

BRAID GROUPS AND SYMPLECTIC STEINBERG GROUPS

FRANÇOIS DIGNE AND CHRISTIAN KASSEL

ABSTRACT. We construct a homomorphism f from the braid group B_{2n+2} on $2n+2$ strands to the Steinberg group $\mathrm{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$ associated with the Lie type C_n and with integer coefficients. This homomorphism lifts the well-known symplectic representation (aka the integral Burau representation) of the braid group. We also describe the image and the kernel of f .

1. INTRODUCTION

In this article we provide a connection between low-dimensional topology and algebraic K -theory. More precisely, let B_{2n+2} be the braid group on $2n+2$ strands ($n \geq 2$). In [17], following work by Arnold, Magnus & Peluso, Birman, A'Campo *et al.* (see [1, 2, 4, 5, 21]), the second-named author investigated an action of B_{2n+2} on the free group F_{2n} on $2n$ generators obtained by viewing a twice-punctured surface of genus n as a double covering of the disk via an hyperelliptic involution. Linearizing this action, one obtains a homomorphism $\bar{f} : B_{2n+2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$ from the braid group to the symplectic modular group $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$. This symplectic representation was shown by Gambaudo and Ghys to be the Burau representation specialized at $t = -1$ (see [16, Prop. 2.1]).

Now $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$ is a Chevalley group of Lie type C_n . By [24, 25, 26] it has a natural extension, its Steinberg group $\mathrm{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$, which is defined by means of a presentation by generators and relations. (Steinberg groups are basic ingredients in algebraic K -theory; see for instance [23].)

Our main observation is that the symplectic representation \bar{f} (aka the integral Burau representation mentioned above) can be lifted to a homomorphism

$$f : B_{2n+2} \rightarrow \mathrm{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$$

from the braid group to the Steinberg group.

When $n \geq 3$ the lifting f is not surjective; we shall describe its image. We also show how to extend f to a surjective homomorphism with a bigger Artin group as domain.

We further describe the kernel of f . As an application we obtain a simple braid-like presentation of the image of f (resp. of the image of \bar{f}), which is a subgroup of finite index of $\mathrm{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$ (resp. of $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* (Primary) 19C09, 20G30, 20F36; (Secondary) 11E57, 20F05, 22E40.

Key words and phrases. Braid group, Artin group, Steinberg group, symplectic modular group, group presentation.

The paper is a continuation of [18], which dealt with the case $n = 2$. It is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give a presentation of the Steinberg group $\text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$ and list a few properties of the special elements w_γ . In Section 3 we construct the lifting f from the braid group to the Steinberg group. In Section 4 we determine its image (see Theorem 4.1). Section 5 is devoted to a description of the kernel of f : we highlight a braid $\alpha_n \in B_{2n+2}$ which together with two other braids generate the kernel of f as a normal subgroup (see Theorem 5.8). In Section 6 we extend f to an epimorphism $\hat{f} : \hat{B}_{2n+2} \rightarrow \text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$, where \hat{B}_{2n+2} is an Artin group (see Theorem 6.1) slightly bigger than the braid group B_{2n+2} .

2. THE STEINBERG GROUP $\text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$

With any irreducible root system Φ Steinberg [25, 26] associated the so-called Steinberg group, which is an extension of the simple complex algebraic group of type Φ . Later Stein [24] extended Steinberg's construction over any commutative ring R , thus leading to the Steinberg group $\text{St}(\Phi, R)$. We are interested in the case when the root system Φ is of type C_n ($n \geq 2$) and $R = \mathbb{Z}$ is the ring of integers. The corresponding Steinberg group $\text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$ is an extension of the symplectic modular group $\text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$.

2.1. The symplectic modular group $\text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$. Let n be an integer ≥ 2 . Recall that $\text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$ is the group of $2n \times 2n$ matrices M with integral entries satisfying the relation $M^T J_{2n} M = J_{2n}$, where M^T is the transpose of M ,

$$J_{2n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \text{Id}_n \\ -\text{Id}_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and Id_n is the identity matrix of size n .

Let $\{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n\}$ be the canonical basis of the free abelian group \mathbb{Z}^n . In Bourbaki's notation the root system of type C_n consists of the following elements (see [8, Chap. VI, § 4.6]): the elements $\pm\varepsilon_i \pm \varepsilon_j$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq n$, $i \neq j$) are the *short roots* and $\pm 2\varepsilon_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) are the *long roots*.

Denote by $E_{i,j}$ the $2n \times 2n$ matrix which has all entries equal to 0 except the (i, j) -entry which is equal to 1. The group $\text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the following matrices (see [9]):

- $X_{i,j} = \text{Id}_{2n} + E_{i,j} - E_{j+n,i+n}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, $i \neq j$,
- $Y_{i,j} = \text{Id}_{2n} + E_{i,j+n} + E_{j,i+n}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, $i \neq j$,
- $Y'_{i,j} = Y_{i,j}^T$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, $i \neq j$,
- $Z_i = \text{Id}_{2n} + E_{i,i+n}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$,
- $Z'_i = Z_i^T$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Note that $Y_{i,j} = Y_{j,i}$ and $Y'_{i,j} = Y'_{j,i}$.

Each of these matrices generates a root subgroup corresponding to a root in the following way: $X_{i,j}$ corresponds to the root $\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j$, $Y_{i,j}$ to the root $\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j$, $Y'_{i,j}$ to $-\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j$, Z_i to $2\varepsilon_i$, and Z'_i to $-2\varepsilon_i$.

We now list the commutation relations between pairs of these matrices corresponding to non-opposite roots. In the following relations, the indices i, j and k are pairwise distinct and run over $\{1, \dots, n\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} [X_{i,j}, X_{j,k}] &= X_{i,k}, & [X_{i,j}, Y_{j,k}] &= Y_{i,k}, \\ [X_{i,j}, Y'_{i,k}] &= Y_{j,k}^{-1}, & [Y_{i,j}, Y'_{j,k}] &= X_{i,k}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[X_{i,j}, Y_{i,j}] &= Z_i^2, & [X_{i,j}, Y'_{i,j}] &= Z_j'^{-2}, \\
[X_{i,j}, Z_j] &= Z_i Y_{i,j} = Y_{i,j} Z_i, & [X_{i,j}, Z'_i] &= Z'_j Y_{i,j}'^{-1} = Y_{i,j}'^{-1} Z'_j, \\
[Y_{i,j}, Z'_i] &= X_{j,i} Z_j^{-1} = Z_j^{-1} X_{j,i}, & [Y'_{i,j}, Z_i] &= X_{i,j}^{-1} Z_j'^{-1} = Z_j'^{-1} X_{i,j}^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

The matrices commute for all other pairs of generators, except for $(X_{i,j}, X_{j,i})$, $(Y_{i,j}, Y'_{i,j})$ and (Z_i, Z'_i) , which are pairs corresponding to opposite roots.

2.2. A presentation of the Steinberg group. By [3, Sect. 3] and [24] the Steinberg group $\text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$ has a presentation with the same generators and relations as above, namely with generators $x_{i,j}$, $y_{i,j}$, $y'_{i,j}$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and $i \neq j$), z_i , z'_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) subject to the following relations (where $i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ are pairwise distinct):

$$(2.1) \quad y_{i,j} = y_{j,i}, \quad y'_{i,j} = y'_{j,i},$$

$$(2.2) \quad [x_{i,j}, x_{j,k}] = x_{i,k},$$

$$(2.3) \quad [x_{i,j}, y_{j,k}] = y_{i,k},$$

$$(2.4) \quad [x_{i,j}, y'_{i,k}] = y_{j,k}'^{-1},$$

$$(2.5) \quad [y_{i,j}, y'_{j,k}] = x_{i,k},$$

$$(2.6) \quad [x_{i,j}, y_{i,j}] = z_i^2,$$

$$(2.7) \quad [x_{i,j}, y'_{i,j}] = z_j'^{-2},$$

$$(2.8) \quad [x_{i,j}, z_j] = z_i y_{i,j} = y_{i,j} z_i,$$

$$(2.9) \quad [x_{i,j}, z'_i] = z'_j y_{i,j}'^{-1} = y_{i,j}'^{-1} z'_j,$$

$$(2.10) \quad [y_{i,j}, z'_i] = x_{j,i} z_j^{-1} = z_j^{-1} x_{j,i},$$

$$(2.11) \quad [y'_{i,j}, z_i] = x_{i,j}^{-1} z_j'^{-1} = z_j'^{-1} x_{i,j}^{-1},$$

and all remaining pairs of generators commuting, except the pairs $(x_{i,j}, x_{j,i})$, $(y_{i,j}, y'_{i,j})$ and (z_i, z'_i) for which we do not prescribe any relation.

Note that in view of (2.9) and (2.10) the generators $x_{i,j}$ and $y'_{i,j}$ can be expressed in terms of the other generators.

By construction there is a surjective homomorphism

$$\pi : \text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$$

sending each generator of $\text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$ represented by a lower-case letter to the symplectic matrix represented by the corresponding upper-case letter.

By [22, Th. 6.3] and [3, Kor. 3.2] the kernel of the epimorphism $\pi : \text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$ is infinite cyclic generated by $(x_{2\varepsilon_i} x_{-2\varepsilon_i}^{-1})^4$, where $x_{2\varepsilon_i}$ (resp. $x_{-2\varepsilon_i}$) is the generator corresponding to the long root $2\varepsilon_i$ (resp. to $-2\varepsilon_i$); this generator is independent of i and central (see Lemma 2.1 below; see also [20]).

2.3. The elements w_γ . For a root γ let x_γ be the generator of the Steinberg group corresponding to γ . Set

$$(2.12) \quad w_\gamma = x_\gamma x_{-\gamma}^{-1} x_\gamma \in \text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z}).$$

In particular, we have

$$(2.13) \quad w_{2\varepsilon_i} = z_i z_i'^{-1} z_i \quad \text{and} \quad w_{-2\varepsilon_i} = z_i' z_i^{-1} z_i'.$$

For simplicity we write w_i for $w_{2\varepsilon_i}$. Since z_i commutes with z_j and with z_j' when $i \neq j$, we have $w_i w_j = w_j w_i$ for all $(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^2$.

The following equality holds for all roots γ :

$$(2.14) \quad w_\gamma = w_{-\gamma}^{-1}$$

(for a proof, see [18, Lemma 2.2]). As a consequence, we have

$$(2.15) \quad w_\gamma x_\gamma w_\gamma^{-1} = w_{-\gamma}^{-1} x_\gamma w_{-\gamma}^{-1} = x_{-\gamma}^{-1} x_\gamma x_{-\gamma}^{-1} x_\gamma x_{-\gamma}^{-1} x_{-\gamma} x_\gamma^{-1} = x_{-\gamma}^{-1}.$$

Similarly,

$$(2.16) \quad w_\gamma x_{-\gamma} w_\gamma^{-1} = x_\gamma^{-1}.$$

It follows from (2.15) and (2.16) that the square w_γ^2 commutes with x_γ and with $x_{-\gamma}$ for all roots γ .

We also need the subsequent relation between an element w_γ and the generator x_δ associated with a root δ such that $\gamma + \delta \neq 0$, namely

$$(2.17) \quad w_\gamma x_\delta w_\gamma^{-1} = x_{\delta'}^c,$$

where δ' is the image of δ under the reflection s_γ in the hyperplane orthogonal to γ and $c = \pm 1$ (see Relation (R7) in [26, Chap. 3, p. 23]). Recall that s_γ is given by

$$s_\gamma(\delta) = \delta - 2 \frac{(\gamma, \delta)}{(\gamma, \gamma)} \gamma,$$

where $(-, -)$ is the inner product of the Euclidean vector space of which the set $\{\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n\}$ forms an orthonormal basis. To determine the sign c (and the root δ') in (2.17) it is enough to compute the image $\pi(w_\gamma x_\delta w_\gamma^{-1})$ in $\text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$.

In particular, for any long root $2\varepsilon_i$ the element $w_i = w_{2\varepsilon_i}$ commutes with all generators $x_{k,\ell}$, $y_{k,\ell}$ and $y'_{k,\ell}$ such that $k \neq i \neq \ell$. By contrast we have the non-trivial relations ($i \neq j$)

$$(2.18) \quad w_i y_{i,j} w_i^{-1} = x_{j,i}, \quad w_i x_{j,i} w_i^{-1} = y_{i,j}^{-1},$$

and

$$(2.19) \quad w_i y'_{i,j} w_i^{-1} = x_{i,j}, \quad w_i x_{i,j} w_i^{-1} = y_{i,j}'^{-1}$$

in the Steinberg group $\text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$. Hence, the conjugation by the square w_i^2 turns each generator $x_{i,j}$, $x_{j,i}$, $y_{i,j}$, $y'_{i,j}$ into its inverse, namely

$$(2.20) \quad w_i^2 y_{i,j} w_i^{-2} = y_{i,j}^{-1}, \quad w_i^2 x_{j,i} w_i^{-2} = x_{j,i}^{-1},$$

and

$$(2.21) \quad w_i^2 y'_{i,j} w_i^{-2} = y_{i,j}'^{-1}, \quad w_i^2 x_{i,j} w_i^{-2} = x_{i,j}^{-1}.$$

The following lemma will be used in the sequel.

Lemma 2.1. *For each $i = 1, \dots, n$ the element w_i^4 is central in $\text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$ and we have $w_i^4 = w_1^4$.*

Proof. The centrality of w_i^4 follows from (2.15), (2.16), (2.20) and (2.21). Now all elements w_i are conjugate as a consequence of the following special cases of (2.17), where $i \neq j$:

$$w_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j} z_i w_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j}^{-1} = z_j \quad \text{and} \quad w_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j} z'_i w_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j}^{-1} = z'_j.$$

The conclusion follows. \square

3. FROM THE BRAID GROUP TO THE STEINBERG GROUP

Let B_{2n+2} be the braid group on $2n+2$ strands, where $n \geq 2$ is a fixed integer. It has a standard presentation with $2n+1$ generators $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{2n+1}$ and the following relations ($1 \leq i, j \leq 2n+1$):

$$(3.1) \quad \sigma_i \sigma_j \sigma_i = \sigma_j \sigma_i \sigma_j \quad \text{if } |i - j| = 1,$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

Let us now construct a homomorphism from B_{2n+2} to the symplectic Steinberg group $\text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$.

Theorem 3.1. *There exists a homomorphism $f : B_{2n+2} \rightarrow \text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} f(\sigma_1) &= z_1, & f(\sigma_{2n+1}) &= z_n, \\ f(\sigma_{2i}) &= z_i'^{-1} & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n, \\ f(\sigma_{2i+1}) &= z_i z_{i+1} y_{i,i+1}^{-1} & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n-1. \end{aligned}$$

The homomorphism f is surjective if and only if $n = 2$.

Remarks 3.2. (a) By [17] the homomorphism $\bar{f} : B_{2n+2} \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$ mentioned in the introduction is defined on the generators σ_i by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f}(\sigma_1) &= Z_1, & \bar{f}(\sigma_{2n+1}) &= Z_n, \\ \bar{f}(\sigma_{2i}) &= Z_i'^{-1} & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n, \\ \bar{f}(\sigma_{2i+1}) &= Z_i Z_{i+1} Y_{i,i+1}^{-1} & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n-1, \end{aligned}$$

where $Y_{i,i+1}$, Z_i and Z_i' are the symplectic matrices defined in Section 2.1. It follows from these formulas and from the definition of f in Theorem 3.1 that the latter is a natural lifting of \bar{f} , i.e. we have $\bar{f} = \pi \circ f$, where $\pi : \text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$ is the natural projection.

(b) By definition of f and of $w_1 = w_{2\varepsilon_1}$ (see (2.13)) we have

$$(3.3) \quad w_1 = f(\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1).$$

It follows from this equality and the remark at the end of Section 2.2 that the kernel of $\pi : \text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$, which is generated by w_1^4 , belongs to the image of f . We thus recover the known fact that the integral Burau representation is not injective.

(c) Note that all three factors in the product $z_i z_{i+1} y_{i,i+1}^{-1}$ expressing $f(\sigma_{2i+1})$ commute.

Proof. It suffices to check that the values of $f(\sigma_i)$ ($1 \leq i \leq 2n+1$) in the Steinberg group $\text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$ satisfy the braid relations (3.1) and (3.2).

(i) Let us first check the trivial commutation relations (3.2).

- *Commutation of $f(\sigma_1)$ with $f(\sigma_{2n+1})$.* This follows from the fact that z_1 and z_n commute.
- *Commutation of $f(\sigma_1)$ with $f(\sigma_{2i})$ when $i \geq 2$.* Indeed, z_1 commutes with z'_i when $i \neq 1$.
- *Commutation of $f(\sigma_1)$ with all $f(\sigma_{2i+1})$.* This is implied by the commuting of z_1 with the other z_i and with the generators $y_{i,j}$.

Similarly for the trivial braid relations involving $f(\sigma_{2n+1}) = z_n$.

- *Commutation of $f(\sigma_{2i})$ with $f(\sigma_{2j})$.* This follows from the fact that the generators z'_i commute with one another.
- *Commutation of $f(\sigma_{2i+1})$ with $f(\sigma_{2j+1})$.* Indeed, the z_i 's commute with one another, as do the $y_{i,j}$'s. Moreover, the z_i 's commute with the $y_{i,j}$'s.
- *Commutation of $f(\sigma_{2i})$ with $f(\sigma_{2j+1})$ when $i \notin \{i-1, i\}$.* This is implied by the facts that z'_i commutes with $y_{j,j+1}$ when $i \neq j$ and that z'_i commutes with $z_j z_{j+1}$ when $j \notin \{i-1, i\}$.

(ii) The relation $f(\sigma_1)f(\sigma_2)f(\sigma_1) = f(\sigma_2)f(\sigma_1)f(\sigma_2)$ reads as

$$z_1 z_1'^{-1} z_1 = z_1'^{-1} z_1 z_1'^{-1},$$

which is equivalent to $w_{2\varepsilon_1} = w_{-2\varepsilon_1}^{-1}$, where we use the notation of Section 2.3. The latter equality holds by (2.14).

(iii) The relation $f(\sigma_{2i})f(\sigma_{2i+1})f(\sigma_{2i}) = f(\sigma_{2i+1})f(\sigma_{2i})f(\sigma_{2i+1})$ reads for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ as

$$z_i'^{-1} z_i z_{i+1} y_{i,i+1}^{-1} z_i'^{-1} = z_i z_{i+1} y_{i,i+1}^{-1} z_i'^{-1} z_i z_{i+1} y_{i,i+1}^{-1}.$$

Let LHS (resp. RHS) be the element of $\text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$ represented by the left-hand (resp. right-hand) side of the previous equation.

Since z_i commutes with $y_{i,i+1}$ and with z_{i+1} , and the latter with z'_i , we have

$$\text{LHS} = z_{i+1} z_i'^{-1} y_{i,i+1}^{-1} z_i z_i'^{-1}.$$

By (2.13), (2.10), (2.14) and the trivial commutation relations we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS} &= z_{i+1} z_i'^{-1} y_{i,i+1}^{-1} z_i' w_{-2\varepsilon_i}^{-1} \\ &= z_{i+1} y_{i,i+1}^{-1} z_i'^{-1} z_{i+1} x_{i+1,i}^{-1} z_i' w_i \\ &= z_{i+1} y_{i,i+1}^{-1} z_{i+1} x_{i+1,i}^{-1} w_i \\ &= z_{i+1}^2 y_{i,i+1}^{-1} x_{i+1,i}^{-1} w_i. \end{aligned}$$

Let us now deal with RHS. Since z_i commutes with $y_{i,i+1}$, and z_{i+1} with z_i , z'_i and $y_{i,i+1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RHS} &= z_{i+1}^2 y_{i,i+1}^{-1} z_i z_i'^{-1} z_i y_{i,i+1}^{-1} = z_{i+1}^2 y_{i,i+1}^{-1} w_i y_{i,i+1}^{-1} \\ &= z_{i+1}^2 y_{i,i+1}^{-1} x_{i+1,i}^{-1} w_i = \text{LHS}. \end{aligned}$$

For the third equality we have used (2.18).

(iv) Applying the automorphism $\sigma_i \mapsto \sigma_{2n+2-i}$ of B_{2n+2} , we reduce the relations $f(\sigma_{2i-1})f(\sigma_{2i})f(\sigma_{2i-1}) = f(\sigma_{2i})f(\sigma_{2i-1})f(\sigma_{2i})$ ($2 \leq i \leq n$) and $f(\sigma_{2n})f(\sigma_{2n+1})f(\sigma_{2n}) = f(\sigma_{2n+1})f(\sigma_{2n})f(\sigma_{2n+1})$ to the previous cases.

(v) The surjectivity for $n = 2$ was established in [18]. Let us now prove that f is not surjective when $n \geq 3$. We remark that under the composition $B_{2n+2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ of \bar{f} with the reduction modulo 2 the images of the generators σ_i of B_{n+2} have order 2. Hence this morphism factors through the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_{2n+2} of all permutations of the set $\{1, \dots, 2n+2\}$. If f is surjective, then \bar{f} is surjective too and we obtain a surjective morphism $\mathfrak{S}_{2n+2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ since the reduction modulo 2 is surjective. Now for $n \geq 2$ the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_{2n+2} has no non-commutative proper quotient, which implies that the map $\mathfrak{S}_{2n+2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is an isomorphism. But this is impossible as $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is a simple group when $n \geq 3$ and \mathfrak{S}_{2n+2} is not. (See also [2, Proof of Statement B].) \square

4. THE IMAGE OF THE HOMOMORPHISM f

As noted in Theorem 3.1, the homomorphism $f : B_{2n+2} \rightarrow \mathrm{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$ is not surjective when $n \geq 3$. We can nevertheless determine its image $f(B_{2n+2})$ inside $\mathrm{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$.

Consider the *level 2 congruence subgroup* $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})[2]$ defined as the kernel of the homomorphism $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ induced by reduction modulo 2. We lift $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})[2]$ to the Steinberg group by taking its preimage

$$(4.1) \quad \mathrm{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})[2] = \pi^{-1}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})[2])$$

under the canonical projection $\pi : \mathrm{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$. Thus $\mathrm{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})[2]$ is the kernel of the composition

$$\mathrm{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_2).$$

The group $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ being finite, $\mathrm{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})[2]$ is of finite index in $\mathrm{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$.

Since by [3, Kor. 3.2] the kernel of the projection $\pi : \mathrm{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$ is the infinite cyclic group $\langle w_1^4 \rangle$ generated by w_1^4 , we have the short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \langle w_1^4 \rangle \longrightarrow \mathrm{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})[2] \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})[2] \rightarrow 1.$$

Recall the surjective homomorphism $p : B_{2n+2} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{2n+2}$ sending each generator σ_i of B_{2n+2} to the simple transposition $s_i \in \mathfrak{S}_{2n+2}$, where s_i permutes i and $i+1$ and leaves the remaining elements of $\{1, \dots, 2n+2\}$ fixed. The kernel of p is the *pure braid group* P_{2n+2} .

Theorem 4.1. *Assume $n \geq 2$. (a) The images under f of the pure braid groups P_{2n+2} and P_{2n+1} are both equal to $\mathrm{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})[2]$:*

$$f(P_{2n+2}) = f(P_{2n+1}) = \mathrm{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})[2].$$

(b) The image of the full braid group B_{2n+2} fits into the short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mathrm{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})[2] \longrightarrow f(B_{2n+2}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{2n+2} \rightarrow 1.$$

When $n = 2$ the homomorphism f is surjective, that is $f(B_6) = \mathrm{St}(C_2, \mathbb{Z})$. One recovers in this way the well-known isomorphism $\mathrm{Sp}_4(\mathbb{F}_2) \cong \mathfrak{S}_6$.

In general $f(B_{2n+2})$ is of finite index in $\text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$ with index i_n equal to

$$i_n = \frac{\text{card } \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_2)}{\text{card } \mathfrak{S}_{2n+2}} = 2^{n^2} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n (2^{2i} - 1)}{(2n+2)!}$$

(see [12, p. 64]). The values of i_n grow very rapidly; for low subscripts they are: $i_2 = 1$, $i_3 = 36$, $i_4 = 13\,056$, $i_5 = 51\,806\,208$, $i_6 = 2\,387\,230\,064\,640$.

Proof. By Remark 3.2(b) the kernel $\langle w_1^4 \rangle$ of $\pi : \text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$ is in the image of f . Therefore, in view of the definitions of $\text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})[2]$ and of P_{2n+2} it is enough to check that the image of $\bar{f} = \pi \circ f : B_{2n+2} \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$ satisfies the following two properties: (i) $\bar{f}(P_{2n+2}) = \bar{f}(P_{2n+1}) = \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})[2]$ and (ii) there is an isomorphism $\bar{f}(B_{2n+2})/\text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})[2] \cong \mathfrak{S}_{2n+2}$.

As we observed in Part (v) of the proof of Theorem 3.1, the composition $B_{2n+2} \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ factors through \mathfrak{S}_{2n+2} . Hence we have the inclusions $\bar{f}(P_{2n+1}) \subset \bar{f}(P_{2n+2}) \subset \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})[2]$.

The induced morphism $\mathfrak{S}_{2n+2} \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ (which is non-trivial since the image of σ_1 in $\text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is not the identity) is injective since the images of σ_1 and σ_2 do not commute and \mathfrak{S}_{2n+2} has no non-commutative proper quotient when $n \geq 2$. This implies that Property (ii) above follows from (i). Let us now prove the latter.

In order to establish the opposite inclusion $\bar{f}(P_{2n+1}) \supset \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})[2]$, it is sufficient to prove $\bar{f}(B_{2n+1}) \supset \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})[2]$. We appeal to [1]. In *loc. cit.* A'Campo considers the monodromy representation of B_{2n+1} in the free \mathbb{Z} -module V with basis $\{\delta_i, i = 1, \dots, 2n\}$, endowed with an alternating form I satisfying $I(\delta_i, \delta_{i+1}) = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, 2n-1$. This form is non-degenerate. The monodromy representation maps each generator σ_i of B_{2n+1} to the automorphism T_i of V defined by

$$T_i(\delta_j) = \begin{cases} \delta_j & \text{if } j \neq i-1, i+1, \\ \delta_j + \delta_i & \text{if } j = i-1, \\ \delta_j - \delta_i & \text{if } j = i+1. \end{cases}$$

One thus obtains a representation of B_{2n+1} in the symplectic group $\text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Now by [1, Th. 1 (2)] the image of this monodromy representation contains the congruence subgroup $\text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})[2]$. To conclude, it suffices to check that this representation is isomorphic to our \bar{f} . Indeed, If we take

$$\{\delta_1, \delta_1 + \delta_3, \dots, \delta_1 + \delta_3 + \dots + \delta_{2n-1}, \delta_2, \delta_4, \dots, \delta_{2n}\}$$

as a basis of V , the matrix of the form I becomes in $V \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2n}$ the matrix J_{2n} considered in § 2.1. One easily checks that in this new basis the matrix of T_i is equal to that of $\bar{f}(\sigma_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, 2n$. \square

Remark 4.2. As noted by a referee, Conditions (i)–(ii) above may also be derived from recent results of Bloomquist, Patzt and Scherich (see [7]).

Remark 4.3. The squares of all the generators $x_{i,j}$, $y_{i,j}$, $y'_{i,j}$, z_i , z'_i of the Steinberg group $\text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$ lie in the image of f , and even in the image of the pure braid group P_{2n+2} since they are in $\text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})[2]$.

Remark 4.4. Given a prime p , the level p congruence subgroup $\text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})[p]$ is defined as the kernel of the homomorphism $\text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ induced

by reduction modulo p . By [15, Prop. 6.7] it is torsion-free when $p \neq 2$. Let $\text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})[p]$ be the kernel of the composite map $\text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_p)$; it is of finite index in $\text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$. Now $\text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})[p]$ is an extension of the torsion-free group $\text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})[p]$ by the infinite cyclic group $\langle w_1^4 \rangle$; therefore it is torsion-free as well ($p \neq 2$). It follows that the Steinberg group $\text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$ is *virtually torsion-free*, i.e. contains a finite-index torsion-free subgroup.

5. THE KERNEL OF f

In this section we determine the kernels of $\bar{f} : B_{2n+2} \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$ and of $f : B_{2n+2} \rightarrow \text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$.

5.1. The elements Δ_k and their images. We start with a few simple-looking elements of the kernel of f .

For $k \in \{2, \dots, 2n+2\}$ let $\Delta_k \in B_k$ be the longest reduced positive braid in the group B_k of braids with k strands. It is defined inductively by $\Delta_2 = \sigma_1$ and $\Delta_{k+1} = (\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_k) \Delta_k$ for $k \geq 2$. It is well known (see [6] or [19, Sect. 1.3.3]) that its square Δ_k^2 generates the center of B_k .

We now consider Δ_k as an element of B_{2n+2} under the natural inclusion $B_k \rightarrow B_{2n+2}$ sending each generator $\sigma_i \in B_k$ ($1 \leq i \leq k-1$) to $\sigma_i \in B_{2n+2}$. We may thus look for the image of Δ_k under the homomorphism $f : B_{2n+2} \rightarrow \text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$.

Let us first compute the image $f(\Delta_k^2)$ of the squares of some of the braids Δ_k .

Proposition 5.1. *Let $f : B_{2n+2} \rightarrow \text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$ be the homomorphism defined in Theorem 3.1. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ we have*

$$f(\Delta_{2i+1}^2) = (w_1^4)^{i(i-1)/2} \prod_{j=1}^i w_j^2.$$

Moreover,

$$f(\Delta_{2n+2}^2) = (w_1^4)^{n(n+1)/2}.$$

Remark 5.2. The element Δ_{2n+2}^2 generating the center of B_{2n+2} belongs to the kernel of \bar{f} ; it does not belong to the kernel of f since the order of w_1^4 is infinite in the Steinberg group $\text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$ (see [22, Th. 6.3]).

The following consequence of Proposition 5.1 provides us with non-trivial elements of the kernel of f .

Corollary 5.3. (a) *If $1 \leq i \leq n$, then*

$$f\left(\Delta_{2i+1}^4 \Delta_3^{-4i^2}\right) = 1.$$

(b) *We also have*

$$f\left(\Delta_{2n+2}^2 \Delta_3^{-2n(n+1)}\right) = 1.$$

Proof. By Proposition 5.1 and Lemma 2.1 we have $f(\Delta_{2i+1}^4) = (w_1^4)^{i^2}$. In the special case $i = 1$ we obtain $f(\Delta_3^4) = w_1^4$. Therefore

$$f(\Delta_{2i+1}^4) = (w_1^4)^{i^2} = f(\Delta_3^{4i^2}).$$

Similarly, $f(\Delta_{2n+2}^2) = f(\Delta_3^{2n(n+1)})$. \square

To prove Proposition 5.1 we need two preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 5.4. *We have*

$$f(\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{2i}) = \begin{cases} w_1 z_1^{-1} & \text{if } i = 1, \\ \left(\prod_{j=1}^i w_j \right) (x_{1,2} x_{2,3} \cdots x_{i-1,i}) z_i^{-1} & \text{if } 1 < i \leq n, \end{cases}$$

and

$$f(\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{2i+1}) = \begin{cases} z_1 & \text{if } i = 0, \\ w_1 y_{1,2}^{-1} z_2 & \text{if } i = 1, \\ \left(\prod_{j=1}^i w_j \right) (x_{1,2} x_{2,3} \cdots x_{i-1,i}) y_{i,i+1}^{-1} z_{i+1} & \text{if } 1 < i < n, \\ \left(\prod_{j=1}^n w_j \right) (x_{1,2} x_{2,3} \cdots x_{n-1,n}) & \text{if } i = n. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on the length k of the braid word $\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_k$. For $k = 1, 2$ we have $f(\sigma_1) = z_1$ and $f(\sigma_1 \sigma_2) = z_1 z_1'^{-1} = w_1 z_1^{-1}$ by definition of f and of w_1 .

For $k \geq 3$, using the induction and (2.18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f(\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{2i}) &= f(\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{2i-1}) f(\sigma_{2i}) \\ &= \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} w_j \right) (x_{1,2} x_{2,3} \cdots x_{i-2,i-1}) y_{i-1,i}^{-1} z_i z_i'^{-1} \\ &= \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} w_j \right) (x_{1,2} x_{2,3} \cdots x_{i-2,i-1}) y_{i-1,i}^{-1} w_i z_i^{-1} \\ &= \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} w_j \right) (x_{1,2} x_{2,3} \cdots x_{i-2,i-1}) w_i x_{i-1,i} z_i^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Observing that w_i commutes with the $x_{j,j+1}$'s to its left, we obtain the desired formula.

To obtain the formula for $f(\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{2i+1})$ it suffices to multiply the formula for $f(\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{2i})$ on the right by $f(\sigma_{2i+1})$ which is equal to $z_i^{-1} z_i y_{i,i+1}^{-1} z_{i+1}$ if $1 < i < n$ and to z_n if $i = n$ and to cancel the product $z_i^{-1} z_i$. \square

A similar computation yields the following result.

Lemma 5.5. *We have*

$$f(\sigma_{2i} \cdots \sigma_2 \sigma_1) = \begin{cases} z_1^{-1} w_1 & \text{if } i = 1, \\ z_i'^{-1} z_i \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} w_j \right) (x_{i,i-1} \cdots x_{3,2} x_{2,1}) & \text{if } 1 < i \leq n, \end{cases}$$

and

$$f(\sigma_{2i+1} \cdots \sigma_2 \sigma_1) = \begin{cases} z_{i+1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^i w_j \right) (x_{i+1,i} x_{i,i-1} \cdots x_{3,2} x_{2,1}) & \text{if } 1 \leq i < n, \\ \left(\prod_{j=1}^n w_j \right) (x_{n,n-1} x_{n-1,n-2} \cdots x_{3,2} x_{2,1}) & \text{if } i = n. \end{cases}$$

We can now prove Proposition 5.1.

Proof of Proposition 5.1. (a) For the first equality we argue by induction on i . For $i = 1$ we have $f(\Delta_3^2) = f(\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1)^2 = w_1^2$. The induction formula

$$(5.1) \quad \Delta_{k+1}^2 = \Delta_k^2 (\sigma_k \sigma_{k-1} \cdots \sigma_2 \sigma_1) (\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{k-1} \sigma_k)$$

allows us to obtain $f(\Delta_{2i+1}^2)$ from $f(\Delta_{2i-1}^2)$ by multiplying the latter on the right by $f(\sigma_{2i-1} \cdots \sigma_1 \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{2i-1}) f(\sigma_{2i} \cdots \sigma_1 \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{2i})$.

We first compute $f(\sigma_{2i-1} \cdots \sigma_1 \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{2i-1})$. By Lemmas 5.4 and 5.5,

$$\begin{aligned} & f(\sigma_{2i-1} \cdots \sigma_1 \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{2i-1}) \\ &= z_i \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} w_j \right) x_{i,i-1} x_{i-1,i-2} \cdots x_{3,2} x_{2,1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} w_j \right) x_{1,2} x_{2,3} \cdots x_{i-2,i-1} y_{i-1,i}^{-1} z_i. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.18), we have $x_{k+1,k} w_k w_{k+1} = w_k w_{k+1} x_{k,k+1}^{-1}$ for all $k = 1, \dots, i-1$. These relations allow us to push all the w_j 's to the left, yielding

$$\begin{aligned} & f(\sigma_{2i-1} \cdots \sigma_1 \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{2i-1}) = \\ &= z_i \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-2} w_j^2 \right) w_{i-1} x_{i,i-1} w_{i-1} \times \\ & \quad \times \left(x_{i-2,i-1}^{-1} \cdots x_{2,3}^{-1} x_{1,2}^{-1} x_{1,2} x_{2,3} \cdots x_{i-2,i-1} \right) y_{i-1,i}^{-1} z_i \\ &= z_i \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} w_j^2 \right) (y_{i-1,i} y_{i-1,i}^{-1}) z_i = z_i \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} w_j^2 \right) z_i = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} w_j^2 \right) z_i^2 \end{aligned}$$

since z_i commutes with the other w_j 's.

In the same way we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & f(\sigma_{2i} \cdots \sigma_1 \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{2i}) = \\ &= z_i'^{-1} z_i \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} w_j \right) x_{i,i-1} \cdots x_{3,2} x_{2,1} \left(\prod_{j=1}^i w_j \right) x_{1,2} \cdots x_{i-1,i} z_i^{-1} \\ &= z_i'^{-1} z_i \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} w_j^2 \right) w_i \left(x_{i-1,i}^{-1} \cdots x_{2,3}^{-1} x_{1,2}^{-1} x_{1,2} \cdots x_{i-1,i} \right) z_i^{-1} \\ &= z_i'^{-1} z_i z_i' \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} w_j^2 \right) w_i, \end{aligned}$$

the last equality resulting from (2.15) and the fact that z_i' commutes with w_j when $j \neq i$.

Using the previous calculations, Equation (2.16) and Lemma 2.1, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\Delta_{2i+1}^2) &= f(\Delta_{2i-1}^2) f(\sigma_{2i-1} \cdots \sigma_1 \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{2i-1}) f(\sigma_{2i} \cdots \sigma_1 \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{2i}) \\
&= f(\Delta_{2i-1}^2) \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} w_j^2 \right) z_i^2 z_i'^{-1} z_i z_i' \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} w_j^2 \right) w_i \\
&= f(\Delta_{2i-1}^2) \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} w_j^4 \right) z_i w_i z_i' w_i = f(\Delta_{2i-1}^2) \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} w_j^4 \right) z_i z_i'^{-1} w_i^2 \\
&= f(\Delta_{2i-1}^2) \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} w_j^4 \right) w_i^2 = f(\Delta_{2i-1}^2) w_1^{4(i-1)} w_i^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the induction hypothesis, we obtain

$$f(\Delta_{2i+1}^2) = (w_1^4)^{(i-1)(i-2)/2} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} w_j^2 \right) w_1^{4(i-1)} w_i^2 = (w_1^4)^{i(i-1)/2} \prod_{j=1}^i w_j^2,$$

which is the desired formula.

(b) We now prove the second formula of Proposition 5.1. By Lemmas 5.4 and 5.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\sigma_{2n+1} \cdots \sigma_1 \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{2n+1}) \\
= \left(\prod_{j=1}^n w_i \right) (x_{n,n-1} \cdots x_{2,1}) \left(\prod_{j=1}^n w_i \right) (x_{1,2} x_{2,3} \cdots x_{n-1,n}).
\end{aligned}$$

Pushing the w_i 's to the left as above, we obtain

$$f(\sigma_{2n+1} \cdots \sigma_1 \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{2n+1}) = \prod_{j=1}^{i=n} w_j^2.$$

Hence, by the induction formula, the first formula of the proposition for the case $i = n$, and Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\Delta_{2n+2}^2) &= f(\Delta_{2n+1}^2) f(\sigma_{2n+1} \cdots \sigma_1 \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{2n+1}) \\
&= (w_1^4)^{n(n-1)/2} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n w_j^2 \right)^2 = (w_1^4)^{n(n-1)/2} \prod_{j=1}^n w_j^4 \\
&= (w_1^4)^{n(n-1)/2} (w_1^4)^n = (w_1^4)^{n(n+1)/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

5.2. A special element of the kernel of f . Recall from [18] that for $n = 2$ the kernel of $f : B_6 \rightarrow \text{St}(C_2, \mathbb{Z})$ is the normal closure of the braid

$$(5.2) \quad (\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1)^2 (\sigma_1 \sigma_3^{-1} \sigma_5) (\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1)^{-2} (\sigma_1 \sigma_3^{-1} \sigma_5).$$

We now exhibit a similar element of the kernel of $f : B_{2n+2} \rightarrow \text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$ when $n \geq 3$.

For any $n \geq 2$ set

$$(5.3) \quad \beta_n = \prod_{i=0}^n \sigma_{2i+1}^{(-1)^i} = \sigma_1 \sigma_3^{-1} \cdots \sigma_{2n+1}^{(-1)^n} \in B_{2n+2}.$$

For $n = 2$, the braid β_2 is the element $\sigma_1 \sigma_3^{-1} \sigma_5$ appearing in (5.2). Since by Relations (3.1) the odd-numbered generators σ_{2i+1} commute with one another, the product in (5.3) can be taken in any order. Observe also that the square β_n^2 of β_n , being the product of squares of generators of the braid group, belongs to the pure braid group P_{2n+2} .

As the z_j 's commute with one another and with the $y_{i,i+1}$'s, for all $n \geq 2$ we obtain

$$(5.4) \quad f(\beta_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} y_{i,i+1}^{(-1)^{i+1}} = y_{1,2} y_{2,3}^{-1} \cdots y_{n-1,n}^{(-1)^n}.$$

Using the elements Δ_k introduced in Section 5.1, we set

$$(5.5) \quad \gamma_n = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \Delta_{2i+1}^2 = \Delta_3^2 \Delta_5^2 \cdots \Delta_{2n-1}^2 \in B_{2n+2}.$$

We have $\gamma_2 = \Delta_3^2 = (\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1)^2$. It follows from the centrality of each Δ_k^2 in the braid group B_k that the product defining γ_n can be taken in any order. Clearly, γ_n is a pure braid for all $n \geq 2$. Actually, $\gamma_n \in P_{2n-1} \subset P_{2n+2}$.

By analogy with (5.2) we consider the element

$$(5.6) \quad \alpha_n = \gamma_n \beta_n \gamma_n^{-1} \beta_n \in B_{2n+2}.$$

Note that α_n belongs to the pure braid group P_{2n+2} as it can be expressed as the product $\alpha_n = \gamma_n (\beta_n \gamma_n^{-1} \beta_n^{-1}) \beta_n^2$ of pure braids. Moreover, α_n is non-trivial since it is the product of $(\sigma_{2n+1}^2)^{(-1)^n}$ with an element of B_{2n} . Clearly, α_2 is the braid appearing in (5.2).

Proposition 5.6. *For all $n \geq 2$ we have $f(\alpha_n) = 1$ in the Steinberg group $\text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof. Since the conjugation by the central element w_1^4 is trivial, it follows from Proposition 5.1 that the conjugation by $f(\Delta_{2i+1}^2)$ is equal to the conjugation by $w_1^2 w_2^2 \cdots w_i^2$. Therefore, the conjugation by $f(\gamma_n)$ is equal to the conjugation by $\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} w_k^{2(n-k)}$. In the previous product we may omit each factor whose exponent $2(n-k)$ is divisible by 4. Thus the conjugation by $f(\gamma_n)$ is equal to the conjugation by $w_{n-1}^2 w_{n-3}^2 w_{n-5}^2 \cdots$. Now the conjugation by w_{n-2k+1}^2 is non-trivial only on the factors $y_{n-2k,n-2k+1}$ and $y_{n-2k+1,n-2k+2}$ of $f(\beta_n)$ and turns each of them into its inverse. Therefore, $f(\gamma_n) f(\beta_n) f(\gamma_n)^{-1} = f(\beta_n)^{-1}$, from which one deduces the desired result. \square

Question 5.7. Is there a geometric interpretation for the braid $\alpha_n \in B_{2n+2}$, for instance in terms of Dehn twists?

5.3. The kernels of \bar{f} and of f . We now state our main result on these kernels.

In case $n = 2$ the kernel $\text{Ker}(f)$ is the normal closure of α_2 and $\text{Ker}(\bar{f})$ is the normal closure of α_2 and $(\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1)^4 = (\sigma_1\sigma_2)^6 = \Delta_3^4$ (see [18, Th. 4.1 and Cor. 4.2]).

We now turn to the general case. Note that by Part (v) of the proof of Theorem 3.1, the kernel of \bar{f} , hence also the kernel of f , is contained in the pure braid group P_{2n+2} .

Theorem 5.8. *Assume $n \geq 3$.*

(a) *The kernel of $\bar{f} : B_{2n+2} \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$ is the normal closure of the set consisting of the three braids Δ_3^4 , Δ_5^4 and α_n .*

(b) *The kernel of $f : B_{2n+2} \rightarrow \text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$ is the normal closure of the set consisting of the commutator $[\sigma_3, \Delta_3^4]$ and of the elements $\Delta_5^4 \Delta_3^{-16}$ and α_n .*

As a consequence, the finite-index subgroup $\bar{f}(B_{2n+2})$ of $\text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$ has a presentation with $2n + 1$ generators $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{2n+1}$ subject to the braid relations (3.1), (3.2) and the three additional relations

$$(5.7) \quad \Delta_3^4 = \Delta_5^4 = \alpha_n = 1.$$

Similarly, $f(B_{2n+2})$ has a presentation with the same generators subject to the braid relations and the relations $[\sigma_3, \Delta_3^4] = \Delta_5^4 \Delta_3^{-16} = \alpha_n = 1$.

Proof. (a) As we observed in the proof of Theorem 4.1, the restriction of \bar{f} to the subgroup B_{2n+1} of B_{2n+2} is the monodromy representation considered in [1]. The kernel of this restriction is the *hyperelliptic Torelli group* \mathcal{ST}_n^1 investigated in [10]. In *loc. cit.* Brendle, Margalit, Putman prove that \mathcal{ST}_n^1 is isomorphic to a subgroup \mathcal{BI}_{2n+1} of the mapping class group of the disk with $2n + 1$ marked points. By Theorem C of [10] and the comments thereafter the subgroup \mathcal{BI}_{2n+1} is generated by squares of Dehn twists about curves in the disk surrounding exactly 3 or 5 marked points. Now under the standard identification of the mapping class group with the braid group B_{2n+1} (see e.g. [19, § 1.6]), the square of a Dehn twist about a curve surrounding 3 (resp. 5) marked points corresponds in the braid group to a conjugate of the element Δ_3^4 (resp. of Δ_5^4). Thus, $\text{Ker}(\bar{f}) \cap B_{2n+1} = \text{Ker}(\bar{f}) \cap P_{2n+1}$ is the normal closure of Δ_3^4 and Δ_5^4 .

To determine the whole kernel inside P_{2n+2} , we use the fact that P_{2n+2} is the semi-direct product of a normal free group and of P_{2n+1} (see [19, § 1.3]). This free group has $2n + 1$ generators $A_{i,2n+2}$ ($i = 1, \dots, 2n + 1$) defined by $A_{2n+1,2n+2} = \sigma_{2n+1}^2$ and

$$(5.8) \quad A_{i,2n+2} = (\sigma_{2n+1}\sigma_{2n}\cdots\sigma_{i+1})\sigma_i^2(\sigma_{2n+1}\sigma_{2n}\cdots\sigma_{i+1})^{-1}$$

when $1 \leq i \leq 2n$. The following expression for $A_{i,2n+2}$ will be used in the sequel:

$$(5.9) \quad A_{i,2n+2} = (\sigma_{2n}\sigma_{2n-1}\cdots\sigma_i)^{-1}\sigma_{2n+1}^2(\sigma_{2n}\sigma_{2n-1}\cdots\sigma_i). \quad (1 \leq i \leq 2n)$$

To derive (5.9) from (5.8) use the braid relations or draw a picture.

Recall the special element $\alpha_n = \gamma_n\beta_n\gamma_n^{-1}\beta_n$ given by (5.6). The element γ_n belongs to P_{2n} and β_n to $B_{2n}\sigma_{2n+1}^{\pm 1}$. Since σ_{2n+1} commutes with B_{2n} we have $\alpha_n \in B_{2n}\sigma_{2n+1}^{\pm 2} \cap P_{2n+2} = P_{2n}\sigma_{2n+1}^{\pm 2}$. Consequently, $A_{2n+1,2n+2} = \sigma_{2n+1}^2$ belongs to $P_{2n}\alpha_n^{\pm 1}$.

Let us deal with $A_{i,2n+2}$ when $1 \leq i \leq 2n$. Since $\sigma_{2n}\sigma_{2n-1}\cdots\sigma_i$ belongs to B_{2n+1} , we see from (5.9) and what we established for σ_{2n+1}^2 that $A_{i,2n+2}$ is conjugate under B_{2n+1} of an element of $P_{2n}\alpha_n$ or of $P_{2n}\alpha_n^{-1}$.

So, at the cost of adding α_n , we have reduced $\text{Ker}(\bar{f})$ to its intersection with P_{2n+1} . In view of the above considerations, this completes the proof of Part (a).

(b) Let N be the normal closure of $[\sigma_3, \Delta_3^4]$, $\Delta_5^4 \Delta_3^{-16}$ and α_n . We have $N \subset \text{Ker}(f)$: this follows from the centrality of $f(\Delta_3^4) = w_1^4$, from Corollary 5.3 (a) applied to $i = 2$, and from Proposition 5.6.

Let us next remark that N contains the commutator $[\beta, \Delta_3^4]$ for each braid β . Indeed, since Δ_3^4 is a product of σ_1 and σ_2 , it commutes with all generators σ_i and their inverses with $4 \leq i \leq 2n+1$. On the other hand Δ_3^4 is central in B_3 ; therefore it commutes with σ_1 and σ_2 and their inverses. Since by definition N contains $[\sigma_3, \Delta_3^4]$ and we have $[\sigma_3^{-1}, \Delta_3^4] = \sigma_3^{-1}[\sigma_3, \Delta_3^4]^{-1}\sigma_3$, it contains all commutators of the form $[\sigma_i^{\pm 1}, \Delta_3^4]$. Using the commutator identities

$$[\beta_1\beta_2, \Delta_3^4] = \beta_1[\beta_2, \Delta_3^4]\beta_1^{-1}[\beta_1, \Delta_3^4]$$

for $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in B_{2n+2}$, we conclude by induction on the length of expression of a braid in the generators $\sigma_i^{\pm 1}$.

The kernels of f and of \bar{f} are connected by the short exact sequence

$$(5.10) \quad 1 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(f) \longrightarrow \text{Ker}(\bar{f}) \xrightarrow{f} \langle w_1^4 \rangle \rightarrow 1.$$

Indeed, clearly $\text{Ker}(f)$ sits inside $\text{Ker}(\bar{f})$ as a normal subgroup. If $\bar{f}(\beta) = 1$, then $f(\beta)$ belongs to the kernel of $\pi : \text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$, which we know to be infinite cyclic generated by w_1^4 . The homomorphism $f : \text{Ker}(\bar{f}) \rightarrow \langle w_1^4 \rangle$ is surjective since $w_1^4 = f(\Delta_3^4)$ and $\bar{f}(\Delta_3^4) = 1$. Moreover, since $\langle w_1^4 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}$, the short exact sequence (5.10) is split with splitting $\langle w_1^4 \rangle \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\bar{f})$ given by $w_1^4 \mapsto \Delta_3^4$.

The short exact sequence (5.10) induces the quotient short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(f)/N \longrightarrow \text{Ker}(\bar{f})/N \xrightarrow{f} \langle w_1^4 \rangle \rightarrow 1.$$

To conclude that $\text{Ker}(f) = N$, it suffices to check that $f : \text{Ker}(\bar{f})/N \rightarrow \langle w_1^4 \rangle$ is injective.

Now, by Part (a) each element of $\text{Ker}(\bar{f})$ is a product of conjugates of the braids Δ_3^4 , Δ_5^4 , α_n and their inverses. Since $\alpha_n \equiv 1$ and $\Delta_5^4 \equiv (\Delta_3^4)^4$ modulo N , each element of $\text{Ker}(\bar{f})$ is equal modulo N to a product of conjugates of Δ_3^4 and of its inverse. Since $[\beta, \Delta_3^4] \in N$ for any braid β , we have $\beta\Delta_3^4\beta^{-1} \equiv \Delta_3^4$ modulo N . Hence, $\text{Ker}(\bar{f})/N$ is generated by $\Delta_3^4 \bmod N$. The image of Δ_3^4 being w_1^4 , the morphism $f : \text{Ker}(\bar{f})/N \rightarrow \langle w_1^4 \rangle$ is an isomorphism. \square

Remark 5.9. As Benjamin Enriquez pointed out to us for $n = 2$, the element α_2 can be rewritten as $\alpha_2 = \Delta_3^4 \Delta_4^{-2} \sigma_5^2$. For $n \geq 3$ let us consider the element

$$\alpha'_n = \Delta_3^{2n(n-1)} \Delta_{2n}^{-2} \sigma_{2n+1}^2 \in B_{2n+2}.$$

Using the computations of Section 5.1, it is easy to check that α'_n belongs to the kernel of f . By its very definition α'_n is in $P_{2n}\sigma_{2n+1}^{\pm 2}$, which is an additional feature it shares with α_n . Reasoning as in the previous proof, we

deduce that Theorem 5.8 also holds with α'_n instead of α_n . Accordingly, the relation $\alpha_n = 1$ of (5.7) can be replaced by the relation $\sigma_{2n+1}^2 = \Delta_{2n}^2$.

5.4. Restriction to B_{2n+1} . We conclude this section with a result which will be used in Section 6.2. We denote by $f_{2n+1} : B_{2n+1} \rightarrow \text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$ (resp. $\bar{f}_{2n+1} : B_{2n+1} \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$) the restriction of f (resp. of \bar{f}) to B_{2n+1} .

Proposition 5.10. *The kernel of $f_{2n+1} : B_{2n+1} \rightarrow \text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$ is the normal closure of $[\sigma_3, \Delta_3^4]$ and $\Delta_5^4 \Delta_3^{-16}$.*

Proof. The normal closure N' of the proposition sits inside $\text{Ker } f_{2n+1}$. By [10] any element of $\text{Ker } \bar{f}_{2n+1}$ is a product of conjugates of $\Delta_3^{\pm 4}$ and $\Delta_5^{\pm 4}$. We have $\Delta_5^4 \equiv \Delta_3^{16}$ modulo N' . Reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 5.8 (b), we conclude that Δ_3^4 is central modulo N' . As above, there is a short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Ker } f_{2n+1} \longrightarrow \text{Ker}(\bar{f}_{2n+1}) \longrightarrow \langle w_1^4 \rangle \rightarrow 1.$$

The induced quotient short exact sequence is


$$1 \rightarrow \text{Ker } f_{2n+1}/N' \longrightarrow \text{Ker}(\bar{f}_{2n+1})/N' \longrightarrow \langle w_1^4 \rangle \rightarrow 1.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 5.8 (b), the map onto $\langle w_1^4 \rangle$ is bijective and we deduce that the kernel of f_{2n+1} is N' . \square

6. EXTENDING f TO AN EPIMORPHISM

By Theorem 3.1 the homomorphism $f : B_{2n+2} \rightarrow \text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$ is not surjective when $n \geq 3$. We shall now extend f to a surjective homomorphism $\hat{f} : \hat{B}_{2n+2} \rightarrow \text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$, which we define in the following subsection. Let us assume that n is a fixed integer ≥ 3 .

6.1. The Artin groups \hat{B}_k . For $k \geq 5$ let Γ_k be the graph with k vertices labeled $0, 1, \dots, k-1$ and with unique edges between the vertices labeled i and $i+1$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, k-2\}$ plus a unique edge between the vertices labeled 0 and 4.



The graph Γ_k

Let \hat{B}_k be the Artin group (also called Artin–Tits group or generalized braid group) associated with the graph Γ_k ; see [11, 13] or [19, Sect. 6.6]. It has a presentation with generators $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{k-1}$ and with the standard braid relations (3.1) and (3.2) between $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{k-1}$ together with the following additional relations involving the generator σ_0 :

$$(6.1) \quad \sigma_0 \sigma_4 \sigma_0 = \sigma_4 \sigma_0 \sigma_4 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_0 \sigma_i = \sigma_i \sigma_0 \quad (i \neq 4).$$

The corresponding Coxeter groups are infinite unless $5 \leq k \leq 7$. They are finite of type A_5 if $k = 5$, of type D_6 if $k = 6$, and of type E_7 if $k = 7$ (it is affine of type \tilde{E}_7 if $k = 8$).

In the same way as there are natural homomorphisms $B_k \rightarrow B_{k+1}$, there are natural (injective) homomorphisms $\hat{B}_k \rightarrow \hat{B}_{k+1}$ and $j : B_k \rightarrow \hat{B}_k$. The latter is defined by $j(\sigma_i) = \sigma_i$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$.

Here is the reason why we introduce the Artin groups \hat{B}_k .

Theorem 6.1. *There exists a unique homomorphism $\widehat{f} : \widehat{B}_{2n+2} \rightarrow \text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\widehat{f}(\sigma_0) = z_2$ and $\widehat{f}(\sigma_i) = f(\sigma_i)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, 2n+1$. The restriction of the homomorphism \widehat{f} to \widehat{B}_{2n+1} is surjective.*

Consequently, the homomorphism $\widehat{f} : \widehat{B}_{2n+2} \rightarrow \text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$ is surjective as well. It extends the non-surjective homomorphism $f : B_{2n+2} \rightarrow \text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$ in the sense that $f = \widehat{f} \circ j$.

Proof. (a) Let us first check that \widehat{f} is well-defined. Since it extends f , we have only to deal with the relations (6.1) involving the additional generator σ_0 . Now $\widehat{f}(\sigma_0)$ commutes with $\widehat{f}(\sigma_i) = f(\sigma_i)$ for all $i \neq 4$ in view of the defining relations of the Steinberg group (see Section 2.2). The image under \widehat{f} of the relation $\sigma_0 \sigma_4 \sigma_0 = \sigma_4 \sigma_0 \sigma_4$ in (6.1) is equivalent to $z_2 z_2'^{-1} z_2 = z_2'^{-1} z_2 z_2'^{-1}$, which holds in $\text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$, as explained in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

(b) By definition of \widehat{f} it suffices to establish that the image $f(B_{2n+1})$ of f together with z_2 generates the Steinberg group $\text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$.

To this end, it is sufficient to prove that the images of $\bar{f}(B_{2n+1})$ and z_2 in $\text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ generate the latter finite group. Indeed, by Theorem 4.1 the subgroup H of $\text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$ generated by $f(B_{2n+1})$ and z_2 contains the kernel $\text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})[2]$ of the surjective morphism $\text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_2)$. Hence, if the image of H is the whole group $\text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_2)$, we obtain the equality $H = \text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$.

Let us denote the images in $\text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ of the generators $x_{i,j}, y_{i,j}, y'_{i,j}, z_i, z'_i$ of $\text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$ by $\bar{x}_{i,j}, \bar{y}_{i,j}, \bar{y}'_{i,j}, \bar{z}_i, \bar{z}'_i$ respectively. Note that the latter generate $\text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ and that each of them is of order 2, hence equal to its inverse.

Define E_n to be the set of the images of $\{\bar{f}(\sigma_1), \bar{f}(\sigma_2), \dots, \bar{f}(\sigma_{2n})\}$ in $\text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_2)$. We have

$$E_n = \{\bar{z}_1, \bar{z}'_1, \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 \bar{y}_{1,2}, \bar{z}'_2, \bar{z}_2 \bar{z}_3 \bar{y}_{2,3}, \bar{z}'_3, \dots, \bar{z}'_n\}.$$

In order to prove Theorem 6.1, it is sufficient to check that $E_n \cup \{\bar{z}_2\}$ generates $\text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_2)$. We will establish this assertion by induction on n .

Note that the assertion holds for $n = 2$: indeed, $z_2 = \bar{f}(\sigma_5)$ so that the set $E_2 \cup \{\bar{z}_2\}$ generates the image of \bar{f} , which by the surjectivity result of Theorem 3.1 is equal to the whole group $\text{Sp}_4(\mathbb{F}_2)$.

We now prove the assertion for $n = 3$.

Lemma 6.2. *The set $E_3 \cup \{\bar{z}_2\}$ generates $\text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$.*

Proof. Let G_3 be the subgroup of $\text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$ generated by

$$E_3 \cup \{\bar{z}_2\} = \{\bar{z}_1, \bar{z}'_1, \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 \bar{y}_{1,2}, \bar{z}'_2, \bar{z}_2 \bar{z}_3 \bar{y}_{2,3}, \bar{z}'_3, \bar{z}_2\}.$$

Obviously, G_3 contains $\bar{y}_{1,2}$ and $\bar{z}_3 \bar{y}_{2,3}$. We have the following relations deduced from Equations (2.2), (2.3), (2.8) and (2.10):

$$\begin{aligned} [\bar{y}_{1,2}, \bar{z}'_2] &= \bar{x}_{1,2} \bar{z}_1, \quad \text{whence } \bar{x}_{1,2} \in G_3; \\ [\bar{x}_{1,2}, \bar{z}_3 \bar{y}_{2,3}] &= [\bar{x}_{1,2}, \bar{y}_{2,3}] = \bar{y}_{1,3}, \quad \text{whence } \bar{y}_{1,3} \in G_3; \\ [\bar{y}_{1,3}, \bar{z}'_1] &= \bar{x}_{3,1} \bar{z}_3, \quad \text{whence } \bar{x}_{3,1} \bar{z}_3 \in G_3; \\ [\bar{y}_{1,2}, \bar{z}'_1] &= \bar{x}_{2,1} \bar{z}_2, \quad \text{whence } \bar{x}_{2,1} \in G_3; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[\bar{y}_{1,3}, \bar{z}'_3] &= \bar{x}_{1,3}\bar{z}_1, \text{ whence } \bar{x}_{1,3} \in G_3; \\
[\bar{x}_{3,1}\bar{z}_3, \bar{x}_{1,2}] &= [\bar{x}_{3,1}, \bar{x}_{1,2}] = \bar{x}_{3,2}, \text{ whence } \bar{x}_{3,2} \in G_3; \\
[\bar{x}_{3,2}, \bar{x}_{2,1}] &= \bar{x}_{3,1}, \text{ whence } \bar{x}_{3,1} \in G_3.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\bar{x}_{3,1}$ and $\bar{x}_{3,1}\bar{z}_3$ belong to G_3 , so does \bar{z}_3 . Now we know that all $\bar{y}_{i,j}$, \bar{z}_i and \bar{z}'_i with $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $i \neq j$ belong to G_3 . As follows from a remark in Section 2.2, these elements generate the whole group $\text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$. \square

We resume Part (b) of the proof of Theorem 6.1. Assume that the assertion above holds for $n \geq 3$ and let us prove it for $n + 1$.

Let G_{n+1} be the subgroup of $\text{Sp}_{2n+2}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ generated by $E_{n+1} \cup \{\bar{z}_2\}$. By the induction hypothesis, since E_n is a subset of E_{n+1} , the group G_{n+1} contains $\text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_2)$, viewed as the group of matrices in $\text{Sp}_{2n+2}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ with entries equal to 0 for the indices $(i, n+1)$ and $(n+1, i)$ with $i \neq n+1$ and for the indices $(i, 2n+2)$ and $(2n+2, i)$ with $i \neq 2n+2$. In particular, G_{n+1} contains \bar{z}_3 by Lemma 6.2.

Now consider the subgroup of G_{n+1} generated by

$$\{\bar{z}_2, \bar{z}'_2, \bar{z}_2\bar{z}_3\bar{y}_{2,3}, \bar{z}'_3, \bar{z}_3\bar{z}_4\bar{y}_{3,4}, \bar{z}'_4, \dots, \bar{z}'_{n+1}\} \cup \{\bar{z}_3\}.$$

Since $\bar{z}_3 \in G_{n+1}$, this subgroup is a subgroup of G_{n+1} .

By the induction hypothesis applied to all subscripts increased by 1, this subgroup is isomorphic to the symplectic group $\text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_2)$, now viewed as the group of matrices in $\text{Sp}_{2n+2}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ with entries equal to 0 for the indices $(i, 1)$ and $(1, i)$ with $i \neq 1$ and for the indices $(i, n+2)$ and $(n+2, i)$ with $i \neq n+2$.

It follows that all generators $\bar{x}_{i,j}$, $\bar{y}_{i,j}$, $\bar{y}'_{i,j}$, \bar{z}_i , \bar{z}'_i of $\text{Sp}_{2n+2}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ belong to G_{n+1} , except possibly $\bar{x}_{1,n+1}$, $\bar{x}_{n+1,1}$, $\bar{y}_{1,n+1}$ and $\bar{y}'_{1,n+1}$. But the latter also belong to G_{n+1} in view of the commutator relations

$$\begin{aligned}
[\bar{x}_{1,n}, \bar{x}_{n,n+1}] &= \bar{x}_{1,n+1}, & [\bar{x}_{n+1,n}, \bar{x}_{n,1}] &= \bar{x}_{n+1,1}, \\
[\bar{x}_{1,n}, \bar{y}_{n,n+1}] &= \bar{y}_{1,n+1}, & [\bar{x}_{n,1}, \bar{y}'_{n,n+1}] &= \bar{y}'_{1,n+1},
\end{aligned}$$

which follow from Relations (2.2)–(2.4). \square

6.2. Elements of the kernel of \hat{f} . Let $\hat{f}_{2n+1} : \hat{B}_{2n+1} \rightarrow \text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$ be the restriction of \hat{f} to \hat{B}_{2n+1} . Recall the restrictions $f_{2n+1} : B_{2n+1} \rightarrow \text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\bar{f}_{2n+1} : B_{2n+1} \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$ defined in Section 5.4.

We consider the element

$$(6.2) \quad \alpha_0 = (\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1)^2(\sigma_1\sigma_3^{-1}\sigma_0)(\sigma_1\sigma_2\sigma_1)^{-2}(\sigma_1\sigma_3^{-1}\sigma_0)$$

of the Artin group \hat{B}_{2n+1} of type Γ_{2n+1} . This element lies in the kernel of \hat{f}_{2n+1} since $\hat{f}_{2n+1}(\alpha_0) = w_1^2 y_{1,2} w_1^{-2} y_{1,2} = y_{1,2}^{-2} y_{1,2} = 1$.

Note that mapping σ_0 to σ_5 and σ_i to σ_i for $i = 1, \dots, 4$, we obtain an isomorphism j from the subgroup \hat{B}_5 of \hat{B}_{2n+1} generated by $\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4, \sigma_0\}$ to the standard braid group B_6 . The composed morphism

$$\langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4, \sigma_0 \rangle \xrightarrow{j} B_6 \xrightarrow{f} \text{St}(C_2, \mathbb{Z})$$

is equal to the restriction of \hat{f}_{2n+1} and j maps α_0 to α_2 , which by Theorem 5.6 lies in the kernel of $f : B_6 \rightarrow \text{St}(C_2, \mathbb{Z})$. We thus recover the fact that α_0 belongs to $\text{Ker}(\hat{f}_{2n+1})$.

Theorem 6.3. *The kernel of the restriction of \widehat{f}_{2n+1} to the pure Artin group \widehat{P}_{2n+1} of type Γ_{2n+1} is the normal closure of α_0 , $[\sigma_3, \Delta_3^4]$ and $\Delta_5^4 \Delta_3^{-16}$ in \widehat{B}_{2n+1} .*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 5.10 that

$$\text{Ker}(\widehat{f}_{2n+1}) \cap P_{2n+1} = \text{Ker}(f_{2n+1}) \cap P_{2n+1}$$

is the normal closure of $[\sigma_3, \Delta_3^4]$ and $\Delta_5^4 \Delta_3^{-16}$ in B_{2n+1} .

To determine the kernel in \widehat{P}_{2n+1} we apply [14, Corollary 8] (and its proof). This result states that if Γ is a Coxeter graph and i a vertex of Γ , the pure Artin group of type Γ is the semi-direct product of a normal subgroup generated by conjugates of the squares σ_j^2 with $j \in \Gamma$ and of the pure Artin group of type $\Gamma \setminus \{i\}$.

We apply this to $\Gamma = \Gamma_k$ and $i = 0$. All generators σ_j are conjugate in \widehat{B}_{2n+1} ; in particular their squares are conjugate to σ_0^2 . Consequently, \widehat{P}_{2n+1} is the semi-direct product of a normal subgroup generated by elements conjugate to σ_0^2 in \widehat{B}_{2n+1} and of P_{2n+1} . Since α_0 lies in $P_4 \sigma_0^2 \subset P_{2n+1} \sigma_0^2$ (see the proof of Theorem 5.8) and $\alpha_0 \in \text{Ker} \widehat{f}_{2n+1}$, we deduce the theorem. \square

Remark 6.4. By Theorem 6.1 the morphism $\widehat{f}_{2n+1} : \widehat{B}_{2n+1} \rightarrow \text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$ is surjective. Composing it with the natural surjections $\text{St}(C_n, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_2)$, we obtain a surjective morphism $\widehat{B}_{2n+1} \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_2)$. The latter epimorphism factors through $W(\Gamma_{2n+1}) \rightarrow \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{F}_2)$, where $W(\Gamma_{2n+1})$ is the Coxeter group associated with the graph Γ_{2n+1} , since the image of each generator σ_i has order 2 for $i = 0, \dots, 2n$.

Remark 6.5. We can say a little more about the kernel of \widehat{f}_{2n+1} when $n = 3$. In this case the Coxeter group $W(\Gamma_7)$ is of type E_7 and its center has order 2. Let w_0 be its non-trivial central element. Since the center of $\text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is trivial, the element w_0 has to be in the kernel of the above surjective morphism $W(\Gamma_7) \rightarrow \text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$. Since the order of $W(\Gamma_7)$ is twice the order of $\text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{F}_2)$, this kernel is exactly $\{1, w_0\}$. We deduce that any element of $\text{Ker} \widehat{f}_7$ is either in the pure Artin group \widehat{P}_7 of type Γ_7 , or in the coset $\mathbf{w}_0 \widehat{P}_7$, where \mathbf{w}_0 is a fixed preimage of w_0 in \widehat{B}_7 .

Since we know $\text{Ker} \widehat{f}_7 \cap \widehat{P}_7$ by Theorem 6.3, it remains to determine the intersection of $\text{Ker} \widehat{f}_7$ with the coset $\mathbf{w}_0 \widehat{P}_7$. A computation shows that the image of \mathbf{w}_0 in $\text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{Z})$ is trivial. Thus $\widehat{f}_7(\mathbf{w}_0) = w_1^{4k} \in \text{St}(C_3, \mathbb{Z})$ for some integer k . Consequently, $\mathbf{w}_0 \Delta_3^{-4k}$ belongs to $\text{Ker} \widehat{f}_7$ and the full kernel $\text{Ker} \widehat{f}_7 : \widehat{B}_7 \rightarrow \text{St}(C_3, \mathbb{Z})$ is the normal closure of α_0 , $[\sigma_3, \Delta_3^4]$, $\Delta_5^4 \Delta_3^{-16}$ and $\mathbf{w}_0 \Delta_3^{-4k}$. We have not been able to determine the exponent k .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We are grateful to Christian Blanchet for having drawn our attention to References [2, 10] and helped us understand the connections with the hyperelliptic Torelli groups. We also thank Tara Brendle for reading a preliminary draft and an anonymous referee for bringing the preprint [7] to our attention. We are also very grateful to Benjamin Enriquez for his observation on the braid α_2 , which led to Remark 5.9.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. A'Campo, *Tresses, monodromie et le groupe symplectique*, Comment. Math. Helv. 54 (1979), 318–327; DOI:10.1007/bf02566275.
- [2] V. I. Arnold, *Remark on the branching of hyperelliptic integrals as functions of the parameters*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 2 (1968), No. 3, 1–3. English translation: Functional Anal. Appl. 2 (1968), 187–189; DOI:10.1007/bf01076119.
- [3] H. Behr, *Explizite Präsentation von Chevalleygruppen über \mathbb{Z}* , Math. Z. 141 (1975), 235–241; DOI:10.1007/bf01247309.
- [4] J. S. Birman, *Automorphisms of the fundamental group of a closed, orientable 2-manifold*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 21 (1969) 351–354; DOI:10.2307/2037001.
- [5] J. S. Birman, *On Siegel's modular group*, Math. Ann. 191 (1971), 59–68; DOI:10.1007/bf01433472.
- [6] J. S. Birman, *Braids, links and mapping class groups*, Annals of Math. Studies, No. 82 (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1975); DOI:10.1515/9781400881420.
- [7] W. Bloomquist, P. Patzt, N. Scherich, *Quotients of braid groups by their congruence subgroups*, arXiv:2209.09889v2.
- [8] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique. Groupes et algèbres de Lie. Chapitres IV, V, VI*, Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles, Hermann, Paris, 1968. English translation: *Lie groups and Lie algebras*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002; DOI:10.1007/978-3-540-89394-3.
- [9] H. R. Brahana, *A theorem concerning certain unit matrices with integer elements*, Ann. of Math. 24 (1923), 265–270; DOI:10.2307/1967854.
- [10] T. Brendle, D. Margalit, A. Putman, *Generators for the hyperelliptic Torelli group and the kernel of the Burau representation at $t = -1$* , Invent. Math. 200 (2015), 263–310; DOI:10.1007/s00222-014-0537-9; DOI:10.1007/s00222-014-0537-9.
- [11] E. Brieskorn, K. Saito, *Artin-Gruppen und Coxeter-Gruppen*, Invent. Math. 17 (1972), 245–271; DOI:10.1007/bf01406235.
- [12] C. Chevalley, *Sur certains groupes simples*, Tôhoku Math. J., II. Ser. 7 (1955), 14–66; DOI:10.2748/tmj/1178245104.
- [13] P. Deligne, *Les immeubles de groupes de tresses généralisés*, Invent. Math. 17 (1972), 273–302; DOI:10.1007/bf01406236.
- [14] F. Digne and Y. Gomi, *Presentation of pure braid groups*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications 10 (2001), 609–623; DOI:10.1142/S0218216501001037.
- [15] B. Farb, D. Margalit, *A primer on mapping class groups*, Princeton Mathematical Series 49, Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2011; DOI:10.23943/princeton/9780691147949.001.0001.
- [16] J.-M. Gambaudo, E. Ghys, *Braids and signatures*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 133 No. 4 (2005), 541–579.
- [17] C. Kassel, *On an action of the braid group B_{2g+2} on the free group F_{2g}* , Internat. J. Algebra Comput. 23, No. 4 (2013), 819–831; DOI:10.1142/S0218196713400110.
- [18] C. Kassel, *A braid-like presentation of the integral Steinberg group of type C_2* , J. Algebra 607 (2022), 344–359 (Special issue P. Dehornoy); DOI:10.1016/j.jalgebra.2020.09.015; arXiv:2006.13574.
- [19] C. Kassel, V. Turaev, *Braid groups*, Grad. Texts in Math., Vol. 247, Springer, New York, 2008; DOI:10.1007/978-0-387-68548-9.
- [20] A. Lavrenov, *Another presentation for symplectic Steinberg groups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 219 (2015), 3755–3780; DOI:10.1016/j.jpaa.2014.12.021; DOI:10.1016/j.jpaa.2014.12.021.
- [21] W. Magnus, A. Peluso, *On a theorem of V. I. Arnol'd*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 22 (1969) 683–692; DOI:10.1002/cpa.3160220508.
- [22] H. Matsumoto, *Sur les sous-groupes arithmétiques des groupes semi-simples déployés*, Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. 4e série, 2 (1969), 1–62; DOI:10.24033/asens.1174.
- [23] J. Milnor, *Introduction to algebraic K-theory*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, No. 72, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1971; DOI:10.1515/9781400881796.
- [24] M. R. Stein, *Generators, relations and coverings of Chevalley groups over commutative rings*, Amer. J. Math. 93 (1971), 965–1004; DOI:10.2307/2373742.

- [25] R. Steinberg, *Générateurs, relations et revêtements de groupes algébriques*. 1962 Colloq. Théorie des Groupes Algébriques (Bruxelles, 1962) pp. 113–127. Librairie Universitaire, Louvain; Gauthier-Villars, Paris.
- [26] R. Steinberg, *Lectures on Chevalley groups*. Notes prepared by John Faulkner and Robert Wilson. Revised and corrected edition of the 1968 original. University Lecture Series, 66. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2016; DOI:10.1090/ulect/066.

FRANÇOIS DIGNE: LABORATOIRE AMIÉNOIS DE MATHÉMATIQUE FONDAMENTALE ET APPLIQUÉE, UNIVERSITÉ DE PICARDIE JULES-VERNE & CNRS, UFR SCIENCES, 33 RUE SAINT-LEU, 80039 AMIENS CEDEX 01, FRANCE

Email address: `digne@u-picardie.fr`

URL: `www.lamfa.u-picardie.fr/digne/`

CHRISTIAN KASSEL: INSTITUT DE RECHERCHE MATHÉMATIQUE AVANCÉE, UNIVERSITÉ DE STRASBOURG & CNRS, 7 RUE RENÉ DESCARTES, 67084 STRASBOURG CEDEX, FRANCE

Email address: `kassel@math.unistra.fr`

URL: `irma.math.unistra.fr/~kassel/`