

COUNTING CHARACTERS OF SMALL DEGREE IN UPPER UNITRIANGULAR GROUPS

MARIA LOUKAKI

ABSTRACT. Let U_n denote the group of upper $n \times n$ unitriangular matrices over a fixed finite field \mathbf{F} of order q . That is, U_n consists of upper triangular $n \times n$ matrices having every diagonal entry equal to 1. It is known that the degrees of all irreducible complex characters of U_n are powers of q . It was conjectured by Lehrer that the number of irreducible characters of U_n of degree q^e is an integer polynomial in q depending only on e and n . We show that there exist recursive (for n) formulas that this number satisfies when e is one of 1, 2 and 3, and thus show that the conjecture is true in those cases.

1. INTRODUCTION

We fix a prime p . Let q be a fixed power of p and $\mathbf{F}_q = \mathbf{F}$ the finite field of order q . We write $U_n(q) = U_n$ for the group of upper triangular $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbf{F} , whose diagonal elements are all equal to 1. We also write $GL_n(q)$ for the general linear group of all $n \times n$ invertible matrices over \mathbf{F} and note that $U_n(q)$ is a p -Sylow subgroup of $GL_n(q)$. Furthermore, for every finite group G and every integer k we write

$$N_k(G) = |\{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G) \mid \chi(1) = k\}|,$$

for the number of irreducible characters of G of degree k .

In 1974 G. I. Lehrer, see [6], conjectured two results. First, he claimed that the degrees of the irreducible representations of U_n are of type q^e for some $e \in \{0, 1, \dots, \mu(n)\}$, where

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} m(m-1) & \text{if } n = 2m \text{ and} \\ m^2 & \text{if } n = 2m + 1. \end{cases}$$

Next he conjectured that for any fixed n , the number of irreducible characters of U_n whose degree is q^e , i.e., $N_{q^e}(U_n)$ in our notation, is an integer polynomial in q depending only on e .

As far as the first of his conjecture is concerned, it was shown by M. Isaacs [5], that every irreducible character of U_n has degree a power of q . In addition, B. Huppert, [2], proved that the degrees of the irreducible characters of U_n is exactly the set $\{q^e \mid 0 \leq e \leq \mu(n)\}$.

As for the second part of his conjecture, it still remains open apart for some specific values of e .

The case $e = 0$ is well known and easy to compute, that is, $N_1(U_n(q)) = N_1(U_n) = q^{n-1}$. For greater values of e , M. Marjoram [7] provided some first formulas. In particular, he proved that there exist formulas for the number of irreducible characters having one of the next two lowest degrees, that is $N_q(U_n)$ and $N_{q^2}(U_n)$. Also in his unpublished thesis [8], M. Marjoram established

formulas for the three highest degrees when $n = 2m$ is even, that is $N_{q^{\mu(n)}}(U_{2m})$, $N_{q^{\mu(n)-1}}(U_{2m})$ and $N_{q^{\mu(n)-2}}(U_{2m})$, as well as a formula for the number of irreducible characters of highest degree when n is odd, that is $N_{q^{\mu(n)}}(U_{2m+1})$.

In addition, I. M. Isaacs, in his paper [4], using a different method, constructed specific polynomials for the number of irreducible characters of $U_n(q)$ of degree $q, q^{\mu(n)}$ and $q^{\mu(n)-1}$. He also suggested a stronger form a Lehrer's conjecture (see Conjecture B in [4]), that the functions $N_{q^e}(U_n)$ are polynomials in $q - 1$ with nonnegative integer coefficients.

In this paper we use an elementary method to construct recursive formulas that the number of irreducible characters of degree q, q^2 and q^3 satisfy and thus we verify Lehrer's conjecture. (Of course the cases q and q^2 were already known by Marjoram's formulas.) In a forthcoming paper we prove analogous recursive formulas for the degrees $q^{\mu(n)}, q^{\mu(n)-1}$ and $q^{\mu(n)-2}$.

We follow the notation used in [3]. In addition, for any matrix $X = (x_{i,j}) \in GL_n(q)$ we write $R_i(X)$ for its i -row written as an $1 \times n$ matrix. We also write $C_j(X)$ for its j -column written as an $n \times 1$ matrix. Also if $A = (a_{i,j}) \in U_n$ then we say that its i -row is trivial if the only nonzero element in that row is the diagonal element $a_{i,i} = 1$. Similarly, we say that the j -column of A is trivial if every entry in the j -column of A is 0 except $a_{j,j} = 1$. We will often consider the additive abelian group of the st -dimensional vector space \mathbf{F}^{st} (of order q^{st}) as the additive group of all $s \times t$ matrices over \mathbf{F} . When viewed as such we write it as $\mathbf{F}^{s \times t}$.

Acknowledgments Most of this work was done while I was visiting Georgia Institute of Technology. I would like to thank the Math department for its hospitality. I'm also grateful to the referee whose suggestions improved dramatically the original paper. The short proof of Theorem 1 is his contribution. Furthermore, as he pointed out the recursive formulas we prove below for $N_{q^3}(U_n)$ (see (6.3), (7.2) and (7.3)) imply that $N_{q^3}(U_n)$ is a polynomial function in $q - 1$ with nonnegative integer coefficients. Hence Isaacs conjecture holds for $e = 3$. (Of course it holds for $e = 1$ and $e = 2$).

2. ORBITS OF UNITRIANGULAR ACTIONS ON $\mathbf{F}^{s \times t}$

The aim of this section is to compute the orbits of a specific action of $H = U_s \times U_t$ on $\mathbf{F}^{s \times t}$.

Definition 1. Let T be an $s \times t$ matrix over \mathbf{F} . We call T **quasimonomial** if it has at most one non-zero entry in every column and row.

We write $E_{i,j}$ for the matrix that has 1 in its (i,j) -entry and 0 everywhere else. Clearly $E_{i,j}$ is quasimonomial. Furthermore, every nonzero quasimonomial matrix T can be written as

$$(2.1) \quad T = f_1 E_{i_1, j_1} + f_2 E_{i_2, j_2} + \cdots + f_k E_{i_k, j_k}$$

with $j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_k$, all i_1, \dots, i_k distinct, and f_1, \dots, f_k non-zero elements in \mathbf{F} . We call 2.1 the **standard form** of the non-zero T and we say that k is the **length** of T .

Theorem 1. Assume that the group $H = U_s \times U_t$ acts on $\mathbf{F}^{s \times t}$ in the following way

$$X^{(A,B)} = A^{-1}XB,$$

for all $X \in \mathbf{F}^{s \times t}$, $A \in U_s$ and $B \in U_t$. Then the set of distinct quasimonomial matrices in $\mathbf{F}^{s \times t}$ forms a complete set of orbit representatives of the action of H on $\mathbf{F}^{s \times t}$.

Proof. Let $X \in \mathbf{F}^{s \times t}$. We show that by performing admissible transformations we can get a quasimonomial matrix. By an admissible transformation we mean adding to a row (respectively a column) a multiple of a subsequent row (resp. a previous column). By induction we can suppose that the $(s-1) \times t$ submatrix of X formed by all rows except the first one is quasimonomial. Let $x_{i_1, j_1}, \dots, x_{i_l, j_l}$, $2 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_l$, be the non-zero elements in this submatrix. Then we can suppose that $x_{1, j_1} = \dots = x_{1, j_l} = 0$. If the rest of elements in the first row are now zero we are done. Otherwise let $x_{1, j}$ be the first non-zero element in the first row. Then, except for $x_{1, j}$, the j th column is zero and, by performing admissible column transformations, we can have that $x_{1, j}$ is the unique non-zero element in the first row, and thus obtain a quasimonomial matrix.

To prove uniqueness, we argue again by induction on s and t . If X, Y are quasimonomial matrices in the same orbit, we can suppose that the last $s-1$ rows and the first $t-1$ columns of X and Y are the same. We only need to show that $x_{1, t} = y_{1, t}$. If some element in the first row or in the last column different from the $(1, t)$ -entry is non-zero, then $x_{1, t} = y_{1, t} = 0$ and $X = Y$. Otherwise comparing the $(1, t)$ -entry in $XB = AY$ we get $x_{1, t} = y_{1, t}$ and $X = Y$. □

When a first version of this paper appeared, Vera-López, Arregi and Ormaetxea told me (I thank them for that) about a more general result concerning conjugacy classes in unitriangular groups (see [9], [10], [11]), whose special case is Theorem 1.

3. IRREDUCIBLE CHARACTERS IN U_n

In this section we will show how Section 2 is connected to Lehrer's conjecture. We follow Marjoram's approach on the problem, and Proposition 1 below follows from his paper [7].

For a fixed but arbitrary integer n we consider the upper unitriangular group U_n over \mathbf{F}_q , and its two subgroups $M_{n, t}$ and $H_{n, t}$ defined in the following way. If $1 \leq t \leq n$ and $s = n - t$ then

$$M_{n, t} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} I_t & C \\ 0 & I_s \end{pmatrix} : C \in \mathbf{F}^{t \times s} \right\} = \{X \in U_n \text{ with } x_{i, j} = 0 \text{ if either } i < j \leq t \text{ or } t < i < j\}$$

and

$$H_{n, t} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} B & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} : A \in U_s \text{ and } B \in U_t \right\} = \{X \in U_n \text{ with } x_{i, j} = 0 \text{ if } i \leq t \text{ and } j > t\}.$$

It is easy to see that for all $t = 1, \dots, n$, the group $M_{n, t}$ is an abelian normal subgroup of U_n , isomorphic to $\mathbf{F}^{t \times s}$. In addition, $H_{n, t}$ complements $M_{n, t}$ in U_n , and is isomorphic to $U_s \times U_t$. We identify $H_{n, t}$ with $U_s \times U_t$ and we write its elements as (A, B) with $A \in U_s$ and $B \in U_t$. We also identify the $M_{n, t}$ with $\mathbf{F}^{t \times s}$, and thus we write the elements of $M_{n, t}$ as $C \in \mathbf{F}^{t \times s}$. Note that with these identifications, the conjugation action of $H_{n, t}$ on $M_{n, t}$ in $U = M_{n, t} \rtimes H_{n, t}$ is given as

$$(3.1) \quad C^{(A, B)} = B^{-1}CA,$$

for all $(A, B) \in H_{n,t}$ and $C \in M_{n,t}$. The product that appears at the right hand side of the equation above is the standard product of matrices.

Marjoram has given a nice characterization for the abelian group $\text{Irr}(M_{n,t})$, and the way $H_{n,t}$ acts on that group. We collect his results (Lemma 2 and 3 in [7]) in the next proposition.

Proposition 1. *Let $M_{n,t}$ and $H_{n,t}$ be defined as above, for fixed but arbitrary n and t . Then $\text{Irr}(M_{n,t})$ is isomorphic to the abelian additive group $\mathbf{F}^{s \times t}$ of all the $s \times t$ matrices over $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}_q$. The isomorphism is given by $D \in \mathbf{F}^{s \times t} \rightarrow \lambda_D \in \text{Irr}(M_{n,t})$, where the map $\lambda_D : M_{n,t} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is defined as*

$$\lambda_D(C) = \omega^{T(\text{tr}(DC))}, \text{ for all } C \in M_{n,t},$$

where ω is a primitive p -root of unity, $T : \mathbf{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_p$ is the usual trace map from the extension field of q elements \mathbf{F}_q to the ground field \mathbf{F}_p of p elements and $\text{tr}(DC)$ denotes the trace of the square $s \times s$ matrix DC . Furthermore identifying $H_{n,t}$ with $U_s \times U_t$, we get that the action of $H_{n,t}$ on $\text{Irr}(M_{n,t})$ is given as

$$\lambda_D^{(A,B)}(C) = \lambda_D(C^{(A^{-1}, B^{-1})}) = \lambda_D(BCA^{-1}) = \omega^{T(\text{tr}(DBCA^{-1}))} = \omega^{T(\text{tr}(A^{-1}DBC))} = \lambda_{A^{-1}DB}(C),$$

for all $D \in \mathbf{F}^{s \times t}$, $C \in \mathbf{F}^{t \times s}$ and all $(A, B) \in U_s \times U_t \cong H_{n,t}$. Thus $U_s \times U_t \cong H_{n,t}$ acts on $\text{Irr}(M_{n,t}) \cong \mathbf{F}^{s \times t}$ as

$$D^{(A,B)} = A^{-1}DB.$$

What the above proposition says is that, identifying $\text{Irr}(M_{n,t})$ with $\mathbf{F}^{s \times t}$ and $H_{n,t}$ with $U_s \times U_t$, then Theorem 1 provides a complete set of orbit representatives of the action of $H_{n,t}$ on $\text{Irr}(M_{n,t})$. In particular,

$$(3.2) \quad \Omega_{n,t} = \{T \in \mathbf{F}^{s \times t} \mid T \text{ quasimonomial}\}.$$

is such a set of representatives.

Now, let G be any finite group N an abelian normal subgroup of G and H a complement of N in G , then it is easy to characterize the irreducible characters of G . In particular, if $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(N)$, and G_λ is the stabilizer of λ in G , then Gallagher's theorem and Clifford Theory implies that λ extends to G_λ and a canonical extension λ^e is given as $\lambda^e(hn) = \lambda(n)$, for all $h \in H_\lambda = G_\lambda \cap H$ and $n \in N$. Every character $\Psi \in \text{Irr}(H_\lambda)$ defines a unique irreducible character $\Psi \cdot \lambda^e$ of G_λ lying above λ and inducing irreducibly on G . Distinct irreducible characters $\Psi \in \text{Irr}(H_\lambda)$ define distinct irreducible characters $(\Psi \cdot \lambda^e)^G$ of G . In addition, every $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ lies above some $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(N)$ and thus $\chi = (\Psi \cdot \lambda^e)^G$, for some $\Psi \in \text{Irr}(H_\lambda)$. Note that $\chi(1) = \Psi(1)(|H|/|H_\lambda|)$.

The group G acts on $\text{Irr}(N)$ and divides its members into conjugacy classes. (Observe that the G -classes of $\text{Irr}(N)$ are also the H -conjugacy classes of $\text{Irr}(N)$.) Let $\Omega \subseteq \text{Irr}(N)$ consisting of one representative from every G -conjugacy class of irreducible characters of N . Then

$$\text{Irr}(G) = \cup_{\lambda \in \Omega} \{(\Psi \lambda^e)^G \mid \Psi \in \text{Irr}(H_\lambda)\}.$$

Hence if $N_k(G) = |\{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G) \mid \chi(1) = k\}|$, for any finite group G , and any $k = 1, 2, \dots$, then

$$N_k(G) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Omega} N_{\frac{k}{|H:H_\lambda|}}(H_\lambda) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Omega} N_{\frac{k}{|O_\lambda|}}(H_\lambda)$$

where O_λ is the H -orbit of λ in $\text{Irr}(N)$.

Applying the above argument to the groups $U_n = M_{n,t} \rtimes H_{n,t}$ for any arbitrary but fixed integer n and any $t = 1, \dots, n-1$, we conclude that

$$(3.3) \quad N_k(U_n) = \sum_{T \in \Omega_{n,t}} N_{\frac{k}{|H_{n,t}:H_{n,t},T|}}(H_{n,t},T) = \sum_{T \in \Omega_{n,t}} N_{\frac{k}{|O_T|}}(H_{n,t},T),$$

where $\Omega_{n,t}$ is the set of quasimonomial matrices in $\mathbf{F}^{s \times t}$, O_T is the $H_{n,t}$ -orbit of $T \in \mathbf{F}^{s \times t} \cong \text{Irr}(M_{n,t})$ and $H_{n,t,T}$ is the stabilizer of T in $H_{n,t} \cong U_s \times U_t$.

Case 1: $t = 1$. So $s = n-1$ and the groups $H_{n,1}$ and $M_{n,1}$ become $U_{n-1} \times U_1 \cong U_{n-1}$ and $\mathbf{F}^{1 \times n-1}$ respectively. Furthermore, $\text{Irr}(M_{n,1}) \cong \mathbf{F}^{n-1 \times 1}$ and $\Omega_{n,1} = \{T \in \mathbf{F}^{n-1,1} \mid T \text{ quasimonomial}\}$ consists of the matrices $T_i = fE_{i,1}$, for all $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, and $f \neq 0 \in \mathbf{F}$, along with the zero matrix. So we get $q-1$ matrices of type $fE_{i,1}$. For any n and any $i = 1, \dots, n$ we define $P_{n,i}$ as

$$P_{n,i} = \{A \in U_n \mid C_i(A) \text{ is trivial}\}.$$

Then it is easy to check that $H_{n,1,T_i} = P_{n-1,i}$ while $|O_{T_i}| = q^{i-1}$. Thus in view of equation 3.3 we get

$$(3.4) \quad N_k(U_n) = \sum_{T \in \Omega_{n,1}} N_{\frac{k}{|O_T|}}(H_{n,1},T) = (q-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} N_{\frac{k}{q^{i-1}}}(P_{n-1,i}) + N_k(U_{n-1}),$$

where the last summand is the contribution of the zero matrix whose orbit size is 1 and the stabilizer group is $H_{n,1} \cong U_{n-1}$ itself. For $k = q^e$, $e = 0, 1, \dots, \mu(n)$ the above equation, along with the fact that $P_{n-1,1} = U_{n-1}$, implies

$$(3.5) \quad N_k(U_n) = qN_k(U_{n-1}) + (q-1) \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} N_{\frac{k}{q^{i-1}}}(P_{n-1,i}).$$

Observe that for $k = 1$ equation (3.5) provides the well known formula $N_1(U_n) = qN_1(U_{n-1})$, for all $n \geq 2$.

Case 2: $t = 2$ and thus $s = n-2$. (Assume $n \geq 4$ for the rest of the section.) Now the groups $H_{n,2}$ and $M_{n,2}$ become $U_{n-2} \times U_2 \cong U_{n-2} \times \mathbf{F}$ and $\mathbf{F}^{2 \times n-2}$ respectively. Furthermore, $\text{Irr}(M_{n,2}) \cong \mathbf{F}^{n-2 \times 2}$ and $\Omega_{n,2} = \{T \in \mathbf{F}^{n-2,2} \mid T \text{ quasimonomial}\}$ consists of matrices whose length is either 1 or 2 along with the zero matrix. In particular, the non-zero matrices in $\Omega_{n,2}$ are of the following two types:

Those of length 1, i.e. $T_{i,j} = fE_{i,j}$, $j = 1, 2$ and $i = 1, \dots, n-2$, while $f \neq 0 \in \mathbf{F}$. For any fixed i and j we get $q-1$ such. If $j = 1$ then $T_{i,1} = fE_{i,1}$, for $i = 1, \dots, n-2$. In this case it is left to the reader to check that $|O_{T_{i,1}}| = q^i$ while $H_{n,2,T_{i,1}} = \{(A, B) \mid A \in U_{n-2}, B \in U_2 \text{ with } C_i(A) \text{ and } R_1(B) \text{ trivial}\}$. Thus $H_{n,2,T_{i,1}} \cong P_{n-2,i}$.

If $j = 2$ then $T_{i,2} = fE_{i,2}$, for some $i = 1, \dots, n-2$. In this case $|O_{T_{i,2}}| = q^{i-1}$ while $H_{n,2,T_{i,2}} = \{(A, B) \mid A \in U_{n-2}, B \in U_2 \text{ with } C_i(A) \text{ and } R_2(B) \text{ being trivial}\} \cong P_{n-2,i} \times \mathbf{F}$.

The second type are those of length 2, i.e., $T_{i_1,i_2} = f_1E_{i_1,1} + f_2E_{i_2,2}$ for some $i_1 \neq i_2$ and f_1, f_2 non-zero elements in \mathbf{F} . We get exactly $(q-1)^2$ such distinct quasimonomial characters. One can easily check that if $i_1 > i_2$, then $|O_{T_{i_1,i_2}}| = q^{i_1+i_2-1}$, while the stabilizer of T_{i_1,i_2} in $H_{n,2}$ equals

$$H_{n,2,T_{i_1,i_2}} = \{(A, 1) \mid A \in U_{n-2} \text{ with } C_{i_1}(A) \text{ and } C_{i_2}(A) \text{ being trivial}\} \cong P_{n-2,i_1} \cap P_{n-2,i_2}.$$

On the other hand if $i_1 < i_2$, then $|O_{T_{i_1, i_2}}| = q^{i_1 + i_2 - 2}$, while the stabilizer $H_{n, 2, T_{i_1, i_2}}$ of T_{i_1, i_2} in $H_{n, 2} = U_{n-2} \times U_2$ consists of all matrices $(A, B) \in U_{n-2} \times U_2$ that satisfy $a_{i_1, i_2} = -f_1/f_2 \cdot b_{1, 2}$ while $C_{i_1}(A)$ is a trivial column and $a_{x, i_2} = 0$ for all $i_1 \neq x = 1, \dots, i_2 - 1$. For $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq n$ we define

$$(3.6) \quad Q_{n, i_1, i_2} = \{A \in U_n \mid a_{y, i_1} = 0 = a_{x, i_2}, \text{ for all } i_1 \neq x = 1, \dots, i_2 - 1 \text{ and } y = 1, \dots, i_1 - 1\}.$$

Then it is easy to see that $H_{n, 2, T_{i_1, i_2}} \cong Q_{n-2, i_1, i_2}$. Finally the zero matrix has orbit length 1 and its stabilizer in $H_{n, 2}$ is $H_{n, 2} \cong U_{n-2} \times \mathbf{F}$. Collecting all the above and applying equation 3.3 along with equation (3.5) and the fact that $N_k(M \times \mathbf{F}) = |\mathbf{F}| \cdot N_k(M) = qN_k(M)$ for any group M , we get

$$(3.7) \quad N_k(U_n) = qN_k(U_{n-1}) + N_{\frac{k}{q}}(U_{n-1}) - N_{\frac{k}{q}}(U_{n-2}) + \\ (q-1)^2 \sum_{1 \leq i_2 < i_1 \leq n-2} N_{\frac{k}{q^{i_1+i_2-1}}}(P_{n-2, i_1} \cap P_{n-2, i_2}) + \\ (q-1)^2 \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq n-2} N_{\frac{k}{q^{i_1+i_2-2}}}(Q_{n-2, i_1, i_2}).$$

for all $n \geq 4$ and all k . Some of the summands above are easy to compute. First observe that $P_{n-2, i} \cap P_{n-2, n-2} \cong P_{n-3, i}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n-3$, and all $n \geq 5$. Thus (3.5) implies

$$(q-1)^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-3} N_{\frac{k}{q^{n-3+i}}}(P_{n-2, i} \cap P_{n-2, n-2}) = (q-1)[N_{\frac{k}{q^{n-2}}}(U_{n-2}) - N_{\frac{k}{q^{n-2}}}(U_{n-3})].$$

In addition, $P_{n-2, i} \cap P_{n-2, 1} = P_{n-2, i}$, for all $i = 2, \dots, n-3$ and all $n \geq 5$. Hence

$$(q-1)^2 \sum_{i=2}^{n-3} N_{\frac{k}{q^i}}(P_{n-2, i} \cap P_{n-2, 1}) = (q-1)[N_{\frac{k}{q}}(U_{n-1}) - qN_{\frac{k}{q}}(U_{n-2}) - (q-1)N_{\frac{k}{q^{n-2}}}(U_{n-3})].$$

Furthermore, for all $i = 1, \dots, n-3$ and all $n \geq 5$, the group $Q_{n-2, i, n-2}$ is isomorphic to $P_{n-3, i} \times \mathbf{F}$. This along with (3.5) implies

$$(3.8) \quad (q-1)^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-3} N_{\frac{k}{q^{n-4+i}}}(Q_{n-2, i, n-2}) = q(q-1)[N_{\frac{k}{q^{n-3}}}(U_{n-2}) - N_{\frac{k}{q^{n-3}}}(U_{n-3})].$$

We finally observe that $Q_{n-2, 1, 2} = U_{n-2}$. Replacing all the above in equation (3.7) we get

$$(3.9) \quad N_k(U_n) = qN_k(U_{n-1}) + qN_{\frac{k}{q}}(U_{n-1}) - qN_{\frac{k}{q}}(U_{n-2}) + \\ (q-1)[N_{\frac{k}{q^{n-2}}}(U_{n-2}) - qN_{\frac{k}{q^{n-2}}}(U_{n-3}) + qN_{\frac{k}{q^{n-3}}}(U_{n-2}) - qN_{\frac{k}{q^{n-3}}}(U_{n-3})] + \\ (q-1)^2 \sum_{2 \leq i_2 < i_1 \leq n-3} N_{\frac{k}{q^{i_1+i_2-1}}}(P_{n-2, i_1} \cap P_{n-2, i_2}) + \\ (q-1)^2 \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq n-3 \text{ and } (i_1, i_2) \neq (1, 2)} N_{\frac{k}{q^{i_1+i_2-2}}}(Q_{n-2, i_1, i_2}),$$

for all $n \geq 5$ and all k . Note that in the equation above, the sum $i_1 + i_2$ is greater or equal to 5 when $i_2 < i_1$, while $i_1 + i_2 \geq 4$ when $i_1 < i_2$.

4. LINEAR CHARACTERS OF $P_{n,i}$ AND $Q_{n,i,j}$

The aim of this section is to compute the number of linear characters of $P_{n,i}$ and $Q_{n,i,j}$. These groups are examples of *pattern groups*, a term introduced by M. Isaacs. We give here the basic definitions and properties we need, for more details the reader could see [4].

Let \mathcal{P} be a subset of the set of pairs $\{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$. \mathcal{P} is called a *closed pattern* if it has the property that $(i, k) \in \mathcal{P}$ whenever $(i, j), (j, k) \in \mathcal{P}$, for some $j \in \{i+1, \dots, k-1\}$. The set of unitriangular matrices $X \in U_n$ whose nonzero entries are restricted to lie at positions in the pattern \mathcal{P} is a subgroup of U_n called a *pattern group*. If G is a pattern group corresponding to the closed pattern \mathcal{P} with $|\mathcal{P}| = k$, then G is generated by the matrices $I_n + aE_{i,j}$, $(i, j) \in \mathcal{P}$, $a \in \mathbf{F}^*$ and $|G| = |\mathbf{F}|^k$.

Direct computations show that $[I_n + aE_{i,j}, I_n + bE_{l,k}] = I_n + abE_{i,k}$ if $j = l$ and I_n otherwise. A pair $(i, j) \in \mathcal{P}$ is called *minimal* if it is not possible to find numbers $j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_l, l \geq 1$, such that $(i, j_1), (j_1, j_2), \dots, (j_l, k) \in \mathcal{P}$. Then G' is the pattern group associated to $\mathcal{P}_0 = \{(i, k) \in \mathcal{P} \mid (i, k) \text{ is not minimal}\}$. Thus $|G : G'| = q^t$, where t is the number of minimal pairs in \mathcal{P} (see Theorem 2.1 in [4]).

For the group $P_{n,i}$, $n \geq 3, i \leq n-1$ observe that there are $n-1$ minimal pairs: $(k, k+1), k \neq i-1, 1 \leq k \leq n-1$ and $(i-1, i+1)$. Therefore

$$(4.1) \quad N_1(P_{n,i}) = q^{n-1}.$$

For the group $Q_{n,i,i+1}$ with $2 \leq i \leq n-2$ there are $n-1$ minimal pairs: $(k, k+1), k \neq i-1, 1 \leq k \leq n-1$ and $(i-1, i+2)$. For the group $Q_{n,i,j}$ with $1 < i < j-1 \leq n-1$, there are n minimal pairs: $(k, k+1), k \neq i-1, j-1, 1 \leq k \leq n-1$ and $(i-1, i+1), (i, j), (j-1, j+1)$. Therefore

$$(4.2) \quad N_1(Q_{n,i,j}) = \begin{cases} q^{n-1} & \text{if } i = j-1 \\ q^n & \text{if } i < j-1. \end{cases}$$

5. COMPUTING $N_q(P_{n,2})$

With the aid of $N_1(P_{n,i})$ and $N_1(Q_{n,i,j})$ we give the recursive formulas for $N_k(U_n)$ when $k = q$ and $k = q^2$ and compute $N_q(P_{n,2})$. For $k = q$ and $n \geq 5$, equation (3.5), implies

$$(5.1) \quad N_q(U_n) = qN_q(U_{n-1}) + (q-1)N_1(P_{n-1,2}) = qN_q(U_{n-1}) + q^{n-2}(q-1).$$

It is straight forward to see that $N_q(U_3) = q-1$ while $N_q(U_4) = q(q-1)(q+1)$. So the formula $N_q(U_n) = q^{n-3}(q-1)((n-3)q+1)$ for $N_q(U_n)$ obtained by both Marjoram [7] and Isaacs [4] satisfies (5.1).

For $k = q^2$ and $n = 5$ equation (3.9) implies $N_{q^2}(U_5) = q(q-1)(2q^2 + q - 1)$. In addition, for all $n \geq 6$ we have

$$(5.2) \quad N_{q^2}(U_n) = qN_{q^2}(U_{n-1}) + qN_q(U_{n-1}) - qN_q(U_{n-2}) + (q-1)^2N_1(Q_{n-2,1,3}) = qN_{q^2}(U_{n-1}) + q^{n-4}(q-1)[q^3 + (n-5)q^2 - (n-6)q - 1].$$

It is straight forward to check that the above recursive formula is satisfied by

$$(5.3) \quad N_{q^2}(U_n) = q^{n-4}(q-1)\{(n-5)q^3 + (\frac{(n-5)(n-4)}{2} + 2)q^2 + [1 - \frac{(n-6)(n-5)}{2}]q - n + 4\}.$$

On the other hand equation (3.5) for $k = q^2$ and $n \geq 5$, implies

$$(5.4) \quad N_{q^2}(U_n) = qN_{q^2}(U_{n-1}) + (q-1)[q^{n-2} + N_q(P_{n-1,2})].$$

Combining the above with (5.2) we get

$$(5.5) \quad N_q(P_{4,2}) = \frac{1}{q-1}(N_{q^2}(U_5) - qN_{q^2}(U_4)) - q^3 = q(q^2 - 1),$$

while for $n \geq 6$

$$(5.6) \quad N_q(P_{n-1,2}) = q^{n-4}(q-1)[q^2 + (n-5)q + 1].$$

6. THE GROUP $Q_{n,1,3}$.

The aim in this section is to compute $N_q(Q_{n,1,3})$, for all $n \geq 4$. We point out that we are not able to compute the number of irreducible characters of degree q for every group $Q_{n,i,j}$ where i , and j are arbitrary. But we can do it for the group $Q_{n,1,3}$, and this is enough for the computation of $N_{q^3}(U_n)$.

Assume that $n \geq 4$. Note that, according to its definition, $Q_{n,1,3}$ consists of all $n \times n$ unitriangular matrices whose $(2,3)$ -entry is zero. We write $Q_{n,1,3}$ as a semidirect product using the following groups. Let M be the subgroup of $Q_{n,1,3}$ consisting of matrices all of whose non-diagonal elements are zero except for the first row. Assume further that H is the subgroup of $Q_{n,1,3}$ consisting of matrices whose non-diagonal entries in the first row are zero. Then it is clear that M is an abelian normal subgroup of $Q_{n,1,3}$ isomorphic to $\mathbf{F}^{1 \times n-1} \cong \mathbf{F}^{n-1}$. Observe that H is isomorphic to $P_{n-1,2}$. Furthermore, $Q_{n,1,3} = M \rtimes H$ and the conjugation action of H on M is given as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & X^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & C \\ 0 & I_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & X \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & CX \\ 0 & I_{n-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $X \in P_{n-1,2}$ and $C \in \mathbf{F}^{1 \times n-1}$.

Now we apply Proposition 1 to the group $M = \mathbf{F}^{1 \times n-1}$ (with M in the place of $M_{n,t}$ for $t = 1$). So the group of irreducible characters $\text{Irr}(M)$ of M is isomorphic to the abelian additive group $\mathbf{F}^{n-1 \times 1}$. Thus we regard the irreducible characters of M as column vectors over \mathbf{F} , and for every $\chi \in \text{Irr}(M)$ we write $\chi = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n-1})^t$ with $\chi_i \in \mathbf{F}$. Under the isomorphism between $\text{Irr}(M)$ and $\mathbf{F}^{n-1 \times 1}$ the action of an element $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & X \end{pmatrix}$ becomes multiplication on the left by X^{-1} . It is straightforward to see that the H -invariant irreducible characters of M are those with $\chi_3 = \chi_4 = \dots = \chi_{n-1} = 0$, and thus they look like $(\chi_1, \chi_2, 0, \dots, 0)^t$ with $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in \mathbf{F}$. Hence we get q^2 such irreducible characters.

Furthermore, if $\chi = (\chi_1, \dots, \chi_{n-1})^t \neq (0, \dots, 0)^t$ is any character in $\text{Irr}(M)$, and k is the biggest index with $\chi_k \neq 0$, then if $k = 1, 2$ the character χ is H -invariant, while if $k \geq 3$ the H -orbit of χ contains all the characters of type $(f_1, \dots, f_{k-1}, \chi_k, 0, \dots, 0)^t$, where $f_i \in \mathbf{F}$ are arbitrary. Hence we get orbits of length q^{k-1} . Therefore for any $\chi \in \text{Irr}(M)$ either χ is H -invariant or its stabilizer H_χ

in H has index at least q^2 . That is, there are no irreducible characters in $\text{Irr}(M)$ whose stabilizer in H has index q in H .

Now we follow the argument after equation (3.2), for the group $Q_{n,1,3} = M \rtimes H$, to get $N_q(Q_{n,1,3}) = q^2 \cdot N_q(P_{n-1,2})$, for all $n \geq 4$. If $n = 4$ then $P_{3,2} \cong \mathbf{F}^2$ and thus

$$(6.1) \quad N_q(P_{3,2}) = N_q(Q_{4,1,3}) = 0.$$

If $n = 5$ then in view of (5.5) we get

$$(6.2) \quad N_q(Q_{5,1,3}) = q^3(q^2 - 1)$$

In addition, for all $n \geq 6$, we use (5.6) to get

$$(6.3) \quad N_q(Q_{n,1,3}) = q^{n-2}(q-1)[q^2 + (n-5)q + 1].$$

7. COMPUTING $N_{q^3}(U_n)$.

For $n = 5$, equation (3.9) implies that $N_{q^3}(U_5) = q(q-1)(2q-1)$. Furthermore, when $k = q^3$ and $n = 6$ equation (3.9) along with (6.1) and (4.2) implies

$$N_{q^3}(U_6) = q^2(q-1)(4q^2 + q - 3).$$

For the case $n = 7$ we similarly get

$$(7.1) \quad N_{q^3}(U_7) = q^2(q-1)[3q^4 + 6q^3 - 2q^2 - 5q + 1].$$

In general, for all $n \geq 8$ equation (3.9) implies

$$(7.2) \quad N_{q^3}(U_n) = qN_{q^3}(U_{n-1}) + qN_{q^2}(U_{n-1}) - qN_{q^2}(U_{n-2}) + (q-1)^2[N_q(Q_{n-2,1,3}) + N_1(Q_{n-2,2,3}) + N_1(Q_{n-2,1,4})].$$

According to (5.3), for all $n \geq 8$ we get

$$(7.3) \quad N_{q^2}(U_{n-1}) - N_{q^2}(U_{n-2}) = q^{n-6}(q-1)\{(n-6)q^4 + [\frac{(n-6)(n-5)}{2} - (n-7) + 2]q^3 - [(n-7)(n-6) + 1]q^2 + [4 - n + \frac{(n-8)(n-7)}{2}]q + n - 6\}$$

Furthermore, using (4.2) and (6.3) along with (7.3) in equation (7.2) and we get

$$(7.4) \quad N_{q^3}(U_n) = qN_{q^3}(U_{n-1}) + q^{n-5}(q-1)\{q^5 + (2n-14)q^4 + [25 - 3n + \frac{(n-6)(n-5)}{2}]q^3 + [n - 11 - (n-7)(n-6)]q^2 + [5 - n + \frac{(n-8)(n-7)}{2}]q + n - 6\},$$

for all $n \geq 8$. As we have already computed the formula for $N_{q^3}(U_7)$, we can easily check that the following equation satisfies the recursive formula (7.4) for all $n \geq 8$.

$$(7.5) \quad N_{q^3}(U_n) = q^{n-5}(q-1)\{A_nq^5 + B_nq^4 + C_nq^3 + D_nq^2 + E_nq + F_n\},$$

where

- $A_n = n - 7$
- $B_n = 3 + (n - 7)(n - 6)$
- $C_n = 40(n - 7) - \frac{17}{4}(n + 8)(n - 7) + \frac{1}{12}n(n + 1)(2n + 1) - 64$
- $D_n = (n - 7)(7n + 3) - \frac{1}{6}n(n + 1)(2n + 1) + 138$
- $E_n = (n - 7)(-\frac{17}{4}n - 1) + \frac{1}{12}n(n + 1)(2n + 1) - 75$
- $F_n = 1 + \frac{(n-7)(n-4)}{2}$.

It is clear that the polynomials A_n, B_n and F_n in n are integer valued for every n . To show that the same holds for the polynomials C_n, D_n and E_n we make use of the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let $P(n)$ be a polynomial in n of degree m with rational coefficients. If $P(n)$ is an integer for $m + 1$ consecutive integers, then the polynomial is integer valued.*

Proof. We will use induction on the degree m of $P(n)$. It is clear that for $m = 1$ holds.

Assume it holds for all polynomials of degree less than m , we will show that it also holds for those of degree m . The polynomial $Q(n) := P(n + 1) - P(n)$ has degree smaller than m . In addition, if P has integer values for $m + 1$ consecutive integers $k, k + 1, \dots, k + m$, then $Q(n)$ is integer valued for the m consecutive integers $k, k + 1, \dots, k + m - 1$. Therefore the inductive hypothesis implies that $Q(n)$ is integer valued for every n . This along with the fact that $P(n)$ is integer valued for $n = k + m$, implies that $P(n)$ is an integer for every n . \square

Now, it is straight forward to check that C_7, C_8, C_9 and C_{10} are integers. Hence the above lemma implies that C_n is an integer valued polynomial. Similarly we show that D_n and E_n are integer valued. Hence $N_{q^3}(U_n)$ is a polynomial in q with integer coefficients.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Higman, Enumerating p -groups. I. Inequalities, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **10** (1960), 24–30.
- [2] B. Huppert, Character Theory of Finite Groups, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1998.
- [3] I.M. Isaacs, Character Theory of Finite Groups, Dover, New York, 1994.
- [4] I.M. Isaacs, Counting characters of upper triangular groups, J. of Algebra, **315** (2007), 698–719.
- [5] I.M. Isaacs, Characters of groups associated with finite algebras, J. of Algebra, **177** (1995), 708–730.
- [6] G.I. Lehrer, Discrete series and unipotent subgroup, Compositio Math. **28** (1974), 9–19.
- [7] M. Marjoram, Irreducible characters of small degree of the unitriangular group, Irish Math.Soc. Bull. **42** (1999), 21–31.
- [8] M Marjoram, Irreducible characters of Sylow p -subgroups of classical groups, PH.D. Thesis, (1997), National University of Ireland, Dublin.
- [9] A. Vera-López, J.M. Arregi, Conjugacy classes in Sylow p -subgroups of $GL(n, q)$, J. of Algebra, **152** (1992), 1–19.
- [10] A. Vera-López, J.M. Arregi, Some algorithms for the calculation of conjugacy classes in the Sylow p -subgroups of $GL(n, q)$, J. of Algebra, **177** (1995), 889–925.
- [11] A. Vera-López, J.M. Arregi, Polynomial properties in unitriangular matrices, J. of Algebra, **244** (2001), 343–351.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CRETE, 71409 IRAKLIO CRETE, GREECE

Email address: loukaki@gmail.com