

PIECEWISE SMOOTH HOLOMORPHIC SYSTEMS

LUIZ F. S. GOUVEIA, GABRIEL RONDÓN AND PAULO R. DA SILVA

ABSTRACT. The normal forms associated with holomorphic systems are well known in the literature. In this paper we are concerned about studying the piecewise smooth holomorphic systems (PWHS). Specifically, we classify the possible phase portraits of these systems from the known normal forms and the typical singularities of PWHS. Also, we are interested in understanding how the trajectories of the regularized system associated with the PWHS transits through the region of regularization. In addition, we know that holomorphic systems have no limit cycles, but piecewise smooth holomorphic systems do, so we provide conditions to ensure the existence of limit cycles of these systems. Additional conditions are provided to guarantee the stability and uniqueness of such limit cycles. Finally, we give some families of PWHS that have homoclinic orbits.

1. INTRODUCTION

The holomorphic systems $\dot{z} = f(z)$ have interesting dynamical properties, for example, the fact that these systems have no limit cycles and that they have a finite number of equilibrium points, which are isolated provided that f is not identically null. Moreover, holomorphic polynomial systems reduce the number of parameters in the system. Although a polynomial system of degree n depends on $n^2 + 3n + 2$ parameters, a polynomial holomorphic system depends only on $2n + 2$ parameters. Furthermore, the holomorphic functions has its interest in several areas of applied science, for example, in the study of fluid dynamics. In this context, it is possible to verify that the complex potential of the conjugate holomorphic system $\dot{z} = \overline{f(z)}$ is a primitive of $f(z)$. For more information see, for instance, [2, 8, 9].

In this paper, we are interested in the study of piecewise smooth holomorphic systems (PWHS),

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{z}^+ = f^+(z) = u_1 + iv_1, & \text{when } \Re(z) > 0, \\ \dot{z}^- = f^-(z) = u_2 + iv_2, & \text{when } \Re(z) < 0, \end{cases}$$

where $z = x + iy$ and $f^\pm(z)$ are holomorphic functions defined in a domain $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ and satisfying that

- (i) $u_{1,2} = \Re(f^\pm)$ and $v_{1,2} = \Im(f^\pm)$ are continuous;
- (ii) there exist the partial derivatives $(u_{1,2})_x, (u_{1,2})_y, (v_{1,2})_x, (v_{1,2})_y$ in \mathcal{V} , and
- (iii) the partial derivatives satisfy the Cauchy–Riemann equations

$$\begin{aligned} (u_1)_x &= (v_1)_y, & (u_1)_y &= -(v_1)_x, & \forall z = x + iy \in \mathcal{V}, \\ (u_2)_x &= (v_2)_y, & (u_2)_y &= -(v_2)_x, & \forall z = x + iy \in \mathcal{V}. \end{aligned}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32A10, 34C20, 34A34, 34A36, 34C05.

Key words and phrases. piecewise smooth holomorphic systems, limit cycles, regularization.

We remark that the straight line $\Sigma = \{\Re(z) = 0\}$ divides the plane in two half-planes Σ^\pm given by $\{z : \Re(z) > 0\}$ and $\{z : \Re(z) < 0\}$, respectively. The trajectories on Σ are defined following the Filippov convention.

Throughout this article we use the normal forms associated with the holomorphic functions given in [6] and [11], namely: 1 , $(a + ib)z$, z^n , $\frac{\gamma z^n}{1 + z^{n-1}}$, and $\frac{1}{z^n}$. For more details see Proposition 4. A priori these normal forms depends on the notion of conformal conjugation.

One of the properties of the PWHS that we will prove here is that the sliding, sewing and tangential regions are preserved by conformal conjugation, see Theorem 8. In particular, Lemma 13 establishes that regular-fold singularities are preserved by conformal conjugation. We will use this last result to characterize the type of tangential contact of the holomorphic functions with Σ , which are conformally conjugated to some of the normal forms. For more information see Theorem 15.

An interesting property is that the regularized vector field associated to (1) loses the property of being holomorphic, see Theorems 20 and 22. For that, we will use *the principle of identity of the analytic functions*, which states: given functions f and g analytic on a domain D (open and connected subset of \mathbb{C}), if $f = g$ on some $S \subseteq D$, where S has an accumulation point of D , then $f = g$ on D .

Also, we are interested in regularizations of PWHS around visible regular-fold singularities. More specifically, using Theorem 1 of [21] and the normal forms associated with the homomorphic functions, we propose to understand how the trajectories of the regularized system transits through the region of regularization, see Theorem 24.




FIGURE 1. Phase portrait of PWHS (2). The red trajectory is the limit cycle of (2).

In addition, we are concerned about studying the existence of limit cycles for the PWHS. One of the reasons for this study is the fact that the holomorphic systems have no limit cycles, for more information see, for instance, [4, 7, 11, 13, 14, 15, 20, 23]. For that we will use the normal forms mentioned above and we will establish conditions for the existence of limit cycles, see Theorems 25, 27, and 35. Furthermore, additional conditions are provided to guarantee the stability and uniqueness of such limit cycles. In particular, Theorem 25 establishes that the piecewise linear holomorphic systems whose equilibrium points are on manifold Σ have at most one limit cycle. Also, Corollary 26 establishes necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of such a limit cycle. For example, if we consider the

PWHS

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{z}^+ = (1-i)(z+1), & \text{when } \Im(z) > 0, \\ \dot{z}^- = -iz, & \text{when } \Im(z) < 0, \end{cases}$$

then it has a unique unstable limit cycle (see Figure 1).

In the context of piecewise linear systems in the real plane, depending on the number of zones generated by the discontinuity manifold Σ , the maximum number of limit cycles varies. For example, in [10], Freire et al. considered 2 zones divided by a straight line and proved that piecewise linear systems in the real plane have at most one limit cycle. However, when considering 3 zones (for example, the discontinuity manifold Σ could be 2 parallel straight lines) it is possible to prove the existence of more than one limit cycle, for more details see, for instance, [3, 19, 22].

We emphasize that the focus on the existence of limit cycles in PWHS is one of the main novelties of the present study. Some of the main challenges when working in this context is that building the first return map is a bit complicated, however, if we use the normal forms associated with the holomorphic functions in their polar form, it is much easier to work with. For the construction of the limit cycles we will use the symmetry of the polar equation of the orbits of z^n and $\frac{1}{z^n}$ and the invariance of the rays of such normal forms.

Finally, we are going to use the invariant rays of the normal forms z^n and $\frac{1}{z^n}$ to construct homoclinic orbits of the PWHS, for more details see Propositions 42 and 43.

1.1. Structure of the paper. In Section 2, we present some basic results on holomorphic functions that will be used throughout the paper. In Section 3, we use the normal forms given in Proposition 4 to classify the sliding, sewing, and tangential regions. For the tangential region, we study the type of tangential singularities existing in PWHS. In Section 4, we perform an analysis of the regularization of PWHS. In Section 5, we establish conditions for the existence of limit cycles in PWHS. Finally, in Section 6 we give some families of PWHS that have homoclinic orbits.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we establish some basic results that will be used throughout the paper.

2.1. Holomorphic functions. Let F be a holomorphic function on a domain $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. Thus for any $z_0 \in \mathcal{V}$

$$(3) \quad F(z) = A_0 + A_1(z - z_0) + A_2(z - z_0)^2 + \dots, \quad A_k = a_k + ib_k = \frac{F^{(k)}(z_0)}{k!}$$

for $z \in D(z_0, R_{z_0}) \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ where $D(z_0, R_{z_0})$ is the largest possible z_0 -centered disk contained in \mathcal{V} . Unless a translation we can always assume that $z_0 = 0$.

If F is holomorphic in a punctured disc $D(z_0, R) \setminus \{z_0\}$ and it is not derivable at z_0 we say that z_0 is a singularity of F . In this case $F(z)$ is equal to its Laurent's series in $D(z_0, R) \setminus \{z_0\}$

$$(4) \quad F(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_k}{(z - z_0)^k} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k(z - z_0)^k,$$

where

$$B_k = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_\varepsilon} F(z)(z - z_0)^{k-1} dz, \quad A_k = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_\varepsilon} \frac{F(z)}{(z - z_0)^{k+1}} dz$$

with C_ε parameterized by $z(t) = \varepsilon e^{it}$, $\varepsilon \sim 0$.

If $B_k \neq 0$ for an infinite set of indices k we say that z_0 is an *essential singularity* and if there exists $n \geq 1$ such that $B_n \neq 0$ and $B_k = 0$ for every $k > n$ then we say that z_0 is a *pole of order n* . Moreover, B_1 is called *residue* of F at z_0 and it is denoted by $B_1 = \text{res}(F, z_0)$.

Let $F : D(0, R) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic function as (4) with $z_0 = 0$, $B_k = c_k + id_k$ and $A_k = a_k + ib_k$. Consider the ordinary differential equation

$$(5) \quad \dot{z}(t) = F(z(t)), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The solution of (5) passing through $z \in D(0, R) \setminus \{0\}$ at $t = 0$ is denoted by $\varphi_F(t, z)$. We have

$$F(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_k + id_k}{z^k} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (a_k + ib_k) z^k.$$

A direct calculation using Newton's binomial formula gives us $z^k = (x + iy)^k = p_k + iq_k$ with p_k and q_k as in the table

k	p_k	q_k
1	x	y
2	$x^2 - y^2$	$2xy$
3	$x^3 - 3xy^2$	$3x^2y - y^3$
4	$x^4 - 6x^2y^2 + y^4$	$4x^3y - 4xy^3$
5	$x^5 - 10x^3y^2 + 5xy^4$	$5x^4y - 10x^2y^3 + y^5$
...

Thus

$$(a_k + ib_k)z^k = (a_k p_k - b_k q_k) + i(b_k p_k + a_k q_k)$$

and

$$\frac{c_k + id_k}{z^k} = \frac{(c_k p_k + d_k q_k) + i(d_k p_k - c_k q_k)}{(x^2 + y^2)^k}.$$

Hence $\dot{x} = \text{Re}(F(z))$ and $\dot{y} = \text{Im}(F(z))$ must satisfy the following system

$$(7) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{x} &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(c_k \frac{p_k}{(x^2 + y^2)^k} + d_k \frac{q_k}{(x^2 + y^2)^k} \right) + a_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k p_k - b_k q_k) \\ \dot{y} &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(d_k \frac{p_k}{(x^2 + y^2)^k} - c_k \frac{q_k}{(x^2 + y^2)^k} \right) + b_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (b_k p_k + a_k q_k) \end{cases}$$

with p_k, q_k given in Table (6). We refer to system (7) as a holomorphic system. The coefficients c_k, d_k are zero provided that F is holomorphic at 0.

Remark. If $F = u + iv$ is holomorphic in $D(0, R) \setminus \{0\}$ and it is not identically null then system (7) has a finite number of equilibrium points and all of them are isolated. In fact, if there exists a sequence of distinct equilibria (x_n, y_n) of (7) then the sequence $z_n = x_n + iy_n$ will be formed by zeros of F . Taking $\overline{D(0, R)}$ if necessary, we can assume that z_n admits a convergent subsequence z_{n_k} . In this case F is identically null in a set that has an accumulation point. It follows from the principle of identity of analytic functions that $F \equiv 0$.

2.2. Conformally conjugate holomorphic functions. In this section we introduce the notion of conformally conjugated holomorphic functions that allow us to obtain the normal forms for this class of functions. Before that, we need to define conformal mappings.

Definition 1. A map $\Phi : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called conformal if it preserves angles.

In [1] was proved that the angle between 2 curves which intersect at a point z_0 is preserved by conformal maps (see Figure 2).




FIGURE 2. The angle between any two curves is preserved.

An interesting geometric property that complex analytic functions satisfy is that, at non-critical points (points with nonzero derivative), they preserve angles and consequently define conformal mappings.

Proposition 2. If $w = \Phi(z)$ is an analytic function and $\Phi'(z) \neq 0$, then Φ defines a conformal map.

Notice that the converse is also valid, because every planar conformal map comes from a complex analytic function with nonvanishing derivative.

Remark 3. Let $\Phi(z)$ be a conformal map with $\Phi(0) = 0$. Then, the linear approximation of Φ near 0 (first two terms of the Taylor series) is given by

$$\Phi(z) \approx \Phi(0) + \Phi'(0)z = \Phi'(0)z$$

and if $\gamma(t)$ is a curve with $\gamma(t_0) = 0$ for some $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\Phi(\gamma(t)) \approx \Phi'(0)\gamma(t)$, for all t near to t_0 .

We will classify the local phase portraits of piecewise smooth holomorphic systems. To do this, we start by introducing the concept of conformal conjugation.

Let F and G be holomorphic functions defined in some punctured neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}$. We say that F and G are 0-conformally conjugated if there exist $R > 0$ and a conformal map $\Phi : D(0, R) \rightarrow D(0, R)$ such that $\Phi(0) = 0$ and $\Phi(\varphi_F(t, z)) = \varphi_G(t, \Phi(z))$, for any $z \in D(0, R) \setminus \{0\}$ and all t for which the above expressions are well defined and the corresponding points are in $D(0, R)$.

Let F and G be holomorphic functions defined in some punctured neighborhoods of $z_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$, respectively. We say that F and G are $z_1 z_2$ -conformally conjugated if $F(z - z_1)$ and $G(z - z_2)$ are conformally conjugated at 0.

If F and G are holomorphic in $D(0, R)$ then we have:

- If $F(0) \neq 0$, $G(0) \neq 0$ then F and G are 0-conformally conjugated;
- If $F(0) \neq 0$, $G(0) = 0$ then F and G are not 0-conformally conjugated;
- If $F(0) = 0$, $G(0) = 0$ and F, G are non constant then

$$\Phi(\varphi_F(t, z)) = \varphi_G(t, \Phi(z)) \Leftrightarrow \Phi'(z)F(z) = G(\Phi(z)),$$

for $|z|$ sufficiently small.

The following proposition, whose proof can be found in [6, 11], gives us important information about the normal forms of holomorphic functions.

Proposition 4. *Let F be a holomorphic function defined in some punctured neighborhood of $w_0 \in \mathbb{C}$.*

- (a) *If $F(w_0) \neq 0$ then F and $G(z) \equiv 1$ are $w_0 0$ -conformally conjugated.*
- (b) *If $F(w_0) = 0$ and $F'(w_0) \neq 0$ then F and $G(z) \equiv F'(w_0)z$ are $w_0 0$ -conformally conjugated.*
- (c) *If $F(w_0) = 0$, w_0 is a zero of F of order $n > 1$ and $\text{Res}(1/F, w_0) = 1/\gamma$ then F and $G(z) \equiv \gamma z^n / (1 + z^{n-1})$ are $w_0 0$ -conformally conjugated.*
- (d) *If $F(w_0) = 0$, w_0 is a zero of F of order $n > 1$ and $\text{Res}(1/F, w_0) = 0$ then F and $G(z) \equiv z^n$ are $w_0 0$ -conformally conjugated.*
- (e) *If w_0 is a pole of F of order n then F and $G(z) \equiv \frac{1}{z^n}$ are $w_0 0$ -conformally conjugated.*

Due to the beauty of the argument used in [11] to demonstrate the following result, let us reproduce its demonstration here.

Proposition 5. *Let F be a holomorphic function defined in a domain $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. The phase portrait of $\dot{z} = F(z)$ has no limit cycle.*

Proof. Suppose γ is a periodic orbit of $\dot{z} = F(z)$ with period T , i.e. $\varphi_F(z, T) = z$ whatever $z \in \gamma$. Let us fix any point in γ and consider the transition function given $\xi(z) = \varphi_F(z, T)$. The transition function is analytic and is equal to identity at all points that are in γ . Thus, this function coincides with the identity in a neighborhood of z . This means that the periodic orbit belongs to a continuum of periodic orbits, all with the same period T . \square

3. PIECEWISE SMOOTH HOLOMORPHIC SYSTEMS

This section is devoted to study the piecewise smooth holomorphic systems,

$$(8) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{z}^+ = f^+(z) = u_1 + iv_1, & \text{when } \Re(z) > 0, \\ \dot{z}^- = f^-(z) = u_2 + iv_2, & \text{when } \Re(z) < 0, \end{cases}$$

where $z = x + iy$ and $f^\pm(z)$ are holomorphic functions. The straight line $\Sigma = \{\Re(z) = 0\}$ divides the plane in two half-planes Σ^\pm given by $\{z : \Re(z) > 0\}$ and $\{z : \Re(z) < 0\}$, respectively. The trajectories on Σ are defined following the Filippov convention.

- (i) $\Sigma^w = \{z \in \Sigma : u_1 u_2 > 0\}$ is the *sewing* region;

(ii) $\Sigma^s = \{z \in \Sigma : u_1 u_2 < 0\}$ is the *sliding* region;

(iii) $\Sigma^t = \{z \in \Sigma : u_1 u_2 = 0\}$ is the *tangent* region.

We say that $p \in \Sigma^s$ is an *attracting sliding point* and denote $p \in \Sigma_s^s$ if $u_1 < 0$ and $u_2 > 0$. We say that $p \in \Sigma^s$ is a *repelling sliding point* and denote $p \in \Sigma_u^s$ if $u_1 > 0$ and $u_2 < 0$.

The orbits of the PWHS by Σ^w are naturally concatenated. The orbits by Σ^s follow the flow of the *sliding vector field* F^Σ , which is a linear convex combination of (u_1, v_1) and (u_2, v_2) tangent to Σ :

$$(9) \quad F^\Sigma = \left(0, \frac{u_1 v_2 - u_2 v_1}{u_1 - u_2}\right).$$

3.1. Phase portrait of the PWHS. To study the phase portraits, we shall make combinations of the items of Proposition 4.

Case 1. We take $\dot{z}^+ = f'(p)(z - z_0)$, where $f'(p) = a + ib$ and $z_0 = x_0 + iy_0$. The PWHS is

$$(10) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{z}^- = 1, & \text{when } \Re(z) < 0, \\ \dot{z}^+ = f'(p)(z - z_0), & \text{when } \Re(z) > 0. \end{cases}$$

In cartesian coordinates, we have

$$(11) \quad \begin{cases} (\dot{x}^-, \dot{y}^-) = (1, 0), & \text{when } x < 0, \\ (\dot{x}^+, \dot{y}^+) = (a(x - x_0) - b(y - y_0), b(x - x_0) + a(y - y_0)), & \text{when } x > 0. \end{cases}$$

As $u_1 u_2 = -ax_0 - b(y - y_0)$ in Σ , then we get the following table:

a	b	x_0	Σ^w	Σ_s^s	Σ^t
+	0	0			\mathbb{R}
+	0	+		\mathbb{R}	
+	0	-	\mathbb{R}		
-	0	0			\mathbb{R}
-	0	+	\mathbb{R}		
-	0	-	-	\mathbb{R}	
\mathbb{R}	+	\mathbb{R}	$(-\infty, y_0 - \frac{a}{b}x_0)$	$(y_0 - \frac{a}{b}x_0, +\infty)$	$y_0 - \frac{a}{b}x_0$
\mathbb{R}	-	\mathbb{R}	$(y_0 - \frac{a}{b}x_0, +\infty)$	$(-\infty, y_0 - \frac{a}{b}x_0)$	$y_0 - \frac{a}{b}x_0$

Case 2. Now \dot{z}^- and \dot{z}^+ are given by $(a + ib)(z - z_0)$ and $(c + id)(z - z_0)$ respectively. The PWHS is

$$(12) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{z}^- = (a + ib)(z - z_0), & \text{when } \Re(z) < 0, \\ \dot{z}^+ = (c + id)(z - z_0), & \text{when } \Re(z) > 0. \end{cases}$$

In cartesian coordinates, we have

$$(13) \quad \begin{cases} (\dot{x}^-, \dot{y}^-) = (a(x - x_0) - b(y - y_0), b(x - x_0) + a(y - y_0)), & \text{when } x < 0, \\ (\dot{x}^+, \dot{y}^+) = (c(x - x_0) - d(y - y_0), d(x - x_0) + c(y - y_0)), & \text{when } x > 0. \end{cases}$$




FIGURE 3. Phase portrait of PWHS (10), with $z_0 = 2 + 2i$, $a = 1$ and $b = 1$.

As $u_1 u_2 = d(y - y_0)(ax_0 + b(y - y_0))$ in Σ , then we get the following table:

a	b	c	d	x_0	Σ^w	Σ_s^s	Σ_u^s	Σ^t
0	+	0	+	\mathbb{R}	$\mathbb{R} \setminus \{y_0\}$			y_0
0	+	0	-	\mathbb{R}		$(-\infty, y_0)$	$(y_0, +\infty)$	y_0
+	+	0	-	0		$(-\infty, y_0)$	$(y_0, +\infty)$	y_0
+	+	0	-	+	$(y_0 - \frac{a}{b}x_0, y_0)$	$(-\infty, y_0 - \frac{a}{b}x_0)$	$(y_0, +\infty)$	$y_0; y_0 - \frac{a}{b}x_0$
+	+	0	-	-	$(y_0, y_0 - \frac{a}{b}x_0)$	$(-\infty, y_0)$	$(y_0 - \frac{a}{b}x_0, +\infty)$	$y_0; y_0 - \frac{a}{b}x_0$




FIGURE 4. Phase portrait of PWHS (12), with $z_0 = 1 - 2i$, $b > 0$, and $d < 0$.

Case 3. Here, we consider $\dot{z}^- = f'(p)(z - z_0)$ and $\dot{z}^+ = (z - z_0)^n$, where $n = 2$, $f'(p) = a + ib$, and $z_0 = x_0 + iy_0$. The PWHS is

$$(14) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{z}^- = (a + ib)(z - z_0), & \text{when } \Re(z) < 0, \\ \dot{z}^+ = (z - z_0)^2, & \text{when } \Re(z) > 0. \end{cases}$$

In cartesian coordinates, we have

$$(15) \quad \begin{cases} (\dot{x}^-, \dot{y}^-) = (a(x - x_0) - b(y - y_0), b(x - x_0) + a(y - y_0)), & \text{when } x < 0, \\ (\dot{x}^+, \dot{y}^+) = ((x - x_0)^2 - (y - y_0)^2, 2(x - x_0)(y - y_0)), & \text{when } x > 0. \end{cases}$$

As $u_1 u_2 = (x_0^2 - (y - y_0)^2)(-ax_0 - b(y - y_0))$ in Σ , then we get the following table:

b	x_0	Σ^w	Σ_s^s	Σ_u^s
+	0	$(y_0, +\infty)$	$(-\infty, y_0)$	
+	+	$(y_{min}, y_{max}) \cup (y_0 + x_0, \infty)$	$(-\infty, y_{min})$	$(y_{max}, y_0 + x_0)$
+	-	$(y_0 + x_0, y_{min}) \cup (y_{max}, +\infty)$	$(-\infty, y_0 + x_0) \cup (y_0 - x_0, y_0 - \frac{a}{b}x_0)$	$(y_0 - \frac{a}{b}x_0, y_0 - x_0)$
-	0	$(-\infty, y_0)$	$(y_0, +\infty)$	
-	+	$(-\infty, y_{min}) \cup (y_{max}, y_0 + x_0)$	$(y_0 - \frac{a}{b}x_0, y_0 - x_0) \cup (y_0 + x_0, \infty)$	$(y_0 - x_0, y_0 - \frac{a}{b}x_0)$
-	-	$(-\infty, y_0 + x_0) \cup (y_{min}, y_{max})$	$(y_{max}, +\infty)$	$(y_0 + x_0, y_{min})$
0	$\text{sgn}(x_0) = \text{sgn}(a)$	$\mathbb{R} \setminus [y_{min}^0, y_{max}^0]$		(y_{min}^0, y_{max}^0)
0	$\text{sgn}(x_0) \neq \text{sgn}(a)$	(y_{min}^0, y_{max}^0)	$\mathbb{R} \setminus [y_{min}^0, y_{max}^0]$	

where $y_{min} := \min\{y_0 - \frac{a}{b}x_0, y_0 - x_0\}$, $y_{max} := \max\{y_0 - \frac{a}{b}x_0, y_0 - x_0\}$, $y_{min}^0 := \min\{y_0 + x_0, y_0 - x_0\}$, $y_{max}^0 := \max\{y_0 + x_0, y_0 - x_0\}$.




FIGURE 5. Phase portrait of PWHS (14), with $z_0 = x_0 + i$, $a = 1$, and $b = 2$.

Case 4. Now, we consider $\dot{z}^- = f'(p)(z - z_0)$ and $\dot{z}^+ = \frac{1}{(z - z_0)^n}$, with $n = 1$, $f'(p) = a + ib$, $a, b > 0$, and $z_0 = x_0 + iy_0$. The PWHS is

$$(16) \quad \begin{cases} z^- = (a + ib)(z - z_0), & \text{when } x < 0, \\ z^+ = \frac{1}{z - z_0}, & \text{when } x > 0. \end{cases}$$

Writing in cartesian coordinates, we have

$$(17) \quad \begin{cases} (\dot{x}^-, \dot{y}^-) = (a(x - x_0) - b(y - y_0), b(x - x_0) + a(y - y_0)), & \text{when } x < 0, \\ (\dot{x}^+, \dot{y}^+) = \left(\frac{x - x_0}{(x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2}, -\frac{y - y_0}{(x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2} \right), & \text{when } x > 0. \end{cases}$$

As $u_1 u_2 = \frac{x_0(ax_0+b(y-y_0))}{x_0^2+(y-y_0)^2}$ in Σ , then we get the following table:

b	x_0	Σ^w	Σ_s^s	Σ_u^s	Σ^t
\mathbb{R}	0				$\mathbb{R} \setminus \{y_0\}$
+	+	$(y_0 - \frac{a}{b}x_0, +\infty)$	$(-\infty, y_0 - \frac{a}{b}x_0)$		$y_0 - \frac{a}{b}x_0$
+	-	$(-\infty, y_0 - \frac{a}{b}x_0)$		$(y_0 - \frac{a}{b}x_0, +\infty)$	$y_0 - \frac{a}{b}x_0$
-	+	$(-\infty, y_0 - \frac{a}{b}x_0)$	$(y_0 - \frac{a}{b}x_0, +\infty)$		$y_0 - \frac{a}{b}x_0$
-	-	$(y_0 - \frac{a}{b}x_0, +\infty)$		$(-\infty, y_0 - \frac{a}{b}x_0)$	$y_0 - \frac{a}{b}x_0$




FIGURE 6. Phase portrait of PWHS (16), with $z_0 = x_0 - i$, $a = 1$, and $b = 1$.

Case 5. Now, we consider $\dot{z}^- = f'(p)(z - z_0)$ and $\dot{z}^+ = \frac{\gamma(z-z_0)^n}{(z-z_0)^{n-1}}$, with $n = 2$, $\gamma = 1$, $f'(p) = a + ib$, and $z_0 = iy_0$. The PWHS is

$$(18) \quad \begin{cases} z^- = (a + ib)(z - iy_0), & \text{when } x < 0, \\ z^+ = \frac{(z - iy_0)^2}{1 + (z - iy_0)}, & \text{when } x > 0. \end{cases}$$

Writing in cartesian coordinates, we have

$$(19) \quad \begin{cases} (\dot{x}^-, \dot{y}^-) = (ax - b(y - y_0), bx + a(y - y_0)), & \text{when } x < 0, \\ (\dot{x}^+, \dot{y}^+) = \left(\frac{x^2 + x^3 - (y - y_0)^2 + x(y - y_0)^2}{(x+1)^2 + (y - y_0)^2}, \frac{(2x + x^2 + (y - y_0)^2)(y - y_0)}{(x+1)^2 + (y - y_0)^2} \right), & \text{when } x > 0. \end{cases}$$

As $u_1 u_2 = \frac{b(y-y_0)^3}{1+(y-y_0)^2}$ in Σ , then we get the following table:

b	Σ^w	Σ_s^s	Σ^t
+	$(y_0, +\infty)$	$(-\infty, y_0)$	y_0
-	$(-\infty, y_0)$	$(y_0, +\infty)$	y_0

Now, consider f^+ and f^- as fields in the plane (see Remark 6), i.e. $f^+ = (u_1, v_1)$ and $f^- = (u_2, v_2)$. Then, we can write the sewing region, the attracting sliding region, and the repelling sliding region as follow:

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma^w &= \{p \in \Sigma : f^+ h(p) \cdot f^- h(p) > 0\}, \\ \Sigma_s^s &= \{p \in \Sigma : f^+ h(p) < 0, f^- h(p) > 0\}, \text{ and} \\ \Sigma_u^s &= \{p \in \Sigma : f^+ h(p) > 0, f^- h(p) < 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $h(x, y) = x$, $\Sigma = \{(x, y) | x = 0\} = h^{-1}(0)$, and $f^\pm h(p) = \langle \nabla h(p), f^\pm(p) \rangle$ denotes the Lie derivative of h in the direction of the vector fields f^\pm .




FIGURE 7. Phase portrait of PWHS (18), with $z_0 = i$, $a = 1$, and $b = 1$.

Recall that if $f_{\pm}^h(t) := h \circ \varphi_{f_{\pm}}(t, p)$, where $t \mapsto \varphi_{f_{\pm}}(t, p)$ is the trajectory of f^{\pm} starting at p , then $(f_{\pm}^h)'(0) = \langle \nabla h(p), f^{\pm}(p) \rangle = f^{\pm}h(p)$.

Remark 6. We emphasize that there is a change of coordinates $\rho : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ between vector fields in the real plane and vector fields in the complex plane (see Figure 8).




FIGURE 8. Change of coordinates $\rho : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ associated with the vector fields F and \tilde{F} .

Definition 7. We say that \tilde{F} and \tilde{G} are 0-conformally conjugated as functions of \mathbb{R}^2 in \mathbb{R}^2 if F and G are 0-conformally conjugated as functions of \mathbb{C} in \mathbb{C} .

Theorem 8. Suppose that f^{\pm} and g^{\pm} are holomorphic and 0-conformally conjugate with conformal map Φ . Then Φ preserves sewing and sliding regions, i.e.:

- (a) If $p \in \Sigma^w$, then $\Phi(p) \in \tilde{\Sigma}^w := \Phi(\Sigma^w)$.
- (b) If $p \in \Sigma_s^s$, then $\Phi(p) \in \tilde{\Sigma}_a^s := \Phi(\Sigma_s^s)$.
- (c) If $p \in \Sigma_u^s$, then $\Phi(p) \in \tilde{\Sigma}_r^s := \Phi(\Sigma_u^s)$.

Proof. We will prove item (a), items (b) and (c) are verified analogously. Consider $p \in \Sigma^w$, then $f^+h(p) \cdot f^-h(p) = f_1^+(p) \cdot f_1^-(p) > 0$. Now, let \tilde{h} be a function, such that $\tilde{\Sigma} = \tilde{h}^{-1}(0)$. Notice that $\tilde{\Sigma} = \Phi(\Sigma)$ implies that $h(x, y) = \tilde{h} \circ \Phi(x, y)$, for all $(x, y) \in \Sigma$. Moreover,

$$(20) \quad \nabla h(p) = \nabla \tilde{h}(\Phi(p)) D\Phi(p).$$

To prove that $\Phi(p) \in \tilde{\Sigma}^w$ it is enough to verify that $g^+\tilde{h}(p) \cdot g^-\tilde{h}(p) > 0$. Indeed, consider $f_{\pm}^{\tilde{h}}(t) = \tilde{h} \circ \varphi_{g^{\pm}}(t, \Phi(p))$. Since f^{\pm} and g^{\pm} are 0-conformally conjugate,

then $\varphi_{g^\pm}(t, \Phi(x, y)) = \Phi \circ \varphi_{f^\pm}(t, x, y)$, for all $(x, y) \in D(0, R) \setminus \{0\}$. Hence, $f_\pm^\pm(t) = \tilde{h} \circ \Phi \circ \varphi_{f^\pm}(t, p)$ and using equation (20), we get $(f_\pm^\pm)'(0) = f_1^\pm(p)$. Therefore, $g^+ \tilde{h}(p) \cdot g^- \tilde{h}(p) = f_1^+(p) \cdot f_1^-(p) > 0$. Consequently, we get item (a). \square

Using the same ideas of the proof of Theorem 8, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 9. *Suppose that f^\pm and g^\pm are holomorphic and 0-conformally conjugate with conformal map Φ^\pm . If $\Phi^\pm(\Sigma) = \tilde{\Sigma}$, then*

$$\Phi = \left(\frac{1 + \operatorname{sgn}(x)}{2} \right) \Phi^+ + \left(\frac{1 - \operatorname{sgn}(x)}{2} \right) \Phi^-$$

preserves sewing and sliding regions.

3.2. Tangencial points. In the Filippov context, the notion of Σ -singular points comprehends the tangential points Σ^t constituted by the contact points between $f^+ = u_1 + iv_1$ and $f^- = u_2 + iv_2$ with Σ , i.e. $\Sigma^t = \{p \in \Sigma : u_1 \cdot u_2 = 0\}$, where $\Sigma = \{\Re(z) = 0\}$.

Here, we are interested in contact points of finite degeneracy. For that reason, consider the following definition.

Definition 10. *Consider the PWHS given by (8), $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $q \in \Sigma^t$.*

- *q is called a contact of multiplicity k between f^+ and Σ when $u_1(q) = 0$, $v_1(q) \neq 0$, $\frac{\partial^{i-2}}{\partial y^{i-2}} \left(\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x} \right) (q) = 0$, for each $i = 2, \dots, k-1$, and $\frac{\partial^{k-2}}{\partial y^{k-2}} \left(\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x} \right) (q) \neq 0$. Even more, if k is even, then q is visible when $v_1^{k-1}(q) \frac{\partial^{k-2}}{\partial y^{k-2}} \left(\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x} \right) (q) < 0$ and invisible otherwise.*
- *q is called a contact of multiplicity k between f^- and Σ when $u_2(q) = 0$, $v_2(q) \neq 0$, $\frac{\partial^{i-2}}{\partial y^{i-2}} \left(\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x} \right) (q) = 0$, for each $i = 2, \dots, k-1$, and $\frac{\partial^{k-2}}{\partial y^{k-2}} \left(\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x} \right) (q) \neq 0$. Even more, if k is even, then q is visible when $v_2^{k-1}(q) \frac{\partial^{k-2}}{\partial y^{k-2}} \left(\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x} \right) (q) > 0$ and invisible otherwise.*

Definition 11. *Consider the PWHS given by (8) and $q \in \Sigma^t$:*

- *If q is a visible (resp. invisible) contact of multiplicity k between f^+ and Σ and q is a visible (resp. invisible) contact of multiplicity k between f^- and Σ , then it is called a visible-visible (resp. invisible-invisible) tangential singularity of multiplicity k .*
- *If q is a visible (resp. invisible) contact of multiplicity k between f^+ and Σ and q is an invisible (resp. visible) contact of multiplicity k between f^- and Σ , then it is called an invisible-visible (resp. visible-invisible) tangential singularity of multiplicity k .*

In what follows we characterize the contacts multiplicity $k = 2$ of the holomorphic functions f^\pm with Σ . These contacts are known as fold singularities.

Proposition 12. *Let $F = u + iv$ be a holomorphic function defined in some punctured neighborhood of $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $z = x + iy$. Then q is a fold singularity of F with respect to $\Sigma = \{\Re(z) = 0\}$ if, and only if, $u(q) = 0$, $v(q) \neq 0$, and $\Im(F'(q)) \neq 0$.*

Proof. Since F is a holomorphic function at q , then $F'(q) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(q) + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(q)$. Thus, $\Im(F'(q)) = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(q)$. The result follows from the Definition 10 for $k = 2$. \square

Since conformal maps preserve angles, then tangential contacts are also preserved by these maps. Furthermore, locally the conformal maps preserve figures in the vicinity of the tangential contact, so if a tangential contact is even (resp. odd), then it is preserved by said maps.

Now, consider f^+ and f^- as fields in the plane (see Remark 6), i.e. $f^+ = (u_1, v_1)$ and $f^- = (u_2, v_2)$. Then, we can write the set of tangential points as $\Sigma^t = \{p \in \Sigma : f^+h(p) \cdot f^-h(p) = 0\}$, where $h(x, y) = x$, $\Sigma = \{(x, y) | x = 0\} = h^{-1}(0)$, and $f^\pm h(p) = \langle \nabla h(p), f^\pm(p) \rangle$ denotes the Lie derivative of h in the direction of the vector fields f^\pm . In addition, $(f^\pm)^i h(p) = f^\pm((f^\pm)^{i-1} h)(p)$ for $i > 1$.

Recall that p is a *contact of order $k - 1$* (or multiplicity k) between f^\pm and Σ if 0 is a root of multiplicity k of $f(t) := h \circ \varphi_{f^\pm}(t, p)$, where $t \mapsto \varphi_{f^\pm}(t, p)$ is the trajectory of f^\pm starting at p . Equivalently, $f^\pm h(p) = (f^\pm)^2 h(p) = \dots = (f^\pm)^{k-1} h(p) = 0$, and $(f^\pm)^k h(p) \neq 0$.

In addition, an even multiplicity contact, say $2k$, is called *visible* for f^+ (resp. f^-) when $(f^+)^{2k} h(p) > 0$ (resp. $(f^-)^{2k} h(p) < 0$). Otherwise, it is called *invisible*.

Recall that a *regular-tangential singularity of multiplicity $2k$* is formed by a contact of multiplicity $2k$ of f^+ and a regular point of f^- , or vice versa. In the literature, when $k = 1$, then a regular-tangential singularity of multiplicity 2 is called a regular-fold singularity.

Lemma 13. *Suppose that $G : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ and $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ are 0-conformally conjugate C^2 maps with conformal map Φ . If $p \in \Sigma$ is a fold singularity associated to G , then $\Phi(p) \in \tilde{\Sigma} := \Phi(\Sigma)$ is a fold singularity associated to F .*

Proof. Let $p \in \Sigma$ be a fold singularity associated to G , then 0 is a root of multiplicity 2 of $g(t) = h \circ \varphi_G(t, p)$. Thus, $g(0) = 0$, $g'(0) = \nabla h(p)G(p) = 0$ and $g''(0) = D^2 h_p(G(p), G(p)) + \nabla h(p) \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_G}{\partial t^2}(0, p) \neq 0$, where we have used chain rule and that $\frac{\partial \varphi_G(0, p)}{\partial t} = G(p)$.

Now, consider the function \tilde{h} , such that $\tilde{\Sigma} = \tilde{h}^{-1}(0)$. Notice that $\tilde{\Sigma} = \Phi(\Sigma)$ implies that $h(x, y) = \tilde{h} \circ \Phi(x, y)$, for all $(x, y) \in \Sigma$. Moreover,

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla h(p) &= \nabla \tilde{h}(\Phi(p)) D\Phi(p), \\ D^2 h(p) &= D^2 \tilde{h}_{\Phi(p)}(D\Phi(p), D\Phi(p)) + \nabla \tilde{h}(\Phi(p)) D^2 \Phi_p. \end{aligned}$$

To prove that $\Phi(p)$ is a fold singularity of F it is enough to verify that 0 is a root of multiplicity 2 of $f(t) = \tilde{h} \circ \varphi_F(t, \Phi(p))$. Indeed, since G and F are 0-conformally conjugate, then $\varphi_F(t, \Phi(x, y)) = \Phi \circ \varphi_G(t, x, y)$, for all $(x, y) \in D(0, R) \setminus \{0\}$. Hence, using the equations of (21), we get $f(0) = \tilde{h} \circ \varphi_F(0, \Phi(p)) = h(p) = 0$, $f'(0) = \nabla \tilde{h}(\Phi(p)) D\Phi(p)G(p) = \nabla h(p)G(p) = 0$ and

$$f''(0) = D^2 h_p(G(p), G(p)) + \nabla h(p) \frac{\partial^2 \varphi_G}{\partial t^2}(0, p) \neq 0.$$

Therefore, 0 is a root of multiplicity 2 and we can conclude the result. \square

The following result determines the type of contacts of the normal forms given in Proposition 4.

Proposition 14. *Let $G = u + iv$ be a holomorphic function defined in some punctured neighborhood of z_0 . Consider $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{z_0\}$ and the constants $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

- (a) If $G(z) = (a + ib)(z - z_0)$, then G only has fold singularities with respect to Σ when $b \neq 0$.
- (b) If $G(z) = (z - z_0)^n$ and n is even, then G only has fold singularities with respect to Σ .
- (c) If $G(z) = (z - z_0)^n$ and $n > 1$ is odd, then G only has fold singularities with respect to Σ when $\frac{(n-1)(2k+1)}{2n} \notin \mathbb{Z}$.
- (d) If $G(z) = \frac{1}{(z - z_0)^n}$ and n is even, then G only has fold singularities with respect to Σ .
- (e) If $G(z) = \frac{1}{(z - z_0)^n}$ and n is odd, then G only has fold singularities with respect to Σ when $\frac{(n+1)(2k+1)}{2n} \notin \mathbb{Z}$.
- (f) If $G(z) = \frac{\gamma(z - z_0)^n}{1 + (z - z_0)^{n-1}}$, then G only has singularities of multiplicity even with respect to Σ .

Proof. First, consider $G(z) = (a + ib)(z - z_0)$ whose polar form is given by

$$G(z) = |(a + ib)(z - z_0)| \cos(\theta) + i|(a + ib)(z - z_0)| \sin(\theta).$$

Hence, $u(z) = |(a + ib)(z - z_0)| \cos(\theta)$ and $v(z) = |(a + ib)(z - z_0)| \sin(\theta)$. Notice that $u = 0$ if, and only if, $\theta = \frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2}$, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, $v = |(a + ib)(z - z_0)|(-1)^k \neq 0$ when $\theta = \frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2}$, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. In addition, the derivative of the complex function $(a + ib)(z - z_0)$ is $a + ib$, consequently $\Im(G'(z)) = b$, when $\theta = \frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2}$, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Proposition 12 we can conclude item (a).

Now, consider $G(z) = (z - z_0)^n$. Writing G in its polar form, we have that $G(z) = |z - z_0|^n \cos(n\theta) + i|z - z_0|^n \sin(n\theta)$. Thus, $u(z) = |z - z_0|^n \cos(n\theta)$ and $v(z) = |z - z_0|^n \sin(n\theta)$. Notice that $u = 0$ if, and only if, $\theta = \frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2n}$, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, $v = |z - z_0|^n(-1)^k \neq 0$ when $\theta = \frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2n}$, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, since the derivative of the complex function $(z - z_0)^n$ is $n(z - z_0)^{n-1}$, we have that

$$\Im(G'(z)) = n|z - z_0|^{n-1} \sin\left(\frac{(n-1)(2k+1)\pi}{2n}\right),$$

when $\theta = \frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2n}$, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, if n is even, then $\frac{(n-1)(2k+1)}{2n} \notin \mathbb{Z}$, i.e. $\Im(G'(q)) \neq 0$. In addition, if n is odd, then $\Im(G'(q)) \neq 0$ if, and only if, $\frac{(n-1)(2k+1)}{2n} \notin \mathbb{Z}$. By Proposition 12 we get items (b) and (c).

On the other hand, consider $G(z) = \frac{1}{(z - z_0)^n}$. Writing G in its polar form, we get

$$G(z) = |z - z_0|^{-n} \cos(n\theta) - i|z - z_0|^{-n} \sin(n\theta).$$

Thus, $u(z) = |z - z_0|^{-n} \cos(n\theta)$ and $v(z) = -|z - z_0|^{-n} \sin(n\theta)$. Notice that $u = 0$ if, and only if, $\theta = \frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2n}$, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, $v = -|z - z_0|^{-n}(-1)^k \neq 0$ when $\theta = \frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2n}$, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, since the derivative of the complex function $(z - z_0)^{-n}$ is $-n(z - z_0)^{-n-1}$, we have that

$$\Im(G'(z)) = n|z - z_0|^{-n-1} \sin\left(\frac{(n+1)(2k+1)\pi}{2n}\right),$$

when $\theta = \frac{(2k+1)\pi}{2n}$, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, if n is even, then $\frac{(n+1)(2k+1)}{2n} \notin \mathbb{Z}$, i.e. $\Im(G'(q)) \neq 0$. In addition, if n is odd, then $\Im(G'(q)) \neq 0$ if, and only if, $\frac{(n+1)(2k+1)}{2n} \notin \mathbb{Z}$. By Proposition 12 we get items (d) and (e).

Finally, consider $G(z) = \frac{\gamma(z-z_0)^n}{1+(z-z_0)^{n-1}}$. Let $w = \Phi(z) = (z-z_0)^{1-n}$ be a conformal map. Thus we obtain the vector field $F(w) = \frac{\gamma(1-n)}{1+\frac{1}{w}}$. Writing F in its polar form, we get

$$F(w) = \frac{\gamma(1-n)(1+|w|^{-1}\cos(\theta))}{1+2|w|^{-1}\cos(\theta)+|w|^{-2}} + i \frac{\gamma(1-n)|w|^{-1}\sin(\theta)}{1+2|w|^{-1}\cos(\theta)+|w|^{-2}}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} u(w) &= \frac{\gamma(1-n)(1+|w|^{-1}\cos(\theta))}{1+2|w|^{-1}\cos(\theta)+|w|^{-2}}, \\ v(w) &= \frac{\gamma(1-n)|w|^{-1}\sin(\theta)}{1+2|w|^{-1}\cos(\theta)+|w|^{-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $u = 0$ if, and only if, $1+|w|^{-1}\cos(\theta) = 0$, which implies that $\cos(\theta) \neq 0$. Hence, $v(w) = \frac{\gamma(1-n)\cos(\theta)}{\sin(\theta)} \neq 0$, when $1+|w|^{-1}\cos(\theta) = 0$. Moreover, since the derivative of the complex function $\frac{\gamma(1-n)}{1+\frac{1}{w}}$ is $\frac{\gamma(1-n)}{(1+w)^2}$, we have that

$$\Im(F'(w)) = \frac{2\gamma(1-n)\cos(\theta)}{\sin(\theta)} \neq 0,$$

when $1+|w|^{-1}\cos(\theta) = 0$. By Proposition 12 we get item (f). \square

Now we establish the main theorem of this section, which is a direct consequence of Propositions 4 and 14 and Lemma 13.

Theorem 15. *Let F be a holomorphic function defined in some punctured neighborhood of $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Consider $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{z_0\}$ and the constants $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$.*

- (a) *If $F(z_0) = 0$ and $\text{Im}(F'(z_0)) \neq 0$, then there exists a conformal map Φ such that F only has fold singularities with respect to $\Phi(\Sigma)$.*
- (b) *If $F(z_0) = 0$, z_0 is a zero of F of order $n > 1$ with n even, and $\text{Res}(1/F, z_0) = 0$, then there exists a conformal map Φ such that F only has fold singularities with respect to $\Phi(\Sigma)$.*
- (c) *If $F(z_0) = 0$, z_0 is a zero of F of order $n > 1$ with n odd, $\frac{(n-1)(2k+1)}{2n} \notin \mathbb{Z}$, and $\text{Res}(1/F, z_0) = 0$, then there exists a conformal map Φ such that F only has fold singularities with respect to $\Phi(\Sigma)$.*
- (d) *If z_0 is a pole of F of order n with n even, then there exists a conformal map Φ such that F only has fold singularities with respect to $\Phi(\Sigma)$.*
- (e) *If z_0 is a pole of F of order n with n odd and $\frac{(n-1)(2k+1)}{2n} \notin \mathbb{Z}$, then there exists a conformal map Φ such that F only has fold singularities with respect to $\Phi(\Sigma)$.*
- (f) *If $F(z_0) = 0$, z_0 is a zero of F of order $n > 1$, and $\text{Res}(1/F, z_0) = 1/\gamma$, then there exists a conformal map Φ such that F only has tangential singularities of multiplicity even with respect to $\Phi(\Sigma)$.*

Now, we present an example of a holomorphic function defined in some punctured neighborhood of $z_0 = 0 \in \mathbb{C}$ with an essential singularity at $z_0 = 0$, which has infinite contacts of multiplicity 3.

Example 16. Consider the ODE

$$(22) \quad \dot{z} = z^m \exp\left(\frac{1}{z^n}\right),$$

where $n \geq 1$ and $m \geq n + 1$. Doing a scaling of the time and writing $z = x + iy$, system (22) becomes a real smooth planar system in a punctured neighborhood of the origin of the form

$$(23) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{x} = P_m(x, y) \cos\left(\frac{R_n(x, y)}{(x^2 + y^2)^n}\right) - Q_m(x, y) \sin\left(\frac{R_n(x, y)}{(x^2 + y^2)^n}\right), \\ \dot{y} = P_m(x, y) \sin\left(\frac{R_n(x, y)}{(x^2 + y^2)^n}\right) + Q_m(x, y) \cos\left(\frac{R_n(x, y)}{(x^2 + y^2)^n}\right), \end{cases}$$

where P_m , Q_m , and R_n are given by

$$\begin{aligned} P_m(x, y) &= \sum_{j=0}^l \frac{m!}{(m-2j)!(2j)!} x^{m-2j} (-1)^j y^{2j}, \\ Q_m(x, y) &= \sum_{j=1}^s \frac{m!}{(m-(2j-1))!(2j-1)!} x^{m-(2j-1)} (-1)^{j-1} y^{2j-1}, \\ R_n(x, y) &= \sum_{j=1}^s \frac{n!}{(n-(2j-1))!(2j-1)!} x^{n-(2j-1)} (-1)^j y^{2j-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $l = s = \frac{m}{2}$ when m is even, $l = \frac{m-1}{2}$ and $s = \frac{m+1}{2}$ when m is odd, $s = \frac{n}{2}$ when n is even, and $s = \frac{n+1}{2}$ when n is odd. Now, consider the functions

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(x, y) &= P_m(x, y) \cos\left(\frac{R_n(x, y)}{(x^2 + y^2)^n}\right) - Q_m(x, y) \sin\left(\frac{R_n(x, y)}{(x^2 + y^2)^n}\right), \\ v_1(x, y) &= P_m(x, y) \sin\left(\frac{R_n(x, y)}{(x^2 + y^2)^n}\right) + Q_m(x, y) \cos\left(\frac{R_n(x, y)}{(x^2 + y^2)^n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that if n is odd, m is even, and $k \geq 1$ then $u_1(p_k) = 0$ if, and only if, $p_k = \left(0, \sqrt[n]{\frac{2(-1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}{(2k+1)\pi}}\right)$. And if n, m are odd and $k \geq 1$ then $u_1(q_k) = 0$ if, and only if, $q_k = \left(0, \sqrt[n]{\frac{(-1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}{k\pi}}\right)$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} v_1(p_k) &= \left(\sqrt[n]{\frac{2(-1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}{(2k+1)\pi}}\right)^m (-1)^{k+\frac{m}{2}} \neq 0, \\ \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x}(p_k) &= 0, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x}\right)(p_k) &= mn(-1)^{\frac{m+n-1}{2}+k} \left(\sqrt[n]{\frac{2(-1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}{(2k+1)\pi}}\right)^{m-n-2} \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} v_1(q_k) &= \left(\sqrt[n]{\frac{(-1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}{k\pi}}\right)^m (-1)^{k+\frac{m-1}{2}} \neq 0, \\ \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x}(q_k) &= 0, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x}\right)(q_k) &= mn(-1)^{\frac{m+n}{2}+1+k} \left(\sqrt[n]{\frac{(-1)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}{k\pi}}\right)^{m-n-2} \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Definition 10, we conclude that p_k and q_k are contacts of multiplicity 3, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We conclude this session by presenting some PWHS from Section 3 that has at least one singularity of the above.

Example 17. We take $\dot{z}^+ = f'(p)(z - z_0)$, where $f'(p) = a + ib$, $b \neq 0$, and $z_0 = x_0 + iy_0$. The PWHS is given by

$$(24) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{z}^- = 1, & \text{when } \Re(z) < 0, \\ \dot{z}^+ = f'(p)(z - z_0), & \text{when } \Re(z) > 0. \end{cases}$$

In cartesian coordinates, we have

$$(25) \quad \begin{cases} (\dot{x}^-, \dot{y}^-) = (1, 0), & \text{when } x < 0, \\ (\dot{x}^+, \dot{y}^+) = (a(x - x_0) - b(y - y_0), b(x - x_0) + a(y - y_0)), & \text{when } x > 0. \end{cases}$$

Taking $q = (0, y_0 - \frac{a}{b}x_0)$, we have that $u_1(q) = 0$, $v_1(q) = -\frac{(b^2+a^2)}{b}x_0 \neq 0$ for $x_0 \neq 0$, $\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x}(q) = b \neq 0$, and $f^-(q) = (1, 0)$. Thus, by Definition 10 we conclude that q is a visible regular-fold singularity when $x_0 > 0$ and q is an invisible regular-fold singularity when $x_0 < 0$ (see Figure 9).




FIGURE 9. The visible regular-fold singularity $q = (0, 1)$, for $a = 0$ and $b = 1$.

Example 18. Consider \dot{z}^- and \dot{z}^+ given by $(a + ib)(z - z_0)$ and $id(z - z_0)$ respectively, with $b, d \neq 0$ and $z_0 = x_0 + iy_0$. In cartesian coordinates, we have

$$(26) \quad \begin{cases} (\dot{x}^-, \dot{y}^-) = (a(x - x_0) - b(y - y_0), b(x - x_0) + a(y - y_0)), & \text{when } x < 0, \\ (\dot{x}^+, \dot{y}^+) = (-d(y - y_0), d(x - x_0)), & \text{when } x > 0. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that $a = 0$ and $x_0 \neq 0$. Taking $q = (0, y_0)$, we have that $u_1(q) = 0$, $v_1(q) = -dx_0 \neq 0$, and $\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x}(q) = d \neq 0$. In addition, notice that $u_2(q) = 0$, $v_2(q) = -bx_0 \neq 0$, and $\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x}(q) = b \neq 0$. Thus, by Definition 10 we conclude that q is an invisible-visible fold singularity of (26) when $x_0 > 0$ and q is a visible-invisible fold singularity of (26) when $x_0 < 0$.

Suppose that $a \neq 0$ and $x_0 \neq 0$.

- Taking $q = (0, y_0)$, we have that $u_1(q) = 0$, $v_1(q) = -dx_0 \neq 0$, and $\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x}(q) = d \neq 0$. In addition, notice that $f^-(q) = (-ax_0, -bx_0) \neq (0, 0)$. Thus, by Definition 10 we conclude that q is a visible regular-fold singularity of (26)

when $x_0 > 0$ and q is an invisible regular-fold singularity of (26) when $x_0 < 0$.

- Taking $q = (0, y_0 - \frac{a}{b}x_0)$, we have that $u_2(q) = 0$, $v_2(q) = -\frac{b^2+a^2}{b}x_0 \neq 0$, and $\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x}(q) = b \neq 0$. In addition, notice that $f^+(q) = (\frac{ad}{b}x_0, -dx_0) \neq (0, 0)$. Thus, by Definition 10 we conclude that q is an invisible regular-fold singularity of (26) when $x_0 > 0$ and q is a visible regular-fold singularity of (26) when $x_0 < 0$ (see Figure 10).




FIGURE 10. The invisible regular-fold singularity $q_1 = (0, 1)$ and the visible regular-fold singularity $q_2 = (0, 2)$ for $a = 1$, $b = 2$, and $d = 1$.

Example 19. Consider $\dot{z}^- = f'(p)(z - z_0)$ and $\dot{z}^+ = (z - z_0)^n$, with $n = 2$ and $z_0 = x_0 + iy_0$. In cartesian coordinates, we have

$$(27) \quad \begin{cases} (\dot{x}^-, \dot{y}^-) = (a(x - x_0) - b(y - y_0), b(x - x_0) + a(y - y_0)), & \text{when } x < 0, \\ (\dot{x}^+, \dot{y}^+) = ((x - x_0)^2 - (y - y_0)^2, 2(x - x_0)(y - y_0)), & \text{when } x > 0. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that $b \neq 0$ and $x_0 \neq 0$.

- Taking $q = (0, y_0 + x_0)$, we have that $u_1(q) = 0$, $v_1(q) = -2x_0^2 \neq 0$, and $\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x}(q) = 2x_0 \neq 0$. In addition, notice that

$$u_2(q) = -x_0(a + b) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a = -b, \\ \neq 0 & \text{if } a \neq -b, \end{cases}$$

$$v_2(q) = x_0(a - b) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a = b, \\ \neq 0 & \text{if } a \neq b, \end{cases}$$

and $\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x}(q) = b \neq 0$. Thus, by Definition 10 we conclude that if $x_0 > 0$ and $a = -b$ then q is an invisible-visible fold singularity of (27) and if $x_0 < 0$ and $a = -b$ then q is a visible-invisible fold singularity of (27). Moreover, if $x_0 > 0$ and $a \neq -b$, then q is a visible fold singularity of f^+ and a regular point of f^- , and if $x_0 < 0$ and $a \neq -b$, then q is an invisible fold singularity of f^+ and a regular point of f^- (see Figure 11).

- Taking $q = (0, y_0 - x_0)$, we have that $u_1(q) = 0$, $v_1(q) = 2x_0^2 \neq 0$, and $\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x}(q) = -2x_0 \neq 0$. In addition, notice that

$$u_2(q) = x_0(b - a) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a = b, \\ \neq 0 & \text{if } a \neq b, \end{cases}$$

$$v_2(q) = -x_0(a + b) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a = -b, \\ \neq 0 & \text{if } a \neq -b, \end{cases}$$

and $\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x}(q) = b \neq 0$. Thus, by Definition 10 we conclude that if $x_0 > 0$ and $a = b$ then q is an invisible-visible fold singularity of (27) and if $x_0 < 0$ and $a = b$ then q is a visible-invisible fold singularity of (27) (see Figure 11).

- Taking $q = (0, y_0 - \frac{a}{b}x_0)$, we have that $u_2(q) = 0$, $v_2(q) = -x_0 \frac{a^2 + b^2}{b} \neq 0$, and $\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x}(q) = b \neq 0$. In addition, notice that

$$u_1(q) = \frac{(b - a)(b + a)}{b^2} x_0^2 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } b = \pm a, \\ \neq 0 & \text{if } b \neq \pm a. \end{cases}$$

$$v_1(q) = \frac{2a}{b} x_0^2 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } a = 0, \\ \neq 0 & \text{if } a \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

and $\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x}(q) = \frac{-2a}{b} x_0$. Thus, by Definition 10 we conclude that if $x_0 > 0$ and $b = \pm a$, then q is an invisible-visible fold singularity of (27) and if $x_0 < 0$ and $b = \pm a$, then q is a visible-invisible fold singularity of (27). Moreover, if $x_0 < 0$ and $b \neq \pm a$, then q is a visible fold singularity of f^- and a regular point of f^+ , and if $x_0 > 0$ and $b \neq \pm a$, then q is an invisible fold singularity of f^- and a regular point of f^+ .

Now, suppose that $b = 0$, $a \neq 0$, and $x_0 \neq 0$. Taking $q = (0, y_0 \pm x_0)$, we have that $u_1(q) = 0$, $v_1(q) = \mp 2x_0^2 \neq 0$, and $\frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x}(q) = \pm 2x_0 \neq 0$. In addition, notice that $u_2(q) = -ax_0 \neq 0$ and $\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x}(q) = \pm ax_0 \neq 0$. Thus, by Definition 10 we conclude that q is a visible regular-fold singularity when $x_0 > 0$ and q is an invisible regular-fold singularity when $x_0 < 0$.




FIGURE 11. The invisible regular-fold singularity $q_1 = (0, -1)$ and the visible-invisible fold singularity $q_2 = (0, 3)$ for $a = 1$ and $b = 1$.

4. REGULARIZATION OF PWHS

In this section we are interested in determining if the regularized system associated with a PWHS preserves the property of being holomorphic. For that, consider two holomorphic ordinary differential equations

$$\dot{z}^+ = f^+(z), \quad \dot{z}^- = f^-(z)$$

defined in \mathbb{C} . A piecewise-smooth holomorphic system is $\dot{z} = F(z)$ with

$$(28) \quad F = \left(\frac{1 + \operatorname{sgn}(\Re)}{2} \right) f^+ + \left(\frac{1 - \operatorname{sgn}(\Re)}{2} \right) f^-.$$

The set $\Sigma = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Re(z) = 0\}$ is called *switching manifold*.

The regularization process of a piecewise smooth vector field F consists in obtaining a one-parameter family of continuous vector fields F_ε converging to F when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. More specifically, the Sotomayor-Teixeira regularization (*ST-regularization*) is the one parameter family F^ε given by

$$(29) \quad F^\varepsilon = \left(\frac{1 + \varphi(\Re/\varepsilon)}{2} \right) f^+ + \left(\frac{1 - \varphi(\Re/\varepsilon)}{2} \right) f^-,$$

where $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ is a *Sotomayor-Teixeira transition function*, i.e. a smooth function satisfying that $\varphi(t) = 1$ for $t \geq 1$, $\varphi(t) = -1$ for $t \leq -1$ and $\varphi'(t) > 0$ for $t \in (-1, 1)$ and $\varphi^{(i)}(\pm 1) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. The regularization is smooth for $\varepsilon > 0$ and satisfies that $F^\varepsilon = f^+$ on $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Re(z) \geq \varepsilon\}$ and $F^\varepsilon = f^-$ on $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Re(z) \leq -\varepsilon\}$.

Theorem 20. *Let φ be a Sotomayor-Teixeira transition function. If there exists some $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the regularization (29) is holomorphic then $f^+(z) = f^-(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. The result is an immediate consequence of the principle of identity of the analytical functions. Indeed, if two analytical functions coincide in an open subset, then they coincide throughout their domain. \square

Recently, some authors have considered a broader family of transition functions (see Definition 21) that include analytical functions such as $\tanh(x)$ and $\frac{2}{\pi} \arctan(x)$ and other non-analytic functions such as the Sotomayor-Teixeira transition functions. Readers are referred to [16, 17, 18] for more information on these transition functions.

Definition 21. *The transition function $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ is a smooth function C^n which is strictly increasing $\phi'(s) > 0$ for every s such that $\phi(s) \in (-1, 1)$ and $\phi(s) \rightarrow \pm 1$, for $s \rightarrow \pm\infty$.*

Notice that

$$F^\varepsilon(z) \rightarrow \begin{cases} f^+(z) & \text{for } \Re(z) > 0, \\ f^-(z) & \text{for } \Re(z) < 0. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 20 still holds for the transition functions of Definition 21.

Theorem 22. *Let ϕ be a transition function satisfying the conditions of Definition 21. If there exists some $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the regularization (29) is holomorphic then $f^+(z) = f^-(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. Since $F^\varepsilon = u^\varepsilon + iv^\varepsilon$ is a holomorphic function, then its partial derivatives satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann equations:

$$(30) \quad u_x^\varepsilon = v_y^\varepsilon, \quad u_y^\varepsilon = -v_x^\varepsilon, \quad \forall z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}.$$

If $f^+ = u_1 + iv_1$, $f^- = u_2 + iv_2$ then

$$(31) \quad \begin{aligned} u_x^\varepsilon &= \frac{\phi'(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})}{4} u_1(x + iy) + \frac{1 + \phi(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})}{2} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x}(x + iy) - \frac{\phi'(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})}{4} u_2(x + iy) + \frac{1 - \phi(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})}{2} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x}(x + iy); \\ u_y^\varepsilon &= \frac{1 + \phi(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})}{2} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y}(x + iy) - \frac{1 - \phi(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})}{2} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial y}(x + iy); \\ v_x^\varepsilon &= \frac{\phi'(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})}{4} v_1(x + iy) + \frac{1 + \phi(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})}{2} \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x}(x + iy) - \frac{\phi'(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})}{4} v_2(x + iy) + \frac{1 - \phi(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})}{2} \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x}(x + iy); \\ v_y^\varepsilon &= \frac{1 + \phi(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})}{2} \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial y}(x + iy) - \frac{1 - \phi(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})}{2} \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial y}(x + iy). \end{aligned}$$

Since f^+ and f^- are holomorphic functions, then its partial derivatives satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann equations:

$$(32) \quad \begin{aligned} (u_1)_x &= (v_1)_y, & (u_1)_y &= -(v_1)_x, & \forall z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}; \\ (u_2)_x &= (v_2)_y, & (u_2)_y &= -(v_2)_x, & \forall z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, substituting (32) in (31) e using (30) we get that $\frac{\phi'(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})}{4}(u_1(x + iy) - u_2(x + iy)) = 0$ and $\frac{\phi'(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})}{4}(v_1(x + iy) - v_2(x + iy)) = 0$, respectively. As $\phi'(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}) > 0$ for all $x \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$, then $f^+(x + iy) = f^-(x + iy)$ for all $x \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, using the principle of identity of the analytic functions, we can conclude that $f^+(z) = f^-(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. \square

Now, the trajectories of the regularized system (29) are the solutions of the slow-fast system

$$(33) \quad \varepsilon \dot{\bar{x}} = \frac{(1 + \varphi(\bar{x}))u_1 + (1 - \varphi(\bar{x}))u_2}{2}, \quad \dot{y} = \frac{(1 + \varphi(\bar{x}))v_1 + (1 - \varphi(\bar{x}))v_2}{2},$$

where $x = \varepsilon \bar{x}$.

We refer to the set $\mathcal{S} = \{(\bar{x}, y) : (1 + \varphi(\bar{x}))u_1 + (1 - \varphi(\bar{x}))u_2 = 0\}$ as being the *critical manifold*. System (33) when the parameter ε is 0 is called *reduced system*.

Theorem 23. *The sliding region Σ^s is homeomorphic to the normally hyperbolic part of the critical manifold \mathcal{S} and the sliding vector field F^Σ is topologically equivalent to the reduced system.*

See Figure 12.

In [5] and [17], asymptotic methods and blow-up methods were used to study C^n -regularizations of generic regular-fold singularities respectively. Following [12], these authors used the local normal form of Filippov systems, close to $\Sigma = \{x = 0\}$, around a visible fold-regular singularity, which is given by $\tilde{f}^- = (1, 0)$ and $\tilde{f}^+ = (2y, 1)$. Notice that $f^+ = u_1 + iv_1$ is not a holomorphic function because $(u_1)_y = 2 \neq 0 = -(v_1)_x$.

In what follows, we are concerned in studying the regularization of PWHS around visible regular-fold singularities. For that we use the normal forms given in Proposition 4 and Theorem 1 of [21].

Consider $\dot{z}^+ = G(z)$, where G is one of the following fields:

- (i) $G(z) = f'(p)(z - z_0)$, with $f'(p) = a + ib$, $z_0 = x_0 + iy_0$, $b < 0$, and $x_0 > 0$;




FIGURE 12. In the vertical range is drawn the phase portrait of the slow-fast system with $\varepsilon = 0$, $\bar{x} \in [-1, 1]$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$. The red curve is the slow manifold \mathcal{S} and the sliding region Σ^s . The double arrow represents the fast flow.

- (ii) $G(z) = (z - z_0)^2$, with $x_0 > 0$;
- (iii) $G(z) = \frac{1}{(z - z_0)^2}$, with $x_0 < 0$;
- (iv) $G(z) = \frac{(z - z_0)^2}{1 + (z - z_0)}$, with $0 < x_0 < 1$.

The PWHS is given by

$$(34) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{z}^- = 1, & \text{when } \Re(z) < 0, \\ \dot{z}^+ = G(z), & \text{when } \Re(z) > 0. \end{cases}$$

In cartesian coordinates, we have

$$(35) \quad \begin{cases} (\dot{x}^-, \dot{y}^-) = (1, 0), & \text{when } x < 0, \\ (\dot{x}^+, \dot{y}^+) = \widetilde{f}^+(x, y), & \text{when } x > 0, \end{cases}$$

where

- (i) $\widetilde{f}^+(x, y) = (a(x - x_0) - b(y - y_0), b(x - x_0) + a(y - y_0))$,
- (ii) $\widetilde{f}^+(x, y) = ((x - x_0)^2 - (y - y_0)^2, 2(x - x_0)(y - y_0))$,
- (iii) $\widetilde{f}^+(x, y) = \left(\frac{(x - x_0 + y - y_0)(x - x_0 - y + y_0)}{((x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2)^2}, -\frac{2(x - x_0)(y - y_0)}{((x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2)^2} \right)$,
- (iv) $\widetilde{f}^+(x, y) = \left(\frac{x^3 + x^2(1 - 3x_0) + x_0^2 - x_0^3 + x(-2x_0 + 3x_0^2 + (y - y_0)^2) - (1 + x_0)(y - y_0)^2}{1 + x^2 - 2x(x_0 - 1) - 2x_0 + x_0^2 + (y - y_0)^2}, \right. \\ \left. + \frac{(x^2 - 2x(x_0 - 1) - 2x_0 + x_0^2 + (y - y_0)^2)(y - y_0)}{1 + x^2 - 2x(x_0 - 1) - 2x_0 + x_0^2 + (y - y_0)^2} \right)$,

respectively. Notice that

- (i) $p = (0, y_0 - \frac{a}{b}x_0)$,
- (ii) $p = (0, y_0 - x_0)$,
- (iii) $p = (0, y_0 + x_0)$, and
- (iv) $p = \left(0, \frac{-\sqrt{x_0^2 - x_0^4 + y_0 + x_0 y_0}}{1 + x_0} \right)$

are visible regular-fold singularities, respectively. In what follows we study the dynamics of the regularized system of (35) around p . For that, we consider the translations:

- (i) $\hat{x} = x$ and $\hat{y} = y - y_0 + \frac{a}{b}x_0$,
- (ii) $\hat{x} = x$ and $\hat{y} = y - y_0 + x_0$,

- (iii) $\hat{x} = x$ and $\hat{y} = y - y_0 - x_0$, and
- (iv) $\hat{x} = x$ and $\hat{y} = y - \frac{-\sqrt{x_0^2 - x_0^4} + y_0 + x_0 y_0}{1 + x_0}$,

respectively. Then, the vector field \widehat{f}^+ at the coordinates (\hat{x}, \hat{y}) is given by

- (i) $\widehat{f}^+(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) = (a(\hat{x} - x_0) - b(\hat{y} - \frac{a}{b}x_0), b(\hat{x} - x_0) + a(\hat{y} - \frac{a}{b}x_0))$,
- (ii) $\widehat{f}^+(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) = ((\hat{x} - x_0)^2 - (\hat{y} - x_0)^2, 2(\hat{x} - x_0)(\hat{y} - x_0))$,
- (iii) $\widehat{f}^+(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) = \left(\frac{(\hat{y} + \hat{x})(-\hat{y} + \hat{x} - 2x_0)}{((\hat{x} - x_0)^2 + (\hat{y} + x_0)^2)^2}, \frac{-2(\hat{x} - x_0)(\hat{y} + x_0)}{((\hat{x} - x_0)^2 + (\hat{y} + x_0)^2)^2} \right)$, and
- (iv) $\widehat{f}^+(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) = \left(-\frac{-2xx_0 + \hat{y}^2(-1 + x - x_0)(1 + x_0) + x(1 + x_0)(x + x^2 - 3xx_0 + 2x_0^2) + 2\hat{y}(1 - x + x_0)\sqrt{x_0^2 - x_0^4}}{-1 + x_0 - \hat{y}^2(1 + x_0) - x(2 + x - 2x_0)(1 + x_0) + 2\hat{y}\sqrt{x_0^2 - x_0^4}}, \right.$
 $\left. \frac{(\hat{y} + \hat{y}x_0 - \sqrt{x_0^2 - x_0^4})(2x_0 - \hat{y}^2(1 + x_0) - x(2 + x - 2x_0)(1 + x_0) + 2\hat{y}\sqrt{x_0^2 - x_0^4})}{(1 + x_0)(-1 + x_0 - \hat{y}^2(1 + x_0) - x(2 + x - 2x_0)(1 + x_0) + 2\hat{y}\sqrt{x_0^2 - x_0^4})} \right)$,

respectively. Recall that $\hat{p} = (0, 0)$ is a visible regular-fold singularity of \widehat{f}^+ . Now, since

- (i) $\widehat{f}_2^+(\hat{p}) = -\frac{(a^2 + b^2)}{b}x_0 > 0$,
- (ii) $\widehat{f}_2^+(\hat{p}) = 2x_0^2 > 0$,
- (iii) $\widehat{f}_2^+(\hat{p}) = \frac{1}{2x_0^2} > 0$, and
- (iv) $\widehat{f}_2^+(\hat{p}) = -\frac{2x_0\sqrt{x_0^2 - x_0^4}}{(x_0 - 1)(1 + x_0)} > 0$,

then there exists a neighborhood \mathcal{U} of \hat{p} , such that $\widehat{f}_2^+(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) > 0$, for all $(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) \in \mathcal{U}$.

Performing a time rescaling in \widehat{f}^+ , we get $\widetilde{f}^+(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) = (f(\hat{x}, \hat{y}), 1)$, where

- (i) $f(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) = \frac{a(\hat{x} - x_0) - b(\hat{y} - \frac{a}{b}x_0)}{b(\hat{x} - x_0) + a(\hat{y} - \frac{a}{b}x_0)}$,
- (ii) $f(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) = \frac{(\hat{x} - x_0)^2 - (\hat{y} - x_0)^2}{2(\hat{x} - x_0)(\hat{y} - x_0)}$,
- (iii) $f(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) = \frac{(\hat{y} + \hat{x})(\hat{y} - \hat{x} + 2x_0)}{2(\hat{x} - x_0)(\hat{y} + x_0)}$, and
- (iv) $f(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) = -\frac{(1 + x_0)(-2xx_0 + \hat{y}^2(-1 + x - x_0)(1 + x_0) + x(1 + x_0)(x + x^2 - 3xx_0 + 2x_0^2) + 2\hat{y}(1 - x + x_0)\sqrt{x_0^2 - x_0^4})}{(\hat{y} + \hat{y}x_0 - \sqrt{x_0^2 - x_0^4})(2x_0 - \hat{y}^2(1 + x_0) - x(2 + x - 2x_0)(1 + x_0) + 2\hat{y}\sqrt{x_0^2 - x_0^4})}$,

respectively. Notice that \widehat{f}^+ and \widetilde{f}^+ have the same orbits in \mathcal{U} with the same orientation.

Now, expanding f around $(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) = (0, 0)$, we get

$$f(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) = \alpha\hat{y} + g(\hat{y}) + \hat{x}\vartheta(\hat{x}, \hat{y}),$$

where

- (i) $\alpha = \frac{b^2}{(a^2 + b^2)x_0}$, $g(\hat{y}) = \frac{ab^3}{(a^2 + b^2)^2 x_0^2} \hat{y}^2 + \mathcal{O}(\hat{y}^3)$ and $\vartheta(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) = \frac{-ab}{(a^2 + b^2)x_0} + \mathcal{O}(\hat{x}, \hat{y})$,
- (ii) $\alpha = \frac{1}{x_0}$, $g(\hat{y}) = \frac{1}{2x_0^2} \hat{y}^2 + \mathcal{O}(\hat{y}^3)$, and $\vartheta(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) = -\frac{1}{x_0} + \mathcal{O}(\hat{x}, \hat{y})$, and
- (iii) $\alpha = -\frac{1}{x_0}$, $g(\hat{y}) = \frac{1}{2x_0^2} \hat{y}^2 + \mathcal{O}(\hat{y}^3)$, and $\vartheta(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) = -\frac{1}{x_0} + \mathcal{O}(\hat{x}, \hat{y})$, and
- (iv) $\alpha = \frac{(1 + x_0)^2}{x_0}$, $g(\hat{y}) = \frac{(1 + x_0)^3(1 + 2(x_0 - 1)x_0)}{2x_0\sqrt{x_0^2 - x_0^4}} \hat{y}^2 + \mathcal{O}(\hat{y}^3)$, and $\vartheta(\hat{x}, \hat{y}) = \frac{(1 + x_0)(-1 + x_0 + x_0^2)}{\sqrt{x_0^2 - x_0^4}} + \mathcal{O}(\hat{x}, \hat{y})$,

respectively. Now, using Theorem 1 of [21], we get the following result.

Theorem 24. *Consider system (35), i.e. \widetilde{f}^+ has a visible fold singularity at $p = (0, p^*)$, $\widetilde{f}_2^+(p) > 0$, and $\widetilde{f}^- = (1, 0)$. For $n \geq 2$, consider the regularized system F^ε*

(29). Then, there exist $\rho_0, \theta_0 > 0$, and constants $\beta < 0$ and $c, r > 0$, such that for every $\rho \in (\varepsilon^\lambda, \rho_0]$, $\theta \in [y_\varepsilon, \theta_0]$, $\lambda \in (0, \lambda^*)$, with $\lambda^* = \frac{n}{2n-1}$, $q = 1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^*} \in (0, 1)$, and $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, the flow of F^ε defines a map U_ε between the transversal sections $\hat{V}_{\rho, \lambda}^\varepsilon = [\varepsilon, x_{\rho, \lambda}^\varepsilon] \times \{-\rho + p^*\}$ and $\hat{V}_\theta^\varepsilon = [x_\theta^\varepsilon, x_\theta^\varepsilon + re^{-\frac{c}{\varepsilon^q}}] \times \{\theta + p^*\}$, satisfying

$$U_\varepsilon : \begin{array}{ccc} \hat{V}_{\rho, \lambda}^\varepsilon & \longrightarrow & \hat{V}_\theta^\varepsilon \\ x & \longmapsto & x_\theta^\varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\frac{c}{\varepsilon^q}}), \end{array}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} x_\theta^\varepsilon &= \frac{\alpha\theta^2}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\theta^3) + \varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon\theta) + \mathcal{O}(\theta^2 y_\varepsilon) + \mathcal{O}(y_\varepsilon^2), \quad \text{and} \\ y_\varepsilon &= \varepsilon^{\lambda^*} \eta + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{\lambda^* + \frac{1}{2n-1}}), \quad \text{for } \eta > 0, \\ x_{\rho, \lambda}^\varepsilon &= \frac{\alpha\rho^2}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\rho^3) + \varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon\rho) + \beta\varepsilon^{2\lambda} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{3\lambda}) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{1+\lambda}). \end{aligned}$$

(see Figure 13).




FIGURE 13. The Transition Map U_ε of F^ε . The dotted curve is the trajectory of \tilde{f}^+ passing through the visible regular-fold singularity. The red curve is the Fenichel manifold.

5. LIMIT CYCLES OF PWHS

In Proposition 5 was shown that holomorphic systems have no limit cycles, however it is possible to prove that PWHS have limit cycles. For that reason, in this section we focus on finding the conditions for the existence of limit cycles of the PWHS, which are formed by the normal forms given in Proposition 4.

We start by studying the linear case. In this case, we consider equilibrium points on manifold $\Sigma = \{x = 0\}$.

Theorem 25. *The piecewise linear holomorphic systems whose equilibrium points are on manifold Σ have at most one limit cycle.*

Proof. Without loss of generality suppose that the piecewise linear holomorphic system has one of its equilibrium points at the origin. Thus, this system can be written as follows:

$$(36) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{z}^+ = (a + ib)(z - x_0), & \text{when } \Im(z) > 0, \\ \dot{z}^- = (c + id)z, & \text{when } \Im(z) < 0, \end{cases}$$

where a, b, c, d and x_0 are real numbers. It is easy to verify that if any of the coefficients b, d or x_0 are zero then the system has no limit cycles. So we assume that these coefficients are not zero. Consider $w_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$. If $b > 0$, then

$$z^+(t) = (w_0 - x_0)e^{at}(\cos(bt) + i \sin(bt)) + x_0$$

is a solution of $\dot{z}^+ = (a + bi)(z - x_0)$ satisfying that $z^+(0) = w_0$ and $z^+(\frac{\pi}{b}) = x_0 - (w_0 - x_0)e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}}$. In addition,

$$z^-(t) = -e^{ct}((w_0 - x_0)e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}} - x_0)(\cos(dt) + i \sin(dt))$$

is a solution of $\dot{z}^- = (c + id)z$ such that $z^-(0) = x_0 - (w_0 - x_0)e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}}$ and $z^-(\frac{\pi}{d}) = e^{\frac{c\pi}{d}}((w_0 - x_0)e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}} - x_0)$, with $d > 0$. On the other hand, if $b < 0$, then

$$z^+(t) = -(w_0 + x_0)e^{at}(\cos(bt) + i \sin(bt)) + x_0$$

is a solution of $\dot{z}^+ = (a + bi)(z - x_0)$ satisfying that $z^+(0) = -w_0$ and $z^+(-\frac{\pi}{b}) = x_0 + (w_0 + x_0)e^{-\frac{a\pi}{b}}$. Moreover,

$$z^-(t) = e^{ct}((w_0 + x_0)e^{-\frac{a\pi}{b}} + x_0)(\cos(dt) + i \sin(dt))$$

is a solution of $\dot{z}^- = (c + id)z$ such that $z^-(0) = x_0 + (w_0 + x_0)e^{-\frac{a\pi}{b}}$ and $z^-(-\frac{\pi}{d}) = e^{-\frac{c\pi}{d}}((-w_0 - x_0)e^{-\frac{a\pi}{b}} - x_0)$, with $d < 0$.




FIGURE 14. The Poincaré map around $z = \pm w_0$.

Therefore, the Poincaré map around $z = \pm w_0$ is given by

$$\Pi(z) = e^{\pm \frac{c\pi}{d}}((z - x_0)e^{\pm \frac{a\pi}{b}} - x_0)$$

and $\Pi'(\pm w_0) = e^{\pm(\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d})\pi}$ (see Figure 14). Now, we must seek solutions for the equation $\Pi(\pm w_0) = \pm w_0$. The number of roots of this equations correspond to the number of limit cycles. If $\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d} = 0$, then $\Pi(\pm w_0) = \pm w_0$ has no solution.

Otherwise, we have a unique solution given by $w_0 = \frac{e^{\frac{c\pi}{d}}(1 + e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}})x_0}{-1 + e^{(\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d})\pi}}$ provided that

$b > 0$ and $w_0 = \frac{(1 + e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}})x_0}{-1 + e^{(\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d})\pi}}$ provided that $b < 0$, thus we have a unique limit cycle Γ . Finally, using the first derivative of the Poincaré map, we can conclude that Γ is stable (resp. unstable) provides that $\text{sgn}(b) \neq \text{sgn}(\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d})$ (resp. $\text{sgn}(b) = \text{sgn}(\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d})$). \square

An immediate consequence of the proof of the previous theorem is the following result.

Corollary 26. *Let b, d , and x_0 be non-zero real numbers and $a, c \in \mathbb{R}$. The piecewise linear holomorphic system*

$$(37) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{z}^+ = (a + ib)(z - x_0), & \text{when } \Im(z) > 0, \\ \dot{z}^- = (c + id)z, & \text{when } \Im(z) < 0, \end{cases}$$

has a unique limit cycle Γ if, and only if, a, b, c, d , and x_0 satisfy any row in tables (38) and (39) and $\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d} \neq 0$. Moreover, Γ is stable (resp. unstable) provides that $\text{sgn}(b) \neq \text{sgn}(\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d})$ (resp. $\text{sgn}(b) = \text{sgn}(\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d})$).

(38)	a	b	c	d	x_0
	+	+	+	+	+
	-	+	-	+	-
	-	-	-	-	+
	+	-	+	-	-
	+	+	-	+	$\text{sgn}(x_0) = \text{sgn}(\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d})$
	-	+	+	+	$\text{sgn}(x_0) = \text{sgn}(\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d})$
	-	-	+	-	$\text{sgn}(x_0) = \text{sgn}(\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d})$
	+	-	-	-	$\text{sgn}(x_0) = \text{sgn}(\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d})$

(39)	a	b	c	d	x_0
	0	+	+	+	+
	0	+	-	+	-
	0	-	+	-	-
	0	-	-	-	+
	+	+	0	+	+
	-	+	0	+	-
	-	-	0	-	+
	+	-	0	-	-

It is important to emphasize that the conditions given in the previous theorem are not empty. Indeed, taking $a = -1$ or $a = 0$, $b = 1$, $c = -1$, $d = 1$, and $x_0 = -1$ we have the existence of a unique stable limit cycle (see Figure 15).




FIGURE 15. Phase portrait of PWHS (37) with $b = 1$, $c = -1$, $d = 1$, and $x_0 = -1$. The red trajectory is the limit cycle of (37).

Now, we study the analytical vector fields z^n for $n \geq 2$, which are divided into 5 cases that depend on n . For that, we use the symmetry of this normal form and that the rays $\frac{j\pi}{n-1}$, $j = \{1, \dots, 2(n-1)\}$ (resp. $\frac{j\pi}{2(n-1)}$, $j = \{1, 3, \dots, 4(n-1) - 1\}$) are invariant by the flow of the equation $\dot{z} = z^n$, with n even (resp. $\dot{z} = iz^n$, with n odd). Moreover, we consider virtual equilibrium points of z^n in the following sense: given a piecewise smooth vector field

$$(40) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{z}^+ = f^+(z), & \text{when } \Im(z) > 0, \\ \dot{z}^- = f^-(z), & \text{when } \Im(z) < 0, \end{cases}$$

we say that a equilibrium point z_0 of f^+ (resp. f^-) is virtual when $z_0 \in \Sigma^-$ (resp. $z_0 \in \Sigma^+$).

Theorem 27. *Given $n \in \mathbb{N}_{n \geq 2}$, there exist a, b, d , and y_0 non-zero real numbers and $z_0 = x_0 + iy_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying table (42), such that the PWHS*

$$(41) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{z}^+ = i^m(z + z_0)^n, & \text{when } \Im(z) > 0, \\ \dot{z}^- = (a + ib)(z - d), & \text{when } \Im(z) < 0, \end{cases}$$

has a unique stable limit cycle, where $m = 0$ if n is even and $m = 1$ otherwise.

(42)

n	k	a	b	d	x_0	y_0	Main condition
2		-	+	\mathbb{R}	\mathbb{R}	+	$d > -x_0$
$4k - 1$	≥ 1	-	-	-	0	+	$\cot\left(\frac{n\pi}{2(n-1)}\right) y_0 < -\frac{d(1 + e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}})}{1 - e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}}} < 0$
$4k$	≥ 1	-	-	-	0	+	$\cot\left(\frac{n\pi}{2(n-1)}\right) y_0 < -\frac{d(1 + e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}})}{1 - e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}}} < 0$
$4k - 2$	> 1	-	+	+	0	+	$0 < \frac{d(1 + e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}})}{1 - e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}}} < \cot\left(\frac{(n-2)\pi}{2(n-1)}\right) y_0$
$4k + 1$	≥ 1	-	+	+	0	+	$0 < \frac{d(1 + e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}})}{1 - e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}}} < \cot\left(\frac{(n-2)\pi}{2(n-1)}\right) y_0$

The proof of this theorem is an immediate consequence of the following 5 propositions.

Proposition 28. *Let a and b be non-zero real numbers, $d \in \mathbb{R}$, and $z_0 = x_0 + iy_0$, with $y_0 > 0$. If $a < 0 < b$ and $d > -x_0$, then the PWHS*

$$(43) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{z}^+ = (z + z_0)^2, & \text{when } \Im(z) > 0, \\ \dot{z}^- = (a + ib)(z - d), & \text{when } \Im(z) < 0, \end{cases}$$

has a unique stable limit cycle.

Proof. Writing system (43) in Cartesian coordinates, we have

$$(44) \quad \begin{cases} (\dot{x}^+, \dot{y}^-) = ((x + x_0)^2 - (y + y_0)^2, 2(x + x_0)(y + y_0)), & \text{when } y > 0, \\ (\dot{x}^-, \dot{y}^+) = (a(x - d) - by, b(x - d) + ay), & \text{when } y < 0. \end{cases}$$

Now, consider the equation for the orbits of system (44) when $y > 0$

$$(45) \quad \frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{2(x + x_0)(y + y_0)}{(x + x_0)^2 - (y + y_0)^2},$$

or equivalent

$$(46) \quad -2(x + x_0)(y + y_0)dx + ((x + x_0)^2 - (y + y_0)^2)dy = 0.$$

We emphasize that equation (46) will be exact when we multiply it by the integrating factor $\mu(y) = \frac{1}{(y + y_0)^2}$. Thus, the solution of equation (45), with initial condition $x(0) = w_0 > -x_0$ and $y(0) = 0$, in implicit form is

$$\frac{(x + x_0)^2}{y + y_0} + y = \frac{(w_0 + x_0)^2}{y_0}.$$

Notice that $y = 0$ if, and only if, $x = w_0$ or $x = -2x_0 - w_0$. Hence, there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $x(t_0) = -2x_0 - w_0$ and $y(t_0) = 0$. Moreover,

$$z^-(t) = -(d + w_0 + 2x_0)e^{at}(\cos(bt) + i\sin(bt)) + d$$

is a solution of $\dot{z}^- = (a + bi)(z - d)$ satisfying that $z^-(0) = -2x_0 - w_0$ and $z^-(\frac{\pi}{b}) = d + (d + 2x_0 + w_0)e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}}$.

Therefore, the Poincaré map at $z = w_0$ is given by $\Pi(w_0) = d + (d + 2x_0 + w_0)e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}}$ and $\Pi'(w_0) = e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}} < 1$. Now, we must seek solutions for the equation $\Pi(w_0) = w_0$. The number of roots of this equations correspond to the number of limit cycles.

Since $a \neq 0$, then we have a unique solution, given by $\frac{d + e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}}(d + 2x_0)}{1 - e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}}}$, thus we have only one limit cycle, which is stable. \square

Emphasize that the conditions given in the previous proposition are not empty. Indeed, taking $a = -1$, $b = 1$, $d = 1$, and $z_0 = i$ we have the existence of a unique limit cycle (see Figure 16).




FIGURE 16. Phase portrait of PWHS (37) with $a = -1$, $b = 1$, $d = 1$, and $z_0 = i$. The red trajectory is the limit cycle of (43).

Remark 29. Recall that the PWHS (43) with $a = 0$ and $b \neq 0$ has no limit cycles. Even more, if $a = 0$, $b \neq 0$, and $d \neq -x_0$ (resp. $a = 0$, $b \neq 0$, and $d = -x_0$), then we have no periodic orbits (resp. we have infinite periodic orbits).

Proposition 30. Let a, b, d , and y_0 be non-zero real numbers. If $a, b, d < 0$, $y_0 > 0$, $n = 4k$ for some integer $k \geq 1$, and $\cot\left(\frac{n\pi}{2(n-1)}\right)y_0 < -\frac{d(1+e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}})}{1-e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}}} < 0$, then the PWHS

$$(47) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{z}^+ = (z + iy_0)^n, & \text{when } \Im(z) > 0, \\ \dot{z}^- = (a + ib)(z - d), & \text{when } \Im(z) < 0, \end{cases}$$

has a unique stable limit cycle.

Proof. Consider $\dot{z}^+ = (z + iy_0)^n$. First, we shall prove that the solutions of z^+ are symmetric about the y -axis. Indeed, writing \dot{z}^+ in its polar form we have

$$(48) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{r} &= r^n \cos(n-1)\theta, \\ \dot{\theta} &= r^{n-1} \sin(n-1)\theta, \end{cases}$$

where $z + y_0 i = r e^{i\theta} = r(\cos(\theta) + i \sin(\theta))$. It is easy to see that the orbits of this system satisfy the following equation:

$$(49) \quad r = |\sin(n-1)\theta|^{\frac{1}{n-1}} e^C.$$

Since equation (49) evaluated in $\pi - \theta$ and θ are the same, then the orbits of (48) are symmetric with respect to the straight line $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$. Therefore, we can conclude the symmetry of the solutions of \dot{z}^+ with respect to y -axis.

Now, consider the solution $z^+(t)$ of (47) with initial condition $z^+(0) = -w_0 < 0$. By the symmetry of the solutions of (48), we have that there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $z^+(t_0) = w_0$. Moreover,

$$z^-(t) = -(d - w_0)e^{at}(\cos(bt) + i \sin(bt)) + d$$

is a solution of $\dot{z}^- = (a + bi)(z - d)$ satisfying that $z^-(0) = w_0$ and $z^-(-\frac{\pi}{b}) = d + (d - w_0)e^{-\frac{a\pi}{b}}$.

Therefore, the Poincaré map around $z = -w_0$ is given by $\Pi(z) = d + (d + z)e^{-\frac{a\pi}{b}}$ and $\Pi'(-w_0) = e^{-\frac{a\pi}{b}} < 1$. Now, we must seek solutions for the equation $\Pi(-w_0) = -w_0$. Since $a \neq 0$, then we have a unique solution, given by $w_0 = \frac{d(1 + e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}})}{1 - e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}}}$, thus we have only one limit cycle (see remark 31), which is stable. \square




FIGURE 17. Uniqueness of the limit cycle.

Remark 31. Recall that the limit cycle found is determined by rays $\frac{(n-2)\pi}{2(n-1)}$ and $\frac{n\pi}{2(n-1)}$, however due to the invariance of the rays of z^+ and the orientation of the trajectories, then this limit cycle is unique (see figure 17).

Notice that the conditions given in the previous proposition are not empty. Indeed, taking $a = -1$, $b = -1$, $d = -\frac{1}{2}$, and $y_0 = 1$ we have the existence of a limit cycle (see Figure 18).

Proposition 32. Let a, b, d , and y_0 be non-zero real numbers. If $a < 0 < b$, $y_0, d > 0$, $n = 4k - 2$ for some integer $k > 1$, and $0 < \frac{d(1 + e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}})}{1 - e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}}} < \cot\left(\frac{(n-2)\pi}{2(n-1)}\right) y_0$, then the PWHS

$$(50) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{z}^+ = (z + iy_0)^n, & \text{when } \Im(z) > 0, \\ \dot{z}^- = (a + ib)(z - d), & \text{when } \Im(z) < 0, \end{cases}$$

has a unique stable limit cycle.




FIGURE 18. Phase portrait of PWHS (47) with $n = 4$, $a = -1$, $b = -1$, $d = -\frac{1}{2}$, and $y_0 = 1$. The red trajectory is the limit cycle of (47).

Proof. Consider $\dot{z}^+ = (z + iy_0)^n$. Writing \dot{z}^+ in its polar form we have

$$(51) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{r} &= r^n \cos(n-1)\theta, \\ \dot{\theta} &= r^{n-1} \sin(n-1)\theta, \end{cases}$$

where $z + y_0 i = r e^{i\theta} = r(\cos(\theta) + i \sin(\theta))$. By the proof of Proposition 30, we know that the solutions of \dot{z}^+ are symmetric about the y -axis.

Now, consider the solution $z^+(t)$ of (50) with initial condition $z^+(0) = w_0 > 0$. By the symmetry of the solutions of (51), we have that there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $z^+(t_0) = -w_0$. Moreover,

$$z^-(t) = -(d + w_0)e^{at}(\cos(bt) + i \sin(bt)) + d$$

is a solution of $\dot{z}^- = (a + bi)(z - d)$ satisfying that $z^-(0) = -w_0$ and $z^-(\frac{\pi}{b}) = d + (d + w_0)e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}}$.

Consequently, the Poincaré map at $z = w_0$ is given by $\Pi(w_0) = d + (d + w_0)e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}}$ and $\Pi'(w_0) = e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}} < 1$. Now, we must seek solutions for the equation $\Pi(w_0) = w_0$.

Since $a \neq 0$, then we have a unique solution, given by $\frac{d(1 + e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}})}{1 - e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}}}$, thus we have only one limit cycle (see Remark 31), which is stable. \square

It is important to note that the conditions given in the previous proposition are not empty. Indeed, taking $a = -1$, $b = 1$, $d = -\frac{1}{5}$, and $y_0 = 1$ we have the existence of a limit cycle (see Figure 19).

Proposition 33. *Let a, b, d , and y_0 be non-zero real numbers. If $a, b, d < 0$, $y_0 > 0$, $n = 4k - 1$ for some integer $k \geq 1$, and $\cot\left(\frac{n\pi}{2(n-1)}\right) y_0 < -\frac{d(1 + e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}})}{1 - e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}}} < 0$, then the PWHS*

$$(52) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{z}^+ = i(z + iy_0)^n, & \text{when } \Im(z) > 0, \\ \dot{z}^- = (a + ib)(z - d), & \text{when } \Im(z) < 0, \end{cases}$$

has a unique stable limit cycle.




FIGURE 19. Phase portrait of PWHS (50) with $n = 6$, $a = -1$, $b = 1$, $d = \frac{1}{5}$, and $y_0 = 1$. The red trajectory is the limit cycle of (50).

Proof. Consider $\dot{z}^+ = i(z + iy_0)^n$. First, we shall prove that the solutions of z^+ are symmetric about the y -axis. Indeed, writing \dot{z}^+ in its polar form we have

$$(53) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{r} &= -r^n \sin(n-1)\theta, \\ \dot{\theta} &= r^{n-1} \cos(n-1)\theta, \end{cases}$$

where $z + y_0 i = r e^{i\theta} = r(\cos(\theta) + i \sin(\theta))$. It is easy to see that the orbits of this system satisfy the following equation:

$$(54) \quad r = |\cos(n-1)\theta|^{\frac{1}{n-1}} e^C.$$

Since equation (54) evaluated in $\pi - \theta$ and θ are the same, then the orbits of (53) are symmetric with respect to the straight line $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$. Therefore, we can conclude the symmetry of the solutions of \dot{z}^+ with respect to y -axis.

Now, consider the solution $z^+(t)$ of (52) with initial condition $z^+(0) = -w_0 < 0$. By the symmetry of the solutions of (53), we have that there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $z^+(t_0) = w_0$. Moreover,

$$z^-(t) = -(d - w_0)e^{at}(\cos(bt) + i \sin(bt)) + d$$

is a solution of $\dot{z}^- = (a + bi)(z - d)$ satisfying that $z^-(0) = w_0$ and $z^-(-\frac{\pi}{b}) = d + (d - w_0)e^{-\frac{a\pi}{b}}$.

Therefore, the Poincaré map around $z = -w_0$ is given by $\Pi(z) = d + (d + z)e^{-\frac{a\pi}{b}}$ and $\Pi'(-w_0) = e^{-\frac{a\pi}{b}} < 1$. Now, we must seek solutions for the equation $\Pi(-w_0) = -w_0$. Since $a \neq 0$, then we have a unique solution, given by $w_0 = \frac{d(1 + e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}})}{1 - e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}}}$, thus we have only one limit cycle (see Remark 31), which is stable. \square

Notice that the conditions given in the previous proposition are not empty. Indeed, taking $a = -1$, $b = -1$, $d = -\frac{1}{2}$, and $y_0 = 1$ we have the existence of a limit cycle (see Figure 20).

Proposition 34. *Let a, b, d , and y_0 be non-zero real numbers. If $a < 0 < b$, $y_0, d > 0$, $n = 4k + 1$ for some integer $k \geq 1$, and $0 < \frac{d(1 + e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}})}{1 - e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}}} < \cot\left(\frac{(n-2)\pi}{2(n-1)}\right) y_0$,*




FIGURE 20. Phase portrait of PWHS (52) with $n = 3$, $a = -1$, $b = -1$, $d = -\frac{1}{2}$ and $y_0 = 1$. The red trajectory is the limit cycle of (52).

then the PWHS

$$(55) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{z}^+ = i(z + iy_0)^n, & \text{when } \Im(z) > 0, \\ \dot{z}^- = (a + ib)(z - d), & \text{when } \Im(z) < 0, \end{cases}$$

has a unique stable limit cycle.

Proof. Consider $\dot{z}^+ = i(z + iy_0)^n$. Writing \dot{z}^+ in its polar form we have

$$(56) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{r} &= -r^n \sin(n-1)\theta, \\ \dot{\theta} &= r^{n-1} \cos(n-1)\theta, \end{cases}$$

where $z + y_0 i = r e^{i\theta} = r(\cos(\theta) + i \sin(\theta))$. By the proof of Proposition 33, we know that the solutions of \dot{z}^+ are symmetric about the y -axis.

Now, consider the solution $z^+(t)$ of (55) with initial condition $z^+(0) = w_0 > 0$. By the symmetry of the solutions of (56), we have that there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $z^+(t_0) = -w_0$. Moreover,

$$z^-(t) = -(d + w_0)e^{at}(\cos(bt) + i \sin(bt)) + d$$

is a solution of $\dot{z}^- = (a + bi)(z - d)$ satisfying that $z^-(0) = -w_0$ and $z^-(\frac{\pi}{b}) = d + (d + w_0)e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}}$.

Consequently, the Poincaré map at $z = w_0$ is given by $\Pi(w_0) = d + (d + w_0)e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}}$ and $\Pi'(w_0) = e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}} < 1$. Now, we must seek solutions for the equation $\Pi(w_0) = w_0$. Since $a \neq 0$, then we have a unique solution, given by $\frac{d(1 + e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}})}{1 - e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}}}$, thus we have only one limit cycle (see Remark 31), which is stable. \square

Notice that the conditions given in the previous proposition are not empty. Indeed, taking $a = -1$, $b = 1$, $d = \frac{3}{10}$, and $y_0 = 1$ we have the existence of a limit cycle (see Figure 21).

Now, we do the study of vector fields that admit poles, $\frac{1}{z^n}$ for $n \geq 1$, which are divided into 4 cases that depend on n . For that, we use the symmetry of this normal form and that the rays $\frac{j\pi}{n+1}$, $j = \{1, \dots, 2(n+1)\}$ (resp. $\frac{j\pi}{2(n+1)}$,




FIGURE 21. Phase portrait of PWHS (55) with $n = 5$, $a = -1$, $b = 1$, $d = \frac{3}{10}$, and $y_0 = 1$. The red trajectory is the limit cycle of (55).

$j = \{1, 3, \dots, 4(n+1) - 1\}$ are invariant by the flow of the equation $\dot{z} = \frac{1}{z^n}$, with n even (resp. $\dot{z} = \frac{i}{z^n}$, with n odd).

For this normal form we consider real singularities of the pole type in the following sense: given a piecewise smooth vector field

$$(57) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{z}^+ = f^+(z), & \text{when } \Im(z) > 0, \\ \dot{z}^- = f^-(z), & \text{when } \Im(z) < 0, \end{cases}$$

we say that a singularity of the pole type z_0 of f^+ (resp. f^-) is real when $z_0 \in \Sigma^+$ (resp. $z_0 \in \Sigma^-$). We recall that it is possible to construct limit cycles using virtual singularities.

Theorem 35. *Given $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$, there exist a, b, d , and y_0 be non-zero real numbers satisfying table (59), such that the PWHS*

$$(58) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{z}^+ = (a + ib)(z - d), & \text{when } \Im(z) > 0, \\ \dot{z}^- = \frac{i^m}{(z + iy_0)^n}, & \text{when } \Im(z) < 0, \end{cases}$$

has a unique stable limit cycle, where $m = 0$ if n is even and $m = 1$ otherwise.

n	k	a	b	d	y_0	Main condition
$4k - 2$	≥ 1	$-$	$-$	$+$	$+$	$0 < \frac{d(1 + e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}})}{-1 + e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}}} < \cot\left(\frac{n\pi}{2(n+1)}\right) y_0$
$4k - 1$	≥ 1	$-$	$-$	$+$	$+$	$0 < \frac{d(1 + e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}})}{-1 + e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}}} < \cot\left(\frac{n\pi}{2(n+1)}\right) y_0$
$4k$	≥ 1	$-$	$+$	$-$	$+$	$\cot\left(\frac{(n+2)\pi}{2(n+1)}\right) y_0 < -\frac{d(1 + e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}})}{-1 + e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}}} < 0$
$4k + 1$	≥ 0	$-$	$+$	$-$	$+$	$\cot\left(\frac{(n+2)\pi}{2(n+1)}\right) y_0 < -\frac{d(1 + e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}})}{-1 + e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}}} < 0$

The proof of this theorem is an immediate consequence of the following 4 propositions.

Proposition 36. *Let a, b, d , and y_0 be non-zero real numbers. If $a, b < 0$, $d, y_0 > 0$, $n = 4k - 2$ for some integer $k \geq 1$, and $0 < \frac{d(1+e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}})}{-1+e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}}} < \cot\left(\frac{n\pi}{2(n+1)}\right) y_0$, then the PWHS*

$$(60) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{z}^+ = (a + ib)z, & \text{when } \Im(z) > 0, \\ \dot{z}^- = \frac{1}{(z + iy_0)^n}, & \text{when } \Im(z) < 0, \end{cases}$$

has a unique stable limit cycle.

Proof. Consider $\dot{z}^- = \frac{1}{(z + iy_0)^n}$. First, we shall prove that the solutions of z^- are symmetric about the y -axis. Indeed, writing \dot{z}^- in its polar form we have

$$(61) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{r} &= r^{-n} \cos(n+1)\theta, \\ \dot{\theta} &= -r^{-n-1} \sin(n+1)\theta, \end{cases}$$

where $z + y_0 i = r e^{i\theta} = r(\cos(\theta) + i \sin(\theta))$. It is easy to see that the orbits of this system satisfy the following equation:

$$(62) \quad r = \frac{e^C}{|\sin(n+1)\theta|^{\frac{1}{n+1}}}.$$

Since equation (62) evaluated in $\pi - \theta$ and θ are the same, then the orbits of (61) are symmetric with respect to the straight line $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$. Therefore, we can conclude the symmetry of the solutions of \dot{z}^- with respect to y -axis.

Now, consider the solution $z^-(t)$ of (60) with initial condition $z^-(0) = w_0 > 0$. By the symmetry of the solutions of (61), we have that there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $z^-(t_0) = -w_0$. Moreover,

$$z^+(t) = -(d + w_0)e^{at}(\cos(bt) + i \sin(bt)) + d$$

is a solution of $\dot{z}^+ = (a + bi)(z - d)$ satisfying that $z^+(0) = -w_0$ and $z^+(-\frac{\pi}{b}) = d + (d + w_0)e^{-\frac{a\pi}{b}}$.

Consequently, the Poincaré map at $z = w_0$ is given by $\Pi(w_0) = d + (d + w_0)e^{-\frac{a\pi}{b}}$ and $\Pi'(w_0) = e^{-\frac{a\pi}{b}} < 1$. Now, we must seek solutions for the equation $\Pi(w_0) = w_0$. Since $a \neq 0$, then we have a unique solution, given by $\frac{d(1 + e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}})}{-1 + e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}}}$, thus we have only one limit cycle (see Remark 37), which is stable. \square




FIGURE 22. Uniqueness of the limit cycle.

Remark 37. Recall that the limit cycle found is determined by rays $\frac{n\pi}{2(n+1)}$ and $\frac{(n+2)\pi}{2(n+1)}$, however due to the invariance of the rays of z^- and the orientation of the trajectories, then this limit cycle is unique (see figure 22).

It is important to emphasize that the conditions given in the previous proposition are not empty. Indeed, taking $a = -1$, $b = -1$, and $d = \frac{1}{2}$ we have the existence of a limit cycle (see Figure 23).




FIGURE 23. Phase portrait of PWHS (60) with $n = 2$, $a = -1$, $b = -1$, $d = \frac{1}{2}$, and $y_0 = 1$. The red trajectory is the limit cycle of (60).

Proposition 38. Let a, b, d , and y_0 be non-zero real numbers. If $a, d < 0$, $b, y_0 > 0$, $n = 4k$ for some integer $k \geq 1$, and $\cot\left(\frac{(n+2)\pi}{2(n+1)}\right) y_0 < -\frac{d(1+e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}})}{-1+e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}}} < 0$, then the PWHS

$$(63) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{z}^+ = (a + ib)(z - d), & \text{when } \Im(z) > 0, \\ \dot{z}^- = \frac{1}{(z + iy_0)^n}, & \text{when } \Im(z) < 0, \end{cases}$$

has a unique stable limit cycle.

Proof. Consider $\dot{z}^- = \frac{1}{(z + iy_0)^n}$. Writing \dot{z}^+ in its polar form we have

$$(64) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{r} &= r^{-n} \cos(n+1)\theta, \\ \dot{\theta} &= -r^{-n-1} \sin(n+1)\theta, \end{cases}$$

where $z + y_0 i = r e^{i\theta} = r(\cos(\theta) + i \sin(\theta))$. By the proof of Proposition 36, we know that the solutions of \dot{z}^+ are symmetric about the y -axis.

Now, consider the solution $z^-(t)$ of (63) with initial condition $z^-(0) = -w_0 > 0$. By the symmetry of the solutions of (64), we have that there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $z^-(t_0) = w_0$. Moreover,

$$z^+(t) = -(d - w_0)e^{at}(\cos(bt) + i \sin(bt)) + d$$

is a solution of $\dot{z}^+ = (a + bi)(z - d)$ satisfying that $z^+(0) = w_0$ and $z^+(\frac{\pi}{b}) = d + (d - w_0)e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}}$.

Therefore, the Poincaré map around $z = -w_0$ is given by $\Pi(z) = d + (d + z)e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}}$ and $\Pi'(-w_0) = e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}} < 1$. Now, we must seek solutions for the equation $\Pi(-w_0) =$

$-w_0$. Since $a \neq 0$, then we have a unique solution, given by $w_0 = \frac{d(1 + e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}})}{-1 + e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}}}$, thus we have only one limit cycle (see Remark 37), which is stable. \square

Notice that the conditions given in the previous proposition are not empty. Indeed, taking $a = -1$, $b = 1$, $d = -\frac{1}{5}$, and $y_0 = 1$ we have the existence of a limit cycle (see Figure 24).




FIGURE 24. Phase portrait of PWHS (63) with $n = 4$, $a = -1$, $b = 1$, $d = -\frac{1}{5}$, and $y_0 = 1$. The red trajectory is the limit cycle of (63).

Proposition 39. *Let a, b, d , and y_0 be non-zero real numbers. If $a, d < 0$, $b, y_0 > 0$, $n = 4k + 1$ for some integer $k \geq 0$, and $\cot\left(\frac{(n+2)\pi}{2(n+1)}\right) y_0 < -\frac{d(1+e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}})}{-1+e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}}} < 0$, then the PWHS*

$$(65) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{z}^+ = (a + ib)(z - d), & \text{when } \Im(z) > 0, \\ \dot{z}^- = \frac{i}{(z + iy_0)^n}, & \text{when } \Im(z) < 0, \end{cases}$$

has a unique stable limit cycle.

Proof. Consider $\dot{z}^- = \frac{i}{(z + iy_0)^n}$. First, we shall prove that the solutions of z^- are symmetric about the y -axis. Indeed, writing \dot{z}^- in its polar form we have

$$(66) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{r} &= r^{-n} \sin(n+1)\theta, \\ \dot{\theta} &= r^{-n-1} \cos(n+1)\theta, \end{cases}$$

where $z + y_0 i = r e^{i\theta} = r(\cos(\theta) + i \sin(\theta))$. It is easy to see that the orbits of this system satisfy the following equation:

$$(67) \quad r = \frac{e^C}{|\cos(n+1)\theta|^{\frac{1}{n+1}}}.$$

Since equation (67) evaluated in $\pi - \theta$ and θ are the same, then the orbits of (66) are symmetric with respect to the straight line $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$. Therefore, we can conclude the symmetry of the solutions of \dot{z}^- with respect to y -axis.

Now, consider the solution $z^-(t)$ of (65) with initial condition $z^-(0) = -w_0 > 0$. By the symmetry of the solutions of (66), we have that there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $z^-(t_0) = w_0$. Moreover,

$$z^+(t) = -(d - w_0)e^{at}(\cos(bt) + i \sin(bt)) + d$$

is a solution of $\dot{z}^+ = (a + bi)(z - d)$ satisfying that $z^+(0) = w_0$ and $z^+(\frac{\pi}{b}) = d + (d - w_0)e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}}$.

Therefore, the Poincaré map around $z = -w_0$ is given by $\Pi(z) = d + (d + z)e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}}$ and $\Pi'(-w_0) = e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}} < 1$. Now, we must seek solutions for the equation $\Pi(-w_0) = -w_0$. Since $a \neq 0$, then we have a unique solution, given by $w_0 = \frac{d(1 + e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}})}{-1 + e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}}}$, thus we have only one limit cycle (see Remark 37), which is stable. \square

Recall that the conditions given in the previous proposition are not empty. Indeed, taking $a = -1$, $b = 1$, $d = -\frac{1}{2}$, and $y_0 = 1$ we have the existence of a limit cycle (see Figure 25).




FIGURE 25. Phase portrait of PWHS (65) with $n = 1$, $a = -1$, $b = 1$, $d = -\frac{1}{2}$, and $y_0 = 1$. The red trajectory is the limit cycle of (65).

Proposition 40. *Let a, b, d , and y_0 be non-zero real numbers. If $a, b < 0$, $d, y_0 > 0$, $n = 4k - 1$ for some integer $k \geq 1$, and $0 < \frac{d(1 + e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}})}{-1 + e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}}} < \cot\left(\frac{n\pi}{2(n+1)}\right) y_0$, then the PWHS*

$$(68) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{z}^+ = (a + ib)(z - d), & \text{when } \Im(z) > 0, \\ \dot{z}^- = \frac{i}{(z + iy_0)^n}, & \text{when } \Im(z) < 0, \end{cases}$$

has a unique stable limit cycle.

Proof. Consider $\dot{z}^- = \frac{i}{(z + iy_0)^n}$. Writing \dot{z}^+ in its polar form we have

$$(69) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{r} &= r^{-n} \sin(n+1)\theta, \\ \dot{\theta} &= r^{-n-1} \cos(n+1)\theta, \end{cases}$$

where $z + y_0 i = r e^{i\theta} = r(\cos(\theta) + i \sin(\theta))$. By the proof of Proposition 39, we know that the solutions of \dot{z}^+ are symmetric about the y -axis.

Now, consider the solution $z^-(t)$ of (68) with initial condition $z^-(0) = w_0 > 0$. By the symmetry of the solutions of (69), we have that there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that $z^-(t_0) = -w_0$. Moreover,

$$z^+(t) = -(d + w_0)e^{at}(\cos(bt) + i \sin(bt)) + d$$

is a solution of $\dot{z}^+ = (a + bi)(z - d)$ satisfying that $z^+(0) = -w_0$ and $z^+(-\frac{\pi}{b}) = d + (d + w_0)e^{-\frac{a\pi}{b}}$.

Consequently, the Poincaré map at $z = w_0$ is given by $\Pi(w_0) = d + (d + w_0)e^{-\frac{a\pi}{b}}$ and $\Pi'(w_0) = e^{-\frac{a\pi}{b}} < 1$. Now, we must seek solutions for the equation $\Pi(w_0) = w_0$. Since $a \neq 0$, then we have a unique solution, given by $\frac{d(1 + e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}})}{-1 + e^{\frac{a\pi}{b}}}$, thus we have only one limit cycle (see Remark 37), which is stable. \square

Emphasize that the conditions given in the previous proposition are not empty. Indeed, taking $a = -1$, $b = -1$, $d = \frac{3}{10}$, and $y_0 = 1$ we have the existence of a limit cycle (see Figure 26).




FIGURE 26. Phase portrait of PWHS (68) with $n = 3$, $a = -1$, $b = -1$, $d = \frac{3}{10}$, and $y_0 = 1$. The red trajectory is the limit cycle of (68).

To end this section, we give an example of a limit cycle of PWHS using the normal form $\frac{\gamma z^n}{1 + z^{n-1}}$, for $n = 2$ and $\gamma = 1$.

Example 41. *The PWHS*

$$(70) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{z}^+ = \frac{(z + \frac{i}{5})^2}{1 + (z + \frac{i}{5})}, & \text{when } \Im(z) > 0, \\ \dot{z}^- = i(z + 0.0381415), & \text{when } \Im(z) < 0, \end{cases}$$

has at least an unstable limit cycle. Indeed, writing \dot{z}^+ in its polar form we have

$$(71) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{r} &= \frac{r^2(r + \cos(\theta))}{1 + r^2 + 2r \cos(\theta)}, \\ \dot{\theta} &= \frac{r \sin(\theta)}{1 + r^2 + 2r \cos(\theta)}, \end{cases}$$

where $z + \frac{i}{5} = re^{i\theta} = r(\cos(\theta) + i \sin(\theta))$. It is easy to see that the orbits of system (71) satisfy the equation $r = \frac{-\sin(\theta)}{\theta - c_1}$, where $c_1 = \arctan\left(\frac{\frac{1}{5}}{w_0}\right) + \frac{\frac{1}{5}}{w_0^2 + (\frac{1}{5})^2}$, with

$z^+(0) = w_0$. Thus, the solutions of system (71) can be parametrized by

$$z^+(\theta) = \frac{-\sin(\theta)\cos(\theta)}{\theta - c_1} - i \left(\frac{\sin^2(\theta)}{\theta - c_1} + \frac{1}{5} \right).$$

Notice that if $w_1 = \frac{1}{20}$ and $w_2 = \frac{13}{100}$, then $z^+(w_1) \approx -0.100229$ and $z^+(w_2) \approx -0.238348$.

Now,

$$z_1^-(t) = -0.062087(\cos(t) + i \sin(t)) - 0.0381415$$

and

$$z_2^-(t) = -0.200207(\cos(t) + i \sin(t)) - 0.0381415$$

are the solutions of $\dot{z}^- = i(z + 0.0381415)$ satisfying that $z_1^-(0) = -0.100229$ and $z_2^-(0) = -0.238348$, respectively. Thus, $z_1^-(\pi) \approx 0.0239455$ and $z_2^-(\pi) \approx 0.162065$. Therefore, $\Delta = \pi(w_1) - w_1 > 0$ and $\Delta = \pi(w_2) - w_2 < 0$. Then, by continuity there exists $w_0 \in (w_1, w_2)$, such that $\pi(\widetilde{w}_0) = \widetilde{w}_0$.

Consequently, system (70) has a periodic orbit. Even more, numerical approximations indicate that it is an unstable limit cycle (see Figure 27).




FIGURE 27. Phase portrait of PWHS (70). The red trajectory is the limit cycle of (70).

6. HOMOCLINIC ORBITS OF PWHS

This section is devoted to give some families of PWHS that have homoclinic orbits. For that, notice that it is possible to form homoclinic orbits in PWHS considering $\dot{z}^- = (z - z_0)^n$ or $\dot{z}^- = \frac{1}{(z - z_0)^n}$ and $\dot{z}^+ = biz$. For this we use the invariant rays of \dot{z}^- and, depending on the case, we consider z_0 as a real singularity or real equilibrium point of \dot{z}^- .

Proposition 42. *Given $n \in \mathbb{N}_{n \geq 1}$, b , and y_0 be non-zero real numbers with $y_0 > 0$ and b satisfies the table (73). Then the PWHS*

$$(72) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{z}^+ = ibz, & \text{when } \Im(z) > 0, \\ \dot{z}^- = \frac{i^m}{(z + iy_0)^n}, & \text{when } \Im(z) < 0, \end{cases}$$

has at least one homoclinic orbit, where $m = 0$ if n is even and $m = 1$ otherwise.

$$(73) \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline n & b \\ \hline \hline 4k-1 & + \\ \hline 4k & - \\ \hline 4k-2 & + \\ \hline 4k+1 & - \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality assume $b > 0$. Consider the invariant rays $\frac{n\pi}{2(n+1)}$ and $\frac{(n+2)\pi}{2(n+1)}$ associated with z^- . Notice that these rays intersect Σ at points $x = \cot\left(\frac{n\pi}{2(n+1)}\right)y_0$ and $x = \cot\left(\frac{(n+2)\pi}{2(n+1)}\right)y_0$, respectively.

Now,

$$z^-(t) = \cot\left(\frac{n\pi}{2(n+1)}\right)y_0 (\cos(bt) + i \sin(bt))$$

is a solution of $\dot{z}^- = biz$ satisfying that $z^-(0) = \cot\left(\frac{n\pi}{2(n+1)}\right)y_0$ and $z^-(\frac{\pi}{b}) = \cot\left(\frac{(n+2)\pi}{2(n+1)}\right)y_0$. Thus, we get a homoclinic orbit of (72) (see Figure 28). \square




FIGURE 28. Phase portrait of PWHS (72), with $n = 1$, $b = 1$, and $y_0 = 1$. The red trajectory is a homoclinic orbit of (72).

Proposition 43. Given $n \in \mathbb{N}_{n>2}$, b , and y_0 be non-zero real numbers with $y_0 > 0$ and b satisfies the table (75). Then the PWHS

$$(74) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{z}^+ = ibz, & \text{when } \Im(z) > 0, \\ \dot{z}^- = i^m(z + iy_0)^n, & \text{when } \Im(z) < 0, \end{cases}$$

has at least one homoclinic orbit, where $m = 0$ if n is even and $m = 1$ otherwise.

$$(75) \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline n & b \\ \hline \hline 4k-1 & - \\ \hline 4k & - \\ \hline 4k-2 & + \\ \hline 4k+1 & + \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality assume $b > 0$. Consider the invariant rays $\frac{n\pi}{2(n-1)}$ and $\frac{(n-2)\pi}{2(n-1)}$ associated with z^- . Notice that these rays intersect Σ at points $x = \cot\left(\frac{n\pi}{2(n-1)}\right) y_0$ and $x = \cot\left(\frac{(n-2)\pi}{2(n-1)}\right) y_0$, respectively.

Now,

$$z^-(t) = \cot\left(\frac{(n-2)\pi}{2(n-1)}\right) y_0 (\cos(bt) + i \sin(bt))$$

is a solution of $\dot{z}^- = biz$ satisfying that $z^-(0) = \cot\left(\frac{(n-2)\pi}{2(n-1)}\right) y_0$ and $z^-(\frac{\pi}{b}) = \cot\left(\frac{n\pi}{2(n-1)}\right) y_0$. Thus, we get a homoclinic orbit of (74) (see Figure 29). \square




FIGURE 29. Phase portrait of PWHS (74), with $n = 4$, $b = -1$, and $y_0 = 1$. The red trajectory is a homoclinic orbit of (74).

7. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This article was possible thanks to the scholarship granted from the Brazilian Federal Agency for Support and Evaluation of Graduate Education (CAPES), in the scope of the Program CAPES-Print, process number 88887.310463/2018-00, International Cooperation Project number 88881.310741/2018-01. Paulo Ricardo da Silva is also partially supported by São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) grant 2019/10269-3.

Luiz Fernando Gouveia is supported by São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) grant 2020/04717-0. Gabriel Rondón is supported by São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) grant 2020/06708-9.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Ávila. *Variáveis complexas e aplicações*. Rio de Janeiro LTC, 3 edition, 2008.
- [2] G. K. Batchelor. *An introduction to fluid dynamics*. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, paperback edition, 1999.
- [3] R. Benterki and J. Llibre. Crossing limit cycles of planar piecewise linear hamiltonian systems without equilibrium points. *Mathematics*, 8(5), 2020.
- [4] H. E. Benzinger. Plane autonomous systems with rational vector fields. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 326(2):465–483, 1991.
- [5] C. Bonet-Revés and T. M-Seara. Regularization of sliding global bifurcations derived from the local fold singularity of Filippov systems. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 36(7):3545–3601, 2016.

- [6] L. Brickman and E. S. Thomas. Conformal equivalence of analytic flows. *J. Differential Equations*, 25(3):310–324, 1977.
- [7] K. A. Broughan. Holomorphic flows on simply connected regions have no limit cycles. volume 38, pages 699–709. 2003. Dynamical systems: theory and applications (Łódź, 2001).
- [8] A. J. Chorin and J. E. Marsden. *A mathematical introduction to fluid mechanics*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1979.
- [9] J. B. Conway. *Functions of one complex variable*, volume 11 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973.
- [10] E. Freire, E. Ponce, F. Rodrigo, and F. Torres. Bifurcation sets of continuous piecewise linear systems with two zones. *Internat. J. Bifur. Chaos Appl. Sci. Engrg.*, 8(11):2073–2097, 1998.
- [11] A. Garijo, A. Gasull, and X. Jarque. Local and global phase portrait of equation $\dot{z} = f(z)$. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 17(2):309–329, 2007.
- [12] M. Guardia, T. M. Seara, and M. A. Teixeira. Generic bifurcations of low codimension of planar Filippov systems. *J. Differential Equations*, 250(4):1967–2023, 2011.
- [13] O. Hájek. Notes on meromorphic dynamical systems. I. *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, 16(91):14–27, 1966.
- [14] O. Hájek. Notes on meromorphic dynamical systems. II. *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, 16 (91):28–35, 1966.
- [15] X. Jarque, A. Gasull, and A. Garijo. Local and global phase portrait of equation $\dot{z} = f(z)$. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems - DISCRETE CONTIN DYN SYST*, 17:309–329, 11 2006.
- [16] P. Kaklamanos and K. U. Kristiansen. Regularization and geometry of piecewise smooth systems with intersecting discontinuity sets. *SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst.*, 18(3):1225–1264, 2019.
- [17] K. U. Kristiansen. Blowup for flat slow manifolds. *Nonlinearity*, 30(5):2138–2184, 2017.
- [18] K. U. Kristiansen and S. J. Hogan. Resolution of the piecewise smooth visible-invisible two-fold singularity in \mathbb{R}^3 using regularization and blowup. *J. Nonlinear Sci.*, 29(2):723–787, 2019.
- [19] J. Llibre, E. Ponce, and C. Valls. Uniqueness and non-uniqueness of limit cycles for piecewise linear differential systems with three zones and no symmetry. *J. Nonlinear Sci.*, 25(4):861–887, 2015.
- [20] D. J. Needham and A. C. King. On meromorphic complex differential equations. *Dynam. Stability Systems*, 9(2):99–122, 1994.
- [21] D. D. Novaes and G. Rondón. Smoothing of nonsmooth differential systems near regular-tangential singularities and boundary limit cycles. *Nonlinearity*, 34(6):4202–4263, jun 2021.
- [22] E. Ponce, J. Ros, and E. Vela. Limit cycle and boundary equilibrium bifurcations in continuous planar piecewise linear systems. *Internat. J. Bifur. Chaos Appl. Sci. Engrg.*, 25(3):1530008, 18, 2015.
- [23] R. Sverdløve. Vector fields defined by complex functions. *J. Differential Equations*, 34(3):427–439, 1979.

SÃO PAULO STATE UNIVERSITY (UNESP), INSTITUTE OF BIOSCIENCES, HUMANITIES AND EXACT SCIENCES. RUA C. COLOMBO, 2265, CEP 15054–000. S. J. RIO PRETO, SÃO PAULO, BRAZIL.

Email address: paulo.r.silva@unesp.br

Email address: fernando.gouveia@unesp.br

Email address: garv202020@gmail.com