is a basis of $\varepsilon_k(G)$, it follows that

$$\hat{C}_i \hat{C}_j = \sum_{l=1}^s l_{lij} \hat{C}_l,$$

where l_{lij} is in F . It follows from that the number of time that an element of \hat{C}_l appears in the product $\hat{C}_i \hat{C}_j$ must be rational integer. □

Example 2.8. *The k -classes $\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n$ consist of a good partition of $Cl(G)$ by Corollary 2.7.*

In the next, we will realize the irreducible representations of $k\mathcal{P}$ as the points of an affine variety. This variety is used to determine character variety in section 5.

Let \mathcal{A} be a commutative algebra over k . Assume that $\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_n$ is a basis of \mathcal{A} such that $\mathfrak{a}_i \mathfrak{a}_j = \sum_{l=1}^n a_{lij} \mathfrak{a}_l$. Define matrices $\mathcal{A}_j = (a_{lij})_{1 \leq l, i \leq n}$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$. Then $\mathfrak{L} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow M_n(k)$ is the regular representation of \mathcal{A} , where $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{a}_j) = \mathcal{A}_j$. If k is large enough then for $1 \leq i \leq n$ there exists a common

invertible matrix \mathcal{U} such that

$$(*) \quad \mathcal{U}\mathcal{A}_i\mathcal{U}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{i1} & * & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & \lambda_{i2} & * & \cdots & * \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_{in} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the map $\mathfrak{r}_j : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow k$ sending \mathfrak{a}_i to λ_{ij} is an irreducible representation of \mathcal{A} for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Let \mathfrak{P} be a matrix such that $\mathfrak{P} = (\mathfrak{p}_{ij})$ with $\mathfrak{p}_{ij} = \text{Tr}(\mathcal{A}_i\mathcal{A}_j)$. Define \mathcal{R} to be the matrix with $\mathfrak{r}_i(\mathfrak{a}_j)$ in the (ij) -entry of \mathcal{R} . Then $\mathcal{R}'\mathcal{R} = \mathfrak{P}$.

Let $\gamma_t = (\lambda_{1t}, \dots, \lambda_{nt})$, where $1 \leq t \leq n$. Since τ_t is a representation, γ_t satisfies the following series of equations:

$$(1) \quad x_j x_l = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ijl} x_i, \quad 1 \leq j, l \leq n.$$

The common solutions of these equations consist of an affine variety. It is called representation variety of \mathcal{A} and we denote it by $V_k(\mathcal{A})$.

Let $\gamma_t(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{it} x_i$ for $1 \leq t \leq n$.

Theorem 2.9. *Let $\mathfrak{A} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \mathcal{A}_i = (\alpha_{ij})$, where $\alpha_{ij} = \sum_{l=1}^n a_{ijl} x_l$. Then*

- (i) $|\mathfrak{A}| = \prod_{t=1}^n \gamma_t(x) = \prod_{t=1}^n (\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{it} x_i)$.
- (ii) $\gamma_t(x)$ is the vector of eigenvalues of \mathfrak{A} and $\gamma_t(x)\gamma_t = \gamma_t \mathfrak{A}$ for $1 \leq t \leq n$.
- (iii) $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ are determined by the following equations:

$$(2) \quad x_{it} \gamma_t(x) = \sum_j \alpha_{ji} x_{jt}.$$

Proof. It follows from (*) that $\gamma_1(x), \dots, \gamma_n(x)$ are eigenvalues of the matrix \mathfrak{A} . Then $|\mathfrak{A}| = \prod_{t=1}^n \gamma_t(x) = \prod_{t=1}^n (\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{it} x_i)$.

By equations (1), we have

$$\lambda_{jt} \gamma_t(x) = \sum_{l=1}^n \lambda_{jt} \lambda_{lt} x_l = \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ijl} \lambda_{it} x_l = \sum_{i=1}^n (\sum_{l=1}^n a_{ijl} x_l) \lambda_{it} = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{ji} \lambda_{it}.$$

So $\gamma_t(x)\gamma_t = \gamma_t \mathfrak{A}$ for $1 \leq t \leq n$. □

Corollary 2.10. *The variety $V_k(\mathcal{A})$ consists of $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ and $\gamma_0 = (0 \cdots 0)$.*

Proof. We have shown that $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ are solutions of equations (1). Assume $0 \neq \epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n)$ is a solution of equations (1). Let $\epsilon(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i x_i$. Then we have $(\epsilon_1 \epsilon(x), \dots, \epsilon_n \epsilon(x)) = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) \mathfrak{A}$ i.e. $(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n)(\epsilon(x)I - \mathfrak{A}) = 0$. So $|\epsilon(x)I - \mathfrak{A}| = 0$. This implies that $\epsilon(x)$ is a root of the equation:

$$(3) \quad |xI - \mathfrak{A}| = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{it} x_i).$$

So $\epsilon(x)$ is equal to some $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{it} x_i$ by Theorem 2.9. And so $\gamma_t = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n)$. This means that equations (1) has exactly n nonzero solutions: $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$. □

Theorem 2.11. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) The commutative algebra \mathcal{A} is semisimple.
- (ii) There is no non-zero nilpotent element in \mathcal{A} .
- (iii) The matrix \mathfrak{P} is invertible.

Proof. If \mathcal{A} is semisimple, then the radical of \mathcal{A} is zero. If there exists a non-zero element a in \mathcal{A} is nilpotent, then the ideal I generated by a which is non-zero nilpotent. It is contradicting to the semisimplicity of \mathcal{A} . If there is no non-zero nilpotent element in \mathcal{A} , then the radical of \mathcal{A} is zero, since the radical $J(\mathcal{A})$ is nilpotent. So (i) and (ii) are equivalent.

Now we show that (i) is equivalent to (iii). If \mathcal{A} is semisimple and the field k is finite or it is of characteristic zero, then \mathcal{A} is separable. So we can assume that k is large enough for \mathcal{A} . Then $\mathfrak{P} = \mathcal{R}'\mathcal{R}$ as above. If \mathfrak{P} is not invertible, then there exists a nonzero vector (ℓ_1, \dots, ℓ_n) such that $\mathcal{R}(\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n)' = 0$. This implies that $\mathcal{U} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell_i \mathcal{A}_i \mathcal{U}^{-1}$ is of the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & \cdots & * \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So the element $\sum_{i=1}^n \ell_i \mathcal{A}_i = \mathfrak{L}(\sum_{i=1}^n \ell_i \mathbf{a}_i)$ is nilpotent. This implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \ell_i \mathbf{a}_i \neq 0$$

is nilpotent. This contradicts the semisimplicity of \mathcal{A} .

If \mathfrak{P} is invertible, then the bilinear form $(\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{a}_j) = \mathfrak{p}_{ij}$ on \mathcal{A} is nonsingular. If there is a non-zero nilpotent element $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}$, then $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \text{Tr}(\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{b}\mathbf{a})) = 0$ for any $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{A}$. This contradicts the non-singularity of the bilinear form. So (i) and (iii) are equivalent. \square

Corollary 2.12. *If k is a splitting field of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A} is semisimple then $\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n$ are all different irreducible representations of \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. By Theorem 2.11, $\mathfrak{P} = \mathcal{R}'\mathcal{R}$ is invertible. So all rows of R are linearly independent. Since the i -row determines the representation \mathbf{r}_i , it follows that $\mathbf{r}_1, \dots, \mathbf{r}_n$ are all non-isomorphic irreducible representations of \mathcal{A} . \square

In the rest of this section, we will show that all partition algebras are semisimple. Let $\mathcal{P} = \{\mathcal{P}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a good partition of $\text{Cl}(G)$ i.e. Let $\hat{C}_1, \dots, \hat{C}_n$ be class sums of the partition \mathcal{P} . Denotes by ℓ_i the number of elements in \mathcal{P}_i . Let $\mathcal{P}_{i'}$ be the set consisting of the inverse of elements in \mathcal{P}_i . Then $\ell_{i'} = \ell_i$. Denotes by $\ell_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_r}$ the number of solutions of the equation $g_{i_1} g_{i_2} \cdots g_{i_r} = 1$ with $g_{i_j} \in \mathcal{P}_{i_j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$.

Lemma 2.13. *The following equations hold:*

- (i) $\ell_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_r} = \ell_{i'_1 i'_2 \dots i'_r}$.
- (ii) $\ell_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_r} = \ell_{i_1^\tau i_2^\tau \dots i_r^\tau}$, where τ is a permutation on the set $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r\}$.

(iii) $\frac{1}{\ell_j} \ell_{j'k_1 \dots k_t} = a_{jk_1 \dots k_t}$ where $a_{jk_1 \dots k_t}$ is the coefficient of the equation

$$\hat{C}_{k_1} \hat{C}_{k_2} \dots \hat{C}_{k_t} = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{jk_1 \dots k_t} \hat{C}_j.$$

(iv) $\sum_{i_{r+1}=1}^n \ell_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_r i_{r+1}} = \ell_{i_1} \dots \ell_{i_r}.$

(v) $\ell_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_a i_{a+1} \dots i_r} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell_j} \ell_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_a j} \ell_{j' i_{a+1} \dots i_r}.$

Proof. We will prove above equations in case $r = 3$ or $r = 4$. The general cases follows from induction on r . Since $abc = 1$ is equivalent to $cab = 1$ or $bca = 1$, we have $\ell_{ijk} = \ell_{kij} = \ell_{jki}$. There is a bijection $P_i P_j \rightarrow P_j P_i$ given by $(a, b) \mapsto (b, b^{-1}ab)$ such that the products coincide. So we have $\ell_{ijk} = \ell_{jik}$. There is also an obvious bijection $P_{i_1} \dots P_{i_t}$ to $P_{i'_1} \dots P_{i'_t}$ by taking inverses. Let $S_{\{i, j, k\}}$ be the symmetric group on $\{i, j, k\}$ which is generated by (i, j) and (i, j, k) . By applying above discussions repeatedly, we have $\ell_{ijk} = \ell_{i\sigma j \sigma k \sigma} = \ell_{k' j' i'}$ for $\sigma \in S_{\{i, j, k\}}$.

It follows from the definition that $\ell_{ijk} = |\{(a, b, c) \mid a \in \mathcal{P}_i, b \in \mathcal{P}_j, c \in \mathcal{P}_k, abc = 1\}|$. Since $abc = 1$ is equivalent to $bc = a^{-1}$, we have $\ell_{ijk} = |\{(b, c) \mid b \in \mathcal{P}_j, c \in \mathcal{P}_k, bc \in \mathcal{P}_i\}|$. Given $a \in \mathcal{P}_i$. Then $a_{i'jk} = |\{b \in \mathcal{P}_j, c \in \mathcal{P}_k \mid abc = 1\}|$. So $\ell_{ijk} = \ell_{i'} a_{i'jk} = \ell_{i'} a_{i'jk}$.

Since $\{(a, b) \mid a \in \mathcal{P}_i, b \in \mathcal{P}_j\} = \bigsqcup_{k=1}^n \{(a, b) \mid a \in \mathcal{P}_i, b \in \mathcal{P}_j, ab \in \mathcal{P}_k\}$, we have $\ell_i \ell_j = \sum_{k=1}^n \ell_{ijk}$.

Given $x \in \mathcal{P}_\lambda$. Then the number of solutions of the equation $abcd = 1, a \in \mathcal{P}_i, b \in \mathcal{P}_j, c \in \mathcal{P}_k, d \in \mathcal{P}_l$ afforded by equations $ab = x^{-1}, cd = x, a \in \mathcal{P}_i, b \in \mathcal{P}_j, c \in \mathcal{P}_k, d \in \mathcal{P}_l$ is $\frac{\ell_{ij\lambda}}{\ell_\lambda} \frac{\ell_{\lambda'kl}}{\ell_\lambda} = \frac{\ell_{ij\lambda} \ell_{\lambda'kl}}{\ell_\lambda^2}$. When x runs over G , it follows

$$\ell_{ijkl} = \ell_\lambda a_{ijkl} = \sum_{\lambda=1}^n \frac{\ell_{ij\lambda} \ell_{\lambda'kl}}{\ell_\lambda}.$$

□

Since $\hat{C}_j \hat{C}_l = \hat{C}_l \hat{C}_j$, we have $a_{jk_1 \dots k_t} = a_{jk_1^\tau \dots k_t^\tau}$ for each permutation τ on k_1, \dots, k_t . Define a matrix $\mathcal{M}_j = (a_{klj})$ of degree n for each $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then $\mathcal{L} : k\mathcal{P} \rightarrow M_n(k)(\hat{C}_j \mapsto \mathcal{M}_j)$ is a regular representation of the partition algebra $k\mathcal{P}$. So $\mathcal{M}_i \mathcal{M}_j = \mathcal{M}_j \mathcal{M}_i$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Assume that $\mathcal{L}(\hat{C}_1)$ is the identity matrix.

Lemma 2.14. *Let $p_{ij} = \text{Tr}(\mathcal{M}_i \mathcal{M}_j)$ and $p_i = p_{i1} = p_{1i} = \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{\ell_{itt'}}{\ell_t}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Then*

$$(4) \quad p_{ij} = \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell_t} \ell_{ijtt'} = \sum_{l,t=1}^n a_{ttl} a_{lij} = \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell_l} \ell_{ijl} p_l.$$

$$(5) \quad p_{ij'} = \sum_{s,t=1}^n \frac{\ell_{sti} \ell_{stj}}{\ell_s \ell_t} = p_{ji'} = p_{i'j} = p_{j'i}.$$

Proof. By definition, $p_{ij} = \sum_{s,t=1}^n a_{sti} a_{tsj}$, $p_i = p_{i'}$. By (3) of Lemma 2.13, we can replace a_{sti} , a_{tsj} by $\frac{1}{\ell_s} \ell_{s'ti}$ and $\frac{1}{\ell_t} \ell_{t'sj}$ respectively in the definition of p_{ij} and we get

$$\begin{aligned} p_{ij} &= \sum_{s,t=1}^n \frac{\ell_{s'ti} \ell_{t'sj}}{\ell_s \ell_t} = \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell_t} \sum_{s=1}^n \frac{\ell_{s'ti} \ell_{t'sj}}{\ell_s} = \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell_t} \ell_{ijtt'} \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell_l} \ell_{ijl'} \frac{1}{\ell_t} \ell_{l'tt'} = \sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{l=1}^n a_{ttl} a_{lij} \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell_l} \ell_{ijl'} \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell_t} \ell_{l'tt'} = \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell_l} \ell_{ijl'} p_l. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} p_{ij} &= \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell_t} \ell_{ijtt'} = \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell_l} \ell_{ijl} \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell_t} \ell_{l'tt'} \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell_l} \ell_{ijl} p_{l'} = \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell_l} \ell_{ijl} p_l. \end{aligned}$$

So equation (4) holds.

When s' runs over n classes, so does s . So we can exchange s and s' in the following equation $p_{ij'} = \sum_{s,t=1}^n \frac{\ell_{s'ti} \ell_{t'sj'}}{\ell_s \ell_t}$ and we get $p_{ij'} = \sum_{s,t=1}^n \frac{\ell_{sti} \ell_{t's'j'}}{\ell_s \ell_t}$. It follows from $\ell_{tsj} = \ell_{t's'j'}$ that $p_{ij'} = \sum_{s,t=1}^n \frac{\ell_{sti} \ell_{tsj}}{\ell_s \ell_t}$, and the rest equations in (5) follows from it. \square

Lemma 2.15. *The determinant $|p_{ij}|_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ is not zero.*

Proof. By a series of swapping columns of $|p_{ij}|$, we have $|p_{ij'}|$. It suffices to show $|p_{ij'}| \neq 0$. Define

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ \frac{\ell_{ij-11}}{\sqrt{\ell_i \ell_{j-1}}} & \frac{\ell_{ij-12}}{\sqrt{\ell_i \ell_{j-1}}} & \frac{\ell_{ij-13}}{\sqrt{\ell_i \ell_{j-1}}} & \cdots & \frac{\ell_{ij-1n}}{\sqrt{\ell_i \ell_{j-1}}} \\ \frac{\ell_{ij1}}{\sqrt{\ell_i \ell_j}} & \frac{\ell_{ij2}}{\sqrt{\ell_i \ell_j}} & \frac{\ell_{ij3}}{\sqrt{\ell_i \ell_j}} & \cdots & \frac{\ell_{ijn}}{\sqrt{\ell_i \ell_j}} \\ \frac{\ell_{ij+11}}{\sqrt{\ell_i \ell_{j+1}}} & \frac{\ell_{ij+12}}{\sqrt{\ell_i \ell_{j+1}}} & \frac{\ell_{ij+13}}{\sqrt{\ell_i \ell_{j+1}}} & \cdots & \frac{\ell_{ij+1n}}{\sqrt{\ell_i \ell_{j+1}}} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \end{pmatrix}$$

to be a matrix with n^2 rows and n columns, where $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. By equation (5), it follows that

$$|p_{ij'}| = |M' M|.$$

By Binet-Cauchy formula for determinant, we have

$$|p_{ij'}| = \sum_{1 \leq t_1 < \cdots < t_n \leq n^2} \left| M \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & t_2 & t_3 & \cdots & t_n \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & n \end{pmatrix} \right|^2.$$

In particular, we have some

$$M \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & t_2 & t_3 & \cdots & t_n \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\ell_{11'1}}{\sqrt{\ell_1 \ell_{1'}}} & \frac{\ell_{11'2}}{\sqrt{\ell_1 \ell_{1'}}} & \frac{\ell_{11'3}}{\sqrt{\ell_1 \ell_{1'}}} & \cdots & \frac{\ell_{11'n}}{\sqrt{\ell_1 \ell_{1'}}} \\ \frac{\ell_{12'1}}{\sqrt{\ell_1 \ell_{2'}}} & \frac{\ell_{12'2}}{\sqrt{\ell_1 \ell_{2'}}} & \frac{\ell_{12'3}}{\sqrt{\ell_1 \ell_{2'}}} & \cdots & \frac{\ell_{12'n}}{\sqrt{\ell_1 \ell_{2'}}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\ell_{1n'1}}{\sqrt{\ell_1 \ell_{n'}}} & \frac{\ell_{1n'2}}{\sqrt{\ell_1 \ell_{n'}}} & \frac{\ell_{1n'3}}{\sqrt{\ell_1 \ell_{n'}}} & \cdots & \frac{\ell_{1n'n}}{\sqrt{\ell_1 \ell_{n'}}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is the diagonal matrix $\text{diag}(\sqrt{\ell_1}, \dots, \sqrt{\ell_n})$. So $|p_{ij'}| \neq 0$. \square

It is clear that the next Theorem follows from Maschke's Theorem easily. But the constants introduced above are enough for the proof.

Theorem 2.16. *All partition algebras are semisimple over a field k with characteristic zero.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.11 and Lemma 2.15. \square

3. POLYNOMIALS OF ALGEBRAS

Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra over k with identity. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be a basis of \mathcal{A} . Given $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\alpha\alpha_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \ell_{ji}^\alpha \alpha_j$. The left regular representation \mathfrak{L} of \mathcal{A} is defined by $\mathfrak{L}(\alpha) = (\ell_{ji}^\alpha)_{1 \leq j, i \leq n}$. A generic element $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ can be written in the form $\alpha = x_1\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n$ and $\mathfrak{L}(\alpha) = x_1\mathfrak{L}(\alpha_1) + \dots + x_n\mathfrak{L}(\alpha_n)$, where x_1, \dots, x_n are indeterminates. The matrix $\lambda I - \mathfrak{L}(\alpha)$ is similar to

$$\text{Diag}(\epsilon_1(\lambda, x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, \epsilon_n(\lambda, x_1, \dots, x_n)),$$

where the polynomials $\epsilon_i(\lambda, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a factor of $\epsilon_{i+1}(\lambda, x_1, \dots, x_n)$. The polynomial $\epsilon_n(\lambda, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is called the minimal polynomial of \mathcal{A} with respect to $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ and it is denoted by $m_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda, x_1, \dots, x_n)$. The polynomial $\prod_{i=1}^r \epsilon_i(\lambda, x_1, \dots, x_n) = |\lambda I - \mathfrak{L}(\alpha)|$ is called the characteristic polynomial of \mathcal{A} with respect to $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ and it is denoted by $c_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda, x_1, \dots, x_n)$. The polynomial $(-1)^n c_{\mathcal{A}}(0, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is called generic polynomial of \mathcal{A} with respect to the basis $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ and it is denoted by $g_{\mathcal{A}}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Elements of \mathcal{A} are annihilated by $m_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $c_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda, x_1, \dots, x_n)$. These polynomials have the following forms respectively

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda, x_1, \dots, x_n) &= |\lambda I - M| \\ &= \lambda^n - \lambda^{n-1}\tau_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \dots + (-1)^n \tau_n(x_1, \dots, x_n), \end{aligned}$$

$$m_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \lambda^r - \lambda^{r-1}\rho_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \dots + (-1)^r \rho_r(x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

where $\rho_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $\tau_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ are homogenous polynomial with degree i . The polynomial $\rho_r(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is usually called norm form of \mathcal{A} .

Given $\beta = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i \alpha_i \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $c_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda, d_1, \dots, d_n) = |\lambda I - \mathfrak{L}(\beta)|$ is called the characteristic polynomial of β and it is denoted by $c_{\beta}(\lambda)$.

Let \mathcal{A} be a group algebra of a finite group $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_\ell\}$. Then the generic polynomial $(-1)^\ell g_{\mathcal{A}}(x_{g_1}, \dots, x_{g_\ell})$ with respect to basis g_1, \dots, g_ℓ is called group determinant of G over k . The group determinant can also be presented as

Definition 3.1. [F2] Let $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_\ell\}$ be a finite group with g_1 as identity of G . Let $x_{g_1}, \dots, x_{g_\ell}$ be variables indexed by elements of G . Then the matrix

$$M_G(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x_{g_1 g_1^{-1}} & x_{g_1 g_2^{-1}} & \cdots & x_{g_1 g_\ell^{-1}} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ x_{g_\ell g_1^{-1}} & x_{g_\ell g_2^{-1}} & \cdots & x_{g_\ell g_\ell^{-1}} \end{pmatrix}$$

is called the matrix of G , the determinant $\Theta_G(x)$ of $M_G(x)$ is called group determinant of G .

Let $c_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be the characteristic polynomial of \mathcal{A} with respect to the basis $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ and let $c_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ be the characteristic polynomial of \mathcal{A} with respect to the basis β_1, \dots, β_n . Assume that $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)A$ for an invertible matrix A .

Theorem 3.2. Let $A^{-1} = (a'_{ij})$. Then the polynomial $c_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is equal to the polynomial $c_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda, y_1, \dots, y_n)$ after replacing y_i by $a'_{i1}x_1 + \cdots + a'_{in}x_n$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. Let $(y_1, \dots, y_n) = A^{-1}x$, where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)'$. We denote $x_1\alpha_1 + \cdots + x_n\alpha_n$ and $y_1\beta_1 + \cdots + y_n\beta_n$ by x_α and y_β respectively. Then $y_\beta = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)AA^{-1}x = x_\alpha$. Assume that $(x_\alpha\alpha_1, \dots, x_\alpha\alpha_n) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)M_\alpha$ and $(y_\beta\beta_1, \dots, y_\beta\beta_n) = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)M_\beta$. Then $M_\beta = A^{-1}M_\alpha A$. So we have $f(\lambda, x_1, \dots, x_n) = |\lambda I - M_\alpha| = |\lambda I - M_\beta| = g(\lambda, y_1, \dots, y_n)$. \square

Remark 3.3. As in Theorem 3.2, we have similar relations among the polynomials: $\tau_j(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $\tau_j(y_1, \dots, y_n)$, $\rho_j(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $\rho_j(y_1, \dots, y_n)$, $m_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $m_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda, y_1, \dots, y_n)$.

4. DEFINING EQUATIONS OF \mathcal{P} -CHARACTERS

In this section, we will prove uniform definition equations for various characters. For the reader's conveniences, we will reformulate some basic properties of the group determinant and its irreducible factors in [F1] and [F2].

Let \mathcal{P} be a good partition of $\text{Cl}(G)$ with the identity contained in the partition class \mathcal{P}_1 . Let \hat{C}_1 be the class sum of \mathcal{P}_1 . To simplify the discussion, we will assume that $\lambda\hat{C}_1$ is the identity of $k\mathcal{P}$ for some $\lambda \in k$ in the rest.

Let $\mathcal{L} : kG \rightarrow M_\ell(k)$ be the left regular representation of kG . Then the matrix $M_G(x)$ of G is the generic element $\sum_{g \in G} \mathcal{L}(g)x_g$ in $\mathcal{L}(kG)$. We assume that k is the splitting field of kG and its center $Z(kG)$. Let $\text{Cl}(G) = \{\mathcal{C}_i\}_{i=1}^s$ as in Section 2. And \mathfrak{t}_i is the representative of \mathcal{C}_i . Then there are s irreducible representations $\mathfrak{l}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{l}_s$ of $Z(kG)$ over k and the degree of each \mathfrak{l}_i is 1. Since $Z(kG)$ is semisimple, the restriction of \mathcal{L} to $Z(kG)$ is equivalent to $\oplus_{i=1}^s m_i \mathfrak{l}_i$, where m_i is a positive integer.

Let M be a square matrix. We will denote the determination of M by $|M|$. For elements $P, Q \in G$, we will denote $M_G(x)$ by $(x_{PQ^{-1}})$ and denote the function $\Phi(x_{g_1}, x_{g_2}, \dots, x_{g_\ell})$ by $\Phi(x_R)$ or $\Phi(x)$.

Definition 4.1. We say that a matrix M over a ring S has the same symmetry as $M_G(x)$ if M is a specialization of $M_G(x)$ i.e. there is a map $f : \{x_g | g \in G\} \rightarrow S$ with the property that $M = f(M_G(x)) = (f(x_{g_i g_j^{-1}}))$, where S is set of entries of M .

Example 4.2. Assume that the matrix $Y = (y_{g_i g_j^{-1}})_{g_i, g_j \in G}$ satisfies $y_{g_i g_j} = y_{g_j g_i}$ i.e. if g_i is conjugate to g_l then $y_{g_i} = y_{g_j}$. Then there are s independent variables $\{y_{d_i}\}_{i=1}^s$, where d_i is the representative of \mathcal{C}_i . Let $S = \{y_{d_i} : i = 1, \dots, s\}$ and we define $f : \{x_g | g \in G\} \rightarrow S$ by $f(x_g) = y_{d_i}$ if g is conjugate to d_i . Then $Y = f(M_G(x)) = (f(x_{g_i g_j^{-1}}))$. So Y has the same symmetry as $M_G(x)$. In fact, Y is also equal to $\sum_{i=1}^s \mathcal{L}(\hat{\mathcal{C}}_i) y_{d_i}$.

Lemma 4.3. Let $Y = (y_{g_i g_j^{-1}})_{g_i, g_j \in G}$ be as in above example. Then $|\lambda I_\ell - Y| = \prod_{j=1}^s (\lambda - \sum_{i=1}^s \mathfrak{l}_j(\hat{\mathcal{C}}_i) y_{d_i})^{m_j}$.

Proof. The matrix Y can be written into the form $\sum_{i=1}^s \mathcal{L}(\hat{\mathcal{C}}_i) y_{d_i}$. Since \mathcal{L} to is equivalent to $\oplus_{i=1}^s m_i \mathfrak{l}_i$, there is an invertible matrix T such that

$$T \mathcal{L}(\hat{\mathcal{C}}_i) T^{-1} = \text{Diag}(\mathfrak{l}_1^{m_1}(\hat{\mathcal{C}}_i), \dots, \mathfrak{l}_s^{m_s}(\hat{\mathcal{C}}_i))$$

for $1 \leq i \leq s$. So

$$|\lambda I_\ell - Y| = |\lambda I_\ell - \sum_{i=1}^s T \mathcal{L}(\hat{\mathcal{C}}_i) T^{-1} y_{d_i}| = \prod_{j=1}^s (\lambda - \sum_{i=1}^s \mathfrak{l}_j(\hat{\mathcal{C}}_i) y_{d_i})^{m_j}.$$

□

Let

$$\Theta_G(x) = |(x_{PQ^{-1}})| = \prod_i \Phi_i^{e_i}(x)$$

be a factorization of irreducible polynomials over the complex field \mathbb{C} . Let f_i be the degree of $\Phi_i(x)$. Then the coefficient of $x_{g_1}^{f_i}$ in $\Phi_i(x)$ is 1 (see section 2 in [F2]). Denote by $\chi_i(g_j)$ the coefficient of $x_{g_1}^{f_i-1} x_{g_j}$ in $\Phi_i(x)$ for $g_j \neq g_1$ and set $\chi_i(g_1) = f_i$. In this way, we define a function

$$\chi_i : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \quad (g \mapsto \chi_i(g))$$

for each irreducible factor $\Phi_i(x)$ of $\Theta_G(x)$. As in [F1], we call this function χ_i the character of $\Phi_i(x)$.

Let $(z_{PQ^{-1}}) = (x_{PQ^{-1}})(y_{PQ^{-1}})$, where $z_{PQ^{-1}} = \sum_{R \in G} x_{PR^{-1}} y_{RQ^{-1}}$. Then

$$\Theta_G(z) = \Theta_G(x) \Theta_G(y).$$

Furthermore, the matrix $(z_{PQ^{-1}})$ has the same symmetry as $M_G(x)$. So

$$\Theta_G(z) = |(z_{PQ^{-1}})| = \prod_i \Phi_i^{e_i}(z).$$

With these notations, we have the following

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\Phi(x)$ be a homogenous polynomial in $k[x_{g_1}, \dots, x_{g_\ell}]$ with $\Phi(\varepsilon) = 1$, where $\varepsilon = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Then $\Phi(x)$ is a product of powers of irreducible factors of $\Theta_G(x)$ if and only if $\Phi(z) = \Phi(x)\Phi(y)$.*

Proof. At first we assume that $\Phi(x)$ is irreducible factors of $\Theta_G(x)$. By above equations, we have

$$\prod_i \Phi_i^{\varepsilon_i}(z) = \prod_i \Phi_i^{\varepsilon_i}(x) \Phi_i^{\varepsilon_i}(y).$$

This implies that $\Phi(z) = \Lambda(x)\Delta(y)$, where $\Lambda(x), \Delta(y)$ are products of those $\Phi_i(x)$ and $\Phi_j(y)$ respectively. Set $y_R = \delta_{ER}$. Then $z_R = \sum_S x_{RS^{-1}}y_S = x_R$ and $\Phi(z) = \Phi(x) = \Lambda(x)\Delta(\varepsilon)$, where $\varepsilon = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Similarly, we have $\Phi(y) = \Lambda(\varepsilon)\Delta(y)$. Hence

$$\Phi(z) = \Lambda(x)\Delta(y) = \Lambda(x)\Delta(\varepsilon)\Delta(y)\Lambda(\varepsilon).$$

Since $\Lambda(\varepsilon)\Delta(\varepsilon) = \Phi(\varepsilon) = 1$, we have $\Phi(z) = \Phi(x)\Phi(y)$. So we have $\Phi(z) = \Phi(x)\Phi(y)$ in the case that $\Phi(x)$ is a product of powers of irreducible factors of $\Theta_G(x)$.

If $\Phi(\varepsilon) = 1$ and $\Phi(z) = \Phi(x)\Phi(y)$, then we take the matrix $(y_{PQ^{-1}})$ to be the adjoint $(x_{PQ^{-1}})^*$ of the matrix $(x_{PQ^{-1}})$. So

$$\begin{aligned} (z_{PQ^{-1}}) &= (x_{PQ^{-1}})(y_{PQ^{-1}}) = (x_{PQ^{-1}})(x_{PQ^{-1}})^* \\ &= \text{Diag}(\Theta_G(x), \dots, \Theta_G(x)) = \Theta_G(x)I. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\Phi(z) = \Phi(\Theta_G(x), 0, \dots, 0) = \Theta_G^f(x)$, where f is the degree of $\Phi(x)$. On the other hand, we have $\Phi(z) = \Phi(x)\Phi(y)$. So $\Phi(x)$ is a factor of $\Theta_G^f(x)$ and it is a product of powers of irreducible factors of $\Theta_G(x)$. \square

Theorem 4.5. [F2] *Let χ be the character of an irreducible factor $\Phi(x)$ of $\Theta_G(x)$. Then $\chi(AB) = \chi(BA)$ for $A, B \in G$.*

Proof. Let $(y_{g_1}, \dots, y_{g_\ell}) = (0, \dots, 0, y_{g_s}, 0, \dots, 0)$, i.e. $y_R = \delta_{A,R}y_A$ in the matrix $(y_{PQ^{-1}})$, where $g_s = A$. Then $(y_{PQ^{-1}})$ is the product of y_A and a permutation matrix $\mathcal{L}(A)$. So

$$\Theta_G(0, \dots, y_A, \dots, 0) = \Phi_1(y_A)\Phi_2(y_A) \cdots = |(y_{PQ^{-1}})| = \varepsilon y_A^\ell,$$

where ε is 1 or -1 , ℓ is the order of G . Let $\Phi(y)$ be any irreducible factor of $\Theta_G(y)$. We also take $y_R = \delta_{A,R}y_A$ in $\Phi(y)$. Then $\Phi(y_A) = \theta(A)y_A^f \neq 0$, where f is the degree of $\Phi(z)$ and $\theta(A)$ is a constant. By Lemma 4.4, $\Phi(z) = \Phi(x)\Phi(y)$. We take $y_R = \delta_{A,R}y_A$ in this equation. Then $z_R = \sum_B x_{RB^{-1}}y_B = x_{RA^{-1}}y_A$. Since $\Phi(z)$ is a homogenous polynomial, we have $\Phi(x_{RA^{-1}}y_A) = y_A^f \Phi(x_{RA^{-1}})$ and

$$\Phi(z_R) = \Phi(x_{RA^{-1}}y_A) = y_A^f \Phi(x_{RA^{-1}}) = \theta(A)\Phi(x_R)y_A^f.$$

It follows the equation $\Phi(x_{RA^{-1}}) = \theta(A)\Phi(x_R)$. Similarly,

$$(\star) \quad \Phi(x_{A^{-1}R}) = \theta(A)\Phi(x_R).$$

Given $B \in G$. Replacing x_R by $x_{B^{-1}R}$ in equation (\star) , it follows

$$\Phi(x_{B^{-1}A^{-1}R}) = \theta(A)\Phi(x_{B^{-1}R}) = \theta(A)\theta(B)\Phi(x_R).$$

Replacing A by AB in (\star) , it follows $\Phi(x_{B^{-1}A^{-1}R}) = \theta(AB)\Phi(x_R)$. So we have $\theta(AB) = \theta(A)\theta(B)$. In particular, $\theta(A)\theta(A^{-1}) = 1$.

Replacing x_R by x_{RA} in (\star) it follows

$$\Phi(x_{A^{-1}RA}) = \theta(A)\Phi(x_{RA}) = \theta(A)\theta(A^{-1})\Phi(x_R)$$

This means

$$\Phi(x_{A^{-1}RA}) = \Phi(x_R).$$

Comparing the coefficients of $x_E^{f-1}x_{A^{-1}RA}$ on both sides of the last equation, it follows $\chi(AB) = \chi(BA)$, since AB and BA are conjugate in G . \square

Lemma 4.6. [F2] *Let $y_{g_1}, \dots, y_{g_\ell}$ be another system of variables. Then the matrix $(y_{P,Q})$ with $y_{P,Q} = y_{Q^{-1}P}$ commutes with the matrix $M_G(x) = (x_{PQ^{-1}})$. i.e.*

$$\sum_R x_{PR^{-1}} y_{Q^{-1}R} = \sum_S y_{S^{-1}P} x_{SQ^{-1}}.$$

Proof. Let P, Q be fixed elements. If $x_{PR^{-1}} = x_{SQ^{-1}}$, then $SQ^{-1} = PR^{-1}$ and $S = PR^{-1}Q$. Hence $S^{-1}P = Q^{-1}R$ and $y_{S^{-1}P} = y_{Q^{-1}R}$. This means that if $x_{PR^{-1}} = x_{SQ^{-1}}$, then $x_{PR^{-1}} y_{Q^{-1}R} = y_{S^{-1}P} x_{SQ^{-1}}$. So $\sum_R x_{PR^{-1}} y_{Q^{-1}R} = \sum_S y_{S^{-1}P} x_{SQ^{-1}}$. \square

It is well known that the regular representation \mathcal{L} of kG is equivalent to $\bigoplus_{i=1}^s L_i^{e_i}$, where L_1, \dots, L_s are non-equivalent irreducible representations of kG , $L_i^{e_i} = \overbrace{L_i \oplus \dots \oplus L_i}^{e_i}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^s e_i^2 = |G|$.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $\Theta_i(x) = |\sum_{g \in G} L_i(g)x_g|$. Then $\Theta_i(x) = \Phi_i(x)$ after suitable arranging the indexes.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.4, $\Theta_i(x)$ is a power factor of $\Theta_G(x)$. By definition of group determinant, we have $\Theta_G(x) = |\sum_{g \in G} \mathcal{L}(g)x_g| = \prod_{i=1}^s \Theta_i^{e_i}(x)$. By section 3 in [F1] and section 9 in [F2], there are s irreducible factors in the factorization of $\Theta_G(x)$ and the sum of the square of the multiplicity of each irreducible factors is $|G|$. If $\Theta_i(x)$ is not irreducible for some $1 \leq i \leq s$, the sum of the square of multiplicity of each irreducible factors must be larger than $|G|$. So $\Theta_i(x)$ is irreducible and $\Theta_i(x) = \Phi_i(x)$ after suitable arranging the indexes. \square

Lemma 4.8. *Let $\mathcal{P} = \coprod_{i=1}^r \mathcal{P}_i$ be a good partition. If $x_g = x_{g'}$ when g, g' belong to the same partition class \mathcal{P}_j , then $\Phi_i(x)$ is a power of a linear factor.*

Proof. Let g_j be the representative of \mathcal{P}_j . If $x_g = x_{g'}$ when g, g' belong to the same partition class \mathcal{P}_j , then $|\sum_{g \in G} L_i(g)x_g| = |\sum_{j=1}^r L_i(\hat{C}_j)x_{g_j}|$, where $\hat{C}_j = \sum_{g \in \mathcal{P}_j} g$. Since L_i is irreducible and $\hat{C}_j \in Z(kG)$, $L_i(\hat{C}_j)$ is a multiple of the identity matrix. So $\Phi_i(x)$ is a power of a linear factor. \square

Lemma 4.9. *If $\Phi_i(x) = |\sum_{g \in G} L_i(g)x_g|$, then the function χ_i defined by $\Phi_i(x)$ is the character of the irreducible representation L_i .*

Proof. By the orthogonal relations in section 3 of [F2] and Theorem 4.5, the functions χ_i s defined by irreducible factors Φ_i s are exactly the irreducible characters of G over k . By definition of χ_i , the factor $\Phi_i(x)$ has the following expression: $\Phi_i(x) = x_{g_1}^{f_i} + \sum_{g \neq g_1} \chi_i(g)x_{g_1}^{f_i-1}x_g + *$. Let g_i be the representative of the conjugate class \mathcal{L}_i . If $x_g = x_{g'}$ when g, g' are conjugate in G , by Theorem 4.5, $\Phi_i(x)$ can be written into $\Phi_i(x) = x_{g_1}^{f_i} + \sum_{j=2}^s |\mathcal{L}_j| \chi_i(g_j) x_{g_1}^{f_i-1} x_{g_j} + *$. If we take the good partition to be the conjugate classes itself, by Lemma 4.8, $\Phi_i(x)$ is a power of a monic linear factor by identifying $x_g = x_{g'}$ when g, g' belong to the same conjugate class i.e. $\Phi_i(x) = (x_{g_1} + \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{|\mathcal{L}_j| \chi_i(g_j)}{\chi(1)} x_{g_j})^{f_i}$, where χ is the character of L_i . Since f_i is the dimension of L_i , we have $f_i = \chi(1)$. By comparing the coefficient of $x_{g_1}^{f_i-1}x_g$ in two expressions of $\Phi_i(x)$, we have $\chi_i = \chi$. \square

Corollary 4.10. [F2] *If we identity $x_g = x_{g'}$ when g and g' are conjugate in G , then $\Phi(x) = (\frac{1}{f} \sum \chi(R)x_R)^f$, where f is the degree of $\Phi(x)$.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 4.8 and Lemma 4.9 directly. \square

Define matrix M_i to be $M_G(x)$ by taking $x_g = 1$ whenever $g \in \mathcal{P}_i$ and $x_g = 0$ otherwise. Then the map

$$\mathfrak{r} : k\mathcal{P} \rightarrow M_l(k)$$

defined by $\mathfrak{r}(\hat{C}_i) = M_i$ is a faithful representation of the partition algebra $k\mathcal{P}$. In fact, \mathfrak{r} is the restriction of \mathcal{L} to the subalgebra $k\mathcal{P}$. Let g_i be a representative in \mathcal{P}_i .

Proposition 4.11. *Let $(z_{PQ^{-1}}) = (x_{PQ^{-1}})(y_{PQ^{-1}})$. Let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{P}_n$ be a good partition of $Cl(G)$. If the matrices $(x_{PQ^{-1}})$ and $(y_{PQ^{-1}})$ satisfy the condition $x_R = x_T$ and $y_R = y_T$ whenever R, T belong to the same partition class, then $z_{PQ^{-1}} = \sum_R x_{PR^{-1}} y_{RQ^{-1}} = \sum_S y_{PS^{-1}} x_{SQ^{-1}}$ and $(z_{PQ^{-1}})$ has the same symmetry as $(x_{PQ^{-1}})$ i.e. $z_R = z_T$ whenever R, T belong to the same partition class.*

Proof. The condition $y_R = y_T$ whenever R, T belongs to the same partition class implies $y_{AB} = y_{BA}$. So $(y_{PQ^{-1}}) = (y_{Q^{-1}P})$. By Lemma 4.6, $(x_{PQ^{-1}})$ commutes with $(y_{PQ^{-1}})$ i.e. $z_{PQ^{-1}} = \sum_R x_{PR^{-1}} y_{RQ^{-1}} = \sum_S y_{PS^{-1}} x_{SQ^{-1}}$.

If the matrices $(x_{PQ^{-1}})$ and $(y_{PQ^{-1}})$ satisfy the condition $x_R = x_T$ and $y_R = y_T$ whenever R, T belong to the same partition class, then $(x_{PQ^{-1}}) =$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{l=1}^n x_{g_l} M_l \text{ and } (y_{PQ^{-1}}) &= \sum_{l=1}^n y_{g_l} M_l. \text{ So} \\
(z_{PQ^{-1}}) &= (x_{PQ^{-1}})(y_{PQ^{-1}}) \\
&= \sum_i \sum_j x_{g_i} y_{g_j} M_i M_j \\
&= \sum_i \sum_j x_{g_i} y_{g_j} \sum_{l=1}^n a_{lij} M_l \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^n \left(\sum_i \sum_j x_{g_i} y_{g_j} a_{lij} \right) M_l.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $(z_{PQ^{-1}})$ has the same symmetry as $(x_{PQ^{-1}})$ i.e. $z_R = z_T$ whenever R, T belong to the same partition class. \square

Definition 4.12. Define function $\chi_i^{\mathcal{P}}$ of $\Phi_i(x)$ relative to the good partition \mathcal{P} as following:

$$\chi_i^{\mathcal{P}}(g) = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{P}_i} \chi_i(y),$$

if g belongs to \mathcal{P}_i . We will call the function $\chi_i^{\mathcal{P}}$ the \mathcal{P} -character of G relative to the partition \mathcal{P} .

By Theorem 4.10, if we assume that $x_R = x_S$ whenever R, S belong to the same partition class, then

$$\Phi_i(x) = \left(\frac{1}{f_i} \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_i^{\mathcal{P}}(g_j) x_{g_j} \right)^{f_i}, \text{ and } \eta = \frac{1}{f_i} \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_i^{\mathcal{P}}(g_j) x_{g_j},$$

where $\Phi(x)$ is an irreducible factor of $\Theta_G(x)$ with degree f , $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{P}_n$ is a good partition of $\text{Cl}(G)$ and g_j is a representative of \mathcal{P}_j .

Theorem 4.13. Let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{P}_n$ be a good partition of $\text{Cl}(G)$ and let g_i be a representative of \mathcal{P}_i . Then

$$\chi_i^{\mathcal{P}}(g_t) \chi_i^{\mathcal{P}}(g_j) = f_i \sum_{l=1}^n a_{ltj} \chi_i^{\mathcal{P}}(g_l) \quad (1 \leq t, j, l \leq n)$$

for a character $\chi_i^{\mathcal{P}}$ with respect to the partition \mathcal{P} , where a_{ltj} is defined in Lemma 2.13.

Proof. Let $\Theta_G(x) = |(x_{PQ^{-1}})| = \prod_{i=1}^{s'} \Phi_i^{e_i}(x)$ be the factorization into irreducible polynomials over k . Then each $\Phi_i(x)$ define a character χ_i . By Theorem 4.5, χ_i is class function of G . So s' is less than the number s of conjugate classes of G . If we set $x_{BA} = x_{AB}$ in $\Phi_i(x)$, by Theorem 4.10, $\Phi_i(x) = \left(\frac{1}{f_i} \sum_{j=1}^s |\mathcal{C}_j| \chi_i(d_j) x_{d_j} \right)^{f_i}$, where d_j is the representative of the conjugate class \mathcal{C}_j . Let $\eta_i = \frac{1}{f_i} \sum_{j=1}^s |\mathcal{C}_j| \chi_i(d_j) x_{d_j}$. Since $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{s'}$ are orthogonal to each other by (2) of Section 3 in [F1], $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{s'}$ are coprime to each other. If we set $x_{BA} = x_{AB}$ in $\Theta_G(x)$, then $\Theta_G(x) = \eta_1^{f_1} \dots \eta_{s'}^{f_{s'}}$. By

Lemma 4.3, we also have $\Theta_G(x) = \prod_{j=1}^s (\eta'_j)^{m_j}$, where $\eta'_j = \sum_{i=1}^s \mathfrak{l}_j(\hat{\mathcal{C}}_i) x_{d_i}$. Since $\mathfrak{l}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{l}_s$ are all inequivalent representations of $Z(kG)$, η'_1, \dots, η'_s are coprime to each other. So, by suitable arrangement, we have $s = s'$, $\eta_i = \eta'_i$ and $m_i = f_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. By comparing coefficients of η_i and η'_i , we have $\frac{|\mathcal{C}_j| \chi_i(d_j)}{f_i} = \mathfrak{l}_i(\hat{\mathcal{C}}_j)$. Since $k\mathcal{P}$ is a subalgebra of $Z(kG)$, the restriction of \mathfrak{l}_i to $k\mathcal{P}$ is also an irreducible representation of $k\mathcal{P}$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\chi_i^{\mathcal{P}}(g_t)}{f_i} \frac{\chi_i^{\mathcal{P}}(g_j)}{f_i} &= \mathfrak{l}_i(\hat{C}_t) \mathfrak{l}_i(\hat{C}_j) = \mathfrak{l}_i(\hat{C}_t \hat{C}_j) \\ &= \mathfrak{l}_i \left(\sum_{l=1}^n a_{ltj} \hat{C}_l \right) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^n a_{ltj} \mathfrak{l}_i(\hat{C}_l) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^n a_{ltj} \frac{\chi_i^{\mathcal{P}}(g_l)}{f_i}. \end{aligned}$$

And it follows that $\chi_i^{\mathcal{P}}(g_t) \chi_i^{\mathcal{P}}(g_j) = f_i \sum_{l=1}^n a_{ltj} \chi_i^{\mathcal{P}}(g_l)$. \square

Definition 4.14. *The definition equations of characters relative to a good partition $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{P}_n$ are the following series of equations:*

$$x_i x_j = \frac{1}{\lambda_{\mathcal{P}}} x_1 \sum_l a_{lij} x_l, 1 \leq i, j, l \leq n,$$

where $\lambda_{\mathcal{P}}$ is the identity constant of $k\mathcal{P}$. The affine variety defined by these equations are called \mathcal{P} -character variety with respect to the good partition \mathcal{P} . We denote it be $V_{\mathcal{P}}(G)$.

The definition equations in 4.14 unify various definition equations of characters. Next we will show some definition equations of characters in case that the corresponding good partition \mathcal{P} satisfies additional conditions. These definition equations can be derived from definition equations in 4.14.

Let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{P}_n$ be a good partition with g_i as a representative of \mathcal{P}_i and $g_1 = E$. We will keep these notations in the following remarks. If $\mathcal{P}_1 = \{E\}$, then $\lambda_{\mathcal{P}} = 1$.

Remark 4.15. *If each partition class \mathcal{P}_j consists of conjugate classes with the same length, then $\chi^{\mathcal{P}}(g_j) = h_j \sum_{t=1}^l \chi(g_{j_t})$, where $\mathcal{P}_j = \{\mathcal{C}_{j_1}, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{j_l}\}$ and h_j is the common length of conjugate classes contained in \mathcal{P}_j and $\lambda_{\mathcal{P}} = 1$. Then the definition equations in 4.14 can be written in the following form: $h_i x_i h_j x_j = x_1 \sum_l a_{lij} h_l x_l$, $1 \leq i, j, l \leq r$. In particular, we take \mathcal{P} to be the trivial partition of $Cl(G)$ i.e. each partition class is exactly to be a conjugate class of G . Then we get the definition equations in [F2]*

$$h_i x_i h_j x_j = x_1 \sum_l h_l \nu_{ij} x_l, 1 \leq i, j, l \leq r,$$

where $h_{\nu_{ij}} = \sharp\{(R, S, T) \in \mathcal{C}_i \times \mathcal{C}_j \times \mathcal{C}_{\nu} \mid RST = E\} = a_{ij}h_l$.

Remark 4.16. Let \mathcal{P} be the partition consisting of k -classes. Then each \mathcal{P} is a k -class and the conjugate classes in a \mathcal{P}_i have the same length. In this case, $\lambda_{\mathcal{P}} = 1$. Then the definition equations in 4.14 can be written into

$$(13), \quad h_i x_i h_j x_j = x_1 \sum_l h_{\nu_{ij}} x_l, 1 \leq i, j, l \leq r$$

where h_i is the length of some conjugate class in \mathcal{P}_i and $h_{\nu_{ij}} = \sharp\{(R, S, T) \in \mathcal{P}_i \times \mathcal{P}_j \times \mathcal{P}_{\nu} \mid RST = E\} = a_{ij}h_l$.

Remark 4.17. Let \mathcal{P} be partition in 2.2. Then $\lambda_{\mathcal{P}} = \frac{1}{|N|}$. In order to see the characters define by the partition \mathcal{P} as character of G , we set $\chi^{\mathcal{P}}(g_i) = q_i \chi(g_i)$, where q_i is the number of elements contained in \mathcal{P}_i . Then the equations in 4.14 can be write into the following form:

$$q_i x_i q_j x_j = |N| x_1 \sum_l q_{\nu_{ij}} x_l, 1 \leq i, j, l \leq r,$$

where $q_{\nu_{ij}} = \sharp\{(R, S, T) \in \mathcal{P}_i \times \mathcal{P}_j \times \mathcal{P}_{\nu} \mid RST = E\} = a_{ij}q_l$. In fact, they are definition equations of characters of G/N .

5. REALIZATION OF \mathcal{P} -CHARACTERS

In this section, we will determine the character variety by the representation variety of the correponding algebra of the good partition. Then orthogonal relations of these characters are derived from the representation matrix and its invertible matrix. Based on the definition equations and the orthogonal relations, we will give the formula for m_i of the characters and prove that m_i is the multiplicity of a linear factor in $\Theta_G(x)$ in case that $x_A = x_B$ whenever A and B belong to the same partition class of \mathcal{P} .

Let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{P}_n$ be a good partition of $\text{Cl}(G)$ with g_i as a representative of \mathcal{P}_i and $g_1 = E$. As in section 2, the partition class sums $\hat{C}_1, \dots, \hat{C}_n$ consist of a basis of the partition algebra $k\mathcal{P}$. Let $\mathcal{L} : k\mathcal{P} \rightarrow M_n(k)(\hat{C}_j \mapsto \mathcal{A}_j)$ be regular representation of $k\mathcal{P}$. By Theorem 2.16, $k\mathcal{P}$ is semisimple. Since $k\mathcal{P}$ is commutative and semisimple, there exists an invertible matrix \mathcal{M} such that

$$\mathcal{M}\mathcal{A}_i\mathcal{M}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{i1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{i2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_{in} \end{pmatrix}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then

$$\mathbf{r}_j : k\mathcal{P} \longrightarrow k(\hat{C}_i \mapsto \lambda_{ij}), 1 \leq j \leq n$$

is an irreducible representation of $k\mathcal{P}$. Let $\gamma_t = (\lambda_{1t}, \dots, \lambda_{nt})$, where $1 \leq t \leq n$. Then γ_t is a solution of the following series of equations:

$$(1) \quad x_j x_l = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ijl} x_i, 1 \leq i, j, l \leq n.$$

Let $\gamma_t(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{it} x_i$ for $1 \leq t \leq n$. By Theorem 2.9, $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ are the exact n solutions of above series of equations. Let $\chi_t^{\mathcal{P}}$ be a character with respect to \mathcal{P} . By Theorem 4.13, $(\chi_t^{\mathcal{P}}(g_1), \dots, \chi_t^{\mathcal{P}}(g_n))$ is a solution of the equations

$$(2) \quad x_i x_j = f_t \sum_l a_{lij} x_l, 1 \leq i, j, l \leq n$$

where f_t is the degree of the corresponding irreducible factor of $\Theta_G(x)$. So

$$\left(\frac{\chi_t^{\mathcal{P}}(g_1)}{f_t}, \dots, \frac{\chi_t^{\mathcal{P}}(g_n)}{f_t} \right)$$

is a solution of equations (1). By Corollary 2.10, there exists γ_t such that $\gamma_t = \left(\frac{\chi_t^{\mathcal{P}}(g_1)}{f_t}, \dots, \frac{\chi_t^{\mathcal{P}}(g_n)}{f_t} \right)$. This implies that $\chi_t^{\mathcal{P}}(g_j) = f_t \lambda_{jt}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Theorem 5.1. *The character variety $V_{\mathcal{P}}(G)$ consists of all multiples of elements in $V_k(k\mathcal{P})$ i.e. $V_{\mathcal{P}}(G) = \{\alpha\gamma | \alpha \in k, \gamma \in V_k(k\mathcal{P})\}$. In particular, $V_{\mathcal{P}}(G)$ consists of n irreducible components and the dimension of $V_{\mathcal{P}}(G)$ is 1.*

Proof. Let $\chi_t = (f_t \lambda_{1t}, \dots, f_t \lambda_{nt})$ for $1 \leq t \leq n$. Then the statement χ_t is a solution of the equations in Definition 4.14 follows from above analysis and Theorem 4.13. Let $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$ be the any nonzero solution of the following equations

$$(3) \quad x_i x_j = \frac{1}{\lambda_{\mathcal{P}}} x_1 \sum_l a_{lij} x_l, 1 \leq i, j, l \leq n.$$

Then there some $\beta_i \neq 0$ and $0 \neq \beta_i \beta_i = \frac{1}{\lambda_{\mathcal{P}}} \beta_1 \sum_l a_{lij} \beta_l$. This implies that $\beta_1 \neq 0$. So $\frac{1}{\beta_1} \beta$ is a solution of (1). By Corollary 2.10, β is a multiple of χ_t . Thus any solution of the equations in Definition 4.14 is of the form $\alpha \gamma_t$ for some $\alpha \in k$ and $1 \leq t \leq n$. So $V_{\mathcal{P}}(G) = \{\alpha\gamma | \alpha \in k, \gamma \in V_k(k\mathcal{P})\}$. Since $V_{\mathcal{P}}(G)$ is the union of n 1-dimensional vector spaces, the other statements follow. \square

By equation (3) in section 2 and Lemma 2.13, the following equation of determinant holds true

$$\left| \sum_t \ell_{ij't} x_t - \ell_{ij'} x \right|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = \prod_{t=1}^n \ell_t (\gamma_t(x) - x).$$

And so

$$\left| \sum_t \frac{\ell_{ij't}}{\ell_i} x_t - \frac{\ell_{ij'}}{\ell_i} x \right|_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} = \prod_{t=1}^n (\gamma_t(x) - x).$$

Assume that

$$(Dequ) \quad \Theta_G(x) = |M_G(x)| = \prod_i \Phi_i^{c_i}(x)$$

is a factorization of irreducible polynomials over complex field \mathbb{C} and the degree of $\Phi_i(x)$ is f_i .

Theorem 5.2. *For $1 \leq t \leq n$, the following hold:*

- (i) $\chi_t^{\mathcal{P}}(g_1) = \lambda_{\mathcal{P}} f_t$;
- (ii) λ_{ij} is an algebraic integer for $1 \leq i, j \leq s$;

Proof. By taking $x_1 = 1$ and $x_2 = \cdots = x_n = 0$ in both sides of equation (3) in section 2, we have $(\lambda_{\mathcal{P}} - x)^n = \prod_{t=1}^n (\frac{\chi_t^{\mathcal{P}}(g_1)}{f_t} - x)$. So $\chi_t^{\mathcal{P}}(g_1) = \lambda_{\mathcal{P}} f_t$ and follows from (i) directly.

By taking $x_t = 1$ and $x_i = 0$ for $i \neq t$ on both sides of equation (3), it follows $|(a_{i'j't}) - xI| = \prod_{\alpha=1}^n (\lambda_{t\alpha} - x)$. This implies $\lambda_{t\alpha}$ is a root of monic polynomial with rational integers as coefficients since $a_{i'j't}$ is rational integer and (ii) holds. \square

Let $\mathcal{P} = \coprod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{P}_i$ be a good partition of $\text{Cl}(G)$, where $\mathcal{P}_j = \{\mathcal{C}_{j_1}, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{j_n}\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then the partition algebra $k\mathcal{P}$ is a subalgebra of the center $Z(kG)$ of the group algebra kG . Let χ_1, \dots, χ_s be all irreducible characters of G over k . Then each irreducible character χ_i defines an primitive idempotent $\mathbf{e}_i = \frac{\chi_i(1)}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} \chi_i(x^{-1})x$ ($1 \leq i \leq s$) in $Z(kG)$ and $1 = \mathbf{e}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{e}_s$. Let E_j ($1 \leq j \leq n$) be all primitive idempotents of $k\mathcal{P}$. Since $k\mathcal{P}$ and $Z(kG)$ have the same identity, then $E_j = \mathbf{e}_{j_1} + \cdots + \mathbf{e}_{j_{\alpha_j}}$ and $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n = s$. According to the coefficient of g in E_j , we define a function $\theta_j : G \rightarrow k$ such that $\theta_j(g) = \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha_j} \chi_{j_i}(1)\chi_{j_i}(g^{-1})$. Then $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ are super characters determined by the good partition \mathcal{P} (see [DI]). Since E_1, \dots, E_n and $\hat{C}_1, \dots, \hat{C}_n$ are two basis of $k\mathcal{P}$, θ_j is constant on a partition class \mathcal{P}_i , i.e. $\theta_j(g) = \theta_j(g')$ if g, g' belongs to the same partition class. We have the following transition matrix

$$(E_1, \dots, E_n) = (\hat{C}_1, \dots, \hat{C}_n)A,$$

where

$$A = \frac{1}{|G|} \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1(g_1) & \theta_2(g_1) & \theta_3(g_1) & \cdots & \theta_n(g_1) \\ \theta_1(g_2) & \theta_2(g_2) & \theta_3(g_2) & \cdots & \theta_n(g_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \theta_1(g_n) & \theta_2(g_n) & \theta_3(g_n) & \cdots & \theta_n(g_n) \end{pmatrix},$$

where g_i is a representative of \mathcal{P}_i . By the orthogonal relations of irreducible characters, the matrix A^{-1} is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\ell_1 \theta_1(g_1^{-1})}{\theta_1(1)} & \frac{\ell_2 \theta_1(g_2^{-1})}{\theta_1(1)} & \frac{\ell_3 \theta_1(g_3^{-1})}{\theta_1(1)} & \cdots & \frac{\ell_n \theta_1(g_n^{-1})}{\theta_1(1)} \\ \frac{\ell_1 \theta_2(g_1^{-1})}{\theta_2(1)} & \frac{\ell_2 \theta_2(g_2^{-1})}{\theta_2(1)} & \frac{\ell_3 \theta_2(g_3^{-1})}{\theta_2(1)} & \cdots & \frac{\ell_n \theta_2(g_n^{-1})}{\theta_2(1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\ell_1 \theta_n(g_1^{-1})}{\theta_n(1)} & \frac{\ell_2 \theta_n(g_2^{-1})}{\theta_n(1)} & \frac{\ell_3 \theta_n(g_3^{-1})}{\theta_n(1)} & \cdots & \frac{\ell_n \theta_n(g_n^{-1})}{\theta_n(1)} \end{pmatrix},$$

where ℓ_i is the length of \mathcal{P}_i .

The generic polynomial $g_{k\mathcal{P}}(y_1, \dots, y_n)$ of $k\mathcal{P}$ with respect to the basis E_1, \dots, E_n is $y_1 y_2 \cdots y_n$. Applying Theorem 3.2, replacing y_i by $\frac{\ell_1 \theta_i(g_1^{-1})}{\theta_i(1)} x_1 +$

$\frac{\ell_2\theta_i(g_2^{-1})}{\theta_i(1)}x_2 + \frac{\ell_3\theta_i(g_3^{-1})}{\theta_i(1)}x_3 + \cdots + \frac{\ell_n\theta_i(g_n^{-1})}{\theta_i(1)}x_n$ we get the generic polynomial of $k\mathcal{P}$ with respect to the basis $\hat{C}_1, \dots, \hat{C}_n$ i.e.

$$g_{k\mathcal{P}}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n g_i(x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

where $g_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{\ell_1\theta_i(g_1^{-1})}{\theta_i(1)}x_1 + \frac{\ell_2\theta_i(g_2^{-1})}{\theta_i(1)}x_2 + \frac{\ell_3\theta_i(g_3^{-1})}{\theta_i(1)}x_3 + \cdots + \frac{\ell_n\theta_i(g_n^{-1})}{\theta_i(1)}x_n$. Since $k\mathcal{P}$ is a commutative algebra, each $g_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ define the irreducible representation \mathfrak{r}_i of $k\mathcal{P}$ (arranging the indexes if necessary) such that $\mathfrak{r}_i(\hat{C}_j) = \frac{\ell_j\theta_i(g_j^{-1})}{\theta_i(1)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. This implies that each row of the matrix A^{-1} is a solution of equations (1). For a good partition \mathcal{P} of $\text{Cl}(G)$, we have the following relations between \mathcal{P} -characters and super characters determined by \mathcal{P} .

Theorem 5.3. *Let $\Phi(x)$ be an irreducible factor of $\Theta_G(x)$ and let $\chi^{\mathcal{P}}$ be the \mathcal{P} -character defined by $\Phi(x)$. Then there is a super character θ determined by \mathcal{P} such that $\chi^{\mathcal{P}}(g) = \frac{\chi^{\mathcal{P}}(1)\ell_g\theta(g^{-1})}{\theta(1)}$, where ℓ_g is the length of the partition class containing g .*

Proof. Let g_i be the representative of the partition class \mathcal{P}_i for $1 \leq i \leq n$. By section 9 in [F2], $\chi^{(P)}(1)$ is the degree of $\Phi(x)$. Thus we have shown that $(\frac{\chi^{\mathcal{P}}(g_1)}{\chi^{\mathcal{P}}(1)}, \dots, \frac{\chi^{\mathcal{P}}(g_n)}{\chi^{\mathcal{P}}(1)})$ is a solution of the equations (1) at the beginning of this section. Since $|A^{-1}| \neq 0$, n rows of A^{-1} are exactly the solutions of equations (1). So $(\frac{\chi^{\mathcal{P}}(g_1)}{\chi^{\mathcal{P}}(1)}, \dots, \frac{\chi^{\mathcal{P}}(g_n)}{\chi^{\mathcal{P}}(1)})$ is some row of A^{-1} . □

Remark 5.4. *This Theorem shows that a \mathcal{P} -character is not a super character, even not a multiple of some super character.*

For each irreducible character χ_i of G over \mathbb{C} , by Lemma 4.9, there is a unique irreducible factor of Φ_i of $\Theta_G(x)$ such that the coefficient of $x_{g_1}^{\chi_i(1)-1}x_g$ in Φ_i is $\chi_i(g)$ in the case that $g_1 \neq g$ and the coefficient of $x_{g_1}^{\chi_i(1)}$ is 1. The multiplicity of Φ_i in $\Theta_G(x)$ is $\chi_i(1)$ and $\Theta_G(x) = \prod_{i=1}^s \Phi_i^{\chi_i(1)}$ (see [F2]). According to the decomposition $E_j = e_{j_1} + \cdots + e_{j_{\alpha_j}}$, we define $\Theta_{E_j}(x) = \prod_{t=1}^{\alpha_j} \Phi_{j_t}^{\chi_{j_t}(1)}$. Then the degree of $\Theta_{E_j}(x)$ is $d_j = \sum_{t=1}^{\alpha_j} \chi_{j_t}^2(1)$ and $\Theta_G(x) = \prod_{j=1}^n \Theta_{E_j}(x)$. The coefficient of $x_{g_1}^{d_j-1}x_g$ in $\Theta_{E_j}(x)$ is $\theta_j(g^{-1})$.

Theorem 5.5. *If $x_g = x_{g'}$ when g and g' belong to the same partition class, then $\Theta_{E_j}(x) = (\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\ell_i\theta_j(g_i^{-1})}{\theta_j(1)}x_{g_i})^{d_j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$, where g_i is the representative of the partition class \mathcal{P}_i .*

Proof. Let \mathcal{L} be the regular representation of kG . Then \mathcal{L} is equivalent to $\oplus_{i=1}^s L_i^{e_i}$, where L_1, \dots, L_s are non-equivalent irreducible representations

of kG , $L_i^{e_i} = \overbrace{L_i \oplus \cdots \oplus L_i}^{e_i}$. Assume that $E_j = \mathbf{e}_{j_1} + \cdots + \mathbf{e}_{j_{\alpha_j}}$ be the decomposition of primitive idempotents in $Z(kG)$ and $L_{j_t}(\mathbf{e}_i) = \delta_{j_t, i} I$. Set $\mathcal{L}_j = \bigoplus_{t=1}^{\alpha_j} L_{j_t}^{e_{j_t}}$. By Lemma 4.7, we have $|\sum_{g \in G} \mathcal{L}_j(g)x_g| = \Theta_{E_j}(x)$. If $x_g = x_{g'}$ when g and g' belong to the same partition class, then $|\Theta_{E_j}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}_j(\hat{C}_i)x_{g_i}|$. On the other hand, we have

$$(\hat{C}_1, \dots, \hat{C}_n) = (E_1, \dots, E_n)A^{-1}.$$

Since $\mathcal{L}_j(E_i) = \delta_{ij}I$, we have

$$|\Theta_{E_j}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}_j(\hat{C}_i)x_{g_i}| = |\sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}_j(\frac{\ell_i \theta_j(g_i^{-1})}{\theta_j(1)} E_j)x_{g_i}| = (\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\ell_i \theta_j(g_i^{-1})}{\theta_j(1)} x_{g_i})^{d_j}.$$

□

6. THE POWER FACTORS OF GROUP DETERMINANT

In this section, we assume that k is a subfield of the complex field \mathbb{C} .

Let $\Phi(x)$ be a power factors of the group determinant which is a product of powers of irreducible factors of $\Theta_G(x)$. Define a function $\chi : G \rightarrow k$ such that $\chi(g)$ is the coefficient of the monomial $x_{g_1}^{f-1}$ in $\frac{\partial(\Phi(x))}{\partial x_g}$, where f is the degree of $\Phi(x)$. The function χ is called power function of $\Phi(x)$. We will show in this section that $\Phi(x)$ can be constructed from its power function χ . The method is due to Frobenius.

Let u be an independent variable. We denote by $\Phi(x + u\varepsilon)$ the polynomial $\Phi(x_E + u, x_{g_2}, x_{g_3}, \dots, x_{g_\ell})$. Then $\Phi(x + u\varepsilon)$ can be written into the form:

$$(1) \quad \Phi(x + u\varepsilon) = u^f + \Phi_1 u^{f-1} + \Phi_2 u^{f-2} + \cdots + \Phi_f$$

and Φ_r is a homogenous polynomial in n variables $x_E, x_{g_2}, x_{g_3}, \dots, x_{g_\ell}$. If $u = 0$, then $\Phi_f = \Phi$.

Proposition 6.1. *For $1 \leq r \leq f$, we have*

$$(2) \quad (i) \quad \Phi_r = \frac{1}{(f-r)!} \frac{\partial^{f-r} \Phi}{\partial x_E^{f-r}}, \quad (ii) \quad \frac{\partial \Phi_{r+1}}{\partial x_E} = (f-r) \Phi_r.$$

Proof. If we replace x_{g_1} by $x_{g_1} + u$ in $\Phi(x)$, then only the monomial of $\Phi(x)$ with the form $x_E^a x_A^{l_A} x_B^{l_B} \cdots$, $a \geq f-r$ can afford u^{f-r} . In $(x_E + u)^a x_A^{l_A} x_B^{l_B} \cdots$, the monomial containing u^{f-r} is $C_a^{f-r} u^{f-r} x_E^{a-f+r} x_A^{l_A} x_B^{l_B} \cdots$. On the other hand, we have

$$C_a^{f-r} x_E^{a-f+r} x_A^{l_A} x_B^{l_B} \cdots = \frac{1}{(f-r)!} \frac{\partial^{f-r} (x_E^a x_A^{l_A} x_B^{l_B} \cdots)}{\partial x_E^{f-r}}.$$

Thus $\frac{1}{(f-r)!} \frac{\partial^{f-r} \Phi}{\partial x_E^{f-r}}$ is the coefficient of u^{f-r} in $\Phi(x + u\varepsilon)$. And (i) follows by comparing with equation (1). By (i), we have $\Phi_{r+1} = \frac{1}{(f-r-1)!} \frac{\partial^{f-r-1} \Phi}{\partial x_E^{f-r-1}}$. So

$$\frac{\partial \Phi_{r+1}}{\partial x_E} = \frac{1}{(f-r-1)!} \frac{\partial^{f-r} \Phi}{\partial x_E^{f-r}} = \frac{f-r}{(f-r)!} \frac{\partial^{f-r} \Phi}{\partial x_E^{f-r}} = (f-r) \Phi_r. \quad \square$$

Proposition 6.2.

$$(3) \quad \Phi_1 = \sum_{R \in G} \chi(R) x_R.$$

Proof. In $\Phi(x + u\varepsilon)$ only $(x_E + u)^f$ and $\chi(R)(x_E + u)^{f-1}x_R$, $R \neq E$ can attribute to monomials containing u^{f-1} . The coefficient of $x_E u^{f-1}$ in $(x_E + u)^f$ is f and the coefficient of $u^{f-1}x_R$ in $\chi(R)(x_E + u)^{f-1}x_R$ is $\chi(R)$. Thus $\Phi_1 = \sum_R \chi(R)x_R$. \square

Assume that $\Phi(x + u\varepsilon) = u^f + \Phi_1(x)u^{f-1} + \cdots + \Phi_f(x)$ has the following factorization

$$(4) \quad \Phi(x + u\varepsilon) = (u + u_1)(u + u_2) \cdots (u + u_f).$$

Let $g(u)$ be a polynomial with variable u with $g(u) = a(u + v_1)(u + v_2) \cdots (u + v_r)$, where a, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r are constants. Set

$(y_{PQ^{-1}}) = g((x_{PQ^{-1}})) = a((x_{PQ^{-1}}) + v_1 I)((x_{PQ^{-1}}) + v_2 I) \cdots ((x_{PQ^{-1}}) + v_r I)$, where I is the identity matrix. Then $g((x_{PQ^{-1}}))$ has the same symmetry as $M_G(x)$. By Lemma 4.4, we have

$$(4)' \quad \Phi(y) = a^f \Phi(x + v_1 \varepsilon) \Phi(x + v_2 \varepsilon) \cdots \Phi(x + v_r \varepsilon).$$

By equation (4), $\Phi(x + v_i \varepsilon) = (v_i + u_1)(v_i + u_2) \cdots (v_i + u_f)$. Since $g(u_j) = a(v_1 + u_j)(v_2 + u_j) \cdots (v_r + u_j)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(y) &= a^f \prod_{i=1}^r \Phi(x + v_i \varepsilon) \\ &= a^f \prod_{i=1}^r (v_i + u_1)(v_i + u_2) \cdots (v_i + u_f) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^f \prod_{i=1}^r a(v_i + u_j) \\ &= g(u_1)g(u_2) \cdots g(u_f). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 6.3. *With notations above, we have $\Phi(y) = g(u_1)g(u_2) \cdots g(u_f)$.*

Let $g(u) = u^r + v$. Then $g((x_{PQ^{-1}})) = (x_{PQ^{-1}})^r + vI$. Applying Theorem 6.3 to polynomial $g(u) = u^r + v$ we have $\Phi(y) = (v + u_1^r)(v + u_2^r) \cdots (v + u_f^r)$. On the other hand, the (P, Q) -entry of $(x_{PQ^{-1}})^r$ is

$$x_{PQ^{-1}}^{(r)} = \sum_{S_1 \cdots S_{r-1} \in \mathfrak{H}} x_{PS_1} x_{S_1^{-1}S_2} \cdots x_{S_{r-1}^{-1}Q^{-1}}.$$

And $g((x_{PQ^{-1}})) = (x_{PQ^{-1}}^{(r)} + vI)$. Since $g((x_{PQ^{-1}}))$ has the same symmetry as $M_G(x)$, it follows

$$(*) \quad \Phi(x^{(r)} + v\varepsilon) \triangleq \Phi(y + v\varepsilon) = (v + u_1^r)(v + u_2^r) \cdots (v + u_f^r).$$

We can also write $\Phi(x^{(r)} + v\varepsilon)$ into the following form

$$(**) \quad \Phi(x^{(r)} + v\varepsilon) = v^f + \Phi_1(x^{(r)})v^{f-1} + \Phi_2(x^{(r)})v^{f-2} + \cdots + \Phi_f(x^{(r)}).$$

By equation (3), we have $\Phi_1(x^{(r)}) = \sum_{R \in G} \chi(R)x_R^{(r)}$. Let $S_r = \Phi_1(x^{(r)})$. Then

$$(5) \quad S_r = \sum_{R_1, \dots, R_r} \chi(R_1 \cdots R_r) x_{R_1} \cdots x_{R_r},$$

where R_1, \dots, R_r runs over G independently. By comparing the coefficient of v^{f-1} in the equations (*) and (**), we also have

$$(6) \quad S_n = \sum_R \chi(R)x_R^{(n)} = u_1^n + u_2^n + \cdots + u_f^n.$$

By comparing coefficient of u^i of (4) and (6), we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} \Phi_1 = u_1 + \cdots + u_f & S_1 = u_1 + \cdots + u_f \\ \Phi_2 = \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq f} u_i u_j & S_2 = u_1^2 + \cdots + u_f^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \Phi_n = \sum_{i_1 \neq i_2 \neq \cdots \neq i_n} u_{i_1} u_{i_2} \cdots u_{i_n} & S_n = u_1^n + \cdots + u_f^n \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \Phi_f = u_1 \cdots u_f & S_f = u_1^f + \cdots + u_f^f \end{array}$$

By the formula on power sum and product sum in [MA], we have

Theorem 6.4. For $1 \leq r \leq f$,

$$(7) \quad (-1)^r \Phi_r = \sum \frac{(-1)^{a+b+c+\cdots} S_1^a S_2^b S_3^c \cdots}{1^a 2^b 3^c \cdots a! b! c! \cdots}$$

where a, b, c, \dots run over all positive integers such that satisfies $a + 2b + 3c + \cdots = r$. If $r > f$, then $\Phi_r = 0$.

Set $G^r = \overbrace{G \times G \times \cdots \times G}^r$. Let \mathfrak{S}_r be the symmetric group on $\{1, \dots, r\}$. Let $\tau = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{t_1})(i_{t_1+1}, \dots, i_{t_2}) \cdots (i_{t_{s-1}+1}, \dots, i_{t_s})$ be an element of \mathfrak{S}_r . For $(g_1, \dots, g_r) \in G^r$, we define

$$\chi((g_1, \dots, g_r)^\tau) = \text{sgn}(\tau) \chi(g_{i_1} g_{i_2} \cdots g_{i_{t_1}}) \chi(g_{i_{t_1+1}} \cdots g_{i_{t_2}}) \cdots \chi(g_{i_{t_{s-1}+1}} \cdots g_{i_{t_s}}).$$

Definition 6.5. The r -character $\chi^{(r)}$ of χ is a function on G^r which is defined by

$$\chi^{(r)}((g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r)) = \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_r} \chi((g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r)^\tau),$$

for any $(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r) \in G^r$. The 1-character $\chi^{(1)}$ is χ .

Let τ be an element in \mathfrak{S}_r . The type of decomposition of cycles of τ has c_1 1-cycles, \dots , c_t t -cycles and $1c_1 + \dots + tc_t = r$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sgn}(\tau) &= (-1)^{c_1(1-1)+c_2(2-1)+c_3(3-1)+\dots+c_t(t-1)} \\ &= (-1)^{(1c_1+2c_2+3c_3+\dots+tc_t)-(c_1+c_2+c_3+\dots+c_t)} \\ &= (-1)^r (-1)^{c_1+c_2+c_3+\dots+c_t}, \end{aligned}$$

and the length of the conjugate class containing τ is $\frac{r!}{1^{c_1}2^{c_2}3^{c_3}\dots t^{c_t}c_1!c_2!c_3!\dots c_t!}$.
By identity (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r) \in \overbrace{G \times G \times \dots \times G}^r} \chi((g_1, \dots, g_r)^\tau) x_{g_1} x_{g_2} \dots x_{g_r} \\ &= (-1)^r (-1)^{c_1+c_2+c_3+\dots+c_t} S_1^{c_1} S_2^{c_2} \dots S_t^{c_t}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tau, \tau' \in \mathfrak{S}_r$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r) \in \overbrace{G \times G \times \dots \times G}^r} \chi((g_1, \dots, g_r)^\tau) x_{g_1} x_{g_2} \dots x_{g_r} \\ &= \sum_{(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r) \in \overbrace{G \times G \times \dots \times G}^r} \chi((g_1, \dots, g_r)^{\tau'}) x_{g_1} x_{g_2} \dots x_{g_r} \end{aligned}$$

if and only if τ and τ' are conjugate in \mathfrak{S}_r .

Theorem 6.6. For $1 \leq r \leq f$,

$$(8) \quad r! \Phi_r(x) = \sum_{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r} \chi(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r) x_{g_1} x_{g_2} \dots x_{g_r}$$

Proof. Let CS_r be the set of representatives of conjugate classes of \mathfrak{S}_r . Set $l(\tau) = \frac{r!}{1^{c_1} 2^{c_2} 3^{c_3} \dots t^{c_t} c_1! c_2! c_3! \dots c_t!}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& (-1)^r \sum_{(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r) \in \overbrace{G \times G \times \dots \times G}^r} \chi(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r) x_{g_1} x_{g_2} \dots x_{g_r} \\
&= (-1)^r \sum_{(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r) \in \overbrace{G \times G \times \dots \times G}^r} \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \chi((g_1, \dots, g_r)^\tau) x_{g_1} x_{g_2} \dots x_{g_r} \\
&= (-1)^r \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_r} \sum_{(g_1, \dots, g_r) \in \overbrace{G \times G \times \dots \times G}^r} \chi((g_1, \dots, g_r)^\tau) x_{g_1} x_{g_2} \dots x_{g_r} \\
&= (-1)^r \sum_{\tau \in CS_r} l(\tau) \sum_{(g_1, \dots, g_r) \in \overbrace{G \times G \times \dots \times G}^r} \chi((g_1, g_2, \dots, g_r)^\tau) x_{g_1} x_{g_2} \dots x_{g_r} \\
&= (-1)^r \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_r} l(\tau) (-1)^r (-1)^{a+b+c+\dots} (-1)^r (-1)^{c_1+c_2+c_3+\dots+c_t} S_1^{c_1} S_2^{c_2} \dots S_t^{c_t} \\
&= r! (-1)^r \Phi_r.
\end{aligned}$$

□

7. ORTHOGONAL RELATIONS AND INVARIANTS OF \mathcal{P} -CHARACTERS

In the rest, $\chi_t[l]$ will be denoted by χ_{lt} .

Let $\mathfrak{p}_{ij} = \text{Tr}(\mathcal{A}_i \mathcal{A}_j)$ and let $\mathfrak{P} = (\mathfrak{p}_{ij})$ be a matrix. Let \mathcal{R} be the matrix with $\mathfrak{r}_j(\mathfrak{a}_i)$ in the (ij) -entry. Then $\mathcal{R}\mathcal{R}' = \mathfrak{P}$ and \mathcal{R} is invertible by Theorem 2.11. Let $\mathcal{S} = (\varsigma_{ij})$ be a matrix such that $\mathcal{R}\mathcal{S}' = I$. Then $\mathcal{S}'\mathcal{R} = I$ and it follows equations:

$$(4) \quad \sum_{t=1}^n \lambda_{it} \varsigma_{jt} = \delta_{ij} \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{ij} \varsigma_{ik} = \delta_{jk}.$$

Theorem 7.1. *Set $\varsigma_l = (\varsigma_{1l}, \varsigma_{2l}, \dots, \varsigma_{nl})$ for $l = 1, \dots, n$. Then*

- (i) $\varsigma_1, \dots, \varsigma_n$ are eigenvectors of \mathfrak{A}' belonging to $\gamma_1(x), \dots, \gamma_n(x)$ respectively i.e. $\varsigma_l \mathfrak{A}' = \gamma_l(x) \varsigma_l$ where $\mathfrak{A} = \sum_{i=1}^s x_i \mathcal{A}_i$;
- (ii) $\varsigma_1, \dots, \varsigma_n$ are determined by equations:

$$(5) \quad x_i \gamma_l(x) = \sum_j a_{ij}(x) x_j,$$

where $a_{ij}(x)$ is the element on (ij) -entry of \mathfrak{A} .

Proof. Since $\lambda_{jt} \lambda_{kt} = \sum_s a_{sjk} \lambda_{st}$, by equations (4), the following equation hold:

$$a_{ijk} = \sum_t \varsigma_{it} \lambda_{jt} \lambda_{kt}.$$

Then $\sum_j a_{ijk}\varsigma_{jl} = \sum_j \varsigma_{jl} \sum_t \varsigma_{it} \lambda_{jt} \lambda_{kt} = \sum_t \varsigma_{it} \lambda_{kt} (\sum_j \lambda_{jt} \varsigma_{jl}) = \varsigma_{il} \lambda_{kl}$. So

$$(6) \quad \varsigma_{il} \gamma_l(x) = \sum_k \varsigma_{il} \lambda_{kl} x_k = \sum_k \sum_j a_{ijk} \varsigma_{jl} x_k = \sum_j (\sum_k a_{ijk} x_k) \varsigma_{jl}.$$

So ς_l is solution of the equation (5) and it is eigenvalue vectors of \mathfrak{A}' with respect to the eigenvalue $\gamma_l(x)$ for $1 \leq l \leq n$ since $\varsigma_l \gamma_l(x) = \varsigma_l \mathfrak{A}'$. Similar to the proof in 2.9, we can show that $\varsigma_1, \dots, \varsigma_n$ are determined by $x_i \gamma_l(x) = \sum_j a_{ij}(x) x_j$. \square

By comparing the coefficient of x_k on both side of equations (6), it follows :

$$\varsigma_{il} \frac{\chi_{kl}}{\chi_l(1)} = \varsigma_{il} \lambda_{kl} = \sum_j a_{ijk} \varsigma_{jl} = \sum_j \frac{\ell_{i'jk}}{\ell_i} \varsigma_{jl}. \text{ i.e. } \ell_i \varsigma_{il} \chi_{kl} = \chi_l(1) \sum_j a_{j'i'k} \ell_j \varsigma_{jl}.$$

By multiplying x_k on both sides of above equations and taking sum over F , it follows

$$\ell_i \varsigma_{il} \sum_k \frac{\chi_{kl}}{\chi_l(1)} x_k = \sum_j \sum_k a_{j'i'k} x_k \ell_j \varsigma_{jl}.$$

This equation can be written into the follow version of matrices

$$(\ell_1 \varsigma_{1l}, \dots, \ell_n \varsigma_{nl}) \gamma_l(x) = (\ell_1 \varsigma_{1l}, \dots, \ell_n \varsigma_{nl}) \left(\sum_k a_{j'i'k} x_k \right)_{1 \leq j, i \leq n}.$$

So $(\ell_1 \varsigma_{1l}, \dots, \ell_n \varsigma_{nl})$ is the eigenvector of the matrix $(\sum_k a_{j'i'k} x_k)_{1 \leq j, i \leq n}$ belonging to $\gamma_l(x)$. Since $\chi_{i'l} \chi_{kl} = \chi_l(1) \sum_j a_{j'i'k} \chi_{jl}$, $(\chi_{1'l}, \dots, \chi_{n'l})$ is also the eigenvector of the matrix $(\sum_k a_{j'i'k} x_k)_{1 \leq j, i \leq n}$ belonging to $\gamma_l(x)$. By similar proof in Theorem 7.1, we can choose $\ell_i \varsigma_{il} = z_l \chi_{i'l}$ for some z_l and z_l can be taken to be the form $z_l = \frac{e_l}{\ell_l}$. Then $\mathcal{S} = (\frac{e_l}{\ell_l} \chi_{i'l})$.

Theorem 7.2. (Orthogonal relation)

- (i). $\sum_i \frac{\chi_{ij} \chi_{i'l}}{\ell_i} = 0,$
- (ii). $\sum_i \frac{\chi_{ij} \chi_{i'j}}{\ell_i} = \frac{\ell \chi_j(1)}{e_j},$
- (iii). $\sum_l \frac{e_l}{\chi_l(1)} \chi_{il} \chi_{jl} = 0 (i \neq j'),$
- (iv). $\sum_l \frac{e_l}{\chi_l(1)} \chi_{il} \chi_{i'l} = \ell \ell_i,$
- (v). $\sum_l e_l \chi_{il} = 0,$
- (vi). $\sum_l e_l \chi_l(1) = \ell.$

Proof. Equations (i),(ii) follows from $\mathcal{S}'\mathcal{R} = I$, equations (iii),(iv) follows from $\mathcal{R}\mathcal{S}' = I$. Equations (v) and (vi) follows by taking $i = 1$ in (iii) and (iv) respectively. \square

Theorem 7.3. For $1 \leq i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r \leq n$, the following equation holds true

$$\ell \ell_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_r} = \sum_l \frac{e_l}{\chi_l^{r-1}(1)} \chi_{i_1 l} \dots \chi_{i_r l}.$$

Proof. Multiplying by $\frac{e_t \chi_{i_3 t}}{\chi_{1t}^2}$ on both sides $\chi_{i_1 t} \chi_{i_2 t} = \chi_{1t} \sum_{l=1}^s a_{li_1 i_2} \chi_{lt}$ and summing over t , it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_t \frac{e_t}{\chi_{1t}^2} \chi_{i_1 t} \chi_{i_2 t} \chi_{i_3 t} &= \sum_t \frac{e_t}{\chi_{1t}} \sum_l a_{li_1 i_2} \chi_{lt} \chi_{i_3 t} \\ &= \sum_l a_{li_1 i_2} \sum_t \frac{e_t}{\chi_{1t}} \chi_{lt} \chi_{i_3 t} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{By Theorem 7.2(iii)(iv)} = \sum_l \ell_{l' i_1 i_2} \frac{\ell_{li_3}}{\ell_l}$$

$$\text{By Lemma 2.13(ii)} = \ell_{li_1 i_2 i_3}$$

Next using induction on n . If

$$\ell_{li_1 i_2 \dots i_n} = \sum_l \frac{e_l}{\chi_l^{n-1}(1)} \chi_{i_1 l} \dots \chi_{i_n l},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_l \frac{e_l}{\chi_l^n(1)} \chi_{i_1 l} \dots \chi_{i_n l} \chi_{i_{n+1} l} &= \sum_l \frac{e_l}{\chi_l^n(1)} \chi_{i_1 l} \dots \chi_{i_{n-1} l} \sum_s \frac{\chi_{1l} \ell_{s' i_n i_{n+1}}}{\ell_s} \chi_{sl} \\ &= \sum_s \frac{\ell_{s' i_n i_{n+1}}}{\ell_s} \sum_l \frac{e_l}{\chi_l^{n-1}(1)} \chi_{i_1 l} \dots \chi_{i_{n-1} l} \chi_{sl} \\ &= \sum_s \frac{\ell_{s' i_n i_{n+1}}}{\ell_s} \ell_{li_1 i_2 \dots i_{n-1} s} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Lemma 2.13(ii)} = \ell_{li_1 i_2 \dots i_{n+1}}$$

□

Lemma 7.4. For $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, $p_{ij} = \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{\ell_{ijtt'}}{\ell_t}$. In particular, $p_i = p_{i'} = p_{i1} = \sum_l \frac{\chi_{il}}{\chi_l(1)}$.

Proof. The first equation follows from Lemma 2.14. By Theorem 7.3 and (iv) of 7.2, it follows

$$p_{ij} = \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{\ell_{ijtt'}}{\ell_t} = \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell_t \ell} \ell_{ijtt'} = \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell_t \ell} \sum_l \frac{e_l}{\chi_l^3(1)} \chi_{il} \chi_{jl} \chi_{tl} \chi_{t'l} = \sum_l \frac{\chi_{il} \chi_{jl}}{\chi_l^2(1)}.$$

In particular, by taking $j = 1$ in above equation, we have $p_i = p_{i1} = p_{i'} = p_{i'1} = p_{i'} = \sum_l \frac{\chi_{il}}{\chi_l(1)}$.

□

Theorem 7.5. The following equations hold for $1 \leq t \leq n$, $\sum_{\gamma=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell} \lambda_{\gamma t} \frac{p_{\gamma}}{\ell_{\gamma}} = \frac{1}{\chi_t(1) e_t}$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 7.4 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\gamma=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell} \lambda_{\gamma t} \frac{p_{\gamma}}{\ell_{\gamma}} &= \sum_{\gamma=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell} \frac{\chi_{\gamma t}}{\chi_t(1)} \frac{p_{\gamma}}{\ell_{\gamma}} \\
&= \sum_{\gamma=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell \ell_{\gamma}} \frac{\chi_{\gamma t}}{\chi_t(1)} \sum_j \frac{\chi_{\gamma' j}}{\chi_j(1)} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell} \frac{\sum_{\gamma} \chi_{\gamma t} \chi_{\gamma' j}}{\chi_t(1) \chi_j(1)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Theorem 7.2(i)(ii)} = \frac{1}{e_t \chi_t(1)}.$$

□

Let M be the permutation matrix such that $(p_{ij'})M = (p_{ij})$. Then $M^2 = I$.

Theorem 7.6. *The polynomial $D_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = |xI - \text{Diag}(\ell\ell_1, \dots, \ell\ell_n)MSS'|$ has the following factorization: $D_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = (x - \chi_1(1)e_1) \cdots (x - \chi_n(1)e_n)$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{R}'\mathcal{R}\text{Diag}(\frac{1}{\ell\ell_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{\ell\ell_n})\text{Diag}(\ell\ell_1, \dots, \ell\ell_n)SS' = 1$ and $\mathcal{R}'\mathcal{R} = (p_{ij'})M$, it suffices to show the characteristic polynomial of the matrix of the form: $(p_{ij'})\text{Diag}(\frac{1}{\ell\ell_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{\ell\ell_n})$ has the following factorization

$$|xI - (p_{ij'})\text{Diag}(\frac{1}{\ell\ell_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{\ell\ell_n})| = (x - \frac{1}{\chi_1(1)e_1}) \cdots (x - \frac{1}{\chi_n(1)e_n}).$$

By equation (4) in section 2 and Lemma 7.4, we have

$$\frac{p_{ij'}}{\ell\ell_{j'}} = \sum_{\gamma} \frac{\ell_{ij'\gamma}}{\ell\ell_j} \frac{p_{\gamma}}{\ell_{\gamma}} = \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{\gamma} a_{ji\gamma} \frac{p_{\gamma}}{\ell_{\gamma}}.$$

So $(\frac{p_{ij'}}{\ell\ell_{j'}}) = \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{\gamma} \mathcal{A}'_{\gamma} \frac{p_{\gamma}}{\ell_{\gamma}}$. By taking $x_{\gamma} = \frac{p_{\gamma}}{\ell_{\gamma}}$ in the matrix \mathfrak{A} , it follows from (i) of Theorem 2.9 and 7.5 that

$$\begin{aligned}
|xI - (\frac{p_{ij'}}{\ell\ell_{j'}})| &= \prod_t (x - \sum_{\gamma=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell} \lambda_{\gamma t} \frac{p_{\gamma}}{\ell_{\gamma}}) \\
&= \prod_t (x - \frac{1}{\chi_t(1)e_t}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 7.7. *In the next section we will show that $\prod_t (x - \frac{1}{\chi_t(1)e_t})$ is the characteristic polynomial of the Casimir element of $k\mathcal{P}$.*

Let $\Theta_G(x) = |M_G(x)| = \prod_i \Phi_i^{c_i}(x)$ be a factorization of irreducible polynomials over complex field \mathbb{C} with f_i as the degree of $\Phi_i(x)$. Then each $\Phi_i(x)$ defines a character $\chi_i^{\mathcal{P}}$ and $(\frac{\chi_i^{\mathcal{P}}(g_1)}{\chi_i^{\mathcal{P}}(1)}, \dots, \frac{\chi_i^{\mathcal{P}}(g_n)}{\chi_i^{\mathcal{P}}(1)})$ is a solution of equations (1).

So there is a unique γ_t such that $\gamma_t = (\frac{\chi_i^{\mathcal{P}}(g_1)}{\chi_i^{\mathcal{P}}(1)}, \dots, \frac{\chi_i^{\mathcal{P}}(g_n)}{\chi_i^{\mathcal{P}}(1)})$. In this case, we call $\Phi_i(x)$ a γ_t -factor of $\Theta_G(x)$. Since $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ is linearly independent, each $\Phi_i(x)$ belongs to only one γ_t for some $1 \leq t \leq n$ and all γ_t -factors consist of the set of all irreducible factors of $\Theta_G(x)$. If $\Phi_i(x)$ is γ_t -factor, then

$\Phi_i(x) = \gamma_t^{f_i}(x)$ by assuming that $x_A = x_B$ whenever A and B belong to the same partition class of \mathcal{P} . Furthermore, we have

$$\Theta_G(x) = |M_G(x)| = \prod_i \Phi_i^{e_i}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n \gamma_i^{m_i}(x)$$

with the assumption that $x_A = x_B$ whenever A and B belong to the same partition class of \mathcal{P} .

Proposition 7.8.

$$\sum_i m_i = \ell, \sum_i m_i \frac{\chi_i^{\mathcal{P}}(g_j)}{\chi_i^{\mathcal{P}}(1)} = 0$$

for $R \neq E$.

Proof. Replace x_E by $x_E + u$ on both side of the equation $\Theta_G(x) = \prod_i (\Phi_i^{e_i})$. Then

$$\Theta_G(x) = \begin{vmatrix} x_E + u & x_A & x_B & \cdots & \cdots \\ & x_E + u & x_A & \cdots & \cdots \\ & & x_E + u & & \\ & * & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & & x_E + u \end{vmatrix} = \prod_i (\Phi_i^{e_i}(x_E + u, x_{g_2}, \dots, x_{g_m})).$$

Assume that $x_A = x_B$ whenever A and B belong to the same partition class of \mathcal{P} in above equation. By Theorem 4.10, it follows

$$\Theta_G(x) = \begin{vmatrix} x_E + u & & \cdots & \cdots \\ & x_E + u & \cdots & \cdots \\ & & x_E + u & \\ & * & & \ddots \\ & & & & x_E + u \end{vmatrix} = \prod_{i=1}^n (u - \gamma_i(x))^{m_i}.$$

By comparing the coefficients of $x_E^{h-1} x_{g_i}$ on both sides, it follows

$$0 = \sum_i m_i \lambda_{ji} = \sum_i m_i \frac{\chi_i^{\mathcal{P}}(g_j)}{\chi_i^{\mathcal{P}}(1)}$$

for $g_i \neq E$. Since the degree of $\Theta(x)$ is the order ℓ of G , we have $\sum_i m_i = \ell$. \square

Theorem 7.9. Let $e_i, \chi_i(1)$ be as in Theorem 7.2. Then $e_i \chi_i(1) = m_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proof. Since the determinant of \mathcal{R} is nonzero, the equations

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mathcal{R} = (\ell, 0, \dots, 0)$$

has a unique solution. By (v) and (vi) of Theorem 7.2, $(e_1 \chi_1(1), \dots, e_n \chi_n(1))$ is the solution of above equations. By Proposition 7.8, (m_1, \dots, m_n) is also the solution of above equations. So $(e_1 \chi_1(1), \dots, e_n \chi_n(1)) = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$. \square

8. FROBENIUS POLYNOMIAL

In this section, we will introduce the definition of characteristic polynomial of an element in an algebra, Frobenius polynomial and generalized Casimir element of a partition algebra $k\mathcal{P}$. We will prove a formula of the characteristic polynomial of the generalized Casimir element and show that the partition algebra is determined by its Frobenius polynomial.

Let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{P}_n$ be a good partition of $\text{Cl}(G)$ with g_i as a representative of \mathcal{P}_i and $g_1 = E$. As in section 2, the partition class sums $\hat{C}_1, \dots, \hat{C}_n$ consist of a basis of the partition algebra $k\mathcal{P}$.

Let $\mathcal{A} = k\mathcal{P}$. We will keep the same assumption on k as in 2. Then $\mathfrak{L}(\hat{C}_j)$ is equal to the matrix \mathcal{A}_j defined in section 2. Let $\mathfrak{A} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \mathcal{A}_i$ as defined in Theorem 2.9.

The element $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell_i \ell} \hat{C}_i \hat{C}_{i'}$ is called generalized Casimir element of the partition algebra $\mathcal{A} = k\mathcal{P}$.

Theorem 8.1. *The characteristic polynomial of the generalized Casimir element of $k\mathcal{P}$ is $\prod_t (\lambda - \frac{1}{\chi_t(1)e_t})$.*

Proof. Let \mathfrak{L} be the regular representation of $k\mathcal{P}$. Assume that \mathcal{M} is the invertible matrix such that

$$\mathcal{M} \mathcal{A}_i \mathcal{M}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{i1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_{i2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_{is} \end{pmatrix}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Then the characteristic polynomial of the Casimir element is $|\lambda I - \mathfrak{L}(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell_i \ell} \hat{C}_i \hat{C}_{i'})|$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda I - \mathfrak{L}(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell_i \ell} \hat{C}_i \hat{C}_{i'})| &= |\lambda I - (\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell_i \ell} \mathcal{A}_i \mathcal{A}_{i'})| \\ &= |\lambda I - (\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell_i \ell} \sum_{t=1}^n a_{tii'} \mathcal{A}_t)| \\ &= |\lambda I - (\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell_i \ell} \sum_{i=1}^n a_{tii'} \text{Diag}(\lambda_{t1}, \dots, \lambda_{tn}))| \\ &= |\lambda I - \text{Diag}((\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell_i \ell} \sum_{t=1}^n a_{tii'} \lambda_{t1}, \dots, (\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell_i \ell} \sum_{t=1}^n a_{tii'} \lambda_{tn}))|. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell_i \ell} \sum_{t=1}^n a_{tii'} \lambda_{tj} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell_i \ell^2} \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{\ell \ell_{t'ii'} \chi_{tj}}{\ell_t \chi_j(1)} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell_i \ell^2} \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{\chi_{tj}}{\ell_t \chi_j(1)} \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{e_l}{\chi_l^2(1)} \chi_{t'l} \chi_{il} \chi_{i'l} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell_i \ell^2 \chi_j(1)} \sum_l \frac{e_l}{\chi_l^2(1)} \chi_{il} \chi_{i'l} \sum_t \frac{\chi_{tj} \chi_{t'l}}{\ell_t} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell_i \ell^2 \chi_j(1)} \frac{e_j \chi_{ij} \chi_{ij'}}{\chi_j^2(1)} \frac{\ell \chi_j(1)}{e_j} \\
&= \frac{1}{\ell \chi_j^2(1)} \sum_i \frac{\chi_{ij} \chi_{ij'}}{\ell_i} \\
&= \frac{1}{\chi_j(1) e_j}.
\end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$|\lambda I - \mathfrak{L}(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell_i \ell} \hat{C}_i \hat{C}_{i'})| = \prod_t (\lambda - \frac{1}{\chi_t(1) e_t}).$$

□

Definition 8.2. The determinant $|\mathfrak{A}|$ is called **Frobenius polynomial** of the partition algebra \mathcal{P} . It is denoted by $F_G^{\mathcal{P}}(x)$. We denote by $F_G(x)$ the Frobenius polynomial of the trivial partition algebra $Z(kG)$.

By Theorem 2.16, $k\mathcal{P}$ is semisimple. Let $\mathfrak{r}_l : k\mathcal{P} \rightarrow k(\hat{C}_l \mapsto \lambda_{il})$ be simple representation of $k\mathcal{P}$ and let $\gamma_l(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{il} x_i$ for $1 \leq l \leq n$.

Theorem 8.3. The Frobenius polynomial $F_G^{\mathcal{P}}(x)$ of $k\mathcal{P}$ is equal to the norm form of $k\mathcal{P}$.

Proof. Since $k\mathcal{P}$ is semisimple and , the regular representation \mathcal{L} is equivalent to $\oplus_{j=1}^n \mathfrak{r}_j$. This implies that there exists an invertible matrix U such that $U\mathcal{A}_i U^{-1} = \text{Diag}(\lambda_{i1}, \dots, \lambda_{in})$. So $|\mathfrak{A}| = \prod_{t=1}^n \gamma_t(x)$. It follows from Theorem 2.16 that

$$\gamma_1(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{i1} x_i, \dots, \gamma_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{in} x_i$$

are prime to each other. The definition of minimal polynomial implies that $F_G^{\mathcal{P}}(x) = \prod_{t=1}^n \gamma_t(x) = \prod_{t=1}^n (\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{it} x_i)$ is the norm form of $k\mathcal{P}$. □

Proposition 8.4. The Frobenius polynomial $F_G^{\mathcal{P}}(x)$ and the matrices $\{\mathcal{A}_i\}_{i=1}^s$ are determined by each other.

Proof. It is obvious that $F_G^{\mathcal{P}}(x)$ is determined by $\{\mathcal{A}_i\}_{i=1}^s$. Given $F_G^{\mathcal{P}}(x)$. Then it can be decomposed into product of irreducible polynomials $F(x) =$

$\prod_{t=1}^n \gamma_t(x)$. Let $\gamma_t(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_{it} x_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since $k\mathcal{P}$ is semisimple, by Theorem 2.9 and Theorem 2.11, the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{1,1} & \lambda_{2,1} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \lambda_{n,1} \\ \lambda_{1,2} & \lambda_{2,2} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \lambda_{n,2} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \lambda_{1,i} & \lambda_{2,i} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \lambda_{n,i} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \lambda_{1,n} & \lambda_{2,n} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \lambda_{n,n} \end{pmatrix}$$

is reversible. So all $a_{\ell ij}$ ($1 \leq \ell, i, j \leq n$) are the unique solution of the equations

$$(6) \quad \lambda_{it} \lambda_{jt} = \sum_{\ell=1}^n a_{\ell ij} \lambda_{it}, 1 \leq i, j, t \leq n.$$

By definition of \mathcal{A}_i , So $\{\mathcal{A}_i\}_{i=1}^s$ is determined by $F_G^{\mathcal{P}}(x)$. \square

In the next, we will introduce the generalized commutators and the definition of the number $p_{i_1 \dots i_r}$ and prove that the Frobenius polynomial is determined by p_{ijl} .

Let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{P}_n$ be a good partition of $\text{Cl}(G)$.

Let $\mathcal{A} = k\mathcal{P}$ and let \mathcal{L} be its regular representation with respect to the basis $\hat{C}_1, \dots, \hat{C}_n$. Then $\mathcal{L}(\hat{C}_i) = \mathcal{A}_i$ is a $n \times n$ -matrix. Assume that

$$m_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \lambda^n - \lambda^{n-1} \rho_1(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \dots + (-1)^n \rho_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

is the minimal polynomial. Then

$$(7) \quad \rho_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sigma_i(\gamma_1(x), \dots, \gamma_n(x)) (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

and $\rho_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = F_G^{\mathcal{P}}(x)$, where $\sigma_1(y_1, \dots, y_n) = y_1 + \dots + y_n, \dots, \sigma_n = y_1 y_2 \dots y_n$ are the elementary symmetric polynomials.

Lemma 8.5. [MA] Let $\mathfrak{s}_i(y_1, \dots, y_n) = y_1^i + \dots + y_n^i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then

$$(-1)^l \sigma_l(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \sum_{\lambda} \frac{\varepsilon_{\lambda} \prod \mathfrak{s}_i^{m_i}}{z_{\lambda}},$$

where $\lambda = 1m_1 + 2m_2 + \dots$ runs over all partitions of l , $\varepsilon_{\lambda} = (-1)^{m_1 + \dots + m_l}$ and $z_{\lambda} = \prod i^{m_i} m_i!$.

Definition 8.6. An element g of G is called generalized commutator with respect to \mathcal{P} if $g = xy$ with $x \in \mathcal{P}_i$ and $y \in \mathcal{P}_{i'}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. Assume that $\hat{C}_{t_1}, \dots, \hat{C}_{t_s}$ are all partition classes appearing in the product $\hat{C}_{i_1} \dots \hat{C}_{i_r}$ with non-zero coefficient, where $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_r \leq n$. Then p_{i_1, \dots, i_r} is the number of solutions of the equation

$$(8) \quad xy = g_{t_l}$$

where $x \in \mathcal{P}_i, y \in \mathcal{P}_{i'}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ and g_{t_l} is a representative of \mathcal{P}_{i_l} for $1 \leq l \leq s$.

If $r = 1$ or 2 , the definitions of p_{i_1}, p_{i_1, i_2} above are the same as definitions in Lemma 2.14.

Lemma 8.7.

$$\mathfrak{s}_r(\gamma_1(x), \dots, \gamma_n(x)) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_r \leq n} \frac{1}{\ell} p_{i_1, \dots, i_r} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_r}.$$

Proof. The coefficient of monomial $x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_r}$ in $\mathfrak{s}_r(\gamma_1(x), \dots, \gamma_n(x))$ is the trace of $\mathcal{A}_{i_1} \cdots \mathcal{A}_{i_r}$.

The coefficient of \hat{C}_j in $\hat{C}_{i_1} \hat{C}_{i_2} \cdots \hat{C}_{i_r}$ is $\frac{\ell_{j'i_1, \dots, i_r}}{\ell_j}$. By Lemma 9.2, each $g \in \mathcal{P}_j$ can be written into $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\ell_{jii'}}{\ell_i}$ generalized commutators. So \mathcal{P}_j contributes $\frac{\ell_{j'i_1, \dots, i_r} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\ell_{jii'}}{\ell_i}}{\ell_j}$ to p_{i_1, \dots, i_r} . Thus we have the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} p_{i_1, \dots, i_r} &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\ell_{j'i_1, \dots, i_r}}{\ell_j} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\ell_{jii'}}{\ell_i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell_i} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\ell_{j'i_1, \dots, i_r} \ell_{jii'}}{\ell_j} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\ell_{ii'i_1, \dots, i_r}}{\ell_i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell \ell_i} \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{e_l}{\chi_l(1)^{r+1}} \chi_{i_1 l} \cdots \chi_{i_r l} \chi_{il} \chi_{i'l} \\ &= \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{e_l}{\chi_l(1)^{r+1}} \chi_{i_1 l} \cdots \chi_{i_r l} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell_i} \chi_{il} \chi_{i'l} \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{\chi_{i_1 l}}{\chi_l(1)} \cdots \frac{\chi_{i_r l}}{\chi_l(1)} \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^n \lambda_{i_1 l} \lambda_{i_2 l} \cdots \lambda_{i_r l} = \text{Tr}(\mathcal{A}_{i_1} \cdots \mathcal{A}_{i_r}) \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 8.8. *The Frobenius polynomial $F_G^{\mathcal{P}}(x)$ is determined by the numbers p_{ijl} where $1 \leq i, j, l \leq n$.*

Proof. We call polynomials

$$\rho_1(x_1, \dots, x_n), \rho_2(x_1, \dots, x_n) \text{ and } \rho_3(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

the first-three-power-sum polynomials.

By [H1], polynomials $\rho_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ($i \geq 4$) can be determined by first-three-power-sum polynomials. Since $F_G^{\mathcal{P}}(x) = \rho_n(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is determined by first-power-sum polynomials. By lemma 8.5 and equation (7), first-power-sum polynomials are determined by

$$\mathfrak{s}_1(\gamma_1(x), \dots, \gamma_n(x)), \mathfrak{s}_2(\gamma_1(x), \dots, \gamma_n(x)), \mathfrak{s}_3(\gamma_1(x), \dots, \gamma_n(x)),$$

and they are determined by p_{ijl} . So $F_G^{\mathcal{P}}(x)$ is determined by p_{ijl} . \square

9. ON ORDINARY CHARACTERS

Assume that \mathcal{P} is a trivial partition of $\text{Cl}(G)$ i.e. each partition class \mathcal{P}_i of the good partition \mathcal{P} consists of only one conjugate class of G . The characters determined by the trivial partition are called ordinary characters in this paper. The definition equations of the ordinary characters can be simplified. So the polynomials related to the ordinary characters have different form and some special properties. We will list these differences in this section at first. Then we will introduce a series of invariants of a finite groups and show the chief factors and its multiplicity of a finite group is determined by these invariants.

The ordinary characters are defined by the following equations

$$\ell_i x_i \ell_j x_j = x_1 \sum_{l=1}^s \ell_{l'ij} x_l, 1 \leq i, j, l \leq s.$$

Let $\Theta_G(x) = \prod_{i=1}^s \Phi_i^{e_i}(x)$ be the irreducible factorization of $\Theta_G(x)$. Then each $\Phi_i(x)$ define the unique character χ_i and there is a bijection between characters and irreducible factors of $\Theta_G(x)$. And we have the following orthogonal relations:

- (i). $\sum_i \ell_i \chi_{ij} \chi_{i'l} = 0 (l \neq j),$
- (ii). $\sum_i \ell_i \chi_{ij} \chi_{i'j} = \frac{\ell \chi_j(1)}{e_j},$
- (iii). $\sum_l \frac{e_l}{\chi_l(1)} \chi_{il} \chi_{jl} = 0 (i \neq j'),$
- (iv). $\sum_l \frac{e_l}{\chi_l(1)} \chi_{il} \chi_{i'l} = \frac{\ell}{\ell_i},$
- (v). $\sum_l e_l \chi_{il} = 0,$
- (vi). $\sum_l e_l \chi_l(1) = \ell,$

where e_j is defined in the same way as in 5. Furthermore, it is shown that both e_j and $\chi_j(1)$ are equal to the degree f_j of $\Phi_j(x)$ in section 9 of [F2]. Similarly, the following equation holds

$$\frac{\ell \ell_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_r}}{\ell_{i_1} \ell_{i_2} \dots \ell_{i_r}} = \sum_l \frac{e_l}{\chi_l^{r-1}(1)} \chi_{i_1 l} \dots \chi_{i_r l}.$$

We can define the number p_{i_1, \dots, i_r} by commutators. There is a little difference from the definition in section 8.

Definition 9.1. For $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_r \leq n$, p_{i_1, \dots, i_r} is the number of solutions of the equation

$$S^{-1} R^{-1} S R = g_{i_1} \dots g_{i_r}$$

where S, R runs over G and $g_{i_j} \in C_{i_j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$.

Lemma 9.2. Give $g \in C_i$, then the number of solutions of the equation $s^{-1} r^{-1} s r = g$ is $\sum_j \frac{\ell \ell_{ijj'}}{\ell_i \ell_j}$, where $r, s \in G$.

Proof. The number of solutions of the equation $sr = rsg$ is equal to the number of ways that express g into commutators. The number of solutions

of the equation $sr = rsg$ is equal to the number of solutions of the equation $r^{-1}s^{-1}rsg = 1$. When r runs over C_j , the number of solutions of the equation $r^{-1}s^{-1}rsg = 1$ is $\frac{\ell_{ijj'}}{\ell_i}$. If $g = r^{-1}s^{-1}rs = [r, s]$, then the equation $g = r^{-1}x^{-1}s^{-1}rsx = [r, sx]$ holds true for any $x \in C_G(s^{-1}rs)$. This implies that given a solution $(r^{-1}, s^{-1}rs) \in C_{j'} \times C_j$ of the equation $r^{-1}s^{-1}rsg = 1$ then it can contribute to $|C_G(r)|$ commutators which are equal to g . Thus $C_{j'} \times C_j$ contributes to $\frac{|C_G(r)|\ell_{ijj'}}{\ell_i\ell_j} = \frac{\ell_{ijj'}}{\ell_i\ell_j}$ commutators. We will get all commutators which are equal to g when j runs over n conjugate classes. So the number of commutators equal to g is $\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\ell_{ijj'}}{\ell_i\ell_j}$. \square

Lemma 9.3.

$$\mathfrak{s}_r(\gamma_1(x), \dots, \gamma_n(x)) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_r \leq n} \frac{1}{\ell} p_{i_1, \dots, i_r} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_r}.$$

Proof. The coefficient of monomial $x_{i_1}x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_r}$ in $\mathfrak{s}_r(\gamma_1(x), \dots, \gamma_n(x))$ is the trace of $A_{i_1} \cdots A_{i_r}$.

The coefficient of \hat{C}_j in $\hat{C}_{i_1}\hat{C}_{i_2} \cdots \hat{C}_{i_r}$ is $\frac{\ell_{j'i_1, \dots, i_r}}{\ell_j}$. By Lemma 9.2, $s^{-1}r^{-1}sr = g$ has $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\ell_{jii'}}{\ell_i}$ solutions for $g \in C_j$. Then the contribution of C_j to solutions to equation 9.1 is $\frac{\ell_{j'i_1, \dots, i_r}}{\ell_j} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\ell_{jii'}}{\ell_i}$. So the number of solutions of equation 9.1 is

$$\begin{aligned} p_{i_1, \dots, i_r} &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\ell_{j'i_1, \dots, i_r}}{\ell_j} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\ell_{jii'}}{\ell_i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\ell}{\ell_i} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\ell_{j'i_1, \dots, i_r} \ell_{jii'}}{\ell_j} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\ell \ell_{ii'i_1, \dots, i_r}}{\ell_i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \ell_i \ell_{i_1} \cdots \ell_{i_1} \frac{\ell \ell_{ii'i_1, \dots, i_r}}{\ell_i \ell_i \ell_{i_1} \cdots \ell_{i_1}} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \ell_i \ell_{i_1} \cdots \ell_{i_1} \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{1}{\chi_l(1)^r} \chi_{i_1 l} \cdots \chi_{i_r l} \chi_{il} \chi_{i'l} \\ &= \ell_{i_1} \cdots \ell_{i_1} \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{1}{\chi_l(1)^r} \chi_{i_1 l} \cdots \chi_{i_r l} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell_i \chi_{il} \chi_{i'l} \\ &= \ell \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{\ell_{i_1} \chi_{i_1 l}}{\chi_l(1)} \cdots \frac{\ell_{i_r} \chi_{i_r l}}{\chi_l(1)} \\ &= \ell \sum_{l=1}^n \zeta_{i_1 l} \zeta_{i_2 l} \cdots \zeta_{i_r l} = \ell \text{Tr}(A_{i_1} \cdots A_{i_r}) \end{aligned}$$

\square

Let \mathcal{P} be the trivial partition of $\text{Cl}(G)$. We will denote $F_G^{\mathcal{P}}(x)$ by $F_G(s)$. Similar to Theorem 8.8, we prove Theorem B

Theorem 9.4. *Let \mathcal{P} be the trivial partition of $Cl(G)$. Then*

- (i) *The Frobenius polynomial $F_G(x)$ and the ordinary characters table $T_G(\mathcal{P})$ are determined by each other.*
- (ii) *The Frobenius polynomial $F_G(x)$ is determined by the numbers p_{ijk} where $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$.*

Proof. By Proposition 8.4, the matrices $\{\mathcal{A}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ can be calculated out by $F_G(x)$. Then there exists an invertible matrix \mathcal{U} such that

$$(*) \quad \mathcal{U}\mathcal{A}_i\mathcal{U}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{i1} & * & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & \lambda_{i2} & * & \cdots & * \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_{in} \end{pmatrix}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Since $k\mathcal{P}$ is semisimple, the following matrix \mathcal{R} is invertible.

$$\mathcal{R} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{1,1} & \lambda_{2,1} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \lambda_{n,1} \\ \lambda_{1,2} & \lambda_{2,2} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \lambda_{n,2} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \lambda_{1,i} & \lambda_{2,i} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \lambda_{n,i} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \lambda_{1,n} & \lambda_{2,n} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \lambda_{n,n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the case that \mathcal{P} is trivial partition, it is shown that $e_t = f_t$ in [F2]. Since $e_t = \chi_t(1)$, by Theorem 7.5, the degrees $\chi_i^{\mathcal{P}}(1)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ can be calculated out. Then

$$T_G = \text{Diag}(\chi_1^{\mathcal{P}}(1), \dots, \chi_n^{\mathcal{P}}(1))\mathcal{R}$$

is the character table defined by the partition algebra $k\mathcal{P}$. So T_G is determined by $F_G(x)$.

Given character table

$$T_G = \begin{array}{c|cccccc} & C_1 & C_2 & \cdot & C_j & \cdot & C_n \\ \hline \chi_1^{\mathcal{P}} & \chi_{1,1}^{\mathcal{P}} & \chi_{1,2}^{\mathcal{P}} & \cdot & \chi_{1,j}^{\mathcal{P}} & \cdot & \chi_{1,n}^{\mathcal{P}} \\ \chi_2^{\mathcal{P}} & \chi_{2,1}^{\mathcal{P}} & \chi_{2,2}^{\mathcal{P}} & \cdot & \chi_{2,j}^{\mathcal{P}} & \cdot & \chi_{2,n}^{\mathcal{P}} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \chi_i^{\mathcal{P}} & \chi_{i,1}^{\mathcal{P}} & \chi_{i,2}^{\mathcal{P}} & \cdot & \chi_{i,j}^{\mathcal{P}} & \cdot & \chi_{i,n}^{\mathcal{P}} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \chi_n^{\mathcal{P}} & \chi_{n,1}^{\mathcal{P}} & \chi_{n,2}^{\mathcal{P}} & \cdot & \chi_{n,j}^{\mathcal{P}} & \cdot & \chi_{n,n}^{\mathcal{P}} \end{array}$$

Define matrices $D_j = \text{Diag}(\frac{\ell_j \chi_{1,j}^{\mathcal{P}}}{\chi_1^{\mathcal{P}}(1)}, \dots, \frac{\ell_j \chi_{n,j}^{\mathcal{P}}}{\chi_n^{\mathcal{P}}(1)})$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Then $F_G^{\mathcal{P}}(x) = |x_1 D_1 + \dots + x_n D_n|$. So $F_G(x)$ is determined by T_G . □

Definition 9.5. *Let G and H be finite groups. We say that $F_G(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is equal to $F_H(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ by a permutation if there exists a permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ such that $F_G(x_1, \dots, x_n) = F_H(x_{1\sigma}, \dots, x_{n\sigma})$.*

Let $\{C_{i_1}, \dots, C_{i_r}\}$ be a subset of $cl(G)$. We call $\{C_{i_1}, \dots, C_{i_r}\}$ is closed if the set $\cup_{j=1}^r C_{i_j}$ is closed under the multiplication of G .

Theorem 9.6. *The lattice of normal subgroups of G can be calculated out by the Frobenius polynomial $F_G(x)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 8.4, $a_{ij\ell}(1 \leq i, j, \ell \leq n)$ can be calculated out from $F_G(x)$. The subset of conjugacy classes $\{C_{i_1}, \dots, C_{i_r}\}$ is closed if and only if $a_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0$ where only two of α, β, γ are in $\{i_1, \dots, i_r\}$. So we can determine whether $\{C_{i_1}, \dots, C_{i_r}\}$ is closed by $F_G(x)$. A subgroup N of G is normal if and only if those conjugacy classes belonging to N are closed. Hence all closed subsets of $Cl(G)$ can be calculated out by $F_G(x)$. The relation of inclusion among closed subset of $Cl(G)$ are obvious. So the lattice of normal subgroups of G can be calculated out by $F_G(x)$. \square

Let $\rho_t : Z(\mathbb{C}G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be one dimensional representation such that $\rho_t(\hat{C}_i) = \lambda_{it}$ for $1 \leq t \leq n$. Then ρ_1, \dots, ρ_n are non-isomorphic representations of $Z(\mathbb{C}G)$ and they are direct summand of the regular representation \mathfrak{L} of $Z(\mathbb{C}G)$. Furthermore, \mathfrak{L} is euivalent to $\oplus_{i=1}^n \rho_i$.

Let $\mathcal{A}_j = \mathfrak{L}(\hat{C}_j)$. Then there is an invertible matrix U such that

$$U\mathcal{A}_jU^{-1} = \text{Diag}(\lambda_{j1}, \dots, \lambda_{jn}).$$

By Theorem 2.9, the matrix $\mathfrak{A} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \mathcal{A}_i = (\alpha_{ij})$ has n eigenvalues $\gamma_1(x), \dots, \gamma_n(x)$ and γ_t is the eigenvectors of \mathfrak{A} belonging to $\gamma_t(x)$. This means that $\gamma_t \gamma_t(x) = \gamma_t \mathfrak{A}$. Let $x_i = \delta_{ij}$. Then $\mathfrak{A} = \mathcal{A}_j$, $\gamma_t(x) = \lambda_{jt}$ and $\lambda_{jt} \gamma_t = \gamma_t \mathcal{A}_j$ for $1 \leq t \leq n$. This implies that $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ are common eigenvectors of $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n$. Similarly, by Theorem 7.1, $\varsigma_1, \dots, \varsigma_n$ are common eigenvectors of $\mathcal{A}'_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}'_n$. Define diagonal matrices $D_i = \text{Diag}(\lambda_{i1}, \lambda_{i2}, \dots, \lambda_{in})$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $R' \mathcal{A}_i (R')^{-1} = D_i$, where $R = (\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_n)$. So $p_{ij} = \text{Tr}(\mathcal{A}_i \mathcal{A}_j) = \text{Tr}(D_i D_j) = \sum_{t=1}^n \lambda_{it} \lambda_{jt}$. In particular, $R' \mathcal{A}_{i'} (R')^{-1} = D_{i'} = \text{Diag}(\bar{\lambda}_{i'1}, \bar{\lambda}_{i'2}, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_{i'n})$. Thus we have the following result:

Proposition 9.7. *The matrix $(p_{ij'})$ is determined by $F_G(x)$.*

The closed subsets of conjugacy classes form a poset under inclusion. Let $\mathcal{P}_c(G)$ be a set consisting of 1-sets and closed subsets of $Cl(G)$. Under the inclusion, $\mathcal{P}_c(G)$ is a poset. We label each 1-set $\{C_\ell\}$ by D_ℓ and label each closed subset by its size. Then $\mathcal{P}_c(G)$ is a labelled poset and it has a class structure of JH-type(see [KS]). By [KS], we have the following result:

Corollary 9.8.

- (i) *The labelled poset $\mathcal{P}_c(G)$ is determined by $F_G(x)$.*
- (ii) *For finite groups G and H , if $F_G(x) = F_H(x)$, then there is an isomorphism $\tau_{G,H}^c$ of labelled posets from $\mathcal{P}_c(G)$ to $\mathcal{P}_c(H)$. In addition, $\tau_{G,H}^c$ is a correspondence of JH-type.*

Corollary 9.9. *Let G and H be finite groups. If $F_G(x)$ is equal to $F_H(x)$ by a permutation then G and H have the same chief factors and the same multiplicity. In particular, S is isomorphic to T if and only if $F_S(x)$ is isomorphic*

to $F_T(x)$ and S is determined by its p_{ijk} , $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n$, in case that S is a finite simple group.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 9.4, Corollary 9.8 and Theorem 4 in [KS]. \square

Theorem 9.10. *Two finite groups G, H have the same character table if and only if there is an isomorphism ϕ of algebras from $Z(\mathbb{C}G)$ to $Z(\mathbb{C}H)$ such that ϕ induces a bijection between $Cl(G)$ and $Cl(H)$.*

Proof. It is clear that the sizes of conjugacy classes of a finite group are determined by its character table. If G and H have the same character table, then the character table defines a natural bijection ϕ from $Cl(G)$ to $Cl(H)$. In particular, $|\phi(C)| = |C|$ for $C \in Cl(G)$. By the equations

$$(9) \quad \ell_j \ell_l \chi_{j,t} \chi_{l,t} = \chi_{t,1} \sum_{i=1}^n \ell_{i'} a_{ijl} \chi_{i,t}$$

for $1 \leq i, j, l, t \leq n$, the construction constants $\{a_{ijl}\}_{1 \leq i, j, l \leq n}$ of $Z(\mathbb{C}G)$ and $Z(\mathbb{C}H)$ are the same. Extending ϕ to $Z(\mathbb{C}G)$ linearly, we conclude that

$$\phi(\hat{C}_i \hat{C}_j) = \phi\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^n a_{\ell,i,j} \hat{C}_\ell\right) = \sum_{\ell=1}^n a_{\ell,i,j} \phi(\hat{C}_\ell) = \phi(\hat{C}_i) \phi(\hat{C}_j).$$

So ϕ is an isomorphism from $Z(\mathbb{C}G)$ to $Z(\mathbb{C}H)$.

Conversely, let $Cl(G) = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n\}$ and $Cl(H) = \{\mathfrak{C}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{C}_n\}$. Since the algebra isomorphism ϕ also induces a bijection from $Cl(G)$ to $Cl(H)$, we can assume that $\phi(C_i) = \mathfrak{C}_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then the two algebras $Z(\mathbb{C}G)$ and $Z(\mathbb{C}H)$ have the same construction constants $\{a_{ijl}\}_{1 \leq i, j, l \leq n}$. The coefficient $a_{1jl} \neq 0$ if and only if $l = j'$ and $|C_j| = a_{1jl} = |\mathfrak{C}_j|$. Hence those $\{\ell_{ijl}\}_{1 \leq i, j, l \leq n}$ in Equation (2.13) for G and H are same. So G and H have the same defining equations of characters, and thus they have the same character table. \square

10. ON THE DEGREES OF k -CHARACTERS

In this section we will apply Theorem 7.6 to the degrees of k -characters, where k is a subfield of the complex field \mathbb{C} . If $k = \mathbb{C}$, the k -conjugate classes of G are just the conjugate classes of G and k -characters are the ordinary characters of G . In this section, some McKay-type conjectures are reformulated and new problems are also put forward.

At first, we will focus on the case that $k = \mathbb{C}$.

Let $R = (\gamma'_1, \dots, \gamma'_n)$ and $S = (\varsigma'_1, \dots, \varsigma'_n)$. Then we have $R' A_j S = \text{diag}(\lambda_{j1}, \dots, \lambda_{jn}) = S' A'_j R$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $RS' = I$.

Let M be the permutation matrix such that $(p_{ij'})M = (p_{ij})$. Then $M^2 = I$.

Definition 10.1. *The polynomial $D_G(x) = |xI - \text{Diag}(\ell\ell_1, \dots, \ell\ell_n)MSS'|$ is called degree polynomial of G with respect to partition \mathcal{P} of $Cl(G)$.*

Theorem 10.2. *The polynomial $D(x) = |xI - \text{Diag}(\ell\ell_1, \dots, \ell\ell_n)MSS'|$ has the following factorization: $D(x) = (x - \chi_1^2(1)) \cdots (x - \chi_n^2(1))$.*

Proof. Since we have $RR' \text{Diag}(\frac{1}{\ell\ell_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{\ell\ell_n}) \text{Diag}(\ell\ell_1, \dots, \ell\ell_n) SS' = 1$ and $RR' = (p_{ij'})M$, it suffices to show that the characteristic polynomial of $(p_{ij'}) \text{Diag}(\frac{1}{\ell\ell_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{\ell\ell_n})$ has the following factorization

$$|xI - (p_{ij'}) \text{Diag}(\frac{1}{\ell\ell_1}, \dots, \frac{1}{\ell\ell_n})| = (x - \frac{1}{\chi_1^2(1)}) \cdots (x - \frac{1}{\chi_n^2(1)}).$$

Next to show $|xI - (\frac{p_{ij'}}{\ell\ell_{j'}})| = (x - \frac{1}{\chi_1^2(1)}) \cdots (x - \frac{1}{\chi_n^2(1)})$.

By equation (4), we have

$$\frac{p_{ij'}}{\ell\ell_{j'}} = \sum_{\gamma} \frac{\ell_{ij'\gamma}}{\ell\ell_j} \frac{p_{\gamma}}{\ell_{\gamma}} = \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{\gamma} a_{ji\gamma} \frac{p_{\gamma}}{\ell_{\gamma}}.$$

So $(\frac{p_{ij'}}{\ell\ell_{j'}}) = \frac{1}{\ell} \sum_{\gamma} A'_{\gamma} \frac{p_{\gamma}}{\ell_{\gamma}}$. It follows from Theorem 7.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} |xI - (\frac{p_{ij'}}{\ell\ell_{j'}})| &= \prod_t (x - \sum_{\gamma=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell} \zeta_{\gamma t} \frac{p_{\gamma}}{\ell_{\gamma}}) \\ &= \prod_t (x - \sum_{\gamma=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell} \frac{\ell_{\gamma} \chi_{\gamma t}}{\chi_t(1)} \frac{p_{\gamma}}{\ell_{\gamma}}) \\ &= \prod_t (x - \sum_{\gamma=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell} \frac{\ell_{\gamma} \chi_{\gamma t} \sum_j \chi_{\gamma'j}}{\chi_t(1) \chi_j(1)}) \\ &= \prod_t (x - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{\ell} \frac{\sum_{\gamma} \ell_{\gamma} \chi_{\gamma t} \chi_{\gamma'j}}{\chi_t(1) \chi_j(1)}) \\ &= \prod_t (x - \frac{1}{\chi_t^2(1)}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Next we will define degree element of G such that the degree polynomial is the characteristic polynomial of some element $(\mathfrak{d}) \in Z(\mathbb{C}G)$ and \mathfrak{d} is the degree element of G .

Let \mathcal{L} be the regular representation of $\mathbb{C}G$. Then a nonsingular symmetric bilinear form $(x, y) = \frac{\text{tr}(\mathcal{L}(xy))}{|G|}$ on $Z(\mathbb{C}G)$ is defined in [Z]. By using this form, Zassenhaus defined Casimir element c of $Z(\mathbb{C}G)$ and show that the characteristic polynomial of $\mathcal{L}(c)$ is $\prod_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} (\lambda - \frac{|G|}{\chi^2(1)}) \chi^2(1)$.

Since the Casimir element is independent of the choice of basis, $\{e_{\chi}\}_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)}$ is used as a basis of $Z(\mathbb{C}G)$ to calculate the Casimir element in [KM], where $e_{\chi} = \frac{\chi(1)}{|G|} \sum_{x \in G} \chi(x^{-1})x$ is the primitive idempotent of $Z(\mathbb{C}G)$ corresponding the irreducible character χ . The Casimir element is written into the form:

$$c = \sum_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} (\frac{|G|}{\chi(1)})^2 e_{\chi} = \sum_{x, y \in G} xyx^{-1}y^{-1} = \sum_{x \in G} \theta(x)x,$$

where $\theta(x)$ denotes the number of pairs $(a, b) \in G \times G$ such that $x = aba^{-1}b^{-1}$. Then there exists element $c^{-1} \in Z(\mathbb{C}G)$ such that $c^{-1}c = 1$ and $c^{-1} = \sum_{\chi \in Irr(G)} (\frac{\chi(1)}{|G|})^2 e_\chi$. Let $\mathfrak{d} = |G|^2 c^{-1} = \sum_{\chi \in Irr(G)} \chi^2(1) e_\chi$. This element \mathfrak{d} is called degree element of G . Then we have

Theorem 10.3. *The characteristic polynomial of $\mathfrak{L}(\mathfrak{d})$ is the degree polynomial $D_G(x)$ of G .*

Proof. Since \mathfrak{L} is isomorphic to $\rho = \rho_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_n$, it suffice to calculate $\rho(\mathfrak{d})$. By definition, $\rho_\chi(e_{\chi'}) = \delta_{\chi\chi'}$. So $\rho(\mathfrak{d}) = \text{Diag}(\chi_1^2(1), \dots, \chi_r^2(1))$ and the proof is done. \square

Let $M_i(G)$ be the number of irreducible characters of G with degree congruent to $\pm i$ modulo p , where p is a prime and i is an integer not divisible by p . In [IN], I.M.Isaacs and G.Navarro refined McKay conjecture into the following form:

Conjecture. (Isaacs and Navarro 2002) If G is a finite groups with $N = N_G(P)$ for some $P \in \text{Sylow}_p(G)$, then $M_i(G) = M_i(N)$ for arbitrary integer i not divisible by p .

Let \bar{i} denote the image of an integer i in \mathbb{Z}_p . Let $D_G(x) = x^\ell - a_{\ell-1}x^{\ell-1} + \cdots + a_1x + a_0$. Then $\overline{D_G(x)} = x^\ell - \overline{a_{\ell-1}}x^{\ell-1} + \cdots + \overline{a_1}x + \overline{a_0} = x^s D_G^{p'}(x)$, where $(x, D_G^{p'}(x)) = 1$. We call $D_G^{p'}(x)$ the p' -degree polynomial of G with respect to p . Since $D_G(x) = \prod_{\chi_i \in Irr(G)} (x - \chi_i^2(1))$, we have $D_G^{p'}(x) = \prod_{\substack{p \nmid \chi_i(1) \\ \chi_i \in Irr(G)}} (x - \overline{\chi_i^2(1)})$. So each irreducible character of G with degree congruent to $\pm i$ modulo p contributes 1 to the multiplicity of $x - \bar{i}^2$ in $D_G^{p'}(x)$. Since $\pm \bar{i}$ are the only roots of $x^2 - \bar{i} = 0$, the multiplicity of $x - \bar{i}^2$ in $D_G^{p'}(x)$ is $M_i(G)$. Hence the refined McKay conjecture can be reformulated into the form:

Conjecture. Let G be a finite group. Then $D_G^{p'}(x) = D_N^{p'}(x)$, where $N = N_G(P)$ and $P \in \text{Sylow}_p(G)$.

Remark 10.4. *The polynomials $D_G^{p'}(x)$ and $D_N^{p'}(x)$ have been calculated out to be equal for the groups: $M_{11}, M_{12}, M_{22}, M_{23}, M_{24}, Sz(8), Sz(32)$ with each prime divisor of its order in [SL].*

In the rest, we will consider the case that k is not a splitting field for G and its characteristic is zero. We will formulate a new conjecture and show its connection with conjecture A in [N]. Let $K = k(\xi)$, where ξ is primitive $|G|$ -th roots of unity. So K is a splitting field of G and its subgroups. Let $\mathcal{G} = \text{Gal}(K/k)$ be the Galois group. For any $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/k)$, there exists a unique $t \in \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\sigma(\omega) = \omega^t$ for $|G|$ -th root ω of unity. In this way, we define an isomorphism τ from $\text{Gal}(K/k)$ to $(\mathbb{Z}/|G|\mathbb{Z})^*$. Let $\Gamma = \{\tau(\sigma) | \sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/k)\}$. We denote by σ_t the inverse image of $t \in \Gamma$. We can define k -classes as in section 2. The k -classes is a good partition.

Let

$$\Theta_G(x) = |M_G(x)| = \prod_i^r \Phi_i^{e_i}(x)$$

be the factorization of irreducible factors over K . Let χ_i be the character defined by $\Phi_i(x)$. Then \mathcal{G} acts on $\Phi_1(x), \dots, \Phi_n(x)$. Let $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_r$ be the orbits of the action of \mathcal{G} . Let o_i be the length of Ω_i . If $\Phi_j(x)$ and $\Phi_l(x)$ belong to the same orbit, then they have the same degree and the same multiplicity i.e. $f_j = \chi_j(1) = \chi_l(1) = f_l = e_j = e_l$ by section 9 in [F2]. Let $\Psi_i = \prod_{\Phi(x) \in \Omega_i} \Phi(x)$. Then $\Theta_G(x) = \prod_i \Psi_i(x)^{e_i}$ is the irreducible factorization of $\Theta_G(x)$ over k , where e_i is equal to the multiplicity of $\Phi(x)\Omega_i$ in $\Theta_G(x)$. Assume that $\Omega_j = \{\Phi_{j_1}(x), \dots, \Phi_{j_{o_j}}(x)\}$. Then $\Psi_j(x) = \Phi_{j_1}(x) \cdots \Phi_{j_{o_j}}(x)$ and the degree of $\Psi_j(x)$ is $f_{j_1} o_j$. Define a function χ_i^k such that $\chi_i^k(g)$ is the coefficient of $x_E^{f_{j_1} o_j - 1} x_g$ in $\Psi_j(x)$ and $\chi_i^k(1) = f_{j_1} o_j$.

Lemma 10.5. *If x, y belong to the same k -conjugate class, then $\chi_j^k(x) = \chi_j^k(y)$ and χ_j^k is a function over k .*

Proof. Let χ_i be the character defined by $\Phi_i(x)$. Let T be a transversal of the stabilizer of χ_{j_1} in $\text{Gal}(K/k)$. Then $\chi_j^k = \sum_{j_i=j_1}^{j_{o_j}} \chi_{j_i} = \sum_{\sigma \in T} \chi_{j_1}^\sigma$. So $\chi_j^k = (\chi_j^k)^g$ for any $g \in \text{Gal}(K/k)$. If x is k -conjugate to y then y is conjugate to x^q for some $q \in \Gamma$. It suffices to show that $\chi_j^k(x) = \chi_j^k(x^q)$. By Section 12 in [F2], $\chi_{j_1}(x) = \rho_1 + \dots + \rho_r$ and $\chi_{j_1}(x^q) = \rho_1^q + \dots + \rho_r^q$, where ρ_1, \dots, ρ_r are $o(x)$ -th roots of unity. This means that $\chi_{j_1}(x^q) = \rho_1^{\sigma_q} + \dots + \rho_r^{\sigma_q} = \chi_{j_1}^{\sigma_q}(x)$. So $\chi_j^k(x^q) = \sum_{\sigma \in T} \chi_{j_1}^{\sigma \sigma_q}(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in T} (\chi_{j_1}(x^q))^{\sigma} = \sum_{\sigma \in T} (\chi_{j_1}^{\sigma_q}(x))^{\sigma} = \sum_{\sigma \in T} (\chi_{j_1}^{\sigma_q \sigma}(x))$. Since $\{\sigma_q \sigma | \sigma \in T\}$ is another transversal of the stabilizer of χ_{j_1} in $\text{Gal}(K/k)$, we have $\chi_j^k(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in T} \chi_{j_1}^\sigma(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in T} \chi_{j_1}^{\sigma_q \sigma}(x) = \chi_j^k(x^q)$. \square

Let \mathcal{P} be the k -conjugate classes. Let $\mathcal{P}_1, \dots, \mathcal{P}_n$ be all k -classes with $g_j \in \mathcal{P}_j$ as representative.

Lemma 10.6. *Let $\chi^{\mathcal{P}}$ be the character defined by \mathcal{P} and an irreducible factor $\Phi(x)$ of $\Theta_G(x)$. Then $\chi^{\mathcal{P}}(g) \in k$ for $g \in G$.*

Proof. Assume that g belongs F -class \mathcal{P}_j and $\mathcal{C}_{j_1}, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{j_s}$ are conjugate classes contained in \mathcal{P}_j . Then we can choose representatives of $\mathcal{C}_{j_1}, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{j_s}$ in $\{g^t | t \in \Gamma\}$. Let g^{t_i} be the representative of \mathcal{C}_{j_i} . Then $\chi^{\mathcal{P}}(g) = l(\sum_{i=1}^s \chi(g^{t_i}))$, where l is the length of \mathcal{C}_{j_1} and χ is a character defined by $\Phi(x)$. Let $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}$ and q is the element in Γ corresponding to σ . Then there exist some $o(g)$ -th root of unity ρ_1, \dots, ρ_r such that $\chi(g) = \rho_1 + \dots + \rho_r$ and $\chi^\sigma(g) = \rho_1^q + \dots + \rho_r^q = \chi(g^q)$ by section 12 in [F2]. Since any number in Γ is prime to the order of g , $g^{t_1 q}, \dots, g^{t_s q}$ are still representatives of $\mathcal{C}_{j_1}, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{j_s}$. So $(\chi^{\mathcal{P}}(g))^\sigma = l(\sum_{i=1}^s \chi^\sigma(g^{t_i})) = l(\sum_{i=1}^s \chi(g^{t_i q})) = \chi^{\mathcal{P}}(g)$. So $\chi^{\mathcal{P}}(g) \in k$ for $g \in G$. \square

Lemma 10.7. *Set $x_A = x_B$ in the matrix $M_G(x)$ whenever A and B belong to the same k -class. Then $M_G(x)$ is similar to a diagonal matrix with linear polynomials of $k[x]$ in the diagonal.*

Proof. Define matrix M_i to be $M_G(x)$ by taking $x_g = 1$ whenever $g \in \mathcal{P}_i$ and $x_g = 0$ otherwise. Then the map

$$\mathbf{r} : k\mathcal{P} \rightarrow M_\ell(k)(\hat{C}_i \mapsto M_i)$$

is a faithful representation of the partition algebra $k\mathcal{P}$. Under the assumption that $x_A = x_B$ in the matrix $M_G(x)$ whenever A and B belong to the same F -class, $M_G(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_{g_i} M_i$. Since $k\mathcal{P}$ is semisimple, $M_G(x)$ is similar to a diagonal matrix.

Next it suffices to show $|M_G(x)| = \Theta_G(x)$ is a product of linear polynomials of $k[x]$ under the assumption that $x_A = x_B$ in the matrix $M_G(x)$ whenever A and B belong to the same k -class. By Theorem 4.10, $\Theta_G(x) = |M_G(x)| = \prod_i^r \Phi_i^{e_i}(x) = \prod_i^r (\sum_{j=1}^n \chi_i^{\mathcal{P}}(g_j) x_{g_j})$. By Lemma 10.6, $\chi_i^{\mathcal{P}}$ is a function over k . So $\chi_i^{\mathcal{P}}(g_j) x_{g_j}$ is a linear polynomial over k . \square

Theorem 10.8. *Let $\Omega_j = \{\Phi_{j_1}(x), \dots, \Phi_{j_{o_j}}(x)\}$ and $\Psi_j(x) = \prod_{\Phi_{j_i}(x) \in \Omega} \Phi_{j_i}(x)$. If we set $x_A = x_B$ in the $\Psi_j(x)$ whenever A and B belong to the same k -class, then*

- (i) $\Psi_j(x) = (\frac{1}{o_j f} \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_j^k(g_i) x_{g_i})^{o_j f}$, where f is the degree of one polynomial in Ω_j ;
- (ii) $\chi_{j_m}^{\mathcal{P}} = \chi_{j_n}^{\mathcal{P}}$ for $1 \leq m, n \leq o_j$;
- (iii) $o_j \chi_{j_m}^{\mathcal{P}} = \chi_j^k$ for $1 \leq m \leq o_j$.

Proof. With the assumption that $x_A = x_B$ in the matrix $M_G(x)$ whenever A and B belong to the same k -class, the eigenvalues of $M_G(x)$ are linear polynomials over k by Lemma 10.7. By the similar proof of Theorem 4.10, we have $\Psi_j(x) = \frac{1}{o_j f} (\sum_{i=1}^n \chi_j^k(g_i) x_{g_i})^{o_j f}$.

Set $x_A = x_B$ in the $\Phi_{j_m}(x)$ and Φ_{j_n} whenever A and B belong to the same k -class, then $\Phi_{j_m}(x) = \frac{1}{f} \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_{j_m}^{\mathcal{P}}(g_i) x_{g_i}$ and $\Phi_{j_n}(x) = \frac{1}{f} \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_{j_n}^{\mathcal{P}}(g_i) x_{g_i}$ are polynomials over k by Lemma 10.6 and 4.10. Since $\Psi_j(x) = \Phi_{j_1}(x) \cdots \Phi_{j_{o_j}}(x)$, it follows from (i) that $\chi_{j_m}^{\mathcal{P}} = \chi_{j_n}^{\mathcal{P}} = \frac{1}{o_j} \chi_j^k$. \square

With the assumption that $x_A = x_B$ when A and B belongs to the same k -class, the multiplicity of $\frac{1}{f_{j_m}} \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_{j_m}^{\mathcal{P}}(g_i) x_{g_i}$ in $\Theta_G(x) = \prod_i^r \Psi_i(x)^{e_i}$ is $o_j e_{j_m} f_{j_m}$ by Theorem 4.10 and Theorem 10.8. Since $e_{j_m} = f_{j_m} = \chi_{j_m}(1)$, by Theorem 7.6 and 7.9, $D_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = (x - \chi_1(1)^2 o_1) \cdots (x - \chi_n(1)^2 o_n)$. Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G with $N = N_G(P)$. Let \mathcal{P}' be the partition consisting of k -classes of N . Similar to definition in section 9, we define p' -degree polynomials $D_G^{k,p'}(x)$ of $D_{\mathcal{P}}(x)$ for G and p' -degree polynomials $D_N^{k,p'}(x)$ of $D_{\mathcal{P}'}(x)$ for N . We call $D_G^{k,p'}(x)$ and $D_N^{k,p'}(x)$ the p' -degree polynomials with respect to (G, \mathcal{P}) and (N, \mathcal{P}') respectively. Similar to refined McKay's conjecture, it is natural to ask whether $D_G^{k,p'}(x) = D_N^{k,p'}(x)$ for k -classes of G and N .

Conjecture. Let P be a Sylow $_p$ -subgroup of G with $N = N_G(P)$. Let \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}' be the good partitions F -conjugate classes of G and N respectively. Then $D_G^{k,p'}(x) = D_N^{k,p'}(x)$, where $D_G^{p'}(x)$ and $D_N^{p'}(x)$ are p' -degree polynomials with respect to (G, \mathcal{P}) and (N, \mathcal{P}') .

Let n be the exponent of G and let \mathbb{Q}_n be the cyclotomic field. Then \mathbb{Q}_n is a splitting field of G and its subgroups. Therefore, the Galois group $\mathcal{G} = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_n/\mathbb{Q})$ permutes the set $\text{Irr}_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ of the irreducible complex characters of G . Let $\text{Irr}_{p'}(G)$ be the set of complex irreducible characters of G with degree not divisible by p . Navarro proposed the following conjecture in [N].

Conjecture. [N] Let G be a finite group of order n and let p be a prime. Let e be a nonnegative integer and let $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_n/\mathbb{Q})$ be any Galois automorphism sending every p' -root of unity ξ to ξ^{p^e} . Then σ fixes the same number of characters in $\text{Irr}_{p'}(G)$ as it does in $\text{Irr}_{p'}(N_G(P))$, where P is a Sylow $_p$ -subgroup of G .

Let $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ be a primitive n -th root of unity. Then $\mathbb{Q}_n = \mathbb{Q}(\xi)$ is the cyclotomic field. We write $\xi = \omega\delta$, where the order of ω is p^d , the order of δ is m , $n = p^d m$ and $(p, m) = 1$. Let \mathcal{H} be the subgroup of $\mathcal{G} = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_n/\mathbb{Q})$ consisting of those elements $\sigma \in \mathcal{G}$ for which there is a nonnegative integer e such that $\sigma(\lambda) = \lambda^{p^e}$ whenever λ is a p' -root of unity in $\langle \xi \rangle$. Let $\mathcal{K} = \{\tau \in \mathcal{G} | \tau(\delta) = \delta\}$. Then \mathcal{K} is isomorphic to the group $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_{p^d}/\mathbb{Q})$ of order $\phi(p^d)$. Let $\mathcal{J} = \{\tau \in \mathcal{G} | \tau(\omega) = \omega\}$. Then $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{K} \times \langle \sigma \rangle$, where $\sigma \in \mathcal{J}$ such that $\sigma(\delta) = \delta^p$, and the order of σ is the order of p modulo m .

Proposition 10.9. Let \mathbb{Q}^μ be the fixed field of μ in \mathbb{Q}_n for $\mu \in \mathcal{G}$. Let N be the normalizer of some Sylow $_p$ -subgroup of G . Let \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}' be the \mathbb{Q}^μ -classes of G and N respectively. If μ is a p -element contained in \mathcal{K} , then the following are equivalent:

- (i) The automorphism μ fixes the same number of characters in $\text{Irr}_{p'}(G)$ as it does in $\text{Irr}_{p'}(N)$;
- (ii) The polynomial $D_G^{p'}(x)$ is equal to the polynomial $D_N^{p'}(x)$, where $D_G^{p'}(x)$ and $D_N^{p'}(x)$ are p' -degree polynomials with respect to (G, \mathcal{P}) and (N, \mathcal{P}') .

Proof. Let $\Theta_G(x) = \prod_i^r \Phi_i^{e_i}(x)$ be the irreducible factorization over \mathbb{Q}_n . The Galois group $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_n/\mathbb{Q}^\mu)$ is isomorphic to $\langle \mu \rangle$ and it is of p -power order. Then $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_n/\mathbb{Q}^\mu)$ acts on $\{\Phi_i(x) | i = 1, \dots, r\}$. Let $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_t$ be the orbits of this action. Then the length of each orbits is 1 or p^a for some $a \neq 1$. By Theorem 7.6 and 7.9, $D_{\mathcal{P}}(x) = (x - \chi_1(1)^2 o_1) \cdots (x - \chi_n(1)^2 o_n)$, where o_i is the length of Ω_i . So

$$D_G^{p'}(x) = \prod_{\substack{p \nmid \chi_i(1) \\ o_i=1}} (x - \overline{\chi_i(1)^2 o_i}).$$

We can get similar expression of $D_N^{p'}(x)$. So (i) is equivalent to (ii). \square

Given a good partition \mathcal{P} of $\text{Cl}(G)$. We have define $D_{\mathcal{P}}(x)$ in section 5. Similarly, we can define p' -degree polynomial $D_{\mathcal{P}}^p(x)$ of $D_{\mathcal{P}}(x)$. The following problem seems reasonable.

Problem. Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G with $N = N_G(P)$. Given a good partition $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}'$ of $\text{Cl}(G)$ and $\text{Cl}(N)$ respectively. If each partition class \mathcal{P}_i of \mathcal{P} satisfies that $\mathcal{P}_i \cap N$ is an union of some partition classes of \mathcal{P}' , then $D_{\mathcal{P}}^p(x) = D_{\mathcal{P}'}^p(x)$.

10.0.1. References.

- [A] C.A.M.André, The basic character table of the unitriangular group. *J. Algebra* **241** (2001), no. 1, 437-471.
- [BCFS] W. Bosma, J. J. Cannon, C. Fieker, A. Steel (eds.), *Handbook of Magma functions*, Edition 2.16 (2010), 5017 pages.
- [BO] N. Bourbaki, *Algebra. II. Chapters 4-7*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [DI] P.Diaconis and I. M.Isaacs, Supercharacters and superclasses for algebra groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **360** (2008), no. 5, 2359-2392
- [FS] E.Formanek and D.Sibley, The group determinant determines the group. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **112** (1991), no. 3, 649-656.
- [F1] G. Frobenius, Über Gruppencharaktere, *Sitzungsber.Preuss.Akad.Wiss.Berlin* (1896), 985-1021.
- [F2] G. Frobenius, Über die Primfaktoren der gruppensdeterminante, *Sitzungsber.Preuss.Akad.Wiss.Berlin* (1896), 1343-1382.
- [G] M.Geck, An introduction to algebraic geometry and algebraic groups. Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 10. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2003
- [H1] H.J.Hoehnke, Über komponierbare Formen und konkordante hyperkomplexe Größen. *Math. Z.* **70** (1958), 1-12
- [HJ] H.J.Hoehnke and K. W. Johnson, The 1-, 2-, and 3-characters determine a group. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **27** (1992), no. 2, 243-245
- [I] I.M.Isaacs, Character theory of finite groups. Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1994
- [IN] I.M.Isaacs and G.Navarro, New refinements of the McKay conjecture for arbitrary finite groups. *Ann.of Math (2)* **156** (2002), 333-344
- [J] K.W. Johnson, Group matrices, group determinants and representation theory. The mathematical legacy of Frobenius. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* **2233** (2019)
- [KM] P.Kellersch and K.Meyber, On a casimir element of a finite group. *Comm.Alg* **256**(1997), 1695-1702
- [KS] W.Kimmerle and R.Sandling, Group theoretic and group ring theoretic determination of certain Sylow and Hall subgroups and the resolution of a question of R.Brauer. *J.Algebra* **171** (1995), 329-346
- [MA] I. G.Macdonald, Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials. Second edition. With contributions by A. Zelevinsky. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.
- [M] R.Mansfield, A group determinant determines its group. *Proc.Amer.Math.Soc* **116**(4) (1992), 939-941
- [MK] J.McKay, Irreducible representations of odd degree. *J. Algebra* **20** (1972), 416-418
- [NT] H. Nagao and Y. Tsushima, *Representation of finite groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1988.
- [N] G.Navarro, The McKay conjecture and Galois automorphisms. *Ann. of Math (2)* **160** (2004), no. 3, 1129C1140.
- [S] J.P. Serre, Linear representations of finite groups. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 42. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.

- [SL] L.Song, McKay conjecture and its checking by computers, M.SC.Thesis, Peking University, (2019)
- [Z] H.Zassenhaus, An equation for the degrees of the absolutely irreducible representations of a group of finite order. *Canad.J.Math* **2** (1950), 166-167

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100871, P.R.CHINA

LWANG@MATH.PKU.EDU.CN

JZHANG@PKU.EDU.CN